Annual Meeting of the American Kennel Club, held at their rooms No. 44 Broadway Feby. 13th, 1890

Present:
American Pet Dog Club M.H. Cryer
American Spaniel “ James Watson
Long Island Live Stock Fair Ass’n T. Prime
Maryland Kennel Club H. Malcolm
New England Kennel Club F.B. Fay
St. Paul Minn. Kennel Club A.D. Lewis
Southern Field Trial Club Jas. L. Anthony
New Jersey Kennel Club A.C. Wilmerding
Massachusetts Kennel Club R. Leslie
Hartford Kennel Club A.C. Collins

On motion Mr. James L. Anthony was appointed Chairman, in the absence of the President and Vice-President.

The Chairman stated that the question was, whether, with the limited number of delegates present, the club should proceed with the meeting or adjourn and stated that if there was a sufficient number of delegates present to proceed with the business for which the meeting was called.

On motion of Mr. Prime, it was carried that the ruling of chair be accepted.

The minutes of the last annual meeting were read and on motion approved.

The report of the Stud book Committee was then read and adopted.

The minutes of the Annual meeting of the Associates, was read and adopted as corrected and the recommendations contained in them so amend Art. IV Section I by striking out the word “and” following the word “Club” on the ninth line and substituting the word “or”.

Sec. II by adding the words “during the current year for which his dues are paid”
Sec. III by striking out the word “shall” on the eleventh line and substituting the word “may” were adopted.

Art IV with proposed amendments was then read, and on motion the Secretary was instructed to publish them for thirty days in the ordinary form to be acted upon at the next regular meeting of the Amer. Ken. Club.

Election of officials now being in order Mr. Watson nominated Mr. August Belmont Jr. for President. Mr. Leslie nominated Mr. Thomas H. Terry for Vice-President.

On motion the Secretary cast the ballots for President & Vice President and Messrs. Belmont and Terry were declared elected to the offices named.

On motion the club proceeded to elect the advisory committee which resulted in the election of Messrs John D. Wise, J.L. Anthony

Mr. Fay nominated as Stud book Committee Messrs. Thomas H. Terry, A.D. Lewis and James Watson and on motion the Secretary cast the ballot and they were declared elected.

The meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Meeting of the Executive
Committee, held Feby 13, 1890

Present:
American Pet Dog Club          M.H. Cryer
American Spaniel Club          James Watson
Long Island Live Stock Fair Ass'n  T. Prime
Maryland Kennel Club           H. Malcolm
New England Kennel Club        F.B. Fay
St. Paul Minn. Kennel Club     A.D. Lewis
Southern Field Trial Club      J. L. Anthony
New Jersey Kennel Club         A.C. Wilmerding
Massachusetts Kennel Club      R. Leslie
Hartford Kennel Club           A.C. Collins
American English Beagle Club   H.F. Schellhass

Mr. Watson was appointed Chairman
The Secretary read his report and on motion it was adopted.
The Treasurer’s report was read and adopted.
The matter of charges of Lorenzo Daniels against Graham was on motion
referred to the Advisory Committee.
The request of the Elmira Kennel Club that their awards be recognized by the
American Kennel Club was on motion granted.
On motion of Mr. Lewis, the Secretary was instructed to notify Messrs W.C.
that they are disqualified until the awards given by that club are paid in full.
The following kennel club were admitted to membership
Duquesne Kennel Club
Buffalo Kennel Club
Kansas City Kennel Club

The request of the American Gordon Setter Club to change their name to
“The Gordon Setter Club of America”, was on motion granted.
Mr. Anthony moved that he delinquent club be notified that if their dues are
not paid within thirty days they shall be dropped from the roll. Carried.
Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh was unanimously elected Secretary and Treasurer of
the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.
Mr. Leslie moved that a vote of thanks be tendered to all the officers for the
satisfactory manner in which they have performed their duties for the past year.
Carried.

Meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Special Meeting of the
American Kennel Club
Held at 44 Broadway, New York
On May 22nd, 1890
---

Pres. Belmont Jr. in the chair
Present –
Associate Members Mess. J.L. Anthony and
American Beagle Club A.P. Vredenburgh
“ Pet Dog Club H.F. Schellhass
“ Spaniel Club Dr. M.H. Cryer
Massachusetts Kennel Club James Watson
Mascoutah Kennel Club R. Leslie
Pointer Club of America Jas. Mortimer
Virginia Field Sports Ass’n G.W. LaRue
Westminster Kennel Club T.H. Terry
St. Bernard Club of America J. Lohman
Collie Club of America H.B. Cromwell

Mr. Peshall moved that no ______ appearing, the club adjourn.
The President, declared the motion not in order, as Mr. Peshall was not a
delegate.
Mr. Watson, moved that the Club adjourn in order to call a meeting of the
Executive Committee to take action upon the credentials of delegates – Carried –
The club adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy.
Meeting of the Executive Committee, held May 22/90

Pres. Belmont Jr. in the chair
Present
Same clubs represented as recorded at Special Meeting.

The chair ruled that the delegates of the Associated Membership do not come under Section II Article IV of the Constitution.
The minutes of the last meeting of the Executive Committee were read and approved.
The Secretary Quarterly report was read and adopted.
On motion of Mr. Wise the delegates proceeded to take up the matter of the credentials of delegates.
The credentials of Messrs. J. Lohman of the St. Bernard Club, James Watson, of the Southern California Kennel Club, and C.J. Peshall of the Maryland Kennel Club were presented and read and on motion Mr. Lohman and Mr. Watson were accepted as delegates of the Southern California Kennel Club. Mr. Watson afterwards withdrawing as delegate. Mr. Leslie moved that Mr. C.J. Peshall be accepted as delegate form the Maryland Kennel Club. And Mr. Anthony moved that Mr. C.J. Peshall was not persona grata to the members of the club he be not accepted as a delegate to the American Kennel Club.
Mr. Watson objected to the motion.
Motion seconded.
Pres. Belmont Jr. resigned the chair in favor of Mr. Terry and remarked upon the question.
Mr. Peshall desired to be heard.
The chairman refused to recognize him.
Mr. Anthony’s motion that Mr. Peshall be not recognized as a delegate on the ground that he is not a persona grata (under Art. XII Sec. 2. to the majority of the delegates, was then carried by the following Ayes & Nays

Ayes –
Am Pet Dog Club
Associate Members (2 votes)
Mascoutah Kennel Club
Pointer Club of America
Virginia Field Sports Ass’n
Westminster Kennel Club
St. Bernard Club of America
Collie Club of America

Total 9

Nays –
Am. Beagle Club
Am. Spaniel Club
Massachusetts Kennel Club

Total 3

The Treasurer’s Quarterly report was read and adopted.
The minutes of the last meeting of the Advisory Committee were read and motion adopted –

Mr. Watson gave notice that at the next meeting, he would move that the Advisory Committee be given the power of suspension.

The following clubs were admitted to membership.
Youngstown Kennel Club of Youngstown, Ohio
Louisiana Poultry & Pet Stock Ass’n of New Orleans, La
Northern Illinois Poultry & Pet Stock Ass’n – of Rockford, Ill
Wilmington Agricultural & Industrial Ass’n of Wilmington, Del –
Chesapeake Bay Dog Club of Baltimore, Md.
National Greyhound Club of New York
California Kennel Club of San Francisco, Cal.

The question of recognizing the wins of the Southern California Kennel Club of Los Angeles Cal of 1889 was brought up on motion it was carried that the wins be recognized.

The requests of the Am. English Beagle Club and the Cincinnati Sportsman’s Club, that their names be changed upon the records to the Am. Beagle Club and Cincinnati Kennel Club, were on motion granted.

On motion Mr. W.T. Levering was allowed the prefix “Dundee” against a protest filed by Mr. H.T. Drake of St. Paul, Minn.

Concerning the special prize awarded by the Westminster Kennel to Mr. L. Gardner’s English Setter “Roger”, which was protested by Mr. Was Wenzel, and whose protest was allowed, from which decision Mr. Gardner appeals, the following resolution was adopted.

Resolved: That it is the opinion of this committee that the term “Field Trial Record” applies only to public trials for competition open to all and that the appeal by Mr. L. Gardner in the case of the Special prize for the best setter with a field trial record, be sustained and the prize awarded to his English Setter dog “Roger”

On motion the suspension by the Buffalo Kennel Club of Mr. Edwin H. Morris was removed. –

On motion the following clubs were dropped from the roll of membership for non payment of dues –
Chattahovchie Valley Exposition Company
Connecticut State Kennel Club
Elmira Poultry & Pet Stock Ass’n
Hartford Kennel Club
Syracuse Kennel Club

The charges brought by Mr. Leslie against the New England Kennel Club were read and on motion laid on the table.

The Secretary called attention to the communication of the St. Bernard Club in regard to a special prize offered by the St. Bernard club, which was awarded to Mr. T.B. Lee whose dog was afterwards disqualified -- Mr. Anthony moved that there being no reserve and that the Judge not having been requested to re-judge the class, the Am. Kennel Club consider the cups the property of the St. Bernard Club of America.
The request of the Gordon Setter Club that the percentage of blood be raised from 62½ to 87½ percent, was on motion referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Adjourned

Correction in minutes

Made before reading at

The meeting of Sept 25/90

A.P. Vredenburgh

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secy

Secy
President A. Belmont Jr in the Chair

Present

Am. Pet Dog Club          Dr. M.H. Cryer
Am Fox Terrier Club       W. Rutherford
Am. Beagle Club           H.F. Schellhass
Am. Mastiff Club          F.T. Underhill
Am. Spaniel Club          R.P. Kaesbey
Associate Members
" " Dr. J. Frank Perry
" " J.L. Anthony
" " A.P. Vredenburgh

California K Club         Max Wenzel
Collie Club               J.D. Shotwell
Duquesne K Club           W.E. Littell
German Mastiff Club       G. Muss-Arnolt
Long Island Fair Apn      J. Van Schaick
Michigan K Club           H.E. Cook
Massachusetts K Club       R. Leslie
Mascoutah K Club          J. Mortimer
National Greyhound Club   L. C. Whiton
New Jersey Kennel Club    A.C. Wilmerding
Philadelphia K Club       J.H. Winslow
Pointer Club              G.W. LaRue
So Ca P & P Stock Apn     Dr. H.C. Glover
St. Paul K. Club          A.D. Lewis
Westminster K Club         T.H. Terry

The Secretary read the credentials of Messrs R.P. Keasbey, M. Wenzel, J.D. Shotwell, L.C. Whiton, Dr. H.C. Glover, W. Rutherford, and W.R. Littell, all of which were accepted.

The Secretary stated that Mr. John A. Logan Jr. Prest of the Youngstown Kennel Club, was present as a delegate from his club, that that his credentials had not yet been received – The _____ arrival of said credentials being an oversight on the part of the Sect of his club, by whom all credentials must be signed, Mr. Anthony moved that Mr. Logan be granted the privilege of the floor, but without the right to vote until his credentials comes to hand. Carried.

The minutes of the last meeting were read and accepted.

The Secretary submitted his quarterly report which was received and adopted.
President Belmont stated that he had been criticized by some of the Sporting papers, and others in his action in leaving the chair, and taking part in certain proceedings, from the fact that he was not a delegate, and desired an expression of opinion on the subject from the delegates. Mr. Anthony moved that it is the sense of this meeting, that the presiding officer, way on any occasion, if he has any remarks to make, be permitted to leave the chair for that purpose. Mr. Cook offered an amendment. That it is the sense of this meeting that we unanimously sanction the action of the President heretofore, according to the spirit of the AKC Constitution. That it is also the sense of this meeting that such privilege hereafter be granted him – Amendment carried. The motion as amended carried unanimously.

The report of the Stud book committee was read and on motion adopted.

On motion of Mr. Anthony, the delegates went into a Committee of the Whole, to hear the report of the Advisory Committee, and to take such action upon it, as it deemed proper. Motion Carried. The meeting then went into Executive Session.

Upon reconvening, Mr. Winslow as chairman of the Committee of the Whole reported that said committee recommended the disqualification of C.J. Peshall by the AKC.

Mr. Cook moved that the action of the Committee of the Whole, be accepted and approved by this meeting, and that C.J. Peshall be permanently disqualified. Carried.

Mr. Leslie demanded the ayes and nays on this question, which resulted as follows.


Nays – Mr. R. Leslie
Excused from voting
Mep. Cryer, Schellhass and Vredenburgh

Dr. Cryer moved that all appeals, protest and other business reported on by the Secy be referred to the Advisory Committee, with power. Carried.

The application of the English Setter Club for membership was submitted, and on motion said club, was duly elected.

The application of the National Beagle Club of Boston was submitted, and on motion of Mr. Anthony, it was rejected, as its acceptance would tend to antagonize the American Beagle Club, whose standard has been acquiesced in by the AKC. Carried.

The application of the So. Carolina Poultry & Pet Stock Apn was submitted – Mr. Cook moved that as there already existed in the AKC, and Association from So. Carolina, in good standing of the same name and title as that of the applicant, that
said application be rejected, and that they be notified that their rejection is owning only to that fact.

Carried.

The application of the Cleveland Bench Show Apn to have its name change on the AKC records, to that of the Cleveland Kennel Club was on motion granted.

Mr. Vredenburgh gave notice that at the Dec’r meeting he would propose certain amendments to the Constitution.

Dr. H.C. Glover showed that the proposed amendments be published in the next Gazette, and that the Secy be instructed to send to each delegate a marked copy of the Gazette, containing the amended Constitution.

Carried

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Special Meeting AKC held Decr 11, 1890

In absence of Prest & Vice Prest MR. J.L. Anthony was appointed Chairman.

Present
Associate Members A.P. Vredenburgh
   "   " J.L. Anthony
Fox Terrier Club W. Rutherford
Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
Pet Dog Club M.H. Cryer
Spaniel Club J. Watson
California K Club Max Wenzel
Gordon Setter Club L.A. Van Zandt
La Poultry & Pet Sk Apn A.H. Vanderpael
Maryland K Club J. Thompson
Nat Greyhound Club L. C. Whiton
N J K Club A.C. Wilmerding
Rochester K Club W. Whitney
So Ca P & P Sk Apn H.C. Glover
Toledo K Club H.T. Foote
Westminster K Club H. D. Cromwell

On motion Association meeting took a recess for thirty minutes.

Upon resuming the session, the amendments to the Constitution and By-Laws were take up.
On motion that the said amendments be read and if no objection be made to any particular article they be deemed to be adopted as amended, and when objection is made to any article it be discussed and voted on.
Nays – Mep. Wenzel & Cromwell
The Constitution & By Laws, were adopted as amended and published in December Gazette.

Adjourned A.P. Vredenburgh, Secy
Regular Quarterly Meeting Executive Committee held Decr 11, 1890

Prest August Belmont in the Chair.

Present

Associate Members

J.L. Anthony

A.P. Vredenburgh

Fox Terrier Club

W. Rutherford

Beagle Club

H.F. Schellhass

Pet Dog Club

M.H. Cryer

Spaniel Club

J. Watson

California K Club

Max Wenzel

Gordon Setter Club

L.A. Van Zandt

La Poultry & Pet Sk Apn

A.H. Vanderpael

Maryland K Club

J. Thompson

Nat Greyhound Club

L. C. Whiton

N J K Club

A.C. Wilmerding

Rochester K Club

W. Whitney

So Ca P & P Sk Apn

H.C. Glover

Toledo K Club

H.T. Foote

Westminster K Club

H. D. Cromwell

Credentials of delegates were read as follows

Am. Spaniel Club

appointing

J. Watson

La P & P Sk Apn

A.H. Vanderpael

Maryland K Club

J. Thompson

Rochester K Club

W. Whitney

Westminster K Club

H. D. Cromwell

Gordon Setter Club

L.A. Van Zandt

Kansas City K Club

J.M. Taylor

English Setter Club

W. Fiske

Youngstown K Club

J.A. Logan Jr.

On motion the credentials of above delegates were accepted.

A communication from C.J. Peshall dated Decr 11/90 was read, and on motion of J. Watson was received and placed on file.

The minutes of the previous meeting read and approved.

Mr. Wenzel state that C.J. Peshall had said to him that he had been disqualified at a meeting of which he had no official notice and no opportunity to defend himself. That he (Wenzel) asked Prest Belmont at the meeting of the Ex Comm, at which Peshall was disqualified, if said Peshall had been notified to attend, and that Mr. Belmont replied that he had, and for that reason he (Wenzel) had voted for the disqualification.

Prest Belmont replied that if he did make such a statement be considered that Mr. Wenzel’s question referred to the meeting of the Advisory Committee, which meeting he was positive Mr. Peshall had been invited to attend, and not to the meeting of the Executive Committee.
Prest Belmont withdrawn, Mr. J. L. Anthony taking chair.
The Secretary’s report was read and accepted.

The Treasurer reported as follows
Receipts from all sources
From Jany 1/90 to date 8,679.33
Expenses for same period 6,192.18
Balance on hand 2,487.15
On motion report was accepted.

Report of Advisory Committee was read and accepted.

Mr. Wenzel moved that the decision of the Advisory Committee, in the matter of special of last WKC show for best setter with Field Trial records, be overruled. Motion lost.

At this point Mr. C.J. Peshall addressed the meeting and then withdrew, the chair permitting him to proceed, there be no objection made by the delegate and no further action as had in his case.

Mr. Belmont returned and took the Chair.

Mr. J.L. Anthony after some preliminary remarks offered the following resolutions, which were unanimously adopted.
Whereas, It has pleased Divine Providence to remove from this life Mr. August Belmont, the honored father of our honored President and
Whereas, We are mindful of the high public character and worth of the deceased, it is fitting that we should place on record this token of our public appreciation of the many excellent traits that have rendered his name a synonym for honor among the business community of the world,
Resolved That we tender to our President our sincere sympathy in this the hour of his bereavement. Resolved Further that these resolutions be suitably engrossed and place on the minutes.

On motion of Mr. Watson, the decision of the Advisory Comm, in the matter of the his appeal re-reserve numbers at Rochester Kennel Club Show, 1899, was amended to read as follows
Resolved that in the opinion of this committee reserve numbers should be given in all case, but in the case of the Collie Special at Rochester in 1889, the Rochester Kennel Club having declined to accede to Mr. Watson request for a reserve number, for the reason that the judge had not awarded any reserve number, this club cannot give relief in the case.

The following applications for admission to membership were read and admitted.
Central City Kennel Club; Delaware & Susquehanna Poultry & Pet Stock Apn; Indiana State Poultry Apn; South Carolina Kennel Apn; and Bull Dog Club of America.
Concerning the conflict of dates, on which the Central City Kennel Club, and the So Ca P & P Stock Apn have arranged to hold their shows, it was Resolved, That as these clubs hold their shows in such widely separated places in the U.S. no conflict of dates on this occasion would work any injury to either of them, therefore they be permitted to hold their shows, provided there is no objecting made by the competing club.

The resignation of the Rhode Island Kennel Club was read, and on motion accepted.

On motion the Secy-Treas was granted permission to publish his annual statement in the January 1891 Gazette, and the President requested to appoint a committee to audit said accounts before publication.

On motion the President was requested to appoint a committee of three, to examine into the advisability and expediency of this club hold a bench show in connection with the World’s Fair, at Chicago Ills, and to report thereon, at the next meeting.

On motion all charged filed with the Secy, as mentioned in his report, were referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion, the Annual meeting to be held in Feby 1891 was ordered to be called at the Madison Sq Garden during the WKC show.

On motion Mr. E. M. Oldham, was given an extension of time to Jany 1, 1891, within which to file his defense, in the matter of charges preferred against him by Mr. T. Jacobs.

Adjourned -- A.P. Vredenburgh

Secy
Annual Meeting American Kennel Club,
Held at Madison Square Garden, February 26, 1891

Vice Prest T.H. Terry in the Chair.

Present
Associate Delegates          J.L. Anthony
    "          "                J.F. Whitman
Am Fox Terrier Club          W. Rutherford
    "    Beagle Club        H.F. Schellhass
    "    Pet Dog Club      M. H. Cryer
Buffalo K Club               G.N. Busch
Columbus Fanciers Club       A.E. Pitts
English Setter Club         W. Fiske
German Mastiff Club          G. Muss-Arnolt
Gordon Setter Club           L.A. Van Zandt
Kansas City K Club           J.M. Taylor
Michigan K Club              H.E. Cook
Collie Club                  J.D. Shotwell
Natl Greyhound Club          J.C. Whiton
New Eng K Club               F.B. Fay
New Jersey K Club            A.C. Wilmerding
Phila K Club                 W.H. Child
Rochester K Club             W. Whitney
Toledo K Club                H.T. Foote
Va Field Sports Apn          J.S. Wise
Westminster Kennel Club      T.H. Terry
Youngstown K Club            J.A. Logan Jr.
So Ca P & P Stock Apn        H.C. Glover
Cleveland K Club             C.M. Munhall
Mascoutah K Club             J. Mortimer
Wilmington A & Ind Apn      F.R. Carswell
Continental K Club           H.E. Twyford

The credentials of the following delegates were submitted and on motion of Mr. Anthony accepted.
Wilmington Agl & Ind Apn    F.R. Carswell
Delaware & Susquehanna Spn   S.B. Rathbun
Phila K Club                 W.H. Child
Bull Dog Club of America     J.H. Matthews
Continental Kennel Club      H.E. Twyford
Montana Kennel Club          J.P. Reade
So. Ca. Kennel Apn           C.K. Westbrook

The Treasurer reported that his financial report for 1890 was published in the January Gazette, and mailed at all of the delegates. On motion said report was received.
The election of officers to fill vacancies occasioned by the expired terms of Prest, Vice Prest, three members of the Stud book Committee and two members of the
Advisory Committee, was then taken up. Mr. Anthony nominated August Belmont for President. Mr. Child nominated Thos. H. Terry for President. Mr. Terry positively declined said nomination. On motion of Mr. Cook, seconded by Mr. Anthony the Secy was instructed to cast the ballot for Mr. Belmont for President, which was done, and the chair declared August Belmont duly elected President.

Mr. Anthony nominated Thos. H. Terry for Vice Pres’t. There being no other nominations, the Secy was instructed to cast the ballot for Mr. Terry, which was done, and Thos. H. Terry was declared duly elected Vice President.

On motion the present members of the Stud book committee, Messrs Terry, Lewis and Watson, were nominated, and duly elected to serve as such committee for the ensuing year — On motion the present members of the Advisory Committee, Mep. Anthony and Wise, were nominated and duly elected to serve as such committee, for the ensuing year.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Signed

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Regular Quarterly Meeting Executive Committee held at Madison Square Garden, February 26, 1891

Vice Prest Terry presiding

Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associate Delegates</th>
<th>J.L. Anthony</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>L.F. Whitman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am Fox Terrier Club</td>
<td>W. Rutherford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am Beagle Club</td>
<td>H.F. Schellhass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am Pet Dog Club</td>
<td>M.H. Cryer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo K Club</td>
<td>G.H. Bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus Fanciers Club</td>
<td>A.E. Pitts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Setter Club</td>
<td>W. Fiske</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Mastiff Club</td>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Setter Club</td>
<td>L.A. Van Zandt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City K Club</td>
<td>J.M. Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan K Club</td>
<td>H.E. Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collie Club</td>
<td>J.D. Shotwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Greyhound Club</td>
<td>L.C. Whiton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Eng K Club</td>
<td>F.B. Fay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey K Club</td>
<td>A.C. Wilmerding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phila K Club</td>
<td>W.H. Child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester K Club</td>
<td>W. Whitney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo K Club</td>
<td>H.F. Foote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Va Field Sports Apn</td>
<td>J.S. Wise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster K Club</td>
<td>T.H. Terry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngstown K Club</td>
<td>J.A. Logan Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So Ca P &amp; P Sk Apn</td>
<td>H.C. Glover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland K Club</td>
<td>C.M. Munhall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascoutah K Club</td>
<td>J. Mortimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington Agk &amp; Ind Apn</td>
<td>F.R. Carswell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental K Club</td>
<td>H.E. Twyford</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Secretary’s report was read and accepted.

Mr. Anthony stated that in connection with the Secy’s reports in regard to charges preferred by one man against another that it would be advisable that the names of the plaintiffs and defendant should not be published in the proceedings, as it gives unnecessary prominence to matters which sometimes amount to nothing, and would therefore move that everything of a personal nature in these reports shall be stricken out before it goes out for publication – Motion seconded and carried.
The Treasurer reported as follows
Receipt from all sources from
January 1, 1891 to date        5,244.81
Expenses for same period      753.03
Balance on hand              $4,491.78

The following clubs were reported in arrears for dues for 1891.
Albany Kennel Club; German Mastiff Club; Southern Field Trial Club, and Worcester
Kennel Club.
Report as read was on motion accepted.

The report of the Advisory committee was read, and on motion pf L.C. Whiton it was
adopted with the exception of the case of the AKC vs. Natl Greyhound Club ---
Carried.

Mr. Whiton moved that the case of the AKC vs. Natl Greyhound Club, be referred
back to the Advisory Committee, and permission given said Natl Greyhound Club to
be present for a hearing. Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Stud book committee was read and on motion was adopted.

At this point President Belmont arrived and took the chair.

The special committee on the World’s Fair reported, and on motion the report was
accepted.

On motion the said special committee was continued, with the addition of W.H. Child
and J. Mortimer, or his successor as representing the Mascoutah K Club.

On motion of Mr. Anthony, Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh was re-elected Secretary-
Treasurer.

At the suggestion of President Belmont, Mr. Vredenburgh was granted sixty days
leave of absence.

On motion the Albany Kennel Club was dropped from the roll of membership.

On motion the Secy was directed to return to the Indiana State P & Pet Stk Apn, the
sum of Ten dollars, the dues received with its application, for reason of the
withdrawal of said application.

On motion, on and after this date a deposit of ten dollars shall be required, with all
charges preferred by one person against another, before the AKC – Carried.

The application of the California Kennel Club, requesting the AKC to recognize the
awards given at its show, held in 1886, was granted.

The recommendation of the Stud book committee, to remove the suspension
imposed on Fred Stettenbenz of Buffalo, NY, was adopted.
The recommendation of the Stud book committee, to disqualify J.G. Burns of Buffalo, NY for giving a fraudulent pedigree, was on motion granted, in case said Burns does not explain to the satisfaction of the Advisory committee his connection with said fraudulent pedigree.

On motion of Mr. Schellhass all charges now pending as reported by the Secy, was referred to the Advisory committee.

The appeal of Dan O'Shea of London Canada, asking for reinstatement was submitted, and Mr. Munhall moved that said Dan O'Shea, be reinstated on the ground that he has been sufficiently punished. Motion carried ..... 12 ayes – 5 nays –

A communication from Dr. M.H. Cryer asking the AKC to define the meaning of the phrase “One Exhibitor”, or “one person” as expressed in the AKC rules, was submitted. Mr. Schellhass offered the following resolution.

“Resolved, That the term “one exhibition”, is accepted by the American Kennel Club, to mean, an individual or co-partnership exhibiting as an individual, firm or Kennel, under an individual, firm, or Kennel name, which individual, firm, or Kennel has complied with the bench show rules of the American Kennel Club” Seconded and carried.

Mr. Van Zandt state that he has been instructed by the Gordon Setter Club to request certain changed to be made as to what shall constitute a Gordon Setter. Mr. Cook moved that the matter be referred to the Stud book committee, the proposition to be submitted by the Gordon Setter Club, in writing. Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned –

Signed

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Regular Quarterly meeting Executive Committee AKC held at No 44 Broadway NY
May 21, 1891

Mr. August Belmont, President presiding
Present

Am Beagle Club       H.F. Schellhass
Am Pet Dog Club      M.H. Cryer
Associate Members    J.L. Anthony
Cincinnati Kennel Club J.T. Richards
Kansas City Kennel Club J.M. Taylor
Long Isld Live Stock Apn J. Van Schaick
Louisiana P & P.A. Apn A.H. Vanderpael
Mascoutah Kennel Club J. Mortimer
Natl Greyhound Club   L.C. Whiton
Phila Kennel Club     W.H. Child
Virginia F. Spts Apn  J.S. Wise
Toledo Kennel Club    H.T. Foote
Am Mastiff Club       F. T. Underhill
Great Dane Club       G. Muss-Arnolt
So Cal Kennel Club    J. Watson
Continental Kennel Club H.E. Twyford
Mass Kennel Club Lynn Mas E.B. Sears
Collie Club of America J.D. Shotwell

The Secy read credentials of Jas Waton from the So Cal Kennel Club; E.B. Sears from the Massachusetts Kennel Club Lynn Mass; and C.J. Peshall, from the New Jersey Kennel Club.

On motion of Mr. Richards, all person other than the duly qualified delegates, and the members of the press authorized to represent some recognized paper, were excluded from the room.

Mr. Pershall stated that he represented the New Jersey Kennel Club – Mr. Richards, arose to a point of order, whether Mr. Peshalls name has appeared as a delegate, and if his credentials had been recognized. The credentials not having been acted upon, Mr. Richards moved, not as a matter of right, but as a matter of courtesy, that whoever presented colorable credentials as a delegate of some club which is a member of the AKC, be permitted to remain until the said credentials are taken up, and passed upon, and that unanimous consent of the members be given to the taking up of the credentials. Carried.

On motion the credentials of Messrs. Watson and Sears were taken up and accepted.

Mr. Richrads moved that the credentials of Mr. C.J. Peshall be rejected, and that the Secy be directed to inform the New Jersey Kennel Club of the rejection and also to request that club to communicate with the AKC any excuse which said club has for presenting the name of Mr. Peshall as delegate.
Motion seconded –
Mr. Wise speaking to the motion of Mr. Richards moved as an amendment, “that this club respectfully decline to receive Mr. Peshall as a delegate of the New Jersey Kennel Club. Mr. Richards accepted the amendment.

Mr. Peshall asked the privilege of addressing the meeting. The President declined to permit it. Mr. Anthony moved that after the vote is taken, to give Mr. Peshall an opportunity to be heard.

Seconded by Mr. Whiton.
Chair ruled Mr. Anthony’s motion out of order, but stated that if no objection was made that he would recognize Mr. Peshall.

Mr. Richards suggested as an amendment to suspend the rules and grant Mr. Peshall to be heard, and that all debate upon the principal motion be limited to ten minutes – Mr. Anthony withdrew his motion Mr. Whiton moved that the rule be suspended and Mr. Peshall be allowed to speak ten minutes. Mr. Watson declined to give his consent, upon the ground that Mr. Peshall should come here at the proper time with measures towards the removal of his suspension or disqualification, and called for the question on Mr. Wise’s motion.

The ayes and nays being called for resulted as follows:
Ayes Messrs Schellhass, Cryer, Anthony, Richards
Taylor, Vanderpeal, Mortimer, Wise, Foote,
Underhill, Muss-Arnolt, Waton, Twyford – 13
Nays Messrs Whiton, Child, Sears – 3
The President declared the motion carried.

The minutes of the last meeting were read and approved.

The Secys quarterly report was read and the recommendations made therein were adopted.

The Treasurer report as follows:
Receipts from all sources from Jany 1/91 to date 7,235.51
Expenses for same period 3,523.63
Balance on hand 3,711.88
Clubs in arrears for annual dues for 1891. Southern Field Trial Club; Worchester Kennel Club.
Report on motion was adopted.

The report of the Advisory Committee read and adopted.

Stud Book committee reported progress.

The President, as a special committee to confer with Mr. Whiton, re, the Std book for the registry of Greyhounds, reported satisfactory progress.

Mr. Watson called attention to the J.G. Burns’ suspension which was referred to the Stud book comm, at the last meeting, upon which Mr. Whiton moved “that the suspension of Mr. Burns be removed if satisfactory to the Stud book committee after due investigation.
Carried.
The application of New York & New England Kennel Club for admission to the AKC was submitted and on motion of Mr. Anthony was admitted to membership.

The application of the American Bull Terrier Club, of Boston Mass, for admission to the AKC was submitted – Mr. W.A. Power was granted the privilege of the floor, and spoke regarding the organization and purposes of said club, urging its admission. Mr. Anthony opposed its admission, upon the grounds that it would give a place in the Stud book for dog that do no contain pure blood.

Mr. Richards moved that the club be admitted as the Boston Terrier Club, upon the condition that if files with the Secy a list of those dogs which the club recognizes as constituting the foundation stock of the breed, on or before Jan 1, 1892.

Seconded

Mr. Anthony offered as a substitute that the AKC reject the application of this club, until it has shown the AKC that is has a breed which is entitled to recognition.

Mr. Power then made application for the admission of the club under the name of the Boston Terrier Club, the dogs to be registered after the 1st of Jan next, and there only those that have an approved pedigree of three generations. Mr. Whiton moved that the application be laid on the table. Carried.

On motion of Mr. Richards a committee of three to be appointed by the chair, was appointed to collect evidence as to the existence of the breed of dogs which are referred to and described in the Standard of the American Bull Terrier Club, and to report to this club. The vote resulted in a tie, the President casting his vote in favor of the motion, declared it carried. The Chair appointed on above committee, H.T. Foote, H.C. Glover, James Watson. Referring to the recommendation of the Secy to add to the list of recognized shows, that of a list of recognized Field Trials, Mr. Child moved that a committee of five be appointed to report upon a method by which field trials and coursing should be brought within the jurisdiction of the AKC.

Seconded and Carried.

Chair appointed as said committee

An application from the American Beagle Club, to change its titled to that of the Natl Beagle Club was read and on motion granted.

At this point Prest Belmont retired, and Mr. Anthony took the Chair.

On motion the chair appointed a committee of three to act upon the appeal of the American Pet Dog Club in relation to a proper ordinance in this Club, in regard to the muzzling of dogs. It was also carried to include Brooklyn as well as New York. Chair appointed J. Van Schaick, J.T. Richards, H.F. Schellhass.

On motion of Mr. Child the Secy was directed to communicate with Mr. P.H. Bryson, Memphis, Tenn in regard to the arrears of dues for 1891 of the Southern Field Trial Club.

On motion the Worcester Kennel Club was ordered dropped from the roll of membership, for reason of non payment of dues for 1891.
A communication from Messrs Hudspeth & Collier Attys, was read enclosing an opinion from a Judge on expulsion, and requested the reinstatement of C.J. Peshall. Mr. Richards moved that the Secy be instructed to reply to Messrs Hudspeth & Collier to the effect that the action of this club as taken will stand until reversed by some competent tribunal.
Seconded and carried.

A communication from C.J. Peshall was read in which he demanded that the list of disqualified person be discontinued in the Gazette. The Secy stated that said list had already been discontinued. Also, that the demand of Peshall made in the name of each individual of the New Jersey Kennel Club, was made upon his own responsibility, and without authority from its members, as told him by a member of the club. Mr. Shotwell also stated that Peshall had no such authority to speak for the members of the club, as the question had not been mentioned at any meeting of the NJK Club. The Chair stated that long prior to Peshalls demand, the disqualified list had been dropped from the Gazette. It has been placed there for the information of our members holding shows, and as the show season had expired, the necessity of such publication no longer existed. The discontinuance of the publication was entirely voluntary.

It the matter of an appeal of Mr. Anthony, against the Pointer Special, awarded at the Washington City Kennel Club show of 1891. Mr. Child moved that the appeal be sustained, and the action of the WCK Club be reversed. Motion lost, on the ground as stated by those voting in the negative, that the entry was made in the name of the Charlottesville, Va, under the rule stating the definition of “one exhibitor, or one person”, as passed at the annual meeting in Feby 1891.

Major Taylor moved that the Chair appoint a committee of three to report at the next meeting, a rule to govern “specials” given under AKC rules. Lost.

Mr. Richards offered the following resolution, which was adopted.
Resolved That this club has learned with profound regret of the death of E. Sheffield Porter, one of its earliest members and officers, and desires to express its sense of the loss which has been sustained.
Resolved That Mr. Porters memory is endeared to the members of this club as a gentleman zealous and intelligent in kennel matters, and warm-hearted, generous, and considerate in personal relations.
Resolved That these resolution be placed on the minutes, and a copy sent to the family of the deceased.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
Signed
A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Regular Quarterly meeting Executive Committee AKC held at No 44 Broadway, NY, Sept 24, 1891

President August Belmont in the chair

Present
Associate Members   J.L. Anthony
California K Club     Max Wenzel
Cincinnati K Club     J.T. Richards
Collie Club          J.D. Shotwell
English Setter Club  Wilson Fiske
Mascoutah K Club     J. Mortimer
National Beagle Club  H.F. Schellhass
Rochester K Club     W. Whitney
So California K. Club J. Watson
Toledo K Club        H.T. Foote
Great Dane Club      G. Muss-Arnolt
Am. Spaniel Club     E.M. Oldham
NY & N E P & K Club  W.E. Churchill

The minutes of last meeting were read, and Mr. Anthony moved the adoption of the minutes as read, with the exception of the portion concerning the Pointer Special prizes at Washington, and asked that that be laid over for further consideration, for the reason that at the last meeting, it was impossible to have the original entry, blank produced which was made a the Washington show.

Mr. Richards took the ground that the motion was not in order, in that it had not been stated that the minutes were incorrectly reported. The Chair sustained Mr. Richards point of order ---

Mr. Richards then moved that the minutes of the last meeting be confirmed. Carried.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, and on motion it was approved.

The Treasurer report as follows
Receipts from all sources
From Jany 1/91 to date    9,059.61
Expenses for same period  6,069.13
Balance on hand           $2,990.48

On motion the Treasurers report was approved.

The Secy of the Stud book committee submitted his report, and on motion of Mr. Anthony the report was approved.

On motion the order of business was suspended to take up the credentials of new delegates.
The Secy reported credentials received as follows
Mr. W.E. Churchill, from NY & N E Poultry & Kennel Club, Mr. J. O'Hara Denny, from Duquesne Kennel Club, Mr. E.M. Oldham, from Am Spaniel Club. On motion the above delegates were duly accepted.

The Special Committee on Field Trials and Coursing meeting reported. Mr. Watson moved the acceptance of the report. Carried. Mr. Anthony moved to reconsider the vote just taken. Carried. Mr. Anthony moved to lay the report on the table until the next meeting. Carried.

The special committee to arrange with the Natl Greyhound Club, in the matter of a special by Stud book, reported progress.

The special committee appointed to investigate the breed of dogs to be known as the Boston Terrier, made a verbal report. Mr. Richards moved that the report be received and the committee discharged at its own request. Carried.

Mr. Richards moved that a committee of three be appointed by the chair, with instructions to report safe guards under which the Boston Terrier Club may be admitted as a member of the AKC. Carried. Appointment of above committee reserved by chair.

Mr. Shotwell moved that the action of the NJKC is electing C.J. Peshall as its President and delegate to the AKC, endorsed the course pursued by said Peshall towards the AKC, and in effect being “particeps criminis” in proceedings prejudicial to the best interests of the AKC, and that Art 9 Sec 1 of the Constitution be enforced and that the NJKC be expelled from this Apn.

Mr. Max Wenzel stated that in his opinion the NJKC should not be made to suffer on account of any action if its president, and should not be expelled without an opportunity of being heard in its own defense.

Mr. Richards then offered the following amendment to Mr. Shotwell motion. “Whereas This club is informed that the NJKC has elected Charles J. Peshall, president, and has appointed him its delegate to his club since his disqualification by the AKC. Resolved That this club consider such action prejudicial to the welfare of the AKC under Art 9 Sec 1 of the Constitution. Resolved That charge be preferred against the NJKC, based upon their election of Mr. Peshall as president, and as its delegate to this club offer his disqualification, for conduct prejudicial to the welfare of the AKC, and that it be referred to the Advisory committee, and to offer the NJKC an opportunity to be heard upon the charges.”

Mr. Shotwell accepted the amendment offered by Mr. Richards, and withdrew his original motion.

Mr. Richards resolution being seconded, was put to the meeting and carried.
At the suggestion of the chair, Mr. Anthony moved that at any public meeting of the AKC any member of a club a member of the AKC and all Associate member be permitted to be present --- Carried.

Mr. Shotwell moved that after this meeting that the Secy be instructed to discontinue the practice of furnishing official reports of the AKC meetings to the press --- Carried.
Mr. Watson requested the Secy to record his vote in the negative.

The President calling Mr. Anthony to the chair retired.

Applications for admission to membership in the AKC, were read from the following clubs ---
Mohawk Valley Poultry & Kennel Club; Omaha Kennel Club; Blue Grass Kennel Club –
On motion the applications were accepted and granted, and they were declared duly elected to membership.

Mr. Churchill moved that the recommendations of the Secy, to recognize the awards made at the show of the Blue Grass Kennel Club, now being held -- Carried Ayes 5, Nays 4.

The resignations of the Buffalo Kennel Club, and the South Carolina Kennel Apn were read and on motion duly accepted.

The Secy reported charges preferred against the La Poultry & Pet Stock Apn for non payment of certain prizes awarded at its show in Jany 1891 also charges preferred by Beatrice Wilson against Prof. L.P. Braive and Edward A. Wilson, against Charles M. Nelles.
On motion the above charges were referred to the Advisory committee with power.

A communication from Mr. W.L. Washington requesting the AKC to define the breeder of the Irish Setter bitch, Ruby Glenmore, was read. Mr. Watson moved “That the breeder of Ruby Glenmore was the Ge____ Kennels. The owner of the Kennel at the time of her being exhibited at Washington, did not own the dam at the time she was bred, and was therefore not the breeder. There is no suggestion of fraud on the part of Mr. Washington in any of his entries.” Lost.

Mr. Churchill moved that the matter be referred to the Stud book committee. Carried.

In the matter of the Secys report, concerning the practical working of the agreement made in 1889 with the Canadian Kennel Club, it was moved to lay upon the table until the next meeting – Carried.

Mr. Watson moved that the Secy be instructed to notify J.T. Pinches, Shakopee Minn, that in default of a satisfactory explanation from him in regard to the pedigree of the English Setter bitch “Ice Palace Queen”, and also to notify M.T. Mason, Northampton, Ass, that in default of a satisfactory explanation from him in regard to
the pedigree of the Pointer bitch “Taylor Cleo”, that each will be suspended and subject to disqualification, if said explanations are not made within fifteen days. Carried.

On motion the Secy was instructed to ask the Washington City Kennel Club to furnish him with the original entry blank of the Charlottsville Field Trial Kennel, received for its late show. Carried.

Dr. Foote gave notice of a list of amendments that he would offer at the next meeting.

On motion the meeting adjourned –

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Special Meeting AKC held December 10, 1891
To consider amendments to the Bench show rules.
President August Belmont in the chair.

Present
Am Pet Dog Club M.H. Cryer
Associate Members J.L. Anthony
Continental K Club H.E. Twyford
Massachusetts K Club E.B. Sears
Mascoutah K Club J. Mortimer
NY & N.E. K Club W. E. Churchill
S. California K Club J. Watson
Toledo K Co H.T. Foote
Washington City K Club F.T. Webster
English Setter Club W. Fiske
California K Club M. Wenzel
Natl Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
Collie Club J.D. Shotwell
Cincinnati K Club J.T. Richards

On motion the reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was dispensed with.

After full consideration and debate the rules were amended as follows:
Rule XII  A dog suffering from eczema, mange, or any contagious or objectionable
disease shall be disqualified and forfeit any prize which may have been awarded to
it, and shall be removed at once from the show building. The
regularly appointed veterinary surgeon shall alone decide as to the condition
of the dog, and his decision must be given in writing.
Rule XVII  Bench show committees may provide such classes for dogs of
established breeds as they choose.

1st The Miscellaneous class shall comprise all dogs for which no regular
class has been provided in the Premium List. Entries in this class must
specify the breed of the exhibit, and it must be so published in the Catalogue.
3rd The Novice class shall be for a breed for which a regular class has been
provided, and for competition in which a dog shall not have won a prize in an
open class at any American Kennel Club, show, or which has not won such a
prize in a recognized show held in England or Canada.
4th The Puppy class shall be for dogs over six months and under twelve
months of age.
6th The Challenge class shall be for all dogs having won four first prizes in
the Open classes – A dog having won three first prizes in this class one of
which shall have been won at a show having not less than 500 entries, shall
have the privilege of the title of Champion, without further competition.

Rule XXI  Special prizes shall only be offered for dogs of established breeds, and
no dog eligible for special prizes can be withdrawn from competition unless it
has been stated on entry blanks “Will not compete for special prizes.”
Rule XXIII  No show shall extend over four days, including the last day of
receiving and the first day of liberating the exhibits.
Date fixed to go into effect by resolution of Advisory committee at its meeting Jany 18/92, to be Feby 23, 1892.

Adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Regular Quarterly meeting, Executive Committee held at 44 Broadway, December 10, 1891

President Belmont in the chair

Present

Am Pet Dog Club    M.H. Cryer
Associate Members   J.L. Anthony
Continental K Club   H.E. Twyford
Massachusetts K Club J.E. Sears
Mascoutah K Club    J. Mortimer
NY & N.E. K Club    W. E. Churchill
S. California K club J. Watson
Toledo K Co          H.T. Foote
Washington City K Club F.T. Webster
English Setter Club W. Fiske
California K Club    M. Wenzel
Natl Beagle Club    H.F. Schellhass
Cincinnati K Club    J.T. Richards
Collie Club         J.D. Shotwell
Cincinnati

Minutes of previous meeting read and adopted.

The following credentials presented and the delegates accepted.

Wm. Littaeur representing Mohawk Valley P & K Club
Roger Williams      “        Blue Grass K Club
H.D. Loney          “        Maryland K Club
J.B. Blossom        “        Gordon Setter Club

The Secretarys quarterly report was read, and on motion of Mr. Anthony was accepted and ordered on file for further action.

The Treasurer reported as follows
Receipts from all sources from
Jany 1/91 to date     $10,897.01
Expenses for same period  7,188.62
Balance on hand     $3,708.39

On motion the report was adopted, and ordered on file.

The report of the Advisory Committee as published in the November Gazette was submitted and on motion of Mr. Churchill it was adopted.

The report of the Stud book committee was read, and on motion of Mr. Anthony it was adopted.

The Secy announced that Prest Belmont had appointed a special committee in the matter of the Boston Terrier Club, consisting of Messrs J.H. Terry, Jas Watson & A.D. Lewis.
The report of the special committee appointed to “devise some method by which Field Trials and Coursing meetings may be brought under the jurisdiction of the AKC, was taken from the table on motion of Mr. Anthony.

After speaking on this report Mr. Anthony moved that the report be recommitted to the committee with the request that they investigate the matter carefully, and submit a report in accordance with the resolution under which they were appointed. Seconded by Mr. Watson.

Upon the request of the chair the Secy read the original report of this committee dated May 22, 1890 and signed by W.H. Child as chairman of the committee.

After discussion the motion to recommit the report to the committee with instructions to report in accordance with the resolution, was put and carried.

The matter referring to the recognition of the Canadian Kennel Club shows, was taken from the table, and on motion of Mr. Anthony the following motion was carried “That in the opinion of the AKC it is unwise at the present time to disturb the cordial and pleasant relations existing between the two clubs, and that they remain as at present.”

Applications from the following clubs were read and on motion they were admitted to membership – viz – Bescar Field Trials Club, San Antonio, Texas, New England Field Trial Club, Boston, Mass, Freeport Poultry & Pet Stock Apn, Freeport, Ills.

The resignation of the Philadelphia Kennel Club was read and on motion it was accepted.

The resignation of the St. Paul & Minnesota Kennel Club was read, and the same was accepted with regret.

The resignation of the Toledo Kennel Co was read, and on motion of Dr. Cryer the same was accepted with regret, to take effect after the adjournment of this meeting.

The Secy read a communication from the Mascoutah Kennel Club requesting the AKC to recognize the awards made at the World’s Fair Show in 1893.

After the discussion the following motion offered by Mr. Richard was carried “That the application of the Mascoutah Kennel Club for the recognition of the wins made at the World’s Fair show be referred to a committee to be appointed by the Chair with instructions to report upon the terms upon which such recognition should be allowed.”

The matter of the entry of the Pug Eberharts Cashier in the wrong class at Lexington, LY in Sept 1891 was on motion of Dr. Cryer referred to the Advisory committee with full power.
On motion of Mr. Schellhass, Mr. Anthony was appointed on the special committee on “Field Trials and Coursing meetings” in the place of Mr. Child, whose place became vacant on account of the resignation of the Philadelphia Kennel Club, which club he represented as its delegate.

The Secy read a communication from Dr. Cryer calling attention to the careless way in which the catalogues of the shows held under the rules of the AKC and especially those held in Canada, had been compiled.

Mr. Anthony moved that a copy of this communication be sent to the CKC. Carried.

The Secy reported the suspension of John Sleawkes, Cincinnati, Ohio by the Northern Ills P & P Stock Apn.

On motion of Mr. Schellhass John Sleawkes was disqualified under the rule. The Advisory committee to have the power to remove said disqualification provided said Sleawkes can made an explanation to the satisfaction of said committee, when heard upon his appeal.

The Secy submitted the charges against the New Jersey Kennel Club as prepared by the Advisory committee as per the resolution of Mr. Richards at the meeting held Sept 24/91.

Mr. Richards prosecuted the case, and called upon any one present to represent said NJKC, to make it known. No one appearing in behalf of said NJKC, the evidence both as to records, and personal testimony was duly taken, after which Mr. Richards moved that by a 2/3 vote the said NJ Kennel Club be expelled from membership, and asked that the vote be recorded by an aye and nay vote. Carried.


Declined to vote, Messrs Wenzel, Blossom

Nays, none.

Secy declared, Ayes 11, declined to vote, 2. President declared to motion of expulsion.

Carried.

Mr. Richards offered the following resolutions. Whereas, Certain charges have been preferred to the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, by the Advisory Committee of the AKC against the New Jersey Kennel Club charging the said NJK Club with conduct prejudicial to the welfare of this Association and charging that the membership of said NJKC in this Apn and the continuation of such membership is prejudicial to the welfare of the AKC and Whereas, The said charges have upon due notice to the said NJKC of the presentation thereof to this committee, this day come on for hearing before this committee, and evidence having bee heard in support of said charges and a vote of the members of the Executive committee heaving been taken upon the said charges after the hearing the evidence in support thereof, thirteen members being present, and voting with the following result: eleven in favor of finding the said NJKC guilty of the charges so preferred against them and
in favor of the expulsion of the NJK Club from membership in the American Kennel Club; two excused from voting, and
Whereas, It is deemed advisable by this committee that a full expulsion of opinion by this Executive committee be had upon the question; therefore be it.
Resolved, That the Secretary of this club, be and he hereby is directed to send to each member of this Executive committee not present at this meeting a copy of the charges so preferred as aforesaid, and of the evidence this day heard by this committee in support thereof, and of this resolution, and to request from each of the said members that they forward their vote upon the said charges by mail to the Secretary of this Apn so that the same may be received on or before the second day of January 1892, either in ratification of the action taken by this committee, or in opposition thereto, and
Resolved, That the President of the AKC shall on the second day of January 1892 and he is hereby authorized to do so, to count the votes so forwarded to the Secretary by mail, and received by him, and announce the votes so received, and that the result be recorded by the Secretary in the minutes of this Association, as the sense of the members of the Executive Committee, who did not personally, attend and vote at this meeting.
Resolution put to the meeting, and carried.

The certificate on the above resolution as filed with the Secretary, by President is as follows. “The vote endorsing the expulsion of the New Jersey Kennel Club is –

In favor 30
Against 0
Declined to vote 8

On motion of Mr. Anthony, the Secretary was directed to return to the Treasurer of the NJKC the dues of $10.00 paid by them for 1892.

On motion of Mr. Schellhass, Mr. Richards was tendered a vote of thanks for conducting the case before the NJKC, in the interest of the AKC.

On motion of Mr. Anthony the request of the Secretary, for permission to change the method of keeping the AKC accounts, beginning with 1892 was granted.

The Secretary asked for the appointment of a special committee to audit his Treasurers account for the year ending Dec 31 1891 and on motion of Mr. Richards a committee of three was appointed by the chair, consisting of Messrs F.S. Webster, Max Wenzel, and J.B. Blossom.

On motion of Mr. Richards the recommendation of the Secy to divide the United States in circuits was referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion of Mr. Richards the meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Annual Meeting American Kennel Club held at Madison Square Garden, Feby 25, 1892

President Belmont in the chair

Present

Associate delegate  J.L. Anthony
“              “    H.T. Foote
Am Pet Dog Club    M.H. Cryer
Am Spaniel Dog    E.M. Oldham
California Kennel Club    M. Wenzel
German Mastiff Club    A.H. Heppner
Kansas City Kennel Club    J.M. Taylor
Mohawk Valley Club    W. Littauer
Natl Beagle Club    F. W. Chapman
N Eng Kennel Club    F.B. Fay
N Eng Field Trial Club    W.A. Power
NY & N Eng Kennel Club    W.E. Churchill
Southern Cal Kennel Club    J. Watson
Washington City Kennel Club    F. S. Webster
Wilmington Agl & Indl Apn    F. R. Carswell
Westminster Kennel Club    H.B. Cromwell

The minutes of the last annual meeting were read, and on motion approved.

Credentials were presented as follows
W.A. Power,  from    New Eng Field Trail Club
H.B. Cromwell    “    Westminster Kennel Club
F.W. Chapman    “    Natl Beagle Club
A.H. Heppner    “    German Mastiff Club

On motion these credentials were accepted and the delegates elected as usual.

The Secretary read his annual report which on motion was duly approved and ordered on file.

The Treasurer stated that his annual report had been printed and published in the Gazette for January, the said report having the certificate of the auditing committee attached, certifying as to the correctness of his financial statement.

On motion of Mr. Anthony the report as printed was duly adopted and approved.

President Belmont after making a few remarks in reference to certain report having been circulated in the press regarding his resignation as President, and pronouncing them as being entirely unauthorized, resigned the chair to make way for a temporary chairman for the purpose of the election of officers for the ensuing year ---

On motion of Mr. Watson, Mr. J.L. Anthony was elected chairman, and stated that the nominations for officers were now in order.
Mr. Cromwell in behalf of the Westminster Kennel Club, nominated Mr. August Belmont for the office of President.

Mr. Churchill in seconding the nomination, warmly endorsed Mr. Belmont, and called for an informal ballot.

The Chair appointed Messrs Cryer and Watson as tellers. The vote being taken the tellers announced 16 votes cast, all in favor of Mr. Belmont.

On motion of Mr. Churchill, the ballot was made formal, and the chair announced Mr. Belmont as the unanimous choice for the office of President.

Mr. Belmont in taking the chair thanked the members for the compliment, and called for nominations for Vice President.

Mr. Anthony presented the name of Thomas H. Terry, seconded by Dr. Foote.

There being no other nominations Mr. Churchill moved that the Secretary cast a ballot for Mr. Terry for Vice President -- Carried.

The Secy cast the ballot as instructed and the chair declared Mr. Terry duly elected.

Messrs J. Watson, W.H. Cryer, W. Friske and J.D. Shotwell, were placed in nomination for members of the Stud book committee of three, in place of Messys Terru, Lewis & Watson, whose term of office had expired.

Messrs Foote and Oldham appointed as tellers.
On motion a ballot was taken with the following result.
Dr. Cryer 15 votes
J. Watson 14 votes
W. Friske 9 votes
J.D. Shotwell 7 votes
The chair announced the election of Messrs Cryer, Watson, and Fiske as members of the Stud book Committee for the ensuing year.

For the two vaccines on the Advisory Committee Mr. Churchill nominated J.L. Anthony and Maj Taylor nominated J.S. Wise.

On motion of Dr. Foote the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the two nominees named.

The Secretary cast the ballot as instructed and the Chair declared J.L. Anthony & J.S. Wise duly elected as members of the Advisory Committee.

The annual report of the Stud Book committee was read, and on motion the report was accepted, and the recommendations contained therein duly adopted.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
Signed
A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Regular Quarterly meeting Executive Committee held at Madison Square Garden
Feby 25, 1892

President Belmont in the chair.

Present
Associate delegate J.L. Anthony
" " H.T. Foote
Am Pet Dog Club M.H. Cryer
Am Spaniel Club E.M. Oldham
California Kennel Club M. Wenzel
Collie Club J.D. Shotwell
German Mastiff Club A.H. Heppner
Kansas City Kennel Club J.M. Taylor
Mohawk Valley Club W. Littauer
Natl Beagle Club F.W. Chapman
New Eng Kennel Club F.B. Fay
New Eng Field Trial Club W.A. Power
NY & NE Kennel Club W.E. Churchill
Southern Cal Kennel Club J. Watson
Washington City Kennel Club F.S. Webster
Wilmington Agl & Ind Apn F.R. Carswell
Westminster Kennel Club H.B. Cromwell

The minutes of previous meeting was read and approved. The quarterly report of the Secretary was read and on motion was accepted.

The Treasurer report as follows
Receipts from all sources
From Jany 1, 1892 to date
Including balance on hand $6,466.21
Expenses for same period 820.31
Balance on hand $5,645.90

Southern Field Trial Club; Kansas City Kennel Club; Louisiana Poultry & Pet Stock Apn in arrears for annual dues for 1892.
On motion of Mr. Anthony, the report was accepted, and Treasurer was directed to notify the clubs in arrears, that if dues for 1892 was not paid within sixty days, that said clubs would be dropped from the roll of membership.

The report of the Special committee on Field Trials and Coursing meetings was read and on motion the same was accepted and the recommendation contained therein was adopted.

Dr. Foote nominated Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh for Secy-Treas, and on motion of Mr. Churchill, Mr. Watson was instructed to cast the ballot for Mr. Vredenburgh for said office Mr. Watson cast the ballot, and the chair declared Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh duly elected as Secy-Treas, for the ensuing year.
The following clubs were duly elected to membership.
Seattle Kennel Club, Seattle Wash
Andrascoggin Kennel Club, Lewiston Me,
Tri-City Kennel Club, Davensprot Iowa,
Keystone Kennel Club, Phil, Pa
Elmira Kennel Club, Elmira, NY
Hermitage Kennel Club, Nashville Tenn

The resignation of the Northern Ills Poultry & Pet Stock Apn, was read and accepted.

The Youngstown Kennel Club, having ceased to exist, its name was dropped from the roll.

The request of the Continental Kennel Club, to change its titled do that of the Continental Kennel Club Company was on motion granted.

Mr. Charles M. Nelles appealed for the removal of the penalty of disqualification pending against him, and on motion of Mr. Anthony the following resolution was adopted. Resolved, That in view of the statement made to this Ex Comm by the Secy, together with the correspondence submitted by him, from C.M. Nelles, and from the Atty of Ida M. Nelles and in view of the advertisements appearing over his signature in the Canadian Kennel Gazette, - that this club declines to acceded to his request for reinstatement, or for the removal of his disqualification, until he proves to the Ex Comm, or to the Advisory Comm that he is entitled to reinstatement and that the facts as presented are not true.

An appeal from Mr. Elliot Smith for a contribution forward the support of Dr. Paul Gibier’s Pasteur Institute in New York was presented, and after discussion the matter was laid on the table.

The following charges were presented
F H F Mercer vs. J.L. Little
Thos Shillcock vs. G.W. Patterson

On motion of Mr. Churchill the charges were referred to the Advisory Committee.

Stud Book Committee reported progress in the matter of fraudulent pedigree of St. Bernard dog “Sir John”.

On motion of Mr. Chruchill, the Stud book Comm was granted more time, and was directed to report to Advisory Comm.

The recommendation of the Secy for the adoption of some plan, for the removal of the offices of the club to a location uptown, and to devise “ways and means” for adding a social department to the club, was referred on motion of Mr. Anthony to a committee of three. Chair appointed Mep Anthony Cromwell & Bernheimer.
On motion of Mr. Churchill, the question of AKC medals to be offered by this club, at the bench shows of its members, as suggested by President Belmont in his remarks on this subject was referred to the committee appointed to report on removal.

The request from an Associate member, asking this club to recommend to the Park Commissioners the establishment of a kennel at Central Park was after full discussion, laid on the table.

On motion of Dr. Foote, a committee of three was appointed to endeavor to make some satisfactory arrangements with the Express Companies towards a reduced and uniform rate for the transportation of dogs. Chair appointed Mep Foote, Shotwell, and Blossom.

On motion of Mr. Watson a committee of three was appointed to take some action in the matter of the proposed ruling of the Treasury Depart of the U.S. referring to the importation of dogs for breeding purposes, Chair appointed Messrs Watson, Taylor, and Webster.

At this point Prest Belmont retired, and Mr. Anthony took the Chair.

Mr. Webster spoke at some length in reference to the claiming of show dates by the different clubs, and how this privilege could be abused and used to the detriment of others, offer a general discussion the following rules was adopted.

“That the clubs composing the AKC, are requested to file with its Secy, this claim for such dates as they may desire for 1893 and that such claims shall be made to the Secy of the AKC in writing prior to the Sept meeting of 1892, at which meeting the Ex Comm shall determine and allows such dates as may seem proper, and as shall insure a proper certificate, and proper representation of clubs desiring to five shows.”

Mr. Watson moved that a standing Committee of give be appointed by the president, to be known as the Committee on Rules, whose duty it shall be to revise the order of the rules as they at present stand, and to whom must be referred for their consideration any amendment that may be offered to the Constitution, by-laws, or rules, they to report their recommendations upon all such proposed amendments, to the AKC before final action. Carried. Chair appointed Messrs Watson, Webster, Fay, Vredenburgh and Foote.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Signed
A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Regular Quarterly Meeting Executive Comm. held at 44 Broadway N.Y. May 19, 1892
In the absence of both the President and the Vice President, Dr. M.H. Cryer was nominated and elected as chairman –

Present –

Associate members
  J.L. Anthony
  H.T. Foote
  C.D. Bernheimer

Am Pet Dog Club   T. F. Rackham
German Mastiff Club  H.E. Twyford
Gordon Setter Club   J.B. Blossom
Kansas City K Club   J.M. Taylor
Maryland K. Club    W.S. Diffendeiffer
Pointer Club        G. Muss-Arnolt
Keystone K. Club    M.H. Cryer
Westminster K Club   H.B. Cromwell

The following credentials were submitted, and the delegates names were duly accepted.

Keystone K. Club    M.H. Cryer
Pointer Club        G. Muss-Arnolt
Maryland K Club     W.S. Diffendeiffer
Hermitage K Club    J.H. Acklen
Bexar Field T. Club G.A. Chabob
Am Pet Dog Club     T.F. Rackham
Tri-City Kennel Club E.S. Arnold
Mascoutah K Club    G.H. Goodrich
Elmira K Club       F.A. Cheney

The minutes of the previous meeting was duly read and on motion accepted.

The Secretarys quarterly report was read, and on motion was accepted.

The Treasurers report was read as follows: --
Receipts from all sources from Jany 1/92 8,473.61
Including balance on hand       3,793.90
Balance on hand 4,679.71

On motion the report was accepted as read.

The report of the Advisory Committee was read, and on motion the report was received, and the action of the committee approved.

The report of the Stud book committee was read and accepted.

The report of the special committee on the matter of importations was read, and on motion was accepted.

The special committee appointed to confer with the Express Co’s toward uniform and lower rates, reported progress.
The application of the Western Michigan Kennel Club was submitted and on vote said club was duly admitted to membership; also the credentials of Geo B. Thomas from said club was accepted.

On motion the Louisiana Poultry and Pet Stock Apn, and the Kansas City Kennel Club, were dropped from the roll of membership, for non-payment of annual dues for 1892.

On motion of Dr. Foote the following special committees were discharged.
“On World’s Fair”
“To improve Dog license laws”
“To devise best method to bring Field Trials and Coursing meetings under the jurisdiction of the AKC”

The standing committee on rules reported progress ---

Communication from F.G. Taylor was read, and in connection with it the following resolution offered by Mr. Anthony was duly adopted.
“Resolved That it is the sense of this meeting that any Associate resigning before the end of the term for which his dues have been paid would not be legally entitled to any of the publications of the club, yet as a matter of courtesy and equity as between the club and the Associates, they having paid their dues in advance, the Secretary, be and is hereby instructed to furnish every member with the Gazette, and with the Stud Book, and also the registrations to which they are entitled, for the time for which they have paid.”

The Secy read the following resolution adopted at the annual meeting of the Associate members, held Feby 24, 1892.

“Resolved, That the Associate members memorialize the AKC, and request a definite ruling to determine whether a person not the owner of a dog, may exhibit and claim a prize, notwithstanding the fact, that he had previously sold the dog but having reserved the right to all show privileges”  Signed
   Chas D. Beinheimer
   Secy Associate members

It being the sense of the meeting that the rules of the AKC do not permit any person to have the show right of any dog, but the owner, it was moved by Mr. Anthony, that the rule of the AKC requires that a dog must be the “bona fide” property of the exhibitor at the time the dog is exhibited at any show held under AKC rules – Seconded and carried.

The matter of the St Bernard dog Roland Jr having been shown in the 1891 and 1892 in violation of the rules was on motion referred to the Stud Book committee for investigation, and with power to act in the premises –

The appeal of Chas M. Nelles requesting the removal of the penalty of disqualification now pending against him, was on motion laid on the table for future consideration.
On motion the action of the Canadian Kennel Club in the disqualification of F.H.F. Mercer, was recognized by the AKC.

The suggestion of the Secy, with reference to licensing Veterinary surgeons, was on motion referred to the Comm on Rules.

In the matter of the L.F. Whitman’s demand for payment of a bill for traveling expenses as an Associate delegate in Feby 1891 the secretary was directed to request him to furnish the club with an itemized statement of said expenses.

On motion, the resolution of Sept 19, 1889 appropriating $100 per annum for the purpose of defraying the expenses of Associate delegates while attending meetings was rescinded for the reason that no necessity for such a fund now exists.

On motion all agencies of the AKC, were from this date abolished, for the reason that no necessity for such agencies now exists.

The committee on removal made a complete verbal report through its Chairman, outlining the purposes of his committee, and on motion of Dr. Foote the report of the said committee was received and adopted and the committee was given full power to act in the premises.

On motion the committee on Importations was authorized to draft a suitable resolution covering the views of the club in opposition to the ruling of the Treasury Departments, and to forward the same to the Secretary of Agriculture of the United States.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Attest

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Executive Committee held at 44 Broadway NY
Sept. 8, 1892

In the absence of President Belmont Vice-Pres. Terry presided.

Present
Associate Members    J.L. Anthony
    “     H.T. Foote
California Kennel Club    Max Wenzel
English Setter Club    W. Fiske
Maryland Kennel Club    W.S. Diffeuderffer
Pointer Kennel Club     G. Muss-Arnolt
So. California Kennel Club     J. Watson
Westminster Kennel Club    H.B. Cromwell
St. Bernard Club     W.C. Reick
Pacific Kennel Club    Jas. Mortimer
Am. Pet Dog Club     F. Farrar Rackham
Washington City Kennel Club   F.S. Webster
Great Dane Club     A.H. Hoppner

The minutes of the last meeting were and on motion approved.

Credentials of the following delegates were read and accepted: --
St. Bernard Club     W.C. Reick
Pacific Kennel Club    J. Mortimer
Omaha Kennel Club     W.F. Cadugan

The credentials of MR. F.E. Lamb to represent the Chesapeake Bay Dog
Club also read and on motion laid over for further consideration and investigation.

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted and placed on
file.

The report of the Treasurer was read as follows:
Receipts from all sources
From Jany 1, 1892 to date including balance on hand $9,912.01
Expenses for same period        5,885.35
Balance on hand     4,026.66

On motion the report was received and placed on file.

The report of the Stud book Committee was read and accepted.

The report of the special committee on removal was read, and on motion the
report was received and the committee kept standing.

The report of the committee on rules was delivered by Mr. Watson, Chairman,
reporting progress and requesting information in regard to the scope of the matter
involved.

The report of the Express Committee was submitted and on motion was
accepted and placed on file.

In the matter of the appeal of Mr. Chas. M. Nelles for the removal of
disqualification, Mr. Anthony moved that the disqualification be removed at the end
of term of one year, Jany 18, 1893 ---   Seconded and Carried.

On motion the following clubs were admitted to memberships
Western Michigan Agricultural and Industrial Society of Grand Rapids, Mich –
Burlington County Agricultural Society
Rhode Island Poultry Association

In regard to the claims for dates filed with the Secretary, Dr. Foote moved that the Mohawk Valley Kennel Club be notified that can have four days between Jany 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 7\textsuperscript{th}. Seconded and Carried.

On motion the So. Carolina Poultry and Pet Stock Association were allowed Jany 10 to 14\textsuperscript{th}, 1893.

The claim of the Maryland Kennel Club for the dates Feby 14\textsuperscript{th} to 17\textsuperscript{th}, 1893 was withdrawn.

On motion the Westminster Kennel Club were allowed their claim for Feby 21 to 24, 1893.

On motion the Keystone Kennel Club were allowed Feby 28 to March 3\textsuperscript{rd}, 1893.

Mr. Anthony moved that the Secretary be instructed to notify the Elmira Kennel Club that the dates claimed by them had been awarded to the Keystone Kennel Club and that the latter club would make no objection to the Elmira Kennel Club holding show on the same dates if they so desired. Seconded and carried.

On motion the Maryland Kennel Club was awarded the dates March 7\textsuperscript{th} to 10\textsuperscript{th}, 1893.

On motion the Southern California Kennel Club was granted its claim for April 19\textsuperscript{th} to Apl 22, 1893.

The conflict of dates between the Pacific Kennel Club and the California Kennel Club was discussed and it was ascertained that the Pacific Kennel Club held the prior right to the claim, and on motion the Pacific Kennel Club was awarded the dates claimed May 3\textsuperscript{rd} to 6\textsuperscript{th}, 1893.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to notify all the clubs of the claims which had been allowed at this meeting.

Mr. Anthony moved that a committee of three be appointed by the chair, with power to act upon any dates claimed between now and the next meeting of the club. Seconded and Carried.

The Chair appointed Messrs H.B. Cromwell, J.L. Anthony, and Jas Mortimer by the Natl Beagle Club (contained in the Secretary’s report) Mr. Cromwell moved “That it is the sense of this meeting that the American Kennel Club has no power to legislate in this matter, for the reason that there was no such stake as “Absolute Winner” in 1890 and therefore there can be no such award.”

On motion the request of the Canadian Kennel Club, that there be arranged a mutual agreement on dates between the AKC and the Canadian K.C., was referred tot eh committee on dates.

Mr. Cromwell moved that the Secretary be directed to engross a letter of thanks to Mr. Hugh Dalzul for the Stud books which he so kindly presented to this club.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Attest

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Executive Comm of the Am. Kennel Club, held at 44 Broadway New York, Thursday Dec. 8 1892. –

In the absence of President Belmont,
Mr. J.L. Anthony was elected to preside

---- Present ----
American Spaniel Club    E.M. Oldham
Collie Club of America    J.D. Shotwell
Continental Kennel Club    H.E. Twyford
English Setter Club    W. Friske
Great Dane Club    A.H. Heppner
Gordon Setter Club    J.B. Blossom
Mohawk Valley Kennel Club    W. Littaner
New England Kennel Club    E. Brooks
“        “ Field Trial Club    W.A. Power
Washington City Kennel Club    F.S. Webster
Westminster Kennel Club    H.B. Cromwell
Keystone Kennel Club    M.H. Cryer
Associate Members --- Messrs
“        “        J.L. Anthony
“        “        H.T. Foote
“        “        C.D. Bernheimer

Credentials of delegates to represent the following clubs, were read and accepted.
Burlington Co Ag'l Society -    J. Holmes Longstreet
Rhode Island Poultry Assn    M. Flynn Jr.
New England Kennel Club    Edward Brooks

The minutes of the last meeting were read and approved.

The Secretary read his report which was on motion accepted & placed on file

The Treasurer's report was read as follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From Jany 1 1892 to date in-</td>
<td>$11,657.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including balance on hand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremes for same period</td>
<td>7,332.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$4,374.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion the Treasurer's report was accepted and placed on file.

The report of Committee on Rules was read and on motion was accepted, and filed and ordered published in the Gazette.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read and on motion accepted and ordered filed.

Mr. Cromwell on behalf of the Com. on Dates reported as follows:

In consideration of the convenience of exhibitors, we have waited out of courtesy for Washington in order that they may claim dates succeeding those of Baltimore. We have written them several times, but have not received any answer from them yet. We will have a meeting this week when action will be taken.

It was moved and seconded that the Com. on Dates be continued, and directed to make a report at the next meeting.    Carried.

Dr. Foote suggested that the question of the recognition of the Boston Terrier Club be taken up, and after considerable discussion, it was moved, and carried that
the Stud Book Com. be directed to make a report on the Boston Terrier, at the next meeting, at which time positive action be taken.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to publish a notice that Bench Show Committees have the privilege of making a class for Boston Terriers, pending the action of the Stud Book committee.

On motion the following named clubs were admitted to membership in the Am. Kennel Club –

The Pennsylvania State Agricultural Society of Lancaster
New Jersey Kennel League of Newark, NJ

At this point President Belmont arrived and took the chair.
The resignation of the Chesapeake Bay Dog Club was read and on motion was accepted.

In the matter of the charges filed against Messrs. Harry E. Twyford and A.G. Eberhart, the Secretary stated the facts as contained in the charges, and motion the matter was referred to the Advisory Com. with power.

Concerning the appeal filed by W.J. Higginson against the decision of the Bench Show Comm of the Rochester Kennel Club, it was moved and seconded that the appeal be disallowed. Carried.

The matter of the bull for traveling expenses rendered by Mr. L.F. Whitman, as delegate attending the Annual meeting in Feb 1891. Mr. Anthony moved that the Secretary be instructed to forward to Mr. Whitman a check for $36.00 the amount of claim. Carried.

Regarding the matter of the claim of Mr. George Jarvis for the prefix “Kent” Dr. Cryer moved that the matter be referred to the Advisory Committee with power. Carried.

Similar action was taken in the matter of the claim of Mr. Jas B. Blossom for the prefix “Mont: Mr. Anthony moved that hereafter all applications for prefixes and affixes be received by the Secretary and referred by him to the next succeeding meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, there to be acted upon as may best suit their pleasure, and that claim published as before and that the claim be referred to the Advisory Committee in the interim, and if the Advisory Committee does not meet, to the next meeting of the Executive Committee.

Seconded and carried ---

The matter of the decision of the Gallup case in the Court of Appeals was mentioned by Mr. Anthony and it was moved by him that the Secretary be instructed to confer with Attorneys who have been employed by the Club and to get from them a full statement of the accounts as it now stands, and with the concurrence of the Advisory Committee to arrive at a settlement which shall seem judicious and fair to the Club, and to report the settlement they have arrived at, at the next meeting of the Club. Seconded and Carried.

The communication of Mr. Jas. Watson referring to the entry of prize winning Collie at the Brooklyn Show, was on motion referred to the Stud Book Committee.

The Secretary read a communication from Mr. Jas Watson, stating that Mr. George W. Patterson had not yet made any settlement of Mr. Shillcock’s claim, and asking that further action be taken regarding the matter by the AKC.

Dr. Foote removed that Mr. Patterson be disqualified under the rules. Seconded and Carried.

The request of the Secretary that a committee be appointed to examine and certify to the accounts of the Treasurer for the year ending Dec. 31 1892 – and for
permission to publish the annual statement in the January Gazette, was on motion granted.

President Belmont subsequently appointed Messrs J.D. Shotwell, J.B. Blossom and A.C. Wilmerding as Auditing Committee.

Concerning request of the Secretary for definite instructions in the matter of refunding subscriptions received from applicants for admission to the proposed Social department of the Club. Dr. Cryer moved that the Secretary be authorized to return this money which he holds in trust, to the proper parties – Seconded and Carried.

Dr. Cryer moved that the annual meeting of the club be held on Wednesday of the week of the New York Show, at the Madison Square Garden. Seconded and Carried.

A communication was read from Mr. Watson, giving notice that he should propose some important changes in the Constitution of the AKC to be acted upon at the annual meeting next February.

The basis of these amendments will be the doing away with present cumbrous Executive Committee Club representatives and of placing the conduct of the club in the hands of a committee to be elected at the Annual meeting ----

The Secretary called attention to fact that the New Jersey Kennel League had been elected a member of this Club today and that Mr. Edwin H. Morris had been elected as delegate to represent that club. On motion the matter was laid over until the next meeting. Adjourned.
Annual Meeting of the American Kennel Club held at Madison Square Garden. Feby 22, 1893
Vice-Pres. Thos. H. Terry presiding
Present

Associate Members, Messrs J.L. Anthony and
Cincinnati Kennel Club J. Treadwell Richards
Cleveland Kennel Club C.M. Munhall
Collie Club J.D. Shotwell
English Setter Club Wilson Fiske
German Mastiff Club A.H. Heppner
Keystone Kennel Club Dr. J.F. Perry
L.I. Live Stock Association J. Van Schaick
Maryland Kennel Club W. Stewart Diffenderff
Mascoutah Kennel Club W.J. Bryson
Natl. Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
Omaha Kennel Club Dr. M.H. Cryer
Pointer Club G. Muss-Arnolt
So. California Kennel Club Jas Watson
St. Bernard Club W.C. Reick
Washington City Kennel Club F.S. Webster
Westminster Kennel Club H.B. Cromwell

The minutes of the last Annual meeting were read, and on motion approved.

Credentials were presented as follows:
Robert Leske to represent the Mass. Kennel Club of Lynn Mass. – On motion referred to the committee.
Mr. Wm E. Littell, to represent the Duquesue Kennel Club – On the statement of the Secretary that these credentials were not in order, they were referred back to the Duquesne Kennel Club –

The following credentials were read and accepted.
Mascoutah Kennel Club – W.J. Bryson
Keystone Kennel Club Dr. J.F. Perry
Omaha Kennel Club M.H. Cryer
N.J. Kennel League E.H. Morris

The Annual report of the Secretary was read and on motion was received and placed on file.

The result of the mailed vote for election of officers of the Associate Members, was announced as follows:
President J.L. Anthony
Vice “ W.J. Bryson
Secretary A.C. Wilmerding
Delegates (1) J.L. Anthony
 “ (2) H.T. Foote
 “ (3) A.C. Wilmerding
The election of officers for coming year being in order, the following were elected:

President – August Belmont
Vice-President – Thos. H. Terry

The election of two members of the Advisory Committee, to fill the vacancies cause by the retirement of Mr. John S. Wise, and the election of Mr. J.L. Anthony as President of Associate Members. Messrs W.C. Reick, and Dr. J.T. Perry were elected to fill the vacancies.

Election of Stud book Committee, on motion the present committee of Messrs Jas Watson, M.H. Cryer, and Wilson Fiske were continued.

Election of Committee on Rules, resulted in continuance of present with the exception of Mr. F.B. Bay who had ceased to be a delegate, and the substitution of Mr. Edw. Brooks. The committee consisting of Messrs. Jas. Watson, F.S. Webster, Edw. Brooks, H.T. Foote, and A.P. Vredenburgh.

On behalf the committee on rules Mr. Watson asked that the rules of the committee be continued and acted upon at the May meeting.

On motion the reported was accepted, continued until the May meeting.

Adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, held Feb 22, 1893, at Madison Square Garden.

Vice President Thos. H. Terry, presiding

Present: --

Associate Members   J.L. Anthony
   “   “   H.T. Foote
   “   “   A.C. Wilmerding
Gordon Setter Club    Jas. B. Blossom
Am. Spaniel Club      E.M. Oldham
Cincinnati Kennel Club J. Treadwell Richards
Cleveland Kennel Club  C.M. Munhall
Collie Club           J.D. Shotwell
English Setter Club   Wilson Fiske
German Mastiff Club   A.H. Heppner
Keystone Kennel Club  Dr. J.F. Perry
Long Island Live Stock J. Van Schiack
Association ---       W.S. Diffenderffer
Maryland Kennel Club   W.J. Bryson
Mascoutah Kennel Club  H.F. Schellhass
Natl Beagle Club       E.H. Morris
New Jersey Kennel League Dr. M.H. Cryer
Omaha Kennel Club     G. Muss-Arnolt
Pointer Club          Jas Watson
So. California Kennel Club F.S. Webster
St. Bernard Club      W.C. Reick
Washington City Kennel Club H.B. Cromwell
Westminster Kennel Club J. Van Schiack

The minutes of last quarterly meeting were read and on motion approved.

The Secretary read credentials of Mr. H.L. Goodman to represent the Great Dane Club, and stated that they were not in order as they had not been signed by the Secretary, and on motion the credentials were rejected.

The quarterly report of the Secretary was read and on motion were received and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was read as follows:

Balance on hand, Jany 1, 1893 $5,004.20
Receipts from all sources to date 1,833.25
    $6,837.45
Disbursements for same period 636.79
Balance on hand $6,200.66

The following clubs were reported in arrears of dues: --

South Caroline Poultry & Pet Stick Assn.
Montana Kennel Club
Continental Kennel Club
California Kennel Club
Natl. Greyhound Club
English Setter Club
Am. Fox Terrier Club
Am. Mastiff Club
Del. & Susq. Ass’n.
N.Y. & New Eng. Poultry & Kennel Club
Bexar Field Trial Club
Western Mich. Kennel Club
Androscoggin Kennel Club
Hermitage Kennel Club
Tri-City Kennel Club
Wisconsin Kennel Club
Freeport Poultry & P.S. Ass’n
Western Mich. Agl & Indus’l Ass’n
Georgia Poultry & Pet Stock Ass’n
Cincinnati Sportsman’s Club

On motion the report was accepted and the Secretary instructed to notify the various clubs in arrears that if the dues are not paid before the next meeting, then names will be dropped from the roll.
The report was read, and on motion accepted.
The report of the Studbook Committee was received and on motion accepted.
The election of Secretary-Treasurer being in order, Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh was unanimously elected.
The application of the Boston Terrier Club was take up, and after some discussion the ayes and nays being called for, the result was as follows:
Ayes – 14  Nays – 2  Messrs Foote and Watson declining to vote, and the Boston Terrier Club was declared elected.
The followings were admitted to Membership.
Des Moines Poultry & Pet Stock Association
Northern Ohio Pet Stock & Poultry Association
Illindio Kennel Club
St. Louis Kennel Club
Great Dane Club of America
Ohio Field Trial Club

The application of the Chicago Kennel Club was rejected.
Concerning the application of the City of the Straits Kennel Club it was moved and seconded that it referred to a committee, which committee shall ascertain whether the Michigan Kennel Club be dead or alive.  Carried.
The following recommendation from the Auditing Committee was read and on motion adopted.
New York, Jany 14, 1893

Your Auditing Committee recommends that a resolution be adopted by the Am. Kennel Club, that the Secretary-Treasurer shall pay all bills only when marked approved by at least two members of the Advisory Committee.

Signed

J.D. Shotwell
A.C. Wilmerding
J.B. Blossom

Mr. Munhall presented a communication concerning silver prizes offered at the Cleveland Bench Show held in April 1891 which prizes had not been delivered. On motion the same was referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion the resignation of the Wilmerding Ag'l & Ind'l Ass’n was accepted.

The appeal of Dr. W.F. Kenney against the decision of Rhode island Poultry Ass’n, was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee with power.

Concerning the request of Mr. C.S. Hawks, it was moved that similar action be taken as in the Meersbrook Mardeu case.

Regarding the suspension of Mr. Heckleman by the Natl Greyhound Club, on motion the sentence of disqualification for one year was passed.

The recommendation of the Secretary that the privilege of the Studbook be withheld from person under disqualification or suspension, was on motion adopted.

The recommendation that the Am. Kennel Club refuse to arbitrate in any personal or monetary dispute and only discipline persons quality of an infringement of rules in connection with bench shows or field trials held by active members in good standing, was on motion referred to Committee on Constitution and By Laws to be considered at the May meeting.

On motion the wins, of the Field Trials of the New England Field Club of 1891 were recognized.

The request of the Natl Beagle Club that their wins at bench show at Nanuet, N.Y. in 1891, was referred to the Natl Beagle Club to present their case in full detail at next meeting.

Adjourned.
Special Meeting of the American Kennel Club, -- held at 44 Broadway, N.Y.
Thursday, May 18, 1893
Pres. Belmont in the Chair.

Members present
Associate Members   A.C. Wilmerding
Collie Club         J.D. Shotwell
Gordon Setter Club  Jas. B. Blossom
Keystone Kennel Club Dr. J.F. Perry
Natl Beagle Club    H.F. Schellhass
New England Kennel Club Edward Brooks
N.E. Field Trial Club W.A. Power
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
Omaha Kennel Club    Dr. M.H. Cryer
Pacific Kennel Club  Jas. Mortimer
Pointer Club         G. Muss-Arnoit
So. Carolina Poultry Ass’n H.C. Glover
So. California Kennel Club Jas. Watson
Washington City Kennel Club F.S. Webster
Westminster Kennel Club H.B. Cromwell
Illindio Kennel Club  H. Van Hunnwell
Great Dane Club of America A.H. Heppner
Central City Kennel Club G.H. Welliains
Androscoggin Kennel Club J.P. Barnard
Des Moines Poultry & P. S. Ass’n G.E. Robbins
Boston Terrier Club   D.E. Loveland
R.J. Poultry Ass’n    G.E. Cornwell
Western Mich. Kennel Club J.E. Green

It was moved and seconded that the meeting of the Association be proceeded with
Carried ---

The following credentials of delegates to represent clubs in the AKC were
read and on motion were duly acceptd.
Am. Mastiff Club       Geo. E. Stevenson
Mascoutah Kennel Club  C.F.R. Drake
St. Louis Kennel Club  Maj. J.M. Taylor
Illindio Kennel Club    H. Van Hunnwell
Great Dane Club of America A.H. Heppner
Central City Kennel Club G.H. Williams
R.J. Poultry Ass’n     G.E. Cornwell
Des Moines Poultry & P.S. Ass’n G.E. Robbins
Boston Terrier Club    D.E. Loveland
Western Mich. Kennel Club J.E. Green

In the matter of the credentials form the Duquesne Kennel Club (both Mr. W.E.
Littell & Mr. Morehead claiming to be the delegate) the Secretary was instructed to
communicate with the Duquesne Kennel Club and ask them to forward proper
credentials.

The credentials received from the Seattle Kennel named Dr. L.C. Laurence
evidently meaning Dr. L.C. Sauveur to be delegate on motion of Mr. Power Dr.
Sauveur was accepted as delegate subject to correct authorization as delegate from the Seattle Kennel Club.

The credentials of Mr. Robt. Leslie to represent the Mass. Kennel Club of Lynn, Mass which were laid over at the last meeting were read, and in connection therewith the Secretary read a formal protest from eight members of the Mass Kennel Club against the right of Mr. Leslie to represent said club, on the ground that he had not been legally elected. The Secretary also stated that the committee appointed to investigate in the matter had not reported, and that Mr. Anthony one of the members of said Committee has resigned from the club; it was moved and seconded that another committee of three be appointed to investigate and report. Carried.

The Chair appointed Messrs. Dr. Cryer, Dr. Perry, and Mr. Watson. It was moved and seconded that the consideration of the proposed amendments to the Constitution be the next in order of business.

The amendments to Constitution were read and after prolonged discussion it was moved and seconded that the amendments as published in the Gazette be rejected. Carried –

The proposed new Rules and Regulations were amended and adopted. (See Kennel Gazette.)

It was moved that the new rules shall go in to effect on the 1st day of July 1893, but that clubs whose premium lists have been made out prior to that date shall not be affected. Carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club
held at 44 Broadway, NY
May 18 1893
Pres. Belmont presiding

Present:--
Keystone Kennel Club  Dr. J.T. Perry
Natl. Beagle Club      H.. Schellhass
N.E. Kennel Club       Edward Brooks
N.E. Field Trial Club  W.A. Power
N.J. Kennel League     E.H. Morris
Pacific Kennel Club    Jas. Mortimer
Pointer Club           G. Muss-Arnolt
So. California Kennel Club  Jas. Watson
Wash'n City Kennel Club F.S. Webster
Westminster Kennel Club H.B. Cromwell
Illindio Kennel Club    H. Van Hunnwell
Great Dane Club of Am. A.H. Heppner
Central City Kennel Club G.H. Williams
Androscoggin Kennel Club J.P. Barnard
Des Moines Kennel Club  G.E. Robbins
Boston Terrier Club     D.E. Loveland
Rhode Island Poultry Ass’n G.E. Cornwall
West'n Mich. Kennel Club J.E. Green

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion approved ---
The report of the Secretary was read and on motion adopted.
The report of the Treasurer was read as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bal. on hand Jany 1, 1893</td>
<td>$5,004.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources to date</td>
<td>$3,568.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements for same period</td>
<td>$5,675.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$2,897.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also that the following clubs were in arrears, final bills having been mailed to them: --
West’n Mich. Ag’l & Inds’l Ass’n
Hermitage Kennel Club
Tri-City Kennel Club
Am. Fox Terrier Club
Wisconsin Kennel Club
So. Carolina Kennel Club
Montana Kennel Club
California Kennel Club
Del. & Susquehanna Ass’n
N.Y. & N.E. Poultry & Kennel Club
Freeport Poultry & Pet Stock Ass’n
A resolution passed at the last meeting orders these clubs to be dropped from the roll if the dues have not been paid before this meeting.
The report of the Advisory Committee of meeting held Mch. 27 1893 was read and on motion approved.
On motion the regular order of business was suspended, to enable Dr. Perry to offer the following resolution.
Resolved: That one meeting of the Am. Kennel Club Executive Be hereafter held each year in Chicago, Ills.
Carried ----
The following clubs were elected to membership.
Rhode Island State Fair Assn
Saratoga Poultry & Kennel Club
Danbury Ag'l Society
City of the Straits Kennel Club
The application of the Portland Kennel Club, was met by a protest from the Oregon Kennel Club, which latter club also made application for admission in the Am. Ken. Club, but at a subsequent date to that of the Portland Kennel Club.
It was moved that the application be laid on table and the Secretary be directed to ascertain the facts of the case. Carried –
The prefix “Rochdale” was granted to Mr. A.B. Cobb, also the prefix “Orange” to Mr. J.D. Shotwell.
The resignation of Mr. Jas. L. Anthony as President of the Associate Members and as a delegate of the club was read and on motion the resignation was accepted, and that a committee be appointed to draw up suitable resolution as an acknowledgement of his long service in an official capacity in this Club --- Dr. Perry offered the following resolution
Resolved That the compact between the Canadian Kennel Club and the AKC be and is herby discontinued
Carried –
On motion the disqualifications of members of the Canadian Kennel were removed so far as the AKC is concerned.
The Secretary reported that the president had sent in a communication asking the Am. Ken. Club to adjudicate upon a point at issue between the New Eng. Kennel Club and himself as an exhibitor
The facts of the case being stated and discussion following, resulted in the following resolution being carried.

Whereas, the entries were illegal according to the rules of the Am. Ken. Club. Resolved, That the claim of the N.E. Kennel Club be not allowed and that the check for said entries be returned to Mr. Belmont.
Adjourned.

Meeting Executive Committee to be held at Chicago, Ills. Friday Sept. 22, 1893 was called to order.
Upon calling the roll, it was found that no quorum was present, and the meeting was then adjourned to be held at same place Monday Sept. 25th.
At the Adjourned meeting Monday, Sept. 25th 1893, no quorum being present, the meeting was again adjourned subject to the call of the President.
Adjourned Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, held at
44 Broadway, NY October 27 1893

President Belmont presiding
Present
Associate Members   Dr. H.T. Foote
English Setter Club    Mr. Wilson Fiske
Gordon Setter Club    "    Jas. B. Blossom
Great Dane Club of Am.  "    A.H. Heppner
Mascoutah Kennel Club    "    C.F.R. Drake
Natl Beagle Club    "    H.F. Schellhass
Natl Greyhound Club    "    L.C. Whiton
New England Kennel Club    "    Edward Brooks
New Jersey Kennel League    "    E.H. Morris
Pacific Kennel Club    "    Jas. Mortimer
Rochester Kennel Club    "    Warham Whitney
Westminster Kennel Club    "    H.B. Cromwell
City of the Straits Kennel Club    "    W.H. Muir
Pointer Club    "    Geo. Harvis

Minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion approved.
The following credentials were read and on motion accepted.
Rhode Island State Fair Assn – Mr. F.E. Perkins
Saratoga Poultry & Kennel Club – Mr. W.A. Carter
Pointer Club    - Mr. Geo Jarvis
City of the Straits Kennel Club – Mr. W.H. Muir
Duquesne Kennel Club – Mr. J. Moreland Jr.

The Secretary’s report was read and on motion accepted.
The Secretary also read his Supplemental report, which likewise accepted –
The Treasurer’s report was read and on motion accepted, as follows: --

Balance on hand Jany $5004.20
Receipts from all sources to date 4920.95
$9925.15
Disbursement for same period $7654.99
Balance on hand $2270.16

The report of the Studbook Committee was read and on motion the report was
accepted and the recommendation adopted.

A communication from the Committee on Dates, asking for their discharge as
they deemed the purpose for which they were appointed impracticable, was read
and on motion the committee discharged with thanks.
The report of the Committee to investigate the matter of the appointment of Mr.
Robt. Leslie as a delegate to the Am. Kennel Club was read and on motion accepted
and Mr. Leslie accepted as a delegate.
On motion the So. Carolina Poultry & P.S. Assn and the Am. Fox Terrier Club were reinstated as members of the Am. Kennel Club.

The President asked the Committee to take up the matter of the Adoption of the Rules and stated as follows: -- “The change in the Rules has been the matter of considerable newspaper comment. There has been a great deal of criticism about the shortcomings of the AKC and various weak points in regard to its rules, and it was for that reason that I thought it important that the question should come before the Club for final action. It would appear to be a bad precedent to court the votes of clubs not in good standing. A club, should not vote on so important a question, which within the next few weeks will not be a member of the Club.”

After some discussion Dr. Foote moved that these rules be adopted to take effect Jany 1, 1894, instead of July 1, 1893.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Drake moved the rules be copyrighted. Carried ---

The following clubs were elected to membership in the Am. Kennel Club: --

Minneapolis Kennel Club
Northwestern Beagle Club of America
New Orleans Fanciers Club

It was moved and seconded that the application of the Kansas City Exposition & Driving Park Assn, be laid on the table. Carried –

Mr. Mortimer moved the request of the City of the Straits Kennel Club to have the wins at its late show recognized be granted. Motion seconded and Carried.

The appeal of the Mr. G.W. Patterson to have the penalty of disqualification heretofore imposed upon him, removed was on motion granted.

The charges filed by Mr. H.H. Huntington against the N.Y and New England Poultry & Kennel Club were on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

A recommendation from Dr. Perry was received and the following resolution adopted

Resolved: That the Am. Kennel Club shall not consider and render judgment in cases of complaint based on monetary, transactions, unless fraud, deceit, trickery or the like is obvious and that in complaints where legal redress is possible, and there elements do not appear, this club should not act.

The resolutions adopted by Canadian Kennel Club were read and on motion laid on the table.

In the matter of the Illindio Kennel Club, on motion it was resolved that the club be suspended pending full compliance with the rules.

In regard to the applications of the Portland Kennel Club and the Oregon Kennel Club; on motion the application of the Portland Kennel Club was accepted and the Oregon Kennel Club to be notified of the acceptance of the Portland Kennel Club on account of its priority of organization.

The matter of the charges of Mr. J.B. Martin against Geo. Bell was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to return the money’s received for the World’s Fair Bench Show Certificate there being no show held in Chicago.

The applications for the following prefixes were on motion granted.

H.G. Trevor Prefix Meadowmere
J.P. Davenport “ Braska
The request of Mr. L.W. Clute for the ruling in regard to registering the height of a Beagle upon entering a show and the measurement to hold good at time of judging, was on motion laid on the table. The Secretary was instructed to caution the Danbury Kennel Club against further infraction of the rules.

It was moved and seconded that the resolution calling for one meeting in each year be held in Chicago be rescinded. Carried.

Dr. Foote offered the following resolution.

Whereas, Bench Shows are increasing to an extent that renders the control of dates by the Am. Kennel Club impracticable and inadvisable.

Resolved: That the sense of the American Kennel Club is to no longer legislate in the matter of dates, leaving the same wholly in the hands of the clubs & Associations holding bench shows.

Seconded & Carried.

In the matter of the disqualification of the Pug dog registered as “I.C.P.” and shown as “Sprake” Mr. Mortimer moved that the Secretary be instructed to inform Mrs. Davis (the present owner) that she can appeal from the disqualification.

Seconded & Carried.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to investigate and ascertain the nature of the compact between the Trunk Lines and Express Companies concerning the transporting of dogs to bench shows when accompanied by their owners.

Meeting adjourned ---
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Executive Committee of American Kennel Club, held at 44 Broadway Thursday December 21, 1893

In the absence of the President and Vice President Mr. W.C. Reick was selected to preside: --

Present: --

Associate Members

Dr. H.T. Foote
A.C. Wilmerding

Am. Mastiff Club
Geo G. Stephenson

Am Spaniel Club
E.M Oldham

English Setter Club
Wilson Fiske

Gordon Setter of Am.
J.B. Blossom

Natl. Beagle Club
H.F. Schellhass

New Jersey Kennel League
E.H. Morris

Pacific Kennel Club
Jas. Mortimer

Pointer Club of Am.
Geo. Jarvis

So. California Kennel Club
Jas. Watson

St. Bernard Club of Am.
W.C. Reick

St. Louis Kennel Club
J.M. Taylor

Washington City Kennel Club
F.S. Webster

Westminster Kennel Club
H.B. Cromwell

Am. Bull Dog Club
E. Willard Roby

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion approved.
The credentials from following clubs naming delegates to represent them were read and accepted.

Am. Bull Dog Club
E. Willard Roby

Portland Kennel Club
W.L. Washington

Akron Poultry & Kennel Club
H.F. Peck

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted:
The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted and is as follows:

Balance on hand Jany 1, 1893 5004.20
Receipts from all sources to date 6775.85
$11780.05
Disbursements for same period 9211.97
Balance on hand $2568.08

Mr. Schellhass moved that the chair appoint an Auditing Committee, pursuant to the request of the Treasurer, to audit his accounts.
Seconded and Carried.
The Chair appointed Messrs. H.F. Foote, F.S. Webster, and H.F. Schellhass, as such committee.
The report of the committee appointed to draw up suitable resolutions on the resignation of Mr. Jas. L. Anthony was read and on motion unanimously adopted:
The resignation of the South Carolina P. & Pet Stock Assn was read and on motion accepted.
The resignation of the L.I. Live Stock Assn was read and on motion accepted.
In the matter of the Illindio Kennel Club not complying with the rules in sending moneys due to this Club and a claim against them by Mr. F.W. Chapman, it was moved and carried that the Secretary notify the Illindio Kennel Club of the claim of Mr. Chapman against it and that the said club be granted thirty days within which either to disprove the claim, or to pay the same, in default of which the officers of the club shall stand disqualified and the club remain suspended.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to return the application for membership and check for $10.00 to the Kansas City Driving Park & Exposition pending a proper compliance by said Assn with the rules. Seconded and Carried.

The matter of the membership of the Portland Kennel Club and the application of the Oregon Kennel Club, it was moved and carried that the matter be referred to the Advisory Committee.

In the matter of the Pug dog registered as “I.C.P.” and shown as Sprake, the Secretary stating that the dog had recently been properly registered as “Sprake”. It was moved and carried that the Studbook Committee be authorized to recognize all wins of this dog while exhibited under the name of “Sprake: or “I.C.P. (Sprake)” with the exception of that at the Blue Grass Kennel Club and that said winnings be made a part of the new registration under the name of “Sprake”.

The charges preferred by Mr. E.H. Morris against the Danbury Agricultural Society were on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

The Secretary called attention to the matter of a new system and plan for indexing the Studbook, and on motion it was moved and carried that the matter be left to the discretion of the Secretary and that he be limited in expenditure to the sum of $550----

In the matter of the recommendation of the Secretary as to more spacious offices it was moved that the Secretary be instructed to lease the adjoining office until May 1895 – Motion seconded and Carried.

Mr. Blossom moved that the Secretary be empowered to look into the matter of selecting and hiring a Safe Deposit Vault where records of importance might be stored.

Seconded and Carried. ---

The matter of the relations with Canadian Kennel Club was on motion taken from the table and on motion of Maj. Taylor it was carried that the Chair appoint a committee of three, of which the Secretary shall be Chairman.

The Chair appointed Messrs. Vredenburgh, Watson & Taylor.

On motion the Treasurer was given authority to publish his financial statement in the Gazette for Jany 1894 –

Mr. Watson gave notice that he would appeal from the decision rendered in the reinstatement of Mr. G.A. Patterson and would bring the matter before the club at the next meeting.

Mr. Schellhass brought up Rule I of the Bench Show Rules and moved that a committee of one, consisting of the Secretary, be appointed to act upon dates. Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Morris moved that a committee be appointed to _____ with the Express Companies and Trunk Lines in order to bring about some more equitable rate of transportation of dogs for exhibitors ---- Motion seconded and Carried.

In the matter of the misconduct of Dr. L.R. Satler at the Newark Bench Show, Mr. Schellhass moved that it is the sense of this meeting that the New Jersey Kennel
League shall prefer charges against Dr. Satler to the American Kennel Club for investigation.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    Meeting adjourned.
Annual Meeting of the American Kennel Club, held at Madison Square Garden New York, February 22, 1894.

In the absence of the President, Vice Pres Thos. H. Terry presided:

----- Present -----

Associate Members
   Dr. H.T. Foote
   A. Clinton Wilmerding
Am. Mastiff Club
   Geo. G. Stephenson
Am. Pet Dog Club
   T.F. Rackham
City of the Straits Kennel Club
   W. Howis Muir
Collie Club of Am.
   A.H. Heppner
Nati Beagle Club
   H.F. Schellhass
New England Kennel Club
   Edw. Brooks
New Jersey Kennel League
   E.H. Morris
Omaha Kennel Club
   Dr. M.H. Cryer
Pacific Kennel Club
   James Mortimer
So. California Kennel Club
   Jas. Watson
St. Louis Kennel Club
   J.M. Taylor
Washington City Kennel Club
   F.S. Webster
Westminster Kennel Club
   H.B. Cromwell
Columbus Fanciers Club
   T.A. Howard

The minutes of the last annual meeting were read and on motion approved –

The following credentials were read and on motion accepted:

New England Field Trial Club
   Wm. E. Baylies
Columbus Fancier’s Club
   T.A. Howard

The Secretary read the result of the mail vote for election of officers of the
Associate Members as follows: --

President – H.B. Cromwell
Vice-President – Edward Brooks
Secretary – A. Clinton Wilmerding
Delegates – Thos. H. Terry, Dr. H.T. Foote and A. Clinton Wilmerding.

The Secretary read his Annual report which was accepted and placed on file. The
Secretary further reported that he had received formal protest form Mr. Watson
against the decision of the Executive Committee in the case of Shillcock vs.
Patterson, and appealed against the reinstatement of Mr. Patterson.
The report of the Treasurer as published in Jany Gazette was read and on motion
accepted.
The election of Officers being in order Mr. Heppner nominated Mr. August Belmont
as President. On motion the Secretary cast the ballot for Mr. Belmont and he was
declared elected.
Major Taylor nominated Mr. Thos. H. Terry for Vice-President. The Secretary was
instructed to cast the ballot and Mr. Terry was declared elected.
The Secretary reported that the committee appointed to confer with the
representatives from the Canadian Kennel Club had met and conferred with the
Committee from C.K.C. and that the following compact had been duly signed by the
members of the Committees-
Articles of Agreement, entered into by the joint Committee representing the American Kennel Club and the Canadian Kennel Club, subject however, to ratification to both Clubs: ---

(1) It is agreed that all registrations and listings received by the Am. Kennel Club or the Canadian Kennel Club shall be recognized by both Clubs, provided that all dogs owned in the United States shall be registered or listed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, and all dogs owned in the Dominion of Canada shall be registered or listed with the Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club.

(2) All cases of suspension or disqualification by either club shall be recognized by both clubs.

(3) The Bench Show Rules governing exhibitors and the rules governing clubs holding shows shall be the same in both clubs --- The Canadian Kennel Club agrees to appoint a standing committee on rules to correspond with the committee elected each year by the American Kennel Club, and all amendments offered by either club must first be submitted to this joint committee.

(4) All awards made at Bench Shows both in the United States and Canada under Kennel Club rules, shall be recognized in both countries and made a record with the respective clubs.

(5) The Canadian Kennel Club agrees to appoint three delegates to represent it in the American Kennel Club, and the American Kennel Club agrees to receive such delegates. The credentials of said delegates are to be signed by the Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club and are to be accepted by the American Kennel Club in the same manner as are the delegates representing the Associate Members. No Annual dues are to be required.

(6) Each club parties to this agreement reserves the right of absolute jurisdiction in all cases of discipline, brought before it, without appeal. It being understood that all violations of rules upon which charges may be preferred shall be acted upon by the club in whose territory the misconduct occurs. Appeals can only be made to the Club exercising original jurisdiction.

(7) The Canadian Kennel Club agrees to publish the registrations received by it within the covers of the American Kennel Club Studbook, paying to the American Kennel Club, the sum of fifty cents for each registration so forwarded—The Am. Kennel Club agrees to accepted and to publish in its annual Studbook under a department to be known as “Canadian Registration: All such registration must be duly compiled and forwarded with the fee of fifty cents each to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club on or before Jany. 15th of each year. All sales of Studbook made by the Canadian Kennel Club shall be furnished to said Canadian Kennel Club at a discount of fifty per cent.

(8) The awards made at Toronto and Ottawa in the year 1893 are to be recognized by the American Kennel Club.

(9) The Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club must forward to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club within seven days after the closing of each Canadian Show two marked copies of the catalogues of such show, giving the awards made at such shows, and duly certified to by its Secretary.

(10) This agreement, if ratified by the American Kennel Club and the Canadian Kennel Club is to be duly attested by the Secretary of each
club and copies filed with both.

(11) This agreement may be cancelled upon six months notice in writing given by either club to the other.

Representing the Canadian Kennel Club Messrs, T.G. Davey, J.S. Viven, (per T.G. Davey)—Representing the American Kennel Club Messrs. A.P. Vredenburgh and Maj. J.M. Taylor.

On motion the agreement was ratified as read –

Election of Committees

It being necessary to elect two members of the Advisory Committee in the places of Dr. J.T. Perry and W.C. Reick. The nominations contained the names of Dr. Perry, Edw. Brooks, J.M. Taylor, and W.C. Reick. The ballot being taken resulted in the election of Messrs. Edw. Brooks and J.M. Taylor and they were declared elected.

The nominations for Studbook Committee contained the names of the present committee and on motion the Secretary cast the ballot in favor of Messrs. Jas. Watson, Dr. M.H. Cryer, and Wilson Fiske and they were declared elected.

Nominations for the Committee on Field Trials and Coursing, contained the names of Messrs. Webster, Taylor, Baylies & Whiton and on motion the Secretary cast the ballot in favor of said gentlemen and they were declared elected.


The secretary was instructed to cast the ballot in favor of said gentlemen and they were declared elected.

Mr. Watson’s protest against the decision of the Executive Committee in the case of Shillcock vs. Patterson, was on motion laid upon the table.

The meeting adjourned.
Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

In the absence of the President, Vice-President Thos. H. Terry presided –

Associate Members

Dr. H.T. Foote

A. Clinton Wilmerding

Geo. G. Stephenson

T.F. Rackham

W. Howis Muir

J.D. Shotwell

A.H. Heppner

H.F. Schellhass

Edw. Brooks

E.H. Morris

Dr. M.H. Cryer

Jas. Mortimer

W.L. Washington

Jas. Watson

J.M. Taylor

F.S. Webster

H.B. Cromwell

T.A. Howard

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion approved.

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Treasurer was read and is as follows:

Balance on hand Jany 1/1894 2815.82
Receipts from all sources to date 1545.00
Disbursements for same period 924.41
Balance on hand 3436.41

The election of Secretary and Treasurer being in order. Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh was nominated and on motion the Chairman was instructed the ballot in favor of Mr. Vredenburgh and he was declared elected.

The following resignations were on motion accepted:--

Bexar Field Trial Club
Mohawk Valley Poultry & Kennel Club
Virginia Field Sports Association
Keystone Kennel Club
Blue Grass Kennel Club

At this point Mr. Terry resigned the Chair to Maj. J.M. Taylor.

The application of the Philadelphia Kennel Club was read and on motion it was carried that application be accepted subject to a retraction of the letter published by them at the time of their resignation, such retraction to be submitted for approval to either the President or Vice-President of the AKC.
The application of the Brunswick Fur Club was read and on motion they were duly elected as members of the AKC.

Concerning the matter of the delegate Mr. G.E. Cornwall accepted as representing the R.I. Poultry Assn. not now being a member of said Assn. it was moved and carried that the Rhode Island Poultry Assn. has no longer a legally appointed representative in the AKC.

The Secretary reported that he Illindio Kennel Club had paid its arrears of claims and on motion the suspension imposed was withdrawn.

The request of the Portland Kennel Club to have its name changed upon the record of the AKC to the Columbian Kennel Club of Portland, Ore. Was on motion granted.

The charges preferred by the New Jersey Kennel League against Dr. L.R. Sattler for misconduct in connection with dogs and dog shows was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion the following prefixes were granted:

- E. Willard Roby - Prefix, “Robin”
- J.R. Oughton - “Dwight”
- G. Gordon Hammill - “Hill Top”
- C.A. Pratt - “Argyle”
- Jas. L. Little - “Newcastle”
- Lucien Carr Jr. - “Glenwood”

The application of Wm T. Ford for the prefix “Mohawk” was rejected.

The matter of fraudulent entries submitted by A. Russell Crowell was on motion referred to the Studbook Committee.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to notify all clubs in arrears that if dues are not paid within thirty days from such notice, their names will be dropped from the roll.

The matter of changing the name of a dog registered was on motion referred to the Studbook Committee.

Meeting adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club
held at Madison Square Garden, NY – May 3, 1894

Vice-President Thos. H. Terry in the chair.

Present: -- Associate Members Thos. H. Terry and Dr. H.T. Foote
Collie Club of Am. J.D. Shotwell
Mascoutah Kennel Club C.F. Drake
Natl Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
New England Kennel Club Edw. Brooks
New Jersey Kennel League Edwin H. Morris
Pacific Kennel Club James Mortimer
Rochester Kennel Club - Warham Whitney
So. California Kennel Club Jas. Watson
St. Louis Kennel Club J.M. Taylor
Washington City Kennel Club F.S. Webster
Westminster Kennel Club H.B. Cromwell

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion approved.
The following credentials were read and on motion accepted: --
Rhode Island Poultry Assn.: H.S. Babcock
Washington City Kennel Club J. Henry Gulick
The Brunswick Fur Club: N.Q. Pope

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion was accepted and placed
on file.
The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion was accepted and is as follows:

N.Y. May 2, 1894

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan'y. 1, 1894</td>
<td>$2815.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources to date</td>
<td>$3007.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from same period</td>
<td>$3460.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$2362.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Treasurer reported the following clubs still in arrears for dues, final notice having been sent, as directed at the February meeting:
- Minneapolis Kennel Club
- Illindio Kennel Club
- Ohio Field Trial Club
- Akron Poultry & Kennel Club
- Penna State Ag'cl Society
- Burlington Co. Ag'cl Society
- Elmira Kennel Club
- Seattle Kennel Club
- German Mastiff or Great Dane Club
- Central City Kennel Club
- Androscoggin Kennel Club

The report of the Advisory Committee was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The following clubs were admitted to membership.
- Louisville Kennel Club, of Louisville, KY and the Alameda Co. Sportsmen’s Ass’n of Oakland Cal.

The resignations of the Burlington Co. Ag'l Society and the Central City Kennel Club were read, and it was moved and seconded that as these clubs were not in good standing by reason of arrears of dues, they be dropped from the roll.
of membership. Carried.

The request of the Des Moines Poultry and Pet Stock Assn to change its name upon the books of this club to the Des Moines Kennel Club, was on motion granted.

A letter received from the Phila. Kennel Club, was read and on motion the Phila. Kennel Club was admitted to membership.

The Secretary reported charges received from Geo. R. Preston against Edwin H. Morris and on motion the same were referred to the Advisory Committee for immediate action.

A communication from the Pacific Kennel in regard to the expulsion of E.P. Schell from dishonorable conduct in the sale of a dog, was read and on motion the action of the Pacific Kennel Club was endorsed.

Mr. Schellhass moved that Mr. Schell be suspended and that the Secretary notify him that unless he puts in a defense within thirty days, said suspension will merge into disqualification – Motion Seconded & Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh asked for an interpretation of the word “expulsion” as contained in Rule 9. – and after discussion the Chair ruled as follows: -- That under Am. Kennel Club rules, expulsion by clubs as stated in Rule 9 shall mean “under suspension” pending appeal.

Mr. Watson appealed from this decision, but on roll call the vote sustained the chair, with one dissenting vote.

Concerning the complaint filed by
Mr. W.L. Washington against the Akron Poultry and Kennel Club, for nonpayment of prizes at its December Show, it was moved and seconded that the Akron Poultry and Kennel Club be disqualified unless the prizes are paid within thirty days -- Carried.

The following prefixes were on motion granted: ---

“Ponnigoe” -- Richard W. Wainwright
“Pine Crest” -- R.P. Sherman
“Al de Ber” -- B. Alton Smith
“Wilford” -- Wm. T. Ford
“Waterloo” -- Wm. R. King

The prefix “Woodlawn” applied for by G. Wm. Lang was not allowed, for the reason that the prefix is already in use.

A set of rules to govern registrations in the Studbook was submitted, and on motion the Secretary was instructed to have them printed and a copy sent to each delegate for consideration and to be acted upon at the next meeting.

Charges preferred by James Watson against Benjamin Lewis were on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

The following clubs were dropped from the roll, for nonpayment of dues.

Minneapolis Kennel Club
Illindio Kennel Club
Ohio Field Trial Club
Akron Poultry and Kennel Club
Penna State Agl Society
Burlington Co. Agl. Society
Elmira Kennel Club
German Mastiff or Great Dame Club
Central City Kennel Club
Androscoggin Kennel Club
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Exec. Committee of the American Kennel Club, held at
Delmonico’s New York, Sept. 17th 1894
At 8 o’clock
Vice-Pres. T.H. Terry, presiding
Present: -
Associate Members: - T.H. Terry, H.T. Foote and
A.C. Wilmerding
Am. Spaniel Club - E.M. Oldham
Brunswick Fur Club - N.Q. Pope
Gordon Setter Club - Jas. B. Blossom
Mascoutah K. Club - C.F.R. Drake
Natl Beagle Club - H.F. Schellhass
N.E. Kennel Club - Edw. Brooks
New Jersey Kennel League - E.H. Morris
Pacific Kennel Club - Jas. Mortimer
Omaha Kennel Club - Dr. M.H. Cryer
Phila. Kennel Club - F.G. Taylor
So. California Kennel Club - Jas. Watson
St. Louis Kennel Club - J.M. Taylor
Northwestern Beagle Club - F.S. Webster

The minuets of the last meeting were read and on motion approved.
Credentials of the following named delegates were read and on motion accepted
F.G. Taylor, to represent Phila. Kennel Club
Jas. Robinson “ “ Saratoga P & Kennel Club
F.S. Webster “ “ Northwestern Beagle Club
Chas Heath “ “ Pointer Club of Am.

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion was accepted and placed
on file.

The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted and is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan. 1/94</td>
<td>2815.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources to date</td>
<td>4887.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements for same period</td>
<td>6102.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>1600.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The report of the Advisory Committee was read and on motion received and placed on file and is as follows –

Meeting held May 4 1894 Present. Messrs Terry, Brooks & Cromwell

In the matter of Preston vs. Morris the committee having been notified by the parties in interest that he case was in litigation; it was on action ordered that the committee deem it inadvisable, and do hereby decline to act pending the decision of the Courts.

The report of the Studbook Committee was read and on motion accepted placed on file, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

The application of the Pittsburgh Athletic Club for membership was read and on motion it was not accepted.

A communication from the Natl Beagle Club requesting that said club be five a representation upon the Field Trials and Coursing Committee, was read and on motion the request was granted and Mr. H.F. Schellhass appointed as on of the committee.

The request of Messrs. Spratts’ Patent to publish electrotype reductions from pictures published in the Gazette, was on motion granted.
The matter of the Akron Poultry & Kennel Club, which was given thirty days, in which to pay its prizes, was mentioned by the Secretary, and on motion the officers of said club were disqualified.

The matter of the expulsion of Mr. E.P. Schell, from the Pacific Kennel Club was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

The proposed rules for government of registrations in the Studbook were read and on motion adopted.

The request of Mr. E.H. Morris to be discharged from the Committee on Transportation was brought up, and it was moved and carried that the original committee be retained and an additional member be appointed and an additional member be appointed to fill the place of Dr. H.T. Foote, having resigned.

The appeal of T.A. Howard from the decision of the Louisville Kennel Club, was on motion referred, to the Advisory Committee.

The matter of the charges against the Phila Kennel Club, the Secretary stated that he has received a communication from the Secretary of the Phila. Club, stating that the delay in payment of prizes was due to the absence of the Secretary of the Phila K. Club and that all payments had now been made. On motion the explanation was received.

The matter of the letter of T.A. Howard the delegate of the Columbus Fanciers Club, as published in the Turf, Field and Farm Aug. 10, 1894 was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

In the matter of the charges of Martin
against George Bell, who was disqualified on motion the Secretary was directed to prefer charges against Geo. Bell.

Application for the following prefixes were granted: ---

- Woodlawn Park to Woodlawn Park Kennels
- Wawaset to Jas. Ferris Belt
- Banty to E.K. Buttler Jr.
- Eldred to Theo. Sturges and Chas. H. Phelps
- Milton to O.W. Donner

On motion a vote of thanks was extended to Mr. Jas Watson for the presentation of a complete set catalogues of Bench Shows from the year 1877 to date.

Motion was made and carried that the communication of the Canadian Kennel Club, when it arrives, be referred to the committee which had charge of the negotiations originally.

Meeting Adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the American Kennel Club, held at 44 Broadway, NY
Thursday, December 6, 1894
Vice-Pres. Thos. H. Terry, presiding –

Present.  Associate Members ---

Dr. H.T. Foote, Thos. H. Terry and
A. Clinton Wilmerding
Am. Spaniel Club -- E.M. Oldham
Bull Dog Club E. Willard Roby
English Setter Club Wilson Fiske
Mascoutah K.C. C.F.R. Drake
Natl. Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
Northwestern Beagle Club F.S. Webster
Pacific Kennel Club Jas Mortimer
St. Louis Kennel Club J.M. Taylor

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion approved –
The report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted and placed on
file –
The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted and placed on file and
is as follows: --

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jany 1 1894</td>
<td>$2815.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources to date</td>
<td>$5765.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8581.67</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements for same period</td>
<td>$7473.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$1108.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The report of the Advisory Committee was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Studbook Committee was read and on motion approved and placed on file.
The Committee on Transportation made verbal report and stated that there was
a vacancy upon the Committee, and requested that the vacancy be filled. The Chair appointed Mr. Jas Mortimer to fill the vacancy.

The Committee on Rules gave notice that it would offer an amendment to the rules, to be acted upon at the next meeting.

The matter of the violations of the rules by the Danbury Agl Society was taken up and on motion it was carried that the Danbury Agl Society be further continued with a threat of expulsion against any further infraction of the rules and that this club demand the report of wins at their last show.

The resignations of the Rochester Kennel Club and of the New Orleans Fanciers Club were read and on motion accepted.

The following prefixes were on motion granted.

To R. Humphrey Roberts “Doone”
“Chris Kirschler “Eldora”

The Secretary stated that he would publish the Annual Statement of the Am Kennel Club in the January Gazette, and asked the Chairman to appoint the usual Auditing Committee of three.

The Chair appointed Messrs. J.M. Taylor F.S. Webster and H.F. Schellhass

The Secretary asked for authority to drop from the roll all Associate Members who are in arrears for 1894.

On motion granted.

The Secretary asked permission to change location of Club offices, which on motion were granted.

The Secretary stated that some old
accounts as assets, were not collectable and asked permission to strike them from the accounts.

On motion the Secretary was empowered to use his own discretion in the matter.

The matter of the Canadian Kennel Club was discussed and on motion the Canadian Kennel Club was given ninety days in which to act upon the agreement.

The matter of the Boston Terrier was brought up and it was moved & carried that the matter be referred to the Studbook Committee with directions to report at the next meeting, with such recommendations in the premises as it considers for the best interests of the Studbook.

The meeting adjourned.
Annual Meeting of the American Kennel Club, held at Madison Square Garden New York, February 22, 1895

Vice-Pres. Thos. H. Terry, presiding

Present: --

Associate Members - Dr. H.T. Foote
Am. Mastiff Club - Geo. G. Stephenson
Duquesue Kennel Club - John Moorhead, Jr.
English Setter Club Wilson Fiske
Massachusetts Kennel Club of Lynn, Mass - Robt Leslie
Mascoutah Kennel Club C.F.R. Drake
Natl Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
Northwestern Beagle Club F.S. Webster
Omaha Kennel Club Dr. M.H. Cryer
Pacific Kennel Club Jas. Mortimer
Southern California K. Club Jas Watson
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
St. Louis Kennel Club C.A. Pratt
Brunswick Fur Club O.F. Jasliu
Boston Terrier Club L.A. Burrett
Westminster Kennel Club T.H. Terry
Danbury Agricultural Scy G.E. Sears

The minutes of the last annual meeting were read and on motion accepted and placed on file –

Credentials were read of the following named gentlemen to represent the following named clubs: --

C.A. Pratt St. Louis Kennel Club
O.F. Jasliu Brunswick Fur Club
L.A. Burritt Boston Terrier Club
J.M. Taylor Columbus Fanciers Club
Jas. H. Hunter Washington City Kennel Club
Thos. H. Terry Westminster Kennel Club
Geo E. Sears Danbury Agl Society
The annual report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Treasurer was presented and the Secretary stated that the report was published in the Gazette and on motion the report was accepted as printed in the Gazette.

The Secretary read the Certificate of Election of the Associate Members certifying to the following result: --

President – H.B. Cromwell
Vice-President - Edward Brooks
Secretary - A. Clinton Wilmerding
Delegate (1) Dr. H.T. Foote
Delegate (2) H.H. Hummewell Jr.
Delegate (3) A. Clinton Wilmerding

The election of Officers of the Kennel Club was then proceeded with and the result is as follows

President August Belmont
Vice-President Thos. H. Terry

The Secretary stated that there were two vacancies on the Advisory Committee and on motion the Messrs Edw. Brooks and J.M. Taylor were reelected.

The election of the Studbook Committee resulted in the naming of Messrs Jas. Watson, Wilson Fiske and H.F. Schellhass.

The election of Committee n Field Trial and Coursing Meetings resulted as follows: Messrs. J.M. Taylor, L.C. Whiton, F.S. Webster, W.C. Baylies, and H.F. Schellhass.

The election of committee resulted in the reelection of the following named: --
Messrs. Watson, Schellhass, Webster, Foote and Vredenburgh.

At this point Mr. Terry resigned the Chair to Mr. Edw. Brooks.

The matter of an agreement with the Canadian Kennel Club was taken up and a letter from the C.K.C. proposing that the wins and disqualifications by mutually recognized, & was read and on motion the proposition was rejected.

On motion the suspensions and disqualifications imposed by the Canadian Kennel Club be recognized, it was so carried.

The Committee on Rules gave notice of the following proposed amendment; “In the event of any person or club not a member of the American Kennel Club, holding a show at which the entry of any person not eligible to make entries at A.K.C. shows is accepted, or who may be permitted to act as agent for any exhibition, or to show dogs, and officiate in any capacity at the shows, every person officially connected with such show shall be at once suspended by order of the President and deprived of the privileges of the Am. Kennel Club, pending the holding of the next meeting of the Executive or Advisory Committees; at which meeting the committee must either remove the suspension or impose a penalty of disqualification or impose a penalty of disqualification for such period as they may decide upon.

An objection being made, a call for the Ayes and Nays, of those present
being made, resulted in the following.
Nays: -- Messrs. Stephenson, Morris, Mortimer

The Secretary called attention to the proposed amendment Sec. 2, Rule XIII as follows: “The Secretary for every show must forward to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, a duly certified copy of the Judge’s awards within seven days of the closing of each show.”
On motion the amendment was adopted.
The Annual meeting then adjourned.

Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, held at Madison Square Garden, New York, February 22, 1895
Mr. Edward Brooks, presiding

Present:--
Associate Members D.H.T. Foote
Am. Mastiff Club Geo. G. Stephenson
Brunswick Fur Club O.T. Jasliu
Duquesue Kennel Club John Moorhead, Jr.
New England Kennel Club Edw. Brooks
English Setter Club Wilson Fiske
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
Massachusetts Kennel Club Robt Leslie
Northwestern Beagle Club F.S. Webster
Natl. Beagle Club H.T. Schellhass
Pacific Kennel Club Jas. Mortimer
Southern California Kennel Club Jas. Watson
Westminster Kennel Club Thos. H. Terry
St. Louis Kennel Club C.A. Pratt
Omaha Kennel Club M.H. Cryer
Boston Terrier Club L.A. Burritt
The minutes of the last Executive Committee, meeting were read and on motion approved.

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted and is as follows.

New York, Feb 20/1895

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jany 1/95</td>
<td>$2003.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources to date</td>
<td>1518.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3522.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jany 1, to date</td>
<td>774.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2747.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Treasurer also reported the following clubs in arrears
- Rhode Island State Fair Assn
- Rhode Island Poultry Assn
- Great Dane Club of America
- Nat’l Beagle Club
- Georgia P. & P.S. Assn
- Southern California K.C.
- Am. Pet Dog Club
- Natl Greyhound Club
- English Setter Club
- Louisville Kennel Club

The report of the Studbook committee was read and on motion placed on file.
The report of the Auditing Committee was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.
The election of Secretary-Treasurer was made resulting in the reelection of Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh.

On motion the English Bloodhound Club of America and the Wilmington Kennel Club were admitted to active membership.
The resignations of the Saratoga Poultry & Kennel Club and the Western Michigan Kennel Club were read and on motion accepted.

On motion the following prefixes were granted.

- James B. Blossom - “Bedford”
- A.M. Young - Ballywym
- S.J. Bradbury - Drayton

The application of Mr. G.W.H. Ritchie for the prefixes “Mr and Mrs” were on motion refused.

The application for Mr. W.A. Bruette for reinstatement was on motion granted.

The appeal of Thos. Potter for removal of the suspension imposed upon him, on motion the suspension was removed.

In the matter of the request of the Am. Spaniel Club, contained in their communication, it was moved and carried “That it is the same of the American Kennel Club that the ownership of the cup and specials referred to stands vested in the Am. Spaniel Club and that whereas Mr. Bell is not the owner of the cup or specials, under the circumstances it is perfectly proper that the Am. Kennel Club should sanction further competition for it.”

The secretary read an appeal for reinstatement from Mr. Geo Bell which was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion the charges preferred by Messrs. Platt, [B] ras, against the Des Moines Kennel Club was referred to
the Advisory Committee.

The resolutions received from the Pacific Kennel Club were read and on motion referred to the Committee on Rules.

On motion the charges preferred by E.H. Morris against Geo R. Preston were referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion the Secretary was authorized to make the necessary expenditures for the removal of the furniture etc. to 55 Liberty St.

A communication from the Am. Mastiff Club was read and it was moved and carried “That is the sense of the Am. Kennel Club that the dog now in the possession of Martin Fallon is “Beaufort Prince” bred by J.L. Winchell and successfully owned by Chas. P. Fraleigh Herbert Meade – and C.A. Lougest and that said dog be debarred from competition until restored to the possession and ownership of Dr. C.A. Lougest.

On motion the recommendation of the Studbook Committee Dr. W.T.S. Wertz and Dr. A.L. Spranogle were permanently disqualified.

On motion the recommendation in regard to the Boston Terrier Club was adopted.

On motion the matter of licensing such members as the Country Club of Brookline Mass and Hempstead Farm be referred to the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

Meeting adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club
held at 55 Liberty St. New York, Thursday May 9 1895

Vice-President Thos. H. Terry presiding.
Present: --
Associate Members H.H. Hunnewell, Dr. H.T. Foote and
A.C. Wilmerding
Am. Spaniel Club E.M. Oldham
Boston Terrier Club L.A. Burritt
Bull Dog Club of Am. E. Willard Roby
Pointer Club of Am. Geo. Jarvis
Danbury Ag'l Society G.E. Sears
Gordon Setter Club of Am. Jas. B. Blossom
Mascoutah Kennel Club C.F.R. Drake
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
So. California Kennel Club Jas. Watson
Westminster Kennel Club Thos. H. Terry

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion adopted.
Credentials were read of the following named gentlemen to represent the
following named clubs: --
Great Dane Club of Am. Clifford Wood
Pointer Club of Am. Geo. Jarvis
Eng. Bloodhound Club of Am. Dr. Alfred F. Stahl

On motion the credentials of the above named gentlemen were accepted.
The report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted.
The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted and is as follows:

New York, May 8, 1895

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jany 1, 1895</td>
<td>$2003.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources to date</td>
<td>$2820.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$4824.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements Jany 1 to date</td>
<td>$3178.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$1645.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Treasurer reported the following clubs in arrears for annual dues for 1895 and recommended dropping them from membership unless said dues were paid before July 1, 1895: --

- Louisville Kennel Club
- Rhode Island State Fair Assn
- Rhode Island Poultry Assn
- Maryland Kennel Club
- So. California Kennel Club
- Am. Pet Dog Club
- Natl Greyhound Club
- English Setter Club

The report of the Advisory Committee was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Studbook Committee was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Committee on Rules was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.
The application of the Scottish Terrier Club for membership in the Am. Kennel Club was read and on motion accepted and the club admitted to membership.

On motion the request of Elimer Rand Hollander, for the sole use of the prefix “Randolph” was granted.

A communication from the Springside Kennels protesting against the award by the New Eng. Kennel Club to a certain exhibitor for a dog show at the New Eng. Kennel Club Show alleged to be violation of Bench Show rules, the appeal having been made was read and on motion the protest was dismissed.

On motion it was decided that
the resignation of a prefix or an affix on a Kennel name shall govern both without further registration.

On motion the Secretary was directed to notify all Clubs in arrears for dues, that if the dues were not paid before July 1, 1895 they would be dropped from the roll of membership.

The Appeal of Edwin H. Morris from the decision of the Advisory Committee in re Morris –vs- Preston was on motion referred back to the Advisory Committee.

Mr. Terry stated that his attention had been called to a statement made after the Bench Show at Madison Square Garden, NY that the Netherwood Kennels had substituted a dog for a bitch, that had never been entered at the show and requested that the Secretary be directed to investigate the matter, and if any evidence of misconduct, to prefer charges. – Motion made, seconded and carried.

On motion the recommendations contained in report of Studbook Committee were read and on motion Mr. John Jarvis was permanently disqualified.

The question of recognizing the wins of Boston Terriers, prior to the admission of the Boston Terrier Club to the A.K.C. was raised and on motion it was carried that the wins be not recognized.

The recommendation by the Com-
mittee on Rules, as to forming an Investigating Committee for the Pacific Slope was
on motion adopted.

On motion the Secretary was directed to forward a letter of condolence to the
widow of the late E. Bardoe Elliott.

On motion the Secretary was empowered to purchase a rack for the
exchanges of the club, at a cost of six dollars.

Meeting adjourned.

Special Meeting of the American Kennel Club held at its offices, 55 Liberty St. New
York. Sept. 12, 1895
In the absence of the President and Vice-President Mr. H.T. Foote was elected
Chairman.

Present: --

Associate Members H.T. Foote
Am. Spaniel Club E.M. Oldham
Danbury Ag'l Society G.E. Sears
Gordon Setter Setter Jas. B. Blossom
Great Dane Club of Am. Clifford Wood
Natl Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
Northwestern Beagle Club F.S. Webster
Pointer Club of Am. Geo. Jarvis
Southern California Kennel Club Jas. Watson

The Secretary stated the meeting was called for the purpose of taking
action upon certain amendments recommended by the Committee on Rules.

The following amendment was proposed

"The Constitution and the Rules may be revised or amended provided notice
has been given to all members of such proposed revision or amendment, by a two-
thirds vote of the members present at a
meeting of the Association; said notice to be a legal one by its publication in the American Kennel Gazette, previous to the time of meeting."

A roll-call resulted as follows


The Committee on Rules through Mr. Watson then proposed to withdraw all the other proposed amendments until the December meeting and on motion it was carried.

Meeting adjourned.

Regular Quarterly meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club held at 55 Liberty St. New York, Sept 12, 1895

Dr. H.T. Foote, presiding –

Present: --

Associate Members H.T. Foote
Am. Spaniel Club E.M. Oldham
Danbury Ag'l Society G.E. Sears
Gordon Setter Club of Am. Jas. B. Blossom
Great Dane Club of Am. Clifford Wood
Natl Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
Northwestern Beagle Club F.S. Webster
Pointer Club of Am. Geo Jarvis
(after reinstatement) English Setter Club Wilson Fiske

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion approved. Credentials were read from the following named clubs and on motion accepted: --

Southern California Kennel Club  J.H. Keifer
Collie Club of Am.  Jas. Watson

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted and placed
The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted and placed on file and is as follows: --

New York, Sept 11, 1895

Balance on hand Jany 1, 1895 $2003.94
Receipts from all sources to date 4429.10
$6432.04
Disbursements from Jany 1, 1895 to date 4897.96
Balance on hand $1534.08

The report of the Studbook Committee was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The following applications for membership were read and on motion the following clubs were admitted as members: --

Bull Terrier Club of Am.
Milwaukee Kennel Club & Pet Stock Assn
New England Beagle Club

The application of the Binghampton Industrial Assn for membership, was also read and on motion laid on the table until the next meeting.

The following prefixes and kennel names were granted: --

Storm King D. Lincoln Orr
Rookery F.C. Nims
Muckrose W.W. Brown and A.B. Smith
Washington Geo W. Bowen
Lakeside E. Clark and D.A. Teats
Reculver Morton E. Culver
Clover Leaf Enoch Parr
Highland (English Setters) Geo. M. Clemson
Seaforth Robt H. Burrows
Royal W.S.M. Cluitock
Sussex Dr. F.K. Brown
Fleetwood R.L. James

In the matter of the charges against the Western Kennel Club for non-payment of prizes, was on motion laid on the
table until the December meeting.

On motion the English Setter Club was reinstated upon payment of its dues.

On motion the appeal of Geo. Bell for reinstatement from disqualification was taken up, and after discussion a motion for reinstatement was lost.

The appeal of Mr. J.S. Willey for the reinstatement of the dog “Still in the ring” was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion it was carried that all Associate Members in arrears for dues be dropped from the roll.

On motion, all persons disqualified prior to Jany 1st 1890, were reinstated.

On motion, the Secretary was empowered to purchase a suitable seal for the use of the Club.

On motion a vote of thanks was tendered to the to the report, who had presented pictures to this club.

The resolution offered by Mr. Webster in regard to a delegate of the AKC shall not be permitted to hold the position of Kennel Editor to any paper or periodical & was on motion laid on the table.

The recommendations of the Studbook Committee were taken up and on motion Mr. August Berg was disqualified and Mr. Otto Koerber was disqualified until Jany 1, 1896.

Mr. Franklui G. Bixby was suspended.

On motion Messrs Watson and Vredenburgh were appointed a committee to write an open letter for the purpose of refuting the published statements of
Mr. James Taylor of England respecting the American Kennel World.
Meeting adjourned.

Special Meeting of the American Kennel Club held at 55 Liberty St. NY Thursday Dec. 19 1895 –
Present: --  Associate Members                  H.H. Hunnewell and
H.T. Foote
Brunswick Fur Club   B.S. Turpin
Scottish Terrier Club J.L. Little
Southern California K.C. C.D. Bernheimer
Bull Terrier Club   A. Thompson
Am. Mastiff Club    G.G. Stephenson
Boston Terrier Club  L.A. Burritt
Bull Dog Club of Am.  E.W. Roby
Collie Club of Am.    Jas. Watson
Columbus Fanciers Club J.M. Taylor
Gordon Setter Club    J.B. Blossom
Great Dane Club       Clifford Wood
Mascoutah Kennel Club C.F.R. Drake
Nat'l Beagle Club     H.F. Schellhass
New Eng. Kennel Club  Edward Brooks
New Jersey Kennel League  E.H. Morris
Northwestern Beagle Club F.S. Webster
Pacific Kennel Club    Geo Jarvis
Westminster Kennel Club T.H. Terry

The report of the Secretary was read stating that the meeting was called for the purpose of electing a Vice-President to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Mr. T.H. Terry; to act
upon the several amendments that have been proposed to the Constitution and the Bench Show Rules, and for such other business as may be necessitated by any amendments to the Constitution.

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file. Credentials of delegates to represent the following named clubs were read and accepted as follows:

- New England Beagle Club, H.S. Joslin
- R.I. State Fair Assn, Marcel A. Viti
- Scottish Terrier Club, J.L. Little
- Bull Terrier Club, A. Thomson
- Southern California K.C., C.D. Bernheimer
- Brunswick Fur Club, B.S. Turpin

The resignation of Mr. T.H. Terry, as Vice President was read and on motion accepted. Moved and seconded the Mr. Terry continue to preside until his successor is elected. Carried.

On motion a vote of thanks was given to Mr. Terry for his services as Vice-President to the club.

The meeting proceeded to elect a Vice President, and Mr. Mortimer nominated Mr. Edward Brooks.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast the ballot for Mr. Edward Brooks as Vice-President of the Am. Kennel Club.

The Chairman declared Mr. Edw. Brooks elected.

The report of the Committee on Rules was read and on motion accepted and placed on file.

On motion the proposed amendments contained in the report were read and acted upon separately: The
proposed amendment Art VIII was on motion laid on the table.
All the other amendments were read, acted upon and passed.
The proposed rule prohibiting a dog that has been cropped to be shown after
June 30/95 was read and after a lengthily discussion was lost, as the Constitution
provides that a two-thirds vote was necessary to pass the amendment.
The roll call resulted as follows:--
Webster and G. Jarvis Total – 11 -
Nays: J.L. Little, A. Thompson, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. L.A. Burritt, C. Wood,
Edw. Brooks, E.H. Morris, and Jas. Mortimer Total – 8 –
The election of Committee on Field Trials and Coursing Meetings; resulted as
follows: Maj. J.M. Taylor (Chairman), L.C. Whiton, W.C. Baylie, and H.T.
Schellhass
The election of Committee on Rules, resulted in the election of Mr. F.S.
Webster (Chairman), Jas. Watson, H.T. Schellhass, H.T. Foote and A.P.
Vredenburgh.
The election of the Finance Committee resulted in the election of A.C.
Wilmerding (Chairman), J. Blossom and Jas. Mortimer.
The Membership Committee was elected as follows: E.M. Oldham
(Chairman), C.D. Bernheimer, and A.P. Vredenburgh.
Meeting adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the American Kennel Club, held at 55 Liberty St. NY
Thursday Dec. 19 1895
   Vice-Pres. Edward Brooks, presiding

Present:
   Associate Members      H.H. Hunnewell Jr, and
                           H.T. Foote
Brunswick Fur Club      B.S. Turpin
Scottish Terrier Club  J.L. Little
Southern California K.C. C.D. Bernheimer
Am. Mastiff Club       G.G. Stephenson
Am. Spaniel Club       E.M. Oldham
Boston Terrier Club    L.A. Burritt
Bull Dog Club          E.W. Roby
Collie Club            Jas. Watson
Columbus Fanciers Club J.M. Taylor
Gordon Setter Club     J.B. Blossom
Great Dane Club        Clifford Wood
Mascoutah Kennel Club  C.F.R. Drake
Natl Beagle Club       H.F. Schellhass
New Jersey Kennel League E.H. Morris
Northwestern Beagle Club F.S. Webster
Pacific Kennel Club    Jas. Mortimer
Pointer Club           Geo. Jarvis

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion approved.
The report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted and placed on
file.
The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted, placed on file
and is as follows:

            Balance on hand Jan 1/95      $2003.94
         Receipts from all sources to date 6560.05
                                              $8563.99
 Disbursements from Jan 1/95 to date      6703.41
            Balance on hand             $1860.58
The report of the Studbook Committee was read and on motion accepted.

The report of the Committee to prepare an open letter to the “Stockkeeper” of London, in reply to allegations made by Mr James Taylor in said paper, was read and accepted.

The matter of the charges against the Western Kennel Club Co. for non-payment of prizes at its show of 1895 was taken up, and on motion the Club was suspended and its officers and Bench Show Committee disqualified.

The appeal of Franklin G. Bixby from his suspension was on motion referred to the Studbook Committee.

The complaint of the R.I. State Fair Ass’n against E.H. Morris was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

The Secretary called attention to the fact that statements had appeared in “Turf Field and Farm” derogatory to the good standing of one of the delegates and requested that the matter be investigated, and on motion the matter was referred to the Advisory Committee.

The following applications for Kennel Names were granted.

- Hotfoote  E.J. Weiser
- Morey   C.D. Bernheimer
- Riverside  Henry Cannon
- Ridgewood  H.C.T. Martin
- Watrong  A.C. Wilmerding
- Wakefield  D.J. March
- Amfiere  Toel & Brower

On motion the secretary was empowered to place outstanding claims in the hands of a collection agency for collection.
The recommendation that the Am. Kennel Club provide a Champion Class was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

The matter of the charges of L.A. Van Zandt against Andrew Laidlaw was on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

The Secretary read a letter received from E.H. Morris, and on motion the matter was referred to the Advisory Committee.

On motion the New Jersey Kennel League was requested to end another delegate as its present delegate is no longer acceptable.

The Committee on Membership reported favorably on the following applications for membership, which were on motion elected –

- Binghamton Industrial Exposition
- Am. Dachshunde Club

The applications of the Live Stock Society of America and St. Bernard Club of California were on motion referred to the Advisory Committee.

Mr. Webster stated that in explanation of a resolution offered by him at a previous meeting, that he did not in any way wish to curtail the liberties of freedom of the press, but to restrict delegates from attacking the character and motives of the delegates and the work done by them.

Meeting adjourned.
Annual Meeting of the American Kennel Club, held at the rooms of the Metropolitan Kennel Club, No. 63 Madison Avenue, New York City, Thursday, February 20th, 1896.

Vice President, Edward Brooks, Presiding.

Present:
Associate Members:
H.T. Foote
A.C. Wilmerding
W. Whitney
American Scottish Terrier Club, James L. Little
American Spaniel Club, E.M. Oldham
Bloodhound Club, A.F. Stahl
Boston Terrier Club, L.A. Burritt
Collie Club, James Watson
Columbus Fanciers Club, J.M. Taylor
Gordon Setter Club, James B. Blossom
Great Dane Club, C. Wood
Mascoutah Kennel Club, C.F.R. Drake
National Beagle Club, H.F. Schellhass
New England Kennel Club, Edward Brooks
Northwestern Beagle Club, F.S. Webster
Pointer Club, George Jarvis

Rhode Island State Fair Association, M.A. Viti
Wilmington Kennel Club, J.P. Belt

The Minutes of the last Annual Meeting were read, and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The Minutes of the Special Meeting of the American Kennel Club, held on September 12th, 1895, were read, and on motion accepted and placed on file.
The Minutes of the Special Meeting of the American Kennel Club held on December 19th, 1896, were read, and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary then read the certificate of election of officers and delegates of the Associate Members as follows:

Certificate of Election of Officers and Delegates of the Associate Members.

New York, February 6, 1896

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, representing the President of the American Kennel Club, and the President of the Associate Members, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club, for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, for the officers and delegates of the Associate Members to hold office for one year from February 20th, 1896.

We found the total number of Associates entitled to vote to be 125. With the total number of votes cast 74. Votes rejected on account of irregularity 3. Scattering votes 6.

Dr. R. H. Derby received the third highest vote for delegate, but filed a notice that if elected, he could not serve, and we therefore counted the next highest, in stead, as provided for in Article XI of the Constitution.

We do therefore declare the following persons, as having received the greatest number of votes, duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members for the year ending February, 1897, to wit:

President, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
Vice President, G.W. Amory
Secretary, A.C. Wilmerding
Delegate (1) H.T. Foote
Delegate (2) A.C. Wilmerding
Delegate (3) W. Whitney

Signed, C.D. Bernheimer,
Representing the President A.K.C.,
E. Brooks
Vice President Associate Members, A.K.C.

Attest,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary, A.K.C.

Credentials were read of the following named delegates to represent the following named Clubs:
Binghamton Industrial Exposition, A.P. Fish
American Fox Terrier Club, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
Washington City Club, J.H. Gulick
Irish Setter Club, George H. Thomson
Duquesne Kennel Club, J.M. Chaplin
Brunswick Fur Club, O.F. Joslyn
New England Kennel Club, Francis M. Curtis
City of Straits Kennel Club, A.T. Knowlson
Westminster Kennel Club, Elliot Smith
New England Field Trial Club, David E. Loveland
Pacific Fox Terrier Club, C.B. Knocker
St. Bernard Club of America, R.H. Burroughs
New Jersey Kennel League, Frank Link

MR. VREDEURGH. According to the new Constitution, these credentials will have to be accepted by ballot.

A ballot was then taken by the Secretary, and the delegates above named to represent the Clubs above named were declared to be accepted.

The annual report of the Secretary was then read and on motion accepted and placed on file. The same is as follows:
SECRETARY’S ANNUAL REPORT.

To the Officers and Delegates
Of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit my annual report for the year ending to-day.

Notwithstanding the business depression for the past three years, the Kennel Club has been self supporting, has paid its running expenses promptly. When due, and ended the fiscal year with a balance in the bank of $2,233.08, an increase of about $230, over that of the preceding year. In order, however, to accomplish that object, your Secretary found it necessary to reduce the clerical force. Whether it has reduced the service to our patrons or not is a question to be answered by them. The details of the business have been as great as ever, and it has entailed increased labors upon the existing force, but we have managed to cope with the work, although probably not as promptly and satisfactorily as heretofore. The visit of your secretary to your members on the Pacific Coast, is believed to have been of great benefit to the interests of that locality, and the present year ought to show a greater activity in Kennel affairs than heretofore. The amendments to the bench show rule have given the clubs in the far west a much needed relief, and your Secretary believes the legislation was timely and wise. The only question of any considerable importance that has arisen during the past year, was that of cropping. The proposed amendment to prohibit the awarding of prizes to cropped dogs, under certain circumstances, was defeated at our December meeting. This question has been very thoroughly written upon by the public press, and has developed a wide spread interest throughout our country. It will again come before you in the shape of a resolution, the outcome of which is at present an unknown quality. It is to be hoped that whatever the result may be it will be accepted by all concerned. Both sides have the right to express their opinions and votes should be respected by all. The short experience we have had under the amended constitution has been found to work well. It has fulfilled the expectations of your Committee on Rules, and the result is that the newly organized Advisory Committee has acted upon all matters that came under its province, so that to-day only routine business will be submitted to you.

The Club itself has held one regular meeting, and three special meetings within the year. The Executive Committee has held its four regular quarterly
meetings. The Advisory Committee has held three meetings at which twenty-six cases have been disposed of. Our roll of active members is fifty-three, with applications from two now pending. Three resigned, two dropped for non-payment of dues, and one suspended for non-payment of prizes. The Club suspended three, disqualified nine: and reinstated seven persons during the year. Our roll of associate members consists of one hundred and ninety-five. During the year we lost by death, resignations and by being dropped for non-payment of dues, forty-three.

The gross income of the Club was greeted by $119.45 than during the preceding year, and our expenses $821.57 less.

The registrations for Volume XII of the stud book were 94 less than in Volume XI, and out listings were 74 less than during the preceding year.

While 1895 shows a decided improvement over 1894, your Secretary must again urge you to take a greater personal interest in your Club. Its publications belong to you, and certainly you owe it to yourselves to work for your own property, and not only to support it personally, but to use your influence with your friends for their support.

Your Secretary congratulates you on the result of the last year, and feels assured that with your kind assistance, we can make the present year still more successful.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

The Treasurer’s report for the year 1895 was then read and published in the American Kennel Gazette, and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary then read the report of the organization of the Pacific Advisory Committee, and on motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and is as follows:

To the American Kennel Club,
New York.

Gentlemen: -

I have the honor to report the organization of the Pacific Advisory Committee on February 7, 1896, composed of the following delegates.

W.L. Prather, Jr., Almeda County Sportsmen’s Association.
T.J. Wattson, Pacific Kennel Club
H.T. Payne, Southern California Kennel Club
F.W.D. Evelyn, M.D., Pacific Fox Terrier Club
A.T. Regensberger, D.D.S., St. Bernard Club of California

The officers of said Committee are:

F.W.D. Evelyn, Chairman
W.L. Prather, Vice Chairman
H.T. Payne, Secretary

Its place of meetings is at the office of the Secretary, 531 California Street, San Francisco.

The Committee now awaits your commands in any matter which you may see fit to entrust to its consideration.

I am instructed by the Committee to notify you of its organization, and its readiness for the transaction of business.

Very Respectfully,

H.T. Payne,

Sec.

The election of officers being next in order, Mr. Watson nominated Mr. August Belmont as President of the American Kennel Club.

Nominations seconded and unanimously carried.

For Vice President Mr. Schellhass nominated Mr. Edward Brooks, whose nomination was seconded.

On motion the Secretary was directed to case a ballot on behalf of the Club for the election of Mr. Brooks as Vice President.
The Secretary cast the ballot as directed.

STUD BOOK COMMITTEE: Mr. Burritt nominated Mr. James Watson as Chairman.
Nomination duly seconded and carried.

Mr. H.F. Schellhass and Mr. C.B. Knocker were nominated as the other two members of that Committee, which nominations were seconded and carried.

COMMITTEE ON FIELD TRIALS AND COURSING MEETINGS:

Mr. Watson nominated Mr. H.F. Schellhass as Chairman of that Committee.
Under the Constitution the other members of the Field Trial and Coursing Committee are chosen from members of the Field Trial and Coursing Clubs.

COMMITTEE ON CONSTITUTION AND RULES:
Mr. Schellhass nominated Mr. Frederick S. Webster as Chairman.
Nomination seconded and carried.
On motion of Mr. Blossom, Messrs. H.F. Schellhass, James Watson and H.T. Foote, together with the Secretary (already constituting the balance of said Committee) were again elected as such Committee.

FINANCE COMMITTEE:
MR. HUNNEWELL. I move that the Committee stand as at present.
The nomination of the present Committee, consisting of A.C. Wilmerding as Chairman, J.B. Blossom and James Mortimer were seconded and carried.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE:
MAJOR TAYLOR. I move that the Committee stand as at present constituted.
The nomination of the present Committee, consisting of Messrs. E.M. Oldham, as Chairman, and C.D. Bernheimer and A.P. Vredenburg, was seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH. I desire to state that Dr. Wesley Mills has been sent here as a representative of the Canadian Kennel Club, and he would like to speak upon a subject which is familiar to all of you, and I have reported his appointment in my report of the Executive Committee Meeting. If it for you to say whether you will hear him at this meeting, or whether you will hear him during the next meeting.

MR. WILMERDING. I move that the Doctor be heard at this meeting.

DR. MILLS. I must thank you for your courtesy. I have the honor and the responsibility of representing the Canadian Kennel Club with a view of ascertaining your opinions now in regard to the relations between the two Club. I might just in a word remind you of the resemblances and differences between these two Clubs. Your Clubs are made up of Club. Ours is made up of members, and the members, individuals, not clubs, elected an Executive Committee from all parts of the country, which does our business. This Club is, however, like yours, national, truly national. We have out representatives from the Atlantic and Pacific Coasts also.

I have been following the history of both these Clubs now for some eight or ten years, and have been identified personally with the Canadian Kennel Club in its fortunes for about eight years. Formerly there existed complete reciprocity between these two Clubs, that is to say, registrations, wins and disqualifications of each clubs were recognized by the other. For the last two or three years there has been absolutely no reciprocity of any kind, and while there have been communications on this matter between the Clubs, they have really so far come to nothing. I am therefore before you to-day with a view of ascertaining whether you do not think it advisable that this state of things between the two Clubs on this Continent with the same purposes in view should not be altered. That the present state of things is at least unsatisfactory I think may be easily apparent when you consider that we have identically the same rules almost as the American Kennel Club, and that we have for example the same rules for registration. They are quite as rigid as your. It does
seem unfortunate for exhibitors that the wins on one side do not count towards the challenge class across the line, and a win at our largest show at Toronto does not count for the championship here. The worst of all it seems to me is the fact that disqualifications are of no effect. This is not only theoretical, but a practical case has occurred; the individual has been disqualified on our side of the lie and has been in good standing here, and just the reverse.

At this point Mr. August Belmont arrived, and took the Chair.

DR. MILLS. Mr. President, I am privileged to speak to the American Kennel Club, ad I was just explaining to the Club the disadvantages of the existing arrangement between us, and I think that I may appeal to those present who are acquainted with the exhibitors on both side, and also gentlemen who have judged on both sides of the line here, if it is not their experience that a large body of exhibitors were pleased with the old arrangement, and perhaps certainly not quite pleased with the present arrangement, in which there is no agreement whatever in the matter. I am authorized by the Canadian Kennel Club to say that we believe that it would be in the interest of both Clubs if registrations, wins and disqualifications were recognized mutually by both Clubs. I think this is the stand that we would like to see taken.

By request, Mr. Vredenburgh, the Secretary, then explained the nature of the arrangement and agreement between the Canadian and American Kennel Club, formerly existing, and the fact that a joint committee appointed by each of the Clubs had met and that an agreement has been drawn up; that the American Kennel Club at its annual meeting in 1894 had ratified the agreement, but that the Canadian Kennel Club has refused to ratify the agreement.

Mr. Vredenburgh further stated: At least annual meeting the American Kennel Club passed a resolution requesting the Canadian Kennel Club to join with it in recognizing each others disqualifications and suspensions. At a meeting last fall I was advised by the Canadian Kennel Club that they declined to enter into such an agreement, and would wait until something better was offered by the American Kennel Club. Since then I have seen by the papers that the Canadian Kennel Club had passed a resolution by which they recognize the disqualifications of our Clubs.

DR. MILLS. That was recommended to the Executive Committee but not carried.
MR. WOOD. Will the Secretary please inform us for what reasons the compact was broken off in 1892?

MR. VREDENBURGH. The delegates seem to think that the benefits were not mutual; that Canada was receiving greater benefits than the States. That was their opinion at the time they decided to annul the compact. They seemed to think that we held 12 or 15 shows during the year, and that in Canada they held only two or three or four shows as the case might be, and that it was much easier for the Canadians to simply register in the Canadian book, and then come down and go through the whole circuit in the States if they saw fit, and therefore that the American Kennel Club received no benefit whatever from it. As to the two Committees, the agreement was that the Canadian Kennel Club should give up the publishing a stud book; that they would receive all the registrations which might be sent to them in Canada, charging whatever they pleased for it; that they should send these registrations at the end of the year to the American Kennel Club, and they would be published in the American Kennel Club’s stud book at fifty cents, half the usual rate: that the Canadian Kennel Club should be represented in the American Kennel Club by three delegates, and that all matters pertaining to Kennel Club affairs in Canada should be acted upon by the Canadian Kennel Club independent of the American Kennel Club.

MR. BELMONT. It was the general sentiment that there was no necessity for two stud books; that was the pith of the whole matter, that the Canadian interests were not large enough to warrant a stud book, and therefore a mutual arrangement was suggested, which would do away with the necessity of two stud books, all the rest of it being a mere matter of detail. I believe the same difficulty exists to-day, does it not?

DR. MILLS. The Secretary has given a correct, accurate and imperial account of what has transpired, but there was one great difficulty in the Canadian Kennel Club’s accepting that arrangement, and that was that the Canadian Kennel Club could not afford to pay for these registrations. We did not quite see that it should be said to the Canadian Kennel Club that they should not publish a stud book if they saw fit, but that was the real practically impossible to adopt an arrangement like that on account of the expense.
MR. BELMONT. You were to get so much for each registration without any expense for publication at all.

DR. FOOTE. It seems to me that it would be exceedingly advantageous to the two countries to get along with one stud book. I know nothing about the expense of the Canadian Kennel Club. I believe they do not publish a Stud book annually, but that must be to their disadvantage. Our stud book come out annually, which ought to be an advantage to those registering dogs in Canada. The arrangement of taking their registrations at fifty per cent of the cost and supplying them with stud books at fifty per cent of the retail price, I should suppose that would be an actual saving to the Canadian Kennel Club, and it seems to me that we are running right against the same barrier to-day that we broke off in 1892 and 1893, unless we can arrange to publish all registrations in one stud book in the same way.

MAJOR TAYLOR. I was one of the Committee, and it seemed to me at the time that the Canadian representatives agreed that in receiving fifty cents on the dollar, or half of it, and their not being put to the expense of getting out a stud book, that they would have really more cash for their Club on that basis than if they were to receive the full dollar and publish a stub book.

DR. MILLS. That I think does not agree with the facts. In the first place, a large proportion of people do not register. They simply list as they do here for the purpose of showing, and we are not sure of any very large number of registrations at one dollar. The members are allowed two or three registrations, and a very large proportion of them list the rest, and even the members as I say will not register, not speak of outsiders, and outsiders who do not know enough of kennel matters to join a club will probably list, so that the club is not sure of any very large number of registrations at one dollar. I think a club in the position of the Canadian Kennel Club must go slow in the matter of expense and of course this is a prudential consideration, and that is I think the feeling there that they had better be very cautious about matters of expenditure.
MR. BELMONT. Would you mind stating how that stud book was published? Was it published by the Kennel Club with some publishing company, or was it the publication of some periodical?

DR. MILLS. It was a private venture; it was really published by the publisher of the Canadian Kennel Gazette, and I think he rather lost than made money on the publication of the first volume.

MR. BELMONT. Was that a club paper?

DR. MILLS. Yes.

MR. WATSON. How many shows were held in Canada last year and the year before?

DR. MILLS. Two shows were held last year, but there have been as many as five.

MR. SCHELLHASS. If any system of reciprocity would advance the kennel interests of the United States and Canada it would be advantageous to adopt it, notwithstanding the fact that we are forty or sixty strong against two or three clubs there. I do not see why we cannot accomplish all that in another way. Last year we adopted a resolution at the annual meeting that we would recognize the disqualifications of the Canadian Kennel Club, and we suggested to the Canadian Kennel Club that they recognize ours. The Canadian Kennel Club saw fit to reject that. The Canadian Kennel Club could have obtained all this benefit by simply coming in as associate members and separate clubs. The constitution allows the Canadian Kennel Club to become a member of the American Kennel Club just as it allows the Westminster and Boston and California Kennel Clubs to become members. They can come in as associate members. They can derive the same benefit that they seek simply by coming in as our clubs come in. If the Canadian Kennel Club wanted to have an Advisory Committee on the same principle as the Pacific Advisory Committee, that would be a good idea to consider, but I feel they can derive all the benefit they want the same as other clubs do, and have but one stud book, which is better than having two.

MR. WEBTER. I think Dr. Mills has stated an important fact in connection with the matter, and that is that there are few registrations in the Canadian Kennel Club’s stud book, and possibly it may be simply because they have not published
this book. Does the doctor not think that under the proposed plan of registrations in
the American Kennel Club stud book that this listing process would be done away
with? That the members, the registrators, would be glad to see their dogs in the
American Kennel Club list so that they would be accessible to American breeders
and Canadian breeders? And they would be more universally published. The
fundamental principle of a stud book is that you publish one. If you accept a
registration from a man, you must give him something for his money.

DR. MILLS. Replying to the gentlemen, first as to Mr. Webster’s remarks, we
do give a certificate of registration which of course is signed and is therefore official
and authentic, and I think Mr. Schellhass quite misapprehends the nature of our
cub. As I said at the beginning our Club is not composed of clubs at all, but it is
simply composed as one of the clubs is composed here, of individuals. But those
individuals are scattered all over our wide Dominion, and therefore it would not be
the same as another club in joining, because in doing that the Canadian Kennel Club
would cease to be a national club, and whether we are wise in that or not is a matter
of sentiment which cannot be overcome. With regard to this matter of registration,
we do not consider that the most vital matter. We think it of far more importance that
wins and disqualifications should be recognized than registrations. We think it very
hard for example that a dog, say a Canadian dog, winning here at New York, that
that does not count for the challenge class in Canada, and we think that this Club
loses by its members among the exhibitors of this country not having their wins
count at our show, so that if those clubs were strongly averse to this reciprocity in
registrations, I would not be inclined to push that. I think that the old arrangement
was an admirable one, and I would like to see the old arrangement again in force.
But if we could not come to an agreement on quite the old terms, I think certainly
that the matter of disqualifications and wins would be considered by the Canadian
Kennel Club as better than nothing at all, and it seems to me that such would be in
the interest decidedly of both clubs.

MR. WATSON. The views expressed by Mr. Schellhass are exactly mine.
I have not had any conversation with him on the subject, but he has stated exactly
what I would have stated if I had preceded him. Dr. Mills tells us that his club is a
club composed of individuals; The fact is it does not seems as if he could get an
association of clubs up there. As a matter of fact Toronto is the only show that
amounts to anything, and I do not see why the Toronto gentlemen should not come in the same way as our clubs do. It is a fact that people want to see a dog’s pedigree, and they go to the stud book since that original one, and it is not likely that they will ever publish another one, because I understand the club is in arrears. As suggested by Mr. Schellhass, the Canadian Kennel Club could have an Advisory Committee. Let the clubs come in as individual members.

MR. BELMONT. It seems advisable to confine this discussion to some limit, and the question whether it is wise to make an arrangement with the Canadian Kennel Club on the ground that it will be an advantage to breeders in general – of course, it is very easy if you have a reciprocal agreement to recognize what is bad, because whatever is bad you do not want anyway, and you are quite safe to follow them in saying whatever is bad. This is in their disqualifications of any kind, but it is quite another matter when you endorse whatever they say as good, because their methods may not conform to the methods of the American Kennel Club, and unless they are strict about their registrations and about their stud book, and about their shows, and about everything of that sort, it is a serious question whether this club ought to endorse them. It would not endorse everything that the other organization does by the American Kennel Club.

DR. MILLS. The club is recognized as a national club. The Montreal show at which I was president last year was given under Canadian Kennel Club rules, and as I have I think stated, these rules are almost identical with the rules of the American Kennel Club, and the rules registrations are quite as strict if not more so; but I think they are just about the same, and all along that has been the point that the two clubs have been parallel, and why they should have been separated is something I cannot understand. Of course, I hope my friends will consider it a matter of sentiment. We have a nationality, and that we will under no circumstances have ignored. I think any remarks pointing to out being simply an individual club fail to recognize that principle.

MR. BROOKS. Have you any suggestion that you would like to lay before the American Kennel Club, on which we can take action? I do not see that we can arrive at any conclusion just at present.

DR. MILLS. The original arrangement is one that we prefer, but if the American Kennel Club will not recognize that original arrangement, I am authorized – though we would of course much prefer the original – to ask the American Kennel
Club to agree to a mutual recognition of wins and disqualifications. We prefer registrations, wins and disqualifications, but if the club would not accept that, I am authorized to suggest that wins and disqualifications be mutually recognized, and I think it would be apparent that it is a disadvantage to this club if a man disqualified here can flaunt himself at our shows; I think it is a disadvantage to the American Kennel Club as well as to ourselves.

MR. WATSON. I would like to remind Dr. Mills that the National Trotting Association has entire charge of Canada. There is no rival association there, and I believe that the Jockey clubs gave the license to Toronto and Hamilton last year so that I think this feeling of nationality which you speak about is only confined to the dog men, and I think they could very well give it up.

MR. SMITH. I move the whole matter be referred to the Advisory Committee with power.

Motion seconded and carried.

The annual meeting then adjourned.
The Regularly Quarterly Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club was held at the rooms of the Metropolitan Kennel Club No. 63 Madison Avenue on Thursday, February 20th, 1896.

Vice President, Edward Brooks, Presiding.

Present:
Associate Members:

H.T. Foore
A.C. Wilmerding
W. Whitney
American Fox Terrier Club, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Scottish Terrier Club, J.L. Little
American Spaniel Club, E.M. Oldham
Binghamton Industrial Exposition, A.P. Fish
Bloodhound Club, A.F. Stahl
Boston Terrier Club, L.A. Burritt
Bull Terrier Club, A. Thomson
City of Straits Kennel Club, A.T. Knowlson
Collie Club, James Watson
Columbus Fanciers Club, J.M. Taylor
Gordon Setter Club, J.B. Blossom
Great Dane Club of America, C. Wood
Mascoutah Kennel Club, C.F.R. Drake
National Beagle Club, H.F. Schellhass
New England Kennel Club, F. M. Curtis
New England Field Trail Club, D.E. Loveland
New Jersey Kennel League, F. Link
North Western Beagle Club, F.S. Webster
Pointer Club, George Jarvis
Rhode Island State Fair Association, M.A. Viti
St. Bernard Club, R.H. Burroughs
Westminster Kennel Club, Elliot Smith
Irish Setter Club, G.H. Thomson
Pacific Fox Terrier Club, C.B. Knocker

The Minutes of the previous quarterly meeting were then read and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, which was on motion accepted and placed on file, as follows: Page [ 2XX]

SECRETARY’S QUARTERLY REPORT

New York, February 19th, 1896

To the Executive Committee of
The American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I have to report that an application for admission to membership from the Live Stock Society of America, referred to the Advisory Committee for action at its meeting held December 28th, 1895, was by it referred back to this body, for the reason that it was not clear to that Committee, whether said Society conformed to the requirements of the constitution, and was eligible to admission. The approval of the Membership Committee is therefore withheld, pending your decision. I also beg to report an application for admission from the Seattle Kennel Club, which is duly approved by the Membership Committee. An application for registration of the Kennel name “Lewanee” is made by Henry F. Lackman, of Cincinnati, Ohio. As this name does not conflict with any so far registered, I would respectfully recommend that the application be granted. The St. Bernard Club of California, the Pacific Fox Terrier Club, and the Irish Setter Club, were duly admitted to membership by the Advisory Committee under the power given to it by the Constitution. I beg to present the following resolutions offered by Dr. H.T. Foote, and published in the December issue of the Gazette, to wit:

“Whereas, the mutilation of dogs is a recognized cruelty and not necessary of justifiable, except in the cases of diseases, and whereas, the cruelty Animal Laws through out of States make such mutilation a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment and fine, RESOLVE: that all dogs born after December 31 at 1895 must be shown in their natural conditions, and if any way mutilated will be
considered improperly tampered with, and subject to Artile XI of the rules governing Bench Shows.” I quote for your information Article XI above referred to.

“A judge may disqualify a dog which in his opinion has been improperly tampered with, subject to the decision of the veterinary surgeon. Should the judges disqualification not be sustained the class must be re-judged.”

I will submit for your consideration a communication from the Bull Terrier Club of January, 1896, containing resolutions adopted by said Club on January 13th, 1896. In this connection I beg to quote from the American Stockkepper of February 8th, 1896, a statement alleged [to] have been made by Mr. Frank F. Dole, the President of the Bull Terrier Club of America, to wit:

“I was surprised to see the resolution offered in the name of the Bull Terrier Club which appeared in your issue of January 29th. I knew nothing about it, and do not agree with what was done regarding Messrs. Foote and Schellhass. The special meeting was called to consider the offering of special prizes at New York, and I cannot see how any other business could have been brought before the meeting.”

Under date of December 28th, 1895, the Milwaukee Kennel and Pet Stock Association filed a notice with this Club, that at its meeting held December 27th 1895, Mr. F.S. Morrison was duly expelled from membership in that Club.

Under the rules, an expulsion by a Club as stated in Rule IX, shall mean, “under suspension by the American Kennel Club pending Appeal (see action, Pacific Kennel Club vs/ E.P. Schell). Charges of misconduct in connection with dogs have been preferred by Mr. Henry Rieman, Tunis Mills, Md. Against Mr. B. Alton Smith, North Attleboro, Mass. A copy of the specifications was mailed to Mr. Smith February 4th, 1896.

Bills for annual dues were mailed to active members December 1st 1895, and on January 16th 1896, I mailed a second bill marked final to each club that had not paid its dues up to that date. I now have to report the following Clubs as being in arrears for 1896.

American Mastiff Club
American Pet Dog Club
Cleveland Kennel Club
Columbia Kennel Club
Columbus Fanciers Club
English Setter Club
Georgia Poultry and Pet Stock Association
Great Dane Club of America
Massachusetts Kennel Club, Lynn, Mass.
National Greyhound Club
New England Beagle Club
Rhode Island State Fair Association
Southern California Kennel Club
Western Kennel Club

Article X of the Constitution says:
“The annual dues of clubs shall be ten dollars payable on or before January first. Any club failing to pay said dues within the specified time shall forfeit all right to representation in this association, and such club may be suspended or dropped from the roll.”

I am informed that the English Setter Club and the National Greyhound Club are no longer in existence, and the Western Kennel Club Co. being under suspension, I would respectfully recommend that these clubs be dropped from membership at this meeting. I will submit at this meeting correspondence with Mr. J. Herbery Watson, President of the American Coursing Board, and Mr. L.C. Whiton, Delegate from the National Greyhound Club, upon the subject of the title of one of our Committees.

A report of a late meeting of the Pacific Kennel Club in the California Press, states that a communication from this Club to that body was read and on a tie vote the President cast the deciding vote to ignore the communications. The entire correspondences is at your disposal if desired.

I beg to report the reception of protests from the American Fox Terrier Club, and the Pacific Fox Terrier Club, against the adoption of the resolution, to prevent docking of dogs.

I also beg to report a communications from Dr. Wesley Mills, representing the Canadian Kennel Club, asking the privilege of the floor, for the purpose of advocating a reciprocity agreement between the American Kennel Club and the Canadian Kennel Club.
Since writing this report I have received the resignation of the English Setter Club.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenurgh, Secretary

The Treasurer’s quarterly report was also read, and on motion accepted and placed on file, and is as follows:

TREASURER’S REPORT.

New York, February 20, 1896

To the Executive Committee of
The American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1896.

Balance on hand January 1, 1896 $2,233.08
Receipts from all sources to date, 1,301.75
Total $3,534.83
Disbursements from January 1, 1896, to date 748.26
Balance on hand, $2,786.57

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer.

The Minutes of the Advisory Committee under date of January 28th 1896, as published in the American Kennel Gazette were read and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The Minutes of the Advisory Committee under date of February 6th 1896, were also read, and on motion accepted on placed on file, and are as follows: Page [ ]

MEETING OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE.
Held at the office of the American Kennel Club, Thursday, February 6th 1896.

Vice President Edward Brooks in the Chair.

Present: Edward Brooks, E.M. Oldham, J. Watson and A.C. Wilmerding
Absent: August Belmont, H.B. Cromwell, J.M. Taylor and F. S. Webster

The Secretary submitted the following matters to the Committee.

Statements made in the Turf, Field & Farm Re Continuation of Investigation from meeting of December 28th 1895.

WHEREAS, after a most careful and searching investigation by the Committee in this case, and by the oral testimony of Mr. John Ryan, of Hackensack, N.J., the owner of the Dalmatian bitch “Flora” shown by Morris, at the Newburgh show of 1895, we find that the statements appearing in the Turf, Field and Farm of October 11th and 18th and November 1st 1895, were true and correct; that at no time did Morris become the bona fide owner of the bitch “Flora”, and we would therefore recommend that the American Kennel Club, at its meeting to be held February 20th next, take such action in the matter, as it may deem proper.

Westminster Kennel Club Re Misconduct in issuing a circular letter of attack on the W.K.C. and one of its Judges.

WHEREAS Leslie made an unjustifiable attack on one of the official Judges of the Westminster Kennel Club in a signed circular letter sent by him to the pointer breeders and exhibitors; and
WHEREAS he appeals to said pointer exhibitors to withhold their entries from the forthcoming show of the said Westminster Kennel Club, which was intended to exert an injurious effect upon the entries of a show held by a club, a member of the American Kennel Club; it is therefore ORDERED that Robert Leslie be and is hereby suspended for a term of one year from this date. And it is further ORDERED that this
suspension shall remain in force, at the expiration of one year, until the said Leslie shall make a full apology to all parties concerned.

L.A. Van Zandt

Vs

Andrew Laidlaw

ORDERED that as no new evidence appear in Laidlaw’s appeal, this committee declines to re-open the case.

American Kennel Club,

Re Appeal to change date of re-instatement

Vs.

George Bell.

ORDERED that the appeal of Bell be denied.

Pacific Fox Terrier Club,

and

Irish Setter Club.

ORDERED that these applications for admission to membership in the American Kennel Club, being endorsed “approved” by the Committee on Membership, that the Pacific Fox Terrier Club, and the Irish Setter Club, are hereby admitted to membership.

Applications for Registration of Kennel names, prefixes and affixes.

ORDERED That the following applications be and are hereby granted.

James L. Anthony, The Graphic Kennels
C.S. Stuart, The Chicago Black & Tan Terrier Kennel
George Greer, Brookside Kennel

F.A. Borst and
Algonquin Kennels

F.R. Dills

F.E. Miller and W.L. Prather, Jr.         Pastime Cocker Spaniel Kennels

ORDERED that the application for the registration of the American Pet Dog Kennels be refused, on account of its similarity in name to that of the American Pet Dog Club, one of the members of the American Kennel Club.

Ruling by the Committee on the following question: “Can a disqualified person, to be re-instated on and after a specific date, make entries at any show, whose date of closing of entries, occurs prior to the date of said persons re-instatement, notwithstanding the fact that the show takes place, subsequent to said reinstatement?

RESOLVED That as the date of closing of entries of any show is an official part of that show, a person eligible to make entries at that show must be in good standing at the time of the closing of the entries.

Adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

The report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was read as follows: Page [ ]

To the American Kennel Club,
New York.

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, held on February 12th. 1896, at which were present Dr. F.W.D. Evelyn, of the Pacific Fox Terrier Club, W.L. Prather, Jr. of the Alameda County Sportmen’s Association, Dr. A.T. Regensberger,
of the St. Bernard Club of California, and H.T. Payne, of the Southern California
Kennel Club, the enclosed resolutions were unanimously adopted.

It was further ordered that the Secretary immediately transmit a copy of said
resolutions to your honorable body for your action thereon.

Very Respectfully,

H.T. Payne,

Sec.

RESOLUTIONS.
Adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee, Feb. 12, 1896.

WHEREAS, Mr. E.P. Schell was disqualified by the American Kennel Club
nearly two years ago for assisting in the sale of a pointer puppy under false
pedigree, a breed in which he never had any interest, and for which he was
convicted by his own admission; and

WHEREAS, the St. Bernard Club of California, representing the only breed in
which Mr. Schell is in any way interested, has asked for his re-instatement;
therefore, be it

RESOLVED that in the opinion of the Pacific Advisory Committee the
punishment already inflicted has been ample to condone the offense;

RESOLVED that the removal of his disqualification will be for the interest of a
rapidly growing and important fancy, to wit: the St. Bernard.

RESOLVED that the American Kennel Club be and it is hereby requested to
promptly remove the said disqualification from the said E.P. Schell, and restore hi to
all former rights and privileges; and accept for registration and exhibition all dogs of
his breeding.

A true copy,

H.T. Payne,

Secretary.

Pacific Advisory Committee.

DR. FOOTE. I move that the report be accepted and Mr. Schell be re-
instated.

Motion seconded and carried.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file, and is as follows: Page [ ]

STUD BOOK COMMITTEE REPORT.
To the Executive Committee of
The American Kennel Club.
Gentlemen:

Since your last meeting the stud book has had nothing before it outside of routine business. The complete copy of the stud book for 1895 was handed to the printer on January 15th. and good progress has been made towards its publication.

Yours Respectfully,

James Watson,
Hermann F. Schellhass,
Of the Committee.

The applications of the following named Clubs for membership in the American Kennel Club were read, and on motion accepted.
The Live Stock Society of America.
The Seattle Kennel Club.

The registration of the English Setter Club was then read and on motion accepted.

The application of Henry F. Lackman for the Kennel name “Lewanee” was on motion granted.

A communication was read from the Bull Terrier Club in connection with the attitude of Dr. Foote and Mr. Schellhass towards the cropping of dogs, which has been published.

MR. SCHELLHASS. I intended to bring this matter up, not as interesting myself. I do not ask the protection of the American Kennel Club in the matter at all, because I do not consider it necessary, but I look at the matter in this way: This communication I consider was meant to act as an intimidation to the delegates either in discussing this cropping question or as to their votes, and for that reason I felt it my duty to request the American Kennel Club to assert its protection to the delegates, leaving me out. I do not ask any protection. To show how I consider this liable to affect the delegates I want to state what my course has been. As a member...
of the Committee on Rules I was one of the five who voted for the resolution at the last meeting, and I made a few remarks stating why I assumed it beneficial to do away with cropping. Now we have this resolution before us which has been read by the Secretary. I take it that this communication is intended to mean and to be considered by every delegate who has come here to-day that if their vote is unsatisfactory to the Bull Terrier Club that they will be heralded in the press from Boston to the west, and advertised throughout the country because they happen to think differently conscientiously from the Bull Terrier Club. We have in this club men who are of a retiring disposition, and men who do not like newspaper notoriety, and I say that this communication is sent to have that effect upon these delegates, and besides to influence a man's vote. The American Kennel Club requests every man that comes here not only to express his opinions but to vote as his conscience dictates.

MR. HUNNEWELL. I feel equally courageous as Mr. Schellhass in the matter, and I do not need any protection.

MR. BURRITT. Personally I must admit that I consider such a resolution as and been spread broadcast by the Bull Terrier Club not only as unjust, unreasonable, but almost a direct slap at the American Kennel Club, but for the purpose of expediting business I move that this communication be laid upon the table to be taken up and considered later in the day.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read a communication from the Milwaukee Kennel and Pet Stock Association, to the effect that Mr. F.S. Morrison was expelled at a meeting held by that Club on December 27th.

Under the rules and on motion Mr. Morrison was suspended.

On motion the matter of the charges preferred by Henry Rieman against Mr. B. Alton Smith for misconduct in connection with dogs was referred to the Advisory Committee.

The Secretary then read the correspondence with Mr. J.H. Watson, President of the American Coursign Board, and Mr. L.C. Whiton, delegate from the National Greyhound Club, upon the subject of the change of title of the Coursing Committee.

Moved and seconded that the correspondence be placed on file, and that the Committee stand a named.
Carried.

A communication was also read stating that the Omaha Kennel Club had not paid certain prizes at its show held in 1895, and on motion the same was referred to the Advisory Committee.

The Secretary then called attention to the Clubs in arrears for non-payment of dues, and Major Taylor moved that thirty days notice be given to these clubs in arrears that if their dues are not paid in that time they will be dropped from the roll without further action of this Club.

Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH. I will now lay before you the matter referred to this meeting by the Advisory Committee at its last meeting, concerning statements made in the Turf, Field & Farm against Edwin H. Morris. Page [   ]

At this point Mr. Belmont who had left the meeting at the Adjournment of the annual meeting returned an took the Chair.

MR. WATSON. In regard to this matter of charges against Mr. Morris, I desire to state that the show at which this dog was exhibited was not held under the American Kennel Club rules. At the hearing before the Advisory Committee Mr. Morris, when faced by Mr. Ryan, a witness whom we procured, somewhat incriminate himself. He appeared to be mixed up in a good many particulars, but as I said, the show was not held under our rules to begin with. It is a question whether we can go outside of our own membership to punish people for doing anything.

Mr. Morris claims that other people entered dogs in the same way as he is alleged to have done. I do no say that he substantiated that. I do not think he could, for the reason that he did not bring the proper testimony bearing upon it. The testimony in that respect was equivocal as to what people had heard and as to letters they had received, and so forth, and I have drawn up a preamble and resolution, the proposed resolution being that said Morris be notified that it is the opinion of this meeting that he did not exercise due care in this respect, and that he be censured for his action.

Mr. Link, of the New Jersey Kennel League, defended the action of Mr. Morris in entering this dog to be shown under his (Morris') name and said that he was under the same misapprehension, and that had he not been ill he would have gone to Newburgh and shown dogs in the same manner as Mr. Morris did.
MR. WEBSTER. But that is not the issue. Mr. Morris claimed that he owned this dog and Mr. Ryan claimed he did not. That is the issue. Mr. Morris is on trial as claiming the ownership of that dog when in fact he did not own the dog.

After some further discussion Dr. Foote moved that the Secretary be directed to prefer charged and that the matter be again referred to the Advisory Committee, with full power to act.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH. I have a communication here which is not signed, but purports to come from the New Jersey Kennel League concerning Mr. Morris, which is as follows: Page [  

“The President reported that, in accordance with the resolution of the last meeting, he attended the Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club with Mr. Morris, that only one case was heard and that he has looked into the others. In the case of Morris v. Preston, to avoid the consequences of an unlawful act, Preston agreed to pay James Mortimer’s valuation of Morris’ dog, but paid a part only, and failed to make a defense to the action. No technical quibble or lawyer’s letter could change these facts, yet it was decided that Preston had not acted dishonorably.

Mr. Morris contested the case, not for his own good, but in kennel interests generally, after getting the endorsement of the law courts, and yet is no denied justice by the A.K.C. The $10 he deposited has not been returned. Preston’s was.

It is for us to see that if one of our members is treated thus, is denied justice, he shall have fair play otherwise.

Resolved, that this club requests its delegates to present this report at the annual meeting of the A.K.C. If necessary, making it an appeal on behalf of Mr. Morris with an application for a return of the $10 deposited.

That such resolution is not intended to reflect on the advisory committee, but as an intimation that it was misled.”

Mr. Link stated that the communication was passed upon by the members of the New Jersey Kennel League, and Mr. Brooks moved that the Secretary be directed to notify the New Jersey Kennel League that the amount of ten dollars mentioned therein was forfeited under the rules of the American Kennel Club.

The resolution offered by Dr. Foote, and published in the December number of the Gazette, was then read, and discussion invited.
On motion of Mr. Brooks each speaker on his subject was limited to five minutes.

Dr. Foote: In drawing that resolution there was no idea of carrying out the strict provisions as presented. It was an error that the words, “unjustifiable mutilation” were not used instead of “in any way mutilated.” But that can be very readily amended, so that it will not interfere with the trimming of dogs coats or docking. When it comes to the question of docking, I don’t care a straw about it. I would like to continue docking my fox terrier, because docking is not brutal, or if it is, it is too small to be of importance. In regard to the Fox Terrier Club circulars that were sent out I understand the vote was unanimous in favor of docking, but the opinion against cropping was extensive, and my only reason for bring docking into it at all was in order to make a rule which would apply to all dogs, and which would not be special legislation.

Mr. Brooks: He says he wants to make a rule.

Dr. Foote: It is a construction of a rule already existing.

Mr. Watson proposed an amendment to the effect “that all dogs born after June 30\textsuperscript{th}, 1896, must be shown with their ears in their natural condition, and if in any way mutilated they will be considered improperly tampered with, and subject to Article II of the rule governing bench shows.”

Amendment accepted.

Mr. Brooks: I do not intend to say anything for or against this resolution, but I wish to ask the gentlemen what they are going to gain by passing it. If this is a rule that has got to be listened to by our judge, it should be brought up as an amendment to the constitution. In the form of a resolution it would be blown to the winds.

Mr. Hunnewell stated that he has sent out notices to every state in the Union on the subject of docking being continued, and he had received 615 yeas, and 7 nays, (one of which was from Mr. Morris) and in addition to that had received 70 letters, all very strong against changing the custom of docking. That none of them claimed that docking was brutal or cruel.

Mr. Belmont: The real way to do anything of that kind if you want to give it the effect desired, and if you want to take it out of the hands of the judge, you will have to pass a rule prohibiting any dog show form accepting the entry of any dog with cropped ears on and after a certain date.
Mr. Brooks: At the last meeting of this club, when the committee tried to bring up this same subject as a resolution, I ruled it was an amendment, and it was so voted on. I do not see why that ruling should not hold now. Dr. Foote tried to introduce it as a resolution. I rules it was an amendment, and not a resolution and therefore required a two-thirds vote to pass it.

Mr. Belmont suggested that it would be fairer, and a better plan, as certain interest would be affected, to take a ballot vote from all the delegates of the different clubs.

Dr. Foote: That would be a capital plan if they would vote.

After considerable further discussion it appeared to be the consensus of opinion that the resolution if passed would be inoperative, and Dr. Foote withdrew the resolution.

Mr. Schellhass: I move that the matter be referred to the Committee on Rules to frame a rule to be brought up at the next meeting of the American Kennel Club to be acted upon.

At this point a motion by Mr. Loveland to adjourn was lost.

Mr. Schellhass renewed his motion, which was seconded

A called of the roll resulted in the motion being lost by the following vote:

Ayes: Dr. Foote, Collie Club of America, Gordon Setter Club, National Beagle Club, Northwestern Beagle Club, Pointer Club, six.


American Spaniel Club declined to vote.

The meeting then adjourned.
REGULARLY QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD
AT ITS OFFICES, 55 Liberty Street, THURSDAY, MAY 21st, 1896.

-------------o0o-------------

The President and Vice President being absent, Dr. H.T. Foote was elected
as presiding officer.

Present:

Associate Members   Dr. H.T. Foote
   A.C. Wilmerding
   W. Whitney

American Fox Terrier Club, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Pet Dog Club, T.F. Rackman
American Spaniel Club, E.M. Oldham
Boston Terrier Club, J.A. Burritt
Bull Dog Club of America, E. Willard Robey
St. Bernard Club of Cal. George Bargate
Gordon Setter Club, James B. Blossom
Great Dane Club of America Clifford Wood,
National Beagle Club, H.F. Schellhass
New Jersey Kennel League Frank Link
Northwestern Beagle Club, Frederick S. Webster,
Pacific Fox Terrier Club, C. B. Knocker
Pointer Club of America George Jarvis
Collie Club of America, James Watson
Southern Cal. Kennel Club, C.D. Bernheimer
St. Bernard Club of America, R.H. Burrows
Westminster Kennel Club, Elliot Smith
City of the Straits Kennel Club A.H. Heppner

On motion the reading the minutes of the previous meeting was dispensed
with.
The credentials of the following named delegates to represent the following named clubs were read, and on motion the delegates duly elected.

St. Bernard Club of America, George Bargate
American Dachshund Club L.A. Klein
Rhode Island State Fair Association F.E. Perkins
City of the Straits Kennel Club A.H. Heppner

The Secretary read his quarterly report, and on motion the same was accepted and placed on file. It is as follows:


To the Executive Committee of the
American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen: -

I beg to present credentials for delegates filed by the following Clubs:

April 23, 1896, St. Bernard Club of California, appointing Mr. George Bargate of this City.

May 4, 1896, American Dachshund Club, appointing Mr. L.A. Klein, of Canada.

May 14, 1896, Rhode Island State Fair Association, appointing Mr. F.E. Perkins, of Providence, R.I.

May 16, 1896, City of the Straits Kennel Club, appointing Mr. A.H. Heppner, of Brooklyn, N.Y.

May 18, 1896, National Greyhound Club, appointing Mr. Horatio Nelson.

Pursuant to instructions given me at the last meeting, I have dropped from our membership for non-payment of dues, the Columbia Kennel Club, Portland, Oregon; Massachusetts Kennel Club, Lynn, Mass.; and the Western Kennel Club Company, Denver, Colo.

Several matters of considerable importance have been referred by me to the Stud Book Committee for its investigation, which will probably be reported upon at this meeting.

Owing to the diligence and hard work performed by the Advisory Committee, very little business will be submitted by me for your consideration and action at this meeting. Said Advisory Committee has held two meetings since the last meeting at
this Club, to wit, April 11 and May 14, and has cleared off nearly all of the business that has accumulated since our February meeting. The report of both meetings will be submitted at this meeting.

I will submit rules of procedure adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee, and a lengthy communication from that body, and request your action in the premises.

As is customary at this time of the year, I ask that the associate members now in arrears for dues for 1896 be dropped from membership unless said have been paid within thirty days.

I have the pleasure to report the reception by this Club of an “Artist’s Proof” of the Fox Terrier “Lyons Sting” the gift of Mr. Fred Mansell, of London.

Your Secretary assumes all blame for over-looking many violations of our rules by our members, and exhibitors during our Spring circuit of shows, and unless otherwise directed by your body, proposes to send a circular of special instructions to the Bench Show Committee, so that with the beginning of our Autumn shows there will be no excuse for future infractions or our rules. I now give public notice that hereafter, in all cases of the change by Bench Shows of regular classifications after the closing of entries, I will prefer charged against the Club making such illegal change, and will also disqualify every dog winning in such class. Further, the transfer of a dog from one class to another after the opening of a show, being clearly a violation of the rules. I shall promptly disqualify the win of any and every dog so transferred. Another violation of the rules is the failure of challenge class entries to specify a sufficient number of winnings to entitle the entry in that class. With but two exceptions this spring, namely, Boston and San Francisco, the Club have failed to publish the winnings of dogs shown in all of the challenge classes. Such wins will therefore be cancelled, unless exhibitors can prove that the original entry sent by them did specify the necessary winnings. In a number of cases, when Clubs forward to this office the listings received by them, they fail to send the fees to cover such listings, and claim the privilege to apply the date deposit in payment of such fees. This practice is wrong, and must cease, as the rules do not warrant such proceedings. The above notice and warning are given in the hope that the Clubs and their exhibitors will profit by it, so that any action taken by this office against either Club or exhibitors, must be fully accepted as final and deserved.
I beg to submit a communication from the National Greyhound Club, to the effect that a new club has been recently organized by a number of the members of the old club now upon our roll of membership, and I would recommend the acceptance of the new Club as a member in place of the old one.

I have received an application for admission to membership form the M.A.A.C. Rod and Gun Club of Portland Oregon, and the application is in the hands of the Membership Committee, which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

I have received notice of an appeal to arrive against a decision on a protest filed with the Pacific Kennel Club. I notified the parties to forward the appeal, and deposit to this office, and at the same time serve a duplicate copy on the Pacific Advisory Committee. I also instructed said Committee to receive said appeal, investigate the same, and file the result with its recommendation with this office.

In closing I beg to call your attention to an oversight of the delegates at the last Quarterly meeting in neglecting to elect a Secretary-Treasurer of the Club.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary.
The Treasurer read his Report, on and motion the same was accepted and placed on file. The same is as follows:

TREASURER’S REPORT


To the Executive Committee
Of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since Jan. 1, 1896.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1896</td>
<td>$2,233.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources to date</td>
<td>3,619.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,852.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1, 1896 to date</td>
<td>3,229.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$2,622.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer.

The Report of the Advisory Committee was read, as published in the April Gazette, and on motion was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Vredenburgh: In connection with this report I would state that I have a telegram just received from Mr. James L. Little, representative of the Scottish Terrier Club in which he says: “I am unable to leave here. I favor all possible leniency in Bell’s case. Please state that at the meeting.”

Mr. Smith: I move that the several matters covered by the report of the Advisory Committee be taken up separately. I am willing to pass upon all these various cases in bulk.

Motion seconded, and carried.
Mr. Vredenburgh then read the first action taken by the Advisory Committee which was in the matter of the American Kennel Club against George Bell.

Mr. Smith: On what ground was he disqualified after having been reinstated on the first of March? Was there another charge against him?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes. The charge was that on the 20th day of February, eleven days before his reinstatement he entered two dogs at the Detroit Kennel Club Show.

Mr. Smith: I move that the disqualification of Mr. Bell be not approved.

Mr. Blossom: There are other circumstances bearing on that disqualification, such as dates of entries & c. by Mr. Bell.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There was a motion made and carried which reinstated Mr. Bell on March 1st. He was notified of such action. He then appealed to this Club asking it to set forward his reinstatement so that it would take place on the 15th of February, in order to permit him to make entry at the Mascoutah Kennel Club Show at Chicago, whose entries closed on February 24th. On February 6th that appeal was hurried by the Advisory Committee. It was denied and on the morning of February 7th notice was sent to Mr. Bell that his appeal was denied. Notwithstanding the action of the Committee, Mr. Bell made two entries at Detroit, whose entries, and I preferred charged against him. It was clearly a case of contempt. On the 20th day of February at our annual meeting, a definition of the rule was submitted to the Kennel Club by the Advisory Committee in which it stated that as the closing date of entries at every show was a part of an official act of that show, that a man must be in good standing at the time of the closing of the entries in order to be eligible to make entries, and consequently Mr. Bell entered on the 20th day of February, eleven days before he was reinstated, two dogs. He was not in good standing, and the Advisory Committee considered that it was a case of contempt and disqualified him until the first of May, 1897.

Mr. Smith: I have not the slightest interest in Mr. Bell personally, but I am anxious that this Club shall not make any mistakes. You cannot go beyond your rules. As I understand the matter, Mr. Bell has the right to make his entries. The folly of his performance was in not appealing, because he did not appeal. He asked for permission to do a thing which he had a right to so. There is nothing in your rule which excludes a man from filling out a paper and sending it to a Club. The Club
could have refused to receive it if it chose but so long as Rule 24 exists, which provides for disqualifications, penalties, &c., you are limited by that rule. I do not care how often you get together and attempt to reconstruct your rules, you cannot say that “exhibitions” means anything but the presentation of a dog. If it is not the entering, it is not the writing a letter, it is not payment of a five dollar bill or any such thing. The rule reads: “No person under suspension or sentence of disqualification can exhibit or take a prize.” That does not prohibit a man from entering a dog. He had a right to send his entries to every club he desired. When you moved his disqualification as of the 1st day of March, you gave him on that date a clean bill of health, and I repeat my motion to disapprove of the disqualification.

Mr. Schellhass: I think every club has the right to reject any entries if they see fit. If any club has that right, on the same ground the American Kennel Club, which is a superior body to an individual club, must possess that right and on that one general rule I claim that this Club can say to Mr. Bell that he could not enter his dogs for any reason.

Mr. Bernheimer called attention to another rule which he thought had some bearing on the subject and gave it as his opinion that it was contempt to the American Kennel Club and that his disqualification under the circumstances was justified.

Mr. Watson: I am surprised that such a simple matter as this should come up. I have never heard of such a thing as that a person not in good standing can enter at a show. Apart from the fact that he was not in good standing at the time of the show, he would have to be in good standing at the time of the entries closing. Our rule say that no person under suspension shall be eligible, &c., which means that he shall be deprived of the right to enter at shows, and while he is under suspension it is improper for him to have those rights. As to this argument, there is nothing in it. It does not apply to any known sport. There is no known sport in which a person under suspension is the equal of a person not under suspension.

Mr. Burritt: I would like to ask whether our rules say that a person to be eligible to exhibit must not be under sentence of disqualification or suspension at the time of the exhibition or at the time of the making of the entries.

The Secretary read the rule referred to.
Mr. Burritt: That does not say he cannot enter. There is nothing in either of the rules which have been read which prohibits any man from making an entry for exhibition be held at a time when he will not be under disqualification. There is nothing in those rules which prevents a man under disqualification from making an entry to show a dog at an exhibition to be held at a time when that man’s disqualification will have been removed.

Other rule that says another, and they conflict, we are bound to give the man who is suffering from those rules the benefit of any question that may arise. If we have made a mistake, as Mr. Smith has pointed out to us, it does us no harm to recognize our mistake a second time. That is the only point which I wish to make. Mr. Smith holds, and I think under the reading of the rules he is correct, that Mr. Bell knew his disqualification was to be removed at a certain time. There was a show to be held after that time at which he wished to exhibit. The entries closed at a time before his disqualification was moved. There is nothing in the American Kennel Club’s rules so far as they have been produced here to-day, which says that Mr. Bell should not enter his dog for a show to be held at a time after his disqualification has been removed. That is as plain United States as I can give it to you, and we wish to close that hole, to the effect that no man under disqualification can make an entry up to a certain time, we can do so and that without stultifying the action of the Advisory Committee, or bringing any disgrace upon the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Wood: I do not know Mr. Bell at all. I do think, however, that the Club have made a mistake, and I think it best that we correct it and guard against future errors. I don’t think it makes any difference what Mr. Bell thought about his right to enter his dogs. The question of whether he did have the right or not under our rules. The rules have been read. They say he shall not exhibit a dog. A rule of that kind must be strictly construed according to the exact meaning of the English language which is contained in that rule. It is impossible, in my opinion, for the Executive Committee, or for the Advisory Committee, by a formal construction to put something into that rule which it did not contain in the first place. I think we should correct the rule, and in recognizing our mistake we do not go back upon or in anywise cast any reflections upon the Advisory Committee. We go back upon ourselves as much as we do upon that Committee, but right is right.
The motion to disapprove of the Advisory’s Committee’s action was then put, and showing of hands resulted in the motion being lost by a vote of ten to five.

The motion to accept the Advisory’s report as to this matter was then put and was carried by the vote of nine to six.

Mr. Smith: I vote for the disapproval of the Committee’s action and against its acceptance.

Mr. Burritt: The Boston Terrier Club votes against the Committee’s action on the ground that it is not in accordance with the rules of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Wood: The Great Dane Club votes the same way for the same reason.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The next matter considered by the Advisory Committee is the matter of the American Kennel Club against the City of the Straits Kennel Club for accepting entries from a disqualified person. I desire to state in connection with this matter that the City of the Straits Kennel Club wrote a letter to Mr. Bryson, President of the Chicago Club, asking his opinion whether they could accept entries from Mr. George Bell. They also wrote to James Mortimer at New York, asking his opinion; also to Mr. E.M. Oldham. I do not know what answers were made by Messrs. Bryson and Mortimer, but Mr. Oldham told me today that he replied that he had no opinion whatever on the subject, and he would advise them to get the opinion of the American Kennel Club. The fact is that at no time did the American Kennel Club receive any request for an opinion on the subject from the City of the Straits Kennel Club.

Mr. Schellhass: I move that the City of the Straits Kennel Club be suspended for one year.

Mr. Webster: I move a modification of that, that the American Kennel Club’s rules shall be abided by. It seems to me that we ought to go on record as being as lenient as possible, and that the term of suspension be fixed at sixty days.

Mr. Schellhass accepted the amendment.

Capt. Knocke: I don’t think the offense on the part of the Club was as great as that of Mr. Bell. He knew what he was doing, and it was contempt on his part, beyond any doubt, in doing what he did.

Motion as amended seconded and carried.
Moved and seconded that the balance of the matters acted upon by the Advisory Committee on April 11, 1896, be adopted.

Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There was another meeting of the Advisory Committee held on May 14, which I will read:

Meeting of the Advisory Committee held at 55 Liberty Place, New York, May 14, 1896.

Present,

James Watson, H.F. Schellhass,
A.C. Wilmerding, E.M. Oldham,
F.S. Webster.
Absent,

August Belmont, Edward Brooks,
H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.

On motion Mr. F.S. Webster was made Chairman.

The following business was acted upon.

**AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB**

vs.

**BULL TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA**

**ORDERED** That the Bull Terrier Club of America be and is hereby granted fifteen (15) days, within which to comply with the resolution of this committee, adopted at its meeting held April 11, 1896, in default of which said club, and its officers, holding office on Jany. 13, 1896 shall be thereby suspended.

**AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB**

Vs.

**E D W I N   H .   M O R R I S**

**ORDERED** That Morris be and is hereby suspended until Jany. 1, 1897.

**ST. LOUIS KENNEL CLUB**

Re Misconduct in connection with dog shows.

Vs.

**F R E D   P .   K I R B Y**

**ORDERED** That this case be left in abeyance pending further investigation. It is also

**ORDERED** That the award of first prize in Challenge Class for Skye Terriers, at the St. Louis show to the bitch Bessie 35411, be and is hereby cancelled.
H. T. F O O T E
VS. Re Black and Tan Terrier Challenge Class
MOHAWK KENNEL CLUB

ORDERED That the Challenge class made for the Black and Tan Terrier
“Glenwood”, be and is hereby cancelled.

HENRY RIEMAN
vs. Re suspension imposed Apl.

W. S. GIGLOW and B. ALTON SMITH: 11, /96.

ORDERED That the action of the Secretary
removing the suspensions of W. S. Biglow and B. Alton Smith
be and is hereby confirmed.

AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
vs. Re violation of Rule IX
NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB:

ORDERED That the penalty of Ten (10) dollars
be and is hereby imposed, for accepting the entry of a puppy
under six (6) months of age.

Communication from: Re Proceedings at Advisory Committee
American Field: meetings.

ORDERED That the Secretary is hereby au-
thorized to allow access, by the representatives of the
press, to the findings of the Advisory committee.

Appointment of Re Death of Dr. N. Rowe and H. B.
Special Committee: Cromwell.

ORDERED That a committee of three appointed by the chair, be
instructed to report resolutions on the death of Dr. N. Rowe, and Mr. H. B. Cromwell,
and submit same at the meeting of the American Kennel Club to be held May 21/96.
Recommendation by : Re Appropriations for salaries.
Finance Committee:

ORDERED That the recommendations of the Finance Committee be approved.

Kennel Names : Re Application for registration.
and Prefixes : ORDERED That the following applications be

Beaver Brook, to Mr. Tyler Morse,
Hiawatha, to Mr. R. McDonald,
Mount Shasta, to Mr. A. E. Culver,
Oakside, to Mr. H. H. Carlton,

On motion the Secretary was directed to purchase
from Mr. Terry, one large Rug, and one large Table, at the price named, the same to be delivered at this office at Mr. Terry’s expense.

On motion the Secretary was granted five weeks leave of absence, for the purpose of going abroad.

Adjourned.

Attest,
A.P. Vrendenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion of Mr. Hunnewell the report of the Advisory Committee of May 14 was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and, on motion, accepted and placed on file. The same is as follows:

REPORT OF STUDBOOK COMMITTEE
New York, May 21, 1896.

To the Executive Committee
Of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen: --

The Studbook Committee has had two cases under investigation since the last report.

Information was forwarded to the committee that the pedigree of a King Charles Spaniel, registered as “Tootsie” was fraudulent and the parties who had been interested in the sale of the dog W. J. Burkart and J. P. Shea appeared before the Committee and the evidence submitted, was to the effect that Burkart gave a pedigree to Shea to copy. The bitch being one of a number purchased by Burkart and never in Shea’s possession. The only way the latter could get the pedigree was from Burkart, who make no claim that Shea did otherwise than as he was instructed, but avers that there was a mistake made inadvertently.

In the subsequent correspondence which passed between Mr. Nichols (acting as agent for Dr. Croforth) Mr. Nicholas repeatedly refers to the bitch as “Tutti Frutti” and finally in the last letters which he wrote, Burkart, he asks pointedly whether he is right in saying that the bitch is “Tutti Fruittti” and if not, Burkart was requested to at once correct him.

Burkart should by this time have become aware that there was something wrong in the pedigree sent, but he took no step to find out or rectify the error, and it is the opinion of the committee that he should be suspended for a period of six months. The cancellation of the pedigree has also been ordered.

The Committee has gone no further into the case than the question of pedigree and refer the correspondence to the club for further action.

The case of the false pedigree of the pointer bitch Kent’s Maud was again brought before the committee by H.M. Griffin of Fort Dodge. A full statement of the committee’s reasons for taking no action appears in a letter of the committee to Mr. Griffin which is published in the A.K. Gazette for April.

The Committee desired however to call attention to Mr. Bernheimer: I move that this man Burkhardt, referred to in the report of the Stud Book Committee, be suspended for six months for giving a fraudulent pedigree.
Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Bernheimber: I move that the Secretary be instructed to prefer charged against H.M. Griffin for conduct unbecoming of gentleman, and that said charged be referred to the Advisory Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read the following resolutions prepared by a special committee, and on motion the same were adopted and ordered spread upon the Minutes:

WHEREAS it has pleased an all wise Providence to remove from our midst, by death Dr. Nicholas Rowe.

AND WHEREAS, we the members of the American Kennel Club regard him, not only as having been a true and loyal friend, but also as one of the clubs most valued supporters, and especially so at a time when such support was of the greatest assistance to its welfare.

AND WHEREAS we consequently realize the extent of the great loss incurred in his death to us as a staunch supporter and friend, to his family and friends at large, and to the sportsman’s Press of the country in general.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that we tender to his family and The American Field our most sincere sympathy in what we regard as our mutual loss and affliction.

RESOLVED That as a further mark of respect there Resolutions be spread upon the Official minutes of the Club, and copies sent to Mrs. Rowe and the American Field.

WHEREAS, in the death of Mr. H.B. Cromwell which occurred in April 1896, the American Kennel Club has sustained a loss of one of its most valued friends and associate members, and

WHEREAS, for two years he was President of the Associate members and one of the officers of the Club.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the sympathy of the A.K.C. be extended to his family in our mutual moss and affliction, and

RESOLVED that as a further mark of respect there resolutions be spread upon the official minutes of the Club and a copy sent to his family.
The report of the Committee on Membership, approving of the application of the M.A.A.C. Rod and Dub Club of Portland, Oregon, for admission to membership, was read, and the Club unanimously elected.

Mr. Schellhadss: I move that the Chairman cast a ballot for the election of Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh as Secretary and Treasurer of the American Kennel Club.

The Chair cast such ballot as directed, and Mr. Vredenburgh was duly declared elected.

On motion, the application for the prefix “La Belle” was granted.

On motion the application on behalf of the National Greyhound Club to transfer the membership of a former club of that name and to use the name “The National Greyhound Club” was granted.

On motion Mr. Horatio Nelson was elected as a delegate from the National Greyhound Club.

The Secretary called attention to a lengthy communication from the Pacific Advisory Committee, submitting certain rules and regulations to the American Kennel Club, and on motion of Mr. Hunnewell the matter was referred to the Committee on Rules and Constitution.

On motion, a vote of thanks was tendered to Mr. Fred Mansell, for his gift of artist proof of Fox Terrier “Lyons Sting”.

The recommendation of the Secretary that all associate members whose dues for 1896 are not paid within thirty days from this date, be dropped from the roll, was on motion adopted.

Mr. Schellhass submitted the following communication from the New England Beagle Club, which was, on motion, referred to the Committee on Field Trials, and Coursing Meetings.
H.F. Shellhass, Esq.,

My dear Sir: -

As it is absolutely impossible for me to be present at the meeting of the A.K.C. on Thursday I take the liberty of asking you to do me a favor which I assure you will be appreciated. As the delegate from the N.E.B.C. I was instructed to endeavor to have the wins of all dogs, won before the club was a member of the A.K.C., recognized by the A.K.C. I have hoped to be present at the last two meetings to attend to this matter but business has prevented. If you would kindly act in my place in this instance I would consider myself under obligations to you.

Yours very Respy & Truly,

(Signed) H.S. Joslin

Mr. Schellhass: I moved that the resolution, passed at a former meeting of this Club (June 10, 1886) “Resolved, that no member of a club shall officiate at a show as a judge of which he is a member” be rescinded.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Schellhass: I think some action should be taken in the Nicholls Burkhardt matter, as the Committee having it in charge has recommended it. I move that the matter be referred to the Advisory Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion of Mr. Schellhass, a vote of thanks was tendered to the Metropolitan Kennel Club for its courtesy and kindness to the American Kennel Club during the Westminster Kennel Club Show, and for use of its rooms for the annual meeting of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Hunnewell: I move that the Committee on Rules and Constitution be directed to prepare and submit amendments of Rules 9 and 24, referred to here today in the Bell matter so as to cover the rights to make entries by persons not in good standing.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Roby: I have been requested to bring before the Club the question of the advisability of keeping a record of champions. I understand that we have no
record of past champions, and I am informed that it would not be very difficult to file
a list of past champions, and I therefore make a motion that the Secretary be
instructed to keep a list of champions for reference, not to go back prior to January,
1889.

Motion seconded and carried.

At this point Mr. A.H. Heppner arrived, and on being informed of the
suspension of the City of Straits Kennel Club, which he represented, requested that
the matter be reopened in order that he might make an explanation. Moved and
seconded that the matter of the City of the Straits Kennel Club suspension be
opened, so that its delegate can state the facts relative to the matter.

Carried.

Mr. Heppner: I think you very much, gentlemen. My Club instructs me to
apologize for the error that has been committed, and to assure the American Kennel
Club that our Club means to be loyal to it. It was not the intention of our Club means
to be loyal to it. It was not the intension of our Club to do anything against the rules,
but we were unfortunate in selecting as the head of the Committee for our show a
gentleman who had not had any previous experience in matters of that kind, and
while he used bad judgment he did not act in bad faith. The forty members of our
Club are exceedingly sorry for the situation, and considering that by suspending us
you are punishing an entire community for an error committed by one man
unintentionally, I would ask that you re-consider the manner in which you punish the
Club. I mean to plead guilty, and I accept punishment, but I ask you to make the
penalty or punishment such as that the gentleman who committed the error can be
punished, and not the whole Club. You might, for instance, impose upon us a fine.
We have endeavored to build up a successful club, and I ask you, instead of
disqualifying us or suspending us, to give us an opportunity of paying for our error.

Mr. Bernheimer: I move that the City of the Straits Kennel Club forfeit its
deposit of Twenty-five dollars, and, if the same is not paid, said Club to stand
suspended for sixty days.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Edwin H. Morris, who had been present, during the proceedings of the
meeting, requested an opportunity to be heard on an appeal by him from the
sentence of suspension imposed upon by him by the Advisory Committee.
Mr. Oldham: I move that Mr. Morris’ appeal be heard at this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Morris submitted two communications, which he declared to be in the nature of new and additional evidence to that submitted to the Advisory Committee, and made explanations concerning his transactions in showing a bitch at Newburgh.

Mr. Burnitt advised that the matter should be referred back to the Advisory Committee and made a motion to that effect. Mr Morris gave notice that he would decline to again appear before that committee-

Mr. Linck: I move that the suspension be removed from Mr. Morris on the statement and explanations made by him.

Motion seconded and lost.

Mr. Blossom: I move that Mr. Morris’ suspension be removed, and that he be censured for his action in the premises.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Morris thanked the gentlemen for their leniency and the meeting then adjourned.
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES,  
55 Liberty Street, Thursday, September 17, 1896.

In the absence of the President and Vice-President Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., 
was selected to preside.

PRESENT

American Fox Terrier Club,    H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Spaniel Club,    E.M. Oldham,
Associate Members,    Dr. H.T. Foote,
Boston Terrier Club,    L.A. Burritt,
Baltimore Kennel Association,    William P. Riggs,
Collie Club of America,    James Watson
Gordon Setter Club,    James B. Blossom,
National Beagle Club,    H.F. Schellhass,
New Jersey Kennel League,    Frank Linck,
Pacific Kennel Club    James Mortimer,
Pointer Club of America,    George Jarvis,
St. Bernard Club of America,    R.H. Burrows
Westminster Kennel Club,    Elliot Smith.

Credentials of the following named gentlemen to represent the following 
named Clubs were read and the delegates duly elected by ballot:

Poodle Club of America, Henry G. Trever
American Beddington Terrier Club, Thoas Pearsall
Wilmington Kennel Club, Ernest B. Macnair,
Georgia Poultry and Pet Stock Assn., O.H. P. Scott,
Baltimore Kennel Assn., William P. Riggs.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There are certain amendments to the rules proposed by 
the delegate of the Westminster Kennel Club which were submitted to the 
Committee on Constitution and Rules, and that Committee reported and published 
its report in the last copy of the Gazette. In that report it recommends the adoption of 
certain of the amendments proposed by the delegate of the Westminster Kennel
Club, and it is opposed to others. The Committee also recommends which in its opinion are deemed better and wise than those now in force.

Mr. Vredenburgh then read the report of the Committee including the proposed amendments, as published in the Gazette.

Mr. Smith: I move that the report be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Smith: As two of these proposed amendments are at my own suggestion, I take the liberty of addressing you. I have only been a member a short time, and during that time I have found that there was a disposition to adopt the report of the Advisory Committee on questions where penalties had been imposed, not only without much debate, but also without any knowledge on the part of the members present, of how or in what manner that Committee arrived at its conclusions. I want to begin by saying that I have a great respect for the work of the Advisory Committee. It has worked hard and conscientiously. I think it is absurd that we should have an Executive Committee composed of each and every member of the Association, the mere change from the name of the Association to an Executive Committee, the members of which are supposed to pass upon the action of the Advisory Committee, and who cannot do it. They cannot do it intelligently because they have no opportunity to review the evidence. If a man gets up in one of our meetings and asks why Mr. so and so was penalized, he cannot arrive at the truth of the matter in any one of our meetings. We would have to sit here for days, and at the same time the Constitution at present provides that the action of the Advisory Committee shall be subject to the Executive Committee.

Secondly, the right of appeal. I am not now speaking of those cases where a Bench Show Committee imposes a penalty, but of those cases which are initiated by the Advisory Committee, which are referred to it, and acted upon by it, and reported to the Executive Committee, and there is absolutely no right of appeal. We cannot go into the evidence which in some cases takes many days to accumulate. We cannot in an afternoon look into the matter intelligently, so I suggest a committee of say five, which shall be called the Board of Appeals, and allow a person to appeal to it who has been penalized, by the Executive Board, we will say, sitting when they please, and taking in testimony, which is almost always dictated to a stenographer, or at any rate it is available, and they shall look into that, and they can act
intelligently. That is the first and that is the most important amendment that I have suggested. The answer to that is that other association have not adopted similar methods. That is not to me a matter of any consequence. I do not care whether a Jockey Club or any other club of any other city has adopted any other system or not. The question is, what is right to the person who has been penalized by the Advisory Committee. I say give him the right of review. It is true, that he has a right of review at present, but it is a right of review to what? Why, to themselves. That seems to me a most ridiculous proposition. They propose to give him a right to appeal back to themselves. I repeat, that at present a man has a right to appeal to the Association, but it is of no consequence, in my opinion, because we cannot review the testimony. We cannot look into it. We know by heresay that the Advisory Committee have had sufficient evidence before it to penalize the man, but we do not know it directly by looking at the testimony.

The Chair: Then the decision of the Board of Appeals is not final?

Mr. Smith: Yes, the Club has the right to review the action of the Committee. It is final so far as individual is concerned. It is not final so far as the Club is concerned if they choose to review it.

Mr. Watson: How is this Committee on Appeals going to review the testimony?

Mr. Smith: They naturally must consult with the members of the Advisory Committee and ascertain from their records what the testimony was. The testimony must be taken and reduced to writing.

The next matter of importance is the construction of the present Advisory Committee. At present we elect a man who is an excellent field trial man as chairman of the Field Trial Committee. He may be the best man in that capacity and be entirely inappropriate as a member of the Advisory Committee, and the same way with the Finance Committee: with the exception of the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, who is the Chairman of the second most important committee, they may or may not be good men for that purpose. I propose that instead of electing them in that indirect manner we elect, aside from the President and Vice-President, the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee and the Chairman of the Associate Members, and that the other members be elected directly on their merits as
members of the Committee. I do no urge this very positively. I think it is more logical than the present arrangement.

Mr. Watson: I ask for information, if we are going to elect the very best man in the Club for the investigation of testimony and the decision of cases, where are we going to get this Committee on Appeals who are going to review their findings?

Mr. Smith: It may be that you have not the material for two good committees, but I should hate to admit it. I think the material can be found. I would also suggest more frequent meetings of the American Kennel Club than we now have. I move the adoption of the proposed amendment, Sec. 6 of Art. V.

The same is as follows: “Sec. 6. At the annual meeting of the Association of Committee on Appeals, consisting of five delegates, shall be appointed by the President, or, in his absence, by the vice-president. No member of the Executive Committee shall be eligible to the Committee on Appeals. It shall be the duty of the Committee on Appeals to heard and determine all appeals taken from the action of the Executive Committee. It shall make such rules of procedure as it may deem needful, but such rules may at any meeting of the Association be altered or amended by said Association. The action of the Committee on Appeals shall be final, except that the Association may on its own motion review the over-rule its action if it deem best. There shall, however, be not right of appeal to the Association.”

Mr. Watson: I do not think we need any better argument against this than what the delegate has himself said in favor of it. He wants us to elect certain men to act upon this Executive Board, men who are specially competent to consider testimony and decide clearly upon evidence. Before this Executive Board will come all matters of investigation. They would amass all the testimony in the case before the Executive Board. There is very little documentary evidence – and these specially capable gentlemen will have the duty of rendering a decision upon the evidence before them. Then this case may be appealed to this Committee on Appeals, composed of men who are not as capable of rendering a decision in the opinion of the Kennel Club Association, without any knowledge whatever of the testimony, without hearing the evidence, without seeing the witness or understanding how the evidence was brought forward, will have the right to overthrow the decision of the
Executive Committee. I do not think anything can be said in favor of such a motion in view of those facts.

Dr. Foote: I desire to say a word on this subject. This work of the American Kennel Club is largely a work of love. It takes us away from business. It takes us away from other things that would be of more interest to us. This afternoon I had a social engagement that I wanted to keep but I thought this matter important enough to set my engagement aside and come down here. It don’t seem to me that any Executive Board are going to be willing to give up their time, perhaps a whole afternoon, in the consideration of a case, and perhaps owing to the absence of some of the witnesses, the appointment of another afternoon to take further testimony, and then be subject to reversal by the Board of Appeals, and with the possibly of their Association taking it up later on and reversing both decisions in case the two Committees agree. It is asking a great deal of an Advisory Board or an Executive Board, as it is now to be termed, to work with such a prospect in view. It don’t matter whether we have an Executive Board, as it is now to be termed, to work with such a prospect in view. It don’t matter whether we have an Executive Board of seven or two dozen, we ought to be able to find enough gentlemen in this Association to act intelligently upon any case and give a final decision. It is not the same with us as it is in the government courts where judges are paid for the work they perform. We have not the time to waste. The appointment of the Executive Board should include the men thought best to fill the position, and it seems to me that a majority vote of that Board ought to be sufficient to settle any case finally. We have had hours of the present Executive Committee taken up with the reconsideration of cases already heard. At the last meeting one of the acts of the Board was set aside and a disqualification or suspension removed without any evidence being brought before the Executive Committee whatsoever. I am not a member of that Board, so I am not speaking from personal motive, but I can appreciate how I would have felt had I been now proposed I doubt very much whether you would find men who would be willing to serve upon an Executive Board. I never had the pleasure of serving on the Executive Board, and I do not want to serve under this proposed arrangement.

Mr. Schellhass: It seems to me that Mr. Smith is getting the cart before the horse. The way the Advisory Committee is made up at the present time is in my judgment the ideal way of making it up. It was formed exactly on the principle
that the Board of Review of the National Trotting Association is made up. I object to the Appeal Committee as Mr. Smith suggests it. When we elect this Advisory Committee as we do, we known whom we have on the Advisory Committee. When we make up there committees we know that when we elect John Smith, for instance, as Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, we know we are electing him as a member of the Advisory Committee, and when the Advisory Committee is made up in this way we know we are putting on that Committee the very best men we have. We have a large body to act upon these cases. Mr. Smith’s suggestion is that we have a committee on appeals, which shall be made up not only of a smaller number of men, but made up of men not equal to the men on the Advisory Committee.

Mr. Mortimer: I am in favor of the motion for a Board of Appeals, and I think the every strongest argument that I can adduce in favor of the election of that Committee on Appeals is the very act of the Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee disqualified a man for an offense which he never committed and then afterwards passed a rule to cover it.

Mr. Schellhass: I would like to ask what case is referred to by Mr. Mortimer.

Dr. Foote: I can simply say if you will approve of that amendment you are going to block the machinery of the Club.

Mr. Smith: It seems to me that the only objection made to this proposition shows an inclination on the part of the Advisory Committee to retain everything in their own hands. I do not mean that in a disagreeable way.

Mr. Watson: Suppose you retract it then.

Mr. Smith: I have said nothing which calls for a retraction and I decline to retract anything. Dr. Foote’s suggestion is that because he believes that we have the very best Advisory Committee that can be obtained, and that the system is the best, there should be no right of appeal; that they should act in the case and made their complaint, act upon their complaint, penalize a man and leave him without an recourse to anything. He admits that it is impossible to have a review by a Committee at Large, the Executive Committee or the Association at Large which is of any consequence to anybody, and we agree on that point. I say that there is practically no right to appeal at all, and that a man who has been penalized by the Advisory Committee is gone forever, and when they take the further step of allowing
no appeal by the Association at large but only back to themselves, I say it is doing a
great injustice to the public.

The Chair: The fact is there is a right of appeal back to the Association.

Mr. Smith: Yes, and which I say amounts to nothing Mr. Schellhass, in
touching upon this subject say that the best system is the system we have to-day;
that we select the best men for the Advisory Committee. I say that that does not
necessarily follow. I make no reflection upon any individual in the present Advisory
Committee, but I say the theory is wrong, and that a direct election of an Advisory
Committee would be very much better for the Club. Now, if a man has the right of
appeal I should propose that he have one that is of some substance. There men say
they work hard, that they take a great mass of evidence, and digest it, and make the
best report that they know how to make. I believe the do, but in know they have
made mistakes. I have seen enough paper to make it certain from my point of view
that there have been errors, therefore there should be a right of appeal. I propose
that we should have a small body of men whose duty is shall be to go to the
Advisory Committee and ascertain on what they acted. They must review the
testimony that the Advisory Committee has taken. If the testimony has not been
reduced to writing, they must ascertain from their mouths what the testimony was
and acted upon it.

Mr. Burritt: May I ask Mr. Smith if under the changes which he proposes
here the action of this Committee of Appeals will be any more final than the present
action of the Advisory Committee?

Mr. Smith: Surely, if the two Committees agree, it must be final. It cannot
be conceived that the Association is going to overrule those two Committees.

Mr. Burritt: If the Association would overrule one committee if might
overrule two.

Mr. Smith: Just as you like. I will strike out that part of the amendment. But
it seems to me that an important body such as we are ought to have the power to
review the action of our committees.

Mr. Burritt: Have we not that power to-day as the American Kennel Club?

Mr. Smith: Yes, and I propose to preserve that right; a right of appeal to a
committee that will take the trouble to investigate what has been done by the
committee that has disqualified.
Mr. Burritt: In other words, you consider the American Kennel Club as a body to be too large a body or committee in itself to reconsider the present action of the Advisory Committee?

Mr. Smith: I do.

Mr. Burritt: You would have smaller committee reconsider such action before they were taken before the body as a whole?

Mr. Smith: Yes, exactly. By that part of my amendment I simply preserve what we have to-day.

Mr. Burritt: I think this is an important matter and I must confess that my own mind at this moment is not clear enough to let me vote in such a manner that I would feel I should be satisfied with that vote in looking it over later on. I do not think there are enough members of the American Kennel Club present here to-day to voice the opinion of the American Kennel Club on such a change as this, and I would move that this whole matter be laid upon the take, and that it be aired thoroughly and discussed thoroughly before being laid before the members.

Mr. Smith: I accept that with pleasure. It is a question to be talked over and I think that the fact that these proposed amendments have only been published a few days makes it a very good reason why we should lay the matter over.

Dr. Foote objected to the matter being laid over until the annual meeting on the ground of the great volume of business to be transacted at that time, and e was seconded by Mr. Watson.

Mr. Burritt: In looking over these proposed amendments and the report of the Committee on Rules with them, I find several of the amendments approved by the Committee and several of them disapproved. Now, such portions of this report as it is necessary for us to adopt at this meeting, I think we can adopt, if so desired. Such other portions of it as we may desire to lay over for another meeting I think we can lay over, and as I read these, there are parts which in my mind at the present time conflict with other parts. I do not consider myself, speaking for myself, clear headed enough on this question.

Mr. Watson: How long will it take for you to decide it?

Mr. Burritt: That is more than I can answer. I have not studied this thing as much as the Committee on Rules have. I have not had or taken the time to discuss it with myself and examine it. The first thorough reading which they have had from me
has been right here to-day. They may have been sent out, but I tell you I am not in position to vote understandingly on this question and I think there are other gentlemen around this table who are in just the position I am. Not understanding these proposed amendments I should vote against them.

Mr Linck supported the motion to lay over.

Mr. Smith: I would like to withdraw my acceptance of the proposition to lay on the table, and move that the proposed amendment to Art. IV. be adopted, also the recommendations as to the amendments to the Bench Show rules.

Mr. Burritt: My motion was that the whole of Article V be laid over.

Mr. Smith: I move that Article IV of the report on page 126, and the Bench Show rules, as they appear at page 128, be adopted.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Burritt: I offer as an amendment to that motion that we take up Article IV. Amendment accepted, seconded and carried.

Mr. Smith: I move that the Bench Show rules on page 128 be adopted.

Mr. Watson: I move that the Recommendation of the committee on the adoption of Sec. 1 of Art. V be approved.

Seconded.

Dr. Foote: I moved as an amendment that we take up the articles in their proper order.

Mr. Burritt: I move the reading of the Bench Show rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read the proposed amendment to the Bench Show rules.

Mr. Mortimer moved that the amendments to the Bench Show rules be taken up separately.

The first proposed amendment relative to Rule IX was adopted.

The second proposed amendment to Rule XXIV was also adopted.

Mr. Smith: I moved that the third proposed amendment to the Bench Show rules concerning the licensing of Club be laid upon the table.

Motion seconded.

Dr. Foote: I offer as an amendment that it be either carried or voted down.

Motion lost.
Mr. Burritt: I moved that we reject that proposed amendment. I shall vote against it for the reason that there is nothing at the present time in the Constitution or By-laws of the Kennel Club that gives it permission to license any Club to give a show. There is nothing that states what such license fee shall be.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Smith: I moved the adoption of the last proposed amendment to Rule IX.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Smith: I move that the balance of the Committee’s report be laid over until the annual meeting.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Watson: I offer as an amendment to that motion that we proceed to approve of the recommendation of the Committee with regard to Article V, Sec. 1. We have come here for the purpose of taking some action on these proposed amendments, and I think it is only proper to those who have come at a good deal of inconvenience to be given the opportunity to vote upon this question.

Dr. Foote: I second that motion, and in doing so say that I came here at considerable inconvenience to vote upon this very question. There proposed amendments were published two weeks ago. In adopting Article IV you have done away with an Executive Committee, and your Advisory Committee is an Executive Board. There cannot be a meeting of the Executive Committee called as matters now stand.

The vote on the amendment offered by Mr. Watson to now proceed to approve of the recommendation of the Committee with regard to Article V, Sec. 1, was given by a call of the Clubs and resulted as follows:

Ayes: Spaniel Club, Dr. H.D. Foote of Associate Member Collie Club, Gordon Setter Club, National Beagle Club, St. Bernard Club, Baltimore Kennel Association. 7


The Chair: I declare the amendment lost on the ground that it required a two-thirds vote.
Mr. Smith: I move that the whole report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules except as already adopted by us, be laid over until the annual meeting.

Mr. Waton: I move the adoption of Section 6, under the head of Quorum. Amendment not seconded.

The original motion by Mr. Smith that the balance of the report be laid over until the annual meeting was seconded, and Dr. Foote called for a vote by Clubs. A call of the Club resulted in the following votes.


Nays: Spaniel Club, Dr. Foote, Collie Club, National Beagle Club, Baltimore Kennel Association, 5.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There has been an Advisory Committee on the Pacific coast for the better part of a year. There is no provision made for it in the Constitution; simply by standing resolution and the matter was referred at the last meeting to our Advisory Committee, and the Advisory Committee referred the Rules of Procedure to our Committee on Rules to report. There should be a provision in the Constitution for the Advisory Committee on the Pacific coast, and that provision is worded as follows: Article XIII, Sec. 4. There shall be an Advisory Board composed of one representative from each Club, a member of this Association, and located west of 110th degree of West longitude. It shall meet in the City of San Francisco, California, and shall hold meetings whenever deemed necessary. Its duties shall be advisory and to attend to all matters referred to it by this Association, or its Executive Board, and it shall report the proceedings at each of its meetings to this Association. It shall act under a Code of Rules approved by this Association or its Executive Board."

Mr. Burritt: I move its adoption.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, held at its offices No. 55 Liberty Street, Thursday, September 17, 1896.

PRESENT same as at Special Meeting,

Mr. Hunnewell continuing in the Chair

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with.

On motion the Secretary’s report was accepted as printed.

On motion, the Treasurer’s report was accepted as printed.

On motion of Mr. Burritt the report of the Advisory Committee was accepted as printed.

On motion, the application of the San Joaquin Valley Agricultural Association of Stockton, California, for membership, was accepted.


The following petition was received from Irish Terrier owners:


A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq., Sec. American Kennel Club,

Dear Sir:--

The undersigned, being owners, breeders and exhibitors of Irish Terriers, believe that the time is now ripe for some concerted action to be taken with a view to the abolishment of Cropping so far as this breed is concerned. No cropped Irish Terrier born after a certain date can be exhibited in Great Britain, and the breed has arrived at that state of development in America that if we are to have the natural ear – and it is bound to come sooner or later – the time is now ripe to take such steps as will serve to encourage breeding at once for natural ears. With cropping allowed the ear does not receive that decided attention it would if an anti-cropping rule was in force, as the scissors are depended upon the remedy an defect of carriage. More value than ever is now being attached to good ears, and a marked improvement is visible. A very strong feeling has grown up against cropping which was done very extensively in former years. Good ears must now be bred for and breeders will have to produce dogs that do not require cropping. Acting on the
advice of the Irish Terrier Club, the English Kennel Club has passed a rule that no cropped Irish Terriers, born since December, 1, 1889, can compete at any shows under their rules.

It is the belief of the Irish Terrier owners that the American Kennel Club has power when petitioned by those directly interested to make a ruling that will debar cropped dogs born after a certain date from competing at shows held under its rules. There is no specialty club for the breed, so it was thought best to issue circulars, of which the following is a copy, to all known Irish Terrier owners:

“A number of Irish Terrier breeders having expressed the wish that cropping in that breed should be abolished, it is proposed to petition the American Kennel Club to make such ruling at their next meeting, September 17, as will debar all cropped Irish Terriers, whelped after January 1, 1897, from competing at shows held under A.K.C. rules. If you are in favor of this please sign your name and return to American Stock-keeper, Boston, Mass., before September 1.

Signed

The vote in favor is practically unanimous; all the prominent breeders are included and only two dissenting votes were received. A number of circulars were issued, but as this is intended to represent only the desire of Irish Terrier owners, signatures representing other breeds are not included.

It is entirely representative of the breed and as such may be taken by the American Kennel Club as an expression of their desire that the Club takes such action as prayed for, and believe that the Club would be entirely justified in doing so.

We hereby file with this memorial the original votes with the signatures of names found below.

Respectfully submitted,
Oliver Ames, Bristol Kennels,
Oscar N. Donner, Milton Kennels,
A. Donner,
Samuel D. Parker (Silver Brook Kennels),
William L. Beadleston,
G. N. Weld (Huguenot Kennels)
W. H. Drummond (Francis Kinkora Kennels),
Marcus Bruckheimer,
Toon and Thomas,
George S. Thomas,
N. Palmer Smith,
James Carney,
Bryan Cooney,
James G. Greene,
Henry T. Garrett (Chestnut Hill Ken.)
Malbin G. Richardson
John Herlihy
Sam. A. Fletcher
Geo. A. Fletcher
G. Gordon Hammill
Hilltop Kennel
Dr. J. S. Miln
F. H. F. Mercer
James Lindsay
Edward Kelley (Clovernook Kennels)
Miss Fanny Brooks?
H. J. Martin (Ridgewood Kennels)
Lawrence Timson

Dr. Foote moved that the request be laid upon the table.

Mr. Burritt moved as an amendment that the Secretary be instructed to advise
the petitioners that such action as they request is outside of the province of the
American Kennel Club.

Mr. Blossom moved as an amendment that the American Kennel Club has
power in the premises and that the request be granted.

Mr. Watson moved as an amendment that the Secretary be directed to advise
the petitioners that their proper procedure is to form a Club and adopt a standard.

The previous motions and amendments in regard to this matter being
withdrawn, Mr. Watson’s motion was seconded and carried.

The matter of the appeals of Frank F. Dole and John Moorhead Jr., were
brought up and the correspondence in the matter read.
Mr. Oldham moved that the appeal be granted and Mr. Dole be reinstated immediately.

Motion seconded by Dr. Foote.

Mr. Mortimer: I would couple with that motion the name of Mr. John Moorehead.

Mr. Watson moved as an amendment that the matter be referred to an Advisory Committee.

Mr. Oldham withdrew his motion

Dr. Foote moved that Mr. Moorehead in consideration of having severed his connection with the Bull Terrier Club be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Watson moved that Mr. Dole's appeal be referred to the Advisory Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

Secretary Vredenburgh submitted a communication from Mr. F. C. Nims of Painesville, Ohio, referred to in his report, and asked instructions from the Club.

Mr. Mortimer moved that the record be changed.

Motion seconded.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary be directed to notify the Columbus Fancier's Club that this Club would make the change suggested within reasonable time to received a reply from the Columbus Club.

Carried.

On motion, a vote of thanks was tendered to Mr. Mansell for his present to the Club of a photo-gravure of the Fox Terrier “Venio”.

Dr. Foote: I now move that the report of the Advisory Committee be acted upon as a whole, and that the same be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Mortimer said that the Advisory Committee in declaring the forfeiture of the deposit of the PKC was clearly its duty under the rules but that on account of the sickness of the Secretary of the Pacific Kennel Club and the consequent delay in attending to his duties he moved that the fine of twenty-five dollars inflicted upon the Pacific Kennel Club be refunded.

Motion seconded and carried.
The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES,
NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, MONDAY, DECEMBER 7TH, 1896.

Vice President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present:
Associate Member, Dr. H.T. Foote
American Bedlington Terrier Club, Thomas Pearsall
Boston Terrier Club, L. A. Burritt
Gordon Setter Club of America, James B. Blossom
Irish Setter Club G. H. Thomson
Mascoutah Kennel Club C. F. R. Drake
National Beagle Club H. F. Schellhass
National Greyhound Club Horatio Nelson
New England Beagle Club H. S. Joslin
Pacific Kennel Club James Mortimer
Pacific Fox Terrier Club C. B. Knocker
Pointer Club of America George Jarvis
Poodle Club of America Henry G. Trevor
Southern California Club C. D. Bernheimer
St. Bernard Club of California George Bargate

No business coming before the meeting, on motion the same was adjourned.

Vice President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present:

Associate Members, Dr. H.T. Foote
                                A. C. Wilmerding
American Bedlington Terrier Club, Thomas Pearsall
Boston Terrier Club, L. A. Burritt
Gordon Setter Club of America, James B. Blossom
Irish Setter Club G. H. Thomson
Mascoutah Kennel Club C. F. R. Drake
National Beagle Club H. F. Schellhass
National Greyhound Club Horatio Nelson
New England Beagle Club H. S. Joslin
Pacific Kennel Club James Mortimer
Pacific Fox Terrier Club C. B. Knocker
Pointer Club of America George Jarvis
Poodle Club of America Henry G. Trevor
Southern California Club C. D. Bernheimer
St. Bernard Club of California George Bargate
Metropolitan Kennel Club G. M. Carnochan

On motion of Mr. Burritt the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with.

The credentials of D.r W. E. Johnston as a delegate to represent the Central Beagle Club were read, and he was duly elected such delegate.

Also the credentials of G. M. Carnochan, Esq., as a delegate to represent the Metropolitan Kennel Club, were read, and he was duly elected such delegate.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, and on motion the same was accepted and placed on file. It is as follows:
New York, December 5, 1896.

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

Since our regular meeting of September 17th last the Advisory Committee has admitted the Metropolitan Kennel Club, and the Butterfly Bench Show Association to active membership, as will be set forth in its report to this body at this meeting. Subsequent to that meeting, I have received an application for admission to membership from the California State Poultry and Kennel Club, which has been duly approved by the Committee on Membership, and will be submitted at this meeting for your action. With the above named application was received claim for dates for a show to be held in Sacramento, California, on January 9th to 12th, 1897, with the required deposit of twenty-five dollars. Said claim has been duly published in the “Fixtures” in the November Gazette. I find that January 19th, 1897, will fall on Sunday, and it will be advisable to obtain the sense of this meeting on the subject of an A.K.C. show being held on that day of the week. There is no prohibiting it, but as a precedent let me direct your attention to action taken by this club September 17th, 1889, when the application of the Brooklyn Kennel Club and Pet Stock Association was rejected. Said club had advertised to hold a show, one of the days of its continuance falling on Sunday, and the unanimous sense of that meeting was that the American Kennel Club would not permit of the holding of a show under its rules upon the Sabbath Day. There is no reason why the club should not be admitted to membership, but there may be a reason why the American Kennel Club should decline to recognize a show held as proposed.

I beg to submit the resignation of the Rhode Island State Fair Association. This club is in good standing at this date, and its resignation can be accepted.

Credentials are filed from the Central Beagle Club, appointing Dr. W. E. Johnston, of Etna, Pa., as its delegate.

I submit applications for the registration of the following kennel names:

Nov. 4, 1896, Belleplain, by Dr. J. T. Kent.

Nov. 24, 1896, THornhill, by Messrs, C. M. Delfridge and Percy Ward. As these names do not conflict with any so far registered, I would respectfully recommend that they be granted.
I beg to report that a protest was made at the late Stockton Show, a copy of which was duly filed at this office. The Show Committee heard and investigated the cause and refused to sustain the protest, and promptly filed a transcript of the minutes of their proceedings with this office. No appeal has yet been taken.

At the late Mineola show, I find upon examination of the Catalogue, that in Class 42, Irish Setters, No. 92 Kenmore, Jr. and No. 95 Joe, are both entered as having won first prize in the puppy class at the New York Show of 1896. At said show there was one absolute winner of first prize under the name of Kenmore, Jr., owned by the Albany Kennel Club, T. J. Farley, Manager, and there was no entry in the puppy class at that show of any dog by the name of Joe. I am of the opinion that a fraud has been practiced in this matter, and would recommend that the matter be investigated by a special committee, and if found to be of a fraudulent character, that charges be preferred against the guilty party and referred to the Advisory Committee.

I beg to report the following:

Disqualified for violation of rules:

1. Rhode Island State Fair Association, at Providence, R. I., Fox Terrier, Hill Hurst Pearl for being transferred against the rules.
3. Milwaukee Kennel and Pet Stock Association, Beagle Bitch Kitty Clover, for being entered in open class after having qualified for and winning in a challenge class.
4. Queens County Agriculture Society, at Mineola, L.I., Blenheim Spaniel, Murillo, Ruby Spaniel, Princess Bee, for being transferred against the rules.

Black and Tan Terrier, Flora Balacy’s for being incorrectly entered as a Registered bitch.

In case No. 2 the party claims that the puppy was actually over six months of age, but both the entry in the catalogue, and the listing, gave the age as under six months of age.
In case No. 3, it is but is just to the owner to say that his bitch was entered and shown by an agent, and he had no knowledge of her having been entered at Milwaukee, until he received my notice of her disqualification.

In case no. 4, the superintendent of the show transferred both dogs when his attention was called to them while in the ring. Under the rules show officials cannot transfer any dog from a wrong class to the correct one, provided the error has been made by the person making the entries.

There are several cases at the late Brooklyn show that I shall investigate and decided later.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vrendenburgh,
Secretary.

The Treasurer's report was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file. It is as follows:

New York, December 5\textsuperscript{th}, 1896.

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1896:

\begin{align*}
\text{Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1896,} & \quad \$2,233.08 \\
\text{Receipts from all sources to date,} & \quad 6,475.37 \\
\text{Total,} & \quad 8,708.45 \\
\text{Disbursements from Jan. 1, 1896, to date,} & \quad 6,343.82 \\
\text{Balance on hand} & \quad 2,364.63
\end{align*}

I beg to report that bills for annual des for 1897 were mailed to all of our clubs on November 30\textsuperscript{th}, and I would urge them to remit promptly, as all clubs failing to pay such dues before January 1\textsuperscript{st} next are deprived of representation, and may be dropped from membership at the following meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer.
The report of the Advisory Committee was read as published in the Gazette, and on motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The application of the California State Poultry and Kennel Club for membership in the American Kennel Club was read, and the same having been approved by the Membership Committee, was duly elected.

The Chair: You may now take up the question of whether this club shall be permitted to hold its show on Sunday, as proposed.

Mr. Mortimer: Although I am a strict observe of the Sabbath myself, I think the surroundings possibly are so different in California from what the are here that I don’t know why we should be called upon to prevent them holding their show on Sunday if they deem proper. I know that they hold coursing meetings in San Francisco and different parts of California on Sunday, and I should be in favor of leaving the matter entirely to the option of the club. There is no law against holding a dog show on Sunday that I am aware of, neither have we anything in our constitution prohibiting it.

Mr. Burritt: Is not that a question which should come under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Coast Advisory Committee?

Dr. Foote: I think they are the proper people to act upon it, and I move that the advisability of including Sunday in bench show dates be referred to the Advisory Committee of the Pacific Coast, with power.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the resignation of the Rhode Island State Fair Association was accepted.

On motion, the applications for registration of kennel names were granted as follows:

Dr. J. T. Kent, Bellplain

Messrs. C.M. Selfridge and Percy Ward, Thornhill

Concerning the recommendation of the Secretary in regard to fraudulent practices at the Mineola show, Mr. Mortimer said: As I understand the matter, this man Farley entered two Irish Setter puppies. I can’t tell the date of birth, because I don’t recollect it, but I believe we have those original entry forms on file, and with on of these puppies whose name was Kenmore, Jr., he won first prize in the puppy class, and sold this dog to a man by the name of Harley. Afterwards, on the second
day of the show, he sold another dog to Mr. Wakeman, which he represented to be
the dog Kenmore, Jr., which won the first prize, he moved that dog to stall occupied
formerly by a dog which had won a minor prize, and moved the other dog up to the
first prize stall. Mr. Wakman came along and bought the second puppy, and when
the entries were made at the Mineola show, the first entry was made by Mr. Harley
of Kenmore, Jr. Then I received an entry from Mr. Wakeman of Joe, formerly
Kenmore, Jr., giving the same pedigree and winner of the first prize, puppy class,
New York, 1896. I wrote to Mr. Wakeman to send me all the circumstances, &c., and
also to Mr. Harley. Of course these letters will be put in as evidence when the case
comes up. I have no doubt but what Farley has perpetrated a fraud on one of those
purchasers.

Mr. Schellhass: I move that the Chair appoint a special committee to
investigate this matter, and report.

Motion second and carried.

The Chair appointed Messrs. Mortimer, Burritt and Blossom as such
committee.

Relative to the disqualification at the Queens County Agricultural Show, Mr.
Mortimer explained that he ahs made the transfers referred to without thinking that it
was in violation of the rules, and called attention to the hardship upon exhibitors of
the rule as at present enforced, and moved that the last line of Rule II for the
Government of dog shows be referred to the Committee on Constitution and Rules
for modification, and that such committee report in time to be published in the next
number of the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT MADISON SQUARE GARDEN, FEBRUARY 24TH, 1897.

Vice President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present:
Associate Members, G. W. H. Ritchie
H. K. Bloodgood
American Fox Terrier Club, H. H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Dachshund Club, L. A. Klein
American Scottish Terrier Club, James L. Little
American Spaniel Club, E. M. Oldham
Baltimore Kennel Association, William P. Riggs
Bloodhound Club of America, Dr. Alfred F. Stahl
Boston Terrier Club, L. A. Burritt
Collie Club of America, James Watson
Columbus Fanciers’ Club, J. M. Taylor
Danbury Agricultural Society, G. E. Sears
Gordon Setter Club, James B. Blossom
Great Dane Club of America, Clifford Wood
Mascoutah Kennel Club, C. F. R. Drake
National Beagle Club, H. F. Schellhass
National Greyhound Club, Horatio Nelson
Pacific Fox Terrier Club, C. B. Knocker
Poodle Club of America, Henry G. Trevor
Mohawk Kennel Club, W. T. Ford
Butterfly Bench Show Association, D. E. Waters
Southern California Kennel Club, C. D. Bernheimer
St. Bernard Club of California, George Bargate

The following certificate of Election of Associate Members was read:
Certificate of Election of Officers and Delegates of the Associate Members for the year 1897.
To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, Edward Brooks, representing the President of the America Kennel Club, and H. H. Hunnewell, Jr., the President of the Associate Members of the American Kennel Club, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club, for the purposes of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, for the officers, and the delegates of the Associate Members of said club to hold office for one year from February 24th, 1897.

We found the total number of associates entitled to vote to be 129. Total number of votes cast, 80. Total number of votes rejected on account of irregularity, none. Total number of votes scattering, 20.

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members for the year ending in February, 1898, to-wit:

President  H. H. Hunnewell, Jr.
Vice President  H. G. Trevor
Secretary  F. E. Lewis
Delegate (1)  A. C. Wilmerding
Delegate (2)  H. K. Bloodgood
Delegate (3)  G. W. H. Ritchie

Signed,

Edward Brooks,
Representing the President A. K. C.

H. H. Hunnewell, Jr.,
President Associate Members A. K. C.

Attest,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary, A.K.C.

Credentials were read of the following named delegates to represent the following named clubs, and on motion accepted:

New England Kennel Club  Edward Brooks
Mohawk Kennel Club                      W. T. Ford
Butterfly Bench Show Association,      Dudley E. Waters
Brunswick Fur Club,                    Noel E. Money
Westminster Kennel Club,               B. R. Kittredge
Irish Terrier Club                     W. L. Beadleston
New England Field Trial Club           Arthur E. Sharp

A letter was read from the President of the Duquesne Kennel Club, appointing B. S. Horm to represent that club at this meeting of the American Kennel Club was read, and declared by the Chairman to be not proper in form.

On motion the minutes of the last annual meeting, were accepted as published in the Gazette.

On motion the financial report was accepted as published in the Gazette.

The election of officers now being in order, Mr. Schellhass nominated Mr. August Belmont as President.

Mr. Burritt: I move the nominations close.
Seconded and carried.
Mr. Burritt moved that the Secretary be directed to cast one ballot for Mr. Belmont as President.
Seconded and carried.

The Secretary cast the ballot as directed, and Mr. Belmont was declared duly elected President of the Club.

Mr. Edward Brooks was nominated for the office of Vice President, and on motion the nomination were closed.

On motion, the secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Brooks as Vice President.

The Secretary cast such ballot, and Mr. Brooks was declared duly elected.
Mr. Schellhass nominated Mr. James Watson as Chairman of the Stud Book Committee.

On motion the nominations were closed, and the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Watson.

The Secretary cast such ballot, and Mr. Watson was declared elected.
Mr. Burritt moved the nomination of the retiring members of the Stud Book Committee, Messrs. Schellhass and Knocker.

On motion the nominations were closed, and Messrs. Schellhass and Knocker were declared elected as members of the Stud Book Committee, on the casting of a ballot by the Secretary, as directed.

Mr. Vredeburgh: There is only one member of the Field Trial and Coursing Meetings Committee to be elected, and that is the chairman. The others become members by virtue of being delegates of Field Trials or Coursing Clubs.

Mr. Schellhass was nominated as the Chairman of such committee, and on motion the nominations were closed, and the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. Schellhass.

The Secretary cast such ballot and Mr. Schellhass was declared elected.

Mr. Schellhass nominated Mr. H. K. Bloodgood as chairman of the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

On motion the nominations were closed, and the Secretary directed to cast one ballot for the election of Mr. Bloodgood.

The Secretary cast such ballot, and Mr. Bloodgood was declared elected.

Mr. Watson nominated Mr. G. W. H. Ritchie as a member of the Committee on Constitution and Rules in the place and stead of Dr. H. T. Foote.

On motion the nominations were closed, and the Secretary directed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. Ritchie.

The Secretary cast such ballot, and Mr. Ritchie was declared elected.

Messrs. James Watson and H. F. Schellhass were nominated for re-election as members of the Committee.

On motion nominations were closed, and the Secretary directed to cast a ballot for their election.

The Secretary cast such ballot, and Messrs. Watson and Schellhass declared elected.

For members of the Finance Committee Mr. Schellhass nominated the present committee, with Mr. A. Clinton Wilmerding as Chairman, and Mr. L.A. Burritt in the place of Mr. James Mortimer.

On motion nominations were closed, and the Secretary directed to cast a ballot for their election.
The Secretary cast such ballot, and Messrs. Wilmerding, Blossom and Burritt were duly declared elected as members of the Finance Committee.

Capt. Knocker nominated as members of the Membership Committee Messrs. Oldham and Bernheimer.

On motion nominations were closed, and the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for their election.

The Secretary cast such ballot, and the above named gentlemen declared elected.

Mr. Vrendenburgh: The only new business that I have to place before you are the credentials from the Canadian Kennel Club, which I will read:

"Toronto, February 18th, 1897.

At a meeting of the Executive Committee on Saturday last Mr. McEwen was appointed a delegate to confer with the American Kennel Club as to terms of reciprocal agreement, and failing better terms to finally close with the American Kennel Club on the basis of the agreement rejected by the C.K.C. three years ago."

Mr. McEwen is present, and has associated with him Dr. Mills, and I would like to state that I have received a letter from Mr. Donovan, Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club, a week or ten days ago, notifying me of the appointment of Mr. McEwen, and I suggested that it would be better for him when he has done, and filed with me. It now rests with the delegates whether they will receive Mr. McEwen as the representative of the C.K.C.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I move that Mr. McEwen and Dr. Mills be given the privilege of the floor.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. McEwen: I have no idea of addressing you at any length with regard to C.K.C. matters. You are all cognizant of the facts in regard to the relation which exists between the two clubs, but I wish to impress upon you the fact that it is to the advantage, I am sure you will agree with me, of both clubs that some agreement should exist between them. I do not look upon it in the light that I might. Make any suggestions here in regard to what would be to the interests of our club, or what agreement we should enter into but if you thought it advisable to appoint a committee to confer with representatives of our club, I am sure we would be very
much pleased to meet with you, and endeavor to come to some amicable arrangement.

Mr. Taylor: I move that the chairman appoint a committee of three to confer with the committee form the Canadian Kennel Club to see if we can come to some amicable arrangement.

Motion seconded and carried.

The chairman appointed as such committee Messrs. J. M. Taylor, James Watson and A. P. Vredenburgh.

The report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules, as published in the August and January numbers of the Gazette, regarding the amendments that were put over form the September meeting, was read, and after considerable discussion, unanimously adopted.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I think it would be well for the club to fix a date for these rules to go into effect, so that we can go on with our business and not be hampered by the new constitution.

Mr. Burritt moved that the changes in the constitution take effect March first.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh ; There is a matter I would like to bring up as coming from the committee on rules. The Bull Terrier Club is a suspended club. They have no right to representation here. They sent me a letter probably six weeks ago, asking what rights they had in offering prizes at different bench shows, and whether the bench shows could accept such prizes from a suspended club. I told them that I could not give them any ruling. There was no rule in existence on the subject, and no precedent, and the only thing I could do was to refer it to the next meeting of the club. I think this matter can be arranged by passing a resolution.

Mr. Brooks resigned the Chair to Mr. Hunnewell and offered the following resolution: That no special or regular prize can be accepted from any person or club under suspension or disqualification.

Resolution seconded and carried.

The annual meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT MADISON SQUARE GARDEN FEBRUARY 24TH, 1897.

Vice President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associate Members</th>
<th>G. W. H. Ritchie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H. K. Bloodgood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Fox Terrier Club</td>
<td>H. H. Hunnewell, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Dachshund Club</td>
<td>L. A. Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Scottish Terrier Club</td>
<td>James L. Little</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Spaniel Club</td>
<td>E. M. Oldham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Kennel Association</td>
<td>William P. Riggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodhound Club of America</td>
<td>Dr. Alfred F. Stahl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Terrier Club</td>
<td>L. A. Burritt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collie Club of America</td>
<td>James Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus Fanciers’ Club</td>
<td>J. M. Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danbury Agricultural Society</td>
<td>G. E. Sears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Setter Club</td>
<td>James B. Blossom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Dane Club of America</td>
<td>Clifford Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascoutah Kennel Club</td>
<td>C. F. R. Drake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Beagle Club</td>
<td>H. F. Schellhass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Greyhound Club</td>
<td>Horatio Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Fox Terrier Club</td>
<td>C. B. Knocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poodle Club of America</td>
<td>Henry G. Trevor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohawk Kennel Club</td>
<td>W. T. Ford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly Bench Show Association</td>
<td>D. E. Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England Kennel Club</td>
<td>Edward Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California Kennel Club</td>
<td>C. D. Bernheimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Bernard Club of California</td>
<td>George Bargate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion the minutes of the last meeting of the Executive Committee were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary then read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, February 23rd, 1897.

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

Since my last report three applications for admission have been received. As the report of the Membership Committee to be read at this meeting will fully cover all
that is to be said regarding them I will not trouble you with any of the details of the matter.

I beg to submit the following resignations:
Jan. 2, 1897, Northwestern Beagle Club.
Jan. 26, 1897, Live Stock Society of America.
Jan. 26, 1897, Washington City Kennel Club.

The following credentials have been duly filed:
Feb. 5, 1897. W. L. Beadleston, from Irish Terrier Club.
Feb. 23, 1897. B. S. Horn, from Duquesne Kennel Club.

Application has been filed for registration of the Kennel name “Rambleside,” by Mrs. William Bloodgood. This does not conflict with any registration so far accept and I would therefore respectfully recommend the granting of the same.

I beg to submit the following communications: A circular issued by the Canadian Kennel Club, setting forth its arguments and reasons why there should be reciprocity between it and this club. A letter from the Secretary of the C.K.C., advising us of the appointment of two gentlemen as representatives of said club, to confer with the delegates of the A.K.C. on the subject of reciprocity.

A request from the Bull Terrier Club of America for a ruling as to whether the suspension of said club effects its offering special prizes at shows held by our members.

A recommendation from Mr. Samuel Hammond on the subject of making a new rule, compelling specialty clubs to file each year a correct list of accepted judges, and roll of membership with the A.K.C.

A recommendation from Mr. Charles M. Byrant, on the subject of making a new rule to govern all breeds of dogs where divided by the weight limit.

I beg to submit an appeal from Fred J. Rommell, Chicago, Ill., for reinstatement. He was disqualified upon the recommendation of the Stud Book Committee, Oct. 27, 1893, for giving out false pedigree.
The New England Beagle Club has filed a certified list of awards when at the Field Trials in 1893 and 1894, requesting the A. K. C. to recognize them. This matter was referred to our Field Trials and Coursing Meetings Committee at the last meeting, which committee will report its recommendations at this meeting.

I have to report that at the last show of the New England Kennel Club, at Boston, there were seventeen violations of the rules of the A.K.C. Rule XVII of the dog show rules provides that exhibitors shall specify on entry forms to shows a sufficient number of first prizes wins to show that the entry is eligible to compete in the challenge class. I called for the original entry forms, and upon examination I found that the following violations were the fault of the exhibitors:

Class 60, Challenge Pointers, “Alice Leslie” Reserved.

- 85, Spaniels, “Woolton Baron” Reserved.
- 86, “Scandal” Reserved.
- 91, “Middy” Second.
- “Commodore” Reserved.
- 92 “Woodland Princess” Second.
- 93 “Goldie S” Reserved.

Class 163 Challenge, Fox Terriers, “Warren Safe Guard” Reserved.

- 164 “Warren Captious” First.

The above eleven cases I have disqualified, and where necessary marked up the dog receiving the award next in order of merit, under the rules.

The following violations were the fault of the New England Kennel Club, in not publishing a sufficient number of first wins that the exhibitor had specified on his entry from, as per rule XIV regulations governing clubs.

Class 4, Challenge, Bloodhounds, “Nenus’

- 102 Cl[j]umbers, “Glenwood Greeting.”
- 117 Fox Terriers, “Thornfield Knockout”
- 182 Scottish Terriers, “Rhudunan.”
- “Wankie Tam.”
- 183 “Wankie Diana.”
The above six entries having been correctly made by the exhibitors, I have forfeited the deposit, $25. made by the New England Club, under rule II governing clubs, and the awards stand as made by the judge.

Respectfully submitted.

A.P. Vredenburgh.

On motion the same was received and placed on file.

The treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, February 23rd, 1897.

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1897.

Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1897, $3,256.97
Receipts from all sources to date, 1,946.25
Total, 5,203.22
Disbursements from Jan. 1, 1897, 664.16
Balance on hand, $4,539.06

I beg to report the following clubs now in arrears for dues for 1897, which were due and payable on or before January 1st, 1897.

Alameda Co. Sportsmans Association
American Mastiff Club
City of the Straits Kennel Club
New Jersey Kennel Club
Queens County Agricultural Society
Rensalaer Kennel Club
San Joaquin Valley Agricultural Association
Seattle Kennel Club
Wilmington Kennel Club (Since paid)

In the matter of the arrears of the Seattle Kennel Club I beg to submit a communication, advising us of the suspension of the bank in which its funds were deposit, and promising to liquidate as soon as possible.
I would suggest that the usual method heretofore adopted by this body is to notify the clubs in arrears that in case the dues were not paid within 30 days their names would be dropped from the roll of membership. This plan I would recommend at this meeting, except in the case of the Seattle Kennel Club above referred to.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer.

On motion the same was received and placed on file.

The report of the Advisory Committee was read, and is as follows:

Meeting of the Advisory Committee, held at 55 Liberty street, New York, Feb. 6, 1897.


Absent: Messrs. A. Belmont, J. Watson and E.M. Oldham.

Meeting called to order at 1:30 P.M., with Vice President Edward Brooks residing.

The following matters were submitted and acted upon:

American Kennel Club
Vs. Re misconduct in connection with
T. J. Farley. Dogs.

In this case Farley, it is alleged, sold two Irish Setter puppies, at the W.K.C. show at New York, in Feb., 1896, representing both to be named Kenmore Jr., and that both were winners of the first prize in the puppy class at said show. From the evidence produced before the investigating committee appointing to make its investigation in the above fraudulent sale, one of the puppies did win the first prize, and was sold as Kenmore, Jr., and thereafter removed from his stall, and the other puppy was placed in the vacant stall, having the award card of the first prize still upon it, and said puppy was sold later, also as Kenmore Jr., and winner of the first prize in the puppy class at said show. From sworn statements of the purchasers it appears that Farley sent to one of the purchasers the first prize diploma issued by the W.K.C., and to the other purchaser the first prize card and ribbon. Upon the recommendation of said investigation committee charged were prepared and
forwarded to Farley by registered mail, and his receipt was duly returned mail, and
his receipt was duly returned by the post office authorities. Farley made a written
denial of the charges, but failed to confirm it by affidavits or personal appearance.

Ordered, That said Farley be and is hereby disqualified.

Active Membership: Re Application for Admission.

Ordered, That as the following applications are duly approved by the
Membership Committee, the clubs so applying are hereby declared active members
of the American Kennel Club, and as such are entitled to all the privileges.

Irish Terrier Club of America
Kansas City Kennel Club
Kentucky Kennel Club

Kennel Names and Prefixes Re Application for Registry.

Ordered, That as the claims do not conflict with any so far registered,
the following applications are hereby granted:

Abaco, Mrs. M.P. Dawson
Briar Cliff, E.J. & F. Becker
Bristol, Oliver Ames
Cherokee, W. S. Applegate
Diamond, M.M. Mitchell
Hudson, C.H. Mantler
Rodney, C.C. Hopton
Shiloh, Wm. Le Monnier
Woodbine, Frederick Jacobi

Recommendation by
Re Salaries for 1897

Finance Committee

Ordered, That the recommendation of the Finance Committee under date of
January 26, 1897, be and is hereby approved.

Adjourned,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.
On motion the same was received and placed on file.

The report of the Field Trial Committee was read, and is as follows:

Field Trial Committee meeting held December 7th, 1896, at the office of the American Kennel Club, 55 Liberty Street, New York City.


Application of the New England Beagle Club to have the wins of their first and second Annual Field Trials held respectively in 1893 and 1894 recognized, was referred to this committee. It was the sense of the committee that whereas the American Kennel Club has no code of rules regarding classification in Field Trials as it has of the Bench Shows, the same prejudice against the admission of past wins does not exist in the former case as it would in the latter. More particularly there being no American Kennel Club compulsory rule of classification as regard Field Trials, the quality and number of the entries was not adversely influenced by the fact that the wins did not count as a qualification for championship honors as would have been the case in a bench show.

Other mitigating circumstances were held to be that at the time these trials were held Beagle Field Trials were in their infancy, only one Beagle Field Trial Club being a member of the American Kennel Club. Again, the Field Trials in question in point of number and quality of entries, were fully up to the standard of the other trials held at that time.

In view of the above facts the committee beg to recommend the recognition of the wins applied for, upon the payment to the American Kennel Club of one-half of the usual annual dues for the time in question; that is to say, the sum of $5 for the year 1894.

Respectfully submitted,

Herman F. Schellhass,
Chairman Field Trials and Coursing Meetings.

Feb. 24th, 1897.
On motion the same was accepted, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

Mr. Vredenburgh: At the September meeting there was referred to the Pacific Advisory Board the question of whether or not the California State Poultry and Kennel Association could hold their show on a Sunday. I have here the report of the Pacific Advisory Board on that subject, which I will read:

San Francisco, December 29th, 1896.

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh,  
Sec. A. K. C.

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to your instructions the Pacific Advisory Board held a meeting on December 29th, 1896, to consider the question of the California State Poultry and Kennel Association holding its bench show on Sunday, Jan. 10th, as referred by the A.K.C. to this Board. After a canvas of the subject, the following resolution was adopted:

“Whereas, the California State Poultry and Kennel Association has already completed arrangements for holding its bench show on certain dates, therefore be it

Resolved, that the best interests of said association demand that the dates claimed, viz., Jan 9, 10, 11 and 12, 1897, be granted it, notwithstanding the fact that one of these dates falls on Sunday.

Resolved, that the Pacific Advisory Board, by the authority in it bested by the A.K.C. does hereby consent to the holding of said show in the city of Sacramento on Jan. 9, 10, 11, and 12, 1897.

Very respectfully,

H.T. Payne,
Sec. Pac. Ad. Board.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Vredenburgh: At the Stockton Show there was a protest made by a man of the name of Heller, against an award to a Bull Terrier named “Harper Whiskey.” The ground of the protest was that the dog belonged to the Judge; that the dog was shipped to the judge; that Mr. Heller had the shipping tag; that he took off the tags, and he also protested on the ground, that the dog was deaf. The Stockton club did not sustain the protest. Mr. Heller then appealed from their decision, and I sent the
papers out to the Pacific Advisory Board to investigate and to send forward their investigations. Here is their report:
San Francisco, February 12, 1897.

To the American Kennel Club,

New York.

Gentlemen:

The case of the appeal of E. S. Heller from the decision of the Bench Show Committee of the San Joaquin Valley Agricultural Association on the protest against the bull terrier dog “Harper Whiskey” was taken up by the Pacific Advisory Board at its regular meeting held on Feb. 2d.

All parties were notified to appear and the accompanying papers and evidence were submitted, both parties stating that they had no further evidence to submit. After a careful reading of the evidence submitted by the parties, and a careful consideration and examination of dates, the Board was yet unable to form any conclusion regarding the charge of deafness, and therefore a recess was taken until Friday, Feb. 12th in order that the members of the Board might make a personal examination of the dog and give his hearing a thorough test. When the Board again convened a thorough test of his hearing was made. The members of the Board present were F.W. D'Evelyn, J. G. Barker, Dr. A.C. Davenport, J.W. Keene, and H.T. Payne, and they are unanimous in submitting for your consideration the following findings and recommendations.


We find that the dog Harper Whiskey was the bonafide property of J. J. Sparrow at the time of his entry in the Stockton show, and that the money was paid for the dog in the day before the entry was made, and that said entry was made within the legal time. We find that at the present time the dog Harper Whiskey is so deaf that he cannot hear the ordinary method of command, but we can procure no evidence as to whether this condition did exist at the time of the Stockton show, further than the evidence presented to the Bench Show Committee at the time of hearing the protest, which is herewith submitted.

In View of this inability to determine the dog’s condition at the time of the show, we would most respectfully recommend that the appeal be dismissed and the award allowed to stand.
In consideration of the conflicting testimony on the point of deafness, and our inability to ascertain whether the dog was actually afflicted at the time of the show to an extent sufficient to disqualify him, and our certain knowledge that he is deaf at present, we would further recommend that the forfeit money put up by Mr. Heller be refunded to him.

Very respectfully submitted,
Pacific Advisory Board,
By H.T. Payne,
Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There is another report from the Pacific Advisory Board, as follows:

Resolutions adopted by the Pacific Advisory Board at its regular monthly meeting on Feb. 2d, 1897.

Whereas, the death of the Pacific Kennel Club opens the door for the organization of a first class bench show association, composed of a better element that has heretofore been connected with the management of kennel exhibition in San Francisco, and

Whereas, the Olympic Gun Club, an organization to which bench shows will be only a secondary consideration, is a seceding faction from the largest and most popular Athletic and social club in the State, and is held by said athletic club in disrepute and distrust; and

Whereas, should the said Olympic Gun Club become a member of the A.K.C. its bench shows would be under the control of the same men whose efforts have not been employed to better the welfare of kennel matters --- in fact being detrimental instead of beneficial, therefore be it

Resolved, that in the opinion of this Board it would be a stroke of bad policy on the part of the American Kennel Club to grant a franchise to the said Olympic Gun Club, or to any other organization whose very objects and purposes would necessitate the making of bench shows a secondary and minor feature.

Resolved, that the American Kennel Club be, and it is hereby requested by the Pacific Advisory Board – in the fulfillment of what it deems to be its duty – to
refuse admission to the Olympic Gun Club, in order that a kennel club, pure and simple, may be organized and admitted to membership, and the holding of annual bench shows placed in the hands of an organization whose best efforts will be exerted in the advancement of the kennel interests of San Francisco and the whole coast.

Resolved, that the Secretary of this Board be instructed to forward at once a copy of these resolutions to the American Kennel Club.

Attest,

A.T. Payne,
Sec. Pac. Ad. Board.

Mr. Burritt moved that these resolutions be laid upon the table.

Mr. Vredenburgh: In connection with this I will read the report of the Committee on Membership:

New York, February 23d, 1897.

To the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

Your committee begs to report the reception of three applications for admission to the American Kennel Club from clubs located in California, to-wit: The Olympic Gun Club of San Francisco; the San Francisco Kennel Club, of San Francisco; the Santa Clara Valley Poultry and Kennel Club, of San Jose.

Two of these applications are approved by your committee, namely the San Francisco Kennel Club and the Santa Clara Valley Club. In withholding its approval from the application of the Olympic Dun Club, it deems it best to state its reasons to your body, to enable you to act intelligently in casting your votes for the clubs you believe will best serve the kennel interests on both the Pacific Coast and the American Kennel Club. The Pacific Kennel Club, through its Secretary-Treasurer, wired under date of January 19th, as follows: “Pacific Kennel Club disbanded, endorsing Olympic Gun Club, see letter.” This was followed by a letter confirming the telegram, and signed by C.A. Haight, Secy-Treasurer, which was in turn followed by a letter confirming both the foregoing, and signed by Horace W. Orear, Secy. The Olympic Gun Club wired January 27th: “Olympic Gun Club applied for membership A.K.C. Letter to follow. Reserve dates.” Signed M.C. Allen. The Pacific Advisory Board wired February 2d: “Reserve action on Olympic Gun Club application,
resolution mailed.” The San Francisco Kennel Club wired February 4: “San Francisco Kennel Club organized, formal application, constitution and money forwarded by mail. If admitted, wire, as pressed for time.” Signed J.F. De Ruyter, Prest. On February 6th the formal application of the Olympic Gun Club was received, which, however did not comply with our rules, in that no copy of its constitution was filed. Notice was promptly mailed to its secretary requesting a copy of said constitution, which has just come to hand, but in it there is no provision for holding of dog shows. On February 11th the formal application of the San Francisco Kennel Club was received, complying in all particulars with the requirements of your club.

On February 6th the Pacific Advisory Board forwarded a copy of the proceedings of its regular meeting held February 2d, recommending that the admission of a kennel club, pure and simple, would best advance the interests of San Francisco, and the whole coast. As the report of the Pacific Advisory Board on this subject will be submitted at this meeting, it would be unnecessary to quote from it. Several letters are also on file favoring the admission of a kennel club, in preference to a gun club.

Your committee therefore report that technically it can do nothing else than disapprove of the admission of the Olympic Gun Club, for the reason that its application is not complete. It does approve of the admission of the San Francisco Kennel Club, for the reason that it being a club organized solely for the purpose of holding bench shows in the City of San Francisco, and endorsed by the Pacific Advisory Board, it is deemed to be the best for kennel interests.

The application of the Santa Clara Valley Poultry and Kennel Club of San Jose, Cal., being filed in proper form, its admission if therefore recommended.

Respectfully submitted,

E. M. Oldham,
Chairman.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Chas. D. Bernheimer.

Mr. Bargate said the feeling was very strong in San Francisco over this matter; that he had been written to by members of the old Pacific Kennel Club to use his best endeavors in behalf of the admission of the Olympic Gun Club, and that he thought is was wrong to characterize it exclusively as a gun club.
MR. KNOCKER: It is exclusively a gun club.

The Chairman: The committee on membership has reported that their constitution is not in form with the requirements of the A. K. C.

Mr. Vredenburgh: As the application now stands the Olympic Gun Club is not eligible to election in this club.

Mr. Schellhass: In view of those facts I move the adoption of the report of the Membership Committee.

The Chairman: The first business in order is the adoption of the letter of the Pacific Advisory Board.

Captain Knocker asked that the correspondence on both side be read.

Mr. Schellhass: I desire to state that this matter is thoroughly understood by the Membership Committee. They had all the details of the controversy that has been going on before them, and they have thoroughly thrashed the matter out. They recommend the admission of the San Francisco club, and they had the report of the Pacific Advisory Board, which recommends the same thing, and Captain Knocker favors the San Francisco Kennel Club.

The Chairman: I don’t think it is necessary to go into that, particularly as we have the report. It was not organized for the purpose of giving bench shows.

It is moved and seconded that the report of the Pacific Advisory Board be accepted and placed on file.

Carried.

Mr. Burritt moved and acceptance of the report of the Membership Committee, and the adoption of its recommendations, upon the ground that the Olympic Gun Club is not eligible to membership.

Seconded and carried.

The applications of the San Francisco Kennel Club and the Santa Clara Valley Club for admission were then balloted upon, and subsequently declared elected to membership.

The election of a Secretary-Treasurer now being in order, Mr. Burritt nominated Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh.

Seconded by Mr. Schellhass.

On motion the nominations were closed, and Mr. Vredenburgh was duly declared elected Secretary-Treasurer.
On motion the application for the registration of the Kennel name “Rambleside” was granted.

The resignations of the Live Stock Association, the Washington City Kennel Club and the Northwestern Beagle Club were read, and on motion accepted.

On motion the two communications referred to in the Secretary’s report as received from Messrs. Hammond and Bryant, were referred to the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

On motion the appeal of Fred J. Rommel was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Mr. Blossom moved that the recommendations contained in the report of the committee on Field Trials be adopted and placed on file.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have only one more thing to refer to, and that is the very unpleasant duty that I felt called upon to perform in the matter of the violations of the rules by exhibitors and by the New England Kennel Club. I do not make the rules. As I understand my duties, I am elected to see that the rules are lived up to. In the case of the New England Kennel Club I had to disqualify, under those rules, the wins of dogs which belonged possibly to some of closest friends that I personally have in the dog world, and there is no club that is a member of the American Kennel Club that I feel warmer toward or in which I think I have so many personal acquaintances and friends than the New England Kennel Club.

The Chairman: What was the violation, that they were not properly entered in the challenge class?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes. I called for the original entry form, and I found that [a]ll of them were the fault of the exhibitors, which compelled the disqualifications of those wins. I found six cases where the exhibitor had recorded on his entry blank a sufficient number of wins to entitle him to entry in the challenge class, but the New England Kennel Club, in printing its catalogue, failed to print the wins to show that they were eligible for that class. I had no discretion. I do not want any discretion. It is simply whether the rule as it now exists ought to be changed, or, if it is not changed, whether this club will instruct me not to put them in force, or to see that they are enforced. If there is nothing said about it, if it hits friend or foe, I have to carry them out. Unfortunately in looking over the official catalogues that are sent to me
discover errors, and undoubtedly there are many errors that I do not discover. I have within a few days discovered that notwithstanding the original entry forms that I had called for from the Metropolitan Kennel Club, which held its show in Brooklyn, there were several violations of the rules, or apparent violations of the rules, or apparent violations of the rules that I had overlooked. I called for seven and found that seven were the fault of the exhibitors. I disqualified the dogs. I now find that I overlooked violations that appear to be the fault of the Metropolitan Kennel Club, and I shall certainly call for those entry forms, and unless instructed to the contrary, I shall do as I did with the New England Kennel Club, disqualify the dogs or forfeit $25. from the Metropolitan Club.

Mr. Oldham asked the Secretary a number of questions for information, one of which was whether a club is liable at any time to have its $25 forfeited on account of a printer’s error.

Mr. Vredenburgh: No. Anything that you can show or will say is a printer’s error, so far as I am concerned, I will take a man’s word for it. If you will make the statement now, or send me an official communication that these changes were printer’s errors I will send a check for $25, to you at once.

Mr. Little: In the rush and hurry of business which come before committees printer’s error will occur, and I would ask the A.K.C. to reconsider the mater with a view to removing the penalty.

Mr. Watson: Under the new constitution we have a penalty provided of $5 for each offence. I think that this enforcement of this $25 penalty is rather severe, and I move that the $25 penalty or forfeit be returned to the New England Kennel Club.

Motion seconded.

The Chairman: Our bench show committee made errors in making up their catalogues, and I think they should be punished jus as much as any other club in the circuit.

Mr. Knocker: I move that the whole thing be condoned with regard to the New England Kennel Club.

Mr. Brooks resigns the chair to Mr. Hunnewell, and moves that the recommendations contained in the Secretary’s report be adopted.

Mr. Little: In my letter I omitted to state that the club did not wish to evade any responsibility that belongs to it.
Mr. Hunnewell: If we are going to impose penalties on other clubs, and we have enforced our $25 fines on small clubs, then the New England Kennel Club, being a large club, should be held to the fine of $25 if they have violated any rule.

Mr. Brooks’ motion for the adoption of the recommendations contained in the Secretary’s report, was seconded and carried.

Mr. Little: I desire to submit the following, If certain specials are voted and set apart by any properly qualified club for award at a special bench show, and the Secretary fails to notify the management that such specials are to be offered, can such specials be awarded at that show if no proper notification has been sent previous to the judging of the breed?

Mr. Vredenburgh: In answer to that I refer you to this rule: “No special prize shall be offered except for dogs of established breeds, and none can be offered unless publicly announced before the regular judging has been commenced.

On motion the Secretary was directed to notify the clubs in arrears for dues that if such dues were not paid within 30 days from date they would be cropped from the roll.

The meeting then adjourned. A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, MAY 20TH, 1897.

Vice-President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present:
Am. Fox Terrier Club H. H. Hunnewell, Jr.,
Boston Terrier Club, L.A. Burritt
Butterfly Bench Show Assn. D. E. Waters
Collie Club James Watson
Irish Terrier Club W. L. Beadleston
Metropolitan Kennel Club G. M. Carnochan
New England Kennel Club Edward Brooks
Pointer Club G. Jarvis
Southern California Kennel Club C. D. Bernheimer
Poodle Club H. G. Trevor
National Beagle Club H. F. Schellhass
Gordon Setter Club J. B. Blossom
Associate G. W. H. Ritchie
Do A. C. Wilmerding

On motion, the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with.

Credentials were read of the following named gentlemen to represent the following named club:
San Francisco Kennel Club, C. B. Knocker

Mr. VREDENBURGH: I will state that Captain Knocker is now the delegate of the Pacific Fox Terrier Club, but he has informed me that when his credentials arrived here in connection with the San Francisco Kennel Club, he would withdraw as delegate of the Pacific Fox Terrier Club.

Capt. Knocker was duly accepted as the delegate of the San Francisco Kennel Club.

The Secretary then read his quarterly report, and the same was on motion accepted and placed on file. It is as follows:
New York, May 19th, 1897.

To the American Kennel Club,

        Gentlemen: --

        I beg to report the following applications for the registration of Kennel names:

        Bayonne   - Mr. Leslie A. Burritt
        Beaumaris - Mr. Bulkley Wells
        Bridge    - Mr. J. H. Mullins
        Dorchester - Mr. C. C. Kammerer
        Fashion   - Mr. H. Shearman
        Golden    - Mr. J. B. Martin
        Scalbey   - Mr. John A. Detweller
        Shadyside - Messrs. Fredk. S. Stedman and W. F. Render
        Tippecanoe - Mr. Jos. Meisenheimer
        Verona    - Mrs. P.A. Hearts and Mr. J. E. de Ruyter

        As these names do not conflict with any now registered, I would respectfully recommend the granting of the same.

        I have to report that charges of misconduct have been preferred by Mr. W. L. Washington, against Mr. Alfred von Catzhausen of Milwaukee, Wis. A copy of said charged has been sent to the defendant by registered mail, and a receipt for its delivery is now on file at this office.

        A complaint from Mr. F. P. Kirby in behalf of Mr. Walter D. Griscome, has been made against the National Greyhound Club for non payment of the Club medal won at the late New York Show. I notified the National Greyhound Club of this complaint on May 1, 1897, but no reply has yet come to hand.

        I am advised by Mr. D. A. Hamburger of San Francisco Cal., that be believing that Mr. A. Russell Crowell, represented the American Kennel Club as its California Agent, paid to said Crowell the fee for the registration of a St. Bernard bitch, and took his receipt therefore; that he has never received his certificate. The dog he mentions has never been registered by us under the name he applied for, neither does his name, not that of Crowell appear on our books as having made any registration subsequent to the date of his receipt. I have therefore directed the
Pacific Advisory Board to investigate the matter and report the result, and its recommendations.

I have an appeal from Mr. Harry Malcolm for reinstatement to good standing, and I would recommend the granting of said appeal.

I reported to the Stud Book Committee the fact of the general distribution of equal prizes at Pacific shows, believing that the judge had abused his powers. The general equality of dogs on the Pacific coast, as shown by the official awards, is remarkable, and is not borne out by the records of any other shows in the country. The Stud Book Committee examined the several official catalogues, and reported to me as follows:

May 8, 1897.

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh, Secy.

Dear Sir: --

In looking into the rule regarding placing dogs in connection with judging at some of the Pacific coast shows, we do not think it a subject calling for action by the Stud Book Committee. The rule in placing dogs behind “equal” first is explicit, and we think it your province to see that they are properly placed, without any action on the part of any Committee or the Club. The case is similar to that of improper entry, and comes within your direct attention as recipient of the officially marked catalogue.

James Watson,

Chairman S.B.Com.

I desire explicit instructions from this club on this subject.

We are accumulating a large collection of pen and ink drawings by Mr. G. Muss-Arnolt, which represents a large sum of money. Undoubtedly a number of owners of the dogs so illustrated would gladly avail themselves of an opportunity of purchasing these originals, and I would recommend that said originals be offered for sale, at sums ranging from $10.00 to $25.00, and if such is your pleasure I would suggest that the option is first given to said owners to purchase, and if not taken advantage of within a specified time, to sell to the first applicant.

The special committee to which was referred the matter of an agreement with the Canadian Kennel Club, has taken the matter up, and given it careful
consideration. Your Secretary visited Toronto and conferred with the Canadian Executive and with the fanciers at a general meeting held April 21\textsuperscript{st}, 1897, and arrived at a mutually satisfactory agreement. The memorandum agreed upon, was submitted to the Executive of the C.K.C. at a meeting held May 7\textsuperscript{th}, 1897, after which an amended memorandum was filed with this club, which will be submitted to you at this meeting.

I beg to report that in accordance with the instructions of our Executive, I notified the Bull Terrier Club of America, through its Secretary to show cause why it should not be expelled for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, to which no reply has yet come to hand.

The Executive referred to you the matter of giving relief to the clubs hold shows Subsequent to September 1896, which have their deposits declared forfeited, in a number of instances, for mere technicalities. Your action in this matter is earnestly requested.

The Seattle Kennel Club has so far failed to pay its dues for 1897, and has not replied to my communications on the subject. I would therefore recommend dropping the club from our roll of membership.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

The Treasurer then read his report, which, on motion was accepted, and placed on file, and is as follows:

New York, May 19\textsuperscript{th}, 1897.

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen: -

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1897:

\begin{itemize}
  \item Balance on hand Jany. 1, 1897, $3,256.97,
  \item Receipts from all sources to date, \textbf{3,734.71}
  \item Total, $6,991.68
  \item Disbursements from January 1, 1897, \textbf{2,973.02}
  \item Balance on hand, $4,018.66,
\end{itemize}
Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vrendenburgh,
Treasurer.

The Report of the Advisory Board, held April 6th, 1897, was read, as published, and on motion accepted and placed on file.

The Report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:

New York, May 19, 1897.

To the American Kennel Club

The Stud Book Committee begs to submit the following report upon matters which have passed before it since the last meeting.

Re the pedigree of the Black and tan terrier Application of W. H. Davis.
Pittsburgh Ben. 38,175

The application, W. H. Davis, of Pittsburgh made a request for the cancellation of the registration of the black and tan terrier Pittsburgh Ben, 38,195 on the ground that his pedigree was not as represented in the Stud Book. He presented no evidence in support of his statement although he asserted that the owner and breeder were aware of his application, making it appear as if he was acting with their consent and approval. He was advised that as it was his application, it was necessary for him to procure the statement of the breeder to support what he said. Meanwhile the breeder had written to the Secretary asserting that the dog was trying to break the pedigree down, had no personal knowledge whatever on the subject. Davis replied to the application for further information by saying that he was advised that B.T. Kahle had written to the club about the breeding and that if his statement was different to the claim made by him (Davis) then to give a verdict of “not proven”. The original statement seem to have been activated by some difference between some of the parties connected at some time with the dog. As this is the case in quite a number of applications it would not be too much to provide some punishment for those who make such statements without reasonable ground to support what they allege, but are actuated by desire to damage other parties.
As to the breeder of the Deerhounds Dunrobin Ossian, Oswald, Noel and Torfrida

Mr. Robert Walker, the owner of the dam of the deerhound named drew attention to the error in the cataloguing of Mr. Albion L. Page as the breeder. Upon the latter being advised of the charge he at once explained the reason why he had presumed he was the breeder, but as he now knew he had no claim under the A.K.C. rule he asked that the correction be made, and at same time he gave the names of two others of the same breeding which were not mentioned by Mr. Walker. The committee recommends that the original entries be cancelled as they are wrong and that Mr. Page register them correctly, paying the fee the same as for an original entry. The wins and records of Dunrobin Ossian and Dunrobin Oswalk to stand.

Application of Fred J. Rommell Removal of suspension.
Of Chicago, Ill

Fred J. Rommell was suspended for failing to answer requests for information as to a false pedigree given by him. He now asks whether the suspension can be removed. He was advised that the suspension was for failing to answer letters sent him by this committee, and the case can only be re-opened by his giving the information asked for. To this he has made no reply, and the committee recommends the dismissal of the application.

Re the pedigree of The fox-terrier Alleged false pedigree
Dixie II, 37,322

The attention of the American Kennel Club was called to the pedigree of Dixie II by Mr. Belmont, it being given as by Blemton Valiant out of Belgrave Viola. It is Mr. Belmont’s opinion that this is not correct, and in this he is supported by Mr. Hopkins.
No reply having been received from the owner, Joe Saks, 1715 Massachusetts Avenue, Washington, D. C., it is recommended that he be suspended till he gives the required information.

Re the breeder and pedigree
Of the corded poodle Black Prince, 39,424

Complaint of William Grebe

The complainant in this case alleged that Mr. S. C. Hodge, owner of the corded poodle Black Prince, 39,424, had improperly described himself as the breeder and also given an extended pedigree when none existed. Mr. Grebe had sold the dog when a puppy to Mr. Hodge and owned the dam when she whelped and also shortly after bought the sire, both parents having at one time been owned by C. Priem. Mr. Grebe alleges that Priem never knew anything beyond the sire and dam of the dogs from which Black Prince was bred, but Mr. Hodge claims to have got Priem to write to Germany and after some months he got a further generation thus securing admission of Black Prince to the Stud Book. Mr. Grebe questions both the fact of the pedigree and the obtaining it from Germany, and as Priem committed suicide some time ago your committee has had to weigh the probabilities, and has come to the conclusion to permit the registration of Black Prince, but not with the pedigree as it is now in the Stud Book. Priem owned the sire Champion Tell and entered him as bred by A. Priem. He also owned Flora, and in 1892 bred from her the bitch sometimes named Flora, Flora I, or Flora II. The latter is the dam of Black Prince. Priem entered this Flora I, as by Tell out of Flora and she like Tell is a well known prize winner. Mr. Hodge in his application for registration gives the pedigree of Flora II as by Tello-Flora, Tello by Hamlet-Fanny Erste. This is too manifestly an error to escape notice and Tello is beyond question Tell, by Othello-Desdemona. There is nothing inconsistent with probabilities in the statement of Mr. Hodge that Priem got the pedigree from his brother or some relatives in Berlin for we have A. Priem as breeder and Priem was a German. Old Flora he owned himself and if he did not know her pedigree he at least knew where he got her from. He also knew the sire and dam of Tell and knew his breeder. The answer to the equiry was not forthcoming at once but according to Mr. Hodge’s statement was the work of
months. He made not offer of compensation for the information and there is every reason to place credit in the claim that Priem did write abroad and get the information Mr. Hodge was so anxious to procure. But there is undoubtedly an error in giving the sire of Flora II as Tello, by Hamlet- Fany Erste. As to the question of the breeder it is not now disputed that Mr. Grebe is entitled to that credit. It is recommended that the present registration of Black Prince be cancelled and that permission be given Mr. Hodge to make proper entry in accordance with the facts as set forth above.

There are two other cases upon which your committee is not prepared to report at present. In each the origin of a false pedigree has been traced to Miller of Westchester, Pa.; who was disqualified last year for a series of most flagrant frauds. Efforts to obtain the necessary evidence upon which the postal authorities could act have in previous cases failed, but it is hoped that in one of the two cases now under investigation the required affidavits may be secured. This man Miller sold dogs all over the country and the warning as to his methods of doing business cannot be too widely published.

Jas. Watson,
Chairman

Mr. Burritt moved its acceptance with the adoption of its recommendations.
Motion seconded and carried.
The Report of the Committee on Rules was read, and on motion the same was received and placed on file. It is as follows:

The Committee reports, that it now has under consideration general amendments to the rules, governing Dog Shows and Clubs holding such shows. It will conclude its labors so that its recommendations will be published in the Gazette, as provided for in the Constitution, in July or August, so that the amendments may be legally acted upon at the meeting of the Club in September next.

Mr. Vredenburgh, on behalf of the special committee appointed to confer with a committee from the Canadian Kennel Club, reported as follows:

At the annual meeting representatives of the Canadian Kennel Club, as you are all aware, came before us, and requested that a Committee be appointed by the
American Kennel Club to confer with it in regard to a satisfactory agreement of reciprocity between the two clubs. The Hamilton Kennel Club sent a demand to the Canadian Kennel Club that they should call a meeting of the fanciers to be held in the City of Toronto, during the Toronto Show in April, and notified this Club to that effect, and invited this club to send a representative to be present at that meeting. The Canadian Kennel Club’s executive committee called a meeting of the fanciers, and also sent an invitation to the American Kennel Club to send a representative. The special committee that was appointed by this club held two meetings at this office, and came to a unanimous conclusion as to the conditions of such an agreement that this committee would be willing to recommend at this meeting, and also recommended that I should be appointed as the representative of this Club to be present at this meeting in Toronto. I sent this recommendation to members of the executive board, whom I would find here in New York, and they concurred with the recommendation and consequently I went up there as the accredited representative of the Club. I was in session with the Canadian Executive Committee for two hours, and stated to them as plainly as I could upon what conditions the American Kennel Club would recognize the Canadian Shows. We finally had a meeting of the fanciers after this session of the Executive Committee, and they aired their views. The following morning there was an adjourned meeting of the Canadian Executive Committee, at which I was present, and we finally arrived at this conclusion, which was exactly what the special committee of this Club had agreed upon, that the Canadian Kennel Club should be the Canadian Advisory Board of the American Kennel Club; that all questions relating to Canada should be acted upon by that Committee, or that Board, subject, however, to confirmation or appeal to this Club; that every show held in Canada must be held in Canada must pay to the American Kennel Club the sum of Ten Dollars, the same as we exact from our members; that the Canadian Kennel Club must cease receiving money for registrations; that all listings for Canadian Shows must be made with the American Kennel Club. That was agreed upon by the Canadian Executive, they adding to it a few conditions, viz: that so far as challenge classes are concerned we should make the same exception in their favor that we did upon the Pacific Coast; that Canada should be entitled to a representative in the American Kennel Club, with three delegates, one of which was
to be chairman of the Canadian Executive Board, and by virtue of that position, he
was to have a seat upon our Executive Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: Where do the other two come from?
MR. VREDENBURGH: They are to be appointed by the
C.K.C.

THE CHAIRMAN: What became of the registrations in the
Canadian’s Stud Book?

MR. VREDENBURGH: The question of registrations was
touched upon and settled in this way, that all living dogs that had been registered
with the C.K.C. could be registered with the American Kennel Club free of charge,
provided the application for said registration was made within a stated period of time,
and was certified by the Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club, that that dog was
living, and has been registered with them. The question was then asked as to
whether we would recognize the wins made under Canadian Club Rules,
subsequent to the rescinding of our reciprocity treaty, of 1892. I told them that that
was out of the question, and so far
as I was concerned as a member of this special committee, I should certainly
oppose it, and they finally abandoned that question. That was practically the
agreement that we arrived at, and which this special committee was ready to
recommend for adoption at this meeting. They promised to send me a memorandum
of this agreement immediately. Upon my return to New York, I received a letter from
the Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club, saying that they thought best to call a
regular meeting of the Canadian Kennel Club, and have it formally adopted by them
before sending to us. They held that meeting on May 7th, and two or three days
thereafter sent me the memorandum, but this memorandum is not what we agreed
upon. They had changed it materially, and the Committee – of at least Mr. Watson
and myself, - Major Taylor being on the Pacific Coast – thought best to simply make
this statement that I have made, to read the memorandum that they sent us, and to
leave it to the delegates at this meeting to do as they saw fit without any
recommendation whatever from your special committee. That will properly come up
under the head of new business.

Mr. Schellhass moved that the report of the special committee be received
and placed on file.
Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the following Kennel names were granted:

“Bayonne”, Mr. Leslie A. Burritt; “Beaumaris”, Mr. Bulkley Well; "Bridge", Mr. J. H. Mullins; “Dorchester” Mr. C. C. Kammerer; “Fashion”, Mr. H. Shearman; “Golden”, Mr. J. B. Martin; “Scaleby”, Mr. John A. Detweller; “Shadyside”, Messrs. Frederick S. Stedman and W. F. Render; “Tippecanoe”, Joseph Meisenheimer;
“Verona”, Ms. P. A. Hearst, and MR. J. E. de Ruyter.

On motion the charges of misconduct preferred by Mr. W. L. Washington against Mr. Alfred von Cotzhausen, of Milwaukee were referred to the Executive Board.

On motion the complaint of Mr. F. P. Kirby made in behalf of Mr. Walter D> M. Griscome, against the National Greyhound Club for non-payment of the Club medal won at the late New York Show was also referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Vredenburgh state that a delegate of the Masoutah Kennel Club called upon him to-day, and that as he was not able to be present at the meeting he left a letter, which the Secretary promised to refer to the Club. The letter was read, and on motion referred to the Committee on Rules.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I think my quarterly report covers the question of A. Russell Crowell accepting money on behalf of the American Kennel Club, and forgetting to send the same to the A.K.C. I have sent that to the Pacific Advisory Board with a request to investigate and report.

I have a letter here from Harry Malcolm in which he appeals for reinstatement. Mr. Malcolm has been suspended for a number of years, since November 16th, 1890. He was connected with the Maryland Kennel Club, and it was owing to trouble between the American Kennel Club and that Club, when they sent a delegate here whom we refused to accept.

Mr. Watson moved that the penalty of suspension be removed.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Wilmerding and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I desire to bring to your attention the question of the liberality of the judges upon the Pacific Coast. It looks very much as though the judge simply filled his had with equal firsts and second and third, closed his eyes and threw them, and wherever they struck, that dog received a record of equal first
or second. The record shows who the judge is. As all these awards are published in the Stud Book, I thought I might get some instruction from the Stud Book Committee. This generous distribution of equal prizes only happens on the Pacific Coast.

Some discussion followed, in which the opinion was freely stated that the practice referred to was reprehensible, and that some action should be taken, if possible, to stop it.

MR. WATSON: I think it would be a good idea to pass a resolution to refer it to the Committee on Rules. That would draw the attention of the Committee on Rules to the kind of judging at California Shows, and they will be confronted with the question whether or not they can devise a rule which will meet the case. I make such a motion.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: At the last meeting of the Executive Board, I was directed to notify the Bull Terrier Club of America to show cause why they should not be expelled, their membership being considered prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club. That notice was sent before the tenth of April, and there has been no reply to it. They do not see fit to show cause. They have been suspended now for year. I would state that there is a new Club now, known as the American Bull Terrier Club.

Mr. Hunnewell moved that the Bull Terrier Club of America be dropped from the roll of membership, and that their forfeit of Ten Dollars be returned to them.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then called up the question of the proposed relief to the Dog Show clubs whose forfeit of Twenty-five Dollars have been forfeited by the American Kennel Club under the rule for violations of the rule.

Mr. Bernheimer moved that the moneys be refunded with a warning.

Motion seconded by Mr. Burritt.

Mr. Bernheimer withdrew his motion on Mr. Watson moved to lay the matter on the table pending the report of the Committee on rules.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Seattle Kennel Club is in arrears for dues. We gave them extra tie for the reason that they notified us that their money was tied up in a Bank that failed. I have written them twice since the Annual Meeting and the
Pacific Advisory Board has also written them, and they pay no attention whatever to It. I think after an interval of three months, they have had sufficient time, and I recommend that they be dropped the same as the other Clubs were in February.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the Seattle Kennel Club be dropped for non-payment of dues.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Now we come to the Canadian Kennel Club. I think you are familiar with the action that was taken at the Toronto meeting.

The memorandum of agreement drawn by the representatives of the Canadian Kennel Club after the conference with Mr. Vredenburgh on behalf of the special committee appointed by the American Kennel Club was read.

MR. BURRITT: It appears that in the drawing of that proposition they have endeavored to keep as conspicuous as possible the words “Canadian Kennel Club”, whereas, as I understand Mr. Vredenburgh’s proposition to them, they should be known as the Canadian Advisory Board of the American Kennel Club.

MR. VREDENBURGH: That was it exactly. The Club is composed of individuals, and they pay Two Dollars a year dues. In consideration of that they are allowed so many free registrations in the Stud Book.

THE CHAIRMAN: We do the same thing. Why can’t they come in under the same rule?

MR. VREDENBURGH: They cannot under that agreement. How would they hold their organization? They say we have go to offer some inducement to the members to retain their membership. I told them they would have to devise some means for doing that. Here is the Canadian Kennel Club Stud Book for three years. It is composed of fifty-five pages, and they have not published a Stud Book for six years. Their Secretary told me that a most liberal estimate of their yearly registrations would be two hundred and fifty, and how they can expect to publish a Stud Book annually on an income of $250. I am unable to see. They give nothing for the price of registration. They simply give the dog’s sire and dam, and there it ends.

MR. BURRITT: I move that as the proposition submitted by the Canadian Kennel Club is totally at variance with the report made to us by our Special Committee appointed to confer with them, that the proposition be returned to the Canadian Kennel Club with the advice that we cannot accept it, or any proposition
which is not entirely in line with the report made to us by our Committee, a copy of which is enclosed herewith.

Motion seconded by Mr. Hunnewell.

Mr. Watson did not favor sending this memorandum of agreement back to them, but advised further consideration of the matter.

MR. BURRITT: It seems to me that the Canadian Kennel Club is still fighting for its existence and its individuality. The proposition which was brought here by our Committee to-day thoroughly wiped them out, and as I look at the situation, either the Canadian Kennel Club accepts such an agreement as was laid down by our representative, or the day is coming when the individual bench show club of Canada will solicit membership in the American Kennel Club the same as any other bench show club in the United States. If that day comes, we receive a delegate from Hamilton, Toronto, Montreal, or any other bench show club that pleases to organize, and secures membership here, and we get their membership fee and they are under our control just the same as any United States club. I think Canada recognizes that fact, and does not want to see such a situation. In the proposition which they have sent here to-day, they refuse to sink their individuality. In every word and in every line of that contract which they offer us, they force to the front as prominently as they can the Canadian Kennel Club. They ignore the title, “Canadian Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club”, which they should be known. I fail to see what we have to gain. They have everything to gain: We have nothing to lose, we are in the position where we can offer to these gentlemen, “You take our terms, or you stay out of the game.” We do not need them. They need us, and I think we can afford to stand squarely on our feet and tell them so.

Mr. Burritt’s motion was then carried.

MR. WATSON: I would like to submit an article that appeared in the Sun this morning, and a preamble and resolution which I have prepared on the subject.

The article referred to, and the preamble and resolution are as follows: “They don’t want our dogs.” London, May 19th. The White Star Line Steamer Majestic which sailed to-day from Liverpool for New York, has on board copies of an order issued by the British Board of Agriculture in consequence of the hydrophobia scare arising in part from the practice of bringing dogs to Great Britain from American ports. The order will be posted throughout the United States. Its enforcement is likely
to cause trouble, as it is the most stringent precautionary measure of the kind ever adopted here. It provides that no dogs shall be allowed to enter Great Britain after September without a special license issued by the Board of Agriculture, which can be obtained only after going through many formalities. Details for the identification of the dog must be given, as well as the address of the owner, where the animal going to land, the route by which it is to travel, etc. In case of violation of any of the provisions of the order, the dog will be liable to six months quarantine at the expense of the owner.”

Whereas, it is reported in the public press that the British Board of Agriculture has issued orders restricting the importation of dogs from America, based upon the idea that rabies is prevalent here; and

Whereas, it is well known to those most closely connected with dogs as owners and breeders that rabies is practically unknown in this country, and that alleged cases of hydrophobia arising from the bites of dogs claimed to be rabid are in nearly every case published for sensational purposes, and with no knowledge of the actual condition of the dog, and the alleged cure of such persons is therefore purely imaginary and mercenary, be it therefore.

Resolved, that a special committee may be appointed by this Club, whose duty it shall be to obtain information of a reliable nature regarding the existence of rabies in the United States, by communicating with dog owners throughout the country, and by such further steps as it may deem necessary.

Resolution seconded and adopted.

The Chairman stated that he would within a short time appoint a committee of five, three of whom would be well known expert veterinarians, and two members of the Club.

The meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, SEPTEMBER 23RD, 1897.

Vice-President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present
Associates Members, A. C. Wilmerding
H. K. Bloodgood
G. W. H. Ritchie

American Fox Terrier Club H. H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Scottish Terrier Club James L. Little
Boston Terrier Club L. A. Burritt
Butterfly Bench Show Association Dudley E. Waters
Collie Club of America James Watson
Columbus Fanciers’ Club J. M. Taylor
Gordon Setter Club James B. Blossom
Irish Setter Club G. H. Thomson
Mascoutah Kennel Club C. F. R, Drake
Metropolitan Kennel Club G. M. Carnochan
National Beagle Club Edward Brooks
Pointer Club of America George Jarvis
San Francisco Kennel Club C. B. Knocker
Southern California Kennel Club C. B. Bernheimer
American Dachshund Club Dr. C. Motschenbacher
M. A. A. C. Rod & Gun Club W. F. Hobbie
American Spaniel Club Marcel A. Viti

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting, as published in the Gazette was dispensed with.

Credentials of the following named gentlemen to represent the following named Clubs were read, and the delegates duly elected.

M. A. A. C. Rod and Gun Club. W. F. Hobbie
The Secretary read his quarterly report, which on motion was accepted and placed on file. It is as follows:

New York, Sept. 22, 1897.

To the delegates of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last meeting I have received the following applications for admission to active membership, and present them duly approved by the Committee on Membership.

June 2 – Victoria Kennel Club
July 17 – Pacific Mastiff Club
July 20 – Bull Terrier Club of America
July 22 – Pointer Club of California
July 22 – Cocker Spaniel Club of California
July 31 – Rhode Island Kennel Club
Sept 13 – California Collie Club

The following credentials have also been filed with me, all prior to twenty days previous to this meeting, as provided for in our constitution.

June 1 – M. A. A. C. Rod & Gun Club, appointing Mr. Wm. F. Hobbie as its delegate.
June 7 – Pacific Fox Terrier Club, appointing Mr. Bernard Waters, as its delegate.
June 17 – Milwaukee Kennel & Pet Stock Assn., appointing Mr. James Mortimer, as its delegate.
July 8 – American Dachshund Club, appointing Dr. C. Motschenbacher as is delegate.
July 17 – American Spaniel Club, appointing Mr. Marcel A. Viti, as its delegate.
I have also to report the following claims for Kennel names, duly filed with me, and as they do not conflict with names already registered, I would respectfully recommend the granting of the applications:

July 24 – Highland View, by Geo. N. Clemson
Aug 10 – Providence, by Harry J. Bascom
Sept 18 – Fenton, by H. T. Cousins
Sept 23 – Banner, by H. E. Smyth

I submit a notice from the St. Bernard Club of America, to the effect that at a meeting of the Governors of said club, held Sept. 15, 1897, it was ordered that said Club be disbanded and notice sent to this club of such action. I would recommend that the St. Bernard Club of America be dropped from the roll.

I have a communication from the American Spaniel Club, informing us of its action in suspending from its membership Messrs. R. Toon and George Thomas acting under the firm of Toon & Thomas, for dishonorable practices in connection with bench shows.

Mr. John P. Cameron, Graham, Va., has filed notice that the Kentucky Kennel Club has failed to pay him a prize won by his dog, at its late show March 17-20, 1897. Notice was sent by me to Mr. F. J. Hagan, Secretary, to the above effect, and a reply dated September 15, 1897, was received that the prize had been sent but must have miscarried, and that another medal would be forwarded at the earliest possible convenience. A later letter, dated September 20th, has been received, informing me that a second medal had been sent.

A Great Dane registered as "Osceola Chummie" No. 26303 has been shows, and winning, throughout this years circuit, under the name of "Chummie", No. 26303. Its owner appeals to this Club to permit the winnings to stand as records, and states that the dog was entered at all shows by his agent, J. B. Lewis, and that he has no desire or intention of violating the A.K.C. rules by dropping the prefix "Osceola." That in all cases his stud book number was correctly given, and that he did not know that a prefix was part of a name. I advised him that I would refer the matter to the A.K.C. for its decision, and would further state that this dog’s previous winnings still stand to its credit, pending the decision of this meeting.
By direction of your Executive Board, I went to San Francisco, to hear an charges that might be brought forward, that needed investigation on the Pacific Coast, and to act in connection with the Pacific Advisory Board, in the matter of charges brought against H.T. Payne by the St. Bernard Club of California, and to hear the evidence, in support of statements made against James Mortimer, in his official capacity as a judge at the late Oakland Show. Mr. Payne filed a demurrer against the right of the A.K.C. to order an investigation, and in view of the action of the Pacific Advisory Board, the St. Bernard Club of California, withdraw its charges. The meetings at San Francisco were reported by a stenographer, and I will present a verbatim report of the proceedings for your consideration. I will also present a statement receive from Mr. Payne since my return, in connection with the report.

As you are all aware, the Executive Board executed an agreement with the Canadian Kennel Club, which took effect July 17, 1897. The late Toronto show was held subject to that agreement. Under Art. VIII, either club had the right to cancel said agreement, upon serving six months notice of a desire for such cancellation. Under date of Sept. 11th, 1897, the Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club, served a notice on this Club that at the end of six months said agreement shall be cancelled, by direction of the Canadian Kennel Club, at its meeting held September 9th, 1897. I would recommend that a resolution be passed at this meeting, waiving the six months notice, and that the existing agreement of July 17th, 1897, be cancelled at once. In connection with this matter, I would state that the Toronto show, deposited $25.00 with its claim for dates, that the Secretary C.K.C. advised me of its receipt by him, and that up to this time he has failed to forward said amount to this office. Art. IV. Of the agreement provides that the C.K.C. shall be held responsible for the fulfillment of all requirements of the A.K.C. by clubs holding shows under C.K.C. recommendation, and one of the requirements of Rule I and II, A.K.C. rules is that “Application for dates must be filed with the Secy. A.K.C.” x x x and that “Application for dates must be accompanied by a fee of $25.00. x x x I advised the Secretary C.K.C. that I was astonished to find that upon my return from the west, said deposit had not been forwarded to this office, and requested a prompt remittance. Mr. Donovan’s reply will be presented to you.

Throughout this years circuit, clubs having violated any of the A.K.C. rules, had their deposits of $25.00 forfeited under the rules. The violations in some cases
were trivial, but as the rules made no distinction, all were alike treated. The amounts thus forfeited remained in our treasury. As I understand the matter, the A.K.C. has no desire to profit by the mistakes of its members, but as a cure for carelessness or negligence, a penalty was provided. I believe the lesson taught has had the desired effect, and that in the future more care will be exercised by show officers and committees in following the rules adopted for their guidance. I would therefore recommend, that in all cases, where the deposit has been declared forfeited on account of the violations of the rules during 1897, that the Secretary be directed to transfer said deposits, to apply to the credit of deposits for claims for dates for shows of 1898, and in a case a club whose deposit having been forfeited, fails to hold a show in 1898, then in that case that the said deposit be absolutely forfeited to the A.K.C.

A request from the Sportman’s Review for a complimentary completion of its set of Stud Books has been received, and I would recommend that the same be granted.

The Special Committee appointed at the last meeting to investigate the subject of the existence of Rabies in this country, not having been able to complete its labors, respectfully report progress.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH,
Secretary.

--------000--------

The Treasurer’s Report was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file. It is as follows:

New York, September 22nd, 1897.

To the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all monies received and disbursed by me since January 1st, 1897.

Balance on hand to January 1, 1897, . . . . . . . $3,256.97
Receipts from all sources to date, . . . . . . . 7,030.61
Total, . . . . . . . . . . . . 10,287.58
Disbursements from January 1, 1897, . . . . . . . 6,557.83
Balance on hand, . . . . . . . . . . . . $3,729.75

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH,
Treasurer.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have the report of the Executive Board of July 17th, 1897, which was published in full in the July Gazette.

The Chairman: If there is no objection the report of the Advisory Committee will stand as published in the Gazette.

The report of the Pacific Advisory Board was read, and

San Francisco, Aug. 27, 1897.

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secy. A. K. C.,
New York,

Dear Sir:

The following is a report of the Pacific Advisory Board’s meetings of Aug, 3, 18th, 23rd, 24th and 25th, the first date was our regular meeting, the other four special.


F. W. D. Evelyn, elected Chairman
W. M. Gibson, “ vice :
R. K. Gardiner secretary.

The Secretary then read two letters from Mr. Vredenburgh, Secy. A. K. C. in regard to receiving money (5.00) from Mr. Crowell, also resolutions adopted by the A.K.C. in regard to charges against H. T. Payne by the St. Bernard Club and Mr. Mortimer asking the Pacific Advisory Board to investigate.

We then adjourned until the arrival of the Secretary of the A. K. C. from New York and fixed next meeting August 18.
Aug. 18 – Present, Dr. Evelyn De Ruyter, Keene, Gray, Gibson, Cluness and Gardiner.

Mr. Vredenburgh put question is the board legal as the July meeting was postponed by the Secretary (Payne) and Aug. notices did not state for annual election. All members stated that as a matter of fact they all knew that on Aug. 3, the election would occur, and the election of present officers confirmed by vote 7 – 0.

Mr. DeRuyter moved that charges against H. T. Payne be brought up MOndau, Aug. 23, seconded by Gibson, vote 7 – 0.

By vote Mertin Allen was permitted to represent Mr. Mortimer. Then adjourned until Monday, Aug. 23.

Aug. 23rd – Mr. Gibson moved that we proceed with all matters referred to us by the A.K.C. and find a verdict. Vote Yes, 5 – No. 2.

Payne on being called upon stated that he had no charges to make, and no evidence to give. Mr. Gibson then offered the following which was adopted.

RESOLVED: That the Pacific Advisory Board, having called upon H.T. Payne to supply the evidence to substantiate the publish statements made by him regarding James Mortimer as Judge at the late Oakland Bench Show in accordance with the Resolution and direction of the American Kennel Club, and the said H.T. Payne having refused to present or supply any evidence to substantiate said public statements.

RESOLVED: That the Pacific Advisory Board finds as a fact that the said H. T. Payne has failed and refused to supply the evidence which the American Kennel Club has called upon him, through the Pacific Advisory Board, to supply.

We then adjourned until Aug. 24.

Aug 24th – Mr. H. T. Payne was asked again to give the proofs he had against Mr. Mortimer, on refusing to do so, the following was adopted:

WHEREAS, There appeared in a paper, FIELD SPORTS, - May 27th, 1897, an article making certain grave charges against JAMES MORTIMER, as Judge at the late OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, Bench show, and

WHEREAS: Mr. H. T. Payne has admitted before this Pacific Advisory Board that he was the author of said article and charges; and

WHEREAS: The American Kennel Club holds to the policy that it is the absolute duty of any one belonging to any Club under the jurisdiction of The
American Kennel Club and having personal knowledge of any improper act or acts committed by an Judge of any show, to prefer formal charges against such person to the end that the same may be properly investigated and the truth or falsity of the charges ascertained; and

WHEREAS: The said H. T. Payne has publicly stated, that he stands ready, willing and anxious to sustain the said charges made by him against the said Mortimer, and

WHEREAS, when the said Payne was called upon by this Board under directions from the American Kennel Club to bring forward his proof to substantiate his said charges, refused so to do, basing his refusal upon the ground that in as much as the charges were published in a newspaper, the matter as not the subject or investigation by this Board, or the American Kennel Club for want of jurisdiction, and

WHEREAS, this Board is willing and anxious to afford the said Payne an opportunity of proving said charges made by him, and

WHEREAS: This Board concurs with the American Kennel Club in the belief that is Mr. Payne has knowledge of any fraud perpetrated by Mr. Mortimer as judge of said Oakland Show, or any unfair or dishonest act performed by him, that then it is his bounden duty as a dog fancier to regularly prefer charges against Mr. Mortimer, and that in the present condition of affairs he cannot, with honor to himself and credit to his good name, refuse so to so. Therefore be it

RESOLVED: by the Pacific Advisory Board, that Mr. Payne be, and he is hereby requested to file charges, if any he have, against James Mortimer, as Judge of the late Oakland Bench Show, so that the same may be properly investigated to the end that the truth may be ascertained.

RESOLVED: That we request that at this time Mr. Payne will give this Board a positive answer as to whether or not he will comply with this request.

Mr. Payne answered that the American Kennel Club has no jurisdiction whatever over the press. The following resolution was passed:

WHEREAS: Mr. H. T. Payne has by resolution of this Pacific Advisory Board been requested to present charges against James Mortimer as Judge of the late Oakland Bench Show of any misconduct of the said Mortimer which has come to the knowledge of the said H.T. Payne, and
WHEREAS: The said H. T. Payne has refused to present any charges, and has utterly failed to substantiate the charges made by him in FIELD SPORTS, on May 27th, 1897, and
WHEREAS: This Board was directed by the American Kennel Club to investigate said matter, and
WHEREAS: This Board has no evidence before it to prove said charges. Therefore be it.
RESOLVED: That the Pacific Advisory Board finds as a fact that the charges made by Mr. Payne in the columns of FIELD SPORTS against Mr. Mortimer are untrue and without foundation is fact.

---------
The case of the St. Bernard Club against Payne, as Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Board was dropped as Payne is not now a member of the Pacific Advisory Board, so there could be no charge.

Adjourned then until August 25.

Chair read. Charges against B. Strauss and Wade Collins, as follows:

Geo. S. Fife
Vs Fraudulent pedigree of St.
B. Strauss, and Bernard dog pup.
Wade Collins

Collins said he knew pedigree to be false at time of sale.

Strauss was given time to refund the money to Mr. Fife, as he (Strauss) claims he did not know the pedigree was false. The meeting then adjourned; our next regular meeting will be Sept. 7.

Respectfully
A. P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,

Secty, Am. Kennel Club.
55 Liberty St.
New York.

San Francisco, Sept. 9, 1897.
Dear Sir:

Our monthly meeting of Sept. 7th was adjourned without any business being transacted, on account of no quorum present.

I am,

Yours truly,

R. K. GARDINER,

Secty.

Pac. Ad. Board,

Of the A.K.C.

Mr. Burritt moved that the report be laid on the table and taken up under the head of new business.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:

New York, September 22\textsuperscript{nd}, 1897.

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

The difficulty in obtaining replies in two cases now under consideration, and absence from the City of members of the Committee since a third case has been submitted, renders the present report a brief one.

The Committee has been requested to decide whether giving a kennel prefix to a dog previously registered is an alteration of the dog’s name such as is prohibited by the rules, and it has decided that it is not allowable. The case is this: Mr. S. P. Martin of Aux Vasse, Mo., has the registered prefix “Missouri”. He bought the bloodhound Lincoln and wished him registered as “Missouri Lincoln.” The Secretary wrote him that it could not be done, and Mr. Martin asked that it be passed upon by the Committee.

Yours respectfully,

JAS. WATSON

On motion the report of the Stud Book Committee was received and its action confirmed.
Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a long report here from the Committee on Rules, which has been published.

The Chairman: If there is no objection it will be laid on the table and taken up under the head of new business.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have applications of Clubs for admission to membership, among them the application of the Victoria Kennel Club of British Columbia, which was filed with me on June 2nd. In negotiating with the Canadian Kennel Club, before we brought about the existing agreement, we made an exception in the conditions of that agreement, so that the British Columbia Club should be excepted. It did come within the Dominion of Canada, but it belonged to the Pacific Coast, and as such it ought to be entitled to representation on the Pacific Advisory Board. The Canadian Kennel Club objected to that exception, and the agreement was apt to fall through, and it would not waive that exception. In the mean time I wrote to the Victoria Kennel Club, laying the case before it, and I have a reply, which I will read, in which it protests very strongly against any such action. The Committee, in order to meet the views of the Canadian Kennel Club, gave them the entire Dominion of Canada, including British Columbia, and the Show to be held next month by the Victoria Kennel Club would have been held under American Kennel rules, but as a member of Canada. The notice that we have received from the Canadian Kennel Club, advising us of their desire to cancel the agreement, I think if we adopt the recommendation that I have made to waive the six month’s notice and cancel it at this meeting, we can then elect this Victoria Kennel Club as a member of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Watson: I move that the matter be laid on the table and taken up in connection with the Canadian Kennel Club matter.

Motion seconded and carried.

Applications from the following named Clubs for admission to membership in the American Kennel Club were read, and such applications being proper form, and having been approved by the Membership Committee, said Clubs were duly elected: California Collie Club, Cocker Spaniel Club of California, Pointer Club of California, Pacific Mastiff Club, Rhode Island Kennel Club and Bull Terrier Club of America.
The applications for kennel names were on motion granted as follows:

On motion the St. Bernard Club of America was dropped from the roll, it having served notice that the Club has disbanded.

Mr. Hunnewell moved that the report of the Committee on Rules for consideration at this time.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the proposed amendments to the rules.

The Chairman stated that if there was no objection to the rules as they were being read, they would be considered adopted.

Mr. Watson moved that rule 4 of Classes be amended by inserting in the third line, after the word, “puppy”, “and novice.”

Mr. Blossom said that he could not see why the classifications should be changed at all; that he had never heard of any call for it, and that it seemed to him that it would result in upsetting all previous classifications, which he did not approve, in view of the fact that in his opinion there was no demand for it, and moved that the whole subject be deferred until the Annual Meeting.

Mr. Hunnewell advocated the propose change, and said that there was considerable demand for it as evidenced to him by meetings with a large number of dog men and the receipt of letters and also a telegram from the Westminster Kennel Club in favor of after some further discussion Mr. Blossom’s motion to defer action upon the proposed changes in the Classifications was put and lost.

Mr. Waters moved that rule be dropped entirely from the Classification.

The motion made to amend Classification rule No. 4, that novice class be excepted was then put and carried.

Classification Rule No. 5, was read, and there being no objection, was adopted.

Classification Rule 6, was read.

Mr. Garnachan moved to amend the same by inserting that three wins in the free for all class shall constitute the title of champion, and that one of those three wins in the free for all class must be at a show where cash prizes exceeding $1,000., are offered.
Motion seconded and lost.

Major Taylor said he considered the rule as published was sufficient for all purposes.

Mr. Hunnewell: I move to amend Rule 6, so that it shall read, three first prizes, instead of four.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Little moved to amend Rule 6, by adding at the end of the first paragraph the words, “No entry free shall be charged for exhibits in the winners’ class.”

Motion seconded and carried.

Classification No. 7, was read and adopted.

On motion the sixth paragraph of Rule XXII., “When a dog has been pronounced by the Veterinary Surgeon as suffering from any contagious or objectionable disease,” was stricken out.

The 13th paragraph of Rule XXII was amended to read as follows: “When a dog by authority of its owner is led into the judging ring by, or is in charge or, a person not in good standing.”

The rules of the American Kennel Club governing Clubs holding Shows were then brought up and on motion the words in the first paragraph, “or that may be recommended by the Canadian Advisory Board” were stricken out, and Mr. Watson moved that the rules be adopted as a whole.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The American Spaniel Club has appointed a new delegate in the place of Mr. E. M. Oldham, and he has been elected. That makes a vacancy in the Chairmanship of the Membership Committee, and also by virtue of that Chairmanship, a member of the Executive Board. That Championship should be filled at this meeting Chairman of the Membership Committee.

Major Taylor: I haven’t the slightest objection to Mr. Carnochan, but I think as Mr. Viti succeeded Mr. Oldham as delegate, it would be proper that he should be named to fill that vacancy, and I therefore nominate him.

Mr. Viti declined, and Mr. Carnochan was duly elected. The Secretary then read the following:

Toronto, September 11th, 1897.
Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secy. A.K.C.,
New York,

Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that the following motion was passed with but one dissenting vote at the annual meeting of the Canadian Kennel Club, held here on Sept. 9th. “Moved by Mr. Gibson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, and carried, That the agreement entered into between the A.K.C. and the Executive Committee of the C.K.C. be not sustained by this meeting, and that due notice be given the A.K.C. that at the end of six months is shall be cancelled.”

Kindly accept this as the required notice and govern yourself accordingly.

Yours truly,

H.B. DONOVAN

Mr. Jarvis moved that the notice be accepted.

Mr. Burritt: I would like to amend that by moving that the letter be accepted, and that the Secretary of this Club be directed to notify the Canadian Kennel Club that with their concurrence we will not wait the six months, but will terminate the agreement at once. I do not think we want to do anything ungentlemanly, and while they undoubtedly deserve having all our contempt rubbed in on them just as hard as we know, still they have given us the six months notice in accordance with the agreement, and it rest with them, I think, to say whether it should be earlier or not, if we concur. Undoubtedly they will be glad to terminate it at the earliest possible date, or they would not have taken the action that they have. I think it is no more than due to the dignity of the American Kennel Club’s position that they have given the Canadian Kennel Club the opportunity to say whether that agreement shall endure six months longer or not.

Major Taylor: I see but one objection to Mr. Burritt’s motion, and that is it will not leave us free to act upon the application of the Victoria Kennel Club at this meeting.

Mr. Bernheimer: According to their resolution the agreement has not been sustained by the Club. Then the question arises was the agreement made to be sustained by that Club? Did they give their Committee full power to act to make the agreement, or did they instruct their Committee to make an agreement and submit it
to the Club. If that is what they told their Committee to do, and it has not been sustained, there has been no agreement, and it could be waived.

Mr. Vredenburgh: This is simply a question of dollars and cents. From this time out the Canadian Kennel Club has the whip hand. If we have to wait the six months, they are going to take advantage of the terms of the agreement and agreement and get in all the registrations, and the registration of prefixes at the discount of fifty per cent. We have nothing to gain from now on because there are to be no Shows, and they have everything to gain. They get the Stud Book.

Mr. Carnochan: I move an amendment to the motion that the notice be accepted and that they be notified that we waive the six months notice.

Amendment accepted, seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh then read the following:

Toronto, Sept. 20, 1897.

A. P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,
Secy, A. K. C.
New York.

Dear Sir:

This has not yet been received by me. I have notified the Sup’r. that it must be sent me at once when I will immediately forward it to you.

Toronto made deposit. I am instructed by Mr. Sweetman to say that his and the committees reading of Article IV. Of the A.K.C. – C.K.C. agreement was that $10. was all the necessary money to be forwarded the A.K.C. under this rule. He is still of this opinion.

Yrs. Truly,

H. B. DONOVAN,
Secy. C.K. Board

Mr. Carnochan: I move that the Secretary be directed to write to the Canadian Executive Committee, saying that they will be held responsible for penalties incurred by the Toronto Kennel Club in their catalogue, if any exist.

Motion seconded and carried.
Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a communication here from the Spaniel Club, notifying us of the suspension from membership in that club, of Messrs. R. Toon and George Thomas for dishonorable practices in connection with Bench Shows.

Mr. Watson moved that it be referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Viti asked for immediate action, if possible, For the reason that they, since their suspension, have continued to exhibit, and had in a way repeated the same offenses for which they had been suspended.

The Chairman: The Vice-President, having the power to suspend, will take the matter under advisement.

The application of the Victoria Kennel Club was then brought up, and the same having been approved by the Membership Committee, the Club was elected.

In the matter of showing the dog, “Osceola Chummie” No 26,303, under the name of “Chummie”, fully set out in the Secretary’s quarterly report, Mr. Little moved that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

In the matter of the notice filed with the American Kennel Club by Mr. John T. Cameron, of the failure of the Kentucky Kennel Club to pay him a prize won by his dog at its March Show, it was moved and seconded that the same be referred to the Executive Board.

Carried.

On motion of Mr. Burritt the recommendation contained in the Secretary’s quarterly report as to clubs having violated any of the rules, and whose deposits of $25.00 had been forfeited, that in all such cases the Secretary be directed to transfer said deposits, to be applied to the credit of deposits for claims for dates for Shows of 1898, was adopted.

On motion the request of the Sportsman’s Review for a complimentary completion of its set of stud books was referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have here a hand full of typewritten mater relating to the meetings of the Pacific Advisory Board, in the Payne-Mortimer – St. Bernard matter, at which I was present.

On motion it was referred to the Executive Board.

On motion the report of the Pacific Advisory Board was taken from the table, and referred to the Executive Board.
Major Taylor: I want to call attention to a matter that came under my observation at the Oakland Show and get from the Club an expression of its view. It was a case where a dog had been entered in the small pointer class, and he proved to be too large and over weigh, and was therefore disqualified. The question came up whether or not that dog could compete for a special prizes for the best pointer in the Show. I ruled that he could; that he could not be disqualified from competing for a special prize, because he was ineligible to compete in his class.

It was moved and seconded that it is the sense of ________________ with the conditions of the class in which he is shown, that does not disqualify him from competing for specials offered in its breed to which he may be eligible.

Carried.

The Vice-President issued a notice of suspension against Messrs. R. Toon and George Thomas, the same to remain in force until the charges preferred against them by the American Spaniel Club can be heard by the Executive Board.

The Meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, THURSDAY,
DECEMBER 16TH, 1897.

In the absence of the President, Vice-President and President of the
Associate Members, Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh was elected Chairman of the meeting.

Present:
Associate Members A. C. Wilmerding
                    H. K. Bloodgood
                    G. W. H. Ritchie

American Dachshund Club C. Motschenbacher
American Spaniel Club M. A. Viti
Bull Dog Club of America E. Willard Roby
Columbus Fanciers’ Club J. M. Taylor
French Bull Dog Club W. W. Watrous
Gordon Setter Club J. B. Blossom
Metropolitan Kennel Club G. M. Cornochan
National Beagle Club H. F. Schellhass
Oakland Kennel Club Elliot Smith
Pacific Fox Terreir Club B. Waters
Pointer Club George Jarvis
Rhode Island Kennel Club W. C. Codman
Southern California Kennel Club C. D. Bernheimer
Mascoutah Kennel Club C. F. R. Drake

Credentials were read of the following named delegates to represent the
following named Clubs, and were duly elected by ballot, Mr. Schellhass acting as
teller:

French Bull Dog Club, W. W. Watrous; Bull Terrier Club of America, Arthur
Thompson; Pacific Mastiff Club, Eratus Hamilton; St. Bernard Kennel Club of
California, G. B. Sykes; Rhode Island Kennel Club, W. C. Codman; Oakland Kennel
Club, Elliot Smith.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting, such minutes
having been published in the Gazette, was dispensed with, and approval as
published.

The secretary read his report, as follows;

New York, December 15, 1897.

To The American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:

The following credentials have been filed, and approved the Membership Committee:

September 17, 1897. Oakland Kennel Club, appointing Elliot Smith
October 13, 1897. Rhode Island Kennel Club, appointing W. C. Codman
October 18, 1897. St. Bernard Club of California, appointing G. B. Sykes
October 22, 1897. Pacific Mastiff Club, appointing Erastus Hamilton
November 1, 1897. French Bull Dog Club, appointing Walter W. Watrous
November 24, 1897. Bull Terrier Club of America, appointing Arthur Thompson

I also present the following claims for the registration of kennel names, and as they do not conflict with any registered, would respectfully recommend the granting of the same:

Holroyd, by William L. Andrus
Norfolk, by George H. Gooderham
Royal Blue, by F. H. Von Waffernstein
Westchester, by F. M. McWilliams

I beg to report the filing of charges by Robert Hoodless against the Kentucky Kennel Club for non-payment of a prize won by his dog at the late Louisville Show. Notice was sent to the Secretary by registered mail, and his receipt through the Post Office is on file, but up to this time he has made no reply to said notice.

I have to report that one P. F. O’Neil entered an Irish setter named “Hunter”, at the Westminster Kennel Club Show of 1897, giving his date of birth as March 31, 1896, and again entered the same dog at the Metropolitan Kennel Club Show of 1897, giving the date of birth as February 28th, 1895. I have called upon him for an explanation, and have his reply, and would recommend that the matter be referred to the Executive Board for investigation.

At the late Milwaukee Show which opened September ______ 1897, the Beagle “Sova”, was entered as owned by Lewis & _______. On September 23, 1897, George I. Thomas, once of the owners, was suspended by this Club, and as a sequence the dog “Sova” was likewise suspended. At the Danbury Show the entry was made by same owners, and declined as not being eligible, owing to said suspension. At Brooklyn the same dog was entered and
shown by Anna Lewis, as owner, and at Washington it was entered and shown by
Anna B. Lewis as owner, I have cancelled both wins made at Brooklyn and at
Washington, and notified Joseph Lewis to whom I understood the dog was
transferred at Washington, that he must not again show the dog. I think the matters
of these transfer should be looked into.

I have also cancelled the winnings of the pugs “Otteburn Penrice”, and
“Otterburn Treasure”, for having been entered at Brooklyn and Washington in
classes in which they were not eligible, and notified their owner, C. Y. Ford to
explain the matter. His reply is at hand, and will be submitted to the Executive
Board, if the matter is so referred.

The Russian wolf hound, “Olaf”, owned by George M. Keasbey, was entered
at Brooklyn with a wrong pedigree given. The owner discovered his mistake and
promptly notified the superintendent of the Brooklyn Show, stating that he had made
his entry while away from his home, and not having his records with him relied solely
upon his memory. Mr. Keasbey is well known, and I would therefore recommend that
no blame be attached to him for the violation other than the cancellation of the win at
Brooklyn, which I have done under the rules.

I beg to report that in the Brooklyn catalogue, I found seven apparent violation
of the rules, and having examined the original entry forms, found the violations to be
established facts. Explanation if noted in each case in the catalogue by the
Superintendent, attributing the blame to the printer. I have deferred acting in the
matter for forfeiture of the deposit owing to said explanations, and refer the matter to
this meeting for its pleasure.

The Executive Board having decided to award A.K.C. medals to all dogs
becoming champions of records on and after January, 1898, it has been requested
by an exhibitor that all present champions can obtain one of these medals upon
application and the payment of a sufficient amount to reimburse the Club for the
cost of the silver and stamping of the medals. The cost to this club would be covered
by $3.00 for each medal, and as there can be no possible harm in complying with
above requests, I would recommend that all “champions of records” up to January
1st, 1898, living or dead, shall be entitled to a champion medal, and that the same
will be supplied upon application from the owner, and the payment of the sum of $3.
00 to cover expenses.
I would respectfully ask permission to purchase another oak case for protesting or tin boxes of records, the one we now have being filled to its limit. I have an estimate of $_______ to cover cost of such a case, to correspond in every part _______ with the one we now have.

Mr. James B. Blossom, the delegate representing the Gordon Setter Club has filed the following notice of amendments to the rules, which will go into effect on January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1898: “By-laws, Sec. 3, rule 12, class 6, after the words, “one of which must be in the free-for-all-class”, add the words, “and one the senior class.” And after the words, “No class winner can be withdrawn from competition in the winners’ class”, add the words, “except those does which have already won their championship.”

The original pen and ink drawings of the dogs that have been illustrated in the Gazette since 1889, are now ready for sale, at prices ranging from $5.00 to $15.00. Under the resolution to sell the option will be given to the owners of the dogs so illustrated to purchase same at the prices marked until March 1, 1898, after which time they will be sold to any applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH,
Secretary.

On motion, said report was accepted as read.

The Treasurer read his report, as follows:

New York, December 15\textsuperscript{th}, 1897.

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1897:

\begin{itemize}
    \item Balance on hand, January 1, 1897, \ldots \ldots \ldots $3,256.97
    \item Receipts from all sources to date, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 9,030.44
    \item Total \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots $12,287.41
    \item Disbursements from January 1, 1897, \ldots \ldots \ldots 8,102.46
    \item Balance on hand, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots $4,184.95
\end{itemize}
I would also report that all bills for active and associate dues for the coming year were sent out November 30th, last, and prompt remittance is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH,
Treasurer.

On motion, said Treasurer’s report was accepted as read.

The report of the proceedings of the Executive Board was read as published, and on motion the same was accepted.

The Report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:

New York, December 9th, 1897

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee reports as follows on cases submitted to it by the Club.

In the Matter of L.A. UTTRICH

For giving false pedigrees of the English setter, “Ty-I.”

This seems to be one of the clearest cases of knowingly giving false pedigree, and the defendant mainly relies as a defense upon his not having sworn to the pedigree or sent in the registration of “Ty-I”. The Committee recommends his disqualification and the cancelling of the entry.

In the Matter of Affecting pedigrees of the bloodhound Dogs sold by LEWIS “June II”, and certain English setters.

MILLE R of West Chester, Pa.

There are two cases with but one origin, the dogs being purchased with pedigrees furnished by Miller of West Chester, Pa., who was some time ago disqualified for a number of fraudulent transactions. The dogs were sold to third
parties in good faith, and there seems to be nothing to recommend beyond the cancelling of any Stud Book entries that may be affected.

In the matter of Application for a ruling as to terms
The breeder of the Great Dane Leo G. of a contract.

Messrs. Losen and Gerhart, of Kansas Cit, Mo., exhibited a Great Dane named Leo G. this year giving themselves as breeders, which claim is disputed by W. H. Everts. Applicants submitted the original of a contract with said Everts which is as follows.

Kansas City, Mo. July 30, 1894.

The following agreement is this day made by and between Losen and Gerhart, of Kansas City, Mo., and W. M. Everts, of Topeka, Kansas. Mr. Everts agrees to keep, feed and breed Losen & Gerhart’s two Great Dane bitches, whelped October 9, 1894, by Prince Victor, dam Bauschau. One of the bitches is brindle in color, named Bessy, the other fawn, names Queen. In consideration Mr. Everts is to have (one-half) ½ of each litter of pups. Losen and Gerhart are to be at no expense whatever. Losen and Gerhart must not take these dogs from Mr. Everts while same are in whelp.

Losen and Gerhart.

W. M. Everts.

W. M. Everts agrees to keep the bitch Polly under same agreement as he keeps the bitches Queen and Bessie for Losen and Gerhart.

W. M. Everts

This contract is not a leasing of the bitches, but merely for the keep and care of them, and the manner of Everts’ remuneration.

It is therefore held that Losen and Gerhart, as the owners of the dam at the time she was bred for the Leo litter, are the breeders of that litter.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. WATSON,
Chairman Stud Book Committee.
Moved and seconded that the report of the Stud Book Committee be accepted and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

Carried.

The Report of the Pacific Advisory Board was read, as follows:

San Francisco, November 8th, 1897.

A. P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,
Secy. Am. Kennel Club,
New York

Dear Sir:

The Pacific Advisory Board did not have a meeting on November 2nd, as there was not a quorum present. I myself was sick in bed, at the time. We will try and get them all together for the December meeting.

Your truly,

R. K. GARDINER,
Secty. Pacific Ad. Board.

On motion, the following Kennel Names were granted: Holroyd, to William L. Andrus; “Norfolk”, to George H. Godderham; “Royal Blue” to F. H. von Waffenstein; “Westchester” to F. M. McWilliams.

On motion the charges filed by Robert Hoodless against the Kentucky Kennel Club for non-payment of prize won by his dog at the late Louisville Show was referred to the Executive Board.

In the matter of the discrepancy in the date of the birth of the Irish Setter, “Hunter” owned by P. F. O’Neil said dog having been shown at the Westminster Kennel Club show and the Metropolitan Kennel Club show under different dates of birth, it was moved and seconded that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Carried.

Concerning the Beagle “Sova”, which was entered at different shows under different alleged owners, as will more fully appear by reference to the Secretary’s Report, it was moved that the same be referred to the Executive Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the matter of the disqualification of the pugs “Otterburn Penrice”, and “Otterburn Treasure”, was also referred to the Executive Board for investigation.
In regard to the matter of the Russian wolf hound “Olaf”, owned by Mr. George M. Keasby, entered at Brooklyn with a wrong pedigree, Mr. Moritmer said: “Mr. Keasby came to me during the Brooklyn Show, the second or third day, -- after the judging was over, in regard to the matter, and I asked him to write me the particulars, which he did, and which are contained in the letter which I have handed over to our Secretary here, and which he has read. I think that all it is necessary to do under the circumstances is to cancel the dog’s win.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Keasby told me he would at once return the prize that had been paid him.

MR. WATROUS: I move that Mr. Keasby be exonerated from any intentional blame in the matter of the pedigree of the Russian wolf hound “Olak”, shown at the Brooklyn Show.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: In the matter of the violations of the rules at the Brooklyn Show, in going over the catalogue, I found seven apparent errors. Each one was explained in writing by Mr. Mortimer, the superintendent. I called for the blanks and found that they were correct. Originally it is simply a matter on my part to declare the date deposit forfeited, but in this case, Mr. Mortimer, whom we all know, has explained to us that the errors were one entirely to the printer.

MR. MORTIMER: In explanation of those errors, which occur in the book and catalogue I would like to state that they are entirely the fault of the printer. There is no question about it. I paid particular attention to the copy, knowing the penalties that attend any mistakes and errors that were made, upon the keen security of the Secretary of the Club, and I will assure you on my word of honor there was not a single error in that proof so far as the wins were concerned, but the proof so far as the wins were concerned, but the proof sent in by the printer for me to correct, left out all heading of classifications, and all wins were left out. He was very late in sending over his proof. The last copy of the proof of the catalogue which I received, I did not get until late on Saturday, and some of it didn’t come at all. I never saw it, but he declared that he would see that every one of those winnings and every one of those headings went in, but when we received our catalogues we found some of them were omitted. We therefore ask the leniency of the Club in this matter.
MR. CARNOCHAN: It is a wonder to me, knowing the printer and the trouble we had with him that there are not more of these errors.

MR. RITCHIE: I think the explanation is quite satisfactory to the delegates. We had, if I am not mistaken, 735 entries, and it is a wonder to me there were not 735 errors, owing to the trouble we had with our printer. It was absolutely impossible for us to do anything with that printer at such a late date, and when he sent his copies --- or failed to send his copies the last time, it was impossible for us to know what we could do. I joined with Mr. Mortimer in asking for leniency in this matter.

MR. BLOSSOM: I moved that the Metropolitan Kennel Club be excused from the imposition of any penalty, in view of the explanations which are furnished to the Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

In the matter of medals to be awarded to champions of records referred to by the Secretary in his report, Mr. Carnochan moves that on payment of $3.00 all owners of champion of records may obtain a championship medal.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion of Mr. Schellhass the Secretary was authorized to order oak case for the protection of the records of the club at a cost not exceeding $16.50.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Blossom, the delegate from the Gordon Setter Club has given notice of the following amendments, to be acted upon at the next meeting of the Club, and in the meantime they will go before the Committee on Constitution on Rules, so that its report will be forthcoming at the meeting: By-Laws, Sec. 3, Rule 12, class 6: After the words, “one of which must be in the free-for-all class, add the words “and one in the senior class”. And after the words, “No class winner can be with can be withdrawn from competition in the winners class”, add the words, “except those dogs which have already won their championship.”

MR. WATROUS: I desire to state, knowing Mr. Mortimer as we do, and having considered the charges as made against him by people in California, we would like to give Mr. Mortimer a complimentary exoneration of those charges.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think the motion, put in that way, would be out of order, because of the action that the Executive Board took, which you ratified that there were no charges made against Mr. Mortimer. There were publish statements made derogatory to his character, and we called upon the man who made these
statements to prefer charges. This man refused to back them up with testimony, and then, further than that, found a verdict for Mr. Mortimer that he was innocent of the charges, when there were no charges before the Board to be investigated, and that part of it the Executive Board refused to ratify. It is in order for this Club [or] pass a vote of confidence in Mr. Mortimer, if it sees fit to do so.

MR. MORTIMER: I am extremely obliged to you, but the action of the Executive Board seems to cover everything.

MR. VREDENBURGH: It has been suggested by several members that in all cases where the Membership Committee meetings for the purpose of approving the credentials of delegates public notice should be given of that meeting, so that any delegate may come before the meeting and state any objections he might have.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that hereafter public notice shall be given to all members of meetings of the Membership Committee at which the applications of delegates for admission shall be considered.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I have notice of an amendment to the rules by Mr. Carnochan, as follows: “That at Bench Shows all dogs having won a prize in one class, shall not carry the ribbon showing such win into any subsequent class at the same show.” That will come before the Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: You will remember in May that you adopted a resolution giving the Chairman authority to appoint a Committee to investigate the subject of rabies. The Chairman appointed a Committee of nine veterinarians throughout the United States, and they have been at work in their investigation since about the middle of June. The reports from all sections, but one, I think have been received, and been forwarded to Dr. Huidekoper for him to edit and consolidate into one report. I have received a telegram from him during this meeting, which is as follows: Reports on rabies appear to show more rabies than I believe exist, require considerable verification. I beg for delay until the next meeting to submit full report signed R. S. Huidekoper.

The meeting then adjourned.
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT MADISON SQUARE GARDEN, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 23RD, 1898.

In absence of the President and Vice-President, Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh was selected Chairman of the meeting.

Present:
Associate Members
  A.W.H. Ritchie
  A.C. Wilmerding
  H. K. Bloodgood

Baltimore Kennel Association
  W. P. Riggs

Butterfly Bench Show Association
  D. E. Waters

Collie Club
  James Watson

Columbus Fanciers’ Club
  J. M. Taylor

French Bulldog Club
  W. W. Watrous

Gordon Setter Club
  J. B. Blossom

Mascoutah Kennel Club
  C. F. R. Drake

National Beagle Club
  H. F. Schellhass

Pacific Fox Terrier Club
  B. Waters

Pointer Club
  G. Jarvis

San Francisco Kennel Club
  C. B. Knocker

American Dachshund Club
  C. Motschenbacher

Milwaukee Poultry and Pet Stock Association
  James Mortimer

Philadelphia Kennel Club
  F. G. Taylor

Metropolitan Kennel Club
  G. M. Carnochan

St. Louis Kennel Club
  C. A. Pratt

Credentials were read of the following named persons to represent the following named Clubs as delegates:

Great Dane Club, G. Muss-Arnolt; Brunswick Fur Club, J. H. Van Don; and they were duly elected as such, Mr. Schellhass acting as teller.

The Secretary then read the following certificate:
New York, February 7th, 1898.

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, Edward Brooks, representing the President of the American Kennel Club, and Henry G. Trevor, representing the President of the Associate members of the American Kennel Club, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, for the officers and the delegates of the Associate Member of said Club to hold office for one year from February 23rd, 1898. We found the total number of Associates entitled to vote to be 128. Total number of votes cast, 73. Total number of votes rejected on account of irregularity, two. Total number of votes scattering, 19. We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members for the year ending February 1899, to wit: President, H. H. Hunnewell, Jr., Vice-President, Robert Dudley Winthrop; Secretary, James L. Kernocha...

Delegate (1)  A. Clinton Wilmerding,
Delegate (2)  H. K. Bloodgood,
Delegate (3)  G. W. H. Ritchie,

Signed,

Edward Brooks,
Representing the President A.K.C.

H. G. Trevor
Representing the President
Associates A.K.C.

Attest.
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary, A.K.C.

On motion the minutes of the last annual meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, as follows:
New York, February 23rd, 1898.

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the following credentials have been approved by the Membership Committee at a meeting held the 19th inst., at which all persons interested were invited to be present by a publish notice published in the January Gazette:

January 21. G. Muss-Arnolt to represent the Great Dane Club
January 25. J. H. Van Dorn to represent the Brunswick Fur Club

Credentials from the American Pug Club, and the Central Beagle Club came to hand too late to be acted upon at this meeting, and therefore the approval of the Committee was withheld.

An application for active membership from the Cedar Rapids Kennel Club was received January 29th, and has the approval of the Membership Committee. Its admission as a member is recommended.

I beg to submit the following resignations:
December 24, 1897. Rhode Island Association
January 4, 1898. Erie County Society Prevention of Cruelty to Animals

These resignations are in order, and I would recommend their acceptance.

The delegate from the Oakland Kennel Club handed I a notice of his resignation as such delegate on February 3rd, 1898. No notice has been received from the Oakland Kennel Club on the subject.

I beg to submit an appeal from George S. Thomas, Salem, Mass., on behalf of his partner, Richard Toon, Sheffield, England, asking for the removal of the penalty of suspension imposed by the Executive Board upon the firm of Toon & Thomas at its meeting February 7th, 1898.

I have had filed with me the following charges for misconduct:
February 1, 1898. T. A. Howard vs. Ally Eberhart
February 7, 1898. Mrs. H.E. Smyth vs. Fred P. Kirby
February 14, 1898. John Tilbury vs. John Whelan
February 23, 1898. John H. Parrott vs. E. H. Moore
Notice has been filed with me by the New England Kennel Club of the suspension by it of Dr. Frederick A. Davis 80 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Mass., under rule XVIII. Sec. 3, for removing his dog from the show of said Club against the protest of said Club, and your confirmation under the rules is hereby recommended.

At the late meeting of the Executive Board the Kentucky Kennel Club and its officers were suspended for failure to pay to an exhibitor at the Louisville Show of 1897, a medal awarded to him, and for which requests for its delivery had met with no response. Upon notification form me of said suspension, the officers promptly forwarded medals to the exhibitor, his agent, and to the A.K.C. office, so that one at least would reach entitled to it. Although the sentence of suspension was not conditional, I assumed the responsibility, and reinstated the Club and its officers, following many precedents already established in similar cases. I therefore request an official confirmation of my action.

I would call your attention to the case of a confession of uttering a fraudulent pedigree by Wade Collins, for a St. Bernard dog he sold to George S. Fife. The parties in question live in San Francisco, Cal., and the charges were made and heard at said city in August last at a meeting at which I was present. No action has been ever taken by the Pacific Advisory Board in the matter and said Collins has been in good standing ever since his confession of fraud, now six months, and is in good standing to-day. The negligence of the Pacific Advisory Board makes it possible for this man Collins to exhibit at any A.K.C. show.

I have a communication from the Great Dane Club, recommending that in all registrations, listings and entries for Shows, that the name of the dog represented by said Club be known under the title Great Dane (Deutsche Dogge).

I have a communication from the Central Beagle Club asking that if its constitution be so amended as to read: “to promote the interest of beagles in the field and on the bench,” whether in that case it can hold a bench show. The location of said show would be Pittsburgh, Pa. The Central Beagle Club assures us that no disrespect is intended towards the Duquesne Kennel Club, and the only object to be gained would be that Pittsburg should have a show, which appears to be demanded by exhibitors in that locality.

Several communications between the Great Dane Club, the Westminster Kennel Club, and your Secretary have passed on the subject of a protest in 1895
that has been acted upon. The said protest and deposit are in my hands pending a mutually satisfactory agreement on the subject. The delegate of the Great Dane Club assures me that such an agreement will be necessary. My only object at all in reporting on the matter is, that I have no discretion in withholding any official correspondence.

I hereby submit an appeal from the Hempstead Beagles from a decision of the Bench Show Committee of the New England Kennel Club, in sustaining a protest lodged by the Sunset Hill Kennels.

Under date of February 21, 1898, the New England Kennel Club filed a notice of the disqualification of a dog and suspension of its handler, John Simpson, Cambridge, Mass., for striking the Judge, Mr. Lambert Stansfield, while discharging his official duties as Judge. The owner of said dog, Dr. William Ferguson, promptly repudiated the action of his handler, Simpson and apologized therefore. So far as said owner is concerned, the New England Kennel Club is satisfied, and did not suspend him, but is prepared to do so, if it is considered necessary to sustain the rules.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s Report was then read, as follows:

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the certified financial statement of the American Kennel Club for the year ending December 31st, 1897, was duly published in the January Gazette, as is customary.

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all monies received, and disbursed by me since January 1st, 1898:

Balance on hand, Jan. 1, 1898, . . $4,276.49
Receipts from all sources to date, . . 1,563.66
Total, . . $5,840.66
Disbursements from Jan. 1, 1898, to date, . . 845.49
Balance on hand, $4.994.66

I beg to report the following Clubs as being in arrears for dues for 1898, which were due and payable on or before January 1st, 1898: American Bedlington Terrier Club, American Pet Dog Club, Binghamton Industrial Exhibition, Bloodhound Club of America, Bull Terrier Club of America, Cocker Spaniel Club of California, Des Moines Kennel Club, Irish Terrier Club of America, Kentucky Kennel Club, Mohawk Kennel Club, Pointer Club of California, Southern California Kennel Club, Stockton Kennel Club, Victoria Kennel Club, Washington City Kennel Club.

Under the provisions of the Constitution the above clubs cannot be represented at this meeting, and they may be suspended or dropped from the roll, as this meeting may deem expedient.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer.

On motion the Treasurer’s Report was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary then read the report of the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club, as follows:

Meeting of the Executive Board held Monday, February 7th, 1898.
Called to order at 2:15 P.M.

Absent: August Belmont, H. H. Hunnewell, Jr., and A. Clinton Wilmerding.

The Secretary presented the following matter to the Board:

American Spaniel Club, Vs. Re fraudulent entries at Shows.
R. Toon and Geo.S. Thomas

George S. Thomas present in his own defense.

Ordered, that R. Toom and George S. Thomas, as individuals, and being and comprising the firm of Toon & Thomas, be and are hereby suspended until January 1st, 1899.

Robert Hoodless,
Vs. Re unpaid prize at Show 1897.

Kentucky Kennel Club

In the absence of any reply to a registered notice of above claim, it is hereby
Ordered, that the Kentucky Kennel Club and its officers, John . Castleman,
President; R. D. Williams, Vice-President; H. J. Cary-Curr, Vice-President; Luke O.
Cox, Treasurer, and Francis J. Hagan, Secretary, be and are hereby suspended
under the rules.

Metropolitan Kennel Club, Re wrong date of birth of Irish
Vs. Setter “Hunter”
Peter F. O’Neill.

Ordered that the win of first prize in the open class at Brooklyn to the Irish
setter “Hunter”, having been cancelled under the rules, and there having been no
object to be gained in mis-stating the age of said dog, no action will be taken against
the owner.

American Kennel Club,

Vs. Re improper entries in
Wilmington Kennel Club
And Butterfly Bench Show
Association.

There being no provision permitting the use of the letters “reg,” following an
entry at a Show, and the rules distinctly stating that a dog must be marked “listed”,
or its registered number given, it is hereby
Ordered, that all dogs being so catalogued and winning at said shows, said
wins are hereby ordered cancelled, and the penalty against the Club, as prescribed
by rules be enforced.

Henry Jarrett --- Re, appeal against cancellation of win at Wilmington Show.
Ordered that appeal be not allowed, for the reason that the cancellation was
made under the rules.

Toronto Ind. Association, - Re deposit with date clam for Show of 1897.
Ordered, that in consideration of the non-membership of the Toronto
Association, the Secretary is hereby directed to return to said Association the
amount deposited with its claim for dates for its Show of 1897.
E. S. Gordon,  
   Vs.   Re misconduct in connection  
Julius Herold  
   with dogs.

In this case, Herold advertised an English setter for sale with a certain pedigree. The owner of the alleged sire filed a notice that such pedigree was false, and named another dog, his property, as being the correct sire. The defendant appeared and stated positively that the dog represented in the advertisement as the sire, was correct; that he knew both dogs mentioned, saw the service, and claimed the breeding as he had set forth. A letter from the defendant written September 12th, 1896, was produced, in which he acknowledged the sire to be the dog named by Gordon, and not the dog as set forth in said advertisement. It was therefore

Ordered, that said Julius Herold be and is hereby suspended.

Kennel name and Prefixes, - Re, applications for registration.

Ordered that as the names do not conflict with any so far registered the following applications are herby granted, to wit:

Ardenia to John Lorillard Arden  
Clifton to Robert S. McCreery  
Imperial to Dr. Louis G. Knox  
Iroquois to L. Loring Brooks  
Meadows to Kernochan & Stevenson  
Round Plain to John Caswell  
Summit Ridge to Fred W. Dickson  
Wood Haven to L. C. Whiton, and R. F. Little, Jr.

The kennel name claimed, “Brookside Farm” was not allowed as it conflicted with the word “Brookside” already registered.

W. O. Bailey,  
   Vs.   Re wrong entry at Show,  
Weeks & Truner  
   Louisville, Ky.
Whereas, Weeks & Turner’s fox terrier “Springhill Daisy” has one first prize in novice class at St. Louis, 1897, before the entries at Louisville had closed, the said bitch was not eligible to compete in novice class at Louisville, it is therefore
   
   Ordered, that the win of first novice at Louisville, awarded to “Springhill Daisy”, be cancelled, and “Wawaset Luna “reserved” be moved up to first under the rules, and that Weeks & Turner be called upon to explain the wrong entry.

   A. P. Vredenburgh,
   Secretary.

On motion the report of the Executive Board was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary then read the report of the Pacific Advisory Board, as follows:

   San Francisco, December 10, 1897.

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh,
   Secretary American Kennel Club,

Dear Sir:

   The Pacific Advisory Board failed to meet on the 7th inst., there only being four members present. It was a very stormy night, hence the non-attendance. I trust that our January 1898 meet will be a full one.

   Your truly,
   R. K. Gardiner,
   Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Watson, Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, report for that Committee as follows:

To the American Kennel Club:

   Your Stud Book Committee has no case on hand on which to make a report.

   Good progress is being made with the Stud Book, and there is every indication that it will be ready for distribution next month.

   In view of the fact that the American Kennel Club has authorized the issuing of Championship medals, your Committee recommends that these medals be confined to such breeds as are recognized as entitled to registration, or may be so recognized.

   Yours respectfully,
James Watson,
C. B. Knocker,
Herman F. Schellhass.

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE CHAIRMAN: The election of officers is now in order.

CAPTIAN KNOCKER: I move that all the officers be re-elected as they stand for the ensuing year.

MR. RITCHIE: I move to amend Captains Knocker's motion to the effect that the Secretary be instructed to cast a ballot for the re-election of the old Board of officers, and the Committees as they are now constituted.

Amendment seconded and carried.

The Secretary cast a ballot for August Belmont, President; Edward Brooks, Vice-President; James Watson, Chairman of the Stud Book Committee; the other members to be Herman F. Schellhass and C. B. Knocker.

Herman F. Schellhass to be Chairman of the field trial and coursing Committee; the other members to be Horatio Nelson, H. S. Joslin, Arthur B. Sharp, J.H. Van Dorn.

H. K. Bloodgood to be Chairman of the Constitution and Rules Committee; the other members being James Watson, H.F. Schellhass, and G. W. H. Ritchie.

A. Clinton Wimerding, Chairman of the Finance Committee; the other members being James B. Blossom and Leslie A. Burritt.

G. M. Carnochan, Chairman of the Membership Committee, the other members being C. D. Bernheimer.

THE CHAIRMAN: According to the resolution, a ballot having been cast, I therefore declared the names just read as duly elected.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I desire to call your attention to the fact that the Field Trial Committee regulated itself, the delegates of the various field trials representing that Committee.

THE SECRETARY: The next thing in order is the report of the Committee on Constitutions and Rules, in which, as you probably all aware, they recommend a change in the present classification. I suppose, you all having read the report, it will not be necessary for me to read any of it except the classification. The Committee, to state briefly, were not willing to accept the responsibility of initiating the present
classification, although it met with approval of the Committee, but there being objection to it from the east and from the west, they felt that it was their duty to try and meet the popular demand, and consequently report the following classification and recommend its adoption at this meeting:

The first is the puppy class, which is precisely the same as it has been heretofore.

The novice class, likewise the same. The third is to-day called the limit class. Instead of the junior class, as now in force, the limit class shall be for all dogs never having won four prizes at any recognized show, wins in the puppy and novice classes excepted. They cut out entirely from the classifications what is now known as the senior class, and they change the free for all class and name it the open class; that shall be for all dogs of a age over six months. The winners’ class shall be open only to the winners of first prizes at any show, giving at least three of the before mentioned classes, one of which must be the open class, and the winner of three first prizes in this class will thereby become a champion of record, be so registered by the American Kennel Club, and will be entitled to an American Kennel Club champion medal. Before awarded “Reserve” in this class, the dog or dogs having been placed second to the winner in any of the regular classes must be brought before the Judge for competition with the remaining dogs in said winner’s class. No class winner can be withdrawn from competition in the winner’s class, and no entry fee shall be charged for said competition in this class. A dog that has already won one or more first prizes in the late challenge class shall retain these wins to its credit, towards becoming a champion of record, the remaining qualifying wins to be gained in the winners’ class. The winners’ class can be divided by sex, provided the required three classes, as advertised are also divided by sex. Winners’ classes must be provided in all cases when the regular classification will warrant them.

Those are the only amendments that are proposed to the rule, with the exception that they are to go into effect at once, and that any part of the rule conflicting with this new classification must be stricken from the existing rules. Your committee recommend a matter in connection with the Pacific Advisory Board. In its advertised statement here they recommend striking out from the Constitution in its entirety, the Pacific Advisory Board. It sent a copy of this resolution, together with
the rules, to every club upon the Pacific Coast, requesting that Club, to immediately call meetings of their Club, and report their suggestions. We have received letters from nearly every Club, and instead of recommending the striking out of the clause that brings into being the Pacific Advisory Board, the Committee, at a meeting held on Saturday last, recommends this amendment: Article XIII, Section, amended to read:

There shall be an annual convention of delegates representing the several clubs, members of this association, located west of the 110th degree west longitude. It shall meet in the city of San Francisco, Cal., on the 2nd Tuesday of February in each year. At said meeting the delegates from each Club shall have the right to cast a ballot for four delegates, representatives of Bench Show Clubs, and three delegates representatives of specialty clubs, and the seven delegates elected shall constitute the Advisory Board of the Pacific Coast for the ensuing year, and shall hold office for one year, or until their successors are elected. Immediately after the above mentioned election the Board shall organize by electing a chairman and a secretary. The duty of said Board shall be to attend to all matters referred to it by this Association, or its Executive Board, and it shall report the proceedings at each of its meetings to this Association, by registered mail, within two days thereafter. It shall hold regular quarterly meetings on the second Tuesday in May, August and November, and shall call special meetings on notice from this Association. It shall further act under a copy of rules approved by this Association, or its Executive Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: The report of the Rules Committee is before you. What is your pleasure?

CAPTAIN KNOCKER: Am I in order to state what I have been instructed by my Club to bring before the Committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: To be strictly in order, there should be a resolution before the house.

CAPT. KNOCKER: The Clubs in the west, as I think they have instructed their delegates here, are anxious to return to the old classification, and simply add a winners’ class, and as I am requested to act for my Club, and I believe others have been requested the same way, I move that we return to the old rules, adding a winners’ class.
Motion seconded by Mr. Blossom

THE CHAIRMAN: It is moved and seconded that the American Kennel Club shall adopt the old classification that was in force up to December 31st, 1897. That means the puppy and novice classes as they are at present, the open class, in which a dog, after winning four first prizes going into the challenge class, and can no longer compete in the open class, and then the challenge class, in which a dog can win after winning three firsts in the challenge class, the title of champion. Those are the old rules.

MR. RITCHIE: It seems to me, if we have two sets of rules, governing the winning of title of champion, one for the west, and another for the east, it would be liable to confuse matters as regards the relative merits of the two dogs. While I am not prepared to say that I don’t think the suggestions is a good one, I think that it would be well for us to know why it is better that we should have this confusion than that we should have one set of rules governing the judging over the entire country.

CAPTAIN KNOCKER: There is another matter, there must be four wins for the winners’ class instead of three to become champion of record.

THE CHAIRMAN: There is an amendment to these amendments proposed by the Committee to substitute the word “four” for “three”.

MR. D. E. WATERS: I should like very much to see the rules changed so that each win of a dog with the exception of the novice class might count for a championship. Let the challenge class be open to all, so that dogs that are still eligible for the open class might compete in that, and have an open class, or a free for all class, so that any dog could go into it.

MR. SCHELLHASS: That is exactly the classification proposed only that the name is “open class”. That is exactly what is proposed.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Committee tried to obviate the mis-naming of classes. We thought a class should not be called an open class that was not open, and in having the challenge class, we wanted to do away with many advertising their dogs, and sending out their pedigrees as “Ch.” in front of it, that anybody could take for champion, and nine men out of ten would naturally say it was champion, when the dogs were simply challenge.

MR. D. WATERS: As I understand it now, there is a motion to amend the Committee’s report, to make it the same as the old rules?
MR. VREDENBURGH: That is the motion before the house.

MR. D. E. WATERS: I was speaking against that and in favor of the report of the Committee, if that is exactly what it is. I believe that is the substance of it. I should like to have all wins that a dog might make tend towards making that dog a champion, that is, with the exception of a novice and puppy class, as it is now, or as it would be, as it is proposed to amend the report of the Committee, the wins in what used to be the old open class would count nothing.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The resolution before the house now is to go back to our old rule of 1897, which means that a dog that wins one first in the open class is advanced one step towards the challenge class.

MR. D. E. WATERS: But that has been amended by adding a winners’ class, which shall be made up of dogs that win in the various classes.

CAPT. KNOCKER: Wouldn’t it be still better to leave the open class to all dogs and do away with the challenge class and have a winners’ class?

MR. VREDENBURGH: That is precisely the recommendation of the Committee.

MAJOR TAYLOR: My objection to Capt. Knocker’s motion, to accept the old classification and add a winners’ Class is that it makes a perfectly superfluous class. To adopt the old classification, and then add a winners’ class, would seem to make it ridiculous.

MR. MORTIMER: I believe that the proposed new classification is now quite in line with what was originally intended to be none when the new classification was proposed, but in order to avoid imitating our English cousins too closely, instead of calling the class a limit class, we call it a junior class. Instead of calling a class an open class, we call it the free for all class, which is somewhat misleading. The senior class, as we have it now seems to be the class that is not wanted. It does not fill. Our senior class corresponds with the class that is known in England as the winner’s class. There is no such thing as a winners’ class, because there is no such classification. We simply take the winners out of the puppy, the novice, the junior and the free for all classes, and we judge them for a special prize. I do not see what object there can be in called that a winners class at all. I do not see the object of making the classification. You are judging for a special towards the championship and why call it a winners’ class.
MR. VREDENBURGH: What would you call it.

MR. MORTIMER: Call it nothing, but simply take a championship certificate. I think that would be better. You can’t make the class. It is a post entry.

MR. VREDENBURGH: After the entries are made, is it not a class of winners?

MR. MORTIMER: You can call it a class if you want to, but it is just like judging a breed for the best of its breed.

MR. VREDENBURGH: That is what it is, but the committee believes there should be a name for them as a matter of convenience, if you please.

MR. B. WATERS: Does the definition that the Club gives to that class govern it?

MR. VREDENBURGH: That governs the class. The only thing is that we want a name for the class.

MR. SCHELLHASS: There has got to be some name. We have got to call it something or other. A winners’ class is as good a name as any other. It is made up of winners. If we have no names for it, we cannot make a record of it. It is made up of winners, short and to the point.

MR. D. E. WATERS: I should like to suggest, if the report is adopted, that it be arranged so that a dog having won a championship could be withheld from competition in that winners’ class if the owners desired to withhold it from competition, if he had already become a champion. There is nothing more for him to gain, and if the owner desired to withhold him, I think he should be allowed to do so.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair would like to state that the views of the Committee are opposed to such an amendment for this reason: The Committee was not willing to recommend anything by which a man could, after winning his championship build a great big wall around his dog, and still show the dog in other classes, but nobody could look over that wall. The idea is that a dog that has won his championship, if he gets so old, or if he goes off, it is possible so that he cannot compete with younger and newer dogs, the owner then has the privilege of holding that dog from competition in any class.

MR. BLOSSOM: When I seconded Capt. Knocker’s motion, perhaps I didn’t understand what he wished to propose. I would like to have him state it again. I supposed he was proposing the re-establishment of the old rules that were in force
previous to the 1st January, 1898, with the old nomenclature and a challenge class, not to count three wins towards the championship until confirmed by winnings in an additional class which we shall call a winners’ class. If that is his proposition I second it. If not, I would like to make one of my own.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is the way the Chair understands it.

MR. BLOSSOM: The old rules say that three wins in the challenge class shall constitute a dog a champion. If we are going to have a winner’s class in addition to the old classification, we must add an additional requisition to enable that dog to win his championship, because it is not right to leave it that way. Therefore I should say that we should say so many wins in the winners’ class in addition to the wins in the challenge class shall constitute champion. How many wins would he indicate in the winners’ class, which would be anew class added to the old classifications – how many wins does he suggest with the wins in the challenge class to constitute a champion.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair is not willing to entertain any motion for an amendment to these rules unless, if there are any changes whatever from the rules of 1897, they are put in writing, so that if they are adopted we will know what is adopted.

MR. BLOSSOM: They are all printed.

THE CHAIRMAN: I cannot agree with you there, because in connection with that is a new class to be called the winners’ class. Of what use is a winners’ class if a dog has got to win its championship in the challenge class, and three wins constitutes a champion?

MR. BLOSSOM: Add more wins in the winners’ class, and then it might count. We flourished under the old rules, but we do not flourish under the present rule. A good many have expressed a preference for the old rules, but the old rules are defective in one respect, and that is, there can be walkovers from the challenge class. There is a desire to have a challenge class. If I have a dog so good as to win fairly four firsts, I want the privilege of winning four first, and then putting them in the slop jar, called the free for all. Because somebody puts a “Ch.” Before his advertisement, I don’t see that that is any proper reason why we should reject the name. It is a good name.
THE CHAIRMAN: I call your attention to the fact that there is nothing in this
discussion which brings up the free for all class; that is not before the house. No
such class is mentioned in the report of the committee.

MR. WATSON: I think that we ought to approach this question in a more
practical way, and recognize what has been done during the present season. Men
who have been giving shows and drawing up prize lists are the men who understand
how they have to protect themselves. Exhibitors are very fond of writing to Bench
Show Committee, saying “Give us more Classes”, and they want classes for their
own dogs, and a challenge class. They will not send on any open class entries,
unless you provide a challenge class for them, and encourage them to send them,
and they will take up a lot of money through the Bench Shows by that means. We
have had this year several shows under these rules. What those practical men have
show you is that they don’t want challenge classes, that they are unproductive and
unremunerative. They have dropped off the senior class, which was practically the
challenge class. My own opinion is that we will eventually have to come around to
this challenge class. When I came back from England I was told at once that there
was a tremendous kick against this classification; that everything was upset, and
that we must go to work, and conform to the views of all there people and clubs. I
was very much impressed with what I was told, thought we must do something, and I
agreed with the Committee. My experience during the last two shows that we have
had teaches me that we will have a great many dogs forced out of the junior class
very quickly, and there will be a demand for a senior class or for a challenge class
before you know very much about it. Any good dog is forced in two shows into the
senior class. Previously the dog could not get out of the old open class until he had
been to at least four shows. He can be forced out at two now. A Show Committee
can put on that class if it see fit. If exhibitors want a class the same as the challenge
class, all they have got to do is to go to the Bench Show Committee to get it.

MR. BLOSSOM: Is that so according to the rules.

THE CHAIRMAN: The rules distinctly say that you can “Dog Show
Committees may provide such classes for recognized breeder as they choose,
provided they do not conflict with the conditions of the under mentioned classes.”
We give six classes. The Westminster Kennel Club could have made twelve classes
for every breed of dogs in this building, provided they did not conflict. The
Westminster Kennel Club did it two or three years ago, under the rules. They made a veteran class in collies, and in fox terriers, they made one or two extra classes; they had the right to do it. They have the right to-day to do it.

MR. WATSON: What is meant by not conflicting with the class conditions is that you cannot for instance make a novice class for dogs that have won a first. The novice class has to be for dogs that have not won a first. You cannot make it for a dog that has not won two. You cannot alter your junior class or your senior class, but you can put on as many other classes as you like. After your limit class which is confined to three first prize wins, you can go on and make four firsts or five or six, or as many as you like.

MR. MORTIMER: I quite agree in the statement that any Bench Show Committee can make all the classes they want, or they deem expedient, but the wins in those classes would not count. That is to say, the wins in those classes would not be barred out from going into the junior class.

MR. VREDENBURGH: It says here “The junior class shall be for all dogs never having won four first prizes at any recognized shows, and they make two exceptions, the wins in the puppy and novice classes. IF you committee opened an extra class that did not conflict with the six laid down in these rules, that would be a class at a recognized show of a recognized breed, and consequently would have to be recognized by the American Kennel Club, and the winner of that class would have to be sent into the ring to be judged with the winners, and if the winner of the veteran class, that we have made no mention of can go in the winners’ class, and get a first over the free for all, and the senior and junior classes, that dog is clearly entitled to his step towards a championship. The whole idea is to bring forward the best dog.

MR. MORTIMER: The matter which I wanted to be sure about it this: Suppose we made a maiden class, and we made a veteran class. There are dogs which would be eligible for both of those classes. For instance, you could take a dog that was never shown before, and he might be eight years old. He is eligible for the maiden class, and also the veteran class. Would winnings in those classes prevent him from going into the junior class:

MR. VREDENBURGH: If he had enough of them, year. Now, the motion if that we amend the rules by substituting the classification that was in force up to
January 1st, 1898, removing the condition in the challenge class, by which a dog is made a champion and by adding to the rules a winners’ class, that will take in the winners of all the classes.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I would like to request that the last report of the Rules Committee, which was sent to the California Club be read to this meeting here. That will give the Club to understand what the Committee has proposed and you can see how much objection there has been made to that report preparatory to this meeting. They can then see how much objection there is to the acceptance of that classification as adopted by the Committee, and how much objection there was previous to the adoption of the preceding one.

MR. MORTIMER: I don’t think that anybody takes the motion that was made by the delegate from the San Francisco Kennel Club seriously. I don’t think Capt. Knocker is in favor of that motion. Why not take it up and vote on it.

THE CHAIRMAN: It was a motion, and the Chair is unwilling to entertain that motion in its present condition. The report of the Committee of rules has been read. The only way you can act upon Capt. Knocker’s motion is to make that as an amendment to this report.

CAPT. KNOCKER: That is what I intended.

THE CHAIRMAN: Otherwise you have to dispose of this report first.

MR. MORTIMER: Why not take a vote on the report of the Committee on rules?

MR. RITCHIE: I call for the question on the rules. As I understand there has been no amendments offered on the rules. I call for the question on the Committee’s report.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I intended this as an amendment to those rules. I am adding another class. The challenge or senior class is left out. We are asking to go back to the old rules adding a winners’ class.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is the amendment offered by Capt. Knocker to the classification recommended by the Rules Committee to the effect that we go back to the old classification that was in force up to January 1st, 1898, adding thereto a class to be known as the winners’ class, and so amending the challenge class that the three wins specified in that does not entitle a dog to championship.

CAPT. KNOCKER: That is it.
The question on the amendment was then put, and the amendment lost.

MR. VREDENBURGH: We now come to the report of the Rules Committee, in which they provide five classes, the puppy, the novice, the limit, the open and the winners.

The classifications recommended by the report of the Committee were then adopted.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The new classifications now having been regularly adopted, I desire to state that the Committee also recommend that the above classifications go into effect at once. That has also been adopted. There should be some special legislation that will protect clubs who have already gotten out their prize lists, but whose shows have not yet taken place.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the rules shall not go into effect with the Clubs whose prize lists have already been published, or to be published prior to March 1st, 1898.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The amendment to Article XIII, Section 4, is before you, in providing for the Pacific Advisory Board and of the manner in which it shall be provided. If there is no discussion, I will put the question. The question was then put and the amendment adopted.

MR. VREDENBURGH: In the absence of the Vice-President of this Club, I want to bring something forward in his behalf. I had some correspondence with him on the subject. He feels that the title of the American Kennel Club should be changed to make it more comprehensive than it is now, and he was prepared, if he could get here to-day, to offer an amendment that the title should be changed so that it should be officially known as “The Association of American Kennel Clubs.” If any of the delegates agree with him as to that, the opportunity is now afforded them to present that amendment. Of course we will always be known as the American Kennel Club, but the title suggested is more comprehensive, and it states to everybody, even if he is a novice what it is; that it is an association of clubs.

MR. WATSON: stated that he had had some conversation with Mr. Brooks on the subject; that the idea was to endeavor to do away with an alleged feeling on the part of a large number of people that the club was a clique, a small corporation by itself, with which outside clubs had no chance; that if the name was changed to “The
Association of American Kennel Clubs, or some name of that kind, reflections of that kind would be prevented; that he thought it was a first rate idea and was perfectly willing to vote for it.

After some discussion, in which it was noted that there had been no publication of this propose amendment, it was decided that action on the matter could not properly be taken at this meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I believe the committee reports unfavorably on my proposed amendment; I should like to have that put to a vote.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The amendment recommended by Mr. G. M. Carnochan read as follows: “That at all Bench Shows, dogs having won a prize in any class shall not carry the ribbon showing such win in any subsequent class at the same show.

Mr. Carnochan spoke in favor of his amendment, saying that he had received a letter from Mr. Brooks, the Vice-President, stating that he had noticed that the Committee had not approved of the amendment: that he informed him that a very good proof of the amendment proposed was the result of the Boston show, where instead of giving ribbons, they gave cards, which prevented the bringing in of dogs with ribbons attached to their collars and chains, and that the system of giving cards worked with perfect satisfaction. Mr. Carnochan advocated the card system to be used hereafter which he thought would be more satisfactory than the present system of giving ribbons.

Mr. Carnochan then moved that the amendment be adopted and that the clubs give cards instead of ribbons.

Motion seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion is that the recommendation of the Committee be not approved and that the clubs hereafter shall use cards instead of ribbons to be handed to the winners.

Amendment lost.

MR. MOTCHENBACHER: moved that the report of the Committee on Rules be approved.

Seconded and carried.

The application of the Cedar Rapids Kennel Club for membership was granted, Mr. Schellhass acting as teller.
On motion the resignations of the Rhode Island Poultry Association and the Erie County Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals were accepted.

On motion of Mr. D. E. Waters, the communication of Mr. Elliot Smith, former delegate of the Oakland Kennel Club, stating that he had resigned from that club some weeks ago, was placed on file.

The communication of Mr. George S. Thomas, on behalf of his partner, Richard Toon then came up for consideration and Mr. Carnochan that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Drake moved as an amendment that the report of the Executive Board, in the suspension of Messrs. Toon & Thomas, be confirmed.

Mr. Carnochan withdrew his motion, and the amendment was seconded and carried.

On motion the following charges were referred to the Executive Board:
T. A. Howard vs. Ally Eberhard
Mrs. H. E. Smyth vs. Fred P. Kirby
John H. Parrott vs. E. H. Moore

In regard to the matter of the suspension by the New England Kennel Club of Dr. Frederick A. David, Major Taylor moved that the action of the Club be endorsed.

Motion seconded and carried.

Concerning the matter of John Simpson, handler for Dr. William Ferguson, Mr. Ritchie moved that it be referred to the Executive Board.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Taylor moved that the handler be disqualified and that the dog be reinstated.

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion, as now made, is that the action of the New England Kennel Club in disqualifying the dog, “Dr. Jim,” be not sustained, and that the suspension of the handler, John Simpson be changed to disqualification.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I would like to ask the Committee to confirm my action in reinstating the Kentucky Kennel Club and its officers.

Mr. Waters moved that the Secretary’s action in this respect be approved.
Motion seconded and carried.
It was moved and seconded that Mr. Wade Collins, of San Francisco, be suspended pending the report of the Pacific Advisory Board.

Carried.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I would like to also move that they be instructed to take up the matter, and take immediate action.

Motion seconded and carried.

In the matter of the communication from the Great Dane Club recommending that in all registrations, listings and entries for shows, that the name of the dog represented by said club be known under the title “Great Dane: (Deutsche Dogge), was, on motion of Mr. Schellhass referred to the Stud Book Committee.

In the matter of the communication from the Central Beagle Club, asking permission to amend its constitution in order to permit it to hold shows, and asking whether it can hold a show in Pittsburg, on motion of Mr. Ritchie, the same was referred to the Executive Board.

The appeal from the Hempstead Beagles against the decision of the Bench Show Committee of the New England Kennel Club was, on motion, referred to the Executive Board.

Dr. Huidekoper, Chairman of the Committee appointed by the American Kennel Club at its meeting in May, 1897, for investigation and report as to the prevalence of rabies, submitted the following report:

154 East 57th Street,

New York, February 18th, 1898.

A. P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,

Secretary American Kennel Club

Dear Sir:

I have the honor to submit the following report on behalf of Doctors Austin Peters, of Boston, Mass.; Leonard Pearson, of Philadelphia, Pa.; Richard Price, of St. Paul, Minn.; J. C. Roberts of Agricultural College, Mississippi; Wesley Mills, of Montreal, Canada, and myself, who were appointed as members of a committee to report on the prevalence of rabies. The other members of the Committee, Doctors T. G. Sherwood, New York; Harold C. Ernest, Boston, and Charles W. Dulles, Philadelphia, have made no report.
During last summer and autumn we issued several hundred of the circulars (sample enclosed A) to prominent veterinarians, health officers and State officials throughout the United States and Canada. In reply to these we received answers from all the States and territories of the United States and provinces of British America, either directly or through veterinarians who had been residents of them, some 150 replies in all.

The country was divided into several sections, and each member of the Committee investigated the source and accuracy of each reply and summarized those of his own section as follows:

- Dr. Peters, the New England States,
- Dr. Huidekoper, New York and New Jersey,
- Dr. Pearson, the Middle States,
- Dr. Price, the Western States,
- Dr. Roberts, the Southern States,
- Dr. Mills, British America.

I submit a table (B) which summarizes the result of the report from the various States, and a map (C) marked with red in the localities affected.

It will be seen that in the New England States rabies is unknown North of Massachusetts and in Rhode Island. There is a small area in Eastern Massachusetts were occasional outbreaks occur. In Connecticut only isolated cases have been recognized. In New York and New Jersey only isolated and rare cases are seen.

In the Middle States, Pennsylvania has an infected district, extending for some fifty miles west and south of Philadelphia, which originated in the suburbs of Philadelphia about 1870, and had gradually spread, but in it only about 200 cases have occurred in twenty years, and of there over 100 have been half dozen localities. For the remainder of Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia and Virginia, the disease has only been known in rare and isolated cases.

There is little proof of the existence of rabies in the Southern States, and in the States bordering the Eastern banks of the Mississippi River.

From Dr. Price’s report it appears that less than 100 cases have been recognized in Wisconsin, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, Colorado, Oklahoma and Kansas. The disease is unknown west of the Rocky Mountains.
In Canada a few cases have appeared in the Province of Ontario, and rabies is unknown in the other provinces.

It will be seen then with the exception of two limited areas where there have been a few outbreaks, one in Pennsylvania, and one in Massachusetts, that rabies is a rare disease in this country.

With the file of answers I submit the special reports of Doctors Peters, Pearson, Price and Roberts, which cover in detail their investigation. I also submit a file of letters in which twenty accounts of accidents with rabid dogs were reported in the New York newspapers during the last two years, and upon investigation were found to be based absolutely upon imagination. In over half of them the street and number and the persons described could not be found by the City directory, the U.S. Mail or personal search. Last June one of the best known newspapers in New York gave half a column to a case in Jersey City and minutely described the killing of the dog which had bitten a physician. The dog has been in my possession for three days previous to his reported death absolutely well and healthy. I write the Editor of the facts which he inclined to publish. The sensational articles in the papers concerning attacks by rabid dogs can be invariably class as false.

Without any reflection upon the honesty of the opinion of some of our colleagues who have reported isolated cases, we are convinced that many of these cases are errors of diagnosis, and that our report covers fully the extent of the disease in North America.

I am, sir,

Very respectfully,

Your obedient servant,

Rush Huidekoper.

MR. RITCHIE: I move that a vote of thanks be extended to the Committee for the work they have done in behalf of the Club.

Motion seconded and unanimously carried.

MR. WATERS: I move that the report be accepted and printed in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: As treasurer, I report the arrearage of some 17 Clubs in their annual dues.
MAJOR TAYLOR: I move that we give them the usual thirty days in which to respond, and if in that time they do not pay their dues, that they be dropped from the roll.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. SCHELLHAS: I should like to ask for some information. I have been told by outside parties that the Canadian Kennel Club has stated in its official publication, and severely criticized the alleged method of the American Kennel Club of giving out cheap medals, for which it charges $3.00; and then again, I saw it stated in the “California Field Sports” that the American Kennel Club proposed to give to every champion dog, living or dead, a 75¢ club medal, for which the Club charged $3.00. If the medals do no cost more then 75¢ it strikes me that $3.00 is a little too high. I would like to ask whether it is true or untrue, and ask what the facts in the case are.

MR. VREDENBURGH: In answer to the question asked by the delegates, I will say that each medal costs the American Kennel Club, I will say that each medal costs the American Kennel Club, in case an order for fifty is given, $2. a piece. Assed to that it 35¢ for engraving, 13¢ for registration, the medal being sent by registered mail. Then to be added to that is the prorata share of the cost of the die, and the time that is taken to run around to get them engraved and take them to the Post Office. $3. just about covers the actual cost to the American Kennel Club.

MR. WATSON: I move that all applications for affixes and prefixes hereafter be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. WATSON: I am informed that out Executive Committee is composed of eight members. Two gentlemen live at Boston. They are elected by the Club to do the Club’s duties. They are not elected as delegates are, and I think it is only right that the Club having sufficient funds should pay the mileage expenses of the members of the Executive Board for the ensuing year.

It was then moved and seconded that the members of the Executive Board living out of town be paid mileage during the ensuing year for their attendance at the meetings of the Executive Board.

Carried.

The annual meeting then adjourned.
REGULARLY QUARTERLY MEETINGS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, THURSDAY. MAY
19TH, 1898.

H. H. Hunnewell, Jr., President of the Associate members, presiding.

Present:

Associate Members -
A. C. Wilmerding,
G. W. H. Ritchie

American Fox Terrier Club
H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.

American Dachshund Club
Dr. C. Motschenbacher

Gordon Setter Club
James B. Blossom

Great Dane Club
G. Muss-Arnolt

Milwaukee Kennel & Pet Stock
James Mortimer

Association
National Beagle Club
H. F. Schellhass

Pointer Club of America
George Jarvis

Rhode Island Kennel Club
W. C. Codman

San Francisco Kennel Club
C. B. Knocker

Irish Terrier Club
S. Van Schaick

Pet Dog Club
S. C. Hodge

Metropolitan Kennel Club
Dr. H. T. Foote

American Spaniel Club
M. A. Vite

Collie Club
J. Watson

THE SECRETARY: The minutes of the last meeting were published, and
they comprise forty-seven pages of type-written matter.

Moved and seconded that the minutes be accepted as published in the
Gazette.

Carried.

The Report of the Membership Committee was read, as follows:

New York, May 18th, 1898.

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day for the
purpose of examining and reporting upon the credentials of delegates filed with the
Secretary, since the last meeting, your committee respectfully submits the following report:
February 18th, 1898, credentials were filed by the American Pug Club, appointing Mr. T. A. Howard as its delegate. For good and sufficient reasons, which are matters of record, the committee’s approval is withheld. Your Committee approves of the acceptance of the following named delegates.

Feb. 21, 1898. Theodore Bollje, from the Central Beagle Club
Feb. 28, 1898. L.C. Whiton, from the National Greyhound Club,
Mar. 10, 1898. Singleton Van Schaick from the Irish Terrier Club
Apl. 12, 1898. S. C. Hodge from the American Pet Dog Club
Apl. 28, 1898. A. D. Lewis from the Oakland Kennel Club
Apl. 30, 1898. H. T. Foote, from the Metropolitan Kennel Club

Credentials were filed by the Western Pennsylvania Kennel Club, appointing Mr. G. M. Carnochan as its delegate, but owning to the fact that such appointment has not been on file, the required time as provided for in the Constitution action cannot be taken by your Committee.

G. M. Carnochan,
Chairman Mem. Com.

The following named gentle were then elected to represent the following named Clubs as delegates, Mr. A. C. Wilmerding being appointed Teller.

Metropolitan Kennel Club, Dr. H. T. Foote
Oakland Kennel Club, A. D. Lewis
American Pet Dog Club, S. C. Lewis
Irish Terrier Club, Singleton Van Schaick
National Greyhound Club, L. C. Whiton
Central Beagle Club, Theodore Bollje

On motion the report of the Membership Committee was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary then read his report, as follows:

New York, May 18th, 1898.
To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

As you will be informed by the report of the proceedings of the Executive Board, you will be relieved of a vast amount of work at this meeting, the same having been attended to and closed by said Board, and as a consequence my Report will be brief, and the matters to be brought before you few and unimportant.

Acting under instructions by our last meeting, a final bill for dues for 1898 was sent to the Eighteen Clubs in arrears. Before the thirty days given them within which to pay said dues had expired, seven clubs paid. At the expiration of the thirty days, the following Clubs having failed to pay, were, on the 24th day of March, dropped from the roll of membership:


I beg to submit the following charges:


Klee & Dean vs. Albert Shira. Re misconduct in connection with dogs.


I respectfully request a ruling and interpretation of the meaning and intent of the dog show rule relating to the making of winners classes and competition therein. The Santa Clara Valley Poultry and Kennel Club issued its premium list providing for a full classification in all breeds. Owing, however, to a large number of said classes failing to fill, it appears from the certified catalogue that in several instances but one dog of a breed had been entered, and in several instances but one class in a breed had filled. Notwithstanding winners classes had in every case been opened and awards given. The intent of the rule was that competition must be had to make a dog eligible to win in the winners class, so that walk-overs in qualifying for a championship would be obviated. I have refrained from recording the wins at this show pending your instructions.
As is usual at this meeting, I request permission to drop from our list of associate members all persons in arrears for dues for 1898.

I have to report that by reason of the dropping of the name of a club from membership, and the appointment of a new delegate from another club, the delegates from both being members of one of our standing committees, and one a member of our Executive Board, it leaves vacancies in both Committee and Board which may be filled by an election at your pleasure. I would, however, recommend that the election to fill such vacancies be laid over until our next meeting, for the reason that there is now on file at this office, the appointment of one of these gentlemen to represent another club, and I am informed that there is a probability of a reorganization of the club that has been dropped and again applying for reinstatement.

It gives me pleasure to announce that Mr. Fred Mansell of London, England, has added to our collection of pictures in presenting to the club an artist's proof of a brace of Dandie Dinmont Terriers from the painting by Arthur Wardle.

I beg to report that I have just received the following charges:

May 12th, 1898, San Francisco Kennel Club vs. J. B. Martin, re misconduct in connection with dog shows.

Respectfully submitted,

A. T. Vredenbrugh,
Secretary.

On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was then read, and is as follows:

New York, May 18th, 1898.

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1898:

Balance on hand, January 1, 1898, $4,276.49
Receipts from all sources to date, 3,675.41
    Total, $ 7,951.90
Disbursements from January 1, 3,242.64
    Balance on hand, $ 4,709.26

Respectfully submitted,
    A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary.

On motion said report was received and placed on file.

The report of the Executive Board was then read, as follows:
Meeting of the Executive Board held May 14th, 1898.
Meeting called to order at 1:10 P.M.
H. H Hunnewell, Jr., in the chair.
Present:  H. H. Hunnewell, Jr., H. K. Bloodgood, G. M. Carnochan, J.
Watson, H. F. Schellhass, and A. C. Wilmerding.
Absent: A. Belmont, E. Brooks.

The Secretary presented the following business to be acted upon by the Board:

E. O. Damon
    Vs.    Re misconduct in connection with dogs.
Eldred Kennels

Charges were not prove, and no misconduct shown, it was therefore
Ordered, that the case be and hereby is dismissed.

American Kennel Club,
    Vs.    Re cancellation of wins.
Cedar Rapids Kennel Club

Whereas, the additional classes made in bull terriers, fox terriers and Italian
greyhounds were illegally made, it was therefore
Ordered, that the action of the Secretary of the A.K.C. in cancelling the wins in said
classes be and hereby is approved and confirmed.
Sterling P. Martin
Vs. Re Appeal from decision on protest.
Kansas City Kennel Club

The question of the breed of a dog shown in any class at any show can only be decided by the official Judge at said show.

Ordered, that the Fox Hounds Trumpter and Piccolo, shown in class 45 at the late Kansas City Kennel Club Show, and winnings last and 2\(^{nd}\) respectively, be and hereby are disqualified for the reason of wrong dates of birth having been given; and the Fox Hounds Big Ball winning the reserve, and Lincoln, winning V.H.C. be and hereby are marked up to 1\(^{st}\) prize and 2\(^{nd}\) prize under the rules.

J.H. Parrott
Vs. Re Appeal to reopen case
E.H. Moore

Whereas, as no new or additional evidence has been brought forward with the appeal, it is therefore

Ordered that the appeal be and hereby is dismissed.

Mrs. H. E. Smith
Vs. Re Appeal to reopen case.
F. P. Kirby

Ordered, that suspension will stand until proofs be brought forward, and appeal made in a proper and respectful manner.

New England Kennel Club,
Vs. Re appeal from former decision
J. L. Kernochan

Ordered, that appeal be dismissed, as no additional evidence appears.

F. B. Grout,
Vs. Re Appeal from decision on protest
Westminster Kennel Club

Ordered, that request to withdraw appeal be and hereby is granted.
James Tilbury,  
Vs.  
John Whelan  

Whereas, Whelan having failed to obey the orders of this Board, as laid down by its last meeting, therefore be it 
Ordered, the said Whelan be and hereby is, suspended, and to remain so until he returns the purchase price of the Bull bitch Grosvenor Lass to the appellant.

John M. Trendley,  
Re Request for ruling on collie  
St. Louis, Mo.  
Judging at Grand Rapids.  

Ordered, that the records in free for all, junior and winners classes, be corrected to read: Major Domo 1st, Major Victor, Reserve. Owing to certain circumstances it is the sense of this Board that the decision arrived at shall not be considered a precedent.

Webster Jones, 
Re Application for reinstatement  
San Francisco, Cal.  
Of English setter, Count Herold

It is the sense of this Board that it can find no good reason to depart from precedents already established that a dog owned by a suspended or disqualified person cannot be exhibited by any subsequent purchasers until the person under discipline shall have been reinstated. The application is therefore denied.

Wade Collins  
Re recommendation for his reinstatement.  
San Francisco, Cal.  

By Virtue of the recommendation and endorsement of the St. Bernard Club of California, and four members of the Pacific Advisory Committee, it is therefore Ordered, that Wade Collins be and hereby is reinstated.

American Kennel Club  
Vs.  
Re pug entries at W.K.C. Show of  
C. Y. Ford  
1897.
Ordered, that C. Y. Ford be and hereby is directed to return prize money awarded to Otterburn Penrice at the late Brooklyn Show to the Metropolitan Kennel Club.

American Kennel Club

Vs. Re Conduct prejudicial to interests
Pacific Mastiff Club of the A. K. C.

Ordered, that the action of President Belmont in suspending the Pacific Mastiff Club and its officers for the adoption of a resolution prejudicial to the interests of the parent body, and his subsequent reinstatement of said Club and officers, upon receipt of notice of the rescinding of said resolution, be and hereby is approved and confirmed.

Texas Kennel Club Re Application for Membership
    Dallas, Texas

    The above application having been duly approved, by the Membership Committee, it is
    Ordered, that said application be and hereby is granted, and the Club be admitted to full active membership.

Report of Stud Book Committee, May 14th, 1898 to the Executive Board A. K. C.

Gentlemen:

    The Stud Book Committee reports favorably on the following applications for Kennel names:

    Bellefield to T. J. Woodward, Jr.
    Dunollie “ C. E. Tilford
    Fordham “ J. C. Ford
    Hampton “ Mrs. W. E. Warner
    Indian Mound “ S. E. Waters
    Irving “ J. Conway
    Landseer “ T. C. Moxham
Laurelton " S. Van Schaick
Queset " Hobart Ames

It is expected that several cases will be ready to be reported upon at the club meeting next week.

Yours respectfully,
James Watson, Chairman

Ordered, that above report be accepted, placed on file and recommendations adopted.

Adjourned.
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the report of the Executive Board was received and placed on file.
The Report of the Stud Book Committee was then read as follows:

New York, May 19th, 1898

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentleman:

Your Stud Book Committee has the following cases upon which to report, with two others not yet complete. Re the pedigrees of the St. Bernard bitch Miss Lady de Land.

Lloyd Miller Hallenbeck, of Catskill Station, N. Y. purchased the St. Bernard Bitch, Miss Lady Gilmore from Mr. Schleicher of Baltimore, and received it form him on May 4th, 1897. On July 23rd, she had a litter of puppies of which Miss Lady de Land was one. Mr. Hallenbeck registered her as by Sir Alberton for the reason that he had not bred her to any dog, and he supposed that as Mr. Schleicher had written as f e owned and kept Sir Alberton, that the letter must be by that dog. The correspondence on file suggests nothing but great ignorance on the part of Mr. Hallenbeck regarding the breeding of dogs, and the Committee does not think it necessary to go beyond the cancelling of the registration, which is has ordered.

Re the pedigree of the Bulldog

Venus de Milo
Upon the statement of J. H. Bolton, of Canton, Ill., who made the registration that the pedigree be sent, was not that of a bulldog, but a mixture of terrier and possibly unknown breeds, the entry was cancelled in the stud book. Mr. Bolton claimed in his letters that he relied upon the club’s accepting the pedigree he sent in, as a verification of its correctness, and apparently felt aggrieved when he subsequently found that his pedigree was not that of a pure bred bulldog. He apparently now understands that he cannot blame the club for his own negligence in properly verifying the pedigree, and sees that the acceptance of a pedigree is based upon the statements furnished, when, as in this case, the ancestors’ names are not those of registered dogs.

Your Committee suggests that a standing rule be passed requiring owners of dogs whose registration is cancelled to return the registration certificate upon the request of the Secretary which request should be made upon notice of the cancellation furnished by the Stud Book Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

James Watson,
Chairman.

Moved and seconded that the report of the Stud Book Committee be received and placed on file, and the recommendations therein contained be adopted.
Carried.

On motion, the charges preferred by the American Kennel Club against Frank J. Ross; the charges by Klee and Dean against Albert Shira, and D. S. Wadsworth against Milwaukee Poultry & Pet Stock Association were referred to the Executive Board.

MR. VREDENBURGH: In regard to the Santa Clara alley matter, which is fully referred to in my report, I desire to say that the classification of the rules, Number 5, says: “The winners class shall be open only to the winners of first prizes at any show giving at least three of the before mentioned classes, one of which must be in the open class, ad the winner of three first prizes in this class, will thereby become a champion of record.” The San Jose Show sent out its premium list, giving a full classification for every breed of dog. In several cases, there was one single dog entered and shown. None of the rest of the classes filled. That dog was very
promptly taken into the ring and judged in the winners class and awarded first, which
is the first step of the three towards making him a champion. In another instance
where the same dog was entered in two classes, the only entries in the show, that
dog was also awarded first in the winners class. They even went so far as to award
first in the winners class in the miscellaneous class. I have not made a record of any
of the wins at the San Jose show, and shall not until I get instructions from this
meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: What qualifications did the have to fill at the show besides
giving the three classes?

MR. VREDENBURGH: That is all. The intent of the Rules Committee was
that in the winners classes, there must be competition. Whether the Rules
Committee framed its rules to cover that technically, I am not saying, but it reads:
“The winners class shall be open only to the winners of first prizes in this class will
thereby become a champion of record.”

MR. WATSON: I hardly agree with Mr. Vredenburgh in saying that it was the
intent and meaning of the Rules Committee that the win should count only where
there was competition. I think it might be more proper to say that it is was the intent to
induce competition, to provoke competition, to take every step toward bringing about
competition. That was the fact when we had the prize money. Then when this outcry
was raised against money prizes, they were done away with in deference to the
request more particularly of these California clubs, but I am quite sure it must have
been perfectly evident to every person that is was opening the way for exactly what
there people did. Then I think, unfortunately we opened the way good deal further
by passing the resolution that a winners class must be provided for all breeds where
there were three classes, including the open classes. I don’t see how at this late
date we can cancel any of the wins under our rule. No matter what the intent of the
committee was, or what our wishes were, we are simply here to discuss what the
rule says, and the rule says that the winners class shall be provided for all breeds
where there are three classes given, one of which is the open class. That being
done, we can stop no wins under that rule, and I can see nothing to do but just go
ahead and recognize those wins and turn the matter over to the Rules Committee;
we are practically at the end of the season, and from the results of this season, we
can find out what has been done at the various shows, and then in the winter time,
and before our December meeting, we can make such recommendations as we think necessary.

MR. MORTIMER: I think that that would be waiting too long. I contended from the first in this new classification that there should be no such thing as a winners class. The winners class does not exist until there are winners, and therefore no dog show should have the power to put in its premium list a winners class. The American Kennel Club has adopted rules and conditions allowing a Bench Show Committee to provide certain classes. There must be a winners class and there must be a championship award. So you see that at the present time, if a bench show committee provides a novice class, and puppy class, and there is only one dog entered in that class, that dog is put in the winners class, and he qualifies for a championship. I believe with Mr. Watson that the Committee on rules never intended that sort of thing to happen. I believe that the Committee on rules intended that there must be competition, and therefore I have contended all through from the first that there should be no such thing as a winners class, and I think the winners class should be wiped out entirely from our classification. The English Kennel Club, who have the same classes, have no winners class in their premium lists. In this way, we are simply making champions out of dogs that have nothing in the world to entitle them to become such except the fact that they are the only ones that are entered in there classed. I have had exhibitors come to me and say: Why can’t I enter my dog in this winners class without entering him in the other classes; your rule V says it shall be open for all winners of first prizes at any show. I have had exhibitors come to me and contend that they had a perfect right to enter their dogs in the winners class alone without competition in these other classes. I contend, as I have said, that the winners class is a class that does not exist. They cannot be winners unless these other classes are filled. I mean to say there must be competition in the winners class; that is to say, the other classes which are necessary to make a championship should be filled, and there must be competition in the winners class.

THE CHAIRMAN: There must be two first prize winners.

MR. WATSON: Suppose one dog wins in all the classes?

MR. MORTIMER: Then he wins by default. He has beaten everything in the other classes.
THE CHAIRMAN: I think the point is how we are going to rule on this case.

MR. SCHELLHASS: The point is as to whether we shall, or whether we can call the wins at this show in question void. I am a member of the Rules Committee, and helped to frame these rules, and I can see that the door has been left open to very cheap wins. We have the rule as it exists, and we cannot go behind the rules as they exist. There is no restriction in the rule, and I don’t know see that we can do anything but accepts those wins. I make a motion to accepts these wins, because I don’t see any other way out of it.

MR. RITCHIE: I hardly think it is necessary to put any such motion as that. I think the fact is evident in itself that they have complied with the requirements of the rules, and those wins should be ordered.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I withdraw the motion I made and move that the Secretary be instructed to recognize the wins.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is moved and seconded that the Secretary be instructed to make a record of the wins.

THE SECRETARY: Does that mean I shall make a record of a winner in miscellaneous classes? They give everything in the miscellaneous class.

MR. WATSON: How many classes did they give for miscellaneous?

MR. VREDENBURGH: It was full. While we are on this question, I would like to ask if I shall make a record of the breed of dogs, puppies, bitches, novice dogs, limit bitches, open dogs with winners, also in both the dog and bitch classes of a breed of dogs known as the California bull dog.

MR. MORTIMER: Has that breed been recognized by the Stud Book here?

MR. VREDENBURGH: No such breed has been registered.

MR. WATSON: I think it would be well to take this matter up, and add that no winners class should be recognized.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think the sense of the meeting is that awards be allowed to stand except in the miscellaneous classes and the California Bull dog.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I think there ought to be instructions given to the Stud Book Committee to make a list of recognized breeds.

The motion that the wins at the San Jose Show be awarded with the exceptions of those in the miscellaneous class and in the California Bulldog class was carried.
MR. MORTIMER: Would it be in order to give notice of an amendment to our rules?

THE CHAIRMAN: I should think it was just the right time to do it. I think you might cover the two things in a motion.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to ask the Secretary whether there has been any French bulldog registered as such?

MR. VREDENBURGH: No. There has been an effort to have a French Bulldog registered.

MR. WATSON: I think in this case it would be well to pass an arbitrary resolution. I think there are certain breeds, such as Russian Terriers, California Bulldogs and miscellaneous that should be omitted.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I think the best way to get at it would be for this meeting, by resolution, to instruct the Committee on Constitution and Rules to take that matter up at its earliest convenience, and to try and wore the rule applying to the winners qualifying for championship so as to remedy this, and at the same time the Committee can recommend this question of awarding medals to recognized breeds, and specify what, in its opinion should be a recognized breed of dog.

MR. MORTIMER: I think you would get at it quicker by specifying what should not be recognized.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Yes, that is so. There are several members of the Committee on Rules present, and they have now a pretty good idea of the opinions of the members, and I think with that knowledge and any further information that any of the delegates might be pleased to give them, the committee could do something during the early summer that could do something during the early summer that could be acted upon at the September meeting, and make the rule stronger and plainer.

MR. WATSON: I think if this matter were agitated in the Gazette, showing the need of these changes, the Committee will take it up. I think the Committee would be perfectly willing to take it up on suggestion.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like the Committee on Rules to take into consideration a matter that I have referred to many time before, and that is that the winners class should be entirely left out of the premium list.

MR. SCHELLHASS: Not announced?

MR. MORTIMER: Not announced
MR. SCHELLHASS: You mean it ought to be compulsory not to announce it?

MR. MORTIMER: Yes. Another condition that I would like the Committee on Rules to make of to take into consideration would be that there should be, there must be competition in order to become champion, that is to say if a show committee make a puppy class, a novice class, a limit class, an open class, that at least two of those classes should be full. I think the Committee on Rules should take all these matters into consideration, and settle this thing at once. The shows will be held early in the Fall.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question is whether this ought to come up in the form of a motion or whether it should come up in the form of a suggestion.

MR. BLOSSOM: I would like to ask whether this Committee is going to consider the subject, among others, so as to report to the next September meeting, because the shows are held in September and October. Will they consider the fact that when these changes in the rules are made, that part of the reason given for making the change were that the championship had previously been won too easily? I think the result of what few shows we have had has been to show that championships are won too easily now. I don’t want to make a motion if it is not necessary, but if it is necessary, I should make it, that a dog, to be considered champion has got to win five in the winners class.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I think that the suggestion is sufficient for the Rules Committee to take it up.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I would like to state in reply to Mr. Blossom that it can be legally acted upon at the September meeting. The only think necessary is that public notice in the Gazette should be given. We cannot amend the constitution, but we can amend the rules, because the rules are part and parcel of the By-Laws. Notice has to be given, but I think upon this suggestion there is no question but that the Rules Committee will look into this matter, and as soon as they have formulated a report, they will publish it in the Gazette prior to the September meeting, and then at the September meeting action can be had.

MR. MORTIMER: Another suggestion has occurred to me, as it possible has occurred to some of you, that something should be done in order to throw safe guards around the winnings of championships. For instance the American Kennel Club might nominate championship shows, on the Atlantic Coast, in the Middle
States, and at other places. I think that would throw a safe guard around the winning of championships.

MR. WATSON: I don’t think there is anything before the meeting. I think all of these suggestions have been thoroughly thrashed out during the last three or four months.

MR. BLOSSOM: Is it necessary to make a motion that these matters be left to the Rules Committee, or will they take charge of it so it will come legally before the meeting?

CAPT. KNOCKER: I make the suggestion to drop from the roll of Associates all members in arrears for dues for 1898.

Moved and seconded that such Associates be dropped.

Carried.

Different Kennel papers some pretty severe criticisms of the alleged hard heartedness of the American Kennel Club in exacting fines from the Butterfly Bench Association, owing to the fact that the said Club Show was given in aid of some charitable purpose. All the proceeds of the show, I believe were given to some charitable institution. So far as the American Kennel Club is concerned, there has only been one course to pursue, and that was for the Secretary to inflict whatever fines there were in connection with said show, but in view of the fact that the show was given for the benefit of charity, under the circumstances, I think it would be well for the American Kennel Club to remit those fines with the understanding that the money be applied to the purposes intended by the show in question.

Motion seconded.

MR. MORTIMER: I perfectly agree with what Mr. Schellhass has said, but I am afraid in passing that resolution we may be establishing a precedent which we may be sorry for hereafter. It has become the fashion to donate the proceeds, or a certain amount of the proceeds of shows to charitable institutions; for instance, we have the San Francisco Kennel Club, which announces that 75% of their profits shall be donated to charitable purposes in the city of San Francisco, and if we rescind the rule for one show, we may probably have to do it for others. That is the only objection to it I have. I am very much in favor of rescinding fines of that sort, and am in the case of the Butterfly Bench Show Association, but we have other Associations which also donate a certain percentage of their proceeds to charity.
MR. SCHELLHASS: Here was a show that gave its entire proceeds for the charitable purposes mentioned, and it was publicly announced that the show was given for that one purpose. Under the circumstances I should be very much in favor of remitting those fines.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I should like to correct the statement made by Mr. Schellhass. I have not seen any adverse criticism of the American Kennel Club on this score. The only person who has been criticized has been the Secretary of the American Kennel Club. I am not very large, but I have shoulders broad enough to stand that criticism, and I do not ask any protection from the American Kennel Club in that case.

MR. RITCHIE: I will offer as an amendment to Mr. Schellhass’ motion that this be not considered as precedent in any future show.

Amendment seconded.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I accept that amendment.

The motion as amended was then put and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The question of branching out somewhat in the Gazette has been debated and talked about, and I think it might be well to refer the matter to the Executive Board for it to take up and consider.

THE CHAIRMAN: In what way?

MR. VREDENBURGH: In making it more of a paper, instead of simply a record.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is to say, if we made it more on the lines of the English Kennel Gazette, which is newsy, and also contains the reports of the Judges.

MR. RITCHIE: I think there are possibilities in the Kennel Gazette that are unthought of now. As it is now, it is a mere record. I believe that that paper can be made a readable and newsy journal, and a think to be looked forward to, and not to be taken as a matter of course. I think if the Executive Board will take that matter up, they will find there are a number of ways in which the Journal might be improved, and I move that the Executive Board take up the matter of the improvement of the Kennel Gazette.

Motion seconded.
MR. MORTIMER: I don’t know whether any of the delegates here understand the manner in which the English Kennel Gazette is edited. If we are going to make a newspaper, or a newsy journal of the American Kennel Gazette, we should want to have it properly edited. It, of course means an additional expense, a big expense as a matter of fact. That has probably not been taken into consideration.

MR. VREDENBURGH: In line with that I would state that it would be perfectly impossible for this office to conduct the American Kennel Gazette on any other lines than it is now being done. I suppose we are two months behind in our work. We cannot keep up with it, and if any further duties are put upon the Secretary, the Secretary will tell you he cannot attend to them. If the Kennel Gazette is changed, it means man to do it; a man that is qualified to do it. That means expense, and if the Executive Board looks into this matter, they have got to go into the question of ways and means very thoroughly before they can come to a decision. The American Kennel Club cannot afford to do it, unless they can be assured of an increased income from it, and that is the reason I suggest that it be left to the Executive Board to consider it well, and to go into the question and see what they think they can do with it.

DR. FOOTE: This question of a Gazette, has been one that we have figured on it times past, I think. Every since my first experience as a delegate here, there has been a good deal of complaint about the condition of the Gazette. I appreciate the fact that the office in its present state is not in a condition to improve it, and in improving the Gazette, in having an Editor take charge of it, there will be of course an increased expense, but to offset that we have a thousand or more different people that register dogs every year in the stud book.

MR. VREDENBURGH: About 3500 entries is a fair average.

DR. FOOTE: It is fair to presume that we have close to a thousand people that register dogs. Anyone that registers a dog ought to be interested in kennel affairs, and sufficiently so to become an associate member, if we give him a fair return. Now, there is no return practicably beyond the two free registrations in the stud book. The Gazette need not be made a newspaper in the same sense as the stock keeper, The Turf Field and Farm and the Forest and Stream, but simply as a report for us which we want to keep on file. If that were done, and I believe that a very large proportion of those who now register dogs could be brought into the
associate membership, it could be increased and possibly sufficiently to cover the expense of editing the Gazette. Furthermore, the editor will be a feature of it. Instead of the small amount of advertising, that we now have, with some one in control of the Gazette that could solicit advertising, the profits from that source could be largely increased. I believe if the members will refer this to the Executive Board, we can show something vastly superior, both in our Gazette and in our Associate Membership and general interest in the American Kennel Club than anything we have heretofore had.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I think it would be better if the chair appointed a Committee of three, of which the Secretary would be one, to look into the matter, and report to the Executive Board in the matter, and report to the Executive Board in the matter.

Amendment accepted by Mr. Ritchie.
The amendment was then put and carried.
The Chairman appointed as such Committee, James Watson, James Mortimer and A. P. Vredenburgh.

The Meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 55 LIBERTY STREET, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 22ND, 1898

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., Presiding:

Present:

Associate Members, 
A. C. Wilmerding
H.K. Bloodgood

American Fox Terrier Club, 
H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.

American Dachshund Club, 
Dr. C. Matschenbacher

American Spaniel Club, 
M.A. Viti

Bull-Dog Club of America 
E. Willard Roby

Collie Club of America 
James Watson

Columbus Financiers’ Club 
J. M. Taylor

Gordon Setter Club 
James B. Blossom

Great Dane Club 
G. Muss-Arnolt

Irish Terrier Club 
S. Van Schaick

Milwaukee Kennel & Pet Stock Association James Mortimer

National Beagle Club 
H.F. Schellhass

Oakland Kennel Club 
A.D. Lewis

Pointer Club of America 
George Jarvis

San Francisco Kennel Club 
C.B. Knocker

Western Penn. Kennel Club 
G. M. Carnochan

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and the minutes accepted as published in the May Gazette.

The credentials of Mr. G. M. Carnochan to represent the Western Penn. Kennel Club were read, and he was duly elected as its delegate, Mr. Schellhass acting as teller.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, and the same, was, on motion, accepted and placed on file. It is as follows: -

New York, September 21st, 1898

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen: -
Since our May meeting I have received one application for membership, credentials of delegates from two clubs, and a withdrawal of credentials from one club, all of which will be reported upon by the Membership Committee at this meeting.

Four applications for the registration of Kennel names have been received and referred to the Stud-Book Committee, to be reported upon by said committee at this meeting.

There has been a full meeting of the Constitution and Rules Committee, at which the report on amendments to the classification were submitted and duly published in the August Gazette, which made any action on said amendments at this meeting constitutional. The report of your Committee will be submitted at this meeting.

The following charges for mis-conduct have been filed at this office:
July 13th, 1898, Alan W. Wood, vs. Andrew Laidlaw.
September 7th, 1898, Frank Kruse vs. Klee & Dean

The Rhode Island Kennel Club requests a ruling on the following question: “Are we as members of the American Kennel Club bound to pay specials, offered by individuals and advertised as such in our catalogues?” This request was submitted to the Executive Board at its July meeting, and laid over pending particulars. The question as above is the reply to the questions of the Executive.

An appeal from Motschenbacher & Klein against the decision of the judge of Scottish Terriers at the Bull Terrier show has been received. The Terrier “Tambourine” entered at said show, was the only one entered and shown, and the judge declined to judge said dog in a winner’s class for the reason that no competition had occurred in any of the regular classes the appeal is made at the suggestion of the judge, as a test case so that a precedent can be established.

It has come to my notice that in a number of cases judges have given two “reserves” to dogs in the same class. Such awards certainly do not come within the letter not spirit of the rules, and as the reserve is given solely for the purpose of moving a dog up in the money in the case of cancellation of higher awards, it necessitates giving equal prizes in case such moving up becomes necessary. This practice should be stopped, and judges should be warned against it.
The discussion at our last meeting relative to the enlarging and increasing the scope of the Gazette was taken up at the last meeting of the Executive Board, and I was authorized to take such steps as might be found necessary to carry the project into effect. This I have done, making the matter an experimental one until January 1st, 1899, at which time it can be intelligently determined whether it will be self-supporting, and its continuance advisable.

I have to report the receipt of a notice from the Pacific Mastiff Club, withdrawing the credentials of its delegate, Mr. Erastus Hamilton, for the reason of the expiration of the term for which he was appointed.

An appeal from Toom & Thomas has been received, applying for reinstatement, with an endorsement by Mr. Charles W. Keyes. I would state for your information that the suspension of said firm expires by limitation on January 1st, 1899.

I have received the resignation of Mr. James Watson as Chairman of the Stud-Book Committee with which has been identified for many years. With its acceptance it will be necessary to elect his successor, in order to fill the vacancy this occasional in the Executive Board.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenbrugh,
Secretary.

The Treasurer's report was read, as follows:

New York, September 21st, 1898

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1st, 1898.
Balance on hand January 1st, 1898 $4,276.49
Receipts from all sources to date, $5,773.21
   Total $ 10,049.70
Disbursements from January 1st, 1898 5,681.08
   Balance on hand, 4,368.62

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Executive Board was presented, and on motion accepted as published, and placed on file.
The report of the Stud-Book Committee was then read, as follows: -

New York, September 22nd, 1898
A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,
Secretary A.K.C.

Dear Sir:

For reasons assigned in the accompanying report of the Stud-Book Committee, please cancel the registration of the English Setter Knights Sport, 42,784, and Knight Shade, 45,138, together with any others by Knights Sports that may have been registered.

Your truly,
Jas. Watson Chairman

New York, September 22nd, 1898

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

Your Stud-Book Committee reports as follows on all matters placed before it with two exceptions, they being in an incomplete condition.

In the Matter of the Pedigree of the English Setter “Knights Sports”
Klee & Dean sold an English Setter named Knights Sport to C. L. Thudichum, of Philadelphia, early in 1897, and the latter registered the dog with the pedigree given by the vendors, the number being 42,784. In April of this year Mr.
THudichum received a communications form Albery Shira, a discharged employy of Klee & Dean, and was informed later on by Shira that his dog has no such pedigree, but that if there was any mistake they would rectify it. At this stage Klee & Dean preferred charges against Shira, evidently with the view of showing that he was unreliable and so affect his evidence. This case the Executive Board dismissed upon the ground that it was a case of an employee failing to carry out instructions and not one that the A.K.C. has any right to take cognizance of. Your Committee held their report in abeyance pending the other case being decided and also to permit Klee & Dean to have the opportunity to have the dog identified. Since then they have taken back the dog, thus acknowledging that they sent it out with a wrong pedigree. Further than that they now write that they cannot supply or determine its pedigree. Your committee has therefore ordered the cancelling of the registration and all affected thereby.

With regard to Klee & Dean, your Committee has had several cases before it in which the firm has been accused of improper dealings. So far it has succeeded in upholding its side of the case, but there has been evidence of carelessness in one or two cases, and as your Committee is informed that there is a very similar matter to the Knights Templar one to be presented at this meeting, it would seem to be advisable to make this form part of the same general inquiry into the conduct of the kennel.

Papers in two cases are returned for filing, without mentioning names. It not unfrequently happens that a dissatisfied purchaser seeks the medium of the American Kennel Club to get out of the trade by asserting that the seller deceived him as to pedigree, &c., presuming either that their evidence will be accepted without investigation, or that we will hunt up sufficient evidence on their behalf to have the trade declared off. When called upon to offer some evidence in support of the statements the reply, if any, is as vague as the charges, and it would not be proper to assist these complainants by publishing names of persons against whom not a particle of evidence has been presented, but who might suffer by a doubt being created through a statement of the case.

Your committee report as follows on the applications for Kennel names and prefixes:
Recommended favorably: Rockwood, to Roger D. Williams, of Lexington, Ky., to whom the name has been relinquished by Mr. J.C. Froliger.

Montane to Ernest M. Crocker, of New Kensington, Pa.

Guyasta, To James McAleer and W. E. Johnston of Etna, Pa.

The applications of Messrs. Stewart and Son, of Aromas, Cal., for the prefix "Ormskirk" is objected to as it is the well known prefix of Mr. T.H. Strech, of Ormskirk, England, and dogs with that prefix are known to come from that kennel. The fact that the applicants have the dog Ormskirk Blucher in their kennels is not sufficient warrant for the use of the name.

Mr. Forliger, who relinquished the name of Rockwood to Mr. Roger D. Williams, has asked "Miami" as a substitute provided there is not likelihood for confusion with any other kennel. No such kennel name has been registered, but there is such a kennel in existence, or was a short time ago, and as this might leas to the confounding of the two, which Mr. Froliger desires to avoid, his application is therefore reported upon adversely.

Respectfully submitted,

Jas. Watson,
Chairman

On motion the report of the Stud-Book Committee was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was read, as follows:-

New York, September 21\textsuperscript{st}, 1898

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen: -

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, for the purpose of examining and reporting upon applications for admission to membership, and upon credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your Committee respectfully report as follows: --

An applications for membership from the St. Bernard Club of America, filed July 27\textsuperscript{th}, 1898, having fully complied with all requirements, is duly approved, and its admission recommended.
The credentials of Mr. G. M. Carnochan filed by the Western Penn. Kennel Club as its delegate, is hereby approved and its acceptance recommended.

The credentials of Mr. A.B. Bagley, filed by the St. Bernard Club of California, did not come to hand until September 12th, 1898, and therefore cannot be considered at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

H.T. Foote
Chairman

On motion the said report was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Committee on Constitution & Rules was read, as published, and the following telegram was read in connection therewith: --

New York, September 19th, 1898

Dated San Francisco, Cal., 19.
To V. P. Vredenburgh,
55 Liberty Street,
New York.

Frisco apparently only loyal show. Increase winners wins would work incalculable hardship to all shows. Knocker particulars mailed.

Carlton and DeRuyter.

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The first matter in the report of the Committee on rules is the amendments that were submitted by the five clubs on the Pacific coast, recommended by the Committee on Rules, that it insert after the word “Class” on the fourth line of Rule XII, Class 5: “OR five first prizes at shows held west of 110 degrees west longitude.”

MR. ARNOTT: I move the matter be laid over for further consideration, pending the receipt of particulars.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is, the request that a win in the winners’ class shall not count as regular class win. The Committee on Rules withheld their approval of that amendment, for the reason that a win in the winners’ class is the
most important win that a dog can made and should be counted against the dog the same as a win in the open, limit or novice classes.

Capt. Knocker: Would it not be better to lay over the Pacific Coast matter until I receive my instructions? I have not received any instructions up to this time.

Mr. Schellhass: I was going to make that motion. I move that those matters be laid over for further consideration.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The next matter for your consideration is the proposed amendment to Rule XI. Following the word “weighing” in the seventh line, to add “or measuring”.

After some discussion it was moved and seconded that the recommendation of the committee of the proposed amendment to Rule XI by adopted.

Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The next proposed amendment is to Rule XII, following the words “under mentioned classes” to add “It is optional to provide such of the following classes as may be desired.” The cause of that recommendation on the part of the committee was that a number of show committees seem to interpret these rules to mean that they must provide every class that we define in this classification.

The Chairman: I do not see how they can possibly misinterpret that. It is very plain.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I don’t either, but to make it doubly sure the committee recommends the addition of that clause.

Mr. Arnett: I move the rejection of that clause.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Also, following the work “and” at the beginning of the sixth line, to add “if registered in the stud-book”.

Moved and seconded that the above recommendations be adopted.

Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Also, in the same rule, same class, strike out the last clause, to wit: “Winners’ classes must be provided in all cases when the regular classification will warrant them.”

Mr. Arnett: What is the reason of that?
MR. VREDENBURGH: According to the rules now they must provide a winners’ class. They have the puppy novice, limit and open classes in a breed, and they will have one entry in that breed, and they cannot expect more than a couple, because the classes never fill. Here we say they must make a winner’s class. The third clause of this classification, which includes the open class, warrants the opening of a winner’s class. Now we make it optional with the shows, just as the Westminster Kennel Club, and all the other large shows in every important breed did, that it, opened a winner’s class because they are going to get entries by opening a winner’s class.

MR. WATSON: I make the suggestion that we take the other proposed amendment in conjunction with this and then we can explain it better. In another place we offer an amendment whereby there should be not winner’s class in addition to what was actually specified in the premium list. The object of that was to protest the title of Champion. That was the prize which the club has given, a championship medal, and we were making that addition ourselves. If they said, ‘winners’ classes must be provided in all cases when the regular classification will warrant them, “The regular classification would warrant a winner’s class, provided these class were subdivided at the last minute, giving one individual an opportunity to make his entry and excluding other people.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The other propose amendment is that “the classification shall be confined to established breeds, which may be divided or limited by variety, weight, color, height or sex, and otherwise as provided in the dog show Rules. No change in classification not covered by the published regulations of the show in the premium list will be allowed unless announced in the Kennel press before the date of closing entries. This, however, does not permit of any change in winners’ classes from those announced in the premium list.”

After some further discussion Mr. Schellhass said that the committee had thrashed this matter out pretty thoroughly; that the committee saw objections to the Rules in this form, but it saw less objection to this form than in any other form they could put it. He thought it better that the delegates should make their suggestions to the Rules Committee.

MR. SCHELLHASS moved that the amendment be adopted as recommended by the committee.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next proposed amendment is to Rule X, strike out the words on the fifth line “registration or.” The object of that a club in St. Paul receive registrations for dogs, and after the show is over, they send on registrations to this office, and we have to reject them. It is not right for anybody to receive registrations for the Stud-Book except this office. We can appoint them as agents for us to accept the listings, but it is not proper that anybody should receive a registration except this office.

Mr. Schellhass moved that the proposed amendment be adopted.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Now we come to the last one, after the word “disqualification”, in Rule XIV, add the words “or suspension”.

MR. Viti: I move that the recommendation of the committee be adopted.
Motion seconded and carried.

The St. Bernard Club of America was admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club by election, Mr. Schellhass acting as teller.

On motion the recommendations of the Stud-Book Committee were approved.

On motion the charges for mis-conduct filed by Alan W. Wood against Andrew Laidlaw, and by Frank Kruse against Klee & Dean, were referred to the Executive Board.

MR. VREDENBURGH: As I stated in my report, the Rhode Island Kennel Club desires a ruling on the question: “Are we as members of the American Kennel Club bound to pay specials offered by individuals and advertised as such in our catalogue?” In other words, if I send to the Westminster Kennel Club a notice that I will donate five dollars as a special for the best of a certain breed, and the Westminster Kennel Club publishes that in their premium list, is the Westminster Kennel Club responsible for the special that their premium list was the medium of advertising.

MR. MORTIMER: I should say yes to most emphatically. I take it that the Westminster Kennel Club, or any other club, would not accept specials unless they knew from whom they received them. I think it is a good thing to make clubs responsible for those specials because a number of these specials are offered
simply for the sake of advertising purposes. For that reason I think clubs should be held responsible for anything that they offer in their premium list or catalogue. I make such a motion, that all clubs be held responsible for all special prizes which are published in their premium lists or catalogues.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that it be entered in the Dog Show Rules, that all specials offered in premium lists or catalogues of shows held by the American Kennel Club must be guaranteed by said club, and said club will be held responsible for their payment.

    Motion seconded and carried.

In regard to the appeal from Motschenbacher & Klein against the decision of the judge of Scotish Terriers at the Bull Terrier show, the Secretary read the correspondence relating thereto.

    Mr. Carnochan moved that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

    MR. MORTIMER: I can settle this matter, I think, in a very few words. I happened to be the judge in question. There was no competition at all, and my rendering, or my construction of the A. K.C. Rule was that where there was no competition there could be no winners’ class, but possibly I was wrong. I did not withhold the prize. I gave the dog the first prize in the class in which he was entered. I did not withhold it because I didn’t think the dog was worthy of winning, but simply that there was no competition, and I said to Mr. Klein that I didn’t think the American Kennel Club intended that a dog should win in any winners’ class unless he had some competition. I advised Mr. Klein to being the matter before this club. So far as the merits of the dog is concerned, he certainly has merit enough to be awarded first, if there was any competition. You understand my reason for not judging the dog. I did not withhold the dog. I simply declined to judge the dog in the winners’ class because my construction of the rules was there where there was no competition there should be no winners’ class. I put my rendering on the rule without knowledge probably in the case, and as the ruling is that the winners’ class depended entirely upon the classification, I should recommend that the dog be awarded the first prize to which he was entitled.
THE CHAIRMAN: I should like to have it understood in deciding this case that we are dealing with the judge and if the judge requests us to award him the first prize, we can do it.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I moved that, whereas, the judge has informed us that he withheld the prize not from any lack of merit, but owing to a misinterpretation of the rule, and whereas he deems that the dog is worthy of the prize, that the prize be awarded at his request.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MORTIMER: The dog was entered in two other classes, in both of which classes I gave him first prize.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I should like to have instructions as to what I shall do when there are two reserves in the same class.

MR. MORTIMER: There cannot be any such thing. The reserve card is given in case the winner of the third prize, or the winner of any of the prizes, first, second or third, or whatever the number of the prize may be, in disqualified: that this would be moved up, the reserve is the best V.H.C.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I had two or three cases in the last San Francisco show, where there were two reserves in the same class, and I have not compiled those reserves for the winners in the stud-book. We wrote to San Francisco and asked them to designate which was the reserve, and they wrote back that they could do nothing; that the judge’s book said two reserves, and they couldn’t go behind the judge’s book and they could not reach the judge to determine at this late day. I think it ought to be most emphatically stated that the judges are not to give two reserves, as a reserve was meant for a purpose, and there could only be one reserve. For instance, if there were three prizes given there have got to be four dogs named. I would recommend that a resolution be adopted that it is the sense of the American Kennel Club that judges should not give two reserve cards in the same class.

MR. MORTIMER: I think that would be somewhat of a reflection on the intelligence of judges. I think it should be specific, that whereas a certain judge had given two reserves in various classes at a certain show, that it should be distinctly understood that only one reserve can be given. Every intelligent person knows that there can only be one reserve card given.
MR. CARNOCHAN: How would it do to strike out those reserves and send them notice.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I have done that. I simply ignored the reserves.

It was moved and seconded that the action of the Secretary in this matter be ratified.

Carried.

On motion, the Secretary was granted permission to strike the name of Mr. Erastus Hamilton from the records, for the reasons stated in his report.

The appeal of Messrs. Toom & Thomas for re-instatement was read.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I would state that when this matter came up last year, I remember we went into the matter very thoroughly as to what effect the disqualifications would have if it were made until about the present time, and what effect it would have it made at the end of the year, and after considering the matter we concluded that the first of January, all things considered, was the best time to make it. For that reason I move we do not consider the appeal.

Motion seconded.

MR. MORTIMER: I do not want to appear as a special advocate on behalf of Messrs. Toom & Thomas, and I also concede that the punishment was just and well merited, but it seems to me that there are times when it would be advisable to temper justice with mercy, and I offer an amendment that the suspension of disqualification of Messrs. Toom & Thomas be removed at this meeting.

Amendment seconded.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I do not accept the amendment.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I should like to state that as one of the members of that Executive Committee, as I remember, we let Messrs. Toom & Thomas off with as mild a sentence as we could give them. We decided then that we were doing them a great favor to given them only one year, but in additional to that I have something else to say with regard to a statement in Mr. Tomas' letter. He states that he is missing his livelihos by not being able to take dogs to shows. I was at the Baltimore show after he was suspended, and I can inform the members of this committee that he brought his dogs there, and did everything but take them in the ring. That he took his dogs out at night and attended to them I have no doubt, and that he got paid for
doing so. So I do not see that he is losing his livelihood by not taking his dogs in the ring.

THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is before the house, that Messrs. Tooms & Thomas’ appeal be granted.

Amendment lost by a vote of eight to four.

The original motion, that the appeal be not granted, was then put and carried.

The Secretary then read Mr. Watson’s resignation as follows:

“New York, September 21\textsuperscript{st}, 1898

A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,

Secretary American Kennel Club

Dear Sir:

Having accepted the position of Editor of the Gazette, it becomes necessary for me to relinquish my membership of the Stud-Book and Rules Committee. I will also present to the Collie Club at its first meeting my resignation as its delegate, when my successor will be appointed.

I need hardly add that it is not without much regret I thus sever my long association with my associates on these committees and as a delegate.

Your very truly,

James Watson”

It was moved and seconded that the resignation be accepted with regret.

Carried.

MR. SCHELLHASS: I desire to call attention to the fact that Mr. Watson has been a delegate here longer than any other one man. He was a member of the Stud-Book Committee in 1890; in 1891, he was made Secretary, and in 1892 he was made Chairman of it. Only two other men, I believe, have been in office continuously as long as Mr. Watson, namely, President Belmont and Mr. Vredenburgh. I would like to say that I have had a great deal of close association with Mr. Watson in committee business, covering a long period, and I believe I have seen a great deal more of Mr. Watson’s work than any one else, and I can cheerfully say that no member among us has had the interests of the club more at heard, or has done more work than Mr. Watson. I therefore move that this club extend a vote
of thanks to Mr. Watson for his long and meritorious services as Chairman of the Stud-Book Committee.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    MR. SCHELLHASS: For the vacancy caused by Mr. Watson’s withdrawal I nominate Mr. Viti. In nominating him I will say that I have considered the matter very carefully, and I thought that it was particularly advisable to have a lawyer on the Executive Board, as by virtue of being Chairman of the Stud-Book Committee he will be a member of the Executive Board. The Board, as at present constituted, has no lawyer on it, and as the danger to the American Kennel Club of damage suits being instituted against it is to be found in the actions of the Executive Board, which tries all our causes, I think it is a very proper thing for us to have the advantage of the advise of an attorney in connection with the Executive Board. It is unnecessary for me to say that this club has had experience in damage suits and that it has expended large sums in its defense.

    Mr. Carnochan was also nominated to fill the vacancy.

    Mr. Lewis moved that the nominations be closed.

    MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to state that I am no lawyer, and I think Mr. Viti is much the best man to put on the Executive Board, and I therefore withdraw my name. I move the Secretary cast one ballot for Mr. Viti for the vacancy stated.

    MR. VITI: I thank you for the honor you have conferred upon me in giving me this nomination. While it has come to me unsought, my services are at the disposal of the club. I feel that the club is a loser by Mr. Watson withdrawing.

    The Secretary states that he had cast one ballot for Mr. M.A. Viti as Chairman of the Stud-Book Committee.

    THE CHAIRMAN: I declare Mr. Viti to be elected Chairman of the Stud-Book Committee.

    The meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, THURSDAY, DECEMBER 8th, 1898

Vice President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present:

Associate Members: A. Clinton Wilmerding
H. K. Bloodgood
G. W. H. Ritchie

American Dachshund Club Dr. C. Motschenbacker
American Pet Dog Club S. C. Hodge
American Scottish Terrier Club James L. Little
American Spaniel Club M. A. Viti
Brunswick Fur Club J.H. Van Dorn
Collie Club of America James Watson
Gordon Setter Club of America James B. Blossom
Irish Terrier Club of America S. Van Schaick
Mascoutah Kennel Club C. F. R. Drake
Metropolitan Kennel and Pet Stock Association James Mortimer
National Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
New England Kennel Club Edward Brooks
Pointer Club of America George Jarvis
San Francisco Kennel Club C. B. Knocker

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and the minutes approved as published in the Gazette.

The report of the Committee on Membership was read as follows:

New York, Dec. 7th, 1898

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:
At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting upon applications for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the secretary, your committee respectfully reports as follows:

The application for membership from the New Orleans Fox Terrier Club and the Joliet Kennel Club, having fully complied with all the requirements, are duly approved, and their admission hereby approved.

The credentials of Mr. A. P. Bagley, filed by the St. Bernard Club of California, laid over at the last meeting for the reason that the notice of his appointment did not arrive in time to be acted upon, are hereby approved and its acceptance recommended.

The credentials of Mr. E. M. Oldham, filed by the St. Bernard Club of America, are hereby approved.

H.T. Foote,
Chairman

Mr. Vredenburgh: I desire to state in connection with the credentials of Mr. Oldham I received a letter and telegram from him in which he declines to act, saying that he has neither the time or inclination to serve in any such honorable office. The only delegate to be voted for is Mr. Bagley to represent the St. Bernard Club of California.

The ballot was then taken, and the Chairman declared Mr. Bagley duly elected.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, as follows:

New York, Dec. 7th, 1898

TO the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I have received two applications for admission to membership, and three credentials for delegates since our September meeting and have referred same to our Membership Committee, which will report on same at this meeting.

Ten applications for the registration of kennel names have been filed, and referred by me to the Stud Book Committee, which will report on same at this meeting.
The resignation of the Poodle Club of America and the New England Field Trials Club have been received. There clubs are in good standing, and as they have disbanded I would recommend that their resignations be accepted at this meeting.

By authority given the Vice President by the Executive Board, I beg to report that he has appointed the following gentlemen to constitute a special committee to represent the American Kennel Club on the Pacific coast: John E. de Ruyter, chairman; H.H. Carlton, W.R. Cluness, Jr., J. P. Norma, G. M. Grey, M.C. Allen and C. A. Haight. These gentlemen have duly accepted the appointments, have organized and elected J. P. Norman as secretary of the Committee. The committee has made a requisition for supplies consisting of minute book, stationery and any other articles necessary for the proper conduct of the committee. In this regard I would recommend that permission be given the Secretary of the Committee to purchase the necessary supplied and charge same to the club.

The minutes of the organization meeting of this committee will be presented to you at this meeting. The California State Poultry and Kennel Club, the Santa Clara Valley Poultry and Kennel Club, and the California Collie Club, having become member of an organization called the Pacific Kennel League, and having adopted rules for the holding of Dog Shows on the Pacific coast distinct from those of the American Kennel Club, I, by direction of Vice President Brooks, called upon said clubs, they being members in good standing of this club, to show cause why Sec. 1, Article IX of our Constitution should not be enforced. I beg to submit replies from all of the clubs referred to, and such action as may be deemed expedient by the delegates is recommended at this meeting. Pending your action I have refrained from sending these clubs the usual bills for dues for the year of 1899, which, under ordinary circumstances, are due and payable prior to January 1st, 1899. In connection with the above matter I felt it my duty to advise you that letters have been received from prominent breeders and show officials on the coast recommending that no action be taken by the American Kennel Club against these clubs. It is, however, a question of good taste and propriety on the part of these clubs to affiliate with an organization, known to oppose the authority of this club, while enjoying the benefits and privileges accorded its members. Possibly it might be advisable to refer the whole matter to our Pacific coast committee.
I have to report the reception from the New England Kennel Club of the official catalogue of the show at Braintree, held October 14 and 15, 1898. Upon an examination I find that through a wrong interpretation of the rules of the American Kennel Club, and of the special rules made by the New England Kennel Club, that said rules have been violated in the following classes: Winners classes were wrongly divided by sex, as per American Kennel Club rules, and open classes were wrongly divided by sex, as per New England Kennel Club additional rules, R.C. St. Bernard, Pointers, English Setters, Irish Setters, Collies, Poodles, Dachshunde, Scottish Terriers, Japanese Spaniels and Airedale Terriers. I have not as yet cancelled these illegal wins, in the hope that in your wisdom some plan may be thought of by which the innocent exhibitor may not suffer. In an interview with the officers of the New England Kennel Club at Boston, on Nov. 23rd last, the illegality of the classes was conceded, and it was suggested that while said illegal wins must of a necessity be cancelled as American Kennel Club records, yet the New England Kennel Club would make no claim for the return of the prize money paid out for them, and that it would send a circular to each winner, explaining the circumstances, and assuming all blame and responsibility in the matter. At said chow I found that a dog owned and exhibited at Toronto by a firm of person not in good standing, and therefore being a disqualified dog, has been conveyed to a new owner subsequently, and shown by him. I notified the new owner that I had cancelled all of said dogs wins at the Braintree show, and received in reply a notice from his attorney that if such as done he would test the case in a court of law. I replied to same, stating our position in the premises, which brought forth a second reply from said attorney. Upon my refusal to carry on any further correspondences on the subject with any person except the principal, I received further letters from the owner in which the intervention of the law was each time suggested. The New England Kennel Club acting as the agent of the exhibitor, forwarded to this office the listing blank and fee, which I promptly returned, declining to list the dog, which thus became the primary cause for the cancellation of the wins. The last letter I received on the subject is date Nov. 15, 1898. The correspondences is now at your disposal.

By authority of the Executive Board the American Kennel Club increased the scope of the Gazette, which entailed an addition to the clerical force of the office. The scheme was experimental, and to be tried until December 31st, 1898. The
improvement in the Gazette is certainly a marked one, and had received the hearty support and commendation of many persons interested. The Board expressed the opinion that if the Gazette account held its own in the financial point, it would be satisfied with the result of the venture. It gives me pleasure to report that the account has not only held its own, but has paid us a profit, and I would therefore recommend that the plan be continued for another year. Several matters were referred to the Executive Board at the September meeting, but it was not considered advisable to hold a session of said Board until after this meeting, and therefore the cases thus referred are still in abeyance.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report, as follows:

New York, Dec. 7, 1898

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1st, 1898:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1898</td>
<td>$4,276.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources to date</td>
<td>7,725.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,001.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements form Jan. 1, 1898</td>
<td>7,473.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$4,527.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I beg also to report on all bills for dies for 1899 were duly mailed to active members on Nov. 30, 1898, except to the three clubs in California referred to in the report of the Secretary.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and the same was, on motion accepted and the recommendations therein contained adopted. It is as follows:

To the Secretary of the American Kennel Club:

The Stud Book Committee begs to report the following business since the last meeting:

Applications for Kennel names received:

Apache, Lewis Barret; Brookside Farm, C. L. Stewart, for Collies only; Dixie, A.E> Shaw; Fairland, W. J. Baughn; Kalwala, Mrs. Clara W. Sherman; Miami, J.C. Borgstede; Walworth, J.M. Rumsay; Wildhurst, S.C. Hodge. As none of these conflict with those previously granted, it is recommended that they be allowed.

Re application by Burton Cassaday to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for the pedigree of Pointer Croxteth Lilly, said Cassaday claiming to be owner of the dog. The Secretary obtained the pedigree from Klee and dean, the former owners, who claimed that the dog was not the property of said Cassaday. Subsequent facts obtained from Klee and Dean in support of their claim showed, on the contrary, Cassaday to be the owner. Therefore, recommended that said Cassaday be furnished with a copy of the pedigree alleged by Klee and Dean to be that of the bitch in question.

Re application of L.F. Hart, Baton Rouge, LA., to have registration of Pointer Tom D. 47965 registered and owned by him cancelled on the ground that he apparently had been imposed upon by having been sold said dog with a fictitious pedigree.

The committee has only this week succeeded in locating the accused, and until he has had an opportunity to offer a defense, judgment is withheld.

Re Boston Terrier Alpha, 47619; fictitious pedigree claimed. Although this case has been pending since June 21st, 1898., it has been delayed owning to the slowness of the parities interested, and the mass of conflicting and contradictory evidence. The committee has done all possible to settle case promptly, and expects to do same within about one month.

Stud Book Committee,
Per Hermann F. Schellhass,
Sec.
The Secretary then read the report of the meeting of the Pacific Committee as follows:

November 10th, 1898

Meeting of the Special Committee of American Kennel Club, held at Occidental Hotel, San Francisco.

Present: John E. de Ruyter, Chairman; W. R. Cluness, Jr., M.C. Allen, H.H. Carlton and J. P. Norman.

Mr. de Ruyter called the meeting to order and appointed Mr. Norman as Secretary pro tem. The Chair then read the following letter:

Office of the American Kennel Club, New York.

Mr. John E. de Ruyter, San Francisco

Dear Sir:

I have the honor to advise you that by power given Mr. Edward Brooks, as Vice President of the American Kennel Club, he has appointed yourself as Chairman in connection with Messrs. H.H. Carlton, W. R. Cluness Jr., J. P. Norman, G.M.B. Gray, J.W. Keen and M. C. Allen, to constitute a special committee to care for the interests of said American Kennel Club in all matters concerning it on your Pacific coast. Your committee should be called together and arrange for the holding of such sessions as may in its wisdom be deemed desirable. Will you kindly notify each member upon the authority given you by this letter, which will be your credentials.

Yours truly,

(Signed)

American Kennel Club,
By A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

The above letter constituting the credentials of the individual members of the committee, as well as of the President, further credentials were waived.

Moved and seconded that the temporary officers be permanent. Adopted. Moved and seconded that Chairman be authorized to ascertain if Mr. Clarence Haight be willing to serve on committee in place of Mr. J.W. Keen, absent from
California, and if so, to submit his name for approval to American Kennel Club. Carried.

Moved and seconded that:

Whereas, it appears that much dissatisfaction has existed on this coast, due to delays in correspondence with the American Kennel Club on account of geographical considerations, and to diversity of interests between the east and the far west, therefore, be it.

Resolved, that it is the sense of this committee that in order to be in a position to effectively perform the duties for which it has been created, it is necessary that it be endowed with authority:

First: To grant dates for holding shows, and to receive and hold, temporarily, (subject to the order of the American Kennel Club) all funds that may come into the possession of said committee in the discharge of its official functions.

Second, to decide protests from rulings of Bench Show Committee, or such other matters as may arise in connection with dogs, dog shows or field trials.

Third: To initiate proceedings or to entertain complaints against any person or club in the matter of frauds or other misconduct perpetrated in connection with dogs, dog shows or field trials, and to inflict on such person, or club, if found guilty, such penalty as would be within the province or power of the American Kennel Club.

Fourth: To adopt general measures not in conflict with the Constitution and by-laws of the American Kennel Club, to protest the interests of said club and its Pacific Coast members, and to act as intermediary between the former and latter.

Fifth: All acts and decisions of this committee shall become effective, subject to appeal to the American Kennel Club, to be under the rules and regulations of that club, provided that, where such appeal is not taken, the acts and decisions of this committee shall be final.

Sixth: Vacancies on this committee shall be filled by appointment of the American Kennel Club, the committee by majority vote to select candidate and submit his name for appointment to the American Kennel Club. Such selection shall, however, not be binding on the American Kennel Club, and the latter shall at all times have the power to fill the vacancy, regardless of the selection of the majority of the committee.
Seventh: The expenses of this committee shall be borne by the American Kennel Club, and the Secretary-Treasurer of this committee shall render semi-annual statements of his receipts and disbursements to the American Kennel Club. Carried.

And be it further

Resolved, that it is the sense of this committee that Article XIII, Sec. 4 of the Constitution of the American Kennel Club be repealed at the next annual meeting of the club, and that a new section be substituted therefore in accordance with the recommendations contained in the foregoing resolution. Carried.

And be it further

Resolved, that this committee be authorized by the American Kennel Club to adopt a Constitution and by laws, which upon approval by said club, shall become the governing law of this Committee. Carried.

Attest, J. P. Norman, Secretary

Mr. Vredenburgh: I desire to state that in August of last year during my visit, it was the general consensus of opinion of the men whom I met there that if we continued an advisory Board on the coast, it would be better to have that Board appointed from the New York office than to have it elected by the Californians. That was entirely unofficial.

Mr. Viti: The Club is guaranteed a representation?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Not necessarily. We tried to put it in that way.

Mr. Schellhass: We don’t know whether the different clubs will endorse that.

Mr. Mortimer: It is almost a certain thing that a number of the clubs won’t endorse it because a number of the clubs have seceded. They are all members until they are either expelled or dropped from the rill for non-payment of dues.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The recommendation of this committee comes from the leading clubs on the Pacific coast.

Mr. Viti: what I want to know is whether this comes from these clubs or from these gentlemen.

Mr. Vredenburgh: It comes from this committee.

Mr. Viti: We don’t know whether or not that has the approval of the clubs that they represent.
Mr. Vredenburgh: No. Neither do we know that they plan of electing and Advisory Board out there has the approval of the clubs. That was done at this office, hoping that it might meet with their approval. I would suggest as they ask a great deal of power out there, unless you feel like giving the time to dissect all their requests, that it might be better be referred to the committee to go through this; simply accept the report and refer it to the Executive Board for it to discuss it.

The Chair: I think the matter ought to be gone through in piece meal.

Mr. Viti: How many clubs are there that have not joined the Pacific Kennel League?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Two

It was moved and seconded that the report of the Special Committee be received and referred to the Executive Board.

The New Orleans Fox Terrier Club and the Joliet Kennel Club were duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

The resignations of the Poodle Club of America and of the New England Field Trial Club were read, and on motion of Dr. Foote the same were accepted.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The question of the requisition by the Special Committee of the Pacific Coast for the necessary articles for the proper conduct of the office is now before you. They ask us to purchase them and forward them. I recommend that they be given authority to purchase these supplies and forward the bill here.

The Chair: If there is no objection that course will be taken.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The next question is the matter of calling upon the clubs joining the Pacific Kennel League to show cause why they should not be dropped from membership.

The Chair: Have they replied to your letter?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes, all three clubs made reply claiming that in joining the Pacific Kennel League they in no way antagonized the American Kennel Club, nor was it in their opinion prejudicial to the interests of this club.

The Chair: I do not see any reason why they should not be dropped from the A.K.C. I don’t see how two clubs are going to work on the same ground with different classifications and rules. I don’t see how they can belong to two associations. I don’t see how we can recognize any wins not obtained under our own rules.
Mr. Mortimer: It seems to me only a question of time when they will be dropped. They are not going to hold on to their membership in the A.K.C. In a very short time they will be dropped for non-payment of dues. I don’t know that it required any recognition on our part.

Mr. Schellhass: There is no question but what this is prejudicial to us. It is bound to be. They will hold shows there under their rules.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Their rules are entirely separated and distinct from ours. I will instance a case. A member of the Pacific Kennel League certainly ought to abide by their rules. We will take one rule for instance; that states that a breeder of a puppy is the person owning the bitch at the time of whelping. The American Kennel Club says entirely different. If they live up to their own rules how can they come here and register a dog under our rules. They are two diametrically opposite rules on the same subject and if they abide by their rules they must necessarily break our rules; and how can we accept their registrations. If they abide by our rules they must necessarily break their own rules.

Mr. Watson: We have no jurisdiction over them in any way. If you recognize such a body as that, you will have a little company down east with rules of their own: a company down south, and if we recognize this, we would have to recognize all.

Mr. Ritchie: It seems to me that what they are going is what we have got to consider now. They may make all sorts of rules to govern themselves. I don’t see that it matters what rules they make so long as they don’t interfere with our rules. They can make their rules and break them if they please, but so long as they live up to the rules of the American Kennel Club I don’t see what right we have to say whether they join other and independent associations. While it might be detrimental to the interests of the American Kennel Club, yet all this is problematical. There is nothing absolutely that you can put your finger on here form what I have heard to show that it is detrimental to the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Blossom: When these different clubs that joined this Pacific Kennel League become members of this association, didn’t they either impliedly or expressly agree to abide by the rules of this association. If they did I don’t see why we should waste any time about it. It is the same thing as in the old 1861 war. If they are members and they don’t abide by the rules which they have explicity or impliedly agreed to abide by, I should say the only thing to do is to expel them.
Capt. Knocker: I think there is no question but that it is prejudicial to the best interests of the A.K.C. for one very great reason that in forming this antagonistic association, they are going to hold shows under those rules. They will hold shows in places that have previously shows under A.K.C. rules, therefore it is decidedly against the interests of the A.K.C., and I think decidedly they ought to be dropped.

Mr. Mortimer: I think that as the American Kennel Club has gone so far as to ask these clubs to show cause why they should not be dropped, we ought not to stultify ourselves by sitting down and allowing the Pacific Kennel League, the members of it, to still remain members of the A.K.C. It seems to me that some action should be taken now instead of waiting for them to hold a show. Their prospectuses for holding shows are already out.

Capt. Knocker: I move that the matter be referred to the Pacific Special Committee.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Schellhass: I move as an amendment that the three clubs, the California State Poultry and Kennel Club, the Santa Clara Valley Poultry and Kennel Club and the Collie Club of California, be notified that it is the sense of the meeting that they being members of another similar organization, is detrimental to the interests of the American Kennel Club, and that if they remain members of the Pacific Kennel League they must cease to be members of our organization.

Amendment put and lost.

The original motion, that the matter be referred to the Pacific Special Committee, was then put and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The next matter for your consideration is the Braintree Show lately held. At the Braintree Show on October 14th the New England Kennel Club issued its premium list and sent it out on the 8th day of September. The classification was correct in every respect. On the 22nd day of September, 14 days thereafter, at a meeting held by this club, the American Kennel Club amended its rules after having given a month’s notice in the Gazette, that winners’ classes after having been published in the premium list, could not be changed. 22 days after that meeting the show of the New England Kennel Club was held at Braintree, and in the classes that I have enumerated, amounting to ten classes, they changed the winners’ classes from those advertised in the premium list and divided them by sex,
which was clearly in violation of the then existing rules of the American Kennel Club. Further than that, if those rules had not been amended, the rules adopted last February said that a winners’ class may be provided in case three regular classes, one of which shall be the open, and in case all these classes are divided by sex, then in that case the Winners’ class may be divided by sex. The classes that I have reference to were then divided—the winners’ class by sex. Even under that rule it would be a violation. The New England Kennel Club made one of its own rules, and that was that classes not provided would be made in case three entries were guaranteed, or three entries were received, and in all cases where they had the necessary number of entries, three being the number, they would divided classes by sex. The open classes in these cases that I have instanced had in some cases four entries, three bitches and a dog. The New England Kennel Club divided those classes by sex. It was clearly against their own rule. I deferred making any record, or doing anything following the awards at Braintree until I received instructions from this meeting. It is a question in my mind whether this meeting can legalize an illegal win. The exhibitors who won in these classes are the sufferers because they lost their record. We cannot make a record of them certainly. The New England Kennel Club say that they are quite willing to send circulars to these exhibitors saying that so far as the awards are concerned, they will stand; so far as the prize money that they paid to them, that that will stand, but so far as the record of the American Kennel Club is concerned, they concede it was a misinterpretation on their part, and it could not stand. That is the position of affairs. Several members of the New England Kennel Club are present, the chairman of the show is here, and if he has anything to say on the subject I have no doubt it will be very interesting to the delegates.

Mr. Little: It might be very interesting to the delegates, but not to the exhibitors. It was a piece of stupidity pure and simple in making up the classes as we did. I have nothing to say further than that there was no intentional violation on the part of any one; but I think the least said, the more it will be to the credit of the A.K.C. I think it would have been better for the Secretary to have carried the thing through in the regular way, disqualifying the wins instead of bring it before the meeting. That is, to have done what would have been done with any other club, disqualify the wins, and not put it before the meeting to deal leniently with it.

The Chair: I don’t see that anything can be done to save the exhibitors here.
Mr. Vredenburgh: If I am not mistaken I heard that some of these winners’ classes were divided without your consent, Mr. Little?

Mr. Little: Only the one case.

Mr. Mortimer: I believe Mr. Little is willing to bear the onus of this matter, and that he is not to blame. I believe the blame should rest on the stewards who officiated there. In one instances, in one class that I judged the steward came to me and said: “This class has got to be divided; there are more than three entries in this class, and the natural consequent was that there must be a winners’ class for dogs and a winners’ class for bitches.” I said: “I don’t think that is right,” but this steward said to me that it has been done in the other rings, and it was done repeatedly in every breed. He said he was sure he was right.

Mr. Watson: I move that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I don’t see that there is anything to be gained by referring it to the Executive Board. The rules must be carried out or they must be stretched.

Mr. Viti: I moved that the Secretary be instructed to carry out the rules.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Carrying out the rules simply involved the cancellation of all illegal wins and nothing more.

Mr. Vredenburgh: What is your pleasure about the continuation of the Gazette as it now exists?

The Chair: I suppose you have all noticed the change in the Gazette since we have had Mr. Watson assisting Mr. Vredenburgh. Financially it has been more than a success. The club has not lost money by it, and in fact has made money by it.

Dr. Foote: I move the arrangement concerning the Gazette be continued for another year.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICA KENNEL CLUB HELD AT MADISON SQUARE GARDEN, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22\textsuperscript{ND}, 1899, AT 2 P.M.

Vice-President, Edward Brooks, presiding

PRESENT:

Baltimore Kennel Association, William P. Riggs
Butterfly Bench Show Ass’n Dudley E. Waters
Collie Club of America James Watson
Gordon Setter Club of America James B. Blossom
Irish Terrier Club of America S. Van Schaick
Mascoutah Kennel Club C. F. R. Drake
National Beagle Club H.F. Schellhass
New England Kennel Club Edward Brooks
Rhode Island Kennel Club W. C. Codman
San Francisco Kennel Club C. B. Knocker
Western Pennsylvania Kennel Club G. M. Carnochan

The Secretary read the following certificate of election:

February 6\textsuperscript{th}, 1899

To the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen: - This is to certify that we, the undersigned, James Watson, representing the President of the American Kennel Club, and J. Lorillard Arden, representing the President of the Associate Members of the American Kennel Club, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club, for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for the officers and delegates of the Associate Members of said American Kennel Club to hold office for the term of one year from February 22\textsuperscript{nd}, 1899. We found that the total number of Associates entitled to vote to be 152. Total number of votes cast, 79. Total number of votes scattering, 20. Irregular and thrown out, 1. We do therefore declare the following person, as having received the greatest number of votes duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members for the year ending in February, 1900, to wit: President, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.; Vice-President,
Winthrop Rutherford; Secretary, Frederick S. Stedman; Delegate (1), A. Clinton Wilmerding; Delegate (2), H.K. Bloodgood; Delegate (3), G.W.H. Ritchie.

Signed,

James Watson
Representing the President A.K.C.

John Lorillard Arden,
Representing the President,
Associates

Attest:

A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary A. K. C.

The Secretary then read the report of the Membership Committee, as follows:

New York, February 21, 1899

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen: -

At a meeting of the Membership Committee, held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting upon applications for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your committee respectfully reports as follows:

The applications for membership from the Rhode Island State Fair Association, Providence, R. I., and the Bloomington Kennel Club, Bloomington, Ill., having fully complied with all the requirements of the constitution, are duly approved, and their admission hereby recommended.

The credentials of G.M. Carnochan, filed by the Duquesne Kennel Club, of Western Pennsylvania, and Norvin T. Harris, filed by the New Orleans Fox Terrier Club, are duly approved, and their acceptance is hereby recommended,

Respectfully submitted,

Singleton Van Schaick,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Mr. Vredenburgh: I would suggest that the credentials referred to in the report of the Membership Committee be read later, as a matter will come up in
connection with the consolidation of the Pittsburgh Club, which ought to be acted upon before the credentials are approved.

The Chair: If there is no objection, the credentials will be taken up later.

The Secretary then read his report as follows:

New York, February 21, 1899

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last meeting I have received two applications for admission to membership; credentials from two clubs of delegates to represent them in the American Kennel Club, and applications for the registration of eight kennel names. These matters have been referred by me to the proper committees, and their reports will be submitted to you at this meeting.

I submit for your consideration resignations from the Pointer Club of California, and the American Pug Club. Both clubs were in good standing at the time their resignations were received by me, and I would therefore recommend the acceptance of the same.

Notice has been filed with me, signed by the secretaries of both clubs, of the consolidation of the Duquesne Kennel Club and the Western Pennsylvania Kennel Club, under the title of the Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania, and you are requested to accept the consolidated club as a member in place of the two clubs heretofore existing in Pittsburg, Pa. Each club having paid its dues, and having made the necessary deposit for the claim of dates for shows to be held in 1899, it is requested that the deposit made by the Duquesne Kennel Club be returned to the consolidates club.

I beg to report that the dates claimed by the Duquesne Kennel Club in May, 1898, for a show to be held February 28th, 1899, having been withdrawn and filled by the Grand Rapids show, and the dates claimed by the Western Pennsylvania Kennel Club having been claimed by the consolidated club, no harm nor conflict has arisen, and as there can be in my opinion no good reason advanced against granting the request above referred to, I would therefore recommend that I be officially directed to return to the Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania the sum of $25.00, and thereby cancel the date claimed by the Duquesne Kennel Club. The dues paid by said clubs were due and payable on or before January 1st, 1899, and as the two
clubs existed as separate members until the date of their consolidation on January 16th, 1899, the dues to either club cannot be refunded, but I am of the opinion that if in your judgment it would be fair to instruct me to give the consolidated club credit for dues for 1899 without calling upon it to pay another $10.00.

I regret to be obliged to call to your attention the fact that the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was published in the American Field of January 21, 1899, prior to its reception by this body. Said report is presented to you today for the first time, and although in reply to my demand for an explanation, said Committee disavows any intent to treat the A.K.C. with any discourtesy, which I fully believe, yet the fact that an official report to this body was published by the Committee in an unofficial paper before received by you is certainly irregular, unusual, and to say the least, ill-advised. I would suggest that a proper resolution be passed at this meeting, which will make a like occurrence impossible.

The Rhode Island Kennel Clubs has filed protest with me against the A.K.C. enforcing one of its own rules, namely, the holding a club responsible for the absolute closing of entries on the dates so announced in its premium list. It will be unnecessary for me to enlarge on this subject, as I am advised that the delegate will bring the matter up at this meeting for your consideration.

I also have to report that the Mascoutah Kennel Club has filed a protest against the action of the Executive Board at its meeting held December 29, 1898, and appeals to your body for a revision of same. Although under the constitution an appeal from the action of the Executive Board can only be made to said Executive Board, based upon new evidence, I will submit said appeal to this meeting, with the evidence accompanying it, and would suggest that said evidence is all in support of the late action of the Executive Board.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was then read, as follows:

New York, February 21, 1899

To the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen: -
I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1899:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand January 1, 1899</td>
<td>$5,411.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources since January 1, 1899</td>
<td>1,936.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$7,348.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements since January 1, 1899</td>
<td>$845.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$6,502.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have the honor to report that we closed the year ending December 31, 1898, with a most gratifying business result, as may be found in my financial statement as published in the January Gazette, which was duly examined and certified to by the Finance Committee.

I would report the following clubs as being in arrears for dues for the year 1899, and until the same shall have been paid said clubs shall forfeit all right to representation in this Association, and may be suspended or dropped from the roll of membership without further notice:

- Bloodhound Club of America
- California Collie Club
- California State Poultry and Kennel Association
- Cedar Rapids Kennel Club
- Columbus Fanciers Club
- National Greyhound Club
- Northwestern Kennel Club
- Oakland Kennel Club
- Pacific Fox Terrier Club
- Philadelphia Kennel Club
- Santa Clara Valley Poultry and Kennel Club
- Wilmington Kennel Club

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Chair: It is now in order to nominate officers for the ensuing year, a president, vice-president and the standing committees.

Capt. Knocker: I move that the names be accepted in the same standing as they were last year – that the same officers be elected.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There is one name that will have to be dropped; that is, Leslie A. Burritt, who is no longer a delegate. He was one of the members of the Finance Committee. It will be necessary to elect someone in his stead. I would also submit that the Membership Committee, under the old constitution, made it
obligatory to have the Secretary of the Kennel Club as a member. At the meeting of
the Committee on Rules and Constitution I requested the committee to report in
favor of taking the Secretary off of that committee and making it an elective office. I
think there are very good reasons why the Secretary of the American Kennel Club
should not be on a committee that has to recommend or act upon applications for
membership, and the committee acquiesced in my suggestion, and amendments will
come up later which will recommend that the Secretary be left off of that committee. I
suggest the nomination of someone else in my place.

Capt. Knocker: We can elect the same officers, leaving out those two names,
as they stood.

The Chair: I can see no objection to that. Do you want to nominate those two
genlemen, and then elect the ticket?

Capt. Knocker: I nominate Mr. August Belmont as President

Mr. Waters: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast a ballot for

Mr. Belmont as President.

Capt. Knocker was nominated to fill the vacancy in the Membership
Committee.

Mr. G. M. Carnochan was nominated to fill the vacancy in the Finance
Committee.

Capt. Knocker: I move that the Secretary be authorized to cast one ballot for
the entire ticket.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair: The Secretary will cast the ballot.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I beg to report that I have cast a ballot for the following: For
President, August Belmont; Vice-President, Edward Brooks. Standing Committees:
Stud Book, Marcel A. Viti, Chairman, Hermann F. Schellhass and C. B. Knocker.
Field Trials and Coursing Meetings, Hermann F. Schellhass, Chairman, L.C. Whiton,
H.S. Joslin, J. F. Van Dorn. Constitution and Rules H. K. Bloodgood, Chairman,
Finance, A. C. Wilmerding, Chairman, James B. Blossom and G. M. Carnochan.

It was moved and seconded that the reading of the report of the Executive
Board, as the same was published in the January Gazette, be dispensed with.
Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a supplemental report of the Executive Board, which I will read:

Madison Square Garden, February 22, 1898

PRESENT: H.K. Bloodgood, H.F. Schellhass, A.C. Wilmerding and M.A. Viti.

Frank Kruse -vs- Re misconduct in connection with dogs.
Klee & Dean!

Ordered, that Klee & dean be and hereby are suspended by default. The case may be reopened upon appeal by defendants.

Meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion said supplemental report was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:

New York, February 22, 1899

The Stud Book Committee begs to report as follows:

Applications received for registration of kennel names, prefixes and affixes, as follows:

Ballhaise, by T. F. Alley, Ipswich, Mass.
Homestead, by J. R. Steers and J. A. G. Beales, Mamaroneck, N. Y.
Kenwood, by W. C. Hunter, St. Louis, Mo.
Narrowsburg, by Geo. And H. C. Goetting, Narrowsburgh, N. Y.
Premier, by Francis Henwood, New York City.

None of these conflicting with those already granted, it is recommended they be allowed.
Attention has been called to the fact that the intent of condition “b” of rule I of “Rules governing Registration”, as printed on the registration blanks has become inoperative owing by the adoption of the New Bench show classification. This rule reads: “If it has won two first prizes in open classes at a recognized show”. The new classification makes the “limit class” practically the old “open class”, while the new “open class” is virtually the former “challenge class”. Hence, the committee recommends that this will read “limit or open classes”. In Rule 5 of same rules it is ordered that in blanks hereafter printed the last sentence be stricken out and rule VII, Sec. II, Dog Show Rules, be substituted, namely, the breeder of a dog is the person owning or leasing the bitch at the time of her being bred.

Application of L. F. Hart, Baton Rouge, La., to have registration of Pointer Young Jingle, 47965, registered and owned by him, cancelled on the ground that he apparently had been imposed upon by having been sold said dog with a fictitious pedigree;

The evidence consists of the pedigree furnished and signed by one David Davis, Fishersberg, and late of Noblesville, Ind., a former owner of the dog; also of a postal card written by him saying pedigree has given was correct; also statements by the owner of the sire and dam claimed which satisfies the committee that the breeding claimed is false. A registered letter was sent said Davis September 29th, 1898, addressed to the P. O. where he was located May, 1898, as evidences by postal in our possession, which said Davis when wrote and mailed. Said registered letter was returned some thirty days later as called for. It was again mailed about December 9th, 1898, and returned some two months later as before. This letter contained a notification of the charges and ordered Davis to put in his defense. Although the committee have not succeeded in notifying him, they feel that, being satisfied that said David falsified the pedigree in question, taken in connection with the fact that said David evidently thinks so little of his own standing that he is at least willing, if not desiring, to have his identity hidden, they recommend that said Davis and his dogs, if any, be disqualified. The registration in question has been ordered cancelled.

Curt L. Gruner

-vs-

Mrs. O. Ceders.
The charge being that fictitious pedigrees of the Great Danes, Ajax II, 49170, and Aida, 49171, had been given the complainant. The matter has been straightened out, the committee being satisfied that no intentional wrong had been committed.

Had the complainant and complaining witness pursued a proper course, the case would have been easily settled without coming before this committee, which consequently was put to a vast amount of trouble.

The complainant led off by writing an impertinent letter to the defendant, as evidenced by his later writing an apology. The defendant resented said letter, where a proper letter would have probably resulted in the error being corrected without the matter having come before the A. K.C. This is primarily the trouble of many cases that this needlessly appear before the A. K. C. and take the time of its members. The evidence in this case was furnished by the complaining witness who, the committee believes, could have easily corrected the error.

Stud Book Committee,

Per Hermann F. Schellhass, Secretary.

Mr. Watson: I have an amendment to offer to that suggestion, putting in the word “limit” in the place of “open”. We do not have limit classes in all the breeds. I suggest putting in “limit or open”.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have just ordered 10,000 new application forms, and knowing that this was coming, I changed it to read “limit or open”.

The Chair: Will you accept that amendment?

Capt. Knocker: Yes.

Mr. Riggs: I move that the report be accepted and placed on file, and that the recommendations therein contained by adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The Committee on Rules printed its report, as required by the constitution, in the January Gazette. In Article IV the present rule provides that a delegate can be elected by a majority vote, and the acceptance can be withdrawn by a two-thirds vote. It is proposed to make each vote a three-fourths vote, and such
acceptance may be withdrawn by a three-fourths vote by a ballot in any meeting of the Association.

Article IX, the present rule provides for the discipline of clubs or individuals, but in Section 3 it is only required that individuals should receive due notice before disqualifications. It is proposed to insert “club” in this section.

Article IX, page 5 read: No club or person shall however be disqualified without due notice and formal charges, with specifications having been made and an opportunity having been given him to be heard in it or his own defense.

Article XII, Section 5, at present reads: “Any person who is proved, to the satisfaction of the Board, to have been guilty of fraudulent or discreditable conduct of any kind, may be suspended or disqualified; in either case such person is ineligible to make entries at, or to compete or win a prize at any show under the rules of this Association during the term of said suspension or disqualification”. The amendment proposed is to eliminate the balance of that section, and add, “And shall during the term of his suspension or disqualification be deprived of all privileges of this Association”.

Mr. Watson: I was thinking of what would be a proper place to put that propose amendment. Wouldn’t it be well to add that as one of the penalties connected with disqualification? I think we ought to have judges that are free from any old associations or anything like that. We have had one or two cases where men have been disqualified for very bad offenses, and subsequently they have been put in the judge ring, and I think that penalty for disqualification ought to attach to a man; that is, I think some penalty ought to attach to him so far as his judging is concerned. I don’t know where exactly to pit it in, but when you were reading that, it struck me that that would be a good place to put that: That not person who shall have been disqualified should be permitted to act as a judge.

Mr. Schellhass: A man might be disqualified according to the rules of this club, and yet be innocent of any specific evil action. The mere fact that a man has been disqualified does not say that he has been guilty of any offense or crime.

Mr. Vredenburgh: You can qualify that very well by saying that no person that has ever been disqualified for fraud can officiate thereafter as a judge at any show held under these rules.

The Chair: I think that is a very good condition to add to that.
Mr. Vredenburgh: The next is on page 10, Article V: “A Membership Committee, composed of three members, one of which shall be the Secretary-Treasurer of the Association.” The amendment is to strike out the words “one of which shall be the Secretary and Treasurer of the Association.”

On page 11, Section 4, it is proposed to strike out of the entire section and substitute: “There shall be an Advisory Committee on the Pacific Coast, with headquarters at San Francisco, Cal., to be appointed by the President of this Association, or, in his absence, by the Vice-President. Said Committee shall act under a code of rules as adopted by the Executive Board of this Association.”

Then in the rules there are two or three proposed amendments. On page 13: “Every dog shown under these rules must either be registered in the Stud Book or listed in the Gazette. The fee for registration is $1.00, and for listing .25¢” The proposed amendment is to add to that: “This listing of a dog gives the privilege of exhibition for the year in which it is issued.” The object of that amendment is this: That listing has not been used as the intention of the A.K.C. was originally in that direction. The A.K.C. had its famous Rule 2, which stated that every dog shown under its rule must be registered. When the conditions of registrations were changed, making it necessary to have an unbroken pedigree for three generations in order to become eligible for registrations, the A.K.C. saw that by keeping the old Rule 2, making it necessary for those dogs to be registered, it would be necessary to throw out from the different shows a great number of dogs who could not be registered, and therefore could not be shown. Consequently that rule was rescinded, and the system of listing was put in its place so that a dog could by being listed be shown under the American Kennel Club rules; and the listing was only intended for dogs that were not eligible for registration. We found after the last nine years of experience that many dogs, in fact, I might say, almost the majority of the dogs that are listed are eligible to registration, and they are taking advantage of the listing clause and thereby saving .75¢. There is nothing in the rules that says that a listing is good forever. The A.K.C. could have said every time a dog is shown it must be listed. I can say that form the American Kennel Club office today, because there is no rule to the contrary, but we have always accepted a dog once listed as always listed, which was simply a courtesy on our part and not an obligation. The idea is, for the good of the general dog public, that as many dogs as can be registered should
be registered, and by making it necessary that after the 31st days of every December a listed dogs must be re-listed in order to be eligible to be shown. The committee hopes that it will compel a number of these dogs to be registered instead of listed. Therefore they recommend that the listing of a dog gives the privilege of exhibition only for the year in which it is issued. That is, if a dog is listed on the 2nd day of January, it is good for that entire year until the 31st of December, but if a dog is listed on the 30th of December it is only good for one day.

Capt. Knocker: Couldn’t you get around that by not allowing dogs that could be registered to be listed?

Mr. Vredenburgh: It would create a great howl to the effect that we were abusing our privileges, and all that sort of thing. This covers it I think in a measure.

The Chair: After four years it will be cheaper for the dog to be registered.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes, in three years. The fourth year be would be registered dog.

Mr. Waters: We do not hold the Bench Show Committee responsible for a registered dog; we hold the exhibitor responsible. For instance, if this rule were now in operation, and this catalogue came in, we would know that every dog in that catalogue that had not been registered must have been listed between January 1st and February 6th, when the entries closed, and we can keep tab on it very easily. If there is a show just before the first of the year a man has got to list then, and if he has just purchased a dog, he has got to list again the first of the year.

The Chair: If you have a thousand dogs entered, and of those thousand dogs entered five hundred of them are listed, you say you have got to go all the way back? That would be cumbersome.

Mr. Vredenburgh: No, it is cumbersome now. We will list the dogs differently then we do now. We will say the rule is now in operation. Listings at the New York Show; keep that by itself. Next week is the Grand Rapids show. Listings at the Grand Rapids Show. We will take the Beagles, for instance. It is a very easy thing to look over the Beagles; probably twenty at New York, and probably ten or fifteen at Grand Rapids. It is very easy; you have got it right there before you.

Then on page 17, Rule XVIII: “The disqualification of an owner shall apply to all dogs owned by him or connected with the perpetration of a fraudulent act”. The Committee recommends the addition of this: “And no dog so disqualified is eligible
for entry at any show under any ownership”. It is practically that now, but it is simply emphasizing it.

On page 19, at the top of the page, it says: “Such approval, however, shall be subject to the subsequent endorsement of the Premium List,” and the Committee recommends striking out the balance of that clause and adding: “a proof of which must be submitted to the American Kennel Club before publication.”

Mr. Watson: I have little suggestion to make in regard to that last one. There is no actual penalty, and there is no means of letting an exhibitor know whether the club has gone through the formality of getting their approval or not, and I was going to suggest that in some place where the exhibitor could prove to his own satisfaction that the show has been approved, that a notice be placed at the head of the dog show rules to the effect that this show to be recognized by the American Kennel Club must have at the head of the classification of the premium list an official notice that it has been approved by the American Kennel Club, with the signature of the Secretary attached thereto. The Secretary could have his signature electrotyped and sent around, and then a person can turn back and find out whether the show has been approved. That would compel the club to do that. There is no penalty upon it. We do not want to penalize the exhibitors, as it were; we have got to penalize the club.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I should like to say why the Committee thinks that is necessary. The rule has always been that clubs must receive the endorsement of the American Kennel Club to their classification. The New York show, probably the most important in the country, has never felt itself too large to submit its manuscript to the American Kennel Club to go over it and see if there is anything that conflicts with the American Kennel Club rules, and one or two other clubs have done the same thing. The majority of the shows have never enforced it until this year. You will see that now the Grand Rapids, the Boston Terrier show that has just been held, the Pittsburgh show that is coming out, and one or two others have started at the top of their Premium List that the classification has been endorsed by the American Kennel Club.

The Chair: The whole book or just the classification?

Mr. Vredenburgh: The classification. Milwaukee did not do it. Here is how it reads: “Note. This classification has been endorsed by the American Kennel Club.”
The Milwaukee Club failed to send on for endorsement. They gave a classification with five hundred classes, and had entries averaging less than half a dog to a class. The gave a classification – we will take for instance Dalmatians: there are possibly half a dozen Dalmatians shown throughout this broad land, and they gave every known class in Dalmatians, puppy dogs, novice dogs, limit dogs, open dogs, etc., and it was simply ridiculous. Those clubs will send out their premium lists with specials, winners for blacks, winners for reds and winners for every other color. If they had sent on to us that classification, we would have crossed that out and shown them where they had made a mistake. The Committee have no idea of attempting to dictate beyond its power to the American Kennel Club. It simply wants to prevent the cheapening of championships, where it becomes necessary to strike out an over-classification, and we Can do that very well. Our Chairman will recall in connection with the last Braintree show if there premium list had been sent to us for endorsement, it would have saved the exhibitors at that show a great deal of disappointment.

Capt. Knocker: Ought you not to put a time limit in there?

The Chair: The Committee would have to send it out early enough so that if there was a mistake, they could get out another book.

Mr. Schellhass: I want to make a suggestion, if we do that that we ought to embody in this rule the fact that these rules, to be recognized, must have that endorsement at the head of the premium list.

Mr. Watson: I offer this amendment: No premium list is to be considered as endorsed unless it has at the head of the classification an official notice of such endorsement signed by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club.

Amendment seconded and adopted.

Capt. Knocker: - Ought there not to be added to that rule 19: - “Such endorsement shall not be accepted unless put at the head of its premium list.”

Mr. Watson: -- A notice to this effect must appear at the head of the rules governing Dog Shows. There is only one thing that occurs to me in connection with this; and that is, at the California shows, it is not a matter of a day or two, it is a matter of two weeks.

The Chair: - They can send a proof out here a little earlier.

Mr. Vredenburgh: - How shall we act on these?
The Chair: - You have heard these amendments as suggested by the Committee on Rules. Do you want to take them up each rule by itself, or do you want to act on them as a whole?

Mr. Waters: - I would like to suggest the addition of another rule, and that is making a rule that we compel clubs holding shows to bench kennels of dogs of the same breed, exhibited by one owner, together, for various reasons. First, that it is much easier on a number of dogs --- much better for them if they can be bench side by side instead of being divided up and scattered all about among strong animals. Second, it is a great convenience to the exhibitor. For instance, an exhibitor has a large number of dogs, and they are scattered about the show, and he has to run from place to place to look after them, whereas if his dogs were benched together, it would convenience him a great deal, and he could watch them better. He may have a vicious dog here and another one there, and it requires him to have extra help to look after them. The third reason is, I think it would be of benefit to the clubs holding shows, as often times it would induce exhibitors to increase their entries. They might intend to enter two or three dogs, and if they thought they could get them together by entering four, they would do so. It would make better shows, and the shows would present a better appearance if the dogs were benched in that way. I don’t see that it is any great inconvenience to anyone, but on the other hand it is a positive convenience to a great many. If such a rule could be drafted I should like to see it passed by the American Kennel Club.

Capt. Knocker: - Am I right in understanding that you want the different breeds together?

Mr. Waters: - No, say four dogs of the same breed exhibited by one owner.

The Chair: - Don’t they naturally go together? In making up a man’s entries the clerk would begin to number one, two, three, and so forth.

Mr. Vredenburgh: - I see what Mr. Waters means. For instance, we will take pointers. There may be a dozen dogs entered and a dozen bitches entered. Mr. Waters comes here with two dogs and two bitches. They will put the two dogs at one end of the dozen and the two bitches in between. It is not the same as though all four of his dogs would come in right next to each other.

Mr. Waters: - Take St. Bernards. The owner has four dogs entered, the same breed – four rough-coated St. Bernards. The are scattered in two different isles.
The Chair: - Aren’t they numbered continuously?

Mr. Waters: - No. They might get them a little nearer together, and there is no serious objection as I can see to making this rule.

Mr. Watson: - It seems to me that that is merely a matter that the club themselves could attend to.

Mr. Schellhass: - I think there is this much in favor of it. We have limited our shows to four days on the ground of humanity to the dogs. There is no question whatever but what where you bench two or more dogs together it is a great saving to those dogs, to the wear and tear on them, on their mental dispositions; take dogs like terriers and bench them beside strange dogs and they are fighting and barking and awake all night. The exhibitors are very much in favor of it.

Mr. Watson: - The exhibitors can bring weight to bear on the dog show Committees. It is simply a mere matter of dog show Committee work. If the Committee chooses to do that, it will do it. If they do not choose to do it, I don’t see how you can make them do it.

Mr. Waters: It simply entails a little more work on the Committee in getting up their catalogue, and it would be such a great benefit to the exhibitors that I think it should be made a rule, so that an exhibitor can always look forward to that; he can be sure, if he is going to Pittsburg, for instance, that his dogs will be benched together. In Chicago they did it and there was no objection to it. I had my dogs benched together here last year and there was no difficulty about it. I have talked with a large number of exhibitors, and those belonging to different specialty clubs, and I thought maybe it would be better to let it go until some later date when it could be more thoroughly talked over, but Mr. Vredenburgh said that now was the time to bring it up if at all.

Mr. Vredenburgh: - There is ample notice given that amendments will come up at this meeting, and an amendment to an amendment can be offered.

Mr. Riggs: - I think the New York show Committee stated in their premium list that they would not do it.

Mr. Watson: - I move that the amendment be referred to the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

Mr. Vredenburgh:- As I am a member of that Committee I think it would be proper for me to say something in connection with this. The American Kennel Club
has a very fair balance but if we have to reprint our rules every three or four months, it is going to cut down that balance. We amended our bench show rules last September, and I did not have new ones printed for the reason that I knew amendments were coming up at this annual meeting and I wanted to wait and get a clean copy printed. The consequence was that when all of these shows called for rules I had to take up the time of the Kennel Club and write out every one of the amendments. It costs us Forty Dollars every time we get out these rules. We have been getting them out regularly once a year, and that is the reason I suggested to Mr. Waters if he was going to bring the matter up it would be better for him to do so today, so I can get a clean copy of the Constitution and Rules printed, and let the bench show clubs know just exactly where they stand.

Mr. Watson: - There is not objection to what Mr. Waters wants done being done, but I think it is objectionable for us to force the clubs to do that which do not care about doing that sort of thing. It is a matter of courtesy for clubs to offer that inducement to exhibitors, and if the clubs found that it is of great advantage, they will follow it. Some exhibitors do not care about that; they don’t want it; they are not particular about it. Look at the trouble it would make if an exhibitor comes to a show and says:- “I want my dogs benched together.” You have got to re-arrange all your classes.

Capt. Knocker:- It seems that the rules that are made by the American Kennel Club are made for the best interests of the dog, and it is a well known fact that dogs that know each other and that belong to the same owner will remain much more quiet and show much better than dogs that are separated and causing a great deal of unnecessary row. Besides it is detrimental to the people looking at bring them, and I think it would save a great deal of trouble if the rule were adopted, that an owner’s dogs should be benched together.

Mr. Riggs: - While I think it is for the good of the dog, yet I am not prepared to vote for it if it comes up today. The New York Show Committee said positively this year they would not do it, and we recognize them as the largest show.

The Chair: - What is your pleasure in regard to Mr. Water’s amendments? Do you wish to take it up now?

Mr. Waters:- I offer the amendment.

The Chair:- Will you draft it?
Mr. Vredenburgh: - It shall be the duty of dog show officials to bench all dogs of a breed together when owned and entered by one exhibitor, provided a request for same be entered on the entry form.

Mr. Waters:– That will cover it exactly.

The proposed amendment was seconded by Mr. Schellhass, and the same was adopted.

The Chair:– We now come to the matter of accepting the amendments of the Committee on Constitution and Rules as amended at this meeting. Those in favor of the amendments as reported by the Committee, and those amendments as reported by the Committee, and those amendments proposed at this meeting, will say “Aye.”

Carried.

The Secretary read the report of the Committee on Rules as published in the January Gazette, and on motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Pacific Coast Special Committee was read, as follows:

San Francisco, Cal., Jan. 6, 1899

A. P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,
Secretary American Kennel Club,
New York.

My dear Sir:

By Resolution of the Pacific Coast Special Committee of the American Kennel Club, carried unanimously at the meeting held January 5, 1899, adjourned from the December 26, 1898, said meeting having been called to consider your letter of 12th ult., relative to the Collie Club of California, California State Kennel and Poultry Association, and Santa Clara Valley Poultry and Kennel Association, which, being members of the A.K.C., have affiliated themselves with the Pacific Kennel League, I am directed to inform you that:

The Pacific Coast Special Committee of the A.K.C. acknowledge receipt of your favor of 12th ult. Referring to this Committee for action and report the case of the three clubs which, while holding membership in the A.K.C., have also formed a connection by membership with the Pacific Kennel League. The Committee has to say in response that it has made this matter the subject of serious discussion extending through two sessions. It has been considered in all possible lights, the Committee keeping constantly in view two objects:– first, the interests of the A.K.C.,
and second, the best interests of dog men, dog clubs and dog shows upon the Pacific Coast.

This Committee, by virtue of appointment from the A.K.C., represents in a territorial sense, large interests. It is, within certain limits, the A.K.C. on the Pacific Coast, its representative in all matters pertaining to kennel affairs in the extreme West. Because of that fact the Committee has considered the existence of A.K.C., and its relation to the so-called Pacific Kennel League as a question, which whether grave or trivial, should be regarded in a light of absolute truth and fairness, and simply with a view of arriving at a conclusion that would serve to the fullest degree the best interests of all parties concerned, more especially such interests as are best represented by the A.K.C., both in fact and through this Committee which it has itself created.

The conclusions of your Committee have been reached after mature reflection and may be briefly stated. We do not believe that the Pacific Kennel League or its existence is of sufficient importance to serve even passing notice. It does not event as a matter of pretense represent substantial opposition or hostility to the A.K.C. We regard the A.K.C. as representing all that is substantial or the value in kennel affairs. It is a dignified body, and the appeal it makes to kennel organizations and dog fanciers is based on merit. It can afford to ignore a purely local organization, no matter what conditions or circumstances may have called the latter into an ephemeral existence.

With the causes responsible for the existence of the Pacific Kennel League this Committee has nothing to do. We take the League as we find it, a small organization in California, (for its membership is confined to this State) formed for the purpose of giving bench shows independently of the rules of the A.K.C. It matters little what may call an organization of this nature into being; it cannot thrive or prosper unless there is substantial reason for its existence --- some benefit greater than any offered by the A.K.C. Without such merit the Pacific Kennel League cannot exist. Grant it such virtue and it will of necessity grow and prosper despite all opposition from external sources. In the view of your Committee the Pacific Kennel League is no menace to the A.K.C. on this coast. We believe that it exists without reason and that its failure is certain. For all that, however, it must be borne in mind that several well known fanciers, whose motives cannot be questioned, have given
the movement support, because they believe it to be to their interest. Time and experience alone can demonstrate to them their error. Let the fact once be established and the League be deprived of their support, and it will fall to the ground of its own weight.

It has been called to the attention of this Committee that membership in the A.K.C. has been retained by the three above named clubs belonging to the Pacific Kennel League. The question is asked as to whether the retention of these clubs in the A.K.C. is not prejudicial to its interests, and it has been suggested that they should be compelled to resign from one or the other.

As the matter now stands before this Committee, we say to your Honorable body, “take no action, treat these clubs meantime and the Pacific Kennel League, so-called, as you would any individual organization that sought to give shows under its own rules.” The success of the League up to the present has been wholly and solely on paper, and one club intends to disavow the action of its delegate. The first show under League rules was held in Oakland last month. It was a failure both in point of entries and attendance, and aroused among the principal fanciers of the coast not even a ripple of interest. Another show is being held this week at Sacramento, with less than one hundred entries, including duplicates, between fifty and sixty dogs being benched. Many league adherents recognize the futility of the enterprise already, especially when brought face to face with the fact that the wins are not of record and represent nothing of value. Our advice to the A.K.C. is to let the League alone. We are satisfied that a short time will end the brief span of its existence. Fanciers in the movement with honest and disinterested motives will not be long in perceiving their mistake. When that happens, as it must, all that will be left to represent the League will be an empty shadow of selfish interest and an expensive monument of failure. In the past the A.K.C. has been at a disadvantage in its relation to Pacific Coast affairs. It has been handicapped by distance, difficulty of communication and lack of knowledge of certain classes and conditions in the far West. Feeling has been engendered on the part of certain men now connected with the Pacific Kennel League, and the remedy for this condition does not lie in drastic measures, but rather in a continuance of the policy represented in the appointment of this Committee. We honestly believe that adherents to this will restore good
feeling and bring all elements of the fancy on this Coast to realize that the A.K.C. seeks to promote their interests in every manner possible.

This letter will serve to acquaint your Honorable body with the views to this Committee. We trust you may be brought to view the matter in the light here reflected. By so doing you will render certain the future ascendancy of the A.K.C. in this section, while at the same time gain for this Committee a position to be of substantial service in the cause in which we are all interested.

Yours very truly,

Pacific Coast Special Committee, American Kennel Club,

J.P. Norman, Sec'y

Capt. Knocker: -- I move that the report be accepted as read.

Motion seconded and read.

Mr. Vredenburgh:- Now we come to the matter of the Pittsburgh Club. There were two clubs; they have consolidated, and they apply to be recognized here under the name adopted by the consolidated club. Then they request the return to the consolidated club of the deposit made for date claims by one of the clubs in its individual capacity. There is no reason that I can see why the request of the Pittsburgh Club should not be granted. There is the question of dues; they both paid their dues when they were payable, as individuals. After the dues were payable they consolidated. Under the circumstances we cannot return the dues to either of them but you can instruct me to give the consolidated club the credit for one of the dues.

Mr. Schellhass:- And return them the Twenty-five Dollars deposit that was made a year ago. I move that that be done.

The Chair:- We have got to accept them as a member of this association first.

Mr. Schellhass:- I make that motion, that the Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania be accepted as a member in place of the heretofore existing Duquesne Kennel Club and the Western Pennsylvania Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

It was also moved and seconded that it be credited with its dues without further payment.

Carried.
Mr. Vredenburgh:- It is also recommended that the Twenty-five Dollars deposit of the old Duquesne Kennel Club for dates for 1899 be returned to the consolidated club, the Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania.

It was moved and seconded that the recommendations of the Secretary last referred to be adopted.

Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh:- Now we have the question of the publication of an official report by any committee of the American Kennel Club before its reception by this Club. I think it ought to be stopped. The case was brought about by my attention being called to the publication of the Pacific Advisory Board’s report, the one that I just read. It was reported in the American Field on January 21.

Capt. Knocker:- And in a San Francisco paper previous to that.

Mr. Vredenburgh: - I called their attention to it and asked them to send me the names of the person or person responsible for such an act. They wrote back disavowing any intention of treating the American Kennel Club discourteously, but that they had observed that meetings of the Executive Board and of the Rules Committee had been published in the Gazette and copies in other papers before reporting to the American Kennel Club, and they supposed they had the same privilege. They did not understand that the report of the Executive Board was final; that it did not have to be confirmed by the American Kennel Club, and further, they did not understand that a great many of these reports that are published are gathered by reporters and are not given out by the American Kennel Club, that is, not official. Then they claim, as there was quite some excitement on the coast in regard to what could be done regarding these clubs, they thought it would allay that excitement by having it published rather then to wait for two months to have it officially recognized by this club. I believe implicitly the reasons that they assign, but I think there should be a resolution passed that would prevent a like occurrence.

Capt. Knocker: - Would you make it a rule?

Mr. Vredenburgh:- No, just simply the sense of the club.

Mr. Schellhass:- I move that it is the sense of the American Kennel Club that any Committees which may have any reports to make, or which may have made any report to the American Kennel Club, shall, so far as they themselves are concerned,
consider them strictly confidential, and that the American Kennel Club should be the only medium by which they should be made public.

Motion seconded by Capt. Knocker and Carried.

On motion of Mr. Waters the resignations of the Pointer Club of California and the American Pug Club were accepted.

Mr. Vredenburgh: - The Rhode Island Kennel Club wishes to protest against the American Kennel Club holding them fast to the date of closing of entries as announced in the premium list. They think that the dates of closing should be entirely in the hands of the Committee of the show, with the power to extend such dates if necessary, provided same is announced in the papers. To quote from their letter “We had to refuse over sixty entries on this account, which would have helped us out considerably towards making our show a better one. Our delegate, W.C. Codman, will bring this matter forward at your next meeting.”

Moved and seconded that said protest be laid upon the Table.

Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh:- I have an appeal from the Mascoutah Kennel Club. The facts of the case are these: In 1897, under the ten existing rules, a club violating any of the rules of the American Kennel Club had its entire deposit of Twenty-five Dollars forfeited. I remember at the annual meeting in regard to your club, Mr. Chairman, the New England Kennel Club, I recommended that the violations be condoned by the American Kennel Club, and that I be empowered to return the fee, and Mr. Hunnewell, of your club, strongly objected to that, and said if his club had violated the rules, and it called for a forfeit of the deposit, that his club would not accept a return; that it was one of the leading clubs of the country and could not afford to be placed in that position. I waited until the latter part of the year when I again recommended that as so many clubs had had their deposits forfeited, I would recommend that I be empowered to transfer those deposits to cover shows for the succeeding year, but in case a club did not hold a show the succeeding year the deposit should be absolutely forfeited. The Mascoutah Club had its deposit for 1897 forfeited. By an act of courtesy on the part of this club we said we would permit that deposit to cover their claim for 1898 provided they held a show, but if they did not
hold a show. Very good reason was given, but that don’t cut any figure. The deposit
had been forfeited the year before, and it was only courtesy on our part that we did
not ask for a second deposit. They made a claim for 1899, and insist upon the
Twenty-five Dollars that we hold to apply to the 1899 show. I declined to accept their
claim, or to recognize it, or to publish it in the Gazette unless they sent a second
Twenty-five Dollars. Now they come before us and ask for a return of a deposit that
was forfeited two years ago for violation of the rules. I will admit that this is entirely
irregular, because there is only one way an appeal can be taken from the action of
the Executive Board under Constitution, and that is to the Executive Board itself. The
American Kennel Club as an association cannot reverse the action of the Executive
Board, but in order to satisfy the Mascoutah Kennel Club, I have consented to bring
it up before this meeting.

Mr. Schellhass:- Did any of these other clubs whose deposits were forfeited
fail to give shows last year?

Mr. Vredenburgh: - Yes, four.

Mr. Schellhass:- They have not asked for it?

Mr. Vredenburgh:- No.

The Chair:- If this matter does not properly come up before us, the Executive
Committee has already taken action:

Capt. Knocker:- Why not endorse the Committee’s action?

Mr. Waters:- Why not refer this appeal to the Executive Board?

Mr. Riggs:- I endorse all that the Secretary has said, because our association
was one of the clubs that suffered in the same way.

Mr. Schellhass:- I moved that it be referred to its only channel, the Executive
Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh:- With the consent of the majority of the Executive Board the
American Kennel Club has removed from its old office on the third floor of 55 Liberty
Street, and has taken a lease for a suite of offices on the fourth floor. It is the most
convenient and best set of officers the American Kennel Club has ever had. We
secured it at a very trifling advance in rent, only one hundred and fifty Dollars over
what we were paying, and we have an office for the stud book business; another
separate office for the Gazette business; another offices for our customers and
patrons, and then a separate office again in which our Board meetings and
association meetings can be held; so that whatever department is being used for
business purposes, it does not conflict with any of the other departments. As you are
all aware we have added to our force another man. That makes it necessary that we
should procure some additional furniture. I suppose there would be nothing said if I
should have procured the necessary furniture and sent in a bill, but I prefer the
permission of this Club to get whatever is necessary. So far as I can see now we will
need a desk, a few chairs and an additional safe. Our office matters have grown to
such an extent that our safe capacity is not sufficient to care for the papers that
absolutely must be kept in a safe, and I think that possibly we might be able to get a
second-hand safe for a very small amount of money that would cover everything that
is necessary, and therefore I would ask permission to procure those necessaries for
the business of the office and the safe-keeping of the papers.

Mr. Waters:- I move that the Secretary be empowered to make such
purchases of furniture and fixtures as he may require in the office.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    The Rhode Island State Fair Association and the Bloomington Kennel Club
were duly elected to membership in the A.K.C.

    The credentials of G.W. Carnochan and Norvin P. Harris to act as delegates
at this meeting were read and accepted

    The Meeting then adjourned.
ANNUAL QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT TIS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, THURSDAY, MAY 25TH, 1899, AT 2 P.M.

-------------------

Vice President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present:

American Fox Terrier Club, H. H. Hunnewell, Jr.,
American Dachshund Club, Dr. C. Motschenbacher
American Pet Dog Club, S. C. Hodge
American Spaniel Club, M. A. Viti
Collie Club of America, James Watson
Duquesne Kennel Club, G. M. Carnochan
Columbus Fanciers’ Club, J. M. Taylor
Pacific Mastiff Club, S. C. Mastick
Bull Dog Club, Tyler Morse
Boston Terrier Club, C. F. Clarkson
Gordon Setter Club, James B. Blossom
Great Dane Club, G. Muss-Arnott
Irish Terrier Club, S. Van Schaick
Metropolitan Kennel Club, Dr. H. T. Foote
Pointer Club of America, George Jarvis
Milwaukee Kennel and Pet Stock Ass'n James Mortimer
New England Kennel Club Edward Brooks

On motion the Minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his regular quarterly report, which was, on motion accepted and placed on file, It follows:

New York, May 24, 1899

To the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:—

I beg to report the reception of three applications for admission to membership; credentials from three clubs, of delegates to represent them in the American Kennel Club; and applications for the registration of three Kennel names, all of which have been referred to the proper committees, and their reports will be submitted in their order at this meeting.

The Cincinnati Kennel Club filed a notice to the effect that it had transferred all its rights, and privileges to the Dog Owners Protective Association of Cincinnati, as its successor, and requests the American Kennel Club to ratify and approve said action, and recognize the new Association as a member in place of the old club. The late show at Cincinnati was held by the new club, and was a very successful one. I would respectfully recommend the granting of this request, with permission to substitute in our list of members the title of the new Association for that of the name now on said list.

A request from the Milwaukee Kennel and Pet Stock Association to charge its title to that of the Milwaukee Kennel Club has been filed, and I would recommend the granting of same, with permission to change our records in accordance therewith.
I beg to report the following charges for misconduct in connection with dogs, and dog shows;

A.K.C. vs. George R. Preston, Jr. Case, showing a dog not his property.

A.K.C. vs. G.N. Phelps. Case, showing dogs at several shows in classes in which they were not eligible.


J.C. Grogan vs. W.J. Higginson. Case, illegal retention of a silver cup, the property of the complainant.

Geo. T. Lee vs. Ben. F. Lewis. Case, retention of a dog the property of the complainant.

James L. Little vs. New England Kennel Club. Protest against the appointment of one of its judge, and for misrepresentation in its show advertisements in the papers.

James L. Little vs. Westminster Kennel Club and the Life Publishing Co. Protest against misrepresentation in Advertisement, offering on sale fully marked catalogue when same were not marked as represented.

L.A. Van Zandy, appeals from the decision of your Secretary, in the cancellation of the award made to his Irish Setter bitch Biddy Finglas, at the late New York show. The case briefly stated, is that said entry was made in the Limit Class for dogs although the sex was specified on said entry, in the first edition of the Catalogue the bitch was published in said Dog Class, but was thereafter transferred by the show Superintendent to the bitch limit class. The Secretary cancelled said award in said bitch class, for the reason that the owner committed an error in wrongly specifying the class, for which under the rules he alone is held responsible, and the Club was penalized for transferring the bitch in violation of the rule. The bitch being entered in the dog class could not compete therein, and being illegally transferred to the bitch class, could not therefore compete in said bitch class, hence the Secretary’s action from which an appeal is taken.

The Treasury regulations regarding the importation of dogs, into this country free of duty have been recently enforced. It is now necessary to file with the collector of the port of entry a certificate showing the registration of the dog imported, and in addition thereto certifying to the registrations of said dogs sire and dam and their sires and dams, with their several stud book numbers, Heretofore a certificate of registration, and a certified pedigree sufficient to permit said registration was all that
was required. Your Secretary has been in correspondence with the Department of Agriculture on this subject in which he has made a strong effort to obtain a modification of the regulation, but unfortunately he has not been successful, and therefore it should be generally known that in order to import a dog in this country from any foreign country, free of duty, it is now absolutely necessary that the dog to be imported and each individual dog in its pedigree for two complete generations must have been registered, and the stud book numbers of each of said registered dogs specified.

One of our advertisers had been adjudicated a bankrupt, and notice was given us to file our claim with the Referee in Bankruptcy. Owing to the fact that the American Kennel Club is not an incorporated association, certain complications arise that make it difficult to properly define our position as a creditor, and in order to simplify the matter I recommend that the claim of the American Kennel Club against Stephen Hasbrouck, in the sum of $75.00 and the contract given and signed by him for said advertisement for said consideration be assigned and transferred to James Watson in consideration of one dollar so that said assignee can file a claim with said Referee, as an individual creditor.

At the meeting at which the amendment was adopted requiring that all listings of non-registered dogs would be good only within the year ending Dec., 31, in which said listings were made, no time being specified, the rule necessarily went into effect immediately on its adoption. The premium lists of all the shows up to and including Pittsburgh had been printed, and the most of them distributed, and as a consequence the amended rules did not appear in said lists. We found that about two hundred dogs had not been relisted. Your Secretary felt that it was not the intention of the American Kennel Club to strictly enforce a rule that was not generally known or understood, and thereby took the liberty of exercising a certain discretion, and gave each owner the opportunity to list his dogs that were shown and found not to have been listed prior to the show at which they were entered. The two shows following Pittsburgh which completed the spring circuit were treated in like manner, practically all of the unlisted dogs were subsequently listed, and probably ten to twelve dogs will fully cover all disqualifications whose owners fail to comply with our request to forward the necessary fees to make their entries good. In the opinion of your Secretary the amended rules are now thoroughly understood by exhibitors, and
with the shows to be held hereafter, the new rules will be published, and notice is hereby given that the listing rule will be rigidly enforced. The permission to show a dog that was eligible to registration, and owners should in all cases register their dogs if eligible. The listing with a show Secretary, does not protest a dog in case through an oversight said listing is not returned to this office.

The entry forms sent out by show committees have been on the same plan for years, and are not suitable for the present classification. A new form has been prepared by this office, which is thought may save exhibitors from the errors they make in Class numbers and otherwise, and Spratts Patent which supplies a large majority of the shows with their entry forms, has signified its readiness to print the form and style as may be recommended by the American Kennel Club.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secy.

The Treasurer read his report, and the same was on motion, accepted and placed on file, and is as follows:

New York, May 24, 1899

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1899.

Balance on hand January 1, 1899..............................$5,411.73

Receipts from all sources since

January 1, 1899.............................................4,731.73

Total $10,143.46

Disbursements since Jan. 1, 1899.......................... 4,076.11

Balance on hand $6,067.35

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Treasurer
The report of the membership committee was read, and on motion, accepted and placed on file. The delegates named therein were duly elected.

New York, May 24, 1899

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting upon applications for admission to membership and reporting upon applications of delegates, filed with the secretary, your Committee respectfully reports as follows:-

Applications for membership were filed by the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts, Boston, Mass., Philadelphia Dog Show Association, Philadelphia, Pa., The Fanciers’ Club, Sharon, Pa.

These applications comply with all the requirements, and are duly approved, and the admission of said clubs are hereby recommended.

The credentials of Tyler Morse, filed by the Bull Dog Club of America, C.F. Clarkson, filed by the Boston Terrier Club, Seabury C. Mastick, filed by the Pacific Mastiff Club, are duly approved, and their acceptance is hereby recommended.

Respectfully submitted.

H.T. Foote, Chairman,
Singleton Van Schaick,
C.B. Knocker

The report of the Executive Board was read, and on motion, accepted and placed on file, and is as follows:

Meeting of the Executive Board
Wednesday, April 26th, 1899

Present, H.M. Bloodgood, M.A. Viti, H.T. Foote and H.F. Schelass.
Absent, A. Belmont, E. Brooks, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., and A.C. Wilmerding.

Dr. H.T. Foote was appointed chairman.

The following matters were duly acted upon

W.C. Hunter  Vs.    Re Misconduct in connection with dogs.
WHEREAS: It does not appear that Hunter has ever demanded a return of the money he paid for a cocker spaniel, which it is claimed did not come up to representation, the case therefore, is laid over until a specific charge can be lodged that the terms as specified in Laidlaw’s Dispersal Sale Catalogue has not been complied with.

A.K.C. Re Appeal for return of date deposit of 1898
vs.
Mascoutah K. Club

This appeal was made against the action of the Executive Board, and filed with the A.K.C. at the annual meeting, asking for its revision. As an appeal can only be heard by the Executive Board (art. IX, Sec. 3, Constitution) the matter was duly referred to said Board, and it is now
ORDERED, That the Mascoutah Kennel Club having no ground for said appeal, that the same be and hereby is dismissed.

A.K.C. Re judging of Cockers at late show
vs.
Milwaukee Kennel & Pet
Stock Assn.

WHEREAS, The judges awards are final under the rules, and, as at the Milwaukee show, in Classes 180 and 182 the judge marked his awards, handed out the ribbons in accordance therewith, and dismissed said classes, the awards therefore became final and could now be reversed. There facts being proved by the statement of the judge on file at this office, it is
ORDERED, That in said classes 180 and 182 the order of merit must be recorded as follows: - 1st, Princess Flavia; 2nd, Woodbine Fleet; Res Baby Alice; Res Winners Class Woodbine Fleet.

A.K.C. Re appeal for reinstatement.
Robert Leslie

WHEREAS, Leslie having complied with the conditions as laid down by the Advisory Committee Febv. 6, 1896, his appeal for reinstatement is granted, and the action of the Secy. In his action in placing him in good standing on Jan'y. 3, 1899, be and hereby is confirmed.

A.K.C. Re appeal for reinstatement
vs.
Fred P. Kirby

WHEREAS, Kirby having complied with the conditions as laid down by this Board May 14, 1898, the action of the Secy. in reinstating him on Feby. 7, 1899 is hereby confirmed.

A.K.C. Re appeal for reinstatement
vs.
W.D. Brereton

WHEREAS, Brerton having complied with the conditions as laid down by the Advisory Committee, May 14, 1896, the appeal for reinstatement has been granted to date for April 6, 1899.

A.K.C. Re Arrears for dues of 1899
vs.
Associate Members

ORDERED, That the following clubs be and hereby are dropped from membership for nonpayment of dues for the current year:
California Collie Club
California State Poultry & Kennel Association
Cedar Rapids Kennel Club
National Greyhound Club
Oakland Kennel Club
Pacific Fox Terrier Club
Philadelphia Kennel Club
Santa Clara Valley Poultry & Kennel Club
Kennel Names and Prefixes

The following claims have been granted and ordered to be duly registered.

American, to Frank Chapin
Barberry, to F.R. jr. & C.L. Appleton
Bostonian, to W.C. Titcomb
Garden, to Rait & Richardson
Laverock, to W.H. Churchman
Woodland, to D. Millar
Yosemite, to W.E. Chute

On motion the following matters were taken up, and the previous action of the Vice President, and of the Secy. were endorsed and confirmed.

Removal to new offices at an increased rental.
Contract with James Watson to July 1, 1899.
The Secy. directed to send check to Pac. Ad. Comm. in full for its disbursements to March 21, 1899, and to complete exchange arrangements with the London Field.

On motion the Secretary was duly elected to succeed himself as Secretary of the A.K.C. for the ensuing year.

Adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

Mr. Vredenburgh: The stud book committee held a meeting on Monday last, and also one on Tuesday. The Secretary of the Committee, Mr. Schellhass, I am sorry to say, is seriously ill, and he has all the papers at his residence, so the Committee is not in a position to report beyond a recommendation to approve the applications for Kennel names, as follows: “Random,” claimed by C.W. Rodman, Jr.; “Roseland,” claimed by Alexander Seruntine; “Hawthorne,” claimed by John C. Bone.

The Chairman: Will you accept such part of the Studbook Committee report as we have here and give them further time? If there is no objection, these
applications for prefixes will be accepted and the Stud-Book Committee given further
to report.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have the reports of the Pacific Advisory Committee of
March 28th and April 19th. The letter-press copy of the minutes of the meeting held
on March 28th, 1899, being practically illegible, it will be impossible to spread the
same on these minutes. The report of the meeting held April 19th, 1899, is as
follows:

Meeting held at Occidental Hotel
San Francisco, April 19, 1899

W.R. Cluness, Jr., M.C. in the Chair.

Present: Carlton, Cluness, Haight, Norman
Absent: Allen, de Ruyter, Grey

Moved, seconded and carried that minutes be taken as read.
Committee on by-laws reported progress.

Moved and seconded that this Committee approves proposed change in
classifying Bench Shows. Approved.

Letter from Norman J. Steward, Secretary, Cal., Collie Club protesting against
report of this Committee to A.K.C., on ground of erroneous statements concerning
Oakland specialty show and Sacramento Bench Show. As regards letter, the report
complained of alleged that between 50 and 60 dogs were benched, whereas
catalogue shows benching of 79 dogs.

Moved and seconded that the reply of the Secretary of this Committee,
already sent be deemed sufficient and that Mr. Stewart's letter be ordered on file.
Carried.

Letter read from G.B.M. Grey resigning from Committee Resignation
accepted and letter ordered filed.

Letters from A.P. Vredenburgh permitting acceptance of registration fees of
T.F. K.C. Letter ordered filed, with approval of this Committee.

Letter from John E. de Ruyter thanking this Committee for resolutions of
sympathy on death of his mother. Ordered filed.

Letter from J.B. Martin, declining to serve on Committee. Ordered filed.

Moved and seconded that Secretary be instructed to explain to Mr.
Vredenburgh that the resolution carried at last meeting was that this Committee is in
favor of extending disqualification to the progeny of disqualified dogs and bitches, if that be practicable; and that it is the sense of this Committee that this course is the only one by which disqualification can be rendered really effective. Carried.

In the matter of nominating to the A.K.C., a candidate for the vacancy left by the resignation of Mr. Gray;

Mr. Norman nominated Dr. E.N. Lowry, but in view of the sentiments expressed by other members withdrew the name and placed in nomination Mr. N.H. Hickman.

Mr. Haight nominated Mr. Thomas Watson; Dr. Cluness nominated Mr. Thomas Browne, but withdrew the name and supported Mr. Watson. Mr. Carlton seconded Dr. Lowry and on the withdrawal of his name, seconded Mr. Hickman.

On motion of Dr. Cluness, consideration was postponed until next meeting.

Adjournment.

Attest, J.B. Norman,

Secretary

The Chairman: If there is no objection they will be made a part of the proceedings of this meeting and spread upon the Minutes.

The Secretary then read an extract from the Minutes of the Cincinnati Kennel Club showing that said Club had transferred its membership in the American Kennel Club to the DOG OWNER’S PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION, and asking that its name be changed to THE DOG OWNER’S PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION of Cincinnati.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I will state that the Dog Owner’s Protective Association gave the Cincinnati show during the Spring Circuit, but in order to make a record show it was held under the auspices of the Cincinnati Kennel Club. They paid all their dues and everything that was required here.

The Chairman: Have they filed Constitution and By-Laws with you?

Mr. Vredenburgh: No.

The Chairman: Isn’t that customary?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes.

The Chairman: Can we accept that transfer, under the circumstances?

Mr. Arnott: Didn’t we do so with the two Pittsburgh Clubs?
Mr. Mortimer: I suppose in transferring the rights of the Cincinnati Kennel Club they also transferred the Constitution and By-Laws?

The Chairman: They don’t say that. They simply want to transfer their membership. We don’t know what we are accepting under that.

Mr. Mortimer: I would suggest that they be written to for the Constitution and By-Laws.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I think we can save time by referring the matter to the Executive Board at its next session, and in the meantime, I will write for their Constitution and By-Laws.

It was then moved and seconded that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Carried.

On motion, the applications of the Milwaukee Kennel and Pet Stock Association to change its name to the Milwaukee Kennel Club was granted.

Mr. Vredeburgh: I beg to report the charged that this Club has preferred against George R. Preston for misconduct in connection with Dog Shows.

Mr. Mortimer: What is the charge?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Showing a dog not his own property at two or three Shows in 1898.

The Secretary then read the charges, and Mr. Arnott moved that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary also reported the charged of the American Kennel Club against George H. Blackmar, and on request read the same.

The Chairman: IF there is no objection we will refer this matter to the Stud Book Committee for it will have to go to them eventually.

The charges against G.N. Phelps were also read, and on motion of Mr. Mortimer referred to the Executive Board.

The charges filed by J.C. Grogan against W.J. Higginson were read, and on motion referred to the Executive Board.

The charged filed by George T. Lee against Ben. Lewis were read.

Mr. Watson: Mr. Lewis is here to defend himself, and he has the original correspondence in the matter.
The Chairman: Under our rules, it would seem that there was no other course except to refer the matter to the Executive Board.

Mr. Mortimer: Then the delegates have no voice in the matter at all. Here is a case that I think can be brought up and settled now as a matter of justice between the contending parties. Lewis, it appears, holds this dog, which is the property of Mr. Lee, and in holding the dog, of course he has put himself under considerable expense. It is a St. Bernard dog, and naturally would cost $10 or $12 a month to board him, and he won’t give up the dog, because he considers that he has not been paid what is his due. On the other hand the complainant says that Lewis’ bull is an overcharge. It seems to me that the delegates here assembled could settle this matter just as well as the Executive Board, it is constitutional.

The Chairman: I think under the rules we would have to refer it to the Executive Board. They will have to take action on it.

Mr. Watson: Supposing we should find, acting as a sort of Grand Jury, that there is no ground for the charges, no ground for investigation? Mr. Lewis is here. This man states that Mr. Lewis made a specific agreement with him. Mr. Lewis can show by that man’s letter that there was no agreement. If that be so, we could say that there is no foundation for the charges; not that the charges are not sustained, but that there is no foundation for charges. He is holding this dog for the money due him, and the dog might die, and Mr. Lewis have nothing to fall back upon. Suppose Mr. Lewis comes in and shows this letter, and shows there is no ground for the charges, can’t we throw them out?

Major Taylor: If this matter is referred to the Executive Board, Mr. Lewis will be compelled to keep this dog during that time, and it is possible that the dog might die on his hands.

The Chairman: I understand the justice of your remarked, but here is Article IX which has been adopted by the Club. I don’t see why we are not bound by the rules.

Mr. Mortimer: Then I withdraw my request in every case.

Mr. Watson: In this case, if I can show you that what this man says in his charge is absolutely incorrect, then would you not decline to refer it to the Executive Board on the ground that there is no charge in the letter? Will you allow me to call for this letter and have it read to you? He makes an absolute mis-statement which is a
ground for the charges, but if that statement is false, there is no ground for the charges.

Mr. Mortimer: I don’t think this is a case for the American Kennel Club at all.

The Chairman: The ruling I make is that charges of this kind cannot come before the delegates. They must go to the Executive Board. That is the only body that can consider these charges primarily, and any discussion on this matter, I rule out of order.

Dr. Foote: The delegates don’t seem to understand that the action of the Executive Board has to be confirmed by the delegates at large. It is simply a primary action by the Executive Board.

Mr. Mortimer: Dr. Foote very properly says that they can be an appeal, and that all these actions of the Executive Board have got to be ratified by the delegates, but I want to call attention to the fact that whatever the action the Executive Board may take in this matter, cannot be ratified until the next meeting of the delegates, which won’t be held for three months. During that time Lewis may have possession of the dog. The dog may die. At any rate he is boarding the dog at his own expense. It is a complicated matter in which expense will be incurred all the time, and which will be an injustice to both parties, and if there is any possible way of settling this matter now, it should be done.

Mr. Vredenburgh: All charges under the Constitution have got to be tried by the Executive Board. They are simply reported here so that they can be officially referred to the Executive Board. In case of an appeal, the appeal had got to go to the Executive Board, and the report of the Executive Board to this meeting is simply a report giving information of what has been done. This meeting is not called upon to ratify or otherwise the action of the Executive Board. I will tell you why the Constitution was so worded for I happened to be on the Committee. The idea is that very often charges are preferred against men without foundation, and if they were tried in the open meeting here, they would naturally be reported by the stenographer and become a part of the official proceedings of this meeting, and it would do an injustice to the man that was proved innocent. Very often there is a great deal of dirty linen to be washed, and it is preferred to wash that in a committee of eight, where the proceedings are never made public, and nothing is ever made public except the finding. That is the reason that that rule is in this Constitution.
Mr. Arnott: What has this man got to do with the American Kennel Club? This Club does not want to try and get the man’s money back. There is no other charge against the man. There is nothing fraudulent about it.

The Chairman: That is a matter for the Executive Board to determine. I shall rule that this matter is out of order before this meeting. I don’t see how under Article IX we can discuss the matter. It may be a hard case but it is only opening the door to other cases.

Mr. Watson: I move that everything done with regard to this case be struck from the minutes. If we have the right to refer, we certainly have the right to refuse to refer.

The Chairman: One of the delegates requested that the charges should be read, and they were read.

Mr. Watson: Then I move that everything with reference to referring these cases to the Executive Board be struck from the minutes and that we proceed to other business.

Mr. Mastick: Section XII of Article II says we have the power to act.

The Chairman: There is no business before this meeting.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I would like to know whether hereafter I am in my report to make any reference to charges that are pending and have never been acted upon by the Board.

Mr. Hunnewell: These charges appear in the Secretary’s report simply stating that they are coming up before the Executive Board, so that if any delegate wishes to find out about a certain charge he will be informed that it is before the Board, and whether it has been acted on or not. Otherwise the delegates would not know what charges were pending.

Mr. Vredenburgh: If this charge should come to me within the last week, and it is stated in all the sporting papers that we held a meeting to-day, and there is no reference made by me that I have received such charges, and as the Executive Board only meets at its convenience, and should not meet in the next two months, you would find papers filled with statements that I was withholding papers.

Mr. Mortimer: When is the next meeting of the Executive Board?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Whenever they order it, and I don’t know when that will be.
Major Taylor: I don’t see any objection in the future to having these matters brought up and read by the Secretary. It would simply avoid the motion to refer them to the Executive Board. They go over by the rules.

Mr. Vredenburgh: If that is the sense of the meeting I will do it.

Mr. Mastick: I second that motion.

It was moved and seconded that in the future these charges be simply read by the Secretary for information and no action taken up them.

Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have an appeal here from L.A. Van Zandt against my action in cancelling the win of his dog at the New York Show. The dog was catalogued in the first issue of the New York catalogue in Class 87, which was the limit dog class. In the next issue of the catalogue the dog had been transferred to the limit bitch class. I cancelled the win that was awarded to that dog in the limit bitch class, and the Westminster Kennel Club made a demand on Van Zandt for the prize money. Mr. Van Zandt wrote several letters here and I replied to them giving my reasons for cancelling the dog’s win. He now sends an appeal. The rule especially states that the Exhibitors must abide by their own errors in making out their entry form. All entries are catalogued by the different shows according to their class numbers. Here is the original entry, class 87, limit dogs. This bitch was entered in the limit dogs’ class; consequently, being a bitch she could not compete in the limit dog class and therefore it had to be cancelled if it was illegally transferred. The rules also say: Show officials cannot transfer a dog that has been wrongly entered.” This bitch was transferred to the limit bitch class. The bitch evidently --- it is a fair inference --- was transferred the day of the show. There were three bitch classes and the mere fact that the dog was erroneously entered in the dog limit class is no proof positive that they intended that it should have been in the bitch limit class; it may have been the bitch open class or it may have been the bitch novice class. So the entry must have been transferred the day after the show opened, and she could not win in a class in which she was illegally transferred, and therefore the Secretary in the discharge of his duty, cancelled that award.

Mr. Mortimer: In regard to this matter of the transfer, the statement of our secretary is perfectly correct in so far as he says that the bitch was transferred on the day of the show. The fact that the bitch was entered in the wrong class was not
found out until she was brought into the class. She was entered in class 87, it is true, and the owner, who is Mrs. Van Zandt, then of course discovered that the class called for was a dog limit class and that the bitch had been entered in the wrong class, but I, as the Superintendent of the Show, took it upon myself to make the transfer on the ground that in her original entry – I went and got her entry form and looked it up, it was distinctly stated, Biddy Finglas, “Female. There is a division among the sexes as you will find on the original entry form there, and she distinctly stated in her entry form: “Biddy Finglas, female” but the unfortunate part of that was that Class 87 was for Limit Dogs. She intended to enter the bitch in the Limit Bitch Class which was No. 91. I took that into consideration. I though I was taking a commonsense view of the case. I knew that there was no intention on Mrs. Van Zandts part to commit any fraud. She has stated distinctly Biddy “Finglass”, female, and upon those grounds I took it upon myself to transfer this bitch from the Limit Dog class to the Limit Bitch class where she should have been entered. It is simply a question whether I was justified in making that transfer, seeing that under the head, “Sex,” she has distinctly stated, Female, and I took it upon myself that we had been guilty of carelessness, or we should have entered the dog in its right class, so I transferred it from the dog class to the bitch class. I am not in the least finding fault with the secretary. I realize that it is a matter in which he must act strictly according to the rules, but this was straining the rule and carrying out the very letter of it.

Mr. Vredenburgh: If Mrs. Van Zandt has asked you to transfer that bitch to the Open Bitch Class, you would have been just as likely to have done it, as to have transferred it to the Limit Class, wouldn’t you?

Mr. Mortimer: No

Mr. Vredenburgh: Why not?

Mr. Mortimer: Because she didn’t enter it in the Limit Class. 87 was the class for Limit Dogs, but when she put down the name, Biddy Finglas, female, she evidently intended to enter it in the class for Limit Bitches. It seemed to me that I was justified in using that amount of discretion which I thought was vested in me, to put the bitch in the class to which she rightfully belonged. I am not defending my action at all, neither am I finding any fault with the action of the secretary. I am leaving the matter to the Club.
Mr. Vredenburgh: I will give you my reasons for not taking into consideration what Mr. Mortimer has just stated. If exhibitors will make a mistake in putting down the No. of a Class, they are just as liable to be in error in regard to the name of that class. A person entered a bitch in the Limit Dog Class. He finds he has made an error. He does to the Secretary after the show has opened, after that exhibitor has had an opportunity to examine the different classes. He goes through the limit class and knows that there is a bitch in the Limit class that can beat his bitch, so he puts her in the Open Class or the Novice Class. He says: “That is a mistake I want my bitch transferred to the Open Class or the Novice Class. The whole thing was a mistake.” I am not referring now to this case. I am referring to the possibilities of the case, that it is quite within the scope of possibility, I will say to draw it mild, that an exhibitor could do such a thing. You can all see how the door is left open to a thing of that kind and consequently I think it was clearly within my province to cancel that win.

Mr. Clarkson: Why shouldn’t you fine the club instead of cancelling the win?

Mr. Vredenburgh: We did.

The Chairman: The object of the rule is perfectly apparent, but I think the Bench Show committee ought to have a little freedom or discretion.

Mr. Mortimer: I might say also that Mrs. Van Zandt making the entry in the Limit class was simply because the bitch in question was not eligible in the Novice Class.

Mr. Vredenburgh: She could have gone into the Open Class.

The Chairman: I think we ought to adhere to our rules until we change them. I think I have had enough experience in Bench Show matters to know that this rule works a hardship. It is perfectly apparent that it was a slip of the pen that made it 87 instead of 91.

Mr. Hunnewell: We went all over this a year ago, and we decided the only way out of the matter was that an exhibitor had to abide by his mistakes, and the Show Committee had to abide by its mistakes. That is the only fair way we could get out of it.

Mr. Mortimer: You see it is evident that Mrs. Van Zandt had no intention of entering that dog in dog class when she said female on her entry.

The Chairman: The question is whether you want to adhere to your rules.
Mr. Hunnewell: I move that the secretary’s action be ratified.

Motion seconded and carried.

In the matter of the protest of James L. Little against the Westminster Kennel Club, the Secretary read a letter from Mr. Little.

Mr. Vredenburgh: In the matter of the bankruptcy case to which I referred in my report, I desire to state that I received a notice from the Referee to call and prove our claim. He did not seem to understand exactly what the American Kennel Club was, and I could not very readily make him understand that it was a voluntary organization, not incorporated, and it possibly would be called a co-partnership. I have talked with two or three lawyers on the question, and they say it would simplify matters very much if we would assign our claim to some individual. I wrote to the Referee asking him if he would grant us until the 27th of this month to file our claim and he write me back yes. As I will have to sign the assignment as Secretary, I think rather than have it assigned to me it would be better to assign it to Mr. Watson. He procured the advertisement and knows all the parties, and I recommend that this claim be sold to Mr. Watson.

Mr. Hunnewell: I move that we sell our claim to Mr. Watson.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There are three applications for membership, the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts; The Philadelphia Dog Show Association, and The Fanciers’ Club of Sharon, Pennsylvania. They have all been approved by the Committee.

Said Clubs were duly elected.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a resolution offered by Mr. G. Muss-Arnolt, as follows: “Resolved, that it is the sense of this Club that a transfer of a registered kennel name, prefix or affix will only be recognized, and consent thereto given, by the American Kennel Club when made by the original owner by a proper written instrument.”

Mr. Arnolt: I wish to bring this up so as to get a ruling. Most of the gentlemen here have prefixes or affixes and make a reputation by them. Anyone of those may die and the executors may sell the name. They are not dog men. Perhaps a man under suspension might buy them and travel on that reputation, and I think we should provide against such an emergency.
Mr. Vredenburgh: I don’t think it should be considered as part of the assets of an estate, so that it could be sold without the consent of the American Kennel Club. They grant this kennel name only to a man whom they are satisfied to permit to have such a trademark. The executors may sell that to a man that the American Kennel Club would not be willing to grant a prefix to.

Mr. Viti: It is a personal grant. It is to that individual only. He cannot assign it. I should think the application would have to be made here for it.

Mr. Mortimer: I think that is a very good proposition. Some person who is actually disqualified by the American Kennel Club might buy that affix or prefix. I should second the resolution.

The resolution was adopted.

The report of the stud book committee was then read, as follows:

New York, May 25, 1899

Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary, A.K.C.

Dear Sir:-

The Stud Book Committee begs to report as follows:

Franklin G. Bixby          Re breeding Boston Terrier
Vs.        Alpha 47619
Jno. A. Stewart

The charge being that said Alpha was not sired by complainants Toney Boy, although so registered by the defendant.

The complainant was received June 21st 1898, and case closed Feb. 23, 1899.

The delay was caused, partly, by the tardiness of the complainant in replying to the Committee’s letters to him, and also owning to the great mass of contradictory testimony received, much of it being in the form of affidavits and making the case difficult to determine.

At the New York show the Committee had an opportunity to interview many disinterested Boston Terrier men, and, as a result were able to quickly dispose of the case to their perfect satisfaction, finding as follows:-

Said Boston Terrier was not sired by Tony Boy.
According to the testimony of two apparently disinterested and reputable parties, one Daniel Manley of Charlstown, Mass., owned said Alpha before the defendant and claimed the sire to be as registered by the latter.

Other testimony given was to the effect that said Manly also claimed other breeding for the dog, and as evidenced in an affidavit he submitted to this Committee.

After due consideration of the case it is recommended that said Manly and his dogs if any be disqualified.

The Committee desires to say here that in two recent cases regarding the alleged giving of fictitious pedigrees they have called upon the specialty clubs interested, or there Pedigree Committee, said clubs being members of the A.K.C.

In both cases no assistance whatsoever was received.

Many of the cases of the kind above received for investigation are very difficult and apparently impossible to solve, but with the assistance of those intimately connected with the breed in question a solution of the difficulty, and consequently a just and correct decision can usually be arrived at.

This Committee considered that any such assistance asked should be promptly and also heartily granted, particularly as the ones profiting the greatest in cases of this kind are those directly interested in the breed in question.

Re-appeal of Geo. Brown, Hartford City, Indiana, to have progeny of King’s Peer - Cincinnatus Pride registered as English Setters.

The dam shows an Irish cross in the fourth generation.

It is the sense of the Committee that no setter showing an outcross should be registered as pure bred. The request is therefore denied. The progeny in question may, however, be registered under Cross-bred setters.

Re- G. R. Thompson Vs. The complainant wrote the Registration of certain English Settersby Dr. O.T. Schoff

O.T. Schoff intended registering certain English Setters and alleging that the breeding in question was false.
Also that he could produce corroborative evidence in support of his charge.

Your Secretary waited until the breeding in question was sent in for registration, when the complainant, upon application, refused to furnish any of the testimony he had offered to, and to render any assistance.

This Committee stated to him fully the necessity of his assistance and demanded same under penalty of action being taken against him, all of which he now entirely ignores.

Therefore it is recommended that said G. R. Thompson, of Vassar, Mich. Be suspended and his dog Gladstone Dash, 46,353, and his other dogs if any be disqualified pending his substantiation of the charge in question.

Respectfully submitted,

Hermann F. Schelass, Sec.,
For Stud Book Committee

Mr. Arnolt: I want to amend the report of the Stud Book Committee in this manner, that where there is no out-cross shown within the three generations required for registration, the dog shall be registered as a pure breed.

Said amendment seconded and adopted.

The Chairman: Now comes the question of the Stud Book Committee’s report as amended.

It was moved and seconded that said report be accepted and placed on file, and that the recommendations therein contained be adopted.

Carried.

Mr. Viti: I have a matter which I desire to bring up. The Executive Committee of the Spaniel Club have instructed me as its delegate to bring before this Club the question of winners’ classes in Cocker Spaniels. Recently Bench-Show Committee have refused the right to open winners’ classes for parti-colored Cocker Spaniels. Originally they were in the habit of opening them. It seems to the Spaniel Club that inasmuch as winners’ classes are allowed for each variety of St. Bernards, poodles and fox terriers, there should be winners’ classes for solid colored and parti-colored cockers. In St. Bernards the difference is in the coat. I think the standard is the same whether they are smooth coated or rough coated. I think the same thing applied to poodles and fox terriers. These are two distinct varieties, and heretofore we have
had two winners’ classes, and this present volume of the stud book shows that a
winners’ class was recognized for solid colors and for parties, and that since those
classes were recognized there has been no change or amendment in the rules that
would preclude their being opened in the future. This volume 15 shows two classes
wherein they were recognized. I bring the matter before the Club because the
Spaniel Club is anxious to have an expression of opinion from the delegates at
large.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have made a distinction since the last annual meeting.
The annual meeting placed the classification under my supervision, and just taking
the common sense view of it, it seemed to me that it was hardly right for us to permit
champions that were simply designated by color, and consequently since the annual
meeting, in the classifications that they have sent to me I have cut out the winners’
classes where they are simply divided by color, and insisted that a cocker spaniel
was a cocker spaniel no matter what color it might be, and while the shows
permitted the classification under our rules of opening classes for variety, or weight,
color or sex, it had no intention of saying that because a cocker spaniel was black it
should have a championship as a black cocker spaniel, or that another cocker
spaniel, red and white, that it should have a championship because it was red and
white, but that a cocker spaniel was a cocker no matter what color it was. Since this
matter has been put in my hands it have cut out the winners’ classes by color,
making all of the winners in several colors come together in one winners’ class and
compete for the winner of cockers.

Mr. Carnochan: I have not heard the delegate from the Spaniel Club say
anything about red. Does he propose to have a separate winners’ class in reds?

Mr. Viti: There is a well recognized variety of parti-colors. It is a question of
color.

The Chairman: Wouldn’t it be well to refer this matter to a committee?

Mr. Mortimer: I don’t think there is any Bench Show Committee that would
have any objection to making those classes, but it seems to me it is almost absurd to
do so. A champion should be a champion no matter what the color is. By and by the
word champion will, have no value if we are to have a champion black cocker
spaniel and a champion red cocker spaniel. The difference between rough and
smooth coated St. Bernard is distinct, as it is also in regard to poodles, and the same as with wire haired fox terriers and smooth.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The Spaniel Club is not alone in this matter. I struck out in every instance where they have made winners’ classes for heavy pointers and winners’ classes for light pointers upon the same idea that a pointer is a pointer whether he weighs over or under 50 pounds. Therefore I make them confine themselves to one winners’ class.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that the action of the Secretary in having only one winners’ class in cocker spaniels be sustained.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Viti: I move as an amendment that we have hereafter only winners’ classes in every breed.

Amendment seconded and lost.

The original motion was then withdrawn.

Mr. Hunnewell: I move that it be referred to the Rules Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Viti: Mr. Schellhass has sent in a matter that he desires brought before this meeting. He has asked me to call the Secretary’s attention to it.

The Secretary then read the article referred to, the same being an article published in the American Field under date of April 8th, entitled “Plain Speaking”.

Mr. Vredenburgh: We had a similar case at the Newark Dog Show. The American Kennel Club forced the Newark Club to prefer charges against this veterinary, and he was disqualified. I would like to state that in the case of Mr. Mortimer, a certain man made charges against him in the papers. The American Kennel Club sent me out to San Francisco to get that man to substantiate those charges. He declined to do it, and the American Kennel Club thought it was its duty to suspend that man until he produced the evidence.

Mr. Carnochan moved that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Hunnewell: I move that the Secretary be directed to inform Mr. Howard to prefer charge. The Chairman: It is suggested that he Secretary be instructed to call upon Mr. Howard to substantiate his article in the American Field of April 8th. What is your pleasure?

Motion seconded and carried.
The meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, THURSDAY, SEPT. 21ST, 1899.

In the absence of the President, Vice President and President of the Associate Members Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh was elected Chairman.

Present:

Associate Members       A.C. Wilmerding
                        H.K. Bloodgood
American Pet Dog Club    S.C. Hodge
American Fox Terrier Club H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Dachshund Club  Dr. C. Motschenbacher
Boston Terrier Club      C.F. Clarkson
Butterfly Bench Show Association  D.E. Waters
Collie Club of America   James Watson
Metropolitan Kennel Club  H.T. Foote
Milwaukee Kennel Club    James Mortimer
Pacific Mastiff Club     S.S. Mastick
Philadelphia Dog Show Association  L.A. Biddle
San Francisco Kennel Club C.B. Knocker
American Spaniel Club    M.A. Viti
Pointer Club of America  George Jarvis
Columbus Fanciers Club   J.M. Taylor
Mascoutah Kennel Club    C.F. Drake

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the May Gazette.

The report of the Membership Committee was read. It follows:

New York, Sept. 20th, 1899

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee, held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting upon applications for admission to membership, and upon credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your Committee respectfully reports as follows:

Applications for membership were filed by the Vermont Kennel Club of Rutland, Vermont, and the George State Agricultural Society of Atlanta, Ga. The
The gentlemen named in the foregoing report were duly elected as delegates to the Club to represent the Clubs specified.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I would like to state for your information that there was a special meeting of the Executive Board called for August 21st to elect the Vermont Kennel Club. Two of the members were present about an hour and a half before the time of the meeting, and two other signified their intention of voting for the admission of this Club. I was absent on my vacation, and Mr. Watson who had charge here, obtained the signatures of the two members who were present, and of the two members who were not present. That made four votes in the affirmative and no votes in the negative. There is no provision in our Constitution for electing a club in that way, but their Show was to take place before this meeting was to be held, and before we could hold another meeting of the Executive Board. On the strength of these signatures the American Kennel Club endorsed their classification so they could hold their Show under the American Kennel Club rules, and it is suggested that this meeting confirm the action of a majority of the Executive Board.

Mr. Waters: I move that the action of the Executive Board in the election of the Vermont Kennel Club be confirmed.

Seconded and carried.

The Georgia State Agricultural Society was elected to membership.

The Secretary then read his quarterly report, which follows:

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report the reception of two application for admission to membership, credentials from one Club of a delegate to the American Kennel Club; applications for the registration of nine kennel names; and requests for the transfer
of two kennel names, all of which have been referred to the proper Committees, which will report on same at this meeting.

Appeals have been filed by J.R. Thompson, Vassar, Mich., and J. Fred Rommell, Chicago, Ill., for reinstatement to good standing. Both parties were disqualified for refusing to given certain information to the Stud Book Committee and now explain the reasons for such refusals. I would respectfully recommend the favorable consideration of the appeals.

I beg to report for your information that the following case are now pending before the Executive Board:

T.A. Howard vs. George W. Clayton
A.H. Nelson vs. D.E. Rose
H.T. Cousins vs. G. H. Blackmar
A.K.C. vs. George S. Thomas
A.K.C. vs. G. N. Phelps

Fred P. Kirby, as agent for Walter D. Griscom, has filed the complaint to the effect that the National Greyhound Club has failed to pay him medals won by his dogs at the shows of the Westminster Kennel Club for the years 1898 and 1899. The rules hold the National Greyhound Club responsible for the former, and the Westminster Kennel Club responsible for the latter. I called personally on Mr. Whiton of the Greyhound Club, on the subject, and also notified him by letter. His promise to pay had not been fulfilled on the 12th inst., as per advise on that date from Kirby. A notice was also sent to Mr. Mortimer, Superintendent of the late Westminster Kennel Club Show, and in his reply he informed me that he had sent a demand to the Secretary of the Greyhound Club to pay the 1899 medal over to the winner. The rules provide that, “the officials of a show shall be held responsible for the payment of all prizes within sixty days of the last day of the show, in default of which said officials shall be suspended or disqualified for such times as the American Kennel Club shall determine.” (Rule V Governing Clubs).

The application of the Vermont Kennel Club was acted upon and the Club admitted by the signature of a majority of the Executive Board, it having been found to be inconvenient to hold a meeting of the Board at the time the application was filed. It was held that the classification could not be officially endorsed until some authority existed by which the show could be held under our rules, hence the above
action. The application is in the regular form, and is endorsed by the Membership Committee, and I would recommend that this meeting would confirm the admission of the Club to membership.

The win of the field spaniel Saybrook Popcorn, in the limit class at the late St. Louis Show was cancelled by the A.K.C. as said dog was not eligible to compete in said class. The prize money was paid by the St. Louis Club, and upon the cancellation of the award, a demand was made for the return of the money by the St. Louis Club. It would appear by the entry form that the owner entered the dog in its proper class and stated on said entry form that “Frank Dole has charge, etc.” The agency was transferred by Dole to George S. Thomas without the owner’s knowledge or consent, and Thomas entered said dog in the limit class in which the dog was not eligible, and the winnings paid over to him. The case was submitted to me for adjustment, but I declined to act as an official of this Club, but gave my personal opinion to the St. Louis Club Secretary that as the owner of the dog had not authorized the transfer of the agency, nor of the illegal entry, nor had he received the prize money, he should look to the person to whom the money was paid for its return.

The Harvard College Library has solicited a donation of a complete set of the Stud Book, and in my reply informed the Library that I would present the request to the Club at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, to be taken up for action later.

The treasurer then read his report, which is as follows:

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1899.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand, January 1, 1899</td>
<td>$ 5,411.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from January 1 to date</td>
<td>7,611.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total $13,023.16
Disbursements since January 1, 1899 $ 7,529.68

Balance on hand, $ 5,493.48

Respectfully submitted
A.P. Vredenburgh, Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary: The report of the Executive Board was published in the June Gazette. Will you have it read?

Dr. Foote: I move that it be accepted as published and placed on file.
Seconded and carried.

The report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was read as follows:
San Francisco, August 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 1899

A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,
Secretary A.K.C. New York

Dear Sir:

I beg to report herewith that the above Committee held a meeting on the 1\textsuperscript{st} inst., at 2026 Buch Street, San Francisco, J.E. de Ruyter in the Chair.

Present, de Ruyter, Carlton, Norman.

Resolved, that Dr. E. N. Lowry be nominated to A.K.C. for membership in this Committee, vice G.W.N. Grey, resigned. Carried.

Letters read from Dr. W.R. Cluness and Mr. C. A. Haight, resigning. Resolved that resignations be accepted.

In re protest of William N. McCarthy, appealing from decision of S.F.K.C., resolved that consideration of same be deferred until next meeting, and that Secretary be instructed to invite Mr. McCarthy’s attendance.

The foregoing is a complete synopsis of the minutes.

Yours truly,
J.P. Norman, Secretary

Mr. Vredenburgh: I would like to say that according to the Constitution there is no specified number for the Pacific Advisory Committee and the appointment of it is in the hands of the President of the Association, or in his absence, the Vice President. The Vice President appointed the original committee of seven, and the Committee
recommends that its number be reduced to five. Three have resigned, and they nominated for our consideration Dr. Lowry, and as I know Dr. Lowry personally, it was at my solicitation that they worked very hard to get the Doctor to consent to act, because he is a representative man of San Francisco. He is not what you call a dog man, but he is a practicing physician and he will be a great acquisition. I don’t image that anything is necessary to be done with this report, other then to receive it, because it is within the province of the Vice President to carry out their wishes, unless you should designate the wishes of this meeting, which would be perfectly proper.

It was moved and seconded that the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee be accepted, placed on file, and that this meeting recommends to its President that the Committee be reduced to five and appointment of Dr. Lowry as a member of it.

Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The report of the Rules Committee, with your permission, I think had better be left over until new business, so that we can take up the proposed amendments.

Mr. Viti: On behalf the Stud Book Committee, I have just learned that Mr. Schellhass, the Secretary of the Committee in whose possession all the papers are, has suddenly been taken rather seriously ill, and therefore we have not the papers. He has to go home this morning from his office, so I am without the papers on which to make any report. There are some matters which ought to be brought up, but owing to his illness cannot be done, as I have not the papers.

The Chairman: Can you given an idea of what has been done verbally?

Mr. Viti: So much has been done and so many different cases acted upon that I don’t think I could recollect them all, and the facts and the disposition that was made in each case. I could tell you those which I recollect, but I do not see the value of an imperfect report.

The Chairman: The Chair would suggest for the convenience of this office that so much of the action of the Stud Book Committee as you have a written report of it might be well to present, leaving the balance of your work in abeyance until the next meeting, so that this office can act on that.

Mr. Viti: We passed upon the list of prefixes.

The Chairman: I have that here.
Mr. Viti: That is part of the report.

Another case before us was the protest of Mr. Blossom against the registration of a Gordon Setter pup, which was sired by his dog, Mr. Blossom claiming that the pup was his property in accordance with the terms of the breeding contract, which is in the papers, but I recollect the material parts of it. Mr. Reynolds brought a certain bitch to the dog and four pups were whelped, three bitches and one dog. According to the contract the parties were to toss for choice, but in any event Mr. Blossom was to receive a pip. About eight weeks after the whelping, but one pup was living. This was a dog pup. Mr. Blossom then called upon Mr. Reynolds to make his selection. Mr. Reynolds said there was only one pup and that he wanted it and Mr. Blossom could not have it. Mr. Reynolds further said then that after the written contract had been signed Mr. Blossom said if there was only one pup whelped Mr. Reynolds could have another service free of charge. Mr. Blossom denied this. This point the committee considered immaterial as four pups were whelped. It could only apply, if it were made in the event of their being one pup whelped. Mr. Reynolds admitted in July, and was willing to adhere to it, but for the fact that he thought it was an unfair arrangement that he had made with Mr. Blossom. The Committee held a meeting on the 20th of September at which Mr. Blossom and Mr. Reynolds were present, and Mr. Reynolds then stated that he would not be bound by the contract and requested the committee to consider a series of transactions which go back, I think, three years or so, and which finally led up to the execution of the written contract which was in full settlement of all their difficulties. The Committee refused to consider these prior transactions because the contract was worded that it was in full settlement of all disputes between the parties, and that the only material part of it was the question of the ownership of the pups under the agreement. The agreement was signed in December, 1897, and in the following July Mr. Reynolds wrote to Mr. Blossom saying that if he adhered to the terms of that contract Mr. Blossom would get the dog pup, and he wished to make some arrangement whereby he, Reynolds, could keep the pup. Mr. Blossom refused to agree to that proposition, and when Mr. Blossom called to make his choice, which the Committee think was within a reasonable time -- it was about eight or nine weeks after the whelping --- there was but one pup living. In accordance with the terms of the contract Mr. Blossom was to have a pup in any event. The Committee did not consider that the question of the merits or the
disadvantages of the contract with the agreement after the service was had, Mr. Blossom was entitled to the pup in question, and his protest should be sustained.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I would state that this case arose in this way: Mr. Blossom filed a protest against registering such a breed in Gordon Setters, and later on Mr. Reynolds presented his application for registration of that dog, and paid me the money. I have held this in abeyance until the Stud Book Committee could act upon it, and could have action at this meeting. You say that your Committee acted favorably upon all applications for Kennel names?

Mr. Viti: Yes, all applications for prefixes and Kennel names were acted upon favorably. Another question acted on was one submitted by the Secretary of the A.K.C. in regard to a ruling which was made at the last meeting of this Club. At that time a case was pending before the Club where in the fourth generation there was an out-cross of a different breed of setter. I think it was an English setter, and in the fourth generation there was an Irish introduced. The A.K.C. made a ruling saying where no out-cross appeared in the generation required by the entry blank that dog was to be registered as a pure breed. The dog in question they asked to have registered as an English setter. His sire is registered as a cross-bred setter. As long as the dam is registered as a cross-bred setter, and the dam of that bitch is registered as a cross-bred setter, the actual cross does not appear in pedigree. The question is, how is the ambiguous ruling to be construed, whether it means that the actual outcross has to be shown, or whether the result of that cross may appear in the application blank. The Committee were of the opinion that where the blood of a different breed appeared in the pedigree of a dog, that dog should not be entitled to registration as a pure bred dog, and we offered a resolution to that effect and also that all rulings inconsistent with that be annulled and repealed, but where we know that there is some other breed in the pedigree, that dog shall not be registered.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I will read the Kennel names which were granted:

Endcliffe, George S. Thomas
Falmouth, H.R. Duval
Hastings, A.D. Cochran
Hazelhurst, J.H. Santee
International, now vested in the name of Charles N. Daly, as owner.
Montebello, T.D.M. Cardeza
Niola, E.H. Ingwersen
North Fork, R.T. Judd
Prospect Park, H.C. Winther
Rock Ridge, W.G. Rockefeller
Tamanend, E.B. Douglass
Westchester, now vested in the name of J.A. Montgomery, as owner

The Kennel name “Westchester” was owned by Mr. F.M. McWilliams and James A. Montgomery, Mr. McWilliams asked to have the name transferred to Mr. Montgomery, the remaining partner, and says he has sold all his right, title and interest in it to him, and he wishes to authorize it.

Mr. Waters: I move that the report be accepted, place on file and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

Mr. Watson: I would like to say something before the recommendations are approved. I think those two transfers mentioned are on an entirely separate basis and ought to be considered separately. The Daly and Curzon transfer, that I understand was given to them originally, and Mr. Daly, having paid his pro rata share, carried on the business. The transfer from McWilliams to Montgomery is entirely different. McWilliams first of all claims that name “Westchester”. He then took into partnership Mr. Montgomery, and the Club accepted Mr. Montgomery as a partner, and gave those two a right to the name. Now Mr. McWilliams retires, and Mr. Montgomery, who never paid anything, and did not make the original claim, now gets the title. Isn’t that equal to Mr. McWilliams transferring the name to Mr. Montgomery?

(At this point Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. arrived and took the Chair).

Mr. Waters: Is there any objection to making such transfer of a Kennel name?

Mr. Watson: I think so. I think you will find that at the last meeting it was declared that a person has a life interest in such a name. I have already said that was special legislation and likely to cut both ways.

Capt. Knocker: Wouldn’t it be better not to make a precedent of it, but let him make an application for the prefix in the ordinary course. Let him go through the ordinary course and pay his fee and have it registered in his name. It is not, as Mr.
Watson says, like the other case, where one of the partners died, and then the name continued in the possession of the other partner.

Major Taylor: It is only a question of making the man come here and make an application and pay for it. He is certainly a part owner of it.

Mr. Water’s motion, that the report be accepted and placed on file, and the recommendations of the Committee concurred in was then carried.

Concerning the appeal filed by Mr. J. Fred Rommel, after the correspondence in the matter was read and discussed, Major Taylor moved that his disqualification be removed.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have now to report the case of a man by the name of Thompson, of Michigan, who sent a protest here against the registration of any English setter that might come to us from a man by the name of Dr. Shoop, and said that the English setter was simply a mongrel, and he proposed giving a good pedigree for the dog. Later on an application came from this Dr. Shoop. I looked it over and so far as the pedigree was concerning it fitted exactly with our records. I registered the dog and notified Mr. Thompson that I had done so, and now if he had his proofs that he had, to forward them and we would put them through the proper channel. The Stud Book Committee tried repeatedly to get those proofs, and the man seemed to think that all that was necessary for the Stud Book Committee to do was to take his word, and he would not furnish the proof, and the Stud Book Committee recommended that he be disqualified until such time as he furnished the proof. Them Thompson wrote to the Committee and told them he had been somewhat previous; that he had offered to present the proofs and he could not get the proofs; that he had acted in good faith; that these people had told him these things, and when he went to ask them for affidavits, they would not give them to him, and he acknowledged his mistake and wanted to be re-instated. The Committee sent him word that it had no authority, that he must appeal to the Club. He now appeals to the Club.

Major Taylor: I want to say in regard to Dr. Shoop that I consider him as honorable a man as there is in the country. In attacking Dr. Shoop, Mr. Thompson has attacked the wrong man.

Dr. Foote: I move that Mr. Thompson be re-instated.
Seconded and carried.

In regard to the complaint filed by Mr. Fred P. Kirby, as agent for Mr. Walter B. Griscom, Mr. Vredenburgh said: I called on Mr. Whiton of the National Greyhound Club, and made a demand on him. He said he would attend to it. I wrote him on the 16th of June, to which he paid no attention. The medal for 1899, the Westminster Kennel Club is responsible for under the rules. I wrote to Mr. Mortimer on June 16th. Mr. Mortimer replied that he had written the Secretary requesting him to send the medal to Mr. Griscom or to me. Under the rules the payment of all prizes must be made within sixty days, or the officials who are responsible for these prizes, can be suspended or disqualified in the discretion of the Club. I received word from Mr. Kirby on the 12th of this month that the medals were never paid.

Major Taylor: I move that Mr. Whiton be given a fixed time in which to pay over the medals before any definite action is taken.

Mr. Mortimer: I wrote to Mr. Whiton. You are aware that there was a resolution passed here some time ago whereby the Club of Show Committee accepting specials is made responsible for them. I wrote to Mr. Whiton asking him to send on the medals either to me or to Mr. Griscom, or to the Club here. I have received no reply. The question is what is that medal worth? How is the Westminster Kennel Club going to make it good? What are we to do in a case of that kind? It is rather a peculiar question. If we are responsible for that medal we will have to have a die cut and a medal made.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Well, the die is on the other side.

Mr. Mortimer: The Westminster Kennel Club would be very glad to settle this matter if it knew how to settle it. I might state that the Westminster Kennel Club had no idea that the medals offered formerly before that resolution was passed by the A.K.C. had not been paid over, or it would not have accepted its last offer. The Westminster Kennel Club is perfectly willing to make good the monetary value of the medal, if that can be decided upon.

Mr. Waters: Is the National Greyhound Club in good standing now?

Mr. Vredenburgh: No, it has been dropped for non-payment of dues.

Capt. Knocker: The Westminster Kennel Club ought to have been notified that they had not paid the medals for the previous year, then they would not have given them credit this year.
It was moved and seconded that the officers of the National Greyhound Club be suspended until the medals are paid.

Carried.

In the matter of the win of the field spaniel Saybrooke-Popcorn, in the limit class at the St. Louis show, cancelled by the American Kennel Club, referred to by the Secretary in his report, Capt. Knocker moved that no agent shall have the power to transfer an agency without the written authority of the owner of the dog.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Now to whom shall the St. Louis Club look for the return of the $7.00?

Mr. Mastick: I move that the $7.00 shall be returned by Mr. Thomas.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the request of the Harvard College Library for a complete set of the Stud Book was granted.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Next in order are the proposed amendments to the rules, which are contained in the report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules, which has been published on the Gazette.

After a very full discussion in which most of the delegates took part, the proposed amendments as recommended by the Rules Committee were thoroughly gone into and thrashed out, and resulted in the adoption by a two-thirds vote of practically all of the recommendations made by said Committee, subject however to the adoption of amendments to the amendments suggested. The following are the amended rules as finally decided upon.

Rule II: Every dog shown under these rules must either be registered or listed with the American Kennel Club previous to being exhibited.

Rule V. Striking out the words “together with a list of all prizes won by the dog” and adding a new paragraph “The name of a registered dog cannot be changed after having been published in the Stud Book.”

CLASSES.

1. The puppy class shall be for all dogs over six months and under twelve months of age, and no entry can be made of one under six months or whose date of birth, breeder, sire and dam are unknown.
3. The Limit Class shall be for all dogs never having won four first prizes in said class at any recognized show, but no dog having acquired the title of champion shall be eligible to this class.

5. The Winners Class shall be open only to the winners of first prizes at any show giving at least three of the before mentioned classes, one of which must be the open class, and the winner of ten points in this class will thereby become a champion of record, be so registered by the American Kennel Club upon application, and if registered in the Stud Book will be entitled to an American Kennel Club champion medal. Before awarding “reserve” in this class, the dog or dogs having been placed second to the winner on any of the regular classes, must be brought before the judge for competition with the remaining dogs in said winners class. No class winner can be withdrawn, or with held from competition in the winners class, and no entry fee shall be charged for said competition in this class. A dog that prior to December 31st, 1899. has won once in the winners class shall qualify as a champion by two additional wins, and a dog that has won twice qualified with one win in any winners class. The winners class can be divided by sex, provided the required three classes announced in the premium list are also divided by sex.

Cancellations for violations of rules.

When a puppy is entered, being under six months of age, or his date of birth, breeder, sire and dam unknown. When a dog is entered in the Limit Class, having previously won four or more first prizes in said class.

When a dog has been improperly transferred by the show officials.

RULES GOVERNING CLUBS

IX. No entry shall be accepted of any puppy under six months of age, or marked “date of birth, breeder, sire and dam unknown”, either for competition or exhibition.

X. Striking out the first paragraph.

XI. Striking out the words, “together with a copy of the dog show rules and such other regulations as were announced in the premium list.”

PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS

For accepting entries for puppy classes under six months of age, or marked “date of birth, breeder, sire and dam unknown,” $5.00
For failure to file with the American Kennel Club a marked catalogue certified to by the Secretary or Superintendent, making a return of all listings and fees for same within seven days after the closing of the show $1.00.

XVII. Adding the words “governing clubs holding shows.”

BY-LAWS

Section 4. Striking out the words “Executive Committee” and substituting the word “Association”.

ADOPTION OF NEW RULES

Puppies may be permanently removed from a show at any time after their classes have been judged, whatever those classes may be, provided, however, that puppies may be so removed only after the close of a show in any evening.

A dog which has won a championship at a show under English Kennel club rules cannot be exhibited in the novice class.

STANDING RESOLUTIONS

The following scale of points was adopted to regulate the values of winners’ classes at A.K.C. shows; all shows to be rated upon the actual number of dogs entered not including local classes: 1250 dogs or over, 5 points; 750 dogs and under 1250, 4 points; 500 dogs and under 750, 3 points; 250 and under 500, 2 points; under 250 dogs, 1 point.

On the Pacific Coast.

400 dogs or over, 5 points; 250 dogs and under 400, 3 points; under 250 dogs, 1 point.

Specialty clubs holding shows confined to their own breed, 4 points.

Specialty clubs holding shows not confined to their own breed will be rated as regular shows.

New clubs holding inaugural shows, having no previous records, shall be rated 1 point as a minimum.

The Secretary shall publish in the Gazette for December of each year the ratings of shows for the following year.

The Secretary then read the following from the report of the Committee on Rules: “Your Committee begs to report that the amendment in Section 3, governing dog shows, Rule XII, adopted at the annual meeting held February 22, 1899, ‘It shall be the duty of dog show committees to bench all dogs of a breed together, when
owned and entered by one exhibitors provided a request for same be made in the
entry form, was acted upon in violation of Section 4, By-Laws, relating to
amendments, is therefore illegal and cannot be enforced. Said Section 4, specifically
provides that Section 3 cannot be altered or amended until after notice of such
alteration or amendment has been published. The amendment above referred to
was made to Section 3 without any published notice prior to the adoption of the
same. In order to rectify the omission your Committee now moved the adoption of
the amendment as above set forth.”

This was the subject of a long and animated discussion. Messrs. Waters and
Knocker advocated the passage of the clause, setting forth all the reasons which
were stated at the last annual meeting in support of the same, while Messrs,
Mortimer, Watson and Foote opposed its adoption.

Mr. Watson called for the ayes and nays on the motion to adopt.
Mr. Waters moved that said clause be stricken out.

The Chairman: Your motion is not a proper one. Under the Constitution it
requires a two-thirds vote, and to put your motion will not bring out the fact whether
there is a two-thirds vote or not.

Capt. Knocker: I move it be laid over until the next annual meeting.

Mr. Waters: I move that this special mater be laid on the table until the annual
meeting.

Mr. Watson: I move that this special matter be laid on the table until the
annual meeting.

Mr. Watson: I move the previous question and call for the ayes and nays on
the original motion. This motion must prevail.

The Chairman: The original motion is before the house. It is moved and
seconded that this clause be adopted.

The vote on the motion resulted as follows:
Ayes, 4; Nays, 5.

The Chairman: The motion is lost.

Mr. Vredenburgh then read the following from the report of the Committee on
Rules:

“The question relating to winners’ classes in Cocker Spaniels (any other
variety) referred to this Committee at the last quarterly meeting of the Club is in its
opinion not within its jurisdiction, and respectfully refers the matter back to the
Association for its action.”

Mr. Viti: I have communicated to the Club which I represent the action of the
Rules Committee, and they have asked me to renew my protest which I originally
made before this body. Feeling that we are entitled to the same consideration as any
other breed, we think your rule should be uniform and consistent, and that we should
be entitled to the same privileges by virtue of our membership in the American
Kennel Club, as it is in their Specialty Club, and the spaniel should be treated the
same as any other breed. We therefore think that the request made by the Spaniel
Club that we shall have variety and solid color classes for winners be allowed.

Mr. Mortimer: In this matter of having a winners’ class for Cocker Spaniels
other than black I have heretofore voted against it, I have since reconsidered the
matter, and I have come to the conclusion that it is just as reasonable for the
America Spaniel Club to ask for a winners’ class for Cocker Spaniels, other than
black, as it is to make a distinction between wire haired fox terriers and smooth
haired fox terriers, or between rough and smooth coated St. Bernards. The difficulty
in breeding a parti-colored, or any other color than black, seems to be almost as
great as anything else, and we all know that the blacks have always had the
advantage. They are the older variety, and it is very difficult to breed to color, and I
think that the request of the American Spaniel Club should be granted. I see in
England they have a heavy weight pointer championship and a light weight pointer
championship; also a heavy weight bull-dog and a light weight bull-dog
championship. They have various other champions for practically the same breed,
but a different strain. For instance you take the smooth coated collies and the rough
coated collies, and they are exactly the same except as to coat, and there is no
reason why there should not be a winners’ class for Cocker Spaniels other than
black.

Dr. Foote: I see no reason why they should not have their division as well as
the rest of us in different breeds. All those things are purely imaginary, that ought not
to exist, but so long as they have all those divisions you might as well go ahead with
them.

Mr. Mortimer: It seems to me that this would add to the interest in dogs. I
don’t know that there can be any objection to it, except it might entail a little more
expense on the American Kennel Club. I move that there be a winners’ class for Cocker Spaniels other than black, to take effect after January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1900.

Motion seconded and carried.

Dr. Foote: I move that the matter of bench show committee offering money to exhibitors to induce them to show their dogs, which has come to our attention, be referred to the Committee on Rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

Dr. Foote: I move that a dog acquiring the title of champion shall be entered with that title on the entry, and that is shall so appear in the catalogue. It is really part of the dogs name after it becomes a champion. I find that in making entries and putting your title before your dog, the dog does not appear with that title in the catalogue.

On motion the matter was referred to the Committee on Rules.

Dr. Motchenbacher: I moved that there be two winners’ classes for Dachshunde, one for black and tans and another for any other color.

Motion seconded and carried.

Dr. Foote: I move that all this matter of division of winners’ classes in breeds be referred to a Committee of three to be appointed by the Chair.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Watson: I move that separate winners’ classes be given for black, and tan collies, and for collies of any other color.

On motion in was declared that the rules amended at this meeting shall go into effect on January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1900.

The meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY,
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 21ST, 1899.

VICE-PRESIDENT EDWARD BROOKS PRESIDING.

Present:
Associate Member     H.K. Bloodgood
American Dachshund Club    Dr. C. Motschenbacher
American Pet Dog Club     S.C. Hodge
American Spaniel Club     Marcel A. Viti
Boston Terrier Club      C. F. Clarkson
Collie Club of America     James Watson
Columbus Fanciers’ Club     J.M. Taylor
Dog Owners Protective Association  
Of Cincinnati     Charles W. Rodman, Jr.
Duquesne Kennel Club     G.M. Carnochan
Irish Terrier Club of America   S. Van Schaick
Metropolitan Kennel Club     Dr. H.T. Foote
Milwaukee Kennel Club     James Mortimer
New England Kennel Club     Edward Brooks
Pacific Mastiff Club      S.C. Mastick
Pointer Club of America     George Jarvis
San Francisco Kennel Club     C.B. Knocker

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, December 21st, 1899

To The American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that I have presented to the Stud Book Committee eight applications for kennel names and one request for change of ownership, all of which will be reported upon by said Committee. I have also handed to the Membership
Committee credentials present by three clubs, which will also be reposted upon at this meeting. A report from the Pacific Advisory Committee has been received, and will be duly submitted. I have to report the reception of resignation from the Wilmington Kennel Club. This club is in good standing, and I recommend the acceptance of said resignation.

I have receive notice from the American Pet Dog Club that for good and sufficient reasons it has suspended William Routley, of Providence, R.I. I promptly notified said Routley of his suspension and gave him the opportunity to appeal. I now direct your attention to Rule XIV Governing Club to the effect that the Executive Board must at its first meeting either remove the suspension or impose a penalty of disqualification for such a period as it may decide upon.

I have an appeal from James Gerard, against the cancellation of all wins to the Toy Black & Tan bitch “Fannie”, No. 51614, extending over a period of one year at eight different shows. The present owner recently discovered that said bitch was stolen one, and that in entering her at different shows her date of birth was wrongly given, and is now found to be between one and two years older then was given. Rule IV says, “The date of birth must be given in an entry, if known.” And Rule VI says, “If a dog is entered without being identified as directed in Rule IV, it shall be disqualified and forfeit any prizes awarded to it”. The Rule is very plain, and I have no discretion and hence the appeal.

I beg to submit a request from Mr. Carnochan for the privilege of two medals for the same dog, one of which has been won, and the other to be obtained by purchase. The dog has been sold, and the previous and present owners both desire to have medals. I informed Mr. Carnochan that I had no authority to issue two medals to any one dog, and the request is therefore made for your decision, which will establish a precedent.

I beg to request a ruling regarding entries to be made in the limit class as it will take effect on January 1, 1900. The present rule forces all dogs out of said limit class after having won three firsts at any recognized show, excepting wins in the puppy and novice classes. The rule now coming into force permits a dog to be shown in the limit class until it has won three firsts in that class, regardless of any other wins, except a championship. The ruling desired is, can a dog after January 1,
1900, continue in the limit class until it wins itself out, notwithstanding the fact that it
may have won its way out before the new rule takes effect.

Gentlemen, it devolves upon me to officially inform you of the loss of one of
our most valued members by death; a member beloved and respected by all; and
enthusiastic, conscientious, hard working, loyal delegate and committeeman of
many years; a man whose place cannot easily be filled. I refer to our friend Hermann
F. Schellhass. I would recommend that the vacancies in the Executive Board,
Chairmanship of the Field Trial Committee, and member of the Stud Book & Rules
Committees, occasioned by his death, be not filled until the annual meeting, as a
mark of respect to his memory, and that suitable resolutions be adopted and spread
upon the minutes of this association.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Vredenburgh then read the report of the Membership Committee, as
follows:

New York, December 20, 1899

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day for the
purpose of examining and reporting upon applications for admission to membership,
and upon credentials of delegates filed with the secretary, your Committee would
respectfully report:

That there have been no applications for admission filed since the last
meeting.

That the credentials of Mr. James Barrett, Augusta, Ga., filed by the Georgia
State Agricultural Society; Mr. D. F. Summers, Dowington, Pa., filed by the Central
Beagle Club; and Mr. Charles W. Rodman, Jr., New York City, filed by the Dog
Owners Protective Association of Cincinnati, are found to be in accordance with the
constitution, and they are hereby duly approved and their acceptance
recommended.
Signed,

H.T. Foote,
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and the delegates named therein to represent the clubs mentioned were duly elected.

Mr. Vredenburgh read the Treasurer’s Report, as follows:

New York, December 20, 1899

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1899.

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline
Balance on hand January 1, 1899 & $5,411.73 \\
\hline
Receipts from January, 1899 to date & 10,413.25 \\
\hline
Total & 15,824.98 \\
\hline
Disbursements since January 1, 1899 & 9,552.27 \\
\hline
Balance on hand & 6,272.71 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The Executive Committee report was published in the Gazette.

The Chairman: Do you wish the reading of the Executive Committee’s Report? If not, we will dispense with it?

The Report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was then read as follows:

San Francisco, October 3, 1899

A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,

Secy. Am. Kennel Club

New York

Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that this Committee met on the 2\textsuperscript{nd} inst for the consideration of the McCarthy protest and other business. The rules were
suspended and the protest first taken up. The rules were suspended and the protest first taken up. It appearing from a supplement to the protest originally filed with the S.F.K.C. that Mr. McCarthy alleged, as new evidence, that Sam’s Bow Obtained the special by reason of his having been removed from the bench exercised during forbidden hours, in contravention of the additional rules of the S.F.K.C., as published in their catalogue; it was ordered that the protest be remanded to the S.F.K.C. to determine the new allegation and such evident as may be brought to support it. Mr. McCarthy was present at the meeting, and was directed to advise the S.F.K.C. when he had obtained his evidence.

The Committee then went into the executive session. The Secretary was instructed to write to Merton C. Allen with a view to obtaining an explanation of the latter’s absence from the meetings.


Yours very truly,

J.P. Norman
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:

New York, December 20, 1899

The following applications for kennel names have been filed, and the granting of the same is hereby recommended.

Abbotsford, to Edward A. Burdett, Radnor, Pa.
Bancroft, to Charles E. Detweiler, Toledo, Ohio
Belvidere, to Edwin A. Hardin, Atlanta, Ga
Donnybrook, to R.D. Burnham, Champaign, Ill
Echo, to W.B. Hearn, Pine Bluff, Ark.
Indian Hill, to E.O. Hurd, Plainville, Ohio
Marlborough, to Alfred G. Clark, Cleveland, Ohio
Powhatan, to H.G. Hemebright, San Francisco, Cal.

The application of Mr. Thomas J. Woodward, Jr., to vest the Bellefield Kennels in the firm name of Woodward & Born, is approved.

C.B. Knocker
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

On motion the resignation of the Wilmington Kennel Club was accepted.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a communication from Mr. G.M. Carnochan requesting the privilege of two medals for the same dog. His application to me I refused on the ground that I had no right to issue two champion medals to the same dog. On the strength of that refusal he has sent this request to the club. Whatever you do now will be regarded as a precedent for future action.

The Chairman: I think it would be a very bad precedent to establish, allowing a dog to have two prizes for the same win.

Capt. Knocker: Would it be possible to have one and let him pay for it and have it marked duplicate?

The Chairman: I think that is a matter of arrangement between the buyer and the seller. If he chooses to make any such request as that of Mr. Carnochan, that is a matter of arrangement between themselves. That is like having a horse win a plate, then some one buys the horse and wants the plate to go with him. In this case the dog is credited with a win, but as to the trophy, they can settle that between themselves. I think we had better refuse Mr. Carnochan’s request, as it might lead to complications in the future. What is your pleasure in regard to this request?

Mr. Rodman: I move it be denied.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read a communication from Mr. S.C. Hodge, Superintendent of the Rhode Island Kennel Club Show, advising the American Kennel Club of the suspension of Mr. William Routley, and also the correspondence in reference to the matter, and said: I would state that I at once wrote Mr. Routley giving him the opportunity to appeal, and telling him what the result of suspension was, and I received his appeal in this morning’s mail. I have not read it yet, but it is a long letter. This letter was shown to Mr. Codman who was judging the class. Mr. Codman refused to judge the class, and finally Routley’s dogs were withdrawn, and then Mr. Codman judged the classes. While it is not here in this letter, yet Mrs. Gerard came direct to this office and told me that when this man Routley passed her she stopped her and told her that he would get event with her if he lived long enough, and The
American Pet Dog club considered that his threat was of such a character that when ever Mrs. Gerard left her cages they stationed a man there to watch them.

The Chairman: You say he has been suspended by the American Pet Dog Club?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes, and thereby suspended by the American Kennel Club. This appeal has got to be heard at the very first meeting of the Executive Board, and the suspension either removed or change to disqualification.

Mr. Rodman: I move it be referred to the Executive Board.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Mrs. Gerard purchased a toy black and tan terrier, “Fannie”, registered her and sent her around to the different shows, eight in all, Dallas, Texas, Toledo, Milwaukee, Chicago, St. Louis, Cincinnati, second Dallas, Texas and the New York Pet Dog Show, winning all of them. The bitch was in charge of T.A. Howard, and he received the winnings for his taking charge of her. At the Pet Dog Show the dog was claimed by a lady living in New York as her property; the dog has been stolen, or had strayed from her one or two years ago. She recognized the dog, the dog apparently recognized her, and she substantiated her claim to the satisfaction of Mrs. Gerard, the owner. Mrs. Gerard had purchased the dog from Mr. Senn. Mr. Senn was brought up, and he was convinced that the dog belonged to this claimant, and they came to a mutually satisfactory agreement, the three, Mr. Senn paying over some money, Mrs. Gerard turning the dog over to this claimant, putting the dog in her charge, but still retaining the ownership of the dog for the kennels, which was agreeable all around; but she discovered that the dog was between one and two years older than had been stated to her, and consequently the dog was shown at these different shows with a wrong date of birth. Mrs. Gerard came directly to this office and reported the circumstances to me, and I told her that I would have to cancel all the previous wins of the dog. I had no discretion; the rule says so. I told her that is was a hardship, that she was an innocent person, and instead of trying to hide it had come directly here and laid the case before us, and I would be very glad to submit any appeal she might make from my decision to this club. She has made the appeal with the letter that she originally got one year ago from Mr. Senn. It rests with you what should be done in the matter.
Maj. Taylor: Mrs. Gerard told me, in speaking of the matter, that Mr. Senn held her absolutely blameless in the matter, which, no doubt she has been. If the dog is disqualified she would have to refund all these wins, and she, being blameless, it seems to me it would be a great hardship on her. It looks as if she ought to have relief in some way.

Dr. Foote: It is also a matter of entry fees. She has paid all the entry fees.

Mr. Rodman: It is conceded, is it not, that if that dog was entered, date of birth and breeder unknown, or date of birth unknown, the win would have stood?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes.

The Chairman: But it was not so entered.

Mr. Rodman: Is she responsible for that?

The Chairman: I should think she was.

Mr. Rodman: You cannot expect impossibilities of her. Suppose a man had misinformed her, isn’t he the guilty party and not she. It is conceded it is not a requisite. If she had not given the date of birth the win would have still stood. She gave as much information as she possessed, and to the best of her ability she not that information. She could have said date unknown. She gave whatever information she had received, and she had a right to rely upon that man’s statement to her. Is it a material error?

The Chairman: It is just as much a material error as giving a false sire and dam.

Mr. Rodman: If done unintentionally?

The Chairman: According to your line of argument an entry form is unnecessary.

Mr. Rodman: No, my argument is that the Kennel Club does not require those things as absolutely essential. You concede that the date of birth, breeder and pedigree might have been put unknown, and yet the win would have been good. I say so far as three-fourths of those were correct, one-fourth being absent, or being erroneous, yet that erroneous portion being furnished to her, the only information which she had, the only evidence she had as to that dog’s age, which she put down as it was given to her, under those circumstances is she guilty of an omission which is vital?
The Chairman: The purpose is to identify the particular dog. If this dog never had any other date of birth, or any other pedigree, or any other breeder, that dog is identified. If we punish her for this, we punish the innocent party, and the person who gave her that information, is not punished at all. If she had given this dog's date of birth unknown we could have punished her because she had the date of birth given her, and she was entitled to use that date of birth until it was proven to have been wrong, and then we would have instructed her to give the proper date of birth. It is one of those cases cropping up every now and then where we would be very apt to do something which would be a hardship. Mr. Vredenburgh knows cases of several dogs that have been disqualified for some such reason as this, and the person who misinforms the innocent party is not punished at all.

Mr. Rodman: Isn't the sole object of the rule the identification of the dog? In so far as Mrs. Gerard could honestly identify that dog she has done so, and if there is any error in the identification of that dog she cannot be held responsible because she gave what was given to her. The party who sold the dog to Mr. Senn is the guilty one, and he should be punished.

The Chairman: It does not appear where Mr. Senn got his information.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Mr. Senn got his information from the man from whom he bought the dog. He said when he sold the dog to Mrs. Gerard that he did not have the dog's date of birth or breeder, but he would endeavor to get those particulars from the man from whom he obtained the dog. After a time he saw this man, and this man gave him the pedigree, breeder and date of birth. Mr. Senn then sent that to Mrs. Gerard, and Mrs. Gerard registered the dog on that information and showed the dog accordingly.

Capt. Knocker: Is the pedigree correct?

Mr. Vredenburgh: That we do not know.

Capt. Knocker: It seems to me it would be an act of injustice towards Mrs. Gerard to cancel her wins. The only party that should be made to suffer is the man who sold the dog to Mr. Senn. I think it is an injustice because it does not really affect the win, the dog having been judged on its merits. It seems to me that the rule was made to prevent willful misstatement in regard to a dog, and in this case there was no willful misstatement. It was an error that might happen to any one of us, and it seems to me that the wins ought to stand.
Mr. Vredenburgh: I would like to state that there is no question of punishing Mrs. Gerard for giving a false date of birth. The only question is whether the record, the dog having won, shall stand, or whether the rules, which are mandatory, shall be enforced. So far as I am concerned I have no discretion whatever with any rule. I carry out these rules as they are printed. If the Kennel Club can find any way by which it can help Mrs. Gerard and direct me not to cancel the wins, that is the question at issue.

Mr. Rodman: It seems to me that in view of the fact that those rules allow us to state that the date of birth is unknown, that the pedigree is unknown, that the breeder is unknown, that there is a leeway, and my contention is that there has been a substantial compliance with the rules of the American Kennel Club. I think, if we find cause where circumstances arise which are similar to these, in justness to all it is a good precedent. I think that here is a case that calls upon us as men to right a wrong. We have the facts before us, and I think in this case justice should be done.

Maj. Taylor: I move that he wins of that dog be allowed.
Capt. Knocker: Are those wins to go in the stud book if this motion prevails?
The Chairman: I understand that is the intention.
Mr. Viti: Well, I do not know where it will end.
Maj. Taylor: I believe under all the circumstances all those wins should be allowed.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I do not see how this club can possibly permit those wins to remain on the record. The only way that I can see is for the American Kennel Club, in its wisdome, to evolve some plan by which she may be exempted or relieved from returning the money that she won on account of this error, and also that the dogs winning second to this dog should not be moved up. If it is possible to do that, that is the only way I can see out of it. I don not see how it is possible for you to make a record of these wins.

Dr. Foote: Do not the rules say that if the dog is disqualified the second dog should be moved up?
Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes
Capt. Knocker: I cannot see why it is necessary for a dog to be of a certain age or to have a certain pedigree to win. The Kennel Club has made that rule to disqualify if a wrong are or wrong pedigree is given, to prevent willful misinformation
being given to mislead the Kennel Club and the public. This dog was not judged on
his pedigree, and it seems to me that there ought to be a way out of this so as to
allow those wins to stand, for I fancy Mrs. Gerard cares more for her wins than for
the money. That ought not to affect the dog’s standing at all, his age or pedigree, or
anything like that; it ought to be allowed to stand when it was not done wilfully, and
that is what is meant by this rule.

The Chairman: It affects the dog and the public in this way: Here is a dog of a
certain pedigree as stated on the blank, and a certain age. That dog has won a
certain number of prizes. A breeder, looking for a certain dog, buys him, and
subsequently discovers that there is an error in that pedigree, and he does not then
want that dog.

Capt. Knocker: I think the pedigree and age ought to be cancelled, and the
reasons give. If it is possible to avoid it we ought not to cancel the wins, because
they had nothing to do with the pedigree or nothing to do with the age. It was simply
a misstatement made by mistake, by malinformation, and then we should get after
this man who gave this wrong information and punish him.

The Chairman: But we do not want to deceive the public.

Capt. Knocker: I do not see any deception. The dog has won on its merits as
a dog. It cuts no figure as to its pedigree or age, but it does cut a figure to have a
false age and false pedigree in the stud book. Cancel those, but at the same time I
think the wins ought to stand if possible.

Mr. Viti: Take the case of a puppy, where it is shown of a wrong age, and it
wins the first prize, then if you let these wins stand you must let that dog’s wins as a
puppy stand when he might be a dog two years old.

Capt. Knocker: That is a different case. In such a case the dog would be
affected by age. In every other class it is not. A puppy is shown as a puppy of a
certain age, and if he is shown over that age that fact itself cancels the win. In this
case these wins were all made, as far as I know, by a dog which was already aged;
that is, he was not a puppy. His age and pedigree cut no figure in it.

Mr. Rodman: It is a very difficult thing for us to know, when we buy a dog,
what the age of that pup is, or what that dog’s age is. We have got to rely, in all our
business transactions, upon the statement of the breeder. Suppose a man buys a
dog and shows that dog extensively, and that dog is registered in the stud book at
such and such an age, and it turns up six or seven years afterwards that that dog was not of such an age; isn't that working a very great hardship? Is it not better to say that there has been a substantial compliance with that rule? I understand that the pedigree of this dog is not in question. The simple point is the date of that dog's birth. The rule admits on its face that we can eliminate all three of them. It seems to me that in the future the best way to do is to say, date of birth unknown, then the Club could find no fault, because he could say, I don't known what the dog's age is. Then I think a substantial compliance with that rule will have been made.

The Chairman: We have pretty definite rules here which we have followed for a good many years, and I think it is much safer to stick by our rules even though it might work a hardship in one or two instances then to be constantly changing them. If I was the lady in question I would throw myself upon the mercy of the various clubs where she has won prizes and seek relief there, and not ask us to be constantly making changes in our rules in relation to dog shows.

Maj. Taylor: I believe I have a motion before the house, and that is that these wins be allowed, and I will add to that further that Mrs. Gerard be required to re-register the dog.

Motion seconded and carried.

Capt. Knocker: Is it too late to make an amendment? I think there ought to be an amendment, to add to that the reasons underlying this action.

Maj. Taylor: I am perfectly willing to accept that.

The Chairman: Do you wish to reconsider your vote and add an amendment?

Mr. Vredenburgh: I should think that a resolution now should be passed that the reason the Kennel Club voted in this case as it did is as follows: The above action was taken upon the ground that during the ownership of this dog by Mrs. Gerard up to and during the American Pet dog Show of 1899, she had given the date of birth as all Shows in which she had entered the dog according to the information furnished her by the person from whom she purchased the dog, and believed the same to be correct until the original owner informed her at said Pet Dog Show, or her error, and in view of that fact, that Mrs. Gerard immediately reported the above circumstances to the American Kennel Club, thereby showing that she had no desire whatever to misrepresent the age of the dog, the American Kennel Club decided as it did.
Capt. Knocker: I make a motion to that effect, that the Secretary give the reasons for passing the vote that was passed allowing the wins to stand.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I think we ought to go a little further in this case. If the date of birth was wrongly given there is no guaranty that the pedigree is correct. I think this case ought to be referred to the Stud Book Committee for it to investigate and report whether that registration should be cancelled or whether it should stand.

Mr. Rodman: I will make a motion to that effect as stated by Mr. Vredenburgh.

Mr. Viti: I understand that our Committee is not to consider the date of birth?

The Chairman: Yes, everything; this whole question in regard to this dog's standing, date of birth, pedigree &c. shall be referred to the Stud Book Committee for a thorough investigation of the standing of the dog.

Maj. Taylor: I move that, and let her register the dog according to the information given her then.

Mr. Mortimer: Do I understand that this dog is already registered?

The Chairman: Yes

Mr. Mortimer: I moved that that registration be cancelled.

Mr. Viti: If we decide that this pedigree is erroneous, and you have already decided the wins should stand, the dog is to be registered on the wins notwithstanding there is a fraudulent pedigree.

The Chairman: It is moved and seconded that the matter of the entry of the toy black and tan terrier "Fannie" No. 61614, be referred to the Stud Book Committee for investigation.

Carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a communication from the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee relative to the death of Mr. Schellhass, and in connection with that I would like for you to consider the recommendation that I make in my report. There will be suitable resolutions presented here to-day, but I would like to have considered at the same time the advisability of not filling the vacancies occasioned by Mr. Schellhass' death until the coming annual meeting which will be held in February.

Capt. Knocker: The Secretary has already drawn official notice to the death of our late friend and fellow delegate, Mr. Hermann F. Schellhass. Although not the
Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, Mr. Viti, the Chairman, had kindly insisted as
an older friend and fellow committeeman that I should prepare and offer the
following resolution which I ask may be engrossed and placed on the minutes as a
small farewell token of regard and respect.

Whereas, it has pleased Almighty God to remove from this world Mr.
Hermann F. Schellhass; and, whereas, we can all testify to the nobleness and
upright of his character, be it.

Resolved, that this Club has learned with deepest regret of their heavy loss
through the death of Mr. Schellhass, one of its oldest members and one who has
always taken an active interest in its welfare, and being desirous of expressing its
sense of the sad loss, be it.

Resolved, that Mr. Schellhass’ memory is endeared to the members of this
Club, and especially to the members of Committees with whom he was brought in
constant contact; that he was always a most courteous, obliging and energetic
gentleman, and one whose equal is hard to find, and to find his superior is
impossible.

Resolved, that this resolution be placed on the minutes and a copy, with
proper condolences, be sent to this widow.

The Chairman: you have heard Capt. Knocker’s resolution in regard to the
death of Mr. Schellhass. What is your pleasure? If there is no objection it will be
accepted and spread upon the minutes.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have only one thing more to bring up at this meeting. We
have experienced considerable difficulty in years past in getting together a proper
representation of delegates of this Club at our annual meeting. We have held that
meeting for many years at and during the Westminster Kennel Club show. I find that
the delegates are very busy at that time, and the few that we can get together are in
such a hurry and so anxious to get away that we very often do things that if we had
more time we would not think of doing. I instance a rule that was passed at the last
meeting that was entirely unconstitutional, and still remains on our books. I have this
suggestion to make: That I be directed to call the next meeting the afternoon of the
Monday preceding the opening of the New York Show at this office. All of the
delegates who are coming from a distance to attend this Show will certainly be here
on Monday, and if they are going to make their arrangements to come Monday evening, they might just as well make their arrangements early in the day. There is nothing to call them to the Garden until Monday night, and I think we can hold a fuller meeting and a very much better meeting down here on the Monday preceding the Show then we could during the Show at the Garden.

Dr. Foote: I move that the next annual meeting be held on the Monday afternoon preceding the Westminster Kennel Club Show, at this office. Seconded and carried.

Dr. Foote: I believe at the last meeting I said I would offer a resolution at this meeting that in putting in the entries or in the catalogue, the title of champion shall be given as the entry is made out.

Mr. Vredenburgh: That should be made in writing to the Rules Committee.

Mr. Mortimer: I do not think any secretary or superintendent of a show would object to putting in the title of champion wherever it is given by the exhibitor. I think that if you make the request as you have done about your order of classification --- you have made the request or you have stated in the Gazette that in order to meet with the approval of the secretary, the following classification must be followed out. I take it that it is not absolutely necessary, still I think if the same request was made, all secretaries or superintendents of Dog Shows would be very willing to put in the title champion wherever it is put in the entry form by the owner or exhibitor.

Dr. Foote: I will make the motion then that the American Kennel Club request clubs holding shows to give dogs the prefix of champion when so stated on the entry. I put it as a request.

Mr. Mortimer: I do not think any secretary or superintendent of a show would object to putting in the title of champion wherever it is given by the exhibitor. I think that if you make the request as you have done about your order of classification --- you have made the request or you have stated in the Gazette that in order to meet with the approval of the secretary, the following classification must be followed out. I take it that it is not absolutely necessary, still I think that every secretary will be inclined to follow out your order of classification as nearly as practical, and I think if the same request was made, all secretaries or superintendents of Dog Shows would be very willing to put in the title champion wherever it is put in the entry form by the owner or exhibitor.
Dr. Foote: I will make the motion then that the American Kennel Club request clubs holding shows to give dogs the prefix of champion when so stated on the entry. I put it as a request.

Mr. Mortimer: I think as a request it will be generally followed:

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Mortimer: Our secretary has just admitted that rules are often passed hurriedly at the annual meeting when the delegates want to get away. There was a resolution passed at the last annual meeting whereby Bench Show Committees are compelled to bench all dogs of a breed together when requested to do so by the exhibitor; and that rule still stands although it was discovered that the rule was unconstitutionally and illegally passed. I am now preparing our premium lists, and I simply ask for information whether the Show Committee would be allowed to add to the end of that rule a statement of the fact that this rule is illegal and therefore void. What is the use of printing the rule in every one of our prize lists when the rule is null and void? Exhibitors read this rule and they think we are compelled to bench their dogs together. We are not compelled to do so yet.

The Chairman: I do not think you have any right to do that; I think you would exceed your authority.

Mr. Mortimer: Then what is the use of the rule? It is illegal and unconstitutional and void. That being so why should it be published in the rules?

Reference to the minutes of the last meeting, held in September, showing that by the action of the Club the rule referred to had been rescinded, Mr. Hodge moved as follows: Inasmuch as that is not a rule, the American Kennel Club be not required to print it.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Mortimer: There is another matter that I would like to bring before this meeting, and that is the matter of listing dogs for the Rhode Island Kennel Club Show. The entries for the Show close on 27th of December, and no entries can be accepted unless the dogs are either listed or registered. Dogs then listed would be listed for only four days, the last four days in December, and I move that all dogs listed for the Providence Show to be held January 11th, hold good for the year 1900. I think it is a very great hardship to have to list a dog for four days and then have to relist him again on the 1st. of January.
Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There is a matter which I have referred to in my report concerning which I would like to have a ruling. Under the present rules a dog winning in the limit, open, winners and possibly one more in the open has won its way out of the limit class. January 1st and thereafter, a dog can remain in the limit class provided he has not won his championship until it has won four wins in the limit class regardless of what other wins it may win in other classes. The question has arisen, if a dog has won its way out of the limit class to-day, when the new rules go into effect, can that dog go back in the limit class and stay there until it has won its way out. According to the new rules a dog that wins his championship under existing rules, no matter what other conditions may come up, you cannot take that dog's championship away from him, but the question is, can’t you permit a dog to win more under the new rules? You are taking nothing away from him; you are giving him something. There is a diversity of opinion on this subject, and this rules must be interpreted so that I will know what to do.

Mr. Mortimer: As I understood the rule when it was passed it simply applied to dogs that had not become champions. As long as a dog has not become a champion he can go back in the limit class until he has won four wins in that class. I do not think there is any doubt about the spirit of the resolution, or of the rule as it was passed, and you are not going to prevent a dog from going back into the limit class if he has not become a champion. If he has won three wins in the limit class, and has not become a champion, he can still go back in the limit class until he has go that fourth win. That was our understanding of the rule when it was passed.

Mr. Viti: That is the way I understood it.

Mr. Vredenburgh: If there is not ruling on the subject, and it comes before me to decide, I should decide that a dog having won its way out of the class, cannot go back again. I want to get the sense of this meeting what you want done.

After some further discussion Mr. Carnochan moved that the secretary be instructed to interpret the rule with regard to wins in the limit class as follows: That any dog having won in the open and winners classes be still eligible to complete the required number of wins in the limit class, provided he is not a champion.
On motion of Dr. Motschenbacher, the minutes of the last meeting were corrected in respect of winners classes for dachshund as follows: A winners class for reds and a winners class for any other color.

The meeting then adjourned.
THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB WAS HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 19TH, 1900.

---

In the absence of the President, Vice-President, and President of the Associate Members, Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh was selected Chairman.

Present:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associate Members</th>
<th>A.C. Wilmerding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H.K. Bloodgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henry Jarrett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Terrier Club</td>
<td>C.F. Clarkson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull-dog Club of America</td>
<td>Tyler Morse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collie Club of America</td>
<td>James Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus Fanciers’ Club</td>
<td>J.M. Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Owners Protective</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association of Cincinnati</td>
<td>Charles W. Rodman, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duquesne Kennel Club of</td>
<td>G.M. Carnochan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Dane Club of America</td>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Terrier Club</td>
<td>S. Van Schaick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Kennel Club</td>
<td>H.T. Foote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Dog Show</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td>Louis A. Biddle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointer Club of America</td>
<td>George Jarvis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island Kennel Club</td>
<td>W.C. Codman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Kennel Club</td>
<td>C.B. Knocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Bull-dog Club</td>
<td>F.J. Bristol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunswick Fur Club</td>
<td>L.W. Campbell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Spaniel Club</td>
<td>Marcel A. Viti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Pet Dog Club</td>
<td>S.C. Hodge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Secretary read the following certificate:

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, James Watson, representing the President of the American Kennel Club, and Charles W. Rodman, Jr., representing the president of the Associate Members of the American Kennel Club, met this date at the office of the American Kennel Club for the purpose of opening and canvassing the vote received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for the officers and delegates of the Associate members of said American Kennel Club, to hold office for the term of one year from February 19th, 1900, or until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of Associates entitled to vote to be 190. Total number of votes case, 97; total number of votes scattering, 17; total number of irregular votes, and thrown out, 4.

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members for the year ending in February, 1901, to wit:
President, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.; Vice-President, Frederick S. Stedman; Secretary, John Lorillard Arden; Delegate (1) A. Clinton Wilmerding; delegate (2) H.K. Bloodgood; Delegate (3) Henry Jarrett.

(Signed) James Watson,
Representing the President A.K.C.

C.W. Rodman, Jr.,
Representing the President Associates A.K.C.

Attest:

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary A.K.C.

The Report of the Membership Committee was read, as follows:

New York, Feb. 19th 1900.

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting upon applications for admission to membership, and upon credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your Committee would respectfully report:

An application from the American Pomeranian Club has been received, and the same being filed in accordance
with the Constitution, it is recommended that said Club be duly admitted to active membership.

That the credentials of Mr. F.J. Bristol, filed by the French Bull-dog Club; Mr. L.C. Campbell, filed by the Brunswick Fur Club, are found to be in regular form, and their acceptance is hereby recommended.

Credentials from the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts, appointing Mr. Henry Jarrett, were not filed in proper form. Mr. Jarrett, having been elected as a delegate by the Associate members, and having accepted said office, notice to that effect was duly mailed to the Ladies Kennel Associate.

Credentials from the Vermont Kennel Club, appointing Mr. George F. Chaffee, not having been filed within the time specified in the Constitution, sail credentials are laid over until the next meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

C.B. Knocker,
Singleton Van Schaick,

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The delegates then proceeded to ballot and elec-
ted the American Pomeranian Club as a member of the American Kennel Club; and
Messrs. F.J. Bristol, to represent the French Bull-dog Club, and L.W. Campbell to
represent the Brunswick Fur Club.

The Secretary then read his quarterly report, as follows:

New York, February 17, 1900

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that all matters under the jurisdiction of the Stud Book
and membership committees have been turned over by me to said Committees, and
their reports will be presented at this meeting.

I submit resignations from the Joliet Kennel Club and the Pacific
Mastiff Club, and would respectfully recommend their acceptance.

Notice from the Philadelphia Dog Show Association was duly filed with
this office of the suspension of Charles Busch, 23 Union Square, New York. I
promptly notified Busch of said suspension and advised him of his right of appeal to
this Club. Pending action, if appeal is lodged, said Busch is now under suspension
by the A.K.C.
William Stuber and Robert Irvine lodged complaints with this Club against the American Pet Dog Club for non-payment of prizes awarded to them at the late show of said Club in November last. In connection with said charges, I beg to submit a request from the American Pet Dog Club for an extension of 60 days within which a promise is made to liquidate all outstanding prize money. I would inform you that in many cases the Club has voluntarily given an additional 30 days time in similar cases.

I have a communication from the San Francisco Kennel Club in reference to registration, and will submit same for your consideration.

The volume of work at this office during 1899 was so great that I found myself unable to cope with it, and am now over 60 days behind with the work of last year. The business of this office since January 1st last has been even greater than at any period of the last year, and with out present force I find it impossible to keep our current work even, let alone finishing the unfinished work of 1899. Heretofore I have been able to turn over to out printers all copy for the annual Stud Book about January 20th, but have been unable to do so this year, and at this writing
We have about 700 uncompiled pedigrees for last year’s book. Much as I dislike to ask for additional help, I am forced to do so, and would request permission to add to our present clerical force until such time as we can bring out unfinished affairs up to date, which can probably be accomplished by May 1st next.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary’s Report be taken up under the heading of New Business.

Carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I have a financial statement for the year 1899. It was published in the January Gazette. Do you wish it read?

MR. WATSON: I move it be accepted as published in the Gazette.

Seconded and carried.

The Treasurer’s Report was read, as follows:
New York, February 17th, 1900

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report of all moneys received and disbursed by me since January 1, 1900.

Balance on hand, January 1, 1900 $6,824.33
Receipts from January 1, 1900 to date 1,967.86
TOTAL $8,792.19
Disbursements since January 1, 1900 828.53
Balance on hand $7,963.53

I beg to report the following Clubs as being in arrears which were due and payable January 1, 1900:


Bills were duly sent to above Clubs on November 30, 1899, and second bills on January 23rd, 1900, to which no replies have been received.

The Fanciers Club of Sharon, Pa. held a show under our rules in January last, and the dues being unpaid, places
That Show in a position that you will have to determine. I still hold its deposit of $25 and deferred returning same, having no authority to deduct the dues therefrom.

The Clubs now in arrears cannot be represented at this meeting, and I respectfully request your action regarding the continuance of their names on our list of members.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer.

It was moved and seconded that the Treasurer’s Report be laid upon the table and taken up under the head of New Business.

Carried.

The Report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:
The Stud Book Committee beg to report as follows:
Since the last quarterly meeting applications have been made for the registration of the following Kennel names and prefixes:

“Dartmouth” by R.F. Monahan, Dayton, Ohio
“Lakewood Pomeranians” by Mrs. C. Hartley, Williamson, 44 West 84th Street, New York City.

“Montmarte” by S.L. de Fabry, Red Bank, N.J.

“Pembroke” by Mrs. W.W. Green and Garrett Lyndecker, Englewood, N.J.


“Cloverly” by Charles Sinichson and Richard G. Park, Westchester.

“Auburn” by Stanley H. Kidd

“Hollywood” by Dr. J.P. Randall

“Springfield” by Louis A. Biddle, Chestnut Hill

As the above applications do not conflict with any names already registered, the Committee recommend that the applications be granted and the names registered.

Applications have been filed asking for a transfer in ownership of registered kennel names as follows:

“Hudson” now vested in the name of C.H. Mantler, to be transferred to the firm of Mantler & Perpende.

The Committee recommend that the above applications for transfers be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Marcell A. Viti
Chairman

C.B. Knocker
Stud Book Committee

New York, February 1900

It was moved and seconded that said report be accepted and the recommendations there in contained adopted.
Carried.
The Report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was read, as follows:

San Francisco, January 23rd, 1900

A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,
Secty Am. Kennel Club
New York

My dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that a meeting of this Committee was held on 22nd inst., J.E. de Ruyter presiding. Present, J.E. de Ruyter, Merton C. Allen, J.P. Norman. The McCarty protest was called up, the same having been referred back to this Committee by Secretary of San Fran-
Cisco Kennel Club, Mr. McCarty having failed to produce evidence in support of his allegation that the handler of the respondent. Dog won the special by reason of an infraction of the rules of the Bench Show Committee. It was therefore moved and seconded that it be resolved that the appellant has failed to show any reason for reversing the decision of the judge in the case of the special awarded to the heavyweight pointer dog Sam’s Bow at the San Francisco Bench Show of 1899, and that the said decision should be and hereby is confirmed and the deposit of $10 made with this Committee on appeal by Mr. McCarty is hereby forfeited to the American Kennel Club.

Yours very truly,

J.P. Norman
Secy.

San Francisco, January 24th 1900

A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,
Secy. American Kennel Club,
New York.

My dear Sir:

Supplemental to my letter of 23rd inst., containing report of the decision of this Committee with refer-
ence to the McCarty appeal, I beg to communicate the following resolution passed at the same meeting on 22

Whereas, it has been brought to the attention of this Committee that the organization known as the Pacific Kennel League issues in the prospectus of shows held under its rules the following announcement: “A circuit of 10 P.K.L. shows is promised for the coming season. If you have a good dog you can make a champion of him in one season. On the other hand one show is the grand total of shows to be held under A.K.C. rules on this coast during the coming season, and its wins will not be recognized right here at home where one wants them most. It is little satisfaction to tell a man that his dog’s win made at San Francisco will be recognized at New York. What the exhibitor wants is to have it recognized by the official kennel organization of the Pacific coast. That can only be done by showing your dog under Pacific Kennel League rules.” And,

Whereas, this Committee desires to place on record with the Honorable parent body its sentiments as hereinafter expressed, and

Whereas, the foregoing paragraphs printed in the
Premium lists of the P.K.L. are on a par with the usual behaviour of that organization, and are unworthy of notice by any dignified body, therefore be it

Resolved, that the Secretary be instructed to communicate to the American Kennel Club that it is the sense of this Committee that the above announcements of the P.K.L. and its authors be entirely ignored.

Respectfully submitted,

Pacific Coast Special Committee,
American Kennel Club

J.P. Norman
Secretary.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that the report be accepted and placed on file.

Seconded and carried.

The delegates having decided to take up the election of officers at this point, Mr. Viti nominated Mr. August Belmont as President of the Club.

DR. FOOTE: I move the nominations be closed.

Seconded and carried.

MR. RODMAN: I move the Secretary be instructed to cast one vote for Mr. Belmont as President.

The Secretary announced that he had cast the vote
and the Chair announced the election of Mr. August Belmont as President of the Club for the ensuing year.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that Mr. Edward Brooks be continued as Vice-President for the ensuing year.

On motion the nominations were closed.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast one vote for Mr. Brooks as Vice-President

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary cast the vote as directed, and the Chairman announced the election of Mr. Edward Brooks as Vice-President.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is the Stud Book Committee. I would like to state for the information of the members that in voting for the Chairman of each one of the Committees, they are likewise voting for a member of the Executive Board of the Club. They become members of the Executive Board of the Club, which meets at intervals between regular meetings.

MR. RODMAN: I nominate Mr. Viti as Chairman of the Stud Book Committee.

On motion nominations were closed.

MR. RODMAN: I move that the Secretary be directed
To cast one ballot for Mr. Viti.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary cast the ballot as directed, and the Chairman announced the election of Mr. Viti as Chairman of the Stud Book Committee for the ensuing year.

MR. VREDENBURGH: There are two members of this Committee to be elected in the place of Mr. Herman F. Schellhass and C.B. Knocker. There is a vacancy on that Committee owing to the death of Mr. Schellhass, which has never been filled.

MR. RODMAN: I nominate Capt. Knocker as one of the members of that Committee.

On motion, nominations were closed.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary be directed to cast one ballot for C.B. Knocker as a member of the Committee.

Carried.

The Secretary cast the ballot as directed, and the Chair announced the election of Capt. Knocker.

Mr. C.W. Rodman was nominated as the other member of the Stud Book Committee.

On motion, the Secretary was directed to cast one
Ballot for Mr. Rodman.

The Secretary cast the ballot as directed, and the Chair announced the election of Mr. Rodman.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Next is the field trials and coursing meetings Committee. The outgoing members are Mr. Schellhass, Chairman, Mr. Joslin, and Mr. Van Dorn.

Under the Constitution the Chairman only is to be elected. The other members of the Committee become members by virtue of their credentials of the Field Trial Club. I would like to state for your information that Mr. Appleton, one of the Vice-Presidents of the National Beagle Club, has been approved as Mr. Schellhass’ successor as a delegate to represent that Club, and it is within your province to postpone the election of the Chairman of this Committee until such time as he can become a candidate.

MR. RODMAN: I move that the nomination be held until Mr. Appleton qualifies. Carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is the Committee on Constitution and Rules. The outgoing members are Messrs H.K. Bloodgood, Chairman, Mr. James Watson, Mr. H.F. Schellhass, Mr. D.W. H. Ritchie, and Mr. Vredenburgh, who continues on the Committee by virtue of his office as Secretary.
Of the American Kennel Club.

MR. RODMAN: I nominate Mr. Bloodgood to succeed himself.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that nominations be closed.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary cast the vote as directed, and the Chair announced the election of Mr. Bloodgood as Chairman of the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

MR. WILMERDING: I nominate Mr. James Watson to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I nominate Mr. Rodman on that Committee.

Nominations seconded.

Mr. Henry Jarrett was also nominated as a member of the Committee.

Mr. J.M. Carnochan was also nominated.

Nominations seconded.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I would like to state that
all notices of amendments that are sent in here have got first to be acted upon by that Committee in session before they can be acted upon by the American Kennel Club, and it will be necessary for the members to be present at such meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I respectfully decline that nomination.

It was moved and seconded that nominations be closed.

Carried.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast one ballot for the three gentlemen mentioned.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary cast a ballot for Mr. James Watson, Mr. C.W. Rodman Jr., and MR. Henry Jarrett, as directed, and the Chair announced their election as members of said Committee.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is the Finance Committee. The retiring Chairman is Mr. A.C. Wilmerding.

Mr. Wilmerding was nominated for re-election as Chairman, and on motion of Mr. Carnochan the nominations were closed.
On motion, the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for Mr. Wilmerding.

The Secretary cast the ballot as directed, and the Chair announced the election of Mr. Wilmerding as Chairman of the Finance Committee.

MR. RODMAN: I nominate Mr. Carnochan and Mr. James B. Blossom to succeed themselves as members of the Finance Committee.

Nominations seconded.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast one ballot for Messrs. Blossom and Carnochan.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary cast the ballot as directed, and the Chair announced their election as members of the Finance Committee.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is the membership Committee.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I nominate Dr. H.T. Foote, as Chairman of that Committee.

On motion, nominations were closed and the Secretary directed to cast one ballot for Dr. H.T. Foote.

The Secretary cast the ballot as directed, and
The Chair announced his election.

Messrs. C.B. Knocker and Singleton Van Schaick were nominated as members of the Membership Committee by Mr. Rodman, and on motion nominations were closed.

MR. RODMAN: I move the Secretary be directed to cast one vote for the gentlemen named.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary cast the vote as directed, and the Chair announced their election.

On motion, the Secretary’s Report was taken up and the resignation of the Joliet Kennel Club read.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that the resignation be accepted.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I don’t think we should stretch the rules all the time. Those Clubs know the time of expiration. I make a motion to drop the Club. I offer that as an amendment.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I think it is very scant courtesy to drop them from the roll when they have written simply to say they have resigned as members. I think it would be more courteous to accept the resignation.

Amendment withdrawn.
The resignations of the Joliet Kennel Club and the Pacific Mastiff Club were accepted.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next matter to come before you is a communication from the Philadelphia Bench Show Club dated January 19th. Immediately upon the receipt of that, I sent notice to Mr. Busch of his suspension here with the American Kennel Club, and notified him of his right to appeal. Mr. Busch called here in company with the Secretary of the Great Dane Club and wanted to appeal. I asked him upon what grounds his appeal was desired, and the only ground that he had was just exactly what it was claimed he did, and that he would like to be re-instated. I told him there was no ground for appeal there; that he had to show that the Club was wrong. He said he could not show that the Club was wrong because the Club was right, and he finally left here with the promise that he would send to the judge whom he insulted and make an apology to him, and ask that judge to communicate with the Philadelphia Show asking them to remove his suspension. To-day I received this letter, Date February 17: “A.E. Vredenburgh, Secretary. Dear Sir: I beg to notify you that at a meeting
Of the Executive Committee of the Great Dane Club of America, Mr. Charles Busch, New York, has been suspended from membership in the Club on account of misconduct during the late Philadelphia Bench Show.” The Constitution provides that at the next succeeding meeting of the American Kennel Club after the suspension of a man at any Bench Show the A.K.C. must either remove that suspension of change it to disqualification.

MR. WATSON: I move that he be disqualified. There is no other course to take.

Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Under date of February 5th, Mr. William Stuber lodged a complaint that his dachshund at the American Pet Dog Club Show was awarded the second prize. The prize has not been paid. Under date of February 10th, Mr. Robert Irvine claims that he won a prize with his Scottish terrier at the American Pet Dog Club Show, and the same has not been paid. By to-day’s mail, which I have not included in my report, her was a letter December 28, sent to Mr. S.C. Hodge, Superintendent of the Pet Dog Club Show: “Dear Sir: Referring to your former communication, would say that as yet I have heard nothing in reference to
Prize cups or premium won at your late show. I shall be pleased to hear from you, and awaiting your favor, I remain, Your very truly, Edwin W. Fiske,” on which is endorsed: “Dear Sir: No cups or medals have yet been sent out owing to out inability to get the cups engraved during this holiday rush. They will all be sent out next week. Your truly, Hodge.” Under date of the 17th, Mr. Fiske sends this letter: “My cocker spaniels won $50 in prize money at the Show held under the auspices of the American Pet Dog Club, December 29, 30 and 31, 1899, but as yet I have not received any payment from the above Club, although I have received two medals I won at the same time. Kindly inform me what can be done in this matter, and oblige, Yours truly, Edwin W. Fiske.”

In connection with these charges I would like to read this letter: “The American Pet Dog Club having had trouble with the management of the Show, have been compelled by force of circumstances to delay the payment of a portion of the prize list, but hope to have all difficulties arranged and all obligations paid within the next 60 days. It there should be any complaint entered against the Club for non-payment of
Prizes I would request that judgment be postponed for that period of time. In the meantime please cancel any dates that may have been taken for the Show of 1900.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: What is the limit of Bench Shows to meet their obligations?

MR. VREDENBURGH: 60 days. That expired on the 1st of February.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move the Pet Dog Club be disqualified.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move to amend that by giving the Pet Dog Club 60 days more in which to settle this matter.

Amendment seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is moved and seconded that the Pet Dog Club be granted an extension of 60 days in which to pay their prizes. I would like to state that in every instance I can recall when charges have been preferred against a club for non-payment of its prizes, that the Kennel Club have voluntarily passed a resolution to this effect: That 30 days additional be granted to pay the prizes and if the same be not paid within that 30 days, then the officials be disqualified and the Club suspended.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I will amend my original motion to that effect.

Seconded.
MR. CARNOCHAN: My only reason for making this motion is this: I was also quite a large prize winner at that show, and I of course like many other prize winners have not been paid the prize moneys that I am entitled to. I am also a member of the club. I believe I am the only life member of the Club, and from all I have heard about the various troubles in the Club it seems to me that it is only fair to the Club to let them wash out their own affairs, which I believe will be done in the next thirty or sixty days.

I believe that there is no question but what the prize money due will all be paid, and on that account, while I say I have not any interest in the matter except as a member of the Club, I should feel very badly at seeing that Club thrown out of disqualified on account of the internal troubles which are in it, which, as I say, I think will be settled in a short period. Another thing, I received the other day a notice of a meeting of the Club which is to be held I think this Wednesday, and it does not seem right to disqualify a Club without letting them get at the bottom of it, and ascertaining where the trouble is.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I really cannot see that the Pet Dog Club should be treated any better than any other
Club. I have no affiliation with anybody in the Club. I cannot see how the American Kennel Club can make flesh or fish of it. They should be able to wash their dirty linen in thirty days.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I accept the thirty days.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: A Club of that prominence should have been able to settle their obligations before that time.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I simply named sixty days because that was the time mentioned; what I meant was to give them the customary time allowed in such cases.

MR. HODGE: Thirty days from February 1st, or thirty days from this meeting? I think it should be thirty days form February 1st if granted at all. There is no reason why the Club should be granted this delay at all. The Club is abundantly able to pay, and had the money and not paying, these prize exhibitors are forced to wait. They have waited ninety days now. I think something is due them. I think in the interest of square dealing and justice the American Kennel Club should take a hand and force those that are back of this delay to pay these prizes in the interest of the people who have in good faith paid their
Entrance money and gone in there. I beg to state that while I do not wish to go into the personalities of the matter, that I have done almost nothing for the last two months but answer the inquiries of exhibitors. I had nothing to do with the finances of the show, and that money is being retained by one person designed by the Club. He says now there is a slight loss. Nevertheless there are $3,000 of debts not paid, and he is retaining this money, and I think that this Club should take it up and discipline it. I have no desire to recommend disqualifying innocent parties, because there are innocent parties who are officers of this Club, but something should be done to force it to pay these prizes, and to prevent such things as this occurring in the future. Mr. Vredenburgh will bear me out in justice to myself come here and represent a Club, and stand for that, and I would not be here as its delegate, but heard that there was to be a request for sixty days additional time in which this matter could be straightened up, and then I made up my mind that I would come here, and exercise my prerogative and fight it. It was made over my head and without any authority from me. I think the American Kennel
Club should say to the officers of the American Pet Dog Club to pay these prizes at once. They have had ninety days now nearly. The sixty days were up on February 1st. At a meeting of the executive committee of the American Pet Dog Club, at his house on January 19th, it was ordered that a copy of his report be given to me at once so that I could go over it and check it up and report upon it, so that the Club could get at the bottom of it. I have never been able to get that report from him although it was ordered to be delivered to me over a month ago. I have written to him; I have written to his attorney, and I have written to the officers of the Club, and have never gotten it. At the annual meeting of the Club held on January 31st this man jumped up immediately after his wide had made a report, and ordered that the meeting be adjourned without date. There was immediately a storm of protest; one member got up and wanted to know where the report of the finances was, and this party said “nothing has been stated about finances.” The member allowed that it was high time that something was said about the finances of the club. He asked him where the funds of this show were, in what bank deposited, and to whose credit, and he refused to answer, and to this day the American Pet Dog Club knows absolutely
Nothing officially of the finances of that show. I put in my report as superintendent of the show at the meeting of January 31st, and swore to it, and it was accepted unanimously by the Club. A copy of it is filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club. It can be read here if needed. It shows my connection with the show. I oppose this delay in the interest of the exhibitors. I do not think it is right to grant a further extension. As I have stated, the American Pet Dog Club is abundantly able to pay these prizes.

MR. CARCOCHAN: As I have already said, I am not interested in this think at all. I do not want to go into it in any show or form, but it seems to me it is only courtesy to allow the extra period of time. We have done it in the past. I have heard both stories. I have heard the statement of Mr. Hodge, also that of Mr. Stanton, and apparently so far as I can judge as an outsider, it is more or less a personal matter between Mr. Hodge and Mr. Stanton. It is very advisable, it seems to me, to hold this matter for thirty days so as to let this meeting be held when something will be done. I desire to say that I have not feelings in the matter at all. I am simply stating what has been told to me and with regard
to the finances of the show as I understand it there is a deficit balance which under the circumstances as a proprietary matter is between Mr. Hodge and Mr. Stanton. I understand that they were together to stand any deficit or take any profit, and it would seem as if the Club which is the innocent party in the matter and which allowed these two gentlemen to take the matter of running the show in their hands should not be made to suffer. It would seem to be more a matter of arrangement between Mr. Hodge and Mr. Stanton. I do not stand for the truth of any of these statements whatsoever, but that is the way I understand it as a member of the Club, and I am in favor of allowing the thirty days additional time.

MR. HODGE: If it be the wish of the delegates I would like to have my report read.

MR. RODMAN: It would seem to me that we are losing sight of one question that comes before this question. It is not a question of internal difficulties of the Pet Dog Club, but it is a question of the payment of prize money, and the good standing of the American Pet Dog Club in the American Kennel Club. I can see no objection to extending, if it will do any good, the time in which the payment of the prizes and the cups offered may be made. There is a note written
To the Secretary, I don’t know by whom not by what authority the writer had in sending it, but I cannot see how the American Kennel Club can suffer in extending to that Club thirty or sixty days towards settling its difficulty with the American Kennel Club, but not its difficulties with its own members. That I think should be eliminated from this meeting. I am a member of the American Pet Dog Club, but like a great many other members I have not the first conception of what it has ever done. I am only speaking personally now, but I do not think that seventy-five per cent of the members of the American Pet Dog Club know anything about its affairs, and it seems to me that if by giving that Club thirty or sixty days we can get them to effect a settlement of the differences between themselves and the exhibitors, and it is in out province to do so, and if they will do what they say they will do, it will remove all further trouble. Let the American Pet Dog Club effect a settlement of its own difficulties, and I would speak in favor of granting the extension of thirty or sixty days, whichever the case may be.

CAPT. KNOCKER: Is it not creating a precedent? We have allowed sixty days to Club to settle up and pay their prizes, and this would be going beyond our usual time allowed for settlement.
MR. VREDEBURGH: Clubs are usually allowed thirty days additional time.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I do not see why the American Pet Dog Club should get any privileges not granted to any other Club unless you are going to make a precedent in the matter of the Pet Dog Club.

MR. CARNOCHAN: As I understand it, I think you are laboring under a slightly wrong impression. A club has sixty days in which to settle up their prize money at their own convenience. It has been the custom that the American Kennel Club when the sixty days were up, would allow a club thirty days more, and that is my motion that we allow them thirty days more. That is the regular custom, and all I ask is to allow them the same customary privilege of thirty days from this meeting.

MR. VITI: I submit that is we give them thirty days time to-day we are giving them 49 days more.

MR. CARNOCHAN: You cannot allow the thirty days until there has been a complaint, and a complaint has just come in.

MR. VREDEBURGH: The first complaint cam in on February 5th.

MAJ. TAYLOR: I move in order to end the discussion
That the American Pet Dog Club have thirty days, and if their affairs are not settled in that time they stand disqualified. That is thirty days from the end of sixty days.

MR. VREDENBURGH: There is a motion already to that effect before the house.

MAJ. TAYLOR: Has the motion attached to it that the Club shall stand disqualified if they have not settled up by that time?

MR. VREDENBURGH: I would like to state for your information, and I think the delegates will bear me out when I make this statement, that it was never the intention of the American Kennel Club to permit any one, two or three members of a Club to run a show and make good a deficit or take a profit. The intention of the American Kennel Club was true sportsmanship on the part of the Club and not on the part of individuals. There is no definite rule to that effect, but I believe it will be borne out that that was the intention of the rule. If I am wrong just call me down. This last show was held. Mr. Stanton, the husband of the President, stated to me that Mr. Hodge and himself had been made trustees by the Club to carry on this show, they agreeing between themselves which department each one would assume control over. Mr.
Hodge was to superintend the show, and Mr. Stanton was to attend to the finances. Mr. Hodge made the statement that they never were appointed trustees for the show, that they entered into the agreement between themselves, which was a partnership, that they would assume responsibility for the show, pay the deficit if any occurred, and as I understood it if any profits accrued that they were first to take from those profits a sufficient amount to reimburse the persons who had made good the deficit of the previous show of 1898. Am I correct?

MR. HODGE: Yes.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Then the net profits would be equally divided between Mr. Stanton, and Mr. Hodge. Before you come to a vote on this subject you have got to take into consideration one point, and that is in the internal arrangement of this Club, that these gentlemen say we ought not to take into consideration--- the Chair thinks to the contrary, and will state his reason. The ladies and gentlemen, mostly ladies, I believe, having the utmost confidence on the two gentlemen who undertook to run this show did not bother themselves in the least about the outcome of it, and if you disqualify the persons that the rules say you must disqualify, you have got to disqualify these ladies who had nothing to do
With and know nothing about the affairs of the Club. Of course, you can reach Mr. Stanton and Mr. Hodge. They were both officials of the show, but at the same time you have got to take in Mrs. Stanton and Mrs. Green, who was an exhibitor of greyhounds, who came here to this office and protested against the action of Mr. Stanton. She said she insisted upon the payment of these prizes, but she was powerless, and she could not compel it. You have got to take in Mrs. Stokes, who knows nothing about it, and one or two other ladies who are really innocent. Mr. Stanton called at this office to-day to get some information about some prizes that he was going to pay, and he asked me if there were any complaints lodged. I told him there were two small ones. He said “If you give me their names I will pay them their prizes.” He said “I assure you we are going to pay every single penny of outstanding indebtedness of this Club within the time that we have asked. We are moving heaven and earth to do it.” He also told me he had $1,100 in his possession, though the outstanding prizes amounted to about $1,400. I may be mistaken in the figures, but he said that he had to call a halt there until they could find out just where they stood. I want to tell you this so that you can
Vote intelligently on this matter.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It has already been stated that by this rule we have nothing to do with the internal affairs, and I say those matters are none of our business. That is their affair absolutely. We have got nothing absolutely to do with those matters. The Club must fulfill its agreements, and must stand by them. If we come down to sift these internal matters every time there is a matter of this kind up for consideration I think you will find a half a dozen or a dozen of clubs themselves know nothing about these affairs. There are a lot of shows run in that way in this country which you do not know of, but practical exhibitors do know about.

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion before the house now is that the American Pet Dog Club be granted an extension of thirty days from the date of the first complaint, February 5th, and if the prizes are not paid within that time the Club shall stand suspended, and the officials connected with the show disqualified.

Motion seconded.

MR. WATSON: I offer an amendment that the date of February 5th be stricken out. We have never done that before. It has always been thirty days from date to the [illegible].
THE CHAIRMAN: An amendment if offered that the extension of time shall begin from this meeting. The vote is on the amendment.

The amendment was then carried by a vote of 12 to 5.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question is now on the amended motion that the American Pet Dog Club be granted thirty days extension of time from this date to pay the outstanding prizes, in default of which the Club will be suspended, and the officials disqualified.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from the San Francisco Kennel Club in reference to registrations. The Secretary writes: “I would like to be able to receive registration with application in lieu of listing. Would such a course be feasible? Supposing a man enters a dog a day before the entries close and wants to register his dog. There is absolutely no way in which he could get the registered number on the catalogue in time. Do you not think in view of the geographical distance between you and us that it would be beneficial to the Club and to the exhibitors also if the executive committee were to pass a special rule allowing us to insert on the entry blank registration applied..."
for, in cases such as I have mentioned. It would go a very long way towards 
strengthening the hands of this committee, and consequently the American Kennel 
Club on this coast. You can have no idea of the amount of dissatisfaction caused 
last year by our inability to accept registration fees provisionally in the case of dogs 
eligible to be registered.” etc.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that that be referred to the proper 
committee.

MR. VREDENBURGH: It is a matter that needs immediate attention. 
They are six days from here and they are getting out their premiums lists now, and 
what I want to know is just what to do.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It looks very feasible, and I think it is very 
desirable that we should grant such a request. If there is the proper committee 
appointed there to accept applications with the power to repudiate in case the 
applications are improper.

MAJ. TAYLOR: Are they printing their lists?

MR. VREDENBURGH: They ask permission to accept a fee for 
registration to inset in the catalogue registration applied for to take the place of the 
word “listed”, or the stud book number, and then for them to send on the money
Here. The American Kennel Club does not permit any show to accept a single registration. They have passed a resolution that the only place to apply for registrations is at the home office. Now we take for instance this California Club. A man applied for registration of a dog that he will call Jack. The dog is entered in the catalogue as Jack. They accept the registration of the dog, take the man's dollar and name of Jack. We have got to send back to him, and when we register the dog it is registered by an entirely different name from what appears in the catalogue. Is that right? I do not care how careful the Club are when they used to accept registrations they would send them here, and we had to return them. They were not eligible.

CAPT. KNOCKER: Couldn't this matter be arranged by the San Francisco Kennel Club telegraphing to the American Kennel Club with regard to the name that they wished to register. A man that applied for registration if he is anxious to get the registration will spend a dollar for it.

MR. VREDENBURGH: That same man can get the same thing by an additional expense of 25 cents by listing his dog. That is where the kick comes in. That man can list his dog and immediately send on for a number, and the number is sent to him the same
day the application is received.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I think the matter is such as important one that it ought to be referred to the committee on rules.

The Secretary then read the rule covering the matter in question.

CAPT. KNOCKER: They have paid their dollar and they have applied for registration. Isn’t that registration?

MR. VREDENBURGH: There is no registration until the certificate has been signed.

DR. FOOTE: It seems to me it was for that purpose of a listing to meet such an emergency. The fact is that any dog that is good enough to show is good enough to be registered long before the show. The chances are in favor of it. It strikes me that as the rule is one of long standing and one that has done good work while it has been in force it should remain.

MR. VITI: I do not see how we can get away from the rule.

MR. HODGE: It does not occur to me that it is necessary to change the rule at all, if you deem it wise to adopt any such system. Have a form printed for such applications, and have that form state that they enclose one dollar for registration if possible. If not possibly 25 cent are
To be deducted for listing the dog. Then the dog is either registered or listed, and make him sign his name.

MR. VITI: I simply state that there is a rule which seems to me is very hard and fast, and I do no see how you can do anything in this case unless you amend of abrogate the rule. The rule says every dog must either be registered or listed with the American Kennel Club previous to being exhibited. How can you take it to be listed when the rule says he must have been registered? You have also held that making the entry was part of the exhibition of the dog. I rise to a point of order.

DR. FOOTE: I move it be referred to the Committee on Rules.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair rules that the point of order raised by Mr. Viti is good.

The motion to refer the matter to the Committee on rules was seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh then read the report of the Committee on Rules as published in the Gazette.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I want to make a motion with regard to benching dogs. I move that dogs of the same owner and same breed shall be benched together.
MR. MORSE: I second the motion.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I think the rules are made for the benefit of dogs and for the benefit of the exhibitors of dogs. I think it is a very necessary rules, a rule that ought to have been in force long ago. Dogs are worried and often get sick, and not only that, they make a most infernal row if benched next to strange dogs.

The motion resulted in a vote of 9 in favor and 8 against.

THE CHAIRMAN: It requires a two-thirds vote, and the amendment is therefore lost.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is that dog show committee cannot offer moneys or other inducements to exhibitors to influence entries.

Motion seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: How are you going to find that out? I think the motion is frivolous. That is beyond our powers.

MR. CODMAN: I consider it a very wrong thing that any club should offer any dog exhibitor any inducement of money to bring dogs to a dog show. That is against the interest of dog shows. I am speaking with great feeling on this matter, because at the late Rhode Island Kennel Club show, three or four handlers went so far as not only to write
Letters to the press, but made it a business to protest because they could not be treated with in that matter. We have had handlers saying if they cold get so many dogs they would give thirty dollars or some such sum as that. I consider that when gentlemen put themselves in committee and hold a dog show, and it is the hardest thing in the world to make it pay financially outside of New York, and then get together money to bring in dogs, then dog shows will soon go to the ground. It is not to the benefit of any man that has the dog's interest at heart.

DR. FOOTE: It is not only a matter that concerns bench show management, it also concerns the exhibitor. If one considers the amount of entrance fees and the prize to be won, and figures that he cannot afford to send more then a term of two to a show, he may have to come in competition with some one else who sends a team of four or half a dozen, because he is getting his dogs in for less. He is getting a rebate, and the man who sends a paid is at a great disadvantage. That was my idea in offering this amendment, knowing that bench show managers were continually bored with bids for money.
MR. VREDENBURGH: I would like to state for your information that there is nothing in the world in the rules of the American Kennel Club that forces any Club to charge any entrance fee. That is purely a domestic matter. The Club holding the show can invite entries and they can charge one, two, three, five or ten dollars, just as they please, whatever they can demand. If there is no rule to that effect how are you going to prevent a club saying to any exhibitor, “we will allow you your entry free.” There is no rule which says there must be an entry fee. If you want that amendment you have got to have it in such a shape that you can enforce it, because it would be silly to have a rule that you cannot enforce. Then again how are you going to find out whether there is any inducement offered? If you pass such a rule, and the handler says to Mr. Jones, “I will give you fifty dogs if you will give me my entrance fees back,” as was offered in this office to take a team of fifty dogs out to San Francisco, a man that gets that money is not going to tell of it, not is the show going to tell of it, and the American Kennel Club cannot be on the ground and act as a detective. If you cannot prevent it, is it good sense to have a rule on your books that you cannot enforce? Then there is a question that the committee thought of, that it
Was a domestic matter entirely, and that if a club determined that it was a good investment to offer an inducement to get a lot of celebrated dogs there because it was going to help their gate receipts, there is no way of preventing it.

MAJ. TAYLOR: It seems to me that this is a matter that is wholly in the province of the Club giving the show. If they want to do it let them do it. Let there be a perfect understanding between exhibitors and committees.

The amendment was then put and lost.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next recommendation is in regard to Article XIV. after the word “meeting” on the fifth line, to add the words, “and can only be filed by a member in good standing through its delegate, such notice however, must be officially signed by the member’s secretary.” In other words if the Philadelphia Dog Show Association should bring up an amendment that they think proper to the rules, passed it in their Club, then their secretary sends an attested copy of that amendment to its delegate, and then the delegate can officially bring the matter up here, and we have got to publish it in the regular course.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I object to the amendment for this reason: A delegate is not going to bring his personal feelings or his personal matters in the case; if he does he
Is not fit to be a delegate. When he makes a motion or amendment it is under the authority of the Club he represents. If he is going against the wishes of that Club it is that Club’s business to call him down, and therefore I think it ought to be taken for granted that when a delegate makes a motion he is making it as his club’s representative, and therefore it is not necessary to make it compulsory that he should show written authority for his motion. I represent my Club, and my Club calls upon me when they choose. If I bring up a motion I am doing it for my Club, and I think the amendment is entirely unnecessary.

Proposed amendment lost.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is section IV, after the word “been” on the 7th, line to add the words “filed in like manner as is provided for in Article XIV of the Constitution”, and after the word “published’ on the 8th line of the section to add the words “in the American Kennel Gazette.”

Proposed amendment adopted.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I would like to state here that the Capitol City Kennel Club of Washington D.C. has made an application for admission to membership. Everything is
In proper form with one exception. The application came in on the 17th day of February, last Saturday. The Constitution says it must be in my hands at least ten days prior to any meeting at which it can be acted upon. They are to hold a show next month or possibly early in April. I wrote them telling them that it was too late for action at this meeting, and that the next regular meeting of the Club would be held in May next, and I did not care about putting their check through the books until they had bee informed what they might expect and let me know. I got a telegram from them to-day saying “Would be of no avail for us to wait until May; let the letter written to you in December stand as application.” They wrote a letter in December saying they wanted to apply, but they did not apply. The only possible way for that Club to become a member is to wait until ten days have elapsed and then have the membership committee look over their application, and if correct approve it, and then have a meeting of the executive board. I have a similar case here with the Hoosier Poultry & Kennel Club of Logansport. That application did not arrive until February 15th. I simply report these cases to you so that you may now what is going on.
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that it be referred to the membership committee and referred to the executive board with power to act.

THE CHAIRMAN: You request a meeting of the executive committee in time to act upon this so that this Club can be admitted so as to hold its show at the time it desires?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Yes.

MAJ. TAYLOR: I think similar action should be taken on all applications of the kind. I happen to know the Youngstown Club made application, and it was a little too late. There should be some arrangements made. Kennel Clubs do not always know when to make their applications. It is a good thing to have as many members as we can get. This Club may prove to be one of the very best members of the American Kennel Club, and there should be something done to meet their wishes in this matter.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Chair would like to state for your information that on two occasion the Committee on Rules recommended an amendment to the rules that we cover just such a case, and both times it was votes down. The delegates would not listen to it. The proposition was that the American Kennel Club could license a club to hold a show, which would cover just this case. The American Kennel Club
would not have it. The question to be decided is whether it is advisable, whether it is expedient for the American Kennel Club to call a special meeting for the purpose of admitting any Club that applies for membership. The rules are very explicit that they can be elected at any regular meeting of the American Kennel Club or at any regular meeting of the executive Board. The executive board have not any stated time to meet. They are called together whenever there is sufficient business. We have members from Boston, Wellesley and Philadelphia. Is it well to call those gentlemen here to New York for the sole purpose of voting upon the admission of a club to membership. If clubs did not wait until the last minute, as is usually the case, they could be regularly admitted without this extra trouble. Isn’t it somewhat lowering to the dignity of the Club to call special meetings as suggested? That is a question for you to decide.

MR. CARNOCHAN: It does seem right that we should go a little out of our way to grant a Club the power to hold a show. If our meetings happen to be one in February and one in May, it is taking out the whole show season, and any Club that may want to become a member and hold a show, is barred unless it comes in ahead of the time appointed for
Its show. Sometimes Clubs cannot fix their finances so they can hold a show until shortly before the time they wish to do so. It seems to me that it would be right to make every concession, but it always seems a useless gathering together of the officers, of men who have other matters to attend to, to attend a special meeting of the executive board, and I would suggest that as to clubs coming in and desiring to hold a show in this way, that a vote be taken by mail; that is to say if the executive committee men are agreeable that that club should be allowed to hold a show, then they may send in their votes by mail. That seems to me to be a clear solution of the difficulty and would prevent the great expense attached to bringing the members of the executive committee together.

MAJ. TAYLOR: I move that it is the sense of this meeting that a meeting of the executive board shall be called in time to elect these clubs so they can hold their shows next month.

MR. CANOCHAN: I would move to amend that by adding that the ballots be taken by mail. I make the motion that the applications for membership from various clubs be acted upon by the membership committee, and then refer to the
Executive committee for action, and that the vote of the executive committee may be taken by mail or otherwise.

MR. RODMAN: I would suggest just one thing. I do not see the necessity of limiting it to mail. I think the rule as it stands is broad enough to cover a vote by mail, and why limit it or let it go on record as accepting a mail vote.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The reason is this—This is not a reflection on you, Mr. Chairman, but I am speaking of a case where the Secretary was unfriendly to a certain club. He would call an executive committee meeting, and inform this committee of what was going to come up, merely the application of a club for membership. The members out of town would say, “This is very unimportant, I won’t attend that meeting,” and the result is you have not got a sufficient number of persons present to decide whether it is a two-thirds vote or not.

MR. RODMAN: I think you are answered by that rule. It says by a ballot. Therefore it is necessary to send them a ballot. They must cast it one way or another.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Well, by a mail ballot or otherwise.
MR. VREDENBURGH: During my absence on vacation last summer the Vermont Kennel Club sent in their application, and their was practically a signed vote. The meeting was not regularly convened, and the members that could be gotten out constituted a quorum, and every one of them signed this application and voted in the affirmative. As it was entirely irregular, so far as custom might have been at the September meeting, if you recall, I returned it, and asked a confirmation of that act. I did not want any question to arise. All I want to know is what the sense of this meeting is. If the sense of the meeting is that a mail vote should be taken that settles its. The mail vote will be taken.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I am in favor of Mr. Canochan’s motion to settle this question once for all.

Mr. Carnochan’s motion was then repeated.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair would suggest that is wrong because that take it out of the hands of the regular meeting. I would say applications received during the intervals between regular meetings.

MR. CARNOCCHAN: I accept that.

Motion seconded and carried.
MR. VREDENBURGH: I have stated pretty fully in my report that the experience since 1887 up to 1889, has been that by the 25th day of January at the outside, usually the 20th my copy for the stud book of the preceding year has been in the hands of the printer. To-day in the 19th day of February, and their are about 700 registrations still in the other office uncompiled, which means continuous work of a man that is thoroughly familiar with it as least twelve days from nine o'clock to five, without doing another thing. The first of this month I took Mr. Watson off of his Gazette work and put him on the cash book. I took Mr. Day from the cash book and put him upon compiling registrations, and we kept that up for seven days. Finally I has to change. There have been days this year for the first time in our experience that we have not been able to complete that day's work during that time. For the last two weeks I doubt whether there has been a single registration for 1899 compiled. We have three men working here from early in the morning until late at night. I hate to ask for extra held, but there is the matter before you. I must do it. We cannot cope with the work. 1899 was the record year of this association, and up to date from January 1st, of 1900, is way ahead of the biggest months of 1899. I do
Not see any relief. It is the effect of good times I suppose, but in every single registration that comes in here there is an immense amount of detail, and every one of them has got to have just so much time expended upon it. I just say for your information, the other morning when I arrived here about half past nine, I found on this desk 91 letter. That was my first mail. The letters kept coming in right straight until five o’clock. I lost count of them during the day, but there were 91 when I arrive in the morning. No letter that comes here is filed that is not answered. I do not care how trivial it is. If that continues we cannot get at out stud book for 1899 without extra help. I believe that I may be able to get up even with one more assistant by the first of May; it may be the first of June before I can do it. I have nearly a thousand registrations on the new book. We cannot do anything to them except send out the numbers. I have not been able to this month’s entries compiled for the Gazette. We can only put in a portion of them. We agreed to publish in the Gazette every registration received by 20th of each month; we sent the registrations for the Gazette to-day, and I imagine only about 75% were sent. I want to give you an idea of the state of affairs here.
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Is this assistance to be permanent?

MR. VREDENBURGH: No, temporary, although I could have used in 1899 another man permanently. There is one thing that handicaps me terribly. Men come in here and want to talk to me. I cannot bar them out of the office. I have got to listen to them and when they talk it take up just so much of my time away from current business. Dog men from all over when they strike New York they are bound before they leave New York to come here, and of course a great deal of their talk is personal. They tell me of the magnificent dog they have, and I cannot ask them to excuse me. I have got to entertain them; got to be courteous to every one of them. If a man steps in here for an hour, that is an hour gone out of my day’s work.

MR. RODMAN: I do not think there is any member present who would not be in favor of lightening the burdens of the Secretary.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The only thing I ask for is the right to engage somebody until we get even in our work.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the Secretary be empowered to engage temporary help until such time as the unfinished work in the office can be caught up, and to engage extra help as long as the work in the office warrants it.

Motion seconded and carried.
Capt. Knocker: I move that it is the sense of this meeting that all shows held under American Kennel Club Rules shall be held by the Bench Shows themselves, and they cannot delegate their right to any person or body.

Maj. Taylor: I think it occurs very often where Clubs do not want to hold shows, but where two or three members agree to hold it, and I do not see any reason why they should not do it, because if they don’t pay their prizes the whole official list is disqualified. It comes right back on the Club.

Mr. Carnochan: Isn’t it perfectly legitimate for members of the Club to form a guarantee fund? Wouldn’t the passage of this resolution tend to discourage certain members of the Club from making a guarantee fund? I think a great many clubs hold shows where certain influential members of the Club come forward and guarantee it.

Mr. Vredenburgh: It strikes me that the motion is not worded as was intended. I infer that the intention and meaning of this motion is, that no single person, or one or two persons, can get the use of the name of a
Club for the purpose of holding a show for their own benefit.

Capt. Knocker: That is it.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: Has ever any such case arisen?

Maj. Taylor: I imagine it is a case where the American Pet Dog Club would not have given a show if these two members had not come forward and guaranteed it.

Mr. Viti: You eliminate all elements of sport when you allow private members of the Club to give the Shows. They were going to take the profits.

Mr. Carnochan: It is a perfectly legitimate thing for a number of persons, members of a club, when the club is thinking of holding a show to form a guarantee fund.

Mr. Viti: Yes.

Mr. Carnochan: So far as we know officially, the matter concerning the Pet Dog Club may have been a guarantee fund, made up only of two people instead of half a dozen. I do not see how we can act upon that. I do not see that this is a case of syndicate holding, but if you word your motion so as to meet that particular
Instance which you have in your mind, why don’t you also meet the question of forming a guaranty club in every show?

Mr. Viti: In the first place, allow me to correct you by saying it is not my motion. In the second place, I am not hitting at any particular club. You said a while ago that the two gentlemen were to run this show, and if there had been any profits they were to take them.

Mr. Carnochan: I only know what I was told. I am not responsible for that statement.

Mr. Viti: Assuming that that is a fact.

Mr. Hodge: I would be glad to answer any questions.

Mr. Viti: Well, then is that so.

Mr. Hodge: That is why I asked that my report be read. That was owing to bills not being collected at the previous show, for advertising and various things of that kind. Although the show, on its face, showed a very small profit the year before, there was, in the finish, a loss on the previous show. Mr. Walter Stanton and myself put our hands in our pockets and paid those
Debts. I drew my check to Mr. Stanton for $297 and he was to settle all the bills with that $297. The constitution of the American Pet Dog Club, provided that the Executive Committee would have complete jurisdiction over making such arrangements as it thought fit for all dog shows to be given by the Club. Mr. Stanton claimed that he entered into a contract with the Executive Committee whereby he would be allowed to get back the money that he had paid out of his pocket on the previous loss, and then Mr. Stanton individually, and Mr. Hodge individually, signed a written contract between ourselves that we would divide equally any loss or any win, that is, any profits, on account of the money that we had put up out of our pockets at a previous show. The Club endorsed the whole thing, and Mr. Stanton claims that the Executive Committee appointed him and me trustees to conduct the show, and the show was conducted in the name of the Club, and all contracts were signed in the name of the club. There was no such thing as the firm of Hodge & Stanton, or a corporation, or anything of that kind. It was conducted for the Club, and the Club received the benefits in so far that it did not have to pay the loss.
On its first show.

Maj. Taylor: I see no reason why these men who went in there, paying the deficit of the year before, saying if there was a deficit they would stand by it, should not, if they have gone into this guaranty, have the profits, if any, being members of the Club.

Mr. Viti: Leaving aside the question of the Pet Dog Club, do you think an active member of this Club, that is, a Bench Show Club, has a right to sublet its rights which the American Kennel Club gives it. For instance, that you can go out on the street and say “I am a member of the American Kennel Club, you agree to reimburse me”, etc. It is something similar to the possibility of prefixes being hawked around the street. I am leaving out entirely the question of the Pet Dog Club. I am speaking in general terms. Are they allowed to do that sort of thing. Is it proper that they should go forth in the streets and sell to the highest bidder the right to show under the American Kennel Club.

Maj. Taylor: I said explicitedly that it should not be done outside of members of the Club.

Mr. Viti: I know of instances where shows
Have been guaranteed by persons who were not members of the Club.

Maj. Taylor: If there was a membership of eighty in the Club, and ten men guaranteed the loss, isn’t it one and the same thing in fact.

Mr. Viti: They guaranteed that there was not to be a loss, and if there was they should make it good.

Mr. Watson: If this resolution had been in existence a year ago, two shows would have been off our roll for this last year; one, Cincinnati, the other, Providence. The shows legitimately transferred their rights to another body to manage for them. If you aim to get at something else, you ought to amend your resolution and not hit legitimate transactions between Clubs.

The motion was carried by a vote of ten to seven.

On motion the Clubs reported by the Treasurer as being in arrears were given thirty days within which to pay their dues, in default of which they will be dropped from the roll.

In the case of the Fanciers’ Club of Sharon, Pa., in arrears for dues, having held a show during 1900, the Secretary was directed to deduct from the date deposit a
Sufficient amount to pay their dues for 1900.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secy
Vice-President Edward Brooks presiding.

Present:

Associate Members
- A. Clinton Wilmerding
- H.K. Bloodgood

American Fox Terrier Club
- H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.

American Dachshund Club
- Dr. C. Motschenbacher

American Spaniel Club
- Marcel A. Viti

Collie Club of America
- James Watson

Dog Owners Protective Association of Cincinnati
- Charles W. Rodman, Jr.

Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania
- G.M. Carnochan

Gordon Setter Club of America
- James B. Blossom

Great Dane Club of America
- G. Muss-Arnolt

Irish Terrier Club of America
- S. Van Schaick

Metropolitan Kennel Club
- H.T. Foote

New England Kennel Club
- Edward Brooks

San Francisco Kennel Club
- C.B. Knocker

National Beagle Club
- J.W. Appleton

New England Beagle Club
- John Caswell

Danbury Agricultural Society
- Dr. J.R. Bacon

The report of the Membership Committee was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file. The same is as follows:
New York, May 16th, 1900

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen: -

At a meeting of the Membership Committee, held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting on applications for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your Committee would respectfully report:

An application from the Ladies Kennel Association has been received, the same having been filed in accordance with the constitution, it is recommended that said Club be duly admitted to active membership.

An application has been filed by Messrs. Oliver Ames and Henry Brooks, and concurred in by Mr. James L. Little, the sole remaining members of the American Scottish Terrier Club, requesting that said Club be dissolved and its name dropped from active membership in the Club. This application is duly approved by your Committee.

The following credentials of delegates are on file and duly approved, and their acceptance recommended to wit: Capitol City Kennel Club, appointing Thomas J. Sheubrooks; Danbury Agricultural Society, appointing Dr.
John R. Bacon, National Beagle Club, appointing Mr. James W. Appleton; New England Beagle Club, appointing Mr. John Caswell; Vermont Kennel Club, appointing Mr. George T. Chaffee.

Respectfully submitted,

H.T. Foote, Chairman
C.B. Knocker

The following named gentlemen were then elected as delegates to represent the following named clubs: Dr. John R. Bacon, Danbury Agricultural Society; James W. Appleton, National Beagle Club of America; John Caswell, New England Beagle Club; Thomas Sheubrooks, Capitol City Kennel Club; George T. Chaffee, Vermont Kennel Club.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, as follows:

New York, May 16th, 1900

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I beg to report that all matters under the jurisdiction of the Stud Book Committee, and the Membership Committee, have been turned over by me to the said Committees.
And their reports will be presented at this meeting.

Pursuant to instructions at our last meeting, final bills were mailed to all Clubs in arrears for dies on February 24th, and at the expiration of the additional thirty days extension allowed said Clubs by your body, I dropped from membership the following clubs: Bloomington Kennel Club, George State Fair Association, Omaha Kennel Club and Rhode Island Fair Association.

Under the ruling of the last meeting, that a mail vote for the admission of candidates to membership was constitutional, the following clubs were duly admitted, a full vote of the Executive Board being received in the affirmative: Atlanta Kennel Club, Capitol City Kennel Club, Hoosier Poultry & Kennel Club and Washington Kennel Club of Seattle, Wash.

The San Francisco Kennel Club, through its delegate filed a notice of a proposed amendment to the rules. The same was considered by the Rules Committee, and its report duly published in the Gazette, in which it withholds its approval of said amendment. The matter can now be taken up and be disposed of by this meeting.

The Austin Kennel Club made a loss at its late
show, and appealed to you for an extension of time within which to settle its outstanding claims for prize money. The appeal is made upon the ground that owing to the late floods by which a granite dam of 60 x 1200 feet was carried away, thereby depriving the City of water, light and power, that the people are greatly demoralized, and no one is in a condition to attend to affairs beyond the actual necessity of the time.

The St. Louis Kennel Club suspended Mr. L.F. Whitman for obtaining access to its Show on a non-transferable ticket, after he had been warned against such a practice. Said notice was duly filed with this office, and suspension pending appeal issued by this office, and notice of same duly mailed to Whitman. An appeal has been made, and under the rules this meeting must either remove the suspension of change same to disqualification.

The Westminster Kennel Club has filed charges against W.B. Wells, Chatham, Canada, for refusing to comply with the rules, and also requests a ruling on the question of a special prize paid to said Wells, who, owing to the cancellation of the awards by the American Kennel Club, is not entitled to it, but refuses to refund it. This
man Wells refuses, and has refused, to list his dogs. At the Chicago Show of 1899 we gave him an opportunity to make his listing, but received an impertinent letter in reply, and were obliged to cancel his awards. At the New York Show of 1900, we again cancelled his awards for non-listing, and the request of the Westminster Kennel Club for the return of $90.00 paid him through error has been curtly and positively refused, and he still retains money belonging to the Westminster Kennel Club, which is now called upon to pay to the dog moved up.

There has come to my notice that the Northwestern Kennel Club is practically two clubs trading under one membership, and I now submit the matter to you to decide if such a state of affairs should be allowed to continue.

At the last meeting of this Club the election to fill the Chairmanship of the Field Trial Committee was laid over to be acted upon at this meeting.

I beg to inform you that the bills for dues for 1900 were mailed to all associate members on November 30, 1899. Final bills were mailed to members in arrears on May 7th, 1900. At this writing there are thirty-eight members still in arrears. I would respectfully recommend
that all members in arrears after June 7th, 1900, which is 30 day from the mailing of the final bills, be dropped from the roll.

I beg to report an error made in the entry of the Irish Terrier “Royal Sportsman” at the St. Louis show of 1900, by the show officials, and recommend that this meeting correct the award.

President Belmont felt obliged to undo the action of Mr. E.D. Brown, who took it upon himself to suspend two persons for the non-payment of a personal debt. Mr. Brown was Secretary of the Northwestern Kennel Club, and after its show in 1899 advanced to W.A. Hodgins, the sum of $25.00. Said amount was not repaid, and nearly one year after suspended and disqualified said Hodgins and W.R. Dobson, and took it upon himself to notify the Chicago Show of their suspension and disqualification. President Belmont suspended the penalty and directed me to wire Chicago of his action, and to report the case to this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary
On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.
The Treasurer’s report was read, as follows:

New York, May 16\textsuperscript{th}, 1900

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I herewith submit my quarterly report:

\begin{itemize}
\item Balance on hand January 1, 1900 \hspace{1cm} $6,824.33$
\item Receipts from Jany 1, 1900 to date \hspace{1cm} 4,958.51
\end{itemize}

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
 & & & \\
\hline
 & Balance on hand & \$6,824.33 & \\
 & Receipts from Jany 1, 1900 & 4,958.51 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\begin{center}
TOTAL \$11,782.84
\end{center}

\begin{itemize}
\item Disbursements since Jany 1, 1900 \hspace{1cm} 2,913.16
\item Balance on hand \hspace{1cm} $8,869.68$
\end{itemize}

An estimated amount due on Printing Account for which bill has not been rendered is \$1,200.00.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Executive Board was read as published in the Gazette, and it was moved and seconded
That the action of the Executive Board be confirmed.

Carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next report is from the Committee on Rules. This was published in the Gazette. The report is on the amendment offered by Capt. Knocker to the Rules, by which he wants to make it obligatory upon all Club to bench dogs of one breed together when applied for by the owner.

On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.

MR. VREDENBURGH: At the annual meeting the election of a Chairman of the Field Trial Committee was left open. The reason given was that although the National Beagle Club has appointed a delegate, his credentials had not reached this office within the time prescribed by the constitution, so that they could be acted upon, and a resolution was adopted that the matter should go over until this meeting so that the delegate from the National Beagle Club could have the opportunity of becoming a candidate for that office.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I nominate Mr. J.W. Appleton as the Chairman of that Committee.
MR. RODMAN: I move the nominations be closed.
Seconded and carried.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the Secretary be authorized to cast one ballot for Mr. Appleton, as Chairman of the Field Trial Committee.

Motion seconded and carried. The Secretary casts the ballot as directed, and Mr. J.W. Appleton was declared to be elected.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Next in order is the matter of the dropping of Clubs from active membership that did not pay their dues within the thirty days allowed them. It was provided that if they did not pay within the thirty days given them I was to drop them. They have not paid and I dropped them.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the Secretary’s action be endorsed.
Motion seconded and carried.

The application of Messrs. Oliver Ames and Henry Brooks, concurred in by Mr. James L. Little, the remaining members of the American Scottish Terrier Club, was considered, and Dr. Foote moved that the resignation of said Club be accepted.
Motion seconded and carried.

The Ladies Kennel Association was duly elected to active membership in the American Kennel Club.

The Appeal of the Austin Kennel Club for an extension of thirty to sixty days in which to arrange to pay prize money in full, won at its late show, was read.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that said Club be given sixty days from April 10th, as requested.

Motion seconded and carried.

In regard to the suspension of Mr. L.F. Whitman, by the St. Louis Kennel Club, the Secretary read the correspondence in the matter, and said that he had notified Mr. Whitman on April 26th, that by virtue of his suspension by the St. Louis Club, he was suspended by this Club and that he had an opportunity to appeal; that he then wrote to Mr. Long, and told him that he would like to have the name of the man to whom the ticket was originally issued, and asked why he was not included in the suspension; that he had received a reply from Mr. Long in which he stated that he had no positive knowledge whose ticket Mr. Whitman used.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: That whole transaction looks
Like a piece of spite work, and I do not think that the American Kennel Club should be used in the matter. I do not think we should be asked to act in a case like this.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Wouldn’t that properly come before the Executive Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not see how we are going to disqualify people simply because they do not use proper tickets.

MR. WATSON: The ticket was given to the exhibitor. The penalty is printed on the ticket. The penalty is taking the ticket from the man and showing him to the door. It looks very much, as Mr. Arnolt says, like a piece of spite work.

DR. FOOTE: I move that the suspension be removed, and that it is the sense of the American Kennel Club that clubs holding shows should make their own rules governing the use of their tickets; that they have their own redress in forfeiting the ticket and in ejecting the man using it.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh then read the correspondence in the matter of the charges filed by the Westminster
Kennel Club against W.B. Wells for refusing to comply with the rules of the American Kennel Club, and said: I would like to state that last year during the Spring circuit we gave every person the opportunity after the closing of a show, to list their dogs that had not been listed for that show, for the reason that we felt that possibly they were not thoroughly posted on the amended rule, which required a dog to be listed each year; so, after the catalogue came in, we made a memorandum of all that had not listed their dogs and sent them a notice asking them if they had listed, and if not, to send the listing, and we would accept it and hold their awards good. Mr. Wells received one of these letters, and if I am not mistaken he received a second letter from us, to which he replied in a very impertinent way, a sample of which you can see in his reply to the request of the Westminster Kennel Club. At the New York show this year he has done the same thing, entered his dogs without registering or listing them, and we cancelled the awards. The Westminster Kennel Club, acting under the rules, asked him to return the fees.

Mr. Watson gave particulars of the difficulty with Mr. Wells in regard to the entry of his dogs at the
Chicago Show of 1899.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Has he been notified of the charges filed against him by the Westminster Kennel Club?

MR. VREDENBURGH: He has not.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think according to our rules he must be notified of the charges against him.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that charges be preferred against him on the counts stated, by the Secretary, and that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

MR. VITI: I would further suggest that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club if possible, ascertain how this gentleman made out his entries. If he made out his entries fraudulently, is there not a third count against him? If he has stated in his entry blank that the dog was listed, he has committed a fraud. If he has neglected to put in that column which is placed at his disposal on the entry blank, either the registered number or the word “listed”, it seems to me that then there is some question as to whether or not the gentleman had not a right to show his dog. If a Secretary of a show accepts an entry blank, without its being properly filled in, is that to be laid en-
-tirely to the door of the exhibitor? I grant it may, if his attention is called to it, and subsequent opportunity is offered him to make reparation, or to conform to the rules, but it seems to me that if he has willfully put down a false number, or willfully stated that the dog was listed when he was not, then he is guilty of a greater charge than that of not conforming to the rules in refusing to return money which he has won.

MR. HUNNEWELL: He has paid the penalty by his dogs being disqualified. I change my motion, and now move that the Secretary be authorized to prefer charges against him for not returning that money which he unlawfully holds, and that he be suspended pending investigation.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Westminster Kennel Club received $25.00 in cash for a special, and supposing that Mr. Wells had legally won it, paid over this $25.00 in good faith. The dog next to the winner was moved up in place of the cancelled dog, and its owner of course claims this special. The Westminster Kennel Club now desire a ruling whether it can be held liable for again paying that prizes out of their own pocket in case they are unable to collect it
from Mr. Wells.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I should say it was liable. It is the business of the Club to see that it is paid to the right man.

THE CHAIRMAN: They are responsible under the rules.

Considerable discussion followed on the subject, in which Mr. Rodman, Mr. Hunnewell, Dr. Foote and others, contended that the Westminster Kennel Club having accepted the entry of Wells, even if improperly or fraudulently made, was liable for the payment of the $25.00 special to the owner of the dog moved up in the place of the cancelled win of Wels, while Mr. Watson strenuously insisted, that when the prize was paid in accordance with the judge’s decision, the Westminster Kennel Club should be relieved from liability in the matter.

Mr. Hunnewell moved that the request of the Westminster Kennel Club for a ruling in regard to the $25.00 special be referred to the Executive Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: At the St. Louis show the
Irish Terrier “Royal Sportsman” was by error of the Club placed in the novice class instead of the open class. We have the entry here in which is was entered in the open class. The St. Louis sent advices of the award with a marked catalogue and requested that it should be rectified by this office. He was advised that is was impossible for that to be done in the office, but that the matter would be referred to the American Kennel Club at its May meeting. Since that time a communication was received from the owner of “Royal Sportsman”, enclosing a letter from Eberhard, who had charge of the dog at St. Louis. From Eberhard’s letter it was learned that he, being aware that the dog was not eligible for the novice class, had the entry looked up and it was found that the error was made by the St. Louis Club and he received from that Club the amount of prize in the open class. On receipt of this information the St. Louis Club’s Secretary was written to and asked why it was that when the error was discovered during the show, no attempt was made to have it rectified by the Judge, or, if he had left, by one having authority to do so. The Secretary’s reply to this letter acknowledges that the error was discovered before the show closed but after the judge
had left for his home.

The Secretary then read the correspondence in connection with the matter.

Dr. Foote moved that the dog be recorded as winning in the open and winning classes.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I offer an amendment that it shall not in any way be considered as a precedent.

DR. FOOTE: I accept the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is moved and seconded that this dog “Royal Sportsman” be recorded as having won first in the open and first in the winners classes at the St. Louis Show, and Mr. Hunnewell’s amendment has been accepted that this shall not in any way be considered as a precedent.

Carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I was rather puzzled during the last year to know exactly who the officers of the Northwestern Kennel Club were, as at one time I would receive a letter signed W.A. Moore, Secretary, and at another time one signed E.D. Brown, Secretary; so I wrote them letters on May 12th, asking about this. I received a letter written by the superintendent of the show, concurred in by Mr. Moore,
and all this correspondence I will submit to you.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I think the Northwestern Kennel Club should be disqualified. They have no right to do business under any such arrangement as that.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: The next question is relative to the action taken by the president in regard to the suspension of Messrs. Hodgins and Dobson, by the Northwestern Kennel Club.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I submitted this case to Mr. Belmont. Mr. Belmont removed the suspension at once and directed me to wire Chicago that the suspension imposed by the Northwestern Kennel Club as inoperative, and to notify Mr. Brown to the same effect. This is the first case I ever heard of where notices of suspension were sent around to all the different clubs by any other club except the American Kennel Club. The matter is now before you for your determination. The question is, is it proper for this Club to be organized that way? It is practically two Clubs.

DR. FOOTE: I move that his action be sustained.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: It seems to me we should take some action as to whether we are going to allow the Secretary of any Club to send information to other Clubs about what they have done in the way of suspension.

After some discussion it was declared that it was the sense of the meeting that the Secretary of the Northwestern Kennel Club should be reprimanded for his violation of the rules in taking it upon himself to forward notices of suspension to other clubs, as such notices can only be sent out by the American Kennel Club, but no action will be taken at this meeting, as it is, under the Constitution, a matter that must be acted upon by the Executive Board.

It was moved and seconded that the recommendation of the Secretary that all members in arrears after June 7th, 1900, said date being thirty days from the mailing of the final bills, be dropped from the roll, be adopted.

Carried.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was then made by Mr. Viti, its Chairman, as follows:

The Stud Book Committee begs to report that since its last meeting the following applications for regis-
-tration of Kennel names has been received, and as they do not conflict with any names already registered, the Committee recommends that they be allowed:

Charlottesville Field Trial Kennels, Hobart Ames, Northwestern, Mass.
DeVon-Hill, Seth Bunker Capp, Devon, Pa.
Huron, Sanborn & Shields, Port Huron, Mich.
Nestledown, Boudinot, Keith, New York City
Also two transfers as follows:
Prospect Park, transfer from H.C. Winther to Mrs. H.C. Winther, Brooklyn, N.Y.
Hastings, transfer from A.D. Cochran to Mr. and Mrs. A.D. Cochran, Hastings on the Hudson, N.Y.

At a meeting of the Committee held on April 11th, at which all the members were present, a number of suggestions in reference to proposed changes in the methods of registration, including several proposed by E.D. Brown, of Minneapolis, Minn., was discussed, but all appeared to the Committee to be grossly impractical, and inadequate to reach better results than under our present rules. While the
Committee considered that the present rules may be inadequate, the plans submitted in no way bettered the situation, and tended simply to encumber the method of registration and subjected owner to considerable extra expense in the matter of procuring a large number of affidavits. The Committee therefore recommend that the rules continue in force as at present. In the matter of the registration of the English setter, “Doctor Mack”, the Committee have held in abeyance pending correspondence with a view of obtaining necessary information from the breeder. We have recommended that a similar disposition be made of the matter of the Great Dane, “Bertha”, and two collie bitches. We have been in active correspondence with the parties in interest, whom we believe to be in possession of the necessary information, but we have not been enabled to get what we consider sufficient facts to enable us to arrive at a satisfactory conclusion. We would also recommend that the application for the name “Oakwood” be held in abeyance until the applicant complies with all the rules of this association.

The matter of next year’s Stud Book, or the publication of next year’s Stud Book, was considered at a
Meeting held at twelve o’clock to-day. The present Stud Book is the largest that the Club has ever published, and the indications are that the volume for the current year will contain probably heretofore. The registrations to-day are coming in at a rate of a hundred per month greater than the average for any previous year. The Committee were [illegible] to recommend that the Stud Book should be published in two volumes, as that would necessitate two indices for the one year, but we find that by using a lighter paper we can publish a volume of the same size as this year and yet have twelve hundred more names in it. The sample of the paper has been submitted, and it seems to the Committee advantageous to utilize that hereafter.

Respectfully submitted.

Marcel Viti, Chairman
C.B. Knocker
Charles W. Rodman, Jr.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Now, gentlemen, the next and the last matter to come before you, will be the report of
The Rules Committee. As amendment is now before you to amend Section 3, Rule 12, covering dog shows, as follows: “It shall be the duty of dog show committees to bench all dogs of a breed together, owned and entered by one exhibitor, provided a request to do so be made on the entry form.” You heard the report of the Rules Committee read earlier in the day, in which they disapproved of the adoption of this amendment. The matter is now in your hands.

At this point Chairman Brooks resigned the Chair to Mr. Vredenburgh.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I am placed in a very peculiar and awkward position. I have been pushing this matter to a vote, backed up and endorsed by my Club, the San Francisco Kennel Club, both by letter and telegram, up to this last week, when my Club withdrew its endorsement of it, and I received a notice that they have passed a resolution that it is not good to force bench show committees to take action in the matter. Now, gentlemen, this takes the wind out of my sails. I am only a delegate of the Club. I am supposed to have no personal wishes. I have aired this as a delegate of the Club heretofore pretty fully, in favor of benching dogs together, and making it a
Rule. As I now stand, I cannot take any further steps in the matter. I shall not vote one way or the other, and I suppose it will be decided at this meeting what is to be done. I have to apologize for ever having brought the matter up.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you wish to withdraw the amendment, or do you wish to put it before the meeting?

CAPT. KNOCKER: I have no power to withdraw it. I think in justice to the Club it ought to be put before the meeting and let the delegates decide what they will do in the matter.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Hasn’t this question been up three times?

THE CHAIRMAN: This is the third time.

MR. HUNNEWELL: And every time we voted that the American Kennel Club had no jurisdiction in the matter?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that we deemed it inexpedient.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the report of the Committee be confirmed.

Motion seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: You understand what this vote
Means. If you confirm the recommendation of the Rules Committee, the proposed amendment to the rules will be declared lost. It is a great deal better, though, in the opinion of the Chair, to move the question itself, that the rules be so amended and then you vote just as you please. It takes two-thirds of the votes of this meeting to carry this amendment. That is a better way to bring it before the meeting.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that we put it before the House.

THE CHAIRMAN: You move that the amendment offered by Capt. Knocker, to wit, that it shall be the duty of dog show committees to bench all dogs of a breed together, owned and entered by one exhibitor, provided a request to do so be made on the entry form, be adopted.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Yes.

DR. FOOTE: I second the motion.

The vote on the motion resulted in the loss of the proposed amendment unanimously.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 55 LIBERTY STREET, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 20TH, 1900

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., presiding.

PRESENT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associate Member</th>
<th>Henry Jarrett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Fox Terrier Club</td>
<td>H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Dachshund Club</td>
<td>Dr. C. Motschenbacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Spaniel Club</td>
<td>Marcel A. Viti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly Bench Show Association</td>
<td>Dudley E. Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull Dog Club of America</td>
<td>Tyler Morse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collie Club of America</td>
<td>James Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Owners Protective Association of Cincinnati</td>
<td>Charles W. Rodman, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania</td>
<td>G.M. Carnochan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Dane Club of America</td>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Terrier Club</td>
<td>S. Van Schiack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascoutah Kennel Club</td>
<td>C.F.R. Drake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Kennel Club</td>
<td>Dr. H.T. Foote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointer Club of America</td>
<td>George Jarvis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Kennel Club</td>
<td>C.B. Knocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco &amp; San Mateo Agricultural Association</td>
<td>R.P. Keasbey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee Kennel Club</td>
<td>D.D. Jennings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Bernard Club of California</td>
<td>J.L. Arden</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The report of the Membership Committee was read, as follows:

"New York, September 19, 1900

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee,
Held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting on credentials of delegates, filed with the secretary, your Committee would respectfully recommend the acceptance of the following:

James Mortimer to represent the Ladies Kennel Association.
D.D. Jennings to represent the Milwaukee Kennel Club.
F.S. Stedman to represent the American Pomeranian Club.
J.L. Arden to represent the St. Bernard Club of California.
R.P. Keasbey to represent the San Francisco and San Mateo Agricultural Association.
S.D. Brandt to represent the Hoosier Poultry & Kennel Association.

Respectfully submitted, H.T. Foote
C.B. Knocker
S. Van Schaick

On motion, the report was accepted and placed on file, and the delegates named therein duly elected by ballot.
It was moved and seconded that the reading of the minutes of the last meeting be dispensed with and that they be accepted as published in the May Gazette.

MR. VREDENBURGH: Before that is done, I would like to state that in my report I call attention to what I consider an error in those minutes, and I would like to have those minutes laid over until the matter can be properly reached.

Motion to accept the minutes as published, withdrawn.

The secretary read his report, as follows:

“New York, September 19, 1900

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

Since the last quarterly meeting four applications for admission to active membership have been filed, and in accordance with the ruling of this club at its meeting held February 19, 1900, a mail vote of the Executive Board was taken, which resulted in the unanimous election of the San Francisco and San Mateo Agricultural Society, West Virginia Exposition & State Fair Association and the Vicksburgh Fox Terrier Club.

I have submitted all matters under the jurisdiction of the Stud Book Committee and the Membership Committee to the respective committees, and their reports will be present-
Ed in the usual manner. At the last quarterly meeting of this Club a resolution was adopted by which the Secretary of the Northwestern Kennel Club was reprimanded for violation of the rules. Said resolution was improperly worded, as the person who it was undoubtedly meant to reach was the ex-secretary of that club. Mr. E.D. Brown, ex-secretary of said club, had appealed and protests against said reprimand, and I respectfully ask that the whole matter may be taken up at this meeting and finally settled, as my veracity in the case has been questioned.

The Executive Board at its meeting held June 27, 1900, passed the following resolution regarding the present organization of the Northwestern Kennel Club, to wit: “It is the sense of this Board that two separate clubs are acting under one title, and that the same is contrary to American Kennel Club usage and prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club.” A copy of said resolution was mailed to W.A. Moore, Secretary, who replied under date of July 20, 1900, denying the allegation of the Executive Board, and asked for particulars. My reply was mailed July 26, 1900, to which no reply has been received. In this connection I would respectfully ask for an interpretation of the resolution of the Executive Board for my future guidance.

Charles Busch was suspended by the Philadelphia Dog Show Association for insulting one of its judges, and said action was duly reported to the
American Kennel Club at its meeting of February 19, 1900, at which time the American Kennel Club changed the suspension to disqualification. Busch now appeals for re-instatement.

The Executive Board passed a resolution to the effect that if W.B. Wells, of Chatham, Canada, did not return the prize money illegally held by him, to the Westminster Kennel Club on or before July 27, 1900, he shall stand permanently disqualified for fraudulent conduct. On July 27, 1900, the Westminster Kennel Club notified me that said Wells had failed to return said money, upon receipt of which I disqualified him in accordance with the orders of the Executive Board.

Complaint has been filed by the Montebello Kennels against the Kansas City Kennel Club for its failure to pay over two silver cups, won by said Montebello Kennels. Notice was sent to the Secretary of the Kansas City Kennel Club on August 23, 1900, requesting it to give the matter its prompt attention. No reply has been received by this Club.
Charges have been filed by the St. Louis Kennel Club against George Morris, Jr., Mexico, Mo.; Joseph Meisenheimer, Milwaukee, Wis.; A.M. Roth, St. Louis, Mo.; Charles L. Krause, St. Louis, Mo. And D.P. Redd, Milwaukee, Wis. for their refusal to refund prize money paid for winnings that had been subsequently cancelled by the American Kennel Club. The complaint sets forth that repeated requests had been made of these persons for the return of said money, but without success. I have called upon them to show cause why they should not be disqualified. One of the parties accused, Joseph Meisenheimer, has deposited with this Club the amount claimed by said St. Louis Kennel Club, together with his protest, which will be submitted to you.

For my own protection I am obligated to report that the California State Fair Association and the West Virginia State Fair Association published a certificate of their classification and their winners class ratings over my signature, without having received such a certificate, and wholly without my knowledge or consent. The first named club published a rating of five points when it was only entitled to one point, and both clubs published said cer-
tificate without having claimed dates, without having made the necessary deposit, and without having submitted their classification for approval. The omission have been rectified, and were apparently due to a misunderstanding of the rules. I hold, however, that it is rather serious to publish an official notice over an official signature that has never been obtained.

The Pacific Advisory Committee has filed a request to be furnished with a complete file of the Stud Book.

The Public Library of Boston has filed a request for a donation of a set of the Stud Books for Volume VIII to date.

Since writing the above report I have received replies from George A. Morris, Jr., A.M. Roth, Charles L. Krause, in defense of the charges filed by the St. Louis Kennel Club.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s Report was read as follows:

“New York, September 19, 1900

To The American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:

I herewith submit my quarterly report:

Balance on hand January 1, 1900  $6,824.33
Receipt from January 1, 1900 to date 8,884.54
15,708.87

Disbursement since January 1, 1900 8,391.57
Balance on hand $7,317.30

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the proceedings of the Executive Board of June 27, 1900, was read, which was published in the July Gazette, and on motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:

“New York, September 20, 1900

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

Your Committee beg to report that they have, at a meeting this day held, allowed the following prefixes:
Columbia, to Dr. & Mrs. Jennings
Blue Grass, to Nelson J. Edwards
Claybrook, to Arthur Perrin
Fernleigh, to F. Ambrose Clark
Plumeria, to E.C. Plumb
Stafford, to Henry D. Riley
The Duffers, to E. Hicks Herrick
Venlo Farm, to L.A. Klein

Your Committee also grant to P.J. Peckham and to William C. Augheimbauch, to the former the right to register with the prefix of Royal-Kreuger, and to the latter the prefix of Royal-Nugget, upon their severally registering the names as kennel prefixes, using a hyphen in each case.

In the matter of Charles W. Gilbert your Committee direct the Secretary to notify said Gilbert that he must return the certificate of pedigree sent to him, and upon receipt of same a new certificate will be forwarded to him, and in case of his refusal so to do, your Committee recommend his disqualification.

Your Committee report progress with relation to the matters of the English Setter bitch of Pet of Vassar and Great Dane bitch Bertha, and expect to report in full upon same at next meeting.

C.W. Rodman, Jr., Secretary
On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Report of the special meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee was read, as follows:

“Special Meeting Pacific Advisory Committee, A.K.C. August 17th, 1900.

PRESENT:  H.H. Carlton, M.D. Allen, J.P. Norman
ABSENT:  J.E. De Ruyter, Dr. E.N. Lowry

The meeting was called to order in Room 90, Palace Hotel, San Francisco, by the Secretary, J.P. Norman.

Moved Carlton, and seconded that Mr. Allen take the chair.

Carried.

Mr. Allen then took the chair. The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

Moved (Carlton) and seconded, that as it appears to this Committee that Dr. E.N. Lowry, by reason of physical disability and consequent absence from the city, is unable to attend the meetings of this Committee, and that this Committee is thereby seriously embarrassed in the performance of its duties, be it therefore.

RESOLVED, that this Committee does hereby declare vacant the position of the said Dr. Lowry, by reason of physical disability and consequent absence from the city, is unable to attend the meetings of this Committee, and that this Committee is thereby seriously embarrassed in the performance of its duties, be it therefore.

RESOLVED, that this Committee does hereby declare vacant the position of the said Dr. Lowry, and the Committee hereby extends to him its best hopes for his speedy and complete recovery.
Moved (Carlton) that Arthur J. Allen be nominated to the A.K.C. to fill the vacancy.

Moved (Norman) that R.H. Rountree be nominated to the A.K.C. On the statement of the chair that the Executive of the A.K.C. preferred to see the Committee constitution in part at least of members who were not affiliated with clubs, Mr. Norman withdrew the name of Mr. Rounree and seconded the nomination of Mr. A.J. Allen. Mr. A.J. Allen was nominated unanimously to the American Kennel Club for appointment on this Committee.

The following letter was read by the Secretary:

“Aromas, Monterey County, Cal. March 18, 1900

To J.P. Norman, Esq.
Sec. P.C.A. Board, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

My attention has been drawn to a report of the P.C.A.B. in last month’s A.K.C. Gazette. The report states that Pacific Kennel League Clubs have published in their premium lists an article regarding a ten show circuit of P.K.L. Clubs, and a one show circuit of A.K.C. Clubs. I regret to inform you that that statement is absolutely untrue and is likely to injure P.K.L. Clubs. The article in
Should be ignored by the A.K.C. And it is further resolved that the above communication be placed on file.

The following communication was read by the Secretary:

“San Francisco, June 20, 1900

To J.P. Norman,
Sec. P.C.A.C, A.K.C.

Dear Sir:

At several meetings of the delegates of the Specialty Clubs of this coast held recently, discussion as to the limited powers of your Committee was had and the unanimous opinion is that your Committee should be empowered with authority to receive and act upon applications for membership to the A.K.C. of all clubs upon Pacific Coast, to grant dates for the holding of shows and to pass upon the premium lists submitted. There has been some suggestion made as to provisional registration. This is a matter that requires thoughtful consideration, and we are not prepared to offer any advice upon the matter at present. The Pacific Coast, as you know, has been unfortunate for the past three years in not having any A.K.C. shows outside San Francisco. At present there are prospects this fall of two shows under its rules, Sacramento and Tanforan. The princi-
Pal opposition to the A.K.C. upon this coast has been made upon the ground that there was no authority vested in your Committee that was of any moment or consequence, and that we were ruled by a New York clique. Now, if the A.K.C. take a favorable view of those matters and grants the changes asked, it will strengthen its organization upon this coast and give no reason for the continuance of the Pacific Kennel League.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) J.B. Martin

Chairman Special Clubs’ Delegate

Moved and seconded that a copy of the above communication from Mr. J.B. Martin be transmitted to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, and that it is the sense of this Committee that this Committee be empowered to receive applications for membership, to pass upon premium lists submitted, and to receive such further powers as may tend to benefit the interests of the A.K.C. upon this coast. And it is further the sense of this Committee that the matter of registration should be dealt with in the same manner as at present, as there is nothing, in the opinion of the Committee, that would necessitate any radical change from the method at present in vogue. Carried unanimously.
In the matter of the appeal of A.F. Colvin.

From the decision of the bench show committee reversing the decision of Judge Mortimer in the matter of the cup offered by the Pacific Coast Field Trials Club for the best pointer or setter in the Field Trials Class, as per the complaint of Mr. Colvin on file, it appears that no conditions were specified as to the said class in the premium list of the Fourth Annual Bench Show of the San Francisco Kennel Club, and that in answer to an inquiry from Mr. Colvin, he received from the office of the S.F.K.C. a letter signed J.P. Norman, per B.T.B., which was written by Mr. Bromfield, a paid attaché of the Club, who stated that he wrote it at the dictation of D.J. Sinclair, the official clerk of the Show; this letter stating that the Field Trials Class was open to any dog that had been entered in any Field Trial regardless as to whether it had been placed or not; it appears further from the record that the Bench Show Committee of the S.F.K.C. confirmed the award to Mr. Colvin’s pointer bitch, Lady C. over the protest of J.W. Flinn, the owner of the reserve dog, Senator P., and that the said Bench Show Committee did illegally reverse their decision. Therefore, be it resolved that this Committee decides that the decision of the judge should stand, and that Lady C. is rightfully
Entitled to the cup, and the Secretary is hereby instructed to request the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club to place Mr. Colvin in possession of the same. The deposit of ten ($10.00) dollars on this appeal reverts to the appellant. Carried unanimously.

As it appears to this Committee that the management of the Sacramento Show have announced five points in their premium lists, whereas the Show as an initial one is only entitled to one point, it is the sense of the Committee that this mistake should be called to the prompt attention of the Secretary of the A.K.C., who, it is known to this Committee, has granted to the said Show, subject to release, a certificate for one point; and the Secretary of the A.K.C. is requested to take such steps as will rectify the error made by the Sacramento management. It is further the sense of this Committee that the present is an instance in point where authority to handle such errors would redound if placed in the hands of this Committee to the interests of the A.K.C. in particular, and to the dog interests of the Pacific Coast in general.

There being no further business the Committee, on motion, adjourned.

Attest,

J.P. Norman, Secretary
The Secretary read an appeal from E.D. Brown from the reprimand imposed upon him as Secy of NWK Club.

MR. VREDEBURGH: In my report at the last quarterly meeting I made the following statement:

“President Belmont felt obliged to undo the action of Mr. E.D. Brown, who took it upon himself to suspend two persons for the non-payment of a personal debt. Mr. Brown was Secretary of the Northwestern Kennel Club, and after its show in 1899 advanced to W.A. Hodgins the sum of $25. Said amount was not repaid, and nearly one year after suspended and disqualified said Hodgins and W.R. Dobson, and took it upon himself to notify the Chicago Show of their suspension and disqualification. President Belmont suspended the penalty and directed me to wire Chicago of his action, and to report the case to the meeting.”

I also beg to quote from the official minutes of said meeting the following:

“The Chairman: The next question is relative to the action taken by the President in regard to the suspension of Messrs. Hodgins and Dobson by the Northwestern Kennel Club.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I submitted this case to Mr. Belmont. Mr. Belmont removed the suspension at once, and directed me to wire Chicago that the suspension imposed by
The Northwestern Kennel Club was inoperative, and to notify Mr. Brown to the same effect. This is the first case I ever heard of where notices of suspension were sent around to all the different clubs by any other club except the American Kennel Club. The matter is now before you for your determination. The question is, is it proper for this Club to be organized that way? It is practically two clubs.

Dr. Foote: I move that his action be sustained.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: It seems to me we should take some action as to whether we are going to allow the secretary of any club to send information to other clubs about what they have done in the way of suspension.

After some discussion it was declared that it was the sense of the meeting that the Secretary of the Northwestern Kennel Club should be reprimanded for his violation of the rules in taking it upon himself to forward notices of suspension to other clubs, as such notices can only be sent out by the American Kennel Club, but no action will be taken at this meeting, as it is under the constitution, a matter that must be acted upon by the Executive Board.”

Mr. Brown denies the truthfulness of my statements, and appeals. He inundated this office with so many letters,
And couched them in such an offensive manner that I at last was obliged to decline to reply to any further communications from him, and turned his subsequent letters over to Mr. Watson, who was likewise obliged to discontinue the correspondence. The matter has now resolved itself into a question of veracity between Brown and myself, and I now propose to submit to you the papers on which I based my report of last May and my remarks at same meeting, and ask this meeting to declare whether my statements were statements of fact or otherwise. In the first place, I beg to submit the Minneapolis catalogue of 1899, by which it will appear that the show was held Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday, March 21st, 22nd, 23rd and 24th.

Second: I submit Brown’s letter of March 2nd, 1900, in which he says: “One W.A. Hodgins arrived at Minneapolis, as he claimed ‘busted’. He hoped to win enough at our Show to get him and his outfit back home, but when he cam to settle, we were owing him $4.75 only, which we paid him.” I submit that the payment of above amount on the closing day of the show, and that said show did close on Friday night, March 24th 1899, that all official connection between the Northwestern Kennel Club and its exhibitors ended. In same letter Brown continues: “The next day, Saturday, and depend-
Ing on his (Hodgins) representatives as to financial standing of Dobson (owner of some of Hodgins’ dogs) we paid Hodgins $25, and took his receipt. We have been trying ever since to collect of Dobson and Hodgins, and they simply give us the laugh.” I submit that I stated the fact when I reported that the unpaid loan was a personal debt, it having been contracted one day after the closing of the show, and after all official connection between the Club and its exhibitors had ceased.

Third: In same letter Brown notifies the A.K.C. “That by virtue of Rules 18 and 19, the Bench Show Committee of the Northwestern Kennel Club has disqualified and suspended both of the above parties, and I have this day sent notice to that effect to the Mascoutah Club. If the matter is not settled at once we propose also to send similar notice to other clubs that are to hold shows this season.”

Rules 18 and 19 of the A.K.C. are as follows:

“XVIII: A person or club guilty of misconduct of any kind in connection with dogs, dog shows of field trials may be suspended by the President of the American Kennel Club, or in his absence by the Vice-President, or by the Committee of the show or trial where the offense was committed. The disqualification or suspension of an owner shall apply to all dogs owned by him or connected with the perpetration of a fraudulent act, and no dog so disqualified is eligible for entry at any show under any ownership.”
“XIX: No person under suspension or sentence of disqualification can make an entry, exhibit or take a prizes act as agent for an exhibitor, or take a dog in the judging ring at any show held by a member of the American Kennel Club. The privileges of the Stud Book are withheld from all persons under suspension of disqualification, for registration of dogs owned or bred by them.”

I also quote Rule XIV. Under which clubs can act:

“XIV: In the event of the suspension by a Show Committee of any person for misconduct as provided for in Rule XVIII. Of the Dog Show rules, notice of such suspension shall be forwarded within twenty-four hours ot the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, who shall at once notify the President, or in his absence the Vice-President, who shall investigate the case, and if he see fit, suspend the penalty until the next meeting of the Executive Board. The Executive Board must, at its first meeting thereafter, either remove the suspension or impose a penalty of disqualification for such period as it may decide upon.”

I submit that the Northwestern Kennel Club, through its Secretary, violated the rules above quoted, first, in disqualifying, a penalty that can only be imposed by the American Kennel Club itself; and second, by sending notices to other bench show clubs, a prerogative only to be assumed by the American Kennel Club.

Fourth: I have evidence that E.D. Brown, Secretary, did notify the Mascoutah Club, and have his statement that he would notify all other shows, as above quoted.

Fifth: The letter from which above quotation are taken is signed “E.D. Brown, Secy. Northwestern Kennel Club, 1899.”
Sixth: I beg to submit a letter dated March 2, 1900, to the Secretary Mascoutah Kennel Club, as follows: “This is to notify you that Mr. W.R. Dobson, 299 Woodward Avenue, Detroit, Mich., and W.A. Hodgins, Circle P.O., Oakland County, Mich., have been suspended and disqualified to enter, exhibit, or act as agent for any exhibitor, or take any dog in the ring at any show held by a member of the A.K.C. The above suspension and disqualification is made by the Bench Show Committee of the Northwestern Kennel Club by virtue of the provisions made in Rules XVIII. And XIX. of A.K.C. Rules governing dog shows.” This notice is signed by William B. MacLean, Chairman, and by E.D. Brown, Secretary. As no year is stated other than the date the notice was written, to wit, March 2, 1900, it is to be presumed that Brown was then Secretary of the Club.

Seventh: I beg to submit a letter signed by W.S. Moore, Secretary, dated February 16, 1900, which is fourteen days prior to Brown’s notice of March 2, 1900, signed as secretary; also another letter dated March 31, 1900, signed W.A. Moore, Secretary, which is twenty-nine days subsequent to Brown’s notice of March 2, 1900, signed as Secretary, which is presumptive evidence that Brown exceeded his authority in sending out any official document signed as secre-
Eighth: I also submit that at the annual election for offices of the Northwestern Kennel Club all unfinished business should have been turned over to the new officers, for any official act of the Club. This is usage.

Ninth: I also submit that after new officers and committees have been elected in any organization, to call together the members of any committee that has ceased to exist, and for said committee to attempt to exercise any official act, the same is illegal and void. And in like manner, for any person to sign an alleged official paper as an officer when he has ceased to hold that office, is reprehensible, and should subject such a person to severe discipline.

I now beg to hand to your Chairman all of the papers referred to in my statement so that he may verify them and attest that my statements have been solely statements of fact, and leave the whole question of veracity between Mr. Brown and myself in your hands.

MR. VITI: I move that the minutes of the meeting of May, 1900, be so amended as to read that E.D. Brown should be reprimanded for his violation of the rules in taking it upon himself to forward notices of suspension to other clubs, as such notice can only be sent out by the American Kennel
And that the statements made at the May meeting by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club have been found to be fully substantiated by the evidence submitted; also that a copy of the evidence read at this meeting be sent to Mr. Brown.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. RODMAN: I move that the minutes of the last meeting be accepted as amended and placed on file.

Motion seconded and carried.

In regard to the request of the Secretary for an interpretation of the resolution passed by the Executive Board at its meeting held on June 27, 1900, that “It is the sense of this Board that two separate clubs are acting under one title, and that the same is contrary to A.K.C. usage, and prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club,” it was, after some discussion, moved and seconded that the Secretary be instructed to send out his bills as usual.

Seconded and carried.

Concerning the appeal from Charles Busch, Mr. Vredenburgh said: I wrote Mr. Busch acknowledging the receipt of his appeal, and that the original action was taken by the Philadelphia Dog Show Association, and that that was the club
That was insulted, and if he cared to make an apology it should be made to that club. However, here is his appeal; it has never been withdrawn and I submit it.

MR. VITI: Mr. Busch wrote a letter to the Philadelphia Dog Show Association on, I think, the week commencing September 10\textsuperscript{th}, this year, in which he said he desired to apologize to the American Kennel Club for his ungentlemanly behavior at our show. I thought that that was a very meritorious desire, but inasmuch as he had not apologized to the Philadelphia Dog Show Association, to our steward or judge, I wrote him that I could not see how under those circumstances he could expect me to intercede for him. He then wrote me again on September 15\textsuperscript{th}, a letter which I received on the 17\textsuperscript{th}, saying that he had apologized to the Philadelphia Dog Show Association. The offense occurred in November of last year. I acknowledged the receipt of the letter, and at the same time I wrote to Mr. Muss-Arnolt telling him that inasmuch as he was one of the persons who was offended jointly with the Association, I felt it would be improper for the Association to take any action in the premises whatsoever until we had heard from Mr. Arnolt. I have not as yet received a letter in reply, excepting to-day Mr. Arnolt told me he had a letter on file, and that he thought
That would answer both purpose. I did not know the contents of Mr. Arnolt’s letter until Mr. Vredenburgh read it. This sentence was imposed by the Bench Show Committee. A number of our members are still absent upon their summer vacation, and as I told Mr. Busch, I would bring it to the attention of the Committee at its next meeting. As an individual I certainly do not wish to express any opinion. The letter has only been in my hands since Monday of this week.

On motion of Mr. Rodman, the matter was laid on the table until some action can be taken by the Philadelphia Dog Show Association.

The charges filed by the St. Louis Kennel Club against George Morris, Jr., Josephe Meisenheimer, A.M. Roth, Mrs. G.H. Goddard, Charles L. Krause and D.P. Redd for their refusal to refund prize money paid for winnings that had been subsequently cancelled by the American Kennel Club, were then considered, and on motion of Mr. Carnochan were referred to the Executive Board.

In relation to the complaint filed by the Montebello Kennels against the Kansas City Kennel Club for failure to pay over two silver cups won by said Montebello Kennels, Mr. Carnochan moved that the Kansas City Kennel Club be given thirty days in which to pay those prizes, and if not paid
within what time said Club should be disqualified.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I want to bring up this matter of the California State Fair Association and West Virginia State Fair Association. As I reported, they published my certificate over my signature at the top of their classification, when they had never claimed dates, had not paid their deposits, had not sent their classification for approval, and had not received my approval. The California Club published their rating as five points. On my return from my vacation I received a letter from a gentleman in which he said he refused to send his entries to Tanforan because they rated only one point, but that he did go to the expense of sending his dogs to Sacramento because there he could get five points. He asked me if I would be kind enough to inform him why I discriminated between the two shows, both being initial shows, and both being probably about the same sized shows. Well, I could not answer him; I didn’t know anything about it; but it appears that during my absence on my vacation that this office received a communication on the subject from somebody else, and we immediately sent a letter to the Sacramento Show, and they published that letter, which set the exhibitors straight on the subject that
They would only win one point if they won there. The Wheeling Show, at West Virginia, wrote me that they supposed that an old club like it of twenty years standing would not be required to claim their dates and pay their deposit, and supposed that that was only intended for a club that was gotten up by a lot of gentlemen who were inexperienced in dog show matters, and that some secretary of a club, I think the Milwaukee Club, had sent them their premium list and told them they had better copy that and they copies it, and copied it with my certificate. They wanted to know how they could square the matter. I told them they would have to claim the dates, make a deposit and then I would report the matter here. I received their claim for dates and their deposit just one day before their show opened, which was probably a week after their entries closed. I am perfectly willing to admit that this action on their part was due to a misapprehension of the rules, but it is rather serious to have such a certificate appear over my signature, because people might think I was discriminating. I want to make it a matter of record that these certificates were published without my knowledge or consent. I don’t know whether you want to do anything in the matter or not.

MR. WATERS: It would be rather hard on the exhibit-
ors if all wins were to be disqualified.

DR. FOOTE: I move that the two clubs be censured and cautioned.
Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDEBURGH: The Pacific Advisory Board had asked for a complete file of the Stud Book. I cannot give it to them, as Volume V. is out of print.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I move that their request be granted so far as possible by sending all we have.
Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDEBURGH: The Publish Library of Boston asks for a donation of Volume VIII. and all volumes published since of the American Kennel Club Stud Book.

MR. WATERS: I move that it is the sense of this Club that it makes no further donations of the Stud Book.
Motion seconded and carried.

On motion of Mr. Carnochan the meeting resolved itself into a committee of the whole.

Upon reassembling Mr. Vredenburgh reported as follows:

Mr. Chairman, as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole I beg to report that it was moved, seconded and carried that the chair appoint a committee of three, of which the Secretary of the American Kennel Club shall be one, to consider a project that was debated by the
committee of the whole and confer with the parties in interest, and report later on the result of its consideration and conference.

Mr. Carnochan moved that the report of the Committee of the Whole be now brought up for action, and the same was seconded and carried, and the chair appointed Mr. Rodman and Mr. Carnochan in connection with Mr. Vredenburgh as the special committee recommended by the Committee of the Whole.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD
AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET.
December 18th 1900
PRESENT:

Associate Member  H.K. Bloodgood
American Spaniel Club  Marcel A. Viti
Brunswick Fur Club  L.W. Campbell
Collie Club of America  James Watson
Dog Owners Protective Association
Of Cincinnati  Charles W. Rodman, Jr.
Duquesne Kennel Club of
Western Pennsylvania  G.M. Carnochan
Irish Terrier Club of America  Singleton Van Schaick
Metropolitan Kennel Club  H.T. Foote
National Beagle Club  Jas. W. Appleton
New England Beagle Club  John Caswell
Philadelphia Dog Show Association  Louis A. Biddle
Pointer Club of America  George Jarvis
San Francisco and San Mateo
Agricultural Association  Rowland P. Keasbey
St. Bernard Club of California  J. Lorillard Arden
The Ladies’ Kennel Association  Jas. Mortimer
In the absence of the President and Vice President, Capt. Knocker nominated Mr. Vredenburgh as the Chairman of the meeting.

Nomination seconded and carried.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Membership Committee report was read as follows:

New York, Dec. 17, 1900

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

At a meeting of the Membership Committee, held this day, for the purpose of examining and reporting on applications for membership, and credentials of delegates, filed with the Secretary, your committee would respectfully recommend the acceptance of the following:

--APPLICATIONS FOR MEMBERSHIP—

Memphis Kennel Club
Scottish Terrier Club of America
To take effect January 1, 1901

--CREDENTIALS OF DELEGATES—

B.S. Smith, to represent the Welsh Terrier Club
Frank R. Story “ “ “ Chicago Pet Dog Club
Respectfully submitted –

H.T. Foote, Ch.)
: Membership

S. Van Schiack )
: Committee

C.B. Knocker )

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file, and the Clubs applying for admission elected; also the gentlemen named therein, presenting credentials to represent the Club therein mentioned were elected as such.

The Secretary then read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, Dec. 17, 1900

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:-

Since the last quarterly meeting four applications for admission to active membership have been filed, two of which, namely, the Louisiana Kennel Club, and the Welsh Terrier Club were duly elected by the Executive Board at its meeting held Nov. 14, 1900. The other applications, and the credentials for delegates will be duly reported at this meeting by the Membership Committee. Applications for the registration of six Kennel names will be reported at this meeting by the Stud Book Committee.

I beg to report the reception of a resignation, from the Butterfly Bench Show Association, and would recommend the acceptance of same, as said club is now in good standing, having discharged all obligations to the A.K.C. to Dec. 31st,
The Ladies Kennel Association applies to have its title changed to that of “THE LADIES KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA”, to prevent any confusion or conflict with the name of one of our members, Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts. I recommend the change as requested.

The officers of the Kansas City Kennel Club were duly disqualified at our last meeting, for having failed to pay special prizes to the Montebello Kennels and to L. Loring Brooks. These prizes have been paid and notice to that effect filed by the parties who made the charges. An official notice from the Kansas City Kennel Club to the effect that all prizes have been paid, has been filed with this office. I would recommend that the appeal for reinstatement be granted.

An appeal from William Routley for reinstatement has been filed, and his letter will be duly submitted at this meeting. I believe that the appellant has been fully punished for his offense and would recommend his reinstatement.

I have a notice from the Philadelphia Dog Show Association that so far as it related to that Club, it has removed the suspension it imposed against Charles Busch. Said Busch was disqualified by the A.K.C. by virtue of his suspension by the Philadelphia Dog Show Association, and I would recommend his reinstatement by the A.K.C. at this meeting.
A. R. Castagner has filed charges against the Northwestern Kennel Club for non-payment of Seven Dollars ($7.00) on account of prizes won at its late show. By reason of cancellation made by the A.K.C., Castagner’s dogs were moved up under the rules, and his demands on the Northwestern Kennel Club of the moneys due him, have not been honored.

The Philadelphia Dog Show Association has filed a notice with the A.K.C. that its Bench Show Committee has suspended Edwin H. Morris for conduct at its show prejudicial to the best interests of dog shows. This meeting must either remove said suspension, or change same to disqualification. In either case either party has the right of appeal.

The Cleveland Kennel Club duly claimed Feb. 26, 27, 28, and Mar. 1st, 1901, for a Dog Show, and made the necessary deposit. Under date of Dec. 9, 1900, said Club applied for a cancellation of said dates, it being its intention not to hold a show during 1901. Other clubs have claimed dates based upon the dates granted Cleveland. I beg to request instructions relative to the amount deposited here, with the original claim.

Mr. H.H. Carlton, has appealed against my decision in cancelling the award given to his Boston Terrier Tremont (47976), shown at the last San Francisco show as Oakside Tremont (47976). Said cancellation was based upon the fact that there was no registration of a Boston Terrier under the name of Oakside Tremont No. 47976. One reason of said
appeal is that said dogs win the year previous, was allowed by me, although similar circumstances prevailed. That fact has been acknowledged by me. The win of 1899 was permitted to stand, owning to the non-discovery by this office of the error. The question I take it, has been settled by former rulings of this Club that giving a registered dog a prefix after such registration is a change of name, and no change of name is permitted after a registration have been published in any volume of the Stud Book. The question as I understand it, shall the win of said dog be reinstated, or shall it remain cancelled, and if the latter, what disposition shall be made of the win of 1899 that was overlooked by this office.

The Pacific Advisory Committee has rendered a Bill for disbursements from July to December, amounting to Eleven 40/100 Dollars ($11.40) which requires your approval or otherwise.

In closing my report, I beg to congratulate the A.K.C. upon the most successful year in its history. All records have been broken, and the condition of the Club is in a most satisfactory state.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Treasurer read his report, as follows:

New York, Dec. 17, 1900

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:-

I hereby submit my quarterly report:-

Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1900 ---------------------------- $ 6,824.33
Receipts from Jan. 1, 1900, to date --------------------- 11,909.68
  Total.                                           18,734.01
Disbursements since Jan. 1, 1900 --------------------- 10,552.83
  Balance on hand $ 8,181.18

All bills for Active and Associate dues for 1901, were duly mailed on Nov. 30, 1900.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

It was moved and seconded that the minutes of the meeting of the Executive Board be accepted as published in the Gazette.

Carried.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:-

The Stud Book Committee beg leave to report as follows:

Applications have been duly made for the registration of Kennel names as follows:-

- Bayside  G.S. Haliwell, Wollaston, Mass
- Crosswicks  Mr. & Mrs. Clement B. Newbold, Phila
- Lorna  Dr. Leon H. Jastremski
- Massasoit  Fred. A. Bearse & Chanming de Frate
- Montez  J.P. Norman, San Francisco
- Vancroft  J.B. Vandergrift, Pittsburgh

As they do not conflict with any names already registered your committee recommend that they be allowed.

Application has been made for the transfer of the name Lemington from J.B. Vandergrift to E.P. Young of Pittsburgh, and as the transfer has received the Endorsement of Mr. Vandergrift, your committee recommends that the transfer be allowed.

In reference to other matters pending before the committee, the committee beg to report that they have not concluded the correspondence necessary to a proper investigation of the same, and therefore ask that action be deferred until the next
Meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Stud Book Committee
C.W. Rodman, Jr.
Marvel A. Viti
C.B. Knocker

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

The report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was read, as follows:-

Adjourned Special Meeting of the Pacific Coast Special Committee of the American Kennel Club, Held in Room 61, Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, November 23, 1900.

Minutes of previous meeting read and adopted.

The Secretary read the following communication from the American Kennel Club:
New York, November 15, 1900

Mr. J.P. Norman, Secretary,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:-

I beg to advise you that at the meeting of the Executive Board held the 14th inst., that Mr. A.J. Allen, as nominated by your committee, was duly appointed as a member of said committee in place of Dr. E.N. Lowry, resigned. Your request for a greater scope of authority and more power to be accorded to your committee was carefully discussed but the Board did not deem it expedient to accede to said request.

Yours truly,
(Signed) American Kennel Club,
By
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion, the letter was ordered on file, and it was resolved that a copy of same be placed on the minutes.

Mr. Merton C. Allen read the following communication to the committee:

Mr. Chairman, and Fellow Members of the Committee:

It occurs to me at this time that there is occasion for some decided action on the part of this committee. The present grant of power which we hold from the A.K.C. is utterly insufficient to justify our existence. We exert in
Dog affairs on the Pacific Coast no influence of substantial value to the interests we are supposed to subserve. We represent in theory a large and important territory holding claims upon the interest and attention of the A.K.C. In point of fact, however, we are so circumscribed in authority that in the eyes of dog owners and exhibitors we appeal as mere figure-heads. Our position is anomalous and unsatisfactory. Instead of promoting harmony between the A.K.C. and dog owners on the Pacific Coast the mere fact of the committee’s existence under existing conditions accentuates and aggravates points of differences between the A.K.C. and a great body of dog fanciers, differences, which, under a different arrangement, might be readily adjusted.

It does not please me, Mr. Chairman, that this committee should continue to act under such conditions. Unless this committee can be put in position to profitably serve dog interests on the Pacific Coast it should go out of existence. After nearly two years of trial we are in position to thoroughly understand the situation. We know that never since the creation of this committee has it been able to serve the commendable purposes for which it was called into being. For this fact we are in no wise responsible. We lack power to accomplish for kennel interests on the Pacific Coast that which interests demand and require. The sooner we recognize that fact the better for this committee and the A.K.C.
Mr. Chairman, in justice to kennel interests, we should present the existing condition fairly to the A.K.C. We should ask that our existence be justified by more positive authority, or, failing in that request, that the A.K.C. terminate our existence as a committee and take to itself the imaginary authority we are supposed to hold. The sympathy of the members of this committee with the A.K.C. is well known. We are anxious to serve the interests of the organization in every manner possible but at the same time we are not able to close our eyes to the physical facts by which we are confronted. Both in theory and fact the committee stands for all that the A.K.C. is on the Pacific Coast. Candor and truth, however, call for an admission that because the committee is small in power and authority the A.K.C. appears small and is subjected to a petty opposition and rivalry for which under a more generous arrangement there would be not cause or excuse.

Let us not, Mr. Chairman, and Fellow Members, deceive ourselves as to the truth. Let us recognize the fact that the Pacific Advisory Committee, as now organized, is of no substantial value to the A.K.C. or to dog interests on the Pacific Coast. To be of real value this committee should be to all intents and purposes the A.K.C. on the Pacific Coast. It should have power to do on this Coast all things that the A.K.C. can do. This proposition carried with it the idea that the committee should receive and act upon applications for dates, receive for transmission to the A.K.C.
(subject to confirmation) registrations and fees for listing approved rules of bench show clubs, -- in fact have original and complete jurisdiction in all matters connected with and arising out of kennel affairs on the Pacific Coast, subject of course to the rules of the A.K.C. and to such right to appeal as may be necessary at any time to correct error and mistake.

The geographical distance separating the Pacific Coast from New York renders it impossible that the A.K.C. exercise direct control, through its general officers, over kennel affairs in the far West. That has been proved in the past by various happenings which have contributed to the embarrassment of Coast shows. The A.K.C. has made mistakes due entirely to a lack of knowledge and has thereby jeopardized its own interests, and paved with obstructions the pathway of supposed usefulness mapped out for this committee. It is justly claimed that the kennel interests of the Pacific Coast are of such character and importance as to justify a reasonable measure of home government. Exhibitors demand that to the fullest extent possible their interests be governed here and their rights determined by men able to act promptly and with full knowledge of all the facts involved.

I have in mind, Mr. Chairman, two cases arising out of the last San Francisco show. In one case a win was cancelled because a registered dog was shown under a name other than a registered name; in the other case the Secretary of the
A.K.C. cancelled the win of a dog because, through a clerical error on the part of the show management, the name of the dog was omitted from the listing blank forwarded to the A.K.C.

As to the merits of these cases there need be no argument. In the first case the Secretary of the A.K.C. was technically correct. His decision came in such a way, however, as to leave room for bad feeling. Had the case been decided here in the same way, by a local committee fully understanding the equities, all feelings would have been avoided. The owner of the dog would have been made to fully realize the legal aspect of the situation and would have been able to accept the committee’s judgment without question.

The moral of the second case is none the less apparent. If the Pacific Coast Committee were allowed to accept listings for all shows within its jurisdiction such mistakes could not occur; -- innocent owners would not be made to suffer for the mistakes of others. Under such an arrangement a rule could be made that all listings be submitted to the Committee in advance of the show or shows to which they might apply. The Committee would then have opportunity to observe and correct mistakes. The show would be the gainer; there would be equal gain for the exhibitor, and by reason of the principle of home government involved there would follow an immeasurable benefit and gain to the A.K.C.

In citing the above-mentioned cases, Mr. Chairman, my only idea has been to emphasize the proposition that this
Committee to serve any useful purpose must enjoy added powers. I believe fully that were this Committee clothed with full power from the A.K.C. to regulate A.K.C. shows on this Coast that all local opposition to the A.K.C. would cease, that conflicting interests could be reconciled, and this Committee could become an agency of far-reaching benefit to all the interests it is intended to represent. In a word, Mr. Chairman, this Committee should be created the absolute agent of the A.K.C. for the purpose of transacting the business of the national organization, on this Coast, and I would go to the extent of saying that the A.K.C. should take no action in a purely Pacific Coast matter until it has first been referred to and acted upon by this Committee. With such a power of agency this Committee would be able to accomplish much for kennel interests in this jurisdiction. Lacking this power the Committee is of absolutely no value and may as well as not go out of business.

My views as here expressed I have reduced to writing in order that they may be of record on the minute of this meeting. I submit them to the Committee for such action as may be deemed proper. Personally I am much dissatisfied with the status of this Committee. Should the other members share in my views I favor such action as will promptly advise the A.K.C. of our position. In this spirit I leave the matter in your hands.

Respectfully submitted
(Signed) Merton C. Allen

San Francisco, November 23, 1900
After some remarks by all the members, in which the consensus of opinion was that the concessions of the powers asked would result in a Pacific Coast, once more united under the aegis of the American Kennel Club, it was

Resolved, that the foregoing statement submitted by Mr. M.C. Allen be spread upon the minutes and transmitted to the American Kennel Club as expressing the unanimous views of this committee, and it was further

Resolved, that should the American Kennel Club in its wisdom not see its way clear to grant the additional powers requested, the members of this committee herewith respectfully tender their resignations and request that the future discharge of the duties of this committee in its present form be entrusted to other hands, and be it further

Resolved, that it be spread on the minutes of this committee that this resolution has been unanimously carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned on motion, subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman

Secretary

On motion the said report was laid upon the table, to be taken up later under the head of new business.
THE SECRETARY: The first business will be the resignation of the Butterfly Bench Show Association.

MR. MORTIMER: I move it be accepted with regret.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I desire to state that at a previous meeting the officers of the American Pet Dog Club were disqualified for default in the pavement of their prizes, and that was done under the rule. At the last meeting of the executive board, held on the 14th of last month, the question was brought up by one of the members of that board how it would be possible to re-instate some of the officers who had been disqualified, who were practically figure-heads, who had nothing whatever to do with the management of the show, and therefore the non-payment of prizes was not due in any way to their negligence or desire to avoid payment. The matter was not discussed officially by the board, but the member who raised the point was told that they thought that a disposition shown by any of these disqualified persons to pay his or her share toward the liquidation of these unpaid prizes might carry some weight with this meeting. In line with that unofficial discussion I replied to an inquiry the other day; I extended an invitation to one of the parties under discipline to be present at this meeting and state his case. If it is your pleasure to hear the gentleman I think it would be well to do so.

Capt. Knocker. I move that he be heard.

Motion seconded and carried.

At this point Mr. Green entered the room and was intro-
duced to the members of the club.

Mr. Vredenburgh: This is Mr. Green, who is the husband of one of the ladies who unfortunately came under our disqualification. If you would like to make a statement we would be glad to hear you.

Mr. Green: With the permission of the board I should be glad of the opportunity to remark briefly in regard to this matter. I suppose that it is hardly necessary for me to go into the question of the organization of the club, or what transpired anterior to the time of the disqualification of the officers, among whom my wife was one, but I might state that prior to that time, and as soon as she was informed that there was any likelihood of a default, or that there had been any default in the payment of the prizes, she used every endeavor at her command to bring about their payment. She called upon the President, Mrs. Stanton, and also Mrs. Horace Stokes in an endeavor to get the prizes paid. It was rather difficult at that time, and has been ever since, to ascertain the exact amount of the indebtedness of the club, including the prize money in default, and also including other outstanding debts. She had constant assurances from Mr. Stanton, and I think from Mr. Hodge, who was associated with him in the position of trustees to manage the show, the whole matter being turned over to them under contract by the club, that they would obtain first the payment of these claims, and, secondly, at least a statement of the amount of the claims, in order that the persons responsible actively, or by negligence, might be able
To come together and contribute at least their pro rata share so as to make up the amount necessary to remove the disqualification. I advised her that so far as the outside debts of the club were concerned, that was another matter, and that that was a matter which would have to be determined by the legal position of the club. Of course those I shall resist in every way. I took the matter up with Mr. Stanton and told him that Mrs. Green was then, and she is now, perfectly willing to contribute any fair pro rata share of the amount of the claims outstanding which this Association might recognize, and I obtained from him all the books of the club that he had, which consisted merely of the minute book, which contained no information whatsoever as to the amount, and a copy of the contract between himself and Mr. Hodge and the club. I had an interview with him and a Mr. Wood who, he said, was representing the club and representing himself in an endeavor to get together all these claims. I could get no definite statement from him as to the amount of prize money which was outstanding and unpaid, but he said that it was in the neighborhood of $700., or 800., - -- he thought nearer $700., than $800., He promised us that since last June. He said that they would obtain a statement of the amount, and I told them at that time that Mrs. Green would be very glad to co-operate with any one in paying these claims to the extent of her share. He sent me those books in July, and he said he wanted them for the purpose of having Mr. Wood prepare this statement. I have heard nothing
From him since, but Mrs. Green had a letter written to him in October last, a copy of which I have here, asking that he furnish some statement of the amount of money due for prizes, and in answer to that she got the same reply that I had got before, that the matter was in the hands of Mr. Wood, and that he would prepare, or would have prepared and sent to her a statement of the total indebtedness of the club, and outside of various claims which Mr. Stanton said he had assumed, the matter stands in that position. As I said before, Mrs. Green is perfectly willing to pay whatever is fair and right under the circumstances, and has used her best endeavors to that end, and I submit to the Association whether or not under the circumstances, if she will pay what you consider is her fair proportion of the outstanding prize money so far as it can be ascertained, for which I will send you a check at once, she might be re-instated so that she can show her dogs.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I wish to state for your information that the American Kennel Club has no knowledge whatever of the outstanding indebtedness of the Pet Dog Club. We have on file here but two claims for non-payment of prizes and those two claims I think amount to in the aggregate of about $250 or $300. I know of another party who has not filed a claim, who has a claim of over $100., but Mr. Hodge told me that he had taken a poll of all of the exhibitors who had received their prizes, and who had not, and that it figured up in round numbers about $800., but that is the only knowledge the club has in that regard. We know there are unpaid prizes. They ought to be paid within sixty says after the close of the show, which opportuni-
ty they did not avail themselves of, and hence, under the rules, every officer is responsible, and they were disqualified. That is the position so far as we are concerned. As I understand it, Mrs. Green was the Vice-President of the Club and took no active part whatever in its affairs.

Mr. Green: I believe she attended one meeting about eighteen months ago, or some time before that.

Mr. Vredenburgh: She has endeavored to get hold of the financial man and has not succeeded?

Mr. Green: She has tried and I have tried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: And she stands ready to pay her share of the indebtedness?

Mr. Green: Entirely so.

Mr. Vredenburgh: And under those circumstances she appeals for re-instatement?

Mr. Green: Yes. Of course it is an important matter with her to be able to show her dogs, and I told her if it came down to a question of what should be done I would remit the whole thing myself, but that she preferred I should not do. I would rather do that than have this disqualification hanging over my head, but I thought that it might be possible if she offered to pay what in your opinion would be a fair pro rata of the indebtedness, the disqualification as to her could be removed.

Mr. Rodman: Have legal proceedings been taken to compel an accounting by these gentlemen?

Mr. Green: No, I believe not.

Mr. Rodman: You think, as a matter of fact, they could
be, could they not.

Mr. Green: I think possibly they might.

Mr. Rodman: Don’t you think an accounting might be compelled in that matter?

Mr. Green: I doubt from the statement of the gentleman whether you could get any more definite information from them.

Mr. Caswell: Is the check book of the prize money available to Mrs. Green?

Mr. Green: I have endeavored to get that from Mr. Stanton, but I have not been able to. He said some of the money was paid in cash and some of it was paid out of her personal account.

Capt. Knocker: Would it not be possible to get the information you want by either writing to the exhibitors, which would be rather difficult, or by an advertisement in the papers requesting all those who had claims for prizes against the club to send in their claims within a certain time in order that they might be acted upon?

Mr. Green: I understand that Mr. Hodge, who was one of the joint trustees with Mr. Stanton, prepared and sent to all of the exhibitors in the catalogue return postal cards. I know the Pembrook Kennels received one, and Mr. Hodge has written to Mrs. Green to the effect that he had sent those postal cards to every exhibitor.

Capt. Knocker: Couldn’t the matter be published in the Kennel Gazette, drawing attention to the fact that a list is wanted of the prize holders at that show, which all the
exhibitors would receive, and then you might arrive at the very data you are hunting for.

Mr. Mortimer: I would hazard the opinion that if Mrs. Green deposited her check in trust with the American Kennel Club in payment of her pro rata share of this prize money, she would be re-instated.

Mr. Green: She does not know what that pro rata is.

Mr. Mortimer: We will assume that the total liability of prize money amounts to $800. How many executive officers were there?

Mr. Vredenburgh: The disqualified officers were Mrs. Walter Stanton, Charles F. Spaulding, Mrs. George W. Carr, Mrs. W.W. Green, Mrs. Horace Stokes, Mrs. R.K. Pritchard, Walter Stanton and S.C. Hodge, eight in all.

Mr. Mortimer: Which would make the indebtedness about $100 each.

Mr. Caswell: I do not see how we can assume that the unpaid prize money amounts to any certain sum. Would it not be better to simply take the statement of Mr. Green, signed by Mrs. Green, that she will assume her pro rata share of the unpaid prize money, and pending the amount being accurately ascertained she be allowed to exhibit, as it were, on probation.

The Chair: I would have to rule that that would be contrary to the rules, which state that a suspended or a disqualified person cannot exhibit dogs.

Capt. Knocker: Can’t you remove the disqualification temporarily?
The Chair: Then it is removed.

Mr. Rodman: It seems hardly necessary for me to state that I regret as much as any one here that an innocent person should be compelled to suffer for the wrong doings of others, and I for one would be delighted to see these ladies who occupied the positions of officers of the Pet Dog Club be re-instated, those who were innocent of officiating, but at the same time the American Kennel Club is one which states and makes precedents, and the thought has just occurred to me that although our sympathies might predominate in this case, and although the justice of this case may appeal to us as men, yet at the same time let me put this case to you and see what dangerous ground we are treading on. Suppose, for the sake of argument, that a club south, composed of a number of ladies, was to fall in this same unfortunate position. It might be possible that some one of the officers of that organization was not blessed with as much of this world's goods as certain others, and you said in this meeting that the American Kennel Club has withdrawn the suspension on the ground that those members of the board of officers each contributed his or her pro rata share toward the liquidation of the debts of the organization. It might be that one of those parties, entirely innocent, was unable to meet the proportionate share of the obligations --- what are you going to do in a case of that kind?

Capt. Knocker: The others would settle it.

Mr. Rodman: That is a case to be decided by them and not by us. It seems to me that under the rules of the American
Kennel Club we are, in the first place, bound to act as we have acted. It seems to me with all due respect to the statements that have been made here to-day, and I appreciate to a certain extent the enormity of the task imposed upon these people in their endeavor to get at the bottom of the facts as they exist, yet, at the same time, there is a method which as yet has not been called into use which might bring to light the evidence and information which is now desired, and of which the American Kennel Club has no knowledge. There is a law which can compel Mr. Stanton to produce the books of the American Pet Dog Club; there is another law which can compel him to use his best endeavors to present to the officers of the organization all the information which he has in his possession, or any other officer has in his or her possession. If that is done I am not sure but what the American Pet Dog Club may find that it has more information regarding the indebtedness of the organization than it has at present. I only speak in this way because I see ahead if this is made a precedent, a chance for opening the door where we may not be in the possession of as many facts as we are at present, but yet, by reason of our having made the precedent, would have to live up to it.

Mr. Mortimer: In reply to Mr. Rodman I might say that this club has already established a precedent in matter of this sort. I recollect some years ago when the Bull Terrier Club was disqualified. I wish you would call attention to that case, Mr. Vredenburgh.
The Secretary: The Bull Terrier Club’s officers, consisting of four, were suspended. We demanded of that club that it should rescind a certain resolution which was passed, and to give it the same publicity that they gave the original resolutions. They found it impossible to get a quorum present in order to carry out our instructions. Mr. Dole was president. He called meetings. They would not attend. He then called a meeting here at this office in order to show the American Kennel Club what he was doing, and he attended, coming down from New Haven. There were no other persons present. The president appealed for re-instatement on the ground that he had done everything in his power to bring about the rescinding of this obnoxious resolution. On the strength of that his appeal was granted and he was re-instated. Mr. John Moorhead Jr., who was Vice-President, said he knew nothing about this resolution and was not present at the meeting, and that as he could not get a meeting of the club he resigned from the club; resigned as an officer and as a member, saying that he would not be willing to continue in a club that would pass such a resolution and then refuse to rescind it. Upon the strength of that he was re-instated. Mr. W.D. Breweton, the Secretary, sent an apology for the part he took in the transaction and he was re-instated; so that at the present time the second Vice President, Mr. J.O. Horn, of Pittsburgh, is the only disqualified person because he would not apologize, or do anything.

Mr. Rodman: I ask what rule of the American Kennel
Club was broken by the Bull Terrier Club.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Sending out an official communication to the sporting papers insulting the members of this club in criticizing the remarks that were made while exercising the prerogatives of their positions as delegates.

Mr. Rodman: Then as a matter of fact no rule was broken, but because they saw fit to use language which the American Kennel Club considered improper they were disqualified. I think there is a distinction between that case and this.

Capt. Knocker: They did break a rule, didn't they. Will you read the rules in regard to the payment or non-payment of prize money? I think there is a specific rule on that point.

The Secretary then read rule Five.

Mr. Green: In regard to the suggestion of a possible suit against these trustees, outside of the question of what practical results could be obtained by it, the question in my mind is, who is entitled to bring that suit. Mrs. Green certainly is not; she is not a member or officer of that club, she resigned, and I suppose her resignation has been accepted by this time.

Mr. Rodman: There is no doubt but that a creditor has a right to bring suit?

Mr. Green: I do not know whether a creditor could bring a suit, to bring an accounting between the trustee and the club. In regard to the amount, if it be proper and fitting, I would state, whether it is $800/ or a $1,000. I am willing to sign my check for Mrs. Green's share.
Mr. Van Schiack: I move that Mrs. Green be now reinstated upon the condition that she agrees to pay her pro rata share of the indebtedness of the Pet Dog Club of prizes when that amount is ascertained.

Mr. Caswell: Not when the whole amount is ascertained, but as it is ascertained.

Mr. Rodman: I move as an amendment that this matter be referred to the Rules Committee.

Mr. Viti: If the persons who are on the inside, so to speak, and are supposed to have control of the books and papers of the club, after an investigation consuming over a year, are not able to ascertain the liabilities of this Association, is the American Kennel Club to undertake it?

The Secretary: I would like to state for your information, that if you look back at the records in my report I particularly mentioned the case of Mrs. Green before the disqualification, as I happened to know something about the case, and knew that she had never taken any active interest in the club, simply permitting her name to be used in the club as an officer, - - - I think it is a hardship, there is no question about that, but I would suggest this, that I will give Mr. Green the address of Mr. Hodge and Mr. Hodge can furnish him with a full list so far as he was able to obtain it, and he told me that it was a very full list of the indebtedness of the club. I just throw that out as a suggestion.

Mr. Rodman: I think, if the Chairman please, that this is opening the door for another member of that board of officers to come in here and ask leave to pay his or her pro
portionate share of the indebtedness on an assumption, for it is purely and simply an assumption, that by fulfilling that one condition, namely, the payment of his or her proportionate share they will be in a position to ask for the same terms that are allowed in this present case.

The Chair: There is no doubt about that, and if the eight came in and did the same thing, it would not be very long before the entire indebtedness would be paid.

Mr. Rodman: Is the American Kennel Club to use its endeavors to settle the outside business of the American Pet Dog Club? If this indebtedness or these liabilities can be liquidated by the co-operation of eight members of that organization, why is not that done, and then why does not the Pet Dog Club come before this club with clean hands and ask for re-instatement? Why should we re-instate one member and not all the members?

The Chair: The American Kennel Club ought not to determine the indebtedness of the Pet Dog Club. That is a domestic matter, and it is their business to do it; but if after making attempts for the better part of a year to obtain information by which a person could pay his share, or do all in his power to pay this indebtedness, and he cannot do it, it shows the American Kennel Club that that person under discipline is anxious to do it, and the only way he can show that he is willing to so it is by coming here and assuming a debt greater than it is supposed to be, and he will deposit with the American Kennel Club his prorate share of that assumed debt, it
Shows that that is the last resource.

Mr. Rodman: I think that it has been conceded here to-day that the last resource has not been exhausted. The most effective measure of all, the demanding of an accounting, has not been employed.

Capt. Knocker: Who is going to sue?

Mr. Rodman: A creditor. I look at this matter from a legal stand-point. I can see breakers ahead if this is permitted to go through, but at the same time the most effective measure which could be employed toward the ascertainment of the full indebtedness of the organization has never been employed.

Mr. Viti: You must recollect another point, and that is, we must consider the exhibitor. Very frequently exhibitors are attracted to a show by the statement of who compose of board of officers. They may see there the name of one person that they know is thoroughly responsible personally, and they make entries, because the American Kennel Club rule say that all the officers shall be responsible, and therefore in view of the fact that the name of this one individual appears as an officer, they make their entries, knowing that under our rules this person is responsible for this prize money. Our rules are very hard and fast on the subject.

Capt. Knocker: Yes, but the rule is that the American Kennel Club is to determine the length of time these people are to be disqualified the length of time these people are to be disqualified, and if they wish to re-instate them that settles it.
Mr. Viti: The Executive Committee, when they decided this case, said they would not hear a recommendation for re-instatement unless upon a clean balance sheet, and then after that was shown we would determine whether or not these persons were negligent or whether they were deliberate in the non-payment of the prize money.

Capt. Knocker: Doesn’t it look as if they had done everything they could to get that statement, and could not get it? Mr. Rodman says a creditor can sue. The creditors are the exhibitors. How are you going to force creditors to commence suit? It is a totally different thing where a party comes in and claims that they are willing to do all that is right. We are not anxious to punish innocent people. If that is making a precedent, I think it is a good precedent where people are willing to come forward and pay their share of the prize money, or more than their share. It seems to me that it is a very simple thing and ought to be allowed.

Mr. Mortimer: We have a case here where an exhibitor, or an officer who is disqualified unfortunately, an officer that has taken no active part in the actions of the club, and that officer is willing to deposit a check here for the amount of the full indebtedness of this club, if it comes to that --- at least I will say is willing to put up a check for the pro rata amount, or more than the pro rata amount; isn’t it a very hard thing that that exhibitor should be kept out?

Mr. Van Schaick’s motion was then repeated as follows: I move that Mrs. Green be now re-instated upon the condition that she agrees to pay her pro rata share of the indebtedness of the
Pet Dog Club when that amount is ascertained.

Mr. Rodman: I offered an amendment to refer to the Rules Committee. I offer my amendment in the form of a motion that he matter be referred to the Committee on Rules of the American Kennel Club.

The Chair: We will take the vote first on the amendment. The vote resulted in the amendment being carried by seven to six, and the Chair declared that the matter is left to the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

The Secretary: The next matter of business before you is the request of the Ladies Kennel Association to have its title changed to that of “The Ladies Kennel Association of America.”

On motion said application was granted.

The Secretary: The Kansas City Kennel Club defaulted in the payment of two special prizes. They paid them after hearing from us, and I have a notification from the recipients that they received their prizes. Here is an appeal for re-instatement.

Moved and seconded that the appeal of the Kansas City Kennel Club for re-instatement be granted.

Carried.

In regard to the appeal of Mr. William Routley for re-instatement, Dr. Foote moved that it be not granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The Philadelphia Dog Show Association has sent here a communication stating that the suspension im-
Posed by it against Charles Busch has been removed.

Mr. Mortimer: I move that the disqualification be removed.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The next matter is the charge made by A.R. Castagner against the Northwestern Kennel Club for unpaid prizes at its late show. If there is no objection it will be referred to the Executive Board. It was so referred.

The communication from the Cleveland Kennel Club, asking for cancellation of its show dates in March, 1901, was received and filed.

The Secretary: I have a communication here from the Philadelphia Dog Show Association notifying this club that it has suspended Edwin H. Morris for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of dog shows.

The Chair: If there is no objection this will be referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Carnochan: I beg to differ with the Chair in that regard.

The Chair: The Chair decides that owing to custom the Secretary shall report to every meeting of the American Kennel Club such cases as come before it that must be acted upon the Executive Board. If there is any appeal from that decision now is the time to make it.

The Secretary: I will state for the information of this meeting that Mr. Morris has appealed and has filed his defense with me, and before the Executive Board meeting I will call upon the Philadelphia Kennel Association to produce its minutes and testimony upon which it acted, so that both sides of
The case can be heard by the executive board. The case was referred to the Executive Board, under the rules. –

The Secretary: I call attention to the appeal of Mr. H.H. Carlton against my decision in cancelling the award given to his Boston terrier Tremont (47976) shown at the late San Francisco show as Oakside Tremont (47976).

Mr. Rodman: I move that in view of the extenuating circumstances surrounding this case the win be allowed to stand, at the San Francisco show of 1900.

Capt. Knocker: I think that he ought to get the benefit of that win. He was not warned in 1900 that he made a mistake in 1899. He had been granted a win, with a prefix that year and he naturally supposed that he had the right to use it. I did not know that the stud book committee had made a rule that an affix or prefix was a change of the name of the dog.

Mr. Carnochan: I think Capt. Knocker is absolutely right. I think it would be a great injustice to this exhibitor if after the American Kennel Club had allowed the one win, to not allow him the second. It may be said that we should not allow him the second. It may be said that we should not perpetuate a mistake, but I think that we having acquiesced in that mistake should not make him suffer for it.

The Secretary: I cancelled this win according to the rules. If you vote to sustain his appeal against my action you are voting against the rules. I simply want to tell you that so that you can vote knowingly. If you open the door to this, somebody from California or Mississippi, or some other
State will send me word that he has purchased such a dog and ask if he is registered? I look in the records and send him back word, No, he is not registered; the dog is not registered under that name, if you use a prefix after he has been registered.

Mr. Viti: I make the point that the motion is out of order because it abrogates a rule of the American Kennel Club.

The Chair: The point of order has been raised that by the adoption of this resolution it abrogates a rule of the American Kennel Club. The Chair is bound to sustain that point of order because the Chair, as Secretary, acted in accordance therewith in cancelling this win.

Mr. Rodman: Now I rise to object to the objection made to my motion. My motion has never been referred to the registration of that dog; the distinction has been lost sight of. My motion is with relation to permitting a win to stand, not the cancellation of a registration, and I demand with all due respect that my motion be allowed.

Mr. Rodman’s motion was then put and carried.

Dr. Foote: I move that the Secretary be instructed to write this gentleman that in the future his dog must be shown as “Tremont”, for he may labor under the mistaken idea that he can go on with the prefix “Oakside”.

Motion seconded and carried.

The bill for $11.40 rendered by the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from July to December was on motion approved and ordered paid.

The Chair: Now, gentlemen, this report of the
Pacific Advisory Committee is on the table.

Capt. Knocker: I move that it be taken from the table and considered.

Motion seconded and carried.

Capt. Knocker: Mr. Chairman and gentlemen: the Pacific Advisory Board has written me a letter and asked me to give some attention to this matter. It seems to me there are reasons for as well as reasons against granting what they ask. When it is possible to grant it I leave it to the Board. I would like to read an extract of a letter what I have here:
With regard to the Special Committee of the A.K.C., we held an adjourned meeting last Friday, at which Vredenburgh’s letter was read, announcing the refusal to grant the extension of power sought by the committee. It was decided that the existence of the committee in its present form was a farce, and a resolution was passed asking the A.K.C. again for the powers needed, and in the event of their repeated refusal, tendering the unanimous resignation of the members of the committee. There can be no doubt that with a reasonable amount of home rule, the P.K.L would at once cease to exist, and I am assured of that by the leading lights thereof. It certainly does seem ridiculous, that a club that wants to hold here under A.K.C. rules has to spend at least three weeks or a month in obtaining the necessary permission from New York, and is frequently prevented from holding a show under those rules by reason of that very circumstance. Such was very nearly the case with the late Sacramento show. A club that wants to give a show here under the A.K.C. rules has to start in with the arrangements at least three months in advance of the show, and it is therefore impossible to arrange on its side. As for the committee, its sole function is to decide protests and appeals, and for that it is not worth while prolonging its existence. The powers sought by the committee are as follows:
G.2.

To receive and act upon applications for dates; to receive, for transmission to the A.K.C. (subject to confirmation) registrations and fees for listing; to approve rules for bench show clubs; in short to have original and complete jurisdiction in all matters connected with and arising out of kennel affairs on the Pacific Coast, subject of course to the rules of the A.K.C. and to such right of appeal as may be necessary at any time to correct error and mistake. It is impossible for the A.K.C. to govern this coast at the geographical distance by which they are separated. It is my firm belief, that if these concessions are refused in toto [total], the time will come, and at no distant date, when the whole coast will be united under a reorganized P.K.L. with a stud book of its own, and a complete jurisdiction over all kennel matters from the Rockies to the Sea, and from the burning sands of San Diego to the Frozen frontier of British Columbia (You will please notice the original and brilliant eloquence contained in the foregoing sentence). It can not be denied that the territory and population of this extent of country are sufficient to make a very respectable kennel association, which would be at least as large as that of Canada. The only obstacle in the way of the formation of such an association has heretofore been the S.F.K.C., and if that fell away from the A.K.C. there would be nothing left of the latter on the Coast.
G.3.

On the other hand, with a certain amount of home rule, the Coast would be solid for the parent body, and would be the source be weighed before finally rejecting the demands made. I have written A.P.V. that if these powers were granted, de Ruyter would allow us the free use of his private wire to New York, whereby a close supervision could be kept over the operations of the committee, and a ready reference facilitated to New York.”
Now, gentlemen, that is about all there is in the letter that refers to that matter and they seem to feel, and I think with a certain amount of justice, that being on the other side of the continent as they are, if it is possible it may be well to give them some power. They of course want to refer everything to the parent body. At the same time there is a great deal to be said as to the fact that they are laboring under very great difficulties, and that unless something is done they will drop away and cease from getting people to come in and belong to the American Kennel Club. I believe I have heard it said that the American Kennel Club would rather be without the Pacific Kennel clubs than with them. Personally I should be very sorry to see it, because it would drop me out and that would be my loss. I would like you gentlemen to think the matter over and give it your careful attention. I do not know whether it will be referred to a committee, probably will, but I think that the matter ought to be sifted thoroughly and both sides of the question looked into.

The Chair: The Chair would state that this matter came before the Executive Board, and the Executive Board acted. Now it has come to you as an appeal from their action, and I trust that you will not refer it to any committee, but that you will settle it right here.

Mr. Mortimer: I think that is where it ought to be settled.

Mr. Rodman: It seems to me that this is an important question which should be well weighed, and it seems to me
That for this reason it would be better to refer it to a committee to be appointed by the Chair, to report at the next meeting its conclusions in regard to this matter. I make that in the form of a motion.

Mr. Carnochan: I second the motion.

The Chair: A special Committee of five?

Mr. Rodman: Yes.

The Chair: I now state the motion: It is moved and seconded that the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee be referred to a special committee of five to be appointed by the Chair, said committee to report back its recommendations at the annual meeting to be held in February.

Carried.

The Chair: The Chair will appoint Mr. Rodman, Capt. Knocker, Mr. Bloodgood, Mr. Carnochan and Mr. Mortimer as the members of the committee.

Mr. Viti: I should like to include the Chair as a member of that committee ex-officio. I make that as an amendment, if it is not too late, that the Chair be added to that committee.

Mr. Vredenburgh: That is not at all necessary. If the committee would care for any suggestions from me I would be more than delighted to give them to it. I have a very decided opinion on the subject, and I would be very glad to express that opinion to the committee.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES,
NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, MONAY, FEBRURARY 18, 1901.

Vice President Brooks in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Members
American Pomeranian Club
American Spaniel Club
Boston Terrier Club
Bull Dog Club of America
Chicago Pet Dog Club
Collie Club of America
Columbus Fanciers Club
Dog Owners' Protective Association
   Of Cincinnati
Duquesne Kennel Club
French Bull Dog Club of America
Great Dane Club of America
Irish Terrier Club
Airedale Terrier Club of America
Ladies Kennel Association of
   Massachusetts
Mascoutah Kennel Club
Metropolitan Kennel Club
New England Beagle Club
New England Kennel Club
Rhode Island Kennel Club
San Francisco Kennel Club
Scottish Terrier Club of America
St. Bernard Club of California
Welsh Terrier Club of America

A.C. Wilmerding
G.W.H. Ritchie
F.S. Stedman
Marcel A. Viti
C.F. Clarkson
Tyler Morse
Frank R. Story
James Watson
J.M. Taylor
Charles W. Rodman, Jr.
G.M. Carnochan
F.J. Bristol
G. Muss-Arnolt
A. Van Schaick
A.D. Cochrane
Henry Jarett
C.F.R. Drake
H.T. Foote
John Caswell
Edward Brooks
W.C. Codman
C.B. Knocker
J.B. Brazier
J.L. Arden
B.S. Smith
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The report of the representatives of the club appointed to open and canvass the votes received for the officers and delegates of the associate members, to hold office for the ensuing year was read, as follows:
To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB;

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, James Watson, representing the President of the American Kennel Club, and H.T. Foote, representing the President of the associate members of the American Kennel Club met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for the officers and delegates of the associate members of said American Kennel Club, to hold office for the term of one year from February 19, 1901, or until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of associates entitled to vote to be 190; total number of votes cast 99, total number of votes scattering 19; total number of irregular votes and thrown out, 1;

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the associate members for the year ending in February, 1902, to wit;

President, H.K. Bloodgood
Vice President, Dudley W. Waters
Secretary, L.A. Biddle
Delegate (1), A.C. Wilmerding
   "   (2), W.W. Caswell
   "   (3), G.W.H. Ritchie

Signed, Jas. Watson,
   Representing the President A.K.C.
H.T. Foote,
   Representing the President Associates, A.K.C.
Attest:

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary, A.K.C.
The report of the Membership Committee was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file. It follows:
New York, February 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club;

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee, held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting on applications for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the secretary, your Committee would respectfully report:-

Applications from the Airedale Terrier Club of America and the Pan-American Exposition Association have been received, and the same being in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution, it is recommended that said applications be approved and said clubs duly admitted to active membership.

The following credentials of delegates are on file and duly approved, and their acceptance recommended.

Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts, appointing Mr. Henry Jarrett.
Scottish Terrier Club of American, appointing Mr. J.B. Brazier
Bull Terrier Club of America, appointing Mr. F.H. Croker
St. Bernard Club of America, appointing Mr. D.E. Waters
Brunswick Fur Club, appointing Mr. R. Crompton
Airedale Terrier Club, appointing Mr. A.D. Cochrane

Respectfully submitted,

H.T. Foote
Singleton Van Schaick
C.B. Knocker
The following named gentlemen were elected as delegates to represent the following named clubs:

Henry Jarett to represent the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts.
    J.B. Brazier to represent the Scottish Terrier Club of America.
    F.H. Croker, to represent the Bull Terrier Club of America.
    D.E. Waters to represent the St. Bernard Club of America.
    R. Crompton to represent the Brunswick Fur Club.

The following named clubs were elected to membership in the America Kennel Club:

    Airedale Terrier Club of America.

    Mr. A.D. Cochrane was elected as the delegate representing the Airedale Terrier Club of America.

    The Secretary then read his quarterly report, which, on motion, was accepted and placed on file, and is as follows:
New York, Feb 16, 1901

To The AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,)

Gentlemen-

Since my last quarterly report, two applications for membership have been received, and application for the registration of nineteen (19) kennel names have been filed. These matters have been referred to their proper Committee, which will report their recommendations at this meeting.

I beg to report the reception of the following resignations:

Dec. 28, 1900, New Orleans Fox Terrier Club
Dec. 31, 1900, St. Louis Kennel Club

Both of the above clubs were in good standing on the dates that their resignations were received at this office, and I recommend that said resignations be accepted.

The Capitol City Kennel Club of Washington, D.C. filed a notice of its disbandment. I would therefore recommend that said club be ordered dropped from membership.

The following charges have been duly filed and will be referred to the Executive Board for investigation.

Frank J. Lenoir vs Rhode Island State Fair Association, for unpaid prizes at show of 1899.

Boston Terrier Club vs. Rhode Island Kennel Club, for providing classes for Boston Terriers contrary to the standard adopted by the said Boston Terrier Club.

These matters do not come under your jurisdiction, but are hereby reported for your information, in compliance with instructions laid down at a former meeting.
Appeals for reinstatement to good standing have been filed by H.F. Peck, Akron, Ohio, and J.E. Miller, Atlanta, Ga. The former was disqualified June 1894 as an officer of a club that defaulted in prizes. The latter, was disqualified Nov. 1900, by virtue of his suspension by the Atlanta Kennel Club for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of dog shows. These matters will be referred to the Executive Board for its action.

At a meeting of the Committee on Constitution and Rules, held January 21, 1901, certain amendments were recommended, and in accordance with the Constitution duly published in the January issue of the American Kennel Gazette. These amendments are now before you for action at this meeting.

This meeting is the annual meeting of the Club, at which time the terms of office of the President, Vice President and the Chairman, and the members of the several standing committees will expire. A new election must be held to fill said offices.

The following clubs are in arrears for dues for 1901, and therefore, in accordance with Art.X. Sec. I., shall forfeit all right to representation in this Club, and any club so in arrears may be suspended or dropped.

American Pet Dog Club
Austin Kennel Club
California State Agricultural Society
Chicago Pet Dog Club
Cleveland Kennel Club
Columbus Fanciers’ Club
M.A.A.C. Rod and Gun Club
Northwestern Kennel Club
San Francisco and San Mateo Agricultural Association
St. Bernard Club of California
Vermont Kennel Club
Vicksburg Fox Terrier Club
Washington Kennel Club

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh, Sec’y
The Treasurer's report was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file. It is as follows:
New York, Feby 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club;

Gentlemen:

I hereby beg to submit my quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1901 ----------------------------- $ 8,735.00
Receipts from Jan. 1, 1901 to date 2,377.24
Total $11,112.24

Disbursements since Jan. 1, 1901 -------------------------- 883.56
Balance on hand $10,228.68

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer
The election of officers and committees being next in order, Mr. Viti
nominated for President Mr. August Belmont.

It was moved and second that the nominations be closed.

Carried.

On motion the secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Belmont as
President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.

The secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Belmont
was duly declared elected President.

Mr. Rodman nominated Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., for Vice President.

Nominations seconded.

MR. VREDEBURGH: I would like to state that Mr. Brooks has been
the Vice President of the American Kennel Club for a number of years, and while it
was not stated by the gentleman who nominated his successor, I thin it is only due to
Mr. Brooks that it should be publicly stated that Mr. Brooks withdrawn as a candidate
to succeed himself. He feels that he cannot give the time required to the office, and
for that reason he thought it was in order for another gentleman to be elected in his
place.

MR. RODMAN: I was going to say that in putting Mr. Hunnewell’s
name in nomination for the office of Vice President I had been informed that Mr.
Brooks had declined a renomination. Therefore I placed Mr. Hunnewell’s name in
nomination. I desire further to have it placed on the rev[c]ord that it is the sentiment
of this organization that Mr. Brooks’ withdrawal as a candidate be accepted with
regret, and I move that a vote of thanks be expressed
to Mr. Brooks for his efficient and at the same time faithful services to the American Kennel Club during his term of office as Vice President.

Motion seconded and unanimously carried.

MR. RODMAN: I move that the secretary be instructed to cast a ballot for Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., as Vice President of this club.

Second and carried.

The secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared Mr. Hunnewell elected Vice President.

THE SECRETARY: The next business is that of electing the standing committees. The first is the Stud Book Committee. The retiring members are Marcel A. Viti, Chairman; C.B. Knocker and Charles W. Rodman, Jr.

CAPT. KNOCKER: I nominate the chairman of that committee to succeed himself.

Second.

Moved and second that the Secretary be instructed to cast a ballot for Mr. Viti’s election as chairman.

Carried.

The secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared Mr. Viti elected.

It was moved and second that the present members of that committee, Messrs. Knocker and Rodman, be nominated to succeed themselves as the members of that committee.

Carried.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot
For their elections.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared them elected as such members.

The Secretary: Next is the Committee on Constitution and Rules. The retiring members are H.K. Bloodgood, Chairman; James Watson, Charles W. Rodman, Jr. and Henry Jarett. Mr. Bloodgood being a member of the Executive Board now by virtue of his election as President of the Associate Members, will not be a candidate.

Mr. Carnochan was nominated as chairman of the Committee.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. Rodman. He being the senior member of that Committee is my reason for nominating him.

Nominations seconded.

Two candidates being in nomination for the office of chairman of the committee, the delegates proceeded to ballot, which resulted in the election of Mr. Rodman by a vote of 14 to 3.

Messrs. Carnochan, Jarett and Watson were nominated as members of the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

Nominations seconded.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for their election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared them duly elected.

Mr. VREDENBURGH: The next is the Committee on Field Trials and Coursing Meetings. The retiring Chairman is J.W. Appleton.

Moved and second that said chairman be nominated to succeed himself.

Carried.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for his election.
The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared him elected as such chairman.

The retiring members were declared duly elected to succeed themselves under the rules.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Finance Committee is at present composed of A.C. Wilmerding, Chairman, James B. Blossom and G.M. Carnochan.

Mr. Rodman nominated Mr. Wilmerding to succeed himself as chairman. Nominations seconded.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for his election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the chair declared Mr. Wilmerding duly elected as such chairman.

Messrs. J.B. Blossom and G.M. Carnochan were nominated to succeed themselves as members of the Finance Committee. Nominations seconded.

The Secretary was, on motion, directed to cast a ballot for their election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared them duly elected as members of such committee.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The retiring members of the Membership Committee are Messrs. H.T. Foote, Chairman, Singleton Van Schaick and C.B. Knocker.

Dr. Foote was nominated to succeed himself as the chairman of the committee. Seconded.
On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for his election as such chairman.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared Dr. H.T. Foote duly elected.

Messrs. Van Schaick and Knocker was nominated to succeed themselves as members of the Membership Committee.

Seconded.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for their election, and he having stated that he had cast such ballot the Chair declared them duly elected as such members.

At this point Mr. Brooks resigned the Chair to Mr. Bloodgood.

The report of the Executive Board was read as published in the Gazette, and on motion accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was then read as follows:

In the matter of E.D. Brown’s attempt to secure the registration of pedigrees of certain English Setters. As there was no proof forthcoming, that the said pedigrees were fraudulent, it is recommended that the matter be dismissed.

In the matter of the registration of the Boston Terrier “Bunker Hill II”.

This dog is registered, dam, Vogel’s “Bessie”, and dam’s dam, as “Belle”, (Manley’s). Dam’s grand sire “Ned”, dam’s grand dam, “Snuffles”. The complaint was made by E.J. Vogel. He filed an affidavit stating he owned the dam “Bessie” and that she was out of Gallagher’s “Nellie” and not Manley’s “Belle”. Your Committee sent a copy of this affidavit to F.G. Bixby, who sold the dog in question, and made an affidavit of pedigree upon which the dog was registered. In reply thereto, Mr. Bixby has furnished a counter-affidavit stating that he gave the dam’s dam as Manley’s “Belle”, in view of the said Vogel having identified a photograph of Manley’s “Belle” as a bitch he knew as Gallagher’s “Nellie. He said that upon the identification and believing that Gallagher’s “Nell” and in writing the pedigree. There is nothing to show that Bixby did not act in good faith in writing the pedigree as aforesaid.

In the matter of the attempt of E.R. Baker to secure a fictitious pedigree for English Setter, in this case, E.R. Baker of Smethport, Pa. wrote to Mr. Henry Klee, of New Castle, Pa., that he had an English Setter bitch which he wished to breed but that she had no pedigree, and asked if Mr. Klee could do anything
For him in the way of looking up her breeding. He gave her age as eight years, and her name as “Shadow”; he further stated that Mr. Klee could change her name if necessary and it would not hurt her to make an alteration in the age; he also stated that the only thing that must remain as it is, is the fact that she is black and white, and that she is a bitch, that those facts could not be changed. He further requests Mr. Klee to destroy his letters and asked that the same be done with his. In reply to this request, Mr. Klee promptly wrote him that he deemed it his duty to forward the letter to the A.K.C., which he thereupon did.

Your Committee wrote Mr. Baker informing him that complaint had been made to the A.K.C., of his attempt to secure a false pedigree for his bitch, and giving him an opportunity to be heard in his defense. In reply thereto, he wrote confessing having written the letter, to Mr. Klee, but stated that at the present time he was not at liberty to give any reasons but if we could wait until the proper time came, we could hear from him and he would surprise us with his reasons for writing the letter, but that things had not just shaped themselves for a complete disclosure to the A.K.C., Your Committee feels however, that the time has arrived for at least punishing Mr. Baker for his attempt to procure a false pedigree, and they therefore, recommend that he be disqualified.
Applications have been made for the registration of the following Kennel names, none of which conflict with any already registered, and your Committee therefore recommend that they be granted.

Aelwold    Charles Wheeler and Richard M. Elliot
Back Bay     John F. Murray
Bloomfield    George C. Thomas, Jr.,
Bonnimoor    A.F. Nelson
Brandywine    Mr. and Mrs. Richard F. Perkins
Charles Gate    J.H. Horrigan
Colonial      H.M. Bissell and C.A. Elliott
Foxboro     Lincoln E. Pettee
Glenwood    Philip C. Meyer
Gaydon       W. Scott Cameron
King-Lillis    J.J. King and J.J. Lillis
Linden       S. Hewes Mattson
Remlik       Willis Sharpe Kilmer
Salimonie Valley    Foust and Foust
Wampanaug    W.S. Hawley
Westbury    R.D. Winthrop and Miss M.K. Bird
Westridge    Mrs. E.W. Dwight
Wynwood     Miss Elizabeth Wood and William R. Wood

Marcel A. Viti,
Chairman, S.B. Committee

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and recommendations therein contained adopted.
The report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules was read as published, and on motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Special Committee was read, and on motion accepted and placed on file, and the recommendations therein contained adopted. It follows:
New York, January 4, 1901

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

               Gentleman:

Your “Special Committee” to which was referred the communication of the Pacific Advisory Committee asking for greater powers for said committee, respectfully reports that it has given the matter careful consideration, and begs to recommend that said committee be accorded authority to act as the agent of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB in the following matters.

All such acts to be subject to appeal to, or to correction by the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

1st: Receiving applications for admission to membership in the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

2nd: To approve of show dates.

3rd: To approve of classifications.

4th: To fix the value of winners classes.

5th: To receive and transmit all listing fees.

All communications from, and to, clubs or exhibitors, to be transmitted through the Pacific Advisory Committee.

The office of Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee to be honorary.

Nothing in the aforementioned recommendations shall be construed so as to impair or interfere with any rule of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, or with any existing agreement between the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, and its Pacific Advisory Committee.

C.W. Rodman, Jr., )

C.B. Knocker ) SPECIAL COMMITTEE

Jas. Mortimer )
MR. VREDBURGH: That is all with the exception of the amendments to the Rules, which I read:

**RULES GOVERNING DOG SHOWS.**

An additional rule No. XXII in place of the present rule XXII, to read: In default of the payment of prize moneys within sixty days of the last day of a show, the officials of such show, except paid employees, shall be suspended or disqualified for such time as the American Kennel Club shall determine. No claim against a club for unpaid prizes shall however be valid unless filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club within six months of the last day of the show held by such club.

Change the present Rule XXII and make same XXIII.

**RULES GOVERNING CLUBS HOLDING SHOWS.**

Amend Rule V by adding thereto

“Excepting, however, that all claims against a club for unpaid prizes shall be outlawed after the expiration of six months from the last day of the closing of such show.”

To amend the resolution under which the value of winner classes are determined by adding to the clause relating to Specialty Clubs:

“No specialty club can delegate its right to any other club or person to hold or manage a show in its name.”

Signed,

H.K. Bloodgood,

Chairman
MR. BROOKS: What is the object of that last statement?

MR. VREDENBURGH: It is for this reason: The value of a winner’s win at a show held by a specialty club confined to its own particular breed of dogs counts four. The idea is to prevent a small specialty club that is not a member of the American Kennel Club from holding a show under the auspices of a specialty club in the same breed, and thereby get four points in winners classes.

MR. VITI: Why not say that no show club or member shall delegate its rights to any individual or individuals. I make that as an amendment, that no active members of this association can delegate its right to another.

MR. RODMAN: As a member of that Committee I would date that while I have no objection to the amendment, it seems to me that the amendment as presented in the report covered that point. I will concede that your proposed amendment is broader in phraseology, but I think the amendment as reported by the committee reaches the same thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: (Mr. Bloodgood) As Chairman of that committee I accept the amendment.

The question was then put on the amendment and carried.

The amendment as amended was then put and carried, the same being: “No active member can delegate its right to any other club or person to hold or manage a show in its name.”

MR. VREDENBURGH: The first amendment to the rules is an additional rule to Rule XXII: “In default of the payment of prize
Moneys within sixty days of the last day of a show, the officials of such show, except paid employees, shall be suspended or disqualified for such time as the American Kennel Club shall determine." So far that is already a rule in force. Added to this is the following: "No claim against a club for unpaid prizes shall however be valid unless filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club within six months of the last day of the show held by such club."

MR. BROOKS: I move the amendment be adopted.

Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The next is to amend Rule V of the rules governing clubs, in order to harmonize with the amendment just adopted, by adding: "Excepting, however, that all claims against a club for unpaid prizes shall be outlawed after the expiration of six months from the last day of the closing of such show."

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move the amendment be adopted.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the resignations of the St. Louis Kennel Club and the New Orleans Kennel Club were accepted. And the Capitol City Club dropped from the roll.

The charges preferred by Frank J. Lenoir against the Rhode Island State Fair Association for unpaid prizes at show of 1899, and the charges preferred by the Boston Terrier Club against the Rhode Island Kennel Club, were sent to the Executive Board under the rules.

The appeals of Mr. H.F. Peck and Mr. J.E. Miller for re-instatement were also referred to the Executive Board under the rules.
MR. VREDENBURGH: In regard to the clubs in arrears for dues, it has been our custom heretofore after reporting these clubs at the annual meeting to pass a resolution granting them 30 days from the date of this meeting within which to pay their dues, and if not paid by that time the Secretary is instructed to strike their names from the roll.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that 30 days from the date of this meeting be given them within which to pay their dues, failing to so which the secretary be instructed to strike their names from the roll.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that 30 days from the date of this meeting be given them within which to pay their dues, failing to do which the secretary be instructed to strike their names form the roll.

Seconded and carried.

A communication from Mr. Edwin H. Morris was received and filed.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secy
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, THURSDAY, MAY 16TH, 1901.

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Members    A.C. Wilmerding
American Fox Terrier Club   H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Dachshund Club   Dr. C. Motschenbacher
American Spaniel Club     Marcel A. Viti
Dog Owners’ Protective Association Of Cincinnati C.W. Rodman, Jr.
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania G.M. Carnochan
French Bull Dog Club of America F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America G. Muss-Arnolt
Irish Terrier Club S. Van Schaick
Metropolitan Kennel Club H.T. Foote
National Beagle Club J.W. Appleton
New England Kennel Club Edward Brooks
Pointer Club of America George Jarvis
Rhode Island Kennel Club W.C. Codman
The Ladies Kennel Association Of America James Mortimer
Welsh Terrier Club of America B.S. Smith

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette and placed on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:

New York, May 15th, 1901

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting on applications for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your Committee held this day for the purpose of examining and reporting on application for admission to membership, and upon the credentials of delegates filed with the Secretary, your Committee
Would respectfully report: An application for the American Eng. Setter Club has been received, and the same being in accordance with the provisions of the constitution it is recommended that said application being approved shall be duly granted and the said club admitted to active membership. The following credentials had been filed, is duly approved and its acceptance recommended: Texas Kennel Club, appointing Dr. George W. Clayton as its delegate.

Respectfully submitted,

H.T. Foote, Chairman
C.B. Knocker

Dr. George W. Clayton was duly elected as delegate to represent the Texas Kennel Club.

The American English Setter Club was duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:
New York, May 16th, 1901

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report the receipt of one application for membership; four applications for the registration of kennel names, and the receipt of credentials appointing a delegate to represent the Texas Kennel Club. These matters have been referred to their proper committees, which will report their recommendations at this meeting.

I will submit reports from the Executive Board and the Pacific Advisory Committee in their order.

It gives me pleasure to report the reception of letters of acceptance from Mr. August Belmont and Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. of the offices of President and Vice President of the Kennel Club to which they were duly elected at our last meeting.

The following charges have been duly filed and will be referred to the Executive Board for investigation, and now reported to you for your information in compliance with instructions laid down at a former meeting: S.O. Smith vs. E.R. Wilbur. Jr. for misconduct in connection with dogs.

I also beg to submit an appeal from R.E. Westlake, affecting the winners class in Pointers at the Baltimore Show of 1899.

I have to report that I was directed by the Executive Board, at its meeting held April 23rd last to disqualify the officers of the R.I. State Fair Association for non-payment of a prize of eight dollars to F.J. Lenior. Rule V of the rules governing clubs states: “The premium list must contain the dog
show rules of the American Kennel Club, also a list of the officials under whose management the show is to be held, and who shall be held responsible for the payment of all prizes, in default of which the said officials shall be suspended or disqualified.” In my examination of the premium lists of the said R.I. State Fair Association I found the announcement that the show would be held under the management of the R.I. Kennel Club, followed by a list of its officers and a Bench Show Committee composed of its members. I advised Mr. W.C. Codman, President of the R.I. Kennel Club, of the above facts. I will submit his letter of reply which appears to be a protest, and the statement that his club did not in any way assume any responsibility in the management of the show. I have therefore deferred making any disqualification pending instructions from you.

At the last Boston Show I found that the management had divided several classes contrary to rule, and I have therefore been obliged to cancel such classes with all of the awards made therein. I am advised that the New England Kennel Club will instruct its delegate to ask for your action in this matter.

I will submit at this meeting a communication from Mr. S.C. Hodge, in reference to his connection with the Pet Dog Club Show of 1899 and of his disqualifications in consequence of the non-payment of prizes and other matters connected with the Club.

In will also submit for your consideration a communication from the delegate of the Collie Club in reference to the action of the Mascoutah Kennel Club, in changing one of its judges who
Was present at the show and ready to fulfil his engagement.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, May 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I hereby beg to submit my quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand on June 1st, 1901 ........................................ $8,735.00
Receipts from Jan. 1st, to date ............................................. 4,988.97

Total, $13,723.97
Disbursements since Jan. 1st, 1901................................. 4,641.69
Balance on hand.................. $9,082.28

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Executive Board was read as follows:

Held April 23rd, 1901

Present: August Belmont, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., H.K. Bloodgood,
A.C. Wilmerding, M.A. Viti, H.T. Foote, C.W. Rodman, Jr.

Absent: J.W. Appleton

Chairman: H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. followed by August Belmont.

The following cases were presented and disposed of:
Camilo E. Pani : Vs. : Re misconduct in connection with dogs
George M.A. Fecke :

Whereas Pani purchased the R.C. St. Bernard bitch “Grand Duchess,” upon the condition that she must be in whelp before shipment, agreeing to pay therefore $175; and

Whereas Fecke sold said bitch agreeing to the stipulation that she was in whelp, and that he received a draft for the purchase money and thereafter shipped said bitch to said Pani; and

Whereas, said bitch was received by said Pani and kept by him for one week after she was due to whelp, and failing to whelp or showing any signed of being in whelp, returned said bitch to said Fecke and demanded the return of the purchase price; and

Whereas, said Fecke received said bitch and filed to respond to several letters from said Pani, and likewise failed to return said purchase price; and

Whereas, said Pani waited nearly three months for a satisfactory settlement without success, it is hereby

Ordered, that George M.A. Fecke be given thirty days from the date of this meeting to return the purchase price fixed at $175 for the St. Bernard bitch “Grand Duchess.” In default of which the Secretary of the A.K.C. is hereby directed to disqualify the said George M.A. Fecke.
Atlantic K. C. : 
   vs.         : Re appeal for re-instatement
J.E. Miller    :

   Appeal not granted.

E.D. Brown.    Re appeal to reconsider resolution of reprimand.
                Appeal not granted.

H.F. Peck      Re appeal for re-instatement.

   Said Peck, one of the officers of the Akron Poultry and Kennel
Club, was disqualified June 3, 1894, for defaulting in the payment of a prize to W.L.
Washington, at its show in 1894. Subject to a ruling of this Board that no appeal for
reinstatement will be considered unless based upon the fact that all claims for prize
money has been paid. The appeal was not granted.

S.C. Hodge.    Re proposition for the payment of the unpaid prize money of the
A.P.D.C. show of 1899. For similar reason that prevailed in the case of H.F. Peck, as
above, this Board declines to discuss the proposition.

Philadelphia Dog Show Ass'n :
   Vs.         : Re appeal for re-instatement
                :
E.H. Morris    :

   This matter was laid over for consideration at a later meeting, said
Morris to be given ample notice of the time and place of the meeting at which his
case will be heard.

A.K.C.
   Vs.         Re misconduct in connection with dog
Mrs. F. Senn
Shows extending from 1897 to 1901. This matte was laid over pending an examination of all of the original entry forms made by Mrs. Senn during the time.

Boston Terrier Club:

Vs. : Re Boston Terrier classification

R.I. Kennel Club:

This matter was laid over until a letter meeting and the Secretary was directed to afford an opportunity to the delegate of the Boston Terrier Club to be present at the hearing.

N.E. Kennel Club:

Vs. : Re appeal from suspension

Shirley Marston:

Whereas, the N.E. Kennel Club suspended Marston for forcibly removing his dog from its show, and for an assault upon one of its employees; and

Whereas, Marston’s appeal for re-instatement showed no good reason why it should be granted, it is therefore

ORDERED, that Shirley Marston be and hereby is disqualified under the rules.

F.J. Lenoir:

Vs. : Re unpaid prizes at show of 1899

R.I. State Fair Ass’n:

Whereas, the Secretary of the R.I. S.F. Ass’n makes no
Other defense than the statement “no funds and out of business,” it is therefore
Ordered that the officials of the R.I.S.F. Ass’n at the show of 1899 be and hereby are disqualified under the rules.

Application for a ruling.  Re, can a dog only shown in the Senior Class compete in the winners class?  In this case the Verona Kennels entered its Collie, Old Hall Admiral in a Senior class only, and as the winner of first competed and was accorded first in the winners class, said win was cancelled by the Secretary of the A.M.C. for the reason that a Senior class was not one of the regular classes specified in the rules.

Ordered, that the action of the secretary in cancelling the winners award of the Collie Old Hall Admiral hereby is confirmed.

The following clubs were dropped from membership for non-payment of dues for 1901, as per resolution of the A.K.C. Feb. 18, 1901.

A.P.D. Club  Northwestern Kennel Club
Austin Kennel Club  San Francisco and San Mateo Ag'l Ass'n
California State Fair Ass’n  St. Bernard Club of California
Columbus Fanciers’ Club  Vicksburg Fox Terrier Club

The Columbus Fanciers’ Club having subsequently paid its dues, on motion said club was re-elected to membership.

The Secretary of the A.K.C. was directed to send final
bills to all associates in arrears for dues for 1901, and to drop all such associates
that failed to pay dies within thirty days from the mailing of said final bills.

Resolutions:

Moved that a committee of five, including the Chairman, be appointed
by the Chair to consider the advisibility of recognizing the standards of Special Clubs
in connection with dog shows so far as weights and measurements are concerned.
The members of the Committee are Messrs. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., Chairman; M.A.
Viti, J.W. Appleton, G.W.H. Ritchie and A.P. Vredenburgh.

Moved that the Committee on Constitution and Rules be requested to
consider an amendment so as to provide that the winners of firsts in all classes
except local classes that may be opened, at dog shows, shall be eligible to compete
in winners classes. Carried.

Applications for Kennel names:

Upon recommendations of the Stud Book Committee the following
applications for kennel names were considered and the same ordered registered:

“Buckeye” to James Follan          “Red Brook” to Miss Lucille Alger
“Carleton” to Edward H. Carle      “Sabine” to F.H. Farwell
“Clonmel” to O. Dufresne          “Sunshine” to M.D. MacNab
“Exmoor” to E.A. Weimer
And Theo. Jaeger                   “Van Dyke” to Mrs. Wm. Allerton
“Van Dyke” to Mrs. Wm. Allerton
“Waveland” to Ernest L. Jones
On motion the said report was accepted and placed on file.

The Stud Book Committee reported as follows:

May 16th, 1901

Applications have been made since their last report for the registration of the following kennel names, and as they do not conflict with any names already registered, they have been approved by your committee and their allowance recommended.

"Buster" S.W. Adams, Chicago, Ill
"Tioga" W.P. Austin, Mansfield, Pa.
"Waterside" T.P. Mallorie, Tunis Mills, Md.
"Waverly" George Dunn, Woodstock, Canada

In the matter of the breeding of the Cocker Spaniel Eclipse Patsey or MacKie, according to the statements of the owners of the alleged sire and maternal grand dam of the dog in question the pedigree is fraudulent.

The dog was offered for registration by Charles T. Cornman, Carlisle, Pa. He did not write the pedigree however, he having bought the dog from F.G. McIntosh, Frankling, Pa., from whom he received the pedigree with the dog. Mr. McIntosh in turn purchased the dog from Mr. A.G. Rigby, Independence, Iowa. Mr. Rigby has
Informed the Committee that the dog and the pedigree were sent to him by a cousin of his who was then living in Chicago, and who informed him that he had purchased the dog from a dealer in the latter city. Mr. Rigby however has lost the name and address of this dealer. He furnished the Committee with the name and last address of his cousin from whom he obtained the dog, Mr. E.E. Johnson. Your committee wrote Mr. Johnson at his address, Nagasaki, Japan, on March 29th, 1901, but as yet hardly sufficient time has elapsed in which an answer could be received. The Committee believe that all of the above named persons are quite innocent of fraud, as they accepted in good faith the pedigree furnished them, but they believe from the evidence of the owners of the alleged sire and maternal grand dam that the pedigree is incorrect and should not be registered.

In the matter of the Boston Terrier Bunker Hill II.

The accuracy of this dog’s breeding was questioned some time since by E.J. Vogel, who stated that the breeding of the Grand dam (Nellie) was not as given. In answer to this Mr. Boxby, who made out the pedigree, stated that Mr. Vogel had identified a photograph of Nellie as being the same bitch as he knew as Belle, and consequently he gave the latter’s breeding. Since your Committee reported upon the case at the annual meeting Mr. Vogel has filed an affidavit denying that he ever so identified a photograph, and alleges on the contrary that the said photograph was not of Nellie. The matter therefore narrows down to a question of veracity between the two parties, there being simply oath against oath, and no corroborative proof or circum-
Stances offered by either side, each of the parties reflecting upon the credibility of the other. Your Committee therefore feel that the order to determine the matter it should be held in abeyance until either of the parties can produce some further proof.

Your Committee have also been furnished with an affidavit in which the accuracy of the breeding of the bitch Belle is denied. This is furnished by the owner of the alleged dam. Your Committee have sent a copy of the affidavit to Mr. Boxby, and when his answer is received your Committee will report upon the case.

A request has been received from Mr. H.L. Atkins for the registration of a bitch as a pointer which admittedly contained Setter blood. Following the decision in a similar case which was confirmed by the A.K.C. your committee declined to allow the said bitch to be registered.

Respectfully submitted,

Stud Book Committee.

On motion the said report was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was read as follows:

Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, A.K.C.

March 11th, 1901
Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman. H.H. Carlton, M.C. Allen, J.P. Norman
Absent: A.J. Allen

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.
Communications read: From A.P. Vredenburgh, dated December 20th, 1900, advising that the request of this committee for an extension of powers had been referred by the A.K.C. to a special committee of five with instructions to report at the annual meeting of the A.K.C. Letter ordered to file.

From A.P. Vredenburgh as follows:

“New York, February 20th, 1901

Mr. J.P. Norman, Secretary
Pacific Advisory Committee,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that at the meeting of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, held on the 18th inst., the Special Committee appointed to consider your appeal for greater powers, reported with its recommendation, which was received, ordered filed and its recommendation duly adopted, to wit:— “That the Pacific Advisory Committee of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB be accorded authority to act as the agent of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB in the following matters, and all such acts to be subject to appeal to, or to correction by, the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

1st. Receiving applications for admission to members in the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

2nd. To approve of show dates.

3rd. To approve of classifications.

4th. To fix the value of winners classes.

5th. To receive and transmit all listing fees.

All communications from and to clubs or exhibitors to be transmitted through the Pacific Advisory Committee. The office of Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee to be honorary. Nothing in aforesaid recommendations shall be construed so as to impair or interfere with any rule of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, or with any existing agreement between the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB and its Pacific Advisory Committee.”

Yours truly,
American Kennel Club
By A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary”

Letter ordered filed and adopted as part of the constitution of this Committee.

A discussion then ensued on the methods to be employed in using the extended powers of the Committee in such a manner as to remove the discord existing on the Coast in kennel affairs, and it was moved and seconded that the Chair appoint a committee of one to draft a letter according to the sense of the Committee as expressed in the discussion, such letter to be sent to the different specialty clubs and all the show giving clubs on the Coast, with the object of inducing there to hold their shows under A.K.C. Rules; the
committee to report at the adjourned meeting. The resolution was carried and the Chair appointed M.C. Allen a committee of one to draft the said letter. On motion the meeting adjourned to Tuesday, 19th inst. To give the committee of one the time necessary to draft the report.

J.P. Norman,
Secretary
Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, A.K.C.
March 19th, 1901
Adjourned from the 11th inst.

Present:  J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman.  M.C. Allen, J.P. Norman
Absent:  H.H. Carlton, A.J. Allen

The Secretary stated that the absence of Mr. A.J. Allen was due to a family
affliction, in the death of his wife, and it was resolved that the sympathy of his fellow
members of this committee be extended to him.

The committee on communications submitted a report, which was laid on the
table by resolution, to be considered as unfinished business.

The Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club applied for the approval of
winners class in Scottish Terriers; on the statement that Puppy, Novice, Limit and
Open Classes had been opened in the breed for each sex, it was

Resolved, that this Committee do hereby approve a winners class for Scottish
Terriers in the premium list of the Fifth Annual Bench Show of the San Francisco
Kennel Club.

It was moved and seconded that this committee do meet regularly on the third
Tuesday of each month.  Carried.

It was moved and seconded that settlements of receipts and disbursements
with the American Kennel Club be henceforth made quarterly, instead of semi-
annually, as heretofore, subject to the approval of the Secretary of the American
Kennel Club.  Carried.

The report of the Committee on Communications was then taken from the
table and considered as unfinished business. After lengthy discussion and
emendation by the Committee as a whole, the following letter was drawn up as
being the sense of the entire committee, and it was

Moved and seconded that the following letter be sent to every show giving
organization on the Coast, and also to every Specialty Club, as embodying the views
of this Committee on the speediest and best manner of promoting harmony in kennel
affairs.
Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

J.P. Norman
Secretary
San Francisco, March 19th, 1901

To the Officers and Members of the
Pacific Coast Kennel Specialty Clubs

Gentlemen:

The Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club hereby calls the attention of your club to a few facts, in the consideration of which will be found food for thought and opportunity for profit.

It is the desire of this Committee to serve kennel interests by uniting all clubs under the auspices of the American Kennel Club. In the recent past, this has been not only difficult but impossible, on account of the A.K.C. method of governing Coast Clubs and Coast Shows. The points of objection urged in this behalf have now been so far removed, that this Committee feels justified in asking general support of the A.K.C. on this Coast and the holding of all Coast kennel shows under A.K.C. rules.

The Pacific Advisory Committee came into existence with certain powers, deemed by many prominent kennel enthusiast insufficient to overcome objections of long standing to A.K.C. rule on the Coast. In time the Committee came to realize the inadequacy of these powers to meet the requirements of the situation. The result was an appeal to the A.K.C. for additional powers, which would constitute the Committee the absolute agent of the A.K.C. in all kennel matters in the extreme West. This request was considered by the A.K.C. at its annual meeting in New York on February 18th last, and resulted in the granting of all powers asked. An official communication from the A.K.C. conveyed notice of the adoption of the following recommendation:

“That the Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club be accorded authority to act as the agent of the American Kennel Club in the following matters, and all such acts to be subject to appeal to, or correction by, the American Kennel Club.

1st. To receive applications for admission to the American Kennel Club.
2nd. To approve of show dates.
3rd. To approve of classification.
4th. To fix the value of winners classes
5th. To receive and transmit all listing fees.

All communications from and to Clubs or Exhibitors, to be transmitted through the Pacific Advisory Committee.”

These added powers supplement the original authority of the Pacific Advisory Committee to hear and determine all protests and appeals arising in this jurisdiction.

The effect of the action taken at New York is to give the Kennel Club of the Coast absolute “Home Rule”, the one all important point, for which the Clubs or the Coast have striven during many years. It seems to the Committee, that by this action, there has been effectively removed all good reason for continued opposition to A.K.C. control of Coast kennel affairs. The Committee would respectfully call this fact to the attention of your Club and request that your members consider the manifold
Advantage of an A.K.C. affiliation and the holding of future shows under A.K.C. auspices and control, which will insure harmony of wins, a condition of special importance in view of the fact, that the A.K.C. cannot recognize any wins, past or future, not gained under its own rules.

“Home Rule” has been the one all important right for which Coast Clubs have contended. To all kennel organizations on the Coast this right is now assured. Arrangements for shows may now be made with the Committee direct, thereby removing the objection urged on account of the time required to communicate with New York. Under the present arrangement, the Advisory Committee is to all intents and purposes the A.K.C. on the Pacific Coast.

It is constituted the Court, in which all Coast matters are to be considered and determined, and more than this the Coast surely cannot ask. The Committee feels that kennel interests can best be served through the A.K.C. We adopt this means to invite the co-operation of your club, and in similar manner of all other Club interested in Pacific kennel affairs. The Committee, as now constituted, represents no faction or special interest. It has but one object in view – the best interests of Coast dogdom. Should a vacancy hereafter arise in the Committee, through death, resignation, or otherwise, all A.K.C. vacancy. The Committee will certify to the Clubs a list of eligible candidates, from whom choice may be made, and the candidate receiving the highest vote will be certified to the American Kennel Club as the one who should be appointed to eh place.

Good government is absolutely necessary to kennel club success in the holding of shows. We feel that this government can best be supplied through the A.K.C. A consideration of the facts above outlined, we are satisfied, will lead your club to the same conclusion. Bearing in mind the best interest of Dogdom on the Pacific Coast, we urge these facts to your attention and request that your Club take steps to hold its future shows under the auspices of the A.K.C. An union of Coast Clubs on these lines means much for the future of kennel interests, and we feel safe in counting on your co-operation and support.

Respectfully Submitted,
The Pacific Advisory Committee
of the American Kennel Club
J.P. Norman,
Secretary

J.E. deRuyter,
Chairman

On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Vredenburgh: At the Baltimore show of 1899 the report came to us giving the pointer “Daisy Bell” as winning first in the winner’s class, and “Belle Westlake: as winning the reserve. In looking over the report we found that in the open classes
“Belle Westlake” won over “Daisy Belle”, and in the winners class, the following class, the judge gave the beaten dog first, and the dog that won over the beaten dog reserve. Mr. Watson, who was then with the American Kennel Club, wrote to Mr. Oldham, who was superintendent of the show, and asked for an explanation of such judgment. Mr. Oldham wrote back that it was a mistake; that the winner should have been “The Widow” and the reserve “Belle Westlake” a dog that won first in the light weight limit class. Mr. Westlake appeals from that decision, and he says he has been the greater part of two years trying to straighten the matter out. I have a mass of correspondence here, in some of which Mr. Oldham says that “Daisy Belle” did win first and “belle Westlake” second, and when they applied for the judge’s book Mr. Riggs, the secretary of the club, said he did not know the books were, but he thought that Mr. Oldham had them; and Mr. Oldham says he has not got them and promised to send me a written statement. He sailed for England yesterday. I sent over to the ship to get this statement from him, and he sent word back that he was not able to get it as he could not find the judge’s book, and has was quite certain it was down at Baltimore. In the certified catalogue under Mr. Oldham’s correction it is stated that “The Widow” was given first; “Belle Westlake” was given reserved in the winners. In their respective classes
the judge reserved that, “Belle Wes[t]lake” beating “Daisy Belle” in the open class, and the question is, can a judge place a beaten dog first in the winners class over the dog that beat it in the open class.

Mr. Mortimer moved that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Mr. Vredenburgh: In the absence of the judge’s book how am I to understand it? Am I to reinstate “Daisy Belle” as 74 as originally marked on the catalogue?

Mr. Brooks: Isn’t the marked catalogue supposed to be a transcript of the judge’s book?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes.

Mr. Brooks: Failing to get the judge’s book you have got to stand on the marked catalogue. In a case of this sort we ought to let the award stand as it has been recorded in the marked catalogue until we can bring proof from the judge’s book that that catalogue is wrong. I make a motion to that effect.

Mr. Rodman: I ask that this matter be laid over until the next meeting, giving the aggrieved party the opportunity of obtaining the judge’s book, and in case of his failure to obtain it at the next meeting of the American Kennel Club then to allow the marked catalogue, which is the best evidence, to stand. I put that in the form of a motion, that the matter be laid over until the next meeting of the American Kennel Club, affording the owners of the two dogs an opportunity to produce the judge’s books at said meeting.

Seconded and carried.
Mr. Vredenburgh: The next matter in order is that of the Rhode Island State Fair Association. The stake at issue is $8. I write to the Secretary of the Rhode Island State Fair Association, and he sent me word back that they had gone out of business; that they had no funds and consequently they could not pay the $8. The Executive Board directed me to disqualify the officers. The rule is very plain. It says that the show must publish in the premium list its bench show rules and the officers under whose management the show is held, and such officers will be held personally responsible for the payment of all prizes after sixty days have elapsed, and if they did not pay them in that time they should be disqualified. When I turned to the premium list to get the names of the officers I naturally supposed I was going to find the names of the officers of the Rhode Island State Fair Association, but very much to my astonishment I found in the premium list a special announcement: “The bench show to be held in connection with the 79th Annual Rhode Island State Fair Association on October 10th, 11th, 12th and 13th, 1899, will be held under the management of the Rhode Kennel Club Bench Show Committee.” Then follows the names of such committee and officers. I did not want to proceed to disqualify the officers of the Rhode Island Kennel Club without some explanation, and I wrote to Mr. Codman, whose reply have, and which I will read.

Mr. Mortimer: I would like to know whether the Rhode Island Kennel Club knew that its name was being used in the premium list.
Mr. Codman: No, it did not. The State Fair Association had run numerous shows, and they did not amount to much for the last few years, but they thought they would hold another and apply here for a charter and pay for their license, and it was run entirely under the license of the Rhode Island State Fair Association. Mr. Perkins came and asked us to help him out and give him some assistance on the understanding that we were to have nothing to do with the management of any of the financial business, or anything whatever. What we did we did as a matter of courtesy to help make the show a success, and gave them our name. They printed that list unknown to us; they had no authority to do that, and we had a written agreement from Mr. Perkins that we were not to be responsible for any financial business whatever. We were not consulted about the management; we were never consulted about the judges or anything at all. We merely loaned them the stewards and they put those name down.

The Chair: What do you mean by lending them your name?

Mr. Codman: That we would assist them in any way we could as a matter of courtesy, which we did. We were never consulted about the management of the show.

Mr. Viti: When did you first learn that your name appeared in connection with the management of the show?

Mr. Codman: When the catalogue came out.

Mr. Viti: Did you see it in the prize list?

Mr. Codman: Yes.

Mr. Viti: Did you complain against it?
Mr. Codman: We complained but that would not alter it.

Mr. Rodman: Who paid the fee for the show?

Mr. Vredenburgh: The State Fair Association. The Rhode Island Kennel Club, so far as we were concerned, had nothing whatever to do with that show until I was directed to disqualify the officers of the Rhode Island State Fair Association, and, as I have said, when I came to get the names of the officers under whose management the show was held I found it stated in their premium list that the show would be held under the management of the Bench Show Committee of the Rhode Island Kennel Club.

Mr. Appleton: I understood Mr. Codman to say that he had a written agreement with the State Fair Association that the show was not to be under their management.

Mr. Codman: That we were not to have anything to do with the financial end of it. We made a stipulation to that effect before we said we would help them out or give them our name. If $8 will fix the matter up I will pay it, but there may be other claims arising out of this same transaction.

Mr. Viti: I understand you loaned them the use of your name on the condition that you would not be financially responsible?

Mr. Codman: Yes. We did not see that printed. We made it a stipulation that we were not to have anything to do with the management. Mr. Oldham was the whole management of the show,
Your charter and license were granted to them; it was not granted to us, and we had nothing whatever to do with it; it was not given under our charter.

The Chair: The only evidence we have is this premium list. We have to go on what evidence we have, and this is the evidence.

Mr. Rodman: Before we take action on this matter I think it would be well to understand thoroughly the position of the American Kennel Club; there may be other claims against this organization, and if those other claims are presented the same ruling must apply as applies now, and for that reason I think it is well to have it thoroughly understood before the motion is made and carried. I only suggest this so as to place the American Kennel Club in a position which is perfectly in compliance with its rulings.

Mr. Codman: I have nothing more to say. You make the ruling that we are responsible, although I do not see how we can be responsible, because it was not held under the management of the Rhode Island Kennel Club; it was under your charter, under your own license.

The Chair: You understand our position, which is that until we have evidence that this is erroneous we will have to stand on this premium list?

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I think we ought to give Mr. Codman an opportunity to get this agreement with the State Fair Association which he says they have. If the President of Rhode Island Kennel Club would furnish the agreement it might let them out entirely.
The Chair: The Secretary acted according to the rule. The only question is, are there other claims that will arise.

Mr. Rodman: Have we as a body representing the American Kennel Club the right to defer or prevent the action of the Executive Committee being carried out?

The Chair: No.

Mr. Rodman: As I understand it, the Executive Committee has directed the Secretary to disqualify the officers who managed that show pending the payment of those claims. Is that correct?

Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes.

Mr. Rodman: Then I move that this dog show committee or the officers of the Rhode Island Kennel Club be permitted to deposit with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club the amount of the claim pending the filing in this office by the President of the Rhode Island Kennel Club the written agreement which he had with the Rhode Island State Fair Association, or any other evidence relative to the management of the show held in 1899.

Mr. Brooks: I second the motion.

Carried.

The Secretary reported the receipt by him of a communication from Mr. S.C. Hodge, asking for removal of disqualification in connection with the non-payment of prizes by the American Pet Dog Club.

Mr. Rodman: I move the Chair that this communication is out of order. If it is to be treated as an appeal, as I understand the rule, it goes to the Executive Board. If it is not an appeal, what is it. There I move it is out of order.
Mr. Carnochan: I move to amend that motion that it be referred to the Executive Board for answer.

Mr. Rodman: I accept the amendment.

Dr. Foote: I offer an amendment to the amendment that Mr. Hodge be informed that upon depositing sufficient funds to liquidate the indebtedness of the American Pet Dog Club for unpaid prizes with the Treasurer of this club his application for re-instatement will be considered.

The amendment to the amendment was lost.

The motion as amended, that the communication is out of order, and that it be referred to the Executive Board for action, was carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a communication here from the Collie Club. In substance it states that Dr. Niven, of Canada, was invited to judge spaniels with other breeds at the Chicago show. He accepted, and, as I understand it, he went to the show ready and prepared to judge all the classes that had been assigned to him. He then found that without his knowledge they had taken the spaniels from him and given them to Mr. Mortimer, and had so announced in their premium list.

Mr. Mortimer: I think you are mistaken there, if you will pardon an interruption. The facts are these: Dr. Niven, of London, Canada, was invited to Judge spaniels at Chicago, and he accepted the invitation, and his name was published as the judge in the premium list as well as announced in the press. Some exhibitors who did not feel inclined to have their dogs judged by Dr. Niven, wrote to Mr. Wakem, Chairman of the Bench.
Show Committee, telling him that they would not show under Dr. Niven but that they would show under some other judge, mentioning my name. Mr. Wakem, as I am informed by him, write to Dr. Niven asking him to have his name withdrawn as judge of spaniels, and Dr. Niven consented, and these exhibitors who were unwilling to show under Dr. Niven made their entries with the understanding that I was going to judge the spaniels at Chicago. The Bench Show Committee of the Mascoutah Kennel Club did not write me or inform me or hint to me in any way that they wished me to judge spaniels, and I went on to Chicago not knowing that it was to judge spaniels at all, but when I got there I ascertained from some exhibitors that I had been appointed judge of spaniels and my name appeared in the catalogue. I at once sought out Mr. Wakem and told him that I could not under the circumstances accept that position, that Dr. Niven was down to judge them, and that many entries had been made with the understanding that Dr. Niven was going to judge them, and that no notice had been given to the public, and that I declined to judge these spaniels. The following morning I saw Dr. Niven when he arrived from Canada and shook hands with him and talked with him on the subject, and told him that I would not judge spaniels, and he said neither would he. Dr. Niven knew all about it because he was written to by Mr. Wakem about it and Dr. Niven agreed to withdraw his name, but no announcement was made of that fact; that is, no public announcement. A communication to the Spaniel Club is not a public announcement. I hadn’t the slightest idea that they had me down to judge spaniels until I arrived on the ground at the building in Chicago.
The Chair: You were to judge other dogs there?

Mr. Mortimer: Yes.

Mr. Carnochan: I think we ought to have some sort of a motion in regard to this, and I move that the officials of the Mascoutah kennel Club be censured for changing their judges without making public announcement of their action.

Motion seconded and carried, Mr. Viti voting no.

The Secretary: The next matter is in regard to the New England Kennel Club’s violation of the rules. In their premium list they advertised that they would divide classes by sex providing there were four entries of each sex made. I find that they divided the open class, blood hounds, the open class in mastiffs and the puppy class in pointers. They did not have the necessary number; they would have four dogs and three bitches, or they might have five dogs and three bitches, but at no time did they have the necessary number that they provided for in their rules, so I was compelled to cancel the classes that I considered were illegal. I notified the New England Kennel Club, and they in my presence instructed their delegate, Mr. Brooks, to come here and make an appeal from that ruling on that point.

Mr. Brooks: I wish to appeal from that decision on this ground: When we were making up the entries after the premium list was out Dr. Lougest, the only exhibitor in our section of the country, said he would guarantee the entries if we would divide those open classes, so the secretary in making up the catalogue did so. I will admit it was carelessness in not seeing that the doctor did not have in four of each sex. He had four in one
Case and three in another. He was the only exhibitor; there were no other exhibitors; nobody is hurt by it at all, and in fact the club as a loser because we were offering double the amount of money and not getting the corresponding amount of entries, and while it was a direct infringement on the rules of the American Kennel Club, we did not do it in a fraudulent way; in fact we were injuring ourselves, and we thought that this Association would probably let the matter go. In regard to the pointer classes, in the pointer puppies, there the exhibitors got together and they went to the Bench Show Committee and said they wanted these classes divided, and the superintendent of the show gave the authority if they were all willing; nobody was to be hurt by it, and we offered extra prize money for the division. Although technically we admit we violated the rules of the American Kennel Club we have worked no injury; we have not disappointed and exhibitor; we did not decrease our premium list, but in fact we added to it. The harm that has occurred will occur to our treasury and not to anybody else. You are not hurting the New England Kennel Club if you sustain the ruling; the exhibitors get their money. If some one would come along and say: [“If I had known those classes were to be divided I would have gone in”] he probably would have appealed to you. He cannot say that. He had a chance to go in there on the gamble of their being four or five more entries. No such question has come up, and we do not consider that we have done anything more than a slight violation of the rules.

Dr. Foote: I move the club be censured.
Mr. Brooks: My motion is that the awards stand as made by the judges at the New England show.

Dr. Foote: I offer an amendment that the Club be censured for its action.

The Chair: Do you accept the amendment, Mr. Brooks?

Mr. Brooks: I will accept that amendment.

Motion carried and seconded.

Mr. Mortimer: I move that the Secretary be instructed to notify exhibitors of the disqualification of the wins of their dogs.

Mr. Carnochan: I move as an amendment that the Secretary notify the various exhibitors of the loss of their wins.

Amendment seconded and lost.

The original motion was carried.

Mr. Rodman: I move that a committee be appointed by the Chair to consider the advisability of incorporating the American Kennel Club.

Seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such committee Messrs, Rodman, Viti and Vredenburgh.

Mr. Viti: I move that a committee of seven be appointed to consider the advisability of the American Kennel Club holding a show.

Seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such committee Messrs. Vredenburgh. Viti, Croker, Watson, Rodman, Muss-Arnolt and Hunnewell.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, TUESDAY,
SEPTEMBER 17, 1901

In absence of the President and Vice-President of the club, Mr. Charles W.
Rodman, Jr., was elected as Chairman of the meeting.

P R E S E N T :
Associate Member...............................................G.W.H. Ritchie
American Spaniel Club............................................Marcel A. Viti
Brunswick Fur Club..................................................R. Crompton
Collie Club of America..............................................James Watson
Dog Owners' Protective Association of
    Cincinnati.......................................................Charles W. Rodman, Jr.
Duquense Kennel Club of Western
    Pennsylvania....................................................G.M. Carnochan
French Bull Dog Club of America.................................F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America......................................G. Muss-Arnolt
Ladies' Kennel Association of
    Massachusetts..................................................Henry Jarrett
Mascoutah Kennel Club.............................................C.F.R. Drake
Metropolitan Kennel Club.........................................H.T. Foote
National Beagle Club..............................................Jas W. Appleton
Pointer Club of America.........................................George Jarvis
San Francisco Kennel Club........................................C.B. Knocker
The report of the Membership Committee was read, and on motion accepted.
It is as follows:

New York, September 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club :
  Gentlemen:

  At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, the application of the Colorado Kennel Club for admission to membership in the A.K.C. was duly considered, and your Committee recommend the admission of said Club to active membership.

  Respectfully submitted

  H.T. Foote, Chairman
  C.B. Knocker
  Membership Committee

  The Colorado Kennel Club was then duly elected a member of the American Kennel Club.

  The Secretary read his quarterly report, as follows:

  New York, September 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club
  Gentlemen:

  I have the honor to report that the Middlesex Agricultural Association applied for admission to the America
Kennel Club, under date of August 10\textsuperscript{th}, and as said Club intended holding its Show, September 24\textsuperscript{th}-27\textsuperscript{th}, 1901, it was requested that a mail vote be taken upon said application, to enable the Club to distribute its prize list containing our rules. Pursuant to precedent, I requested said mail vote by the Executive Board, and the same was unanimous in favor of the admission of the Club.

I also beg to report an application from the Colorado Kennel Club, for membership. Also fourteen applications for the registration of Kennel names. These matters will be fully reported upon by the proper Committees to which they were referred.

By a vote of the Pacific Advisory Committee, the Sixth District Agricultural Association of Los Angeles, Cal., was duly admitted to membership, date were assigned to it and its classification approved. The above action by the Pacific Advisory Committee, is recognized by the A.K.C. by virtue of the power recently given to said Committee.

At our last meeting a vote of censure to the Mascoutah Kennel Club was passed. This has occasioned quite some correspondence, and resulted in an explanation of the question upon which the censure was based. In a letter dates August 31\textsuperscript{st}, 1901, from John L. Lincoln, the Secretary of the Club, although written in his individual capacity, he requests that at this meeting something will be done to exonerate the Club. In this connection
The Collie Club of America, advises us through its Secretary that its delegate had no authority to act in its behalf, and requested me to make a correction in the next issue of the “Kennel Gazette”. I will say for your information that the complaint upon which we acted was signed “James Watson, Delegate the Collie Club”, and I so advised said Collie Club, and added that it was not within my power to make any correction whatever, even should one be necessary, as the matter has passed out of my hands, and had become the property of the Club. While on this subject, it may not be out of place for me to say that Mr. Watson was quite certain that he had made the complaint as an individual and not as a delegate, and was surprised when I exhibited to him the letter signed as said delegate.

At a recent meeting of the Executive Board, Mr. G.M. A. Fecke, was disqualified upon charges preferred against him by Mr. Camilo E. Pani. Under date of July 20th, 1901, Mr. Pani advises us that Mr. Fecke has settled his claim in a satisfactory way. It is now for this Club to decide whether the penalty of disqualification should be removed or otherwise.

Mr. E.R. Wilbur was disqualified in default of a defense upon charges made by Mr. G.O. Smith. Permission was granted Wilbur to re-open this case in his defense if applied for with thirty days. This he has done, and the matter should be referred to the Executive Board.

A request from Mr. Henry Jarrett to amend the
definition of what constitutes an American Bred Dog, was submitted at the last meeting of the Executive Board. It was the sense of the Board that a rule that has been in force for so many years should be referred to the Club for consideration of any proposed amendment or alteration, and said Board therefore declined to take any action in the matter. It is now referred to you for consideration.

Under date of June 27th, 1901, Mr. A.D. Cochrane advises us that his Airedale Terrier Bitch “Howling Doris” was shown at the late Chicago Show, in Mr. L.A. Klein’s name as owner, with the further information that since that time he could get no trace of the whereabouts of his bitch. This bitch won “first” in “limit”, open and winners classes at the Chicago Show, and although the rules direct that in such a case the win must be cancelled, I had no knowledge that Mr. Klein was not the bona fide owner, and did not cancel said winnings, and they still remain a record to the credit of said bitch. I find that this same bitch was shown for “specials” only at the late San Francisco Show, and again entered in the name of L.A. Klein as owner.

I beg to report an itemized bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from February 26th to July 3rd, amounting to $14.95. The same will be paid if approved by this meeting.

I beg to report the following matters relative to
The Pacific Coast.

An appeal from John I. Sparrow against the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Charges against the San Francisco Kennel Club, by I.W. Horton, for non-payment of prizes won by him in 1899.

Charges preferred by Mrs. A.A. Roi vs. Mrs. John McCullough. The latter case was forwarded to this office by the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee but I am not advised whether or not the charges were preferred in accordance with the Constitution. Owing to the powers granted to the Pacific Advisory Committee, I am of the opinion that the above cases, except that of Mr. Sparrow’s appeal should be acted upon by the Pacific Advisory Committee.

I have the honor to report that I have just received a cablegram from Mrs. Ellen Oughton Giles, Stokenchurch, England, expressing her “deepest sympathy with America”, in the loss of its late President.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:
New York, September 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I hereby beg to submit my quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1901 .................. \$8,735.00

Receipts from January 1\textsuperscript{st} to date ..................... 7,544.63

\begin{align*}
\text{Total} & \text{..........................} \$16,279.63 \\
\text{Disbursements since January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1901} & \text{..................} \$7,660.83 \\
\text{Balance on hand} & \text{...............} \$8,618.80
\end{align*}

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The reading of the report of the Executive Board was, on motion dispensed with, and accepted as published in the June “Gazette”.

The Secretary read the reports of four meetings of the Pacific Advisory Board, which were on motion taken up seriatim and accepted as read.
Special Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee of the A.K.C., Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, May 29, 1901

Present: J.E. De Ruyter, Chairman; A.J. Allen, J.P. Norman
Absent: M.C. Allen, H.H. Carlton.

Moved and seconded that the rules be suspended to take up protests, and that executive business be postponed to the next regular meeting. Carried.

The protest, as here opposite attached from Mr. John I. Sparrow, was read. It appeared from the same, that at the Fifth Annual Bench Show of the San Francisco Kennel Club, held at San Francisco, May 8th to 11th, 1901, in class 168 for bull terriers, puppy bitches, the judge, Mr. J.J. Lynn, awarded to the protestant in the ring, the first prize in said class for his bitch, “Edgewood Jean II”, and handed him the blue ribbon, the token of such prize; but that the Judge in marking his official record of awards, inscribed therein number 446, the catalogue number of Mr. Joseph’s bitch, “Imp”, whereas the catalogued number of protestant’s bitch was No. 447. The appellant in support of his claim, further cites the fact that his bitch was taken into the ring to compete for the winners prizes and was awarded reserve in said class. And further that his bitch obtained the special for the best bred California bull terrier in the Show.
On examination of the judge’s book, it appears that number 446 “Imp”, is inscribed as winning the first prize in Class 168 for puppy bitches, bull terriers. In the award of the trophy of the Pacific Bull Terrier Club for the best puppy bitch, number 447, “Edgewood Jean II” is inscribed as winning the same, but this has been erased and number 446 substituted, with the notation, “Correct, J.J. Lynn”. In view of the entry in the record of the awards in the regular class, and of the notation in the award of the special in the judge’s own handwriting, it was

Moved and seconded, that the entry in the judge’s book, be deemed the correct entry, and that the protest be rejected. It was further moved and seconded, that in view of the fact that Mr. Sparrow was led to take his dog into the ring for the Winners Class, by reason of being the recipient of the blue ribbon, and that Mr. Joseph, the recipient of the red ribbon, thought his bitch had only taken a second prize in the Puppy Class, it would appear from his statement made to this Committee, that Mr. Sparrow had great justification for his protest, and his deposit of ten dollars be not forfeited. Carried.

The protest as here opposite attached, from Mr. P. C. Meyer was read. It appears from the same that the appellant’s smooth coated St. Bernard bitch, “Miss Barry”, catalogue number 76, was entered in the judge’s official record as having won the Eppinger
Trophy for the best St. Bernard of opposite sex to the winner of the President’s trophy. It appears further that Mr. Meyer’s representative was handed this trophy in the ring on the presentation of the special prizes, but that afterwards, Mr. Fately, the owner of the rough coated bitch, “Melba”, catalogue number 67, came up to the protestant, accompanied by the judge of the breed, Mr. J.J. Lynn, and claimed the cup, and that Mr. Lynn informed the appellant that the cup had been awarded to “Melba”. The appellant thereupon ceded the cup to Mr. Fately, but on subsequent examination, found that the official record of the judge bore the number 76, that of his smooth-coated bitch, “Miss Barry”. The judge’s book, as submitted by the San Francisco Kennel Club, bore our the statement of the appellant. The defendant, however, produced the following letter from Mr. J.J. Lynn:

San Francisco, May 29, 1901

To Dog Show Committee

Dear Sirs:

My attention has been called to an error in the award of special for the best bitch in the Show (St. Bernard). The award was made to number 67—and not to 76.

Jas. J. Lynn

In view of the foregoing statement of the judge of the breed in question, it was Moved and seconded, that the appeal of Mr. Meyer be
denied, and it was further moved and seconded that in view of the fact the appeal was justified by the official record of the Club holding the Show, that the appellant's deposit be not forfeited.

Carried.

There being no further protests, all other business was postponed to the next regular meeting, and the meeting on motion was adjourned.

Attest: J.P. Norman

Secretary

Meeting adjourned from regular meeting of June 18th, 1901. Held at the office of Mr. J.E. de Ruyter, San Francisco, July 2nd, 1901.

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman; J.P. Norman, A.J. Allen

Absent: H.H. Carlton, M.C. Allen

On motion, the reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was deferred to the next meeting.

Moved and seconded that business be confined to consideration of the following letter from Mr. Vredenburgh, which was read by the Secretary.

New York, June 11, 1901

Mr. J.P. Norman, Secretary

Pacific Advisory Committee,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:
I beg to hand you herewith a communication received from the San Francisco Kennel Club and would request your Committee to investigate the same and arrive at a conclusion why the judge took upon himself to correct awards already given and marked in the judge's book. The American Kennel Club has established precedents governing such conditions and do not permit a judge after having made awards and dismissing his class to subsequently change these awards. As we are at present at work on the catalogue of the late San Francisco Show, you will oblige me by calling a meeting of your Committee at once and forwarding to this office promptly the result reached at that meeting. In the deliberations of your Committee, kindly have in view at all times the precedent above referred to, as in my opinion it would be very unfortunate if the American Kennel Club were obliged to disapprove of your action.

Yours truly,
American Kennel Club,
By A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

The communication contained in the above letter was as follows:

San Francisco, June 5th, 1901

Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary,
American Kennel Club
New York
Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that Mr. J.J. Lynn has corrected the entries in his Judge’s book in the following classes:

No. 252, Maltese Terriers, Open Dogs and Bitches:
First, Mrs. S. Rand, “Queenie R”, No. 601.
Second, Mrs. A.A. Roi, “Margie B”, No. 600.

Will you please correct the marked catalogue in accordance and oblige.

Yours truly,

J.P. Norman, Secretary

Per H.D. Laidlaw, Cashier

Mr. Laidlaw, Cashier of the San Francisco Kennel Club was in attendance, and submitted his letter to Mr. Lynn setting forth that both exhibitors of Maltese Terriers were dissatisfied with the awards as published in the catalogue, and were both in accord that the judge had awarded the ribbons as subsequently corrected, and were both anxious to have the error rectified. For these reasons, the judge was invited to settle the matter satisfactorily to all parties concerned.

Mr. Laidlaw further stated that Mr. Lynn called and sated that it was a mistake on his part, and that the dogs were placed as he awarded the ribbons, and he therefore corrected the book accordingly. The Secretary stated that he had invited the Judge to attend the meeting or give a written explanation of his action in
changing his awards, and that Mr. Lynn had answered a previous invitations to a
meeting by saying that he would be unable to attend a meeting held in the evening
and suggesting that a written communication should be forwarded to the Committee,
if he were informed of the exact nature of the explanation required.

An examination of the Judge’s book shows that No. 600 had originally
been entered as winning first therein and No. 601 as winning second prize, and the
entries had been reversed over the signature of J.J. Lynn.

In view of the fact that the explanation requested of Mr. Lynn had not
yet been received, and that the Committee was therefore unable to arrive at a
conclusion, or to the reason of the change, it was

Moved and seconded that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to
communicate with Mr. Lynn and to request him to furnish this Committee with an
explanation of above reversal prior to July 9th, failing the receipt of which, this, as
well as other reversals will be brought before the American Kennel Club in the
nature of a complaint from the Pacific Advisory Committee.

The chair thereupon declared the meeting adjourned to the following
Tuesday, July 9th, 1901, at the same place and time, 5 p.m.

Attest:

J.P. Norman
Secretary
Regular Meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee
July 9th, 1901, held at the office
of J.E. de Ruyter

Adjourned from July 2nd, 1901

Present: John E. de Ruyter, Chairman; Merton C. Allen, J.P. Norman,
A.J. Allen

Absent: H.H. Carlton

Minutes of regular meeting of May 29th read. It was moved and
seconded that he same be amended by inserting, prior to the motion in the matter of
the Meyer protest, after the words “Statement of the judge of the breed in question”,
the words, “And the statement made to this Committee by Mr. Fately, that he
erroneously gave the number of his bitch as 76, instead of 67, to the judge at the
time the award was made, it was moved and seconded”, etc. Carried.

The following communications were read by the Secretary:

A notice from Mr. J.I. Sparrow, that he was about to protest to the
American Kennel Club, against the decision of this Committee in the matter of
appeal against the award of second to his bull-terrier bitch, “Edgewood Jean II”.
Ordered filed.

From the Vancouver Island Kennel Club, in which under date of April
12th, the Club acknowledges the receipt of the circular issued by this Committee on
March 19th, and regrets that it is too late to change the rules of the Show then shortly
to be held, and
promising to discuss the matter with the Committee before holding any future show. Ordered filed.

From Norman J. Stewart enclosing copy of resolutions passed by the Pacific Kennel League to join the American Kennel Club. Ordered filed.

In the matter of the change of awards made in the judge’s book by Mr. Lynn on June 3rd in Maltese terriers, the same having been referred to this Committee by the American Kennel Club for investigation and report, the Secretary reported that he had received no explanation of the change from Mr. Lynn. It was from Mr. Lynn as to the reason for changing the awards in Maltese terriers in the judge’s book, this Committee can see no reason for making the change, and recommends that the awards stand as originally entered in the book. Carried.

It was further moved and seconded, that this Committee respectfully calls the attention of the Executive Committee to the numerous changed in awards made subsequently to the judging by Judge Lynn, and would ask the Executive Committee to lay down such rules as would obviate a repetition of these conditions in the future. Carried.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned.

Attest: J.P. Norman
Secretary
Pacific Advisory Committee,

Regular meeting, August 20th, 1901, Occidental Hotel, San Francisco

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman; H.H. Carlton, J.P. Norman, A.J. Allen
Absent: A.J. Allen

Minutes of previous meetings read and approved.

Application was read from the Sixth District Agricultural Association, Freeman G. Teed, Secretary, dated July 25th, 1901, for admission to membership in the American Kennel Club and for approval of dates for a Bench Show to be held at Los Angeles on October 2, 3, 4 and 5, 1901. The application cited that the officers of the Association were E.T. Wright, President; Farmers and Merchants Bank, Treasurer; Freeman G. Teed, Secretary. Directors, C.E. DeCamp, S.N. Andrus, G.B. Barham, Caspar Cohn, M.A. Covaribias, Martin C. Marsh, H.J. Fleishman, E.T. Wright. The dues of the Association having been duly paid, and the Constituting meeting with all the requirements of the rules of the American Kennel Club, it was moved and seconded that the Sixth District Agricultural Association be and hereby is admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

The deposits of the Sixth District Agricultural Association having been duly received with their application for approval of dates, it was moved and seconded that the dates of October 2nd, 3rd,
4th and 5th, be and hereby are approved for the Bench Show to be held by the Sixth District Agricultural Association at Los Angeles. Carried.

The Secretary stated that, acting under the advice of the Chair, he had issued on July 22nd, subject to release, a certificate of approval for the premium list of the above Association, and a certificate for the minimum value of one point for the winner’s classes, in advance of the application of the Association for membership. This was done as the managers of the Association were most anxious to get out the premium list early, and the Secretary sought confirmation for the irregularity of the action. It was in accordance with the precedent set by Mr. Vredenburgh in connection with the Sacramento Show of 1900. It was moved and seconded that the action of the Secretary be herewith confirmed and approved / Carried.

A letter was read from Mr. L.A. Klein enclosing copy of one to Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, asking the good offices of this Committee in the matter of the cancellation of the wins of several of Mr. Klein’s dogs for not having been listed prior to the San Francisco Show. After some discussion, it was

Resolved, that the Secretary ascertain from Mr. Klein how many dogs he entered, how many were registered or listed elsewhere prior to the show, and how many and what dogs he ordered
Listed at San Francisco. The Secretary was further instructed to procure from the San Francisco Kennel Club, Mr. Klein’s entry blanks and to make a careful examination of the same, and to report to the Committee at the next meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman
Secretary

The Secretary then read the report of the Special Committee on the advisability of holding a Dog Show by the American Kennel Club, as follows:

New York, September 17th, 1901


Absent, H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., Frank Croker

M.A. Viti, in the Chair

The object of the meeting having been stated by the Chairman, a full discussion was had.

The following resolution was adopted:

That the matter be laid over for report until the annual meeting. Mr. Rodman voted in the negative.

Adjourned. A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary Committees
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Viti, Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, then read the report of that Committee as follows:

The Stud Book Committee begs leave to report as follows:

Applications have been filed for the granting of the following prefixes, which as they do not conflict with any already registered, this Committee recommends should be allowed:

Acorn, by Fred L. Seager
Bozzie, by George B. Clason
Den, by J. Edward Cowles
Emerald Hill, by John R. Flinn
Glendale, by Harold W. Gould
Iona, by Dr. and Mrs. W.W. Hadley
Sachusett, by Miss Alice Brownell
Stenton, by Mr. and Mrs. S. Boyd Carrigan
Vine, by E.F.J. Goldsmith
Beaver Brook, by Tyler Morse

In addition to the foregoing, applications were filed for the granting of:

Dakota, by Charles Brewer
Texas, by W.H. Ordway
Scottish, by A.C. Gilbert
King, by Gilbert M. King
This Committee did not approve the granting of the names Dakota and Texas as they are the names of States, and too general; Scottish was declined following the precedent of not allowing the name of any breed to be used as a Kennel name; the name King was also refused as it conflicts with one already registered.

Communications have been received from Dr. John R. Daniels and E.F. Smith in reference to a certain letter of pointer puppies reported to have been sired by Plain Sam, which was doubted owing to their lack of intelligence, but after a careful consideration of the correspondence, the Committee has concluded that they have no jurisdiction in the premises.

In re Brookdale Lilly (55837). A protest against the registration of said bitch registered by E.A. Throckmorton claiming to be breeder and owner of said bitch was filed by Miss Helen M. Caldwell and W.S. Le Mon.

After due notice to the parties in interest they appeared in person before this Committee of two meetings and also submitted certain affidavits in support of their contention, which are herewith submitted.

The evidence shows that on or about March 26, 1900, E.W. Throckmorton filed an application for the registration of the pointer bitch “Brookdale Lilly”, claiming to be the breeder and owner
Thereof. Miss Caldwell from her affidavit and testimony showed to the satisfaction of this Committee that she had had possession of the dam of “Brookdale Lilly” viz: (Elkwood Mate) from 1895, and that the said latter bitch was still in her possession, This statement was substantiated by the affidavit and testimony of W.S. Le Mon and was not contradicted nor denied by said E.W. Throckmoeton whose contention was that Miss Caldwell was merely a custodian and not an owner or lessee of said Elkwood Kate[]. Numerous affidavits from disinterested parties were filed and from a consideration of all that were submitted, this Committee is of the unanimous opinion that Miss Caldwell’s contention that said “Elkwood Kate” had been given to her by E.W. Throckmorton in 1895, and that she still owned said bitch, should be sustained. She therefore is the breeder of said “Brookdale Lilly” and any and all litters the Committee therefore recommends that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be directed to correct and enter all progeny of said. “Elkwood Kate” whelps subsequent to 1895, naming Miss Caldwell as breeder of the aforesaid progeny as long as the ownership of said bitch continues in her. This Committee further finds from the testimony of said W.E. Throckmorton that at the date, namely on or about March 26, 1900, of the making of said application by him for the registration of said “Brookdale Lilly” he was not the sole owner of said last named bitch and should be censured for ascribing
To himself such sole ownership.

This Committee further recommends by reason of the aforesaid conclusions reached that the application of Miss Caldwell filed on or about May 1st, 1901, for the re-registration of said “Elkwood Kate” be allowed upon complying with the rules of the American Kennel Club.

In reference to the Field Spaniel Heather, this Committee finds that the objections to the combination of its date of both breeder and pedigree as given at the W.K.C. Show is well founded, as a letter from the breeder placed the date of birth as October 26th, 1897, and not January, 1898, as stated.

In the matter of the Cocker Spaniel “Varney” the Committee reports that John Midwinter who filed an objection to the dog’s pedigree has since neglected and refused to pursue his objection, although frequently requested to do so. The exhibitor of the said dog promptly furnished this Committee with an affidavit giving his reason for giving the pedigree as stated but the complainant, although six months have elapsed and he has been frequently requested to furnish his evidence, has neglected to do so. The Committee believes that it is against the best interests of this Association for anyone in the possession of knowledge of wrong doing to file an objection and refuse to pursue the same and therefore recommends that said John Midwinter be suspended.
Mr. Vredenburgh: The first matter to which I call attention is a communication from Mr. John L. Lincoln in regard to a vote of censure of the Mascoutah Kennel Club passed by the American Kennel Club; also a communication from Mr. William C. Hunter in regard to the same subject.

Mr. Watson: As I was the one to bring up this matter, I think it is quite proper that I should make a statement, prefacing my remarks by saying that I am not authorized to make any statement whatever, but I think I am justified as a delegate to make a few remarks on this subject.

My idea in called attention to the matter was to prevent its recurrence. I had no intention of asking that any very grievous censure be passed upon the Mascoutah Club, but, however, the club thought fit to do so. I wish to call the attention of the club to this point, that this vote of censure was passed upon the Mascoutah Kennel Club without allowing it any opportunity to respond to the accusation, and I think that is fair ground for asking a reconsideration of the vote and passing it through in the proper way. If it
Should turn out that the Club has no defense to offer, then the vote of censure would stand; but as I have said I think the action of the club is passing this vote of censure, without allowing the Mascoutah Kennel Club to say anything in its defense was hardly proper. I was not present at the last meeting so I hardly know whether I ought to make any motion.

Mr. Mortimer: I think it would be a good thing to re-consider this matter, not because I think the vote of censure was severe, but because I think it would give the Club an opportunity of putting in a defense, or an excuse, or whatever it may deem proper. They evidently labored under a mistake, an impression that the American Kennel Club would force them to change their judge because he was not on the official list of the American Spaniel Club. I think you will agree with me in that respect. So far as my contract with them was concerned, I think you will agree with me, that as soon as the judges’ names and the breeds assigned to them were published, that settled the contract; they could not go on and put me in to judge spaniels, because in my letter I told them that I would judge any breeds they were prepared to give me. They should not bring that up as a breach of contract. Dr. Niven was assigned to judge spaniels. That fact was announced in all their papers. I think Mr. Lincoln is wrong in his idea. I don’t think that I committed any breach of contract at all, because as soon as the judges’ names appeared in their premium lists, with the breeds assigned to them, that settled the contract. My breeds were as-
signed, and so were Dr. Niven’s. My refusal to judge spaniels, because they had taken them away from Dr. Niven, certainly does not mean that I committed a breach of contract, so far as I can see. I think, as I said, that they have been laboring under a misapprehension.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I would like to ask if that is an official communication from the Mascoutah Kennel Club.

Mr. Vredenburgh: This is a communication from Mr. Lincoln in his individual capacity. The other letter from the Collie Club is an official communication.

Mr. Carnochan: In view of the fact that the Mascoutah Kennel Club, through its Secretary, while writing as an individual, asks us to reconsider the vote of censure, I wish to make this motion, that the American Kennel Club reconsider the vote of censure on the Mascoutah Kennel Club passed at the last meeting, and that the matter be referred to the Executive Board for action. That will give them an opportunity, officially, to go before the Executive Board.

Mr. Drake: As the delegate of the Mascoutah Kennel Club, I would ask that this vote be reconsidered. I believe that the Secretary of the Mascoutah Kennel Club desires to have this matter brought up again, and I ask leave to bring it up now for discussion. I am not informed on the subject myself.

The Chair: I believe, unless there is some official application from the Mascoutah Kennel Club to the American Kennel Club in regard to this matter, that the motion made by Mr. Carnochan is out of order, and I shall rule that the motion is out of order.
We have no right to determine this question here if it has once been determined by the Executive Board.

Mr. Mortimer: No notification was made to me whatever, either officially or unofficially that I was to judge spaniels. I knew nothing of it except from one exhibitor, who wrote me a letter congratulating me on having been appointed to judge Spaniels in the place of Dr. Niven, and I replied that I had received no communication from the Club officially, or otherwise, that they wanted me to judge spaniels, and I certainly would not judge them. I am very sorry that this vote of censure cannot be reconsidered. I should like very much to have it reconsidered, and I think if Mr. Drake makes an application for a reconsideration there could be no good reason why the Executive Committee should not take the matter up.

Mr. Vredenburgh: At the last meeting of the Executive Board, Mr. G.M.A. Fecke was disqualified upon charges preferred against him by Mr. Camillo E. Pani. On July 20th, Mr. Pani wrote me a letter to the effect that Mr. Fecke had settled his claim in a satisfactory way, and it is now before the Club to decide whether the disqualification should be removed or not.

On motion the matter was referred to the Executive Board.

In regard to the disqualification of Mr. E.R. Wilbur, in default of the defense upon charges made by Mr. G.O. Smith, it was moved and seconded that the matter be referred to the Executive Board. Carried.
The Secretary called up the request of Mr. Henry Jarrett to amend the definition of what constitutes an American bred dog, which was submitted to the last meeting of the Executive Board, and by it referred to the club. After some discussion in which the opinion was freely expressed that many dogs were exhibited as American bred which were not entitled to that distinction under the existing definition, Mr. Mortimer suggested a definition of what should constitute an American bred dog.

The Chair: The secretary suggests that if the definition which you would recommend is to be published by the American Kennel Club in our show rules, it would be necessary to give notice as required by our rules, and then it would go through the proper channels to the Committee on Rules and Regulations. Just serve a written notice in the exact phraseology you desire and that will be submitted to the rules committee.

The Secretary then called attention to the communication from Mr. A.D. Cochran in regard to his Airedale Terrier Bitch, which was shown in the name of Mr. L.A. Klein as owner at the late Chicago show, since which time he had not been able to find any trace of the bitch.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that the secretary be directed to inform Mr. Cochran that his only redress is to prefer charges against Mr. Klein.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for
Disbursements, amounting to $14.95, was approved.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Here is a charge against the San Francisco Kennel Club for non-payment of prizes, filed by Mr. I.W. Horton; also another one which the Pacific Advisory Committee sent to me. These two cases in my opinion ought to be sent back to the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that they be sent back to the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Here is an appeal from John I. Sparrow from the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Mr. Carnochan: I move it be referred to the Executive Board in accordance with the rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cable to Mrs. Ellen Oughton Giles, of Stokenchurch, England, who expressed “deepest sympathy with American,” in the loss of its President, the high appreciation of the members of the club for her expression of sympathy.

Mr. Viti: I desire to move to correct the minutes as published. I see that Mr. Rodman is reported as saying: “I move that a committee be appointed by the Chair to consider the advisability of incorporating the American Kennel Club.” I thin that motion also included authority to the committee to have the club incorporated. I move that the minutes as published be corrected in that respect.
Motion second and carried.
On motion of Mr. Carnochan the delegates went into a committee of the whole.

Mr. Viti: As chairman of the committee of the whole I beg to report that at its session a motion was seconded and carried that the report of the sub-committee on reciprocity, with the English Kennel Club, be accepted.

On motion report of the Committee on the whole was accepted.

Capt. Knocker: I move that a vote of thanks be extended to the sub-committee of the American Kennel Club for the hard work that they have done in England.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Viti: I move that it is the sense of this meeting that it is to the best interest of the American Kennel Club for the secretary to attend in person the more prominent dog shows in this circuit, and that this should be done at the expense of the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Before we adjourn I would like to make a statement: The Executive Board, at its meeting held on June 18th, paid me a very handsome compliment, one that was beyond my deserts. They voted me a testimonial of $500 to pay my expenses abroad to attend to its business, and at the same time giving me a sufficient margin to have a pretty good time. I appreciated that beyond expression. I can
Not express to you the feeling that I had that the American Kennel Club would give me a testimonial for simply doing my duty. Several friend in the American Kennel Club who knew nothing about this testimonial, but out of pure friendship for me, sent me a letter of credit and told me to go to the other side and have a good time with it. That was another unexpected pleasure to me. I want to express to this club and to these gentlemen who sent me this personal testimonial my appreciation, my thanks, for their courtesy, not only for the physical gift, but for the spirit that prompted them to think of me in the handsome manner that they did. I want to say now that I used $200 of the $500, which covered my transportation to London and back, and having no occasion to use the other $300 I have returned it to the credit of the American Kennel Club. At the same time I thank you most heartily for the spirit that prompted you to make me such a present.

The Chair (Mr. Rodman): It seems proper that I should be permitted to say a few words in reply to what Mr. Vredenburgh has said, and may I say that I think the spirit in which the testimonial, as he is pleased to call it, was given is equaled in the way in which it was accepted, and that the American Kennel Club made no mistake in giving Mr. Vredenburgh that small testimonial as a token of appreciation of his efficient services for all these long years to the American Kennel Club; and never were Mr. Vredenburgh’s services of greater practical use and value than during the past summer.
The meeting of the two committees owe to a great extent their successful termination to Mr. Vredenburgh's through knowledge of the subjects which they discussed, and I feel sure that Mr. Carnochan, who was my fellow-committeeman, will bear me out in the statement that we as two fellow members of the same committee felt that with Mr. Vredenburgh near us we could not go astray, and that was demonstrated time and time again, not only to our satisfaction, but also to the satisfaction of the English Kennel Club, whose sub-committee repeatedly in Mr. Vredenburgh's presence and in ours paid tributes to him and showered compliments upon him, showing that he was not only appreciated in his own country, but that he was also appreciated abroad.

I apologize for doing something which the chairman is not as a rule expected to do, and if I have transgressed forgive me for my ignorance and not from any wilful desire so to do, not to take up your time.

Capt. Knocker: It seems to me that when the American Kennel Club asked Mr. Vredenburgh to accept the testimonial it did not do so with the idea that he was going to return the greater part of it to the club, and I cannot see what right the American Kennel Club has to accept such a thing from him, and although it is very just and very kind of him to tender it back I should say that the club should ask Mr. Vredenburgh to accept that $300 as it belongs to him.

The Chair: Do you put that in the form of a motion?

Capt. Knocker: I would like to put that in the form of
A motion: That Mr. Vredenburgh be asked to accept the money which was handed to him for a purpose; he was not supposed to give an account of what he did with it. If for any reason Mr. Vredenburgh has not found it necessary to use that money in the way he was supposed to use it, that is no reason why he should not retain it; it was given to him as a token of appreciation of his service, and I move that he be asked to keep it.

Motion seconded and unanimously and heartily carried.
The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD AT ITS OFFICE, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, DECEMBER 17, 1901.

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., in the Chair

Present:

Associate Members          A.C. Wilmerding
Airedale Terrier Club of America   A.D. Cochrane
American Fox Terrier Club        H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
American Spaniel Club           M.A. Viti
Brunswick Fur Club               R. Crompton
Bull Dog Club of America         Tyler Morse
Bull Terrier Club of America     Frank H. Croker
Dog Owners' Protective Association
   Of Cincinnati                 Charles W. Rodman, Jr.
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania                     G.M. Carnochan
French Bull Dog Club of America  F.J. Bristol
Irish Terrier Club of America    S. Van Schaick
Pointer Club of America          George Jarvis
San Francisco Kennel Club         C.B. Knocker
Texas Kennel Club                Geo. W. Clayton
Welsh Terrier Club of America    B.S. Smith

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were approved as published in the Sept Gazette.

The report of the Membership Committee was read, as follows

New York, December 16, 1901

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:—

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day the application of the New Jersey Kennel Association for admission to membership in the American
Kennel Club was duly considered and your committee recommend the admission of said club to active membership. Credentials from the Westminster Kennel Club appointing Mr. Richard H. Williams as its delegate were duly considered and your committee recommend the acceptance of the same.

Respectfully submitted,
S. Van Schaick
C.B. Knocker

On motion said report was accepted.

The New Jersey Kennel Association was duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Mr. R.H. Williams was elected to represent the Westminster Kennel Club.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, December 16, 1901

TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB:

Gentlemen:-

I have the honor to report an application from the New Jersey Kennel Association for admission to membership. Also applications for the registrations of Kennel Names. These matters were referred to their proper Committees, which will submit their report at this meeting.

By virtue of the power conferred upon the Pacific Advisory Committee the Oakland Poultry Association, of Oakland, Cal. was duly
Admitted to membership by said Committee. Dates were assigned to it for a show, the classification approved, and the show held.

I beg to report that Mr. G.N. Phelps, of Boston, Mass. filed a protest against the recording of winnings awarded to the dog “Newcastle Zizi”, and against its owner for showing same as a French Bulldog, having the knowledge that it was a first cross of a Boston Terrier Bitch to a French Bulldog. I have duly notified the owner, Mr. James L. Little of the protest and invited him to file his answer or to appear in person at this meeting.

Under date of June 6, 1901 Mr. Henry Jarrett filed a communication on the subject of a proper definition of the term of an “American Bred Dog.” This matter was submitted to the Executive Board, and by it referred to the full meeting of the Club, and was considered at the September meeting, at which time the delegate of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of America agreed to submit his views on the subject in writing, so that the same might be considered by the Committee on Constitution and Rules. Your Secretary has not received any communication whatever upon the subject, and is therefore obliged to again present the matter for your consideration.

I will submit at this meeting a communication from James M. Pulley, asking legislation on the subject of breeds divided by height. His contention is that a puppy not fully grown may be exhibited in novice, limit and open classes, and may win in said classes, but such puppy when fully grown may develop into a size that would make it ineligible to compete in a class in which it had won
as a puppy, with the possibility of being ineligible to compete in any class of its breed. The writer states that it is a matter of interest to Beagle men particularly.

I beg to report for your information that all bills for associate and Active dues and for advertising accounts were made out and duly mailed to our members and advertisers the latter part of November.

It gives me pleasure to state that the present year has been the most successful one in the history of this Association. In the matter of registrations the preceding year was the record, but with the ending of this ear I am quite certain that I will be within the limit in stating that the registrations will exceed those of the previous year by over four hundred. While the number of dog shows will not be as great as in 1900, yet the average entries at said shows were much greater, and several of the important shows not being held until nearly the close of the year, it has entailed more work for the office, and in order to successfully cope with that work in time to publish it in the forthcoming volume of the Stud Book, I must again ask your consent to secure the services of an additional clerk for at least the three months of January, February and March.

Pursuant to a recent resolution of the Club, your Secretary has visited in his official capacity the shows held at Buffalo, Toronto, Atlanta and Philadelphia, and desires to express his appreciation of the hospitality and courtesy extended to him at all of these shows. He is of the opinion that after the completion of the
Spring Circuit the object of his visit shall have been attained, and he would therefore respectfully suggest that the practice be discontinued.

Your Secretary would recommend that the Chair appoint a special committee with the object of considering the advisability, and suggesting ways and means toward the purchase of a suitable home for this Association. This would keep us in line with other national organizations, which are of no greater importance in their particular departments than is THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted as read.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, December 18, 1901

TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit my quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1901 $8,735.00
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date 11,285.21
Total $20,020.21
Disbursements from Jan. 1st, 1901 $10,465.17
Balance on hand $9,555.04

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted as read.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The proceedings of the Executive Board were published in the November Gazette.

Mr. Rodman: I move that they be accepted as published in the November Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee, as follows:

PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Regular Meeting, October 15th, 1901

Absent: J.E. de Ruyter
The Secretary called the meeting to order, and Mr. Carlton was elected to the chair.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The application of the Oakland Poultry Association for membership in the American Kennel Club was read by the Secretary. The Association having complied with all the requirements and the constitution being satisfactory, it was moved and seconded that:

The Oakland Poultry Association be, and hereby is, elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Carried.

The application of the Oakland Poultry Association for approval of the dates of December 4, 5, 6, and 7, 1901 was granted, and the deposit of the Association as guarantee for holding a bench show on those dates accepted.

The Secretary stated that he had approved and certified with a minimum of one point the premium list of the Oakland Poultry Association, in order to enable the latter to get out the list for publication. It was moved and seconded that the action of the Secretary be, and hereby is, confirmed. Carried.

In the matter of the appeal of L.A. Klein for the good offices of this Committee on his behalf with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, to rescind the cancellation of the wins of certain of his dogs made at the San Francisco show for non-listing, it was moved and seconded that the same be laid on the table, Mr.
Klein having appealed to the Executive Board and so taken the matter out of the hands of this committee. Carried.

In the matter of Roi versus McCullough:

It appearing from the evidence and correspondence presented to this committee that Mrs. McCullough, by her own acknowledgement, did not fulfill her contract and agreement with Mrs. A.A. Roi, wither as to the condition of the Maltese terrier bitch, “Poots,” or as to the forwarding of the pedigrees of the pups and of their sire, or as to the prepayment of the express charges as agreed by her in her latter of February 9th. It was moved and seconded that the said Mrs. John McCullough, of Indianapolis, proprietor of the Linden Kennels, be, and hereby is, suspended for the period of sixty (60) days from date, and that unless she fulfill her contract and agreement with the said Mrs. A.A. Roi to the satisfaction of the latter and of this committee before the expiration of that period, she be permanently disqualified, under Article XII., Section 5 of the Constitution and By-Laws of the American Kennel Club.

Carried.

In the matter of Horton versus the San Francisco Kennel Club, a complaint for the non-payment for prizes won in 1899, it was moved and seconded that the same be referred to the San Francisco Kennel Club for investigation, with the suggestion that if the prizes be found to be unpaid, they be duly paid to the complainant.
In the matter of W.E. Meek versus the San Francisco Kennel Club:

The complainant alleges that the judge, J.J. Lynn, awarded him in the ring the red ribbon, in token of the second prize for his mastiff dog, “Sharkey,” in the open class for mastiffs, and that the slips handed to the official stenographer of the club were so marked, and that the award was so published in the marked catalogue; that the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club refused to pay him the second prize and tendered him the third prize, on the ground that the official slip retained by the judge for the use of the club was so marked. The official judge’s book and the slips marked by Mr. Lynn for the stenographer were submitted by the San Francisco Kennel Club, and the same were found to be as alleged by the complainant. In view of the record showing that “Sharkey” was awarded the second prize in the open class for mastiffs on two slips, and received the same award in the ring, it was moved and seconded that the awards made in the ring be sustained, that the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club be order to pay the second prize to M.E. Meek, to change the official record, and that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be requested to change the official records as printed in the Gazette accordingly.

After some discussion of American Kennel Club affairs on the Pacific Coast, there being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary
On motion the same was accepted as read.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and on motion accepted, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

It follows:

APPLICATIONS FOR THE REGISTRATION OF KENNEL NAMES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>Mrs. Ira Davenport</td>
<td>New York City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canton</td>
<td>George I. Hammond</td>
<td>Canton, Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedarvale</td>
<td>B.S. Smith</td>
<td>Closter, N.J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craigdarroch</td>
<td>Mrs. Jack Brazier</td>
<td>Bay Shore, L.I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickens</td>
<td>W.D. Sandwich</td>
<td>Fort Valley, Ga.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fargo</td>
<td>Charles Brewer</td>
<td>Fargo, N.Dak.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May Echo</td>
<td>W.B. Meares</td>
<td>Hillsboro, N.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenmuir</td>
<td>H.S. Rivenburgh</td>
<td>Hudson, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Hickory</td>
<td>H.B. Robinson</td>
<td>Greenville, Miss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmetto</td>
<td>Percy W. Harris</td>
<td>Spartanburgh, S.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marco</td>
<td>W.P. Genovar</td>
<td>St. Augustine, Fla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley Farm</td>
<td>J.B. Thomas, Jr.</td>
<td>Simsbury, Conn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyndhurst</td>
<td>Charles G. Muenchinger</td>
<td>Newport, R.I.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPLICATIONS FOR TRANSFERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lansdowne</td>
<td>George D.B. Darby to B.F. Lewis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windermere</td>
<td>The Misses Lee and De Coppet to Misses Beatrice and Gertrude De Coppet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBSTITUTIONS

Shawmut to be cancelled and Aquehung substituted therefor
R.H. and M.L. Hunt, Port Chester, N.Y.

Approve,

Marcel A. Viti
C.W. Rodman, Jr.,
C.B. Knocker
Mr. Vredenburgh: I have a protest from G.N. Phelps, of Boston, against James L. Little, of Brookline.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The question now arises on the subject of a proper definition of the term of “An American bred dog.” You will remember that at the September meeting this question was discussed, and Mr. Mortimer gave notice that he would file an amendment to be submitted to the Rules Committee. That has not been done. Whether or not the Rules Committee shall take that matter up of their own volition is for you to decide.

The Secretary then read the definition contained in the rules.

The Chair: I think there ought to be some definition made, because several cases have come up in which it has been shown that it was a difficult matter for Secretaries of specialty clubs to decide whether dogs entered at their Shows were American bred or not.

Mr. Rodman: Have not most specialty clubs their own rules governing that question? I think I am safe in saying that the Irish Terrier Club has a definition which covers that interpretation of American bred dogs. I think I am also
Safe in saying that the Bull Dog Club has such a definition; also the Spaniel Club.

Mr. Viti: You are not quite correct with regard to the Spaniel Club. We have a custom but not a definite rule.

Mr. Rodman: The definition made by the Irish Terrier Club is this: That a bitch owned in the United States or Canada, and sent abroad to be bred, and then returned prior to whelping, its produce whelped in this country would be considered as American bred, and I was of the opinion that the American Kennel Club’s definition was broad enough to cover Canada, but I am informed that that is not so. As a matter of fact I know that there are a number of specialty clubs which have their own definitions, and it seems to me if this question is to be decided, it would be best to have the matter referred to the Rules Committee, and have the Secretaries of the different clubs present at the hearing. I do not see how we can define for special clubs, their definitions of an American bred dog.

Capt. Knocker: I move that the matter be referred to the Rules Committee.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Viti: Then if the Rules Committee report a rules that is in contradiction to the terms of the rules of the specialty clubs, they will be abrogated and all those wins
Cancelled? It seems to me it is a matter for the specialty clubs to legislate on. You would have a dog winning in a class for American bred dogs, under American Kennel Club rules, and another dog that was barred from that class winning a special prizes for the best America bred specimen in a specialty club. Why not request the specialty club to communicate with the Rules Committee on this subject?

Mr. Carnochan: I was going to suggest that the Secretary write to the various specialty clubs for the definitions as held by them. I move that as an amendment to the motion which has been made.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the communication from James M. Pulley, asking legislation on the subject of breeds decided by height was referred to the Rules Committee.

Mr. Vredenburgh: Next in order is my request for authority to engage temporarily another clerk in the office for the months of January, February and March.

Mr. Rodman: I move that the Secretary be empowered to employ such clerical assistance as shall be necessary for his purpose.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I recommend that with the completion of the coming Spring circuit, the American Kennel Club will have been sufficiently introduced to the exhibitors throughout
the country, so that the practice of sending the Secretary to the different shows, may be discontinued.

Capt. Knocker: May I ask why the Secretary recommends that?
Mr. Vredenburgh: In the first place, I think it is putting the American Kennel Club to a great deal of unnecessary expense.

Mr. Rodman: In response to the Secretary's statement, I beg leave to call the Secretary's attention to a resolution which was passed at a former meeting, requiring him to visit different shows. It was left to his good judgment and discretion as to when he went and when he should remain at home. If I am correct as to that, this motion is out of order.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I desire to state that it is difficult to use any discretion in this matter. The officers of the different shows seem to think that that discretion ought to be used in their favor. For instance, it was thought that the Secretary discriminated between Dallas and Atlanta. The Atlanta Kennel Club held a special meeting when they saw the publication of this resolution, and invited the Secretary to visit the Atlanta show. I accepted the invitation and visited their show, but the Dallas Club, which held its show on the same date, seemed to think that the Secretary used his discretion against them, and for that reason it placed the Secretary
in rather a difficult position, and I thought that it would be best, after the completion of this circuit, if the American Kennel Club should pass a resolution authorizing me to discontinue these official visits and thereby relieve me of that embarrassment.

Mr. Rodman: My motion is still before the house that it is out of order.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Vredenburgh: It has been my pet hobby for a number of years to see the time when the American Kennel Club could occupy its own quarters, and for that reason I have worked hard to get an accumulation toward that object. The other National organizations throughout the country either have or are getting their own homes, and I believe that none of them are of greater importance in their particular lines than is the American Kennel Club in its line. I therefore recommend that the Chair at this meeting appoint a special committee to go into this subject, to look into the advisability of it and devise ways and means towards obtaining that object.

Mr. Morse: I move that a Committee of Five be appointed for such purpose, one of whom shall be the Secretary.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such Committee Messrs. August Belmont, H.K. Bloodgood, M.A. Viti, G.M. Carnochan and
A.P. Vredenburgh.

Mr. Rodman: It has come to my ears that one or two members of the American Kennel Club differ to a certain extent with the decision which the Stud Book Committee made some time since, relative to the denial to a certain party of an application for a prefix. As a member of the Stud Book Committee I desire to have this matter brought to the attention of the club as a whole, and for my own personal information should be glad to get form the members present an expression of opinion as it is requested to go by the party who made the application. I would have it on the record that I am speaking now, not as a member of the Stud Book Committee, but as an individual, for the reason that if the question was to be presented to the Club officially from the Stud Book Committee, it should come from the gentleman who occupies the position of Chairman of that Committee. Inasmuch as he was not present to-day at the meeting of the Stud Book Committee, I was unable to broach this to him, and therefore I take the liberty of presenting it as an individual and not as a member of the Committee, and therefore it is not official. The question is this: Did the Stud Book Committee err in the exercise of its discretion, or was it justified from the facts in doing what it did, and what I seek is a confirmation or declination on the part of the club of our action in the matter. A short time since two
applications were presented to the Stud Book Committee for prefixes. The prefixes were for “Dacotah” and “Texas”. The Stud Book Committee refused the applications. Subsequently applications from each party came in the following form: The gentleman who applied for “Dacotah” cut off the latter “h” in the spelling of the word and substituted either a “c” or “k” as the case may have been, for the letter which he used originally. The gentleman who applied for the prefix “Texas” cut off the letter “s” from the word “Texas” and applied for the word “Texa”. The Stud Book Committee, feeling that by granting these applications, it opened the door to possible fraud in the future, denied both applications. It seems to me that in relation to the forms of the terms, the spelling of the words differing only in the actual letters used, the pronunciation of the words being the same, the Committee was justified in its action, and I take it that the Club as a whole will substantiate the action of the Stud Book Committee with relation to the word “Dacotah” at least. With relation to the word “Texas” I am willing to concede there is some ground for argument. “Texa” is not “Texas”, but at the same time it having come to us in the form in which I have submitted it, we felt justified in declining to grant the word “Texa” for a prefix, by reason of the fact that in the future using that as a precedent, someone might apply to the Stud Book Committee for a prefix which might be used in a similar manner.
But which as a matter of fact might be pronounced in such a way as to give the impression that we were granting a prefix which covered a large extent of territory, which is, as the members of the Club know, opposite to our expressed intentioned and purposes. Now, I submit to the club that it is only fair that the Stud Book Committee, who act as your very humble servants, should have some idea as to what your pleasure in this matter may be, and I would like to have for the committee an expression of opinion from the members present as to whether or not it is the wish of the American Kennel Club that the Stud Book Committee should proceed on the lines which they have adopted, or whether or not we were wrong in the action we took. I ask this not for the Committee, but personally for my own personal guidance in the future in acting as a member of that Committee.

Capt. Knocker: I put in a minority report on the requests to grant those names as prefixes, for the reason that in the first place there is no State known as Dacotah, however it may be spelled; there is South Dakota and North Dakota, but there is no such State as Dacotah. Therefore, in granting that as a prefix, you are not interfering as a matter of fact, with the name of any State, because Dacotah as a State does not exist. With regard to the application for the prefix “Texa”, it seems very plain to me that could not be confounded or mistaken in any way with “Texas”. In fact, I submit that had we been
Asked to pass upon the word “Texa” before having been requested to grant the prefix “Texas” we would have granted it without the slightest hesitation. I think that the party who applied for the prefix “Texa” undoubtedly ought to have had it granted. In regard to the prefix “Dacotah” I cannot see how that is infringing on the name of a state when there is no such state, sell it any way you like.

Mr. Morse: You surely would give the name of “York”, wouldn’t you?

Mr. Rodman: I should refuse it without a moment’s hesitation.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I believe I started this discussion by finding fault with the Stud Book Committee’s action in this matter. The original idea in granting prefixes was to identify the dog registered and to give a kennel the right to register in the kennel name instead of the individual’s name. In the registration of some 64,000 dogs, it is very difficult in some of the breeds to find a name that does not conflict with a name already registered, without having a prefix, and it was determined by the then existing Stud Book Committee that it would grant the sole right to a prefix on the payment of a certain fee. I maintain that the pronunciation of a prefix has nothing whatever to do with that prefix; we do no care how it is pronounced; it is the record that we go by. In regard to the word “Texa”, the argument was made that you
might speak of a registered dog as “Texa Straightaway”, that could be easily called “Texas Straightaway” in pronunciation. I maintain the pronunciation in that case would have nothing whatever to so with it; it is the record as it is written, and “Texa” with a capital “S” for straightaway, could not possibly be misunderstood. Referring to the word “Dacotah”, the man distinctly stated that his kennel was named after an old Indian tribe called “Dacotah”. There is no such state and there never has been such a state; of course there is a North Dakota and a South Dakota, and in my opinion the Stud Book Committee did not treat the applicants for these prefixes for kennel names fairly in refusing their applications. I quite agree with the Stud Book Committee that it should refuse to grant a prefix named after a state, for a state is a very large territory, and a great many breeders live in a state, but when the word is not actually spelled like a state, or intentionally misspelled, I do not agree with the Stud Book Committee in refusing it. I think that my argument with the members of that Committee is what brought this argument up, and therefore I think I am privileged to give my views on the subject.

Mr. Rodman: It seems to me that the Stud Book Committee must be limited in its privilege to grant prefixes to some rules which will give the residents of large cities or large States an equal right. It seems to me that it is unfair for the Committee to grant to one man who happens to reside in
the City of New York, the prefix “New York” spell it how you may. It seems unfair that a man should be allowed to sue the prefix “Massachusetts”; if he sees fit it spell it “Massachewsetts”; it is fair to grant him that because it is pronounced almost exactly if not quite the same as the word properly spelled? If so, every man in Massachusetts has an equal right to that word; and it seems to me that the Stud Book Committee must be guided and must be governed in the future by some rules with relation to the territory described under the single name. Now, mind you, as I said before, I am asking for information to guide me in my endeavor to do my duty as a member of that Committee, and what I desire particularly is an expression of opinion from the members present. If this Club thinks we have erred, I am willing to grant in the future “Massachusetts” if the applicant in his application spells it “Massachewsetts”, but it seems to me we would be doing that which is unfair.

Capt. Knocker: I am really sorry that this discussion occurred outside of a meeting of the Stud Book Committee. We have never had a change to talk over this matter before. I agree with my colleague in his remarks about the similarity of names. I think that both of them ought to be granted. In these two cases before us, I do not think it is a question of spelling. As I have said before there is no such state as
Dacotah; therefore the applicant is not making a claim for the name of a state. In the other case, I do not think anyone can say that “Texa” has anything to do with “Texas”.

Mr. Carnochan: Suppose a man asks the Committee to register the name “Texas”, would you consider it just the same?

Capt. Knocker: No, that would be a little closer but still you couldn’t pronounce that “Texas”. In the case of “Texa” I cannot myself see that it has anything at all to do with Texas, and I really believe had the matter been brought before the Committee in the first place as “Texa” and not “Texas” we would have allowed it.

Mr. Viti: There is another point in this matter that seems to have been overlooked, and that is whether or not the spelling of a name has been claimed by an individual. There is a very well known prefix that is spelled “Mepal”, pronounced “Maple”. Why shouldn’t we allow “M-a-p-e-l”? They are pronounced the same, but they are spelled differently. I think this matter has been passed upon by the American Kennel Club, was disposed of at the September meeting when you adopted the Stud Book Committee’s report, which stated that the names “Dacotah” and “Texas” should not be allowed because they were the names of States. Are not there other application infringements on those names? The Committee recommended that the names of breeds could not be claimed, and the American
Kennel Club said that was right, that they could not be claimed because they were too general, and we thought that the name of a state was too general. There is no question at all but that Dacotah is the name of a state. My friend says it is not the name of one State, but I think it is the name of two states, because there are unquestionably two Dakotas.

Capt. Knocker: If you send a letter addressed to Dakota, where would it go to?

The Chair: For the information of Capt. Knocker, I will say that a telegram was addressed to me at Aiken, Carolina, and I received it.

Capt. Knocker: If you were not a well known man, and a letter is addressed to any Knocker in Dakota, who do you suppose would get it?

Mr. Rodman: As a matter of fact the word Dakota has for years and years been a legal term applied to a large extent of territory. It embraces a very large extent of territory, and it was known for years as Dakota. It was a territory, and it had a legal entity, and if we undertake to grant prefixes of Texas and Dakota, any one has the right to come in and apply for North Dakota, any one has the right to come in and apply for North Dakota and South Dakota, and Middle Dakota, and before we get through we will have so many Dakotas we will not know where we stand.

Mr. Carnochan: I offer the following resolution:
RESOLVED that the action of the Stud Book Committee in refusing
To grant the applications for the prefixes “Dacotah” and “Texa”, be sustained, and that it is recommended to the Committee that the names of the states, breeds of dogs, or any infringement thereof, be not allowed by the Committee as prefixes or affixes.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Morse offered the following resolution:
RESOLVED that hereafter no dog accepted for registration in the American Kennel Club Stud Book can subsequently be registered in another name.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Carnochan: We were talking of the advantage of having prefixes registered and having the sole use thereof. Is there any way to prevent such a thing as this happening: An unscrupulous person wishes to show a dog at a show, and he goes to the Secretary of the show and entered the dog. He lists the dog under the name of my prefix, shows that dog and sells it. He is then using my prefix, isn’t he? What is to prevent him from doing that?

Mr. Viti: I am very glad indeed that you mentioned that. That is the actual fact. It happened at the Philadelphia show. I declined fifteen entries of listed dogs made out in three kennel names. I happened to know the names and knew they were registered, and declined them and sent them back to the exhibitors.
Mr. Carnochan: I have reference to their being listed by the Club Secretary. He does not know whether they are properly listed or not. About ten days after the show is held, he send down the dogs’ names to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The only remedy I can see is that a rule be passed directing the Secretary to cancel the awards, if any, to any dog bearing a registered prefix used without authority.

Mr. Viti: In that way you would injure thousands of innocent persons. The persons were sorry that they made these entries. They took the names because they liked them.

Mr. Carnochan: It seems to me that he proper way would be for the Secretary to disqualify any dog shown with a registered kennel prefix, the owner of which prefix is not the breeder of the dog. However, as this appears to be a matter which requires some considerable attention, I move it be referred to the Stud Book Committee for report at the next meeting.

Seconded and carried.

The Meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy.
THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB WAS HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, ON TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1902.

In the absence of the President and Vice President Mr. H.K. Bloodgood was elected to the Chair.

Present:

Associate Members
A.C. Wilmerding
J.B. Vandergrift
G.H. Gooderham

American Spaniel Club
Marcel A. Viti

Boston Terrier Club
Dr. H.E. Owen

Bull Dog Club of America
Tyler Morse

Collie Club of America
James Morse

Columbus Fanciers’ Club
J.M. Taylor

Dog Owners' Protective Ass. Of Cincinnati
Charles W. Rodman, Jr.

Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Penn.,
G.M. Carnochan

French Bull Dog Club of America
F.J. Bristol

Irish Terrier Club of America
S. Van Schaick

Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts
Henry Jarrett

Mascoutah Kennel Club
C.F.R. Drake

National Beagle Club
J.W. Appleton

New England Kennel Club
John Caswell

San Francisco Kennel Club
C.B. Knocker

Westminster Kennel Club
R.H. Williams
The certificate of election was read as follows:

New York, February 6, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, Charles W. Rodman, Jr., representing the President of the American Kennel Club, and H.K. Bloodgood, the President of the Associate Members of the American Kennel Club, met this day, at the office of the American Kennel Club for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for the officers and delegates of the Associate Members of said American Kennel Club, to hold office for the term of one year from January 18, 1902, until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of Associates entitled to vote to be 220; total number of votes cast, 124; total number of votes scattering, 20; total number of irregular votes and thrown out 3.

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Association Members for the year ending February, 1903, to wit:

President, H.K. Bloodgood
Vice President, Oliver Ames
Secretary, Henry Jarrett
Delegates (1) A.C. Wilmerding
(2) J.B. Vandergrift
(3) G.H. Gooderham

Signed, C.W. Rodman, Jr.,
Representing the President, A.K.C.

H.K. Bloodgood,
President of Associates A.K.C.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary A.K.C.

The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:

New York, February 17th, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day the applications of the Twin City Kennel Club and the St. Louis Collie Club for admission to membership in the American Kennel Club were duly considered, and your committee respectfully recommends their admission to active membership.

Credentials from the Sixth District Agricultural Association appointing S.C. Mastick; the Boston Terrier Club, appointing Dr. H.E. Owen; The Chicago Kennel Club, appointing Charles W. Rodman, Jr., as delegates, were also considered and approved, and your committee recommend the acceptance of the same.

Respectfully submitted,

H.T. Foote
Chairman

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary then read his report as follows:

New York, February 17, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report the applications from the Twin City Kennel Club and the St. Louis Collie Club for admission to membership. Also 23 applications for the registration of kennel names, and credentials from three clubs for delegates. These matter have
been referred to their proper committees, and reports from said committees will be submitted at this meeting.

Resignations have been duly filed by the Metropolitan Kennel Club and the Baltimore Kennel Association. These clubs were in good standing until December 31, 1901, and as their resignations were tendered prior to that date I recommend their acceptance.

I beg to report that the Logansport show was held January 8th to 11th, 1902, and although this office has made repeated requests for their official catalogue of awards, we have been unsuccessful in obtaining it, and as a consequence no award at said show has been recorded. The penalty of one dollar per day has more than exhausted the date deposit. The neglect on the part of said club is a hardship to exhibitors at its show, as the awards cannot be recognized.

I will submit a request from Miss L.C. Moeran for a ruling on the following case. At the Rhode Island Kennel Club show a special prize was given by the Gorham Manufacturing Company for the best dog and bitch of any one breed owned and exhibited by any one person. Miss MOeran showed her Toy Spaniels the Blenheim Spaniel Rollo and the Prince Charles Spaniel Darnell Kitty, and was awarded the prize. A protest was lodged that a Bleinheim and a Prince Charles Spaniel were two separate breeds. Said protest was allowed by the judges and Miss Moeran was given the privilege of competing with another paid, which she did, showing two Prince Charles Spaniels, and was then beaten.
The ruling desired is, are Blenheim Spaniels and Prince Charles Spaniels one breed or otherwise.

The semi-annual bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee has been rendered, amounting to $17.48. I recommend its payment.

I beg to report that charges for misconduct have been preferred by James T. Willis against A. Burlingame, and the case will be referred to the Executive Board for investigation.

Also charges by T.D.M. Cardeza against E.A. Ruthman, which will take a similar course.

Mr. C.O. Smith, of Woonsocket, R.I., applies for an amendment to the puppy class in Beagles. His communication will be submitted to you.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.

S.C. Mastick was elected to represent the Sixth District Agl Assn. Los Angeles as delegate, and Dr. H.E. Owen was elected a delegate to represent the Boston Terriers Club, and C.W. Rodman Jr was elected to represent the Chicago Kennel Club.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, February 17, 1902
To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit my quarterly financial report.

Jan. 1, 1902, balance on hand $10,323.40
Feb. 17, 1902, receipts to date 2,314.97
Total 12,638.37
Feb. 17, 1902 Disbursements from Jan. 1, 1902 1,111.74
Balance on hand, $11,526.63

I also report the following clubs in arrears for the annual dues for 1902:

American English Setter Club
Cleveland Kennel Club
Columbia County Agricultural Society
Kansas City Kennel Club
Louisiana Kennel Club
M.A.A.C. Rod and Gun Club
Memphis Kennel Club
Oakland Poultry Association
Pan-American Exposition Association
Vermont Kennel Club
Washington Kennel Club of Seattle

Bills for above dues were mailed on Nov. 27, 1901, and second bills were mailed on Jan. 13, 1902.

I would respectfully request that the usual action be taken regarding the clubs now in arrears except that of the Pan-American Exposition Association, which
Longer exists, and should be dropped from membership.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenbrugh
Treasurer

On motion said report was accepted and placed on file, and the recommendations contained therein adopted.

The election of officers being in order Mr. Viti nominated Mr. August Belmont to succeed himself as President.

Mr. Rodman: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast one ballot for his election.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Belmont was declared elected President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.

Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., was nominated to succeed himself as Vice President.

Mr. Carnochan: I move the nominations be closed.

Mr. Rodman: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast one ballot for his election.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Hunnewell was declared elected Vice President for the ensuing year.

Stud Book Committee:

Mr. Rodman: I take great pleasure in nominating Mr.
Marcel A. Viti to succeed himself as Chairman of that Committee.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for Mr. Viti, which he declared he had done, and Mr. Viti was declared duly elected.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that Messrs. C.B. Knocker and Charles W. Rodman, Jr., be elected to succeed themselves as the other members of the Stud Book Committee.

Nominations seconded, and on motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for their election.

The Secretary declared that he had cast such ballot, and they were declared duly elected.

Constitution and Rules Committee:

Mr. Viti: I take great pleasure in nominating Mr. Charles W. Rodman Jr., to succeed himself as Chairman of the Constitution and Rules Committee.

Nomination seconded, and on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for his election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Rodman was declared duly elected.

Messrs. James Watson, G.M. Carnochan and Henry Jarnett were nominated to succeed themselves as the other members of the committee.

Nominations seconded, and on motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for their election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and they were declared duly elected.
Field Trials and Coursing Meetings:

Mr. Rodman: I nominate Mr. J.W. Appleton to succeed himself as Chairman of that Committee.

Nomination seconded, and on motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for his election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Appleton was declared duly elected.

Finance Committee:

Mr. A.C. Wilmerding was nominated to succeed himself as Chairman of the Finance Committee.

Nominations seconded, and on motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for his election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Wilmerding was declared duly elected.

Mr. Viti nominated Messrs. J.B. Blossom and G.M. Carnochan to succeed themselves as the other members of such committee.

The nominations being seconded, the Secretary was, on motion, directed to cast one ballot for their election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and they were declared duly elected.

Membership Committee:

Mr. Vredenburgh: Dr. Foote cannot be a candidate for re-election as chairman of this committee, as the club which he represented as a delegate has resigned its membership.

Mr. Rodman: I nominate Mr. G.M. Carnochan as the chair-
man of the Membership Committee.

Nomination seconded, and on motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for his election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Carnochan was declared duly elected.

Mr. Viti: I nominate Messrs. S. Van Schaick and C.B. Knocker to succeed themselves as the other members of that committee.

The nominations being seconded, the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for their election.

The Secretary state that he had cast such ballot, and they were declared duly elected.

The report of the Executive Board under date of January 7th was presented. The same having been published, its reading was dispensed with, and it was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Executive Board held on February 18th, 1902, was read as follows:

February 18, 1902


Absent: H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.

H.K. Bloodgood in the Chair, succeeded by August Belmont. James T. Little.

Re appeal for reversal of suspension. Ordered that the action of the Executive Board of January 7th, 1902, be sustained. The appeal is denied.

Meeting adjourned. A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary.
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Stud Bok Committee was read as follows:

The Stud Book Committee beg to report that since the last meeting the following applications have been filed for kennel names and transfers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Name</th>
<th>Owner(s)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ainsflyer</td>
<td>W.C. Hill</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beechwood</td>
<td>F.P. Booth</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bon-Ami</td>
<td>J.I. Behling</td>
<td>Milwaukee, Wis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claremont</td>
<td>Rudolph, Wirth</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delverton</td>
<td>Mrs. W.C. Ralston</td>
<td>Fruitvale, Cal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastover</td>
<td>P.A. Fuller</td>
<td>Olneyville, R.I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub</td>
<td>Frank Miller</td>
<td>Boston, Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iviwilde</td>
<td>Dr. H.P. Fischer</td>
<td>Germantown, Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaicaway</td>
<td>Lampheir &amp; Keady</td>
<td>Boston, Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountaineer</td>
<td>H.B. Hunderford</td>
<td>St. Paul, Minn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakmont</td>
<td>A.F. &amp; M.L. Mount</td>
<td>Jersey City, N.J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Valley</td>
<td>Thos. L. Williams</td>
<td>Martins Ferry, O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Glory</td>
<td>G.S. Mott</td>
<td>Babylon, L.I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puritan</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. Edgar Park</td>
<td>Larchmont, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raynham</td>
<td>Mrs. E.S. Woodward</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rushford</td>
<td>J.A. Madan &amp; L.F. Nicoll</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandown</td>
<td>E.S. Woodward</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southampton</td>
<td>H.G. Trevor</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squantum</td>
<td>W.G. Kendall</td>
<td>Atlantic, Mass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yetsan   Mrs. H. Lee Anstey   Oyster Bay, L.I.

Transfers
Salamonie Valley   To O.H. Foust, Warren, Ind.
Meadowmere   to Mrs. H.G. Trevor, Southampton, L.I.

The Committee recommend that they all be granted with the exceptions of “Ohio Valley” and “Old Glory”, and that the name “Southampton” be not granted to Mr. Trevor unless the transfer of “Meadowmere” be allowed to Mrs. H.G. Trevor, this being in violation of the custom not to allow more than one registered prefix to any one person at any given time.

In reference to the protest in regard to the breeder of the fox terrier “Bank Note”, your Committee finds from the correspondence that the dam of “Bank Note” was originally owned by Douglas W. Ogilvie, and that he sold her to Charles Thompson. Thompson subsequently bred to “Encliffe Banker”, and one of the progeny was named “Bank Note”. Mr. Ogilvie, upon being communicated with by the Committee, stated the he considered that Thompson did not become the legal owner of the dam at the time she was purchased by him inasmuch as he had not paid for her in full, and again, that before he exhibited her he agreed with Mr. Thompson that the breeders should be known as Ogilvie & Thompson, which, however, is in violation of the American Kennel Club rules, Charles Thompson having been
The owner at the time she was mated. Your Committee therefore finds that the breeder of “Bank Note” is Charles Thompson, he having been the owner of the dam at the time she was bred to “Encliffe Banker” and that all wins accorded to the dog when entered as having been bred by any one other than Charles Thompson be cancelled of record.

MARCEL A. VITI
C.B. KNOCKER
CHARLES W. RODMAN Jr.,

On motion the report was accepted and the recommendations therein contained adopted.
The Secretary read the proposed amendments to the rules, and on motion they were taken up seriatim.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The first proposed amendment is to make the first clause of Rule XV read: “No dog entered for competition, and actually in the show building, can be withdrawn from competition, except in case of sickness or accident. In either case a certificate of the veterinary surgeon of said show shall be sufficient cause for its withdrawal.” To be followed by present Rule XV as the second clause.

Mr. Morse: I move that that addition be accepted.

Mr. Viti: I understand then that the sole judge of the condition of the dog is the veterinarian. The owner has no say as to whether or not in his judgment the illness or accident is such as to justify the dog being kept out, but the opinion of the veterinarian of the show is substituted, a man who may be a specialist in horses.

Mr. Vredenburgh: If the dog is in the building he can only be withdrawn from competition on the certificate of the veterinarian anyhow.

Capt. Knocker: Suppose a dog is taken suddenly sick just before it is time to take him into the show ring, and he damages his coat, and the owner does not wish to take him in the ring, it seems he has got to hunt up the veterinarian to get him to pass on the question of whether or not that dog shall be judged.
Mr. Carnochan: That is the very point. We don’t want to leave anything in the hands of the owner. It is to close the door against any possible fraud that that amendment was added, and I think it was a very necessary one.

Mr. Verdenburgh: I will state for your information one of the reasons that lead the committee to recommend this rule. It is that in many cases a dog being entered in more than one class may be defeated in the first class he is shown in, and in the second class he is marked absent because his owner takes him off the bench, puts him in his crate and takes him down stairs. This rule is intended to stop this practice. There was a case in point at Providence. A man entered his dog in the puppy class and open, also in the novice class. He had heard that it would be more to his advantage to win in the novice class at Boston than at Providence, because there was more money up, and he therefore decline to have his dog shown in the novice class at Providence and the dog was marked absent. This proposed amendment is to compel a dog that is in the building, and is not sick, or has not met with an accident, to compete in every class in which he is entered.

Mr. Gooderham: This is the first time I have been at a meeting of the American Kennel Club, and upon a point of this kind I feel quite diffident about speaking. Still I want to protest very strongly against the passing of this rule. I think it is quite impossible to get a competent veterinarian to attend a dog show to pass on a point which an exhibitor, who
knows about the dog, it not allowed to determine.

Mr. Rodman: To the best of my recollection this amendment was suggested to the Rules Committee to cover this point: a case where a dog had already competed, for instance in the puppy and novice class, that then, being entered in the subsequent classes, they being the limit and open, the dog must compete in those classes.

Mr. Viti: My understanding of this rules is that where an exhibitor brings his dogs to a show building, and once gets in that show building, there is no power under heaven barring his dogs out of the ring, and the veterinarian is the judge of the condition of his dogs, and of the question whether or not his dogs ought to compete in any classes.

Mr. Caswell: The veterinarian must pass on every dog that does into the building any way.

Mr. Viti: The rule provides that after a dog gets in if the owner for any reason of his own, either that the dog has the veterinarian may term a trivial accident or illness, he has no right to withdraw him from competition.

Mr. Vredenburgh: I think this is a most excellent rule, and it is going to put a stop to a great deal of abuse, and I do not think it is giving any more power to the veterinarian of the show than he has under the existing rule.

Mr. Gooderham: I would have no objection to that rule if we could get competent veterinary surgeons to look after our shows, but I have been around sufficiently to know
that we have not competent veterinarians. I have seen dogs go into the ring rotten with distemper, and win prizes too. Why should you leave a matter of this kind in the hands of a man who passes a dog in that shape?

Mr. Caswell: I suggest an amendment to this effect: that if an exhibitor exhibits in one class, and the dog is entered in another, and he does not exhibit in that other class, he forfeits all prizes won by that dog at the show.

Mr. Morse: I do not accept the amendment. I move the proposed amendment.

The question was then put and the amendment lost.

The Secretary: The next proposed amendment is to Rule XIII, clause 5. After the word “prizes” on the second line add the words “in nay class at the”. Also after the word “class”, on the fifth line, add the words “The judge shall withhold the awards of first in this class should he be of the opinion that there is no dog of sufficient merit to justify such award.” Also strike out the word “regular” on the 13th line.

Mr. Gooderham: I move the adoption of that amendment.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Rule X. Make the second clause of this rule to read: “In the event of an appointed judge not officiating it shall be optional to the exhibitor, before commencement of judging the breed, to withdraw his dog, or dogs, from competition, or from the show building, as he may elect, in which case he forfeits his entrance fees.” To be followed by
balance of present Rule X.

In other words, one of the conditions of the contract may be in the premium list that a certain man is appointed judge. The exhibitor entered his dog because he is perfectly willing to show under that judge. The judge cannot for some reason fulfill his engagement, and under the rules the club has the right of appointing another judge, and the exhibitor is permitted to withdraw his dog from competition under that judge, but he forfeits his entrance fee.

The proposed amendment was adopted.

The Secretary: Rule 20. Strike out the first paragraph of this rule and substitute therefore. “No entry can be made in a kennel name unless there name has been registered with the American Kennel Club.”

Mr. Vandergrift: I move the adoption of the proposed amendment.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Rule XXII. Add at the end of said rule the words “When a dog has been entered in a kennel name that has not been registered.”

That is a penalty. It is in keeping with the rule we have just adopted.

Mr. Gooderham: I move it be adopted.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Rule I. After the word “proof” in the fifth line of page nine add the words “in duplicate”.

That has been out custom for several years, but for some
Unknown cause was left out of the rule.

On motion the same was adopted.

Mr. Carnochan: A number of gentlemen around me here have said that they were in favor of some changes in Rule XV. I think it would be better if we could reconsider out action in regard to this rule. Some of the gentlemen have said that it refers only to special prizes. Why not add something like this, that a dog having been shown in one class, or having been shown in any class, cannot be withdrawn from competition in subsequent classes. I move that the matter be reconsidered.

Mr. Viti: I suggest that the gentleman having voted in the negative he cannot move for a reconsideration.

Mr. Morse: I move for a reconsideration then.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Carnochan: I move to add this clause to Rule VX: “Any dog having been placed in competition in any class cannot be withdrawn from competition from any subsequent class in which he is entered.”

After considerable discussion Mr. Viti moved the following amendment: That where an exhibitor exhibits a dog in any class he be compelled to exhibit the dog in all subsequent classes, or be subject to a fine of equal in amount to the amount of the entrance fees for each subsequent class in which he declines to compete.

Seconded and lost.
Mr. Gooderham: I move as an amendment, that any dog entered for competition and received at the building, must compete in all classes in which he is entered, and for all specials for which he is eligible, and failing to do so shall be subject to a fine equal to the entrance fee for each class.

Seconded and carried.

The resignation of the Baltimore Kennel Association and the Metropolitan Kennel Club were, on motion, accepted.

The Secretary read the following report:

The committee appointed to consider the advisability of the American Kennel Club holding a dog show begs to report: That they consider it unadvisable for the American Kennel Club to hold a show at present, and therefore recommend that the matter be postponed.

On motion the report was accepted.

Mr. Gooderham: I move the suspension of the club and officer of the club and officers of the Hoosier Poultry and Pet Stock Association until such time as they comply with the rules.

Seconded and carried.

Relative to the request made by Miss Moran for a ruling by the American Kennel Club on the question of whether or not Blenheim Spaniels and Prince Charles Spaniels are one breed or otherwise, Mr. Rodman moved that Miss Moran be informed that according to the rules they are two separate and distinct breeds.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Rodman: I move that the Treasurer be directed to pay the bill rendered by the Pacific Advisory Committee
Amounting to $17.48.

Seconded and carried.

The communication of Mr. C.O. Smith, of Woonsocket, R.I., was submitted, and Mr. Carnochan moved that it be received and placed on file, and that the Secretary be instructed to notify Mr. Smith that it is the sense of the meeting that the matter referred to is one in which the club declines to take jurisdiction.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Caswell: I have heard recently some talk about the entering of packs for competition in connection with the question whether or not it is necessary to list them or not. The matter has been talked over with the Superintendent of the Westminster club. We would like to know what is the sense of the meeting on this question.

Mr. Vredenburgh: The rule says: Every dog shown under these rules must either be registered or listed with the American Kennel Club previous to being exhibited.

Mr. Viti: I would like to ask what authority there is for allowing a show to receive the entries of a pack of hounds after the close of the entries? This is the first intimation that I have had that this has been done, and I was horrified to learn that such a thing could happen. The rules of the American Kennel Club say that every dog entered at a show for any purpose, be it only for exhibition, must be registered. I move that in all cases the name of each exhibitor, be it an individual or a hunt, and the number of couples of hounds, must
appear in each catalogue returned to the American Kennel Club by the secretary of the show.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Rodman: I desire to call attention to a resolution which was passed at a previous meeting which is palpably unconstitutional, and yet it stands on our minutes, and it stands as a rule. It is a motion made by Mr. Morse at the meeting of December 17th that “hereafter no dog accepted for registration in the American Kennel Club Stud Book can subsequently be reregistered in another name.” That question came before the Committee on Rules, and it was submitted to the secretary and discussed, and it was shown to be unconstitutional. It seems to me that the matter should be removed from the record.

On motion the matter was referred to the Committee on Rules.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
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REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, THURSDAY, MAY 22ND, 1902

In the absence of the President and Vice-president Mr. H.K. Bloodgood, President of the Associate Members, presided.

Present:

Associate Members     A.C. Wilmerding
                        J.B. Vandergrift
American Spaniel Club  Marcel A. Viti
Atlanta Kennel Club    H.T. Foote
Chicago Kennel Club    C.W. Rodman, Jr.
Columbus Fanciers’ Club J.M. Taylor
Duquesne Kennel Club   G.M. Carnochan
French Bulldog Club of America    F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America     G. Muss-Arnolt
Mascoutah Kennel Club     C.F.R. Drake
San Francisco Kennel Club  C.B. Knocker
Sixth District Agricultural Assn.,     S.C. Mastick
Welsh Terrier Club of America   B.S. Smith
Westminster Kennel Club    Richard H. Williams

---------------------:o:---------------------
On motion, the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, May 21, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report that since the last regular quarterly meeting, the Montreal Canine Association filed its application for membership and received an unanimous mail vote of the executive board, and was declared duly elected.

Applications from six additional kennel clubs have been received and submitted to the membership committee. Credentials appointing delegates were received from six clubs, which were also submitted to said membership Committee, which will report its recommendation at this meeting.

Applications have been received for the registrations of thirty-seven kennel names, a list of which was duly submitted to the Stud Book Committee, which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

Acting under instruction given me a the February meeting, I dropped from membership nine clubs for non-
payment of dues for the year 1902. The Louisiana Kennel Club and the Columbia Country Agricultural Society of the number dropped, forwarded their dues and asked for reinstatement, which I recommend.

At the last meeting the Secretary was directed to suspend the Hoosier Poultry & Kennel Club, and the officers of same, for failing to file with this office a list of the awards at its show in January. The penalty of $1.00 per day was enforced by your secretary, using up the entire amount of the deposit in his hands. The report of said club came to hand one week after the February meeting, and I am fully convinced that the Club should be excused for its delay and its forfeit of $25 returned to it, for the reason that its secretary, who had the entire management of the show, was taken ill the third day of the show, which developed into a severe case of pneumonia, and immediately upon his recovery forwarded the delayed report. I would recommend that the resolution suspending the club and officers be rescinded at this meeting, and that I be authorizes to return the $25 forfeit.

The following charges have been preferred, alleging misconduct in connection with dogs, and the same will be submitted to the executive board for investigation:
I beg to report the receipt of the following:

"Memo. For A.K.C. to file.


The above memorandum was enclosed in a letter from Mr. James L. Little, under date of February 21st. I informed Mr. Little of his rights under the constitution and gave him the opportunity to file formal charges. This he declined to do under date of February 25th. I informed Mr. Little by letter dated February 28th, that I would submit his memorandum at the next meeting of the American Kennel Club, to which he replied under date of March 1st: “I desire specially to be understood that I bring no charges against Mr. Carnochan nor anyone else, Etc.”

I have heretofore declined to report any matter for your consideration that was not filed in accordance with
the provision of the constitution, but the above information furnished by Mr. Little affected a delegate to, and a member of the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club, under which circumstance I was unwilling to withhold the information furnished me or lay myself liable to the imputation of shielding one of our own members.

An application for a ruling was submitted by Miss L.C. Moeran at the last meeting of the Club, from which a request for reconsideration have been made, and will be submitted to you at this meeting.

A request has been made for a recognition by the American Kennel Club of the so-called American Bloodhound, with the suggestion that if so recognized as a breed, they shall be known and registered under the name of American Mantrailers.

For the best interests of all concerned in the registrations published in each annual volume of the Stud Book, it is desirable to give as wide spread publicity to each applications as possible, and in view of the fact that all the records in this office have been heretofore considered as open to the public, I shall, unless otherwise directed, permit the sporting press free access to all registrations that have been accepted for the purpose of pub-
Lishing same, if they desire to do so.

In closing I beg to report that I have attended all of the shows with one exception that have been held during the winter and spring circuit, and I desire to publicly express my appreciation of the many courtesies extended to me by the officers of the different shows. I appreciate that these courtesies have been shown to the American Kennel Club, which body I had the honor to represent, and it give me great pleasure to report that from personal observation the shows have been well conducted, and there has been demonstrated by not only the show managers, but by the members and the exhibitors, the best of feeling and loyalty toward the American Kennel Club. The result of my visits has given me a valuable experience and also an opportunity to meet many of the exhibitors and breeders personally with whom I had only come in contact through correspondence, and I believe that these personal meetings will eventually be beneficial to all concerned, binding more firmly a friendship that only personal contact can bring about.

Respectfully submitted

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion, the report was accepted as read.
The Secretary read the report of the membership committee, as follows:

New York, May 21st, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee, held this day, applications for membership were submitted from the following clubs:

Aurora Kennel Club
Bay State Bench Show Association
Branford Driving Park Kennel Club
Coshocton Kennel Club
Haverhill Kennel Club
Wissahickon Kennel Club

The above clubs filed their applications according to the provisions of the constitution, and being eligible to membership, your committee respectfully recommends their admission.

Credentials from the Atlanta Kennel Club, appointing Dr. H.T. Foote; Collie Club of America, appointing M.M. Palmer; Middlesex East Agricultural Association, appointing Charles W. Keyes; New Jersey Kennel Association, appointing C.G. Hopton; Scottish Terrier Club of America, appointing Richard F. Perkins; Colorado Kennel Club, appointing James Watson, were also considered. The creden-
tials from the first five named clubs are approved, and your committee recommends the acceptance of the same. The credentials of the Colorado Kennel Club were not received until the 12th inst., and therefore under the provisions of the constitution, they must be put over until the next meeting, not having been in the possession of the Secretary the required twenty days.

Respectfully submitted,

G.M. Carnochan
C.B. Knocker
S. Van Schiack

The members then proceeded by ballot to elect the following named gentlemen to represent as delegates the following named clubs:
Dr. H.T. Foote, to represent the Atlanta Kennel Club. Richard F. Perkins to represent the Scottish Terrier Club. C.G. Hopkins, to represent the New Jersey Kennel Association. Charles W. Keyes, to represent the Middlesex East Agricultural Association. M.M. Palmer to represent the Collie Club of America.

The following named clubs were elected to membership: Aurora Kennel Club, Branford Driving Park Kennel Club, Coshocton Kennel Club, Haverhill Kennel Club and Wissa-
Hickon Kennel Club.

The application of the Bay State Bench Association was presented.

Mr. Carnochan: The Membership Committee received a protest from the New England Kennel Club against allowing this club to join, on the ground that it would infringe the rights of the New England Kennel Club to show in Boston. On reading the by-laws of this new club, the committee saw that it did not conflict in any way, because paragraph 2 of the by-laws states that the shows to be held by this new club are only to be held in towns and cities in New England where no previous American Kennel Club show had ever been held. Therefore it could not conflict in any way with the New England Kennel Club holding a show in Boston.

Mr. Viti: Do I understand the gentleman to mean that they have a blanket franchise for the whole of Massachusetts? I understand now that they can show throughout the whole of New England.

Mr. Carnochan: In a place where no previous show has been held.

Mr. Viti: Have we ever given any club such jurisdiction?
Mr. Vredenburgh: Yes. It appears to me that if they made a claim for dates to hold in any city or town throughout New England, and they did not conflict wit any of our members in that location, I would approve their application and grant them dates.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I think you will open the door to a very dangerous precedent.

Mr. Viti: It has always been the custom of the American Kennel Club, as I understand it, to limit the jurisdiction of show clubs to some city of some locality. Now I understand that this club is only limited by the confines of New England; that it may show throughout New England except in such towns and cities as have held American Kennel Club heretofore.

Mr. Carnochan: That was one reason why I brought the matter up before the vote was passed. I wanted to have the opinion of the delegates on this subject, but it did seem to me that even if this was a brand new thing, we should encourage it for the reason that the more shows that are held, the more interest is taken in the animal, and it seems to me a very commendable venture on the part of these people. I do not know any of the officers except Mr. Murray. It is only within the last two years, if my memory serves me
right, that we allowed a second club to show in New York City.

    The Chairman: They do not say they will do so; they simply ask for the right
to do so.

    Mr. Carnochan: It is presumed that they will do so.

    Mr. Viti: What is to prevent this precedent being followed, and another
application being made at our next meeting for authority to show in Canada or
anywhere in the country where there have never been any shows?

    Dr. Foote: I don’t believe there is anything in the Constitution to prevent our
electing this club to membership and giving them the privilege they ask. They
promise not to infringe on any ground occupied. I think it would be a capital thing. At
the time of our Brooklyn shows I agitated the subject of getting up a general club and
holding shows throughout the country, and all the exhibitors seems to think it was a
capital scheme. I believe it is a very popular thing to do.

    Mr. Muss-Arnolt: That may be very good for dog shows, but I think it will be
too loosely drawn.

    Mr. Carnochan: Suppose this Bay State Bench Show Association holds its
show in some places where there never has been a show held, and that that show is
a great success,
why aren't they entitled to the proprietary right to that show in that town.

Mr. Viti: Following that thought, if they held shows in ten different towns annually, then no shows can be held in those towns if they should not feel disposed to show there.

Dr. Foote – Is not Wissahickon within Philadelphia

Mr. Viti – Yes, it is entirely within the city limits.

Mr. Rodman – Has any objection been filed against its admission by the Philadelphia Dog Show Association.

The Secretary – No, none has been filed with me –

The Chairman – We will proceed to a ballot.

The question was then put to a vote, which resulted in a vote of seven to six.

The Chairman: The application of the club is rejected, because it requires a two-thirds vote to elect.

Dr. Foote: I move that the club be notified of the cause of the objection so they can make a new application if they desire.

Seconded and carried.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, May 21\textsuperscript{st}, 1902

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my quarterly financial report.

January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1902, balance on hand $10,323.40

May 21\textsuperscript{st}, 1902, receipts to date 5,610.27

Total $15,933.67
May 21st, 1902, disbursements from January 1st, 1902 $4,813.66
Balance on hand $11,120.01

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh, Treasurer

On motion, the said report was accepted as read.

Mr. Vredenburgh: On March 19th, I dropped nine clubs from the roll of membership, pursuant to the instructions I received at the February meeting. Two of them since that date sent their dues and asked for reinstatement, the Louisiana Kennel Club and the Columbia County Agricultural Society. The latter club has claimed dates for their Autumn show, and New Orleans expects to give a show.

Mr. Carnochan: I move they be reinstated.
Seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the report of the Stud Book committee, as follows:

Applications for Kennel names:

Ackroyd       D.S. Johnson and H.W. Corbin
Alberta       A.E. Karg
Andover       Mrs. E.E. French
Ashton        Miss L.C. Moeran
Balmoral      J. Cromwell Cox
Boston Ideal  F.G. Bixby
Brookline     J. McMurray
Broom Hill    H.A. Belcher
Brookmere     F.T. Brown
Bryn Mawr     N.J. Hess
Clifford      G.N. Palser
Clipdale
Cocker, Home
Garston
Kenilworth
Harvard
Maplecroft
Mentone
Miacomet
Moorhay
Mystic Side
Oak Park
Orangewood
Ozark
Posen
Quaker
Richard Wagner
Rodswood
Rosador
Top Notch
True Blue
Valley
Wandee
Windholm
Winnetka
Winters
Wyndwold

Transfer

Brandane, from Black & Hunter to John Black.

We have no objections to the list submitted with the following exceptions:

First, Kenilworth, because the name is already registered.

Seconded, Cocker Home, following our precedent in refusing to prefix Airedale.

Third, Harvard, for the reason that the name in the sense in which it is universally adopted has too broad a
Meaning, and we do no consider it proper to grant same.

Marcel A. Viti
C.W. Rodman, Jr.
C.B. Knocker
Stud Book Committee

Dr. Foote: I think the exception to the name of Harvard is going a little too close. You permit the name of a city or town to be used, which is pretty general. I don’t quite see why you should object to the term Harvard.

Major Taylor: That name was used by Luther Adams for many years, and he, being dead, his successor, A.M. Tucker, and old friend, desired to have the name adopted in his behalf.

Dr. Foote: I move that all the prefixes be granted including Harvard, and that the other two names to which exception was made, be not granted.

Mr. Rodman: As a member of the Stud Book Committee, and one of these who oppose the application, may I be permitted to say that the Stud Book Committee, took into consideration the fact that while we might be denying to the individual what might seem his rights, yet when we took into consideration the thousand and one men who are graduates of Harvard University, and who might desire the name, but knowing its full meeting and its full import to them, they would never
think of applying for it. The committee thought it preferable, in view of the fact that no precedent had ever been set, to deny the application. My friends present may say that Columbia and Princeton have both been permitted as prefixes. That is correct, but in each case they have the name of a town or village, as also the name of the University, and taking it in connection with the town or village in which the kennels are located, and further, in relation to the former of the two names which I have mentioned, the word “Columbia” is personified, and has a very much broader and more diversified meaning than either Harvard or Princeton or Yale. The committee heretofore have seen fit to grant the two names which I have just mentioned, namely Columbia and Princeton, but with relation to Harvard so far as the committee knew, there was no territorial limit or geographical area bearing that name, and taking that into consideration, as I have said, it seems to us the strongest argument against granting it, feeling that it would arouse thousands of men who would not think for one moment of applying to the committee for it. We thought it better, while the matter was fresh, and no precedent had been set, to decline the application.

Mr. Carnochan: I graduated from Harvard. As I told
Mr. Rodman, when we spoke about this a few hours ago, while I would prefer very much not to see it, I could see no objection to granting it. The very argument he makes about Princeton is in favor of it. Princeton is the name of a place or city or town. Harvard is simply the name of a place that has no spot on the map except in the city of Cambridge. I can see no objection to it.

The Chairman: The name has been granted before.

Mr. Rodman: Not of record, and I am not sure that Princeton or Columbia is of record.

Mr. Viti: I think if it had been granted before that would be the very best reason for refusing it. To grant it the second time would be to cause the greatest confusion in the minds of persons. They would not know who bred the dogs bearing the prefix.

Mr. Vandergrift: Suppose a man named “Harvard” applied for that prefix, could there be any objections to it?

Dr. Foote: It is the name of Harvard College. Suppose somebody applied for the prefix “Washington”, could we say that is the name of a big city and refuse it on that account? It seems to me we are drawing a very close line.

Mr. Carnochan: I second Dr. Foote’s motion, that the list be accepted including “Harvard” and excluding
“Kenilworth” and “Cocker Home” for the reasons given by the Committee.

The vote on the question resulted in a tie, 7 to 7.

The Chair cast the deciding vote against the application.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I moved that the report of the Stud Book Committee be accepted as read, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The next in order is the matter affecting the Hoosier Poultry and Kennel Club. At the last meeting the club was ordered to be suspended and its officers suspended. By the Article in the Rules I forfeited the $25 for their neglect to furnish us with the certified awards within seven days. They were delayed over two months. I have a letter from the Secretary of the club and also from others who know of the case, telling me that on the second day of the show the secretary went there against the doctor’s orders, and on the third day of the show he had a cot put up in his office and conducted the business of the show from his bed. He contracted pneumonia and was very ill for almost two months, and as soon as he was able to get out he sent
the awards and made everything right. I believe under those circumstances the resolution of the February meeting should be rescinded, and that I be directed to return the money declared forfeited.

Mr. Carnochan: I make such a motion

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The three cases for misconduct in connection with dogs will go to the Executive Board. They are reported here for the information of the delegates only.

Mr. Rodman: How about the exception where no charges have been preferred?

The Secretary: That I am going to bring up now. Here is a matter that belong to this meeting, it being the first meeting held. It is a most unusual case, and is stated in my report. That memorandum filed here was against not only a delegate but a member of the Executive Board before which all cases of misconduct must appear. Under those circumstances I was not willing to adopt my usual course of refusing to bring the matter before the Kennel Club that id not come before it in a formal way as called for in the constitution. This paper that was sent to me is most peculiar one. It reads as follows: “Memo for A.K.C. to file. See Phila. Catalogue1899, Class 178, “Terence.”
G.M. Carnochan (Delegate and Officer A.K.C.) cross between Wire Haired Fox, Thornfield Knockout, and Irish Terrier Bitch, Fenton Peggy. People who live in glass houses should not throw stones. Respectfully submitted.” Of course there is no name signed to it, but it was enclosed in a letter of February 25th signed by James L. Little.

Mr. Viti: Same handwriting?

Mr. Vredenburgh: I wouldn’t say it is exactly, but it is similar. I wrote to Mr. Little, telling him his rights, and that if he would send the matter in a formal way in the shape of charges I would bring them up at the next meeting of the Executive Board or the American Kennel Club. Mr. Little replied that he declined to do anything of the kind; that he would not prefer charges against Mr. Carnochan nor anybody else, and that we could do as we pleased with him. He implies a charge, but at the same time he says he will not make any charge. He does not specify at all, and consequently it is a matter that I cannot take up, but here is the case just as I have submitted it. It has not come before us in the formal way that charges of misconduct should come.

Mr. Vandergrift: I move that no notice be taken of it at all.
The Chairman: It seems to me it has not been brought before the club properly.

Mr. Carnochan: I should be delighted to give a history of the case.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: At the last meeting there was a ruling made that different varieties of toy spaniels constituted different breeds. That ruling was made at the request of Miss Moeran? She now appeals for a second ruling.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that it be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Now was to the suggestion I make in my report. I think I had better explain why I made it. As you all know, I have just completed a trip of about 9,000 miles, and while away I met and talked with a great many exhibitors and breeders and officers of clubs. A very warm friend of the American Kennel Club at New Orleans said that the only objection he had to the American Kennel Club Stud Book was that there was not sufficient publicity given to the applications, the different generations of the pedigree, until it finally appeared in the Stud Book, and then, as in all books, errors would creep in, and while it might be
Corrected I the next stud book, the very person that got the stud book with the errors in it might not get the next stud book, and look a the one he had as correct. That struck me with a great deal of force, as good hard common sense. We should give as much publicity to our applications as possible. We publish the sire and dam, the date of birth, name and sex, the owner and breeder in the Gazette each month; that Gazette is sent to every man that registers a dog during that month; it is sent to every associate, every subscriber; it is sold through the American News Company; it is sold over the counter of the office, and each secretary of a club and each delegate of a club receives it. So far as the machinery of the American Kennel Club is concerned, I think it is all put in motion, but possibly it is not enough. I have taken the ground for years that any representative of a newspaper that comes in here and asks for information, the records being public records, they can see them; they can consult them; they can take copies of them if they please. It struck me that it would be advisable to throw our registers open to the sporting press; that if they desire to make use of them and publish them as news we should give them the privilege; if they did not, there was no compulsion, and for that reason I make this suggestion in my
Unless I am instructed to the contrary, if any duly accredited representative of any of the sporting papers come here and want to look at any of our applications for registration that have not been published in the Stud book, I feel it is to the best interests of all concerned that I should give them that privilege.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I move that we endorse the recommendation of the Secretary in this regard.

Seconded and carried.

The request for recognition by the American Kennel Club of the so-called American Bloodhound, to be known and registered under the name of American Man-Trailers, was, on motion of Mr. Carnochan, referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Mr. Vredenburgh: There is only one other matter that I have to suggest, and that is the kind way in which the American Kennel Club has been received all over the country, even at a show held by the Pacific Kennel League. I am convinced that the courtesy shown to me was due entirely to my official position representing the American Kennel Club, and therefore the courtesy was really shown to the club. I think that it would be fitting that a resolution by the American Kennel Club expressive of its appreciation of the courtesy shown throughout the country should be offered.
Mr. Carnochan: I make such motion.

Seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
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Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. presiding.

Present:

Associate Member          A.C. Wilmerding
American Fox Terrier Club  H.H. Hunnewell Jr.
American Spaniel Club      M.A. Viti
Collie Club of America     M.M. Palmer
Columbus Fanciers' Club    J.M. Taylor
National Beagle Club       J.W. Appleton
San Francisco Kennel Club  C.B. Knocker
Scottish Terrier Club      R.F. Perkins
Sixth District Agricultural Association  S.C. Mastick

On motion, the reading of the minute of the last meeting was dispensed with, and the same were approved as published.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:
New York, September 15th, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report that since our last regular meeting the following clubs were duly elected to membership by a mail vote of the Executive Board, namely:

Bar Harbor Kennel Club
Maryland Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animal
Massachusetts Kennel Club
Orange County Agricultural Society
Spaniel Breeders’ Society

Credentials have been filed by four clubs appointing delegates to represent them in this association, and have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report at this meeting.

Applications have been received for the registration of thirty-three kennel names, which were referred to the stud book committee, which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

I will submit for your consideration two reports from the Pacific Advisory Committee of meetings held by
that body August 19\textsuperscript{th}, 1902, and September 2\textsuperscript{d}, 1902. A bill for disbursements amounting to $17.60, covering a period from March 10\textsuperscript{th}, to September 2\textsuperscript{d}, 1902, has been received from the Pacific Advisory Committee, and I would recommend that the same be ordered paid.

The Texas Kennel Club has asked the privilege of a postponement of its 1902 show, to that of 1903, for the reason of the destruction by fire of its buildings, and the Colorado Kennel Club has asked for a postponement of its dates to some time not specified. I take it that the object of these clubs to obtain official sanction to a postponement is to save the deposit of $25.00 which they deposited with their original claims. Rule II, (Rules governing Club holding shows), says: “Applications for date must be accompanied by a fee of $25.00, which will be forfeited in the event of the show not being held.” I have no authority to apply these deposits to cover a postponed date, but possibly you can find a way to grant the request, and I therefore leave it in your hands.

At the last Wakefield Show held in 1901, the officially marked catalogue, placed Mr. C.E. Keyes, Fox Terrier Hillside Foiler, as first in the Winners Class, and same was so recorded and published in the Stud book of
1901. Under date of July 23rd, in a letter from Mr. D.E. Loveland, Supt., I am informed that the name of the dog winning first in the Winners Class, should be corrected to read Hillside Fencer, and that he judge at said show, Mr. H.W. Lacy, had changed his book to show the change of name. I would ask you to give me such instructions as may be proper toward the correction asked for.

The Rhode Island Kennel Club has filed charges against Dr. C.C. Kammerer, for his failure to return prize money, paid to him for his dog that was subsequently disqualified by me, for not having been registered or listed. Mr. Kammerer wrote me under date of August 9th, 1902, that he had written the Secretary about the matter, and as soon as the reply came to hand, the money would be returned. Nothing further on the subject had been received by me, and as the charges have not been withdrawn, they are before you for such action as may be deemed proper.

Appeals have been received by me, and as they all come under the jurisdiction of the Executive Board, they will be submitted to that body at its first meeting. They are as follows:

Henry Jarrett, appeal for a ruling against the
action of your Secretary. This appeal is addressed to the Executive Board.

James L. Little  Appeal for reinstatement;
Mascoutah Kennel Club – Appeal from former decision of Executive Board.
I will submit for your consideration, a letter from H.B. Donovan, Secretary
Canadian Kennel Club, suggesting a plan for closer relations between his club and
ours:

The case of Cochrane vs. Klein, that has been before the Executive Board,
since December 14, 1901, and had had several postponements to obtain further
evidence, and particulars, has been dismissed by me, under instructions given me
by the Executive Board at its meeting held June 25, 1902, namely: “Laid on the
table, Complainant granted 30 days, within which to comply with the letter to him of
January 19, 1902. In default of which, the Secretary is hereby directed to dismiss the
case without further references.” As Mr. Cochrane has not complied as above
directed, the case has been dismissed, and the incident closed.

The delegate of the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts has filed a
formal objection to Rule XV, and
infers that I have illegally incorporated it in the rules, I would therefore respectfully ask that the matter be fully investigated.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

“New York, September 15, 1902

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my quarterly financial report:

Jany. 1 1902 Balance on hand $10,323.40
Sept. 15, 1902 Receipts to date 9,849.79

Total, 20,173.19

Sept. 15, 1902 Disbursements from Jany. 1, 1902 9,434.52
Balance on hand $10,738.67

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer”
On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:

“New York, September 15, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, as application for admission to membership, was submitted from the Binghamton Industrial Exposition, and an amended application from the Bay State Kennel Club. The above clubs filed their applications according to our requirements, and being eligible to membership, your committee respectfully recommends their admission.

Credentials have been filed by the Colorado Kennel Club, appointing James Watson, by the Maryland Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals appointing Robert B. Hansell, and by the Spaniel Breeders Society, appointing Marcel A. Viti and Branford Kennel Club, appointing Dr. J.E. Hair, as delegates to represent said clubs at the meetings of this Association, all of which are hereby approved, and their acceptance respectfully recommended.

Respectfully submitted,

S. Van Schiack
C.B. Knocker

Membership Committee
Mr. VREDENBURGH: The application of the Bay State Kennel Club is again before you. That application was recommended by the Membership Committee at our last meeting, but the members were not disposed to grant it because they thought the club covered too much territory. The Bay State Kennel Club has amended its application so that the matter is again before you. Their plan is to go into towns and cities where no shows have been held, or where no kennel clubs exist.

MR. KNOCKER: I might say that the Committee thought it a very good idea to have such clubs, as they encourage shows and also encourage the breeding of dogs, especially in places where there are no kennel clubs. We could not see any harm in approving the application, but on the contrary thought a great deal of good would come from it, especially with that addition they have made to their constitution.

The Bay State Kennel Club was the duly elected to membership, as was also the Binghamton Industrial Exposition.

Credentials were read of the following named gentlemen to represent the following named clubs:

James Watson, the Colorado Kennel Club; Robert B.
Hansell, the Maryland Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals; Marcel A. Viti, the Spaniel Breeders Society, and Dr. J.E. Hair, the Branford Kennel Club.

MR. APPLETON: I move that the Secretary be empowered to cast one ballot for their election.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Secretary has cast the ballot in favor of the gentlemen and carried.

The Secretary reported that the proceedings of the Executive Board of June 25\textsuperscript{th} and July 15\textsuperscript{th} were published in the July Gazette.

MR. WILMERDING: I move that the reading of the same be dispensed with and that they be accepted as published.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the minutes of the Pacific Committee’s meeting held on August 19\textsuperscript{th}, 1902 as follows:

\textbf{MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB}

Held at the Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, August 19, 1902
Absent: M.C. Allen

Carnochan v. San Francisco Kennel Club: In re the awarding of the International Trophy at the sixth annual bench show of the A.F.K.C. An appeal from decision of the Bench Show Committee.

It was moved and seconded, that in view of the fact, that this Committee is not yet in receipt of the statement of Mr. George S. Thomas, the possession of which would be a material aid in determining the merits of this appeal, and in order to obtain further evidence in this case, further consideration of the same be deferred until the next meeting of this committee, to be held at the same place on Tuesday, the second of September. Carried.

And it was further moved and seconded, that the Secretary be instructed to obtain from Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, the Secretary of the Amer, and from Mr. L.A. Klein, the Honorary Secretary and Manager of the 6th Annual Bench Show of the San Francisco Kennel Club, severally, their affirmation or denial of the statements made by the Appellant, as to the various conversations in which they were alleged to have taken part. And that their
replies be filed with the Secretary on or before the last day of the current month.
Carried.

The evident produced in the foregoing case being the original protest filed with the San Francisco Kennel Club by the Appellant, the denial thereof by the Bench Show Committee, as transmitted by the Secretary of the Club, the Appellant’s appeal to the American Kennel Club, a copy of the resolution of the Executive Board of the A.K.C. referring the Appeal to this Committee, and copies of letters and their replies passing between the Secretary of this Committee, and officials of the San Francisco Kennel Club, in relation to the obtaining of the Appellant’s original protest.


Resolved that the Secretary be instructed to call on the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club to show cause why he and the other officials of the Sixth Annual Bench Show of the San Francisco Kennel Club should not be suspended for non-payment of prizes, in accordance with paragraph XXII of the Rules of the American Kennel Club governing Dog Shows, the reply to be placed in the hands of this Committee on or before the last day of the
In the matter of the frequent absence of M.C. Allen from the meeting of this Committee:

Resolved, that the persistent absence of Mr. Merton C. Allen from the deliberations of this Committee is an inconvenience to the other members of the same, and the source of detriment to the influence of this Committee and the Secretary is hereby instructed to request Mr. Allen to signify, on or before the last day of the current month, whether it is his intention to attend the meetings of this Committee in the future.

There being no other business before the meeting, the Committee adjourned until the first Tuesday in September.

Attest:

J. P. Norman,
Secretary

On motion, the same was received and placed on file.

The Secretary also read the minutes of the meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee of September 2, 1902, as follows:
Minutes of meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee held at Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, September 2, 1902.


Absent: Merton C. Allen

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

Resolved that unfinished business from the last meeting be taken up in the same order.

In re Carnochan v. San Francisco Kennel Club. An appeal from ruling of Bench Show Committee.

The Secretary read a communication from the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, confirming the allegation, that the former owner of the wire-haired fox terrier, Endcliffe Bristles, winner of the International Trophy at the last San Francisco Show, acknowledged in the writer’s presence, that the ownership of the dog had passed to the appellant before the awarding of the said trophy. But the writer did not remember, that Mr. Klein had acknowledged in his presence having received from the appellant any notice that the ownership of the dog had so passed.

The Secretary also read a communication from Mr.
L.A. Klein, the honorary Manager of the said show, in which he stated, that he received no notice from the Appellant, that he had purchased the dog, other than possibly casual mention of the fact. The writer further alleged, that the whole transaction was a private matter between the appellant and Mr. Thomas, the vendor of the dog, in which neither the San Francisco Kennel Club not any of its officials were interested, and that the Club in paying the prize to the holder of the identification ticket, according to its printed rules, had ceased to have any interest in the matter.

The Secretary informed the Committee that he had received no reply to the communication sent to Mr. Thomas, although it was announced in the sporting papers, that that gentleman had returned from Europe. After some discussion, it was moved and seconded, that appellant’s allegation was sustained, that the dog, Endcliffe Bristles, was actually the property of the appellant at the time of the awarding of the International Trophy, and that G.S. Thomas received the Trophy as the appellant’s agent, and that the said G. S. Thomas be and hereby is ordered to place the appellant immediately in possession of the said Trophy. Carried unanimously.
It was moved and seconded, that in view of the fact that G.S. Thomas has seen fit to ignore the communications officially sent from this committee, the said G.S. Thomas be and he hereby is suspended from all benefits of the American Kennel Club until such time as this Committee is satisfied that he has complied with its orders. Carried.

It was further moved and seconded that the Chairman be requested to telegraph the gist of this resolution to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

In re the absence of Mr. M.C. Allen.

The Secretary informed the Committee that Mr. Allen had not replied to the communication calling on him for an explanation of his continued absence.

It was moved and seconded, that the position of Mr. Allen be declared vacant. Carried.

After some discussion it was moved and seconded that Charles K. Harley be nominated to the American Kennel Club for appointment on this Committee. Carried unanimously.

In re the complaints of McCormick, Tromboni et at v. The San Francisco Kennel Club, for non-payment of prize money within 60 days from close of show.
The Secretary read a communication from N.H. Tickman, stating that the late show had resulted in a deficit, that the Directors of the Club had levied an assessment, that the said Hickman had been unable to collect same, but now had the money, and that he would at once begin to pay off the outstanding claims.

It was moved and seconded that the San Francisco Kennel Club was clearly in contravention of Rule XXII of the Rules of the American Kennel Club, governing Dog Shows, and that the officers of the said Club and of the Sixth Annual Bench Show should be and hereby are suspended, until such time as this Committee has information that the San Francisco Kennel Club and its officers have complied with the rules of the American Kennel Club.

The published catalogue of the Sixth Annual Bench Show of the San Francisco Kennel Club, gives as officers of the Club liable to suspension, and hereby suspended: A.B. Spreckels, President; John E. de Ruyter, 1st Vice-President; E. Courtney Ford, 2nd Vice-President; N.H. Hickman, Secretary-Treasurer; Charles K. Harley, Director; L.A. Klein, Honorary Secretary and Manager of the Show.

MR. de Ruyter then left the chair, and tendered his resignation from the Committee.
It was moved and seconded, that this Committee views with regret the loss of Mr. de Ruyter's counsel and services during the period of suspension of the San Francisco Kennel Club, and refuses to accept his resignation. Carried unanimously.

Mr. Carlton was then elected temporary chairman.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary

On motion, the same was received and placed on file.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The first matter to which your attention is directed is the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee in declaring Mr. Carnochan the winner of the International Trophy and the suspension of Mr. George S. Thomas until he turns over such trophy. Mr. Thomas called at this office this morning and stated to me that he was in England at the time that the communications were sent to him; that his wife received one of them, which she forwarded to him but which did not reach him until some time after he had returned to America, so that he had no
charges are preferred or an appeal is sent here, to send notice to the defendant at his last known address and when we hold our meeting, if we have not heard from him, the case goes against him by default, and it strikes me that in all such cases the defendant ought to have, it he can give a good reason for no putting in a defense, an opportunity to open the case and be heard. If, after Mr. Thomas has had his day in court, the Pacific Advisory Committee, confirms its former action, then he has a right to appeal here for the consideration of this club.

MR. MASTICK: I move that the penalty imposed by the Pacific Advisory Committee against Mr. George S. Thomas be suspended until such time as he has had an opportunity to file his defense with such Committee, and that it is the sense of this meeting that the Pacific Advisory Committee shall re-open the case and decide it on its merits on or before October 1st, 1902.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDEBURGH: The next matter in order for your attention is the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee in declaring the position of Mr. M.C. Allen vacant by reason of his continued absence and his failure to file a reason with the Committee, and its nomination of Mr.
Charles K. Harley for appointment by this club to fill the vacancy. I find later on, in the suspension of the officers of the San Francisco Kennel Club for non-payment of prizes that Mr. Charles K. Harley’s name, as director, is on the list of suspended officers. I would like to state that last Spring when I was out there I heard complaints from different members of the Pacific Advisory Committee to the effect that it was impossible to get Mr. M.C. Allen to attend meetings. I saw Mr. Allen nearly every day at the Show held by the San Francisco Kennel Club, so that I know he was in the City of San Francisco. At one time we found it necessary to legislate the entire committee out of office because of the continued absence of the members who purposely absented themselves in order to prevent the carrying on of our business on the Coast. I think it is now before this meeting to determine that position vacant or not.

MR. KNOCKER: I move that the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee in declaring the position held by Mr. M.C. Allen vacant be confirmed.

Seconded and carried.
MR. VREDENBURGH: The nomination that the Pacific Advisory Committee has made of Mr. Charles K. Harley to fill the position declared vacant is before you.

MR. VITI: In view of the fact that he is under suspension I do not see how he can possibly be elected.

MR. VREDENBURGH: That is the very reason why Mr. John E. de Ruyter, who is one of the most valued members we have out there, unfortunately, being Vice-President of the San Francisco Kennel Club, immediately resigned from that Committee. He has been the Chairman of that Committee. He has been the Chairman of that Committee for a number of years, and as he came under the ban of suspension by reason of the non-payment of some of the prizes at that show, he tendered his resignation, which I see from the report the Committee refused to accept. According to the rule, a Bench Show Club has sixty days within which to pay its obligations for prizes. There were two or three charges filed with the Pacific Advisory Committee by men who had not received their prizes. It appears from this report that the Secretary said they had levied an assessment to make up the deficit, but had not been able to collect that assessment. Late on, the report says that the Secretary was now in funds and would proceed to pay off the indebtedness of the club, but as he had not
done so this Committee passed a resolution suspending the San Francisco Kennel Club and its officers whom they named. That is according to our procedure here. The rules says that at the first meeting of the American Kennel Club or the Executive Board a suspension must either be removed or changed to disqualification.

    MAJOR TAYLOR: I move the usual sixty days be allowed.
    MR. VREDENBRUGH: They have had the sixty days. They say they have the money but they have not paid it.
    MR. APPLETON: Is there anything for us to do but to disqualify them?
    MR. KNOCKER: I was under the impression that we very often gave an extension of time in such matters.
    THE CHAIR: We can give them an extra week and disqualify them if they do not pay up within that time.
    MR. VREDENBURGH: I believe you could suspend the penalty until a stated time, and if the prizes have not all been paid by that time, then the penalty of suspension could be changed to that of disqualification.
    MR. APPLETON: I move that the suspension be continued for thirty days, and if the prize moneys are not paid within that time, the San Francisco Kennel Club be
suspended, and it officer be disqualified.

Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The nomination of Mr. Charles K. Harley to fill the vacancy on the Pacific Advisory Committee is now before you.

MR. APPLETON: I move the matter be referred to the Executive Board. My motion covers the nominations of Mr. Harley and the resignation of Mr. de Ruyter.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I have to report the receipt of applications for the registration of thirty-three kennel names, the same having been recommended by the stud-book committee, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apponaquette</td>
<td>Charles T. Luce</td>
<td>New Bedford, Mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnybred</td>
<td>Henry Wackerman</td>
<td>Brooklyn, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookdale</td>
<td>Mabel F. Throckmorton</td>
<td>Red Bank, N.J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broughton</td>
<td>Edward Bringhurst</td>
<td>Wilmington, Del.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonade</td>
<td>Mrs. A.E. Flannagan</td>
<td>Freeport, L.I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earlington</td>
<td>W.P. Earl</td>
<td>New York, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgecomb</td>
<td>S.P. &amp; M.W. Martin</td>
<td>Chestnut Hill, Pa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gouveneur  Weldon G. Lockie  Elmdale, N.Y.
Kingscroft  Gilbert M. King  Providence, R.I.
Leeds  Delmont & Keen  Devon, Pa.
Medford  J.M. Copeland  W. Medford, Mass.
Monopole  P.J. Blair  Plattsburg, N.Y.
Nairod  J.H. Dorian  San Francisco, Cal.
Nellcote  Mr. & Mrs. S.L. Goldenberg  New York, NY
Oneida  J.J. Haley  Verona Station, N.Y.
Pembina  T.W. Alexander  Manitou, Manitoba
Prairie View  S.R. Livergood  Nora Springs, Iowa
Rhinbeck  Henry S. Kip  Rhinebeck, N.Y.
Roanoke  Geo. B. Ridgely  Lindsay, La.
Rossmoyne  Mrs. B.B. Dickinson  Baltimore, Md.
Selwonk  L.J. Knowles  Magnolia, Mass.
Springdale  Johnson Lowe  St. Charles, Ills.
Wilkins  W.J. Lautz  Buffalo, N.Y.
Windholme  Harry T. Peters  Islip, N.Y.
Woodville      Harry Jackson         South Farmingham, Mass.
Waldingfield Beagles James W. Appleton Ipswich, Mass.
Somerset Kennels      George B. Post Jr. Bernardsville, N.J.

All of these names have received the approval of a majority of the Stud-Book Committee with the exception of one, “Leeds”.

MR. VITI: I desire to say that I approve that name in order to conform to the custom of the American Kennel Club. As I understand, we have been in the habit of recording such names as are registered with us. “Leeds” of course is a well known prefix in England, but the American Kennel Club has recently allowed the registration of many other English names equally well known, and I do not see why such a name as “Clonmel” which is just well knows as “Leeds”, should be allowed and “Leeds” refused.

MR. MASTICK: I move that the entire list of thirty-three names be granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Texas Kennel Club has asked for the privilege of postponing its Show for one year because of the destruction by fire of their buildings on their show grounds, thereby making it necessary, if the
application is granted to apply their deposit of $25 to cover their new claim.

MAJOR TAYLOR: I move that the Texas Kennel Club be allowed the extension of time asked for.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Colorado Kennel Club also asks for a postponement of its show, but has not specified any date. I wrote them about a month ago to the effect that they must specify a date, to which they have not replied.

MR. VITI: I move that the Secretary be authorized to apply the deposit of $25 by the Colorado Kennel Club to any claim for dates said club may make to hold a show within the calendar year.

Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: At the last Wakefield Show, held in 1901, the officially marked catalogue placed Mr. C.W. Keyes’ Fox Terrier “Hillside Foiler” first in the Winners Class. It appears that “Hillside Foiler” was not present at the show. The dog that was there was “Hillside Fencer”, and the Judge and Superintendent at the request of the owner, changed the awards about eleven months after
The show to read “Hillside Fencer” instead of “Hillside Foiler”. I desire instructions as to what course I shall take, whether I shall change it accordingly or not.

   MR. KNOCKER: I move the matter be referred to the Rules Committee, and that some time be fixes for the change in the record with regard to the entry.

   Seconded and carried.

   MR. APPLETON: I move that the records be corrected.

   Motion seconded and carried.

   MR. VREDENBURGH: The Rhode Island Kennel Club preferred charges against Dr. C.C. Kammerer, who failed to return prizes awarded to a dog that was disqualified by the American Kennel Club. Dr. Kammerer received the prize money, and when the matter came before us we disqualified the dog because it was neither listed or registered. He said he had written to the Secretary, and as soon as he had heard from him he would settle. I have not heard anything from him and the Rhode Island Kennel Club has not withdrawn its charges. He has had ample time, as it is now about five weeks since I write to him, and he received the money last January.
MR. KNOCKER: I move that he be given thirty days in which to return such prize money after receipt of the Secretary’s notice.

Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I have here a communication from Mr. Donovan of the Canadian Kennel Club.

MR. APPLETON: I move that the communication be laid on the table.

Seconded and carried.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The Wissahickon Kennel Club held a show last June. It appears that the Club advertised that all dogs owned by exhibitors living within a radius of fifty miles of the City Hall of Philadelphia would be registered by the Wissahickon Kennel Club at its own expense. When they sent their listings in to me at the end of seven days, I found that the wins of a great number of dogs had to be cancelled because they had not been listed or registered. The Secretary of the Wissahickon Kennel Club took me to task for doing so and told me it was clearly my business to inform him how many dogs had not been listed so they could send the listings to me. I tried to explain the matter to him. I hold him it was not the province
of the American Kennel Club to do the bookkeeping of any club; that the Rules Committee in granting a club seven days after the close of its show to send in its listings, considered that they had ample time in which to attend to the matter properly and correctly; that I had for the past year declined to accept any supplemental listings. They took exception to my right to do that, and I told them that I interpreted the rules according to my own judgment and that until competent authority changed my interpretation I should enforce the rules according to that interpretation. Then the secretary wrote me that he was glad to learn for the first time that I treated a club of gentlemen who gave a show with no intention of making money on the same ground that I treated a money making club with a full corps of clerks at their disposition to do their bookkeeping properly. I wrote him in reply that the rules were not elastic, that I certainly did treat a club of gentlemen the same as I treated a money making club; that they all stood on the same ground so far as I was concerned. He dropped the question the, although a little later he sent me a letter of apology for the manner in which he had written. Mr. Jarrett, who was at the time in England, returned and it appears that he took up the cudgels for the
Wissahickon Kennel Club, and he has made my life quite a burden since then in answering the many letters that he sent to me on these subjects. Finally, I told him that I wished he would appeal from my action and have the matter decided. He did appeal, but he said that he wanted that appeal to go before the Executive Board. Yesterday, I received a letter from him in which the inference is clear that I had illegally inserted in our code of rules a rule that does not belong there. At the February meeting of this Club the report of the Rules Committee was as Mr. Jarrett suggests in his letter, but their recommendation was amended. Mr. Gooderham offered the resolution: “I move as an amendment that any dog entered for competition and received at the building must compete in all classes in which he is entered and for all specials to which he is eligible, and failing to do so shall be subject to a fine equal to the entrance fee in each class.” That rule has been incorporated in our code of rules, and Mr. Jarrett objects to the rule and infers, as I say, that I placed that rule in our code without authority. I think that is an uncalled for letter. I decline to accept any supplementary report of listings. It has been the custom that after a club has had seven days
and has sent in its listings, which are supposed to be final, it may be one or two months after it is reported in the Gazette that the wins of certain dogs are cancelled for that or some other reason, non-listing for instance; then these clubs want to send in the listings of these dogs that have been cancelled so that I will reinstate them. As there would be no limit to that custom until every dog was finally reinstated I would never know where my records are. At one time when this present listing rules went into effect, which became operative in February after the shows had commenced, and as it was not generally known that a listing was only good for the calendar year, I had notices printed and sent out to every person who had failed to list his dogs, and asked them if they had listed their dogs, and to give their reason for not doing so if they had not. In that case we would permit them to list because of the fact that he rules as a new one, but subsequently I advertised in the Gazette, after the summer circuit and at the beginning of the autumn circuit, that I would not do that, for by that time exhibitors would be accustomed to the rule, and since that time I have declined to accept any supplemental listings after the seven days. It is now for you to say whether I was right in the course I adopted
or not.

MR. APPLETON: I move that the Secretary’s action in connection with this matter be sustained in all respects.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VITI: There is a matter that was omitted from the written report of the Stud-Book Committee, which I will now state. One Daniel H. Manley, who was suspended or disqualified some three or four years ago made an application for reinstatement. The Committee feels unable to recommend that such action be taken. The case was serious one. We went into it at great length, procured affidavits from a great many persons and the Committee recommended that he be disqualified. He was disqualified some three years ago and is still disqualified and the Committee do not think that he has offered any additional evidence of such weight that it would warrant the American Kennel Club in removing that disqualification, as he requests.

MR. APPLETON: I move that the supplemental report of the Stud-Book Committee be accepted and placed on file.

Seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, TUESDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1902.

Vice-Present H.H. Hunnewell presiding.

Present:
Associate Members A.C. Wilmerding
American Fox Terrier Club H.H. Hunnewell
Atlanta Kennel Club H.T. Foote
Bull Terrier Club of America Frank H. Croker
Chicago Kennel Club C.W. Rodman, Jr.
Collie Club of America M.M. Palmer
Colorado Kennel Club James Watson
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania G.M. Carnochan
French Bulldog Club of Am. F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America G. Muss-Arnolt
Irish Terrier Club of America Singleton Can Schaick
Mascoutah Kennel Club C.F.R. Drake
Middlesex East Agricultural Association Charles W. Keyes
New Jersey Kennel Club C.G. Hopton
Spaniel Breeders’ Society Marcel A. Viti
Texas Kennel Club George W. Clayton
The Ladies Kennel Association of Am. James Mortimer
Welsh Terrier Club of America  B.S. Smith
American Spaniel Club     H.K. Bloodgood
Orange Co. Agricultural Soc.  W.G. Davis
New England Kennel Club    W.B. Emroy

-------------------------------

The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows: -

New York, December 16th, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

At a meeting of the membership committee, held December 15th, the following credentials were submitted:-

American Spaniel Club, appointing H.K. Bloodgood; Aurora Kennel Club, appointing D.W. Goddard; Massachusetts Kennel Club, appointing J.H. Hillens; New England Kennel Club, appointing W.B. Emory; Orange County Agricultural Society, appointing W.G. Davis; Pointer Club of America, appointing Dr. Allen Fitch; San Francisco Kennel Club, appointing R.. Keasbey; Wissahickon Kennel Club, appointing D. Murray Bohlen. These credentials were duly approved and their acceptance recommended. The credentials
of the Bar Harbor Kennel Club, appointing Miss Ruth Lawrence, were not approved, as the committee does not deem it advisable to admit a woman as delegate to this Association.

Respectfully submitted,
G.M. Carnochan, Chairman
S. Van Schiack

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

Delegates to represent the following named Clubs were elected as follows:

American Spaniel Club    H.K. Bloodgood
Aurora Kennel Club       D.W. Goddard
Merrimac Valley Kennel Club  J.H. Hillens
New England Kennel Club  W.B. Emory
Orange Co. Agricultural Soc.  W.G. Davis
Pointer Club of America   Dr. Allen Fitch
San Francisco Kennel Club  R.P. Keasbey
Wissahickon Kennel Club   D. Murray Bohlen
Massachusetts Kennel Club  Dr. W. Peterson Jr.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the report of the Membership Committee, disapproving of the credentials of the Bar Harbor Kennel Club, appointing Miss Ruth Lawrence, be endorsed.

Motion seconded and carried.
DR. FOOTE: I move that the reading of the minutes of the last meeting be dispensed with and that they be approved as published in the Gazette. Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his Quarterly Report as follows:-

New York, December 16th, 1902

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:-

I have the honor to report that since the last regular quarterly meeting, the Oakland Kennel Club, has been admitted to active membership by the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, and December 17/20/1902, granted said club for a show to be held under our rules.

Credentials appointing delegates were received from ten clubs which were referred to the Membership Committee to be reported at this meeting. Applications have been received for registrations of kennel names, a list of which was referred to the Stud Book Committee, and a report to be submitted at this meeting.

A copy of the proceedings of the Pacific Advisory Committee at its meeting held November 21st has been filed at this office, and will be submitted at this meet-
A protest from Philip W. Moen, against the action of your Secretary has been filed, and the same will be submitted at this meeting.

An application to change the title of the Louisiana Kennel Club, to the SOUTHWESTERN KENNEL CLUB, will be submitted for your consideration.

An application from the Colorado Kennel Club to transfer their deposit made for claim of date for a show in 1902, to February 19th-21, 1903, will be submitted for your approval.

Copies of a preamble, resolution and correspondence between the Secretary of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of America, and Richard H. Hunt, Treasurer and Secretary of the French Bulldog Club of America, asking this Club to reverse the decision of the judge at the late Ladies’ Show of New York. The same will be referred to you at his meeting for your action.

I beg to submit a communication from H.B. Donovan, Secretary-Treasurer Canadian Kennel Club, asking what arrangement can be arrived at for a mutual recognition that will meet with your approval.
The nomination by the Pacific Advisory Committee of C.K. Harley to fill an existing vacancy on that committee, was not acted upon at the last regular meeting of this Association, and is now before you for confirmation.

In closing, it is my privilege to congratulate you on the continued growth and prosperity of this Association. We are not closing a year that is the record year of the organization and notwithstanding the additional work, imposed upon the office, I take pride in informing you that the work is almost completed up to date, without asking additional clerical force. This has been done however by hard work, and entire month of night work. Notwithstanding the fact that our registrations are about 700 in excess of the previous year, I feel certain that the copy for the Stud Book will be ready for the printer at the usual time.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary

On motion, the same was accepted as read.

The Treasurer then read his report as follows:

New York, December 16th, 1902

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my quart-
erly financial report,

Jan. 1<sup>st</sup>, 1902   Balance on hand   $10,323.40
Dec. 15<sup>th</sup>    Receipts to date   13,579.89

**Total** $23,903.29

Dec. 15<sup>th</sup>, 1902, Disbursements from January 1, 1902 12,550.84
Balance on hand $11,352.45

I beg to report that on November 26<sup>th</sup> all bills were mailed for dies to Active and Associate Members, and for Advertising Accounts.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

MR. RODMAN: I move that the reading of the Report of the Executive Board be dispensed with, it having been published in the October Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:-
New York, December 16th, 1902

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

The Stud Book Committee beg leave to report as follows:-

The following applications for Kennel Names and Transfers thereof have been filed and as they (with one exception) do not conflict with ones already registered the Committee recommend they be allowed. The exception referred to is that of Chatauqua, F.R. Dutton, owner, and as it is already registered in the name of another owner, it cannot be allowed.

Alonsita, H.H. Knowles
Arlington, F.A. Simpkins
Biltmore Farms, George W. Vanderbilt
Bournmere, G.A. Lowry
Brightwood, M.E. Phelps
Bronside, M.R. Stevens
Central, G. Schetelich
Clinton Hill, Kugler, Brown & Norton
Eberhart, A.G. Eberhart
Fairmount, L & W. Weber
Lakefield, W.B. Cleveland
Maplemont, W.A. Sargent
Merrimack, A. Mitchell
Miramar, J.S. Jenkins
Nomid, G.B. Dimon
Parkdale, Thomas Richard
Quality, W.N. Vreeland
Redcote, Mr. & Mrs. H.P. Clarke
Richtaway, L.A. Woodward
Shady Lane, E.G. Harder
Spring Brook, Nowell & Mrs. Merritt
St. Lawrence, I.D. Kingsley
Vanor, W. Barnard
Walsingham, W.C. Codman

TRANSFER

Leeds, Delmont & Keen to A. Delmont.

In the matter of the distinction of Toy Spaniels by varieties or breeds your committee have carefully considered all correspondence submitted to them, have informed themselves by examination of the witnesses as to the conditions governing the breeding of the varieties, and from the overwhelming proof of the evidence, they are forced to conclude that at present the different speci-
mens are not produced through unbroken pedigrees of the same variety of ancestors, and they therefore recommend that KING CHARLES, PRINCE CHARLIE, BLENHEIM and RUBY SPANIELS be registered on and after January 1<sup>st</sup>, 1903, as Toy Spaniels of BLACK and TAN, TRI-COLORED, ORANGE and WHITE and RED TOY SPANIELS varieties.

In the matter of the report of the Massachusetts K.C. in re FANNIE KAKAS, this matter was heard by the Bench Show Committee after the expiration of the period within which they may determine such matters, and your Committee therefore feel that the proceedings are not in proper form as the said Committee was then without jurisdiction. We are aware that the parties to the proceeding agreed to waive this A.K.C. Rule but we believe that they were without power to waive any rule of the A.K.C. The proceedings in their present form should therefore be dismissed but the Complainant has the right to institute new proceedings before this Club.

(a) There are several cases still pending before this Committee which must unavoidably be held over as the Committee have not completed their investigations but expect to be able to conclude them before the end of the year.
At present there is no charge made for the transfer of a Kennel name, but as such action necessitates a re-publication of the Name and requires clerical attention and expense, the Committee feel that there charges should be borne by the applicants, and recommend that hereafter a charge of $1.00 be made for all transfers.

Respectfully submitted,

Marcel A. Viti, Chairman
C.W. Rodman, Jr.

On motion, the same was accepted.

It was moved and seconded that the applications for Kennel Names and prefixes as reported by the Stud Book Committee, be granted.

Carried.

On motion, of Mr. Rodman, consideration of the matter of the distinction of Toy Spaniels by varieties or breeds, was laid over to come up under the head of General Business.

On motion, the action of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the report of the Massachusetts Kennel Club in re FANNIE KAKAS was endorsed.
DR. FOOTE: I move that a fee of one dollar be charged for transfers of Kennel Names.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Report of the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE was read as follows:-

Meeting held at Occidental Hotel, Nov. 21, 1902. Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman, H.H. Carlton, A.J. Allen, P. Norman. The Secretary having invited Mr. C.K. Harley to attend the meeting, by direction of the Chairman, Mr. Allen moved, by direction of the Chairman, Mr. Allen moved the suspension of the rules, and that Mr. Harley be allowed to remain during the meeting. Seconded and carried.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

Communications read by the Secretary:

From A.P. Vredenburgh, announcing that the nominations of Mr. Harley to fill the vacancy on this Committee would be acted on by the Executive Board at the next meeting in December.

Ordered filed.

From A.P. Vredenburgh, announcing that the minutes of the meeting of this Committee, held October 4th, had been presented to this Committee, held October 4th, had been presented to the Executive Board at its meeting of October 15th, and that the action of this Committee in restoring the officers of the San Francisco Kennel Club to good standing was confirmed. Also that the decision
of this Committee in the case of Carnochan versus Thomas had been reversed. On motion, consideration of this letter was postponed until all the other business before the Committee had been disposed of.

From the Oakland Kennel Club, William Eisen, President; T. Gregory, Vice President; John Bradshaw, Secretary-Treasurer, applying for admission to the American Kennel Club, and for license to hold a show in Oakland on December 17, 18, 19, 20, 1902. On motion, the application was granted, and the Club duly admitted.

From M. Tromboni, complaining that the medal he had received from the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club did not correspond to the prize won by him at the last show held by that Club. On motion, the Secretary was instructed to refer the complaint to the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club.

From A.P. Vredenbrugh, requesting copies of certain documents in the case of Carnochan v. Thomas; the Secretary announcing that he had complied with the request, the latter was ordered filed.

The Secretary announced that he had certified the premium list of the Oakland Kennel Club for a minimum of one point in the winners class in advance of the action.
Of the committee on the application of said Club. On motion, the action of the Secretary was confirmed.

The action of the Executive Board in the matter of the case of Carnochan v. Thomas, as laid down in the letter of A.P. Vredenburgh of October 15th, 1902, was then taken up and discussed. The past of the said letter reading as follows:

“Re Carnochan v. Thomas, your decision was reversed and the action of the San Francisco Kennel Club on the original protest sustained. By this action the precedent is established that the person holding the dog at the time of the closing of the entry continued as the owner during the continuance of the show, so far as the winnings of that dog are concerned at that show.”

After considerable discussion, it was

Moved, that whereas the appeal of G.M. Carnochan from the decision of the Bench Show Committee of the San Francisco Kennel Club was referred to this Pacific Advisory Committee by the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club in a letter from Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh under date of September 18th, 1902, as follows:

“I beg to advise you, that at a meeting of the American Kennel Club, held the 16th instant, the follow-
ing resolutions were adopted: 1st. That the penalty imposed by the Pacific Advisory Committee against George S. Thomas be and hereby is suspended until such time as he has had an opportunity to file his defense with such Committee, and that it is the sense of this meeting, that the Pacific Advisory Committee shall reopen the case, and decide it on its merits, on or before October 1st, 1902. Mr. Thomas, who was present at the meeting, promised to mail his defense to you within one week, which will give you ample time for the reconsideration of the case within the time specified.”

And that the case was decided by this Committee strictly on its merits as directed in said letter, as presented in the evidence submitted by the appellant and defendant, therefore be it

Resolved: That this Committee views with regret the reversal of its decision in the case of Carnochan v. Thomas by the Executive Board without an appeal having been taken to said Board according to the Constitution of the American Kennel Club; such reversal being an infringement of said Constitution, as laid down in Clause 4 of the Resolutions of the American Kennel Club, adopted at its meeting held February 22nd, 1899, confirming the authori-
ty delegated to the Pacific Advisory Committee, which clause reads as follows: “All acts and decisions of said Committee shall become effective, subject to appeal the American Kennel Club, to be made under the Rules and Registrations of that Club, provided that, where such appeal is not taken, the acts and decisions of said Committee shall be final.”

And that this Committee does not admit, that the Executive Board have the right to reverse the decision of this Committee without an appeal having been taken to the Executive Board under said Clause 4, and that further, while this Committee is in entire harmony with the Executive Board in desiring to establish the precedent, that the person holding the dog at the time of the closing of the entry continues as the owner during the continuance of the show, as laid down by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club in his letter of October 15th, 1902, to the Pacific Advisory Committee, yet this Committee deprecates the hasty action of the Executive Board in ignoring the Constitution of the American Kennel Club, and it is the sense of this Committee, that the Executive Board should have awaited an appeal from
The decision of this Committee before reversing that decision.
    Seconded and carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned on motion.
    Attest:
        J.P. Norman,
        Secretary.
    On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE CHAIRMAN: The first matter in the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee is the election of the Oakland Kennel Club.
    MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that this Club endorses the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee in electing the Oakland Kennel Club.
    Motion seconded and carried.
THE CHAIRMAN: The next matter for our attention is the resolution of the Pacific Advisory Committee relative to the reversal of the decision in the case of Carnochan v. Thomas by the Executive Board without an appeal having been taken to said Board according to the Constitution of the American Kennel Club.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Isn’t that pretty strong language on the part of the child to the father, that we are at fault? If they cannot comprehend the plain rules of the American Kennel Club that is their fault.

DR. FOOTE: Are they not right? If they are in the right they certainly are warranted in depreciating the action taken.

THE CHAIRMAN: If I understand the case, the Pacific Advisory Committee gave its decision, which was appealed from to us, and it all comes down to the question as to whether an appeal can go to them at all. I should think the appeal should come to us.

MR. VREDENBURG: Mr. Thomas came here and said he never had an opportunity to be heard in his own defense because the letter which had been sent to him notifying him to appear with his defense reached his wife while
he was in England, and that the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee was reached before he could reply, so we directed the Pacific Advisory Committee to reopen the case, giving Mr. Thomas an opportunity to be heard, which they did, and Mr. Thomas was heard. Then they again decided the case against Mr. Thomas in favor of Mr. Carnochan. Then the matter came to us for confirmation. Now, there is no question about it, according to the agreement between the American Kennel Club and the Pacific Advisory Committee, that any act of the Pacific Advisory Committee is final unless set aside by the American Kennel Club on an appeal. There is only inference, and that is that the acts of the Pacific Advisory Committee must be the acts according to the rules of the American Kennel Club. Under those circumstances an appeal is absolutely necessary for the case to be reopened before this club, but if the Pacific Advisory Committee rules that black is white and it comes here for confirmation, we cannot confirm that, because we know better, and it is not necessary for an appeal to be taken before we can say that black is black. There are several precedents in the American Kennel Club where a decision has been given that the closing of entries is a part and parcel of the
show itself. It is not contradicted that Mr. Thomas was the bona fide owner of this dog in question at the closing of the entries at the show at San Francisco. He paid his money to compete for everything that that dog was eligible to compete for. It was conceded by the American Kennel Club Executive Board that there as a bona fide transfer of that dog during that show from Mr. Thomas to Mr. Carnochan, but from other precedents that have been established here, the American Kennel Club said that there was only one official owner of that dog until the closing of the show, and that was Mr. Thomas. If in the transfer of this dog Mr. Thoams had agreed that further prizes that the dog might win would follow the new ownership of the dog, it is very clear to my mind that the decision of this Club was that the Club could only recognize Mr. Thomas, and then Mr. Thomas, after the close of the show, would have to turn over the prize that the dog had won after Mr. Carnochan became the owner, and in case he did not, then Mr. Carnochan would have a claim for breach of contract. As I have said, the closing of the entries is a part and parcel of the show itself, and I think upon that the Executive Board decided the case, and it was not necessary to wait for an appeal, because
this decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee was against the precedent established by the American Kennel Club.

DR. FOOTE: I move that the Secretary be requested to explain that matter to the Pacific Advisory Committee, and that the action of the Executive Board be upheld.

MR. VREDEBURGH: I have explained it to the Chairman by letter and to the Secretary by letter.

MR. RODMAN: What constitutes an appeal from the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee to the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club?

MR. VREDEBURGH: The person whom they decided against can file an appeal here from that decision.

MR. RODMAN: Is it distinctly stated how it should be done.

MR. VREDEBURGH: No.

MR. RODMAN: Then nothing further is necessary. No application of any kind, either verbal or written, was made to you subsequent to the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee?

MR. VREDEBURUGH: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think you quite catch the point of this.
should after a recommendation which we as a Club overruled. Under the same rule, or upon the same theory the Pacific Advisory Committee are acting on in this case, the American Kennel Club would have to appeal to the Stud Book Committee.

MR. RODMAN: At the same time there is a statement made in our agreement with them which is unequivocal: “All acts and decisions of the said Committee shall become effective subject to appeal to the American Kennel Club, to be made under the rules and regulations provided that where such appeal is not made the acts and decisions of said Committee shall be final.”

THE CHAIRMAN: They are contrary to our precedents.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: That is a question, if they are beyond our rules.

MR. RODMAN: Suppose they had made a decision absolutely contrary to the American Kennel Club, how could the matter be brought before us? How could we take that matter under our cognizance without an appeal. Our agreement prescribes exactly how the appeal should be made. There is our agreement with them, and I am inclined to think they are right and that they have cause
to find fault with the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I do not thing if the made a mistake and misinterpret our rules that we should let that go until an appeal comes. The Executive Board is here to see that our rules are correctly enforced, and to see that everything is right.

MR. RODMAN: There is no question of sympathy in this case. We are dealing with an organization that we have given co-ordinate powers with ourselves, and when they act under our rules and do as we have empowered them to do, they should be upheld. We have granted to them of our own volition the power that in case no appeal is taken from their decision it should be final, just as final as if made by our Executive Board. It is a question now of agreement between the American Kennel Club on the one hand and Pacific Advisory Committee on the other.

MR. CROKER: How long does the American Kennel Club have to wait for an appeal from the ruling of this Pacific Advisory Committee?

MR. VREDENBURGH: There is no limit of time?

MR. CROKER: They can confirm this action when
they see fit. The American Kennel Club receives the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee, and after receipt of that the party against whom this decision was made has a right to appeal?

MR. VREDENBURGH: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: According to that agreement we have nothing whatever to do about it.

MR. RODMAN: I move that this matter, in view of the fact that it refers to the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club, be referred by this meeting to the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club and that such Board report at the Annual Meeting of the Club to be held in February; also that the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee be informed that action has been taken upon their letter, and that a report will be rendered by the American Kennel Club at the Annual Meeting to be held in February.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I would like to ask a ruling from the Chair. In the absence of an appeal from the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee, even under that agreement that we have made with them, can the American Kennel Club confirm or allow to stand as a law what it considers illegally done by that Club? Is it not a fair
inference that in allowing that power to the Pacific Advisory Committee it meant that their acts must be in accordance with the rules and regulations and precedents laid down by the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIRMAN: I should think so.

MR. RODMAN: How can an inference be drawn where there is no ambiguity? You have stated in a proviso that has absolutely no qualification whatever just exactly the power you have given them. You have stated in black and white what you have given them. Unless it is ambiguous you cannot draw an inference from it.

MR. VREDENBURGH: It is the context. Can the American Kennel Club legalize an illegal act? Mr. Rodman: You have given them the power, and you have got to admit, as I take it from the statements that have been made here today, that there was no appeal to the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club.

MR. VREDENBURGH: None.

MR. RODMAN: Therefore our act was illegal.

MR. CROKER: Has the Executive Board acted in accordance with their agreement with the Pacific Advisory Committee?

MR. VREDENBURGH: They decided against prece-
dents established by the American Kennel Club.

DR. FOOTE: It seems to me our only course in a case of this kind is to get them to reverse their decision or else to get the parties interested to appeal, or else we have got to revise our agreement with them, but certainly on the agreement we have made with them we have not carried out our part of it.

THE CHAIRMAN: The third clause in the agreement says they may adopt general measures not in conflict with the bylaws and rules of the American Kennel Club.

MR. RODMAN: We left the decision with them. The Executive Board expressly left with the Pacific Advisory Committee the determination of the question. It was left to us and we refused to accept it. We referred it back to them with full power to act. Power to act was given to them when we sent it back for their determination.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The decision was made by the Bench Show Committee.

MR. RODMAN: At the same time that was left by us to them. It is the same result. They had power to act, not only given to them by that contract between
Them and us, but by us when we left it to them for determination.

THE CHAIRMAN: The fourth clause says: “All acts and decisions of the said Committee shall be effective subject to appeal to the American Kennel Club, to be made under the rules and regulations of that Club provided that where such appeal is not made the acts and decisions of said Committee shall be final.” The part that is important there is the sentence “to be made under the rules and regulations of that Club”. I do not see why under that they are not forced to act according to our rules and regulations.

MR. RODMAN: So they are, but the only way it can be brought to our attention is by appeal.

MR. VITI: The American Kennel Club can appeal.

MR. RODMAN: It does not though. The Board of Delegates have the right to appeal to the American Kennel Club. If the Pacific Advisory Committee by that decision has aggrieved the American Kennel Club, the delegates can appeal to the American Kennel Club for reversion of that decision, but it must be done by appeal.

DR. FOOTE: I second Mr. Rodman’s motion, that
the matter be referred back to the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club, it to report at the Annual Meeting to be held in February, the Secretary to be directed to inform the Pacific Advisory Committee that this action has been taken on its letter.

MR. VREDEBURGH: I would like a ruling by the Chair on this question: Can the American Kennel Club confirm or legalize an illegal act by a subordinate body?

THE CHAIRMAN: In view of Art. 3 in the authority delegated to the Pacific Advisory Committee the Chair rules that no general measures adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee which are illegal are final.

MR. RODMAN: I now call for the question on my motion.

Motion carried.
Under the head of General Business the protest from Philip W. Moen against the action of Secretary Vredenburgh was taken up.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: May I ask if there has been a protest lodged against the win of that bitch?

MR. VRENDENBURGH: No. The breed of dog was not stated.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It is stated as an “old fashioned Scotch Collie”. I think it is beyond the scope of this office – that it is a revolutionary proceeding on the part of this office when it undertakes to define breeds. I think I am one of the oldest delegates here. I do not think that it lies with this office to say that this is not a breed. Without attempting to detract from our Secretary’s knowledge of dogs, I never knew yet that he was an authority on breeds, and that he could say a smooth coated Collie was a rough coated collie when he was not there. I think it ought to be left to the Officiating Judge. If there is no protest lodged I do not think that the Secretary has the right to say that is a rough coated collie when it is not. This dog was as far from being a rough coated collie as is a smooth coated collie, and he is an acknowledged breed of bearded collie.
I move that the protest of Mr. Moen be allowed and the cancellation of the Old Fashioned Scotch Collie may be and is hereby annulled.

Motion seconded.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The rules say that the miscellaneous class shall be open to all dogs of established breed for which no regular class has been provided in the premium list. Mr. Muss-Arnolt says that the Judge who judged the regular class of rough coated collies also judged the miscellaneous class. I would like to ask how that Judge knew that this dog was entered as an Old Fashioned Scotch Collie.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Because there was no Judges Books and he had to go by the Entry Book, and it was shown to him what it was, and it was entered in the miscellaneous class, Philip W. Moen, “Old Fashioned Scotch Collie-Made Mabel.” Our rules demand that the breed be given, and that covers everything. We have not any established number of breeds here. I know a number of breeds well, 40 or 50 breeds in Europe and possibly 40 or 50 on the Continent, but I would not have the courage just from reading a thing like that to say that that is a rough coated collie. Under what precedent, under what
rule?

MR. VREDENBURGH: I bow with all humility to Mr. Arnolt as the judge and to Mr. Arnolt’s knowledge of the different breeds of dogs throughout England. I do not pretend to have any such knowledge. I did not see the dog. I know nothing about the dog. I have to go by the records. The Claim has been made by Mr. Arnolt that the Secretary has nothing to do with it. Before he can say that he has got to have these rules amended. Here is a rule which says that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club must cancel all wins when he has satisfied himself that these rules have been violated in the following instances, and one of the instances is when a dog is entered in the miscellaneous class and the breed is not specified or recognized. If the breed is not recognized he certainly has got to know whether he can recognize that breed, and I claim here that the words “Old Fashioned” is not a breed. If Mr. Moen had entered that dog as “Bearded Scotch Collie” or “Bearded Collie”, that would have been one thing, but “Old Fashioned” does not designate a breed. They had collies; they had collie classes; there were rough coated collies. Here is a rough coated collie and it is designated as an Old Fashioned
Scotch Collie. They might just as well have said “A New Fashioned Yellow Dog”, but if they had said “Bearded Collie” it would have been a different thing, and in order to be absolutely certain that he did not say that, I sent for the original entry blank, and there is nothing said on that about the dog being a Bearded Collie. It simply said “Old Fashioned Scotch Collie”. I claim I did perfectly right and acted within the rules when I cancelled the win of that dog. It is immaterial what that dog was, I go by the record. The rule says that an exhibitor must be responsible for his own errors, and Mr. Moen made an error in designating that breed as an Old Fashioned breed and not as a Bearded Collie.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: By what proof does the Secretary make the claim that the breed is not known?

MR. VREDENBURGH: The breeds are in the American Kennel Club stud books, and there is no such thing in that book as Old Fashioned Scotch Collie. I took the trouble to look through the English Stud Book, and I did not see any classification for Old Fashioned dogs of any breed. The Secretary is directed to act under certain contingencies. The only thing he can act upon are certain records. I want to call your attention to a case
that was decided, where a puppy was entered at one of the Milwaukee shows. I
cancelled the win of the puppy and fined the Club five dollars for entering a dog
under age. It was proven conclusively that the man making that entry had made a
mistake of about tow or three months; that the dog was fully within the age at which
he could be shown, but as we had the original entry blank, and it said the dog was
under age, the Kennel Club ruled that it did not make any difference what the facts
were as to the age of the dog. I have not disputed the question at any time that it
was a bearded collie, but I do dispute that there was any breed of dog known in the
world as an Old Fashioned Fox Terrier of Old Fashioned Pointer.

MR. VITI: It seems to me that the matter narrows sown to a definition
of the miscellaneous class. It seems to me that it is a question of how breeds are
established; whether the writing of the name of any breed at all on the records of the
American Kennel Club is an establishment of a breed. If that is so, and the name
“Bearded Collie” has never been put upon that list, then that is not an established
breed.

DR. FOOTE: I have had the pleasure of showing in the miscellaneous
class for a number of years, and if we are going to hold any hard and fast rule on
that class,
the class might just as well be cut out. We find all sorts of things put down there: Fiji Island Terriers and South American dogs, Siberian Bloodhounds and the like. It seems to me that the judge ought to be allowed a little liberty, and that the Secretary's duties ought to be somewhat curtailed so far as cutting out wins in that class is concerned.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to ask the Secretary one question which may perhaps solve the difficulty: suppose a dog was entered in the miscellaneous class, and he was called an Old Fashioned English Terrier, would he allow that dog first if it won first?

MR. VREDEBURGH: It is all owing to whether or not there was a classification for English Terriers.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Suppose the dog was entered under the title "Old English Terrier", would he allow that?

MR. VREDEBURGH: What is an Old English Terrier?

MR. CARNOCHAN: I picked up a stud book of 1890 and saw that at one time there was some difficulty among the Welsh terrier exhibitors, and they did not like
the type, and they formed another Club and called themselves, “Old English Terrier Club” and in this old stud book I found old English terriers with pedigrees. They are now all merged back into Welsh terriers again. I ask the question for the reason that I think if a man who had entered an old English terrier as a Welshman for instance, you would order him back to the Welsh terrier class, yet at the same time he might be eligible to the old English terrier class.

MR. VREDENBURGH: It is for this meeting to decide whether the two words “Old Fashioned” constitutes a breed in any dog.

MR. MORTIMER: Old Fashioned does not designate a breed, but the words “Old Fashioned” are used in connection with a breed; then it does designate a certain breed. It is a well known fact that throughout the British Islands an old fashioned Scotch Collie is a bearded Collie. That has been recognized. I do not mean to say it is in the stud book, or anything of that sort, but it is generally known as such.

MR. RODMAN: Is not the Secretary correct in what he has done at least to this extent: the miscellaneous class is for dogs that have no class provided for
them. It does not make any difference whether a dog is a bald headed collie or a
bearded collie; there was a class established for collies; why wasn’t the dog put in
the collie class?

THE CHAIRMAN: Under the present rule of the American Kennel Club
Mr. Vredenburgh has a right to decide whether it is a recognized breed or not.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move as an amendment to the motion already
before the house that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee until it finds
out whether the Old Fashioned Scotch Collie is a recognized breed or not. My
reason for referring it to the Stud Book Committee is that a great many of us have
never heard even of a bearded collie. Some of us know nothing about collies at all,
and it seems to be a very easy matter for the Stud Book Committee to find out
whether those two terms are used for that breed. If they are used, then the Stud
Book Committee will report at the next meeting, and we will annul the decision.

MR. ARNOLT: I accept the amendment.

The motion as amended was seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There is now before you the application of the
Louisiana Kennel Club to change its
title to “the Southwestern Kennel Club”.

MR. ARNOLT: I move that the application be granted.
Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I report the application by the Colorado Kennel Club to transfer their deposit made for claim of date for the show of 1902 to that of February 19th, 1903.

MR. WATSON: I have an application to make. I would like first of all, if you will allow me, to make some remarks about my standing before the Club. I do not think that I am properly entitled to act as a delegate on account of my election at the last meeting. It was my intention to get here early at the time of the roll call and ask that my name be passed until the end of the roll call, and my reason was this: at the last meeting three delegates, two others and myself, were put up for election, and we were elected by one vote of the Secretary. That, I submit, is unconstitutional, and I will give you the facts. Some three or four years ago we had that custom. The constitution was altered for the exact purpose of presenting that. In other words, when a person came up for election we wanted to have it on the individ-
ual vote of the delegates as to whether that person should be elected or not. At the last meeting I was elected on the vote of the Secretary, and I say that was thoroughly illegal, but in view of the fact that this is the December meeting, and that at the next Annual Meeting the matter can be altered, although I have here in my hand my resignation, I will accept the election as it was passed at the last meeting, but only with that explanation.

MR. WATSON then gave the reasons which actuated the Colorado Kennel Club to apply for change of date of its show.

MR. RODMAN: It is the province of the American Kennel Club to encourage shows, and if as a matter of fact there is no fraud in this case, and if as a matter of fact they were unable, through circumstances, to hold their show, I cannot see why the American Kennel Club should not grant them this privilege. What difference does it make whether they hold their show in November or in January? They have paid their money, and I move that that privilege be granted to them. I want it understood in the matter and therefore the American Kennel Club grants the application.
Seconded and carried.

MR. VITI: I would like to answer an intimation that was made a moment ago to the effect that the election of delegates at the last meeting was not valid. I was one of those. If the American Kennel Club thinks that there should be a re-election I would respectfully ask that it should take place now. I do not wish to hold any office as to which there is a shadow of doubt as to the validity of the election. I take it under the rules that there was every reason why one ballot was sufficient, or I should not have accepted. I should like the American Kennel Club to rule upon it. I would like the Chair to make a ruling as to the validity of that vote.

THE CHAIRMAN: The past of the rule that applies to this reads: “An election by ballot shall be held at the next meeting of the Association or Executive Board”. The ballot was cast and it was cast by the Secretary.

MR. RODMAN: At the same time when the question came up, the sense of this meeting as in absolute opposition to the statement made by Mr. Viti. I registered my objection against the Secretary being empowered to cast one ballot for the election of delegates. It is my privi-
lege as a member of this organization, and that of each and every one of us individually to cast his white or black ball as he sees fits, and I say you deprive me of one of my privileges as a delegate to the American Kennel Club when you empower the Secretary to take from me my right to cast a black ball or a white ball, and I object just as vigorously as I did before, and ask for the sense of this meeting on this question.

THE CHAIRMAN: The usual custom is, if there is any objection from any one single person, the Secretary cannot cast the ballot. That is usually done when everybody is unanimously in favor of it.

DR. FOOTE: That very objection on the past of one signifies his unwillingness to cast a ballot for a delegate that may be named. We put that rule in force at a time when we had a delegate that was decidedly persona non grata, and as the only way in the future to avoid electing such delegates. I think we ought to conform to the rule.

MR. RODMAN: No man should be constrained to express his opinion when he casts his vote. As Dr. Foote has said, a man has got to place himself on records as one who opposes it.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the delegates who
Were elected at the last meeting be balloted for to-day.

    Motion seconded and carried.

MR. RODMAN: To expedite matter will the Chair permit me to make a
motion before the balloting commences?

    THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly.

MR. RODMAN: I move now that henceforth every delegate balloted
for by the American Kennel Club be balloted for by the members individually and
severally.

    Motion seconded and carried.

The delegates then proceeded to ballot for the delegates who were
declared elected at the last meeting by the vote cast by the Secretary as follows:-

American Society for the Prevention of
    Cruelty to Animals                          Mr. Hansell
Spaniel Breeders’ Club                        Marcel A. Viti
Branford Park Kennel Club                     J.E. Hair
Colorado Kennel Club                          James Watson

    THE SECRETARY: The Secretary-Treasurer of the Canadian Kennel
Club asks if any arrangement can be made for mutual recognition that will meet with
the approval of the delegates to the American Kennel Club.

    On motion the same was referred to the Rules Committee.
THE SECRETARY: The nomination of Mr. C.K. Harley to fill the existing vacancy on the Pacific Advisory Committee is before you.

MR. RODMAN: I move that the nomination be confirmed.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I second the motion. I would like to state that it is well for us to say what we know about him. I know him. This Spring I met Mr. Harley on the Pacific Coast, and a more courteous and pleasant gentleman I never met. He is intensely interested in the matter of dogs, and I am sure he stands for the best interests of kennel men on the coast.

Motion carried.

The Secretary then read copies of a preamble resolution and the correspondence between the Secretary of the Ladies Kennel Association of America and Richard H. Hunt, Treasurer and Secretary of the French Bulldog Club, asking a reversal of the decision of the judge at the last Ladies’ Show of New York.

MR. VITI: I would like to say that it seems to me that this is a very simple matter, and one exclusively within the jurisdiction of the French Bulldog Club. The American Kennel Club has repeatedly declined
to take cognizance of specials. These specials are unquestionably offered according to the letters, to be judged in accordance with certain standards, namely the standard of the French Bulldog Club. If they were not so awarded the French Bulldog Club has a perfect right to cancel any such win that may have been sent to it by the Secretary of the Show Club and to ask the winner to return to the French Bulldog Club any prize he may have received that was not awarded to him under the contract that the French Bulldog Club entered into with the Show Club, and upon the failure to do so, then the French Bulldog Club could bring the matter to the American Kennel Club, because he would be guilty of dishonorable conduct in withholding a prize he had not properly won. I think it is exclusively within the jurisdiction of the French Bulldog Club, and that they have a perfect right to nullify that win, and then give the prize to the reserve dog. If no reserve dog was selected then they can offer the Club as some subsequent Show.

MR. BRISTOL: The Club did not understand it that way. The protest was made to the Bench Show of the Ladies' Kennel Association, and they understood that that protest was not sustained on account of information
given by Mr. Vredenburgh to the effect that we did no have any writing that Mr.
Foxhall Keene would judge according to our standard. We have given the Secretary
of the American Kennel Club proof of that, that we did have that in writing, and if it is
so that the French Bulldog Club can withdraw that award, we should be very glad to
know that we can do so. We thought it was a matter that should be brought before
the American Kennel Club.

MR. VITI: I move that it is the sense of this meeting that the French
Bulldog Club have exclusive and unlimited jurisdiction in the matter.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion of Mr. Mortimer, the recommendation of the Philadelphia
Dog Show Association, expressing their disapproval of the practice which
unfortunately seems to be prevalent of allowing one dog to attack another in the ring,
was referred to the rules committee for their action.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to bring up that question of classification
referring to Toy Spaniels, reported by the Stud Book Committee. As I understand it
now, Mr. Vredenburgh, the Secretary sayd that if the matter is referred to him he
would disallow any winners classes for Toy Spaniels except one; that he would not
allow winners classes for the different varieties of Toy Spaniels. In the Westminster
Kennel Club's premium list,
a copy of which is already in the printer’s hands, we have made four winners classes. We have a winners class for King Charles Spaniels, a winners class for Prince Charles Spaniels, a winners class for Prince Charles Spaniels, a winners class for Ruby Spaniels and a winners class for Blenheim Spaniels, and I would like to know whether those classes are to stand or not. I think there are entries enough and exhibits enough to warrant each variety having a winners class.

THE CHAIRMAN: By whom was the authority given to make two winners classes in Fox Terriers.

MR. VREDENBURGH: That was a custom. I am empowered by the Board of Delegates. After the Spaniel Club asked for variety and color being divided in winners classes the Dachshund Club asked for winners classes divided by color, which was granted, and then the representative of the Collie Club wanted I think two or three winners classes, the Black and Tan, the Sable and Tri-Color. It was then stopped and it was said there would be no further divisions in winners classes by variety unless by special act of the American Kennel Club, and that is the reason now that the Committee has decided at this meeting that after January 1st all these different spaniels that have been called by their names should be known as Toy Spaniels. I do not feel at
liberty to recognize the Blenheim, King Charles, Prince Charles and Rules for winners classes unless there is special legislation.

MR. MORTIMER: From time out of mind almost in the English Kennel Club the different breeds of Toy Spaniels have been recognized, and there have been championships awarded and won for different breeds — or we call them now the different varieties, and we also in this country for years past have made winners classes for different varieties of Toy Spaniels, and it would seem a little later in the date now to prohibit those winners classes. I may say that at the Westminster Show we have a very large number of different varieties of Toy Spaniels, and we think that each variety is entitled to a winners class. I only ask now whether that precedent shall stand. I would suggest that they be called English Toy Spaniels. They are breeds that originated in England, and if they are called English Toy Spaniels they will then be separated from the Japanese or other breeds of Toy Spaniels.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move to reconsider the report of the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.
THE CHAIRMAN: The motion before the house now is Mr. Mortimer’s motion that the words “English” be prefixed to the words “Toy Spaniel” in the Stud Book Committee’s report. The recommendation of the Stud Book Committee will then read as follows: “And they therefore recommend that King Charles, Prince Charlie, Blenheim and Ruby spaniels be registered on and after January 1st, 1903, as English Toy Spaniels of Black and Tan, Tri-Colored, Orange and White and Red Toy Spaniels varieties.”

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that winners classes be allowed to the four varieties of English Toy Spaniels, naming the varieties.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I find that the accommodations we have here are inadequate. We required the three rooms that we have for the purpose for which they are used. We have in the seventh story, in the loft, a small space uncovered, unprotected, where we keep our stock that is of the value of several thousand dollars, and whenever we have call for any of the stock we have to light a candle, go up there through the dirt and filth
and walk over the stock and ferret it out. I have an opportunity now that I have conditionally accepted, of getting the adjoining office to mine at $300 per annum rental beginning May 1st. I would like very much for this meeting to confirm my action in securing it.

MR. RODMAN: It seems to me that it is pennywise and pound foolish not to grant the Secretary’s request in this respect, and I move that the Secretary’s request in this respect, and I move that the Secretary be empowered to add such addition to the American Kennel Club as may make his quarters to the American Kennel Club as may make his quarters adequate for the purposes of his business.

Motion seconded and carried.

DR. FOOTE: I want to add another expense on to the American Kennel Club, and that is a telephone. I think it would be a very great convenience to the delegates to be able to call up this office, and for $75.00 a year it can be accomplished. I move that he Secretary be ordered to put in a telephone forthwith.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
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Held at its offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, Monday, February 9th, 1903.

-------------------
Presiding August Belmont, presiding

Present:-

Associate Members    W.G. Rockefeller
Airedale Terrier Club of America   A.D. Cochrane
American Fox Terrier Club   H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.
Bull Terrier Club of America   Frank H. Croker
Chicago Kennel Club    C.W. Rodman, Jr.
Duquesne Kennel Club    G.M. Carnochan
French Bulldog Club of Am.   F.J. Bristol
Mascoutah Kennel Club    C.F.R. Drake
New England Kennel Club    W.B. Emory
San Francisco Kennel Club    R.P. Keasbey
Scottish Terrier Club of America   Richard F. Perkins
Spaniel Breeders’ Society   Marcel A. Viti
Texas Kennel Club    George W. Clayton
Westminster Kennel Club    R.H. Williams
To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we the undersigned in accordance with
Article XI Section 6, of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB CONSTITUTION, met this
day at the offices of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB for the purpose of opening and
canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
for the officers and Delegates of the Associate Members of said Club, to hold offices
for the term of one year, from this date, or until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of the Associate Members entitled to vote
to be 215; total number of votes cast 114; total number of votes scattering 13; total
number of irregular votes and thrown out none.

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the
greatest number of votes to be duly elected as Offices and Delegates of the
Associate Members of the year ending in February, 1904, to wit:-

PRESIDENT, H.K. Bloodgood
VICE PRESIDENT, Josephe A. Laurin
Secretary, W.C. Codman

Delegates

(1) George H. Gooderham
(2) W.G. Rockefeller
(3) A.C. Wilmerding

(Signed) C.W. Rodman, Jr.,
Representing Pres. A.K.C.
H.K. Bloodgood
Pres. Of Associates A.K.C.

(Attest) A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary, A.K.C.”

-----------

“New York, February 9th, 1903

Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary, American Kennel Club,
New York City

Dear Sir:

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your favor of the 6th instant which notifies me of my re-election as one of the Associate Members’ delegates to the Club, but regret to say that while I appreciate the honor in its fullest sense, yet feel I have really served my time as an official of the American Kennel Club, and knowing that there are other members equally if not better fitted for the position that I
have occupied on your Board, and with considerably more freedom and time at their disposal, I herewith ask to have my name withdrawn, and another substituted in its place.

Thanking you for your uniform courtesy toward me, and the members, for the compliment of re-election, I am,

Respectfully yours,

A. Clinton Wilmerding.”

MR. VREDENBURGH: Mr. Joseph Vandergrift received one vote less than Mr. Wilmerding. According to the rules he stands elected in place of Mr. Wilmerding.

THE CHAIR: It seems to me that Mr. Wilmerding has served the Club a great many years, and it might be appropriate to make some mention of it on the minutes.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I should like to move that Mr. Wilmerding’s disinclination to serve is viewed by the Club with great regret, and that the thanks of the Club are tendered to him for all he has done for the Club in the past.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I second the motion.

Motion carried.

The report of the Membership Committee was then read, as follows:-

“New York, February 7th, 1903
To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day the application of the Long Island Kennel Club was duly considered, and your committee recommends the admission of said club to active membership. The following credentials are duly approved, and their acceptance recommended:

BRUNSWICK FUR CLUB appointing L.W. Campbell
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB " Dwight Moore
MONTREAL CANINE ASS’N " Jos. A. Laurin

Respectfully submitted,

G.M. Carnochan, Chairman”

The following named gentlemen were duly elected delegates to represent the following named Clubs:-

BRUNSWICK FUR CLUB L.W. Campbell
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB Dwight Moore
MONTREAL CANINE ASS’N Joseph. A. Laurin

The Long Island Kennel Club was duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary’s report was then read as follows:-
“New York, February 7th, 1903

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that an application from the Long Island Kennel Club, and credentials appointing delegates from three clubs, have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report at this meeting. Applications for the registration of kennel names have been referred to the Stud Book Committee, which will also report at this meeting.

I will submit the minutes of the Executive Board; the Pacific Advisory Committee; and the report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules; at this meeting.

The protest of Philip W. Moen, against the cancellation of his dog at the Massachusetts Kennel Club Show, and entered thereat, under the breed of an OLD FASHIONED SCOTCH COLLIE, was referred by the last meeting to the Stud Book Committee, and no doubt that Committee will report its recommendation at this meeting.

The Ladies’ Kennel Association of America has preferred charges against Mrs. Richards, Michael Reus, Mrs. William Routley, for declining to return prize money for winnings to dogs whose wins were subsequently cancelled by
the A.K.C. After these charges were filed notice was sent to each person charged, 
by this office, to file their defense if any they might have, and the matter is now 
before you for final action.

Champlain Kennel Club has referred to this Association the matter of 
the Rules of the Treasury Department, on the question of bringing dogs into the 
United States from Canada for exhibition purposes. I will submit the 
correspondence at this meeting.

The Southwestern Kennel Club has filed a request to change its show 
dates from April 1st to March 19th. I recommend the granting of this request.

The Fanciers Club, Sharon, Pa. has reorganized under the name of 
the Sharon Kennel Club, and requests that our records be amended accordingly, 
which I recommend.

The Hoosier Poultry and Kennel Association held its show, in January 
last. It failed to file a printed catalogue, and in the place of one did send a written list 
of the winners only. We have no knowledge of the names of the dogs that were 
entered, nor any of the particulars in connection therewith, not have we been able to 
ascertain the number present or the number absent. We wrote for these particulars 
on January 16th and up to this date no reply has been received. I beg to state for 
your information that we
experienced the same trouble with this Club, at its show held in 1902. I am not willing to assume the responsibility of making this last show a record owing to the meager report filed here, and must ask for instructions from this meeting.

The Middlesex East Agricultural Society was given thirty days within which to pay the claim of Robert Leslie by resolution of the September meeting. Under date of February 2nd Mr. Leslie reports that said Club had paid all prizes to which he was entitled.

The American Spaniel Club, has filed a protest against the classification of the New England Kennel Club for Cocker Spaniels, the same being contrary to a standing resolution of this Club. This protest is founded on fact, and your Secretary must assume all blame for approving a classification contrary to the rules of this Club. It was certainly an inexcusable oversight on his part, and he therefore submits this matter to you for such action as you may deem proper.

I submit the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements, amounting to $24.48.

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on
file.

The Treasurer’s Report was read, as follows:-

New York, February 7th, 1903

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Kan. 1st, 1903</td>
<td>$12,556.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan. 1 to date</td>
<td>$2,290.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$14,846.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan. 1 to date</td>
<td>$903.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$13,943.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I beg to report the following Clubs in arrears for dues for 1903:-

Texas Kennel Club
Dog Owners’ Protective Society
Atlanta Kennel Club
West Virginia State Fair Association
Columbia County Agricultural Society
Middlesex East Agricultural Association
Sixth District Agricultural Association
Oakland Kennel Club
Bar Harbor Kennel Club
Bay State Kennel Club
Binghampton Industrial Exposition
Branford Driving Park Kennel Club
Massachusetts Kennel Club

I would recommend that final bills be sent to the above clubs with the usual notice that if the same be not paid within thirty days, that the Secretary be directed to drop their name from membership without further reference.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary – Treasurer

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

Under the head of election of Officers, Mr. Hunnewell nominated Mr. Marcel A. Viti as Temporary Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: The first officer to be selected is a President in the place of Mr. August Belmont.

Nomination seconded.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that nominated be closed.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Chair declared Mr. Belmont to be elected President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.

MR. BELMONT: I want to thank you very much for the honor I feel in regard to the position of President. I have always considered it a great honor to serve, although really during the year I found very few opportunities to attend to the duties of the office the attentions perhaps that they should receive. However, Mr. Vredenburgh has always called upon me when he considered it necessary, and so long as you have found no fault with the administration as it is, I will try and give it as much attention as I can, but as I have a great many duties to perform I naturally cannot devote as much time to it as I should like.

Mr. Belmont resumed the Chair.

THE SECRETARY: The next officer in order is a Vice-President in the place of Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.

MR. RODMAN: I nominate Mr. H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. as Vice-President of the American Kennel Club.

Nomination seconded.

MR. RODMAN: I move the Secretary be instructed to cast one ballot in favor of Mr. Hunnewell's election.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared Mr. Hunnewell duly elected.
THE SECRETARY: The first Committee to be elected is the Stud Book Committee. The present outgoing members are Marcel A. Viti, Chairman; C.B. Knocker and Charles W. Rodman, Jr. The Chairman is to be elected first.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I nominate Mr. Viti as Chairman of the Stud Book Committee.

Nomination seconded.

There being no other nominations, Mr. Viti was elected Chairman of the Stud Book Committee.

THE SECRETARY: Mr. Knocker has left this country, and this Committee has been acting through only the two members left, and he is not therefore eligible for re-election, as he is no longer a delegate.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I nominate Mr. Rodman.

MR. RODMAN: In the place of Mr. C.B. Knocker I nominate Mr. James W. Appleton as the third member of the Stud Book Committee. Mr. Appleton has been spoken to in the relation to occupying the position, and says he believes he will be able to fill it.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Appleton is a members of the Beagle Club, and is therefore eligible.

Nominations seconded, and there being no further nominations Messrs Rodman and Appleton were declared elected as members of the Stud Book Committee.
THE SECRETARY: Next in order is the Committee on Constitution and Rules. The Chairman of this Committee is Charles W. Rodman, Jr.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. Rodman to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

There being no other nominations Mr. Rodman was declared duly elected.

THE CHAIR: The other members of this Committee are Mr. James Watson, and Mr. G.M. Carnochan, Henry Jarrett, and Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I am a constitutional member.

THE CHAIR: If there are no changes to be suggested, it would expedite matters to re-elect all three of those members.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move the three gentlemen named be continued as members of the Committee on Constitution and Rules.

Motion seconded ad carried.

THE CHAIR: The next Committee is that of Field Trials and Coursing Meeting.

THE SECRETARY: You will only have to elect a Chairman for that Committee because the others are constitutional members.
THE CHAIR: The present Chairman is Mr. J.W. Appleton.

MR. RODMAN: I nominate Mr. Appleton to succeed himself.

Motion seconded and carried.
There being no further nominations, Mr. Appleton was duly declared elected, as Chairman of such Committee.

THE CHAIR: The next Committee is the Committee on Finance. Mr. A.C. Wilmerding having resigned, I suppose it will be necessary to elect somebody in his place.

THE SECRETARY: Mr. Blossom was not able to attend at the last Audit, and he has not attended a meeting of the Kennel Club for over a year.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I should like to nominate Mr. William G. Rockefeller, as Chairman of that Committee.

Nomination seconded.
There being no further nominations, Mr. Rockefeller was duly declared elected.

THE CHAIR: The other members are Mr. Carnochan, and some other name in the place of Mr. Blossom.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. Frank H. Croker in the place of Mr. James B. Blossom.

Nomination seconded.
MR. VITI: I also nominate G.M. Carnochan to succeed himself as a member of the Committee on Finance.

Nomination seconded.

There being no further nominations Messrs Croker and Carnochan were declared duly elected.

THE CHAIR: The next is the Membership Committee. Mr. Carnochan is the present Chairman.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. Carnochan to succeed himself as Chairman of that Committee.

Nominations seconded.

There being no further nominations Mr. Carnochan was declared duly elected as Chairman of such Committee.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Singleton Van Schaick and C.B. Knocker are the other members of that Committee.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. Van Schaick to succeed himself.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I nominate Mr. R.H. Williams as a member of that Committee.

Nominations seconded.

There being no further nominations Messrs Van Schaick and Williams were declared duly elected.

THE CHAIR: I am very sorry to state that I have been called to an important appointment which I cannot very well postpone, at a quarter before three, and I am going to
ask you to permit me to call Mr. Hunnewell, the Vice-President to the Chair.

Mr. Hunnewell takes the Chair.

MR. RODMAN: I think while the Secretary is arranging the necessary details it might be well for the Chair. I take pleasure in expressing how much the members of the American Kennel Club appreciate the uniform courtesy he has shown us, how he has borne with patience becoming a martyr the many long and troublesome scenes which we have gone through the last year, and I certainly ought to convey to him in behalf of the members, whose sentiment I echo, the congratulations of the American Kennel Club upon his re-election to this important position.

THE CHAIR: I thank you all, and Mr. Rodman particularly, for his kind words, and I wish to acknowledge myself the courtesies which I have received from you all for more than a year, several years in fact. It has been a great pleasure to be here and preside at these meetings when our President has been so unfortunate as not to be able to be here, and I promise that it will be my best, my only endeavor to have the interests of the American Kennel Club at heart and do the best I can for all of you collectively and in-
The Report of the Executive Board was then read, as follows:

“Held January 13th, 1903


Absent: A. Belmont

H.H. Hunnewell, Jr. in the Chair.

-------------------------------
Pacific Advisory
Report of Meeting
Nov. 21, 1902
-------------------------------

On motion, the action taken by this Board at its meeting October 14, 1902, on the finding of the Pacific Advisory Committee of October 4th, 1902, in the Carnochan vs Thomas case, was re-considered.

Said report of October 4th, 1902, now being before the meeting, the Secretary of The American Kennel Club, appealed from the decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee, in its finding in the case of Carnochan vs Thomas. On the ground that said action was contrary to precedent established by the American Kennel Club, in that, Thomas en-
tered the dog as his property and although he sold said dog to Carnochan during the Show, he, Thomas, was entitled to all the prizes that said dog was eligible to compete for at said Show. Action on the above appeal was taken as follows:-

Whereas, it is the sense of this Board that the sale of the dog Endcliffe Bristles, by Thomas to Carnochan during the San Francisco Kennel Club Show, in May, 1902, has not denied, and

Whereas, the said dog having been entered at said Show by Thomas, and there being no evidence offered that Thomas was not the bona fide owner at the time of making his entry, this Board holds that said Thomas was the owner of record of said dog during the continuance of said Show so far as competition, and the compliance of the regulations of said Show, and the American Kennel Club are concerned, and

Whereas, the evidence submitted by the Pacific Advisory Committee that the sale carried with it any prizes the said dog might subsequently win, at said Show being insufficient, it is hereby,

Ordered: That the appeal is sustained and that George S. Thomas be reinstated from the date of the Executive Board meeting October 14th, 1902. It was further,
Ordered: That the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, be instructed to communicate to the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, the decision of the Executive Board, that is had erred in reversing the finding of the Pacific Advisory Committee in the appeal of Carnochan vs Thomas by reason of the same, not having been properly brought to it by appeal, as provided in Article IV of the agreement between the American Kennel Club, and the Pacific Advisory Committee; and to express its regret at having so disregarded the aforesaid agreement; and the Secretary was also directed to send to the Pacific Advisory Committee, a copy of the minutes pertaining to this matter of the meeting of the Executive Board, held this January 13th, 1903.

-------------------------------
Robert Leslie
Vs Re Unpaid Prizes
Middlesex East
Ag'l Society
-------------------------------

In this case Leslie claims the sum of Ten dollars ($10.00) and silver cup for his pointer dog RED BANK, said dog having been moved up by reason of the cancellation of the wins of the Pointer Young Lynn.
Ordered: That the Middlesex Club is hereby directed to pay over to Robert Leslie, such money and special prize due him, and that said Club be given thirty days from the date thereof to comply with the above order in default of which the Secretary is hereby directed to suspend said Club.

---------------------------
W.G. Kugler
Vs Re appeal for re-instatement of cancelled Sec’y A.K.C. wins.
---------------------------

In this case certain dogs were entered at the Danbury and the Ladies’ Kennel Club Shows. The entry form being signed Clinton Hill Kennels, W.G. Kugler, Manager. The Secretary cancelled the wins of the said dogs, for the reason that the Clinton Hill Kennels were not registered Kennels, and that the word “Manager” referred to an agent instead of the bona fide owner of the dogs, as provided for in the rules. It was therefore

Ordered: That the action of the Secretary in the above case, be and hereby is confirmed, and the appeal dismissed.
Applications
For
Membership

The applications of the Buffalo Kennel Club, and the Rochester Kennel Club, having been approved by the Membership Committee said Clubs were duly admitted to Active Membership.

RESOLUTION
By J.W. Appleton. It is the sense of this Board that the Stud Book Committee shall submit such recommendations as it deems best regarding the registration of Kennel Names, and report same to the next annual meeting. Carried.

Report of the Stud Book Committee
On recommendation the following applications for Kennel Names were duly granted.

KENNEL NAMES
Dodo J.H. Wendler, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Fair Acre C.P. Wilcox & R.A. Fairbairne, Westfield, N.J.
Hempfield J.H. Walton, Greensburg, Pa.
Kenilworth Edward J. Burke, Chicago, Ill.
Myrtle    Harry R. Barry, Port Chester, N.Y.
Rythmic   Israel Keefer & M.P. Phelps, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Thistle    Irving Watkinson, Phila, Pa.
Thorndale  Horace G. Lepman, Chicago, Ill.
Union      Augustus D. Shepard, Jr. N.Y. City
Witherspoon F.A. Rowland, Princeton, N.J.
Wooglin    Howard D. Gordon, Hazardville, Conn.

TRANSFER
Strafford, from H.D. Riley, to Riley & Bent, Strafford, Pa.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Pacific Advisory Committee was read, as follows:-
Meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee,
Held January 16th, 1903, at 2775 Fillmore St., San Francisco.

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman, J.P. Norman, C.K. Harley.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
The following communications were read by the Secretary.
Telegram from Carleton, announcing inability to attend.
From A.J. Allen, tendering resignation, on the ground of lack of time.
On motion, the resignation was accepted with regret, and the Secretary was
instructed to convey to Mr. Allen the expression of the Committee’s sentiments.
From Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, referring to Oakland Kennel Club, date
December 1.
From Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, dated December 4th, enclosing protest against
S.F.K.C. for non-payment of prizes.
From Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, dated December 15th, referring to same
complaint.
From A.P. Vredenburgh, dated December 19th, announcing appoint of C.K. Harley to this Committee, and the action of the A.K.C. directing Executive Board to make report of their action, reversing the decision of Pacific Advisory Committee.

All ordered filed.

From Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, dated January 3rd, calling for infliction of fine on Oakland Kennel Club, for accepting entry in Puppy Class without giving name of dam. Ordered file, the Secretary announcing that he had replied.

From A.B. Truman to A.P. Vredenburgh, dated November 16th, 1902, complaining that the San Francisco Kennel Club had not paid him his regular and special prizes, won at the late show of that club. He had spoken to H.H. Hickman, Secretary-Treasurer of the Club, and that gentleman had told complainant that the matter would be attended to immediately. That was the last that the complainant had heard on the subject.

The Secretary stated that he had communicated with Mr. Harley, at present Secretary of the S.F.K.C., an dread the following communication, dated December 31, 1902; Mr. Harley stated that he had furnished Mr. Truman with an order on Clabrough Golcher for the value of the special prize, which the complainant had accepted as satisfactory. He had further tendered to the complainant the medals won by the
latter as regular prizes, but the same had been refused as unsatisfactory. He had offered to the complainant two first prize medals instead of one first and one second prize, not having any more second prize medals in hand, but complainant had refused to accept them.

Mr. Harley then rose and stated that since the date of the above letter, he had met Mr. Truman, the complainant, and had settled the entire affair to the latter’s satisfaction. It was moved and seconded that Mr. Harley’s statement be considered satisfactory, that the complaint be dismissed and the letters ordered filed. Carried.

Nominations for the vacant seat on Committee were declared in order and it was moved that Tudor J.A. Tiedmann be nominated to the American Kennel Club for appointment to this committee. The Chair spoke in favor of the nomination as being calculated to advance the interests of the American Kennel Club and of Pacific Coast Kenneldom. Seconded and Carried.

There being no further business before the meeting adjournment was taken on motion.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary
On motion, the Report was accepted and placed
On file.

THE CHAIR: It is in order to take up the nomination of the Pacific Advisory Committee, which is that Mr. Tudor J.A. Tiedemann be nominated to the American Kennel Club for appointment to this Committee in place of A.J. Allen.

MR. RODMAN: I move his nominations be confirmed.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VITI: The Stud Book Committee begs to report that the following applications have been filed for Kennel names:-

- BAY VIEW  Mrs. Jessie A. Sully
- GLENVIEW  Paul J. Fink
- LORD ARUNDEL  E.E. Gallup
- MONADNOCK  George F. Higgins
- OLD BELFRY  A.M. Tucker & H.C. Wheeler
- PIONEER CITY  W.H. Cox & Son
- RIVERSALE  B.R. & O. A. Knapp
- STOUGHTON  Caleb West

Change of Owner’s Name

- ANDOVER  Mrs. E.E. French to Mrs. Wm. F. Butz

TRANSFERS
The Committee feel that all of these applications and transfers should be allowed with the exception of the latter two. Mrs. W.E. Warner applied for BELLE ISLE and HAMPTON and they were both granted any number of names to a single individual, but a year or eighteen months ago, if my recollection serves me correctly, the American Kennel Club decided that an individual should only have one, and we feel that by granting a transfer of the two it would be in fact allowing two new names. We therefore think that Mr. and Mrs. Warner should be allowed to select which they would like to have.

MR. RODMAN: I think Mr. Viti’s statement might be considered as perhaps meaning that Mr. and Mrs. Warner should elect as to which of the two names they would hold. It is to this effect. The Committee has no power, nor can be find where the American Kennel Club has power to deprive Mrs. Warner of her two names in their joint name.

MR. VITI: Mr. and Mrs. Warner would like to have them transferred. They are both in the name of Mrs.
Warner at present. They were changed, I think, from Miss Griffin to Mrs. Warner.

In the matter of the appeal of Philip W. Moen, the Committee recall that Mr. Moen entered a dog in the Miscellaneous Class at the Worcester Show as an Old Fashioned Scotch Collie, and which was awarded first Prize, but was disqualified by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club upon the theory that there is no such breed. Your Committee is willing to concede that the probabilities are that the Secretary is correct in his conclusion in regard to the nonexistence of such a breed, but does this warrant disqualification in view of the settled practice of the office? An Old Fashioned Scotch Collie may not be as universally common as modern Russian Pugs, Cuban Fox Terriers, Toy Silk Poodles, China Hounds, Teneriffe Terriers, Belgium Setters, Mexican Terriers, Danish Lion Dogs, Police and trick dogs, not to mention dogs simply identifiable by color as black and white fawn with a black hear, mouse color, etc., but they nevertheless should be accorded the same recognition as the latter assortment, all of which (and may even more rare) are inscribed upon the records. Your committee therefore reports that in their opinion the award in said Miscellaneous Class
should be allowed to stand, but they recommend that hereafter no winnings shall be recorded excepting of such breeds as are now or may hereafter become eligible for registration in the Stud Book.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the report of the Stud Book Committee be accepted and placed on file.

Motion seconded and carried.

It was moved and seconded that the reading of the financial statement for 1902 be dispensed with, and that it be accepted as published in the Gazette.

Motion carried.

THE CHAIR: You have heard the recommendation of the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee as to the variety of breeds of dogs, and your pleasure in regard to that is in order.

MR. CROKER: I move that recommendation be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VITI: We ought to consider the matter of determining what breeds are eligible for registration in the Stud Book. It seems to me that some committee should prepare a list of dogs that are eligible for registration in our Stud Book, and that a copy of that list should be sent to the Secretaries of Shows with the rules of the Kennel Club,
and then they should be notified that they are only to accept breeds of dogs designated on that list, because all sorts of curious animals are creeping in to Shows as dogs.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the Stud Book Committee make up a list of the breeds that are to be recognized in the Stud Book, and submit it to the next meeting of the Board of Delegates.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Ladies' Kennel Association of America has preferred charges against Mrs. Richards, Michael Reus and MRS. William Routley for declining to return prize money for winnings to dogs whose wins were subsequently cancelled by the American Kennel Club.

MR. RODMAN: What are they?

THE SECRETARY: They refused to return prize money paid by the Ladies' Kennel Association upon the wins of these dogs, which wins were subsequently cancelled by the American Kennel Club. The rules say that they have got to return this money on application, and if they do not, it is a good ground before the American Kennel Club for discipline.

MR. RODMAN: I move that the matter be referred to the Executive Committee, and that the full particulars in each cast be presented to that committee.

THE SECRETARY: I have the particulars here.
I would like to state that the delegates have always acted on cases like this.

MR. RODMAN: The reason I make this motion is this: The Board of Delegates assemble here and after hearing the charge of the Ladies’ Kennel Association, read by the Secretary, as against the three parties whom they say have grieved them, act without knowing the particulars or the facts. I conceive that we have no right to summarily dismiss these complaints without substantiation. I think that in the past the American Kennel Club has been, if I may say so, with all due respect, rather amiss in taking up without a full investigation the various charges that are presented to us at our quarterly meetings. My object in having this matter referred to the Executive Committee is that there is can be thoroughly sifted, and such evidence as these three people may have may be presented. We hear nothing from them. There may be a good reason as to why we have not. They may have a perfectly good and sufficient defense. I can conceive that that defense should be offered to us. If they do not upon sufficient notification, see fit to give to the American Kennel Club the information which we desire, then I claim their day in court has gone past, but for us to take the statements furnished us by a Kennel Association that somebody has failed to comply with the rules of the America
Kennel Club, and have that statement summarily say such and such will happen, I think it is an injustice, and it is simply that the matter may be laid before the Executive Board who may sift the matter from beginning to end that I make this motion.

THE SECRETARY: The Ladies Kennel Association made a demand, and I have copies of the letters, on these persons. These persons have replied, declining to return the money. The Ladies’ Kennel Association then sent a charge to the American Kennel Club, I notified them, Mr. Reus, on January 9th, Mrs. Reutley on January 21st, and Mrs. Richards on January 21st. I notified nine in all, and all but three have responded and paid their money.

MR. RODMAN: I am perfectly willing to withdraw that motion if the Secretary will put before us sufficient evidence to warrant our action. I have not heard of any evidence sufficient to warrant this action.

THE SECRETARY: The Secretary’s Report clearly stated that notices were sent to each one of these people; that they had an opportunity to defend the charges.

MR. VITI: I agree with Mr. Rodman. I think these charge are no different from any other charges. They are serious charges, and the person or the defendants have a right to receive a copy of the charges in accordance with
the Constitution; and the time and place of the hearing. If these person have been informed that to-day at two o'clock the charges against them will be heard, them I think we can proceed upon the consideration of the evidence. It seems to me that this is similar to the case of that man who sold a Bull Terrier and refused to give it back; and charges were preferred against him, and we had a hearing after he was notified.

MR. RODMAN: The Secretary has substantiated my position. If he has such a letter to produce to this meeting, I say that letter is in itself sufficient evidence for us to base our judgment on, but I would like to hear the letter; then we have something to act upon.

THE SECRETARY then read the correspondence in relation to the matter.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I think we ought to take the customary course in these matters. The customary course has been to give these people thirty days in which to return the prize money.

MR. RODMAN: There is no reason why this woman should be given thirty days or sixty days. There is no reason why any penalty should be imposed upon here until she has had her say, has had her day in court. I cannot see form what has been presented to us to-day that this woman
knows anything about this matter at all. We have two statements: the statement of her husband first that she is not in this country. We have the further statement that he will not pay it to there people until the American Kennel Club has taken hold of the matter. Why should the American Kennel Club take hold of the troubles of the L.K.A., or any other association, until the L.K.A. has satisfied us that they performed the obligations imposed by our Rules, and secondly that the parties have had an opportunity to either acquiesce or to decline to live up to the spirit of the law? There is no evidence here to warrant our findings that this woman should be suspended.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would agree to refer this matter to the Executive Board but for one thing, and that is, I do not see what defense a person can put in. According to our rules if a person received the prize money and the dog is disqualified they must return it. It is not a question of having any defense to that. There is the bald fact.

After considerable further discussion Mr. Rodman moved that in the case of Mrs. William Routley the matter by referred to the Executive Board, and that in the cases of the two other parties, Mrs. Richards and Michael Reus, they take the course which has been heretofore held to be
good by the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. RODMAN: May I ask what that custom has been?

THE SECRETARY: Suspended until paid.

MR. RODMAN: I move that Mrs. Richards and Michael Reus be suspended until the prize money is returned by them, and that pending the investigation by the Executive Board of the case of Mrs. Routley, she be and hereby is suspended from showing her dog under the rules of the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read communications from Congressman Foster and Secretary Show in connection with the reference by the Champlain Kennel Club to this Association of the matter of the rule of the Treasury Department on the question of bringing dogs into the United States and Canada for exhibition purposes.

MR. VITI: I move it be placed on file.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded.

THE CHAIR: I think, it I might be permitted to say it, that it seems to me to be a very important question,
and I should think it would be well that a special committee should handle this matter and go to Congress about it. It seems to me that Mr. Belmont should be on that committee, or give it to the Stud Book Committee, adding Mr. Belmont as a member of it.

THE SECRETARY: There should be included in that motion something that would bring about an effort to get some legislation from the Secretary of the Treasury on the importation of dogs for breeding purposes.

MR. VITI: I have had considerable experience in the last two or three years with the Secretary of the Treasury and the Secretary of Agriculture in regard to this subject. I know the instructions that are issued to the Collectors at every port in this country, and I think the Treasury Department is perfectly justified in being as stringent as it is in view of the fraud that has been practiced in the past and which is being practiced at the present moment. The provisions, if they are properly understood, will admit any dog that I swell bred into this country for breeding purposes, and we have not right to ask that a dog that is not well bred should be admitted into this country for breeding purposes, for that is not what Congress intended. The idea was to improve the breeds of dogs and kennels in this country, and there is no proof that dogs that do not
conform to the requirements of the United States Treasury Department are well bred, and as such they ought to be kept out, and I think it is the duty of this Club to do what is can to elevate the standard of dogs in this country, and not try to have inferior specimens sneaked in free of duty. I think if the owner cannot prove that his dog is well bred he ought to pay 20% duty. In view of the suggestion of the Chair that Mr. Belmont should be a member of that committee, I move as an amendment that the Chair appoint a committee of five.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I accept the amendment, but I would state that my reason for referring it to the Stud Book Committee is that that committee to my knowledge has been working on this matter for the last two years, and therefore I thought it eminently proper, not to interfere with their work which they are doing, but to do the whole work together.

THE CHAIR: The motion is that the Stud Book Committee ____ in this matter, and the amendment to the motion is that the Chair appoint two additional members to the committee.

Motion as amended seconded and carried.

THE CHAIR: I appoint Mr. Belmont and Mr. Vred-
enburgh as the two additional members of that committee.

On motion, the request of the Southwestern Kennel Club to change its show dates from April 1st to March 19th was granted.

On motion, the request of Fancier’s Club to change its name to that of The Sharan Kennel Club was granted.

THE SECRETARY: I would like some instruction about this matter of the Hoosier Poultry and Kennel Association. They simply sent me a written copy of the winners. I do no know anything about their Show and cannot get anything else from them. We had the same trouble before with that Club. They did not send their catalogue for about two months.

MR. CROKER: I move the matter be referred to the Executive Board.
Motion seconded and carried.

On motion of Mr. Rodman the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements amounting to $24.48 was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: The next matter in order is the communication from the American Spaniel Club. I stated in my report that the blame is on my shoulders.
protest of the Spaniel Breeders Society. We expect our membership here to be treated the same as any other active member, and our parent body, the American Spaniel Club, was denied the very rights which were granted to this Show.

MR. EMORY: The New England Kennel Bench Show, according to the rules of the American Kennel Club, sent on their classification. There was no desire on its part to change any rules whatever. The classification came here and was received with the signature of the Secretary. We thought inasmuch as they demanded that we send them our classification – when it had been approved we thought it was sufficient to do on and publish said classification, which was done, and the prize list sent out, and the entries closed. At this late day, after our entries have been received, protests appear. I think the New England Kennel Club, in seeing the signature of the Secretary of this Association, and knowing that it was final, should be backed in its classification. If the Secretary’s signature is not, what assurance have we or any other Association that our classification will not be cut to pieces. We fulfilled all the requirements that we know of, and got the signature of the Secretary. I do not see why now, having had the guarantee and the O.K. of the American Kennel Club, we should be called to order for any misdoing or anything should be brought
up which in any way should disqualify our Show.

THE SECRETARY: In the Secretary's report he took the whole blame on his shoulders. He is very sorry. Of course you can well understand it was not an intentional error or oversight, and whatever censure you have I thin should all come on my shoulders and not on the New England Kennel Club.

MR. VITI: The Secretary emphasized at our last meeting that a certain committee of this Club could not legalize an illegal act. I apply that in this case. We cannot legalize an illegal act. I apply that in this case. We cannot legalize an illegal act. If the Secretary of the American Kennel Club allowed winners' classes without the three classes that are necessary in order to open a winners' class, we cannot allow a winners' class. There is no question about that. The classes can only be divided in accordance with the resolution of the Club, with the rules and regulations of this Club. If the Secretary goes beyond the rules and regulations of this Club we cannot legalize his action. We cannot do something that is unconstitutional. We cannot suspend our constitution. I think that the New England Kennel Club is in an unfortunate position, but the American Spaniel Club has certain rights in the premises. It has filed its protest deliberately, and it asks that it be considered most seriously. The American Spaniel Club
has been a member of this Association for nineteen years. It has been in existence for 22 years, and it comes to the American Kennel Club and simply asks that it be given the same rights as every member of this Club. We were absolutely denied these parti-colored winners’ classes. I came here myself and asked for them and was told we could not have them. I was told that we could not have solid classes, as they had been opened at Boston, and now we find them at another Show.

MR. RODMAN: It is a difficult matter to handle now, because you can see the position the New England Kennel Club is placed in. There is no blame attaches to them. Did the Spaniel Club notify the New England Kennel Club of the error or their intended protest?

MR. VITI: Certainly not. We were under no obligation to notify them.

MR. EMORY: I would like to move that the classification as approved by the American Kennel Club and printed and published by the New England Kennel Club be allowed.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I do not think that is necessary. We are not on the protest of the American Spaniel Club. I think the proper motion is that the protest of the Spaniel Club be disallowed.

MR. EMORY: I accept that amendment.
MR. VITI: The New England Kennel Club were strangers to the American Spaniel Club. The American Spaniel Club was not communicated with, and all we saw was the classification. If there was a winners’ class for tri-colored dachshunds, I would have considered it my duty to come in here and call the attention of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club to that fact, that this Association has only two winners’ classes for dachshunds, black and tans and reds, and if I saw a classification has been allowed for spotted bull terriers I would have called the attention of this body to that fact, that an illegal class had been granted and asked that that be cancelled.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I think if this had happened in time, so some action could have been taken before the entries closed, we could have taken such action, but they are closed, and unfortunately if there is any change made in the present classification it will work an injustice to a large number of exhibitors who have made their entries under the belief that the classification had been approved. Therefore, it seems to me looking at the matter in a charitable light, it is best to make an exception in this particular case, and it should then be a personal matter between the Secretary and Spaniel Club, and for him to write, saying he regretted the matter.
MR. CARNOCHAN: Is it within the province of an committee here to allow the New England Kennel Club an opportunity to make the correction in the Spaniel classes? That I think would help us out of an unfortunate position. This is a slip of the pen, and I do not see why we should not correct it if we have the power to do it, and I think if this meeting can allow the New England Kennel Club to reopen its entries and make the corrections according to the rules laid down in the premium list I see no reason why that course should not be taken, and the American Spaniel Club withdraw its protest.

THE SECRETARY: The only thing to do is to strike out the two winners’ classes.

MR. CARNOCHAN: That would be very unfair to the people who have entered. Isn’t it within the province of this meeting to have that correction made? It is the annual meeting.

MR. RODMAN: I think the American Kennel Club has the power to say to-day, at a meeting of its members, that it will permit by reason of the facts and circumstances the New England Kennel Club to reopen its classes of Spaniels with a proper classification of Spaniels as laid down by the American Kennel Club.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I will accept any amendment
or anything else. I move to amend the motion that the rules be suspended in this particular, and that the classes be allowed to be reopened so that the proper classification can be made.

MR. VITI: I object.

After further discussion Mr. Cochrane moved that owning to the illegality of winners' classes in cocker spaniels at the New England Show, under the error of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, the same be cancelled and that it be suggested to the New England Kennel Club that they send a notice to each exhibitor of cocker spaniels of that fact in order to give such exhibitors an opportunity of withdrawing his entries if he sees fit to do so.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I second the motion, and I move an amendment by adding to it that as the mistake has been made by our representative, it is the sense of the meeting that it is not fair for the New England Kennel Club to suffer for it, and that it is proper for the American Kennel Club to make good to the New England Kennel Club any entrance fees which it has to return to exhibitors should they make that request.

Motion as amended carried.

THE SECRETARY: I call attention to the Clubs which are in arrears for dues for 1903. The usual course
is to send them final notice and give them thirty days in which to pay their dues, and if not paid at the expiration of that time, to drop them from the roll of membership.

MR. RODMAN: I move that course be pursued as heretofore.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have now the report of the Rules Committee: At meetings of the above Committee, held in New York, November 14, 1902, at which G.M. Carnochan, James Watson, Henry Jarrett and A.P. Vredenburgh were present, and at Philadelphia, November 26, 1902, at which G.M. Carnochan, C.W. Rodman, Jr., Henry Jarrett and James Watsch and A.P. Vredenburgh, were present, the following proposed recommended: Constitution. Proposed by G.M. Carnochan. Article IX, Section III, end of last paragraph, strike our the words “the Executive Board shall alone have power to consider all appeals for the removal of penalties imposed by said Board and substitute therefore the following: “An appeal from a decision of the Executive Board shall be made at any regular meeting of the delegates, but a four-fifths vote of the delegates present at such meeting will be required to change any decision of said Executive Board on such appeal.”

Mr. Rodman dissented.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to state that this
matter has been in my mind for over a year, and had I been able to give notice in
time--- I did not realize about the time limit to put it in the papers, it would have come
up at last year’s meeting. It seemed to me after I had been elected on the Executive
Board and had looked into the matter thoroughly, that it was an absolute injustice, in
case of an appeal from the action of that Board to have that appeal go back to the
same Board for reconsideration. It was practically having the same Judge and Jury
try the case, and the motion that I made at the last meeting of the Rules Committee
was simply to bring the matter up here for discussion, my motion being that an
appeal could be made here from the rulings of the Executive Board, and I made it
four-fifths so as to have the majority practically a unanimous one, because I think the
rulings of the Executive Board are such that they should be considered seriously and
not changed by a majority vote, but to have four-fifths of the members present in
favor of it would express the general opinion of the delegates of this Club in regard
to any change in the decision of the Executive Board. I thought that possibly it might
be better to make some changes in the motion, but we are at liberty to do it here
after the matter has been brought up in a proper way, and I have in my pocket a
substitute motion if so be it you do not like this one. I will read it and you can then
discuss the two together. I think we ought to do
something to change this very unfair proceeding. My substitute motion is as follows: I move that a Standing Committee be added to the American Kennel Club, to be known as The Committee for the Trial of Complaints, to have original jurisdiction over all protest and complaints made to or lodged with or against the American Kennel Club, to consist of either three or five members, two of whom, in case the Committee shall consist of three, or three of whom, in case the Committee shall consist of give, shall be attorneys at law, and that none of the members of said Committee shall be members of the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club, with the single exception of the Chairman of said Committee, who shall be a member of the Executive Board, and that said Committee shall be elected by the American Kennel Club in accordance with the rules now governing the election of the present standing committees of the American Kennel Club, the Field Trial Committee alone excepted.

That would make a Trial Committee which would hear these cases, and it seems to me we ought also to take up in this connection the fact that we should have some sort of minutes taken of the Executive Board work. If trials came before this Standing Committee, there should be a stenographer present.

MR. RODMAN: The reason for my dissenting to the original motion is this: The Executive Committee of the
American Kennel Club is not a fixture. The Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club is elected annually, and if the Executive Committee should so far forget its duty to the American Kennel Club as to render a decision which to the delegates at large was not in accordance with justice, then the American Kennel Club would have its solution of the trouble by electing the following year a new Executive Board. That does away with what might follow in the case of a disregard of duty which the Executive Board owes to the American Kennel Club. Secondly, the object of referring questions form the Executive Board to the delegates at large takes from the Executive Board its powers as a court of last resort, which the Executive Board its powers as a court of last resort, which the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club has always possessed so far as I know. The answer to my proposition may sound to some as reasonable when you say, why shouldn’t the American Kennel Club have the deciding voice in determining a question which has already been submitted to and determined by the Executive Committee. Gentlemen, if, as a matter of fact, the American Kennel Club had not that power I should not oppose the motion, but you will notice that the motion states distinctly that the question is to be left, not to four-fifths of the American Kennel Club, but to four-fifths of the delegates present. As a matter of fact, if we were to have an appeal from the Executive Committee brought to us to-day, we would have our voice in the matter overru
ed perhaps by the half dozen or dozen men who are present, who have not had the opportunity of looking into the matter as the Executive Committee has, because the matters are thoroughly thrashed out before them, and instead of having the American Kennel Club act as the Appellate power, with the power of veto, we should have perhaps six or eight members of the American Kennel Club who happened to constitute the four-fifths of the majority of the members present sitting as the Appellate Court with the power to veto that which we had already done. It seems to me, and I say this without fear of being accused of criticism, that the decision of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club are sufficiently numerous to warrant me assertion that they have been almost uniformly applauded by the American Kennel Club as a whole. The fact that year after year the same members are returned with the exception of perhaps one or two who have been fit to resign, or who have been obliged to leave the City so that they could not attend the meetings, have been elected to membership in that Committee, thereby showing the confidence that the American Kennel Club has reposed in its Executive Board, is substantiated, and that which was done has met with their approval. The power to veto held by anybody is a dangerous power, and one which should be used with great discretion and with great care, and to sum up my argument, I do not believe that it is possible for the
American Kennel Club delegates sitting here as we do quarterly or semi-annually, as the case may be, to look into the facts of the cases which are presented to us, and by “us” I mean the Executive Committee with the same thoroughness and with the same freedom for discussion that we now have in our Executive meetings, and for that reason I have dissented to the application for the change of rule.

THE CHAIR: Gentlemen, I do not know that it is exactly right for me to say this, but I would like to say that this matter has all been thoroughly thrashed out. It has been talked over hour after hour. The whole question came up on the question of appeal back to the American Kennel Club, and it was then brought forward more or less as stated in Mr. Rodman’s argument here to-day. Also the fact that every decision will be appealed to the American Kennel Club. We changed it so we could appeal back to the American Kennel Club, and it simply resolves itself into this, that the Executive Committee opinions amounted to nothing because everything they did was appealed back to the Club. The other question of having another Committee was also broached and discussed, and it was also thought that if that was the case, the Executive Committee then amounted to nothing, and this other committee would become the Executive Committee, and there was no reason why you should appeal from the Executive Committee to this other committee.
MR. CARNOCHAN: You missed a point in this last substitute motion, which was that I did not say that an appeal should go from the Executive Committee to this other committee, but that the appeal should come from this other committee who tried the case to the Executive Committee, which would be the last court. In other words, the first committee, which would try the case, would be like one of our regular committees, such as the Stud Book Committee and Rules Committee, for instance.

THE CHAIR: Your idea is to have a trial committee, and have it referred back?

MR. CARNOCHAN: I simply brought this matter up for discussion. If it is the unanimous sentiment of the meeting here that this is what should be discussed, I want to present this as an amendment to my motion.

THE CHAIR: A motion is in order to discuss this present recommendation.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I make that motion, that the other be dismissed, and the alternative motion be taken as a substitute.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Carnochan’s proposed substitute motion was repeated.

MR. RODMAN: I can see a certain amount of force in the proposition.

The Constitution of the American Kennel
Club as it exists to-day constitutes the Executive Committee not only the Court of original resort, at least, original jurisdiction, but also the same body of men is the Court of last resort. In other words, if the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club sits upon a question and thrashes it out and subsequently that matter is appealed to the American Kennel Club with some new evidence which may have been obtained, the question goes right back to the same men who decided the case when it was first presented to them, and as a matter of fact, it seems to me if a means could be found whereby its inception, and then make their ruling, submitting to the Executive Committee the testimony and the evidence upon which would be in a better position to render a decision, which might be more equitable and more just perhaps than if they were to occupy the Chair in both cases. The proposed amendment seems to me to have a certain amount of force in its favor. Whether or not it is practicable, I cannot say.

MR. VITI: This seems to me would make the deciding of cases more difficult than now. We have had our experience without half-way house out on the Pacific Coast. Every case that amounted to anything must be appealed to the Executive Board, and I venture to say that the Executive Board
would hear exactly 100% of every case that was brought before the other Committee.

MR. CARNOCHAN: That may be all very true, but I cannot get over the fact that an appeal must go back to the same people who rendered the verdict.

MR. VITI: Would not the final judgment be given by the Executive Board who would render the verdict?

MR. CARNOCHAN: The Executive Committee would not hear it.

MR. VITI: It would hear it finally.

MR. CARNOCHAN: They hear it twice now. In the new way they would only hear it on appeal.

MR. VITI: If you can show me that it would take some work away from the Executive Committee, I would be inclined to vote in favor of your proposition. You are going to have the jurisdiction of the two committees over-lap each other?

MR. CARNOCHAN: Yes.

MR. VITI: Then I cannot see any use of it.

MR. RODMAN: A complaint may be lodged with this Committee if the American Kennel Club saw fit to appoint it, it would take testimony; that testimony taken by a stenographer present, would be submitted to the Executive Board, and instead of having statements made to the Executive Board, as have been made in the past, and instead of rely-
ing upon copies, or upon statements made by various people to other people which amount, in most instances, to hearsay evidence, the Court which would now be substituted as the Court of original jurisdiction could require the attendance, if the parties interested saw fit to accede to their request, and the testimony verbally given could be taken down, and a fuller record of the facts contained in the case could be presented to the Executive Board than is now presented. I cannot say that I am prepared to say to-day that I am willing to second the motion as presented, because it seems to me we are still in a position where it might be difficult to put in working order at once a committee of that kind, but I can see that where the parties involved in the controversy had the opportunity of coming before this new committee, or this proposed committee, and submitting their case, and that the same might be taken in a quasi or semi-legal way, the record which contains the evidence of the facts adduced before this committee might then be presented to the Executive Committee, who would be to a certain extent, more enlightened in the ultimate determination of that question than they are at present, because it is seldom that the Executive Committee requests or requires the attendance of the parties who are interested in the controversy.

THE SECRETARY: I have sat at this desk, or the other desk, and have seem witnesses give their testimony when
the whole board felt that they were lying. They would say yes and no to certain questions in such a way, that they paid no attention to what their answer was, but it was the way they gave their answer. If you have a stenographic report of the proceedings before this committee which shows yes and no only to certain questions, how will the Executive Board know what weight they are to give those answers yes and no. As it is at present, they know just exactly what value to give such evidence.

MR. VITI: I agree entirely with Mr. Vredenburgh in that respect. The credibility of witnesses is very often determined, as you know, by matters outside of answers, and I have judged witnesses in their testimony before the Executive Committee by the manner in which they answered, by the way in which they sought to evade the questions. If you have simply a stenographic report before you, you are depending upon the impression that is made upon somebody else. I want to judge for myself. The Executive Board, if there is a hald way house to hear all complaints and examine all witnesses, which will return that testimony to the Executive Board, together with the report of the impression it produced upon this first committee---- then I say the Executive Board might as well go out of business. I think a person that has a complaint is entitled to appear before the body that is going to try his case. It has always been the practice in the Amer-
ican Kennel Club to let the Executive Committee examine the witnesses, and not simply have before them someone else’s impression of what was said.

THE SECRETARY: I can cite two cases that the Executive Board, which was then called the Advisory Committee, decided directly against the testimony simply because they all said afterwards that the men were lying.

MR. VITI: There was one thought that I neglected to express, and that is that the Executive Committee are absolutely restricted by the testimony that is taken before the junior committee. If there is a line of thought opened up by any testimony, the Executive Committee is powerless to follow it out, unless it has occurred to the committee below to exhaust every line of inquiry.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I disagree with you. In my motion I see no reason why testimony should not be brought up, new testimony, before the Executive Board.

MR. VITI: Then it is going to be re-tried?

MR. CARNOCHAN: Certainly. We are trying to give justice to these people who come before us, and it seems to me if you have two courts you are more liable to get the truth than if you have only one.

MR. CAMPBELL: It would seem to me as if it was sufficiently difficult now to get good men on the Executive Board without trying to hunt up more. I think that the com-
mittee could properly handle the business.

MR. CARNOCHAN: If you had a case before the Executive Board, and it was decided against you, and you discovered new evidence, and you wanted to appeal your case, you would have to come back to those same judges whom you had before, and who had said your case was wrong.

THE SECRETARY: If you bring in new evidence, those men are men enough to change their decision.

MR. MOORE: I agree with Mr. Carnochan in that, and I think it would show greater fairness towards the people brought here on charges if it went before two bodies rather than one.

THE SECRETARY: I was on this committee. I believe the rule as it stands to-day is good rule, and that rule was formed by consulting the rules of the different national associations in the country on different sports, for instance, the Jockey Club, the National Racing Association, the Association of Amateur Oarsmen and Amateur Athletic Union; the committee took the trouble to send for all their constitutions, and the board of governors of the different clubs had original jurisdiction, and appeals could only come to them, and we modeled ourselves on that, and I think we have found it always worked very satisfactorily.

The question was then put and the motion lost ten to two.
THE SECRETARY: The next is proposed by A.P. Vredenburgh, Article XI Section 1. Strike out the entire Section and substitute therefore the following:

“Any person in good standing may file with this Association an application for membership with Five Dollars annual dues, and his name shall be announced as an applicant for membership in the following issue of the Gazette, and no objection being filed within ten (10) days of the date of publication he shall thereby be accepted.”

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Section III, same article, strike out the words “Associate members” on the 11th line and substitute the word “Association.” Also strike out the words “Upon the endorsement of the application by either of the two Presidents, as hereinbefore provided” on the 15th, 16th and 17th lines.

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Section VI, 5th paragraph. Add the words “Of the Association” following the word “meeting” on the 4th line.

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: (Reading) Rules governing dog shows. Rule II. Acting on the suggestion of the Executive Board, this rule be changed by adding a new paragraph to read: “Except where a club states in its premium list and on its
Entry blank, “This club assumes the collection and forwarding to the American Kennel Club, of all listing fees.” In this event the show club is responsible for any fees not collected, and the dog is not liable to disqualification.”

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Rule XV. Add the words “By the American Kennel Club” after the word “fine” on the sixth line.

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Rules governing clubs. Rule I. Add a new paragraph to read: “The entire blank must be in accordance with the form adopted by the American Kennel Club and copy of said blank must also be submitted for approval with the premium list.”

Seconded and lost.

THE SECRETARY: Rule X. Strike out the first paragraph and substitute therefore the following: “If a club assumes the responsibility of collecting and forwarding to the American Kennel Club any listing fees, it must state on its entry blank and also in the premium list ‘this club assumes the collection and forwarding of listing fees to the American Kennel Club.’ In which case returns for such listing must be made to the American Kennel Club within seven (7) days from the date of closing of the show. Upon notification from the Secretary of the American Kennel Club that there are still unlisted dogs, the Show Club must pay same within four-
teen (14) days after such notice, in default of which said Club shall be suspended and the wins of the unlisted dogs as above referred to shall not be cancelled.”

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: (Reading) Referring to the suggestions of the Executive Board “to consider the present method of competing for Championship” and “to suggest a rule to prevent discrimination in entry fees, in regular classes, at an open show”, your committee is constrained to withhold its consent to any amendments on these subjects. It believes that the championship rule is popular, and it deprecates any change of said rule. In regard to discrimination in entry fees it is of the opinion that it is not within the province of this Association to control. Your Committee recommends that in the event of the adoption of any or all of the above amendments, that the same shall go into effect on May 1, 1903.

Respectfully submitted,

C.W. RODMAN, Chairman

THE SECRETARY: I now read the report of the second meeting of the Committee on Constitution on Rules:

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the above Committee, January 23, 1903, at which C.W. Rodman, Jr., G.M. Carnochan, and A.P. Vredenburgh were present, the communication of the
Philadelphia Dog Show Association, referred to this Committee by the A.K.C. relative to sharp practices in the show ring, was duly considered, and your Committee beg to make the following recommendation:

“Add to Rule X, Governing Dog Shows”, the following paragraph: “A judge, upon the complaint of any one actually engaged in the handling of showing of a dog during the judging of a class, may if in his opinion the complaint is warranted, order from the ring any person guilty of intentional or deliberate interference with any dog therein competing. Any person ordered from the ring as aforesaid, shall be prohibited from further handling or showing, in any class, during the continuance of said show.”

Seconded and carried.

It was moved and seconded that this rule should become effective on May 1st with the other rules.

THE SECRETARY: (Reading) The communications received from H.P. Donovan, Sec'y-Treas. C.K.C. relative to recognition by the A.K.C. and referred to this Committee at the meeting of September 16, 1902, were duly considered, and your Committee recommends that provided the A.K.C. has three or more active members in Canada, that the same powers conferred upon and now existing with the Pacific Advisory
Committee be granted to a similar Committee in Canada.

Respectfully submitted,

C.W. Rodman, Jr., Chairman
G.M. Carnochan
A.P. Vredenburgh

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that that recommendation of the Rules Committee be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
THE REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, was held at its offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, N.Y. on Wednesday, May 20th, 1903.

---------------

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, presiding

PRESENT: -

Associate Members: W.G. Rockefeller
American Fox Terrier Club H.H. Hunnewell
Atlanta Kennel Club Dr. H.T. Foote
Boston Terrier Club Dwight Moore
Chicago Kennel Club C.W. Rodman, Jr.,
Colorado Kennel Club James Watson
French Bulldog Club of America F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America G. Muss-Arnolt
New England Kennel Club W.B. Emory
Rhode Island Kennel Club W.C. Codman
San Francisco Kennel Club R.P. Keasbey
Spaniel Breeders’ Society Marcel A. Viti
Welsh Terrier Club B.S. Smith
Wissahickon Kennel Club D. Murray Bohlen

---------------

Report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:-
New York, May 19th, 1903

To American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, the application of the Fanciers Association of Indiana was duly considered, and your Committee recommends the admission of said Association to active membership.

The following credentials are approved and their acceptance recommended:

Buffalo Kennel Club, appointing George Bleistein
Long Island Kennel Club, appointing Joseph M. Dale
Pointer Club of America, appointing A.H. Ball
Rochester Kennel Club, appointing W.J. Gram
Southwestern Kennel Club, appointing N.T. Harris

Respectfully submitted,

S. Van Schaick
R.H. Williams

The Fanciers Association of Indiana was elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named Clubs:

Buffalo Kennel Club, appointing George Bleistein
Long Island Kennel Club, appointing Joseph M. Dale
Pointer Club of America, appointing A.H. Ball
Rochester Kennel Club, appointing W.J. Gram
Southwestern Kennel Club, appointing N.T. Harris

MR. RODMAN: I move that the minutes as published in the Gazette be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:-

New York, May 19th, 1903

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that an application from the Fancier’s Club of Indiana, and credentials appointing five Delegates, have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report at this meeting.

Applications for the registration of Kennel Names, have been referred to the Stud Book Committee, which will also report at this meeting.

The minutes of Executive Board of April 22nd and also of an adjourned meeting of said Board of May 19th and the minutes of the meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, of March 23rd and May 4th will be submitted to you
at this meeting.

The following communications have been filed, and will be referred to you for consideration:

“From a Committee of the Portland Kennel Club”. A communication from the Canadian Kennel Club.

I beg to call your attention to Rule 1, governing Clubs holding shows, namely:

“Application for dates must be filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for approval by the Executive Board, or such sub-committee as may be empowered to grant dates. Such approval however shall be subject to the subsequent endorsement of the Premium List as being in accordance with the requirements of the American Kennel Club, a proof in duplicate of which must be submitted to the American Kennel Club before publication, and no premium list is to be considered as endorsed, unless it has at the head of the classification an official notice of such endorsement signed by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club and a notice to this effect must be printed at the head of the rules governing Dog Shows.”

The following is the notice above referred to:-

“No Show is recognized unless the official en-
endorsement of the Premium List, together with the rating of the Show in winners classes signed by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, is published at the head of the classification.

My interpretation of the above rules and notice, is that the American Kennel Club gave its Secretary discretionary power to approve of winners' classes, in such breeds as in his judgment were popular enough to warrant winners' classes. Your Secretary has up to this time acted according to his interpretation of this rule, and on a number of occasions has refused to approve of winners' classes in such breeds as were not generally shown throughout the country. The withholding of his approval more generally occurred at what is known as “Ribbon Shows”. At such Shows where money prizes are not given, your Secretary found that the Show Committee were very free in giving a full classification for almost every known breed. On the other side, it has been held that whenever a necessary classification was provided, namely, the giving of at least three classes, one of which must be the open class, that the Secretary is bound to approve of a winners' class. Your Secretary would therefore respectfully appeal to you for an official interpretation of this rule, so that he may be guided thereby. In all classes where approval of winners classes has been withheld, it has always
been for the reason that the breed in question was confined to two or three exhibitors throughout the entire country, and the promise that if winners’ classes were granted that a certain number of entries would be guaranteed, was not considered sufficient to obtain your Secretary’s approval. But he was always willing to approve of winners’ classes in these breeds at subsequent shows, if the owners would demonstrate the fact that there were sufficient exhibitors to entitle their breed to winners’ classes.

I beg to submit communications from the French Bulldog Club and the Boston Terrier Club, just received.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

----------------------

New York, May 19th, 1903

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand January 1, 1903</td>
<td>$12,556.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from January 1, to date</td>
<td>6,313.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$18,869.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date</td>
<td>5,853.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$13,015.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer

On motion on the same was accepted and placed on file.

---------------------
The report of the meeting of the Executive Board was read, as follows:

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

Held April 22, 1903

ABSENT:  August Belmont, G.M. Carnochan

H.H. Hunnewell Jr. in the Chair.

Mrs. E.E. Bedford
Vs.
Mrs. F. Senn

Re misconduct in connection with dogs

The contention in this case is based upon the vendor’s guarantee, that an Eng. Toy Spaniel bitch named “DORA”, was a breeder and good mother. Said guarantee being based upon the fact that she had whelped two litters of pup-
Pies. The complainant purchased said bitch which had been bred to a dog by the name or “Young Romeo” just prior to the consummation of the sale. The bitch did not prove in whelp. The complainant subsequently sent the bitch to be bred to Mrs. Senn’s kennels in December 1902, and to the Swiss Mountain Kennels May 1902, both times without result, and therefore demanded the return of the purchase prize for the reason that the guarantee was not fulfilled. Mrs. Senn in her defense claims that in the three services above referred to, the conditions were unfavorable owing to the condition of the bitch and the long journey immediately after service, and this Board desirous of giving Mrs. Senn an opportunity to prove her guarantee that said bitch was a breeder,

Ordered,    That the bitch DORA be returned to Mrs. Senn, at such time as may be satisfactory to her, but not later than June 1st, 1903, and to be held by her until the bitch next comes in season, and then to be bred and returned to Mrs. Bedford, and if she does not prove in whelp as a result of said service that then the said Mrs. Senn, shall be required to return to Mrs. Bedford the sum of $75.00 being the original purchase price or said bitch, and thereupon Mrs. Bedford shall be required to return said bitch to Mrs. Senn. All expense incurred in complying with the aforesaid shall be defrayed by Mrs. Senn.
B.B. Lathbury
Vs.    Re appeal for reinstatement for a cancelled win.
A.K.C.

In the above case the Irish Setter “GOLDREME” was registered as having been bred by a man by the name of FENN, and was shown at Providence, New York, 1903 in accordance with said registration. Subsequently it was found that Mr. FENN was not the breeder. J.S. Wall was the owner of the dam of said dog, and had leased her for breeding purposes to Robert Hoodless, and while under said lease was bred, and whelped a letter of puppies, of which the said GOLDREME was one of said litter. The registration was therefore cancelled and in view of the misstatement regarding the breeder, the Sec’y of the A.K.C. under the rules cancelled the win made under this incorrect statement. This Board believing that the appellant had an undeniable right to believe that the registration was a correct one and relying on a certified pedigree, feels that it would be unjust to deprive said dog of its winnings, and therefore it is
ORDERED,    That the wins of the Irish Setter GOLDREME at Providence and New York 1903, be and is hereby reinstated, as the
Registration of said bitch was cancelled until after the closing of the last named show.

-------------------------
W.F. Kenrick
Vs.                    Re appeal for reinstatement for cancelled win.
A.K.C.                 In this case the Bull Terrier, BRIGHT LIGHT, won first price in the local class at Philadelphia, 1902, and was entered in the novice class at New York, 1903, winning first therein. Said win was cancelled upon the ground that any dog having won a first in any class except puppies classes, is not eligible to compete in a novice class. The appeal was based upon the ground that local classes were not recognized by the A.K.C.

It was

ORDERED, That the Sec'y's action in cancelling the novice win of the Bull Terrier BRIGHT LIGHT at New York, 1903, be and hereby is confirmed in view of the fact that the A.K.C. recognizes all wins in local classes, as shown by records in the annual Stud Book.

-----------------------
L.C. Moeran
Vs.                    Re appeal for recovery of penalty.
A.K.C.                 at the ORANGE SHOW the appellant decli-
ned to allow one of her dogs to compete for a special prize to which it was eligible. Notice to this effect was filed with the Sec'y. of the A.K.C. who imposed a penalty of three dollars ($3.00) fine as provided for in rule XV. Said fine was paid under protest.

WHEREAS Miss Moeran violated Rule XV of the A.K.C. as published in the premium list of the New Jersey Kennel Club, to-wit, “NO dog eligible for special prices can be withdrawn from competition unless it has been stated on the entry blanks will not compete for special prizes.” However, as said Rule does not contain an penalty for said violation it is hereby ORDERED, That the amount of the fine imposed namely $3.00 be returned to Miss Moeran.

The New Jersey Kennel Club violated the Rule by failing to publish in its premium list the A.K.C. Rules, which is the ground for remitting the above fine.

-------------------------------
Mass. Kennel Club,
And Texas Kennel Club, Re appeal for reinstatement for membership

The above Clubs having failed to pay their annual dues for 1903 within the time granted them by resolution at the meeting
Of the A.K.C. held in Feb. last, were dropped from membership, subsequently they did remit said dues. It was therefore ORDERED, That the Sec’y of the A.K.C. be and hereby is directed to reinstate said club to active membership.

-----------------------------
REPORT OF THE STUD BOOK COMMITTEE

The following kennel names were granted on the recommendation of the Stud Book Committee:-

Ak-Sar-Ben            Mr. & Mrs. G.H. Moore
Appleton              J.G. Visser
Bonnybrae             A.B. Walker
Brynwood              Mr. & Mrs. C.H. Armstrong
Carmen                T.E.L. Kemp
Corona                H.H. Kingston Jr.
Culpepper             Mrs. J.C. Smith
Idahurst              Mrs. J.D. Paine
Maple Leaf            G.C. Brown
Mount Beacon          John W. Coombs
Myopia                Myopia Hunt Club
Oakland               J.A. Sentance
Portland              Mrs. E.F. Willetts
Potford  Mrs. S.T. Martin
Revile       M.A. Knipe
Schuyler     F.R. Dutton
Sesame       Charles W. Barney
Springwood   C.W. Bates
Sunbeam      Mrs. C.E. Beeman & Miss F.L. Morse
Terra Cotta  John G. Kent
Unique       F.G. Boesger
Westwood     C.C. Goodrich
Wonder       Dwight W. Godard

TRANSFERS
Rythmic      From M.P. Phelps to Israel Keefer
Shadyside    “ Stedman & Render to Mr. Mrs. F.S. Stedman
True Blue    “ J.F. Ernenwein to D.A. Brydon & J.F. Ernenwein
             (trading as D.A. Brydon & Co.)
Wilkins      “ W.J. Lautz, to Mr. & Mrs. W.J. Lautz

RESOLUTIONS
ORDERED, That the Rhode Island Kennel Club, the New Jersey Kennel Club, the Twin City Kennel Club, and the Chicago Kennel Club be and hereby are censured for their failure to publish the rules of the A.K.C. in their respective premium
lists for their shows held in 1903 and that they be and hereby are each fined in the 
sum of $25.00 for said violation under the authority of Rule XVI, Paragraph 9, of the 
RULES GOVERNING CLUBS HOLDING SHOWS.

ORDERED, That the Sec’y be and hereby is directed to drop from
membership all Associates who failed to pay their dues for 1903 within thirty days 
from the date hereof.

On motion this meeting adjourned to meet again on Tuesday, May 19th, 1903, 
at 2 P.M.

ADJOURNED.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

-------------------

ADJOURNED MEETING EXECUTIVE BOARD

May 19th, 1903

PRESENT: H.H. Hunnewell, Jr., M.A. Viti, C.W. Rodman Jr. J.W. Appleton
W.G. Rockefeller, H.K. Bloodgood

ABSENT: A. Belmont, G.M. Carnochan.

H.H. Hunnewell Jr. in the Chair.

-------------------

Chicago Kennel Club
Vs Re Suspension for conduct prejudicial to Dog Shows.

George W. Strell

-------------------
RESOLVED, That if defendant desires this Board to consider his statement, it must be made under oath, and duly corroborated by affidavits, all of which must be filed with this office within thirty days from the date thereof, and the case to be disposed of at an adjournment of this meeting.

----------------------------------
American Kennel Club
Vs Re Appeal from action of the Sec'y in
New England Kennel Club cancelling winners classes in Cocker Spaniels at show of 1903.
ORDERED, That the matter be laid on the table, to be taken up and decided at an adjournment of this meeting.

------------

AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Vs Re Penalties for illegal entries
COLORADO KENNEL CLUB at show of 1903
ORDERED That a fine of Sixty dollar be and hereby is imposed for accepting twelve puppy entries as Breeding or Breeder unknown.

------------
P.C. Carrigan
Vs. Re Misconduct in connection with dogs.
W.H. Simpson
ORDERED That the above case be and hereby is laid over until the next meeting of the Board.
Adjourned to July 1, 1903 A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Secretary then read the Report of the Pacific Advisory Committee as follows:-

490 California Street, March 13th, 1903.


Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

Communications read:

T.J.A. Tiedmann, giving reasons for inability to serve on Committee at present time. On motion, consideration deferred.

A.P. Vredenburgh, under date January 15th, containing extract from minutes of meeting of Executive Board of January 13, advising action taken in case of Carnochan v. Thomas, on appeal. Ordered filed.

San Francisco Kennel Club, dated March 2nd, claiming dates for Show, April 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 1903. Secretary having taken action, letter ordered filed.

Mrs. John McCullough, Indianapolis, dated February 17th, to Secretary American Kennel Club, requesting removal of disqualification. As no evidence was presented to warrant reconsideration of sentence imposed, it was moved that letter be ordered filed, pending the presentation of further evidence.

Seconded and carried.

A.P. Vredenburgh enclosing above appeal form Mrs. McCullough. Ordered filed.
John McCormick, Proprietor Winter's Kennels, protesting against disqualification of Collie bitch puppy, Dixon Moll, entered at Oakland in Class 132, No. 65, with date of birth, name of breeder, sire, and that of dam as “Unknown”. The appellant alleges that said dam was named “Unknown” by reason of not having been named before death, she having died three weeks after birth of pups. Dam in question was out of Cleo.

Demand having been made on the Secretary of the Oakland Kennel Club for the entry blank, reply was received from him, John Bradshaw, dated March 13th, that said entry blank had been destroyed with the other records of said show, as soon as the Gazette was published, in which the awards of said Show were certified. Mr. Vredenburgh, to whom the appeal of appellant had been referred, advises under date of March 5, that to be in conformity with rules, said dam might have been named “Unnamed”, and so entered in entry blank.

Mr. Norman stated that during a visit to Dixon in October last, Mr. McCormick had informed him of the circumstance of this bitch having died shortly after the birth of the pups, and that she had never been named in her lifetime. Mr. McCormick had also stated at the time his impression that the pups were good ones, and that he proposed to exhibit them at the next Show.

It was moved that, whereas it was evident, that appellant
had acted in good faith, and that his reputation for fair and honest dealing was well known to the Chairman, Secretary, and other members of this Committee, and that his character precluded the idea of his having any ulterior motive in making his entry as he did at the Oakland Show, and

    Whereas, the evidence of the Secretary of this Committee showed conclusively that the facts were as stated in the appeal of appellant,

    Therefore be it resolved, that this committee do recommend to the American Kennel Club, that the disqualification of Dixon Moll at the Oakland Show be removed, and that the said bitch be placed on record as possessing the wins awarded to her. Seconded and carried.

    It was further moved and seconded, that this committee recommend to Mr. John McCormick, proprietor of the Winter's Kennels, that in entering the progeny of said “Unnamed” dam at future Show, he state “Unnamed” on the entry blank, and furnish name of sire and dam of said Dam. Carried.

    In the matter of the membership of T.J.A. Tiedemann:

    It was moved, that whereas Mr. Tiedmann had been elected to this committee under a misunderstanding of his ability to serve, his seat on this committee be declared vacant. Seconded and carried.

    It was moved that the Chair appoint a committee on Membership to consist of two members, to report to the next meet-
ing the names of person eligible to serve. Seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed Messrs Norman and Harley as such committee.

There being no further business, the committee adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary

---------

490 California St., April 27th, 1903.

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman, C.K. Harley, J.P. Norman
Absent: H.H. Carlton

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
The Committee on member for vacant seat reported progress.

After some discussion, it was moved and seconded, that the Chairman be instructed to call on the Secretary of the American Kennel Club in New York at or about the end of May next, and to agree with that official as to certain recommendations to be made to the American Kennel Club in certain matters of importance. Carried.

It was further moved, that the Chairman be endowed with plenary power to act and speak on behalf of this committee.
Seconded and carried.

There being no further business before the meeting, adjournment was taken to await the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman, Secretary.

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Viti, Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, reported as follows: -
The Stud Committee begs to report that the following applications have been made for kennel names, and as none of them conflict with any already registered, the committee recommends that they be allowed: -

Coila, W. Ormiston Roy, Montreal, Canada
Ho-Ho-Kus, Mrs. H. T. Blodget, Hoboken, N.J.
Hyde Park, Berthing & Hin riches, Atlanta, Ga.
Kiski, Taylor & Richards, New Kensington, Pa.
Moss Brook, W. H. Saxton, Middletown, N. Y.
Silverlea, Mr. & Mrs. Frank L. Weston, Medford, Mass.
Silkwood, John I. Sparrow, San Francisco, Cal.
Tioronda, Mrs. Henry C. Kelley, Fishkill, N. Y.

Transfer:
Auburn, Stanley H. Kidd to C. H. Mower.

There are a number of other matters pending before
the committee, some of which the committee are ready to report upon, and in others
they have not as yet finished their investigations.

I wish to read a letter that was forwarded to the American Kennel Club
by the Strafford Kennels and referred by the American Kennel Club to the Stud Book
Committee.

Buffalo, N.Y. December 4, 1902

Strafford Kennels,

Gentlemen:

I have a very fine Boston terrier dog. This dog I was told was a
registered dog and he looks it. The party I secured the dog from has departed for
parts unknown after promising me to furnish the dog’s breeding which he failed to
do.

This dog of mine is ten or ten and a half months of age. Could you
furnish me with a pedigree so I could have him registered, if so, at what price.
Whatever done by you will be strictly confidential.

Respectfully yours,

Albert W. White

We sent a notice to Mr. White stating that our attention had been directed to
this letter and giving him an opportunity to show cause why he should not be
permanently disqualified for conduct prejudicial to the best interest of
dog shows and to this Association. In reply to that we received the following letter:

Buffalo, N.Y. May 18th, 1903

Marvel A. Viti, Esq.,

Dear Sir:

I am in receipt of yours of the 16th. Contents noted. Very much surprised, yet do not deny the fact that I made a great mistake. I was too zealous for another’s interest to whom I am much attached. A chum of mine who owns a Boston terrier regrets that he was unable to obtain the dog’s pedigree. He desires this paper for no commercial use, but simply to give the dog a standing. A third party suggests that we write to a couple of Boston terrier breeders whose Ad we saw in one of the several dog magazines for which I subscribe. We did and the replied we received were a credit to the men who wrote them. My friend still has his dog at his home, and he told me months ago that I had done wrong, as he wanted no pedigree so badly as to have me try to obtain one the way I did.

Respectfully yours,

Albert W. White

The committee recommend that Mr. Albert W. White be disqualified for life.

In the matter of pedigrees which was referred to the
American Kennel Club by the Great Dane Club, the committee begs to say that they have entered into correspondence with the parties in the case, but as yet have not received all the evidence they seek.

The same disposition they ask to be made of the protest of Dr. J. Fletcher Lutz. We have letters from some of the parties, but not from person that we think are responsible for the error.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think in this case a notice should have been sent to you by the Great Dane Club relative to new evidence which would throw a different light on the affair, and I am surprised it is not here. I gave the evidence to the Secretary and asked him to notify you.

MR. VITI: Mr. B.B. Lathbury, the owner of the Irish Setter Rockwood, Jr., has protested against the registration of the Irish setter dog “Ned Earl” No. 71066, stated to have been sired by Rockwood, Jr. Mr. Lathbury says that his dog cover served the bitch Ruby who is stated as the dam of Ned Earl. Mr. Lathbury furnished us with affidavits which I submit.

We thereupon communicated with Dr. R.M. Irwin who registered the dog. He was the owner of the dog in question and we also communicated with Mr. Williams Dawson, of Sewickley, Pa., who is stated as being the breeder of Ned
Earl. We received a letter from Dr. Irwin, which I submit, and recommend the
cancellation of the registration.

The committee also received the following letter from Mr. Charles W. Keys:
To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I wish to protest against the use of the word Meersbrook as a
kennel prefix or affix, as granted in the registration of Meersbrook Caddie, 71,333,
A.K.C. I protest for the following reason:-

1. “Meersbrrok” is a well known recognized kennel name in England, this
name being used exclusively by Sam Hill of Sheffield, England.

2. I applied to the American Kennel Club for registration of this name and
was refused, the Secretary saying that it was so well known as being the name of
dogs from Sam Hill’s kennels.

3. The name “Meersbrook” is so well known in connection with Fox Terriers
that its common use in connection with registrations to-day is liable to mislead.

4. The dog “Meersbrook Caddie” (71333) was not bred by Sam Hill, but by
J.A. Caldwell, Jr., of Philadelphia; and, as I understand from your Secretary, was
allowed to be registered as above because “Meersbrook” “Bristles” is his grand sire.
5. I protest simply to present the promiscuous use of a prefix that is so well known to all fox terrier breeders. I request also that the prefix “Meersbrook” be protected and allowed to be used only in connection with dogs known to have come from Sam Hill’s kennels. I also request that the name “Meersbrook” be granted to me; so that I may turn it over to the American Kennel Club to be used only on dogs from Sam Hill’s kennels.

“Meersbrook” is a name made famous by “Meersbrook Bristles”, and I do not like to see it hawked about the country.

Yours very truly,
Charles W. Keyes

The committee feel that they could hardly grant Mr. Keyes’ application for the registration of the name “Meersbrook” so he could turn it over to the American Kennel Club on the theory that the American Kennel Club is not authorized to accept as its property the registration of any prefix.

THE CHAIR: Does the committee make any recommendation?

MR. VITI: It has always been customary to allow the registration of any prefix that is not registered with the American Kennel Club. We have always felt that if the owners of prefixes did not think enough of their name to pro-
tect it by registering it with the American Kennel Club, we were not required to go to that extreme.

THE CHAIR:  Does the committee refuse to allow it?

MR. VITI:  No, but Mr. Vredenburgh refused to register it according to letter.

MR. RODMAN:  And the reasons given by the Secretary were that Mr. Keyes already possessed the prefix of “Hillcrest”. Those prefixes were granted before the rule went into effect. The rule of the American Kennel Club to-day is that no man can have two prefixes.

MR. VITI:  There are some other cases which the committee ask leave to hold pending their investigation. They have not completed their correspondence with the parties interested.

On motion, the report of the Stud Book Committee was accepted and placed on file and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

The Secretary then submitted a communication from the Portland Kennel Club.

MR. RODMAN:  It seems to me that in courtesy to the Pacific Coast Advisory Board which we recognize as our representative on that coast the matter should come through them. The communication received by the Secretary should
be respectfully returned with the suggestion that it come through the proper channel, namely, the Pacific Coast Advisory Board. I make that in the form of a motion.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read a communication from the Canadian Kennel Club.

DR. FOOTE: I think this is about the fourth time the Canadian Kennel Club has come to us for the purpose of a mutual arrangement to govern Shows. If my memory serves me right, on two occasions we came to an agreement with them, and after a short time they disregarded those agreements and went back to their present status. On the last occasion committees were appointed as they request now. Our committees met and came to terms. Our committee granted pretty much all that they requested, and their committee reported back to the Canadian Kennel Club with the result that the whole thing was thrown down. It seems to me we have had enough of that tomfoolery, and I for one am opposed to any other arrangement with the Canadians beyond what we grant to the Pacific Coast committee. I move that the report of the Rules Committee which was subsequently endorsed by the American Kennel Club at the meeting of February, 1903, be adhered to and reaffirmed by the present meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary submitted a communication from the French Bulldog Club.

MR. VITI: It seems to me we have already sufficient legislation on that point. It is unquestionably conduct prejudicial to the best interests of dog shows to have persons by false pretenses seek to sell their dogs, and it is a false pretense when they tack up awards, as I have seen done, which they have not won. I think there is this to be considered, that they have a right to tack up awards of prizes that they have won at other shows provided they put the name of the Show upon them. I do not see any objection to a man putting a sign over his dog showing that his dog has won first at New York in 1900 and second at Boston in 1901, but I do not think anything should be hung up which would lead to the inference that the prizes were won at the show in question. My motion would read that it be deemed conduct prejudicial to the best interests of dog shows for prizes that his dogs have not won at that show, unless it is specifically stated on that card the name of the show where the prize was awarded and the year in which that show was held.

Motion seconded by Mr. Keasbey.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: What procedure can we adopt to stop it? I have seen prominent exhibitors protest to the
superintendent of the Show, and his answer was that he should attend to it himself.

MR. VITI: I think you could get at that in this way: If you saw such an offense committed, and you were to lodge a complaint with the Bench Show Committee, and the Bench Show Committee refused to take any action, and refused to enforce our rules and regulations, then I think they would become answerable to us for not enforcing the resolutions of this Association.

MR. BRISTOL: This resolution is only in regard to prizes. These card awards that are given at different shows, many exhibitors have been in the habit of removing them that they have won in the past. I do not say it is done by club members. It is done a great deal by me that sell dogs. For instance there was a great display of cards down there at Atlantic City. They displayed a lot of first prize tickets and special cards, and I think some of the delegates were witnesses to that display. Several persons' attention was attracted to it, and in investigating the matter we found that no first prizes had not been awarded to those kennels at all.

MR. VITI: I heartily endorse what Mr. Bristol has said, not that it needs any endorsement. I was a witness to what he refers to. I saw more first prizes tacked over the
exhibits of a kennel than were offered at that Show for that breed of dogs.

DR. FOOTE: It seems to me this rule ought to be embodied in the Bench Show rules of all Bench Show committees so that there could be no question on the subject if it is brought up at any Show. They should be familiar with this rule and it ought to be embodied.

MR. RODMAN: I agree with Dr. Foote that a specific rule to that effect should be made. I concede that what Mr. Viti has said is correct, that it is prejudicial to the best interests of dog shows. I believe that were a specific rule to be made on that particular point, Bench Show superintendents and Bench Show committees should be more willing to use their influence in discouraging an act of that kind than they would if they had a broad and uncertain and indefinite rule under which it is desired to leave this particular motion.

MR. VITI: Why cannot this resolution that I have offered remain in force until the Rules Committee, on their own motion, present such a rule for adoption by the American Kennel Club? This resolution that I have suggested could be published and sent to each Show Committee by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club. If you simply refer the matter to the Rules Committee, then we are powerless to take any steps to stop it until next September, the next
meeting of this body.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: If there should be a specific rule framed, as Mr. Rodman suggested, I think it would go much further, and then the superintendents and Bench Show committees would be careful not to hand our those cards.

MR. VITI: I wish to make it as a standing resolution. I move it be printed with the rules.

MR. BRISTOL: I second the motion.

Carried.

The Secretary then read a communication from the Boston Terrier Club.

MR. MOORE: I move that be referred to the proper committee.

Motion seconded.

MR. RODMAN: I think that while it may be eminently proper and fit to refer that matter to the Rules Committee, at the same time I think the American Kennel Club should give some expression of opinion with relation to that before it goes to our committee. That is a novel proposition that you ask the Rules Committee to act upon. I think it requires some discussion. The Rules Committee is not trying to shirk its duties, but we do have some pretty tough problems to work out, and we like to be guided to a certain extent by the American Kennel Club. Before that motion is put I am going to make a motion, which is to this effect, that the communication for the Boston Terrier Club be considered out of order.
MR. MOORE: The minimum weight for a Boston terrier except for a puppy is 15 pounds. What is the rule under which they acted.

THE CHAIR: The motion that it is out of order is before the house.

MR. MOORE: There is a special class of dogs under 15 pounds, called a Toy Class, and I believe that is not recognized by the American Kennel Club; that is, they cannot compete in winners classes.

MR. RODMAN: In support of my motion that this matter be considered as out of order, I beg leave to address you for a few moments. The American Kennel Club specifically defines for what dogs the novice class is organized. Now we have an organization coming to us and – informing us, not asking about it nor suggesting that the change be made, not applying to the Rules Committee that their suggestion be adopted, but notifying the American Kennel Club that hereafter a Boston terrier that does not weigh 20 pounds cannot come into a certain class, or a Boston terrier that weighs over 20 pounds cannot come into a certain class which is defined. If that is so, any dog that has not won a first, perhaps puppy class excepted, is eligible to compete under the rules of the American Kennel Club in the Novice Class, and now you are informed without ever being asked to do it,
or to modify it, or to amend it – you are asked to accept the resolution of a Club
which says that hereafter Novice Classes are not to be opened to dogs that weigh a
certain weight. What is to prevent any other Club doing the same thing in other
breeds. The matter is not brought up here properly, and for that reason I made the
motion.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There is only one other matter that I have to bring before
this meeting, I would like to have an official interpretation of the rules under which I
am required to approve or disapprove of classifications. As I stated in my report, in
the majority of cases the very full classification, particularly of dogs that are not
generally shown throughout the United States, where there may be one of two
exhibitors throughout the entire country, and where they may guarantee a Class, at
the same time only one or two or three specimens of the dogs shown during the
whole year, and they take issue with me because they did make a full classification,
and that I have no right to strike out the winners classes. I claim that according to the
rules that matter was left to my discretion. Not long ago the sense of the Executive
Board was that I did have discretion in this matter. Assuming, as I have, this
discretion, I cannot recall a case where I have mis-used that discretion. I have no
favorites
in breeds, and am perfectly willing to accord a full classification to one breed just the same as another, if I am convinced, or if they will demonstrate to me that the breed is of sufficient importance and popularity that it will be shown in fair numbers and distributed among a fair number of exhibitors. That is the ground that I have taken, and I have been assailed for doing it. My right has been questioned. The particular Shows that give the greatest scope to classifications are the Shows that do not risk one dollar in prizes – what are generally known as Ribbon Shows. Take the New York Show, the Boston Show, the Philadelphia Show, and Shows of that class, where they put a large amount of money in prizes, they are governed, I believe, by statistics. They know what they have done in certain classes in previous years, where they have lost money, where they have made money, and they make that classification accordingly, but the Shows that do not risk a dollar, which simply give our a blue, read or white ribbon, it is immaterial to them what classifications they give, and they generally give the fullest classifications that come to me during the entire year. In those cases I have used my discretion, and I have disapproved of winners classes in certain breeds which to my knowledge are not owned by more than one or two exhibitors throughout the length and breadth of this country. If this meeting will put on record
that it is at my discretion, I am perfectly willing to assume that discretion and to take all the knocks they want to give me. If it is the sense of this meeting that I must approve of a winners class wherever the necessary number of classes are entered regardless of whether the Show is to pay out Ten thousand dollars in premiums or about Two dollars and a half in the cost of ribbons, I am altogether satisfied, but I would like to have it stated and put on record just exactly where I stand and where the different Shows stand, and whatever that may be I will see that it is carried out.

MR. RODMAN: Mr. Carnochan, before the Secretary’s request is taken up, I would respectfully ask, in view of the fact that I have an inkling that he may be answered to-day by the American Kennel Club as a body, a motion having been promulgated and formulated and I hope tabulated sufficiently to explain the position of the party who makes the motion, which will answer to the fullest extent the question put by the Secretary, or if it does not answer his question, at least relieve him in the future from all responsibility, and from an exercise of that power of discretion which so many of us have suffered under, and for that reason I ask that this question be allowed to remain in abeyance until the motion is made, which I think will obviate our answering his question.

MR. VITI: Referring to the question of winners classes,
I should like to call attention to the present system of scoring points. I have discussed this afternoon with some members the amounts that were offered at different Shows, and found that the Westminster Kennel Club, which was a five point Show, offered $8,400 in prize money, and the Ladies Kennel Association offered $9,000 in prizes, and that is only a four point Show, a smaller Show according to the ratings than the Westminster Kennel Club Show which offered $600 less. The Philadelphia Club offered $5,640, which was a three point Show; Boston offered $5,150 and was also a three point Show; while Atlantic City, with $3,438; Providence, with $2,968; Newark, with $2,396, and Pittsburg, with $2,250, were also all three point Shows. Buffalo, which gave $2,180, a little less than Pittsburg, was a two point Show. These figures have been taken mostly this afternoon from the prize list and may not be the full amounts as the catalogues may show, because very frequently classes are added after the prize list has gone out. It was my thought that it would be a much fairer way to determine the rating of Shows if the amount of the prize money was considered the criterion; that Shows that go to the expense of putting up large sums of many and offer prizes which induce competition form all parts of this country, should be considered as larger Shows than Shows that have a
larger number of local dogs entered, and our thought was to have a rating in accordance with the prize money offered; for instance, a Show that offered $2,000 should be rated at one point; a Show that offered $2,500 should have a rating of two points; a Show that offered $3,000 should have a rating of three points; a Show that offered $4,000 should have a rating of four points, and a Show that offered $5,000 and over should have a five point Show.

DR. FOOTE: How does that control the number of winners classes?

MR. VITI: Then there should be an unlimited number of winners classes.

DR. FOOTE: I think it has been generally considered that the financial question should govern the Championship record. We tried that some years ago, and we found complaints from Shows in small sections, for instance, on the Pacific Coast, where they wanted to work that basis, and in other sections of the country they complained that if we demanded that they should put up a certain sum in order to be allowed to offer winners classes or Championship classes, they could not hold a Show. I think Mr. Viti’s idea is a good one, but I am very much afraid it would give rise to a tremendous amount of opposition and be discouraging to the smaller Shows.

MR. VITI: I mean that they should be allowed to hold
American Kennel Club Shows no matter what they may offer, but they cannot hold winners classes unless they offer substantial prizes. I stated those amounts as a suggestion, and base it upon the amount of money that Shows have been in the habit of offering.

MR. RODMAN: I do not think it is Mr. Viti’s intention to have it understood that specialty Shows or Specialty Club Shows are included in that statement. Specialty Club Shows are to be left on their present basis. Take the condition of affairs as they exist to-day. They certainly do not give satisfaction. Take the condition which is perhaps to be expected from what is here suggested. If you take the number of dogs as the basis upon which the winner’s points shall be founded we are met with this proposition. Take, for instance, a Show like the New England Kennel Club, and the Show of the Ladies Kennel Association of America. We have there two illustrations which are apt in explanation of my argument. The New England Kennel Club has for years given an open air Show. The Ladies Kennel Association of America is giving an open air Show. The present rating of the Ladies open air Show is that based upon their entries received at the New York Show, and the present basis of the New England Kennel Club open air Show at Braintree is founded upon their Show held in Boston last February. After
carefully looking into this I state to you only what my personal investigations have proven to my satisfaction, and that is we cannot base this proposition on the number of entries which each Show has, for the reason that if that Show gives an open air Show, when that Show has given its open air Show, at which only a few entries comparatively are received, the next time they hold their Show in the city where they are expecting to receive the great number of entries which they are entitled to expect, they have got to go before the public standing, not on the basis of the Show they held the year previous in such cities as Boston and New York, but on the basis of their open air Show, which may make Boston next statement that Boston may not have more than the minimum rating, which is one point. It is true with relation to Boston. It seems to me on the basis of entries we cannot make a rule which can be considered as equitable and fair, and the only way that the Committee who have worked over this and give it their best consideration and thought, and which is both ready and willing to receive any proposition or suggestion from any member of the Club to assist us in determining upon some plan whereby justice can be done, because we all know that the present rule is entirely
inadequate and is certainly most unsatisfactory, we cannot find any other plan than that of basing our estimate of winners points on the financial end of the Show. We cannot find any other plan, and if a Show does give, as we have suggested, a certain amount of money, it is entitled to have so many points towards winners classes. With relation to this suggestion which has been made here to-day, what are you going to do about winners classes, we say open winners classes to every breed of dog. Why? Because it is optional under our rules with the Judge as to whether or not he awards that prize, and if a Judge goes around the country awarding winners prizes to inferior dogs, it will not be long before he is asked to decline the position of Judge. It is in the hands of the exhibitors. I do not believe there is nay other way in which this matter can be solved and solved to the satisfaction of all.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I may be permitted to say a word here. I am one of the fathers of the present system of the rating of Shows. I thoroughly believed in it. Sometime last Fall, or in the early Winter, there was suggested to me this very question which Mr. Viti has now brought up. I was very loath to give an opinion at that time, but I slept over it and thought upon the subject, and I have come to the conclusion that it is the fairest thing that has been presented
yet towards the making of champions. The small Shows say: Our only salvation is to have winners classes. It will rest entirely with them whether they have winners classes or not. If they are willing to put up their money to get the entries which they claim that they will get if they have winners classes, then they ought to have winners classes, and it will also rest entirely with them whether their Show rates is at one, two, three, four, or five points. It does not rest with them; it rests with the exhibitor how many actual dogs will be entered. The Show itself can determine before they issue their premium list just exactly the rating of their Show, and I have come to the conclusion that it is the fairest plan that has yet been suggested. If a Show is not willing to put up $1,000 or $2,000, and simply wants to give a ribbon, let them give all the classes they please except winners classes. They cannot lose very much because they do not give much. If you send this to the Rules Committee, I think you ought to consider it very well and consider it long enough to consider it favorably.

THE CHAIR: I would like to ask Mr. Rodman two questions. One is according to your idea of rating, the open air Show would be rated on its own bottom?

MR. RODMAN: Yes, and the Show held in the City on its own bottom.
THE CHAIR: In all these Championship classes from $2,000 up, winners classes are added on everything?

MR. RODMAN: On everything. A Show that will give a proper classification for certain breeds that they embody in their premium list, and will also put up their $2,000 guarantee for prize money, is entitled to three points. The Show that will put up $2,500 and obey the rules of the American Kennel Club relative to classifications is entitled to four points, or as the case may be; I may perhaps be a point out of the way – whatever has been stated here. I suggest that this plan would take the matter of winners classes entirely out of the Secretary’s hands.

MR. EMORY: I would like to say a word in favor of the suggestion made by Mr. Viti. Take for instance, our coming Show; winners classes are allowed three points. In our open air Show we will have somewhere in the neighborhood of 300 entries. There may be one winners class, for instance, and a dog would be accorded three points, and it is a false representation, for if that dog appeared in New York or Boston, he might not be anything more than a third prize dog. It is an injustice to the other exhibitors, and I think it would be an injustice to our coming Show in February, 1904, where we can only advertise one point. I want to state that I am in favor of Mr. Viti’s suggestion of having winners
points according to the amount of money offered.

MR. MOORE: It seems to me as if Mr. Viti’s suggestion was the fairest one of all.

MR. VITI: My resolution is: RESOLVED, That after August 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1903, the schedule of points to regulate the values of winners classes be as follows: That at Shows giving as least $2,000 prize money in regular classes, the rating shall be one point. At Shows giving at least $2,500 the rating shall be two points. At Shows giving at least $3,000 the rating shall be three points. At Shows giving at least $4,000 the rating shall be four points. At Shows giving at least $5,000 rating shall be five points. That Specialty Clubs holding Shows confined to their own breeds, the rating shall be four points. That upon the Pacific Coast one-half of the amount shall substitute the above amounts of money. That the opening of winners classes at all Shows shall be a matter entirely within the discretion of the Bench Show Committee of said Club, subject of course to the rules governing winners classes. The following resolution is as an amendment to and a substitution for the present standing resolution.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think we should be a little slow about a matter like this. It will affect small Shows very decidedly who cannot pay $2,000. That knocks them entirely out, and I do not think it is our object to limit our Shows. I think the general welfare of the dog is to give as many shows as possible. It will simplify the matter to a great
extent to satisfy the majority, but I do not know how it will affect the general exhibiting public, and we ought to know that before we go into this and take the risk of adopting it.

MR. VREDENBURGH: It will be beneficial to the exhibitor.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I cannot see that except those permanent shows that are not able to give that much money are cut out.

MR. VITI: I do not think there can be any better answer to that suggestion than the statement which Mr. Emory has made, who is Secretary of a very important small Show, the Show at Braintree, and yet he has expressed himself as being heartily in favor of this change. As far as having a general discussion does, I think the meeting this afternoon is a very representative gathering of those who are familiar with Dog Show conditions in this country. We have here breeders and exhibitors and those representing Specialty Clubs and Show Clubs. I do not think you could thrash the matter out before a more representative gathering of delegates.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I should like to have the time extended.

MR. VITI: I do not wish to unnecessarily occupy the time, but the reason I suggested, and I merely make this as a suggestion, was so that this would not interfere with the Fall Shows. If we make it later then you will have two
classes of ratings during the Autumn. If we make it earlier it may interfere with some Shows that are going to be held during the month of June. I suggested the month of August because it would take effect during a period of the year when no prize lists at all are being issued, and there would be sufficient time to promulgate it throughout the country and have the Secretaries perfectly familiar with it before the Autumn Shows commence.

The motion was carried, Messrs. Muss-Arnolt and Bohlen voting in the negative.

MR. EMORY: The question of possible errors which may be made by Dog Show Committees has been brought up of late rather strongly, and I would like to make this motion: That the Secretary be instructed to print with his Constitution and Rules for Dog Shows every standing resolution which is now in vogue and applicable to a Dog Show in order that in the future no possible error can be made. As I understand it, there are several resolutions which are binding in the government of members of the American Kennel Club which are so far back in the history of the club that the ordinary up-to-date Bench Show Committee is not familiar with it, and possibly errors occur, and in order to prevent any possible errors on the part of the Bench Show Committees, and also relieve our Secretary from possible error on his part, I think if everything is
printed it certainly would be advantageous. I would suggest that some of our legal
members look into some of the rules of the Club in order to determine their validity.
For instance, the question which was brought up yesterday was a very strong
question. I think it best to have our rules looked into a little more thoroughly in order
that we might keep out of any possible trouble in the future.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. KEASBEY: I move that the Chair appoint a Committee of not more than
five to revise the present Constitution and Rules of the American Kennel Club, said
Committee to present said proposed Constitution and Rules at the September
meeting of the Club.

MR. RODMAN: I second that motion. A motion has been made here to-day
to revise the Constitution and the Rules of the American Kennel Club, and it strikes
me that never was a motion made in the American Kennel Club that was more
required or really needed. The Rules Committee have been perplexed since I have
been the Chairman of it by the absolute inconsistencies that exist in the Rules and in
our Constitution. It is almost impossible to us to attempt to give a decision or to lay
down a rule or to submit a ruling to the American Kennel Club as to many matters
that is not in some way hampered or interfered with by some rule that has been
passed at some prior or subsequent time, which is absolutely inconsistent with the rule on which we tried to stand. Any man who will take the Rules of the American Kennel Club to-day and read the same, I guarantee to say that at the end of his perusal of those Rules he will not know where he stands nor where we stand. No greater illustration of the absurdity of our Rules as they exist to-day in part is necessary than the recent trouble we have had with the New England Kennel Club. We attempted to define our position with them, and what has been the result? We have been hampered by Rules that honestly I do not think the party who framed them or the man who suggested them knew what he was talking about, or else if he did, he was misunderstood, and the rule has gotten into print in such form that no man living can interrupt what it means. It seems to me there is a crying need for the resolution which has been offered.

   Motion carried.

   The Chair appointed as such Committee Messrs. Rodman, Carnochan, Vredenburgh, Viti and Bloodgood.

   The Chair gave notice that hereafter all motions must be presented to him in writing.

The meeting then adjourned.

   A.P. Vredenburgh
   Secy
Special Meeting of the American Kennel Club, held at its offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, New York City, Wednesday, July 15th, 1903.

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, presiding

Present:

- American Fox Terrier Club    H.H. Hunnewell, Jr.,
- American Dachshund Club    Dr. C. Motschenbacher
- American Pomeranian Club    F.S. Stedman
- Atlanta Kennel Club    Dr. H.T. Foote
- Boston Terrier Club    Dwight Moore
- Buffalo Kennel Club    George Bleistein
- Bull Terrier Club of America    Frank H. Croker
- Collie Club of America    M.M. Palmer
- Colorado Kennel Club    James Watson
- Columbus Fanciers’ Club    J.M. Taylor
- Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania    G.M. Carnochan
- French Bulldog Club of America    F.J. Bristol
- Great Dane Club of America    G. Muss-Arnolt
- Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts    Henry Jarrett
- Long Island Kennel Club    Joseph M. Dale
- Mascoutah Kennel Club    C.F.R. Drake
THE SECRETARY: This meeting was called for a special purpose by a letter received from the President of the Club dated July 1st, 1903. This meeting must be confined to the particular business for which the call has been issued. The courtesy of this meeting will be asked in accordance with the resolution adopted at the late Executive Board Meeting, at which a special committee was appointed submit resolutions regarding the death of one of our members.

MR. VITI: Mr. Chairman, I ask that the courtesy of this meeting be extended for the purpose just outlined. We have sustained a great loss since our last meeting, and It was only fitting that proper action should be taken commemora-
tive of it. Personally I had the good fortune to serve with Mr. Charles W. Rodman, Jr., on many committees. In fact I think the Stud Book Committee was the first committee to which he was elected, and I now wish to bear testimony to the aid he constantly rendered; the unselfish and untiring manner in which he invariably performed his duties, to his courtesy and generally to the attraction and admirable qualities which drew so many to him. Much may be said in praise and appreciation of his character and the services he has rendered to us, and in the expression of our loss and sorrow, but the greatest eulogy that could be pronounced finds its strongest utterance in the universal grief keenly felt by each of us.

At the Executive Board Meeting held upon July 1st, 1903 formal announcement was made of the sorrow that has fallen upon us through the death of Mr. Rodman, and the Board appointed Messrs Marcel A. Viti, Chairman, August Belmont, H.H. Hunnewell, H.K. Bloodgood and A.P. Vredenburgh, a committee to present an appropriate minute and resolution to be acted upon by this meeting.

M i n u t e.

The career of Mr. Charles W. Rodman, Jr. in the American Kennel Club was most brilliant and useful. No mat-
ter in what position he appeared he always discharged the duties entrusted to him conscientiously, fearlessly and impartially. As a delegate he served the clubs he represented most faithfully; as a member of the various standing committee and as Chairman of the Rules Committee he freely gave the many advantages of his legal training and accomplishments, while as a member of the Executive Board he was constantly in attendance devoting his time and ability to the service of the Association. He probably was best known as a kennel club officer by the Executive Board, as its members who had the good fortune and privilege to sit with him for several years watched him fulfilling his whole duty with unflagging industry and singleness of purpose, and they and each one of them, deeply deploring his loss, gladly avail of the valuable services he has constantly rendered this Association from the time of his election, and to testify that the many admirable and attractive consideration for others and his marked ability made him liked and respected by all.

Resolved:

That through the death of Charles W. Rodman, Jr., the American Kennel Club has sustained a great and distinct loss. The committees a capable and industrious member. The
members a valued delegate and the delegates a sincere friend. That his career in this Association is an example for which we all should strive.

That we tender to the members of his family our heartfelt sympathy in their great loss.

And that a copy of this minute and resolution, attested, by the officer, be sent to Mr. Rodman’s family.

Marvel A. Viti, Chairman

The resolutions were seconded by Mr. Carnochan and unanimously carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: This communication from the President has been read calling this meeting, and we are now here to act upon it.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the resolution with reference to the value of the Winners’ Class as passed at the meeting of the American Kennel Club held May 20th, 1903, be rescinded.

MR. VITI: I second that motion.

Motion carried.

MR. CARNOCHAN: It seems advisable that as the matter of the value of Winners’ Classes is regulated by a standing resolution, and liable to be tinkered with at any meeting of the Board of Delegates, while the number of winnings required to make a dog a champion under the rules governing Dog
Shows can be changed at the Annual Meeting, some changes should be made at this meeting which may place the entire matter under the rules governing Dog Shows which could only be amended at the Annual Meeting. Almost all of the Clubs that have replied to the call, and I may say that I have in addition received enough to make the number of Clubs wishing to have this Special Meeting some forty-five, seem to be in favor of some change in the matter of champions which would make it harder for a dog to obtain a title than under the present method, but all are in favor of having equal rights on this matter. With this in view I offer the following resolution:-

Resolved: That the Rules Committee be instructed to report the following at the Annual Meeting as an amendment to the Rules.

That each Show be guaranteed one point towards championship. All Shows to be rated on the actual number of dogs entered as follows: 1,000 dogs or over, five points; 750 dogs and under 1,000, four points; 500 dogs and under 750, three points; 250 dogs and under 500, two points; under 250 dogs, one point. On the Pacific Coast, 400 dogs or over, five points; 300 dogs and under 400, four points; 200 dogs and under 300, three points; 100 dogs and under 200, two points; under 100 dogs one point. The total number of points re-
quired for a Championship to be 15, by the dog must make one win at a Show whose rating is three points. Specialty Clubs holding shows confirmed to their own breed, four points.

    Motion seconded.

    MR. MORTIMER: That is practically the same as it was before the last resolution was passed.

    MR. CARNOCHAN: They stand on the number of dogs entered. The number of dogs at the Show make the amount of points for the winners’ classes. The main change is that 15 points must be made towards a Championship, and that a dog must win one of those at a Show which will give three points, which makes it considerably more difficult.

    MR. VITI: I seconded the motion to rescind because after the last meeting I conversed with a number of representatives from other Clubs, and I felt that there is no doubt but what the substituted resolution has not received general endorsement and therefore it should be reconsidered; but I desire to point out that if we adjourn without taking any further action in the matter there will be no scoring for Championship wins. The resolution that was passed was an amendment to the other one, which was abrogated. Now it we merely rescind and do nothing further, we have no means of determining how a championship shall qualify, because it does not follow that the old rule comes into life again be-
cause the substitute was rescinded. I think it is necessary for us to take some action. That resolution is an instruction, as I understand it, to the Rules Committee to do something which would take effect hereafter, but we must not be without legislation between now and the Annual Meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I looked up that very point in the Gazette. There was nothing in the new rule which changed the old one.

MR. VITI: I must respectfully qualify what Mr. Carnochan has said. It is quite true that there is absolutely nothing in the minutes, but those minutes have not been confirmed and approved. The resolution was offered with the distinct understanding that it was a substitute for the other; there is no question about that at all. I think the safest thing for us to do, for the old method seems to be the popular one, is to offer that as a substitute, but do not leave us handing like Mahommet’s coffin.

MR. CARNOCHAN: It is immaterial to me, but I speak from having read the last minutes. I looked at that point very carefully to see, if the new rule was rescinded whether we would not go back to the old one. What have we to go by except the minutes?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: What have we got out minutes re-
corded by a stenographer for if we do not get them correctly printed? We go by that, and I think the point as Mr. Viti now suggests is very incorrect in that respect.

THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are accepted as published in the Gazette.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: But there are sometimes left our whole sentences so as to alter the entire meaning.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that we return to the old method that was in force before the May meeting.

Motion seconded.

At this point Mr. Belmont arrived and took the Chair.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I call for the question on the motion that we return to the old form before the resolution was passed a the May meeting.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Do I understand correctly that we go back to the condition we used to have, so as to stand on the actual entry, and not on its figuring of the previous Show?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. For instance, if the Westminster Kennel Club has 100 entries to goes back.

MR. HUNNEWELL: According to that, if a man enters a dog, he cannot tell what rating he has until the Show is over?
MR. CARNOCHAN: He is guaranteed one point at every Show.

MR. MOTIMER: As soon as the entries close that point could be determined; the rating could be published in the catalogue.

MR. VITI: That odes not answer the objection that he could not know before the Show; after he enters his dog he cannot cancel his entries and get his money back. The idea was to have a method by which a man before he goes to the Show could know that he was going to win.

MR. MORTIMER: I think that is a very poor method. I think it is a very good idea that every Show shall stand on its own bottom; that the number of points shall be decided by the entry and not by any previous wins. That is embodied, as I understand, in that resolution?

THE CHAIRMAN: Almost all of the Shows have an average rate of entries.

MR. MORTIMER: I think the reason for embodying that in the resolution was, the New England Kennel Club held a show in which they had four points. That Show was held in Boston. They afterwards held a Show at Braintree, at their Club House, where they had 250 entries about. That Show also counted four points according to the previous ruling.
The Show of 250 entries counted as much as the Show of 1200 entries, which they had in Boston, which does not seem right, and then next year when they hold their Show in Boston again they will go back there with the same counting as they got at Braintree; they go back to Boston with one point instead of four, or whatever the rating was.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think, however – of course I have no suggestion to make, not representing any Club – but it would appear to ma, if you wanted something that would entitle for instance a Show to be called first-class, no matter what its number was, after once having established its right to be called first-class, unless it fell for a number of times below a certain standard. For instance, the Westminster Kennel Club has for years averaged a certain number, and so have other of the principal Shows. If some such arrangement were made as this, that if any Show averaged, say three consecutive Shows, above a certain number, that then they shall be considered in the first class until the have fallen below that number for three consecutive Shows, or two consecutive Shows – something of that kind; otherwise they might run the risk of having a first-class Show spoiled. I
simply make that suggestion, that you could establish a class that way and they would not forfeit their title unless they had fallen below a certain number of times.

MR. CARNOCHAN: that would not obviate the Summer show difficulty. That would not take care of the case of the Ladies’ Kennel summer show. You would have to have special legislation for the Summer shows and for the Winter shows. This resolution covers it all. A very small show held in the Summer, according to your theory, would have to be rated on the same basis as the first-class show, as the winter show of the big Clubs. It would require special legislation to do it, one show as against the other.

MR. HUNNEWELL: After all, what we want on this question is discussion, and we have all thought over this matter pretty seriously. I agree entirely with what Mr. Mortimer said about every Show standing on its own bottom. I agree with what the president has said about the question of average. The rating of a show changes with 500 dogs. The entries might be 400. It would be a grave temptation to anybody in the show to enter a pet dog to make it up to the 500. It is an entry which would not be made, and at the same time it is an entry that he is perfectly right in making. Still the question of two or three dogs might run the show from one
class into another. It seems to me that the amount of money offered by a show to a large extent gives the value of the show in the way of entries, ect., and the question of how big the show is and what its importance is, and all that sort of thing, it seems to me you would act on the same principle, using a money value instead of entries, and then we would arrive at the result better. I state this merely to provoke discussion.

MR. VITI: Why could not the numbers be used up to a certain point and take your values from them?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I do not think it is in our province to have anything to do with the internal affairs of Clubs, and the monetary matters, that is their affair. I don’t think that everybody goes out to win the money. Every one is at liberty to Show for nothing but a ribbon or for the money, as he pleases, and I have seen Shows where that was done that brought about some of the best competitions. I don’t think the Club should meddle in that. Here we have to see how much according to the prize money, and everything else we would have to risk. I think we should leave that to the Clubs. We have to run the risk, but let them have it. Besides, special legislation in regard to Shows is very good, but it is very undemocratic.

MR. HUNNEWELL: May I ask Mr. Muss-Arnolt a question?
Do you consider a ribbon show with the same number of entries equal to a show where money prizes are given?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Not necessarily in toto. I do consider a big show where there is a certain class of dogs of a certain breed entered, just as much in a small show as where there is a big entry.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It is for the little show that we seek protection; the big shows can take care of themselves in either case.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: You are killing the little show.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Do you think a prize winner at a ribbon show should stand on an equal basis with a prize winner at a show where an entrance fee was charged?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Certainly.

MR. HUNNEWELL: What do they compete against?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Dogs; the number of dogs.

Mr. Carnochan motion to return to the old method was carried.

MR. CARNOCHAN: One of the delegates has brought up the point that by rescinding the motion made at the meeting of May 20th we are now left without anything in the way of deciding the value of winners’ classes at shows. I may state that on reading over the minutes of the last meeting I can only say that if the motion passed at the meeting of May
20th is rescinded, we return to the old form. I am told that the minutes are incorrect, and that at the next meeting in September they will have to be amended. Of course, as far as the delegates are concerned, we have to go by the minutes as published in the Gazette. Therefore to avoid any change of bring left without an value for winners’ classes, I move that we return to the same formula as published in the Rules, which is a standing resolution at the present time which was in vogue before the meeting of May 20th, and is as follows: -

“The following scale of points was adopted to regulate the values of winners’ classes at A.K.C. shows. All shows to be rated upon the actual number of dogs entered, not including local classes: 1,250 dogs or over, 5 points; 750 dogs and under 1,250, 4 points; 500 dogs and under 750, 3 points; 250 dogs and under 500, 2 points; under 250 dogs, 1 point.

On the Pacific Coast, 400 dogs or over, 5 points; 250 dogs and under 400, 3 points; under 250 dogs, 1 point.

Specialty Club holding shows not confined to their own breed will be rated as regular shows.
New clubs holding inaugural shows, having no previous record, shall be rated 1 point as a minimum.

No active member of this Association can delegate its right to any other club or person to hold or manage a show in its name.

The Secretary shall publish in the Gazette for December of each year the ratings of shows for the following year.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is either self-acting or no motion will be required. The minutes of a meeting published without confirmation do not necessarily stand. They might be a guide in so far as they can be, but they are subject to correction; they would not be final. In what respect were they not regular?

MR. VREDENBURGH: Simply the statement was made that a new standing resolution was adopted.

MR. CARNOCHAN: But there was nothing said about that standing resolution superceding the one that was in force before.

MR. VITI: I made the motion and I distinctly recollection, because I realized that point had to be covered, I incorporated in my motion that the previous resolution was to be rescinded and amended by the substitution of the
present one which has just been rescinded. Therefore I submit there is nothing to govern the rating for championships at present; the minutes have not been acted on in any way.

THE CHAIRMAN: Were not those minutes read at this meeting?

MR. VREDENBURGH: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: They are subject to your approval.

MR. VITI: This is a Special Meeting and the rules say they only the subject matter of the call may be acted upon at the meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: I have never heard you could not review your action at a former meeting. Of course there might, as in this case, be business coming before this meeting concerning something which was done at the previous meeting and necessarily those minutes would have to be approved. Unless exception were taken to such ruling the Chair would rule that the minutes of the previous meeting should be read and approved, or disapproved or amended in any way which the delegates saw fit. They know what action you took at the last meeting, and certainly it is a part of the business to read the minutes at the special meeting. They are not precluded
from acting on the minutes of a previous meeting. They are part of the same subject, and I should, unless exception were taken by the delegates at this meeting, rule that the minutes of the previous meeting be read and acted upon.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The part of the minutes which refers to the subject. I will read Mr. Viti’s resolution as it is published in the Gazette. Mr. Carnochan reads as follows,

“MR. VITI: My resolution is: Resolved, that after August 1, 1903, the schedule of points to regulate the values of winners’ classes be as follows: That at shows giving at least $2,000 prize money in regular classes, the rating shall be one point. At shows giving at least $3,000 the rating shall be three points. At shows giving at least $4,000 the rating shall be four points. At shows giving at least $5,000 the rating shall be five points. That Specialty Clubs holding shows confined to their own breed, the rating shall be four points. That upon the Pacific Coast one-half of the amount shall substitute the above amounts of money. That the opening of winners’ classes at all shows shall be matter entirely within the discretion of the Bench Show Committee of said Clubs, subject of course to the rules governing winners’ classes.” There is nothing
said there about rescinding any former or previous resolution.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I call for the stenographic copy of the previous meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not know whether there is a lawyer present who could advise us. So far as my experience has gone that would be a correct ruling.

MR. VITI: It seems to me that the simplest way out of the matter would be to move that the old resolution be re-adopted, or that a substitute be adopted in its place, and then they can raise no such quibble hereafter. If this meeting adopts the old resolution as the action of this meeting, it holds. It seems to me in view of the fact that this resolution which has been unanimously carried and apparently meets with general approval, could be acted upon a this meeting were it not for the fact that the number of points is to be raised from 10 to 15. My suggestion is that we put in effect this resolution that has been passed and make it 10 points with a recommendation that the Rules Committee at the Annual Meeting change it to 15 points. We will then have a change to give it an exhaustive trial from August until February. If at the February meeting we find that it has not worked out as satisfactorily as this meeting thinks it
will work out, then it can be changed. Give it a trial until then. I would put that in the form of a motion.

MR. CARNOCHAN: If we do that we will have three different sets of schedules for the value of winners’ classes in six months. We cannot change the number of points required for the winners’ classes until the Annual Meeting.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I think the delegates that have spoken are all laboring under a mistake. They can change the rules at any meeting provided they have been suggested by the Rules Committee and notice given at a previous meeting. It is only the Constitution that cannot be changed except at the Annual Meeting. The rules can be changed at any time provided notice has been given. There is one provision of the Constitution and By-Laws which says so.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Why didn’t that resolution go through the last time?

MR. HUNNEWELL: Mr. Muss-Arnolt made a motion to have the minutes read.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I made a motion, which was seconded, to return to the old form.

THE CHAIRMAN: These minutes are either one way or the other. They must be confirmed or otherwise. I said I would rule that we could proceed to the reading of the
minutes, but before doing so let us know exactly what the resolution was in the stenographic report.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I withdraw my motion.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move to amend Mr. Muss-Arnolt’s motion, simply in order to save time, and that is to read the minutes as published in the Gazette, which we always accept.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have the stenographic report here, and the motion of Mr. Muss-Arnolt will be the reading of the minutes, if you accept that amendment, as published in the Kennel Gazette.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: My motion did not mean as printed I mean the stenographic copy.

MR. VREDENBURGH: The President has the stenographic report.

MR. CARNOCHAN: What I think Mr. Arnolt means is this: the minutes as published in the Gazette which were taken from that typewritten report has been objected to by one of the delegates. What Mr. Arnolt wants is the original shorthand minutes, so they can be read in order to see whether the motion was originally made or not.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Only on the point which we are discussing.
THE CHAIRMAN: The motion is that that portion of the stenographic notes be read from which the minutes were published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: If the minutes are read as published, and there is no objection, and when you reach the point where there is objection, take this typewritten report and be sure that the publication is the same as that which the stenographer put in type from his notes, then if you do not accept that, you correct that in any way you see fit and as your memory serves, and what you are willing to accept as your action at the last meeting. That is the way to do. You will then have dispensed with the reading of all the minutes unless you proceed and read them all. Everybody has read them in the Gazette.

The Secretary then read the following from the original minutes.

“MR. VITI: My resolution is: Resolved. That after August 1, 1903, the schedule of points to regulate the values of winners’ classes be as follows: that at shows giving at least $2,000 prize money in regular classes, the rating shall be one point. At shows giving at least $2,500 the rating shall be two points. At shows giving at least $3,000 the rating shall be three points. At shows giving at least
$4,000 the rating shall be four points. At shows giving at least $5,000 the rating shall be five points. That specialty clubs holding shows confined to their own breeds, the rating shall be four points. That upon the Pacific Coast one-half of the amount shall substitute the above amounts of money. That the opening of winners’ classes at all shows shall be a matter entirely within the discretion of the Bench Show Committee of said Club, subject of course to the rules governing winners’ classes.

MR. VITI: I said that that was an amendment to and a substitute for the resolution in force. Otherwise my motion would have been a nullity. That motion I made as an amendment to and a substitute for the old method. Otherwise this was useless.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is competent for this meeting to change this portion as it desires by amending it so as to read as their recollection serves them. If that is the case, if the delegates present remember that there was a rescinding clause rescinding the former method, if that rescinding clause was offered and was not published and ought to be a part of the minutes of the former meeting, you can do
so now.

    MR. CARNOCHAN: Why would it not be better, as the American Kennel Gazette does far and wide, and we do no want to publish our errors from time to time, to let this thing stand?

    THE CHAIRMAN: An error is an error. You cannot do this.

    MR. CARNOCHAN: Why can’t we? The motion passed there does not go into effect until the first of January, - the New one that has just been passed, until the Annual Meeting, the first of February. Anything that the delegates care to do is agreeable to me.

    MR. VITI: It is purely a clerical error. It was my motion and it was not fully taken down, and there are delegates present here to-day who were not present then, and it is impossible for them to say what I did say or what I did not say, but I have a positive recollection on the subject that my motion was not copied in full, and I think that the American Kennel Club should have that error corrected.
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I was present and I remember that Mr. Viti did state that.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I was present and I have the same recollection.

MR. VITI: I move that the minutes be amended by adding the part that was omitted by the stenographer, to-wit: the foregoing resolution is an amendment to and a substitute for the resolution now affecting winners' classes.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. BOHLEN: I would like also to have the minutes amended in this respect, that I voted against the resolution at the last meeting and I am not so recorded.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that Mr. Bohlen's name be substituted for Mr. Watson's who was not present, so voting in the negative.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move to have that motion taken up which I withdrew some time ago.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now you should vote on the minutes as published in the Gazette and as now amended. A motion will be in order to approve these minutes as published in the Gazette. That is the customary method, with these amendments that have now been offered.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Does this action which is taken now
obviate the rescinding of the last motion?

THE CHAIRMAN: You have to go back now and simply repass this, if you want to, and any other business. Are you ready for the question on the adoption of the minute as published in the Gazette as we have amended them to-day?

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to know how long those minutes are in force. Are they in force up to the February meeting?

THE CHAIRMAN: They would have been acted upon the first meeting following.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I do not think the delegates realize that it is simply the minutes we are now acting upon.

MR. MORTIMER: I understand that, but we have rescinded a resolution.

MR. HUNNEWELL: That has absolutely nothing to do with the adoption of these minutes.

THE CHAIRMAN: That has nothing whatever to do with it. It is simply the confirmation, as to whether these are correct and become a part of the records of the Kennel Club.

The motion on the adoption of the minutes as published in the Gazette as amended was then put and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection they will come in as a part of these minutes; if there is objection raised then they would have to be passed again. If there is
no objection they will come into the minutes subsequent to this action.

MR. HUNNEWELL: If you are going to bring that action in, you will bring in the original motion made by Mr. Carnochan that the action at that meeting be rescinded.

THE CHAIRMAN: That also, if there is no objection, will become a part of these minutes subsequent to the action you have just taken.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The motion to return to the original form has not been passed.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes; I understand now that what has been passed before I came in was the rescinding of the amended action here?

MR. CARNOCHAN: That is right.

THE CHAIRMAN: This resolution therefore by common consent becomes subsequent to that and is now in force; therefore to return to something that no longer exists would be impossible, and your motion would not be in order.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: What is it in the meantime?

THE CHAIRMAN: It does not exist any more.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Which does not?

THE CHAIRMAN: you proposed to return to what had been rescinded by this motion, and this has been passed.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I am afraid we differ. If I make
myself clear – possibly I am entirely wrong, and if I am I hope you will correct me, the amendment to the minutes which we have just made, taking Mr. Viti’s wording, now leaves us in the position of having no value for winners’ classes. Therefore it is not a question of having no values for winners’ classes, and therefore I call up the resolution which I withdraw some time ago in order to allow the minutes to be amended.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is moved by Mr. Carnochan that the American Kennel Club return to the standing resolution in existence before May 20th, 1903.

MR. VITI: I had made a motion that I think the stenographer will find on his minutes, that we consider the advisability of putting this in effect now, and not waiting until February; put it in effect at 10 points and make it 15 points afterwards.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair is conscious of the fact that another motion was suggested. That is, with regard to Mr. Carnochan, we have to consider Mr. Viti’s amendment.

MR. VITI: I will move this as an amendment. I want to get an expression of opinion from the delegates here. We have a very representative meeting here today, something
that we do not always have, and I for one would like to know how the delegates individually feel about adopting this motion now. I move it as an amendment.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I do not accept the amendment.

MR. VITI: May it please the Chairman, the vote is first on the amendment. That is an instruction to the Rules Committee as to something they are to do in February. Now I make that some motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: I cannot recognize you on this. You will have to make it as a separate matter, a separate resolution.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The motion that was passed is not the motion that Mr. Viti is making.

MR. VITI: If the Chair does not think this is germane to Mr. Carnochan’s motion, I have nothing further to say.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Rules Committee has been instructed to report this at the Annual Meeting and that has been passed. Now, Mr. Carnochan offers a resolution to go back to the standing resolution as to the scale of points. Now
Mr. Viti wants to have this take effect now.

MR. CARNOCHAN: If it could be taken into effect now I would only be too delighted, but part of that, the part increasing the number of points, can only be amended at a meeting where notice has been given at a previous meeting. If we adopt the amendment as suggested by Mr. Viti, we have one scale we have been working on now, and after this meeting we will have another scale, and after the Annual Meeting we will have a third scale. I do not think we should change the scale of value of our winners' classes so fast.

MR. TAYLOR: I believe there was a motion to return to the old form which called for 10 points. If I am correct, there have been 26 shows held, and there are six more to be held for the year, which would complete it. I think it would be wise to return to the 10 points until the Annual Meeting to make the year complete, and then take in Mr. Carnochan's substitute, and not substitute 15 points now until the first of January.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Viti has a right to offer an amendment to your motion if he chooses and it is consented to.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Mr. Viti’s amendment does not men-
tion that. It mentions not to change from 10 to 15 because it is unconstitutional.

MR. VITI: My amendment is to keep it at 10.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I do not accept it for the decline to accept the amendment. I do not accept it for the reason that I think we should not have three different scales for value of winners’ classes in six months.

MR. HUNNEWELL: How is it going to change when the schedule of points does not change?

MR. CARNOCHAN: I say it is going to change. It is going to change it in this way: there will be a change where a dog has to win his points at a show that must give a rating of three points. There is one change.

MR. HUNNEWELL: You said your change was simply in the number of points.

MR. CARNOCHAN: In addition to that there is a change from clubs counting their Summer shows on the same rating as their Winter shows. That is another change.

DR. FOOTE: I want to have one word on this subject. I do not agree with Mr. Viti’s amendment, for I think the first and most important thing is to present this new ruling to the exhibitors at large. We have for years been switching around from one ruling to another as regards
championships, and they have never been satisfactory. We kept altering the rule, and everything we did we found there was something wrong with it. Before we adopt any new rule – while at the last meeting we thought we were improving the old plan, nevertheless it has been sat down upon hard by the exhibitors, and we are called here to rescind that action in May and to provide something better. Now then, let us go back to the old plan. Let the new plan be thoroughly published and argued out in the Kennel press and among the exhibitors generally before we take any action on it. I positively oppose Mr. Viti’s amendment.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I agree thoroughly with what Dr. Foote has said. I think it is a very strong argument, because the new rules, as made in my motion, should have the widest ventilation and every one should receive them before they go into effect.

MR. VITI: In view of what Dr. Foote has said I am inclined to alter my views on the subject, and I withdraw my amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will put the question on the original motion. Original motion put and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD
AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, FRIDAY,
SEPTEMBER 4TH, 1903.

In the absence of the President and Vice-President Mr. H.K. Bloodgood
presided.

Present.
American Spaniel Club                  H.K. Bloodgood
Boston Terrier Club                    Dwight Moore
Colorado Kennel Club                   James Watson
Duquesne Kennel Club of
    Western Pennsylvania                G.M. Carnochan
Great Dane Club of America             G. Muss-Arnolt
Irish Terrier Club                     S. Van Schaick
National Beagle Club                   James W. Appleton
New England Kennel Club                W.B. Emory
San Francisco Kennel Club              R.P. Keasbey
Spaniel Breeders Society               Marcel A. Viti
Haverill Kennel Club                   M.A. Knife

The Secretary read the Membership Committee’s report as follows:

New York, September 3, 1903

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held
this day, the following credentials were duly approved and their acceptance hereby recommended.

Fanciers’ Association of Indiana appointing R.E. Jones.
St. Louis Collie Club appointing Daniel Buckley.
Haverhill Kennel Club appointing M.A. Knipe
Bulldog Club of America appointing W.C. Codman
Rhode Island Kennel Club appointing William Codman
Byrn Mawr Kennel Club appointing J.A. Caldwell, Jr.

Your committee begs to report that it approved the applications for admission to membership of the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club and the Frederick County Agricultural Society on August 8th, 1903, said applications to be submitted to the Executive Board for a mail vote.

Respectfully submitted,
(Chairman) G.M. Carnochan
       S. Van Schiack
       R.H. Williams

The following named gentleman were elected as delegates from the following named Clubs:

Haverhill Kennel Club, M.A. Knipe
Fanciers’ Association of Indiana, R.E. Jones
St. Louis Collie Club, Daniel Buckley

Bulldog Club of America, W.C. Codman
Rhode Island Kennel Club, William Codman
Bryn Mawr Kennel Club, J.A. Caldwell, Jr.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, September 3, 1903

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting applications for membership were filed by the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club and the Frederick County Agricultural Society. The same having been duly approved by the Membership Committee, said applications were submitted to the Executive Board for a mail vote, which resulted in their unanimous election.

Credentials were received from nine Clubs, three of which came to hand too late to be acted upon at this meeting. Six were filed within the time required by the constitution and were referred to the Membership Committee, which will report at this meeting. Twenty-one applications for the registration of kennel names and one transfer have been received and referred to the Stud Book Committee, which will report at this meeting.

A bill for disbursements from March 10th to August
21st, $9.75, has been rendered by the Pacific Advisory Committee, and a resolution to pay same is hereby recommended. The Bay State Kennel Club, having been incorporated under the laws of Massachusetts as the Bay State Co-Operative Bench Show Association, you are requested to change the title of said Club to its cooperative title. I would recommend that the request be granted.

I have to report that Mr. Sidney Loog, of Philadelphia, Pa., competed at the late Wissahickon Show with his Great Dane, "Nana Sahib" in the puppy class, and although his dog was entered in the novice class at said show, and was present at the show at the time said novice class was judged, the owner declined to permit his dog to compete in said novice class. In accordance with Rule XV. I levied a fine of $2.00 and sent a bill for same to the owner of said dog, the receipt of which he acknowledged, and he also volunteered the information that at the time he withdrew his dog from competition he was fully aware of the fact that he was violating a rule of the American Kennel Club. Said Loog was notified on June 29th last of the fine imposed against him, and has failed to liquidate it. I would therefore recommend his disqualification.

At the meeting of the Executive Board held July 1st last, in the charges preferred by P.C. Garrigan against
H.W. Simpson, Dorchester, Mass., said Simpson was ordered to pay $30.00 to said Garrigan on or before August 1st, 1903. This order he has failed to comply with, and I have therefore disqualified said H.W. Simpson in accordance with the direction of the Executive Board.

The action of the Boston Terrier Club in specifying weights of Boston terriers in the novice class, which was declared out of order at the May meeting, has been explained by said Club that it was not its intention to submit any new rule, and that the division of weight above referred to was simply for the guidance of its own organization, and that there was no intention on the part of the Boston Terrier Club to dictate to the American Kennel Club.

Mrs. T. Earle Shreve, Burlington, N.J., requests the American Kennel Club to rescind its former action relating to the English toy spaniel, and requests that the nomenclature be designated under the name of King Charles, Prince Charles, Blenheim, and Ruby spaniels.

I ah veto report the following charges: H.G. Burns vs. Rochester Kennel Club. Charge: Said Burn is unable to obtain from the Rochester Kennel Club the prize awarded to his collie, “White Nancy”. Ernest E. Betts vs. Chicago Kennel Club. Charge: That said Chicago Kennel Club refuses to pay a special prize of $25.00 won by said Betts, and
offered by W.C. Titcomb the Chicago Kennel Club.

There being vacancies on two of our standing committee, namely, Chairman of Constitution and Rules Committee, and Member of the Stud Book Committee, occasioned by the death of our late member, Charles W. Rodman, Jr., you are requested to fill said vacancies at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion, said report was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, Sept. 3, 1903

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report.

Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1903 $12,556.46
Receipts from Jan. 1st, to date 10,715.10
Total $23,271.56
Disbursements from Jan. 1st, to date, 10,577.65
Balance on hand $12,693.91

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Treasurer
On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.

On motion of Mr. Knipe, the reading of the report of the Executive Committee was dispensed with, and the same was accepted as published in the July Gazette.

The Secretary: The next in order is the report of the special committee on Revision.

Mr. Viti: I should state that the committee have been unable to conclude the work entrusted to it, which was rather voluminous, and we had the misfortune to lose one of our prominent members. We report progress and ask to be continued to report at the next meeting.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I move that the report of the committee be accepted and the committee continued.

Motion seconded and carried.

Under the head of general business Mr. Viti nominated Mr. W.B. Emory as Chairman of the Rules Committee, made vacant by the death of Mr. Charles W. Rodman, Jr.

Mr. Appleton: I second the nomination.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I nominate Mr. G.W. Carnochan as Chairman of the Rules Committee.

Mr. Carnochan: I think Mr. Emory is a very excellent man for our Executive Board, and I feel this way about it: If the meeting wishes me to take the Chairmanship of the Rules
Committee in preference to the Chairmanship of the Membership Committee, I will be very glad to obey. I desire to do whatever is agreeable to the delegates. In that case I would resign the Chairmanship of the Membership Committee and would take great pleasure in nominating Mr. Emory as Chairman of that committee. Whatever the delegates desire, it is all the same to me, my idea always being to work for the best interests of the club.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I think the Rules Committee is such an important committee, especially at the present time, that it is our duty to elect one who can be and is always present at the work of such committee.

Mr. Emory: I second Mr. Carnochan's nomination.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I don't think it is proper to slight such an important matter. Our rules are in such a state that they need considerable overhauling, and they should be made so plain that everybody can understand them.

Mr. Viti: I think it will save trouble hereafter, but I call your attention to the constitution, which makes Mr. Carnochan ineligible for nomination, because the rules say that a person can only be Chairman of one committee. Mr. Carnochan today is the Chairman of the Membership Committee. Therefore he is ineligible to be nominated for the other office until he has resigned the Chairmanship of the Member-
ship Committee.

Mr. Carnochan: As I said before, whatever the meeting decides, it is immaterial to me.

The Chairman: If the constitution is as Mr. Viti suggests, you are not eligible.

Mr. Carnochan: If it is desirable I will resign as Chairman of the Membership Committee.

Mr. Viti: I move that the procedure be suspended in order that we may act upon Mr. Carnochan’s resignation as Chairman of the Membership Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Viti: I move that the resignation of Mr. G.M. Carnochan as Chairman of the Membership Committee be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: Now I again nominate Mr. G.M. Carnochan as Chairman of the Rules Committee.

Nomination seconded.

Mr. Viti: I nominate Mr. Emory as Chairman of the Membership Committee.

Mr. Carnochan was then elected as Chairman of the Rules Committee, and Mr. Emory was elected as Chairman of the Membership Committee.

Mr. Viti: I nominate Mr. Singleton Van Schaick as a
member of the Stub Book Committee.
  Nomination seconded.
  Mr. Singleton Van Schaick was then elected as a member of the Stud Book Committee.
  
  The Secretary: There is still a vacancy in the Rules Committee.
  Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I am not prepared to make a nomination. As I said before, this is a matter which we have been working on for year, and our rules are in such a state that we require a very good, practical dog man familiar with such things.
  Mr. Carnochan: I nominate Mr. Muss-Arnolt as a member of the Rules Committee.
  Mr. Viti: I seconded the nomination.
  Mr. Muss-Arnolt was then elected as a member of the Rules Committee.
  
  On motion, the Secretary was authorized to pay the bill of $9.75 rendered by the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements.
  
  The Secretary: The Bay State Kennel Club has been incorporated under the name of “The Bay State Co-Operative Bench Show Association,” and they desire to have their title changed on our books to their cooperation title.
  Mr. Viti: I move their request be granted.
Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: At the Wissahickon Show a man by the name of Loog, of Philadelphia, entered a Great Dane in two classes, the puppy and novice classes. He competed in the puppy class, and he says in his communication that he considered the Judge of that class a dishonest man and he declined to compete in the novice class. I notified him of the rule and sent him a bill for $2.00 fine, which he stated to me in a communication dated June 26th, if we insisted upon its payment he would pay it, but at the same time he did not withdraw his right, when he procured certain affidavits, to prefer charges against the judge for dishonest practices. I replied to him under date of June 29th. He has declined to pay his fine. I recommend, under those circumstances, that he be disqualified.

Mr. Carnochan: I move that the be given 30 days time in which to pay said fine, a the end of which time, if said fine has not been paid, he be disqualified.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I think that is showing too much leniency. In view of the manner in which he has acted, I do not think he should be given any consideration.

Mr. Carnochan: we have always given 30 days in a case like this.

Mr. Viti: I do no think we have had a case like
Mr. Carnochan: I do not mean exactly similar cases, but in cases of fines that course has been pursued.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Mr. H.G. Burns prefers charges against the Rochester Kennel Club for refusing to pay a prize awarded to his dog at its late show. That matter can only be tried by the Executive Board. I simply report it. The Chicago Kennel Club offered for W.C. Titcomb a prize of $25.00, which was awarded to a dog belonging to Ernest E. Betts. They acknowledged that they advertised that prize, but as Mr. Titcomb had not made good his donation, of course they could not pay it.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: They are responsible under our rules.

The Secretary: Yes, and that will be referred to the Executive Board under the rules.

The Secretary: The next business in order is this appeal of Mrs. T. Earle Shreve.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I move it be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

The Secretary: The Stud Book Committee has already had the matter under advisement for several months, and they determined to make them all English toy spaniels divided by
Mr. Viti: The committee took the matter up and went into it as great length, and its intent was that if Mrs. Shreve or anyone else has a Blenheim, they can register it as such, but the overwhelming majority of breeders freely admit that they interbreed the different breeds in order to make what to-day is a new breed, the English toy spaniel, but there is nothing to prevent them registering pure bred toy spaniels and King Charles as such. It is only those that are mixed that cannot be registered as pure bred, and I think this is right.

The Secretary: Within a week after the reception of this letter from Mrs. Shreve, I received two applications for registration of two separate and distinct breeds, one a Ruby and the other a Blenheim, and they were both from the same litter and by the same father and mother.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: Wouldn’t it be good policy, in reply to that letter, to send her the statement of Mr. Viti.

Mr. Viti: Send her a copy of the minutes.

The Secretary: The Secretary has never understood the case as Mr. Viti has just started. We have supposed, in carrying our the order of the Kennel Club taking effect January 1st, that all of the English toy spaniels were to be registered under the name of and known as English toy spaniels,
and all classification at the different shows have been stated to be the English toy spaniels but subdivided by different colors. In case a pure bred so-called Blenheim should come here fore registration, under my interpretation of the resolution I should register that dog as an English toy spaniel, because this office has considered since January 1st that there is no such breed known officially as the King Charles, Prince Charles, Blenheim and Ruby.

Mr. Viti: No; I understand we have a new bred on our books, namely, the English toy spaniel. We also have the old breed on our books, and if there are any living specimens of pure bred Blenheims, they may be registered as such. I understood the action of this Club to be merely the creation of a new breed of interbred dogs like cross-bred setter. There are, from the evidence that was submitted to the committee, very few pure bred Blenheim or King Charles or Prince Charles or Rubys.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I think we fully understood, all of us, that it was an absolute change, that those spaniels were only known as English toy spaniels by various colors.

Mr. Viti: We were never asked to consider the pure bred ones, and consequently we were not legislating on something we were not considering. They stood as they existed before. I think it only applies to the new breed of dog,
the English toy spaniel changed into a breed, but technically it is not such.

The Secretary: I never understood it so. I may be wrong, but for the sake of
the argument suppose that was the intention. Suppose that Mr. Viti is correct, I
would like to know how this office can determine what is a thoroughbred and what is
not. For instance, an application might be received in which none of the ancestors
had been registered, and it would therefore be impossible to determine whether this
dog was pure bred or not, and in that case we might register a pure bred King
Charles as an English toy spaniel, or vice versa, we might register an English toy
spaniel as a King Charles spaniel. There is no way for us to determine that, and
there is no question in my mind but that it was the intention to strike from our books
entirely the King Charles, Prince Charles, Ruby and Blenheim names, and in their
places make it generally the English toy spaniel.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: That is certainly the full comprehensive of it, and I do not
see how the other can come in after we have allowed the Club to use the names.

Mr. Carnochan: I call for the report of the Stud Book Committee on this
subject.

The Secretary then read the following report which was submitted at the
December meeting:
"In the matter of the distinction of toy spaniels by varieties of breeds, your committee has carefully considered all correspondence submitted to them; have informed themselves, but examination of the witnesses, as to the conditions governing the breeding of the varieties, and from the overwhelming proof of the evidence, they are forced to conclude that at present the different specimens are not produced through unbroken pedigrees of the same variety of ancestors, and they therefore recommend that King Charles, Prince Charles, Blenheim and Ruby spaniels be registered on and after January 1, 1903, as Toy Spaniels of black and tan, tri-colored, orange and white, and red toy spaniel varieties."

The Secretary then read the adoption of the amended report of the Stud Book Committee, which is as follows:

"The Chairman: The motion before the house now is Mr. Mortimer’s motion, that the word “English” be prefixed to the words “toy spaniels” in the Stud Book Committee’s report. The recommendation of the Stud Book Committee will then read as follows:

‘And they therefore recommend that King Charles, Prince Charles, Blenheim and Ruby Spaniels be registered on and after January 1, 1903, as English Toy Spaniels of black and tan, tri-colored, orange and white, and red
toy spaniel varieties’.

Mr. Viti: I am equally positive that it was the intention of the Stud Book Committee, although it does not so appear, that only the interbred specimens should be registered as English toy spaniels, and that if anyone was fortunate enough to own a pure bred specimen and could prove that it was pure bred, the name was still retained for that purpose, and that a new breed was created just the same as cross-bred setters. We have cross-bred setters to-day. We have black and tans or Irish and English setter, and because we have cross-breds it does not mean that all setters should be registered as such. We created a new breed to meet a demand for interbred dogs, but it was not the intention of the Stud Book Committee to wipe out the old breed. If anyone owned a specimen of that sort he was entitled to have it continued as such.

Mr. Carnochan: that would bring up again the matter of having special classes for them. I think, if the Stud Book Committee feels this way, their views should have some weight in this matter, and I therefore move to refer the communication of Mrs. Shreve to the Stud Book Committee for action.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary: I have here the report of the Stud Book Committee. The following applications for registration of kennel names have been approved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Badger</td>
<td>W.C. Leitsch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Falls</td>
<td>J.E. Snyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluffdale</td>
<td>J. Ross Keach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardow</td>
<td>John H. Cardow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choccolocco</td>
<td>T.G. Bush, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovercroft</td>
<td>H.B. Billings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossroads</td>
<td>Richard Harding Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ettrick</td>
<td>Thomas Grieve and John Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairlea</td>
<td>Miss Elinor Goodall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Tana</td>
<td>Thomas S. Griffith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luck</td>
<td>Charles J. Reynolds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montrose</td>
<td>J.B. Riggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans</td>
<td>Septime Villere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosedale</td>
<td>James P. Van Dyke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenango</td>
<td>John S. Leslie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Field</td>
<td>Philip Harrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>Harrison F. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower</td>
<td>R.J. Poole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise</td>
<td>S.J. Morton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Crossings</td>
<td>Alfred A. Biddle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warincot</td>
<td>Charles J. Howard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transfer

Acorn   Fred L. Seager, to Mr. & Mrs. F.L. Seager
Respectfully submitted
M.A. Viti
Chairman

On motion said report was accepted and placed on file, and the applications for registration of kennel names and transfers granted.

The Secretary:  I have nothing further to bring before this meeting in the way of routine, but I would like to state that Mr. Day, who has been with the American Kennel Club for sixteen years, is to be married the first of the coming month, and I simply notify you of the fact so that if you feel that his services here should be recognized by a testimonial or present form the Club, it is in your hands to do so.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt:  I move that the Club donates him a month’s salary.

Mr. Viti:  I second the motion.

Carried.

Mr. Carnochan:  I offer the following resolution:   That a dog can be shown in a class headed “any other terrier”, or “all other terriers”, or an miscellaneous class.
Mr. Viti: I move that the matter be referred to the Rules Committee, to give a definition of miscellaneous classes.

Motion seconded and carried.

Meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secy
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 16TH, 1903.
Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, presiding

PRESENT:

Associate Member                          W.G. Rockefeller
American Fox Terrier Club                 H.H. Hunnewell
American Spaniel Club                     H.K. Bloodgood
Atlanta Kennel Club                       Dr. H.T. Foote
Atlantic City Kennel Club                 J.S. Price, Jr.
Bay State Co-Operative Bench Show Association S.R. Cutler
Boston Terrier Club                       Dwight Moore
Bryn Mawr Kennel Club                     J.A. Caldwell, Jr.
Bulldog Club of America                   W.C. Codman
Bull Terrier Club of America              Frank H. Croker
Colorado Kennel Club                      James Watson
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania G.M. Carnochan
Great Dane Club of America                G. Muss-Arnolt
Irish Terrier Club of America             Singleton Van Schaick
Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts Henry Jarrett
Long Island Kennel Club                   Jos. M. Dale
Mascoutah Kennel Club                     C.F.R. Drake
New England Kennel Club                   W.B. Emory
New Jersey Kennel Club                    C.G. Hopton
Scottish Terrier Club of America          Richard F. Perkins
Spaniel Breeders’ Society                 Marcel A. Viti
St. Louis Collie Club                     Daniel Buckley
The Ladies’ Kennel Association of America J.B. Mortimer
Welsh Terrier Club of America             B.S. Smith
Wissahickon Kennel Club                   D. Murray Bohlen

The following delegates were duly elected.
E.S. Woodward, to represent the Chicago Kennel Club
F.H. Hoyt, to represent the Sharon Kennel Club.
Charles B. Piano, to represent the Bar Harbor Kennel Club.
J. Sergeant Price, Jr., to represent the Atlantic City Kennel Club.
Samuel R. Cutler, to represent the Bay State Co-Operative Association.
J. Roger McSherry, to represent the Frederick County Agricultural Society.

On motion the reading of the minutes was dispensed with and accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, Dec. 15, 1903

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I beg to report the receipt of three applications for Active Membership. Sept. 25th COLLIE BREEDERS’ ASSOCIATION. Dec. 4th. TOLEDO FANCIERS’ ASSOCIATION. Dec. 14th. JERSEY CITY KENNEL CLUB. The application of the COLLIE BREEDERS’ ASSOCIATION was presented to the Executive Board at its meeting the 3rd instant, and by it referred back to the Membership Committee, in order to
obtain the views of the Collie Club of America. I will present a letter from the Sec'y of the Collie Club of America, also a protest filed by D.E. Gardner, against the admission of said Club. The application of the Jersey City Club, arrived too late to be acted upon at this meeting, and will therefore have to be laid over until the annual meeting in Feb. next. The application of the Toledo Fanciers’ Association is in order.

The following credentials have been filed:
August 22nd, from the Chicago K.C.; appointing E.S. Woodward
August 26th, from the Sharon K.C. appointing F.H. Hoyt.
Nov. 10th, from the Atlantic City K.C. appointing J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Nov. 14th, from Bay State Co-Operative Assn. appointing Samuel R. Cutler.
Nov. 26th, from Frederick Co. Agl. Society, appointing J. Roger McSherry.

I beg to report that under date of Dec. 1st, charges for misconduct were preferred by T.M. Poole, against J.D. Holt, of Tamaqua, Pa. On Dec. 1st, a memorial to this Club having seventeen signatures thereto was presented requesting to restoration of the original name, namely,
Blenheim, King Charles, Ruby, and Tri-Colored, in place of the present nomenclature “The English Toy Spaniel”.

Under date of Dec. 3rd, credentials were filed by the Rochester K.C. appointing H.H. Kingston, Jr., as its delegate in place of W.J. Gram, although said credentials were not received in time, to be voted upon at this meeting, yet the same having been contested by the present delegate will be present at this meeting, to state their cases, and I have promised them that their arguments will be heard.

The Brunswick Fur Club made a deposit with claim for dates for a show of hounds under our rules, the day preceding their annual trials. Through an error of its Secretary, the wrong rules were published and finding that the owners of hounds not being sufficiently posted in the Bench Show feature, failed to support same by their entries. I was duly notified that the Bench Show had been abandoned. To-day I received a request from the delegate of said club for the return of their deposit, and will present said request at this meeting for your consideration.

The following applications have been filed for the registration of kennel names:
Sandstone  H.H. Swift
Acme        W. Wallace
Bonnie View T.A. Meyers
Brookcroft  C.E. Brown
Filston      G.W. Swartwout
Gladwood    Dr. C. Green
Glenvale    W.D. Glenn
Multnomah  F.B. Fechheimer

Also an application for the transfer of the registered name EXMOOR, from
T.F. Jager & E.A. Weimer, to E.A. Weimer.

In conclusion I beg to report that on Nov. 30th, I mailed bills for Active dues,
Associate dues, and Advertising account.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

New York, Dec. 15, 1903

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular
quarterly financial report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1903</td>
<td>$12,556.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts form Jan. 1st to date</td>
<td>14,966.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$27,522.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date</td>
<td>13,908.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$13,614.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Reports of meeting of the Executive Board were read as follows:

MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD
HELD DEC. 3rd, 1903

Present: H.K. Bloodgood, M.A. Viti, W.G. Rockefeller, J.W. Appleton, G.M. Carnochan, W.B. Emery
Absent: August Belmont, H.H. Hunnewell

H.K. Bloodgood is the Chair.

The Secretary presented the following report:

To the Executive Board of the A.K.C.

Gentlemen:

At the Sept. meeting of the
A.K.C. I reported charges against the Rochester Kennel Club by H.G. Burns for non-payment of prizes, since that time the Rochester Kennel Club has satisfied the said claim. Also charges against Chicago Kennel Club by E.E. Betts, for non-payment of a special prize of $25.00, which said Bette claimed. The Chicago K.C. disputes the claim, and has deposited the $25.00 with this club subject to its decision in the matter. At the adjourned meeting of this Board held July 1st, 1903, the Rhode Island K.C., New Jersey K.C., Twin City K.C. and Chicago K.C. appealed from the decision rendered by this Board on April 22nd, 1903, imposing a penalty of $25.00 against each of the said Clubs for holding their Shows under the wrong Rules. The Board confirmed its former action and dismissed the appeal. Thereupon the Chicago K.C. promptly paid its fine. The other three Clubs above mentioned, having failed to obey the orders of this Board I respectfully ask instructions on the premises. At the same meeting your Sec’y was directed to investigate certain charges or statements made by J.M. Taylor, at a meeting of this Board, to the effect that the Chicago Show of 1903 accepted entries through Geo. W. Clayton from Geo. S. Thomas, B.F. Lewis, and others, subsequent to the date of the closing of the entries, and said J.M. Taylor was directed to prove his statement. Your Sec’y has made the investigation he
was directed to do and now begs to report that Mr. Taylor has so far failed to produce any statement or evidence in support of his allegation. At a meeting of this Board held April 22nd, 1903, on charges preferred against Mrs. F. Senn, by Mrs. E.E. Bedford, for misrepresentations made in connection with the sale of an Eng. Toy Spaniel, Mrs. Senn was directed to make good her guarantee of refund the purchase price. The matter has been settled by the payment to the purchaser of the amount originally paid to her. At the adjourned meeting of this Board held May 19, 1903, a fine of $60.00 was imposed on the Colorado Kennel Club, for accepting 12 puppy entries at its show of 1903, all in direct violation of the rules. I beg to report that said Club has failed to pay said fine, and I therefore ask for instructions in the premises.

At the Meeting of the A.K.C. held Sept. 4, 1903, Mr. Sidney Loog, was fined $2.00 for refusing to show a dog at the late Wissahickon Show, that was entered and was present at said Show. He was given 30 days to pay said fine in default of which he was to be disqualified. I now beg to report that he paid the fine within the time granted.

The Rochester Kennel Club was fined $30.00 for accepting six puppy entries at its Show of 1903, all of which were in direct violation of the Rules, and now requests that said fine may be remitted.
I beg to report the following new cases:

Sept. 25, 1903. Tom B. Middlebrooke questions the ownership of the Collies Hanover Fascination, Hanover Fortuna, and Hanover Victoire, shown at the late Braintree and Medford Show. They were shown in the name of Mrs. J.M. Copeland, and said Middlebrooke alleges that at the time of said Shows, said dogs were the property of R.A. Murray.

Sept. 28, 1903 S. Boyd Carrigan prefers charges against Sidney Loog for assailing his honesty as a Judge and his reputation as such while in the discharge of his duty as a Judge at the late Wissahickon Show.

Oct. 9, 1903 S.H. Westcott prefers charges against W.J. Gram, late Sec'y of the Rochester K.C. and the present Delegate, from said Club to the A.K.C. for selling him a dog and giving said dog a false pedigree.

Oct. 17, 1903 The Collie Breeders' Association prefers charges against D.E. Gardner for selling a dog, giving false pedigree to said dog.

The following Clubs have filed applications for admission to membership, and said applications have been

I also report that I have handed over to the Stud Book Committee, applications for the registrations of Kennel Names, and said Committee will report on same at this meeting.

I beg to request an additional appropriation of $120 per annum to be applied to salary account.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

The different matters referred to in the above report were taken up and acted upon.
Ordered, That the New Jersey Kennel Club, Rhode Island Kennel Club, and Twin City Kennel Club, be and hereby are given 30 days within Which to pay the fine of $25.00 imposed against each Club, in Default of which said Clubs will be suspended.
Ordered, That the Colorado Kennel Club, be and hereby is given 30 days Within which to pay the fine of $60.00 in default of which said Club To be suspended.
Ordered, That the Rochester Kennel Club, be and hereby is given 30 days to Pay the fine of $30.00 in default.
of which said Club to be suspended.

Ordered, That J.M. Taylor having failed to obey the orders of this Board that the Sec'y be and hereby is instructed to advise said Taylor that he is given 30 days within which to comply with the original order, in default of which, action will be taken in reference to his non-compliance with said order. The Sec'y was granted an additional appropriation of $120 per year to be applied to the salary account E.H. Morris filed an appeal for re-instatement.

Resolved, That said appeal cannot be considered unless based on an apology to this body, and accompanied by apologies to the Show giving Club, and the Judge concerned.

Collie Breeders' Assn.

Vs.

D.E. Gardner.

The evidence submitted by both parties in this action was very voluminous and as considered with great care and attention. The Board was satisfied that the pedigree of the Collie Ravenswood Plato, registered No. 69896, is incorrect, but in its opinion no proof of intentional fraud had been produced. It was therefore, ORDERED, that said case be and hereby is dismissed, and that the registration of said dog be cancelled.
The following Clubs were duly elected to active Membership:
Franklin-Oil City Kennel Club, and the
Newport Dog Show.
The application of the Collie Breeders’ Association was referred back
to the Membership Committee, with the suggestion that said Committee should obtain the opinion of the Collie Club of America, before the application could be passed upon by the A.K.C.

Upon the recommendation of the Stud Book Committee the following applications for Kennel Names, were granted: Bethesda, to Mrs. H.M. Jones; Bijou, to Frank L. Warner; Carlston, to Mr. & Mrs. August Ulm; Crestwood, to Frank L. Sternberg; Donibristle, to W.R. Howell, M. McClau & Howard Seaver; Edgemont, W.O Hoppe; Edgevale, to J. Harding; Greystone, to S. Untermeyer; Kentco, to A.C. Bateman; Lthgow, to C.G. Gilmour; Midland, to G.R. Obermann; Mt. Pleasant, to D.C. Sands, Jr.; Placentia, to Mrs. G.A. Freeeman; Springhill, to T.W. Turner; Sylvan, to L.G. Porter & L.J. Benson; Thackeray, to Mrs. C.H. Young; Three Oaks, to T.J. Griffin; Wyomissing, to W. Steffey & G.W. Seifrit; Brandywine, transferred from Mr. & Mrs. R.F. Perkins, to R.F. Perkins & J. Copeland, Jr.
The following applications were laid over until the next meeting in order to obtain the approval of an absent member of the Committee:

Bonnie View, Glenvale, and the transfer of Exmoor.

On motion the Board adjourned to meet on Dec. 15th, at 2 P.M.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD
HELD DEC. 15TH, 1903
Present:  H.H. Hunnewell, H.K. Bloodgood, M.A. Viti, G.M. Carnochan,
W.G. Rockefeller, W.B. Emery.
Absent:   A. Belmont, J.W. Appleton.

H.K. Bloodgood in the Chair, followed by H.H. Hunnewell.

The following cases were presented and disposed of.

S.H. Westcott
Vs.  Re misconduct in connection with dogs.
W.J. Gram  In the above cases Gram sold a Boston Terrier to the plaintiff furnishing the said dog a pedigree, and stating that the dog had been bred by him. The purchaser having made inquiries as to the correct pedigree of said dog and being informed that the
dog had no pedigree, preferred the above charges for misconduct. Late on the defendant acknowledged he had made a mistake and took the dog back. In his defense however he furnished the A.K.C. with a memorandum upon which he based his claim that the original pedigree was correct. The documentary evidence furnished by both sides was very full and voluminous and received careful consideration which resulted in the following finding:

Whereas, from the evidence in this case the Board is of the opinion that the dog sold by the defendant was given an improper pedigree, and was deliberately misrepresented by him and therefore it is

Ordered, that W.J. Gram be and hereby is disqualified.

---------

E.E. Betts

Re claim for unpaid special prize.

Chicago K.C. In this case it is claimed that a special for $25.00 was wrongfully withheld from the winner. The Committee of the Chicago K.C. giving as a reason for the withholding of said prize, that the Judge failed to call the competing dogs in the ring, and did judge said special from his judging books in the regular classes. The Chicago K.C. however deposited with the A.K.C. a check for $245.00 to be
disbursed according to its decision.

The charges were dismissed in as much as the Chicago K.C. had withheld the prize, subject to the decision of the A.K.C. It was therefore

Resolved,  That according to the rules, the Judge’s award is final, and there being no breach of the rules alleged it is

Ordered,  That the claim of Betts for the special in question shall be paid to Him.

--------

T.B. Middlebrooke

vs.  Re misconduct in connection with shows.

R.A. Murray  Middlebrooke made the statement that certain Collies shown at the late Braintree and Medford, shows in the name of Mrs. J.M. Copeland, were the property of R.A. Murray. A written statement from both Murray and Copeland contradicted that made by Middlebrooke. The case was dismissed for the reason that the statement of said Middlebrooke had not been substantiated.

--------

S. Boyd Carrigan

vs.  Re misconduct in connection with dog shows.

Sidney Loog  The plaintiff in this action judged Gt. Danes a the late Wissahickon Show, and was accused by
The defendant as being a dishonest and crooked Judge in a letter written by him to the A.K.C., which is the cause of this action. The Board carefully considered the many statements and affidavits filed in the case with the following result:

Whereas, the statement that the Judge at the Wissahickon Show of 1903, was crooked, not having been proven, and the action of the defendant at said Show being prejudicial to the best interests of dog shows, it is therefore

Ordered, that Sidney Loog be and hereby is disqualified.

Adjourned,

Signed A.P. Vredenburgh,
Sec’y

On motion said reports were accepted and placed on file.

The Toledo Fanciers Association was duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

(At this point Mr. Hunnewell resigned the Chair to Mr. Bloodgood.)

The Secretary read the report of the Stud Book Committee as follows:

The Stud Book Committee have approved of the
following applications for the registration of kennel names:

- Sandstone    H.H. Swift
- Acme         William Wallace
- Bonnie View  T.A. Meyers
- Brookcroft   Clarence E. Brown
- Filston      G.W. Swartwout
- Gladwood     Dr. Charles Green
- Glenvale     W.D. Glenn
- Multnomah    F.B. Fechheimer

TRANSFER

EXMOOR From T.F. Jager & E.A. Weimer, To E.A. Weimer

The Stud Book Committee also reports that at a meeting held upon the 21st day of November, they decided to recommend to the American Kennel Club that a section be opened in the Stud Book for the registration of foreign dogs, and that all foreign dogs should be registered under such designation, the breed of each however to be specified. They also recommend that for the present Boxer dogs and German Pincher dogs be eligible. Other breeds will be reported upon later.

Secretary Vredenburgh: I have a communication from the Brunswick Fur Club, asking for the return of their deposit of $25.00, which amount was forfeited under the rules
of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I move that their request be granted.
Motion seconded and carried.
THE CHAIR: I would call your attention to the fact that such action is contrary to our rules.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Haven’t we got the power?
THE CHAIRMAN: You can donate them $25.00, but according to the rules that amount has been forfeited.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Why can’t we transfer this to another year?
THE SECRETARY: You can.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move to reconsider my motion.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that this deposit be transferred to cover dates for their Show to be held in 1904, under the conditions as mentioned in their statement, inasmuch as the rules do not permit the American Kennel Club to return a deposit in case the Show is not held.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have to report a memorial to this Club, containing 17 signatures, requesting the restoration of the original names, namely, Blenheim, King Charles, Ruby, and Tri-Colored, in place of the present nomenclature
“The English Toy Spaniel”. I think this matter is now in the hands of the Stud Book Committee.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I was just going to state that, and I move that it be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The application of the Collie Breeders’ Association was referred back to the Membership Committee at the meeting of the Executive Board on the 3rd instant, with the suggestion that that Committee should communicate with the Collie Club of America in order to obtain their views on the advisability of admitting another Collie Club.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: What is the location of that proposed club?

THE SECRETARY: Chicago. I received under date of December 12th, a communication signed by William C. Hunter, Secretary of the Collie Club of American, which I read.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Is the Collie Club of America a member of this Club?

THE SECRETARY: Yes. I have also a protest dated December 10th. This was referred back to the Committee with this suggestion from the Collie Club of America.

MR. JARRETT: Was there any objection made to the St. Louis Collie Club joining the American Kennel Club?
THE SECRETARY: None whatever.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: If you permit another Club to join, as we have the St. Louis Club, I cannot see why the same precedent does not work for the Chicago Collie Club. Of course every Club might say that we will take care of it, but I don't think we should prevent the spreading of more Club. If they thing they can take care of themselves, I do not think that we should stop them.

MR. CROKER: I think if the Collie Club of American objects to this Breeders' Association, and if this Collie Club of America is in good standing with the American Kennel Club, the American Kennel Club ought to protect its interest, so long as the Collie Club of America is doing its best to advance the interests of the breed throughout the country and stand willing to do whatever the members and breeders want them to do. I think that it is the duty of this Club to look out for the interests of its members, and I do not think it would be right for us to allow another Club in the field which is working along the same lines as the Collie Club. I think this is a very important matter and should received full and proper consideration.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think as a rule the American Kennel Club has protected its members in that manner, but how about this St. Louis Club, was that considered in the
same manner?

THE SECRETARY: Nothing was said. Their application came in regular form and was approved by the Membership Committee, and then they were elected without any opposition.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Then you have to ask the St. Louis Kennel Club; they are members of the Club. They have an interest there or they would not form that club. This is too big a country for us to say that one club can cover it all. You have those clubs of different breeds in different sections of the country. There should be better reasons given before we refuse to admit them.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Collie Club of America is a national club, and the St. Louis Kennel Club is a local club. That makes quite a difference.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: They propose to be a national club.

THE CHAIRMAN: But they are not at the moment, as I understand it.

MR. CROKER: The Collie Club, I think, should be protested. They offer specials, and they have for years past, and have done everything to help the different Shows along, and if this other club comes into the field it is certainly going to do them harm, and I think this club ought to look out for their interests, no matter what precedent has
been established.

MR. MORTIMER: While I can hardly see the reason or necessity for another Collie Club, yet I think this club has established a precedent which you cannot very well disregard. There is the American Spaniel Club, and then there was also the Spaniel Breeders’ Society.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Spaniel Breeders’ Society was started to help the Spaniel Club, and it worked in every way for them, and the consent of the Spaniel Club was gotten by the American Kennel Club and signed by the officers of the American Spaniel Club before the Spaniels Breeders’ Society was elected to this club. That is the situation there. The precedent that Mr. Mortimer speaks of was considered by the American Kennel Club. This Club refused to elect the Spaniels Breeders’ Society without the consent of the American Spaniel Club.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: They have done nothing of the kind with the Collie Club of St. Louis. Isn’t the St. Louis Club represented here? Hasn’t it a delegate here? It is an independent club if it has a delegate.

MR. MORTIMER: Then there is the Ohio Valley Fox Terrier Club, I think it is named.

(At this point Mr. Hunnewell resumed the Chair.)

THE SECRETARY: In so far as the Fox Terrier Clubs
are concerned, the National Club – in other words, the American Fox Terrier Club, has heretofore been perfectly willing for these smaller Fox Terrier Clubs to become members as I understand.

THE CHAIRMAN: They belong to the American Fox Terrier Club in exactly the same way as the different clubs belong to this club.

MR. CUTLER: I understand that both these clubs are to have jurisdiction, so to speak, over the whole country. That is true, isn’t it?

THE SECRETARY: I suppose so, so far as they can get it.

MR. CUTLER: I think that is against one of your fundamental principles that two clubs should have jurisdiction over the same territory. That point was raised some time ago over the club which I have the honor to represent. The Bay State Club excluded Boston from its jurisdiction in order that it might not conflict with the New England Kennel Club. I think that is just the principle, as I understand it.

DR. FOOTE: It strikes me it is all wrong to admit local clubs. If the breeding interest in any particular breed becomes so large throughout the country that every State or every large City wants to start a local clubs, it seems to me that they should work by delegates through the
National body, and should have only one organization, such as it represented here in the American Kennel Club. I think it is all wrong that there should be delegates from two Collie Clubs, or delegates from two Spaniel Clubs. I think the National body should be organized the same as our body is, and let local clubs be members of the National body with representation in the National body.

MR. CARNOCHAN: With reference to what the delegate from the Bay State Association has said, I may mention the case of the two Chicago club. There we have given representation to two clubs, the Mascoutah Kennel Club and the Chicago Kennel Club.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Mascoutah Kennel Club was the parent club, and did not object to the Chicago Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: The Chicago Kennel Club was a club of ladies who entered pet dogs, and the original name of the Chicago Kennel Club was the Chicago Pet Dog Club, and as such was admitted, and then after the Mascoutah Kennel Club gave up giving shows, which they did on account of the censure imposed on it by this body two years ago, the Chicago Pet Dog Club applied for the right to change their title to the Chicago Kennel Club, which was granted. That is the history of that.

MR. VITI: I should like to call attention to this
point: This is a specialty club that seeks admission, and it will have standards of its own. It cannot be for the best interest of any breed to have two or three conflicting standards. While I know absolutely nothing about this new club, I think it should receive the endorsement of the Collie Club of America before it applies for membership here. I do not think it is to the best interest of the dog to have specialty clubs for one breed if they are antagonistic to each other.

MR. BUCKELY: Aside from the fact of their being specialty clubs, it has always been the ruling of the American Kennel Club that two clubs covering the same ground should not exist without the consent of the parent or older club. If this Collie Breeders’ Association is a general society covering the whole United States, it is manifestly unfair to admit them in view of the protest of the Collie Club of America. If, on the contrary, it is a western club, and it assumes a local aspect, it should not be admitted without the consent of the Secretary of the St. Louis Kennel Club, which I represent.

MR. CARNOCHAN: When the application of the Spaniel Breeders’ Society came before the Membership Committee, that point was thoroughly thrashed out, that we should not accept the name of any club for membership unless it had the consent
of the original club of the breed in the American Kennel Club, and for that reason we returned the application of the Spaniel Breeders’ Society, and received a written letter from the Secretary of the Spaniel Society consenting to their becoming members of the American Kennel Club. That is the precedent which was made at that time, and it was in view of the action of the committee taken in that case that the application of the Collie Breeders’ Association was referred back.

MR. JARRETT: I move that unless the consent of the Collie Club of America is obtained, the application of the Collie Breeders’ Society shall not be granted.

Motion seconded.

MR. VITI: Why not make it general? I offer an amendment to the motion, that where a specialty club exists no other such club shall be admitted to membership without filing with the American Kennel Club the consent in writing of the older club.

MR. JARRETT: I accept the amendment.

Motion as amended and seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: W.J. Gram, the delegate representing the Rochester Kennel Club, was disqualified yesterday for misconduct. Does that disqualification give me authority to remove his name as a delegate, or do you want to pass a
special resolution as this meeting?

THE CHAIRMAN: According to our regular rules, no man who is disqualified can serve as an officer of the American Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: The action taken yesterday authorizes me to drop his name as a delegate. I ask for information.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: The rules must be applicable there. No vote is necessary.

MR. MORTIMER: I think that should follow logically. I do not think there is any necessity for our taking any action in the matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: Unless I hear some objection, the Chair will rule that the rules of the American Kennel Club remove him from office.

THE SECRETARY: The Rochester Kennel Club, under date of December 2nd, upon the regular printed heading of the Rochester Kennel Club, giving all the officers, one of whom is H.H. Kingston, Jr., the Secretary, filed these credentials. At a recent meeting of the Rochester Kennel Club, Mr. H.H. Kingston, Jr., was appointed as a delegate from that club to this club. Mr. Gram and Mr. Kingston were invited by me to be present at this meeting, so that this club could decide what is the proper Board of Officers from the Rochester Kennel
Club.

MR. VITI: He cannot be the Secretary.

THE SECRETARY: This communication was dated before he was disqualified and when he was in good standing.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Does a disqualified man have any standing on this floor?

THE CHAIRMAN: None.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Is not the club disqualified also?

THE CHAIRMAN: No; he was disqualified from his own personal acts.

THE SECRETARY: They make a claim here that there are two factions in that club, each claiming to be in control of each claiming to have its Board of Officers, and here is a claim made by one faction that the present administration, or alleged present administration, was illegally elected. We must fight this thing out sometime in order to know whom to recognize. Leaving Mr. Gram out of the question, here is a gentleman that has come all the way from Rochester to state his side of the question, and it was at my invitation. I think that you should back me up to that extent to allow him to make his statement.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Since when can this club go into factional fights of different clubs? What have we got
to do with it? Let them fight it out themselves.

MR. VITI: I think you are perfectly right about that, Mr. Muss-Arnolt.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Whose suit is being contested here, Mr. Gram’s?

THE SECRETARY: No, Mr. Kingston’s.

MR. VITI: He is an officer of the club, but not a delegate here?

THE SECRETARY: I have his credentials here as delegate.

MR. VITI: I did not understand that.

It was moved and seconded that the club should hear Mr. Kingston.

Carried.

MR. KINGSTON: May I ask that Mr. Wallace, who is speaking for us, be present – the Vice-President of our club?

THE CHAIRMAN: Is he here to corroborate you or to defend your case?

MR. KINGSTON: To answer any questions that may be asked.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I cannot see why we should here either of these gentlemen until we find out whether Mr. Kingston is coming here to be elected as a delegate, or what
his object is. Have his credentials been here the proper length of time?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Then I move that the matter be declared out of order.

MR. VITI: I voted for that motion, and I ask that we reconsider it. My idea is this, that there is absolutely nothing before us. I understood these credentials were here to be acted upon, but I see that they are only here for the purpose of being filed, and for no other purpose whatsoever. We have absolutely nothing before us; we have been simply indirectly informed that there is a quarrel in the Rochester Kennel Club between themselves as to whom should hold office in the Rochester Kennel Club. I think it would be a very bad precedent for us to start in to referee disputes in every club. We have a very large membership, and active clubs, and we would have to take a year or two off if they have many fights. I voted to hear Mr. Kingston. Now I vote that we rescind that action.

MR. JARRETT: I second the motion.

MR. MORTIMER: I think it would be only fair for us to allow them to come in and for us to hear what they have got to say.

THE SECRETARY: This matter has got to come up within a few days before the Membership Committee. I have
here the statement of one faction which I will put before the Membership Committee. Now, I think it is only fair to the Membership Committee that these gentlemen should present the other side so that the Membership Committee can have both sides of the question before them when they consider these credentials which will be properly before us within three or four days.

MR. CARNOCHAN: It was not until yesterday that we ceased to have a delegate from the Rochester Kennel Club. Therefore it was not until yesterday that these credentials had any right to be here at all, whether they were dated before or not.

THE CHAIRMAN: They have a right to change their delegate at any time they wish to do so.

MR. CARNOCHAN: We now have an application which has not been here the proper length of time to be passed on. That application comes down signed by the proper officials of the Rochester Kennel Club. It is not a matter for this Board to take into consideration at all. The gentlemen are here; the Membership Committee is here. If the Membership Committee want to take these gentlemen aside and interview them, it is in the province of the Membership Committee to do so. I do not think that we ought to have anything to do with this matter until it has come before the
Membership Committee.

The motion to rescind was then carried.

DR. FOOTE: It would not be proper to move that the Membership Committee meet these gentlemen, but I think they should do so. I think as a matter of courtesy they should talk the matter over with them.

THE SECRETARY: I have another communication on the same subject from W.J. Gram. I have no right to pigeonhole it. It is for you to decide whether I shall present this communication or not.

DR. FOOTE: I move that the whole matter be referred to the Membership Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. WATSON: Under the head of new business I offer the following resolution: That the Secretary enter into communication with the Treasury Department with the view of having the English Kennel Gazette and American Kennel Gazette recognized as official records of the respective kennel clubs for the purposes of importation of dogs.

The resolution was seconded, and after considerable discussion, lost.

MR. CARNOCCHAN: I would like to bring up the matter of Express rates. We have all been suffering lately under that burden, and I would like to make a motion that a
Committee of three be appointed by the Chair to confer with the Express Companies, and endeavor to get lower rates to breeders in general.

MR. VITI: I second that motion, and I think that it is a proper subject for the American Kennel Club to take up, because the rates are not only high, but they are exorbitant; then exhibitors are treated with very little consideration. The companies seem to carry dogs in any way they see fit, and they handle them as roughly as they can; they never feed or water them; they let them stay in the train sheds over night, and in view of the fact that they charge double rates for carrying dogs, it is a very serious thing, and one that the American Kennel Club could not do better than to counteract. The only thing to be said against it is that we went through this performance some years ago when Mr. Belmont tried to do something in the interest of dog exhibitors. If I recollect rightly Mr. Belmont was Chairman of that Committee, and they were unable to get any concession whatsoever from the Express Companies after a correspondence running over two years.

MR. CARNOCHAN: In this connection I think that possibly the Express Companies might be inclined to do something for us if they had pointed out to them some of the idiosyncrasies of the present methods. I have
been studying Express rates lately, and I find that I can send a dog to Fargo, North Dakota, cheaper than I can send it to Newark, New Jersey, or possibly for the same amount. Under the present system where the rates are over $2.00 a hundred, if a person applies as a breeder, he can procure single rates, but where the rates are under $2.00 a hundred, there is no rebate, and the rates are double. Therefore, for example, if you are sending to a place where the rate is $1.80 per hundred, you pay $3.60, or if you are sending to a place where the regular rate is $3.00, you only pay $3.00, and I think if those facts were brought to the notice of the Express Companies, they would probably arrive at some other satisfactory conclusion.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such Committee, Mr. Carnochan as Chairman, and Mr. Rockefeller and Mr. Mortimer as members.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to make a motion that a win of first prize in a local class should not debar dog from being entered in a regular novice class.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think that would have to go before the Rules Committee.

MR. MORTIMER: Then I would like to have my motion referred to the Rules Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Sec'y
AKC'S FIRST ARTICLES of INCORPORATION

Statement Made at the Annual Meeting Following the Filing of the Articles of Incorporation

THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, Tuesday, February 9, 1904

President August Belmont in the Chair.

THE PRESIDENT: All the officers and committees for the ensuing year having been elected, and as I said to you, I am very sorry I cannot remain through the meeting on account of a very important engagement, I would like to say a few words to the delegates in regard to the question of the incorporation of the American Kennel Club. As you know, it is an unincorporated body. There is a lot of dead wood, I believe, in the way of resolutions and records, &c., which, I understand, is somewhat embarrassing to members of the club. A simple and a very effective method of incorporation has been adopted by the Committee which you appointed some time ago to take steps with a view of incorporating. I believe it was generally felt that the incorporation of the American Kennel Club was a desirable thing to have done. Therefore a separate corporation was formed and incorporated, to which you can make over your entire organization, assets, &c.; that is passing from one into the other. I have had the matter thoroughly explained to me, and I am satisfied that it is the best course to pursue, and when it comes before you, if I am not present, Mr. Viti, who is familiar with the subject, will explain it to you. I want to state that it has my approval, and of course from the Chair I could not advocate it, but I would be prepared to do so were I asked to do it on the floor. If the matter comes up you can consider the subject as having been thoroughly gone into by me, and I feel that there is no risk to be run. In doing so you should safeguard the mere fact that you have passed into the new organization with all that belongs to you, and such of your rules and regulations as are in existence, so as not to open the door to having a complete readjustment. It would have been necessary, if you had incorporated, I think, to have gone through a great deal of trouble, but this is a short cut which I think you will all find desirable. Mr. Viti and Mr. Carnochan have been over the scheme and it has met their approval. I wanted to say to you that the demand for it, the need of it, the details of it, are, I think, for the best interests of the Club. If you will kindly excuse me, gentlemen, I will keep my appointment for half-past two o'clock today.
THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB was held at its offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, New York City, Tuesday, February 9, 1904

President AUGUST BELMONT, presiding.

PRESENT

Associate Members    W.G. Rockefeller
American Dachshund Club    Dr. C. Motschenbacher
Atlantic City Kennel Club    J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Bar Harbor Kennel Club    Charles B. Pineo
Bay State Co-operative
    Bench Show Association    Samuel R. Cutter
Boston Terrier Club    Dwight Moore
Bryn Mawr Kennel Club    J.A. Caldwell, Jr.
Bulldog Club of America    W.C. Codman, Jr.
Bull Terrier Club of America    Frank H. Croker
Chicago Kennel Club    E.S. Woodward
Collie Club of America    M.M. Palmer
Columbus Fanciers' Club    J.M. Taylor
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania    G.M. Carnochan
French Bulldog Club of America    F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America    G. Muss-Arnolt
Irish Terrier Club of America    Singleton Van Schaick
Ladies' Kennel Association Of Massachusetts    Henry Jarrett
Long Island Kennel Club    Jos. M. Dale
Mascoutah Kennel Club    C.F. R. Drake
Milwaukee Kennel Club    Dr. D.D. Jennings
New England Beagle Club    John Caswell
New England Kennel Club    W.B. Emery
Pointer Club of America    Ancell H. Ball
San Francisco Kennel Club    R.P. Keasbey
Scottish Terrier Club of America    Richard F. Perkins
Spaniels Breeders' Society    Marcel A. Viti
Texas Kennel Club    Geo. W. Clayton
Welsh Terrier Club of America    B.S. Smith
Westminster Kennel Club    Richard H. Williams
Wissahickon Kennel Club    D. Murray Bohlen

-----------------------------

THE PRESIDENT: It is customary at this meeting, the annual meeting, that the regular business be suspended and that the Association proceed to the election
of officers for the ensuing year. Under those circumstances a motion is in order for some person to take the chair and preside during the election of officers.

On motion, Mr. Marcel Viti was selected as the Chairman.

THE SECRETARY: The first office to be filled is that of President.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I nominate Mr. August Belmont as President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.

Motion seconded.

On motion nominations were declared closed.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question now before the house is the election of Mr. Belmont as President.

Mr. August Belmont was unanimously elected as President for the ensuing year.

Mr. Belmont resumed the chair.

THE PRESIDENT: Gentlemen, I thank you very much for the honor you have conferred upon me. I have always felt that I did not give as much time to the affairs of the Kennel Club as I think they should receive. At the same
time you have my earnest interest, and I shall do as well as I can during the ensuing year.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. H.H. Hunnewell to succeed himself as Vice President of the Association.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I second the nomination.

On motion nominations were declared closed, and Mr. H.H. Hunnewell was elected Vice President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.

THE SECRETARY: The next in order are the standing committees. Stud Book Committee. The first is Marcel A. Viti, Chairman.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I nominate Mr. Viti to succeed himself as Chairman of the Stud Book Committee.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. G.M. Carnochan as Chairman of the Rules Committee to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations were declared closed, and Mr. Carnochan was duly elected as Chairman of the Rules Committee.

THE SECRETARY: The next is the field trials and
Coursing Meetings Committee. Mr. J.W. Appleton was Chairman in 1903.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I nominate Mr. Appleton to succeed himself as Chairman of that committee.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations were declared closed, and Mr. J.W. Appleton was elected Chairman of the Field Trials and Coursing Meetings Committee.

THE SECRETARY: The next in order is the Finance Committee. Mr. William G. Rockefeller is the present Chairman.

MR. CROKER: I nominate Mr. William G. Rockefeller to succeed himself as Chairman of the Finance Committee.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations were declared closed, and Mr. William G. Rockefeller was elected Chairman of the Finance Committee for the ensuing year.

THE SECRETARY: The Membership Committee is next in order, Mr. William B. Emery, Chairman.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. Emery to succeed himself as Chairman of that committee.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations were declared closed, and Mr. William B. Emery was elected as Chairman of the Membership Committee.
THE PRESIDENT: The Chairman of the various committees having been elected, the next business will be the election of the committees themselves. The Stud Book Committee consisted of Mr. M.A. Viti, Chairman, and J.W. Appleton, Singleton Can Schaick. I think I can save time by reading the names of the committee members, and unless nominations are made changing in any way the committees, those committees will be continued as they are. Committee on Constitution and Rules, G.M. Carnochan, Chairman; James Watson, Henry Jarrett, G. Muss-Arnolt and A.P. Vredenburgh.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Mr. Watson is not a delegate at the present time and is therefore ineligible to serve. I nominate in his place, Mr. E. S. Woodward.

THE PRESIDENT: I continue reading the committees: Committee on Field Trials and Coursing Meetings. J.W. Appleton, Chairman; D.S. Summers, John Caswell and R. Crompton. Finance Committee: William G. Rockefeller, Chairman; G. Carnochan and Frank H. Croker. Membership Committee: William B. Emery, Chairman; Singleton Van Schaick and R.H. Williams. If there is no objection, I will put the motion on all the committees to be re-elected with the exception of the Committee on Constitution and Rules.
All in favor of the re-election of the members of the Stud Book Committee, Field, Trials and Coursings Meetings Committee, Finance Committee, and Membership Committee will please signify the same by saying yes.

Motion unanimously carried.

THE PRESIDENT: With regard to the Committee on Constitution and Rules, Mr. James Watson not being a delegate, he would not be eligible to serve.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I nominate Mr. E.S. Woodward in place of Mr. Watson.

On motion, nominations were declared closed.

THE PRESIDENT: I put the motion on the election of the entire committee, substituting Mr. E.S. Woodward in the place of James Watson.

Motion carried.

THE PRESIDENT: All the officers and committees for the ensuing year having been elected, and as I said to you, I am very sorry I cannot remain through the meeting on account of a very important engagement, I would like to say a few words to the delegates in regard to the question of the incorporation of the American Kennel Club. As you know, it is an unincorporated body. There is a lot of dead wood, I believe, in the way of resolutions and records, &c., which, I understand, is somewhat
embarrassing to members of the club. A simple and a very effective method of incorporation has been adopted by the Committee which you appointed some time ago to take steps with a view of incorporating. I believe it was generally felt that the incorporation of the American Kennel Club was a desirable thing to have done. Therefore a separate corporation was formed and incorporated, to which you can make over your entire organization, assets, &c.; that is, passing from one into the other. I have had the matter thoroughly explained to me, and I am satisfied that it is the best course to pursue, and when it comes before you, if I am not present, Mr. Viti, who is familiar with the subject, will explain it to you. I want to state that it has my approval, and of course from the Chair I could not advocate it, but I would be prepared to state that it has my approval, and of course from the Chair I could not advocate it, but I would be prepared to do so were I asked to do it on the floor. If the matter comes up you can consider the subject as having been thoroughly gone into by me, and I feel that there is no risk to be run. In doing so you should safeguard the mere fact that you have passed into the new organization with all that belongs to you, and such of your rules and regulations as are in existence, so as not to open the door to having a complete readjustment. It would have been necessary, if you had incorporated, I think, to have
gone through a great deal of trouble, but this is a short cut which I think you will all find desirable. Mr. Viti and Mr. Carnochan have been over the scheme and it has met their approval. I wanted to say to you that the demand for it, the need of it, the details of it, are, I think, for the best interests of the Club. If you will kindly excuse me, gentlemen, I will keep my appointment for half-past two o'clock to-day.

On motion, Mr. H.K. Bloodgood was elected as Chairman.

THE SECRETARY: I have here the certificate, being the result of the election of associate officers and delegates. The same is as follows:

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTIONS OF ASSOCIATE OFFICERS AND DELEGATES FOR 1904

New York, Feb. 6, 1904

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, in accordance with Article XI, Section 6 of the American Kennel Club Constitution, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club for the purpose of
opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, for the officers and delegates of the Associate Members of said Club, to hold office for the term of one year from this date, or until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of the Associate Members entitled to vote to be 215; total number of votes cast, 120, total number of votes scattering, 13; total number of irregular votes and thrown out, 2.

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members, of the year ending in February, 1905, to wit:

President:  H.K. Bloodgood
Vice President:  Pierre Lorillard, Jr.
Secretary:  H.T. Peters
(1) Delegate:  W.G. Rockefeller
(2) Delegate:  George H. Gooderham
(3) Delegate:  Howard Willets

(Signed)

E.S. Woodward
Representing the President, A.K.C.

H.K. Bloodgood
President of Associates A.K.C.

(Attest)  A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary A.K.C.
On motion of Mr. Carnochan the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and accepted as published in the December Gazette.

The Secretary then read the report of the Membership Committee as follows:

New York, Feb. 8, 1904

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, the following applications for membership were submitted:

Dec. 14, 1903    Jersey City Kennel Club
Jan. 19, 1904    Valley Fair Kennel Club
Jan. 27, 1904    Cincinnati Kennel Club
Feb, 1, 1904     Japanese Spaniel Club

Also as application from the Dog Owner’s Protective Association of Cincinnati for reinstatement. Also credentials from the Rochester Kennel Club, appointing H.H. Kingston, Jr., as its delegate. Your Committee begs to report as follows:

That it respectfully approves and recommends the admission of the Jersey City K.C., Valley Fair K.C., Cincinnati K.C., and the Japanese Spaniel Club, and withholds its approval from the reinstatement of the Dog Owners
Protective Assn of Cincinnati, believing that the application of said Club for
reinstatement was prompted by a desire to prevent the admission of any other Club
from that City. The credentials from the Rochester K.C. appointing H.H. Kingston, Jr.
as its delegate were investigated by your Committee at the last meeting, the same
having been contested by the late delegate W.J. Gram. In the opinion of your
Committee the statements made by the said Gram, in his letter, Dec. 1, 1903 are in
error, as proven by the records shown by Mr. Kingston, who having all books and
documents belonging to the Rochester K.C. in his possession, and it is therefore
decided that he was at that time the Sec'y of the Rochester K.C. and your
Committee hereby approves his credentials as delegate and recommends his
acceptance.

Respectfully submitted,
Wm. B. Emery, Chairman

MR. H.H. KINGSTON, Jr. was elected to represent the Rochester Kennel
Club as delegates.

The Jersey City Kennel Club, the Valley Fair Kennel Club and the Japanese
Spaniel Club were duly elected as members of the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIR: What is your pleasure in regard to the application of the
Cincinnati Kennel Club?
THE SECRETARY: The Cincinnati Kennel Club and the Dog Owners Protective Association, a former member which was dropped in May, 1903, for non-payment of dues, sent their applications, and they were received here in the same mail. The Dog Owners Protective Association asked for reinstatement, and paid its dues for 1903, for which they were dropped and the present dues for 1904. The Membership Committee before whom these two applications came, disapproved of the reinstatement of the Dog Owners Protective Association and recommend the election of the Cincinnati Kennel Club. The grounds upon which they base their recommendation is that it is their belief that the Dog Owners Protective Association apply for reinstatement only in order to prevent the admission of an allied club, and it is now the duty of this meeting to decide whether they will endorse the recommendation of the Membership Committee or not.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: If the Membership Committee, as a committee will state that it is their opinion – and of course they would not give it except they have very salient proof of that, this club should certainly accept it.

THE CHAIR: They state that in their report here, that they believe that to be the fact.

MR. CULVER: I think we ought to have some statement by
somebody who has made an investigation. We want to vote intelligently. I do not know that I could vote intelligently until I know something about the facts.

MR. EMERY: It is a curious thing that two letters were sent, one sending back dues and the other making application for reinstatement should come by the same mail. The excuses given for the non-payment of dues seemed very weak to the Membership Committee. The club which now applies for membership has a record of being a live club, and it encloses catalogues of shows which it has given; the membership of the club is large and enthusiastic and active, while, on the other hand, the membership of the club which is in arrears is a very small club and very little known. We sifted the matter over thoroughly, and thought if there was to be a club represented here from Cincinnati, we should take the best club that they had. That is the reason we disapproved the application of the Dog Owners Protective Association and approved the application of the Cincinnati Kennel Club.

MR. CLAYTON: I attended their last show, and they gave a very creditable little show, and I would like to move that they be admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club.

MR. MOORE: I second the motion.
MAJOR TAYLOR: I do not know a single person that is behind the present club, but I do know that Mayor Fleischman and a large body of Cincinnati sport men were behind the other club. They got into a row between themselves about the disposition of some prizes, and this new club was formed before they settled it.

MR. VITI: Do I understand Mr. Clayton to say that the new club had held shows?

MR. CLAYTON: It has held three very successful shows in Cincinnati.

MR. VITI: Do you know how many shows the Dog Owners Protective Association has held recently?

MR. EMERY: That I cannot say. I think they held a show two years ago.

MR. VITI: How recently were these three shows held by the new club, Mr. Clayton?

MR. CLAYTON: The last one was held last December.

MR. VITI: Your records will show the numbers of shows held by the Dog Owners Protective Association?

THE SECRETARY: I think in 1901?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: If the Constitution Kennel Club held shows and filed catalogues here, how is it that they are
not members?

THE SECRETARY: They did not hold it under American Kennel Club rules, because of the Dog Owners Protective Association, which they thought was still a members, they simply sent the catalogues to show that they were giving shows with their application. I would like to state that in November, 1902, I sent a bill to the Dog Owners Protective Association for dues payable on or before January 1, 1903, to which they did not reply, not did the communication come back to this office. I sent a second bill to them and received no reply. Then by virtue of a resolution passed by the annual meeting, I sent a final bill to them and notified them that they would be dropped if they did not pay their dues within thirty days. I received no reply. At the expiration of thirty days they were dropped from the roll. I heard nothing from them until last month when they said that they would like to be re-instated and asked how much they owned. I sent them word that they were dropped from the roll for non-payment of the 1903 dues, and they sent in their application with dues of 1903 and 1904. I found the communication here in my first mail on the 27th day of January, and in the same mail I found the original application from the Cincinnati
Kennel Club.

On the question being put, the Cincinnati Kennel Club was elected by a vote of 24 to 1.

The Secretary then read his quarterly report, as follows:

New York, Feb. 8, 1904

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly report:

All matters to be acted upon by the Nominating Committee, Rules Committee, the Stud Book Committee, and the Membership Committee, have been handed over to their respective committees, and reports by said Committees will be presented at this meeting.

The following charges for misconduct have been lodged with this office and will be presented to the Executive Board at its first meeting. Dec. 1st, 1903: T.M. Poole, vs. J.D. Holt; Dec. 19th, 1903, H.W. Owens vs. C.B. Pineo; Jan. 23rd, 1904, Samuel Chew vs. Wilson Barnard.

I present herewith a bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements, from Oct. 25th, 1903, to Jan. 25th, 1904, amounting to $6.70, and would recommend payment of same.
At a recent meeting of the Executive Board, J.M. Taylor was directed to substantiate his statement made at a former meeting of the Executive Board, to the effect that the Chicago Kennel Club, has violated the rule in accepting entries after the advertised date of closing of same. Within the time granted by the Board, Mr. Taylor did comply with the orders of said Board, withdrawing his former statement, but stating that at the time that he made the charge he believed it to be true.

The following Clubs were penalized by your Secretary for violation of Dog Show Rules. Dec. 21st, 1903, Colorado Kennel Club, $60.00; Jan 4th, 1904, Rochester Kennel Club, $30.00. The first named Club declined to pay the penalty, and was suspended by order of the Executive Board. The last named Club paid the penalty, under protest. The reason given by both clubs for the above action is based upon their claim that the penalty imposed was unconstitutional.

I beg to present for your consideration a protest signed by James Watson, delegate of the Colorado Kennel Club, suspended, against depriving him of his right to represent his club, on the ground that the suspension of his club was unconstitutional. In this connection let me say that Mr. Watson saw fit to send a printed circular letter
to delegates setting forth his contention, and takes occasion to warn the Kennel Club against a problematical action by what he terms the “West”. Said circular is specious, but fallacious, and in my opinion it would be well to point out inaccuracies, in said circular letter to enable the delegates to arrive at an intelligent conclusion.

The Secretary of the Aurora Kennel Club returned the bill for its dues for 1904, unpaid, and with filed a notification that said club was disbanded, I would therefore recommend that the Aurora Kennel Club be dropped from the roll.

I beg to present to you a communication from the Pacific Kennel League, in which it specifies the condition under which it will disband and its members again become members of this Club.

I beg to report that I mailed bills to our Active Members for annual dues for 1904, the customary time, namely Nov. 30, 1903, and again mailed a second bill on Jan. 4, 1904, to such members as had not paid up to that date. I now report the following clubs arrears: Cleveland Kennel Club; Mascoutah Kennel Club; Pointer Club of America; Hoosier Kennel Club; Atlanta Kennel Club; Colorado Kennel Club; Sixth Dist. Agricultural Association; Twin City Kennel Club; Maryland Society for the Prevention of
Cruelty to Animals; Aurora Kennel Club; Conshocon Kennel Club; Orange Co. Agricultural Society; Southwestern Kennel Club.

According to Article X of the Constitution the above mentioned Clubs have forfeited all right to representation in this Association, and such clubs may be suspended or dropped from the roll. As it is customary in such cases for the annual meeting to grant an additional thirty days within which the clubs reported to be in arrears may retain their membership by paying the dues, I would recommend that the usual resolution be adopted and that your Secretary be directed to drop without further legislation all clubs that do not take advantage of the additional time granted to them.

I beg to report so that the same may be a record that the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club sent its classification for approval and the same was returned and not approved. Immediately thereafter Feb., 6th, I received the premium list of said Club setting forth that the classification had been approved by the American Kennel Club, and signed with my name as Secretary. On the same date I notified said club, that its show could not be held under A.K.C. rules for the following reasons:

First, that the premium list was issued before being
officially approved. Second, that the publication in their premium list of the official approval of a classification was without my knowledge or consent. Third, that the classification in all breeds where winners classes were given was faulty.

It is but proper that all intending exhibitors at this show should receive this timely warning so that their entries would not be made under the misapprehension that the advertised winners classes would become records.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary

I would like to state in connection with this that in this morning's mail, I received duplicate classification from the Merrimack Club and a further communication. The fact that they are going to withdraw or send out amended premium lists of course will permit the club to hold its show under our rules, and I have telegraphed approval of the classifications, which I received this morning with one or tow small changes, but I did not want the exhibitors or the delegates for one moment to think that the premium list that has been sent out by the Merimac Club with my name appended as approving, was approved by me, because I think if they did they would think it
was time they got a new Secretary?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: You did not sign it?

THE SECRETARY: No, I did not. They put my signature to it through ignorance.

THE CHAIR: What is your pleasure in regard to the Secretary’s report. On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

MR. BALL: I desire to state that the Secretary of the club which I represent, the Pointer Club of America, is ill, and evidently that is the reason the dues owing by our club have not been paid. I would ask if I may be permitted to pay them now?

MR. WOORDWARD: I move that the dues owning by the Pointer Club of America be accepted at the hands of its delegate.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. DRAKE: In reference to the dues of the Mascoutah Kennel Club, I desire to state that the Secretary, Mr. Lincoln, is abroad at present, and I imagine that is the reason that the dues of our club have not been received. I would like to ask for sixty days’ extension?

MR. MOORE: I second the motion.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I do not think we ought to alter our precedent of thirty days’ notice. We have at other meet-
ings allowed clubs in arrears for dues thirty days within which to pay. I do not think we ought to make any change in that rules for one particular club. I think the matter is rather out of order now. It should not be brought up until we come to it in the proper order of business.

THE CHAIR: I think we ought to take that up under the lead of new business.

The Treasurer read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, Feb. 6, 1904

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report.

Balance on hand Jan 1st, 1904 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $14,114.09
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,074.80
Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $16,188.89

Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 993.04
Balance on hand . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $15,195.85

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

On motion the resignation of the Cleveland Kennel Club was accepted, with regret.

On motion the bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from October 25, 1903 to January 25, 1904, amounting to $6.70, was ordered paid.

DR. JENNINGS: I move that the Aurora Kennel Club, now defunct, be dropped from the rolls of membership.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the communication from the Chicago Kennel Club.

MAJOR TAYLOR: I deny I ever preferred charges against the Chicago Kennel Club, or any other club or person in existence.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I beg to differ with Major Taylor. I heard Major Taylor make charges before the Executive Board, and so did the rest of us. He did, however, withdraw them.

MAJOR TAYLOR: In giving my testimony as to who controlled the Chicago Kennel Club, I did state that they had received entries after date of closing, but isn’t it the rule of this club that no charges against any individual or club are ever considered except they are made in writing? I never made them in writing. It is a very
common thing for any member of this club to make oral charges against a club, and I question if there are not many now in this room that said that certain clubs received entries after their date closed.

THE CHAIR: If there were any changes made you withdrew them?

MAJOR TAYLOR: I deny making any.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I think the gentleman is out of order.

THE CHAIR: Yes, I think so.

MAJOR TAYLOR: I do not want to go on record as preferring charges against the Chicago Kennel Club, and I defy any man to prove it.

The Secretary read a protest from the Chicago Kennel Club, against allowing the dates claimed by the Franklin Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: The Chicago Kennel Club did change their date. There was no compulsion. The American Kennel Club does not grant exclusive dates. The American Kennel Club always, at the request of a club, will use what influence it may have to get other clubs to change their date, but if they will not do so, then the American Kennel Club is estopped, and approve of their dates. That is what was done here, and had it not been for the fact that this letter requested me to lay this matter before this meeting I should simply have replied to it and filed it.
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Did not the Franklin City Kennel Club really apply for dates before the Chicago Kennel Club applied?

THE SECRETARY: That I cannot tell without the figures.

MR. CUTTER: What is before us in this communication?

THE CHAIR: It is not the duty of the American Kennel Club to allot the dates.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the letter be received and filed.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I now read the communication from the Rochester Kennel Club enclosing check for $30, paid under protest.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the protest of the Rochester Kennel Club in this matter be not allowed.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move as an amendment that it be allowed.

THE SECRETARY: The point is they claim that if the make one error in the puppy entries they are fined $5; if they make twenty errors it is still a fine of $5; that it is not cumulative.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I do not think that dog men as a rule are lawyers, and they ought not to be. Our rules ought to be framed in plain, simple words, and we should
be guided by what those words mean. I do not think we should have to go to a court of law to have it defined what is meant. We lay down our rules for our guidance, and we cannot ask anybody to define what we mean. I mean the plain, simple wording of it. The wording itself does not ask for anything else except $5.

MR. MOORE: I would like to ask if a club having these illegal entries, should accept twenty such entries at $3 an entry, which would be $60 in the club’s coffers, and the club pays the American Kennel Club $5, wouldn’t that be defrauding the exhibitors out of $55? Would not the exhibitors be losing $55, because the Kennel Club would disqualify the wins, and the money would not be returned to the exhibitors, so the club would be $55 to the good, and it would be an incentive for every club to make mistakes if it cost only $5 in the aggregate.

THE CHAIR: It is really very badly worded, there is no doubt about that.

MR. PINEO: I think we will have to stand by the wording of that rule. It seems to me it is better to fine them $5, and change the wording of the rule, if that was the intention to fix a penalty of $5 for each offense, but it seems to me that it is an injustice to fine them $30. I am in favor of changing the wording of it.
MR. CARNOCCHAN: There is such a thing as a matter of precedence in this club. Since those rules were first made the Secretary has acted and interpreted that rule to mean $5 for each offense. Certainly the intent of the rule was that the penalty should be laid for each offense, and I do not think we have any right to remit a penalty for individual clubs when other clubs have paid the penalty according to the intent of the rule. If we are going to return this money—if we are going to remit this penalty, we ought to go back and remit every penalty that has been paid since the formation of those rules. There is no question in our minds, I think, in the minds of most of us, that the intent of the Committee who formed that rule was to lay a penalty of $5 for each offense.

THE SECRETARY: There is no question about it. I was one of that committee and I recommend it. Since that rule has been in effect, the Secretary of this Club had collected $5 for every violation, and this is the first protest, and that of the Colorado Kennel Club which I have now, is the second protest in our experience.

THE CHAIR: There is no question about the intent.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: There has never been a protest previous to this?

THE CHAIR: No.
MR. CARNOCHAN: I call for the question. My motion was that the protest be not allowed.

MR. VITI: This is not the first time we have been called upon to interpret the rule. We have frequently interpreted this rule, because I take it that when the Secretary has been instructed, as he has frequently been, to impose a fine greater than $5, that is an interpretation of that rule, although it has not been marked in so many words. We say that the Secretary is directed to impose a fine of so many dollars frequently in excess of $5. It has unquestionably been the rule of this Association to impose a fine. It was not until this protest was made that any question has ever entered into our minds at all.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I can say we have gone further than that. In every instance, I may say, where the Secretary has imposed a fine of more than $5 or a fine of $5, he has reported it to this body. We have in every case substantiated and agreed to that extra fine, and therefore we have made it a precedent.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Has there ever been a larger fine than $5?

THE SECRETARY: Repeatedly. In connection with this I would say, the first communication on this subject
was not a protest; it was based upon their statement that their show was financed by the Young Women’s Christian Association of Rochester, in the first place; that their Superintendent was a man that did not attend to his business, and therefore they asked that that fine be rescinded. That communication was submitted by me to the Executive Board, and the Executive Board confirmed the fine.

Mr. Carnochan’s motion that the protest be not allowed was then put and carried.

The Secretary then read a communication from the Colorado Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: Before you discuss this communication I desire to point out that they say that upon investigation they find on June 8th, that W.H. Kerr received a notice; that he was not the Secretary, and that I ought to have known that, as they had filed a list of the new officers to me. That I pronounce as an absolute misstatement. I have examined every paper that has come form the Colorado Kennel Club, and the only knowledge that I had that Mr. Kerr was not the Secretary of the Colorado Kennel Club was recently, I sent a letter to the delegate of the Colorado Kennel Club informing him that if this fine was not paid within thirty days I was directed to suspend the club. I did that because the delegate of a club is the
only person that I know. The delegate of the club returned that letter to me, and
decided to accept it, and in a postscript he added that the Secretary was N.S.
Kaimo. I then sent a letter to N.S. Kaimo, and that is the reason for whatever delay
there was in the matter. It is the first time in my experience as Secretary of this club
that any delegates has refused to accept a communication affecting his club or the
American Kennel Club. I have no knowledge after a club has been admitted to
membership who their officers are. There is nothing in the constitution that demands
that they shall keep the American Kennel Club advised of every change of officers.
It simply states that on making their application they must file with it a list of their
officers, and consequently the only person that we know is the delegate whom we
accept on credentials that he is the delegate, until he is superseded or removed.

THE CHAIR: Who is their representative?

THE SECRETARY: Mr. James Watson. Therefore it is proper for me at any
time in sending notice to an active member of this club, to mail it to the delegate, and
it is the duty of that delegate to send it to his club, as it is supposed the delegates
keeps in touch with his club, and knows whom to address it to. I would say in
connection
with this that I have a protest from Mr. James Watson, who was the delegate of this suspended club. I have only recently read it, and I find that the argument contained in the last letter from the Colorado Kennel Club is entirely the argument made by Mr. Watson who has filed this protest, so it is possible they were prompted in their argument by their delegate. They say in their communication that only the Secretary can impose the fine. The Secretary did impose the fine, and after waiting for over two months for a reply, and not hearing form the club, I reported it to the Executive Board, which confirmed the fine, and at the next meeting of the Executive Board I again reported that they had not paid their fine, and the Executive Board then passed a resolution which they had a right to do, the effect of which was that unless this fine was paid within thirty days the Secretary of the American Kennel Club was instructed to suspend the club. It has nothing to do with disqualification then, and that has been the way the matter has come to this termination. The club did not pay the fine, and at the end of thirty days, I suspended them under direction.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Then the report of the Executive Board was referred to the meeting of the Board of Delegates and they confirmed the report of the Executive
Board, making it the action of the Board of Delegates. I make the same motion with regard to the club, that their appeal be denied.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    THE SECRETARY: I have a protest here from James Watson, the delegates of the Colorado Kennel Club suspended. He said he had not official knowledge, but he believed the fine was on account of the puppy class. I would like to state that the writer of this protest judged at the Colorado show. On his return to New York he came to the office and he told the Colorado men that he was afraid that it would go pretty hard with them on account of their puppy entry, but that he would have a talk with the Secretary on the subject, and if he could see his way clear to relieve them in any way he would do all in his power to have it done. I will now read his protest.

    MR. CARNOCHAN: Isn’t this out of order? We have nothing to do with him. We have to do with the Colorado Kennel Club.

    THE CHAIR: I rule it out of order.

    THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from the Pacific Kennel League.

    MR. CARNOCHAN: I move this matter be laid on the table.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to ask action on the kennel clubs in arrears for dues.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the clubs which have not paid be given thirty days in which to pay their dues, and if they do not pay in that time they be dropped from the roll.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Viti read the report of the Stud Book Committee as follows:
Your Stud Book Committee begs to report as follows:

Application has been made for the registration of the below list of kennel names and as none of them conflict with those already registered your Committee recommends that they be granted.

Austin  by  F.A. Minard
Blackthorn  W. Ballantyne
Blackstone, Valley  J.B. Tebo
Capital City  M.A. Young
Clydesfold  Wm. Kistner
Dalmore  H.A. Dalrymple
Ellsworth  Mrs. J.V. Champion
Grosvenor  G.B. Arhold
Lenox  S. Frothingham
Losantiville  W.B. Weaver
Middle Ground  Mrs. J.C. Norton
Monticello  C.D.J. Mc Donald
Mooresetown  W.F. Reive
Morven Park  W. Davis
Oakdale  J. Brey
Primrose  Mrs. H.R. Barry
Rockhill  E.D. Bryan
Redfern  E.R. Cole
Revere  T.B. Middlebrook
Scorcher  C.L. Thomas
Thirlsmere  Mrs. J.H. Brookfield
Application has been made for the transfer of the name Auburn from C.H. Mower to C.H. Mower and E.P. Woodbury. No objection appearing to the granting of such a transfer your Committee recommends that it be allowed.

Application has been made for the registration of a variety of dogs to be known as American Man-trailers. These dogs are at present claimed to be American Bloodhounds, a variety which is not recognized by the American Kennel Club. Your Committee feels that they can hardly be regarded as a distinct breed and that therefore they should not be recognized.

In the matter of the Registration of Red Oak Belle, a Collie. Objection has been lodged against the registration of this Collie by John Black upon two grounds. (1st) That Red Oak Belle was not the property of A. Hillman when he sought to register her, and in the (2nd) place the pedigree is at fault. Regarding the first objection your Committee is of the opinion that it is necessary for a person to be the actual owner of a dog at the time it is registered. As the Stud Book is a work of record intended largely to preserve the pedigrees of the dogs therein recorded it should be incumbent upon a person registering a dog not owned by him to give the name and address of the owner. In regard to the second point, Mr. Black
does not state wherein the pedigree is at fault and your Committee is unable to report that feature and have accorded Mr. Black an opportunity to point out the error.

In the matter of the Boston Terriers Gold Money and Gibsy B. These two dogs are sought to be registered as being sired by Sullivan’s Punch out of Princess. Mess. Sullivan & Schenck, the owners of Sullivan’s Punch have objected to such registration upon the grounds that Sullivan’s Punch is not the sire. They have not however, submitted an affidavit in support of their allegation as requested to do. Mr. Fred. E. Rice who sold both of the dogs in question appeared before your Committee and requested an opportunity in which to present proof of the correctness of the pedigree which your committee accorded him and therefore recommend that the case be held under advisement pending the receipt of this information.

In the matter of the Collie Ravenswood Plate owned by D.E. Gardner. After this case was referred to this Committee certain charges were brought against Mr. Gardner upon irregularities in the pedigree and this case was incorporated in the one heard by the Executive Board and disposed of by this association at the December meeting.

In the matter of the Great Danes Bryn Mawr Rosalind and Bryn Mawr Perle. The Great Dane Club of America directed the attention of this association of the pedigrees in question.
Both of these dogs are stated to be by Caesar 4th, A.K.C. S.B. 58446, owned by Dr. J. Fletcher Lutz. Dr. Lutz stated that Caesar had not served any bitches. From an investigation of the facts the Committee is convinced that while this dog was in the possession of one T.M. Murphy, he was allowed to serve the dam of these dogs and consequently their pedigrees are as registered. The Great Dane Club of America which also investigated the case, arrived at the same conclusion. Your Committee feels that the pedigree being accurate to stand of course if the service was obtained improperly, the parties injured would have their proper recourse through the preference of charges in the customary form.

In the matter of the pedigrees of Ingleside Chief Powhatan stated to be whelped Aug. 30th, 1900 and Montebello Eva, stated to have been whelped Dec. 15th, 1900, both by Sandor Von Inn out of Lola. Your Committee requested the Montebello Kennel’s proprietor to explain the discrepancy in the dates of birth and in answer have received a letter stating that it is evidently a mistake. That they registered Montebello Eva from data left by the former superintendent, E.A. Rothman, and that they could find no record of the dog Ingleside Chief Powhatan. The Committee feel that they should hold this matter further so that they can pursue the investigation already started.
In the matter of the petition for a change in the regulations regarding the registration of Toy Spaniels.

This petition was referred to your Committee at the last meeting and before reporting thereon they desire that an opportunity be granted to each one of the petitioners to state in full their reasons for urging the change and have accordingly requested them to state the number of different varieties they have bred can show an unbroken pedigree of the same variety of color. The Committee would suggest that this matter be held in abeyance so that each person may have an opportunity of expressing his or her reasons.

In the matter of the Collie Zero II.

This dog was registered by Henry Heuer, the proprietor, as sired by Hanover Victor. It was assigned #74,054. After Mr. Heuer had registered the dog he requested that the name of the sire should not appear as Hanover Victor but Coila Victor. This change was accordingly made, and the dog was registered as sired by Coila Victor. Dr. Henry Jarrett, the owner of Hanover Victor, wrote to this association stating that in his opinion the sire was Hanover Victor and not Coila Victor. He, however, refused to make any formal protest in the matter. Mr. Heuer’s son furnished an affidavit stating that he saw the service and that the dam was not bred to Hanover Victor. The Committee feel that as no formal protest has been filed against the ped-
agree that it should be allowed to stand. In the matter of the Collies Fisherboy and First Choice. These Collies were apparently bred by Wm. R. Radford, one of them being sold by him to Edwin Harison and the other to Frank P. Smith. They are stated to be out of Queen Mab whose sire is Martin’s Loyal dam Lassy. The pedigree of Queen Mad is questioned by James A. Cain who owns the sire. The Committee is convinced from the correspondence and in examination of the witnesses that these pedigrees are improper and should be cancelled. As Mr. Wm. T. Radford would appear to be the part at fault, your Committee has tried to communicate with him and so far has been unsuccessful. It feels that the pedigree should be cancelled. Wm. T. Radford’s connection with the matter your committee believes should be further investigated, and should be given an opportunity to show cause why action should not be taken against him for improper conduct.

In the matter of the dog Tiger. Dt. H.E. Hahn has lodged a protest against Samuel H. Thompson, in regard to the selling of a dog and apparently an improper pedigree. Dr. Hahn states that he answered an advertisement in the Field and Fancy offering an English Bull Terrier for sale. That a certain pedigree was sent to him by the owner who described the dog as a bull terrier, 70 lbs. in weight. The advertisement reads:

“English Bull Terrier.
Even white markings. Registered
For Sale or Trade for good bred"
Collie, sable and white pedigree.
Samuel Thompson, Sanford, Maine.”

The pedigree, which was enclosed, is clearly that of a Bulldog, the sire being Sultan, a grand-son of King Orry, while the other ancestors are descendants of King Lud, Ch. Grabber, Ch. Lord Rip, Jack Spratt and other well know bull dogs. In addition to this, the registration number affixed to the pedigree, #65,259, is a Pointer. In one of his letters to Dr. Hahn, Mr. Thompson states:

“The man I got him off said that he was an English Bulldog. The day when I got him several men said he was a terrier, so I called him a terrier ever since.”

Your Committee wrote to Mr. Thompson notifying him that he was charged with having sold a certain dog under misrepresentations, and to please explain his reasons for supplying the pedigree furnished and inquiring by what right he affixed an American Kennel Club number to a dog which was not registered. In reply thereto they received a letter from him stating which contains the following:

“I have had a letter notifying me of selling Dr. H.E. Hahn a dog under misrepresentations. I wish people would know what they are talking about before they say such things. I never sold him a dog but I sent him a pedigree I got when I bought the dog and I did not know but what it was right. I
I was looking for it last night but could not find it, but if I come across it I will send it to you for you to look it up and you can see for yourself. “Your Committee cannot see much distinction between selling and offering for sale in this instance. It may be that what Mr. Thompson says is absolutely accurate and that he did receive the pedigree from the person from whom he purchased the dog. Your Committee makes no recommendations and merely reports the facts to your association. On the 3rd inst. They wrote to Dr. H.E. Hahn, the complainant, and his letter was returned as undeliverable. They also communicated with Mr. Mac Kecke, the alleged breeder but have heard nothing in reply.

In the other cases pending, your Committee report that they have communicated with the parties in interest and while they have received several replies, they think it but right to wait until all have had an opportunity to reply before reporting.

In the matter of the kennel name Vanor.

In this matter Samuel Chew has filed charges against Wilson Barnard of dishonorable conduct in the matter of the registration of the kennel name Vanor. Mr. Chew states that he is the sole owner and proprietor of the name Vanor and that he alone is entitled to the use of that name and that the registered use thereof was obtained by Wilson Barnard as a result.
of certain dishonorable practice. The evidence produced shows that Chew and Barnard entered into an agreement upon the 20th day of Feb., '02, by which Samuel Chew turned over to Wilson Barnard a dog which the said Barnard was to board the dog free and divide equally all stud fees with said Chew. After this agreement was made a number of conversations took place between the parties and a number of letters were written, forty of which were submitted to the Committee and are on file in this office. Mr. Chew states that in addition to Orangeman, he turned over several other dogs to Mr. Barnard for exhibition purposes. That Mr. Chew did wish the dogs to be shown in his own name and that Mr. Barnard hesitated about exhibiting them in his and suggested that they be shown in a kennel name. The name Vanor was finally determined upon and application was made therefore “Barnard and Chew, Wilson Barnard, Prop.” The name was accordingly granted to Wilson Barnard over a year ago. Mr. Chew states that only within the last few months has it come into his knowledge that this kennel name was registered in the name of Wilson Barnard, he, Chew, believing that it was simply to be recorded and that he never had any intention of allowing Wilson Barnard to have any interest in the name which is that of his country seat in Pennsylvania and which has been so known for over a century, during which time it has been in his family. Mr. Barnard contends on the other hand that Mr.
Chew was quite willing that he should apply for the registration of that name and that it was Barnard's understanding that it was to be available not only for dogs in which Mr. Chew had an interest, but for Barnard's dogs as well. There was no written agreement with the parties. As to the ownership or uses of the said kennel name, your Committee believe that under the circumstances the name as recorded should be cancelled. In their investigation of the case, the Committee learned that the aforesaid Orangeman was exhibited at Newark, Pittsburg and Atlantic City as the property of Wilson Bernard whereas in fact, according to the statement of Mr. Chew, and Mr. Barnard's own admission, he was not the bona fide owner of the dog at that time. Application for the registration of the kennel name was not made until the following November and at the ensuing Westminster Kennel Club Show in February, '03, the dog was again exhibited as Wilson Barnard, owner. It is obvious that under the rules that these wins of this dog must be cancelled and whether or not any further action is to be taken rests with the association. The Committee is of the opinion as it is shown by the evidence that in all these transactions Mr. Wilson Barnard was acting as agent for Mr. Chew and that the entries were made by said Barnard. Mr. Barnard explains that he endeavored to acquaint himself with the practice before entering this dog, and was informed by the Secretary of one of
the shows that he thought it would be best to have the dog listed in Barnard’s name. The Committee is of the opinion that the present situation is the result of ignorance and carelessness and that malice or fraud has not been shown.

(Signed) Marcel A. Viti,
Chairman
On motion the same was accepted and the recommendations therein contained, adopted.

MR. VITI: Before we take up new business I have a motion I would like to make: Resolved, that a vote of thanks be extended to the President, Vice President and President of the Associate Members for the services they have rendered in courteously, faithfully and impartially presiding at the meetings of the Association during the last year, which conduct is deeply appreciated by the delegates. Resolution seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: It is now in order to take up the report of the Committee on Rules and Constitution.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that we read and vote on each section as it comes up.

The Secretary then read the report as follows:

Present: All members.

Mr. Vredenburgh was elected Secretary for the Committee for the year 1904. The question of the eligibility of James Watson to act upon this Committee being brought to the attention of the Committee after discussion the following preamble and resolution was unanimously adopted:
WHEREAS, The Colorado K.C. having been suspended by a resolution of the American Kennel Club, at a meeting held December 3rd, 1903, the said Club cannot be represented by a delegate during the time of said suspension, and

WHEREAS, James Watson being the former delegate, and as such was duly elected a member of this Committee, and

WHEREAS, it is the sense of this committee that a precedent should be established subject to confirmation by the A.K.C. at its next meeting, it is

RESOLVED, that it is the sense of this Committee that the duly elected members of each Standing Committee, shall be privileged to act at all meetings of said Committees until a successor shall have been duly elected at either a special or regular meeting of the A.K.C.

Mr. Vredenburgh desiring to return from all Committees, requested permission to propose an amendment to the Constitution, as follows:

That the Secretary of the A.K.C. should cease to be a member of all Standing Committees."

MR. CARNOCHAN: In that matter we absolutely declined to take the request at all. We thought that the Secretary ought to be a member of all committees as Secretary of the American Kennel Club, and therefore we took no action but referred it to the Board of Delegates.
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It stands to reason that the Secretary is chiefly informed about everything that comes before the club, and while I should like to see him afforded as much relief as possible, I think it would be very impracticable to have him relieved of that, because he is the man we have got to go to any for information that we need.

THE SECRETARY: (Reading report) “Your Committee declined to act on his request and respectfully refers the matter to the American Kennel Club.

This Committee respectfully recommend the following additions, alterations and amendments to the Constitution and Rules:

The following amendments are standing resolutions that have been adopted from time to time under which the American Kennel Club is acting, but has never been incorporated in the Constitution of the Club.

Article 2. Add a paragraph to read as follows: “Publication in the Kennel Gazette the official organ of the Club, is sufficient notice to all persons interested in the general business transacted by the Club or its Committees.”

Article 9. Add to Section 3, beginning on the 8th line “the American Kennel Club will not consider nor
render judgment in cases of complaint upon monetary transactions unless fraud, trickery or the like is obvious, and that in complaints where legal redress is possible and the above elements do not appear, the Club declines to act. All charges and specifications preferred for trial before the American Kennel Club should be duly sworn to before some qualified person.

Article 13. Add a new section “No. 5”. All Committee which may have any report to make, or may have any report to make to the American Kennel Club shall, so far as they themselves are concerned, consider such report as strictly confidential, and that the American Kennel Club shall be the only medium by or through which said reports can be made public.”

The Secretary: They are all standing resolutions which have been in force for years, and the Committee recommend that they be incorporated in the Constitution so they will be known without reference to them as old standing resolutions.

MR. CUTTER: I move these be incorporated into the Rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Those are all the proposed amendments to the Constitution that were standing rules. Now, we come to amendments to rules governing dog shows. These
were all standing resolutions.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that where there has been no change made, those same ones may be incorporated in the Rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: (Reading amendments to rules governing shows) Add to Rule IV, the right to show a dog cannot be transferred by the owner by agreement, bargain, sale or lease.

Add to Rule VII, a bitch owned in this country and sent to any foreign country to be bred and returned to this country to whelp, the progeny shall be considered as American bred.

Alteration to Rule V, line 2, after the words “a prize”, insert the words “either in England or America”.

Rule XII, Section 7. Every show is guaranteed one point towards a championship. All shows to be rated on the actual number of dogs entered as follows: 1,000 dogs or over, five points; 750 dogs and under 1,000, four points; 500 dogs and under 750, three points; 250 dogs and under 500, two points; under 250 dogs, one point. On the Pacific coast, 400 dogs or over, five points; 300 dogs and under 400, four points; 200 dogs and under 300, three points; 100 dogs and under 200, two points; under
100 dogs, one point. The total number of points required for a championship to be ten, but the dog must make one win at a show whose rating is three points. Specialty clubs holding shows confined to their own breed, four points.

The Committee recommends the addition of the words “or more” to follow the words “three points” in Section 7. Mr. James Watson desired to be recorded as voting in the negative on the above Section.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I would like to make an amendment to that by adding to the words “whose rating is three points or more”, “and a total of fifteen points must have been won under at least three different judges”.

MR. JARRETT: It seems to me that the difficulty in winning a championship is already great enough, and you are only imposing greater hardship on the exhibitor if you make that rule. I should rather see the number of points stay at ten, because I think the addition of the three-point class or better, does away with the objection to this rule. Under this new rule you have added a three-point show, and in addition to that you have added another five points. I would like to make an amendment to the amendment that it remain at ten points as it is; that we simply add on the clause three-point shows or better.
Amendment seconded.

MR. CARNOCHAN: As the originator of this motion, I would like to state that the reason why this matter of change was brought up was simply to have plenty of discussion on it, and if the Board of Delegates consider that ten points are sufficient, I should vote in favor or ten points. The reason why the number of points was made fifteen was this: Some years ago three wins in the challenge class were sufficient to give a dog his championship. There came a time when we felt that it was too easy for the dog to win his championship, and we wanted to make it a little bit harder so that the title of Champion would mean a little more. Last summer there was an idea quite widespread in kenneldom that the title of Champion was now being won too easily. The change was made to fifteen points so as to make it a little harder. I think as the years go by we ought to increase the difficulty in making champions slightly, and as to the question of making a winner at three point shows—if that is considered sufficient increase by the Board of Delegates who are the judges in this matter, and who know better than any one else what should be the increase, let it be that. It seems to me by adding five points more a dog will have more difficulty in earning his championship.
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: By my amendment I want to add to the ten-point motion that it should be won under three different judges.

Amendment seconded and lost.

ME. CARNOCHAN: I accept that amendment, and we can vote on the whole thing at once.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I accept it as a member of the Rules Committee.

THE SECRETARY: I accept it as a member of the Rules Committee.

THE SECRETARY: (Reading) Rule XII, Section 5, line 5, after the words “open class”, new sentence: “Winners classes cannot be allowed where two or more breeds are combined.”

MR. JARRETT: I move that change adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: (Reading) Rule XII, Section 6, Line 2, Strike out the words “for which no regular class has been provided in the premium list” and substitute the words “which have not been otherwise provided for in the premium list, wither with a class for the breed itself or in combination with other breeds.”

MR. VITI: I should like to add an amendment to that. The Committee seems to have overlooked the many difficult-
ties which are constantly arising in regard to the definition of the term “established breeds”. Very peculiar animals are continually creeping into the miscellaneous class. I would therefore move, as an amendment, we add after the word “breeds” “established breeds shall be such breeds as are now eligible to registration in the Stud Book, and such breeds as may hereafter be made eligible for such registration.” “A list of breeds recognized by the American Kennel Club must be published in every Premium list.” The Secretary of the Kennel Club could then send to each show secretary a list of the breeds that are eligible for registration in our Stud Book and when applications are made for the entry of these peculiar animals, he can check them off and see if they correspond with that list. If the name does not appear on that list, the entry cannot be accepted.

THE CHAIR: It is also a guide for the exhibitor.

MR. VITI: And furthermore, “that a list of breeds recognized b the American Kennel Club must appear in every prize list”, so that the exhibitor will have a guide, and he can turn to his prize list, and if he sees the name of that breed is not there he need not enter upon it. I do not think that American Kennel Club shows should degenerate into menageries, and I would add “that no dog whose breed does not appear upon the list of recognized breeds should be entitled to recognition in the American
Kennel Club shows.”

   Amendment seconded and carried.

   THE SECRETARY (Reading) Rule XII, Section 5, line 15, after the word
   “medal”, add, “duplicate medals for champions cannot be issued.

   Rule XII, add new section.

   The term “field trial records” as used at all dog shows applied only to public
   trials where competition is open to all.

   Rule XV, add new paragraph as follows: “When a dog is disqualified for not
   complying with the condition of any class in which it is shown, that does not
   disqualify it from competing for specials offered in its breed and to which it may be
   eligible.”

   Rule XX, add new paragraph: “The term ‘One Exhibitor’ shall mean an
   individual or co-partnership, exhibiting as an individual, firm or kennel name.”

   Insert new Rule XXIII.

   “Rule XXIII. It is deemed conduct prejudicial to the best interests of dog
   shows for an exhibitor to affix any award, sign or notice over his dog of any prizes
   that his dogs have not won at that show unless it is specifically and distinctly stated
   on such notice the name of the show where the prize was awarded and the year in
   which that show
Was held.

The Superintendent of a show must remove such notices on his attention being called to the matter, and the exhibitor shall forfeit any prize or prizes won at that show to the American Kennel Club.”

On motion, the same was adopted.

THE SECRETARY: Change Rule XXIII to read Rule XXIV.
Change Rule XXIV to read Rule XXV.
On motion, the same was adopted.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I move that these new rules take effect June 1st.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move we now go into committee of the whole.
Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then went into Executive Session, after appointing Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh chairman.

The Committee on arising reported to the delegates as follows:

MR. VREDBEUGH: Mr. Chairman, the Committee of the Whole begs leaves to report that it has referred to its Stud Book Committee a certain matter, directing said Committee to investigate and report on this matter at its convenience, to the American Kennel Club in executive session. I also beg to report that there was a communication from the Pacific Kennel League which was laid on the table during the session of this meeting.
MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that it be taken from the table.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VENDENBURGH: The Committee of the Whole beg leave to report that the communication from the Pacific Kennel League was considered, and that he Secretary of the American Kennel Club was directed to notify the Pacific Kennel League that the matter had been referred to the Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club for its consideration and to report its recommendations to American Kennel Club.

It was moved and seconded that the recommendations of the Committee of the Whole, be adopted.
Carried.

The meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING
Of the
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In the absence of the President, and Vice-President, Mr. Marcel A. Viti was
selected as Chairman.

-PRESENT-

ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB     J. Sergeant Price, Jr.,
BAY STATE CO-OPERATIVE
BENCH SHOW ASSOCIATION     Samuel R. Cutler
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB     Dwight Moore
CHICAGO KENNEL CLUB     E.S. Woodward
COLUMBUS FANCIERS’ CLUB     J.M. Taylor
DUQUESNE KENNEL CLUB OF
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA     G.M. Carnochan
GREAT DANE CLUB OF AMERICA     G. Muss-Arnolt
IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA     Singleton Van Schiack
MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB     C.F.R. Drake
NEW JERSEY KENNEL CLUB     C.G. Hopton
SPANIEL BREEDERS’ SOCIETY     Marcel A. Viti
ST. LOUIS COLLIE CLUB     Daniel Buckley
THE LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION
OF AMERICA     Jas. Mortimer
WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA     B.S. Smith
The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:

New York, May 19, 1904

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, the following applications for membership were duly acted upon:-

Feb. 23rd, 1904, New England Collie Club;
Apl. 27th, “ Russian Wolfhound Club;
May 9th, “ Hornellsville Kennel Club.

The application of the New England Collie Club carried with it the official consent and approval of the Collie Club of America. The above applications all being in accord with the provision of the Constitution, their admission is respectfully recommended. We will also report that the application of the Collie Breeders’ Association of Chicago, was laid on the table at the December, 1903 meeting, pending the consent of the Collie Club of America. The Secretary of the A.K.C. has
Written to said Collie Club of America for its pleasure in the matter. A communication is on file from said Collie Breeders’ Association, sated April 5th, 1904, advising us that it had submitted to the Collie Club of America its standards, and an amendment to its constitution that it shall hold shows at such time and placed as may be decided on by the Board of Governors, except that no shows be held at dates previously claimed by the Collie Club of America with the American Kennel Club, and at no place east of the western limits of the State of Pennsylvania. The action of the Collie Club of America in the premises is submitted without comment.

The following credentials having been filed with the time limited by the Constitution, the acceptance of the same is respectfully recommended:

January 29, 1904: Massachusetts Kennel Club, appointing Philip W. Moen.

February 15, 1904: Jersey City Kennel Club, appointing Geo. M. McCarthy.

February 29, 1904: Irish Setter Club, appointing J.J. Donohue.

March 2, 1904: Airedale Terrier Club, appointing William L. Barclay.

March 21, 1904: Collie Club of America, appointing Edwin J. Van Schaick.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) S. Van Schaick
R. H. Williams

The New England Collie Club, Russian Wolfhound Club and Hornellsville Kennel Club were elected members of the American Kennel Club.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Philip W. Meon Massachusetts Kennel Club
George M. Mc Carthy Jersey City Kennel Club
William L. Barclay Airedale Terrier Club
Edwin J. Van Schaick Collie Club of America
William J. Berg Japanese Spaniel Club
J.J. Donohue Irish Setter Club of America

On motion the minutes of the last meeting of the American Kennel Club and also the minutes of the last meeting of the Executive Board were accepted as published in the Gazette.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to say, so that it may become a matter of record, that in one of the
cases before the Executive Board at its last meeting, the appeal of Mr. Edwin H. Morris for re-instatement, Mr. Viti, who was present at the meeting, did not take part in those proceedings, but withdrew, and through an oversight that fact was not stated in the published minutes.

The Secretary then read his regular quarterly report as follows:

New York, May 19, 1904

To The American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:-

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly report. All matters within the province of our Standing Committee have been referred to such Committee and their reports will be presented at this meeting. Certain matters affecting the Pacific Coast, were referred to our Pacific Advisory Committee for investigation and said Committee has reported its action under the dates of February 22\textsuperscript{nd} and March 5\textsuperscript{th}, and the same will be submitted to you at this meeting.

The Central Beagle Club has tendered its resignation and as it is in good standing the same is in order, and I would recommend its acceptance.

At the late show of the Westminster Kennel Club certain
entries were accepted from a person not in good standing, and by direction of our Executive Board, I called upon the said Club to show cause why action should not be taken against it; the answer will be submitted to you at this meeting.

I beg to report that the Montreal Canine Association, a member of this Club is good standing, has just held a show governed by Canadian Kennel Club Rules, and it will now rest with you whether or not any action shall be taken in the premises.

On December 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 1903, I mailed a check for $25.00 to the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club, the same being a return of the deposit said Club made with their claim for show dates. I am advised by the Secretary of the Club, that said check has never been received, nor has it ever been returned to this office. I stopped payment on the check on April 18, 1904, and request authority to issue a duplicate.

I beg to report the charges for misconduct preferred by S.W. Franklin, against Frederick E. Rice. Said charges will be submitted to the Executive Board at its first meeting.

At the annual meeting I was directed to drop all Clubs whose dues were in arrears after thirty days. Final bills were mailed to such Clubs on February 11\textsuperscript{th}, and on March 11\textsuperscript{th} following I dropped from the roll of membership the Atlanta Kennel Club, Colorado Kennel Club, Coshocton Kennel Club, Hoosier Poultry & Kennel Association, Maryland Society
for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Sixth District Agricultural Association, Southwestern Kennel Club and Twin City Kennel Club.

At the forthcoming dog show to be held by the Louisiana Purchase Exposition at St. Louis, 1904, I am advised by the Department of Live Stock that no entries can be accepted at that show, by REGISTERED DOGS. One of the conditions of the entry must be the filing with the Exposition a certificate, the form for which will be supplied by the Exposition, which must certify the breed, name, Stud Book number, and Volume of the Stud Book, the year in which said dog was registered, and the owner. This certificate must be filled out and signed by the Secretary of the National Club of the country from which the dog is entered. The above service will entail considerable work by this office, and I would respectfully recommend that a resolution should be adopted at this meeting, fixing the fee for each certificate so issued at twenty-five cents ($0.25).

I beg to report that the annual Stud Book for 1903 was shipped to all Associates and Subscribers on May 2nd, 1904, by Wells, Fargo & Company Express.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer then read his report as follows:

New York, May 19, 1904

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I beg to submit here with my regular quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand, January 1st, 1904 -----------------------------$14,114.09
Receipts from January 1st to date-------------------------------  6,956.99
Total ----------------------------------------------------------$21,071.08
Disbursements from January 1st to date------------------------ 6,257.62
Balance on hand---------------------------------------------$14,813.46

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A.P. Vrendenburgh,

Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

-REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES:

The Secretary read the report of the Stud Book Committee as follows:

The Stud Book Committee reports that the following
APPLICATIONS FOR KENNEL NAMES have been made and as none of them conflict with any already registered, it is recommended that they be allowed:

ASBURY       R.H. Dane
BRAEMAR       Geo. C. Huhn
DREAMLAND     W.H. Kelley
EPSOM         John W. Griffin
GLEN ROCK     Dr. J. Fletcher Lutz
INGAFIELD     William H. Whittem
KING LUD      John W. Merriam
KISMET         J.H. Brookfield
KWEENEE     W. De Mond and Hattie T. Humphrey
LACKAWANNA   Wilson Bailey
LEETSDALE     Thos. S. Arbuthnot
PARKHILL      Louis Fernandez
ROB ROY       M.W. Robinson
ROSEMARY      Miss H.G. Parlett
ROSSMERE      P.C. Garrigan
WILLOWMERE   Miss A.A. Marks

Also the application for transfer of the name Presto to James A. Davis, from the executrix of Dr. J.V. Mott.

-APPLICATIONS TO AMEND-
BELFAST      W. Ballantyne
EDGEMONT SPRINGS     Edgemont Springs Kennel Club (Incorporated)
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: According to the rules the transfer of any prefix or affix must be done only on the written authority of the original holder, so I cannot see how under the rules we can pass that word “Presto,” the original owner of the same having died before it was transferred.

MR. VITI: The Stud Book Committee thought it was the right of Dr. Mott, and as such it passed to his representative, who was his executrix, his widow. If it passed to her, she certainly had the right to dispose of it, and it was upon those grounds alone that the Stud Book Committee acted.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Then we should first repeal the law we have passed. That rule was made on my own motion, that no affix or prefix could be transferred except upon written authority.

MR. VITI: That was the case of ‘Dunollie.’ That case differs from the one here in a very important respect. There was not transfers made of the name “Dunollie,” nor was there any transfer asked for. In that case a stranger made an application for the name “Dunollie,” which was denied because it was held to belong to the estate of Mr. Tilford. If a stranger had applied for the name “Preston,” it would have been denied, because that would have been a
similar case to “Dunollie”, but here is an application made by the legal representative of the person who owned the name, asking leave to transfer it.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the names and transfers be granted as approved by the Committees.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The next business in order is the Pacific Advisory Committee’s report.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that it be laid on the table until we take it up as a Committee of the Whole.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I will now read a communication from the Pacific Advisory Committee which is not included in the motion just passed. The communication is as follows:

Special Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee,

#490 California Street, San Francisco,

February 27, 1904

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Harley, Norman

Absent: Carlton

Minutes of Meeting held October 27th, 1903, read and ap-
Newman vs. San Francisco Kennel Club: Complaint of non-receipt of special prizes, consisting of Philadelphia Dog Show Association Medal and a silver cup. The Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club replies that: Mr. L.A. Klein was Secretary and Manager of the Show in 1902, and had full charge of all cups and medals; therefore the S.F.K.C. is unable to give any information as to the location of the trophies in question. The S.F.K.C. also pleads, that the complainant did not file his complaint within the statutory period of six months from date of the closing of the show.

Ordered filed, and the Secretary was directed to inform Mr. Newman of the answer of the San Francisco Kennel Club.

In the matter of the publication in the Gazette of the list of members of this Committee: The Secretary of the American Kennel Club reporting that the name of the an ex-member had been continued therein through inadvertence, the latter was ordered filed.

The Committee on Membership reported that after long canvassing it had arrived at the conclusion that Dr. W.P. Burnham would prove a valuable addition to the roll: It was moved and seconded that the report be adopted, and that Dr. W.P. Burnham be nominated to the American Kennel Club for appointment to this Committee. Carried.
The Secretary then read a communication from the Pacific Kennel League, referred to this Committee by resolution of the Delegates of the American Kennel Club at the annual meeting held February 9th. A list of “conditions” accompanied the communication:

After some discussion it was Resolved, that:

WHEREAS the interpolation of the condition that all the conditions presented herewith must be accepted or rejected as a whole, make acceptance of the same an impossibility, on account of some of the said conditions being diametrically opposed both to the Constitution and Policy of the American Kennel Club, the further discussion of this subject be deferred until the next meeting of the Committee, and the Secretary be and hereby is directed to invite Norman J. Stewart as the most prominent representative of the Pacific Kennel League in California to be present at the next meeting, in order to advise and confer with the Committee, in regard to the proposals before the meeting.

The Chair then adjourned the meeting until Saturday, March 5th, the meeting to be held at the Occidental Hotel at 2 P.M.

Attest,

J.P. Norman,
Secretary
THE SECRETARY: I report the resignation of the Central Beagle Club.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move the resignation be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: At the late show held by the Westminster Kennel Club there were five entries accepted from a disqualified person. This matter was reported to the Executive Board, and the Executive Board directed the Secretary to send word to The Westminster Kennel Club requesting it to show cause why some action should not be taken. The Secretary took such action, and on May 4th received a communication which I will read to you.

The Secretary then read the communication.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that this matter be referred to the Executive Board for action.

MR. MORTIMER: Before this matter is referred to the Executive Board I should like to say that I received those entries under a misapprehension. I did not know that he was a disqualified man, not did I have the slightest suspicion in that regard, or I should not have accepted the entry. The Westminster Kennel Club is of course responsible for
my act in the matter, I being their Superintendent, but I assure you that there was no desire on my part to accept the entries of a disqualified man. We have taken every possible step to recover the prize money, and have paid the money over to the exhibitors who came next in order to the Wins awarded to the entries made by the disqualified exhibitor. While I am not here to represent the Westminster Kennel Club I hope the American Kennel Club will accept my explanation and apology for anything of the kind having happened. It was carelessness on my part entirely.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: The Westminster Kennel Club has made good the prizes to those who were next in order?

MR. MORTIMER: Yes, that has already been done.

The motion to refer the matter to the Executive Board was then carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Montreal Canine Association has just completed a show held under the rules of the Canadian Kennel Club. This Montreal Club is a member in good standing of the American Kennel Club, and I report the matter so that this meeting can take such action as it deems proper. I first saw the statement made in the Canadian Kennel Gazette, and I wrote to the Secretary of the Montreal Club
asking if that article was based on fact, and I received a communication replying in the affirmation.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Is there not a certain modus operandi in cases of that kind?

THE CHAIR: I cannot recall any specific rule. I should think it ought to come up under the general heading of conduct prejudicial to this Association.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I can see only one thing to do, and that is, they must be thrown out.

MR. BUCKLEY: Does not the disqualification of a club involve the personal disqualification of its officers?

THE CHAIR: Yes.

MR. BUCKLEY: I happen to know something about it because at that time I was up in the Adirondacks for my health, and I was right near the Secretary of the Montreal Club, and he said to me then – although the matter had not then progressed so far as it has up to this time – that he realized that there was going to be a fight over this matter. I heard a conversation between him and two others, all the details of which of course I do not recollect, but they did say they were very much against anything but an A.K.C. show, and Mr. Laurin is one of the most ardent cham-
pions of the American Kennel Club that I know of. Among other things he will not sell a dog except with the proviso it is registered with the American Kennel Club, and in every way I know he is an earnest supporter of the American Kennel Club, and while without any doubt it would be advisable to take the usual steps, I think in this case some thought should be given to the personal disqualification of those who are our very warm friends.

THE CHAIR: Is he an officer of the Canadian Club?

MR. BUCKLEY: Yes.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: If they are in good standing they could have resigned. Failing to do that I think we ought to take a different course. They had the absolute right simply to resign. Nobody would have stopped them, and there would have been nothing in it.

THE CHAIR: There are three things you can do. You can either drop them or suspend them or disqualify, them according to the way in which you view the matter.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It should be disqualification because they had every opportunity to resign. We
had no right to refuse their resignation. They refused to do that.

THE CHAIR: May be the idea was never suggested.

MR. MORTIMER: I cannot see what right we have to disqualify them.

THE CHAIR: I think you are right in this respect, that they are entitled to notice under our rules I do not think we can take summary action against them.

MAJOR TAYLOR: Would not a suspension cover the case?

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the Secretary be instructed to prefer charges against the Montreal Canine Association for holding shows under other than American Kennel Club rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: On December 3\textsuperscript{rd}, in connection with certain other clubs, I sent to the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club a check to return their deposit for their date claim for their September show. When I had my bank account balanced for the Finance Committee's examination on the 20\textsuperscript{th} of January, I found that this check was not returned. I then communicated with the Secretary of the Bryn Mawr Club, telling him that
I had sent his check to him, and he replied that he would look it up. I only received his letter on April 13th. That is over four months after the check had been issued, and he said that the check had never been received, nor could he get any trace of it. I sent it in one of our envelopes with our return card on, and it has never been returned to us, and the check has never passed through the bank. On the 18th of April I stopped payment. I should like to have instructions what to so in the matter, whether to issue a duplicate check or not.

THE CHAIR: I should think you could do that as a matter of office work.

THE SECRETARY: I would if it was a matter of a few days, but when it is four months, I do not care to do it without instructions.

MR. BUCKLEY: The Post Offices in that Section of the country are mostly railroad post offices; they are at railroad stations, as you know, and it is reported that there has been a gang operating – I do not know that they operated in this district, but a great many post offices have been broken open in connection with railroad stations and a great deal of mail matter destroyed.
MR. VAN SCHAICK: I move that a duplicate check be issued. 
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Do you want to hear the charges of misconduct which have been made? That should be acted upon by the Executive Board.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I do not think they come to us.

THE SECRETARY: The request has often been made and usually complied with in order that the delegates may know what is being referred to the Executive Board.

MR. MORTIMER: I ask that the charges be read. The charges were then read.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the matter take the usual course.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The application of the Collie Breeders’ Association was laid on the table at the December Meeting. I have a letter here for the Collie Club of America on the subject.

It was moved and seconded that the matter be taken up for discussion.
Carried.
The Secretary then read the correspondence in
relation to the matter.

THE CHAIR: The present rule of this Association is that no specialty club can be admitted unless it has received the endorsement of the older one. I do not think that an additional endorsement is allowable because that would practically be pursuant to the rule of the American Kennel Club. I cannot see how this application is in order at all, there being no consent given. That was adopted by the delegates at the December meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: There is one thing in connection with this that I cannot understand, and that is this, if it is the Collie Club’s standard by which they will allow admission to the American Kennel Club of a subsidiary club, how they cam to pass unanimously and give their consent to the admission of a club that we have admitted to-day, the New England Collie Club. They said that the New England Collie Club was all right. That was certainly not confined to one State in New England, but it was for the whole of New England, and it is certainly not confined to a lesser number of point rating out there. They have charged regular club dues, but they passed that and at the same meeting they put a statement of that
sort before us of what they proposed to do.

THE CHAIR: It does not seem to be very consistent, but it is an internal affair of theirs.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: If they can dictate like that, how can we admit their delegates here, if it is a subsidiary club?

THE CHAIR: They are not subsidiary. We will practically have to amend our Constitution to receive them.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think they want us “to pull their chestnuts out of the fire” for them.

MR. EDWIN J. VAN SCHAICK: In admitting the New England Collie Club, their Constitution and their standard are practically the same as ours. Their standard was the same as ours. They did not wish in any way to conflict with us. If we should choose a date they had decided on, they would waive their right in favor of the old club, and on those conditions alone it was decided by the members at that annual meeting to admit the New England Club, and the Breeders’ Association did not propose to consider the Collie Club of America one way or the other. They would not waive any right in our favor with regard to dates of holding shows. They are antagonistic, and they show it not only in their list, which I
have received of the officers of the clubs, but in every way they wished to show that it was their desire to cut loose from the Collie Club of America, and try to run shows or an organization so as to take away from our club. It is for these reasons that we do not care to have them admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club. As a local concern we are perfectly willing they should come in.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The Collie Breeders’ Association wants to localize their shows to West of Pennsylvania. Am I right in that?

MR. EDMUND J. VAN SCHIACK: I think that pretty nearly covers the whole United States.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Do you not think New York State and New York City amounts to something? Why do you partition off the New England States?

MR. VAN SCHIACK: That was done at the last annual meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I am simply asking for information in regard to the matter. I cannot see that your cup holds water.

MR. VAN SCHIACK: They certainly did permit the New England Club to hold shows in New England according to their statements there.
THE CHAIR: These delegates decided in December that no specialty club should be admitted hereafter if it provided for, a breed that already had a specialty club that was a member here, unless as a condition precedent, it received the endorsement of the older specialty club.

MR. CARNOCHAN: My only question in the matter is the fact that what is meat for New Englanders is not meat for the Westerners, and on that account I must say it would seem as if it was within the province of the delegates of the American Kennel Club to examine into this matter pretty closely and ascertain why the line is drawn against one section of the country and not against the other.

MR. VAN SCHIACK: I think most of the members out West are members of the Collie Club of America, and are in good standing, but this Breeders’ Association is merely a handful of men in Chicago. When they organized they were at this meeting. They were brought in, and they said although at present our fee is $25, we will get in for nothing, but whoever comes in after this shall be admitted on the payment of $25. Several of those gentlemen have resigned.

MR. MOORE: As I understand it, the matter under
discussion is, shall the Breeders’ Society be admitted or not; in view of the fact that
we passed a rule in December that they should not be admitted unless approved of
by the parent club, how can we discuss the matter now. I put that in the form of a
point of order.

THE CHAIR: The Chair sustains that point of order.

MR. MOORE: I think it is in the province of the Secretary to answer those
communications and see if he cannot bring about an understanding between the two
bodies. I move that the Secretary be requested to write to both parties with that end
in view.

THE CHAIR: And send them a copy of the motion that was carried at the
December meeting.

MR. MOORE: I will add that to my motion.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I should like to know whether you desire this letter form
the Collie Club of America spread upon the minutes.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: So long as we cannot take any action on the matter,
how can their communication be spread upon minutes?

MR. BUCKLEY: It was part of the discussion.
MR. MOORE: In view of the fact that the Secretary is authorized to continue the correspondence, I should think it ought to be spread upon the minutes.

THE CHAIR: It is part of the discussion and otherwise acted upon, and therefore I think it should go in the minutes.

MR. BUCKLEY: It was on that letter that the ruling was made, because that brought out the fact that the Collie Club did not approve of the Collie Breeders' Association.

Collie Club of America
Fayetteville, Pa.,
May 17th, 1904

Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary American Kennel Club, N.Y.

My dear Sir:

In accordance with the resolution passed at the annual meeting of the Collie Club of America regarding the Collie Breeders Association, this latter organization has just acknowledged our request by submitting their Constitution and By-laws. The same have been duly considered by the Collie Club of America and I am officially empowered to write you as follows, so that you may lay the same before the delegates of the American Kennel Club for their earnest and mature considera-
tion: Firstly, the two clubs that have applied for recognition in the American Kennel Club are the New England Collie Club and Louisville Collie Club. There two Clubs were recognized by the Collie Club only as local organizations, the former confined to the New England States, the latter to Louisville. Both Clubs have adopted the standard laid down by the Collie Club of America, and furthermore, they are not in opposition to the Collie Club of America. The Collie Breeders’ Association, however, according to their own Constitution, wish the right to take in the entire United States with the privilege of holding shows as far east as Pennsylvania and as far West as the Pacific Coast. Their type idea differs somewhat from that of the Collie Club of America. This is detrimental to the Breed. Our type and standard are those in use in Scotland and England and up to date in America. We cannot afford to have any deviation from these standards. In spite of the fact that the Collie Breeders’ Association have in every one of their actions manifested a desire to down the Collie Club of America, we are willing to recognize them under conditions to be stated later.

It should be understood, however, that our membership includes more men in the West than in the East, and that as an illustration of our ability to protect and cater to the same we held our show in Milwaukee this year and made it a great
success, the greatest success of any Specialty Show ever held in the United States, and practically all the entries were made by Western men, members of the Collie Club of America, and without any support form the Collie Breeders’ Association although we practically came to their doors. Does this demonstrate or not, our ability as a National Organization? To not only cater to the Western breeders, members of our Club, but to our Eastern members as well? Another thing I should like to call attention to is the fact of admitting Specialty Clubs unlimitedly. No matter how small the organization the American Kennel Club admits them all on the same basis, and this is not a case where the more shows the better for the dog. No matter how few the dogs or how small the locality you have no jurisdiction over the number of shows they shall hold. Specialty Clubs are allowed four points for winners and there is nothing to prevent these small organizations holding twenty shows a year and turning out champions by the wholesale. They have nothing to sacrifice and everything to gain. This is not the policy of any Specialty Club in the United States. They hold an annual show and put up better prize money than is given at any regular show and it has been our experience in our two annual shows that the quality and competition was far in excess greater than that encountered in regular shows. This deserves consideration, and we as the National Body representing
the Collie in America deserve the protection of the American Kennel Club in that our unselfish interest has been demonstrated by our supports of the Breed in the years past.

Upon the following conditions the Collie Club of America is willing to accept the Collie Breeders’ Association, or any other Collie Organization that may apply for admission to the American Kennel Club.

1<sup>st</sup>. That they be recognized not as a National Organization but as a Local Organization, the word local meaning the confining the holding of shows to the State in which the organization is formed.

2<sup>nd</sup>. That their rating in winners shall not be on a par with the National Organization, The Collie Club of America.

3<sup>rd</sup>. That their standard of type for judging shall be identical with that adopted by Collie Club of America and that no other standard be recognized until said standard is amended by the Collie Club of America.

4<sup>th</sup>. That they shall not hold shows on dates conflicting with those taken by the Collie Club of America.

5<sup>th</sup>. That the Collie Breeders’ Association or any other organization applying for admission to the American Kennel Club lend their support to the Collie Club of America and will do nothing detrimental to its interests. Upon these conditions not subject to amendment, does the Collie Club of America give
its sanction to the admission of the Collie Breeders’ Association to the American Kennel Club, and we earnestly appeal to the Delegates of the American Kennel Club for their hearty co-operation, for, after mature consideration, we not only feel, but know, it is for the interest of the Breed which we represent, and furthermore, we know that there are other Collie Clubs forming which are about to apply for admission and limitation of rights is the only thing that can protect one and all.

I am,

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Wm. C. Hunter, Secretary,

Collie Club of America

THE SECRETARY: I should like to have some action in connection with the proposed charge for possible certificates that will be demanded of the American Kennel Club for the World’s Fair registration.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I raise the point of order that we have already a certified pedigree for which the charge is fifty cents. I cannot see why you should make any cheaper rating than that.

THE CHAIR: This includes the name of the dog. In other words, it is a duplicate receipt.
MR. CARNOCHAN: Why should we charge anything for the receipt.

THE CHAIR: You do not charge anything for the original receipt, and the exhibitor can file that original receipt with his entry, but if he wants a duplicate receipts, so he may keep the original for himself, or if he has lost the original and has to have a duplicate, the Secretary’s thought is that that will involve a certain amount of expense to the American Kennel Club which should be defrayed by the person who owns the dog, and not by the American Kennel Club.

MR. CARNOCHAN: It seems unjust to charge the second time.

THE SECRETARY: In other words, you want to show ten dogs that you may have registered in the last two or three years, and we have rendered the service for your fee, and as you have the registry receipt, you do not care for that receipt, you do not care for that receipt, but you want to a certification from the American Kennel Club that that dog was registered in such a year, in such a volume, by such a person, signed and given to you so you can file that with your entry.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I should think at the present time
if a man came here and asked for that you would give him a certified pedigree for fifty cents.

THE SECRETARY: The certified pedigree is entirely a different service.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Why should there by any charge for making out a second receipt?

MR. CARNOCHAN: If we make out several hundred, we shall have to get more help.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Are you not in favor of helping the St. Louis Exposition?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, but I think we should have a remuneration.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I do not think we should charge for a second receipt.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: If I lose my original certificate, can I not come here for duplicate?

THE SECRETARY: You can.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: The Secretary is right, because the St. Louis Exposition will furnish the blank, but I do think we could afford to give the certificate free.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I personally cannot see any difference between this case and the case of the man who comes and gets a receipt the first time he wants.
to register his dog.

THE SECRETARY: He pays for that. Do you want to do it again in the case of one or a dozen or five hundred. We were going to do the same think in connection with the Chicago Fair. You were going to charge twenty-five cents.

(At this stage the Chairman resigned the Chair to Mr. Price).

MR. VITI: I think it would be a great mistake to charge twenty-five cents. I think the American Kennel Club is able to afford that. It would not cost us twenty-five cents to get it out. You can issue them on certain days. You can say that on a certain day a receipt will go out, and a clerk will be employed for a few days to do the work on that particular day.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Cannot our clerks do it here?

MR. VITI: At most it would not involve twenty-five cents to the American Kennel Club.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that they be issued free.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to amend that motion by substituting that duplicate receipts of registry be issued to anybody free who may apply for them.

THE SECRETARY: That is done. The Live Stock
Department of the Louisiana Exposition furnishes a certain form. They do not propose to accept our registration receipt or a duplicate thereof; they propose to furnish a certain form, and that form is to be filled out by the Secretary of each National Club. Mr. Carnochan’s motion that we issue a duplicate receipt without cost is entirely unnecessary, because it is done every day. We are not to issue any such receipt. We are asked to issue a special one.

At this stage Mr. Viti resumes the Chair.

THE CHAIR: This is a certificate, not a receipt.

THE SECRETARY: If a man registers his dog, he pays a dollar for that registration, which entitles him to a receipt, giving the name of the dog, the breed and the number of the dog; but if he wants a certified pedigree he is not entitled to it unless he pays for it. That is an entirely different service. If you ask for a third service, it is for the delegates to say whether that third service will be given free or whether a charge will be made therefore. It is immaterial to me. This whole matter will have to be gone through with before our next meeting.

THE CHAIR: Probably it is not quite clear to you that there is a receipt issued by this office
when a dog is registered; in addition to that there is a certified pedigree with which
you are familiar, and then it is proposed to issue a third document.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to ask the question, why should we issue a
third document?

THE CHAIR: Because the St. Louis Exposition requires the same certificate
for horses, cattle, sheep, dogs, etc., issued uniformly. It does not confirm with the
exact language of ours, although it is in substance the same. They propose sending
one to the Canadian Kennel Club, one to the American Kennel Club, one to the
English Kennel Club and one to the French Kennel Club, if you will look at that
receipt you will see it is different from anything that has ever been used in this
office.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Personally I do not see any reason for charging for it.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: We have pledged ourselves to support the St. Louis
Show as a body.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I rise to a point of order.

THE CHAIR: The question before us now is whether or not a charge shall be
made.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I have made a motion that we
give that certificate free.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I moved to amend it simply to read to anybody free.

MR. BUCKLEY: In discussing that amendment, it would seem that the practice of giving a receipt to any one who asked for it for registration of a dog might prove a bad one, in the hands of those who chose to make it so by endorsing the sale of a dog on a receipt, and obtaining another receipt, and possibly substituting another dog and endorsing that one, and so on ad libitum; so I think the amendment would be distinctly unfortunate one.

MR. MOORE: I would like to move to amend that the Secretary be allowed to choose his dates for issuing those certificates, he to be allowed clerk hire to carry the matter out, and that it be for the sole use of entries for the St. Louis Exposition, and not be used at any other show.

The motion as amended by Mr. Moore was seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have just received this resolution:

Resolved that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be and hereby is instructed that on and after this
date no official business or communication connected with the affairs of the
American Kennel Club shall be given out until such time as same has been
published in the official organ of the club, namely, the American Kennel Gazette. G. Muss-Arnolt,
May 20th, 1904 Delegates Great Dane Club

MR. MOORE: I second the motion.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I am not very much of a business man, but I cannot see why we should permit our communications to go out when we have a paper which is really sustained and supported by this club, in which our official business and communications are published. It is not a readable paper in general; it is simply a paper which contains our proceedings etc. We are running this on charity, I suppose. Usually everybody is anxious that we hoard up all the money we can, and in this way of handing over our affairs for publication by the press, we are throwing it away. Why do we publish the Gazette? We can read the matter that is in it weeks ahead of its appearance in other papers. I think it is very bad business, that we should give out our very substance that we can print in the Gazette. If we do not want that, let us stop the Gazette.
MR. MOORE: My reason for seconding that motion was that I noticed that these go out to the press, and sometimes they look pretty rocky. I think directions should be given to the Secretary to look over the report of the stenographer before it is given out to any one to print, and that would be the case if it was published first in the Gazette, and I think that being the official organ, it should first appear there.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to ask Mr. Muss-Arnolt to what report he refers.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Everything that is official business.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Do I understand that that motion would rescind a motion passed here that all documents of the Kennel Club are obtainable by the press if they apply for them.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Certainly, because it should not be obtainable for publication before it is first published in the Gazette.

MR. CARNOCHAN: You know that there was a resolution of that kind here, do you not?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Yes, but I stand by what I have said. I do not think it is right, for why should
we have an official paper?

MAJOR TAYLOR: I understand that one of the best stenographers in New York is employed here, and that this matter goes before the Secretary before it is sent out, and I do not see why it should not go out in good shape.

THE SECRETARY: That is so. I have got to have copies to revise. I usually get them the day on which they have to be mailed to the West.

MR. MORTIMER: I do not think that the American Kennel Club can be blamed for the language that is used in any publication. I think it would be very poor policy on the part of this club if it withheld its information. The Gazette is published once a month. Very often matters of business are transacted in connection with which no harm can be done by giving it to the public press.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It does harm in regard to our business.

MR. MORTIMER: So far as the business is concerned, the American Kennel Club is getting wealthy. I do not know what more you want.

MR. BUCKLEY: May I ask whether the information given out to these papers is recorded and noted in
any paper in which it may be published as unofficial, or whether it is the official report of the meeting.

THE CHAIR: The Gazette is the only official organ of the American Kennel Club.

MR. BUCKLEY: Is it so noted in the papers in which it is published?

THE CHAIR: I am not responsible for that; I do not know. I very rarely have an opportunity to read them.

THE SECRETARY: They are unsigned reports.

MAJOR TAYLOR: The treasury would not seem to show that it has been very seriously injured by the press aboard.

MR. HOPTON: I think by the press copying the reports of the meeting of the Club does the Club much good, because numbers of people read it, while lost of people do no subscribe to the Kennel Gazette.

MR. MOORE: In view of what has been said I withdraw my second of that motion.

THE CHAIR: The matter is not before the house if it is not seconded.

MR. HOPTON: Has any report been furnished by
the Committee on Express Charges?

THE CHAIR: Have you received any report from that Committee?

SECRETARY: No.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to state, as Chairman of that Committee, that I have endeavored to reach Mr. Rockefeller, one of the members of the Committee, with whom I propose to interview the various Express Companies. So far I have been unable to see him. I have written him several letters. He has been away our West on various trips. The last communication I had was from his Secretary saying he would return and give the matter his attention. I have been for that reason unable to hold a meeting of the Committee.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move we now go into executive session.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Delegates then went into Executive Sessions, Mr. Vredenburgh being selected Chairman.

MR. VREDEBURGH: I beg to report that the American Club, in Executive Session, adopted the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Chairman of the Executive Committee here in session now reports to the
Chairman of the Board of Delegates the following result of the Executive session: that the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Board be spread on the minutes of the regular meeting, and that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be instructed to write to the Pacific Kennel League through the Pacific Advisory Committee that the American Kennel Club must decline the proposition form the Pacific Kennel League to join the American Kennel Club for the reasons stated in the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Board; also that if the Pacific Kennel League will submit a proposition in conformity with the recommendations contained in the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Board, the American Kennel Club will be glad to entertain it.

THE CHAIR: You have heard the report of the Chairman of the Executive Session. What is your pleasure?

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that it be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Copy of the adjourned meeting of the Pacific Advisory Board, held March 5\textsuperscript{th}, 1904, follows:

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Carlton, Harlye, Norman.

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.
The Secretary read a letter from Norman J. Stewart, replying to the invitation of this Committee. The letter being marked confidential and containing a statement of his inability to attend the meeting and giving reasons therefore, was ordered filed, without being spread on the minutes of the Committee.

On motion the unfinished business of the previous meeting was taken up.

It was moved and seconded that the “Conditions” submitted by the Pacific Kennel League be considered and discussed seriatim. Carried.

Condition No. 1. --- That the American Kennel Club recognize all wins heretofore made by the dogs under Pacific Kennel League rules, including those wins made under the Canadian Kennel Club rules in British Columbia, which have been recognized by the Pacific Kennel League.

Moved that the Committee recommend the rejection of this Condition. Seconded and carried.

Condition No. 2 --- That the Pacific Advisory Board shall consist of one delegate from each Club, which is a member of the Pacific Kennel League, together with the members of the said Board now acting under
Moved that this Committee be made representative and elective in the following manner: That any show-giving club on the Coast, a member of the American Kennel Club, shall have the power to request this Committee to nominate to the American Kennel Club for appointment to this Committee, any delegates, a resident of San Francisco, that they may select, and this Committee shall nominate such delegate so designated to it.

Seconded and carried.

Condition No. 3. --- That the Pacific Advisory Board of the American Kennel Club, as above organized, be empowered with full authority to arbitrate and regular the internal affairs of the Pacific Coast Clubs; that its findings in the event of an appeal being taken by an exhibitor, be absolute and final.

Moved that this Committee cannot recommend that it be endowed with the right to interfere in the internal affairs of any Club. This Committee already possesses the power to regulate and decide disputes between Clubs and Clubs or between Clubs and Exhibitors, but the Committee cannot recommend that its decisions be made absolutely final, without allowing
the recourse of an appeal to the parent body.

Seconded and carried.

Condition No. 4. --- That each Club be empowered to elect its own member to such Advisory Board, and that each member of the Pacific Kennel League shall be entitled to one representative on such Board, to be elected as herein provided.

Moved that this Condition being apparently in conflict with Condition No. 2, the Committee repeats its recommendation under that Condition, with the understanding, that no Club, not being a member of the American Kennel Club, can be represented on the Committee.

Seconded and carried.

Moved and seconded that the Secretary be instructed to transmit with the least possible delay to the American Kennel Club, the foregoing recommendations as the result of the mature deliberations and consideration of this Committee.

Carried.

There being no further business under the call for the meeting, the Committee adjourned, subject to call of the Chair.

Attest,

J.P. Norman,
Secretary.
The meeting then adjourned.

--------:-o0o-:--------

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Special Meeting American Kennel Club held August 4, 1904.

In the absence of the officers Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh was elected Chairman of the meeting.

The following clubs were present:

Associate Member      W.G. Rockefeller
American Dachshund Club    Dr. C. Motschenbacher
Boston Terrier Club      Dwight Moore
Bull Terrier Club        F.H. Croker
Columbus Fanciers’ Club   J.M. Taylor
Great Dane Club          G. Muss-Arnolt
Japanese Spaniel Club    W.J. Berg
National Beagle Club     J.W. Appleton
New Jersey Kennel Club   C.G. Hopton
Pointer Club             A.H. Ball
Spaniel Breeders’ Society M.A. Viti
Ladies’ Kennel Association of America       J. Mortimer
Welsh Terrier Club       B.S. Smith

The reading of the minutes of the last meeting were laid over until the next regular meeting.

The call for the special meeting was read by the Chair, which was as follows:
New York, July 25, 1904.

To the Delegates:

You are hereby notified of the requested to attend a special meeting of the American Kennel Club, at the office of the Club, 55 Liberty Street, New York, on Thursday, August 4, 1904, at 3 o’clock P.M.

Business – To consider communication of the St. Louis Exposition.

By order of the President.

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary

The following letter from Col. Charles F. Mills, Chief Department of Live Stock, Universal Exposition, St. Louis was read:-

Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary American Kennel Club,

55 Liberty St., New York.

Mr. dear Sir:

It has been suggested by a number of leading dog fanciers who have arranged to make exhibits at the kennel show of the Universal Exposition of 1904, that the value of the awards might be greatly increased by their full recognition and publication by the various National Kennel Clubs interested in the compilation of Stud Books.
The gentlemen composing the advisory committee, representing the American Dog Fanciers, in connection with the Universal Exposition of 1904, and consisting of Messrs. August Belmont, John G. Kent and Marcel A. Viti, in their announcement to exhibitors of dogs at the World’s Fair, refer to the matter of recognizing the awards as follows:

“It has been decided that inasmuch as the World’s Fair Kennel Show is universal in character, and is to be held under rules approved by the officers of the kennel clubs of this and foreign countries, we will favor the proposition that the wins at the Universal Exposition of 1904 shall be published in the home and foreign Kennel Club Stud Books.”

The classification for the kennel show of the Exposition, a copy of which has been sent you, will soon be published in pamphlet form to meet the unexpected demand from prospective exhibitors of dogs.

It is suggested that the action of your club in reference to this matter be embodied in a resolution which can be published in the final edition of the Kennel Show Prize List, which will soon go to the printer.

In this connection the matter of Specialty Club prizes and their announcement in the Kennel Show Prize List may be of sufficient importance to influence you to write the
officers of such clubs and to urge them to make liberal provision for prizes for exhibits at the Worlds’ Fair of the breeds in which they are respectfully interested.

All such special prizes should be determined on the exhibits made at the Worlds’ Fair and not contingent on further winnings at any later shows.

You will see the necessity of having each Specialty Club advise this Department direct, and without delay, of the special prizes they desire to offer for Worlds’ Fair exhibits, which should be in the form of cash or valuable metal.

Very truly yours,

Charles F. Wills,
Chief of Dept. of Live Stock.

The subject matter of Col. Mills’ letter was thoroughly discussed, and resulted in the adoption of the following resolution, offered by Ancel H. Ball, and duly seconded by Frank H. Croker:-

Resolved, That the American Kennel Club agrees, under a suspension of its rules, to publish a record of the wins of dogs shown at the Universal Exposition, at St. Louis Mo., October 25 to 28, 1904, in the American Kennel Gazette and the American Kennel Club Stud Book; and if a certificate
of winnings be requested on such dogs same be given, stating that a St. Louis win was made under a suspension of the American Kennel Club rules. It is also understood that the winnings at St. Louis shall not count for or against a dog, or in any way affect the showing of said winners in any classes of shows held under the regular rules of the American Kennel Club.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary
REGULARLY QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD AT ITS OFFICES NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY,
SEPTEMBER 23, 1904.

In the absence of the President and Vice President Mr. Marcel A. Viti was
selected to preside.

Present:
Bay State Cooperative Bench Show Ass., Samuel R. Cutler
Boston Terrier Club Dwight Moore
Bryn Mawr Kennel Club J.A. Caldwell
Bulldog Club of America W.C. Codman
Collie Club of America Edwin J. Van Schaick
Great Dane Club of America G. Muss-Arnolt
Japanese Spaniel Club Wm. J. Berg
Long Island Kennel Club Jos. M. Dale
Mascoutah Kennel Club C.F.R. Drake
New England Kennel Club W.B. Emery
Spaniel Breeders Society Marcel A. Viti
Westminster Kennel Club Richard H. Williams
Russian Wolfhound Club Dr. J.E. De Mund
Englewood Kennel Club M.W. Robinson
The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:

New York, Sept. 23, 1904

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At the meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, the following application for membership was presented:-

July 11, 1904, TOY SPANIEL CLUB OF AMERICA.

This application was protested by the Japanese Spaniel Club, upon the ground that the Japanese Spaniel was one of the breeds of Toy Spaniels included in the breeds to be cared for by said Club. An amended Constitution was subsequently filed in which the Japanese Spaniel was made an exception, and your Committee therefore recommends the election of the Toy Spaniel Club of America.

Credentials from the following Clubs have been received and hereby approved:

May 25, 1904, Russian Wolfhound Club

appointing Dr. J.E. De Mund

June 3, 1904 N.E. Collie Club

“ Tom B. Middlebrook

June 7, 1904, Hornellsville K.C.

“ Dr. Charles O. Green

Aug. 11, 1904, Englewood, K.C.

“ Myron W. Robinson
Respectfully submitted,
Signed  William B. Emery Chairman

The application of the Toy Spaniel Club was laid over to be considered later.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:
Dr. J.E. De Mund  Russian Wolfhound Club
Tom. B. Middlebrooke  New England Collie Club
Dr. Charles O. Green  Hornellsville Kennel Club
Myron W. Robinson  Englewood Kennel Club

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were approved as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

To the American Kennel Club,
Gentleman:

I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting the following clubs have been admitted to membership by mail vote:
Through the Executive Board, - The Louisville Collie Club; Lawrence Kennel Club; Englewood Kennel Club; Madison Athletic Club;
Through the Pacific Advisory Committee; the Oakland Kennel Club; San Mateo Kennel Club.

I beg to submit the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of its meetings held June 4th, July 23rd, and Aug. 230, 1904. Also a communication from said Committee requesting a ruling on the question of the publication of certain of its acts in the Gazette prior to the usual presentation of same at one of our regular meetings.

The Executive Board called upon the Westminster Kennel Club; Buffalo Kennel Club, and Montreal Canine Association to explain certain acts at their late shows, and replies from said cubs will be submitted for such action as may be thought proper.

At the meeting of the Executive Board held April 13, 1904, charges preferred by T.M. Poole against J.D. Holt, were investigated, and a decision rendered as follows: “Ordered, that said Holt be and hereby is directed to refund the fifty dollars paid by Poole, and in his receipt of same, Poole be and hereby is directed to return the tow dogs which he purchases for said amount, and were received from Holt. In default of the said order being complied with by Holt within thirty days the said J.D. Holt
shall be disqualified.”

It appears that the order above quoted has never been complied with by Holt but a satisfactory compromise was made between the parties, and Poole has several times demanded the return of the deposit of ten dollars which he made with the original charges. This demand I have refused to comply with until such time as I may receive official instructions in the premises, which I now ask at your hand.

The Collie Breeders Association Application for admission to membership is still pending, a further letter of June 15, 1904, having been filed, same will be presented at this meeting. I now hold their dues for 1903 and 1904, and in justice to all, some disposition of the case should be made.

I beg to report that charges have been preferred and are now pending by S.W. Franklin against Fred E. Rice, which will be presented at this meeting. I now hold their dues for 1903 and 1904, and in justice to all, some disposition of the case should be made.

I beg to call to your attention that the fine imposed against the Collie Club of America in the sum of Twenty-five dollars for holding its Spring Show under wrong rules, have never been paid, and I would suggest that the penalty of suspension in accordance with precedents be imposed at this meeting.
I submit herewith a detailed fill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from Feb. 23rd to Aug. 11, 1904, amounting to $21.17, and would recommend that the same be ordered paid.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted, and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was read as follows:

New York, Sept. 22, 1904

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1904</td>
<td>$14,114.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan. 1st to date</td>
<td>11,253.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25,367.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>10,562.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$14,805.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Stud Book Committee’s report was read as follows:

September 23, 1904

The Stud Book Committee respectfully begs leave to report as follows:

Applications have been filed asking that the following kennel names may be registered:

Barton    R.H. Johnson, Jr.
Beaufort   Mrs. Reginald Brook
Belmont Farms Belmont Farm (Inc.)
Briardale E.F. Tucker & R.C. Yenney
Calmut    Mrs. F.S. Frederick
Cokeni    G.M. Leventritt & L.M.D. McGuire
Daffodil Farm Anna R. Bush
Duncraggan G.A. Cochran
East Side  R.J. Dougherty & J.T. Fahey
Elmsborough Mrs. T.M. Gollin
Elmwood    E.S. Akin & Son
Hazelcroft Mr. and Mrs. W. Clark
Hillhaven  E.G. Linton
Humberstone I.C. Ackerman
Kentake    E.H. Kaufman
Lavallette  N.R. McGregor
Macochee    McConnell & Kirby
Minnepek    H.W. Leland
Moore’s     Fred Moore, Jr.
Pen Craig    Mr. and Mrs. H.F. Webster
Quaboag     D.F. Holden
Rancocas    H.T. Brown
Rossmore    Mr. and Mrs. J. Phelan
Rosecroft   C.F. Neilsen & H.R. Baldwin
Saddle River J.E. de Mund & L.M. Nichols
Savior      G.T. Fox
Shanarock Farm Mr. and Mrs. E.L. Crawford
Schaghticoke W.M. Weatherwox
Shoe City    W. Southard
Skookum     S. Jones
Staley      W.B. Richards
Sunnyside   Mrs. A.C. Harvey
Tallac      W.W. Stellheimer
Temple      Mr. and Mrs. W.H.C. Pillsbury
Vanor       Samuel Chew
Victor      D.W. Armstrong
Wachusett   A. Thomas & A. Brewerton
Wakefield   W.G. Maine
Wawonaissa  L.R. Ferris
Westmoor    J.B. Wood
Wiltshire    E.S. Hickman

As none of them conflict with any already on the book it is recommended that they be allowed.

Permission has also been asked to transfer the following names previously registered to new owners, and as no objection appears to the request it seems that they should be granted.

T R A N S F E R S

Earlington   From W.P. Earle to E.M. & W.P. Earle
Kiski        From Taylor & Richards to A.B. Taylor
Rockhill     From E.A. Bryan to E.A. & F.A. Bryan
Mt. Pleasant From D.C. Sands, Jr., to D.C. Sands, Jr. And E.M. Kennedy
In the matter of the exhibition of the Beagle Orangemen. The first part of this case was acted upon at the meeting of last February, but the Stud Book Committee was directed to continue the case as it appeared from the evidence that the dog was shown without the name of the real owner having been disclosed. It appears from the records that the dog was shown under the name of Wilson Barnard while it was owned by the Vanor Kennels in which Mr. Chew was a half or entire owner. There were a number of agreements made between Mr. Chew and Mr. Barnard relating to the exhibiting of this dog and the formation of the Vanor Kennels but as they are in conflict with the rules of the American Kennel Club they cannot be relied upon by either of the parties. The Committee is of the opinion that the Beagle was the property of Mr. Chew and was contributed by him to the Vanor Kennels. Mr. Barnard, however, showed the dog giving himself as agent and omitting the name of the owner.

The committee is of the opinion that there is no doubt that the dog was shown in violation of the rules and that all of its winnings should therefore be disqualified and the other winners moved up but there is no evidence that Barnard acted fraudulently in the premises as he made inquiry of the show secretary and was advised to enter as he did, but
they think he was so grossly careless in regarding the rules that he should be
censured by the association and be directed to return to the secretary where the
said dog was exhibited as Wilson Barnard, owner, or Wilson Barnard, agent, an
amount equal to the prizes won by Orangemen.

In the matter of the designation of Toy Spaniels.

The last action taken by the Kennel Club on this subject decreed that
thereafter Toy Spaniels should be known and registered by their colors and not by
the names they formerly held. This was based upon the evidence submitted by the
breeders that an inconsiderable percentage alone were bred from parent of the
same variety of color. Owners of dogs however which have descended from an
unbroken line of the same variety of ancestors have applied to register their dogs
under the original names and the committee believe that this should be granted as it
was not their intention to recommend that pure bred dogs should be excluded form
the Stud books. It seems that the proper solution of the matter would be to allow
English Toy Spaniels to be registered by their colors as orange and White English
Toy Spaniels, Black and Tan English Toy Spaniels, Red English Toy Spaniels, and
Tri-color English Toy Spaniels, and in addition to that
To allow those persons who own Blenheim, Rubys, Prince Charles and King Charles and who can attest a pedigree showing an unbroken ancestry of the same variety to be registered under those names.

In regard to the matter of kennel names a protest has been filed against the use of registered kennel names in combinations with other names. It has been the practice heretofore to allow the use of kennel names which although similar were not identical with those already registered. It is the opinion of the committee that this custom should be departed from as kennel names should be as safeguarded as trade marks and trade names are protested by courts of law, and they think that hereafter no name should be registered which conflicts with any registered prefix.

It was moved and seconded that said report be laid upon the table and brought up under the head of new business. Carried.

Reports of meetings of the Pacific Advisory Board were read as follows:
Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, held June 4, 1904, at Occidental Hotel, S.F.

Present: Harley, Burnham, Norman
Absent: de Ruyter, Carlton

On motion, Mr. Harley took the chair.
The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
The Secretary moved that for the expeditious dispatch of the business before the meeting, the rules be suspended, and the business be taken up out of order.
Seconded and carried.

It was moved and seconded, that the action of the Secretary, as outlined in his letter of May 31st, to Mr. Vredenburgh be sustained, and that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to delay taking any further action in the premises before the meeting, until he has received a reply from Mr. Vredenburgh confirming his letter of May 23rd. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that the matter of the present discussion be not spread on the copy of the minutes sent to the American Kennel Club for publication, but that the resolution adopted during the foregoing discussion be forwarded to the Secretary of the A.K.C. for transmission.
to the Executive Board at an executive meeting. Carried.

A.K.C. versus Mrs. A. Roi. This is a charge made that Mrs. A.A. Roi showed at the late San Francisco show, a Gordon Setter dog under the name of Dick, the said dog not being her property, and that the said dog was not entitled to the name and pedigree given. From the evidence produced, it would appear, that the dog in question was sold by Edward Attridge, a resident of San Francisco, to Colonel Park Henshaw, and that the pedigree given was supplied by the vendor of the dog at the time of sale; that the dog was presented by Colonel Henshaw to Mrs. A.A. Roi. It was therefore moved and seconded that Mrs. Roi be and hereby is exonerated from the charge, and that no suspicion of fraud can be imputed to her action.

After a prolonged discussion of matters pertaining to A.K.C. interest the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J.B. Norman, Secretary
SUPPLEMENTARY MINUTES

Meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee, held June 4, 1904

..................

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

This resolution is to be spread separately on the minutes and is to be forwarded to the Secretary of the A.K.C. for presentation to the Executive Board or the A.K.C. in Executive session of the Committee of the Whole.

RESOLUTION

It was moved and seconded that this Committee confirms the action of its Secretary in delaying to forward to the Pacific Kennel League the resolution passed by the A.K.C. on May 20th., for the reason that the A.K.C. is probably unaware of the conditions now existing as hereafter noted. This Committee is of the opinion that the Pacific Kennel League by its actions in reorganizing under the name and title of Western Kennel League, in claiming jurisdiction over the entire United States and Canada, in adopting as its official register the Field Dog Stud Book, has ipso facto withdrawn its offer to affiliate with the A.K.C. and that it would not now consent to take such action. From facts known to members of this committee the league is now committed to direct
hostility to the A.K.C. The Committee is further of the opinion that no reply should be made to the P.K.L. and that the promise of the A.K.C. to consider favorably a modified application from the P.K.L. tends to stultify the A.K.C. as there is no probability of such application being made, and the offer is likely to be taken as an indication of fear and weakness. Carried.

Attest:

Secretary J.P. Norman
PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting held July 23, 1904, at Occidental Hotel

Present: J.E. De Ruyter, Chairman, C.K. Harley, J.P. Norman
Absent: H.H. Carlton, Dr. W.P. Burnham

Minutes of the previous meeting read and approved.

Communications: S. Barnett, relating to charge of entering dog under wrong name and pedigree; ordered filed.

From A.P. Vredenburgh, June 6, relating to resolution passed at previous meeting; also one dated June 11, on the same subject; both ordered filed.

Proxy from H.H. Carlton to the secretary; ordered filed.

The Constitution of the Western Kennel League, an association formed for the purpose of governing clubs holding dog shows, was brought before the committee, and after some discussion, it was moved and seconded that,

Whereas, it has come to the knowledge of this committee that the organization known as the Western Kennel League has seen fit to incorporate in its rules and Constitution a
clause disqualifying all person, who may exhibit does at shows held west of the 110th degree of longitude under the rules of the American Kennel Club, and,

Whereas such a clause proves that the organization has been formed and exists in a spirit of undisguised hostility to the American Kennel Club, and,

Whereas, such action is prejudicial to the best interests of dogs and dog shows, therefore be it

Resolved, that nay person or persons acting from and after this date in any official capacity, paid or unpaid, including that of Judge, Secretary, Superintendent, Steward, Clerk, of a show, or as an officer or member of a bench show Committee of a Club holding a show west of the 110th degree of longitude, under the rules of the Western Kennel League, or under any rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, be and hereby are disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

And it is further resolved, that this Committee recommend to the American Kennel Club, that it take under advisement the expediency of adopting similar measures affecting clubs and shows held east of the 110th degree of longitude under the rules of the Western Kennel League or any other organization hostile to the American Kennel Club or otherwise
prejudicial to the best interests of Dogs and Dog Shows. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that, whereas the foregoing resolutions become effective from date, the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to secure for them the most prompt and extended publicity possible, in order to prevent their infraction by persons otherwise uninformed of their passage. Carried.

There being no other business, the meeting adjourned subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary
PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Meeting held at Occidental Hotel, August 30, 1904.

Present:  J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman, C.K. Harley, W.P. Burnham, J.P. Norman
Absent:  H.H. Carlton

Minutes read and approved.

The Secretary read the following report:

Berkeley, Cal. Aug. 30, 1904

Pacific Advisory Committee, A.K.C.

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last meeting, we have by mail vote, unanimously elected to membership in the A.K.C. the following clubs:

OAKLAND KENNEL CLUB
SAN MATEO KENNEL CLUB

The former will hold a show on September 2nd, 3rd. The latter on September 9th.

On the subject of the resolution passed by this Committee disqualifying officers of Clubs holding shows held under rules other than the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB. I have received certain letters of approval, which I will read to the meeting, suggesting that the Committee first decide whether these letters shall be incorporated in the minutes
reported to the A.K.C. secretary to publish in the Gazette or whether the letters be not reported to the A.K.C. for publication.

I would respectfully call the attention of the Committee to the fact that aforesaid resolution applied to all clubs west of the 110th degree of longitude and would ask the committee to wither include or exclude specifically the clubs domiciliated in British Columbia.

The SPOKANE KENNEL CLUB made arrangements for a show to be held under Western Kennel League rules some time previous to the passing of the W.K.L. resolution disqualifying A.K.C. clubs. The president points out that the club had no voice in the passing of that disqualifying clause and was not in favor of it. He points out that it would be manifestly unfair to disqualify officers of that club or to compel it to hold a show under A.K.C. rules, when its premium list has already been issued for a W.K.L. show. The judge of the show had already signed a contract with the club, and an appeal is filed with this Committee.

I beg to call the attention of the Committee to a recent announcement in a local sporting paper that the secretary of this committee was in receipt of letters from Northern
fanciers requesting the rescinding of the disqualification resolution. The paper in question very courteously printed in its next issue my unqualified denial of the statement.

Your attention is called to the announcement in the sporting pages that the W.K.L. has rescinded its disqualification clause. Your attention is also called to a statement appearing in the public press signed by Norman J. Stewart, that the A.K.C. was offering a subsidy of $300.00 to a Northern kennel club to hold a show under A.K.C. rules.

With reference to the correspondence to be laid before the meeting, between the secretary of the A.K.C. and the secretary of this Committee, I think it would be in order for this Committee to obtain a ruling form the A.K.C. as to what resolutions of this Committee should find prompt publication in the American Kennel Gazette.

The membership committee having terminated its labors, and the roster of the Pacific Advisory Committee being now complete, the chair is asked to discharge the Committee.

Yours obediently,

J.P. Norman
Secretary
On motion, it was decided to take up the secretary’s report seriatim. The election of the San Mateo Kennel Club and of the Oakland Kennel Club was ratified.

Communications were read and ordered filed, the secretary being instructed to advise the writers that the Committee derived much pleasure and encouragement from the contents of same.

It was moved and seconded that the resolution passed on July 23rd, by this Committee, disqualifying all officers of clubs holding shows under the rules of the Western Kennel League or under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, west of the 110th degree of longitude, does not apply to clubs holding shows under the rules of the Canadian Kennel Club, provided such shows are held outside of the boundaries of the United States. Carried.

The following communication was then submitted:

San Francisco, Aug. 29, 1904

J.P. Norman, Esq.,
Secretary Pacific Advisory Committee
American Kennel Club

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing a letter from the President of the
Spokane Kennel Club and would respectfully suggest that you lay the same before the Committee with a view to having your recent disqualification rule suspended in the case of the Spokane Kennel Club, on the ground that the show was announced before your rule was made.

Respectfully,

Oakland Kennel Club

W.E. Chute,
Secretary

The letter enclosed, in so far as pertinent to the matter at issue is as follows:

Spokane, Wash. August 22, 1904

Mr. W.E. Chute,
Secretary,
San Francisco

Dear Sir:

............but I will send dogs to A.K.C. shows at Frisco and any other place. I regret the trouble and that Spokane did not even have a vote on the rules or even know anything about it, until it was passed. We advertised our show months before the trouble. If you disqualify me because I am President of the Spokane Kennel Club, you will do me an injustice, also prevent me showing at your shows out West and East.......
Yours truly,

Thos. S. Griffith

After considerable discussion, it was deemed inadvisable to consider the suspension of the rule in question, and the letters were ordered filed. The Secretary was instructed to telegraph and to write to Mr. Griffith setting forth the decision of the Committee. There being no official notice before the Committee as to the rescinding of the Western Kennel League of the disqualification clause, the subject was not admitted to discussion.

The following extract was read from a letter appearing generally in the sporting press, purporting to be signed by Norman J. Stewart, Secretary of the Western Kennel League: At the same time, it became known to us that the A.K.C. intended fighting the League in its own territory. We were told that A.K.C. shows would be held in every town which at present gave W.K.L. shows. In one case, at least, money, (the amount, I believe was $300.00) and a guarantee of fifty dogs from San Francisco was offered to some fanciers of the North to get up an A.K.C. show in opposition to the W.K.L. club in the town. It is always hard to get information of such offers, as they are naturally made “sub rosa”, but it is only fair to assume that similar
offer was made to other fanciers in other towns."

The Chair called for the personal assurance of each member as to the truth of the allegations contained in the foregoing as affecting each personally, and after each member had denied that he had either privately or in his capacity of member of this Committee offered any monetary subsidy or consideration to aid any show to be held in the North under the rules of the American Kennel Club in opposition to shows held under the rules of the Western Kennel League, it was

Moved and seconded that the statement published in the sporting papers over the alleged signature of Norman J. Stewart, Secretary of the Western Kennel League, that the American Kennel Club, or anyone having authority to speak for the American Kennel Club had offered any monetary subsidy to aid in holding a show under the rules of the A.K.C. in the North in opposition to shows held under the rules of the Western Kennel League, was, in so far as this Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club or any of its members are concerned, an utter fabrication and without any foundation in substance or in truth.

And it was further moved and seconded that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to procure for this
resolution prompt and extended publicity. Carried.

Considerable correspondence was laid before the Committee that had transpired between the secretary thereof and the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, with reference to the publication in the American Kennel Gazette of resolutions for which this Committee, in the interests of the American Kennel Club deemed prompt publicity desirable. After consideration of the same, it was

Moved and seconded, that the Secretary be instructed to request the Secretary of the American Kennel Club to lay the matter before the Executive Board, with the request to the latter to authorize the publication in the Kennel Gazette of resolutions for which the Committee desires prompt publicity, without awaiting the action of the American Kennel Club on the full minutes of the meetings as reported to that honorable body. This request is in no way to be interpreted as any desire to impair the undisputed right of the American Kennel Club to be placed in possession of the full minutes of the meetings of this Committee. Carried.

The membership committee reported the completion of its labors, the roster of the Committee being now complete the chair accordingly discharged the sub-committee.

On motion the report of the secretary was approved.
There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary
It was moved and seconded that said reports be accepted and spread upon the minutes.
Carried

GENERAL BUSINESS

THE SECRETARY: At the last show of the Westminster Kennel Club, there were four entries made, accepted, and the dogs competed of a disqualified man. The matter was reported to the Executive Board which directed the secretary to ask the Westminster Kennel Club for an explanation. I have the communications here from said club which I will read.

The secretary then read the correspondence referred to.

MR. WILLIAMS: I think there is little to be said in addition to that. The facts are that the entries were accepted by mistake. The awards were withdrawn from those entries, and the other entries were moved up, and were paid the additional amounts to make them first prizes, second prizes and so forth. The club regrets exceedingly the occurrence. It is something that has possibly happened to other clubs, and may happen again. We make an apology for the
mistake, and we will submit with pleasure to any fine the committee sees fit to impose. I would like to file with the secretary copies of the letters to the parties in interest.

MR. MOORE: I move that no further action be taken in the matter.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a matter to bring before you affecting the Buffalo Kennel Club in relation to the entry of bull dogs. There was a bull dog entered at their show. Then there was a request made that the entry be cancelled and another dog substituted, before the closing of entries, which was granted. After the closing of entries, there was a telephone request that the original entry should be substituted dog, which the Buffalo Kennel Club very kindly allowed. They published in their catalogue the first substitution, which they had the right to grant, but the dog shown was the second substituted dog. The Executive Board cancelled the win, as the dog has no right to be shown.

MR. CODMAN: After the entries closed?

THE SECRETARY: Yes. The Buffalo Kennel Club was then called upon to explain why they permitted such action. I have their letter here dated May 6th, which I will read. I saw the president of the club, and he told me that their
superintendent had permitted these substitutions without their knowledge or consent, and when the matter was protested he called for the original papers, and he said that while they could find all other papers, these particular papers could not be found and never had been found.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Is there any proof from the protestee that there was another dog shown in the meantime?

THE CHAIRMAN: The dog that competed was not the dog whose name was in the catalogue. This matter was brought to the attention of the Executive Board, which cancelled the winnings, but they thought that before taking any action against the club, the club should have an opportunity of explaining its position. This is the answer which the club has filed, which is before us today.

MR. MOORE: The club accepted it and made this change after the entries had closed?

THE CHAIRMAN: The substitution was made after the close of entries.

MR. MOORE: How could they accept that, or how could the exhibitor make it?

THE CHAIRMAN: The substitution of “Toreador” for “Smesher” was made after the closing of entries. There is no proof that the dog that was brought in the ring was entered
because the entry blank is not there, and his name was not in the catalogue.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Then the whole this falls on the exhibitor for substituting the wrong dog.

THE CHAIRMAN: I cannot say that there is any rule that covers this case in so many specific words. There is the general rule concerning improper conduct in connection with dog shows.

MR. MOORE: Has this superintendent been asked for an explanation?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, and this is the letter which has just been read.

MR. MOORE: They do not say they referred it to the superintendent.

THE SECRETARY: The President told me so personally.

MR. MOORE: I do not think we ought to act on any hearsay evidence, and for that reason I think that the matter ought to be referred to some committee, or postponed until we can take some final action.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It is understood that a dog was shown which was not entered, isn’t it?

MR. CODMAN: The dog is disqualified. The Buffalo Kennel Club disqualified that themselves, didn’t they?
THE SECRETARY: No; we did.

MR. CALDWELL: In that case, I do not think that the officers of the Buffalo Kennel Club are entirely to blame. They were entirely in the hands of their superintendent, and as I understand it, he made the change out of his friendship for Mr. Hopton.

THE CHAIRMAN: We can only recognize the officers of the club. If they are allowed to fall back on their employees, we have no means of enforcing the rule. We recognize the club, and if the club is so unfortunate as to have in its employ unreliable employees, then they must pay the penalty. I think it better that we should dispose of these matters and not let them drag along.

MR. CODMAN: Are any charges filed against the Buffalo Kennel Club?

THE CHAIRMAN: No. The American Kennel Club for violation of its rules at a show investigates on its own motion. It does not wait until an outside party prefers charges.

MR. CODMAN: Are there any charges filed against the exhibitor?

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Earle preferred charges against the exhibitor. He preferred his charges before the Bench Show Committee, whose decision was adverse to him, and he
appealed from the decision of the Bench Show Committee in Buffalo here. Then the American Kennel Club sustained his appeal.

MR. MOORE: What further action is necessary?

THE CHAIRMAN: The only question is whether or not you want to go any further than to cancel the wins of the dog?

MR. DALE: Under the existing circumstances I think it would be very hard, inasmuch as the club is responsible for its agents. I think it would be very severe to punish the Buffalo Kennel Club. It is unfortunate, to be sure, that they had in their employ anyone who would countenance any such thing as that. The exhibitor is the man that should receive all the punishment that is meted out.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the disqualification of that dog stands if that is all that can be done in the matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: You mean that the dog be disqualified?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Yes.

THE SECRETARY: That has already been done.

MR. MOORE: I move that the matter be laid upon the table.

Motion seconded and carried.
THE SECRETARY: The Montreal Canine Association held its last show under Canadian Club rules, during which time it was a member of the American Kennel Club, and at the last meeting of this club, held in May, they were given an opportunity to explain their position, and here is the explanation under date of June 3rd, which I will read.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: While I know perfectly well that the statements made there are correct, I do not see how they can ask us to help them to straddle two horses at once --- being members of our club, and holding shows under another club’s rules. I do not think they have any right to ask us to show any sentiment in the matter. Our rules are strict and open in every respect. Nobody would claim that we could be small enough to permit a member to slap us in the face and hold a show under other rules. I know those gentlemen who plead for clemency are our friends, but that we cannot help. We have got to act according to our rules. We would be placed in a very funny position if we permitted a matter of this kind to pass without notice or action.

MR. MOORE: I would like to ask if our rules are mandatory in regard to the punishment of clubs holding shows under other rules than our own?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, we do not say anything about that.
It is assumed that they would only held shows under our rules.

MR. CODMAN: Any club can hold a show, and it is not necessary that it should be held under American Kennel Club rules.

MR. MOORE: It seems to me that we should not antagonize people or clubs or dog interests unnecessarily, and while I think our rules should be enforced to the fullest extent, I believe that a certain amount of consideration should be shown to the dog world, and if these men----these friends of ours in the Montreal Canine Association---lost control of that club for one meeting, I do not think we should take summary action against them and disqualify all those officers and members. It would seem to me proper to direct the secretary to reprimand them and caution them against any repetition of the offense, and then if next year they should hold a show not under our rules, it would be time for summary action. I think that the American Kennel Club desires as many friends as it can possibly get, and that it does not want to antagonize anybody unnecessarily.

THE CHAIRMAN: There is this to be said: I know these gentlemen personally, and I know that they are loyal members of the American Kennel Club. They undoubtedly stood by the American Kennel Club very loyally, and they had great
Pressure brought to bear against them a couple of years ago to hold a show under Canadian Club rules, but they held out against that pressure and joined the American Kennel Club and they held the Montreal show under our rules for two succeeding years. I fully agree with the statement that we want to treat all our members in the dog world as fairly as possible, but on the other hand there was nothing to compel them to serve as officers of or hold shows under Canadian Kennel Club rules. If they had lost control they could have resigned. I do not think we should be very severe in this case because it is a new situation.

MR. MOORE: It has been suggested that a fine might be imposed, but it seems to me if these officers of the club are of the right sort, a reprimand coming from this club would carry much more weight than a fine of $25, which practically would give them the privilege of committing the same offense under penalty of another fine.

THE CHAIRMAN: You want to consider another thing, and that is whether or not we are going to allow our members to belong to the Western Kennel League or to the Canadian Kennel Club and continue membership in the American Kennel Club. That is what is being done; they are still members of the Canadian Kennel Club today. I am not expecting an opinion.
I merely made the suggestion because I thought it would be well to have the subject considered in all of its branches, for I think it is apt to be a precedent for something that might happen at any moment.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Some of the gentlemen here feel that we should be lenient in this matter, but I do not. However, I will bow to the wishes of the majority. I move that we merely drop them.

Motion seconded.

THE SECRETARY: The facts in connection with this matter are these. I saw a statement in the Canadian Kennel Gazette that the Montreal Canine Association was going to hold its coming show under Canadian Kennel Club rules. Of my own volition I wrote to the secretary of the Montreal Club asking if the statement in the Canadian Kennel Gazette was true. He replied that it was; that they were going to hold their next show under Canadian Kennel Club rules. I then reported that to the May meeting of this club. There were no charges preferred against them. A motion was made to give the Montreal Canine Association an opportunity to explain why they held their show under Canadian Kennel Club rules during the time there were members of the American Kennel Club, and here is their explanation.
MR CUTLER: It seems to me that this discussion is getting away from the real issue. We do not care what individuals there are in Canada. We may have a number of friends there, but as the chair said a moment ago, it is only clubs that we deal with. This club, as a club, as it seems to me, infringed this Article 9 of our Constitution, namely, did something which is detrimental to the best interests of the American Kennel Club—held a show under the rules of our competitor, and as a club we must deal with them, especially in view of the fact that a majority of their members voted to do this after being warned by our proper officer, and it seems to me that we clearly stultify ourselves if we do not live up to our own rules. I do not think that the fact that some of its members, and its most influential members are friends of ours ought to weigh in this question. The club must be disqualified. If they want to form a new club, they will have that opportunity.

MR. MOORE: Does that carry a disqualification of all the officers of the club and the members?

THE CHAIRMAN: Not in my opinion.

MR. CALDWELL: It only deals with the club.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Then why isn’t this the easiest way out of it, and I repeat my motion, that we drop them.

MR. DALE: Just a word for information. Dropping
the club or expelling it, what does that carry with it? It does not make any difference whether those individual members are members of the American Kennel Club or not?

THE CHAIRMAN: No. Those members remain in good standing if they happen to be associate members here or delegates. If you wanted to go further you would have to expel them as individuals. This is a club as an organization because the club as a body alone has membership here.

Motion carried.

THE SECRETARY: The next matter for your attention is the case of Poole against Holt. It is simply a technicality, but I would like to have the official consent of the club before returning the money.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the secretary be directed to return the deposit money.

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The collie Breeders' Association is again before us with a letter. Agreeable to the requests that were made at the last meeting, I endeavored to settle this controversy between the Collie Club of America, and the Collie Breeders' Association. I received no reply from the Collie Club of America, but I have this letter from the Collie Breeders Association.

THE CHAIRMAN: This communication is out of order.
This Association has decided two or three times that it would protect its members and it would not allow any other club to come in as a specialty club.

THE SECRETARY: I do not think it is right for us to hold two years dues indefinitely.

MR. MOORE: I move that the communication to the secretary be answered; that the secretary be directed to refer them to his former letter, and state that the consent of the parent body is absolutely necessary to membership in our organization and then having been admitted, their two years dues be returned.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have to report the Collie Club of America as failing to pay the fine that was imposed on it last spring, and as having paid no attention to my communication on that subject. Last spring we were obliged in order to enforce the payment of a similar fine to suspend four clubs. The Collie Club of America has not answered our communication, and as it has not liquidated that fine, my report is that I would recommend suspension.

MR. VAN SCHAICK: I cannot understand why it has not been paid.

MR. MOORE: I move that said club be given thirty days within which to pay said fine, or they will be suspended.
pending further action of the delegates.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    On motion the treasurer was directed to pay the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from February 23rd to August 11th, 1904, amounting to $21.17.

    THE SECRETARY: The Pacific Advisory Committee asks a ruling. I will preface it by saying that in passing their disqualification rule they requested me to publish it at once in the Gazette. I sent them word that I must decline doing so; that it had been the custom ever since I have been secretary of the club to defer publication of official communications until they could be reported at the American Kennel Club meeting, and then they would be incorporated in the minutes if the American Kennel Club so decided; that there was no reason why I should depart from the custom of years in publishing an official communication from the Pacific Advisory Committee.

    MR. MOORE: Is the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee absolutely final?

    THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, until it is appealed from. If there is no appeal lodged against it, then it is a finality.

    MR. MOORE: Is there any time limit as to when the appeal must be made? It would seem to me as if they had a right
to have the resolutions published. At the same time I agree with the secretary that it is advisable to hold them up sometime.

THE SECRETARY: I quite agree with Mr. Moore that they have a right to have them published, but I do not agree with him that they have the right to tell me to publish them when it is entirely against the custom of the American Kennel Club ever since we had a Pacific Advisory Committee. If the American Kennel Club at this meeting or any other meeting shall pass a resolution that upon the receipt of the resolution or official action of the Pacific Advisory Committee the secretary shall in the first issue of the Kennel Gazette thereafter publish the same, then I have nothing to say; that is eminently proper, but it is not proper for the secretary to take upon himself the breaking down of customs that have prevailed for many years.

MR. MOORE: I did not intend to criticize the secretary’s action. I think that inasmuch as they have final jurisdiction, the secretary should be authorized to insert their resolutions, or the minutes of their meetings in the first publication of the Gazette.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is entirely a matter for you gentlemen to decide.
MR. MOORE: I make that in the form of a resolution that the secretary be directed to publish the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Board in the first issue of the Gazette following the receipt of the report; provided, however, no appeal is lodged.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The only thing I have to present to you now is the application of the Toy Spaniel Club of America. I see Mr. Berg is present. The matter was laid on the table until he could arrive.

THE CHAIRMAN: In your absence Mr. Berg the report of the membership committee was laid aside. They reported that application was made by the Toy Spaniel Club of America for admission, and that that application was protested by the Japanese Spaniel Club on the ground that the Japanese Spaniel was one of the breeds of toy spaniels included in the breeds to be cared for by said club. My thought was that you ought to have an opportunity of saying whether or not it was satisfactory to you to have any club admitted as a Toy Spaniel Club even though the constitution did exclude a certain variety of toy spaniels.

MR. BERG: That seems to be contrary to the wishes of the president of the club. I submit a letter from the president of the club which I received this morning.
After some further discussion, Mr. Cutler moved that the matter be referred to the Membership Committee, they to report to the Executive Board. Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Stud Book Committee’s report was laid over to be taken up under the head of new business.

MR. MOORE: I move that the Stud Book Committee’s report be accepted and placed on file, and that the recommendations therein contained be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CUTLER: There is one matter that several constituents of mine have brought to my attention. I do not think there is any great trouble about it, but in order that it may be determined, I would like to ask for a ruling on the question of the rules of the American Kennel Club cover a man who makes false statements in his advertisement. That question has been presented to me in several different ways. At a meeting some time ago we had a discussion as to whether a man who should advertise a false pedigree of a stud dog or a false pedigree of any dog he had for sale, or if he should make any misrepresentation about the extent of his business, or whether he had a kennel, when in reality he did not have anything perhaps but one brood bitch and had a few puppies for sale --- any false representations made,
not to a special individual, but to the public generally in the way of an advertisement—could a person who did that be brought before this Association, or before the Executive Board and be punished by disqualification, and if he could be owned and breeds be disqualified, even if he does not belong to the club?

THE CHAIRMAN: The rule says that any person who is proved to the satisfaction of the Board to have been guilty of fraudulent or discreditable conduct of any kind may be suspended or disqualified, and we have had a number of cases where strangers to us have committed fraudulent acts and we have disqualified them. Of course, the only thing that is necessary is proof that these advertisements are fraudulent.

MR. CUTLER: It would not matter, as I understand your ruling, whether a man is a member of this organization or a member of any club—he might be a perfect stranger?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. CUTLER: A man who would indulge in that kind of advertisement would not probably be troubled by disqualification, but if all the dogs that he bred and the dogs that he owned were disqualified, I can conceive how he would be put out of business, and that would be a punishment
which would be effectual.

THE CHAIRMAN: The disqualification not only applies to the individual, but it applies to all the dogs owned by him, and to dogs bred by him from the disqualified dogs. They cannot be shown by anybody at all, nor can they be registered.

There being no further business, the meeting then adjourned.
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The report of the Membership Committee was read and is as follows:
New York, Dec. 13, 1904

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this
day, the following credentials that have been filed in accordance with the
Constitution, were considered, and are hereby approved:

Oct. 5, 1904,       San Mateo K.C.     Appointing    W.W. Stettheimer
Nov. 18, 1904       Westminster K.C.     “           H.T. Peters

The Maryland Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, and the
Colorado Kennel Club, both of which were dropped from membership on account of
the non-payment of dues, for 1904, have applied for re-instatement and with said
application paid the dues for 1904, and also for 1905. We would therefore
recommend that said applications be granted and said clubs be again placed upon
our roll of Membership.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) Wm. B. Emery, Chairman
Singleton Van Schiack
R.H. Williams

The following named delegates were elected to represent the
following named clubs:

San Mateo Kennel Club   W.W. Stettheimer
Madison Athletic Association   E.L. Jones
Canonsburg Kennel Club     R.J. Waddell, Jr.,
Westminster Kennel Club     H.T. Peters
Philadelphia Dog Show Association C.B. Newbold

On motion the application of the Maryland Society for the
Prevention of Cruelty to Animals and the Colorado Kennel Club for
Reinstatement, were granted.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, and it is as follows:

New York, December 13, 1904

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report that since our last quarterly meeting the
following clubs have been admitted to active membership, by a mail vote of the
Executive Board:

CANONSBURG KENNEL CLUB
TOY SPANIEL CLUB OF AMERICA

The several matters under the jurisdiction of the Membership
Committee and the Stud Book Committee have been referred to said committees,
and their report will be submitted to you at this meeting.

I also beg to present the Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee, of
Sept. 20, 1904, and Nov. 29, 1904. In
accordance with the action of the delegates at its last meeting the Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee, Sept. 20\textsuperscript{th}, as above referred to, were duly published in the September Gazette.

I beg to present a communication from E.J. Meisenheimer, the Secretary of the late Milwaukee K.C., requesting that said club be dropped from the roll for the reason that it has not held a meeting for several years and none of the members feel inclined to continue the organization. This club is in good standing having paid its dues in full to Dec. 31\textsuperscript{st} of this year. I would respectfully recommend that said club be dropped from membership.

I have to report the filing of charges of misconduct by John W. Minturn against Walker M. Alexander, and that said charges will be presented to the Executive Board at its first meeting.

I will also present a protest against the action of your Secretary, in the cancellation of the winnings of the Gt. Dane TRISTAN II, filed by its owners, Howe Totten.

At the later Bryn Mawr Show, certain specials were competed for by dogs owned by Mrs. G.N. Brazier. Said dogs were not entered at the Bryn Mawr Show, and were therefore not eligible to compete for any prize offered at said show. The
said dogs were entered at the Specialty Show, held by the Scottish Terrier Club, upon the same grounds, and date, as the Bryn Mawr Show was held. Through a misunderstanding by the officers of the said Bryn Mawr Kennel Club, Mrs. Braizer’s dogs were allowed to compete, proved to be the winners and three cups were delivered to her. These awards were protested with the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club, and protest sustained by it. Notice was sent by the Secretary of the Bryn Mawr K.C. to Mrs. Brazier to return said cups which she has failed to do, whereupon notice to that effect was filed with this office. On November 28th your Secretary notified Mrs. Brazier to show cause why the A.K.C. should not take action against her at this meeting. I have Mrs. Brazier’s acknowledgement of this notice, with the information that she would answer very shortly. I be now to report that no answer has yet been received by this office, not has any notice been received from the Bryn Mawr K.C. that the cups in question has been returned.

I beg to call your attention to the fact that the Philadelphia Dog Show Association published an Addenda in its Catalogue, embracing 56 entries. I called upon said club to explain them. In the reply it was stated that these entries were reserved by George S. Thomas, by mail, from Sheffield, England, the letter being mailed prior to the date of the
closing of the entries, but the full particulars of the dogs entered were not received until after the Catalogue had been numbered, and as stated to your Secretary by the Superintendent of the said show, more than week after the closing of the entries. In connection with said show I also call to your attention that the prizes in a majority in the different classes were in the shape of “sweep-stakes.” It has been held by this club that the premium list should contain all of the conditions of the contract to be entered into between the show-giving club and the exhibitor, and that the latter was entitled to know, before making his entry, the exact amount of prize money that he intended competing for. Your Secretary would therefore request definite rulings to cover the above cases. First, whether or not, the request to reserve entries made prior to the closing of entries, and the particulars required by the rules, following after the entries had closed, are legal entries? Second, whether or not prizes in regular classes, not definitely stated but to be determined after the entries had closed, in the form of “sweep-stakes” is legal?

I beg to report that Col. J.D. James kindly and generously donated to this club the mounted head of the Collie BRIZE YONT.

It may be interesting to know the number of champions that have been made during the present year. We have recorded
and sent medals to 92 and there are now on file applications for 15 additional ones making a total of 107 champions for the year 1904. From this record it is but a fair inference that the title of champions cannot carry with it the importance that it should demand, and I would therefore submit that it might be well to again consider this much vexed question.

I present to you from the Pacific Advisory Committee a detailed bill for disbursements from Aug. 18 to Dec. 1\textsuperscript{st} inclusive, amounting to $20.45, and would recommend that the same be ordered paid.

Since writing the above the following communications have been received:

Request to drop from membership the HAVERHILL KENNEL CLUB, as said club has gone out of existence. This club is in good standing, having paid its dues to Dec. 31\textsuperscript{st} next and I would recommend the request be granted.

From E.H. Hance, Jr., appealing from a decision of the Boston Terrier Club disqualifying the Boston Terrier EASTOVER LANCELOT, the rules allow seven days from the date of the decision on a protest within which either party has the right to appeal. In this case the Boston Terrier Club rendered its decision Dec. 5\textsuperscript{th}, 1904 and the appeal came to hand Dec. 14\textsuperscript{th}, 1904. Technically this appeal does not come
within the seven days limit, and it rests with the Executive Board whether or not it shall be entertained. A communication from G. Muss-Arnolt asking that the minutes of the previous meeting be corrected. The minutes referred to read as follows: “I move that the disqualification stand and that that is all that can be done in the matter.” The correction asked for is: “I move that the disqualification of that dog stands, if that is all that can be done in the matter.”

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

THE SECRETARY: I will state in connection with this report that but a few minutes before the convening of this meeting I received a letter from Mrs. Brazier on the subject of the cups that I report here that I have not received.

MR. VITI: I move that the report be accepted and taken up under the heading of new business.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VITI: I move that the minutes of the last meeting be accepted as published with the correction pointed out by Mr. Muss-Arnolt.
Motion seconded and carried.

The Treasurer’s report was read, and is as follows:

New York, Dec. 13, 1904

To The American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand, Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1904 \(-\) $14,114.09

Receipts from Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st} to date \(-\) 15,523.05

Total \(-\) $29,637.14

Disbursements from Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st} to date \(-\) 13,881.29

Balance on hand \(-\) $15,755.85

I would also report that all bills for dues form clubs and
Associates were mailed on Nov. 30, 1904. To cover dues from Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1905 to
Dec. 31\textsuperscript{st}, 1905.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A.P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The minutes of the meeting of the Pacific Ad-
visory Committee of September 20th, 1904 were read and on motion accepted.

The minutes of the meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, held on November 29, 1904, were read, and are as follows:

Minutes of Meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee, held at Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, Nov. 29th, 1904.

PRESENT:
  J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman; H.H. Carlton; J.P. Norman, C.K. Harlay, Dr. W.P. Burnham.

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

Communications were read as follows:
  Application for membership from the Pacific Collie and Old English Sheep Dog Club,
  Application from W.E. Chute to allow postponement of settlement of affairs of Oakland Kennel Club, on the ground of serious illness. From Norman J. Stewart, alleging that John Bradshaw made offers of financial aid to the Seattle Kennel Club, in the name of the American Kennel Club, on condition of that club holding a Show under the rules of the latter.
  From the same, retracting the statement that the American Kennel Club or its Pacific Advisory Committee
had made offers to a Northern Kennel Club, a member of the Western Kennel
League, guaranteeing financial aid in the event of a show being held under A.K.C.
rules. On motion the above communications were taken up seriatim.

The Secretary was instructed to refer the application of the Pacific
Collie and Old English Sheep Dog Club back to the Secretary, in order that the club
might secure the approval of the Collie Club of America for its application, said
approval to accompany the application of the Pacific Collie to this Committee and to
be a part thereof.

The Secretary was instructed to acknowledge the letter from Mr.
Stewart, in which he withdraws the charge against this Committee. The letter
concerning Mr. Bradshaw was ordered filed, as it contained no specific charges and
was accompanied by no written evidence. The letter from the Secretary of the
Oakland Kennel Club was ordered filed.

The Committee then entered into the consideration of some
confidential letter, pertaining to matters of importance to the Kennel interests of the
Coast, and the Secretary was directed to take certain action.

A charge was preferred by the Pacific Fox Terrier Club, against S.
Barnett, that he did sell to Hugh
McCracken a Fox Terrier Dog Puppy, furnishing a false and fraudulent pedigree, the charge being supported by documentary evidence. The Secretary was instructed to call on the said Barnett to furnish his defense within ten days of date, for which purpose a copy of the charge was to be given him. Mr. Harley presented to the Committee a letter from C.D. Nairn of Ballston, Oregon, in which was set forth certain allegations in respect to a false pedigree alleged to have been furnished to Hugh McCracken by a Mr. Perry. The Secretary was instructed to call on Mr. McCracken for further particulars.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned on motion until Friday, December 8th.

Attest,

J.P. Norman
Secretary

On motion the same were accepted.

The Stud Book Committee’s report was read, and is as follows:

“The following applications for kennel names have been received and approved by the Stud Book Committee:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Names</th>
<th>Kennel Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bentham</td>
<td>A.F. Hickey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brightside</td>
<td>Dr. J.M. Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South-End G.C. Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southport W.E. Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloverean</td>
<td>F.S. Hooper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashing</td>
<td>C.W. Butlles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elite</td>
<td>Amos R. Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmholme</td>
<td>M. Sharswood and N.H. Hooper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxhall</td>
<td>G. Bertram and H.T. Regar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gedney Farm</td>
<td>Howard Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencroft</td>
<td>W.H. Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glengarroch</td>
<td>W.J. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haddonhurst</td>
<td>Mrs. A.A. Shephard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenvil</td>
<td>H.W. Berryman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsboro</td>
<td>F.J. Trown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leechburg</td>
<td>Charles Vogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merryview</td>
<td>Mrs. Joseph Gans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarra</td>
<td>L.E. Truner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuhaus</td>
<td>W.M. Alexander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutley</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. W.J. Berg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oquirrh</td>
<td>Fred B. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronnoc</td>
<td>Wayne E. Connor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowsley</td>
<td>J. Scott McComb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Bridge</td>
<td>J.C. O'Connor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Cloud</td>
<td>L. &amp; S.A. Contoit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shetuckett</td>
<td>L.R. Washburne</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Re Application of Daniel Manley for reinstatement.

About five years ago Daniel Manley was disqualified for dishonorable transaction which consisted in furnishing an improper pedigree. The evidence produced was in some points quite conflicting but after considerable investigation and examination of the witnesses the Committee were convinced that Manley was guilty and was reported to the A.K.C. This view was confirmed by the club and Manley was disqualified. He now makes application that this sentence be removed and submits that during the entire period of his disqualification he has behaved in a reputable manner. Your Committee has investigated through inquiry of several persons and is convinced that his statement is true. They are of the opinion that in view of the length of time he has been disqualified and the fact that during that period and now he bears a good reputation it is advisable that he be reinstated.

Your Committee thinks that it would make the Gazette of more service to the members if the names and addresses of the persons registering dogs were published together with the data which is already inserted. This will allow the members to communicate directly with any owners with whom they may desire to correspond.

In the matter of the pedigree of the St. Bernard
Judith Stapleford:

The facts in this case are fully disclosed by the correspondence. The objection was discovered and pointed out voluntarily by the owner and the Committee believe that her explanation should exculpate her from any wrong doing and the dog be properly recorded.

The object of winner classes at dog shows being for the purpose of enabling candidates to qualify for American Kennel Club Championship honors, and be so recorded in the Stud Book, your Committee believe it but fitting that the A.K.C. should hereafter proffer to the winners a certificate of each win in a winners class, those classes being A.K.C. classes. At present many dogs win first prizes in the winners classes who for various reasons do not obtain the title of championship and yet while they may have won to within a small fraction of a championship they have nothing as a souvenir of the winnings of this distinction. The cost of such a certificate would not be of much expense to the Kennel Club and the clerical work of filling them in would not involve any more of an expenditure than your Committee thinks is warranted by the facts. In addition to this the Committee is of the opinion that a separate index should be kept showing the winnings in the winners
classes of each dog so that at a glance it could be determined just what may be the record of each dog and championship medals awarded promptly upon their having been won. The committee recommends that such a certificate be prepared.

Your Committee also recommends that hereafter all dogs that have won the title of champion in the A.K.C. should always be referred to as such in the certified pedigrees issued.

It has been suggested to your Committee that applications for registrations should hereafter be accompanied by a certificate from the owner of the sire and dam proving the breeding. Your Committee has considered the same and the subject in different phases, the facility with which such certificate can be procured, the frequency of litters, the number of puppies in each, the number of breeds of dogs records, and as favorable action on this subject will involve a change in the rules of the Association, which is not within the jurisdiction of this Committee, your Committee deems it advisable to refer the subject to the delegates without recommendation.

It is recommended that the following breeds be added to those already recognized.

Esquimaux, (Husky, Mailimuth)
Owtchar, or Russian Sheep-dog
Mexican Hairless
Chinese Crested
Labrador

Application has been made for the recognition of the German Sheep-dog but your Committee had not had an opportunity as yet to authenticate the standing of the breed.

There are a number of other cases pending, in which the final evidence is being collected, and they will all be submitted at the next meeting.

Respectfully submitted,
Stud Book Comm

NEW BUSINESS:

THE SECRETARY: The Milwaukee Kennel Club and the Haverhill Kennel Club ask to be dropped from the roll, as they no longer exist. Both Clubs are in good standing, having paid their dues in full to December 31st, 1904.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that they be dropped.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I now read a protest from Mr. Howe Totten. I have this to say in regard to this
matter. When this dog was sent here for registration as Tristan, for the reason that there had been a Tristan registered some five thousand numbers previously, I fell back on the privilege we have assumed and published on every entry blank that we assumed the right to add a number or letter. We registered the dog as “Tristan II” and sent in the receipt. The communication he alleges that he sent to me giving the transfer from the co-partnership to himself never reached this office, and he was promptly advised of that when he wrote this letter, and that that accounted for my not sending a reply. There is but one mistake in the registration, and this is, his address is given as Boyd, Pennsylvania, instead of Boyd, Maryland, to which I wrote him that the address was not necessarily a part of the registration, and that I must decline to re-register the dog because the registration was correct.

MR. VITI: Has the dog ever been exhibited by Mr. Totten as “Tristan II”?
THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. VITI: He accepted that name?
THE SECRETARY: Yes. I would state further,
if I am not mistaken, at the Chicago Show he sent word that he had made out the application himself. He knew what he was doing and he had given the name as “Tristan II”, and we sent for the application form and found that no “II” had been placed after the dog’s name. We then notified Mr. Howe Totten that if he was so certain that he had entered his dog as Tristan II, somebody must have been working over this application form after it had left his hands; to which he replied that that was rather a serious accusation to make, and that he would come on here and look at the form. He came on and saw that the form was made out in his own handwriting and there was no “II” to it.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to ask for information, whether the omission of the numeral “II” is a sufficient reason for disqualifying the dog when the dog is absolutely identified by the name of the breeder, the sire and dam and also by the stud book number. It seems pretty harsh, to my mind, that an exhibitor should lose a prize that his dog has won, or that the dog should be deprived of the credit of winning a prize, for a slight omission of that
kind when the dog is absolutely identified in every other way. I think this is a very proper time that that question should come up for decision. I know of a number of instances where similar errors have caused disqualification, and it seems to me a rather heavy penalty under the circumstances.

THE SECRETARY: It has been the custom as far back as I can remember that a dog must have his registered name given on the application form.

MR. CROKER: Where would you suggest drawing the line where this should be allowed and where it should not be allowed in making these mistakes or omissions?

MR. MORTIMER: I do not think that there need be any line drawn at all, but when we consider that an error of that sort is made without any intent to defraud, there can be no good end attained by it. I think that a slight mistake of that kind might be overlooked. I say when a dog is identified by his Stud Book number I think that that ought to be quite sufficient.

The Secretary read the rules in regard to registration.

THE SECRETARY: That dog was not shown under its
MR. SMITH: It seems to me just exactly as Mr. Mortimer has said, that there was clearly no desire on the part of the this exhibitor to defraud in any way when he entered this dog. He probably was in the habit of calling this dog by his registered name, Tristan, and putting down those numerals escaped his notice. Where he writes in the Stud Book number, it clearly identifies the dog. It is just about the same as if he had misspelled the dog’s name. The Stud Book number distinguishes that.

THE SECRETARY: Another rule states that a registered dog cannot be changed under any circumstances.

THE CHAIR: What harm would be worked by omitting that?

THE SECRETARY: Simply because Tristan No. 72986 is not registered; Tristan 56986 is registered.

MR. SMITH: As I understand you, the dog named Tristan was registered several years back?

THE SECRETARY: About a year before.

MR. SMITH: He might be confused with that dog or not, but the Stud Book number clearly identifies the dog.
THE SECRETARY: It is easy to make mistakes in the Stud Book number.

MR. CARNOCHAN: It seems to work a great hardship for the exhibitor, there is no doubt about that, but when a rule so specifically states that a dog must be identified by name, it should be adhered to. If we grant indulgence in this particular case it will open a door which it will be very hard to close. It seems to me we ought to stand by the rule. For the sake of the argument let us go one step further. Suppose Tristan’s kennel name was “Bob,” and this exhibitor omits to show him as Tristan, but shows him as Bob, with his proper registered number, with proper date of birth, and so on, we would have no more reason for refusing one than the other.

THE CHAIR: It seems to me that is rather stretching the point. It is a question of what harm could be done in allowing it, and I think Mr. Mortimer’s point is very well taken, that the American Kennel Club does not desire to be unjust to anybody who exhibits in good faith.

THE SECRETARY: It has always been held so by the American Kennel Club. We have disqualified, I could not begin to say how many dogs on account of
the same error.

MR. CALDWELL: Are the pedigree of the tow Tristans the same?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. CALDWELL: Then how could it be confusing if the name is not given? It seems rather hard that the exhibitor should lose his win when the Stud Book number was given.

THE SECRETARY: But he enters a dog under a false name. It is not the name of the dog. The name of the dog is determined by registration.

MR. SMITH: Supposing a man was to misspell the name of his dog when he entered it in a registration blank—supposing the name were radically misspelled, but he still gives the Stud Book number of the dog, should that dog be disqualified because his name is misspelled?

THE SECRETARY: That is not a parallel case, because very often illiterate men will misspell names, but if there is enough to show the particular dog entered, only misspelled, that would not militate against him. The dog has got to have his name. That is what the rule says, and what determine the name of a dog is the registration.
MR. MORTIMER: I claim that the point just raised covers the matter entirely. I do not think there was any intention not do I think there could be any mistake. Mr. Vredenburgh said if a name was misspelled it would not make any difference so long as we knew it would not make any difference so long as we knew it was the name of the dog. Now we know that Tristan II is the name of the dog, and we know that the dog is identified by his date of birth, breeder, sire and dam and by his Stud Book number, and it does not seem right that because so many scores of these cases have been disqualified that disqualification should be carried any further. I think this is a very good time to take action on that very matter. I think it is a hardship on the exhibitor that we ought not to inflict on him.

MR. CARNOCHAN: While I still think it is a great injustice to the exhibitor, I would like to ask how we can change our rule by a vote at this meeting. Therefore I should like to make a motion to refer this matter to the Rules Committee to report at the next meeting.

MR. CROKER: Are we attempting to change the rule, or to interpret the rule?

MR. VITI: I recognize with the rest of the
delegates how unjust it seems and how harsh it is to apply this rule, but it has come up so frequently before the American Kennel Club and has been decided each time adversely to the exhibitor. The last case that I recall was in San Francisco where an exhibitor entered a dog a “Two-Step”, giving the proper registration number, the proper date of birth and the proper name of the breeder; but he also had a bitch named “Quick-Step”, and in his hurry in making out the entry blank he put on the sire and dam of “Quick-Step”. That case was even a harsher one than this, and yet the American Kennel Club decided in that case that the dog ought to be disqualified.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I think if the Rules Committee took this matter up there would be a very easy solution of it, and that is this, to add to the rule after the word “named”, “or other proper identification by registered number,” or something of that kind, so there would be no question in a case of this kind; but I do not see how we can change a rule which so specifically states that a dog must be identified by name, and there can be no question that “Tristan” is not “Tristan II.”

THE CHAIR: If you consider the numeral “II”
a part of this dog’s name, there is no question as to what the action of this club should be under this rule. If Tristan II is this dog’s name, for the sake of a number added to him, and not his name, then we might act, but you cannot change this rule at this meeting. It is beyond the power of this meeting to do that.

MR. VITI: It has always been my opinion that a proper designation by Stud Book number was a sufficient identification of a dog. That identifies him because a reference to the Stud Book will give his correct name and his pedigree, but the American Kennel Club has decided adversely to that suggestion.

MR. CUTLER: It seems to me we ought to determine first whether we are attempting to change the rule, or whether we are attempting to interpret the rule, as some one has said. As the Chairman has stated, we cannot change the rule at this meeting, and if we could it would not help this case, because we cannot change a rule and make it apply to a case which has already happened. We are attempting, as I suppose, to interpret the rule, and I suppose we have a right to interpret the rule, but it seems to
me if we interpret the rule we come to the same thing, because this does not say properly designated, and leave it there. It says a dog must be properly designated, and then it goes on to say how he shall be designated, and he shall be designated by one thing, his name. I do not see any other way except to say that this dog was improperly entered because the name must be said to include the numeral, because our rule requires that where a dog which is presented for entrance in the Stud Book has the same name as a previous entry, a letter or numeral may be added to the name. I cannot see that there is any way of getting away from it by interpretation of the language, and however great the hardship may be, I do not think that there is any way of helping this man out, but I think that a rule could be very easily framed along the lines suggested by Mr. Mortimer, that where it is obvious that it is a mere mistake, and no wrong intent is meant, that would be a matter for the future; it would not help this man in any way.

MR. CROKER: There seems to be no doubt that this man’s dog was disqualified under a technicality. So long as this rule stands as it is, unless we can find a record of similar cases in which the wins
have been allowed to stand, I do not see how we can let this dog’s win stand. If we can show that other slight errors have been overlooked, I should think this dog’s win should be allowed to stand.

MR. CUTLER: I move that this case be referred to the Rules Committee with instruction to report at the next meeting in reference to the matter.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I have already made that motion.

MR. CUTLER: Not with instruction to make a new rule, but with instructions to consider the question which has just arisen, to ascertain if there is not precedent for the interpretation of this rule.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I moved that this entire matter be referred to the Rule Committee for the purpose of framing additions to the rule to avoid such cases as this in the future.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to ask if by such course my action is sustained in this matter.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The Whole matter is referred to the Rules Committee.

THE SECRETARY: The Stud Book Rules say that when a registration has been once published in any form in the Stud Book the name of that dog cannot be
changed, although any dog that has been registered in any form in the stud book can be re-registered, the only change being the change of ownership. If they say that “Tristan II” is not the name of the dog, and if this man wants to re-register this dog to show the new ownership, am I obliged to re-register that dog as Tristan? That would show whether it is part of the name or not.

THE CHAIR: The rule will have to be followed out, and you must take up the question of correcting that rule later or not, and the Secretary must be sustained. I do not see how we can alter the rule in this case if Tristan II is the name of this dog. We have got to stand by the rule until you alter it, until you go through the proper form to do that.

MR. SMITH: It is my idea that the American Kennel Club should not try to interpret this rule as strictly as they are evidently trying to. Here is a man who enters his dog in a Show and makes him a champion. Now, simply through his error in recording that dog’s name, simply forgetting to put down those two numbers there, but yet recording the Stud Book number, he should lose the benefit of his dog’s win. If this matter does before the Rules Committee
I should like them to take up this special case and endeavor to have this man win with his dog.

THE CHAIR: I think there is any doubt about that. It is a question of how the rule reads, and I agree with you that we do not want to be severe if we can help it, especially when a man does not intend any harm; but we all have to stand by the wording of this rule.

MR. PETERS: I think we will make a great mistake if we do not support the Secretary in regard to this. He has interpreted the rule as it stands. If the rule has been interpreted correctly I think we ought to support the Secretary and then make such changes in the rule for the future as to avoid any further questions of this kind.

MR. CROKER: I would like to ask the Secretary if he recalls any case in the past where a dog was not disqualified under this technicality, where the exhibitor was let down easy.

THE SECRETARY: Not a single case during my connection with the American Kennel Club. So far as I know not a single case of that kind has been overlooked.
MR. CROKER: I do not see how we can let this man out. We have got to live up to the rules, but if there has been a case where leniency has been shown under similar circumstances, it ought to be taken into consideration here.

THE CHAIR: It seems to the Chair that we should live up to the rule, although the application of that rule is a hardship in this case.

MR. CARNOCHAN: It does not make any difference to me at all what is referred to the Rules Committee except this, the rule itself should be referred absolutely to it.

THE CHAIR: Do you not think that that should be taken up separately from this case?

MR. CARNOCHAN: I am perfectly willing that that should be the way. We will certainly bring the matter up at the next meeting. I will withdraw my motion.

MR. JARRETT: It seems to me the only question before us is whether this dog was sufficiently identified or not.

THE CHAIR: It is a question of whether you sustain the Secretary or not in his action.

MR. PETERS: I make a motion that we sustain
the Secretary in his action.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CROKER: I move that we recommend that this rule be brought up at the next meeting of the Rules Committee, or a meeting to be called by it, for the purpose of amending it.

MR. CUTLER: I second that motion.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: The next matter is the question of the cups awarded to Mrs. Brazier at the late Bryn Mawr Show. There were two Shows held upon the same grounds, separate and distinct, the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club Show, and the Scottish Terrier Club Specialty Show. The Bryn Mawr Kennel Club did not provide any classes for Scotch Terriers. The specifics that they had they allowed the Scottish Terriers from an independent show to come in and compete for, and they were awarded the prizes. The matter was protested, and they allowed the protest and called upon Mrs. Brazier to return the cups erroneously paid to her. The matter was then referred to me and I called upon Mrs. Brazier to show cause why action should not be taken at this meeting. As I stated, just before this meeting I received her appeal.
MR. CODMAN: Did she enter her dogs in the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club’s Show?

THE SECRETARY: They did not have any classes for Scottish Terriers.
MR. CODMAN: Then she was not eligible for Bryn Mawr Specials.

MR. MORTIMER: I might say that at Atlantic City there was a Fox Terrier Show held in connection with the Atlantic City Show. The Fox Terrier Show was a separate show entirely as far as breed was concerned, but the Fox Terriers did compete for the special prizes at Atlantic City that were offered by the Atlantic City Kennel Club.

THE CHAIR: They were not entered in any classes?

MR. MORTIMER: No, it was a Fox Terrier show only.

MR. VITI: They had both to take the same course. They were only allowed to compete by those clubs, not by the American Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: They were as separate and distinct as if one show was held in San Francisco and the other held in Maine.

DR. DE MUND: Mrs. Brazier states in her communication that the committee informed her that she
could compete. I do not see how they can go back of that.

    THE CHAIR: If they informed her anything of that kind they were certainly
acting beyond their power.

    MR. CALDWELL: When Mrs. Brazier wrote me she asked whether she had
to pay an additional entrance fee to compete for specials; not whether her dogs
could compete for specials; not whether her dogs could compete, and at the time I
thought all the dogs in the specialty dhow could compete for the specials. They paid
their entrance fee to us. The Scottish Terrier Club did not get their entrance fees.
Personally I think her dogs had a perfect right to compete, and have always thought
so.

    THE SECRETARY: On that statement I should set an investigation on foot to
ascertain whether the winners at the Special Scottish Terrier Show should be
entitled to four points. If that Scottish Terrier Show was a Bryn Mawr Show, not one
of the winners is entitled to four points.

    MR. MORTIMER: You do not take any cognizance of special prizes?
THE SECRETARY: When there is a protest made, we do.
MR. MORTIMER: I do not see what jurisdiction we have it we do not recognize these specials. It seems to me it is merely a question between Mrs. Brazier and the committee at Bryn Mawr, whether they see fit to allow them or not.

THE CHAIR: It comes under our jurisdiction if a protest is made against these dogs that are competing and winning specials at a show for which they have never been entered.

THE SECRETARY: They have got to do as they say in the specials. We always go by the specials. We hold a club responsible for the payment of every special offered in their premium list.

MR. MORTIMER: I take it that the two shows were one show as a whole held at Bryn Mawr under the Bryn Mawr management, and that this special prizes for which Mrs. Brazier’s dogs competed as an open special given by the Bryn Mawr Club, and it was a special prize for the best team on the ground.

MR. VITI: Apropos of what Mr. Mortimer says, I think the proper way is to prove by the prize list exactly how these prizes were offered. The prize lists must be in file here, and they will show exactly the condition of these prizes. There is another
thing we should consider, and that is whether or not the conditions of these specials are not under the sole jurisdiction of the Bench Show Committee, and if not, they did or did not waive the conditions in this case. Mr. Caldwell has stated that he told Mrs. Braizer that she could compete. We ought to consider whether that was not opening these specials to the Scottish Terrier Club. As I take it the Show Committee had a right to suspend their own rules if they say fit to do so.

MR. CALDWELL: When these specials were offered it was our intention that Scottish Terriers could compete for them, and we thought that they could compete.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The fact that the Secretary wrote to Mrs. Brazier and told her that these cups would be open for dogs entered in a specialty show indicates the intention of the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club through its Secretary to give that understanding with reference to this matter. At the same time there is another thing which must also be taken into the case, and that is the subsequent action of the Bench Show Committee of the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club in telling Mrs. Brazier that she would have to
return the cups. The Bench Show Committee who were in charge of that show decided then that she must return the cups at a later period, thereby nullifying whatever the Secretary may have said previously. Those two matters must be taken in conjunction, I should think, in this action.

MR. CALDWELL: Mr. Carnochan seems to be under a misapprehension. Mrs. Brazier wrote me to ask me if she had to pay an additional entrance fee to compete for the specials, to which I replied that no extra entrance fee was necessary. I told her that the one entrance fee covered the fee for all the specials offered by us.

MR. CARNOCHAN: On what ground did your Bench Show Committee tell her subsequently that she could not compete?

MR. CALDWELL: I allowed Mrs. Brazier’s dogs to compete.

MR. CUTLER: I cannot see any question here. It seem that it resolves itself right into this: Here were two shows. There ahs been a suggestion made, and I would like to answer it, and that is that the Show Committee would have a right to waive this provision, but it don’t seem to me that would be accord-
ing to rule. They published specials in a catalogue, and everybody has a right to know that. They cannot at the show tell a certain person that she may compete when they have told the general public before that they cannot compete. Our rules say that a dog must be entered, and this one was not entered, and the Show Committee cannot nullify the rules of this organization.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The Show Committee cannot nullify the rules of the American Kennel Club, but it can waive its own rules at any time.

THE CHAIR: The club rules say that a dog cannot compete for specials except he is entered in one of the regular classes, and we are now only wasting time.

MR. CUTLER: I move that the action of the Bryn Mawr Club in sustaining the protest be approved.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Now I ask for a definite ruling, first, whether or not the request to reserve entries made prior to the closing of entries, and the particulars required by the rules, following after the entries had closed, are legal entries.

MR. MORTIMER: I was going to say in reference
to this case that these entries by the reservation of a certain number of entries, was made by an exhibitor who was at that time in England. His letter was dated before the close of the entries, as I understand, at the Philadelphia Show, and while I may be wrong, I have always held that those were proper entries. I have had entries made frequently in the same manner for the Westminster Kennel Club Show, although probably not as many as in this case, but there are a number of instances in which entries have been reserved in that same way from England, and we have always considered those proper entries.

THE CHAIR: The question before us is, is it a legal entry? If one exhibitor reserves entries and then comes in and gives his particulars after the closing of the entries, has he not an advantage over the other exhibitors.

MR. MORTIMER: No, I do not think that he has any advantage over other exhibitors unless he can look over the entry books and see what dogs have been entered in each particular class.

THE CHAIR: Does not that leave a loop-hole open which the American Kennel Club should guard against?

MR. MORTIMER: I will go so far as to say
this, I would not consider an entry made by any resident of the United States except it was from a far distant point, say San Francisco. I might receive a telegram.

THE CHAIR: The entries that this point was brought up on how many reservations were made?

THE SECRETARY: Fifty-six.

THE CHAIR: The particulars of those entries came in ten days after the closing of entries.

MR. MORTIMER: So far as I know about these fifty-six entries, they only covered about twenty dogs, as I understand, and so long as the exhibitor made these entries in good faith, and he had no opportunity of consulting the entry books or forms to see what dogs were already entered, I do not see what advantage he can have over other exhibitors.

THE SECRETARY: Rule 4 designates what as entry is. And further than that, there is another rule that imposes a penalty on any club that accepts an entry after the date of closing of entries. The entry must clearly identify the dog to be exhibited by name, and if none, its date of birth, names of sire and dam and name of breeder. The object of the rule was to prevent fraud. Please understand
I am not referring to the Philadelphia Kennel Club, but generally to prevent fraud. Just as Mr. Mortimer, stated, if a man reserves ten entries, we will say, and ten days after the entries close he goes into the office of that show and happens to be hand-and-glove with the superintendent, or whoever happens to be in charge of the office at that time, and he asks permission to look over the entries, and it is granted to him, he will the know exactly which dog to enter of the ten that he reserved, and that is the one thing that rule was passed to prevent. Another thing was if a man reserves ten entries, and ten days thereafter comes in, he has his choice as to which does to enter, because some of the dogs that he had reserves may have died, and therefore he has an advantage over the man who entered according to the rule and sends all the particulars in prior to the closing of entries. I am saying nothing about the Philadelphia Kennel Club receiving fifty-six entries afterwards. I saw the Addenda, which was unusual, and I called for an explanation; I have reported the explanation, and the only question I ask is for this club to determine whether that is a legal entry or not for my future guidance.
MR. CARNOCHAN: There is no question about this matter being the usual custom. It has been done. It has been the regular custom for a man to reserve entries sometimes because he could not get the particulars of the dog’s breeding until after the entries closed.

THE CHAIR: This point goes further than that.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I understand. I am leading up to that. Therefore being a matter of custom I think that it is time for us to stop the custom, and a motion to the effect that in the future no entries can be reserved would be the proper thing. I do not think we should single out any particular show.

THE CHAIR: Which would be overlooking the questions before the house. There should be an answer yes or no to the Secretary’s inquiry.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I make the motion that owing to its having been the custom for a number of years that entries in the Addenda of the Philadelphia Show catalogue be considered legal, but that in the future all entries must be made by name with proper particulars before the entries are closed.

THE CHAIR: You are not answering the question
By that motion. I will put your motion, but you are leading up to a very dangerous situation of affairs for the American Kennel Club.

MR. CARNOCHAN: My motion has for its aim, whether I expressed it properly or not, the sanctioning of these entries in the past and the preventing of them in the future.

THE CHAIR: Why should an illegal entry under any circumstances be sanctioned?

MR. CARNOCHAN: Because we could take up case after case, retroactive, in the past, and disqualify or throw out win after win of dogs how have been entered in this way for ten years. I have no doubt but what the superintendents of these shows had taken these entries in good faith. Therefore let us stop it for the future. I do not think that any one show, as there has never been any action on this matter before, should be taken to task for having hone it.

THE CHAIR: By your motion you desire to sanction that which is illegal that has gone by?

MR. CARNOCHAN: To sanction that which has been made legal by custom.

THE SECRETARY: Is there any proof that it
has been the custom to accept entries by reservation? My experience would show me that is a very rare occurrence. I have only the catalogue to go by, and it is only now and then that I will find an addenda of one, two or three dogs. Then I ask the question of the club to explain this addenda. Therefore I am not prepared to say that there is or has been for a number of years an evasion of this rule by the reservation of entries. No case has ever come before the American Kennel Club in that respect. I never have had occasion and never have had an opportunity to enforce that fine of $5 for accepting an entry after the closing of entries. When the Philadelphia catalogue came to me accompanied by four pages of addenda I naturally asked for an explanation. I have given the explanation, and what I want to know now is, is that a legal entry or not.

MR. CUTLER: I do not think it makes any difference whether it is a custom or not. If it is a custom which is against the law it is not a custom which should prevail. It cannot prevail. If there is a custom that has grown up of doing things illegally, that is a reason why it should be stamped out immediately. I think the only question before us is to answer
the question propounded by the Secretary. He has asked us to interpret this rule. I do not want to make a motion so long as there is one already before the house.

THE CHAIR: I do not think Mr. Carnochan’s motion is in order.

MR. CUTLER: I move, if it is allowable, that the question asked by the Secretary be answered in the negative.

THE SECRETARY: I ask whether or not.

MR. CUTLER: I say not.

MR. VITI: I think that there is a general feeling here that the delegates would like to vote on the two parts of this subject separately; first, whether or not such entries are proper entries in the general sense of the word, and, secondly, whether or not any cognizance in view of the prevalence of the custom should be taken of the case at Philadelphia, and I make that in the form of an amendment, first, whether or not these are proper entries. Then, as a disconnected proposition, and cognizance should be taken of this irregularity in view of what has gone on.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I accept that amendment.
MR. VITI: I will interpolate the word “hereafter”, - will be considered illegal hereafter.

MR. Carnochan’s original motion read.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I call for a vote on that motion.

THE CHAIR: You are stating a motion that is in direct opposition to this rule of the American Kennel Club.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I say I am not. I say that I am stating something which by immemorial custom has become the interpretation of that rule.

MR. CROKER: I would like to know whether or not Mr. Carnochan’s motion is in order.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Carnochan’s motion does not answer Mr. Vredenburgh’s question.

MR. CROKER: Is the motion out of order or not?

THE CHAIR: Yes, I should rule Mr. Carnochan’s motion out of order on the ground that it is not in keeping with the rules of the American Kennel Club. The question before the house now is whether such entries are legal entries.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Do I understand you to rule my motion out of order for the reason that it conflicts with the rules of the American Kennel Club?
THE CHAIR: Yes, I do, as I interpret the rules.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Then I see no reason why the Secretary should ask the delegates the question whether such entries are legal or not. He should be able to interpret the rules himself.

MR. VITI: I think the rule is perfectly plain, but if, in view of the prevalence of the custom, it is deem wise to take any action in this specific case, why not say so in those few words.

After some further discussion Mr. Viti moved as follows: That these entries are improper entries but because it has been the custom to tolerate such entries in the past, no action be taken in this specific case.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Now my other question: “Second, whether or not prizes in regular classes not definitely stated but to be determined after the entries had closed, in the form of “sweep-stakes” is legal.”

MR. JARRETT: I move that they are legal.

Motion seconded and carried.

It was moved and seconded that a vote of thanks be tendered to Colonel J.D. James for his generous donation to the club of the mounted head of the collie “Brize Yont.”
Seconded.

MR. CODMAN: I am requested by the Board of Governors of the American Bull Dog Club to bring up the matter of the Buffalo-Hopton affair, where on dog was substituted in a class that he was not entered in. You have all the particulars before you, the matter having been laid on the table at the last meeting. We want you to take the matter up and make a final issue of it.

THE CHAIR: It is not on the table. It was settled, but it is in order for the Bull Dog Club to make a motion on the subject and bring it up again.

MR. CODMAN: I will bring it up and ask that it be considered so that I can report to my club on this matter.

THE SECRETARY: If the Bull Dog Club desired to bring up the question whether the exhibitor in that case had acted fraudulently or otherwise, it is now the privilege of the Bull Dog Club to introduce the question.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Would it not be the proper thing for the Bull Dog Club to prefer charges?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, that would be proper.
MR. MORTIMER: I have had considerable difficulty at shows with the management of which I have been connected, in deciding what was a sporting and non-sporting dog. I think this would be a very good time to take the matter up. I would therefore present you the division of the English Kennel Club as published in the catalogue of their show in October last, and ask that we make a division following on those lines as near as we can, also including the breeds which are included in this.

THE SECRETARY: That should be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

It was moved and seconded that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Carried.

MR. JARRETT: I move that Mr. J.I. Behling of Milwaukee, be given the permission to use the prefix, Wellesbourne, in connection with the Collie Wellesbourne Carqueror.

Motion seconded carried.

THE SECRETARY: You have an appeal from Mr. Hance from the decision of the Boston Terrier Club disqualifying the Boston Terrier “Eastocer-Lancelot.”

On motion the same was referred to the Executive Board
The Stud Book Committee’s report was then taken from the table and its recommendations adopted.

MR. VITI: I move that if carrying out the recommendations of the Stud Book Committee which have just been adopted involves extra expense in the employment of additional help, the Secretary be authorized to procure such assistance as he may require.

Motion seconded and carried.

The following suggestion contained in the report of the Stud Book Committee was on motion referred to the Rules Committee:

“It has been suggested to your committee that application for registrations should hereafter be accompanied by a certificate from the owner of the sire and dam proving the breeding. Your committee has considered the same and the subject in different phases, the facility with which such certificate can be procured, the frequency of litters, the number of puppies in each, the number of breeds of dogs, records and as favorable action on this subject will involve a change in the rules of the association which is not within the jurisdiction of this committee, your Committee deems it advisable to refer the subject
to the delegates without recommendation.”

MR. MORTIMER: I move that the German or Belgium Sheep-dog be added to the list of breeds already recognized.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill presented by the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from August 18th to December 1st inclusive, amounting to $20.45, was ordered paid.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Secy
ANNUAL MEETING OF AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY, 15, 1905

President August Belmont in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Members
- W.G. Rockefeller
- Dwight Moore
- W.P. Earle

American Dachshund Club - G. Muss-Arnolt
American Spaniel Club - H.K. Bloodgood
Atlantic City Kennel Club - J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Association - Samuel R. Cutler
Boston Terrier Club - F.H. Osgood
Bryn Mawr Kennel Club - J.W. Mitchell
Bulldog Club of America - W.C. Codman
Canonsburg Kennel Club - Dr. C. Motschenbacher
Collie Club of America - Edwin J. Van Schaick
Columbus Fanciers’ Club - J.M. Taylor
Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania - G.M. Carnochan
French Bulldog Club of America - F.J. Bristol
Great Dane Club of America - O.H. Albenesius
Irish Setter Club - J.J. Donohue
Irish Terrier Club of America - Singleton Van Schiack
Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts - Henry Jarrett
Long Island Kennel Club - Jos. M. Dale
Madison Athletic Association  E.L. Jones
Mascoutah Kennel Club  C.F.R. Drake
New England Collie Club  Tom B. Middlebrooke
New England Kennel Club  W.B. Emery
New Jersey Kennel Club  C.G. Hopton
Philadelphia Dog Show Association  Clement B. Newbold
Russian Wolfhound Club  Dr. J.E. De Mund
San Francisco Kennel Club  R.P. Keasbey
Spaniel Breeders’ Society  Marcel A. Viti
St. Louis Collie Club  Daniel Buckley
Texas Kennel Club  Geo. W. Clayton
Welsh Terrier Club of America  B.S. Smith
Westminster Kennel Club  Harry T. Peters
Wissahickon Kennel Club  D. Murray Bohlen

The certificate of election was read, and is as follows:

New York, February 6, 1905

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, in accordance with Article XI, Section 6, of the American Kennel Club Constitution, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, for the officers and delegates of the Associate Members of
said Club, to hold office for the term of the one year from this date, or until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of the Associate Members entitled to vote to be 240.

Total number of votes cast 135; total number of votes scattering, 24; total number of irregular votes, and thrown out, 2.

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greatest number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members, of the year ending in February, 1906, to wit:-

President   H.K. Bloodgood
Vice-President  L. Loring Brooks
Secretary   H.T. Peters
(1) Delegate   W.G. Rockefeller
(2) Delegate   Dwight Moore
(3) Delegate   W.P. Earle

(Signed)  E.S. Woodward
Representing the President A.K.C.
H.K. Bloodgood
President of Associate Members;
(Attest)  A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary, A.K.C.

THE CHAIR: If there is no exception taken to
The regularly of the credentials of the delegates of the associate membership, they will act as part of this meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the reading of the minutes of the last meeting be dispensed with owning to their having been published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: I move that the minutes be adopted as published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The following named delegates to represent the following named clubs were elected:

G. Muss-Arnolt American Dachshund Club
Dr. C. Motschenbacher Canonsburg Kennel Club
J. Willoughby Mitchell Bryn Mawr Kennel Club
James Watson Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association
O.H. Albanesius Great Dane Club of America
Milton Clark Rochester Kennel Club
S.L. Goldenberg Toy Spaniel Club of America
Dr. Walter B. Weaver Cincinnati Kennel Association

THE SECRETARY: I know of credentials from the Boston Terrier Club appointing its Secretary, Mr. F.H. Osgood, as its delegate, and I fail to find those credentials, but I can state that they have been received by me, and I am afraid they may have been
attached to some other paper that I cannot put my hands on at the moment.

THE CHAIR: The Secretary states that he has received credentials from the
Boston Terrier Club appointing Mr. Osgood as its delegate, but that those
credentials he cannot just now find. If you desire to approve of his appointment, that
may be done.

Moved and seconded that such approval be given.
Carried.

THE CHAIR: I will ask that the Secretary's report and Treasurer's report be
not read at present unless exception is taken to the ruling of the chair in that respect,
when the newly elected officers have been chosen. I therefore ask Mr. Viti to take
the Chair in order that you may proceed to the election of officers for the ensuing
year.

Mr. Viti takes the Chair.

THE CHAIR: The officers to be voted for at this meeting are President, Vice-
President, the Chairman first, and then the members of the Stud Book Committee,
Constitution & Rules Committee, Field Trials & Coursing Committee, Finance
Committee, Membership Committee. The first nominations in order will be for the
office of President.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I nominate Mr. August Belmont
to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that nominations be closed.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIR: The question now before the house is upon the nomination of Mr. Belmont for President of this Association for the ensuing year.

THE CHAIR then put the question and Mr. Belmont was unanimously elected.

At this point Mr. Belmont resumes the Chair.

THE CHAIR: I desire to thank you for your mark of confidence and my re-election to this office which I have held for so many years, but which I have felt really was not occupied by me in a manner that was wholly for the best interests of your Association; but I do not find that a sufficient number of you agree with me to permit me to insist upon your relieving me from my duties, which do not rest upon me as burdens, but simply I have not sufficient time to do, as I feel, justice to them. However, you will have during the ensuing year such time as I can afford to give, and certainly such time as I can afford to give, and certainly such time will be given with the same interest that I have always felt in the
The welfare of the American Kennel Club. (Applause).

The next office to be filled is Vice-President. Nominations are now in order.

MR. MOORE: I would like to place in nominations for that office Mr. Hunnewell to succeed himself. Mr. Hunnewell has presided at our meetings during the absence of the President with a great deal of ability, and I am sure we owe it to him and the delegates to unanimously re-elect him.

On motion nominations were declared closed.

On motion Mr. Hunnewell was elected Vice-President, and so declared by the Chair.

THE SECRETARY: The next in order is the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee. Mr. Marcel A. Viti is the retiring Chairman.

MR. MOORE: I place in nomination the name of the Mr. Viti to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations declared closed.

On motion Mr. Viti was elected Chairman of the Stud Book Committee and so declared by the Chair.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move the nomination of Messrs. James W. Appleton and Singleton Van Schaick to succeed themselves as members of the Stud Book
Committee.

On motion nominations declared closed.

On motion Messrs. James W. Appleton and Singleton Van Schiack were elected members of the Stud Book Committee and so declared by the Chair.

THE CHAIR: Nominations are next in order for the Chairman of the Committee on Constitution & Rules. Mr. G.M. Carnochan is the present incumbent.

MR. MOORE: I desire to place in nominations the name of Mr. Carnochan to succeed himself.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations closed.

On motion Mr. G.M. Carnochan was elected as Chairman of the Committee on Constitution & Rules and so declared by the Chair.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I desire to renominate the three following gentlemen on that Committee, Messrs. E.S. Woodward, Henry Jarrett and G. Muss-Arnolt to succeed themselves as members of that Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations closed.

On motion Messrs. E.S. Woodward, Henry Jarrett and G. Muss-Arnolt were elected as members of the Committee on Constitution & Rules and so declared by the Chair.
MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. J.W. Appleton as Chairman of the Committee on Field Trials & Coursing Meetings to succeed himself.
Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations closed.
On motion Mr. J.W. Appleton was elected Chairman of the Committee on Field Trials & Coursing Meetings and so declared by the Chair.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. William G. Rockefeller as Chairman of the Finance Committee to succeed himself.
Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations closed.
On motion Mr. Rockefeller was elected as Chairman of the Finance Committee and so declared by the Chair.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. G.M. Carnochan and Mr. Dwight Moore as members of the Finance Committee.
Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations closed.
On motion Mr. Carnochan and Mr. Moore were elected as members of the Finance Committee and so declared by the Chair.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I nominate Mr. William Emery to succeed himself as Chairman of the Membership Committee.
Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations declared closed.
On motion Mr. Emery was elected as Chairman of the Membership Committee and so declared by the Chair.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I nominate Mr. Singleton Van Schaick to succeed himself as a member of the Membership Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move the nomination of Mr. B.S. Smith to succeed Mr. R.H. Williams, who is no longer a delegate, as a member of the Membership Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations declared closed.
On motion Messrs. Van Schaick and Smith were elected as members of the Membership Committee and so declared by the Chair.

The Secretary then read the report of the Membership Committee, as follows:

New York, February 14th, 1905

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held this day, the following applications for admission to active membership were received:

Washington, Pa. Kennel Club  Passaic County Fish & Game Protective Association
Miller River Kennel Club  Dalmatian Club of America
These applications conform to the requirements of the Constitution, are hereby approved and their admission recommended.

The following credentials having been filed in accordance with the Constitution were duly considered and are hereby approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Appointing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Dachshund Club</td>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannonsburg K.C.</td>
<td>Dr. C. Motschenbacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryn Mawr K.C.</td>
<td>J. Willoughby Mitchell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Assn.</td>
<td>James Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Dane Club of America</td>
<td>O.H. Albanesius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester K.C.</td>
<td>Milton Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy Spaniel Club of America</td>
<td>S.L. Goldenberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati Kennel Association</td>
<td>Dr. Walter B. Weaver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. B. Emery
Chairman

The Secretary read his regular quarterly report as follows:

New York, February 14, 1905

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor the submit my regular quarterly report. Four applications for admission to membership in the club and credentials from eight clubs appointing delegates have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report upon the same at this meeting. I have referred to the Stud Book Committee thirty-five applications for Kennel Names, and four applications for the transfer of registered kennel names,
and said committee will report on the same at this meeting.

I will present the minutes of the Executive Board of February 1, 1905, minutes of the meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee of December 9, 1904, December 29, 1904, and of January 9, 1905, neither of which have yet been published in the Gazette. I also report that the Portland Kennel Club of Portland Oregon, has been admitted to active membership in this club by the Pacific Advisory Committee, and said club has been granted the dates of May 3rd to 6th, 1905, for its Bench Show, and the classification for said show has been duly approved by said Pacific Advisory Committee.

I beg to submit an application from the Brunswick Fur Club, to change it title to that of the Brunswick Fur Club, to change title to that of the Brunswick Foxhound Club, with the request that our records be amended to conform thereto. I regret that it becomes my duty to again report the fact that the Rhode Island Kennel Club published the wrong rules in its premium list for its late show January 24th and 26th, 1905.

I will present a communication from A.G. Clark in reference to his kennel name “Marlborough.”

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary

On motion it was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was then read, and is as follows:
New York, February 14, 1905

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand, January 1, 1905 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $16,714.95
Receipts from January 1st to date - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 2,650.51
Total - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $19,365.46

Disbursements from January 1st to date - - - - - - - - - - - - - 1,754.39
Balance on hand - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 17,611.07

I beg to report the following clubs in arrears for dues from 1905:

Bull Terrier Club of America       Toledo Fanciers’ Association
Sharon Kennel Club                Louisville Collie Club
Columbus Fanciers’ Association    New Jersey Kennel Club
                             Bar Harbor Kennel Club
Binghamton Industrial Exposition
Orange County Agricultural Society
Fanciers’ Association of Indiana
Pueblo Kennel Club
Franklin Oil City

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Executive Board was read, and is as follows:

New York, February 1, 1905

Meeting called to order at 2:15 P.M. H.K. Bloodgood in the Chair.


The following matter were presented by the Secretary, duly investigated and decided as follows:

S.W. Franklin )
Vs. ) Re misconduct in connection with dogs.
Fred E. Rice )

In the above case Rice sold to Franklin a Boston Terrier, giving a written guarantee that said terrier could be registered. The application for the registration of said dog was refused, for the reason of short pedigree, upon the strength of which the charges were preferred as Rice had failed to fulfill his guarantee. The charges were filed with the A.K.C. May 9,1904. During the interval between that date and the holding of this meeting Rice settled the case to the entire satisfaction of Franklin, who requested the privilege of withdrawing his charges. It was therefore ORDERED – that as no fraud has been shown, the application to withdraw the charges is hereby granted, and the deposit of ten dollars be returned to Franklin.

Wilbur E. Evans )
Vs. ) Re misconduct in connection with dogs.
Dr. W.G. Kandall )

In the above case Evans sent a French Bull bitch to Dr. Kendall, for service to his dog RICO, and paid therefore $25.00. In a printed card issued by Kendall advertising Champion Rico in Stud, it says “a litter guaranteed.” Evans’
bitch was bred to Rico twice, without result, and was thereafter bred to another dog by name of TOBY, and he gave evidence before this Board, that as a result of breeding to the said TOBY, his bitch gave positive evidence of being in whelp. Evans filed an affidavit that his bitch had never been in whelp to Champion Rico. It was therefore ORDERED – that owning to the fact that a litter was guaranteed by Kendall, and that said guarantee was never fulfilled, that the said Kendall be and hereby is directed to return the $25.00, being the amount of the fee paid to him by Evans, and that if the said fee be not returned within thirty days from the date of this meeting, said Kendall shall be disqualified.

J.W. Minturn )
vs. ) Re misconduct in connection with dogs.
W.M. Alexander )

In this case, Alexander sold a dog to Minturn (a Great Dane), named HANOVER CEDRIC, which he represented as being thirty-five inches tall, and also as the tallest Great Dane in America. Minturn purchased this dog upon the representation made, and paid therefore $175.00, on or about the 17th September, 1904. On the 28th day of September thereafter, Alexander wrote another letter to Minturn, in which he offers to sell another Great Dane to him, named ALEXANDER TIGER II, and said that his dog is about an inch taller than the dog he had just sold to Minturn. The dog purchased, HANOVER CEDRIC, was received by Minturn’s kennel man, on September 30, 1904, who
reported at once to Minturn that the dog was not as represented. On October 10 following, Minturn personally examined the dog and found that he only measured thirty-one inches in height instead of thirty-five as represented. On October 19, 1904, Minturn sent a special delivery letter to Alexander, repudiating the contract, and demanded the return of his money upon the receipt of which he would return the dog to Alexander. To this letter Minturn never received a reply. In Alexander’s defense, he claims that on October 4, 1904, he received a letter from Minturn accepting the dog. This statement is denied by Minturn. The defense continues that “heights” in Great Danes, are judged by comparison, and claims that the dog in question is nearer thirty-five than thirty-one inches in height. The Board renders its decision as follows, - Whereas Alexander sold a dog representing it to be the tallest dog of its breed in America, and Whereas Minturn purchased said dog upon that representation, paying therefore $175.00, and Whereas, after the consumption of said sale, Alexander offered to sell another dog of the same breed to said sale, Alexander offered to sell another dog of the same breed to said Minturn, representing it to be one inch taller than the dog he had sold, representing it to be the tallest of its breed in America, it is therefore ORDERED, - That unless Alexander can and does produce the alleged letter from Minturn, dated October 4th, 1904, accepting said dog, said Alexander is hereby directed to refund the sum of $175.00 within thirty days of the date hereof, to
Minturn, and that upon the receipt of said money, Minturn is hereby directed to return the dog to said Alexander. In default of the said Alexander complying with this order, within thirty days, he shall be disqualified.

Merritt C. Ring  
Vs.      ) Re misconduct in connection with dogs.  
F.G. McIntosh  

In the above case, about April 2, 1904, Mcintosh offered to sell a dog to Ring, (a red Cocker Spaniel bitch) named ECLIPSE ELLEN, and represented her to be in whelp, to his champion PERFECTION, and also by a printed notice upon his letter paper, guaranteeing satisfaction. In said letter, he writes, “remember I guarantee all my statements, if not as represented, money refunded.”; in a second letter dated May 4, 1904, in speaking of the said ECLIPSE ELLEN which Ring had purchased, says, “she is die to have her pups May 31, 1904.” Ring wanted until that date, and as the said bitch did not have puppies, duly notified Mcintosh, the following day, June 1, 1904, that the bitch had failed, claimed a return of his money, stood ready to return the bitch, and that in the meantime would hold her subject to Mcintosh’s order. Mcintosh replied on June 6th, writing to this effect: “I cannot sell a dog and let the customer accept it and keep it a number of weeks and then decide he does not want it and return it and get his money back.” Mcintosh refused to fulfill his guarantee. The defense of Mcintosh under date of January 30, 1905, states that he wrote
to Ring that he was willing to do what was customary among breeders, to give him a return service, and that if she again failed, that he would make an arrangement that would satisfy him by giving him another bitch or refund him his money. He claimed that Ring ignored such a fair proposition, upon which he requested Ring to bring the matter up before the American Kennel Club for a decision, hence these charges.

It was decided as follows: Whereas, Mc Intosh made this sale upon the representation that the bitch was in whelp to his dog PERFECTION which proved to be untrue, and Whereas, Mc Intosh guaranteed all of his statements in reference to this Bitch, it is ORDERED – that the said Mc Intosh be and hereby is directed to refund to the said Ring the sum of $35.00, being the purchase price of said botch, and that the said Ring be directed upon the receipt of said money, to return said bitch to Mc Intosh, the return express charge to be paid by the said Mc Intosh, and if said order is not complied with by Mc Intosh within thirty days of the date hereof, he will be disqualified.

Spotswood Kennels  )
Vs.  )  Re appeal from cancellation of the winning of
Boston Terrier Club  )  the Boston Terrier, EASTOVER LANCELOT,
                          )  At the Boston Terrier Club Show, held November
                          )  16-19, 1904

In the above case the Boston Terrier EASTOVER LANCELOT, was protested, upon the ground that the dog’s tail had been improperly tampered with, said protest was forwarded to the
American Kennel Club for its action, and was at once returned with the information that original jurisdiction in such cases could only be assumed by the Showgiving club, but could some before the American Kennel Club, on appeal from either part after a decision had been rendered by the Show giving club. Pursuant to this information the Bench Show Committee of the Boston Terrier Club, met, and decided the case, by sustaining of the Show. From this decision the above appeal was lodged with the A.K.C. The evidence produced by the Spottswood Kennels was an X-Ray exposure of the dog's tail, affidavits by M.K. Kasabian, G.R. Hartman, and John J. Maher. All connected with the medical profession and all present at the time the X-Ray or Skiagraph of the dog’s tail was made, and all testifying that no indication or evidence of the tail of the said dog, as having been docked or tampered with, was revealed. It is therefore ORDERED, -- that the appeal of the Spottswood Kennels is hereby sustained, and that the original winning of the Boston Terrier EASTOVER LANCELOT be reinstated.

JAMES WATSON – Request for ruling.

In this case Watson’s dog Red Hills Doctor, was moved to first place at the Chicago and Atlantic City shows, in 1904, by reason of the cancellation of the winnings of the Irish Terrier COURTLAND THYGE. Watson made a claim on both clubs for the difference in prize money due him by reason of the said marking up of his dog. He alleges that neither club
has paid to him the extra prize money demanded, and appeals to the A.K.C. under date of January 25th-27th, 1905, to enforce his claim as above set forth. Rule XXII, governing Dog Shows, and Rule V, Governing Clubs holding Shows, say, “that all claims against a club for unpaid prizes shall be outlawed after the expiration of six months from the last day of the closing of a show.” Watson contents that the Chicago Show ended March 12th, 1904, but the official list of awards were not published in the Gazette until May 31st, 1904, and the awards at the Atlantic City Show, which closed April 2nd, 1904, the officials awards were not published in the Gazette until July 31st, 1904, and claims that the six months limit, could not be effective until after the official awards had been published. This Board rules that in accordance with the Rules now in force that all claims must be made within six months from the closing day of the show, and under said rule Watson’s claim has been outlawed.

Upon motion of Mr. Viti, the following minute was adopted:

This Board has learned with sorrow of the loss this Association has sustained in the death of Mr. Frank H. Croker. Mr. Croker was constant in his attendance at meetings, his personal character and his ability endeared him to his associates, and while as a delegate he rendered exceptional and valued services to the Association for several years.
Be it therefore resolved that this Board hasten to avail itself of this, its first opportunity to express its sentiments of the loss we have sustained, and to convey its sympathy to his family.

Adjournment,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary read three reports of meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, dated December 9, 1904, December 29, 1904, and January 9, 1905. They are as follows:

-December 9, 1904-

Present: J.E. De Ruyter, Chairman, Dr. W.P. Burnham, J.P. Norman

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
On motion the rules were suspended in order in consider first all charges of misconduct before the Committee.

It was moved and seconded that the charge of the Pacific Fox-Terrier Club against S. Barnett of uttering a false and fraudulent pedigree be taken up.
Carried.

The Pacific Fox-Terrier Club vs. S. Barnett: The complaint, duly sworn and signed by J.B. Martin,
Secretary of the Pacific Fox-Terrier Club, sets forth, “That the said S. Barnett sold a Fox-Terrier dog puppy to Hugh McCracken and furnished as a pedigree of said puppy a pedigree of a dog “Female and Male,” whelped February 10th, 1902, sire Woodlawn Rustic, dam Sappho, breeder Mrs. T. Murphy, Hollister.”

The pedigree was produced in evidence.

A letter was submitted from Mrs. T. Murphy, stating that Woodlawn Rustic was bred only once to Sappho and died shortly afterwards. The litter contained three puppies, all females.

The Secretary stated that a copy of the charge had been mailed to Mr. S. Barnett in a registered letter and Mr. Barnett’s receipt for the said letter was produced.

Mr. McCracken stated that the pedigree had been handed to him personally by Mr. Barnett with the assurance that it was the true pedigree of the puppy bought.

There being no defense, it was

Moved and seconded that whereas the evidence produced before this committee proves conclusively that S. Barnett has sold to Hugh McCracken a fox terrier dog puppy and furnished therewith a pedigree of a dog whelped February 10th, 1902, bred by Mrs. T. Murphy sired by Woodland Rustic out of Sappho and whereas the said pedigree is evidence per se of its having been drawn up for a bitch puppy, and Whereas it is proved that no dog puppies were born in the litter for one of which the above pedigree was drawn up, and whereas it is shown on reliable
evidence that the said S. Barnett furnished the said pedigree, knowing that it was not the true pedigree of the dog puppy sold, and

Whereas the said S. Barnett has failed to answer the charge in any way or to appear before this committee as summoned,

Therefore be it Resolved, that the said S. Barnett is proved to be guilty of knowingly uttering a false and fraudulent pedigree and thereby of conduct prejudicial to dogs and dog shows; and

That the said S. Barnett be and hereby is disqualified and debarred from all the privileges of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

On motion, the Secretary was directed to procure publicity for the above resolution.

It was moved and seconded that the committee do not proceed to consider the charges against John Perry of San Francisco. Carried.

Mr. Hugh McCracken stated that the Collie dog exhibited by John Perry at the San Francisco show of April, 1904, under the name of island Chief, catalogue No. 283, in the class for Collies Novice Dogs, breeder alleged to be Thomas S> Griffiths, alleged to be whelped January, 1903, has since been bred by the said John Perry to various bitches and pups have been sold out of the resultant litters, giving the pedigree of the dog
as being by Chance IV out of Ormskirk Princess, bred by C.G. Nairn, Ore., and whelped December, 1902. Mr. Hugh McCracken stated that this same dog, which he could identify, was offered to him for $15.00 by a Frenchman, who stated that his wife had bought the dog from a man in the streets of Portland, Oregon. Mr. McCracken refused to buy the dog, because it had no pedigree. He stated the dog was afterwards sold to John Perry and is the one exhibited and bred by Perry as Island Chief. Perry declared to Mr. McCracken that a friend of his had written to Mr. Thomas Griffiths of Spokane, Washington, and had sent a picture of the dog. And that Mr. Griffiths has recognized the dog as being of his breeding. Mr. Griffiths, under date of October 27th, 1904, in a letter to Mr. Hugh McCracken produced in evidence, said that he had received such a letter, but had answered that to the best of his knowledge, he had never seen such a collie before, and that he thought the dog was a fake. Mr. McCracken further stated that Mr. Perry had bred the dog to several bitches under the second pedigree stated above, and produced an advertising card, on the back of which, in handwriting supposed to be John Perry’s and to be signed by the latter, the following particulars are written:

Mr. Vredenburgh, Secretary of the American Kennel Club, under date of November 28th, directs this committee to investigate the charge. C.D. Nairn of Ballston, Ore., under state of November 13th, advised that according to the dates given, the dog Island Chief, could not be a son of Change IV out of Ormskirk Princess.

Norman J. Stewart stated that to the best of his belief Change IV had been sold by Mr. Nairn to Mr. Albee before the date mentioned as the whelping of Island Chief.

After some discussion of the evidence, the Secretary was directed to write to Mr. John Perry, notifying him of the charges and calling on him to explain the contradictory pedigrees and the exhibition of the dog at the San Francisco show of 1904 under a pedigree, differing from that under which he subsequently bred the dog, such explanation to be filed within the usual time limits.

The committee then went into executive session, Mr. Norman J. Stewart being invited to remain in conference.

Mr. Stewart stated that he represented the California clubs, members of the Western Kennel League, and was authorized by them to negotiate with the Pacific Advisory Committee and with the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Stewart stated that the California Clubs recognized that the utility of the Western Kennel League had passed away, if the Pacific Advisory Committee would so re-constitute itself as to be more thoroughly representative of the kennel clubs
and kennel interests of the Pacific Coast.

He stated that the ideal committee of the Coast Clubs was an elective one. But on the unanimous assurance of the members of the committee, that the constitution of the American Kennel Club must be the paramount consideration, and that no proposition to modify or change said constitution could possibly be entertained, Mr. Stewart agreed to abandon this requirement. He stated that if the Committee would make such concessions as would reduce to a minimum the dissatisfaction felt by the clubs he represented at the lack of representation on the Pacific Advisory Committee, all the California Western Kennel League clubs were certain to apply for membership in the American Kennel Club and it was probably that the Northern Western kennel League clubs would make the same application. After considerable discussion a decision was reached, and Mr. Stewart was empowered to communicate to the clubs, members of the Western Kennel League, the limit of concessions that could be made by the Pacific Advisory Committee on its own authority, without infringing on the constitution of the American Kennel Club, the paramount authority and consideration.

Provision was made for representation on the committee and the proposed arrangement provided also for a means of conveying to the committee the wishes of the majority of the Pacific Coast Clubs, members of the American Kennel Club in joint con-
vention assembled. It was agreed that the committee should re-convene to meet Mr. Stewart at his request, when the Western Kennel League clubs had communicated their decision to him.

The Chairman and the Secretary were each instructed to communicate with officials of certain Northern clubs with a view to facilitating Mr. Stewart’s negotiations with those bodies.

There being no further business the committee adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman, Secretary

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C.,
Held December 29, 1904, at Occidental Hotel, S.F.

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman, J.P. Norman, C.K. Harley.
Absent: H.H. Carlton, Dr. W.P. Burnham
Minutes of previous meeting read and corrected as follows:
Insert “unofficially” after the words “to” negotiate” fourth line of second section on page 2.
Excise “Mr. Stewart agreed to abandon this requirement” changing the following period to a comma, line 16, second section, on page 2. The minutes were then approved.
A.K.C. v. Perry: Charge of uttering false pedigrees. Further evidence was produced in this matter.
Letter from John Perry, stating his innocence of the charge
and his willingness to abide by the decision of the Committee.

Letter from C.D. Nairn, Ballston, Ore., stating that Change IV was sold to O.J. Albee of Lawrence, Cal. before the date of birth of Island Chief as given.

Letter from Mr. J.M. Golobeck of Crockett, Cal., containing copies of pedigree furnished by John Perry.

The Secretary stated that he had interviewed John Perry, who appeared innocent of any intentional wrong-doing; his actions and statements having apparently been caused by false information and his own ignorance.

The Secretary was instructed to procure further evidence, and if possible, to procure the attendance of John Perry at the next meeting.

On motion, further consideration of the case was postponed until the next meeting.

The Committee then went into executive session, to consider the progress of negotiations with Mr. N.J. Stewart, who attended the meeting by invitation.

Mr. Stewart stated that it was the wish of the members of the Western Kennel League to enter the American Kennel Club as a body, or not at all.

The Secretary read letters and telegrams from the Portland Kennel Club, enquiring as to the terms on which that club could be admitted to membership in the A.K.C. Also letters form the Seattle Kennel Club, Inc., as to their retention in the A.K.C., it having come to the knowledge of the officials.
of that club that efforts were to be made with the Pacific Advisory Committee to oust them in favor of a rival Seattle organization.

Mr. Stewart assured the Committee that if the concession were granted of a new Committee, the nominees to which were to be elected by a body of delegates representing the Club of the Coast, the present members of the Committee were sure to nominate to succeed themselves, or if not all, nearly all.

The Chairman stated that the proposition of leaving all the new members to be nominated by such a body could not be entertained, in view of the fact that no more than a personal pledge of such a re-nomination could be given.

Mr. Norman stated that his resignation was at the service of the Committee, whenever required, but he did not think such a resignation would conduce to the interests of the American Kennel Club, unless it were established that his successor would be equally enthusiastic for those interests.

Mr. Stewart spoke of the matter of recognizing Western Kennel League wins, but was assured that such recognition was outside the possibility of discussion.

After considerable discussion, the Committee agreed on certain concessions to be made to the Coast Clubs, which it was hoped, would remove the dissatisfaction now prevailing among some of them. A list of concessions was drawn up and it was
arranged that Mr. Stewart should furnish duplicate copies, as understood the concessions, which were to be sent by him, signed to the Chairman and Secretary of the Committee for their signature. When this satisfied, these concessions were to be communicated to the Clubs of the Coast, and such of them as were not already members of the American Kennel Club would be admitted on application, providing that the necessary compliance with the Rules and Constitution of the A.K.C. had been accomplished.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C.
Held at Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, January 9, 1905

On motion the rules were suspended, in order to take up the pending charges out of the regular order of business.


Consideration of this case was resumed. The evidence was recapitulated. Letters from C.D. Naim of Ballston, Oregon, from O.J. Albee of Lawrence, California from Hugh McCracken of San Francisco, the oral testimony of the latter, of Norman J. Stewart, were accepted as proof that the pedigree alleged to be furnished, could not possibly be that of the dog Island Chief, inasmuch as he could not have been the product of a
mating of Chance IV to Ormskirk Princess at the time stated.

The copies of the pedigrees alleged to have been furnished by John Perry were received from Mr. Moiles, City Marshal of Crockett, Cal., through the intermediary of Mr. J.M. Golobeck of that town. These were sworn copies and proved that such pedigree had actually been furnished by defendant.

Letters from Thomas S. Griffiths of Spokane, Washington, denied the breeding of the dog, Island Chief, as given in the entry blank by defendant at the San Francisco show, April, 1904. The difference in the pedigree furnished after the show proved that the first one was false.

On motion these conclusions were accepted by the Committee.

Mr. H. McCracken volunteered further testimony to the effect that he had been informed by the Mr. William McCormick that the defendant had stated to the latter, that his dog, Island Chief, had no pedigree. The defendant, John Perry, was called upon for a statement:

He said that he as a Persian, naturalized, unversed in the English language; he was a hard-working man, very fond of dogs for the love of them, and not for the money there might be in it. He was desirous of obeying the instructions of the Committee and would cheerfully submit to any penalty they might inflict.

He had bought the dog of a stranger, a Frenchman or Italian, through the intermediary of a Mexican interpreter,
equally unknown. The vendor had first demanded seventy-five dollars, but had ultimately accepted twenty-five. He sated at the time of the sale that the dog was bred by Thomas S. Griffiths of Spokane. The defendant had retained half the purchase money, pending the production of the pedigree. In the meantime, defendant exhibition the dog at the San Francisco show in good faith, as being bred by the said Griffiths, whelped December, 1902, particulars unknown. When the vendor produced the second pedigree, defendant did not recognize the difference until informed of it.

Since receiving the letters from the Secretary of this Committee, informing him that his dog’s pedigree was alleged to be fraudulent, he had refused to breed his dog, giving as the reason that it had none. This statement was confirmed by Mr. McCracken.

The defendant did not know the whereabouts of the vendor of the dog, but would like to ascertain the same.

In reply to questions, the defendant stated that he had told Mr. McCormick that his dog lacked a pedigree on account of the letters he had received from the Secretary.

The defendant had sold pups to Mr. Moiles and to Mr. Cooper and the latter had written the letters to Mr. Griffiths in the defendant’s name.

There being no further evidence: It was moved and seconded that Whereas it was apparent, clearly and unmistakably that the
Defendant had acted throughout in good faith, that his errors had been caused by ignorance, and that he was the victim of misrepresentation and that.

Whereas, while it was clearly proven that both pedigrees furnished by defendant were equally erroneous, yet as he had no means of determining the same, other than through the information of others, and that,

Whereas, it was clearly shown that the Collie dog, Island Chief, could not be of the breeding of Thomas S. Griffiths of Spokane, as was acknowledged, when the defendant later issued pedigrees showing the dog to be by Chance IV out of Ormskirk Princess, bred by C.D. Nairn, whelped December, 1902, and,

Whereas, from the evidence adduced, as neither sire nor dam was in Mr. Nairn's possession at the time stated, he could not be the breeder of the dog as alleged, and further the said dogs, Chance IV and Ormskirk Princess were mated but once and at time other than set forth in the pedigree of which copy is in evidence.

Therefore be it Resolved, that the Collie dog, Island Chief, is not bred by Thomas S. Griffiths, not by C.D. Nairn, as far as shown by the evidence, not can he be the offspring of Chance IC out of Ormskirk Princess, whelped a the time given, and the defendant is hereby cautioned not to use such pedigrees in breeding or selling the progeny of his dog, Island Chief, and that

The defendant, John Perry, by and hereby is acquitted of
the charge knowingly issuing false pedigrees, and of the charge of any wilful fraud in connection therewith.

   Carried: Each member stating his concurrence in the resolution.

   It was then moved and seconded that the regular order of business be resumed. Carried.

   The minutes were read and approved.

   Under the head of new business, the application of the Seattle Kennel Club Incorporated for a change of name to the Seattle Dog Fancier’s Association was taken up. The reasons of the Club for making the change were fully set forth in the communications pertaining thereto.

   It was moved and seconded, that the application be granted, and that the change of name of the late Seattle Kennel Club Incorporated to The Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association be and hereby is approved. Carried.

   It was moved and seconded, that,

   Whereas, the Spokane Kennel Club has held a Dog Show from October 5th to October 8th, 1904, inclusive, under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, and,

   Whereas, such action is in contravention of the Resolution of this Committee, passed on July 23rd, 1904, and is made punishable by disqualification, applicable to the officials of such Club and Show, paid or unpaid, therefore be it

   Resolved, that the following officials of the Spokane Kennel
Club, in office at the time of the said Show, be and hereby are disqualified, such officials being certified as acting in that capacity according to the official catalogue as acting in that capacity according to the official catalogue of the Show published by the Spokane Kennel Club: John M. Bunn, President, Claude D. Bond, Vice-President, A.B. Jackson, Secretary-Treasurer, Albert Benham, Director, A.L. Crismna, Director, and that they are debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

The discussion on the matter of concessions to be made to Clubs desirous of entering into the American Kennel Club was resumed:

The Chair stated that the agreement partially adopted at the last meeting was roughly drawn up, and after the amendments left a certain amount of doubt as to the true intent, on account of inconsistencies caused by a part of the agreement being left without change. He had therefore revised the draft, as sent up with Mr. Stewart’s signature, and the Secretary had concurred with him, as to the desirability of the revision. Nothing had been changed in the sense or intent, but the loopholes for disagreement had been removed. The Chair and the Secretary had signed the revised agreement, and it would be now produced for notification by the Committee. Mr. Stewart had certified to the concessions, as being such as he had understood to be granted at the time of the last meeting. Mr. Stewart’s letter accompanying the return of the agreement was read: The writer deprecated strongly the attitude of the
Committee in refusing to resign, as this was the one point on which his friends were not satisfied.

In this connection, other letters were read deprecating the action of the Committee in making any concessions whatsoever, as none were desired by the writers or their friends.

A letter from Mr. Stewart to the San Francisco Kennel Club was read, inviting the Club to send a delegate to the convention of the Western Kennel League, to be held at Portland on January 14th. The letter was ordered returned to the President of the San Francisco Kennel Club, who had laid it before the meeting.

It was then moved and seconded, that,

Whereas, Mr. Norman J. Stewart has represented himself to be the Secretary of the Western Kennel League, and deputed unofficially by the California members of the League to represent them with plenary powers, and,

Whereas, he has signified to this Committee, that certain concessions in the matter of the constitution of this Committee and the formation of an elective body of delegates to act as an intermediary between the clubs and this committee, would remove the now existing dissatisfaction felt by some of them, and would restore harmony to the Coast Kennel interests and would tender to render those clubs desirous of membership in the American Kennel Club, and Whereas he has stated that the following concessions would effect those ends, therefore be it
Resolved, that this Committee is willing to make the following concessions and to adopt them as its by-laws, provided that the Club assembled at Portland on January 14th in convention, pass the necessary resolution, that these concessions are satisfactory, and that such clubs intend to, make applications for membership in the American Kennel Club, immediately after the passage of such resolution.

COPY OF REVISE CONCESSIONS MADE BY PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Sec. 1. Pacific Advisory Committee.

The Pacific Advisory Committee shall consist of seven members, five of whom shall be the present members of the Committee. Three members shall be nominated by the California Clubs, two by the Oregon Clubs and two by the Washington Clubs, in the manner specified under Section 2, Body of Representatives.

The nominations for the two additional members shall be sent to the Advisory Committee in the manner specified for filling vacancies, and if approved, to the A.K.C. for confirmation.

On conformation by the A.K.C., the nominees shall take their seats on the Committee, and this new Committee shall have all the powers and privileges of the old.

In the event of the death, resignation or expulsion of any members of the Committee, the Body of Representatives shall at once meet and shall send the names of three men,
Whom it would suggest to fill the vacancy, to the Advisory Committee. The Committee, shall, if approved, select and transmit to the A.K.C., one of the names for confirmation.

In order to secure a working Committee and be assured of a full representation at meetings, the members of the Committee must be residents of San Francisco, or its immediate vicinity.

Sec. 2. BODY OF REPRESENTATIVES.

The Body of Representatives shall hold its first meeting in San Francisco and nominate by ballot two men as new members of the Advisory Committee in the manner specified for filling vacancies thereon. These nominations shall be sent to the Advisory Committee, and the two names approved by them shall be forwarded to the A.K.C. for confirmation. The California Clubs shall nominate three members to represent them; the Oregon Clubs, two members; and the Washington Club, two members.

This Body of Representatives shall be officially recognized by the Advisory Committee and shall be empowered to hold meetings at such times and places as it may see fit, and to make such recommendations and suggestions to the Pacific Advisory Committee as it may think desirable.

Carried.
There being no further business, the meeting adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman
Secretary.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that they be accepted as read and placed on file.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules was read as published in the Gazette, and, on motion of Mr. Viti, accepted and placed on file.

MR. SMITH: I have a resolution which I would like to have adopted at this meeting if possible. It is as follows:

Whereas, -- It being the sense of this meeting that it would be for the best interests of this association that candidates for certain offices to be elected at the annual meeting should be announced prior to said meeting, and Whereas, - It is customary for nominations to be made and announced before the date of annual meetings in many clubs, and said custom has been found to work advantageously, and Whereas, -- Such a plan would not be contrary to the Constitution of this Association, and would be quite a proper one to adopt it is thought best by this meeting to adopt a standing resolution under which all future elections shall be governed. Be it therefore Resolved, -- That be-
between the dates of December 1\textsuperscript{st} and December 31\textsuperscript{st} of each year, nominations for the offices of President and Vice-President, the Chairman of each Standing Committee, of this Association may be filed with the Sec'y of this Assn. by any delegate whose club is in good standing and said Secretary must publish said nominations for the above named offices, in the December issue of the American Kennel Club, of each year, And be it further Resolved, -- That at each succeeding annual meeting only such candidates as may have been nominated an whose nomination has been published in the preceding December issue of the American Kennel Gazette in the manner above set forth, shall be eligible to be voted form and no other nominations shall be permitted not can any candidate other than such as have been announced be nominated or votes for at the Annual Meeting.

Resolution seconded.

MR. DALE: I would like to know if that could be done by resolution, that no other members in good standing could be nominated or voted for. It states in that resolution that none other but the names as presented.

THE CHAIR: It would seem to the Chair that the point is well taken, that this closes the door to the application of a provision of the Constitution which is not final, but which does not preclude the nominations of officers at the annual meeting. It would
seem, therefore, that if a resolution of that kind were adopted, it would be afterwards incorporated in the Constitution. Section 1 provides that the Association shall elect a President and Vice-President. That is the only provision. The Executive Board shall appoint a Secretary-Treasurer and so forth.

THE SECRETARY: Before that motion is put I would like to say that it embodies something that makes it a physical impossibility of accomplishment. The Gazette is published on the 31\textsuperscript{st} day of December, and consequently all copy must close several days before that date, and it states in the resolution that between December 1 and December 31 the nominations must be published in the December Gazette, which would be a physical impossibility.

MR. CARNOCHAN: So far as I can see, this motion is practically an amendment to the Constitution. It is prescribing the manner in which we shall elect officers. There should be thirty days notice it in the Gazette, and should go through the Rules Committee.

MR. JARRETT: That seems to be the case. The Rules and Constitution provide for the manner in which some of these officers should be elected, the Chairman of the Associate Members and the delegates of Associate Members.

THE CHAIR: An indication of what the Chair bases its opinion on is that in the nomination of delegates it
prescribes particularly that that shall be by ballot where no provision is made, and the lection is prescribed there under the ordinary rules of procedure, and it would not seem that this meeting would be competent to tie the hands of another future meeting with respect to that without amending the Constitution. I think myself that the resolution embodies that idea. That is calculated to guard against the possibility of serious dissension in the Kennel Club. It is manifest that should a movement for the election of an officer to succeed another be conducted in a manner which was not generally known and sprung upon a meeting, it might cause dissension in the club, in the Association, and the Association has up to the present time in most matters been very peaceful, and as a result of that, very successful; so that I regret the fact that it does not seem possible to say from the Chair that the motion is really in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I do not see that it has very much to do with it as it does not change the election of officers; it only provides for nominations; it does not alter anything at all in regard to how to elect. They are to be elected by ballot anyhow.

THE CHAIR: As the Chair stated, there is no provision, and there being no provision, we would fall back upon the ordinary methods of procedure; but if you attempt to
prescribe by a standing resolution a method of procedure like this, it would seem that it could not be done; that is the way it strikes the Chair. The Chair is ready to have an exception taken for whatever would be the interpretation of the rules on this subject.

Dr. De MUND: I would like to ask if it would be legal --- one part of that resolution states that no one shall be noted for except those nominated. Can we take away a right to vote for any member for an office?

THE CHAIR: That prescribes a method other than the ordinary method and precludes the voting upon nominations made at the meeting.

DR. De MUND: Is that right? Is that legal? Can we take away the right to vote?

THE CHAIR: It would appear to the Chair not; but this matter is open for discussion. There are gentlemen present who are familiar with the procedure, and lawyers who can possibly give a reason which would be convincing to the meeting that it was not right.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It does not appear that that has anything to do with our constitution; it only provides for a meeting so as to let everybody know whom they should like to have for a candidate for a certain office, and every candidate can vote for the man he thinks should be in that office.
THE CHAIR: When I say the Constitution --- the proper place for anything of that kind is the by-laws. The by-laws do usually in our Association prescribe the methods of procedure under the Constitution; that is really the proper place for a matter of this kind.

MR. CUTLER: I am in favor of this proposition, but it does not seem to me that it can be done; that is, in the way in which it is presented. I do not believe that any by-law or any provision can be made which will say that any delegate has not the right to vote for whom he pleases at a meeting. We are sent here to elect the people whom we believe are proper persons to be elected, and because he does not see fit to send in his name or is not properly before the meeting in that way, I do not think that a by-law would be constitutional. It does not seem to me that it would be in accordance with common parliamentary principles. That is, if I make myself plain, it means this: We are here to elect officers, and we cannot have our hands tied, and this body to-day cannot say what the next meeting will do; but I had in my mind when I got up to make some suggestion that it be referred to a committee with instructions to report a rule or an amendment to the Constitution which is in accordance with the spirit of this resolution for its action at the next meeting. I will make that as a motion in order to bring
it before the meeting.

MR. NEWBOLD: I would state that I have just been through something of this kind in another club. I do not think that our constitution and by-laws permit of this thing being done now, but there is no reason why we should not refer this to a proper committee, directing it at the next meeting to bring in a resolution complying with the intention expressed in this resolution that Mr. Smith has read. I would offer that as a suggestion.

MR. CUTLER: I make the motion: I believe it was seconded.

THE CHAIR: There is a motion before the house. This is a discussion on the motion.

MR. CUTLER: I think a motion to refer a matter to the committee is a subsidiary motion when any motion is before the house.

THE CHAIR: The first motion being defeated there could be no reference of the subject to the meeting. It is customary where a list of nominations is put before a club or association or before an annual meeting, to do it through the intermediary of a nominating committee that is appointed at the annual meeting to serve and put in nomination names for various officers at the succeeding meeting. That is done, but that does not preclude nominees being placed in nomination by other agencies; but it gives an opportunity for regular nominations to
come before the committee; and then, of course, when that custom does prevail, it does not strike a meeting as being quite the proper procedure to spring somebody on them without any previous notice.

MR. CUTLER: I think it can be accomplished. It is very much the same as what is known as the Australian ballot, where nominations are made substantially in the way proposed here in this resolution, but you will recall that there is always a blank left at the bottom so you can vote for any one you want to. That is, it is not constitutional to say that the persons are not voting as they please, although there might not be any nominations. I think this matter could be referred to some committee to frame it up in a way so as to make it constitutional, I think it ought to pass, but in its present form, there has been a clause brought out which precludes us from voting except for somebody who has been nominated.

MR. SMITH: I make a motion then that the Chair appoint a committee to frame this resolution so as to carry out this idea, and so that it will not conflict with the by-laws of the club.

MR. DALE: Is there not a rules committee existing to-day?

THE CHAIR: Yes: That motion is not seconded. Mr. Smith, you presented this resolution, and the Chair may
suggest, if you will amend it in this form by saying that it is the sense of this meeting
that such and such a thing shall be done, then if that passes, that question be left to
the consideration of the Committee on Rules. I think the Committee on Rules will
find that this can be governed by amending by-laws. The by-laws could be so
amended as to provide a method for placing in nomination certain nominees for the
office and I think the point is well taken by Mr. Cutler that it would not be possible to
deprive anybody of the privilege of putting in nomination and voting for some one
else; but of course that minimizes the danger of having dissension.

MR. MOORE: In view of the fact that Section 6 of Article 11 provides for a
certain procedure in the election of certain offices, I do not believe that we could act
under a by-law. I am in favor of this, but I so not see how it is possible, because we
prescribe a certain fixed rule in Section 6 of Article 11 for the election of associate
members, and if we provide for that method in our constitution I do not see how we
could have a rule in our by-laws that would carry weight.

THE CHAIR: I think you will find that this does not change the method of
election. The election is exactly as prescribed under the ordinary rules of procedure.
This association is perfectly competent to prescribe a method
in its by-laws putting in nomination through its official action a certain number of nominees.

MR. MOORE: But that section deals with the method of nominating officers. Why would not the same thing apply?

THE CHAIR: It omits to do for the association itself because it is permitted to act under the ordinary procedure.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Would not any new method naturally go into the constitution; as the constitution prescribes the election of the associate members, would not any change also go on the constitution?

THE CHAIR: Not necessarily, because it is really not part of its own work, the election of officers of the associate membership.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The association cannot change its constitution unless we do it for them; therefore it is part of our constitution.

THE CHAIR: I must not argue that with you, but in describing it the associate membership is simply a body attached to the American Kennel Club under certain prescribed restrictions, and those have been placed in the constitution in order that they may be fixed, and takes away from the associate membership the right and power to regular their own method or reaching their own difficulties with regard to their officers and delegates. It is
exactly in the same way that you prescribe any regulation with regard to clubs. They are not a part actually of the association as members; they are only given a right to send delegates and then the club has prescribed a method of their doing that.

MR. JARRETT: I think that the election of the officers of the associate members does include the American Kennel Club, because the Chairman, or the President, by virtue of his election by the associate members becomes a member or officer of the American Kennel Club. He becomes, I think, the third ranking officer, and that of course is an office of the club, and if this new resolution goes through it will interfere with the method already laid down for the election of this officer. The Chairman of the Associate members, by his election as Chairman, becomes an officer of the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIR: I can say the point taken is this: As I understood Mr. Carnochan, his contention is that it must necessarily be made a part of the constitution. The Chair explains that that is not necessary; that the association itself can prescribe for a method of procedure to put in nomination for subsequent officers; if it prescribed a method for election, that would be another matter, but even then at a staged meeting a motion could be made to proceed to a ballot, and if the meeting so preferred, instead of a vote, you can take a vote in any manner the
meeting prescribed, another method has been prescribed.

MR. CUTLER: I think as far as you stated that I concur in what you say, but it does seem to me that this prescribing a form of election – that is the point which I raise, because it sates only those who are nominated shall be voted for. If it had left that element out and had simply been a nomination, it would have been a by-law, but election by the delegates of the American Kennel Club means those delegates who come here at the Annual Meeting and vote upon the questions, and they have a right to vote as they see fit at that time, and it is not proper for anybody to say, or this body to say, that they shall not vote for whom they please; so it is changing in the form in which it is offered the method of electing the officers. It that could be stricken out or be changed so it simply means what I have pointed out, I think it would be proper.

MR. SMITH: I do not think it does that exactly, for the reason that it gives any delegate in good standing the power to file such names. However, I would like to ask that this be referred to the Rules Committee with instructions to report at the next meeting.

Motion seconded.

MR. VITI: I should say with instructions to frame a rule in accordance with the sentiments of this
MR. SMITH: I accept that.

Motion carried.

MR. CARNOCHAN: There is a matter in the report of the Rules Committee which I would like to bring up, and that is the matter of Rule 3, Section 2, governing clubs. That rule read as follows: “Exhibitors must abide by their own errors in making out their entry forms. Show officials cannot transfer a dog that has been wrongly entered.” The report of the committee ruled that the rule should stand as it now exists, as any change would open the door to greater carelessness on the part of the exhibitors. The minority of one believes that errors of spelling of dogs’ names, or leaving off any initials or numerals in connection with such names, which, under the present rule disqualify a dog’s win, with injustice to the exhibitor, would be avoided by the addition to the section, after the words “registered names, such names to be identified by the registered number.” I would like to move that the report of the minority committee be adopted, and in support of that I want to read what has been printed in the Gazette just below, as follows:

“Special Notice. Warning to exhibitors and Secretaries of Shows. The discussion at the December meeting as to the interpretation of certain rules, followed by the meeting of the Rules Committee, obliges us to give special
warning to exhibitors on the subject of filling in entry blanks.

“To avoid cancellation of wins at shows, the following points must be carefully noted:

If the name of a dog is not given precisely as registered, even to the proper spelling, and if any mistake is made in the stud book number, date of birth, breeder, sire or dam, the award must be cancelled. If the stud book number of a registered dog is not given, the award must be cancelled.

Should any of the above particulars be unknown to the exhibitor, it must be so stated on the entry blank, as “breeder unknown” “date of birth, breeder, sire or dam, the award must be cancelled. If the stud book number of a registered dog is not given, the award must be cancelled.

Should any of the above particulars be unknown to the exhibitor, it must be so stated on the entry blank, as “breeder unknown,” “date of birth unknown” etc. If this is not done, the award must be cancelled. It is not sufficient to have the name alone correct or the stud book number alone correct; every detail of the entry must be correct or the award must be cancelled.”

It seems so foolish to me that on account of the spelling of a dog’s name wrong that his wins should be cancelled, I move that the minority report of one be adopted.

Motion seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I wish to raise the question, for what is a committee appointed and the minority decide. What cold be the use of a committee if the majority did
not true? The majority have decided it should not be.

THE CHAIR: It has always been the custom for a member of a committee to present a dissenting report if he desires; that is customary; he has that privilege. Although the report of the committee may be made and adopted by the majority, he has the privilege of presenting a minority report. It would not be proper to rule such a report out of order, but it has not been presented yet, so far as I can see. Was it included in the report of the Committee on Rules?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

THE CHAIR: The report was accepted and placed on file, and the action on that was that the Chair would rule the adoption of the majority report in so doing, not making a note of the minority report except as a matter of record, it not having fixed its approval to that minority report. If it is the sense of the committee to reopen the matter in order to permit the minority committee to seek an approval of that report in the face of the majority report, it is for the meeting to say, and such a motion would be entertained by the Chair.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The reason I bring it up is this, that it being an amendment to the by-laws or to the rules governing show-giving clubs, it can only come from the Rules Committee with thirty days notice. Therefore it the matter did not come through the Rules Committee as
their report, it could not come up here at all to-day.

THE CHAIR: The time for you to have made your objection and requested action on your minority report was when the motion was before the meeting for the adoption of the general report of the Rules Committee. The Chair rules that so far as the action of this meeting is concerned, it has approved the majority report, and simply gave the minority report a matter of record: It is competent for this meeting to reopen the question on a motion to reconsider that vote, and that would give you an opportunity to bring this up for the consideration of the meeting.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The reason I brought it up later, after the matter was accepted, was this, that the Secretary makes his report; that report is accepted and placed on file; then every matter in that report is brought up individually afterwards and votes upon.

THE CHAIR: I should have to rule your motion out of order, and that you may make a motion for reconsideration.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I make that motion, that the report be reconsidered. Motion seconded and lost.

THE CHAIR: The report of the Rules Committee stands as adopted.

MR. EMERY: As Chairman of the Membership Committee,
I should like to have the assistance of the delegates and ask that it listen to a memorandum which I have written:

“Whereas, all credential are investigated by and reported on by the Membership Committee before said credentials can be acted upon by the delegates; and

Whereas, the Constitution fails to lay down any rule or regulation for the guidance of said Committee other than the sole provision that all credentials must be signed by the Secretary of the Club issuing same; and

Whereas, the Stud Book Committee has provided certain forms for applications for Kennel names, publishing on same, rules which must be complied with before said Committee will approve of such application; therefore be it

Resolved, that the Membership Committee be, and hereby is, authorized to prepare and publish official forms to be used as credentials by all clubs sending delegates, and that it may publish on such forms such rules for its guidance as it may deem for the best interests of this Association.”

Resolution seconded.

A call for the ayes and nays resulted in the unanimous adoption of the resolution.

MR. CARNOCHAN: In the September number of the Gazette, in the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee --- and it was on account of this matter that I insisted upon
the minutes of the last Pacific Advisory Committee’s meeting being read, is the following resolution after various preambles: “Resolved, that any person or persons acting from and after this date in any official capacity, paid or unpaid, including that of Judge, Secretary, Superintendent, Steward, Clerk of a Show, or as an officer or member of a Bench Show Committee of a club holding a show East of the 110th degree of longitude, under the rules of the Western Kennel League, be and hereby are disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.”

That was carried and it went into effect, and we see in the minutes to-day that certain members were disqualified in Seattle because they were officers, etc., of a show given by the Seattle Kennel Club. It seems to me that we certainly East of the 110th degree of longitude ought to hold up the hands of the Pacific Advisory Board and pass a resolution disqualifying all persons connected with shows of the Western Kennel League held east of the 110th degree of longitude, that any person or persons acting from and after this date in any official capacity, paid or unpaid, including that of Judge, Secretary, Superintendent, Stewart, Clerk of a Show, or as an officer or member of a Bench Show Committee of a club holding a show east of the 110th degree of longitude under the rules
of the Western Kennel League, be and hereby are disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. I have brought this matter up for this reason, that the Western Kennel League have got a foothold east of the 110\textsuperscript{th} degree of longitude, I think at Chicago, and I think that we ought to put a stop to that in the East as they are preventing it out in the West.

THE CHAIR: Would it not be simpler to have a standing rule and have it so worded as to be within the limits of the United States, because someone reading it, thinking it was East, would say it is not West. It is rather confusing.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The Pacific Advisory Board has control of all matters West of the 110\textsuperscript{th} degree; therefore we could not change it.

MR. VITI: Only subject to our constitution, I think, following my friends example in the last motion that was made; this is an amendment to the by-laws and rules. I move that the committee be instructed to frame a rule that would disqualify any one under those conditions who would show at any show in the United States of America held under the rules of this Western League.

Amendment seconded.

MR. BELMONT resigned the Chair to Mr. Bloodgood.

THE SECRETARY: The Brunswick Fur Club has changed
its title to that of Brunswick Foxhound Club, and asks us to consent to that, and to amend our records accordingly.

It was moved and seconded that he applications for change of name be granted.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: I am very sorry for my friend Mr. Codman. I have got to bring up a matter connected with his last show. It is the seconded time unfortunately. He published the premium list, and the last rule states, these rules are not to be published. He unfortunately referred to the rules governing clubs holding shows instead of rules governing shows.

MR. PETERS: I move that we overlook this oversight.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the following kennel names were adopted recommended by the Stud Book Committee:

Alpigene    F.M. Williams
Bombard     H.T. Coldwell
Bonnylee    H.E. Haupt
Clairvine   A.M. Coghlin
Conqueror   (R.G. Kross, Dr. J. Junck, H. Engleking,
             Dr. L. Tasche)
Coney Hill  Mr. & Mrs. F.A. Cadwell
Culbertson  C.P. Hubbard
Des Moines  Dr. C.L. Wright
Echo Ledge  Potter & Cushman
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eclipse</td>
<td>F.G. Mc Intosh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgecote</td>
<td>E. Attridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elm Court</td>
<td>Mrs. F. Roche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenside</td>
<td>J.W. Mc Auliffe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glimpsewood</td>
<td>W.B. Boorum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>F.H. Rogon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haxelden</td>
<td>H.L. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hockley</td>
<td>D. Plumer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holyrood</td>
<td>Robert Mc Ewen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highwood</td>
<td>W.M. Harris, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentwood</td>
<td>Drs. Frank and B.C. Frazier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lochmahen</td>
<td>J.A. Dykman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Lawn</td>
<td>R.F. Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaca</td>
<td>J.M. Reader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk Hunt Club</td>
<td>Norfolk Hunt Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H.Y. Vaughan M.F.H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutmeg</td>
<td>J.A. Banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oaklawn</td>
<td>J.M. Holb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piedmont</td>
<td>Robt. N. Hughes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomham</td>
<td>W.E. Wells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramapo</td>
<td>C.P. Holzderher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Point</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. R. Vanderbilt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca</td>
<td>W.H. Millis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadeland</td>
<td>C.D. Nairn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Blue Dragon</td>
<td>C.E. Proctor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very</td>
<td>W.F. Porter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamette</td>
<td>F.E. Watkins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TRANSFERS

Auburn
  from E.P. Woodbury & C.H. Mower to C.H. Mower

Kweenee
  " W. Demond to MRs. H.T. Humphrey

Oakmont
  " A.F. & M.L. Mount, to A.F. Mount

Smallwood
  " J.A. Caldwell, Jr. to JA. Caldwell, Jr.

T.H. Galick, J.W. Mitchell

On motion a communication from Mr. Albert G. Clark was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

MR. DALE: I have a matter which I wish to bring up, and that is in regard to dates of shows. As delegate of the Long Island Club, which I represent, we find that the Chicago Kennel Club has been assigned the same dates that we have. A year ago the Chicago Kennel Club had the same dates that the Franklin Kennel Club had. It looks as if the Chicago Kennel Club wanted to infringe and tread on some one else’s feet. I would like to make a motion that this question of dates be referred to the Committee on Rules to report at the next meeting, to see if some plan cannot be devised so that no dates will conflict. I think it is no more than right. The Kennel Clubs pay into the American Kennel Club its faith money and I think the American Kennel Club should in return give that club its faith money and I think the American Kennel Club should in return give that club its faith that it should hold those dates for that Kennel Club. I make that as a motion, that it be referred to the Rules Committee to
report at the next meeting.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I should like to make a motion that the Finance Committee be authorized at their discretion to employ a professional accountant to aid in auditing the accounts of the club. To explain my position I would like to say that the accounts have to be audited in time to publish in the January Gazette. It takes several weeks after the close of the year for the Secretary to prepare the accounts, and there is, owing to the growing business of the club, an immense amount of clerical work to do, and it can be done by some accountant as well as by some member of the Finance Committee. They do not wish to shirk any responsibility in having the report correct, but they do not feel that they can give the time to go over all the many petty cash items and audit them as they should be audited.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to second that motion. There is a mass of small accounts, small items which we have to look over, and which we must look over in order
to vouch for the correctness of the books.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Does not that do away with the Finance Committee practically? Why not cut it off?

Motion seconded. Carried.

THE SECRETARY: The clubs that are in arrears for dues for 1905, what will be done with them?

MR. VITI: I move that they be given thirty days, in which time if they do not pay, they be dropped from the roll without further action.

Motion seconded.

The Washington, Pennsylvania, Kennel Club, Millers River Kennel Club, Passaic County Fish & Game Protective Association and Dalmatian Club of America were elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, THURSDAY, MAY, 18TH, 1905.

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, Presiding

Present:

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS: W.G. Rockefeller
Dwight Moore

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB
AMERICA
William L. Barclay

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB H.H. Hunnewell

AMERICAN DACHSHUND CLUB
G. Muss-Arnolt

AMERICAN POMERANIAN CLUB
F.S. Stedman

AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB
H.K. Bloodgood

ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

BOSTON TERRIER CLUB
F.H. Osgood

BRYN MAWR KENNEL CLUB
J. Willoughby Mitchell

BULL TERRIER CLUB
Clair Foster

CANONSBURG KENNEL CLUB
Dr. C. Motschenbacher

Dalmatian Club of American
J.B. Thomas, Jr.

Duquesne Kennel Club of
Western Pennsylvania
G.M. Carnochan

Englewood Kennel Club
Myron W. Robinson

French Bull Dog Club
R.H. Hunt

GREAT DANE CLUB OF AMERICA
O.H. Albanesius
MR. HUNNEWELL: I cannot tell you how glad I am to be with you again. Circumstances over which I had no control kept me away or a great many months, but I assure you in the future I shall make every endeavor to be present at every meeting. I
want to thank all the delegates for the forbearance they have shown towards my absence, and thank them very much for the honor they conferred on me in electing me for another term, and I hope to be able to fulfill my duties to their satisfaction. The American Kennel Club is getting so big and has so much business of importance to transact, that in the future, I shall endeavor, with your help and advice, to expedite matters by conforming more to the parliamentary rule. In that way I think we can transact our business with greater dispatch and arrive at much better results.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS
Edward Brooks
DALMATIAN KENNEL CLUB
J.B. Thomas, Jr.
FRENCH BULL DOG CLUB
Richard H. Hunt
SCOTTISH TERREIR CLUB
Theodore Offerman
WISSAHICKON KENNEL CLUB
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
NEWPORT DOG SHOW
Reginald C. Vanderbilt
IRISH SETTER DOG CLUB OF AMERICA
Lawrence M.D. Mc Guire
BULL TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA
Clair Foster
MERRIMAC VALLEY KENNEL CLUB
W.N. Kimball
OAKLAND KENNEL CLUB
S.C. Mastick
The following named clubs were elected to membership

In the American Kennel Club:

Airedale Terrier Club of New York
Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association
Brockton District Kennel Club
Central Beagle Club
Franklin Kennel Club
Genessee County Agricultural Society Kennel Club
Lynn Kennel Club
Philadelphia Collie Club and
Rockland County Industrial Association

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were adopted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary’s report was then read, as follows:

New York, May 18th, 1905

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this, my regular quarterly report.

Since our last meeting I have received applications from ten clubs for admission to active membership, and credentials from fifteen club appointing dele-
Gates to represent them. These papers have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report upon same at this meeting.

I have sent to the Stud Book Committee all matters coming within its jurisdiction, including sixty applications for the registration of kennel names and six transfers of ownership of words already registered, and said Committee will submit its report for your consideration.

Our Pacific Advisory Committee has held five meetings since our annual meeting, namely, March 18th, March 27th, April 7th, April 18th, and May 10th. The minutes of the above meetings have been duly filed with this office, and it rests with this meeting whether same shall be read or ordered to be printed as part of the proceedings of this meeting, without reading.

Pursuant to the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee at its special meeting of March 27th, 1905, the minutes of which were published in our April Gazette, under authority of the delegates, said Committee found that it became necessary to disqualify one hundred and sixty (160) persons, consisting of the officials of, and the exhibitors at a show held by the Seattle Kennel Club, in April last.
There appears to have been an error at the late Chicago Kennel Club show, in the judging of the pointer bitch classes. Whether said error was the fault of the judge or of the ring steward, it will be for you to determine. I have as evidence a letter from one of the competitors, from the judge of the class, and in confirmation of his position a description of the dogs, as published in one of the sporting papers. As I do not care to assume the responsibility of changing the awards on the marked catalogue of the Chicago Show, said catalogue agreeing with the judge’s book, according to the statement made to me personally by the Secretary of the Chicago Kennel Club, I submit the matter to you for a decision.

The rules allow the different show clubs to use their own discretion in the matter of a date for the closing of entries, provided it is not fixed later than seven days preceding the opening of a show. Rule XIII says in estimating the number of prizes a dog has won, no award received by it on or after the date of closing of entries will be counted. During this spring circuit the closing of dates have so complicated matters, that I would ask an interpretation of the rule or what would be still better, the enactment of a new rule whereby such complications could not arise. In this connection I will submit
a letter from Mr. J. Sergeant Price, and also one from our MC. Mackarness who has the examination of the several catalogues in his charge.

The Committee on Constitution and Rules held its meeting April 7th last and votes to recommend certain changes in the rules as they now exist. The report having been published in the Gazette of April 30th, the recommendations of that Committee will come before you to-day for such action as may be deemed proper. In case these amendments or any of them shall be adopted at this meeting, I would request that a resolution be adopted fixing a time for the Rules as amended to go into effect, as this matter was entirely overlooked by your Committee.

I beg to report for your information only that the following cases will be submitted to the Executive Board at its first meeting:

J.F. Hynes against the Colorado Kennel Club for its failure to pay certain prizes at its late show.

Charles E. Golding, against the Frederick County Agricultural Society, same cause.

The Secretary promised to prepare a brief in this case but has failed to do so.

John C. Sullivan against Westminster Kennel Club, ap-
peal form its action on his protest.

Arthur L. Scollay against the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club, same cause.

William J. Pingston, appeal from cancellation of a winning by the A.K.C.

Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Association, against A.E. Mathews for failure to return prize money paid to him for the win of his dog, said win having been subsequently cancelled by the A.K.C.

I beg to report a bill of disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee for the period covering December 9th, 1904 to Arul 24th, 1905, amounting $36.35. I would respectfully recommend the same be ordered paid.

I would respectfully call your attention to Article 13, Section 5, page 8 of the Constitution, in which it is clearly stated that the reports of any of the Committees of this Association must be regarded as strictly confidential and that the American Kennel Club shall be the only medium by or through which said report can be made public. I must regret that it is my duty to call you attention to the fact that the matters in the report of the Committee on Rules, which were not published by the American Kennel Club, until April 30th, were made public, in one of the sporting papers, in its issue of April 15th.
The Constitution has obviously been violated, by whom, I cannot state. I have investigated the matter to the best of my ability without result, and I feel that it is due to this office that your Secretary should bring this matter to your attention.

Under date of April 3d, 1905, I received a letter signed in the name of F.B. Forman. Said letter covered a check for $3.00 for the registration of a kennel name. The same were turned over to the Stud Book Committee, the matter being within its province, and was duly returned by that Committee without action preferring that the letter should be officially brought before the delegates. During the 19 years that I have had the honor of holding my present position in the American Kennel Club, it has never been my ill fortune to receive such a scurrilous communication, and I will submit same to you, feeling that you will take proper action in the premises.

Owing to the withdrawal of Dr. Henry Jarrett as a delegate of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts, it leaves a vacancy on the Committee of Rules and Constitution, and the same should be filled at this meeting.
Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read, as follows:

New York, May 18, 1905

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report.

Balance on hand January 1, 1905 - - - - - - - - - - - - - $16,714.95

Receipts from January 1st to date - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 7,292.04

Total - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - $24,006.99

Disbursements from Jan. 1st, 1905, to date ---

6,447.02

Balance on hand - - - - - - - - - - - - - $17,559.97

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenbrugh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to nominate for the vacancy in the Rules Committee Mr. Edward Brooks.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I second the nomination.

On motion nominations declared closed, and Mr. Edward Brooks was declared elected.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as published in the Gazette.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move they be placed on file and taken up seriatum.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

May 18, 1905

The Stud Book Committee of the A.K.C. beg to submit the following report.

The following list of applications for Kennel names were received by us, and as none of these names conflict with those registered, your Committee recommends that the names be granted to the applicants.

AEGIS       Roy A. Hamlin
AJAX        W.C. Cooke and James Dunne
ARDSTY      George Porter
ARDMORE     R. Mac Kinven
BELLMORE    Mary Lester
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belper</td>
<td>Miss H. Chell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>J.H. Slack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Ribbon</td>
<td>George Gagne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnie Bourne</td>
<td>W.H. Lyndall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyne</td>
<td>William Ollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bredalbane</td>
<td>C.W.E. Clarke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackenside</td>
<td>Robert Macdonald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carluke</td>
<td>W.H. De Witt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crag</td>
<td>M.B. Stelle, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craigmore</td>
<td>W.C. Hunter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunmore</td>
<td>M.C. Darcey and A.C. Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Mundo</td>
<td>G.F. Herr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euola</td>
<td>Miss F.A. Mc Cahill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euclid</td>
<td>H.E. Jenkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfield</td>
<td>H.C. Fleitman and G.C. Riggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firenze</td>
<td>M.R. Guggenheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franciscan</td>
<td>W.P. Burnham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencarry</td>
<td>Alex. Hay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gotham</td>
<td>Thos. Grisdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapeside</td>
<td>H.K. Knetchel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haughty</td>
<td>Dorothea Keasbey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazelden</td>
<td>H.L. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsdale</td>
<td>Connie Fennema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston</td>
<td>W.C.C. Johnston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estate</td>
<td>Owners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROOKWOOD</td>
<td>Miss Julia Chadwick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KASCIMO</td>
<td>Gus Moser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KING AND QUEEN</td>
<td>D.W. Wynkoop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KINGCOTE</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. T. W. King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KULSHAN</td>
<td>R.G. Gamwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMERICK</td>
<td>Henry Rowland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCO POLO</td>
<td>Geo. Bardes and F. Kramschuster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILL ROCK</td>
<td>Wm. B. Day, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORWALD</td>
<td>H.A. Waldron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATHENS</td>
<td>J.W. Matthews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON-A-WAY</td>
<td>C.W. Cole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEACHTREE</td>
<td>Robert N. Hughes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREAKNESS</td>
<td>Robert K. Giffen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAU IDEAL</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. H.S. Fisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENWAR</td>
<td>W.H. Beals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHMOND</td>
<td>J.M. Seager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCKWELL</td>
<td>George Duncan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSHTON</td>
<td>George W. Bunnell, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENN SENN</td>
<td>Mrs. F. Senn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHREWSBURY</td>
<td>E.E. Backus and F.L. Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIERRA</td>
<td>E.M. Tibb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SILVER LAKE</td>
<td>P.B. &amp; A.A. Hadley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARTAN</td>
<td>H.L. Edney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST. CLARE</td>
<td>H.M. Draddy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following applications for the transfer of Kennel names registered have been received, and as these applications are in order, we recommend that the transfers be made, and the records so changed.

TRANSFERS

CLIPDALE from R.V. Buckley & D. Buckley to Daniel Buckley
CLONMEL “ Jos. A. Laurin to Mrs. G.W. Bunnell, Jr.
Piedmont “ R. N. Hughes to Mrs. S.E. Taylor
REVERE “ Tom B. Middlebrooke to Miss Ellen Johnson
ROSECROFT “ C.F. Neilson & H.R. Baldwin to C. F. Neilson and A.M. Sherwood
SADDLE RIVER “ J.E. De Mund & L.M. Nichols to L.M. Nichols

The following cases have been placed with us for investigation, and we report as follows:
In the matter of the Bulldog

BRAEMAR ROWENA:

Braemar Rowena was entered in the Third Annual show of the Ladies' K.A. of Am. In the puppy clas, and application was made for her registration in the A.K.C. Stud Book. In both instances her date of birth was given as November 10, 1902.

Mr. J.M. Seager, a competitor at said show filed a protest upon the ground that the date of birth was erroneous, it being impossible to have been whelped upon that date as her dam was bred on August 21, 1902.

Your committee communicated with Mr. Luke Crabtree, who was the owner, of Rolyat (the Sire) at the time of the mating, and he replied to our inquiries as follows: “I once owned a bull bitch names Lady Beatrice, the same was served by Rolyat on the 21st and 22nd, August, 1902, and I sold her to Mr. R. Lobban of New Jersey. I received information afterwards that Lady Beatrice had four puppies to Rolyat.

Trusting the information is what you require,

Yours very truly,

Luke Crabtree.”

Your Committee is of the opinion that Mr. Crabtree’s statements as to the date of service should be accepted,
nothing to the contrary being alleged.

This committee cannot find any cases recorded where the period of gestation has extended 80 days, but Mr. Lobhan furnished us with a statement from his veterinarian as follows:

“Newark, N.J. May 13, 1905

Mr. Marcel A. Viti

Dear Sir:

Mr. R. Loban has shown me a letter from you of date May 11, in which you ask him to have his veterinarian make affidavit of the whelping of Breamar Rowena. It would be impossible for me to take affidavit as to Breamar Rowena as of course they were not named then. But I will take affidavit that I was in attendance of his birch and I have no doubt that the pup (Breamar Rowena) was one of the pups whelped; by my call book it was November 10/02.

Hoping that I have answered everything to your satisfaction,

I remain,

Yours,

Dr. E.D. Bachman”

We are of the opinion, however, that the veterinary is laboring under a mistake and therefore recommend
that the winnings be cancelled and the matter be referred to the Executive Board to investigate whether or not any fraud has been committed.

We have submitted our findings upon the assumption that he eighty day period of gestation is impossible, but if it can be demonstrated that such is not the case, we would recommend that the matter be referred back to this committee.

In the matter of the complaint of Jas. L. Little against B.F. Crout:

Mr. Little’s complaint is that Mr. B.F. Crout registered a Cocker Spaniel under the name of Toots C, giving the dam in the application for registration as Newcastle Hurrah. The fact being that Toots C was whelped before the registration of Newcastle Hurrah, her dam, which up to that time was not registered was known as Hurrah.

It is the opinion of your committee that the spaniel Toots C was properly registered giving the dam as Newcastle Hurrah, that being the only recorded name by which the dam was ever known.

In the matter of the Fox Terrier “Tamanend Bard Wire”:
This dog was exhibited at many shows and registered
by Mr. Earl B. Douglas as sired by Meersbrook Ned from Smallwood Vivacious. At the end of a show this dog was identified by Mr. Chas. W. Keyes as having been bred by him by “Meersbrook Bristles from Myosotis” and sold by him to Jos. Duckworth of Allentown, Pa. Mr. Douglas informed this committee that he had purchased the said dog from Mr. Duckworth who subsequently admitted to him that the pedigree furnished at the time of the sale was an improbably one. Mr. Douglas wanted a dog from “Smallwood Vivacious” which Mr. Duckworth had owned and the latter knowing this had substituted the dog purchased from Mr. Keyes for the other one which had died.

Your committee recommend that all prizes won by “Tamanend Bard Wire” together with his registration be cancelled and that said Jos. Duckworth be requested to appear before the Executive Board to show cause why he should not be disqualified for fraudulent conduct in connection with dogs.

In the matter of the Cocker Spaniel “Skippie”:

This dog was exhibited at the last Westminster Kennel Club show by Mr. H.D. Ogden, the particulars given of the entry give the sire as “Annandale Riddle” and dam as “Annadale Regina,” this entry was protested by Mr.
Moses Taylor, the owner of the Annadale Kennels, who stated in his affidavit that “Annandale Riddle” the sire given in the entry, was never bred to any bitch. Mr. Ogden in his defense states that he purchased Skippie from a Mr. T.K. Kelly, who got her from Archibald Lawson, the brother of the manager of the Annandale Kennels, and that he had every reason to believe that the pedigree was correct. Mr. Taylor has stated to your committee that he bred “Annandale Regina” to a dog called “Annandale Rye,” but as he was not pleased with the appearance of the puppies he ordered all of them to be destroyed, which he thought had been horned, but it was quite possible that one of the puppies had been saved and might have passed into the hands of Mr. Ogden.

Your Committee is of the opinion from the evidence submitted that the registration of the dog “Skippie” should be corrected, by the substitution of “Annandale Rye” as the sire, in place of “Annandale Riddle” and that the winnings made by “Skippie” prior to this date should be cancelled.

Your committee finds that there is no intention on the part of any one to defraud in this matter.

In the matter of the Irish Setter, “Red”.

This dog was registered by Howard N. Casell, the
particulars were stated to be: Breeders, L. and S.A. Contoit; Sire, “Connaught” and Dam “Reddy”. Mr. Louis Contoit protests this registration upon the ground that he owned both “Connaught” and “Reddy”. That “Reddy” only had one litter to “Connaught” in which there were only three dogs, Ch. “St. Cloud III “75666, Dermond” 65023 and St. Cloud’s Timothy, “69144. That “Connaught: and “Reddy” were owned by Mr. Contoit up to the time of their deaths. In his application for registration Mr. Cassell stated that he purchased the dog from Mr. C.T. Colehower who furnished him with pedigree as stated.

Your committee communicated with Mr. Colehower who informed them that “Red” was brought to his kennels by Mr. Wm. Wood, now of Chews Landing, N.J., in exchange for a beagle dog from “Lady Dorothy,” the pedigree was given to him by his father.

Your committee therefore communicated with Mr. Wm. Wood but have heard nothing from him as yet.

Your committee is of the opinion that the stated pedigree is erroneous and that the registration should be cancelled together with any wins that “Red” may have made. We recommend that the Executive Board require Messrs. Colehower and Wood to show cause why action should not be taken against them for any misconduct in connection
with this transaction.

In the matter of English Setter, “Rumney Rocket”.

Application for the registration of this dog was made by J.H. Brighbill, who stated that the name and address of the breeder was Mr. J.D. Wood, Wilkes Barre, Pa., this registration is protested by Mr. Wood upon the ground that the animal registered is not bred as stated.

Mr. Wood states that Mr. Brightbill had a litter of puppies on Sept. 12, 1903, on the same date that Mr. Wood’s “Mapel's Queen B” whelped to Ch. Rumney Racket. That he loaned to Mr. Brightbill a puppy from the “Racket Queen B” litter to suckle Mr. Brightbill’s bitch, that subsequently Mr. Brightbill wrote to Mr. Wood that his (Brightbill's) puppy had died; but a Mr. W.S. Kern in an affidavit filed, states that Brightbill acknowledged that it was Wood's dog that died and was carried away by the ashman. Your committee has had no explanation from Mr. Brightbill.

From the above facts your committee is convinced that the pedigree is fraudulent and should be cancelled and that the Executive Board should take cognizance of the matter.

In the matter of the registration of the Collie “Mason Clinker”: 
Application was made for this registration by Stephen Dunn who stated on the application blank that the dog was sired by “Wishaw Clinker” from “Lady Hutton.” Mr. F. Poth was given as the breeder and Geo. Frank as the seller, the date of birth as December 12, 1902.

Mr. J. S. Walters filed a complaint upon the ground that the breeding could not be as stated and that “Wishaw Clinker” was in Scotland in 1902.

Mr. Fred Poth, the owner of “Lady Hutton” states that he had never bred any stock to “Wishaw Clinker” or has been out of his kennels without his knowledge. Mr. Dunn states that the pedigree in question was furnished him by the seller, and that in registering the pedigree he merely copies it. Mr. Geo. Frank states that he bought the dog from a coachman who gave him the pedigree, that he found out it was not right and so notified Mr. Dunn.

Your committee is of the opinion that the pedigree is not correctly stated, that the registration should be cancelled and the dog disqualified. We are of the opinion, however, that the matter should be investigated and would recommend that the Executive Board inquire into the motive and as to who is the guilty party.

In the matter of the application for the recogni-
Tion of Porto Rican Spaniels:

Your committee has considered the description as well as the illustrations and we are of the opinion that it has not yet been established that there are any distinct or recognized breeds of dogs on the island of Porto Rico.

Regarding the division of breeds into sporting and non-sporting divisions, your committee is of the opinion that if they are to be so divided it would recommend that they be allotted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPORTING-</th>
<th>NON-SPORTING-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake Bay</td>
<td>French Bull Dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodhounds</td>
<td>Bull Dogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otterhounds</td>
<td>Mastiffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxhounds</td>
<td>Great Danes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriers</td>
<td>Newfoundlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>St. Bernards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basset Hounds</td>
<td>Collies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dachshunds</td>
<td>Old English Sheep Dogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greyhounds</td>
<td>Dalmatians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deerhounds</td>
<td>Poodles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Wolfhounds</td>
<td>Bull Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Wolfhounds</td>
<td>Black &amp; Tan Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setters</td>
<td>Toy Spaniels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breed</td>
<td>Breed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrievers</td>
<td>Japanese Spaniels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Irish Water</td>
<td>Pekingese Spaniels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Water, other than Irish</td>
<td>Yorkshire Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Clumber</td>
<td>Maltese Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Sussex</td>
<td>Italian Greyhounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Field</td>
<td>Chow Chow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Cocker</td>
<td>Pomeranians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointers</td>
<td>Pugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Terriers</td>
<td>Schipperkes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Terriers</td>
<td>Griffons Bruzellois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Terriers</td>
<td>Boston Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh Terriers</td>
<td>Chihuahuas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandie Dinmont Terriers</td>
<td>Foreign Dogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skye Terriers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airedale Terriers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedlington Terriers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whippets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your committee desires the Association to consider the advisability of dividing the breeds into three sections as follows: Sporting, Non-Sporting and Terriers, believing that such a division might facilitate the judging of special prizes which is the cause of this matter having been referred to the Committee.

It would make fewer and more equal contestants
and could not in any way affect the standing of a breed as no matter how they may be divided for such show purposes their characters would remain the same.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPORTING</th>
<th>NON-SPORTING</th>
<th>TERRIERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bloodhounds</td>
<td>French Bull Dogs</td>
<td>Airedale Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otterhounds</td>
<td>Bull Dogs</td>
<td>Bedlington Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxhounds</td>
<td>Mastiffs</td>
<td>Black &amp; Tan Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriers</td>
<td>Great Dane</td>
<td>Bull Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beagles</td>
<td>Newfoundlands</td>
<td>Boston Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassett Hounds</td>
<td>St. Bernards</td>
<td>Dandie Dinmont Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dachshunds</td>
<td>Collies</td>
<td>Fox Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greyhounds</td>
<td>Old English Sheep Dogs</td>
<td>Irish Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deerhounds</td>
<td>Dalmatians</td>
<td>Scottish Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Wolfhounds</td>
<td>Poodles</td>
<td>Skye Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Wolfhounds</td>
<td>Toy Spaniels, King Charles</td>
<td>Toy Spaniels, Blenheim Welsh Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whippets</td>
<td>Toy Spaniels, Ruby</td>
<td>Yorkshire Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointers</td>
<td>Toy Spaniels, Tribolor</td>
<td>Toy Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setters</td>
<td>Pekingese Spaniels</td>
<td>White English Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrievers</td>
<td>Spaniels, Irish Water</td>
<td>Japanese Spaniels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels, Irish Water other</td>
<td>Than Irish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Clumber</td>
<td>Italian Greyhounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Sussex</td>
<td>Chow Chows</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniels Cocker</td>
<td>Griffons Bruzellois</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake Bay</td>
<td>Pomeranians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foreign Dogs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pugs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schipperkes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the prefixes and the transfer of kennel names be granted and that the recommendations contained in such report be taken up later under the head of General Business.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I am in receipt of four sets of minutes form the Pacific Advisory Committee, dated respectfully March 18th, March 27th, April 7th and April 18th, with 160 names of disqualified persons. The question is whether you want them read here or whether you want then read here or whether you will simply order them spread upon the minutes.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that they be spread upon the minutes.

Motion seconded and carried.
MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C.
Held at the office of the Chairman, Mills Building,
San Francisco, March 18, 1905
Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman; C.K. Harley, Dr. W.P. Burnham, J.P. Norman.

On motion the minutes were adopted as published in the American Kennel Gazette.

The mail vote of the Committee, electing the Portland Kennel Club to membership in the American Kennel Club was confirmed.

On motion to take up unfinished business, the papers relating the negotiations with the Secretary of the Western Kennel League, Mr. N.J. Stewart, were called up. On resolution, the Committee decided that no further steps would be taken in the negotiations, and the papers were ordered passed to file.

On motion it was resolved that the Clubs of the Coat were advised by this Committee of the desirability of keeping in touch with their respective delegates, so that the latter may be kept informed of the legislation needed, and the Secretary was instructed to convey this resolution to the Pacific Coast Clubs.

On motion, it was resolved, that the Clubs of the Coast, members of the American Kennel Club, be called upon to express to this Committee their individual opinion
as to the advisability of putting into force the concessions granted to the negotiator for the Western Kennel League, Mr. Norman J. Stewart, and to express what if any, other or alternative changes they would desire in the present management of Pacific Coast Kennel affairs.

Mr. W.E. Chute, Secretary of the Oakland Kennel Club, in a letter dated January 20th, 1905, advises that he is responsible for the error in entering Mr. Papst’s Pointer, Mason’s King, as “Topnotch Mason’s King” and that the error at the San Mateo show was copied from his error at the Oakland show. The writer thought that consequently this committee should intervene to have the cancellation of the dog’s win reversed. After some discussion, it was resolved, that the case is covered by the rule that the exhibitor is compelled to abide by his own errors, and that the Secretary of the Oakland Club made out the entry blank as the exhibitor’s agent; the committee must therefore decline to interfere in the matter.

On the application of Frank J. Moore for re-instatement:

It was moved and seconded, that whereas the applicant is certified by the Portland Kennel Club to be a member in good standing, and whereas he states that the Salem Kennel Club, which held a show in December last under
rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, has since disbanded, and applies for re-instatement on the ground of belonging to a member of the application of the said Frank J. Moore for himself and associates is endorsed by the Portland Kennel Club, therefore be it 

Resolved, that the officials and clerks of the Salem Kennel Club, including Frank Turner of Victoria, who acted as Judge of the show, were disqualified by reason of having held a show under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, but that said officials and employees are reinstated except that such re-instatement does not apply to the judge of said show, Frank Turner of Victoria, British Columbia, who is and remains disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.

On the application of A.B. Jackson for re-instatement:

It was moved and seconded that whereas the applicant was Secretary of the Spokane Kennel Club, which held a show under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, after the resignation of the President of said Club to avoid disqualification, this club committee does not deem it advisable to grant such application, unless accompanied by the guarantee of allegiance to the American
Kennel Club, such as would be afforded by membership in a club a member of the American Kennel Club, or the application of the Spokane Kennel Club for admission to the American Kennel Club and of its officers, for re-instatement to good standing therein. Carried.

Re the amendment of Mr. Viti to the motion of Mr. Carnochan to disqualify all exhibitors at shows held under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, held anywhere in the United States, the amendment and motion having been referred to the Rules Committee at the annual meeting of the A.K.C.

It was moved and seconded, that the letter written by the Secretary of this Committee by direction of the Chairman be confirmed; that the object of this resolution having been stated by Mr. Carnochan to the upholding of the hands of this Committee, and the Secretary of this Committee having written as noted to the Chairman of Rules Committee, that any disqualification of the exhibitors at any show would not meet with the support of public opinion on the Coast, this Committee deems it within its deference, that any extension of the disqualification clause passed by this Committee on July 15th lat, be made applicable only to officials, judges and employees at
shows held under other than A.K.C. rules. Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary

--------

SPECIAL MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, MARCH 27, 1905

Held at office of the Chairman, Mills Building, S.F.

Present: de Ruyter, (Chairman), Burnham, Carlton, Harley, Norman

The reading of the minutes was postponed to the next regular meeting.
Communications were read as follows:

From the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, relating to negotiations with the Seattle Kennel Club.

Copies of letters between the President of the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association and the President of the Seattle Kennel Club.

Published interviews with the officials of the Seattle Kennel Club.

The Chair stated that the meeting was called for the purpose of considering what steps, if any, should be taken to protect the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association from the publicly announced intention of the Seattle Kennel Club
to hold a show at such time and place as would be likely to be most detrimental to the show of the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, a member of the American Kennel Club.

After some discussion, in which all the members of the Committee participated:

It was moved and seconded, That whereas the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, a member of the American Kennel Club, has since January last, announced its intention of holding a show in the month of April under the rules and auspices of the American Kennel Club, and

WHEREAS, the Seattle Kennel Club has made overtures of consolidation to the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, which the latter has found impossible of acceptance, and

WHEREAS, the Seattle Kennel Club through its President has publicly announced its intention of injuring the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association by holding a show under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club during the week preceding the show to be held by the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, and

WHEREAS, the holding of such show and the encouragement thereof would be conduct detrimental to the best interests of dogs and dog shows, and

WHEREAS, this Committee deems it to be its paramount
duty to protect such members of the American Kennel Club as are under its immediate jurisdiction from the prejudicial results of such action. Therefore, be it resolved, that the officials, paid and unpaid, of any show held west of the 110\textsuperscript{th} degree of longitude under other than the rules of the American Kennel Club, be disqualified as provided in the resolution of this Committee passed in July last, and be it further.

RESOLVED, that all exhibitors at any show held during the month of April in Seattle under rules other than those of the American Kennel be and hereby are disqualified and that the officials and members of any club holding such show be and hereby are disqualified and be it further resolved, that the present officials and members of the Seattle Kennel Club be and hereby are disqualified in the event of any show being held.

Carried unanimously.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned subject to the call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman

Secretary
SPECIAL MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
Held April 7\textsuperscript{th}, 1905 at office of Chairman

Present: de Ruyter (Chairman), Carlton, Burnham, Norman,
Absent: Harley

On motion, the minutes of the meeting of March 18\textsuperscript{th} were called up, read and approved.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The Chair stated that the object of the present meeting was to consider applications for re-instatement to good standing from person disqualified for holding shows in the United States west of the 110\textsuperscript{th} degree of longitude under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary read the application of A.B. Jackson of Spokane. It appearing from the facts set forth to the Committee, in conjunction with this application, that Mr. Jackson had complied with the conditions laid down by this Committee at the time of his prior application, it was:

Moved and seconded, that A.B. Jackson be and hereby is re-instated to good standing in the American Kennel Club, and restored to the privileges thereof. Carried.

The Secretary read the application of Claude D. Bond of Spokane. It appearing from the facts set forth with
this application, that the applicant had complied with all requirements of this Committee, and with the rules of the American Kennel Club, it was Moved and seconded, that Claude D. Bond be and hereby is re-instated to good standing in the American Kennel Club, and restored to the privileges thereof. Carried.

The Secretary read the application of John M. Bunn of Spokane. It appearing from the facts set forth with this application, that the applicant had complied with the requirements of this Committee and with the Rules of the American Kennel Club, it was

Moved and seconded, that John M Bunn be and hereby is re-instated to good standing in the American Kennel Club, and restored to the privileges thereof. Carried.

The Secretary read the application of the Spokane Kennel Club, Inc. for admission to the American Kennel Club. The club having filed its constitution and list of officers in duplicate, and the same conforming to the rules of the American Kennel Club, it was

Moved and seconded that the Spokane Kennel Club be and hereby is elected to membership in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that the mail vote of this Committee electing the Southwestern Kennel Club to member-
ship in the American Kennel Club be and hereby is confirmed. Carried.

There being no other business before the meeting, the same was adjourned.

Attest

J.P. Norman
Secretary

-----

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held April 18th, 1905, at office of Chairman

Present: De Ruyter (Chairman), Carlton, Burnham, Norman,
Absent: Harley

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

Communications:

From the Secretary of the Pacific St. Bernard Club, alleging that D.J. Sinclair stated to the Secretary of the P. St. B. Club that Chas. Newman had requested Mr. Olney of Pleasanton to allow the said Newman to exhibit Mr. Olney’s St. Bernard, Teddy Roosevelt, as his own; that he, Newman, had everything “fixed” and was bound to win.

On motion the letter was ordered filed, pending the presentation of definite charges, supported by the necessary affidavits.

In reference to the concessions made to Mr. Norman J. Stewart, during the negotiations for
the acceptance of certain clubs into the American Kennel Club.

From the Seattle Kennel Club, strongly deprecating the granting of any concessions, and expressing unqualified satisfaction with the present management of Pacific Coast Kennel affairs, and with the methods thereof.

From the Portland Kennel Club, expressing identical sentiments:

From the San Mateo Kennel Club, expressing opinions coincidental with the foregoing.

From the Oakland Kennel Club to the same effect, and criticizing strongly the policy of granting such concessions;

From the President and Vice-President of the San Francisco Kennel Club, verbally and in writing, disclaiming any wish to put such concessions into force.

It was moved and seconded, that whereas the clubs in the jurisdiction of this Committee, who were members of the American Kennel Club at the time, that the said concession were made, have unanimously and unequivocally expressed their desire that the said concessions be not granted and that the management of Pacific Coast kennel affairs remain in statu quo, therefore be it

Resolved, that the concessions offered to Norman J.
Stewart, not having been accepted by the Clubs of the Western Kennel League, be and hereby are, at the unanimous request of the Clubs, members of the American Kennel Club, revoked and cancelled. Carried.

The official catalogue of the Dog Show of the Seattle Kennel Club being laid before the Committee, showing that the said club had held a show in Seattle on April 12, 13, 14 and 15, 1905, under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, in contravention of the resolution of this Committee passed March 27, 1905, it was moved and seconded that the individuals whose names appear in said catalogue be and hereby are disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club, according to the resolution in such case made and provided, and that the list of names hereto attached be the list of persons so disqualified and constitute an integral part of these records. Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned subject to call of the Chair.

Attest:

J.P. Norman, Secretary

-----
MR. CARNOCHAN: As Chairman of the Committee on Express Rates, which committees has not made a report since it was appointed, I would like to report the following letter:

“Dear Sir:

“In effect September 1, 1904, rate on dogs in crates or kennels by express is one and one-half merchandise rates.”

Signed by the agent of the American Express Company.
I think this is one step in the right direction and is very much better and simpler than the very complicated system of rates which was in effect before September 1, 1904.

THE CHAIR: As I understand, this committee is still in existence and doing more work?

MR. CARNOCHAN: If the delegates choose to keep us on. We are endeavoring to obtain a single flat rate.

THE CHAIR: If there is no objection the report will be accepted and the committee continued in existence.

GENERAL BUSINESS

The Secretary: I call attention to an apparent error at the late Chicago Kennel Club show in the judging of the pointer bitch classes, and I submit the correspondence in connection with the matter.

THE CHAIR: I think this is a matter that should be referred to the Executive Board.

Moved and seconded that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.
Carried.

THE SECRETARY: In the matter of allowing show clubs to use their discretion in the matter of a date for the closing of entries, provided it is not fixed
later than seven days preceding the opening of a show, I would ask the American Kennel Club either to make a ruling or to refer the matter to the Rules Committee so that a new rule may be recommended that will do away with the present complication.

The Secretary read a letter from J. Sergeant Price Jr. in reference to the entry of S.P. Martin's Irish Terrier, “Edgecomb Ben.” At the Buffalo Show, the win of said dog having been cancelled by the A.K.C. winning 1<sup>st</sup> novice, at Pittsburg Show, before the closing of the entries for Buffalo.

MR. VAN SCHAICK: I move that this award shall stand. The Dog was entered in good faith, and was entered before the award in the novice class was made. I think that award should stand.

Motion seconded.

MR. MORTIMER: Rule 13 seems to be perfectly clear in this matter. I do not see how it can be misunderstood in any way at all.

THE CHAIR: This is a very important matter. It seems to me it would be a very proper subject to refer to the Rules Committee. If it is a question of the rules why not have the Rules Committee decide it, and if they consider that under the rules this award should stand, we should act on their advice, or we could make a change in the rules in that regard if the Rules Committee so decided.

MR. CARNOCHAN: The rule is so perfectly plain that if, as stated, the Irish Terriers were judged on the second day of the Pittsburg Show, that win at
Buffalo must stand according to the rule. Therefore I would like to offer an amendment to the motion, that all wins at the Buffalo show that were disqualified in any class owning to the belief that all dogs were judged at Pittsburg on the first day be allowed to stand, and that the Rules Committee be asked to frame a rule on this subject.

Motion as amended carried.

MR. VITI: I move that the rules shall be altered so as to read that in case of the date of closing of entries falling within the dates of a previous show, the awards at that show shall not be counted.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill of disbursements reported by the Pacific Advisory Committee, amounting to $36.35, was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: I now read the most remarkable letter I have ever received.

(Letter read).

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the matter be referred to the Executive Board for action, the Secretary preferring charges against this man.

MR. VITI: I move as an amendment that the equivalent of the check for $3 be returned with that
Notice.

Motion as amended carried.

After a discussion lasting four hours, the report of the Rules Committee was adopted, amended or rejected as follows:

A dog is eligible for registration in the Stud Book under the following conditions:

1. American bred dogs whelped after Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1906, must have registered parents. Lost.

2. Foreign bred dogs must have a pedigree of at least three generations, certified to by the Kennel Club of the country from which they are imported. Lost.

3. Dogs not eligible under Section I and II, must have won at least three first prizes in limit or open classes of their breed, under at least three different judges at recognized shows. Lost.

4. Dogs whelped prior to Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1906, may be registered at any time under the rules in force up to that date. Lost.
NEW BY-LAWS

XVII. Any person or person acting in any official capacity paid or unpaid, including that of Judge, Secretary, Superintendent, steward, clerk of a show, or as an officer or member of a Bench Show Committee of any club, holding a show in the United States of America, under any rule antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, shall be disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

NEW BY-LAWS

With the exception of routine business no matters shall be brought up for discussion at any meeting, unless due notice has been given to the Secretary of the A.K.C. and by him sent to each delegate at least twenty days prior to the said meeting or unless a majority of the delegates present at a meeting consent to the consideration of a new subject. Carried.

AMENDMENTS TO THE RULES

Rule XII. Class No. 1

Add a new paragraph. Puppy classes must be judged not later than the second day of the show. Carried.

Class No. 2.

Substitute the following: The novice class shall be for American bred dogs only, never having won a first
prize at any recognized show, wins in the puppy classes excepted. Carried.

Class No. 5.

Add after the word “class” in the 12th line. – the words “under three different judges, excepting however a 5 point shows when two wins under different judges will be sufficient.” Carried.

Paragraph No. 7.

Add new paragraph. “Shows that do not give money prizes in the regular classes, shall be rated at one half the schedule of points as designated above.” This does not apply to shows held west of the 110th degree of longitude. Lost.

Rule IV.

Add the following words: “Except in the case of technical errors where fraud is not shown, in which case the Secretary shall impose a fine of $2.00 for each entry.”

Substitute for the present Rule XXIV, The Superintendent of any show cannot exhibit or officiate as a judge at that show. Carried.

Page XXI, Rule XVI. Section 4. Add the words “for each entry.” Carried.

Same Rule, add new section. For all errors in the entry forms at shows where fraud is not shown -- $2.00 for each entry. Carried.
that between the dates of Dec. 1st and Dec. 31st of each year, nominations for the officers of President and Vice-President, and the Chairman of each standing committee of this Association, may be filed with the Secretary of this Association by any delegate whose club is in good standing, and the said Secretary must publish said nominations for the above named offices in the Jan. issue of the American Kennel Gazette of each year. And at each succeeding annual meeting only such names as may have been nominated and whose nominations have been published in the preceding Jan. issue of the American Kennel Gazette, in the manner above set forth, can be nominated and no other nomination shall be permitted nor can any candidates other than such as have been announced be nominated.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the Rules Committee be instructed to report this matter back to the annual
meeting as an amendment to the Constitution of the Club.

MR. VITI: I move as an amendment that it be now adopted as a by-law of this Association.

Motion as amended carried.

It was moved and seconded that the Rules go into effect August 1, 1905.

Carried.

THE CHAIR: There are still some matters connected with the report of the Stud Book Committee to be acted upon.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the cases therein mentioned be referred to the Executive Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MORTIMER: I notice that the cocker spaniels and field spaniels are all registered together with no division, although they are a distinct and different breed.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the Stud Book Committee be instructed to report this matter of cocker and field spaniels back at the next meeting of this club.

Motion seconded and carried.

It was moved and seconded that the recommendation of the Stud Book Committee as to classification of dogs as sporting, non-sporting and terriers be adopted.

Carried.

The meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Held at its offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, New York City
Thursday, September 21st, 1905.
Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, Presiding

PRESENT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSOCIATE MEMEBRS</th>
<th>Dwight Moore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W.P. Earle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB</td>
<td>J.H. Brookfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB</td>
<td>H.H. Hunnewell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN DACHSHUND CLUB</td>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>J. Sergeant Price, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULL TERRIER CLUB</td>
<td>Clair Foster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGLEWOOD KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Myron W. Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRISH SETTER CLUB</td>
<td>L.M. D. Mc Guire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAPANESE SPANIEL CLUB</td>
<td>William J. Berg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Joseph M. Dale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>C.F.R. Drake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ENGLAND COLLIE CLUB</td>
<td>T.B. Middlebrooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>W.B. Emery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAKLAND KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>S.C. Mastick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPANIEL BREDERS’ SOCIETY</td>
<td>Marcel A. Viti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA</td>
<td>B.S. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILADELPHIA POINTER CLUB</td>
<td>Osgood Sayen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>A.D. Gillette</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Harry S. Spackman  Interstate Fair Kennel Club
Abram D. Gillette  Champlain Kennel Club
Clifford Drake  Stockton Kennel Club
J.L. Lockhart  Washington Kennel Club
S. Boyd Carrigan  Philadelphia Collie Club
A.M. Orr  Miami Valley Kennel Club
Arthur Letts  Southwestern Kennel Club
John W. Britton, II  Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association
C.K. Harley  Pacific Sheep Dog Club
Richard Crocker, Jr.  Colorado Kennel Club
Monson Morris  Rockland County Industrial Association
W.T. Payne  Franklin Kennel Club

THE SECRETARY:  Credentials have been filed from the Spokane Kennel Club, nominating Alexander H. Rutherford; Bryn Mawr Kennel Club, nominating Mr. Henry D. Riley; Keystone Beagle Club, nominating Mr. Wilson Barnard; Cedarhurst Kennel Club, nominating Mr. John G. Bates; Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Association, nominating Mr. William E. Stone; Passaic County Kennel Club, nominating Mr. Albert Ulrich.  These credentials are marked by the com-
mittee “not approved.” The Passaic County Kennel Club’s nominee is the Secretary of the club. The Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Association’s nominees is the clerk of the club, which, I suppose, is the same as the secretary. The Cedarhurst Kennel Club’s nominee is the secretary of the club. The Keystone Beagle Club’s nominee is the secretary of the club. The Bryn Mawr Kennel Club’s nominee is the secretary of the club. The Spokane Kennel Club’s nominee is either an active or honorary member of that club, and upon those grounds the committee disapproved of their acceptance.

MR. MASTICK: I move that the Secretary include in his notification to these clubs whose credentials have been disapproved by the membership committee the reason therefore as stated at this meeting.

MR. VITI: I move that the minutes of the last meeting be accepted as published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this, my regular
quarterly report.

Since our last meeting there has been an addition to our roll of active members of nine clubs as follows:

Through the Pacific Advisory Committee:
- PACIFIC SHEEPDOG CLUB
- STOCKTON KENNEL CLUB
- ST. CLARA COUNTY KENNEL CLUB
- SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB
- DESERT AGRICULTURAL & MANUFACTURING SOCIETY

By the Executive Board:
- Interstate Fair Kennel Club
- Miami Valley Kennel Club
- Westchester County Fair Kennel Club
- Wolverine Kennel Club

With these additions our roll of active members numbers ninety-nine clubs, all in good standing, and is the high water mark since the organization of this Association. Three applications for membership are now pending, and twenty-one clubs have filed credentials, both of which will be duly reported by your Membership Committee.

Twenty-eight applications for the registration of Kennel names have been submitted to the Stud Bok Committee, and its report will be presented for your action.
The Passaic County Fish and Game Protective Society has tendered its resignation as an active member. This club being in good standing I would recommend the acceptance of this resignation.

It also requests that its date deposit now in our hands be transferred to the Passaic County Kennel Club, provided same shall be elected at this meeting, and whose application will be reported upon by the Membership Committee.

The Rhode Island Kennel Club and the Texas Kennel Club both claimed dates and made the necessary deposit for shows to be held during this year. For good and sufficient reason these clubs will be unable to hold the shows contemplated, and respectfully requests that their deposits be transferred to cover shows to be held in 1906. As precedents exist where such requests have been granted, I respectfully recommend that the two requests referred to above, be granted.

The Collie Club of America has withdrawn Mr. Edwin J. Van Schaick, as its delegates, and I would request permission to remove his name as such delegate.

I beg to report the receipt of a bill for disbursements from the Pacific Advisory Committee, covering expenses from May 10th to August 31st, amounting to $31.25.
This committee has a balance to its credit on account of deposits made with it by this Association, of $13.65, I would therefore recommend that the balance now due said Advisory Committee of $17.60 be ordered paid. Our Pacific Advisory Committee has held three meetings since the last report, namely, June 21, August 2, and September 6th, and minutes of the same have been filed with this office. It rests with this meeting whether said minutes shall be read or ordered printed as a part of the proceedings of this meeting without reading.

The Lynn Kennel Club has filed a notice that it has suspended J. Mc Guinness of Everett, Mass. for removing his dog from its show, without authority, and against the express direction of said club. It is alleged that a notice was sent to Mc Guinness, notifying him of time and place where a meeting of the Bench Show Committee would be held to consider his conduct, and giving him due opportunity to appear before said Committee in his own defense. Failing to take advantage of this opportunity he was duly suspended under the provision of the A.K.C. Rule. I would report that under our rules this suspension must either be removed at this meeting, or the penalty of disqualification must be imposed against the said Mc Guinness.
I beg to report for your information that the following cases will be submitted to the Executive Board, at its first meeting:

John G. Howland vs. Charles B. Pineo, misconduct in connection with dogs.
Adolphy Stucky vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer, misconduct in connection with dogs.
J.W. Brauer vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer, misconduct in connection with dogs.
C.G. Fowler vs. Franklin Kennel Club, misconduct in connection with dog shows.
Myron W. Robinson vs. Lynn Kennel Club, Appeal from action of superintendent of said club.

No doubt the delegates will recall that at the annual meeting the members were so numerous that there was not sufficient space in our meeting room to accommodate them. Several men obliged to occupy chairs in both the Secretary’s office and the registration office, and I am informed that a few of the members retired for want of room. As above reported, our membership at present is very large, and likely to be increased at this meeting by at least twenty additional delegates, and I therefore believe that the need of a larger meeting room is a subject that should be thoroughly discussed, and I trust that this matter will receive due consideration at your hands.
Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,

Sec'y

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

“New York, Sept. 21, 1905

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1905, $16,714.95

Receipts from Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st} to date, 12,977.84

\[ \text{Total} = 29,692.79 \]

Disbursements from Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st} to date, 13,237.96

Balance on hand, 16,454.83

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: The report of the Executive Board of July 20\textsuperscript{th} was published in the July Gazette.

On motion the reading of the report of the Executive Board meeting was dispensed with.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

“New York, September 21, 1905

The Stud Book Committee of the A.K.C. begs to submit the following report:

The following list of applications for kennel names and transfers were received by us and as none of these names conflict with those registered, your Committee recommends that the names be granted to the applicants:

BARROWOOD    Mrs. F.T. Barrowman
BALBEC        John Howe
BEPO PO BLUE   Mrs. Newton Bennington
BUCKS         Philip French
BURNLEY       W.B. Boorum, Jr. et al
CAVE ROCK     W.W. Spackman
CLIVEDEN      Mrs. C.E. Guyer
RELIANCE      John D. Faulkner
ELMBANK       W.F. Center
FOREST OAK    R.D. Williams
GLENBREEKIN FARM Thos. Crimmins
GREENWOOD     A.B. de Campi
HATTON        Robert Irvine
TRELAWNEY     Dan G. Trench
VINEWOOD Mr. and Mrs. W. J. Jenkins
WAHNETAH V.E. Heywood
WESTLAND M.C. Strickland
WYCHWOOD Mrs. H.S. Oakley

Transfers
GLIMPSEWOOD from W.B. Boorum, Jr. to Mrs. W.B. Boorum
RUSHTON, from George W. Bunnell, Jr. to H.S. Collette
STOUGHTON form Caleb West to Caleb West, H.P. Melcher, E.F. West

Applications were also made for the registration of the names Boston and Aberdeen. Following the precedents of the club your Committee recommend that these two names be disallowed. Boston, because of the same reason which actuated the Kennel Club in disallowing the name New York, and Aberdeen, to conform with the precedent refusing the name of a breed.

Several other applications are in the hands of your Committee and will be acted upon after they have been published in the Gazette. Your Committee feel that all applications for kennel names and the transfers thereof should be published in the Gazette before they are acted upon so as to afford an opportunity for objecting to them if there be any cause for such action.

(Signed) Marcel A. Viti
Chairman"
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I have here the minutes of the last three meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that the reading of such minutes be dispensed with and that they be spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

The minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of meetings held on June 21st, August 2nd and September 6, 1905, follow:

San Francisco, June 21, 1905

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Carlton, Burnham, Norman

Absent: Harley

On motion the minutes of the previous regular meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The minutes of the special meeting of May 10th were read and approved.

The Pacific Sheepdog Club having been elected by mail vote, the election was confirmed.

In re Ollard versus Portland Kennel Club: This is a claim for prize money offered to the handler bringing the largest number of dogs from Washington.
On motion the Secretary was instructed to refer the matter back to the appellant with direction to file sworn affidavit, accompanied by the usual deposit of ten dollars and a copy of the premium list of the Portland Kennel Club showing that the association made the alleged offer of the sum involved.

The following letter from C.K. Harley was read by the Secretary: San Francisco, June 13, 1905. Mr. J.P. Norman, Secretary Pacific Advisory Committee. Dear Sir: Owing to the fact that for the next two years my residence is to be in the City of New York, I hereby tender my resignation as member of the Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club, to take effect at once. Very truly yours, (Signed) Charles K. Harley.

It was moved and seconded that this committee regrets in the extreme that circumstances have compelled Mr. Harley to tender his resignation, and that in view of the same, this committee accepts the resignation with equal regret. Carried unanimously.

On motion it was resolved to take up the matter of filling the vacancy on the committee.

The Chair suggested that it would have the tendency to make this committee more representative of the Clubs of the Coast and would bring it more in touch which them if
this committee would give them the choice of selecting from a number of fanciers, persons gratae to the committee, the one who would be most acceptable to the majority of the clubs. The candidate receiving the largest number of votes from the club would be the one nominated to the A.K.C. for appointment. As the result of considerable deliberation, he would ask that the names of Frederick P. Butler, Walter W. Stettheimer and Norman J. Stewart be placed in nomination for submittal to the clubs, if such course were adopted by the meeting.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the suggestions of the Chair be adopted, and the Secretary be instructed to submit to the clubs the list of candidates as might be approved by the meeting.

The names of W.W. Stettheimer, Fred P. Butler and Norman J. Stewart were then placed in nomination.

It was moved and seconded that nominations be closed. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that the three names mentioned be submitted to the clubs for a choice. Carried.

A petition was then read from the Southwestern Kennel Club, asking that John Riplinger and others disqualified by reason of having held a bench show at
Seattle during the month of April, in contravention of the rules of the American Kennel Club, be re-instated to good standing. On motion, the consideration of the petition was postponed to the next meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman
Secretary

August 2, 1905

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Carlton, Burnham, Norman.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

On motion, the election by mail vote of the Stockton Kennel Club, the Santa Cruz County Kennel Club and the Santa Clara County Kennel Club were confirmed.

In pursuance of the resolution passed on June 21st, the Secretary having requested the clubs in the jurisdiction of the Committee to express their preference for one of the three gentlemen, Messrs. Fred. P. Butler, Norman J. Stewart, or Walter W. Stettheimer to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Mr. Chas. K. Harley, the following replied were received:

For Fred. P. Butler, Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, Oakland Kennel Club, San Francisco Kennel Club.
For Walter W. Stettheimer: San Mateo Kennel Club, Pacific Sheep Dog Club, Portland Kennel Club, Spokane Kennel Club, Stockton Kennel Club, Southwestern Kennel Club, Santa Cruz County Kennel Club (Mr. Stewart having withdrawn his name), Santa Clara County Kennel Club, (Mr. Stewart having withdrawn his name).

There being eight votes for Mr. Stettheimer against three for Mr. Butler, it was:

Moved and seconded, that this Committee do hereby recommend to the American Kennel Club that Mr. Walter W. Stettheimer be appointed to fill the vacancy cause by the resignation of Mr. C.K. Harley. CARRIED.

Tucker versus Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association:

This is a complain from Dr. E.F. Tucker of Portland, dated July 24th, alleging that he had deposited with the S.D.F.A. the sum of five dollars to cover a protest and that no action and that no action had been taken thereof, and that he could elicit no reply form that association. It was moved and seconded that the secretary be instructed to call on the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association for an immediate action on Dr. Tucker’s protest, or for a satisfactory reason for failing to take action thereon, the reply to be furnished within ten days from the date of the Secretary’s letter, failing to receive which, this com-
committee would take the necessary disciplinary steps. CARRIED.

Chute versus Morris:

Under date of July 25th W.E. Chute produces a communication published in a local paper, signed by Wm. J. Morris and dated Los Angeles, June 22, in which said Morris accuses complainant of endeavoring to prevent Morris’ dog from competing for a special prize offered at the San Francisco Dog Show for the best Pointer owned on the Pacific Coast. The complainant denies the allegation and petitions the committee to cause the defendant to corroborate his accusations and to substantiate them or to suffer the usual penalty for conduct prejudicial to dogs and dog shows. It was

Moved and seconded that the Secretary be instructed to call on the said Wm. J. Morris to furnish proof of his accusations within ten days from date of advice, failing to receive which, this committee would resort to the usual disciplinary measures. CARRIED.

In the matter of the petition of the Southwestern Kennel Club for reinstatement of certain individuals disqualified for participation in a show held in Seattle in contravention of certain rules passed by this Committee, it was
Moved and seconded that no communication having been received from the disqualified parties seeking re-instatement to good standing, this committee regrets its inability to comply with the request of the Southwestern Kennel Club.  CARRIED.

The rules being suspended on motion by unanimous vote, it was moved and seconded that the by-laws of this committee be amended as follows: That this Committee hold a regular meeting on the first Wednesday of each month, the meeting place to be the office of the Chairman, unless otherwise stated by notice from the Secretary.

Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. NORMAN
Secretary

September 6, 1905

Present: De Ruyter, Chairman, Burnham, Stettheimer, Norman.
Absent: Carlton

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

The Secretary read the following communication: New York, Aug. 15, 1905.

Mr. J.P. Norman, Sec. Pacific Ad-
visory Committee, Berkeley, Cal. Dear Sir: I have the honor to advise you that Mr. Walter W. Stettheimer has this day been duly appointed a member of your committee, to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Mr. C.K. Harley. The appointment is made by August Belmont, Esq., President A.K.C. by virtue of the authority given him in the constitution. I have notified Mr. Stettheimer of his appointment. Yours truly, American Kennel Club, by A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary.

Application from the Desert Agricultural and Manufacturing Society of Salt Lake City, Utah for membership in the A.K.C. was read. On the statement by the Secretary that the Society has filed an attested copy of a resolution adopted on August 7th by the Board of Directors embodying in the constitution a clause for the holding of dog shows, and had otherwise complied with the requirements of the American Kennel Club, it was moved and seconded that the aforesaid Society be and hereby is elected to membership in the A.K.C. CARRIED.

In the case of Chute versus Morris: Complaint of false charges of misconduct.

The defendant by his attorney, W.A. Alderson, seeks a further extension of thirty days, in which to file proof of the allegations. On motion, it was resolved that such extension be granted.
Tucker v. Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, A Protest:

It appears from the complaint that this was an appeal filed with the bench show committee after the expiration of the seven days allowed for such appeal; the protest was overruled on that ground by the executive board of the defendant club; the complainant alleged that at the dog show of the S.D.F.A. held in April, 1905, the special prize, a silver cup, offered for the best American-bred Airedale Terrier was awarded to Colne Sandy, owned by W.F. Delabarre of Port Los Angeles, registered in the A.K.C.S.B., No. 78510, as being bred by Jo. H. Laurin of Montreal, Canada. Reserve was awarded to Dr. Geo. Newlands’ Colne Lochiel, A.K.C.S.B., 81106, bred by the same breeder. The defendant club having refused to consider the protest, it was resolved on motion that it be instructed to return the deposit of five dollars to the plaintiff, pending the decision of this committee, the said deposit to be transmitted through this committee and it was further resolved, that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to take steps for the procuration of further information in the case.

In the case of G.C. Israel: A petition for the removal of disqualification.
The particular sets forth under date of August 8th at Olympia, Washington, that he was disqualified with many others for having exhibited at the dog show held in April, 1905, by the Seattle Kennel Club in contravention of the rules of the American Kennel Club as enunciated by the Pacific Advisory Committee, that he exhibited under a misapprehension and in ignorance of said rules, and that he is and always was loyal to the American Kennel Club, and for those reasons prays for reinstatement to good standing. On motion, it was resolved that said petitioner be reinstated to good standing on filing with the Secretary of this committee an undertaking that he will not, in future, exhibit at any dog show, that is not held under the rules and with the sanction of the American Kennel Club.

Burnham v. Lepman: A charge of fraud and misconduct.

This charge, brought by Dr. W.P. Burnham of San Francisco of against Horace G. Lepman of Chicago, that the plaintiff sent the defendant $135.00 in payment of a collies bitch, that the defendant received the money of which proof is furnished, and that defendant has not to date delivered the bitch or returned the money, not has he made any other acknowledgement or return. The Secretary stated that he had sent defendant an attested
copy of the charge and had allowed him the customary time in which to make answer. On motion, the case was laid upon the table, awaiting defendant’s answer or presentation at the next regular meeting.

Butler V.C.W. Buttles of Kansas City, a charge of fraudulent misrepresentation.

This is a charge brought by Fred. P. Butler of San Francisco that defendant advertised a setter dog for sale, nine months old, high class and well made, and that plaintiff bought the dog on those representations. On arrival the dog was found to be an exceedingly poor specimen of which fact plaintiff adduces corroborative testimony and accuses defendant of fraud and misrepresentation. The Secretary stated that he had sent defendant an attested copy of the charge and had allowed him the usual time in which to make answer. On motion, consideration of the case was postponed until the next regular meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. NORMAN
Secretary

On motion the resignation of the Passaic County Fish
& Game Protective Association was accepted.

The Passaic County Kennel Club, The Southern Beagle Club and the People’s Poultry & Pet Stock Association were elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Mr. G.B. Post, Jr. was elected to represent the Southern Beagle Club, and Mr. Osgood Sayen was elected to represent the Philadelphia Pointer Club.

THE SECRETARY: The Rhode Island Kennel Club and the Texas Kennel Club ask for a transfer of their dates to cover shows to be held in 1906. The reasons given seem to be good and sufficient, that they could not hold their shows in 1905, and their applications are now before you.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that their request be granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Collie Club of America has withdrawn Mr. Edwin J. Van Schaick as its delegate, and I request permission to remove his name as such delegate.

It is moved and seconded that the Secretary be authorized to remove Mr. Van Schaick’s name from the list of delegates.

Carried.
On motion the Secretary was authorized to pay the balance due the Pacific Advisory Committee of $17.60.

THE SECRETARY: The Lynn Kennel Club has suspended a man by the name of J. Mc Guinness, of Everett, Massachusetts, for removing his dog from its show without their permission and against their express direction. They allege they gave him an opportunity to be heard, which he did not take advantage of, and thereupon they suspended him. It is now nor this meeting to either remove that suspension or change it to disqualification. It has been the custom in these cases where no good reason is shown to remove the suspension, to disqualify the man, and for him when he receives notice thereof, to appeal and appear before the executive board which will consider the case on its merits.

MR. MOORE: Isn't there a specific rule covering the removal of dogs from shows?

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE: This man removed his dog from the show about seven o'clock in the evening of the second day of the show, which was the closing day, against my express wish. The show did not close until ten o'clock. He said he did not care for the American Kennel Club or anybody else, he was going
to take his dog out.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the action of the club be sustained and the man disqualified.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a resolution here offered by the Membership Committee. It is as follows:

"WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the Membership Committee that the status of a paid judge at dog shows and field trials be determined.

Be it resolved, that a paid judge shall be defined as any one who shall have received or agrees to receive any monetary compensation for services as a judge at any dog show or field trial. Any judge receiving many for expenses shall be considered a paid judge unless the amount simply covers his necessary and legitimate expenses; which shall consist solely of transportation fares from and to his home, and hotel charges during his stay at the show or trial.

Be it further resolved, that all judges except paid judges be and hereby are required to file with the American Kennel Club, within thirty days after the close of the show or trials, an itemized bill of his expenses provided the club at which he judged has or agrees to reimburse him for said expenses, and said reimburse-
ment accepted by said judge, and any judge who fails to file an itemized bill of expenses as above provided shall be considered a paid judge.”

MR. MOORE: I move that the resolution be referred to the Rules Committee for consideration.

Motion seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move the resolution be adopted.

MR. VITI: I second the motion.

MR. EMERY: The idea of the Membership Committee informing that resolution was simply to get instruction. We wanted to know how we should define a paid judge, because in these credentials we ask that question whether or not they are paid judges.

THE SECRETARY: As a member of the Rules Committee, it does not appear to me that that is a proper subject for that committee’s consideration. If it was a change in the rules in any way, shape or form, then there is no question that it should be committed to the Rules Committee, considered by it and published in the Gazette before it could be finally acted upon at this meeting, but here is a definition only; it is not a rule; it is simply a definition for the assistance of the Membership Committee in.
the prosecution of its duties, so that it may know exactly where it stands. It asks this Association to define who is an what is a paid judge. It does not seem to me, as a member of the Rules Committee, that that matter should come before it.

MR. MOORE: Then I will withdraw my motion.

THE CHAIR: You cannot withdraw your motion. It is before the House. It will have to be voted on.

The motion was then put and lost.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Muss-Arnolt's motion to adopt the resolution is now before the house and is open to discussion.

MR. DALE: A professional or paid judge is not eligible, as I understand it, or a Secretary, to represent a club.

THE SECRETARY: Further than that, a man to represent a club must think enough of the club to become an active member of it, or the club must think enough of a man to make him an honorary member.

MR. FOSTER: Suppose one of us goes up to Canada and judges, and we do not choose to charge up any expense at all, every man who does that under this resolution would have to send word to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club that he was not charging his
expenses or be considered a paid judge.

THE CHAIR: The judge will have to send in word that he has not accepted any money.

MR. SMITH: This idea of sending in word to the American Kennel Club within thirty days would only occur where a man had received his expenses. Many of us go all around the country and judge and do not charge any expenses, but the object of this is simply to make a fine distinction between the one and the other.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think the matter would be much simpler if there was included in the resolution a provision compelling the show's Secretary, when he sends in the catalogue, to send in the list marked “amateur judge” or “paid judge”. If the show's Secretary is compelled to note whether or not a judge is paid, it will simplify the matter considerably.

MR. SMITH: That is the idea of that resolution, to determine what an amateur judge is. There are amateur judges that will go from here to Hoboken, for instance, and judge at a show and who will send in an itemized account of their expenses amounting to $50.00 or $75.00. He is an amateur judge, but how
his expenses can amount to as much as that is a puzzle to several of the show Secretaries. Mr. Muss-Arnolt’s idea of having the Show Secretary send in a catalogue marking the judge paid or unpaid would still give the judge who was supposed to be an amateur, the same privilege.

MR. VITI: It seems to me that this wording answers the objection of Mr. Foster, “Provided the club at which he judged has or agrees to reimburse him.” Where you pay your own expenses, and do not ask to be re-imbursed you do not have to file any statement.

MR. FOSTER: I happen to think of an instance. The people up in Toronto asked me to come up there and judge bull terriers, and they told me to let them know what my expenses would be and they would reimburse me. I told them I was not a professional judge, and was going to their show anyhow, and that I would not charge for any expenses. Those people would probably tell you they had agreed to pay my expenses, while I would say I had not charged them any expenses.

MR. MCGUIRE: The American Kennel Club will have to determine whether the charges are excess-
ive or not.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: That should be left to the show Secretary to determine; he is the man that ought to know.

MR. MOORE: I agree with the suggestion that the Secretary of the show should be compelled to send in a list of his paid and unpaid judges, but I fail to see any necessity for the rule at all.

MR. MC GUIRE: This matter has been threshed out in the Amateur Athletic Union. A man is either an amateur or professional, and this very resolution that you are discussing now has raised a lot of questions. You cannot draw the line as to what one man’s expenses would be. I remember five years ago among base ball teams it was customary for the members of the teams to play ball at certain summer resorts and a great many of them received their railroad expenses, which amounted to $400.00 or $500.00; but they were still amateurs. This is the same question, nothing different.

MR. SMITH: For the very reason that the gentleman gives I think that it has become the duty of the American Kennel Club, or the delegates, or some committee, to determine what an amateur judge is, inasmuch as it has been a very much disabused privilege
by several people who pose as amateur judges going around to different shows and saying they would act as judges provided their expenses were paid, and when the show comes to settle the bill for their expenses, they are found to be greatly in excess of what they should be. I am saying this for the benefit of several of the delegates here who seem to be in favor of adopting this resolution.

THE SECRETARY: I can tell you of two instances, and both men are gentlemen amateur judges who would not under any consideration accept remuneration for judging. One of them went from New York to Philadelphia. We all know that the excursion rate is $4.00, and a dollar for a drawing room seat there and back makes $6.00. He stayed there four days, which he had a right to do, because he has a right to stay until the close of the show for the reason that he is a judge until the close of the show. He paid about the highest rate for hotel expenses, $7.00 a day, which was $28.00, plus $6.00 for railroad transportation, making $34.00 altogether. Every dollar that he received in excess of $34.00 was paid for his services to that club. He put in a bill for expenses $75.00, and he claims he is strictly an
amateur judge. In another case, in Pittsburgh, the receipted bill showed $75.00 charged, and yet $30.00 was more than a generous allowance, for the man only lives a little ways from Pittsburgh.

MR. DALE: I claim there are enough gentlemen in the dog world who will go around and judge without any remuneration at all. It is one or the other.

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE: I am in favor of passing the resolution if you will change it to read that the Secretary of the club or the superintendent will make the return. I move as an amendment that the return be made by the Secretary of the club holding the show or superintendent.

MR. MC GUIRE: I move an amendment to that amendment making it obligatory upon the Secretary of the show to file with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club a statement of all moneys paid to judges at shows. Any man who is paid in excess of his railroad transportation expenses will be considered by the American Kennel Club a professional judge.

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE: I should be willing to pay a reasonable hotel bill.

MR. MC GUIRE: A man who has no objection to accepting his hotel expenses should have no objection
to becoming a professional.

MR. SMITH: That question was brought up by one of the members of the committee when we framed this resolution who assumed the case of a man being sent from here to Denver to judge, and the man could not afford to pay his railroad expenses and unless he were allowed to receive that amount the compensation he could not judge at that show, and therefore his being an amateur would prevent him going.

MR. MC GUIRE: That applies to the amateur in every line of sport. If a man wants to remain an amateur he must necessarily be in a position to afford to remain such. If he is not and does not care to become a professional, let him refrain from judging. You have got to draw the line and you have not to draw it very close.

Mr. Mc Guire’s proposed amendment was put to a vote and lost.

MR. MOORE: I move that the resolution be referred to the Rules Committee.

Motion seconded and lost.

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE’S proposed amendment was also put and lost.

MR. MC GUIRE: I move that the resolution be laid on the table.
Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Emery offered the following resolution:

Be it resolved, that a paid judge shall be defined as any one who shall have received or agrees to receive any monetary compensation for services as a judge at any dog show or field trial. Any judge receiving money for expenses shall be considered a paid judge. And be it further resolved, that the Secretary or Superintendent of all show giving clubs shall within ten days after the close of its show forward to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club a list of all judges who have or are to receive any monetary consideration for expenses or otherwise.

Resolution seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There is only one other matter that I have referred to in my report, and that is the question of quarters for the delegates. A great many of the delegates present to-day know the trouble that they had at the last two meetings to obtain a seat or even to get within the walls of this office, and I think it is a question that ought to be discussed to-day whether we should appoint a committee or whether the Secretary or any of the officers should undertake to see what arrangement can be made to in-
crease our floor room for meeting purposes. I am told by the superintendent of this building that he can accommodate us with more room. He has stated that he is so anxious to keep us in this building that he will make such an offer that we cannot afford to go and look elsewhere.

MR. MC GUIRE: I move that the Chair appoint a committee to take up the matter of obtaining larger quarters for the meetings of the club.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as such committee Messrs. B.S. Smith, Dwight Moore and A.P. Vredenburgh.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1905

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, Presiding

PRESENT:

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

Dwight Moore
W.P. Earle

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF NEW YORK
J.H. Brookfield

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB
H.H. Hunnewell

AMERICAN DACHSHUND CLUB
G. Muss-Arnolt

AMERICAN POMERANIAN CLUB
F.A. Stedman

AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB
H.K. Bloodgood

BOSTON TERRIER CLUB
F.H. Osgood

BULL TERRIER BREEDERS’ ASSOCIATION
John W. Britton, II

CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB
Abram D. Gillette

DUQUESNE KENNEL CLUB OF WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA
G.M. Carnochan

FRENCH BULL DOG CLUB OF AMERICA
Richard H. Hunt

GREAT DANE CLUB OF AMERICA
O.H. Albanesius

INTER-STATE FAIR KENNEL CLUB
H.S. Spackman

IRISH SETTER CLUB
Lawrence M.D. Mc Guire

IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA
Singleton Van Schaick

JERSEY CITY KENNEL CLUB
George M. Mc Carthy
LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS  Edward Brooks
MADISON ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION  E.L. Jones
MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB  C.F.R. Drake
NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB  Jas. W. Appleton
NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB  W.B. Emery
ROCKLAND COUNTY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION  Monson Morris
RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB  Dr. J.E. De Mund
SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB  R.P. Keasbey
SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA  Theo. Offerman
SEATTLE DOG FANCIERS' ASSOCIATION  James Watson
SPANIEL BREEDERS' SOCIETY  Marcel A. Viti
THE LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA  James Mortimer
TOY SPANIEL CLUB OF AMERICA  S.L. Goldenberg
WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA  B.S. Smith
WESTMINSTER KENNEL CLUB  Harry T. Peters
PORTLAND KENNEL CLUB  E.J. Van Schiack
WISCONSIN KENNEL CLUB  Charles W. Keyes
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB  John G. Bates
SPOKANE KENNEL CLUB  S.C. Mastick
BROCKTON DISTRICT KENNEL CLUB  Dr. George W. Ryan
Philadelphia Collie Club  S. Boyd Carigan

The following named clubs were duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club: Northshore Kennel Club, Wisconsin Kennel Club, Haverhill Kennel Club, The Old English Sheep Dog Club and the Southern Kennel Club. Southern Ohio Kennel Club, Colorado Springs Kennel Club.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

PORTLAND KENNEL CLUB  Edwin J. Van Schiack
WISCONSIN KENNEL CLUB  Charles W. Keyes
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB  John G. Bates
KEYSTONE BEAGLE CLUB  Thomas Cadwalader
BRYN MAWR KENNEL CLUB          Robert E. Strawbridge
VALLEY FAIR KENNEL CLUB         Robert C.Bacon
TAUNTON KENNEL CLUB             John H. Church
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB   Edward Hance, Jr.
SANTA CLARA COUNTY KENNEL CLUB  Henry S. Kipp
SPOKANE KENNEL CLUB (INCORPORATED)  S.C. Mastick
CENTRAL BEAGLE CLUB             Thomas S. Arbuthnot
BROCKTON DISTRICT KENNEL CLUB   Dr. George W. Ryan
PEOPLE’S POULTRY AND PET STOCK
ASSOCIATION OF FORT WAYNE       Professor B.C. Von Kahlden

The credentials of Mr. Albert Ulrich to represent the Passaic County Kennel Club were voted upon, and after an inspection of the ballots, the Chair announced that Mr. Ulrich had failed of election.

The reading of the minutes of the last meeting was on motion, dispensed with, and they were adopted as published in the Gazette.

Mr. Vredenburgh read his quarterly report as follows:

Secretary’s report. New York, Dec. 20, 1905

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this, my regular quarterly report:

I have received since our September meetings, seven applications from clubs for admission to membership, and
credentials from fourteen clubs appointing delegates to represent them in this Association, all of which have been duly approved by the Membership Committee and will be submitted to you at this meeting for action.

All matters received by me that belong to the Stud Book Committee have been duly referred to that Committee which report at this meeting. The Executive Board held a session yesterday, and completed its labors this morning, and its report will be duly presented to you.

I beg to report the receipt of the resignation of the Canonsburg Kennel Club, and as this club is in good standing, would respectfully recommend the acceptance of its resignation.

I beg to report the receipt from the Pacific Advisory Committee, minutes of their meetings held in San Francisco, October 4th, November 1st, (special), November 18th, and December 6th. It rests with this meeting whether these minutes shall be read or whether you will order them printed as part of the proceedings of this meeting, without taking up your time in reading same. I will present or you consideration a communication from the delegate of the Rhode Island K.C. in reference to a petition from the “Rhode Island Japan Plumed Club” asking that the so-called Japanese Plumed Spaniel be placed upon our list of recog-
nized breeds.

I beg to submit a bill of disbursements from the Pacific Advisory Committee covering a period from September 6th to November 30th, amounting to $37.10, and would recommend that the same be ordered paid.

The Rules under which we are now acting directs me to impose a penalty of $2.00 against every exhibitor for technical errors, in making entries in dog shows. This rule supersedes the old one where I was directed to cancel the winnings of the dog who has been wrongly entered at a show. I have been obliged to impose a great many $2.00 fines, and have collected a very great percentage of the fines so imposed. I would now ask for instructions as to what would be the proper course to pursue in order to enforce the payment of these fines from such persons as do not respond to our bills rendered.

Under the present rule the novice class is open only to American Bred dogs; since this rule went into effect, my attention has been more strongly attracted to the fact that notwithstanding the provision of an old rule that exhibitors must state on their entry form the date of birth, breeder and names of sire and dam if known, that exhibitors fail in a great measure to give these particulars on the show entry form, and therefore have been
compelled to assume that the particulars were unknown to the exhibitor. The absence of these particulars do not furnish me with any data by which I can determine whether the dogs so entered in the novice class are eligible to that class or not, and I have therefore assumed that they were not eligible, and have cancelled the winnings of such dogs. If after such action the exhibitor can furnish me with such information as would prove that the dogs were American bred, I have gladly reinstated such dogs, and given them credit for the wins that I had cancelled. I caused the notice to be published in the Gazette of November 30\textsuperscript{th}, cautioning exhibitors to give the required data, and suggested that as the novice class was open to American bred dogs only, the entries therein should contain the same details as an entry in the puppy class, namely, that the date of birth, name of breeder, and name of sire and dam, must be given. Since this notice has appeared, it has occurred to me that in case these particulars should not be known to the exhibitor, that a statement on the entry blank, same to be published in the catalogue of shows, that the dog in question was bred at such as place, within the limits of the United States, that I could safely accept such a statement as proof that the dog was American bred. I would therefore respectfully request for an ex-
pression of opinion from the delegates on this subject for future guidance.

While on this subject of American bred dogs, I would like a ruling whether the nationality of a breeder could determine the nationality of the dog; in other words, if an American should purchase a bitch in any foreign country, and after he became the possessor of same bitch, should direct that she should be bred to a dog in the same country, and the bitch shipped to this country, whelping here, whether the puppies are American bred. Heretofore such puppies would be considered American born, but foreign bred. I think this is a question that should have an official interpretation.

We have celebrated the “coming of age” of this Association and it gives me pleasure to congratulate the Association on its strong, healthy condition, with a membership on ONE HUNDRED AND TEN regularly organized clubs, with a record of FIFTY-NINE SHOWS within the year of 1905, with increasing interests taken in well bred dogs, throughout the United States, and with the solid Pacific Coast, under our care, surely it is an occasion to feel proud of our work, and to express our appreciation to the breeders and exhibitors, and to the Press, who have all combined and helped to attain such splendid results.
Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

New York, December 21, 1905

To the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance on hand January 1st, 1905</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>$16,714.95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from January 1st to date</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18,364.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$35,079.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from January 1st to date</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,194.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$17,884.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I beg to report that all bills for dues to Associate Members and Active Members, and for advertising accounts, were mailed to the proper persons on November 29th, last, with very gratifying results.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Executive Board was read, and is as
follows:

HELD DECEMBER 20\textsuperscript{th}, 1905

Meeting called to order at 11: AM with the following members present: H.H. Hunnewell; H.K. Bloodgood; Marcel A. Viti; J.W. Appleton; G. M. Carnochan; W.B. Emery.

Absent: August Belmont, William G. Rockefeller

H.H. Hunnewell in the chair

The following business was presented and acted upon:

J.E. Howland vs. Chas. B. Pineo: Re misconduct in connection with dogs.

A.C. Wilmerding appeared for the plaintiff, stating that his principal was in the far West, and therefore was unable to be present and requested a postponement of the case until the next meeting of the Board, which was duly granted.

Adolph Stucky vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer: Re misconduct in connection with dogs. F.S. Stedman appeared in behalf of plaintiff, and stated that the defendant had finally made a settlement satisfactory to Stucky. It was thereupon ORDERED, that as said settlement had not been made until after the charges had been preferred and notice had been sent to Kramer, to that effect, that said Kammerer be and hereby is suspended.
J.W. Brauer vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer:  Re misconduct in connection with dogs. Ordered, that Brauer be and hereby is directed to return to Kammerer the Boston Terrier bitch, received by him from Kammerer at once and that said Kannerer be and hereby is directed to return to Brauer the original purchase price within thirty days after the receipt of said bitch, in default of which Kammerer shall be disqualified.

J. O'Hara, Jr. vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer:  Re misconduct in connection with dogs. Kammerer stated that this action should be had against the Anthony Kennels, as the transaction was had with said kennels. The case was therefore laid on the table until the next meeting, the Secretary was directed to call upon O'Hara, to furnish further particulars.

Arthur L. Scollay vs. Merrimack Valley K.C.:  Re misconduct in connection with dog shows, Ordered, that the Merrimack Valley K.C. be directed to open the case within thirty days, giving Scollay, et al at least five days notice as provided for in the rules, the meeting to be held at such an hour as will give all parties in the case a chance to be present. In default of which the club will be suspended.

Arthur L. Scollay et al vs. Merrimack Valley K.C.:
Re appeal from the decision on protest. This appeal was laid on the table, pending the result of the charges by Scollay in the preceding action.

Mrs. E.O. Bacon vs. Brockton District K.C.: Re appeal from decision on protest. The protest was made against a Cocker Spaniel, alleged to be over weight, and whose owner declined to allow the dog to be weighed. The appeal is not sustained, for the reason that there was no limit of weight stated in the premium list, and that an American Spaniel Club judge did not officiate.

American K.C. vs. E.A. Holbrook: Re misconduct in connection with dogs. Holbrook registered a Boston Terrier, receiving a registry receipt, of the dog named a Duke B. He afterwards sold this dog to Mr. Champion, and delivered with it said registry receipt which had been changed after leaving this office so that the dog’s name appeared as “Duke 2nd.” Said Champion entered this dog at the late Boston Terrier Show, as “DUKE 2d”:, and was compelled to pay a fine of $2.00, for entering a dog as a registered dog under a different name, from its registered name. It is the sense of this Board that no intent to defraud appears. Holbrook not being able to account for the change in the receipt is hereby censured.
and directed to pay to Mr. Champion the $2.00 paid by him to the A.K.C. as a penalty imposed for an incorrect entry, and in default of non-payment of said amount, Holbrook will be suspended.

Westminster K.C.: Re, case of Boston Terrier, Eastover Lancelot. The committee of the Westminster K.C. request an order to the Spotswood Kennels to exhibit to said committee the tail of the late Boston Terrier, Eastover Lancelot, which is stated to have been preserved in alcohol. Said committee is requested to make a fining and to forward to the American Kennel Club, the evidence taken at the hearing of the case. The A.K.C. does not care to order the production of the tail of said dog to the said committee.

C.G. Hopton: Re, request for a ruling on the status of the proposed show to be held at Madison Square Garden, December 26-30th, whether it will endanger his standing, should he officiate as judge at said show. The Secretary was directed to advise Hopton, that he must act on his own discretion in judging shows that are not held under A.K.C. Rules.

James Watson: Re request for a ruling. This Board will gladly take up or interpret a specific case, but declines to make a ruling on a general subject.

J.J Mc Guiness } Re appeal for reinstatement
} Lynn Kennel Club
The appeal for immediate reinstatement is denied. Ordered, That the present disqualification of Mc Guinness shall expire July 1, 1906.

The Board adjourned to meet Thursday morning at eleven o’clock.

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file – TRANSFER

December 21, 1905

M.W. Robinson vs. Lynn Kennel Club: Re protest.

Protest cannot be considered as the A.K.C. has no original jurisdiction in the premises and the protest of Robinson to the Lynn Club having been withdrawn by him, no appeal can be taken.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and is as follows:

The Stud Book Committee begs leave to report as follows: Applications have been made for the granting of the following kennel names. They have all been published in the Gazette, and as none of them conflict with any already registered, we recommend that they be granted:

KENNEL NAMES GRANTED:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Name</th>
<th>Owners or Individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGAWAM</td>
<td>Dr. G.W. Ryan, J.D. Snyder, Frank Dondero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstead</td>
<td>M. Bullock &amp; C.M. Lunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascot</td>
<td>J.W. Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bald Spring</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. L.E. Stoddard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bala</td>
<td>Dr. F.C. Benson Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernese</td>
<td>Aquilla Webb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birchfield</td>
<td>Russell Grinnell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonniehurst</td>
<td>S.D. Ross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnie-Side</td>
<td>Melvin H. Cooley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bramello</td>
<td>Dr. N.F. Feury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornwall</td>
<td>James H. Rashleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric City</td>
<td>K.C. Spencer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaelic</td>
<td>John Cadoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencairn</td>
<td>John J. Donohue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenartney</td>
<td>A.D. L’Esperance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampshire</td>
<td>W.K. Peaseley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvale</td>
<td>L.W. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Hame</td>
<td>R.S. Edson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hommock</td>
<td>Clarence Sackett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inchkeith</td>
<td>Alec Balfour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minto</td>
<td>S.W. Renfro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irwinton</td>
<td>James A. Duckworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilgrim</td>
<td>Fisher Ames, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rananna</td>
<td>Robert Newlyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgefield</td>
<td>James Kavannagh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetop</td>
<td>R.B. Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Hubert</td>
<td>Clement Guion II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silk City</td>
<td>J.M. Schlanker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summerdale</td>
<td>C.H. Greenfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. John W. Minturn has applied for the name “Rockcliffe” and desires to cancel the names “Bismark” which he now has. Your Committee believes that he should be allowed to cancel his present name and that afterwards Rockcliffe be granted to him.

In the matter of the application for the name Shoe Town, your Committee is of the opinion that this should not be allowed as it would conflict with the name Show City which is already registered, and make confusion.

In the matter of the kennel name “Otley”, your Committee reports that application for the same was made by H.J. Dowds, and that after the same was published in the Gazette, W.J. Burgess filed a protest stating that he had used the name “Otley” for his own dogs, but as he never registered it and as Mr. Dowds’ application was filed first, your Committee thinks the name should be granted to Mr. Dowds.

Your Committee recommends that Roseneath terriers and Papillions be added to the breeds already recognized.

In the matter of the rough-coated St. Bernard, Nadar. This case is one where in Messrs. Cugley & Mullen, of Philadelphia, sold a St. Bernard puppy to Mr. E. Graizely, of Delanco, N.J. In his complaint, Mr. Graizely states that he was given the pedigree as sire, Friar Tuck, out of Princess Bonnie, at the time he bought the dog, and that
Julius Pornack was given as the breeder, that he subsequently found out that said Pormack has not owned either the sire or the dam, and also learned that Fred. P. Olin, of Reading, Mass. was the owner of the sire.

The date of birth of the puppy was stated as April 28, 1903, but Mr. Olin informed Mr. Graizely that Friar Tuck was bred in August 1902 to Princess Bonnie, owned by George F. Lord, of Norwich, Conn., and that this was the last bitch to which Friar Tuck had been mated.

Mr. Graizely further informed the Committee that he found out from Mr. G.V. Glebe that three of the puppies born in November, 1902 as a result of the mating above referred to, had been sold to Messrs. Cugley & Mullen, and that Cugley & Mullen had informed him that those puppies had all died.

In answer to the foregoing allegations, Messrs. Cugley & Mullen replied:
“They sometime in the early part of 1903, we bought from Julius Pornack, of Philadelphia, a litter of St. Bernard pups, which were sold to us with a certain pedigree. One of these pups we sold to a certain E. Graizely, of Delanco, N.J.

Several months later Mr. Graizely called on us and informed us that one of the parents of his pup had been dead some time before his pup was whelped.”
We assured Mr. Graizely that this fact was unknown to us and that we would investigate and in the event of his pedigree not being correct, we would take his pup off his hands and refund purchase price, also any cost that he may have incurred since purchasing same.

We notified the American Kennel Club to hold up the registration and started an investigation.

Upon interviewing Mr. Pormack we found that he owned a Friar Tuck-Princess Bonnie bitch, which he had served with a dog without any breeding. When selling the pups to us he in his ignorance simply gave us the pedigree which he got with his ignorance simply gave us the pedigree which he got with his bitch supposing he was doing the proper thing. Upon receipt of this information we informed Mr. Graizely of the facts in the case and gave him instructions to return the dog to us, which he did and we in turn reimbursed him as per our agreement."

Your Committee communicated with all the parties referred to and from a careful consideration of the evidence recommend that the registration of the said dog, and any winnings be cancelled, and that the Secretary be directed to prefer charges of improper conduct against Cugley & Mullen.

The attention of your Committee has been directed to the fact that at the Show of the Miami Valley Kennel Club,
held Sept. 25, 1905, an entry was made in classes 18 and 19 by John L. Wood. The breed of the dog was a pointer, and his name was Shywood. On the entry blank, in the space for the S.B. number, there was written A.K.C.S.B. 89,072. There is no pointer named Shywood registered in the Stud Books, and Stud Book #89,072 is the registry number of a bull terrier. Mr. Wood was communicated with on October 27, 1905, and so far no reply has been received. Your Committee would therefore recommend that the Secretary be directed to prefer charges of dishonorable conduct in connection with dog shows.

In the matter of the bull dog, Penrythe Nell.

William H. Mulford entered the bitch Penrythe Nell #90,455 at the show of the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club, held in September last. The sire was given as Choctaw and the dam as Shamrock Nell. Edwin R. Dockstader, the owner of Choctaw questioned this breeding, stating that Shamrock Bell had never been bred to his dog. Mr. Mulford explained that he had purchased Shamrock Bell from J. Hope, of Philadelphia, a dealer in dogs and that at the time of purchase Hope told him that the bitch was in whelp to Choctaw. The bitch subsequently whelped and Penrythe Nell was the sole puppy. Mr. Mulford for the reasons stated, gave the pedigree of Choctaw, both in registering and
showing her.

In answer to your Committee's communications, Mr. Hope replied denying that he said the bitch had been bred to Choctaw, and stated that he said that she had been bred to a dog in his store which he thought was by Choctaw. Mr. Mulford denied that Mr. Hope made any such statement.

Your Committee recommend that the registration and all prize winnings be cancelled and that the Executive Board be requested to investigate the transaction.

In the matter of the Boston terrier, Hartman's Peg, 75539:

This bitch was registered by J.W. Hartman and was said to be sired by Tip from The Belle. Mr. A.J. Owens protested the registration alleging that the pedigree was fraudulent, that the dam of the bitch registered, was of unknown pedigree and was bred to Little Ned owned by him, who was sired by Tip from The Belle, and that it was Little Bed's pedigree that was used fraudulently. Your Committee communicated with the parties in interest, but after receiving one letter from Mr. Hartman, he removed and your Committee has since been unable to locate him, and the case has been held in abeyance while the Committee has been attempting to communicate with him. There seems to be no question as to the impropriety of the pedigree, and your Committee feels
that the guilty parties in this transaction should be severely dealt with, and recommend that the case be referred to the Executive Board so that charges of fraudulent conduct may be made against Messrs. Hartman and Tuttle.

It is also recommended that the registration and prize winnings of Hartman’s Peg, 75,539 be cancelled.

In the matter of the Collies Fisher Boy 73,525 and First Choice 74,439:

Mr. Joseph A. Cane protested these registrations upon the ground that Queen Mad the stated dam was not sired by Moreton Loyal as given on the application blank. There seems to be no doubt that the pedigree is a fraudulent one. Both of the dogs in question were sold by William Radford, Falls of Schuylkill, Philadelphia. One of them was sold to Mr. Edwin Harrison and the other to Frank P. Smith. Your Committee recommends that the pedigree be cancelled and that the Executive Board prefer charges of fraudulent conduct against the said William Radford.

In the matter of the bull dogs Rodney Smacher and Bryn Mawr Rosador. Rodney Smasher was registered as whelped May 19, 1902, Breeder, C.G. Hopton, and Bryn Mawr Rosador as whelped July 19, 1902, Breeder N.J. Hess. The sire and dam are both the same and they were from the same litter.
your Committee communicated with both Messrs. Hopton and Hess and your Committee is of the opinion that the date of birth should be July 19, 1902. The dam was in the custody of Mr. Hess at the time she was whelped, but she was still owned by Mr. Hopton, and consequently he should be the breeder. There was no fraud alleged, and your Committee recommends that the particulars be corrected as above.

In the matter of the Irish setter General Stark 55,947 and Spartan 56,688:

General Stark was said to be by Alstead 22,627 from Stella, 19932, breeder A. Johnson, whelped August 18, 1896. He was registered by Mr. John Sanbry, and the seller was named as a Mr. Jabboe. Spartan was registered by James N. Cande, with the same pedigree, date of birth and seller.

The protest was filed by Mr. C.P. Hubbard, of Cass County, Iowa, who stated in his affidavit that in February, 1892, he purchased the bitch Stella IV, 19,932, and that while in his possession she was bred to Alstead upon two occasions, whelping November 1, 1892, and May 15, 1893, and that said bitch died in the possession of the deponent at Broken Bow, Neb., early in the Fall of year 1893.

Your Committee is of the opinion that the said registrations should be cancelled and that the Executive Board
be requested to prefer charges against the parties furnishing the pedigrees. Your Committee has for some time been endeavoring to communicate with the persons stated to be the breeder and seller but without success. Letters addressed, following the directions on the registration blanks having been returned.

In the matter of the registrations of Ingleside Chief Powhatten and Montebello Eva, both sired by Sandor von Inn from Lola. The date of birth of the first is given as August 30, 1900, while that of Eva is December 15, 1900.

Your Committee communicated with the owner of the Kennels who explained that all the records were in the charge of a former Superintendent with whom there had been a most decided disagreement, prior to his dismissal, that the records he maintained were inadequate, and incomplete and they had little to go upon.

That Ingleside Chief Powhatten was sold by the said former Superintendent during his term and that there was no record of his birth and that Eva was registered by data found after the discharge of the former Superintendent.

No evidence of fraud or fraudulent intent has been shown to the Committee, but your Committee is of the opinion, that the pedigree should be cancelled.
In the matter of the prefix Marlborough:

Mr. Alfred G. Clark who is the registered owner of said name complains that it has also been used by Mr. Charles R. Joseph, of Chicago. Mr. Clark contends that as the prefix is registered in his name he is entitled to have it protected and any one prevented from using it. Mr. Joseph stated that he was not aware that the name was registered when he adopted it, and that, having found out it was owned by someone else, he was quite willing to abandon it and your Committee thinks that if he desists from all its further use, that no action should be taken.

This is the first case of the kind which has come before the Kennel Club, and your Committee thinks it would be well to establish the practice that where a kennel name has been registered by any one, its use by any one else would be deemed conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the Kennel matters.

Of course it is well established that no one case use a registered name for the purpose of registration or exhibiting in that name, but it does not seem that this protection is ample, and they recommend prefix for kennel purposes should be proceeded against.

In the matter of the Boston terrier Gold Money 71,284,
and Gipsy B. 71,285:

These dogs are said to be by Sullivan’s Punch out of Princess, and whelped September 22, 1892. One was registered by Mr. George Buckingham and the other by Mrs. J. Montgomery Douglas. In the application form, the breeder and the seller of both dogs are respectively James Irving and Fred. E. Rice. Messrs. Schenck and Sullivan, the owners of Sullivan’s Punch protest that no bitch was bred to their dog during the month of July 1902. Mrs. Douglas stated that Gold Money had been sold to her by Fred T. Thomas, New York City. Your Committee held a number of meetings in this case for the purpose of hearing testimony and also communicated with all the witnesses. Mr. Fred. Rice testified that he bought the litter from James Irving, of Cambridge, Mass., and that he would procure from him further evidence of the authenticity of the pedigree and asked that the matter be held in abeyance to give him a further opportunity to do so. To this your Committee acceded, but the evidence has not been produced as yet. Mr. Rice explained that he personally delivered the dog to Mrs. Douglas, that Fred. Thomas works for him and that the dogs are advertised for sale in Mr. Thomas’ name.

Your Committee recommends that both the registrations be cancelled as the pedigrees have not been substantiated,
and that the Executive Board investigate the matter to ascertain if any fraud has been committed.

In the matter of the bull terrier Sylvia, 76,552. This bitch was registered with a full pedigree of three generations, which it is alleged is fraudulent. Your Committee communicated with a number of persons who were stated to have knowledge of the fact that the sire, Irish Mike, was a dog with no pedigree, but what is popularly known as a “fighting dog”. Your Committee is of the opinion that the bitch in question is unpedigreed, and therefore should be cancelled and that the Executive Board be requested to prefer charges of fraud against J.W. Sullivan, who registered her.

In the matter of the bloodhound Bell Gibson, #68,732:

This dog was registered by Mr. J.B. Harald, Brookston, Indiana. The complainant, Mr. Roger D. Williams, objects to the pedigree stating that it discloses that the animal is not a bloodhound; that the dam of the sire, Fanny and both her parents are foxhound also. This case has been held under advisement, as your Committee has been unable to receive any answer from Mr. Harald, and before concluding the case desired that he should be heard from, but as they have now waited some time, they recommend that the pedigree
should be cancelled, and that the matter be sent to the Executive Board so that charges may be preferred against Mr. Harald.

In the matter of the bloodhound Fanny K:

This dog was registered by Mat. Keirl and said to be by Warrior, 52,824 from Songstress, 42,450. Mr. J.L. Winchell, the owner of the alleged sire and dam has protested the registration as being fraudulent, he never having mating them near the time necessary for the dam to have whelped in March, and further than that that he never sold a dog to Mr. Keirl who stated in his application for registrations that he purchased it from Mr. Winchell. This case like the former one has been in the hands of your Committee for some time. Your Committee felt that as the charge was such a serious one, they were particularly anxious to communicate with Mr. Keirl before reporting on the matter, and although we have written him frequently, we have not received any reply from him frequently, we have not received any reply from him and now recommend that the registration be cancelled, and that the matter be referred to the Executive Board, so that charges of fraud may be preferred in the case.

The Committee would recommend that in cases of protest the complainants be required to furnish all original letters and papers bearing on the case at the time of
filing the complaint.

In many cases considerable correspondence reaching over many months is necessary to exhaust all means of obtaining the facts and for this reason cases frequently remain in the hands of the Committee which could be disposed of quite promptly if the parties would file all their evidence at the time of making complaint.

In the matter of the registrations of Field and Cocker Spaniels your committee reports that no argument has been offered in support of the division and they feel that until need for a change in a custom which has been in force so long has been shown, no action should be taken. And invite expressions of opinion from the breeders and owners of these breeds.

Signed, Marcel A. Viti, Chairman

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the report be accepted and the recommendations there in contained be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

GENERAL BUSINESS

THE SECRETARY: I beg to report the resignation of the Canonsburg Kennel Club.

On motion the resignation was accepted.
THE SECRETARY: I have the minutes of four meetings of the Pacific
Advisory Committee, containing the usual routine of business.
Following are the minutes:

REGULAR MEETING PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C., Held at
Occidental Hotel, San Francisco, Cal., Oct. 4, 1905

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Chairman; H.H. Carlton, Dr. W.P. Burnham; W.W.
Stettheimer and J.P. Norman.
The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
G.C. Israel: Application for re-instatement.
In a communication dated at Olympia, September 15th, the applicant
undertakes and agrees that he will not again exhibit at any dog show in the United
States that is not held under the rules and with the sanction of the American Kennel
Club. It was moved and seconded that in consideration of the foregoing agreement,
the said G.C. Israel be, and is hereby restored to good standing in the American
Kennel Club. CARRIED.
J.S. Kloebber: An application for reinstatement.
In a communication dated at Green River, Hot Springs,
Wash., September 12th, Dr. J.S. Kloeber applies for reinstatement to good standing, having been disqualified for participation in a Bench Show held at Seattle by the Seattle Kennel Club contrary to the rules of the American Kennel Club. In consideration of the fact that the said applicant is endorsed by the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, it was

MOVED AND SECONDED that the said Dr. J.S. Kloeber be reinstated to good standing in the American Kennel Club on filing with the Secretary of this Committee an agreement to refrain from exhibiting at any dog show in the United States that is not held under the rules and with the sanction of the American Kennel Club. CARRIED.

Chute vs. Morris: A complaint of false charges and misconduct.

The defendant, by his attorney, W.A. Alderson, under date of September 30th at Los Angeles, files a request for further extension of 30 days in which to present his testimony on the ground that he is awaiting a statement and affidavit from Judge Ashton in England. There being no objection from the appellant who was present, it was

RESOLVED, that the extension of 30 days be granted to the defendant.

Burnham vs. Lepman: A charge of fraud and misconduct
The defendant having filed no answer except a telegram dated at Chicago, September 25th, 1905, reading as follows:

“J.P. Norman, Berkeley. Answer only mailed to-day through clerical error. Please grant continuance.
(Signed) Horace G. Lepman.”

It was moved that discussion of the case be postponed until after the other matters before the meeting had been disposed of. Seconded and CARRIED.

Tucker vs. Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association: A protest.

The case having been continued from the last meeting for the purpose of enabling the Secretary, under resolution, to investigate the breeding of the protested dogs, which were given by the A.K.C.S.B. as being bred in Canada, the Secretary reported that he had received from the defendant the check for five dollars filed by the appellant in support of his protest. It appeared from the evidence presented by the plaintiff, which was confirmed by the defendant, and by the official catalogue issued by the defendant, that the Trophy for best American bred Airedale Terrier offered by Mrs. J.P. Norman, was awarded to W.F. Delabarre’s dog, Colne Sandy, 78510, Catalogue No. 196, breeder, Joe A. Laurin, by Colne Luckey Baldwin ex Colne Walton Flynway, with reserve to Dr. George Newland’s
Colne Lechiel, 81106, Catalogue No. 192. Breeder, Jos. H. Laurin, by Champion Colne Luckey Baldwin out of Colne Wilhelmina. On this evidence it was

MOVED AND SECONDED that the said Trophy having been offered for an American Bred Terrier, and having been awarded to a dog bred in the Dominion of Canada as shown in the Stud Book of the American Kennel Club, it be therefore

RESOLVED that the said award be cancelled for the reason of its having been made to a foreign bred dog, and be it further

RESOLVED THAT the protest of the appellant be and is hereby sustained. It is ordered that the said W.F. Delabarre be instructed to return the said Trophy to the Seattle Dog Fanciers' Association within thirty days from date, the said Association to retain the Trophy until their next dog show, when it is to be offered against under the same conditions, it being impossible to ascertain the dog next in order to the reserve dog. It is further ordered that the deposits of the appellant made with the defendant Association be returned to them. CARRIED.

Butler vs. Buttles: A complaint of fraudulent misrepresentation. Fred. P. Butler, the complainant, presents an affidavit with corroborated testimony to show that C.W.
Buttles of Kansas City sold him an English setter dog advertised as follows:

“DASHING DAN. (Dan Thiers-Dashing Duchess). A beautiful young dog of combined bench and field quality, and will win; ideally marked white, black, tan and ticked. He is of the Druid type, narrow skull, low hung ears, very long, square muzzle, dark eye and short straight tail, good size, very stylish, nine months, price $50.00, worth $100,000.”

The evidence presented by the plaintiff contained statements by Edward J. Creeley, D.V.S., certifying to a broken tail. By John Bradshaw, recognized judge, asserting that the dog is a very poor specimen or the breed, and by Philip Mr. Wand, a recognized judge of the breed, declaring that the dog differed from the advertised description in almost every essential point, and that he was very poor specimen of the breed. Under date of September 20th, the defendant denies the plaintiff’s allegation, and asseverates that the description of the dog was accurate. He denied that any break existed in the tail, but asserted that the rectangular formation at the end of the tail was not due to a break, but was inherited from his dam Dashing Duchess, who has been a bench winner all over the Eastern States. He denied that the
dog was light of eye as charged, and further accused the plaintiff of misrepresenting facts to this Committee, accompanying the statement of the plaintiff were copies of photographs of the dog, taken at the request of the plaintiff, and duplicates of these copies accompanied the statement of the defendant. The defendant acknowledged that the dog was “out at elbows” as shown in the photograph, but this fact was not made the basis of the plaintiff’s charge or a part thereof.

It was elicited from the above mentioned witnesses presented by the plaintiff on inquiry by members of the Committee, that not only was the dog in question a poor specimen, but that he was not worth more than a very small portion of the price paid for him. Mr. Bradshaw and Mr. Wand both stated that a dog of the English setter breed conforming the defendant’s advertisement, could be bought for the price paid to the defendant for an inferior specimen. It was

MOVED AND SECONDED that whereas Mr. C.W. Buttles of Kansas City, Mo., advertised an English setter dog Dashing Dan by Dan Thiers out of Dashing Duchess in the terms above stated and

WHEREAS, the said dog on the testimony of expert
judges is proved to be without bench or field qualities, thick in skull, long crooked tail, light eye, not stylish, and on account of his faulty conformation, slow and lumbering in gait, therefore be it

RESOLVED that the said dog does not conform to the advertisement, and that Mr. C.W. Buttles has been guilty of misrepresentation, and be it further

RESOLVED that the said C.W. Buttles be and hereby is suspended until he refunds to the plaintiff $50.00 paid as purchase money, and the further sum of $11.50 expended for express charges to San Francisco by the plaintiff, and the defendant is further ordered to deposit with the plaintiff the further sum of $11.50 to be applied on the prepayment the express charges for the return of the dog to Kansas City, Mo., these payments to be made within the space of thirty days from date of this resolution. CARRIED.

Stockton Kennel Club vs. Harris: A complaint of false charges and misconduct.

This is a complaint made by the Stockton Kennel Club in a duly attested statement of the President thereof, Frederick N. Vail, dated October 3rd, 1905, and an unversified statement dated September 29th, 1905, which latter was accompanied by two copies of the Stockton Mail, a daily newspaper issued in the City of Stockton of the
issue of September 29th, 1905. The issue contained an interview alleged to have been had with Edward F. Harris, in which the latter is represented to have stated that “Crooked work was being performed in the Stockton Kennel Club”, inferring that the judges and officials of the bench show then being held by the Stockton Kennel Club were guilty of such crooked work. Denial of the charge was entered by the defendant in person, together with statement of other persons corroborating the denial. Counter-affidavits were presented by the Stockton Kennel Club including the reporter who conducted the alleged interview with the defendant, confirming the accuracy of the report.

It was resolved that Mr. Harris be invited to state his ground for making the alleged charges against the Stockton Kennel Club.

Mr. Harris then made a statement to the effect that he understood that eh cup offered by the Stockton Laundry was offered for the best pointer, regardless of sex, and was put up by the Kennel Club for the best pointer dog; that he understood that the pointer bitch Stockton Belle had been placed over the dog Scotch Joe in a special competition but that he now found he had been misinformed as to that circumstance; that he had just risen from a sick bed,
and was naturally nervous and excitable, and that he intended no reflection whatsoever on the honor or integrity of any of the officials or judges of the Stockton Kennel Club. Furthermore the defendant asserted that he had not been rightly quoted in the alleged interview published in the Mail of the 29th, and he denied positively having charged the members, officials or judged of the Stockton Kennel Club with any crooked word or dishonest acts. In view of the defendant’s statement, as above outlined, and of the expressed willingness of the President of the Stockton Kennel Club, Mr. F.N. Vail to accept the same as a sufficient disclaimer of the interview published, it was

MOVED AND SECONDED that the Committee go into Executive Session. After some discussion of the evidence presented, it was MOVED AND SECONDED that WHEREAS it has been proved to the satisfaction of this committee that defendant has been misquoted in the Stockton Daily Mail of September 29th, and whereas the defendant expressly and explicitly disclaims any intention whatsoever to reflect on the honor or integrity of the judges, officials, or members of the Stockton Kennel Club, and WHERAS defendant states he was misinformed as to the fact of the pointer bitch Stockton Belle having been placed in competition over the pointer dog Scotch Joe, therefore be it
RESOLVED that this Committee do accept the denial and disclaimer of the defendant as to the accuracy of the interview printed in the Stockton Daily Mail of September 29th, 1905, and find that the charges alleged to have been made against the judges, officials and members of the Stockton Kennel Club were baseless in fact and foundation, and were not made by the defendant, and the case is therefore ordered dismissed. CARRIED.

Burnham vs. Lepman: On motion this case was taken from the table. It was MOVED AND SECONDED that

WHEREAS the defendant has filed no answer to the complaint and his telegram of September 25th had not been followed by the arrival of the defense, therefore be it

RESOLVED that the consideration of this case be deferred to a special meeting to be held on October 18th next, and that the Secretary be instructed to advise the defendant that unless his evidence be presented on or before the date named, the case would be decided by default. CARRIED.

Mr. A. Wolfen then requested permission to address the Committee. He sated that he had sent a dog to the Stockton Bench Show, and the dog had not been judged for specials for the reason that it had arrived after the time set by the Kennel Club as the limit for receiving dogs. The dog had, however, been judged in the regular class.
Mr. Wolfen was advised by the Chairman that the matter would be laid before the Committee only in the shape of a protest from the decision of the Bench Show Committee of the Stockton Kennel Club.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned on motion to 18th.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary
MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, NOV. 1, 1905.

Present: de Ruyter, Chairman; Burnham; Stettheimer; Norman.
Absent: Carlton

Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

Chute vs. Morris: The defendant having been granted an extension of thirty days, wherein to file his reply, and there being a remainder of three days of the extension, it was resolved to continue the case until the next regular meeting.

Burnham vs. Lepman: There being no reply from the defendant, the case was taken up. It appeared from the affidavits presented that Dr. W.P. Burnham had answered an advertisement of the defendant in Field and Fancy, and had agreed to buy the Collie bitch, Brookmere Kelpie, for the sum of $135.00. He had forwarded $50.00 on March 17 as an earnest of his intentions, and on April 5th the balance of $85.00. Defendant had not acknowledged the receipt of the money, although advices from the postal authorities telegraphed the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee to grant continuance, as papers had been mailed that day. No papers had been re-
ceived from defendant. The appellant not participating in the proceedings, it was
Moved and seconded, that whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this
Committee that Dr. W.P. Burnham of San Francisco paid one hundred and thirty-five
dollars to Horace G. Lepman of Chicago in two payments, namely, on March 17th,
1905, fifty dollars and eighty-five dollars on April 8th, 1905, in payment for the Scotch
collie bitch, Brookmere Kelpie, advertised by Mr. Lepman in the Dog Fancier and in
Field and Fancy, the said bitch to be shipped to the appellant in time to be shown at
the San Francisco Dog Show, held on May 10, 11, 12, and 13, 1905 and
      WHEREAS it has been proved that the defendant received the said sums of
money and did not acknowledge receipt and did not ship the dog as agreed, and
      WHEREAS the defendant has failed to make answer to the charge of fraud
and misconduct preferred by the appellant, and
      WHEREAS the only reply made by the defendant to the communications from
this committee has been a telegram dated at Chicago, September 25, 1905, reading
as follows: “Answer only mailed to-day through clerical error, please grant
continuance. (Signed) Horace G. Lepman.”
      AND WHEREAS said telegram has not been followed by
any further communication from the defendant, therefore be it RESOLVED that Horace G. Lepman is proven guilty of fraud and misconduct as charged, and be it further resolved that the said Horace G. Lepman be and hereby is suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club, and be it further RESOLVED, that unless the said Horace G. Lepman has refunded to the appellant, Dr. W.P. Burnham, the sum of $135.00 now fraudulently withheld by the defendant before the expiration of the term of suspension, the defendant, at the expiration of the term of suspension, the defendant, at the expiration of the sixty days, shall be and hereby is permanently disqualified. CARRIED.

Communications relating to the general good of kennel interests on the Pacific Coast were read and discussed.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest

J.P. Norman
Secretary
SPECIAL MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
SAN FRANCISCO, NOVEMBER 18, 1905

Present:  De Ruyter, Chairman, Burnham, Stettheimer, Norman
Absent:   Carlton

The chairman stated that the meeting was called to consider communications from the Southwestern Kennel Club, the San Francisco Kennel Club, the San Mateo Kennel Club and the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association relative to the disqualification imposed on certain individuals connected with a bench show held in Seattle in April last by the Seattle Kennel Club, under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club, and contrary thereto.

The following communications were read by the secretary:

“San Francisco, Nov. 4, 1905, Mr. J.P. Noman, Secretary Pacific Advisory Committee, Berkeley, Cal….

Dear Sir, - At a meeting of the San Mateo Kennel Club held to-day, I was directed to request you to kindly submit to your committee the following resolution passed by the Executive Body of the San Mateo Kennel Club: Whereas at the Seattle Kennel Club Show held in April last in contravention of the A.K.C. rules, all parties connected with same suffered disqualification, and whereas it is the
conviction of this body that the majority of participants at said show exhibited in the interest of the Fancy, without knowledge of the penalty to follow, and whereas the punishment imposed has sufficiently fulfilled its purpose as a severe lesson to the disqualified fanciers, Be it resolved that the secretary of this club be directed to communicate with the secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee and respectfully petition above Committee to favorably reconsider the disqualification imposed. Very respectfully Yours, D.J. Sinclair, Secretary San Mateo Kennel Club, Philip C. Meyer, President attest."

Seattle, Wash., Noember 9, 1905. J.P. Norman Esq. Sec. Pacific Advisory Committee, Berkeley, Cal. – Dear Sir.- By direction of the Executive Committee of the Seattle Dog Fanciers Association, I am directed to advise you, that after giving the matter of the reinstatement of the disqualified exhibitors at the recent bench show of the Seattle Kennel Club due consideration, it is the desire of this association that such disqualification be removed. The best interests of dogdum in this locality suggested that a move in this direction is the proper one, and the Seattle Dog Fanciers Association is only too pleased to concur in any such movement. By order of the Executive Committee. Chas. McAllister, Secretary."
San Francisco, Nov. 10th, 1905.

J.P. Norman Esq. Sec. Pacific Advisory Committee, Berkeley, Cal. Dear Sir –

Please note that at a meeting of the San Francisco Kennel Club held on the 6th inst., a resolution was passed instructing the secretary to inform you that it was the sense of the Board of Directors, that it would promote peace and harmony in Pacific Coast Dogdum and tend to increase the influence and popularity of the American Kennel Club on the Coast, if the Advisory Committee, in any manner, which in their judgment seems proper and fit, would remove the sentence of disqualification, which now hangs over the officers and exhibitors at the last show of the Seattle Kennel Club. The Board of Directors earnestly urge that your Committee take this action at as early a date as possible, in order that the disqualified owners and exhibitors may have an opportunity to show their dogs at the various shows to be held in the near future. Very truly yours, Fred. P. Butler, Secretary Treasurer, San Francisco Kennel Club.”

It was moved and seconded, that

Whereas this Committee has already granted petitions for reinstatement to good standing in the A.K.C. of individuals, who were disqualified for participating at the Seattle Kennel Club show held during April 1905 in contravention
of the rules of the American Kennel Club; the said petitions having been
accompanied by a signed undertaking not to participate or exhibit at any future show
in the United States held under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club,
and Whereas communications have been received from the Southwestern Kennel
Club, asking for reinstatement of the individuals disqualified by reason of having
participated in the Seattle Kennel Club show in April 1905, and

Whereas similar communications have been received from the San Mateo
and San Francisco Kennel Clubs, and

Whereas the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association has in the interest of peace
and harmony made the broad recommendation of reinstatement of all disqualified
individuals, who are so on account of connection with said show, Therefore be it

Resolved, that all individuals disqualified for connection with the Seattle
Kennel Club show held in April 1905 be and hereby are given the opportunity to
secure reinstatement by applying to this Committee within sixty (60) days from date
of this resolution; the applications to contain a declaration to the effect, that the
applicant undertakes that he will not participate or exhibit at any future show held in
the United States under rules other than those of the American Kennel Club,
And be it further.

Resolved that the secretary of this Committee be and hereby is instructed to send a copy of this resolution to the secretary of every kennel club under the jurisdiction of this Committee, and to each and every individual disqualified for participation at the said Seattle Kennel Club show.

CARRIED.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

J.P. NORMAN

Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
AT OCCIDENTAL HOTEL, SAN FRANCISCO, Dec. 6, 1905

PRESENT: J.E. De Ruyter, Chairman; H.H. Carlton, Dr. W.P. Burnham, W.W. Stettheimer, J.P. Norman

The minutes of the last regular meeting, held Nov. 1, were read and approved.

The minutes of the last special meeting, held Nov. 18, were read and approved.

The secretary submitted an invitation from the American Kennel Club to be present at the celebration of the twenty-first anniversary of the foundation of the American Kennel Club. It was MOVED and seconded that the Committee regrets its inability to attend the function, but extends its hearty and sincere congratulations and good wishes to the parent body on the auspicious occasion, and requests Mr. Charles K. Harley to attend the celebration as the official representative of this Committee and to repeat in person to the Executive Officers of the American Kennel Club the good wishes already enunciated in this resolution. And it is also MOVED and seconded that the secretary be and hereby is instructed to convey these resolutions to the American Kennel Club and to the representative of this Committee, Mr. Charles K. Harley.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
The secretary submitted a communication from the Spokane Kennel Club, dated Nov. 20th, asking for the reinstatement of the individuals disqualified for participation of the Seattle Kennel Club held in April 1905. It was resolved that the letter be placed on file, and that it was the sense of this Committee that such letter should be accepted as a further endorsement of the action taken by this Committee on the 18th of November last.

Applications for reinstatement, accompanied by an undertaking not to exhibit again under other than A.K.C. rules were received from the following individuals, disqualified for participation in the show held by the Seattle Kennel Club in April 1905; Thomas Plimley, Victoria; Wm. B. Bailey, Seattle; W.F. Hall, Victoria; W.H. Drummond, Seattle; Frank Higgins, Victoria; H.G. Wilson, Victoria; Dr. J.S. Klobber, Green River, Hot Spgs.W. It was moved and seconded, that the foregoing six applications be and hereby are reinstated to good standing in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

TUCKER V. SEATTLE D.F.A.

Under date of Nov. 25, 1905, the secretary of the Seattle Dog Fanciers Association advises that Delabarree had returned to the Association a cup, but not the one, of which
the award had been protested by Dr. E.F. Tucker; on motion, it was RESOLVED, that the matter be postponed to the next meeting, in order to give Mr. Delabarre an opportunity to show that he was acting in good faith.

On motions, it was RESOLVED that the meeting be constituted a public one for the hearing of protests and appeals.

CHUTE V. MORRIS

This was an appeal filed by W.E. Chute with the Committee, asking for the punishment of the following letter in a local sporting paper, issued at San Francisco on July 1, 1905; Los Angeles, June 22, 1905. Editor -- Dear Sir: In your issue of May 20th, the special awards at the San Francisco dog show gave Mason’s King as the winner of the cup for “Best American-bred Pointer owned on the Pacific Coast.” This cup was awarded to my bitch, Faith, and the cup is now in my possession. I would not trouble you about this correction, only there seems to be a concerted effort to withhold the credit of this win form my dog. One kennel (?) paper, which devoted its entire space to this show and giving all the awards, accidentally omitted this one. (and this one only). Mr. Chute the ring steward, marked up the award book giving this special to
Mason’s King, and when I asked to be allowed to compete for the cup, I had to call Mr. Ashton’s attention to it personally, before I was allowed to bring my dog into the ring. Mr. Chute tried to argue the question, until finally Mr. Ashton told him there was no comparison between the dogs. While I do not consider it any honor to beat this dog, I do not wish any of my dogs to rest under the stigma of having been beaten by Mason’s King. I know that this error was not made by your paper, but I hope you can see your way clear to rectifying it and oblige, Yours sincerely, William J. Morris.”

Mr. Chute in his written complaint alleges that he did not write up the judge’s awards, but that they were written up by the judge himself. He said that he told Mr. Morris that the dogs had already been judged by Mr. Ashton, who has awarded the special immediately after the termination of the regular classes. Mr. Chute asked that Mr. Morris be punished for the reflection on his character and integrity thus published, if the committee found the charges to be baseless, or that he himself be disqualified, if the charges were sustained.

In his reply to the complaint, Mr. Morris denied that he had any intention whatsoever of aspersing the honor of the appellant, and said, that had he known Mr. Chute so
understood his letter, he would have written to him personally, disclaiming any such intention. He had written to Judge Ashton for corroboration of the events alleged to have transpired in the ring, but had received no reply.

The case has been postponed for a space of ninety days, at the request of the respondent.

Mr. Chute, who was present at the meeting, tendered in evidence, a letter from Tom Ashton, dated at Leeds, England, Oct. 27, 1905: In reply to your letter, I beg to say that you did nothing to influence me in any way to Frisco show or any other place; if you had, I should at once have reported you to J.P. Norman. Your respectfully, Tom Ashton.

It was moved and seconded, that such portions of the appellant’s complaint as referred to personalities or to sporting periodicals be stricken therefore. Carried.

Mr. Chute was called on to support the complaint: He said that he has been connected with dog shows for many years, and had never had any charge made against him, that affected his integrity. He denied marking up the judge’s book, and said that he had endeavored to get Mr. Morris out of the ring, as the award in question had already been made and marked by the judge from recollection of the comparative merits of the best dog and the best bitch, who
had only just been judged. Mr. Ashton had asked him the number of the dog handled by John Bradshow, and on being told, entered that number in his book. The appellant stated that the work of judging was being impeded by many frivolous interruptions, and it was at the request of the judge as well as in the normal exercise of his duties as ring steward that he exerting his best efforts to expedite the business of awarding the specials. Mr. Morris had really forfeited his right to claim the privilege of bringing his dog into the ring again, as he had known when the pointer specials were bring judged, and had not then claimed that right.

After considerable discussion, in which the sense of committee was clear, that there was no ground for believing that Mr. Chute’s intention were at all to his discredit, it was MOVED and seconded, that whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this committee, that Mr. Chute’s actions at the San Francisco show held in April last were dictated by his desire to do his duty to the Club employing him as official ring steward, and that such actions were not in any way prejudicial to the best interests of dogs and dog shows, and

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this Committee that Mr. Chute did not write up the entries in the
judge’s book, but that they were made by the judge himself, and whereas the respondent, William J. Morris, in his answer to this complaint, expressly disclaims any intention of reflecting on the character and integrity of the appellant, William E. Chute, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that this Committee finds that the charges as published in so far as they affect Mr. Chute are without foundation and are the result of a lack of information and be it further

RESOLVED, that his Committee disapproves the action of Mr. Morris in publishing in print a charge against Mr. Chute, based on ignorance of the real circumstances of the case, and finds that Mr. Morris was misled by appearances and acted without due investigation, and be it further resolved, that

As Mr. Morris disclaims any intention of reflecting on the honor of the appellant and the article in question makes no such direct charge, the complaint be and hereby is dismissed.
CARRIED.

BROADHEAD V. BAHLER

This is a charge of fraud and misconduct brought by Mrs. T.H. Broadhead of Los Angeles against Mr. M. Bahler
of Leavenworth, Kansas. The appellant alleges, that in June last, she bought from the respondent an English Toy Spaniel bitch named Fay, the said bitch being guaranteed to be in whelp and otherwise sound. The bitch was to be due to whelp ten days after arrival at Los Angeles, but being examined and found empty, whereof the appellant produced a veterinary surgeon’s certificate, she was shipped back to Leavenworth three days after arrival. The bitch was moveover found to be suffering from eczema, as stated in the certificate produced in evidence. The appellant prayed for return of the purchase money, namely fifty dollars paid for the bitch, as well as four dollars and 50 cents expressage from Leavenworth to Los Angeles, and for a further sum of five dollars and 50 cents paid to the express company for the return charges, the respondent having failed to pay them, and having in fact refused to do so. It was further alleged that the respondent threatened to charge appellant for the keep of the bitch. It was further alleged that respondent had cut off feathers from around the hind quarters of the bitch on account of the skin trouble. Letters from the respondent were offered in evidence. The respondent in rebuttal, alleges that he sent the bitch out before he had received the purchase money, in compliance with the wish of defendant, and that such
action showed him to have faith in the soundness of the bitch, and that she was as represented by him to the appellant; that she was sound when returned to him by the appellant as shown by accompanying veterinarian’s certificate, and that she was sound at the present time as shown by accompanying certificate from another veterinary; that she was sound at the time of breeding, as shown by the letter from the breeder, Mr. Chas. R. Joseph of Chicago, the owner of the dog, Ashton Conqueror, to which she was bred; that she has been bred to that dog, as evidenced in breeder’s certificate; that the reason of her not whelping was the loss of the whelps owning to the severe strain of the journey to Los Angeles and back without a rest; that the appellant had not given her a chance to prove that she was in whelp, having sent her back ten days before she was due. The respondent, although he did not consider himself bound to do so, had offered the appellant to breed the bitch against to any ten dollar stud dog she might name, and if this offer were not accepted, would charge appellant fifty cents per week for the keep of the dog.

The appellant presented in evidence a letter from C.R. Joseph, alleging that the bitch was considered by his kennel man too fat to breed successfully at the time she
was brought to his kennels and mated to Ashton Conqueror.

It was MOVED and seconded, that whereas no fraud had been proved and the defendant had to all appearances acted in good faith, therefore be it RESOLVED, that the case be and hereby is dismissed. CARRIED.

RODGERS V. STOCKTON KENNEL CLUB

This was an appeal filed by F.J. Rodgers of San Francisco against the Stockton Kennel Club.

The appellant alleged that a cup was offered by the San Francisco Kennel Club for the best BULLDOG, male or female, at the Stockton Kennel Club’s show held in September last. That said cup was won by his bitch, Girtford Goody, by reason of her having been awarded the reserve for best dog in the show; that said cup was awarded in error to F.C. Meyer, for his dog, Endcliffe Baron, which had not met the appellant’s bitch in competition for said cup.

The Stockton Kennel Club answered that the cup was awarded to Mr. Meyer in error through lack of experience in dog shows, and it would be returned by Mr. Meyer, demand on him having been made. The appellant produced in evidence of his claim that his bitch had been awarded reserve for best in the show, the written testimony of Chas. Lyndon, one of the judges of said show, and the oral testimony of
John Bradshaw, there present, another of the judges of said show. Both stated that
they participated in the award to Girtford Goody of the reserve for best in the show.

It was moved and seconded, that

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this Committee that the
building bitch, Girtford Goody, owned by the appellant and entered by him at the
Stockton Kennel Club show held in September last, had been awarded reserve for
best in show, and whereas said award carried with it of necessity the award of best
in the class for bulldogs, and

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of this committee, that the cup
for best Bulldog was offered for best of the breed regardless of sex, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Stockton Kennel Club be and hereby is ordered to
deliver said cup to the appellant, with the modification, that Mr. P.C. Meyer be and
hereby is allowed to return the cup direct to Mr. F.J. Rodgers, the appellant, it being
known to this Committee that Mr. Meyer would be desirous of having this courtesy
extended to him, as soon as the ownership of the cup should be decided by the
Committee.

CARRIED.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned.

ATTEST:

J.P. NORMAN

Secretary
THE CHAIR: If there is no objection the reports of the Pacific Advisory Committee will be published in the Gazette.

THE SECRETARY: I present for your consideration a communication from the Rhode Island Japan Plumed Club, asking for a recognition of the dog called the Japanese Plummed Spaniel, and that the same be placed upon our recognized list.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The bill for disbursements from the Pacific Advisory Committee from September 6, 1905, to November 30, 1905, amounting to $37.10, was, on motion, ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to know what course to pursue to collect fines imposed on exhibitors for technical mistakes. Provision is made that we shall impose a penalty of $2 for every technical mistake in place of the old plan of cancelling the winning of the dog. A great majority of the fines have been paid, but there are those who pay no attention whatever to the bill that we have forwarded to them, and I would like instructions from this meeting relative to the collection of these fines,
and if they are not paid, what discipline should be imposed.

   DR. OSGOOD: I would like to ask the Secretary if it is not indicated on his circular what penalty would be imposed if the amount is not paid.

   THE SECRETARY: It is, but I have no authority in the matter.

   DR. OSGOOD: I move they be suspended until the fine is paid.

   Motion seconded.

   MR. CARNOCHAN: I think that is a bit too harsh. I should like to amend that by saying that no wins of dogs exhibited by such persons be disqualified until the fines be paid.

   Amendment seconded.

   THE CHAIR: The only objection to that is, if he does not win he can go on and show his dogs without paying a cent.

   THE SECRETARY: The American Kennel Club has passed a rule directing me to impose a fine under certain conditions, but they have not passed any rule by which a man can be punished if he does not pay that fine.

   DR. OSGOOD: With the permission of the mover of the amendment, I would like to change my original motion to read that if the fine is not paid within sixty days
the exhibitor so fined shall be suspended until said fine is paid. Seconded and CARRIED.

MR. ALBANESIUS: I move that the notification from the Secretary to these exhibitors be by registered letter.

THE SECRETARY: I want to ask instructions regarding the novice class. In a few words, there are many exhibitors who fail to give any data in connection with their entries in the novice class, in the absence of which I have been obliged to assume that they were not eligible, and have cancelled their winnings. I suggest that it will be sufficient instruction to me, if exhibitors, not knowing the sire and dam and breeder, or date of birth of the dog, will state on their entry form, and that be published in the catalogue, that the dog was whelped at such a place within the limits of the United States.

MR. PETERS: I make a motion that in the novice class the name and address of the breeder must be given when required by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club; otherwise the dog be disqualified.

MR. VITI: It seems to me the name and address of the breeder and date of birth of the dog is what we want,
because a man might subsequently move to this country after having been in China where the dog was born. He could come over here and bring the dog with him. That surely could not change the nationality of the dog.

MR. CARNOCHAN: With regard to this name of breeder and with regard to the matter of not giving particulars, don’t you think that it would be entirely satisfactory that we should not ask more for the novice class than for the puppy class? We require certain things of the puppy class; why not require those same things of the novice class. If the exhibitor produces satisfactory proof to the Secretary that his dog is American bred, I think the win of that dog should be reinstated. I do not see why we should make any exception in favor of the man who makes an error in the novice class any more than we do in reference to the puppy class.

DR. OSGOOD: It seems to me that if we require the date of birth and the name of the breeder in the puppy class--- that was the motion that I was going to make, that we require the same thing of the novice class, the name of the breeder, the date of birth and sire and dam, and I would move an amendment that we require the same for the novice class in the entry blank that we do of the puppy class --- if the sire and dam of the breeder are not known,
the dog is not eligible as an American bred dog.

MR. CARNOCHAN: That is the rule as it stands now. I suggest that each exhibitor be allowed the privilege, after his dog has been disqualified, or coming here and producing evidence that the dog is American bred, in which case his dog would be reinstated. I am perfectly willing make that as an amendment.

MR. VITI: The puppy class requires the name of the breeder and sire and dam if known, and date of birth. The name, John Jones, does not indicate whether that man is an Englishman or an American. I think we should establish some hard and fast rule of proving that the dog is American bred.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Do I understand that it is the intent of the delegates here to have the Secretary ferret out every case of American and un-American bred? I had an idea that when an exhibitor signed his name to his entry blank, where it stated novice class --- American bred dog – the Secretary would take exhibitors’ statement of that fact until a complaint was made alleging to the contrary.

MR. PETERS: I think that the present entry blanks are inadequate as to the novice class as we have it to-day because it calls for an American bred dog, and all we have to give is the name of the breeder, the sire and dam and date.
of birth. The Secretary would never know from any entry is the novice class that he ever sees whether that dog is American bred or not unless he happened to know the sire and dam and breeder. Therefore, I think, the entry blanks should give the name and address of the breeder at the time of the whelping of the dog, or something of that sort, so it could be determined.

DR. OSGOOD: I think my amendment is weak in that it does not name the location of the breeder, and I would consent, if the seconder will withdraw that, to change the wording of my amendment.

MR. MORTIMER: I think probably the majority of the delegates would be perfectly satisfied with the Secretary’s notification given in the last publication of the American Kennel Gazette, but it has been stated that these rules are to be modified to a certain extent, so that now we do not know quite where we stand. I am in favor of the rule as stated by our Secretary in the last Kennel Gazette, that the rules applying to the puppy class should apply to the novice class, and I think that would be all that was required.

On motion Dr. Osgood’s proposed amendment was withdrawn.

THE CHAIR: The motion before the house is Mr.
Peters’ original motion that the name and address of the breeder be given at the
time of whelping.

MR. EMERY: Don’t you think it would be better to have the date and address
and location of the bitch whelping? I do not think the name of the owner qualifies the
fact as to where the puppies are whelped.

Chairman Hunnewell resigns the Chair to H.K. Bloodgood.

MR. PETERS: I would like to change my motion to read: That upon the
request of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club in all cases where any doubt
exists, the breeder must at once furnish the Secretary of the American Kennel Club
with the name and address of the breeder of the dog at he time that the dog was
bred.

Motion seconded.

MR. MOORE: I move to amend Mr. Peters’ motion that the entry be
compulsory; that all the particulars given in the entry blank be furnished, and failing
that, the win is cancelled subject to appeal.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to ask Mr. Moore how eve that would decide
that the dog entered was an American bred dog?

MR. MC GUIRE: Why can we not deal with that the same as with any other
technical error that occurs? Why make a special rule for that? The win is
disqualified and the man has to furnish proof that he dog is American
bred. If he enters a dog that is not American bred, he violates a rule that is printed on the blank. The only thing to do is to make a rule, making it compulsory that all dogs entered in the novice class -- that the entry blank be filled out in full, otherwise the dog is not eligible to the novice class.

MR. PETERS: My motion is that the entry blanks remain as they are at present for all shows, and that we assume that exhibitors who enter the novice class, on reading the rules, know the dog entered must be American bred, and that he has entered the dog as American bred; that whenever a question arises, upon notification by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, they will be compelled to furnish to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club the name and address of the breeder at the time the dog was whelped.

Motion carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have only one more matter to bring to your attention. I would like it to be determined whether the rule as to American bred dogs can be interpreted in this way, that if an American purchases a bitch in any foreign country, and after it becomes his property, if he sees fit to mate that bitch to a dog in any foreign country, and the bitch comes to this country and whelps,
whether the nationality of the breeder will determine whether the dog is American bred or foreign bred.

MR. BROOKS: Do you want the dog to follow the flag?

THE SECRETARY: At present that would be an American born dog, but a foreign bred dog. The present rule is that a bitch owned in this country and sent to any foreign country to be bred and returned to this country to whelp, her progeny shall be considered American bred. Now, whether that rule means, if an American living in this country purchases a bitch on the other side, we change the present rule because she cannot be sent to a foreign country and is bred there, after he becomes the owner, and then the bitch comes to this country and whelps, whether the nationality of the breeder will determine whether that dog is not only American born, but also American bred.

MR. CARNOCCHAN: I would like to add to what Mr. Vredenburgh has said by reading Rule 7: “The breeder of a dog is the person owning or leasing the bitch at the time of her being bred. A bitch owned in this country and sent to any foreign country to be bred and returned to this country to whelp, the progeny shall be considered as American bred.”
There the rule stops: there is nothing in that rule, except by inference, to prevent a person’s buying a bitch in a foreign country, breeding her to a dog in that foreign country, bringing her to this country to whelp and her progeny being considered as American bred, the inference being that this being the only case stated, all other cases must be foreign bred dogs. It seems to me that the fact that the bitch being brought here to whelp, the bitch being owned by an American before she is bred, that that person has done all in his power, has fulfilled every possible requirement that he can to have those puppies American bred, and on that line I would like to make a motion that such progeny as stated by Mr. Vredenburgh in his statement be considered American bred dog.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: That is, there are two facts which will determine whether the dog is American bred or not; in the first place, the breeder must be an American, and in the second place, the bitch must whelp in this country.

MR. MORTIMER: I think this would be a good opportunity of deciding what constitutes as American bred dog. We have ever since I knew anything at all about dog shows, or ever since the inauguration of specialty clubs, a number of clubs, some of whose members reside in Canada, and although
the specialty clubs usually donate their special prizes for American bred dogs, Canadian bred dogs have invariably been allowed to compete for those specials, and for that reason I have assumed that a Canadian bred dog was an American bred dog. I have so assumed for years past. I do not know whether there has been any rule passed to the contrary of not.

MR. VITI: I do not see why any discrimination should be made against Mexico, for instance, but it seems to me that an American bred dog must be a dog both born and bred in America, and that his sire and dam should both be here. I think the rule of one of our members, the Bull Dog Club, on the subject, covers the ground so that there cannot be any dispute at all. The rules should be in the interest of having the very best stock in America.

MR. MORTIMER: Those Canadian members are breeder essentially. They have come down here a great many times, and they have won our special prizes that have been offered by American specialty clubs. I think if we define the American bred dog as those only born in the United States, we are working a very great injury to the Canadian members of our different American specialty clubs, and for that reason I would like to ask a definition of what an American bred dog is.
MR. PETERS: I move that an American bred dog, as it is now termed, shall be a dog bred on the continent of North America.

Motion seconded.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I can go back a good ways in connection with this matter. I was on the committee that went to London to endeavor to procure international relations between the American Kennel Club and the English Kennel Club. That matter was entirely settle; everything was done. We were to be recognized by the English Kennel Club, as the paramount power on the continent of North America, and we were to recognize them as the paramount power with the rest of the world. The document, I believe, is somewhere in the archives, and has on it the signature of three members of the American Kennel Club and three members of the English Kennel Club, and that international agreement which would have been for the very best interests of kenneldom all over the world, was blocked by our very good friends in Canada. They said, we are not going to be considered a part of the United States; we are going to hold our own shows and abide by our own rules. They have since affiliated with the kennel club of England. Therefore I see no reason why they should be treated any better than our English broth-
ers. I see no reason why they should have special favors if we decide that a class is to be limited to American bred dogs in the sense of born in the United States and bred in the United States. I see no reason why a colony of Great Britain should have any other rights than the parent body in England. For that reason I am opposed to admitting Canadian dogs and considering them in any way American bred.

THE SECRETARY: I have a recollection of matters occurring in connection with this matter long before those stated by Mr. Carnochan. I was a member of the Rules Committee. On two occasions the Canadian Kennel Club came here and knocked at our door and wanted recognition. They appointed a committee of three; we appointed a committee of three, and we met in New York. We came to a conclusion satisfactory to all the members of the joint committee. We signed that conclusion. They went back to Canada. Canada repudiated the agreement. They wanted all the recognition and gave nothing in return. That was the first slap in the face that we received from our friends, the Canadians. The second time was when the Canadian Kennel Club appointed their Executive Board, giving them full power to act, to come to some agreement of reciprocity with the American Kennel Club. The Hamilton
Club of Canada forced that issue and they invited me to come and meet them. I went, representing the American Kennel Club alone, and was pitted against members of the Executive Board of the Canadian Kennel Club. We had a session for two days. We came to an agreement that I was very glad to sign and which they signed. There was one article that said that this agreement would be rescinded on six months’ notice. This was in July. The Canadian Kennel Club held its annual meeting in September and repudiated the act of its Executive Board which it had given full power to act, and served us with the six months’ notice, which we did not take advantage of and said the agreement would cease on receipt of our letter. That was the second time. The third time is the time Mr. Carnochan refers to when we had this agreement signed with great ceremony on parchment, where the English Kennel Club, in its preamble, said that the American Kennel Club is the paramount power on the Continent of North America, and they repudiated that preamble by accepting Canada as an affiliated club, so that Canada would not show any allegiance to the American Kennel Club. Why should we show any partiality to Canada or to Canadian breeders. That was one thing the committee had in mind in passing the rule concerning the novice class, that we should strike out Canada from
one class. If the specialty clubs have so many Canadian members, it is very easy to
arrange their specials by announcing prizes for the best dog bred in the United
States or Canada. Why do you say that a Canadian dog should be an American
bred dog, when it is a foreign country? When an exhibitor takes his dogs there he
has to pay his duties and go through the same red tape that he would in coming
from Manchester or Liverpool. They have to give a certain pedigree and deposit it
with the port of entry before they will allow a Canadian dog in this country. It is
another a different flag. It is a foreign country. When we say American, we mean
that part of the America that would control the United States, within the limits of the
United States.

MR. PETERS: I cannot see why we should grind all these old axes, but I
suppose the reason we made the rule barring their dogs out of the novice class was
because we thought they could breed better dog than we can. We are all equal here
and I think we ought to have that class equal, and if we are going to use the term
“American bred”, I should favor that they be allowed to compete with us.

MR. MORTIMER: I do not think this is a question of dog politics at all. I have
no doubt that those gentlemen who are present who were engaged in that
controversy with the English Kennel Club may feel aggrieved, but as a mat-
ter of precedent for twenty years past Canadian dogs have always been recognized at our American shows as American bred dogs, and they have always competed for every special that was offered. For that reason I claim it would be only right and proper that Canadian bred dogs should be admitted.

MR. MC GUIRE: Have we anything which tends to shows that it would be agreeable to the Canadian people to have their dogs designated as American bred? They might make a very violent objection to it. They have repudiated a couple of agreements they have entered into, and here, without any apparent request from them, without any seeking on their part, without even a representative from their club, it is proposed that we designate their dogs as American bred.

MR. MORTIMER: In answer to the gentleman who just spoke, the proof that the Canadians are very glad to come down here if the fact that they come in large numbers every year; the fact that there is a large number of Canadian members in every specialty club in existence is this country to-day.

MR. MC GUIRE: Even that fact does not give us the privilege of claiming their dogs as being American bred,
nor does it compel them to accept our making them American bred dogs.

THE SECRETARY: The Pacific Advisory Committee has an agreement with the American Kennel Club that any act by it shall be binding unless that act is appealed from and set aside on that appeal by the American Kennel Club. This very question has been determined by the Pacific Advisory Committee in this case. There was a special offered for the best American bred dog. The prize was awarded to a dog that was bred by Mr. J.A. Laurin, of Montreal, Canada. That was appealed from; it was brought before the Pacific Advisory Committee and the appeal was sustained upon the ground that it was a foreign bred dog. We have got to set that aside, and how we can do it without an appeal I cannot see.

MR. MORTIMER: I think this quotation by the Secretary is a very fine illustration of the tail wagging the dog.

Mr. Peters' motion that an American bred dog as it is now termed, shall be a dog bred on the Continent of North America, was then put and lost.

MR. VITI: I move that American bred dogs are dogs bred and born in the United States of America excepting the cases governed in Rule 7 of the rules governing dog
shows.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.
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The Secretary read the following certificate of election:

New York, February 7, 1906

To The American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, in accordance with Article XI, Section VI, of the Constitution,
met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club, for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, for the officers and delegates of the Associate Members of said club, to hold office for the term of one year from this date or until their successors be elected.

Article XI, Section IV, provides for the election of an extra delegate for every one hundred members over three hundred. There being on this date 493 members, one extra delegate has been elected, making a total of four delegates to represent said Associate Members.

We found the total number of Associate Members entitled to vote to be 285.  
Total number of votes cast 152.  
Total number of votes scattering, 12.  
Total number of irregular votes and thrown out, 2.  
We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greater number of votes, to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Members for the year ending in February, 1907, to wit:

President:  H.K. Bloodgood  
Vice-President:  Winthrop Rutherford  
Secretary:  Chas. W. Keyes  
Delegate (1):  W.G. Rockfeller
Delegate (2): Dwight Moore
Delegate (3): Wm. P. Earle
Delegate (4): O.W. Donner

(Signed) A. Clinton Wilmerding
Representing the President A.K.C.
H.K. Bloodgood
President of Associate Members
(Attest) A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary of the A.K.C."

The following named clubs were elected to membership in the American Kennel Club:
District of Columbia Kennel Club, Bar Harbor Bench Show Association, the Chester Kennel Club and Asbury Park Kennel Club.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:
Howard Willets San Mateo Kennel Club
S. Crozer Robinson Deseret Agricultural & Manufacturing Society
R.S. Edson Collie Club of America
M.F. Mulcahy North Shore Kennel Club

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were approved as published in the Gazette.
The Secretary read his report as follows:

"SECRETARY’S REPORT.

----------

New York, Feb. 13, 1906

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I have the honor to submit this, my regular quarterly report. I have received since our last meeting four applications from Clubs for admission to membership, and credentials from six clubs appointing delegates to represent them in this Association, all of which have been submitted to the Membership Committee which will report their action at this meeting. All matters received by me that belong to the Stud Book Committee have been duly referred to that Committee for investigation, and report to this Association.

I beg to report the receipt from the Pacific Advisory Committee of the Minutes of its meeting held Jan. 2d, 1906, and the same was published in the Jan. issue of the Gazette by request of said Committee and under the authority given me by this Association.

In compliance with Section VII, of the By-laws I caused to be published in the Jan. Gazette the
nominations for the officers and chairman of the several Standing Committees, which were received during the month of December, from delegates representing Clubs in good standing. There nominations will be placed before you for the election for said officers at this our annual meeting.

I beg to report that Mr. J.E. Smith, of Cleveland, Ohio, had lodged a complaint against the Altoona Kennel Club for unpaid prizes with said complaint, is filed a return registry receipt from Charles M. Kelley, the Secretary of said Club, alleged to be an acknowledgement of the receipt of his claim for said prize under date of Jan. 29th, 1906, I served a notice on said Charles M. Kelley, be registered mail for said claim for unpaid prizes and to show cause why action should not be taken by the A.K.C. at this meeting. I have received the return registry receipt from the P.O. Authorities, but have not yet received any communication from the Altoona K.C. on the subject.

I beg to report for your information that W. Howard West, Philadelphia, Pa. has preferred charges against George S. Kelly, of the same city, for misconduct in connection with dogs. This case will be submitted to the Executive Board at its first session.

It gives me great pleasure to report that since Jan. 1st, 1906, we have received fully twenty-five
percent additional members to our Associate List, and that the increase in the business of this Association for the past three months, has been unprecedented, and I have been obliged to add an additional clerk. We now have an office force of seven, with sufficient employment to keep each one busy from nine until five o’clock each day.

Owing to the printer’s strike, we were laboring under the utmost difficulties in the publication of our January Gazette. The issue was not only five days late, but we have found many errors, and possibly many more exist that we have not discovered which is all due to the inexperienced compositors employed by our printing concern, and I therefore ask for the indulgence of our patrons who may have or who may discover errors which we would gladly correct upon notice. I fear that the same trouble will exist in the composition on our Stud Book for 1905, the copy of which is now in the printer’s hands, and I trust that we may be able to publish the Stud Book the latter part of April as is usual.

I beg to report the following clubs in arrears for dues for 1906, which were due and payable Jan. 1st, last;
Under the rules the above named clubs cannot be represented at this meeting. I would recommend that the usual notice be sent to all clubs in arrears notifying them that if the dues are not paid within thirty days, that said clubs will be dropped from the roll without further action.

A proposed amendment to the Rules filed with your Secretary and A meeting of the Rules Committee to consider same was called for Jan. 22d the report of said Committee will be submitted to you.

I have received two communications one from Mr. G. M. Carnochan, and one from Mr. J. Sergeant Price Jr., which
I will read for your information. I have also received from the Pacific Advisory Committee a bill for disbursements, from Dec. 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1905, to Feb. 5\textsuperscript{th}, 1906, amounting to $22.45, and would respectfully recommend that same be ordered paid.

A.P. Vredenburgh  
Secretary  
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

------

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

TREASURER’S REPORT

New York, Feb, 13, 1906

To the American Kennel Club,  
Gentlemen:  

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report;-

Balance on Hand Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st} 1906 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 18,571.25  
Receipts from Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st} to date . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3,715.21  
Total 22,286.46  

Disbursements from Jan. 1\textsuperscript{st} to date . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1,931.02  
Balance on hand 20,355.44  

Respectfully submitted  
A.P. Vredenburgh  
Treasurer  

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
It was moved and seconded that the regular order of business be suspended, and that the meeting proceed with the next order of business, the reading of reports of committees.

Carried.

The report of the Committee on Rules was read as follows:

“New York, January 22, 1906

To The American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that notice of a proposed amendment to the “Rules Governing Dog Shows: was duly filed with the Secretary of the A.K.C. by Mr. Marcel A. Viti. A meeting of the Committee on Constitution and Rules was ordered to be held this date, to consider same. I beg to report that as a quorum of said committee was not present, the proposed amendment was laid over until the next meeting of the Committee.

(Signed) G.M. Carnochan, Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary reported the receipt from the Pacific Advisory Committee of the minutes of its meeting held January 2, 1906, and stated that these
minutes were published in the January Gazette at the request of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

On motion the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee’s meeting were accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

"APPLICATIONS FOR KENNEL NAMES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Name</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apollo</td>
<td>Geo. Springer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azure</td>
<td>Arthur Hadley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blarney</td>
<td>John G. Bates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>G.E. Schmidt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chmung Valley</td>
<td>R.F. Riedinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V.B. Wood and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F.D. Blair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clemont</td>
<td>G.N. Clemson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dancott</td>
<td>D.H. Lippincott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eltam</td>
<td>Edward L. Tinker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>J.M. Brauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>N.R. Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harkaway</td>
<td>Hy. W. Warner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenhaven</td>
<td>Dr. C.T. Brockett, Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illmo</td>
<td>E.O. Hunter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kishwaukee</td>
<td>J.S. Cusson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koesa</td>
<td>C.A. Young</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Show</td>
<td>W.F. Ashley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lulworth                G.M. Stearns
Meadowview             A.G. Hooley
Nestor                  R.L. Brown
Oak Glen                S. Tyler
Orphum                  H. Koenigsmark
Pine Top                W.J. Gordon
Preston                  Geo. F. Foley and
                         H.T. Brown
Presque Isle           D.S. Hanley
Prides                  Clarence Moore
Quonatock               Paul D. Moody
Rock River              J.M. Connett
Rosedale               B. Sanford
Royston                W.J. Burgess
Selby                   John W. Tasker
Southlawn              N.M. Flower
St. Nicholas           G.J. O’Rielly
Tar Heels               W.G. Sale
                         O.H. Wright, and
                         T.C. Ramsey
Trimount               C.F. Sullivan
Vainquer              Mr. and Mrs. L.B. Vanker
Wappatoo                James O’Hara, Jr.
Yazoo                  W.T. Chapman

------
Kentwood transferred to Dr. Lewis Frank
Marlborough transferred to Chas. R. Joseph
Sueset transferred to John L. Hinkley

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and applications for Kennel names granted.

GENERAL BUSINESS

THE SECRETARY: I have a complaint of Mr. J.F. Smith against the Altoona Kennel Club, with two receipts from the Secretary of said club. Mr. Smith claims unpaid prizes at the late show. Since writing my report received another complaint from Mr. Clark Hulings against the same club for the same offense. The rules provide that a club in arrears for dues beyond sixty days of the close of the show shall be suspended and the officers of the Bench Show Committee of such club be disqualified. I sent the club the usual notice under date of January 29, 1906 by registered mail.

The Chair: I think it is proper for the American Kennel Club to instruct the Secretary to follow the usual rules of the club in connection with this matter.

MR. BROOKS: I make a motion to that effect, that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be instructed to carry out the rules of the club against the Altoona Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.
On motion the bill for disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee from December 1, 1905, to February 5, 1906, amounting to $25.45 was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: The next business in order is the matter of the clubs in arrears. Our plan heretofore has been to direct the Secretary to send a notice giving the clubs thirty days time from the date of the meeting to pay their dues, and all clubs in arrears after that time shall be dropped without further action.

MR. MOORE: I make a motion to that effect.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read the following communication from Mr. J. Sergeant Price, Jr.:

“Chestnut Hill, Pa., February 8, 1905

Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh,

55 Liberty St.,

New York, N.Y.

Dear Sir:

I see by the last Gazette, received yesterday morning, that I have been nominated for Vice-President. As this is the first intimation I had that such was the case, I would be very much obliged if you would withdraw...
my name, as I do not care to run for the office.

Yours truly,

J. Sergeant Price, Jr."

The Secretary also read the following communication from Mr. G.H. Carnochan:


A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq., Secty
American Kennel Club, 55 Liberty St., N.Y.

Dear Mr. Vredenburgh: --

It is with the utmost regret that I have to inform you that for almost the first time in my recollection, I shall have to be away from the Annual Meeting. I had intended to be present, but there is a most important hearing before one of the Committees that I am a member of, in the Assembly, that make it imperative that I should be in Albany on Wednesday.

I regret it the more as I am a candidate for the office of Chairman of the Rules Committee, but I shall have to let my past record on this very important Committee represent me, instead of being at the meeting in person.

I shall introduce a bill shortly in the Assembly, to change the license fee for dogs, so that in the case of the owner of a kennel, there can be a fixed fee for each kennel, instead of for the individual animal as at present. This
seems to me to be a proper procedure, in view of the fact that dogs in kennels are as a rule kept in the kennel enclosures and are not obnoxious to the public at large. I should be glad to have the views of the delegates on this subject, if you see fit to bring the matter before them.

Very cordially yours,
Gouverneur M. Carnochan”

On motion the same were received and placed on file.

MR. MOORE: The January Gazette informed dog people generally that all factional strife in the West had ceased, and that all the clubs in the Western part of the country were now under A.K.C. rules, and I think that this is a very proper time for the delegates of the American Kennel Club to express their thanks and appreciation of the services that have been rendered by the Pacific Advisory Committee and by Mr. Norman, the Secretary. I understand he has been the Secretary now for seven years, and devoted a very great deal of time to the club’s affairs, and has succeeded in amalgamating all the different elements there into one body, and I would like to offer a resolution that a special vote
of thanks be given to the Pacific Advisory Committee and to Mr. Norman for their work on behalf of the American Club.

Resolution seconded and carried.

MR. BUCKLEY: In the memory of those present when Rule XV anent entering dogs bringing them to the show and not showing them was made, it was to prevent and owner from withdrawing from competition after having seen the dogs of his opponents, I move that the Rules Committee be instructed to so change this rule that entries for specials only may in future be received and not necessarily be made to compete in the class in which they are entered, and report at the next meeting.

MR. VITI: I second that motion. It occurred to me in thinking over that matter the other day that where a judge puts in his dog for exhibition only, under this rule he would have to compete.

A DELAGATE: I would like to offer an amendment to embody that.

THE SECRETARY: The rule states that all dogs entered for competition must compete in all classes to which it is eligible. If the dog is entered for exhibition only, he is not entered for competition, and
therefore does not have to compete.

MR. BROOKFIELD: I called up the American Kennel Club on the telephone yesterday and received a ruling to the effect that I had to show an old bitch which I had entered. I showed her as Champion, and she only got third.

THE CHAIR: I should say under the circumstances, under that rule that dogs entered for competition only are required to compete, no amendment to this rule is necessary.

MR. DALE: May I ask how Mr. Brookfield entered his dog that he was compelled to show--- was it for exhibition or specials only?

MR. BROOKFIELD: For specials only/

THE SECRETARY: I think a little explanation is due. We will cite Mr. Brookfield’s dog. It was entered for specials only. When the catalogue comes in there is no mark against the dog. We cannot tell whether that dog competed in his class or not. The cause of the publication of that rule was the receipt of a complaint, the first complaint on record, where it was claimed that under the rules a man entered his dog at a certain show for specials only and did not compete in his class; that being the first time that
it has ever been brought to our knowledge officially, we then had to interpret and publish the rule.

Mr. Buckley’s motion to refer this matter to the Rules Committee was then put and carried.

At this point President Belmont arrived and took the chair.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

PRESIDENT BELMONT: I move that Mr. H.K. Bloodgood be called to the chair.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Bloodgood takes the chair.

THE SECRETARY: In accordance with the by-laws nominations were open from December 1st to December 31st, 1905, by any delegate or club in good standing. These nominations closed on December 31st and were published in the January Gazette. The first are nominations for President. --- Mr. August Belmont and Mr. Hollis H. Hunnewell.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I need hardly say that my name was placed in nomination entirely without my knowledge or consent. I do not think that I or anybody who has the slightest interest in the American Kennel Club would ever allow his name to be placed in nomination in opposition to Mr. Belmont for the office of
President. Mr. Belmont has done more for the American Kennel Club than I think a
great many members realize. The older members realize it; I think possibly some of
the younger members do not. When we were in our infancy, when we had to have a
start, Mr. Belmont took hold, practically wrote the constitution and practically outlined
the whole idea of the club. In addition to that for five years he guaranteed five
thousand dollars a year towards the expense of running the club. Subsequently a
law suit was brought against the club, as you will remember, and Mr. Belmont footed
the bills. We were very poor and very new; our expenses were very heavy, but we
came out on the right side. Mr. Belmont has been repaid, but I do not think that his
sportsmanship will ever be repaid. (Applause). I am sure that every true sportsman
in this country endorses Mr. Belmont and has the greatest respect for him in every
way in which he has been connected with sports. I would say also that Mr. Belmont
took up other matters which were very important and devoted a great deal of his
time to the affairs of the club, after which he offered to resign as President of this
club, and a unanimous vote was taken so long as Mr. Belmont
would be king enough to remain our President we would be only too glad to have him do so. I wish to most emphatically withdraw my name from nomination as President, and I move that Mr. Belmont be unanimously elected President of this club, all standing.

Motion seconded and unanimously carried.

The chair declared Mr. August Belmont duly elected President.

President Belmont takes the chair.

MR. BELMONT: I feel, perhaps, for one reason, that I appeared too soon, and for another reason I can say to you that I am very glad that I was here to receive so striking a compliment from you, one which I do not think is deserved, and I say that in all sincerity. Mr. Hunnewell has said nothing about the excellent services of the other members of the American Kennel Club, or its officers, who worked quite as much as I did to establish the American Kennel Club upon the footing which is now occupies. The club would not have been in existence without the assistance of Mr. Vredenburgh, of Mr. Bloodgood and of Mr. Hunnewell. Year in and year out I have found myself so occupied with various other matters that I have not really given this office the attention that it should have.
As I have said before, and I cannot help feeling so to-day, I have felt that a younger and more active man should head this club. We have had very little serious opposition, it is true, and perhaps it is unfortunate that we have not had more to spur us on to greater activity, but it is a fact that there is always room for improvement, and while I was conversant with the affairs of the club and actively interested in dog shows, and I do claim that I did contribute to the advancement of the club by work and thought, the financial part of it was secondary, because ever since the club has owned its stud book, it has been self-supporting. It might not have had the cash at the moment, but there was no question as to its ability to carry on its work in the future. Now I occasionally attend at some of the meetings when some question comes up which happens to attract my attention, either through unwarranted complaint from an outside party, or from word which Mr. Vredenburgh brings to me, but outside of that it is nothing to you excepting the name, and that other members of the club can contribute just as well as I can. However, I will not be so ungrateful as to say that for any such reasons I will not serve you, because I will do the best I can, and if I
can give you more of my time during the ensuing year, I shall do so; and whenever I can return to the bench with any prospect of success and enjoyment, I shall do that, but my kennels have somewhat run out. “Victor” is dead and “Leasario” is not far from it, and I believe the type has passed from what I always bred for in my own field as dog fancier, so I would have to reform myself; you know that is something in order to-day, and I am not considered one of them. I thank you very much for your compliment.

THE SECRETARY: Nominations for Vice-President: Hollis H. Hunnewell, J. Sergeant Price, Jr., and Dr. J.E. De Mund. I have read a communication from Mr. Price withdrawing his name.

DR. DE MUND: I would like to withdraw my name as candidate for Vice-President of the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIR: There is now but one candidate before you, and a motion instructing the Secretary to cast one ballot for Mr. H.H. Hunnewell will be in order.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for Mr. H.H. Hunnewell as Vice-President of the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary declared that he had cast such
ballot, and Mr. H.H. Hunnewell was declared elected Vice-President of the American Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: Chairman of the Stud Book Committee – Marcel A. Viti, retiring member.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for the election of Mr. Marcel A. Viti as Chairman of the Stud Book Committee.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Marcel A. Viti was declared elected Chairman of the Stud Book Committee.

THE SECRETARY: There are two members of the Stud Book Committee to be elected in place of James W. Appleton and Singleton Van Schaick.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move they be elected to succeed themselves.

MR. MOORE: I second the nomination.

There being no other nominations, on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of James W. Appleton and Singleton Van Schiack to succeed themselves as members of the Stud Book Committee.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Messrs. Appleton and Van Schiack were declared elected members of the Stud Book Committee.
THE SECRETARY: The next nomination is for Chairman of the Constitution and Rules Committee. There are two candidates, Mr. G.M. Carnochan and Mr. Edwards Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: I ask the privilege of not voting, being one of the candidates. Request granted.

The delegates then proceeded to ballot, resulting in the election of Mr. Edward Brooks as Chairman of the Constitution and Rules Committee by a vote of 23 to 12.

THE SECRETARY: The other members of the Committee on Constitution and Rules are Mr. E.S. Woodward, Mr. Edward Brooks and Mr. G. Muss-Arnolt. Mr. Brooks has been made chairman, and that leaves Mr. Carnochan, Mr. Woodward and Mr. Muss-Arnolt as retiring members of that committee, and their successors to be elected. Mr. Woodward is no longer connected with dog matters, and does not attend, and there should be some one elected to take his place.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that Mr. Carnochan and Mr. Muss-Arnolt be elected to succeed themselves on that committee.

Nominations seconded.
There being no further nominations, on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the elected of Messrs. Carnochan and Muss-Arnolt to succeed themselves as members of the Constitution and Rules Committee.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Messrs. Carnochan and Muss-Arnolt were declared elected members of the Constitution and Rules Committee.

MR. VITI: I nominate Mr. Dwight Moore to succeed Mr. E.S. Woodward as a member of the Constitution and Rules Committee.

Nomination seconded.

Mr. Middlebrooke: I nominate Mr. Samuel R. Cutler to succeed Mr. E.S. Woodward as a member of the Constitution and Rules Committee.

Nomination seconded.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the nominations be closed, and that we now proceed to ballot.

Mr. Moore asked to be excused from voting. Request granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The delegates then proceeded to ballot, resulting in the election of Mr. Dwight Moore by a vote of 29 to 7.

THE SECRETARY: Nomination for Chairman Field Trials and Coursing Meetings Committee --- James W. Appleton.
There being no further nominations, on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. James W. Appleton as Chairman of the Field Trials & Coursing Meeting Committee.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot for Mr. Appleton, and he as declared elected Chairman of the Field Trials & Coursing Meetings Committee.

THE SECRETARY: Finance Committee. William G. Rockefeller is nominated for Chairman.

There being no other nomination, on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. W. G. Rockefeller as Chairman of the Finance Committee.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot, and Mr. W.G. Rockefeller was declared elected Chairman of the Finance Committee.

THE SECRETARY: The two retiring members of that committee are Messrs. G. M. Carnochan and Dwight Moore.

MR. MOORE: I would like to withdraw as a candidate for election on that committee, as I am already on one committee.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I nominate Mr. J.M. Dale in place of Mr. Dwight Moore.
Nominations seconded.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I nominate Mr. Carnochan to succeed himself as a member of that committee.

Nominations seconded.

There being no other nominations, on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of Messrs. Dale and Carnochan as members of the Finance Committee.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Messrs. Dale and Carnochan were declared elected members of the Finance Committee.

THE SECRETARY: Nomination for Chairman membership Committee, Mr. William B. Emery.

There being no other nominations, on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. William B. Emery as chairman of the Membership Committee.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Emery was declared elected Chairman of the Membership Committee.

THE SECRETARY: Messrs. Singleton Van Schiack and B.S. Smith are the two other members whose terms of office expire.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that those two gentlemen
be elected to succeed themselves as members of such committee.

Nominations seconded.

There being no other nominations, on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Messrs. Van Schiack and Smith to succeed themselves as members of the Membership Committee.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot, and Messrs. Van Schiack and Smith were declared elected members of the Membership Committee.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY,
Tuesday, May 22, 1906
----
Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, presiding

PRESENT:
ASSOCIATE MEMBERS
W.G. Rockefeller
Dwight Moore

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF NEW YORK
J.H. Brookfield

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB
H.H. Hunnewell

BUFFALO KENNEL CLUB
George Bleistein

BULL TERRIER BREEDERS’ ASSOCIATION
John W. Britton, II

BULL TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA
Clair Foster

CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB
John G. Bates

CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB
Abram D. Gillette

DUQUESNE KENNEL CLUB OF WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA
G.M. Carnochan

FRANKLIN KENNEL CLUB
Wm. T. Payne

IRISH SETTER CLUB
Lawrence M.D. Mc Guire

LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS
Edward Brooks

LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB
Jos. M. Dale

MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB
C.F.R. Drake

NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB
Chetwood Smith

NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB
W.B. Emery
RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB               Dr. J.E. De Mund
SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB            R.P. Keasbey
SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA      Dr. H.T. Foote
SPANIEL BREEDERS' SOCIETY             Marcel A. Viti
THE LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION       James Mortimer
WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA         B.S. Smith

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following
named clubs:
New England Beagle Club                Chetwood Smith
Scottish Terrier Club of America       Dr. H.T. Foote
New Bedford Kennel Club                Charles T. Luce
Lynn Kennel Club                      William Southard
Japanese Spaniel Club                  Joel W. Thorne
Haverhill Kennel Club                  Mark A. Knipe

THE SECRETARY: The credentials from the York Kennel Club, appointing
Dr. J. Fletcher Lutz are not approved by the Membership Committee. The
credentials from the Pomeranian Kennel Club, appointing Reginald F. Mayhew are
also not approved by the Membership Committee.

The minutes of the last meeting, on motion, were adopted as published in the
Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:
To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:- I have the honor to submit this me regular quarterly report. I have received since our last meeting eleven applications from Clubs for admission to membership, and credentials from ten Clubs appointing delegates to represent them in this Association, all of which have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report its action at this meeting.

By order of the meeting of Feb. last, I dropped all clubs in arrears for dues for 1906, March 17th, 1906, and now report that the Fanciers’ Association of Indiana, the Orange County Agricultural Society, the Chicago Kennel Club, and Englewood Kennel Club have paid their dues for 1906, and all apply for reinstatement.

I will submit to you the Minutes of the Executive Board of Meetings held April 26th, and April 27th, 1906, also Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee, of its meeting held March 7th, 1906.

The Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, under date of May 5th rendered a bill for disbursements between Feb. 14th, and April 28th of $17.75. Owing to the
condition of affairs in San Francisco, the Secretary asked if this bill could not be paid at once, as he had personally advanced the money, and needed its return as soon as possible. I found the bill to be correct and assumed the responsibility of sending him a money order for said amount, without waiting for your approval. I now respectfully ask your approval of my action in this matter.

By direction of the Stud Book Committee I have preferred charges against W.A. Bonnot, Orange, N.J., for issuing fraudulent pedigrees. I have also preferred charges against Philip Dahi, St. Louis, Mo., for the perpetration of a fraudulent act.

Mr. A.H. Adamson filed an appeal against the action of the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club, in sustaining a protest against a Bull Terrier at its late show. These charges and this appeal, will be presented to the Executive Board for action at its first meeting.

Owing to the general strike of printers during January and February, and for other delays caused by the printers, and over which we had no control, the annual volume of the Stud Book, of 1905, has been delayed for over thirty days beyond the usual date of publication, and for the information of all interested in said book, I beg
to state, that we have every reason to believe that said Volume will be ready for shipment to our Associate Members for 1905, and to other subscribers, on or about June 1st.

The Genesee County Agricultural Society Kennel Club, has filed a notice to the effect that its title has been changed to read, Genesee County Kennel Club, and requests our records to be changed in accordance therewith.

I beg to report that the Pacific Advisory Committee has admitted to active membership, the Hawaiian Kennel Club of Honolulu, and I have therefore added that club to our list of Active Members.

I will submit a communication just received from the Bureau of Animal Industry, in reference to the recognition of foreign books of record, and have advised the Department that I would submit their communication to the Delegates at this meeting, so that official action may be taken on the subject.

As is usual at this time, I would recommend that your Secretary be directed to send final bills to all Associate Members who are in arrears for dues for 1906, and if the same be not paid within thirty days, that they be dropped from the roll without further notice.

The Ladies' Kennel Association of America, through
Its Secretary, requests the American Kennel Club to adopt the following rules: First, That dogs ruled off or barred from being shown in England, should be barred from being shown in America. Second- That kennels can give their prefix only to dogs bred by such kennels. Third- That dogs registered in England, must be registered under the same name in America.

It is obvious that by the last clause it is meant that if a dog has been registered in England, and imported to this country, and registered with the American Kennel Club, that it must be registered under its English name. I would suggest that these resolutions be referred to the Stud Book Committee for its consideration, and report at a subsequent meeting.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary."

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Treasurer’s Report was read as follows:

“New York, May 21st, 1906

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report.

Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1906 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 18,571.25
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11,011.49
Total 29,582.74

Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8,454.14

Balance on hand 21,128.60

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Executive Board was read, and is as follows:

MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD
HELD April 26, 1906

Present: -- H.H. Hunnewell, H.K. Bloodgood, Marcel A. Viti, Edward Brooks,
W.G. Rockefeller, W.B. Emery
Absent:-- August Belmont, James W. Appleton
H.H. Hunnewell in the Chair

Winslow Clark
Vs.   Re Breach of Contract
James Ballantyne

Charles D. Malliard Atty. Appearing for defendant. A number of affidavits were presented to prove that defendant agreed to sell to plaintiff a Scottish Terrier, named Piper Sandy, for the sum of $150. It was claimed by plaintiff that he had obtained a refusal of this dog during the first day of the N.Y. Show of 1906, and consummated the purchase on the third day of said show, agreeing to pay $50.00 on the delivery of the dog, to wit, Feb. 17th, $50.00 on April 1st, and $50.00 on May 1st. The first payment was paid by check dated Feb. 14th. This check was returned with the information that the dog was sick with distemper. Plaintiff agreed to add to his purchase price, expenses incurred for said sickness. after the exchange of several letters and interviews, plaintiff mailed to defendant a check
for $150, in full payment of the dog and demanded the delivery of said dog. Under date of April 7th defendant returned the check for $150, with the information that plaintiff could not have the dog for less than $500.00. None of the above allegations were disproved. It was therefore-ORDERED, that Ballantyne be and hereby is directed to deliver the Scottish Terrier “Piper Sandy” to Clark within thirty days of the date of this meeting, and that Clark, be and hereby is directed to pay for said day the original purchase price of $150.00. In default of the delivery of said dog as above directed the said James Ballantyne shall be suspended.

W. Howard West
Vs.
George S. Kelley

Re - Misconduct in connection with dogs.
ORDERED, - That this case shall be continued pending the filing of affidavits by defendant.

John E. Howland
Vs.
Charles B. Pineo

Re - Misconduct in connection with dogs.
James B. Blossom appearing for plaintiff. this case was postponed at the meeting of Dec. 1905, at the request of the plaintiff. it would appear from the evidence and affidavits in the case that the parties had entered into an agreement for an exchange of Pointers. The plaintiff agreeing to pay all express charges. Plaintiff personally crated and shipped his bitch to defendant on April 27th, 1905. The express Co. delivered eh crate in good order with the dog therein at defendant’s stable. The affidavit of the Express Agent certifying that
he delivered the dog, and a further statement from said Agent that he held defendant’s receipt for same being filed with the charges. It would appear from the evidence that this bitch escaped and has not been located. The plaintiff demands that the defendant shall live up to his contract. It was therefore, --

ORDERED, -- that Charles B. Pineo, be and hereby is directed to send to Howland according to his original agreement, a Pointer by Young Rip Rap out of Sam’s Bell, within two weeks from the date of this meeting, in default of which said Pineo shall be suspended.

Spotswood Kennels  
Vs.  Re--  Appeal from decision on Sullivan protest.  
The defendant was directed to re-open the case and hear the protest of Sullivan against the Boston Terrier Eastover Lancelot. It would appear from the evidence taken before the W.K.C. that at the show of Feb. 1905, the Veterinary Surgeon, examined the dog in question, and returned it to the ring, without giving an official opinion. Nine months thereafter said Veterinary appeared before the Dog Show Committee of the W.K.C. and gave his opinion in his testimony for the first time. It is held that the object of the rule, is that a decision should be rendered at the time of the examination by the Vet. It is therefore,  

ORDERED, that the original decision rendered by this Board Feb. 1st, 1905, be and hereby is confirmed.
W.P. Farmer
Vs. Re-
Mrs. S. Anderson et at

Misconduct in connection with dogs.

In this case the plaintiff charged that the defendant
Was issuing pedigrees of Toy Poodles, in which
There was a cross in the second generation of
A Maltese Terrier. It appeared from the evidence
Produced that the defendants received from the
Plaintiff the aforesaid pedigree, and issued their
Pedigrees based upon the pedigree received from the
Plaintiff. It is therefore

ORDERED, that the charges be dismissed and the
Sec'y, of the A.K.C. be directed to prefer charges
Against W.P. Farmer.

Upon motion the meeting adjourned until April 27th. at ten thirty A.M.

A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary

----------o:o:o:o----------

ADJOURNED MEETING OF EXECUTIVE BOARD
HELD April 27, 1906

Present: -- H.H. Hunnewell, H.K. Bloodgood, Marcel A. Viti, Edward Brooks,
W.G. Rockefeller, W.B. Emery

Absent:-- August Belmont, James W. Appleton.

H.H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Arthur L. Scollay et all
Vs Re -- Appeal from decision on protest.
Merrimack Valley The plaintiff protested the award to a Collie at the
Kennel Club show of the Merrimack
Valley Club in 1905, and said protest was not allowed by the Dog Show Committee. It appearing that the protestors did not have the opportunity to appear before said Committee in support of their protest, the case was referred by this Board at its meeting held Dec. 1905, back to the Merrimack Valley K.C. with directions to open the case and hear it on its merits giving both parties in the premises an opportunity to be heard. This was done and the Dog Show Committee of the Merrimack Valley K.C. reversed its former decision and sustained the original protest.

It was therefore,--

ORDERED, that the cause for this appeal having been removed said appeal is hereby dismissed.

J'O'Hara, Jr.  Re -- Misconduct in connection with dogs.
Vs. Dr. C.C. Kammerer  In this case the plaintiff purchased a Boston Terrier for the sum of $25.00 upon certain representations made by defendant. It appears that the dog shipped by defendant did not comply with his description, and said dog was promptly returned and a demand made for the return of the purchase price, which was not complied with. It was therefore, ---

ORDERED, that Dr. C.C. Kammerer, be and hereby is directed to return to O'Hara the sum of $25.00 within thirty days of the date of this meeting. In default of which said Kammerer shall be disqualified.
Charles W. Buttles
Vs.   Re -- Appeal from disqualification.
Pacific Advisory Com. This appeal was presented to this Board at its meeting Dec. 1905, and postponed. A request from the appellant for a postponement to enable a representative to appear in his behalf at a future meeting was not granted. The contention was that F.P. Butler, of San Francisco, Cal., purchased an English Setter from the appellant, based upon a description of the dog as advertised in the American Field of June 24, 1905. From the testimony in the original case before the Pacific Advisory Committee it would appear that the dog was not as represented in said advertisement, and the appellant was suspended by the Pacific Advisory Committee until he refunded to F.P. Butler, the sum of $50.00, being the purchase price and $11.50 express charges to San Francisco to Kansas City, within thirty days from the date of said meeting. From the evidence before this Board, it is of the opinion that the Pacific Advisory Committee rendered its decision according to the evidence before it, and it is therefore, --

ORDERED, -- that the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee be and hereby is sustained.

Joseph L. Vaughan
Vs.   Re -- Misconduct in connection with dogs.
Frank F. Dole The evidence produced in this case shows that in August, 1905, the plaintiff sold the Bull Terrier bitch known as Edgewood Nan, to Vaughan
It was proven by affidavit that said bitch appeared to be in whelp, to Edgewood Bloomsbury Hero. There is no evidence to prove that one of the conditions of the sale was that said bitch should be in whelp. There was however, a guarantee on the part of defendant that he should at his own expense have said bitch registered and send to the plaintiff the registry receipt and a certificate pedigree which he failed to do. It is therefore, 

ORDERED, that Dole be and hereby is directed at his own expense to have the Bull Terrier bitch Edgewood Nan, properly registered, and to deliver to Vaughan the register receipt and the certified pedigree. The charge of misconduct on the part of Dole is dismissed.

New England K.C. 
Vs. 
Mr. & Mrs. J.M. Copeland

Re. -- Suspension for substitution of Collie at the Boston Show of 1906. 
The Bench Show Committee of the N.E.K.C. acting upon a protest against two Collies entered by the defendants, rendered a decision that said Collies were not the dogs entered for its show by the defendants, and suspended the defendants for said substitution, and notified the American Kennel Club of said action. The defendants were notified to show cause why said suspension should not be removed and having failed to produce any evidence to disprove the alleged substitution. It is therefore

ORDERED, that the suspension by the N.E.K.C. be and hereby is sustained, and that under the rules, J.M. Copeland, and Mrs. J.M. Copeland be and hereby
are disqualified.

Samuel R. Cutler,  
Atty., for W.A. Corey  

Vs. Re.--  Appeal from ruling of Sec'y.  
American Kennel Club  

In this case William O'Connor of Boston, registered a Boston Terrier, under the name of Little Teddy Mack, and sold said dog to William A. Corey of Manchester N.H., who is turn presented same to his son W.A. Corey, Colorado Springs, Colo. This dog was shown by said Corey, at the Denver Show, and at the Colorado Springs Show, under its registered name. It would appear that this dog has won several prizes under the name of Teddy Mack, and after its name had been changed the owner failed to enter the dog at the Western Show, as Little Teddy Mack, (formerly Teddy Mack). Under the rule the two winnings above referred to were cancelled. The appeal from the action of the Sec'y is based upon the ground that it was a technical error in which no fraud appeared, and that a fine should have been imposed instead of cancellations. It is the sense of this Board that the question of fraud does not arise. It is ruled that the violation of the rule by Corey, although he was ignorant of same was not a technical one, it was therefore.

ORDERED, -- that the cancellation of the awards to the Boston Terrier Little Teddy Mack at the late Denver and Colo. Shows, be confirmed and the Sec'y’s action be sustained.
A request from the Pacific Advisory Committee for the cancellation of the date claims made by the Santa Clara Co. Kennel Club, the San Francisco Kennel Club, and the Bull Terrier Club of San Francisco, and a return of the date deposits made by these clubs, was granted.

It was moved by Mr. Viti, and duly carried that a list of the Field Trials or Dog Show Prize Winnings of any dog will be furnished upon request. The list shall include all winnings appearing in the official Stud Book, the charge for such certificates or for a search for same shall be fifty cents, and said fee shall be paid whether or not the search discloses any winnings.

By Mr. Viti, and carried, that on and after June 1, 1906, all Premium Lists must publish the classification as follows:--

SPORTING DOGS (name each variety in alphabetical order)
NON SPORTING DOGS (name each variety in alphabetical order)
TERRIERS (name each variety in alphabetical order)
FOREIGN DOGS (name each variety in alphabetical order)

Further that the Secretary be instructed to withhold his approval of all classification received by him on and after June 1st, 1906, unless the conform with the above.
On motion Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh was re-appointed Secretary-Treasurer.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee salaries were fixed for the current year.

The Chair appointed William G. Rockefeller, on the Committee of Incorporation in place of the late member C.W. Rodman.

Meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
MR. MORTIMER: I would like to ask whether that report, as to the last rule that was read, the ruling as to the list being in alphabetical order on the premium list is to be carried into the catalogue. It seems to me that if the premium list has to go out in that order, that is, taking all the sporting dogs first, and then the non-sporting dogs, and then the terriers, and the catalogue has to follow in the order of the classification in the premium list, we shall have great difficulty in benching our dogs at the different shows. You see that in the sporting division there comes first, Basset hounds, then beagles, then blood hounds. That is, we jump from a small dog to a very large one; and so we go on until we come to the non-sporting division, where we have first bull dogs, then Chihuahuas. A toy dog is always put in cages. Next in order come collies, Dalmatians and then the English toy spaniel. They are always caged. Then we come to the French bull dog. That is a small dog occupying a small bench. Then we jump to Great Danes, Italian Greyhounds, then Japanese spaniels, and then we come to mastiffs. In providing benching for these dogs we
have to commence either with the smallest dogs or with the largest dogs. I do not know whether I make myself quite clear to you gentlemen, or not, but I would say that benching runs in three different sizes. In benching large dogs, such as bloodhounds, mastiffs, St. Bernards, Great Danes, Russian Wolfhounds, and so forth, the base board is between eleven and twelve feet in width, and this benching comes in fifteen foot lengths. If we have to put in a little toy dog an amount of space that we cannot afford. The Westminster Kennel Club finds the greatest difficulty in benching dogs at its show in Madison Square Garden unless it commences with the large dogs and come very gradually to the smaller ones. That is, in order to make the most of the space at our command. I think you will agree with me that to bench a mastiff alongside of a Japanese spaniel would be rather ridiculous, as it would spoil the effect and symmetry of the show, besides taking up an unnecessary amount of room

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to ask the Secretary whether there was any other letter sent out with this document, this important notice?

THE SECRETARY: No.
MR. CARNOCHAN: I would call attention to the fact that it must have been very misleading to the Secretaries of our shows, because it is not signed by the American Kennel Club, or by any official of it, and it would give rise to people thinking it was unauthorized.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to state for the information of the delegates that a first notice was sent out to Bench Show Committee which I will read. When this notice was sent out it was simply a circular to follow that which was sent out about a week after – to follow that as a guide to the show committee.

MR. CARNOCHAN: That does not, however, render that second document any more authentic, does it?

THE CHAIR: It concludes with “Only such breeds as appear below are recognized by the American Kennel Club.” There is no doubt to anybody’s mind that that was issued by the American Kennel Club. The motion which was carried by the Executive Board reads “On and after June 1, 1906, all premium lists must publish the classification as follows,” and so forth. I do not see that that means that dogs have to be benched that way.

MR. MORTIMER: The difficulty is that when you
issue your premium list you classify all these dogs. When we begin with Basset hounds, the different classes of Basset hounds, the different classes of Basset hounds would be No. 1, 2, 3 and so forth, and your catalogue has got to follow the premium list, or your exhibitors will make mistakes in their entries. When the catalogue follows the premium list, the benching must follow the catalogue.

THE CHAIR: Is that absolutely necessary?

MR. MORTIMER: It is absolutely necessary in so far as you have to make the best use of any building you might have, as the benching goes in different sizes.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. Mortimer is right. If you have your entries jumping all through your catalogue, as you have it here, when you call a class into the judging ring, you won’t know where to do.

THE CHAIR: The only thing you can do to remedy that would be to request the Executive Board to reconsider its report in that respect.

MR. BROOKS: That only applies to the premium list?

THE CHAIR: Yes.

MR. MORTIMER: But the catalogue has got to follow the premium list.

MR. BROOKS: I do not see why it should.
MR. MORTIMER: You will have matters badly mixed up if you do not. I would like to make a motion that the Executive Board be asked to reconsider that rule.

THE CHAIR: The proper motion would be to accept the report but to ask the Executive Committee to reconsider that part of it.

MR. MORTIMER: I am sure the gentlemen here have given this matter deep thought. If they have they will see the great mix up we will have, and the very great inconvenience there will be in holding shows. I would like to say a few words more on this subject before I make the motion. The difficulty seems to be that there is more or less trouble in finding out the different breeds; that is the sporting-breeds, but I think if all premium lists were made to read the same, the classification to follow in every instance as far as, it possibly could – for instance, the Westminster Kennel Club, and every premium list should follow the Westminster Kennel Club’s list, to make the premium lists of all shows practically the same, that would do away in a great measure with the difficulty that arises in this office in finding out the proper divisions or sections.
that these particular breeds should go in. If we can make a rule that our premium lists shall be uniform, and the catalogue should follow that, as I say, I think that would do away with all difficulty.

MR. CARNOCHAN: While this is a resolution passed by the Executive Board, it certainly is a rule, and it should come under the head of rules governing dog shows. I therefore move that this matter be referred to the Rules Committee to formulate a proper rule in this connection.

DR. DE MUND: I second the motion.

MR. MORTIMER: Before that motion is put I would like to call attention to the fact that this notice has been sent out to all superintendents and secretaries of bench shows. It is possible now that it is the idea or the opinion of all these different secretaries that this must be carried out. Ought they not to be notified to the effect that this matter should be held in abeyance until the committee has reconsidered this matter?

MR. VITI: There is only one show claimed in August, I think.

MR. MORTIMER: No, there are more than that.

MR. VITI: If this meeting took place, the Exec-
utive Board will have a meeting in June, and if they corrected that, then it would simplify matters very much, because if they make a rule, it cannot be acted upon until the September meeting. As for myself, I should think that it is not practicable to bench dogs in alphabetical order, but they ought to be benched in order of size, or some particular form to be adopted.

THE CHAIR: The motion Mr. Carnochan makes is as follows: That the report of the Executive Committee be accepted; that the matter of classifying of breeds at shows as reported by the Executive Board be referred to the Rules Committee to formulate a rule and report same to the next quarterly meeting; that the Secretary be instructed to give notice to the show secretaries and superintendents to this effect. I understand from this motion that the show secretaries are to proceed as they did before.

MR. VITI: It seems to me the proper thing to do is to have this matter reconsidered. I assume the Executive Committee will have a meeting in June.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to offer an amendment to the motion that has just been made by Mr. Carnochan. I move as an amendment that the Executive
Board be asked to reconsider that question at its June meeting, or at as early a
meeting as can be convened.

Amendment seconded.

MR. CARNOCHAN: While of course that is entirely proper it is within the
power of this quarterly meeting to take any action that it pleases on the report of the
Executive Committee. Therefore it is not necessarily at this meeting to ask the
Executive Board to rescind its motion. If we do not approve of their report this rule
does not then go into effect.

MR. MORTIMER: As I take it, it is necessary that this matter should be
settled as speedily as possible. Dog show clubs are getting ready for their dog
shows, and it will be soon time to go to work on these shows in getting out premium
lists and all that sort of thing, and it would be well to have this matter finally settled
as early as possible in order to do away with any subsequent difficulties that might
arise.

DR. DE MUND: I should like to amend that by moving that this meeting do
not sustain the action of the Executive Board in the change of the rule.

Amendment seconded.
MR. MORTIMER: If I may add to my amendment, that in the meantime before this matter is reconsidered – this matter cannot be finally considered, as I understand it, until the next quarterly meeting – if it cannot be I should like to add to my amendment that the premium lists stand as they were. That is to say, that this notice should be cancelled.

THE CHAIR: I think under our own constitution I should rule [Dr. De Mund amendment] out of order, under Section 2, Article 12.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to ask in that connection whether the acts of the Executive Committee do not have to be passed upon by this meeting, and why should we accept their report.

THE CHAIR: It was read for information. You have heard the report and you are requesting a reconsideration.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I can understand while the Executive Board may have full power between meetings, we certainly have power at this meeting to do as we please, and we can refer this matter, which should be included in the rules governing dog shows, to the Rules Committee. We cannot change our by-laws without their going to the Rules Committee.
THE CHAIR: You cannot change what the Executive Board has done. You can ask it to reconsider, or bring the matter up again, but you cannot change what it has done. The Executive Board has full power between meetings.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Do I understand you as ruling that the Board of Delegates has absolutely no power at any time to change the rulings of the Executive Board?

THE CHAIR: As I understand the constitution, they can bring the matter up again and ask for a reconsideration, but they have go to accept the report of the Executive Board, they having full power.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Then how is Dr. De Mund’s motion out of order if we have power at this time to change any ruling of the Executive Board?

THE CHAIR: You have not such power, as I understand our constitution.

DR. DE MUND: Do you make that as a ruling?

THE CHAIR: Yes.

DR. DE MUND: I appeal from that ruling.

MR. MOORE: I think Dr. De. Mund’s motion is out of order at this time, but I see no reason why you cannot bring it up under the head of new bus-
INESS.

DR. DE MUND: I asked for a ruling on that motion, and the ruling of that motion, and the ruling was that this body had not power to change the Executive Board's report. My motion was not to change the report, but simply not to sustain what it has done.

THE CHAIR: You can bring that up under the head of new business. Our report does not have to be passed upon by the delegates. The report comes in. That is what has been done. Then if you choose under the heading of new business to rescind anything that has been done, you have a perfect right to do so, but you have not right to change the report of the Executive Board. The discussion came up on this clause because it was evident a mistake had been made, and to save time I thought in accepting the report we would pass a resolution to ask the Executive Board to reconsider this matter which evidently was a mistake, and was acknowledged by the mover of the motion to have been a mistake. The report of the Executive Board is law until it is changed.

DR. DE MUND: I did not understand your ruling in that way. Therefore I withdraw my appeal. I
understand the ruling to be that this body has no power to set aside anything that the Executive Board did.

THE CHAIR: That rule was law until to-day.

DR. DE MUND: But we have power now to set it aside?

THE CHAIR: You have power to set aside, I might say, in the future, but not what we have done.

MR. MC GUIRE: I think we have the power to refer that part of this report back to the committee with instruction.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I call for the previous question.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Carnochan moves that the report of the Executive Board be accepted; that the matter of classifying of breeds at shows, as reported by the Executive Board, be referred to the rules committee to formulate a rule and report same to next quarterly meeting; that the Secretary be instructed to give notice to the show secretaries and superintendents to this effect. Mr. Mortimer’s amendment is that this matter be reconsidered at the June meeting of the Executive Board, and pending action the premium lists to continue as at present. The vote first will be
on the amendment.

Amendment carried.

The motion as amended was then carried.

The following named clubs were admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club: Tedeseo Kennel Club; English Setter Club; Grotona Collie Club; East Liverpool Kennel Club; Columbus Kennel Club Incorporated; York Kennel Club; the New Bedford Kennel Club; the Mississippi Valley Kennel Club; Pine Tree Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: The applications of the Methuen Kennel Club of Methuen, Massachusetts, being protested by the Merrimack Kennel Club and the Revere Kennel Club are marked out approved by the committee. The application of the Orange County Agricultural Association for reinstatement is approve by the committee.

MR. BROOKS: I move that it be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The request of the Chicago Kennel Club for reinstatement has been marked approved by the committee.

MR. BROOKS: I move that it be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The request of the Chicago Kennel Club for reinstatement has been marked approved by the committee.

MR. BROOKS: I move that it be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.
THE SECRETARY: The People’s Fanciers’ Association of Indiana’s application for reinstatement is marked approved by the committee.

MR. BROOKS: I move that it be reinstated.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The application of the Englewood Kennel Club for reinstatement is marked by the committee to hold pending investigation.

MR. BROOKS: On behalf of the Rules Committee, I have to report that it has no final report to make. We have had two or three meetings and changed the rules very materially, but we are only about half way through our work, and it will require a few more meetings to finish the work so as to publish it in the Gazette. I report progress.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

“The Stud Book Committee begs to report that applications have been filed for granting kennel names as follows: --
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALLENDALE</td>
<td>William Ross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLWOOD</td>
<td>R.M. Dunlevy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELRAY</td>
<td>Raymond Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREASIDE</td>
<td>W.H.N. Voss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY THE WAY</td>
<td>Harry C. Tolman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARNATION</td>
<td>Frank J. Goodwin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYSTAL</td>
<td>Wm. F. Kubach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANICA</td>
<td>Victoria C. Hannan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLENFIN</td>
<td>T.J. James, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FARALBONE</td>
<td>C.W. Riffe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERMITAGE</td>
<td>C.W. Hoitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEADOWSVALE</td>
<td>Walter R. Harmon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTPELIER</td>
<td>Edmund Pendleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWTON</td>
<td>Roy Riedinger, V.B. Wood, and A.D. Blair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOBBY</td>
<td>Frank R. Lehr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF THE LAKE</td>
<td>Doctor W.H. Watkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUEENSBURY</td>
<td>W. Freeland Kendrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSWELL</td>
<td>W. Nephew King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROYAL BELL</td>
<td>F.S. Lozudway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SILVER BELL</td>
<td>W.S. Fanshawe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SILVERTHORN</td>
<td>Sam'l C. Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKELBROOK</td>
<td>Hugh McKay Jones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is recommended that all with the exception of Thistle be granted as applied for. Thistle being already registered the application must be denied.

Application has been made for the recognition of a variety of dogs to be known as Japanese Plumed Dogs.

The Committee was informed that these dogs have been bred from dogs in Japan some years ago. No proof however was offered of the existence of Plumed dogs in Japan, nor of their establishment as separate and distinct breed of many generation.

A protest against the recognition of this breed was filed by the Japanese Spaniel Club.

The Committee being of the opinion that there is not sufficient proof of the establishment of the breed recommends that the application be denied.
In the matter of the Beagle now known as Windholme Bartender it is recommended that the case be continued as the proof at present is inconclusive and the committee believes all the parties should have an opportunity to furnish further evidence.

Marcel A. Viti
Chairman

On motion the same was adopted and placed on file.

The report of the Pacific Advisory Committee under date of March 7, 1906, was read, and is as follows:

REGULAR MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, MARCH 7, 1908, MILLS BUILDING, SAN FRANCISCO.


Minutes of previous meeting read and approved.

The secretary raised a point of order and the meeting granted unanimously permission to introduce a motion to amend the by-laws of the committee.

It was then moved and seconded, that the date set for
the regular meetings of the committee be changed from the first Wednesday in each month to the second Wednesday.

Carried.

The secretary advised the Committee, that since January the 25, he had in his possession the application for membership and the dues of the Bull-terrier Club of San Francisco, and also their deposit of $25.00 for dates claimed on March 17\textsuperscript{th}. The application had not been perfected and submitted to the Committee for action, by reason of said club not having obtained the consent of the Bull-terrier Club of America to their affiliation with the American Kennel Club. The Bull-terrier Club of San Francisco had applied for such consent and had received a reply from the secretary of the national Bull-terrier Club, stating that the application would be considered and determined at the annual meeting to be held on Feb. 12\textsuperscript{th}. It now transpires that the annual meeting had been held, and the local club’s application had not been acted upon either through oversight or possibly on account of pressure of other business. The local club had been obliged to forgo their intention to hold a show on March the 17\textsuperscript{th}, and had been much inconvenienced and had besides incurred some financial loss. In view of these facts, it was MOVED and seconded, that whereas the
Bull-terrier Club of San Francisco applied to the Bull-terrier Club of America for consent to join the American Kennel Club, and received a reply from the secretary of the latter stating that the application would be laid before the annual meeting to be held on the 12th of February, and Whereas such application was not laid before the annual meeting of the Bull-terrier Club of America, presumably through oversight, and Whereas such neglect to take proper action has inflicted financial loss and much inconvenience on the Bull-terrier Club of San Francisco and has resulted in the cancellation of their intended show to be held on March 17th, and whereas such neglect of duty appears to be a matter of frequent occurrence, where Pacific Coast specialty club is concerned, and Whereas this Committee is of opinion, that the formation of specialty clubs on this Coast can but remotely affect the parent specialty in the East, by reason of geographical distance and other considerations, and Whereas the aforementioned neglect of the Pacific Coast Clubs by the Eastern parent clubs is resulting in much injury to the kennel interests of the Coast and in much dissatisfaction with the American Kennel Club for allowing this condition to continue, therefore be it
Resolved, that this Committee is of the opinion that it
should be empowered to admit specialty clubs within the limit of its jurisdiction to membership in the American Kennel Club, without requiring that such specialty clubs be obliged to obtain the consent of the Eastern parent clubs, but that such admission be granted only on condition of such specialty club agreeing to adhere to the standard defined by the parent body, and that every such admission granted by the Pacific Advisory Committee be notified to such parent body as well as to the American Kennel Club, and be it further Resolved, that the secretary of this Committee be and hereby is instructed to request the secretary of the American Kennel Club to present this resolution to the American Kennel Club for consideration and action. Carried.

The secretary informed the meeting that on request of the Portland Kennel Club, he had approved the change of date from April 18th to 21st as first granted to May 30th to June 2nd, and that on request of the Seattle Dog Fanciers Association, he had changed the date of their show from April 18th to 21st as first granted to May 23rd to 26th.

The action of the secretary was confirmed.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J.P. Norman,
Secretary
MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like to ask whether or not that resolution of the Pacific Advisory Committee in regard to specialty clubs takes effect without our approval, or whether it has to be approved.

THE CHAIR: They ask for approval. It has to be approved by us.

THE SECRETARY: They ask permission to admit specialty clubs within their jurisdiction without requiring that specialty club to obtain the consent of a similar specialty club in the East.

MR. CARNOCHAN: This is in direct opposition to one of our own rules. I have always questioned the use of that rule to making a specialty club go to the parent specialty club, but it does not seem to me, so long as we have such a rule, that we can confirm the motion of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

THE CHAIR: They simply ask for an exception in their case.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I cannot see why any exception should be made, because the last case we had of this sort was a club in Chicago, and why should San Francisco or the Pacific Coast be excepted in a matter of this sort any more than Chicago.

THE CHAIR: As I understand it now, they can be
accepted if they have the consent of the parent club.

MR. MORTIMER: I think that as the Pacific Coast has its own advisory board, taking into consideration the geographical distance there is between the extreme West and the extreme East of this continent, there should be not real reason why the Pacific Advisory Committee should not be allowed to grant permission to those specialty clubs to give shows. Those specialty clubs agree to adhere to the standards of the parent club, and that was one of the reasons why the Chicago club, which has just been referred to – why their application was refused, as I understand that they wanted to use a different standard to that of the parent club.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Mr. Mortimer states a fact which was my reason for asking the first question. If the Pacific Advisory Committee, under its charter, have full power over matters in their own territory, I do not see any reason why they should come to us for confirmation of that resolution.

THE SECRETARY: The point is that we have a standing resolution that the American Kennel Club will not admit a specialty club where one already exists for the same breed of dogs without the con-
sent of the original club.

THE CHAIR: So the Pacific Advisory Committee would have to follow our rule.

MR. CARNOCHAN: According to their charter they have full power.

MR. VITI: It seems to me that the question of geography is not a proper one, because all specialty clubs are just as liberal with their prizes in Canada and Texas and on the Pacific Coast as they are at shows in the East, and I think we want to avoid a case of this kind. If a specialty club is not approved by the parent club there is no guarantee that the standard of the parent club will be recognized. If the parent club offers prizes, we will say for example, under their standards, and the local club offers prizes under an entirely different standard, those conditions are not going to be conductive to the enforcement of what is recognized and stated generally to be the proper standard for different breeds. It was for that reason that we decided to obtain the permission of the parent club at the start. I think we ought to do all we can to protect our members in their standards and not allow clubs to be formed in this country that would have antagonistic standards.
to those, so that you would have two sets of special prizes offered at the same show for two different varieties of fox terriers, for example.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Carnochan, you are perfectly right in saying that the Pacific Advisory Committee has full power out there, but they cannot break our constitution.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Is that in the constitution?

THE SECRETARY: It is not in the constitution; it is a rule.

THE CHAIR: They have to conform to our ruling in what they do. They cannot break our rule.

MR. EMERY: I move that they be instructed to follow the rules of the parent club, and that their request be not granted.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Has my point of order been decided?

THE CHAIR: What is that?

MR. CARNOCHAN: That they have power in their own territory.

THE CHAIR: They have power in conformity with our constitution, rules and by-laws.

MR. CARNOCHAN: Is this in the by-laws?

THE CHAIR: It is being looked up by the Secre-
MR. CARNOCHAN: Have they a right to change any of our standing resolutions?

THE CHAIR: No, not our standing resolutions.

MR. VITI: There is no question at all but what this is against the best interest of this association for them not to enforce our standing resolutions. They are just as enforceable as the by-laws.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I would like a ruling on my point of order, as to whether the Pacific Advisory Committee is able to attend to that matter itself.

THE CHAIR: I should rule in this case that it had not. We can give them the power. They asked for the power to do it.

MR. MOORE: I do not think it is a debatable question. You can rule that they cannot do it.

THE CHAIR: No; I have ruled that they have not the power without our giving them the power.

MR. VITI: They have practically asked that we amend that resolution by making an exception in their case.

MR. CARNOCHAN: In view of the fact that the general sentiment seems to be that the clubs on the Pacific Coast should be allowed this power, and in
view of the fact that it seems great injustice to clubs in the East if they are allowed this power, I move that the resolution with reference to specialty clubs applying to the parent specialty club be rescinded, and that the passing on specialty clubs with reference to the standards be referred to the Membership Committee.

MR. MORTIMER: Are not these members of the Pacific Coast Club members of the American Kennel Club?

THE CHAIR: They are just as much members as we are.

MR. MORTIMER: Then why not grant this privilege they ask for, or is it a privilege? It is a right that they are entitled to. The only existing condition is that their standards shall conform to the parent club’s standards. The American Kennel Club recognizes no standard whatever.

MR. CARNOCHAN: I move that the Membership Committee formulate rule on this subject and report at the next meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The recommendation of the Stud Book Committee on the granting of Kennel names has been read, but no action has been taken.
MR. MOORE: I move that the recommendations of the Stud Book Committee be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I assumed the responsibility of paying the bill of disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee of $17.75 without first submitting it to you for your direction. Of course we all know the peculiar circumstances on the Pacific Coast and at San Francisco. At the last meeting of the Executive Board they directed me to allow three clubs to cancel their dates and return their date deposits, and acting under that direction, as I say, I assumed the responsibility of paying this bill of disbursements of $17.75, and I would like your action at this meeting confirming my action.

MR. MOORE: I move that the action of the Secretary be confirmed.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Genesee County Agricultural Society Kennel Club has changed its name to read “Genesee County Kennel Club,” and asks that our records be corrected accordingly.

MR. MOORE: I move that the request of the
Genesee County Agricultural Society Kennel Club for change of name be granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from the Bureau of Animal Industry at Washington referring to recognition of foreign books of record.

MR. MOORE: I move that the communication be referred to the Stud Book Committee, they to report at the June meeting of the Executive Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have asked permission to send final bills to all associates in arrears for dues for 1906, and recommend that the usual course be followed, that if not paid within thirty days I be directed to drop them from the roll.

MR. MOORE: I make that motion, that the Secretary be directed to adopt the usual course.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Ladies’ Kennel Association of America, through its Secretary, requests this club to adopt the following rule:

1st: That dogs ruled off or barred from being shown in England, should be barred from being
Shown in America.

2nd: That kennels can give their prefix only to dogs bred by such kennels.

3rd: That dogs registered in England, must be registered under the same name in America. I suppose that means if registered in England, they must be registered in the same name in America.

MR. VITI: I would like to ask the delegate from the Ladies’ Kennel Association what argument they have in support of this third request, that dogs registered in England, must be registered under the same name in America. Do they mean dogs that have been registered there and shown, or dogs that have not been registered there and shown, or dogs that have not been registered there and not shown as well?

MR. MORTIMER: I take that they mean dogs that have been registered there and exhibited; that instead of their names being altered when they come out here and saying formerly so and so, that they be registered under the same name that they were registered under in the English kennel club.

MR. VITI: Do you know whether or not they are informed that the English kennel club has always refused to show us any recognition of that sort?

MR. MORTIMER: No, I do not know whether they
are aware of that fact or not. But I should think that there can only be one objection to that rule or to that recommendation, and that is in the case of dogs already registered in this country by the same name, in which case I should recommend that the word “imported” be added. I am satisfied to have the matter referred to the Stud Book Committee or Rules Committee.

It was moved and seconded that the first request be referred to the Rules Committee, and that the second and third requests be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to recommend for recognition by the Stud Book Committee the Siberian or Samoyed dogs. I call your attention to the breed here as recognized, and also to the fact that there are a number of these at the present time in this country. I would like to go further with the admission of breeds, and I would take Count De Bylandt’s book as an authority. There are a number of dogs coming here all the time that we cannot get even into the
miscellaneous class without we have permission from the American Kennel Club, and it seems a little hard. I had to refuse four entries of the Samoyed dogs because I could not get them in the miscellaneous class. If you look over English catalogues you will find that they are recognized there under the head of foreign dogs, and a classification made for them in their shows.

MR. VITI: Don’t you think if our list of foreign dogs that are recognized was expanded so as to include virtually all those dogs, it would answer that purpose? That was my thought to expand that list.

MR. MORTIMER: That was my idea exactly; that the list of foreign dogs be extended to cover the breeds that are recognized in this book.

MR. CARNOCHAN: What is our present rule in this connection?

THE CHAIR: The Stud Book Committee has given a list of dogs that are recognized by the American Kennel Club.

MR. VITI: From time to time that list has been added to.

MR. MORTIMER: I move that our list of foreign dogs be extended so as to include any breed in the
miscellaneous class that is recognized in Count De Bylandt’s book, and we will take his book as authority.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

-------:-o0o-:--------
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26TH, 1906.

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell, presiding.

Present:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associate Members</th>
<th>Dwight Moore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airedale Terrier Club</td>
<td>J.H. Brookfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Dachshund Club</td>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Fox Terrier Club</td>
<td>H.H. Hunnewell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Spaniel Club</td>
<td>H.K. Bloodgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryn Mawr Kennel Club</td>
<td>Robert E. Strawbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulldog Club of America</td>
<td>W.C. Codman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull Terrier Breeders' Ass'n</td>
<td>John W. Britton II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedarhurst Kennel Club</td>
<td>John Bates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champlain Kennel Club</td>
<td>Abram D. Gillette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collie Club of America</td>
<td>R.S. Edson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotona Collie Club</td>
<td>William Ruff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalmatian Club of America</td>
<td>J.B. Thomas, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haverhill Kennel Club</td>
<td>Mark A. Knipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-State Fair Kennel Club</td>
<td>H.S. Spackman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladies' Kennel Association</td>
<td>Edward Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascoutah Kennel Club</td>
<td>C.F.R. Drake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
National Beagle Club      Jas. W. Appleton
New England Beagle Club       Chetwood Smith
New England Kennel Club       W.E. Emery
Pointer Club of America       Ancell H. Ball
Portland Kennel Club          Edwin J. Van Schaick
Revere Kennel Club            Samuel R. Cutler
Rockland County Industrial Ass’n     Monson Morris
Russian Wolfhound Club        Dr. J.E. DeMund
San Francisco Kennel Club     R.P. Keasbey
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club Edward Hance, Jr.
Spaniel Breeders’ Society     Marcel A. Viti
The Ladies’ Kennel Ass’n      James Mortimer
Westminster Kennel Club       Harry T. Peters

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:
Revere Kennel Club          Samuel R. Cutler
Bay State Bench Show Ass’n   Horace A. Belcher
Crotona Collie Club         William Ruff
Hawaiian Kennel Club        Seabury C. Mastick
Mississippi Valley Kennel Club      Harry B. Hawes
Pine Tree Kennel Club       John J. Boyle
Southwestern Kennel Club    Irving C. Ackerman
York Kennel Club            J. Fletcher Lutz
THE SECRETARY: The credentials of the Piping Book Kennel Club, appointing Mr. Harvey S. Lade to represent it as its delegate, has not been approved by the Committee.

The following named clubs were elected members of the American Kennel Club:

Hartford Show Association, Methuen Kennel Club and Canobie Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: The application of the Englewood Kennel Club for reimbursement has again been held back by the Committee without its approval.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the last number of the Gazette.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, September 26th, 1906

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:-

I have the honor to submit this, my regular quarterly report. I have received since our last meeting three applications from Clubs for admission to membership, and credentials from nine clubs appointing delegates to represent them in this Association, all of which have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report its action at this meeting.
I will submit to you the minutes of the Executive Board of its meeting held July 2nd, 1906, also minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee, of its meetings held June 16th, 1906 and July 25th, 1906 and August 18th, 1906, and September 8th, 1906.

The Piping Book Kennel Club of Glen Cove, L.I., filed its application for membership, and requested that the same be submitted for a mail vote. The Executive Board unanimously voted to admit the said club to active membership, and has been so recorded upon our records.

The following charges have been filed and will be submitted to the Executive Board at its first meeting.

Baggett Remedy Co., against T.B. Middlebrooke
Bernard Guggenheim against Bruno Wolfrum
J.G. Burns against the Buffalo Kennel Club
Boston Terrier Club against W.S. Hennessy, Jr.
Thomas Kelly against Bar Harbor Bench Show Ass'n

A communication from the Pomeranian Club of America, and a protest from R.F. Mayhew against his rejection as a delegate to represent their Club has been duly filed with this office, and the same will be submitted to you at this meeting.

A Special Committee appointed by the Executive Board to classify the breeds of dogs so that all premium lists and
catalogues shall publish said breeds in the same order, begs to submit its report for your consideration.

The Rhode Island Kennel Club claimed dates for a show to be held at Pawtucket, September 8th, 1906, and has notified me that owning to unforeseen circumstances, it will not be able to hold said show, and requests me to return the deposit of $25.00. The rule clearly states that in case a show is not held that the deposit shall be forfeited, therefore having no authority to comply with the request of this club, I notified it that I would present the request at this meeting for your action.

I beg to submit for your consideration the advisability of issuing the Gazette semi-monthly instead of monthly as heretofore. The marked increase in the number of shows held under our rules has on one occasion increased the size of the Gazette to fifty-six pages, and notwithstanding the large addition of the number of pages the reports on the shows are delayed from two to three months after the shows have been held. Taking the Gazette of May 31st, 1906, as a basis, I find that the cost of same was $383.84, it contained 62 pages of printed matter. In publishing that paper semi-monthly, the increased cost would have been less than $30.00. For this additional outlay, the official awards would have been published nearer to
the date of the show, and I think would have given more general satisfaction. With
the claims for shows now pending, there will be 26 prize lists to be published in three
issues, and together with the other matters contained in the Gazettes, will materially
add to the size of each issue and at the same time contain official awards at least
two months after the shows have been held. I would strongly recommend that I may
have the privilege of making the trial for the months of October, November and
December of a semi-monthly publication.

The Rules Committee has held several sessions during the past
summer in the consideration of the re-arrangement of the rules, adding to and taking
from the now existing rules, such parts as in its judgment was considered for the
best interest of dog shows. The final report of the Committee was duly published in
the August Gazette, and is now before this meeting for final action.

I beg to report the receipt of a bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee
for disbursements from May 1st to September 15th inclusive, amounting to $39.45,
and would respectfully recommend that the same be ordered paid. I would also
report that I have paid a visit to San Francisco, and while there purchased card
 cabinets and filing cases, with the necessary cards, and an office desk for the use of
the Pacific Advisory Committee.
This enables the Secretary of that Committee to keep our records on the coast similar to those kept at this office. The cost of the entire plant being about $105.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, September 26, 1906

To The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1906 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $18,571.25
Receipts from Jan. 1 to date . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17,425.39
Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $35,996.64
Disbursements from Jan. 1 to date . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17,121.54
Balance on hand . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $18,875.10

Respectfully submitted

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
THE SECRETARY: The report of the Executive Board was published in the July Gazette.

MR. MOORE: I move that it be accepted as published in the Gazette. Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have four reports from the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that they be published in the next number of the Gazette and passed upon at the next meeting. Motion seconded and carried.

The following is a copy of the Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

June 16, 1906

Present: J.E. De Ruyter, Chairman
Dr. W.P. Burnham
J.P. Norman

Moved and seconded that the minutes be adopted as published in the Gazette. Carried.

The Secretary read the following letter from Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh.

New York, April 19, 1906

Mr. J.P. Norman,
Berkley

Dear Sir:

We are more than anxious about our friends in San Francisco during this terrible ordeal, through which they are passing, and as it is impossible to reach you by telegraph, we can only hope that this letter will reach you by telegraph, we can only hope that this letter will reach you and cheer you in whatever surroundings you may a present be in. We are hoping that all is well and will welcome the earliest possible news from you with eagerness. If you need any assistance from us we are ready and willing to extend to you and yours whatever may be necessary. With the very best of wishes for your safety, we remain,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Your truly,
It was moved and seconded that this Committee extends to the American Kennel Club and to its Secretary, Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, its sincere thanks and heartfelt appreciation of the prompt sympathy and offer of assistance so fraternally extended, and that Mr. Vredenburgh’s letter be constitution a part of the minutes of this committee and be filed in the archives with the endorsement of this resolution made thereon.

The Secretary read the following communication from the A.K.C. dated April 27, 1906:  C.W. Buttles versus Pacific Advisory Committee:  Appeal from decision of said Committee: “At a meeting of the Executive Board held on April 27th, 1906, the above case was acted upon and the following resolution was duly adopted: The request of the appellant for postponement not granted. Ordered that the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee be and hereby is sustained. Deposit forfeited.”

On motion the letter was ordered filed.

A point of order was claimed and allowed by the Chair:  It was moved and seconded, that whereas the above was an appeal taken from a decision of this Committee, a constituent past of the American Kennel Club, it is the sense of this Committee that the title of said appeal is in error, and that the case should be docketed in the files of the Executive Board under the original title, by which it was heard by this Committee, namely, as Butler versus Buttles. That any other title is misleading and would lead to an improper inference, and that the latter in the position of defendant to all appearances. An appeal from a Superior Court to a Court of Appeals would not be docketed as a complaint against the former, and it is respectfully submitted to the American Kennel Club by this Committee that all future appeals against its decisions be docketed under the original title of the case. Carried.

The Secretary reported the election to membership in the American Kennel Club by mail vote of the Bull Terrier Club of San Francisco, and of the Hawaiian Kennel Club of Honolulu, T.H.

On motion the vote was confirmed.

It was moved and seconded THAT WHEREAS, it is the understanding of this Committee, that the Rules Committee of the A.K.C. is now considering amendments and additions to the rules to be submitted to the A.K.C. at its September meeting, therefore be it RESOLVED that this Committee do now proceed to the consideration of such amendments as it may deem
desirable to submit to the Rules Committee for recommendation to the A.K.C. and to the consideration of the American Kennel Club, without such recommendation, if the findings of this Committee are not in the nature of new rules, and be it further RESOLVED that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to transmit separately in condensed form the findings of this meeting to the secretary of the A.K.C. for presentation to the Rules Committee.

It was moved and seconded that WHEREAS this Committee finds itself frequently impeded in its work and sometimes in error, by reason of the delay in learning of changes in or additions to the rules of the American Kennel Club, and WHEREAS such occurrences must decrease the efficiency of the Committee and thus of the American Kennel Club in so far as its Pacific coast interests are concerned, and WHEREAS subscribers to Eastern kennel papers acquire knowledge of the proceedings of the American Kennel Club before the members of this Committee, which is a part of the executive machinery of the American Kennel Club, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that it is the sense of this Committee, that in order to properly perform its work of promoting the interests of the American Kennel Club, it is essential that all changes in the rules or additions thereto be transmitted to the secretary of this committee immediately after the meeting of the American Kennel Club at which such changes or additions were passed, or as soon thereafter as possible. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, that the American Kennel Club be requested to furnish this Committee with a copy of all standing resolutions of the said Club, so that the Committee may be enabled to perform its duties and render its decisions in accordance with such resolutions, and it was further moved and seconded that the American Kennel Club be requested to furnish this Committee with a copy of all standing resolutions and rules that may not be printed in the copy of the constitution and book of rules furnished to this Committee. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, THAT WHEREAS confusion is caused and errors occur by reason of the fact that Clubs and individuals on the Pacific coast deal sometimes directly with the American Kennel Club, and WHEREAS the American Kennel Club in its constitution has among other powers delegated to this Committee as its agent on the Pacific coast, expressly stated that all communications between the American Kennel Club and Clubs and Exhibitors on the Pacific coast shall be transmitted through this Committee, and neglect to comply with and to en-
force compliance with this rule leads to the ignoring of this Committee and to the consequent impairment of its efficiency therefore be it

RESOLVED THAT IT IS THE SENSE OF THIS COMMITTEE that all business and correspondence of whatsoever nature received by the American Kennel Club direct from Clubs or Exhibitors on the Pacific coast should be referred back to this Committee for action, before any action be taken thereon by the American Kennel Club, and that such rule as may be proper be formulated by the Rules Committee, and if approved by the American Kennel Club be published in the next issue of the book of rules.

And be it further RESOLVED that inasmuch as the powers delegates to the Pacific Advisory Committee are a part of the constitution of the American Kennel Club, and the rules adopted by the latter are therefore a part of the rules of the American Kennel Club, both the powers delegates to and rules formulated by the Pacific Advisory Committee for the guidance of Pacific Coast Clubs should be published in the new book of rules, or if the latter be deemed inexpedient, then only the powers granted by the A.K.C. should be so published for the information and guidance of clubs and exhibitors on the Pacific coast. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, that WHEREAS it would appear from the records of the American Kennel Club, that the sole object of the rule requiring specialty clubs to obtain the consent of the parent club is the enforcement of a common standard.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that it is the sense of this Committee that the purpose of the rule would be served by exacting from each specialty club applying for membership, an undertaking to accompany its application that it will maintain and conform to such standard as is or may be adopted by the parent body, and that at least on the Pacific coast such requirement would answer every purpose, and be it further

RESOLVED that this Committee do hereby request the American Kennel Club to grant such authority to this Committee to admit Pacific coast specialty clubs filing such undertaking, without reference to the parent specialty club. Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest    J.P. Norman, Secy.
July 25, 1906

Present: Dr. W.P. Burnham, Acting Chairman
        W.W. Stetheimer
        J.P. Norman

Minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The Secretary read the following communication:

Napa, Cal., July 14, 1906

J.P. Norman, Esq.,
Secretary Pacific Advisory Committee of A.K.C.

Dear Sir:

I beg herewith to tender my resignation as a member of your Committee to take effect at once. I take this opportunity to thank your President, yourself and the other members of the Committee for many favors and to express my friendship and respect for you all.

Respectfully,
H.H. Carlton

It was moved and seconded that this Committee views with sorrow the withdrawal of Mr. Carlton’s valuable counsel and welcome presence from this Committee and accepts his resignation with the deepest regret that his long association with the members of this Committee is to be terminated. And it was further moved and seconded that the thanks of this Committee be and hereby are cordially extended to Mr. Carlton for his long, arduous and disinterested labors in behalf of the American Kennel Club and of kennel interests of the coast in general. Carried unanimously.

The Secretary read the following communication:

San Francisco, July 12th, 1906

J.P. Norman, Esq.,
Secretary Advisory Committee A.K.C.
Berkeley, Cal.

Dear Sir:

Owing to the pressure of other business which renders it impossible for me to devote the thought and time necessary to the fulfillment of my duties as a member of the Advisory Committee, I hereby tender my resignation as a member of your Board with the request that it be acted upon and accepted immediately. In severing my connection with your Committee, extending as it have over a period of ten years, I cannot but feel a sincere regret and desire to take this occasion to express to yourself and my fellow members of the Board my sincere
appreciation of your unfailing courtesy and good will during my long period of service.

Very truly yours,
John E. de Ruyter

It was moved and seconded that whereas this Committee regards the continuance of Mr. de Ruyter’s services as being of the highest importance and indeed essential to the kennel interests of the Coast, therefore be it RESOLVED? That the secretary be and hereby is instructed to communicate this resolution to Mr. de Ruyter, with the request that he withdraw his resolution. And the consideration of said resolution is hereby deferred. Carried unanimously.

After some discussion of matters of interest to the Committee and to the American Kennel Club, which were embodied in the minutes of the previous meeting, it was RESOLVED that further discussion be postponed until the next meeting and that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be invited to attend the same.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned.

Attest: J.P. Norman,
Secretary

August, 18, 1906

Present: Dr. W.P. Burnham, W.W. Stettheimer, J.P. Norman
Absent: J.E. de Ruyter

On motion, Mr. Stettheimer took the chair.

The Chair introduced Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, present approved.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

Mr. Vredenburgh addressed the Committee on general topics.

At the request of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, consideration of certain pending matters were deferred.

The Secretary stated that Miss Marie Riplinger had entered an English Setter Bitch, Cynthia, at the show given by the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, and that the entry blank bore the rotation “Registered”. Search of the Stud Book had disclosed that no such dog was registered therein. No listing fee had been paid to the Seattle D.F. Association on account of said dog and a letter to Mr. John Riplinger had elicited no response. It was
Moved and seconded that the secretary be and hereby is instructed to call on Miss Marie Riplinger to show cause why action should not be taken by this Committee on the ground of fraudulent misrepresentation. Carried.

The Secretary stated that the same English Setter Bitch, Cynthia, had been entered by John Riplinger to show cause why action should not be taken against him by this Committee for fraudulent misrepresentation. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, that the resolution passed at the meeting of this Committee held on June 16th, 1906, be taken up seriatim for discussion with Mr. Vredenburgh, in accordance with the resolution passed July 25th, 1906. Carried.

Action taken by A.K.C.: The Secretary of the American Kennel Club stated that he had already arranged with the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee to furnish a carbon copy of the minutes as soon as possible after the meetings of the A.K.C. It was

Moved and seconded that the thanks of the Committee be and hereby are tendered to Mr. Vredenburgh for his prompt acquiescence in the desires of the Committee. Carried.

TRANSMITTAL OF COMMUNICATIONS THROUGH THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

MR. Vredenburgh stated that he would have printed at the end of the book of rules, such rules of the Pacific Advisory Committee as were established for the guidance of Clubs and Exhibitors on the Pacific Coast under a suitable heading. It was

Moved and seconded that this be accepted as satisfactory. Carried.

TITLES OF APPEALS FROM DECISIONS OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

Mr. Vredenburgh stated that legal advice has been taken as to the propriety of the present method of docketing such cases, and that the present method would continue until otherwise ordered. It was

Moved and seconded that the minutes of the meeting of June 16th, as to this point be and hereby are confirmed, and that it is the sense of this committee that appeals from its decisions be docketed under the original titled of the case, giving the name of the appellant as such, and describing the
action as an “Appeal form decision of the Pacific Advisory Committee.” Carried.

It was moved and seconded that the thanks of this Committee be and hereby are cordially extended to the American Kennel Club for its action in sending Mr. Vredenburgh to this Coast at the present condition of affairs, and to Mr. Vredenburgh for his visit at the present time will prove of incalculable value to kennel interests on the coast and to the interests of the American Kennel Club. Carried.

Attest: J.P. Norman
     Secretary

September 18, 1906

Present: J.E. de Ruyter, Esq., Chairman
         J.P. Norman, Esq.,
         W.W. Stettheimer, Esq.,
         Dr. W.P. Burnham

Present by invitation of the Committee:
         A.P. Vredenburgh, Esq., Secretary of the American Kennel Club

The minutes of the previous meeting were read. The chair called on the Secretary to repeat the paragraphs relating to the amendments requested by this committee to the new rules. The Secretary read as follows: Transmittal of communications through the Pacific Advisory Committee. Mr. Vredenburgh stated that he would have printed at the end of the book of rules under a suitable heading such rules as might be established by the Pacific Advisory Committee for the guidance of Clubs and Exhibitors on the Pacific Coast.

Discussion followed, in which Mr. Vredenburgh stated that he was convinced that the purpose of the Committee would be better subserved by having its rules collated under one head at the end of the book rather than scattered through the pages, but he would not oppose any amendments offered at the meeting of the A.K.C. tending in the direction indicated by the Committee.

The minutes were then on approved.

The Secretary read the following communication:

San Francisco, Sept. 13, 1906

J.P. Norman, Esq.
     Secretary P.A.C.A.K.C.

Dear Mr. Norman: I am sending enclosed copy of a letter to the
Secretary of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals which explains itself, and also my purpose in sending it. It is needless for me to mention that such a person as Adams has proven himself to be should be prevented from enjoying the privileges of your club, the members of which I take it being true lovers of animals and true sportsmen.

Your respectfully,
(Signed) J.E. Hax

San Francisco, Sept. 13, 1906

Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals,

Gentlemen: As an officer in your society I wish to report with the assistance of Mr. Pistolesi, Secretary of the Marin County Society, we secured a conviction in the case of the people versus Lawrence S. Adams of the firm of Booth & Adams, wholesale grocers. Adams breeds dogs for sale; he had a bull bitch in season on his place, which naturally caused the neighboring dogs to visit his place. He caught several dogs by snares and otherwise and administered raw turpentine, injecting same with a syringe into the rectum, causing the most intense agony to the animals. I was complaining witness. The case was clearly proven.

Your truly,
(Signed) J.E. Hax

The case as it appeared from the newspapers reporting the proceedings was that the victims of the dose said to have been administered by Adams ran shrieking and howling through the streets of Tiburon, one dying by the roadside, one jumping into the waters of San Francisco Bay, while others had to be destroyed. The Chairman stated that Adams had taken an appeal from the decision of the lower court.

It was moved and seconded that the case be laid on the table pending the decision of the appeal, and that Mr. Hax be recommended to prefer charges in the usual manner. Carried.

A.K.C. versus Marie Riplinger: Fraudulent entry at Seattle. As it appeared from the return of the receipt for registered letter, that the latter had followed Miss Riplinger to Los Angeles and had only recently been delivered, it was moved and seconded that consideration be deferred in order to give the defendant an opportunity to file her defense. Carried.

A.K.C. versus John Riplinger: Fraudulent entry at Portland. As the registered letter calling on defendant to show cause why action should not be taken against him has been
returned without delivery, it was

Moved and seconded, that consideration of the case be deferred to
give Mr. Riplinger an opportunity to file his defense, and that the Secretary be
requested to endeavor to place the said letter in the hands of Mr. Riplinger. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, that the Committee do now reconsider
the resolution passed at the meeting of July 25th, 1906, whereby this Committee
accepted the resignation of H.H. Carlton, Esq., and that said resignation be refused
and that Mr. Carlton be and hereby is requested to withdraw the same, and to
continue to afford to this Committee the benefit of the association and counsel which
this Committee has so highly valued for the many years during which Mr. Carlton
has been an esteemed member of the Committee. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that this Committee do hereby extend a
vote of thanks to Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh for his attendance and counsel at his
meeting, and that this Committee do hereby tender to him its best and hearty wishes
for a pleasant journey and a safe arrival at New York. Carried.

The Secretary announced that he had approved the claim for dates
made by the Bull Terrier Club of San Francisco for a show to be held on October 15th, 1906.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:    J.P. Norman
Secretary

THE SECRETARY: As to reports of Standing Committees, I have the
new rules which the Committee recommends, and I would suggest that they be left
in abeyance in order that we may take them up as the last order of business.

THE CHAIR: A motion is in order to place this report of the Rules
Committee on file and take it up later under the head of New Business.

MR. MORRIS: I make the motion.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a Sub-Committee report to the
Membership Committee for this meeting as follows:

“RESOLVED, that this Committee is of the opinion that it should be empowered to admit Specialty Clubs within the limit of its jurisdiction without requiring that such Specialty Clubs be obliged to obtain the consent of the Eastern parent club, but that such admission be granted only on condition of such Specialty Clubs agreeing to adhere to the standard defined by the parent body, and that every such admission granted by the Pacific Advisory Committee be notified to such parent body, as well as to the American Kennel Club.”

This was sent to the Chairman of the Membership Committee. I have his written report, as follows:

“The resolution which I would like to have you show Mr. Smith and Mr. Van Schiack for their approval and consideration and such changes as they may deem necessary, is as follows: ‘Resolved that the Pacific Advisory Committee be empowered to admit Specialty Clubs within the limits of its jurisdiction without requiring that such Specialty Clubs be obliged to obtain the consent of the Eastern Parent Club (the American Kennel Club) but that such admission be granted only on condition that such Specialty Clubs produce in writing the willingness of the Parent Club of their particular special dog to have them form such a club; and that every such admission granted by the Pacific Advisory Committee be forwarded to the
American Kennel Club.

I note by your letter that you wish a rule formulated, and you will see that my idea is that we are willing to have the Pacific Advisory Committee admit Specialty Clubs in their jurisdiction the same as we do in New York; and any rule to the effect of above resolution is agreeable to me. In other words, we are willing that they should admit Specialty Clubs under the same rule which we require here in the East, viz: that the Parent Specialty Club must express its willingness to have any off-shoot become a member of the American Kennel Club whose interests are centered in the same breed of dog as the Specialty Club already a member of the American Kennel Club.

Yours truly,

W.B. Emery

Dic.W.B.E. Chairman Membership Committee

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I have no personal feeling in this matter. I think that the request of the Pacific Advisory Committee is absolutely fair under the conditions they give. It is a pretty far cry from San Francisco to the East here, and I cannot see any trouble that can come from it so long as they adhere to the conditions laid down. I move that the Pacific Advisory Committee’s request be granted under the conditions laid down by it.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary read the following communication from the San Francisco Kennel Club:

San Francisco, September 14, 1906

R.P. Keasbey, Esq.,
New York, N.Y.

Dear Sir:

I am interested by the San Francisco Kennel Club to request that you will use your best endeavors to secure the passage of the following additions to the proposed new rules of the A.K.C. at the September meeting:

Section 6, rule II after “New Mexico”, insert “All applications for membership and dates and all communications should be addressed to the Secretary of said Pacific Advisory Committee.”

Rule XX, add “In the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee, appeals to be made to that committee in the form prescribed.”

Section 8, Rule V, add “In the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee applications for dates must be filed with the Secretary thereof and copies of the proposed premium list must be submitted to him in triplicate for approval and endorsement.”

Rule VI, add “Two copies of the premium list must be
forwarded to the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee immediately after publication by clubs within its jurisdiction.”

Rule XVI, add “Clubs in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee must forward to the Secretary thereof two marked and certified copies of the catalogue.”

The passage of these additions to the proposed rules are necessary in order that the Advisory Committee should handle the Kennel business on this Coast. The powers given them under the constitution of the A.K.C. cover all these points but unless they are definitely instructed to do so by the rules, many of the Clubs, through ignorance, would endeavor to do their business with the New York office direct which would not only result in serious loss of time and engender many complications but tend to weaken the hold of the Advisory Committee on the Coast Clubs which, so long as they are expected to govern them, must not be.

Trusting that with the cooperation of the Coast delegates as well as some of the Eastern delegates who are friendly to us, you will be successful in securing the passage of these additions, I remain

Very truly yours,

(Signed) F.P. Butler

Secretary S.F.K.C.
MR. KEASBEY: I move that it be referred back to the Rules Committee to report.

THE SECRETARY: I think now that we are about to act upon the new set of rules, it would be well to take this communication into consideration when acting on these rules, because if these proposed amendments by the San Francisco Kennel Club are to become parts of the rules, they should be incorporated at the proper time.

Motion withdrawn.

MR. KEASBEY: I move that we take these up when we consider all the new rules together under the head of New Business.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Sub-Committee consisting of James Mortimer and Mr. E.M. Oldham and the Secretary of the A.K.C. on the arrangement of breeds as they shall be published hereafter in the premium lists in the catalogue, has its meeting and recommended that there be three divisions. The Committee was composed of your Secretary to confer with Mr. Oldham and Mr. Mortimer, who are two practical superintendents of shows, to come to a satisfactory conclusion as to changing the order of breeds to be published in the catalogue. Here is the joint report. They both
signed it and I approved it. The divide the breeds into four sections, large dogs, medium dogs, small sized dogs and cage dogs.

MR. BROOKS: I move we dispense with reading the names of the different breeds.

THE CHAIR: It is moved and seconded that the report of the names of the breeds be not now read. I presume by that that any new breeds that were added would be placed in their right division.

THE SECRETARY: There was a resolution passed at one of the Executive Board meeting directing that on and after July 1, all dogs must be arranged in alphabetical order of breeds of dogs. At the last meeting we were informed by Mr. Mortimer that it was not practicable, and then a resolution was passed to rescind the action of the Executive Board, and I was appointed on this Committee to confer with these two practical men, Messrs. Oldham and Mortimer to arrange for a uniform style, so that in all premium lists and in all catalogues the dogs will come in the same order, and this is the result:

LARGE DOGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bloodhounds</th>
<th>Russian Wolfhounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mastiffs</td>
<td>Deerhounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Bernards</td>
<td>Greyhounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Danes</td>
<td>Owtschars (Russian sheep dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundlands</td>
<td>Esquimax Dogs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MEDIUM SIZE DOGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Breed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foxhounds (American)</td>
<td>Beagles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxhounds (English)</td>
<td>Dachshunds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointers</td>
<td>Whippets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Setters</td>
<td>Collies (Rough)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Setters</td>
<td>Collies (Smooth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Setters</td>
<td>Belgian or German Sheep dogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrievers</td>
<td>Old English Sheep dogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffons (Sporting)</td>
<td>Dalmatians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake Bay Dogs</td>
<td>Poodles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basset Hounds</td>
<td>Chow Chow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Water Spaniels</td>
<td>Samoyedes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clumber Spaniels</td>
<td>Boxers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sussex Spaniels</td>
<td>Pinschers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Spaniels</td>
<td>Bulldogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocker Spaniels</td>
<td>Airedale Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bull Terriers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SMALL SIZE DOGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Breed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>French Bulldogs</td>
<td>Skye Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Terriers</td>
<td>Dandie Dinmont Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Terrier (smooth)</td>
<td>Bedlington Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Terrier (wire)</td>
<td>Black and Tan (Manchester) Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Terriers</td>
<td>White English Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Terriers</td>
<td>Schipperkes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseneath Terriers</td>
<td>Chinese-crested Dogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh Terriers</td>
<td>Mexican-hairless Dogs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CAGE DOGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Breed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pomeranians</td>
<td>Yorkshire Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Toy Spaniels</td>
<td>Maltese Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Spaniels</td>
<td>Toy Terriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pekinese Spaniels</td>
<td>Griffons (Bruxellois)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugs</td>
<td>Chihuahuas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy Poodles</td>
<td>Papillons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Italian Greyhounds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion, it was directed that this report should come before the meeting when the rules should be considered.
The Secretary reported a communication from the Rhode Island Kennel Club stating that it would not be able to hold its show at Pawtucket on September 8th, 1906, the date claimed, and requesting the return of its deposit of $25.

MR. CODMAN: At its show held in New Providence the Club engaged a hall for its show at Pawtucket, and prepared everything in readiness to hold such show, but discovered at the last moment that they could not secure that hall and could not get any other place.

MR. MOORE: I move that its deposit of $25 be refunded.
Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary submitted a communication from the Pomerian Club of America, and a protest from R.F. Mayhew against his rejection as a delegate to represent said club.

MR. MOORE: I think in justice to the Membership Committee it should be referred back to it, and I make that motion.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I recommend the payment of the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee of disbursements from May 1st, 1906, to September 15th, 1906 of $39.45.
THE CHAIR: If there is no objection we will order the Treasurer to reimburse the Pacific Advisory Committee as recommended.

THE SECRETARY: I now submit to you my recommendation in regard to publishing the Gazette semi-monthly instead of monthly.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think it would be a wise plan to follow the Secretary's recommendation, and give it a trial, say for the next three months.

THE SECRETARY: that is what I recommend.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the recommendation of the Secretary as to the publication of the Gazette semi-monthly be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. VITI: Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, reported as follows:

The following applications have been filed for kennel names, and as they do not conflict with any already registered, the Stud Book Committee recommends that they be allowed:

Zenith       George C. Armitage
Woodcote       Gus Loures
Winning Style      W.A. Johnson and E.G. Messing
Wexford       Stanley H. Kidd
Ufton         Russell Perkins
Briar Thorn       Edwin A. Muner
Rockport          R.E. Boland
Pickwick          C.R. Pierce
Niagara           Thomas Tothill, Jr.
Getmore           T.S. Kelly
Inverness         T.H. Randall
Hokola            W.E. Baker
Goodwood          Napoleon B. Robinson
Faultless         James G. Walters
Edgemere          C.A. Keefer
Cresheim          Robert H. Page
Colraine          W.F. Patterson
Briarwood         Lewis Little, Jr.
Border            John B. Haliburton
Athelstane Hall   Franklin Wood
Ashuelot          C.P. Wright
Aar               Bernard Guggenheim
Aorangi           Fred M. Huggins

The Committee had submitted to it a letter from Frederick W. D'Evelyn in which he says:

“I desire that the transfer of the kennel name “Sunset” to Mr. Hinkley, of Poughkeepsie, be withdrawn. As that gentlemen has acted in a manner which assumes a fraudulent
character, having in no wise complied with the arrangements which were stated, and which he led me to understand were accepted, but he has ignored the matter in a most unwarranted manner. I shall now ask your official interference to protect my rights.

Yours very truly,

Frederick W. D'Evelyn

This name was originally granted to the writer of this letter and subsequently Mr. D'Evelyn signed an application to transfer this name to Mr. John L. Hinkley, of Poughkeepsie, New York. Here is Mr. D'Evelyn’s signed application, and on the 14th of February, 1906, the application was granted. Reading between the lines, which of course we are not required to do, it would seem that there has been a failure of consideration for the transfer, but the Committee cannot see that the American Kennel Club could possibly go into the matter of the adequacy of the consideration for the transfer. We think that if Mr. D'Evelyn, the former owner of the name, can show any fraud whatsoever, he should prefer charges against this gentleman, but meantime he certainly cannot be debarred from using the kennel name. Otherwise we should be disqualifying him without any trial. We hardly see anything before the Committee that would warrant the Committee’s reporting pro or con to the gen-
tleman here.

The applications for the kennel name “Denver” we recommend be postponed for further investigation.

The name “Bradford” has been applied for by Mr. R.J. Bolster, but we find upon the records that the name “Bradford” has been granted heretofore in a limited sense. It was granted, I think, with the restriction that it should only be used for Yorkshire Terriers, but we think that if it were granted now generally it would seriously conflict with the use that has already been allowed of that name, and we therefore recommend that it be disallowed.

THE CHAIR: You have heard the report of the Stud Book Committee. If there is no objection it will be accepted and placed on file.

NEW BUSINESS

The report of the Committee on Constitution and Rules was then taken up, the same having been published in the August Gazette.

THE CHAIR: If there is no objection we will take up these rules in their order and act upon them without first having the whole report read.
SECTION 6 OF BY-LAWS

Rule I read as follows: No show is recognized unless the official endorsement of the premium list, together with the rating of the show in winners’ classes, signed by the secretary of the American Kennel Club, is published at the head of the classification.

On motion accepted.

Rule II. Every show is guaranteed one point toward a championship. All shows to be rated on the actual number of dogs entered, as follows: 1,000 dogs or over, five points; 750 dogs and under 1,000, four points; 500 dogs and under 750, three points; 250 dogs and under 500, two points; under 250 dogs, one point.

The Pacific Advisory Committee shall have jurisdiction in all states West of the Easterly boundary of Montana, Wyoming, Colorado and New Mexico.

THE SECRETARY: I have five amendments recommended by the Pacific Advisory Committee, one to follow this clause which I have just read, defining the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee. They ask that it be inserted as follows:

Section 6, Rule II, after “New Mexico” insert “All applications for membership and dates and all communications should be addressed to the Secretary of said Pacific Advisory Committee.”
to be rated as follows: 400 dogs or over, five points; 300 dogs and under 400, four points; 200 dogs and under 300, three points; 100 dogs and under 200, two points; under 100 dogs, one point. Specialty clubs holding shows confined to their own breeds, four points.

The total number of points required for a championship is ten. (See Rule VII, Section 7).

THE CHAIR: I should like to ask in connection with the first clause how that differs from the present rule.

MR. BROOKS: Not at all; but in the second clause the parallel line was divided by stated instead of an arbitrary line running north and south dividing some two or three states in two, taking the state line as the boundary line of the Pacific coast. I move that this rule II be adopted, including the proposed amendment of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MORTIMER: Does that take in the whole of Colorado?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

Motion to adopt seconded, and Rule II adopted as amended.

Rule III. The word dog, where used in there rules, includes both sexes.

On motion adopted.

MR. BROOKS: Before we take up Rule IV, the Committee would like to suggest that Rule VIII as reported by it be taken
up as Rule IV; it is in better order. We want to put Rule VIII in place of the printed Rule IV.

Printed Rule VIII was then read: The breeder of a dog is the person owing of leasing the dam at the time of service.

Dogs whelped in the United States by a bitch purchased by a citizen of the United States in any foreign country and subsequently bred by him to a foreign dog, shall be considered “America bred.”

MR. BROOKS: This in a slight change of our old rule VII.

On motion adopted.

Rule IV (now Rule V) read: Every dog shown under these rules must either be registered or listed with the American Kennel Club previous to being exhibited. The fee for registration is one dollar, and for listing 25 cents. The registration of a dog gives the privilege of competition during its life. The listing of a dog gives the privilege of competition only for the current calendar year.

The penalty for non-compliance is cancellation of winnings except when a club states in its premium list, “This club assumes the collection and forwarding to the American Kennel Club of all listing fees.”

MR. BRITTON: Should not that read “Every dog ‘enter-
ed’ instead of ‘shown’?”. For instance a dog might be entered and not listed.

MR. BRITTON’S amendment seconded and carried.

Rule adopted.

Rule V (now Rule VI) read: Every dog must be the bona fide property of the person making such entry on the day of closing the entries. If an entry is made in the name of an agent, and the name of the owner is not given, the win of the dog shall be cancelled. The entry must clearly identify the dogs to be exhibited by name, and, if known, its date of birth, names of sire and dam, and the name of its breeder. Should any of these particulars be unknown to the exhibitor, it must be so stated on the entry blank. If the dog is already registered in the American Kennel Club Stud Book, the Stud Book number of the dog must be given with the entry. The right to exhibit a dog cannot be transferred by the owner by agreement, bargain, sale or lease.

On motion rule adopted.

Rule VI (now Rule VII) read.

MR. BROOKS: I should recommend striking out the word “either” in the second line.

MR. VITI: I would like to ask the Chairman of the Rules Committee what we are to understand is meant by the term “a recognized show in Europe”? 
MR. BROOKS: A show recognized in the country in which it is held.

MR. VITI: By what authority in that country? I happen to know that in several countries in Europe there is a dispute as to jurisdiction. There are a number of national and semi-national kennel clubs. Some are what we term outlawed tracks in racing. Are you going to recognize dogs that have won at recognized outlawed shows? You cover Europe. There are quite a number of Stud Books outside of England and Germany and France, those that we are brought into more intimate contact with here in various countries. It is very easy for you to say at some show on the Continent, and bring in some of these queer breeds and have them entered for competition into our shows, the very thing the American Kennel Club has been striving to bar out. I must say that I do not know what is meant by “a recognized show”. I have the Stud Book of almost every country in Europe, and I see a great deal of conflict, and I think you are going to have trouble before you in determining what your authority for recognition is.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I see the point of Mr. Viti’s remarks absolutely. I think you should strike out the words “or Europe”.

MR. VITI: I thoroughly agree with Mr. Muss-Arnolt. If the American Kennel Club wants to recognize certain shows
in Europe, they can be recognized by the American Kennel Club. I think the American Kennel Club should be the supreme authority for everything that takes place in dog affairs on this Continent.

MR. BROOKS: How about Mexico?

MR. VITI: There is no kennel club there that I ever heard of.

MR. BROOKS: My idea was, dog shows in good standing with the community.

MR. VITI: Who is going to judge the pulse of the community?

MR. BROOKS: I suppose you can get as close to it in one way as another.

MR. MORRIS: You could put in, “any dog show in Europe.”

THE SECRETARY: The idea of including the European shows was that men buying dogs in any country in Europe that have a reputation, and have won prizes, they can come over here and show them under an entirely different name, and we cannot possibly identify that dog with the name that the dog was originally shown under.

MR. VITI: I would respectfully ask the Secretary how accurately he can identify a dog that has been shown under one name in Belgium, under another name in Holland, under another
name in France, under another name in England, under another name in Russia, under another name in Italy and under another name in Switzerland and so on throughout these various Continental countries? I thoroughly concur in what Mr. Muss-Arnolt has suggested.

Amendment seconded.

THE CHAIR: Under that condition of affairs any dog coming over here from England can have his name changed without anything being done.

MR. VITI: Yes, because England is not under the jurisdiction of the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIR: Suppose a well known dog is brought over here from England, and his name is changed when he arrives here, unless you know the breed you cannot identify him.

MR. VITI: I do not see any way of getting around that at all. If you brought a well known dog over here and left his pedigree behind him, there would be not way of identifying him. I think it is the jurisdiction of the American Kennel Club that we have got to stand on.

MR. PETERS: But in case a champion dog is brought over.

THE CHAIR: The same thing.

MR. PETERS: How are you going to tell whether he is
a champion or not, if the dog is brought over here surreptitiously and put on the bench, and you do not require the name, how do you know whether this dog is a champion or not?

MR. MORTIMER: You do not know even that it is an imported dog.

MR. MOORE: I do not see how that has anything to do with it. A foreign dog can compete in anything but the novice class any how.

MR. MORTIMER: I wish to make an amendment to that rule so that it would read: “recognized show held in North America or Great Britain”.

MR. VITI: I do not accept that amendment at all. I do not see how you can mix up jurisdictions. I do not see how the American Kennel Club, in justice to the exhibitors, to the dog public, to its own members, can go outside of its own jurisdiction in this matter. It is purely a matter of jurisdiction.

MR. MORTIMER: According to Mr. Viti’s remarks it is quite possible to import a champion dog from England and show him in the novice class in this country.

MR. VITI: A person who does that is guilty of fraud, in the same way as a man who races a ringer in horse racing. You cannot take a handicap horse and change his name and get
another handicap for him. He would be ruled off in the same way – he would be disqualified by the American Kennel Club for fraudulent suppression of the name and pedigree of that dog.

MR. PETERS: But you do not recognize those clubs according to that rule; so the wins would not count, and there would not be any fraud at all.

MR. VITI: The fraud is to get him in the novice class. It is a fraudulent suppression of the particulars of that dog, and a man doing that would be disqualified.

MR. MORTIMER: I should like to know why we should include Canada and not England. We shut Canada out completely at the last meeting.

MR. PETERS: I think we ought to recognize a United States dog previously shown in the United States at any recognized show in the United States; recognize those previously shown, and then put in another clause and say, wherever it can be shown, or the present owner of the dog knows the dog has been shown in a foreign country, that his name must be given wherever it is given, and put United States in a class by itself, and put Canada and other countries in separate classes.

MR. VITI: I ask for a vote on the amendment that I seconded, made by Mr. Muss-Arnolt
Mr. Mortimer’s proposed amendment lost.

THE CHAIR: The proposed amendment before you is to strike out the words “or Europe.”

MR. VITI: My suggestion is this: “that at any show recognized by the American Kennel Club.” I have just now realized that we would rule against our rules, to limit it to North America. Say at any recognized show by the American Kennel Club, no matter where it is, north, south, east or west, make it any show recognized by the American Kennel Club. Then if hereafter you want to recognize shows in England or any other country, they will come in under that rule. If the name of a dog which has won a prize at any show recognized by the American Kennel Club has been changed, the old name must be given on the entry blank, and so forth.

Amendment seconded and carried.

Printed Rule VI (now Rule VII) was on motion adopted as amended, and is as follows:

Rule VII If the name of a dog which has won a prize at any show recognized by the American Kennel Club has been changed, the old name must be given on the entry blank and published in the catalogue until such time as it wins a prize under its new name. The name of a registered dog cannot be changed after December 31st following the date of registration.
MR. BROOKS: Before moving printed Rule VII, (now Rule VIII), I should like to make a change in it: “If a dog has been entered without being identified, as directed in Rules IV, V, VI, and VII, it shall be disqualified and forfeit any prize which may be awarded to it, “ that I should like to amend by imposing a fine of one dollar for each error instead of two dollars.

MR. VITI: I move to amend by striking out those two lines where it reads “Except where fraud is not shown,” and add at the end: “Provided, however, that the foregoing shall not apply to cases of fraud.”

MR. SPACKMAN: I move that new Rule VIII (printed Rule VII) be changed to read as follows: “If a dog has been entered without being identified as directed in Rules IV, V, VI and VII, a fine of one dollar shall be imposed by the Secretary for each error, unless fraud shall be shown, in which case the win shall be cancelled.

Amendment seconded and adopted.

Rule VIII (new Rule IV) read.

MR. VITI: I move to precede the second paragraph of that rule by inserting the words “dogs bred and whelped in the United States and dogs whelped in the United States by a bitch purchased by a citizen of the United States,” and add after that, so there can be no mistake hereafter; “All other dogs shall be regarded as foreign bred dogs.”
Motion seconded and carried.

Rule IX read as follows:

No entry can be made in a kennel name unless that name has been registered with the American Kennel Club. The partners in a kennel will be deemed equally culpable in the case of fraud perpetrated in their name. The term "exhibitor" shall mean an individual or co-partnership exhibiting fraction of this rule, cancellation of win.

On motion, adopted.

Rule X read:

The person presenting the Identification Paper shall be recognized as the agent or owner in the latter’s absence, and his receipt for prize money shall be binding on the owner, unless notice to the contrary is indorsed on the identification paper.

On motion adopted.

Rule XI read:

The authorities of any show may decline any entries they see fit, or may remove any dog on account of disease, vice or other cause.

On motion, adopted.

Rule XII read:

Dogs may be entered “for exhibitors only” if so
stated on entry blank, but any dog entered for competition and received at the show building must compete in all classes in which it is entered, and for all specials for which it is eligible and failing to do so shall be fined an amount equal to the entrance fee for each class. The only exception to this rule is that an exhibitor shall not compete for any special prizes donated by himself.

THE CHAIR: Can a dog be shown for specials only?

MR. BRITTON: That matter was brought up at the last meeting. The opinion of the delegates seemed to be that a dog should be allowed to compete, for specials only, and we fixed it up so they could do so, and they can do so according to the action taken at that meeting.

THE SECRETARY: At the last meeting it was the sense of the meeting that the Rules Committee should bring in such a rule at this meeting. That is the way it stood, because the last meeting had no authority whatever to change a rule, because it has got to go before the Rules Committee be instructed to bring in a rule allowing dogs to compete for specials only. The Committee, in discussing the matter, was not willing to bring in such a rule, giving as its reason that the principle of a dog show is to show and allow the very best dog of its bred to be awarded first, and that is defeated if
you allow the best dog in the show possibly not to get first, but to get a special. That was before the Committee.

MR. BROOKFIELD: Can we refer to the minutes of that meeting? My recollection is that it was the unanimous vote of the delegates to instruct the Rules Committee to pass such a rule.

THE SECRETARY: It was.

MR. BROOKFIELD: That must have gone into effect.

MR. VITI: I have here the motion that was made at the February meeting, in which Mr. Buckley moved that the Rules Committee be instructed to so change this rule that entries for specials only may, in the future, be reached, and not necessarily be made to compete in the classes in which they are entered, and report at the next meeting. I seconded that motion, and I think it was unanimously carried.

THE SECRETARY: That is just my statement.

MR. PETERS: I do not think the American Kennel Club can make any dogs compete in specials, for the simple reason that we have no jurisdiction over specials, for the simple reason that we have no jurisdiction over specials, and have always claimed that, and for that reason I think that only specials for which it is eligible should be stricken out of that rule. I think that is the privilege of the owner. He wishes to enter what he wishes to compete for.

MR. BROOKFIELD: At Bar Harbor, in the official
catalogue, a dog was not entered in any class at all. He won a special as the best in the show. It seems to me that if we do not publish in the Gazette and give a special notice as to what things come up, I do not see why that is not absolutely correct.

THE SECRETARY: There is a charge now that I reported from Mr. Kelly, which was brought in for a hearing on this very question. I reported that, and it has to come up before the Executive Board; but if left to me, I should decide that that dog, while physically there, legally was not at that show. We have a rule which states that every dog must be entered in its regular class. This dog was not entered in any class. Further than that, if you will refer back to the Gazette, you will find that there was a notice put in the Gazette to the effect that a dog could not be entered to compete for specials.

MR. VITI: You can enter a dog for specials only or for exhibition. He must be entered in a class, but he can be entered in a class for specials only.

MR. MORTIMER: It seems to me that that is a very great hardship upon the exhibitor. It is a hardship on the exhibitor that he cannot compete for specials in order to make up his team. He has three dogs now in the regular open class to compete for specials only. He makes that entry for the special prizes for the best team of four dogs.
MR. BROOKFIELD: I do not see how we can pass on that now, as the vote of the delegates was that the Committee should pass such a rule, and it has gone over the meeting.

MR. PETERS: I move the following be substituted for Rule XII: “Dogs may be entered for exhibition for specials only if so stated on the entry blank; but any dog entered for competition and received at the show building must compete for competition and received at the show building must compete in all the classes for which it is entered, and failing to do so, shall be fined an amount equal to the entrance fee for each class. Dogs competing for specials only must be entered in one of the regular classes in which he need not compete.”

On motion, Rule XII, as amended, was adopted.

Rule XIII read.

MR. MORTIMER: I move as an amendment that old Rule XIII shall be substituted for Rule XIII.

Motion seconded and carried.

Rule XIV read.

MR. MOORE: That last part is new, and it was framed to facilitate or expedite the settlement of prizes where wins have been cancelled. Before that, there was no provision, no method, by which the club or the Secretary of the American Kennel Club could proceed. Otherwise, the rule is as it was before.

MR. MORTIMER: The rule, as it reads now, is practically the same as it always has been. For instance, two or
three exhibitors have been disqualified for not returning their wins. I do not know how the old rule reads, but I know it has gone into effect.

THE SECRETARY: That was a resolution, but now it has been incorporated into a rule.

MR. SPACKMAN: I suggest that after the word “show” in Rule XIV the following should be inserted, “except as provided for in Rule VIII.”

Amendment seconded and adopted.

Rule XIV, as amended, was adopted as follows:

Exhibitors are responsible for their own errors in making out their entry forms. The win of a dog wrongly entered or improperly shown shall be cancelled and entry fee forfeited to the club holding the show, except as provided for in Rule VIII. If the American Kennel Club cancels a win subsequent to the closing of the show, the exhibitor must return to the club holding the show all prizes won by the dog, by registered mail, within 30 days after receipt of notice to that effect. Penalty for non-compliance with this rule shall be suspension of the exhibitor until restitution is made and receipt for same filed with the American Kennel Club.

Rule XV read:

The regularly appointed veterinary alone shall determine the physical condition of dogs during the show. When appealed to by the judge or when giving an opinion on a protest
to the Dog Show Committee, he shall immediately render his decision in writing.

Blindness, deafness, lameness, castration or spaying shall render the dog ineligible to compete at any show, except when the veterinary is satisfied that the deafness or lameness is temporary.

On motion, adopted.

Rule XVI read.

MR. MORTIMER (Reading): “In the event of an appointed judge not officiating, it shall be optional to the exhibitor, before commencement of judging the breed to withdraw his dog or dogs from competition.” How does that effect his entry fee?

THE CHAIR: He cannot get his entry fee back.

MR. VITI: I think he should have his entry fee returned. He pays his entry fee for competition. I think he ought to have his money returned. What right has bench show committees to confiscate the exhibitor’s entrance fee?

MR. MOORE: The intent was to return the entry fee.

MR. VITI: I think he ought to be allowed to withdraw his dogs from the building. If he is not going to compete, the Bench Show Committee should not have the right to compound his dogs. It ought to be just the same as if he never made the entry.

THE CHAIR: Then the rule will read, adding at the end of the second paragraph, “and from the show, in which event
his entry fee shall be returned.

MR. BRITTON: I should like to move to add a paragraph before the last one there reading, “A judge may order from the ring any person not employed in showing a dog.”

Rule XIV, as amended, was adopted as follows:

The appointment or judges shall rest with the club giving the show. A judge must be a person in good standing with the American Kennel Club. After the list of judges has been published in the premium list it cannot be changed, unless as appointed judge is unable to fill his engagement. The Committee shall then have the right to fill the vacancy.

All the classes of any one breed of dogs must be adjudicated upon by the same judge or judges, acting in conjunction. In the event of an appointed judge not officiating, it shall be optional to the exhibitor before commencement of judging the breed to withdraw his dog or dogs from competition and from the show, in which event his entry fee shall be returned.

A judge, upon the complaint of any one actually engaged in the handling or showing of a dog during the judging of a class, may, if in his opinion the complaint is warranted, order from the ring any person guilty of intentional or deliberate interference with any dog therein competing.

Any person order from the ring as aforesaid, shall be prohibited from further handling or showing in any class.
during the continuance of said show. A judge may order from the ring any person not employed in showing a dog.

Full discretionary power is given to the judge of each class to withhold any or all prizes for want of merit. The judge’s decision shall be final in all cases affecting the merits of the dogs. If the win of a dog is cancelled, the next dog in order of merit shall be moved up and the win shall be counted in every respect the same as if it has been the original award. For this purpose the judge shall place one dog “Reserve” after regular prizes are awarded if a dog if sufficient merit is available.

MR. PETERS: I move a reconsideration of Rule XV.
Motion seconded and carried.
MR. PETERS: I now move that the Show Giving Committee shall have the privilege, in the event that the regular appointed veterinary shall be incapacitated to serve, of appointing a veterinary to take his place who shall act for him in all things.

Motion seconded and adopted.
Rule XVII:
No exhibitor is permitted to affix any award, sign or notice on the bench, of any prize not won at that show, unless it is specifically stated on such notice the name of the show where such prizes were awarded and the year in which that show was held. For violation of this rule the exhibitor shall
forfeit any prize or prizes won at that show.

Rule XVIII read.

MR. MORRIS: I move as a substitution for Rule XVIII the following: “The Superintendent, the Secretary of the show, Veterinary or Club official acting in any of these capacities, cannot exhibit or officiate as judge at the show.”

Motion seconded and carried.

Rule XIX read as follows:

A protest against a dog may be made by any exhibitor, or any member of a club of the American Kennel Club, but must be in writing, and be lodged with the Secretary of the show club within seven days of the last day of the show. No protest shall be entertained unless accompanied by a deposit of $5 (to be returned in case the protest is sustained), except the same is lodged by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, which may be made at any time.

On motion adopted.

Rule XX read.

THE SECRETARY: There is a recommendation from the Pacific Advisory Committee adding this: “In the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee, appeals to be made to that Committee in the form prescribed.”

MR. BROOKS: I approve of that recommendation. I move that that paragraph proposed by the Pacific Advisory Committee be added.

Motion seconded and carried, and rule, as amended,
adopted.

Rule XX, as amended and adopted, is as follows:

An appeal may be taken to the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club, but such appeal must be forwarded to its secretary within seven days of the decision being rendered, together with a deposit of $10. If the decision is sustained, the deposit shall be forfeited to the American Kennel Club, but if the decision is reversed, then all deposits must be returned to the appellant.

In the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee, appeals to be made to that Committee in the form prescribed.

Rule XXI read, as follows:

A person or club guilty of misconduct of any kind in connection with dogs, dog shows or field trials may be suspended by the President of the American Kennel Club, or, in his absence, by the Vice President, or by the Committee of the show or trial where the offense was committed.

On motion, adopted.

Rule XXII read.

MR. BROOKS: I move that the last paragraph of Rule XXIII be made a special paragraph at the end of Rule XXII.

Motion seconded and carried.

Rule XXII, as amended, was adopted, and is as follows:

The disqualification or suspension of an owner shall apply to all dogs owned by him or connected with the perpetration of a fraudulent act, and no dog so disqualified is
eligible for entry at any show under any ownership.

No person under suspension or sentence of disqualification can make an entry, exhibit or take a prize, act as agent for an exhibitor, or take a dog in the judging ring at any show held by a member of the American Kennel Club.

The privilege of the Stud Book are withheld from all persons under suspension or disqualification, for registration of dogs owned or bred by them.

The Executive Board is, however, empowered to use such discretion in the enforcement of this penalty as shall protect innocent parties.

Rule XXIII read as follows:

No person shall be disqualified without due notice and formal charges and specifications, and an opportunity given of being heard in his own defense.

On motion the same was adopted.

The following was, on motion, adopted as new rule XXIV:

“Rule XXIV:-- No claim against a club for unpaid prizes shall be valid unless filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club within six months of the last day of the show held by such club.”

SECTION 7 OF BY-LAWS

(CLASSES)

The following rules under Section 7 of the By-Laws
as amended, were adopted as follows:

Rule 1.- The classification shall be confined to established breeds, which may be divided or limited by variety, weight, color, height or sex and otherwise, as provided for in the dog show rules.

New classes may be added is publicly announced before date of closing, except Winners’ Classes which must remain as endorsed in premium list.

Rule II.-- The Puppy Class shall be for all dogs over six months and not exceeding twelve months of age, and no entry can be made of one under six months, or whose date of birth, breeder, sire or dam is unknown.

Puppies may be permanently removed from a show after their classes have been judged, whatever those classes may be, but may be so removed only after the close of a show in any evening.

Puppy Classes must be judged not later than the second day of the show.

Rule III.—The Novice Class shall be for American bred dogs only, never having won a first prize at any recognized show, wins in the Puppy Classes excepted. The entry blank must state name of breeder and the words, “Bred in United States.”

Rule IV.—The Limit Class shall be for all dogs never having won four first prizes in said class at any recog-
nized show, but no dog having been recorded Champion shall be eligible to this class.

Rule V. – The Graduate Class shall be for all dogs having won four first prizes in Limit Class at recognized shows, but no dogs having been recorded Champion shall be eligible to this class.

Rule VI.—The Open Class shall be for all dogs of any age over six months.

Rule VII. – The Winners’ Class shall be open only to winners of first prizes in any regular class at the show, giving at least three of the before mentioned classes, one of which must be the Open Class. The Winners’ Class can be divided by sex, provided the required three classes are also divided by sex.

Winners’ Classes cannot be allowed where two or more breeds are combined. The judge shall withhold the award of “first” in this class should he be of the opinion that there is no dog of sufficient merit to justify such award. Before awarding “Reserve” in this class the dog or dogs having been placed second to the winner in any of the classes must be brought before judges for competition with the remaining dogs in said Winners’ Class. No class winner can be withdrawn or withheld from competition in the Winners’ Class. No entry fee shall be charged for said competition in Winners’ Class.

The winners of ten points in this class, under three
different judges (at least three points of which shall have been won at one show), excepting, however, at five point shows, when two wins under different judges will be sufficient, will thereby become a Champion of Record, be so registered by the American Kennel Club, and if registered in the Stud Book, will be entitled to an American Kennel Club Championship Medal. Duplicate medals for Champions cannot be issued.

Rule VIII.—The Miscellaneous Class shall be open to all dogs of established breeds which have not been otherwise provided for in the premium list, either with a class for the breed itself, or in combination with other breeds.

Entries in this class must specify the breed of the exhibit. Failure to comply with this condition shall cancel the award.

Rule IX.—The term Field Trial Record, as used at all dog shows, applies only to public trials where competition is open to all.

Rule X.—In estimating the number of prizes a dog has won, no award received by it on or after the day of closing of the entries, will be counted.

Rule XI.—In any class where there is a limit of weight, the person in charge of a dog entered in such class may claim of the Show Committee the right, at any time after the opening of the show and before the judging, to have the dog weighed, and the weight of the dog shall be registered and hold
good at the time of judging.

Any competing exhibitor or handler shall have the right to demand the weighing or measuring of any dog during the judging of the close, other than hose whose weight has been officially registered. Any subsequent protest must be made in the formal manner provided for in these rules. The club must provide scales for use of the exhibitors or judges and designate official weighers.

Rule XII.—Dog Show Committees may provide such classes for dogs of recognized breeds as they may choose, provided they do not conflict with the conditions of the above mentioned classes.

Rule XIII.—Established breeds shall be such breeds as are eligible to registration in the Stud Book, and such breeds as may hereafter be made eligible for such registration.

A list of breeds recognized by the American Kennel Club must be published in every premium list, excepting those of specialty clubs confined to their own breed.

The following list comprises all breeds of dogs for which separate classes may be provided:

- Airedale Terriers
- Basset Hounds (Smooth)
- Basset Hounds (Rough)
- Beagles
- Bedlington Terriers
- Black and Tan Terriers (Manchester)
- Bloodhounds
- Boston Terriers
- Bulldogs
Bull Terriers
Chesapeake Bay Dogs
Chihuahuas
Chow Chow
Collies
Dachshunds
Dalmatians
Deerhounds
Dandie Dinmont Terriers
English Toy Spaniels (Orange and White)
English Toy Spaniels (Red)
English Toy Spaniels (Black and Tan)
English Toy Spaniels (Tri-color)
Foxhounds (American)
Foxhounds (English)
Fox Terriers (Smooth)
Fox Terriers (Rough)
French Bulldogs
Greyhounds
Great Danes
Griffons
Harriers
Irish Terriers
Italian Greyhounds
Japanese Spaniels
Maltese Terriers
Mastiffs
Newfoundlands
Old English Sheepdogs
Otter Hounds
Pekinese Spaniels
Pointers
Pomeranians
Poodles (Corded)
Poodles (Curley)
Pugs
Retriever (Curley-coated)
Retriever (Wavy-coated)
Schipperkes
Scottish Terriers
English Setters
Irish Setters
Gordon Setters
Skye Terriers
Clumber Spaniels
Field Spaniels
Cocker Spaniels
Sussex Spaniels
Irish Water Spaniels
St. Bernards (Smooth)
St. Bernards (Rough)
Toy Poodles
Toy Terriers
Welsh Terriers
White English Terriers
White English Terriers (Toy)
Whippets
Wolfhounds (Russian)
Yorkshire Terriers

FOREIGN DOGS

Griffon Bruxellois      Boxers              Pinschers
Esquimaux (Husky)       Owtchar, or Russian Sheep dogs       Chinese Crested
Esquimaux (Mallimuth)   Mexican Hairless                  Labrador
                      German, or Belgium Sheep dogs

MR. BROOKS: That is a new rule. It takes in all that list of dogs.

MR. MORTIMER: Rule XIII is in error, because there have been
several breeds omitted since that list has been published.

MR. BROOKS: Why not let the Secretary be authorized to add to this
list from year to year?

MR. MORTIMER: Yes, that will be satisfactory.

THE CHAIR: Rule XIII will be the same with the exception that a
corrected list shall be put in.

Rule XIV read as follows:

Rule XIV. – Special prizes can be classified and judged under the
following division of breeds:

SPORTING DIVISION

Bloodhounds
Otter Hounds
Foxhounds
Harriers
Beagles
Basset Hounds
Dachshunds
Greyhounds
Deerhounds
Russian Wolfhounds
Irish Wolfhounds
Whippets
Pointers
Setters
Retriever
Irish Water Spaniels
Water, other than Irish Spaniels
Clumber Spaniels
Sussex Spaniels
Field Spaniels
Cocker Spaniels
Chesapeake Bay

NON-SPORTING DIVISION
French Bulldogs
Bulldogs
Mastiffs
Great Dane
Newfoundlands
St. Bernards
Collies
Old English Sheepdogs
Dalmatians
Poodles
English Toy Spaniels
Pekingese Spaniels
Japanese Spaniels
Italian Greyhounds
Chow Chows
Griffons Bruxellois
Pomeranians
Foreign Dogs
Pugs
Schipperkes

TERRIER DIVISION
Airedale
Bedlington
Black and Tan
Bull
Boston
Dandie Dinmont
Fox
Irish
Scottish
Skye
Welsh
Yorkshire
Toy
White English
THE FOLLOWING RULES ARE FOR GUIDANCE OF CLUBS, NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN PREMIUM LIST:

AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB RULES
GOVERNING CLUBS HOLDING SHOWS
SECTION 8 OF BY-LAWS

The following rules under Section 8 of the By-Laws were adopted, as amended, as follows:

Rule I. – The following rules governing clubs holding shows are published for the guidance of Bench Show Committees only, publication in premium lists and catalogues not permitted.

Rule II. – Any person or persons acting in any official capacity, paid or unpaid, including that of Judge, Secretary, Superintendent, Steward, Clerk of a Show or as an officer or the United States of America, under any rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, shall be disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.

Rule III. – Any Active Member of the American Kennel Club may hold a show under American Kennel Club rules, provided it abides by all rules of the American Kennel Club.

Rule IV. – The use of a Club’s name for show purposes cannot be transferred to any other body or person.

Rule V. – Applications for dates must be filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for approval by the
Executive Board or such sub-committees as may be empowered to grant dates, subject to final endorsement of the premium list by the Secretary, of which a copy in duplicate must be submitted for approval before publication.

In the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee applications for dates must be filed with the Secretary thereof and copies of the proposed premium list must be submitted to him in triplicate for approval and endorsement.

Rule VI. – No premium list is valid without this endorsement, which must be printed in such list at the head of “Rules Governing Dog Shows.” One copy of the premium list must be forwarded to the American Kennel Club immediately after publication and before distribution. Penalty for non-compliance $1.00 per day.

Two copies of the premium list must be forwarded to the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee immediately after publication by clubs within its jurisdiction.

Rule VII. – The duration of a show shall not exceed four days. Applications for dates must be accompanied by a fee of $25., which will be forfeited in the event of the show not being held.

Rule VIII. — Entries shall close not less than seven days prior to the first day of the show. No alteration of the advertised date of closing shall be allowed. Penalty for accepting entries after advertised date, “$5.00 for each entry.”
Rule IX. – The date of closing of entries of any show is an official part of that show, and a person eligible to make entries must be in good standing at the time of the closing of its entries. No entries can be accepted in the name of an agent only. Penalty for each entry, $2.00.

Rule X.—No entries can be accepted from any person who is not in good standing, a list of such persons must be obtained from the Secretary of the American Kennel Club before the closing of the entries. No person under sentence of suspension, disqualification or expulsion can be employed in any official capacity, or exhibit, or give or take a prize, or act as agent for any exhibitor, or show a dog in any judging ring. Penalty for violation: $5.00 for each offense.

Rule XI. – The premium list must contain the dog show rules of the American Kennel Club; also a list of the officials under whose management the dog show is to be held, who, with the exception of the paid employees, shall be held responsible for the payment of all prizes within sixty days of the last day of the show, in default of which the said officials shall be suspended or disqualified for such time as the American Kennel Club shall determine.

XII.—All claims against a club for unpaid prizes shall be outlawed unless made within six months from the closing of such show.
Rule XIII.—No special prize can be accepted or offered by a club after the opening of a show.

The show officials will be held responsible for the payment of all special prizes advertised in the premium list or catalogue.

All prizes must be in accordance with description in the premium list. Prizes of gold or silver must be of the purity of United States coin. If money prizes are offered, a fixed amount for each prize must be stated.

Rule XIV. – In default of the payment of prize moneys within sixty days of the last day of a show, the officials of such show, except paid employees, shall be suspended for such time as the American Kennel Club shall determined, or disqualified.

Rule XV.—Every show must provide a printed catalogue in which is given all particulars required of exhibitors in entering dogs as provided in Section 6, Rule VI, VII and VII of the “Rules Governing Dog Shows.” It must also contain a list of the officers under whose management the show is held.

Rule XVI. – A catalogue, marked with the winnings, and duly certified to by the secretary or superintendent, must be filed with the American Kennel Club within seven days after the closing of the show. Penalty, “1.00 per day.

Clubs in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee must forward to the Secretary thereof two marked and certified copies of the catalogues.
Rule XVII.-- The following rule must be observed in the arrangement of said catalogue. The name of the owner and then the name of the dog and registration number immediately follows the catalogue number, followed by further particulars as called for in Rule VI, Section 6. The catalogue must be in book form, and not exceed in surface size 6 x 8 inches, not less than 5 x 8 inches.

Rule XVIII.-- A club may assume the responsibility of collecting and forwarding to the American Kennel Club any listing fees, which fact must be stated in the premium list. Such fees collected must be forwarded to the American Kennel Club within seven days from closing of show, accompanied by a complete list of same. Penalty for non-compliance, $1.00 per day.

Rule XIX.—Upon notification from the Secretary of the American Kennel Club that there are still unlisted dogs, the show club must pay for same within fourteen (14) days after such notice, in default of which said club shall be suspended.

The amount deposited with the application for dates cannot be applied as payment of said fees.

Rule XX.-- Every show must have a qualified veterinary surgeon who shall be in attendance the evening preceding, and during the progress of the show, and no dog shall be benched without having been examined and passed by him. The veterinary surgeon is required to personally inspect the dogs
on bench and the quarters where the dogs are exercised or crated, before 6 p.m. on the opening day of the show and at least once during the morning of each day, and a written report submitted to the Bench Show Committee. Dogs suffering from contagious diseases must be ordered from the building. Failing to comply with this rule, if protest is made and sustained, the club will be fined $5.00 for each offense.

Rule XXI.—In the events of a protest being filed in accordance with Rule XIX, Section 6, of the Dog Show Rules, the Show Committee shall at once meet and decide upon it, giving both sides the opportunity to be heard together and its decision rendered in writing.

Rule XXII.—If a protest is lodged subsequent to the show, it must be decided by the Committee within 20 days form its receipt. Five days’ notice of the date and place of the meeting for that purpose must be given to all parties interested, and decision rendered as above.

Rule XXIII.—The Bench Show Committee may suspend any person for misconduct at its show, notice of which must be forwarded with twenty-four hours to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, who will refer it to the Executive Board at its first meeting, for action.

Rule XIV.—The Secretary of the American Kennel Club must enforce penalties for violation of rules, and reserve same from deposit made with applicants for dates.
Rule XXV.— No entry shall be accepted of any puppy under six months of age or without day of birth, breeder, sire or dam, either for competition or exhibition. Penalty for violation: fine of $5.00 for each entry.

Rule XXVI.-- A dog wrongly entered cannot be transferred by the Show officials. Penalty, $5.00.

Rule XXVII.-- For any violation of the rules not otherwise specified, or for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, such action may be taken and penalty imposed as may be deemed proper.

Rule XXVIII.—Such regulations or additional rules may be adopted for the government of any show as shall be considered necessary, provided they do not conflict with any of these official rules.

Respectfully submitted,
Committee on Constitution and Rules:
EDWARD BROOKS (Chairman)
G. MUSS-ARNOLT
DWIGHT-MOORE
A.P. VREDENBRUGH

The request of the Ladies' Kennel Association to the American Kennel Club to adopt the following rule: “That dogs ruled off or barred from being shown in England should be barred from being shown in America,” was, on motion, laid upon the table.
MR. BROOKS: I call attention to the report of the committee which was appointed to take up the plan for arranging the premium list. It has been signed by Mr. Mortimer and Mr. Oldham and Mr. Vredenburgh. The rules Committee has gone over it and recommended it for adoption.

MR. MORTIMER: That is, to adhere to that as nearly as possible?

THE CHAIR: It is moved and seconded that it be adopted as a part of the rules.

Carried.

THE CHAIR: A motion is now in order to adopt the Rules Committee report in toto, and the recommendation which was read in the preamble, that is to say that it should take effect January 1st, 1907, was corrected.

MR. VITI: I move that it be adopted as amended.

Motion seconded and carried.

It having come to the knowledge of the meeting that one of the delegates of the American Kennel Club has, in an interview with a representative of a sporting paper of wide circulation reflected on the fairness and integrity of judges of a certain breed of dogs, Mr. Brooks moved that the matter be referred to the Executive Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

It was also brought to the knowledge of the meeting
that one of the delegates has caused to be published over his signature in one of the sporting papers that under orders his remarks at American Kennel Club meeting had been suppressed for years, on motion the Secretary was directed to notify him to appear before the Executive Board at its next meeting for the purpose of explaining or substantiating such statement.

The meeting then adjourned.
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

(UNINCORPORATED)

---------------------

55 Liberty Street
New York, November 19, 1906

A special meeting of the American Kennel Club was this day held at
the office of the club, 55 Liberty Street, room 35, in the City of New York, on the 19th
day of November, 1906, pursuant to the following notice, duly mailed to each
member of the club. Such notice is as follows:

55 Liberty Street
New York

Sir: Please take notice that there will be a special meeting of the American
Kennel Club at No. 55 Liberty Street, room 35, in the City of New York, on Monday,
November 19th, at three o’clock in the afternoon, for the purpose of transferring all of
the property assets, effects and good will of the club to the American Kennel Club,
(incorporated) in accordance with the resolutions heretofore adopted, and for the
transaction of such other business as may be necessary to achieve this end.
By order of the president,

A.P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

DELEGATES OF ASSOCIATE SUBSCRIBERS

At said meeting there were present:

(1) W.G. Rockefeller
(2) Dwight Moore
(3) Airedale Terrier Club of New York, J.H. Brookfield, delegate
(4) American Dachshund Club, G. Muss-Arnolt, delegate
(5) American Fox Terrier Club, H.H. Hunnewell, delegate
(6) American Spaniel Club, H.K. Bloodgood, delegate
(7) Asbury Park Kennel Club, F.J. Trown, delegate
(8) Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association, John W. Britton, 2nd delegate
(9) Cedarhurst Kennel Club, John G. Bates, delegate
(10) Champlain Kennel Club, Abram D. Gillette, delegate
(11) Collie Club of America, R.S. Edson, delegate
(12) Irish Setter Club, Lawrence M.D. McGuire, delegate
(13) Irish Terrier Club of America, Singleton Van Schaick, delegate
(14) Long Island Kennel Club, Jos. M. Dale, delegate
Acted as secretary.

On motion duly made and seconded, the minutes of the last meeting of the club, as published in the September number of the Gazette were ratified and approved.

The secretary thereupon read the notice of the meeting showing that the object of the same was to transfer all of the property, assets, effects and good will of the club to the American Kennel Club, incorporation and for the transaction of such other business as may be necessary to achieve this end.

Mr. Viti thereupon read the report of the committee
appointed to incorporate the club and

On motion duly made and seconded, the report was accepted and approved
and the secretary was instructed to spread a copy of the same upon the minutes of
this meeting.

Such report is as follows:

55 Liberty Street

New York, November 19, 1906

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen: The undersigned committee appointed to consider the
advisability of incorporating the club, and with authority to have the club
incorporated, respectfully report:

(1) That after carefully considering the matter the committee were of the
opinion that the interests of the club would be best conserved by
incorporating and

(2) That accordingly they requested your executive committee to act as
incorporators, Mr. Vredenburgh acting as incorporator in the absence
of Mr. Appleton.

(3) That the said incorporators duly organized and adopted a constitution
and by-laws copies of which are annexed to this report.

(4) That in conformity with said constitution all the members of this club
and their delegates have been duly elected members of the
incorporated club and all of
(5) the associate members of this club and their delegates have been elected associate subscribers and delegates of the incorporated club.

(6) That all of the officers, executive committee and standing committee of this club have been elected officers, executive committee and standing committee of the incorporated club.

(7) That under the constitution as adopted all of the members of all the standing committee must be directors, therefore Messrs. Vredenburgh, Muss-Arnolt, L.W. Campbell, Chetwood Smith, Dwight Moore, Joseph M. Dale, Singleton Van Schiack, B.S. Smith and Ancel H. Ball all were elected as directors, and as said constitution calls for a board of thirty directors, the following delegates were elected to fill the remaining places on the board: Messrs. Monson Morris, Harry T. Peters, R.R. Keasbey, W.P. Earle, J.H. Brookfield, Abram D. Gillette, Craig F.R. Drake, Irving C. Ackerman, Howard Willets, James Mortimer, Edwin J. Van Schiack, John G. Bates.

(8) That said Incorporated Club is now duly organized and ready to take over the property, assets, effects and goodwill of this club.

Respectfully submitted,

MARCEL A. VITI
W.G. ROCKEFELLER
A.P. VREDENBURGH
Mr. Viti thereupon offered the following preamble and resolution:

Whereas, In pursuance of resolutions passes and adopted by this club, the committee appointed, there-under have caused the American Kennel Club to be duly incorporated under the laws of the State of New York and

Whereas, The said club has bee duly organized, adopted a constitution and by-laws and elected all the members of this club and their delegates, members and delegates of said club, and the associate members of this club and their delegates, associate subscribers and delegates of said club.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved That this club transfer, assign and set over all of its property, assets, effects and good will into said American Kennel Club, incorporated, add that the proper officers of this club be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute acknowledge and deliver unto said American Kennel Club, incorporated such instructions as may be necessary to effectuate, carry out and complete such transfer and assignment.

Said preamble and resolutions having been fully discussed.
On motion duly made and seconded, the same were unanimously adopted, a roll call being expressly waived by every delegate present.

Mr. Viti thereupon presented and read to the meeting the instrument of transfer and assignment called for by said resolutions, and

On motion duly made and seconded, the secretary was instructed to spread a copy of the same upon the minutes of this meeting. Such copy is as follows:

This indenture, made the nineteenth day of November in the year one thousand nine hundred and six, between the American Kennel Club, unincorporated association, hereinafter called the association, party of the first part and the American Kennel Club, a corporation duly created, organized, and existing under and by virtue of the Membership Corporation Law of the State of New York, hereinafter, called the club, party of the second part.

Whereas, The said association at a special meeting thereof duly called for and regularly held on the 19th day of November, 1906, did adopt, the following preamble and resolutions:

Whereas, In pursuance of resolutions passed and adopted by this club, the committee appointed there-under have caused the American Kennel Club to
be duly incorporated under the laws of the State of New York, and

Whereas, The said club has been duly organized adopted
a constitution and by-laws and elected all the members of this club
and their delegates, members and delegates of said club, and the
associate members of this club and their delegates, associate
subscribers and delegates of said club,

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this club transfer, assign and set over all
of its property, assets, effect and good will unto said American
Kennel Club, incorporated, and that the proper officers of this
club be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute,
acknowledge and deliver unto said American Kennel Club,
Incorporated, such instruments as may be necessary to effectuate,
carry out and complete such transfer and assignment.

Now, therefore, this indenture witnesseth that the said association, party of
the first part, in pursuance of said resolutions, and to carry out and to effectuate the
same, for and in consideration of the premises and of the sum of one dollar, lawful
money of the United States of America to it in hand paid by the said club, party of
the
second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, 
sold, transferred, assigned and set over, and by these presents does grant, bargain, 
sell, transfer, assign and set over unto the said party of the second part, its 
successors and assigns, all of the property, assets, effects whatsoever and 
wheresoever situate belonging to said association or used by it in its business or in 
the conduct of its affairs, including among other things all of the plans and records, 
furniture and fittings, all claims, demands and accounts, due or to become due to 
said association, any and all bank accounts standing in its name or in the name of 
any of its officers or committees, all of its right, title and interest in and to the 
American Kennel Gazette and the American Kennel Club Stud Book, and the good 
will of said association, together with the exclusive right to use the name of the 
American Kennel Club.

To have, to hold the same unto the said American Kennel Club, party of the 
second part, its successors and assigns forever:

In witness whereof the said American Kennel Club (unincorporated) party of 
the first part, has caused there presents to be signed by its president and its seal, 
duly attested by its secretary, to be hereunto affixed the
day and year above written.

THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

AUGUST BELMONT

President

Attest: A.P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary
The meeting of the Executive Committee held January 1st, 1907.

President Belmont in the chair.


Application from the following clubs having been duly approved by the membership committee were duly elected to membership on motion of Mr. Brooks:

- Anthracite Kennel Club.
- Bergen County Kennel Club.
- North Jersey Kennel Club. Sheepshead Bay Kennel Club.
- Westchester Kennel Club.

On motion of Mr. Brooks, the salaries for the current year were fixed at the same rate as those paid during the year 1906, and the action of the Secretary in paceing said salaries for the month of January was confirmed.

She question of formulating a plan by which field trial championship for Beagles, Pointers and Setters can be determined was referred to the Field Trial Committee to report later.

By Mr. Brooks, Resolved, That the Secretary be and he is hereby authorized and instructed to receive as of December 31, 1906, the dues of any club tendered to him heretofore and since the 1st of January 1907, provided the said dues are paid.
To him on or before the 13th of February 1907, and that he forthwith notify said clubs of this resolution.

By Mr. Emery, Resolved, that if any club in arrears for dues tender same to the Secretary hereafter and before the annual meeting, he be and hereby is instructed to receive the same as of December 31, 1906, and that the Secretary notify said members of this resolution.

[Signature]

Secretary
Special meeting Board of Directors, Feb. 5, 1907.

The following Directors answered to roll call:-

August Belmont, presiding.
James W. Appleton.
Hildreth K. Bloodgood.
Wm. G. Rockefeller.
Alfred P. Vredenburgh.
G. Muss-Arnolt.
Monson Morris.
Dwight Moore.
Harry T. Peters.
Rowland P. Keasbey.
J. K. Brookefield.
B.S. Smith.
Craig F.R. Drake.
Edwin J. Van Schaick.
John G. Bates.
Ancel H. Ball.

RESOLVED that the Secretary be and he is hereby instructed to receive as of January, 20, 1907, the annual dues of any associate subscribers tendered to him since that date or hereafter paid to him, provided such dues are so paid on or before February 13, 1907, and that all associate subscribers so paying their annual dues be thereby reinstated to all their rights and privileges as of January 20, 1907.

RESOLVED that the delegates be and they are hereby requested to suggest names to the Board of Directors of persons acceptable to them to be elected as Directors to fill any vacancies in the Board, there may be at the time of
the Annual Meeting of the Club and that the Secretary be and he is hereby instructed to send a copy of this resolution to the delegate and Secretary of each club.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary.

Adjournment.
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY,
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1907

President August Belmont in the chair.

THE PRESIDENT: Gentlemen, I call this Meeting to order. I want to congratulate you on the very excellent attendance we have here, illustrating the deep interest that is taken in the affairs of the club, and it is certainly gratifying to know that the club is worthy of so much attention. The Secretary will please call the roll.

PRESENT:

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS: W. G. Rockefeller,
Dwight Moore,
A. G-. Hooley,
Moses Taylor,
W. J. Berg,
B. S. Smith,
W. Rutherfurd.

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: William L. Barclay.
AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF NEW YORK: J. H. Brookfield.
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB: H. K. Bloodgood.
ASBURY PARK KENNEL CLUB: F.J. Trown
ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB: J. Sergeant Price, Jr,
BAY STATE CO-OPERATIVE BENCH SHOW ASSOCIATION: Horace A. Belcher.
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB: F.H. Osgood
BROCKTON DISTRICT KENNEL CLUB: Dr. W.H. Brownwell
BRUNSWICK FOXHOUND CLUB: L.W. Campbell
BUFFALO CLUB OF AMERICA: George Bleistein
BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA: W.C. Codman
BULL TERRIER BREEDERS’ ASSOCIATION: W.C. Codman
BULL TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: Clair Foster
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB: John G. Bates
CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB: Abram D. Gillette
CHESTER KENNEL CLUB: S. Crozer Robinson
COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA: R.S. Edson
COLORADO KENNEL CLUB: Richard Crocker, Jr.
CROTONA COLLIE CLUB: George H. Cable
DALMATIAN CLUB OF AMERICA: J.B. Thomas, Jr.
DESERET AGRICULTURAL AND MANUFACTURING SOCIETY: Charles R. Stevenson
FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA: Richard H. Hunt
GREAT DANE CLUB OF AMERICA: O.H. Albanesius
HAVERHILL KENNEL CLUB: Mark A. Knipe
HAWAIIAN KENNEL CLUB: Seabury C. Mastick
INTER-STATE FAIR KENNEL CLUB: H.S. Spackman
IRISH SETTER CLUB: Lawrence M.D. Mc Guire
IRISH SETTER CLUB OF AMERICA: Singleton Van Schaick
KEYSTONE BEAGLE CLUB: Thomas Cadwalader
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club Name</th>
<th>President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS</td>
<td>Edward Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADISON ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>E.L. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>C.P.R. Drake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERRIMACK VALLEY KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>W.N. Kimball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB</td>
<td>Jas. W. Appleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW BEDFORD KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Chas. T. Luce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB</td>
<td>Chetwood Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ENGLAND COLLIE CLUB</td>
<td>Tom. B. Middlebrooke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORANGE COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY</td>
<td>M.A. Stivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILADELPHIA COLLIE CLUB</td>
<td>Henry Heuer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINE TREE KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>John J. Boyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PORTLAND KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Edwin J. Van Schaick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVERE KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Samuel R. Cutler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHODE ISLAND KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>William Codman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCKLAND COUNTY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>Monson Morris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB</td>
<td>Dr. J.E. Demund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>R.P. Keasbey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN MATEO KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Howard Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Edward Hance, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA</td>
<td>George Lauder, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEATTLE DOG FANCIERS’ ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>James Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTHERN BEAGLE CLUB</td>
<td>George B. Post, Jr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SOUTHWESTERN KENNEL CLUB: Irving C. Ackerman
SPANIEL BREEDERS’ SOCIETY: Marcel A. Viti
STOCKTON KENNEL CLUB: Clifford Drake
TAUNTON KENNEL CLUB: John H. Church
TEXAS KENNEL CLUB: Geo. W. Clayton
THE LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA: James Mortimer
WESTMINSTER KENNEL CLUB: Harry T. Peters
WISSAHICKON KENNEL CLUB: R.H. Johnson, Jr.
GORK KENNEL CLUB: Dr. J. Fletcher Lutz

THE PRESIDENT: Are there any seats not occupied by delegates? I ask, as a matter of courtesy, if those who are not entitled to seats will vacate them for those who are entitled to seats.

DR. OSGOOD: Mr. Chairman, you asked a question whether there were any but delegates here. As one of your committee acting on this matter, I have asked a stenographer to be present to check the record of the regular stenographer. If you would like him to be removed, if you will so indicate, I will so state to him; or if you think that he should lie removed I will make a motion that we he allowed to hae an official stenographer here to check the record of the regular stenographer.

THE PRESIDENT: I can put. no motion at present.
We have not yet organized. There is a quorum here present, but we have a few proxies, and we have credentials of delegates who have not yet been seated. I think we have with us present the stenographer who has reported our meetings for the last twenty years or more. I want to submit to you, gentlemen, that I think that that is really carrying a question of feeling and disrespect for the club, and honesty of purpose, that you are unwilling to have as the official record of any of our meetings the stenographic report of a man who has served us for more than twenty years, and who has been and is now an official stenographer to one of the higher courts of record in this city, where accuracy is essential. More accurate reports than he has furnished us could not be made. It is immaterial, but I think that such a suggestion would be equivalent almost to saying that this stenographer was not to be trusted because he was engaged by the present management of the club. I cannot see any other interpretation of it, and when the time comes I will recognize a question of that kind, if you desire. I submit that that is a most extraordinary request.

DR. OSGOOD: My suggestion was not any reflection whatever regarding the official stenographer. It was simply that in view of the large number present it would
be almost impossible to take everything down correctly, and if we had a check it would be a very valuable thing in such a large meeting. There is absolutely no reflection official stenographer.

THE PRESIDENT: When the time comes that motion can be put, but now we have business to proceed with which does not involve any general debate, and therefore I am not prepared yet to act upon it. The roll has been called and the Chair calls for proxies. If any proxies are held they should be submitted to the Chair. The Chair states that in order to be accurate in passing upon these proxies it has been deemed advisable to appoint a committee to take the proxies and report on the same.

MR. McGUIRE: Do I understand from your remarks that we propose, to vote proxies at this meeting?

THE PRESIDENT: I presume that the question as to proxies being regular and it being possible to vote proxies must be decided upon after, the character of them is submitted.

MR. McGUIRE: If the character is all right, I understand your ruling is that it is perfectly proper to vote them, and we will vote them.

THE PRESIDENT: I have not so ruled.

MR. McGUIRE: If they are in proper form will
they meet your approval?

THE PRESIDENT: That is a hypothetical question which I decline to answer. It is not under my control.

MR. MC GUIRE: It is only for my information.

THE PRESIDENT: So far as possible, yes. On that committee I appoint Mr. Brooks, of Boston; Mr. Rutherford, of New York, and Mr. Viti, of Philadelphia; and I suggest that before proceeding with the business of the meeting you take a recess for say a quarter or half an hour, whichever you choose, giving that committee time to look over the proxies and to ascertain as to their validity. This is a question that might be raised, and we desire to have the representation absolutely complete.

MR. CUTLER: I move we proceed to business without taking any recess.

Motion seconded.

THE PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion, gentlemen. Are you ready for the question? (Cries of question). The President then put the question to a vive voce vote.

THE PRESIDENT: The ayes have it, and we will proceed to the business of the meeting, but no business can be proceeded with until you have passed on the proxies.
They will have to wait here. Everybody who is here must be entitled to vote in whatever form it may be, until it has been passed upon. No proxies have as yet been offered.

MR. LESLIE: Have any proxies been filed with the Secretary?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. MC GUIRE: What is the necessity of taking up the question, of proxies if none have been filed?

THE PRESIDENT: I have called for them. Have any been offered?

THE SECRETARY: No.

THE PRESIDENT: If none have been offered, there will be no time taken up with them.

MR. LESLIE: I offer a proxy.

THE PRESIDENT: Your credentials have been filed. That is quite a different matter. Those credentials will be passed upon.

MR. LESLIE: This is, a proxy in addition to that.

MR. CUTLER: I raise a point of order. No proxies should be used in a meeting of this kind. We come here as delegates representing clubs, and if we come here, we have. Whatever standing we have on this floor as delegates. No delegate has any right to delegate his auth-
ority to some one else. I raise that as a point of order and ask the Chairman to rule upon it.

THE PRESIDENT: If a proxy of that nature were presented, it would be no proxy.

MR. CUTLER: I understand this gentleman has just, offered a proxy. I raise a point of order that it is not valid in this meeting.

THE PRESIDENT: The proxy might be in the form of an authorization from a club to a person to represent it as a delegate.

MR. CUTLER: If it is, it must be filed, with the Secretary a certain number of days before this meeting, and be brought up to be acted upon. The word "proxy" has a perfectly plain meaning. It is not a credential. A credential filed with the Secretary can be brought up and be acted upon. My point is that it cannot be, and I ask a ruling on that point.

THE PRESIDENT: On such a hypothetical question, yes, that would not be a proxy.

MR. CUTLER: I do not think I make myself clear. If I am correct, it is not a hypothetical question. A gentleman has presented a proxy. I ask if it can be passed upon, whether it can be used. I raise the point that it cannot. There is no such thing as a proxy in
this organization. No one can vote except as a delegate. I raise that point of order, and I think it is not a hypothetical question that is before the house now.

THE PRESIDENT: The proxy is not presented at the desk.

MR. MORRIS: It has been put on the desk.

MR. LESLIE: It will be made very clear if the Secretary will read it.

(Proxy read.)

THE SECRETARY: There is no seal on it.

MR. CUTLER: I now repeat my point of order.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair rules that that is not a valid proxy. There being no others to consider, the next order of business will be the election of delegates to ballot.

MR. CUTLER: I think the reading of the minutes of the last meeting would be proper if it is in the regular order of business.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, you are quite right.

MR. CUTLER: I move that the Secretary read the minutes of the last meeting of incorporators and directors.

THE SECRETARY: The last meeting was a special meeting, of which I now read.
During the reading of the minutes, Dr. Osgood interrupted and said: I rise to a point of order. The point of order is that the constitution which was adopted by your incorporated club on the 5th day of November says that a quorum shall consist of twelve. The Secretary just read here that there were present at this special meeting but six members. Consequently there was not a quorum present, and I ask for a ruling of the Chair that this minutes be not read, as there was no quorum present at this meeting and no legal meeting held.

THE PRESIDENT: I should have to ask counsel to advise me before ruling on that point, but I understood this was a meeting of the incorporators, and I think under the law that that meeting of incorporators to transact business up to that moment is a meeting.

THE SECRETARY: This was the incorporated club that met.

THE PRESIDENT: I have asked Mr. Taylor here about it, and he concurs in my conception of it. These were the incorporators, and when they met there were six out eight. I thought they were all here.

MR. TAYLOR: The others waived.

THE PRESIDENT: They waived. That made that a perfectly legal meeting.
DR. OSGOOD: I take exception and still hold to my point of order that matters are out of order from this on. You called a meeting on November 5th of the directors which were elected from the members of the American Kennel Club at that time. That meeting was perfectly legal. At that meeting you adopted a constitution which required twelve for a quorum, and as there were only eight members of the American Kennel Club incorporated, you tied your hands as to the transaction of any further business when you passed that vote making a quorum twelve; and you did call a meeting after adopting that constitution on November 19th, at which there were only six members present, and I claim and ask you to rule that the business transacted at that meeting was illegal, as there was not a quorum present.

THE PRESIDENT: I cannot so rule.

DR. OSGOOD: I take an exception to the ruling of the Chair, and ask for the voice of the meeting. I appeal from the decision of the Chair.

THE PRESIDENT: It does not seem to me possible, even if you overrule the decision of the Chair, that you could invalidate a thing of that kind. That is for the Court. To rule at this meeting and declare there, was no meeting, and that no constitution exists, is some-
thing that is not within this club’s power to do.

MR. CUTLER: I understand the appeal is now before the house?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. The question before the house is whether the decision of the Chair shall be sustained.

MR. CUTLER: May I address the delegates on that point?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. CUTLER: I did not get Dr. Osgood's meaning very thoroughly, but the way I got it, as he stated it, was this: On the 5th day of November the members, the incorporators, or whatever you may call them, got together and organized a corporation and adopted a constitution, and one of the provisions which they adopted in that constitution was that a quorum should consist of twelve members. On the 19th of November they held a meeting at which there were only six members present. There were eight members in existence, and I understand the counsel to have stated that the other two members waived their rights to be present, but you will see that there being but eight members, and they having adopted a constitution which required twelve to make a quorum, any action which they might thereafter take would be null and void. The 19th
of November came after the 5th of November, after the adoption of that constitution, and therefore whatever action they took at that meeting was null and void. When I made the motion that the minutes should be read, I referred to the minutes of this meeting, but Dr. Osgood raised the point, and so far as I can see, it is well taken, that that meeting was not a meeting, and it had no effect, therefore it is not the meeting the minutes of which should be read here. That is a simple proposition.

THE PRESIDENT: The vote of this club that its action was illegal declaring the same to be null and void, would have no effect.

MR. CUTLER: I understand the question of perhaps their legality, but, what the President means is a question for the court; but primarily every organization has a right to pass on its own records, and has a right to pass on the question of the legality of its own proceeding. The point before the house is on the question whether the Chair's ruling shall be sustained — was that meeting which took place on the 19th day of November a meeting within the meaning of the law of the American Kennel Club? Was it a legal valid meeting? We say no because it was only a meeting of six men when twelve were neces-
sary to make a quorum.

THE PRESIDENT: I must ask before ruling on that as to what that representation was. I think there was in some manner a waiver of attorney.

MR. VITI: At that meeting the entire membership was present and the constitution could be adopted —

DR. OSGOOD: Mr. Chairman, I requested the floor to speak on the motion. I want to call the attention of the members to Section 5 of Article V of the Constitution, which reads: At any special or regular meeting of this club, twelve members shall constitute a quorum.” I want to read at the close of that meeting, dated November 5th, this: “On motion duly made and seconded, it was resolved that the constitution as submitted be adopted as the constitution of the club, and that a copy of such constitution so adopted be annexed by the Secretary to the minutes of this meeting.”

THE PRESIDENT: I will explain this. This seems to be a question raised which, on its face, must be absurd because under those circumstances the club would cease to have any existence. That is impossible.

DR. OSGOOD: That is what we claim.

THE PRESIDENT: No, that is impossible. There was a waiver of notice of this meeting as well. All
the members were there present that could be, and the waiver of notice makes their action perfectly legal so far as their associates were concerned. All of the members of the club, as it existed at that time, were present, and had that not been the case they could not have transacted any business at any time, because they then could not have had a quorum to fill the vacancies under the constitution and elect thirty members of the Board.

MR. CUTLER: I want to place myself on record in this matter. I do not wish to appear as an obstructionist. I want things to go on smoothly. I appreciate that there are some lawyers here who have been following this matter. You adopted a constitution. That constitution said that twelve members should constitute a quorum, but there were not but eight members present. It was their misfortune; they should not have adopted any such constitution. If they did that act, they put themselves out of existence. I understand, although I am not a New York lawyer, I have looked up the New York law when I discovered that I was no longer a member of the club — looked up the New York law a little bit and looked up what is known as the Membership Corporation Law of New York. I do not call myself much of an expert, but I found that the law of New York, if I am correct in my reading, says: "Every quorum
of a corporation organized under the membership law must constitute two-thirds of the entire membership, or at least nine — nine members any way. So I think under the law -- but I do not lay great stress on that -- the constitution which they say they adopted themselves put them out of existence, and they had no power to hold a legal meeting. That is the point which I wish to raise.

DR. OSGOOD: I call for the question on the appeal from the decision of the Chair.

MR. STEVENSON: There being only eight members of the American Kennel Club incorporated present that day at this meeting, and all being represented by signing a waiver, are not those members who became members afterwards estopped from raising this question as a proposition of law? My belief is that any club which is represented here to-day which was not a member of the American Kennel Club on the date of this meeting is estopped from endeavoring to attack the validity of that which was done at that meeting.

DR. OSGOOD: I would like to state that it was impossible for them to elect any further members after they had adopted that constitution requiring twelve members to be present, because they could not furnish a quorum.
thereafter to conduct legal business.

THE PRESIDENT: I must act on this question according to my best light. This point was, according to the ruling of the Chair, not well taken, and I must rule that that meeting was legal, and that as a matter of law you are estopped from reviewing this question.

MR. CUTLER: I understood the house was to decide on the appeal. I ask that a vote be taken on that appeal.

TEE PRESIDENT: There is not any appeal on that subject. I declared that out of order.

MR. CUTLER: What I was talking about was the appeal which Dr. Osgood made from the decision which the Chair has already made.

THE PRESIDENT: If the Chair decides on a question of law, there is no appeal on that interpretation of it.

DR. OSGOOD: As an appeal from the decision of the Chair in all parliamentary bodies, we have the right to demand that you put it to the vote of this meeting.

(Cries of question).

THE PRESIDENT: I decline to put the motion. I am so advised by counsel, and I act under that advice. I do not propose to put a motion which I am advised by counsel.
should not be put. My decision is final on that subject.

DR. OSGOOD: Then as the originator of that appeal, I call upon the next officer, the Vice-President of the club, or in Ms absence, the next officer, to put the motion on the appeal, which the constitution requires the officer to put to this body.

MR. CUTLER: I asked you, Mr. Chairman, when I got up to talk, if the question before the house was not a proper one, that the decision of the Chair should he sustained, and the Chair answered me that it was; and I asked you if I might address myself to that question, and I proceeded to address myself to that question, and I certainly understood, and I think everybody here understood, that that question was before the house, and if you have already put it to the house, there is but one more thing to do, take a rote upon it. You have ruled that it goes to the house already. We ought not to stay here — I believe in all frankness we ought not to take the ground here that the Chair has a right arbitrarily to decide against the majority of the members. I have been in town meetings before now, and the moderator of a town meeting is supposed to be about as arbitrary as anybody can be, but it is always recognized that the majority in
town meetings has always an appeal from the moderator.

THE PRESIDENT: The proper question, as I understand it, is that the gentleman from Massachusetts has no right to raise this question. He is estopped from doing so. That is what I have been advised by counsel. Therefore, the motion could not, while it has been considered, ought not to have been made.

MR. CUTLER: You put it on the doctrine of estoppel that I have not any right to come here and appeal and address myself upon a motion which calls in question the validity of the records of a previous meeting of this club because at some meeting of those gentlemen who got together in this meeting saw fit to say that my club might become a member. Am I estopped? I came here for the purpose of raising this point: I have not estopped myself and my club has not estopped itself. On the doctrine of estoppel nothing has been done. I came here at the first opportunity in behalf of my club to raise that point. My club has not estopped itself.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: If I understand the matter correctly, it was not a meeting that required twelve to make a quorum; it was a meeting that of the incorporators, later directors, and the by-laws provide that a quorum of directors shall be made up of one-third of the directors. Six
of these were present. It was not a meeting of the club.

DR. OSGOOD: The minutes of the meeting say it was a meeting of the club --- “Special meeting of the American Kennel Club Incorporated.” That is why we take exception. It was not a meeting of the incorporators, directors, or anything else; it was a meeting of the American Kennel Club Incorporated. The Secretary just read it.

MR. WILLETS: I submit that if the gentlemen takes that view that that was no meeting at all, then none of us were elected and have no business here. None of use have any business here if his point is correct. I do not say that it is correct.

MR. MC GUIRE: There is a grave question as to the correctness of the point; I think we are all entitled to our private interpretation of what that meant. I think the fairest way and the nicest way, in the light that we pose, at least as sportsmen, is to let the majority decided. We have heard some talk of the courts and that sort of thing, as to what the court might or might not do. Why take that view? I think we, the American Kennel Club – we are altogether wrong. We ought to decide for ourselves with the court’s interpretation or anybody else’s. We want our own interpretation.
THE PRESIDENT: I think, that is certainly a very excellent way of looking at it, but if you will just consider for a moment, the American Kennel Club was started some 22 or 23 years ago, having a very small beginning as an association, and as the result of very hard work it became successful and its influence very widespread. It accumulated in its treasury gradually a large sum of money, and it became necessary for it to be incorporated. That everybody admitted. Steps were taken to that end with every desire to do what was right. Now, if this meeting decides and declares that an action which was taken under advice of counsel is invalid, and it is stated as the legal consequence that such was not the case, I submit then that you will have to disband, simply leave this meeting and take no action whatever, because if that is the case, then it is quite true that nothing can be done. The question of the validity of the action taken will naturally have to stand until you gentlemen can call it in question. The club incorporated will have to proceed. Its directors are responsible for it, and then you will not have the opportunity to perhaps carry out some of the steps which you propose to do, for should it be declared that the action is invalid you have got to go all
through this again.

MR. MC GUIRE: I believe we have listened most attentively, and I should not take the floor on this proposition, thinking I would be out of order, were it not for the statement made by the President. We all believe what you have said, Mr. Belmont. We have the greatest respect for the gentleman who originally organized the American Kennel Club. In saying that we are going to disregard entirely those who incorporated it, we are going, if we can, their methods, we are going to go back to where we were exactly, as you suggested, and we are going to do it right — going to do it over again in the open without a single star chamber proceeding. That is what we want; that is the purpose of our motions here. There could be a lot more said on that subject, but I don't think it would serve the purpose of this meeting to do it. We know how this incorporation was brought about; we know how it was done. Here is a majority of the American Kennel Club. Put a vote to them and ask them if they approve of it. Why don't they approve of it? Because they did not think it was right. Mr. Belmont, you recall at the last annual meeting our then Vice-president, Mr. Hunnewell, of whom we were all very fond, and I believe we are all now, paid you a very glow-
ing tribute, in which the whole club joined. We believe you, Mr. Belmont, have done more for the American Kennel Club than any other one interest which has entered into it, and we are surprised — terribly surprised that you should choose to use this method of incorporation. There was no necessity for it. Tell us, Mr. Chairman, if you will, what was the necessity of making this incorporation a star chamber proceeding? Why was it done? Why was not the proposed constitution of the proposed incorporated club published in the unincorporated Kennel Gazette?

THE PRESIDENT: Your remarks in that respect are not addressed to the question before the meeting. You are digressing as to that.

MR. MCGUIRE: My dear Mr. Belmont, I should not have taken the liberty to do that, but I think you paved the way. I do not think I have digressed any further in my remarks as to some features of this proposition than you have in yours, not in the least.

THE PRESIDENT: I only wanted to call attention to what the action will result in. It will not necessarily result in what you think will take place.

MR. MCGUIRE: And I want to call attention to the fact that that is the result the majority desire. That, I think, is what they want; exactly that.
THE PRESIDENT: I will take very great pleasure, if this meeting would permit, to take the floor and explain to you my position, but I don’t think that is necessary.

MR. CUTLER: I want to say one word more. I have been at several meetings before, and there are gentlemen who know that I try at least to stand for what I believe is right. I may differ from the gentlemen, but I have always said what I meant in open meeting, and when I have met those gentlemen out of the meeting, I have been just as friendly as I was before. I have said what I said with a purpose in mind, and my purpose was the quicker was invalidated the proceedings of that meeting the better it was and the quicker we could get back to just where we were and begin over again, because I believe and I made it my business to find out what the sentiment throughout the country is, and I believe that the dog world is not satisfied with this constitution and with this organization as it purports to exist to-day. I do not think it was legally organized, and if it was not, let us wipe it out of existence and begin just where we were. The old club is in existence to-day; it has never ceased to be in existence. Let us go on under the old club until we can better ourselves and then make a
constitution which will not be any worse than the old one, and which, above all things, will represent the dog fancier of America. That is all I want. There are different ways of accomplishing a purpose; but the only purpose I had in mind, and the only purpose I have in mind here now, is to brush out in the easiest possible way the whole corporation, and if we can do it by saying it is illegal and void, let us do it that way and begin over again and organize a corporation that will please the people who represent the dog, and I think this meeting here to-day is a testimony of what I am saying; the fact that all these people have come from all over this country to be represented and to remonstrate against this corporation and this constitution is more eloquent than anything I could say or try to say; and when we are trying to vote and the Chair is not going to let us vote to run our own affairs, the question which is already before us, and which in all fairness and justice should be before us to decide. If we are members of this club, we should certainly have a right to vote in it. If we are not, and I agree with the gentleman over there -- probably we are not -- the sooner we are out of it and the quicker we get our hat and coat and separate, the better, and say to our managers, "Gentlemen, we have confidence
you, but give us something better next time. THE PRESIDENT: Gentlemen, I want to act perfectly fairly. That has been my object since these questions have been raised, and with a view to that, I think the action taken with regard to the rules and with regard to any vacancies it proper. I am advised that is that position I should take. I am advised that in the position I should take. I have sent for my personal counsel, and I wish before ruling definitely as to that, you would permit me to consult him. I am representing here the presidency of an incorporated organization.

MR. CUTLER: I do not want to interrupt. Ought we to resolve this thing into a quibble between lawyers? I am just as proud of my profession as anybody else, but I may be wrong and the other lawyer may be right, and vice-versa. We are here as sportsmen.

THE PRESIDENT: You have raised a question which is a legal quibble, and therefore I must be very sure as to the ruling in regard to it.

MR. SINGLETON VAN SCHAICK: May I ask why the opposition did not appear originally when the proposition was first before the house and make its objection?

MR. CUTLER: I was in the hospital at that time having an operation performed. I could not come, and the first opportunity I got I came here, and I am here.
now saying what I want to say right here.

DR. OSGOOD: It is not a matter that would appeal to legal opinion on the outside, or your personal adviser. We appeal to what you say is your own constitution. If the other does not exist we appeal to your constitution, the unincorporated American Kennel Club. We appeal under either one of those constitutions and ask that our rights be protected by the gentlemen whom we have placed, in office to protect our rights.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no meeting here of the unincorporated American Kennel Club.

DR. OSGOOD: Then under the incorporated, let us proceed.

THE PRESIDENT: There is one under the incorporated.

DR. OSGOOD: If that meeting was legal, you put us right into the incorporated club, and we trusted our interests to your care, and we ask you to protect them.

THE PRESIDENT: They shall be.

DR. OSGOOD: Give us our vote.

THE PRESIDENT: They shall be protected. I ask your indulgence as to that until I am assured to put question. Here I am told that you are estopped
from raising it. Whether the Chair stated he would consider it or not, I find that to be the case, and am so advised. I would have to do so. That would be my duty perhaps for your best interests. It may be much more important for me to protect this club from disruption than to satisfy the desire of certain members who want their individual views accepted and adopted.

MR. MCGUIRE: If there is some question as to the legality as to putting the motion to the house, and I presume that is what you are waiting for, I should say that we are here members of the Incorporated Kennel Club. Do I understand that to be the view which the Chair takes of the matter?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. MCGUIRE: We are all here as members of the Incorporated American Kennel Club. May I ask if the Chair would rule upon the point whether it would be possible for this meeting, or a majority of this meeting to appoint a committee to take such legal steps as they deem necessary to dissolve the corporation?

THE PRESIDENT: I could not tell what steps would have to be taken. I presume a committee could be appointed to report as to methods to be adopted. I see no reason why they should not appoint a committee to ascer-
tain what steps could be taken, if any.

MR. MC GUIRE: The steps to be taken, especially what, are the proper steps to be taken by law; bout the committee will be appointed for the purpose of seeing that those steps are taken with, instructions to seeing to it that those steps were taken.

THE PRESIDENT: I should think not. It would be very much more satisfactory to me if you would take a short recess and allow me to consult counsel with regard to it, because I want to do what is right, and I want to do thoroughly what is my duty legally.

MR. MORRIS: I move we take a recess while Mr. Belmont consults counsel.

MR. MC GUIRE: Don't you think it would be rather a good scheme, while we are waiting for counsel to arrive, to get frankly together and discuss informally the ideas of the different delegates with regard to this incorporation? Adjourn the meeting for that purpose. Let that be in the nature of a recess, if necessary.

THE PRESIDENT: That we talk informally? I think that would be an excellent thing.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Certain delegates here are taking up a great deal of the time, and we do not know whether
they are in the majority or not. We are wasting time in listening to a few gentlemen who may not have any public right here as yet,

THE PRESIDENT: I am trying to submit, in answer to Mr. Muss-Arnolt's suggestion, that the question as to how many are of the opinion of Dr. Osgood, whether there be 5 or 55 or 155, has no influence upon the Chair whatever. If I was absolutely sure that you could not muster 5 votes on that subject it would not change my ruling to put that question, either nightly or wrongly, or refuse to put it. It is not with the view of gaining time, or to do anything of that kind; it is because I want to put the question whether I ought to, and not, if I should not.

MB. MCGUIRE: It seems too bad that you should feel it was necessary to make any explanation of that kind. Surely we all know that, and we all believe it. I am really sorry that you have felt the necessity of saying that.

THE PRESIDENT: Gentlemen, I was prompted to say that from the fact that you raised the question as to the reliability of our stenographer.

MR. MCGUIRE: No. That was your interpretation of the motion. Only the accuracy was in question, not
the reliability.

MR. MORRIS: I made a motion for a recess.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is it agreeable to you gentlemen to have a recess?

A DELEGATE: What is the motion?

THE PRESIDENT: There is a motion before the house which I refused to put.

MR. McGuire: Is Dr. Osgood's motion before the house?

DR. OSPGOOD: I appealed from the decision of the Chair, and I think that takes precedence over all other business.

THE PRESIDENT: You have, and I have refused to put that motion on a certain ground, and am waiting for an opinion whether my action taken is proper or not. If these gentlemen would like to discuss these matters, perhaps if you took a short recess it would be helpful.

MR. MC GUIRE: There is no objection to your discussing with counsel at length. It is not necessary for that purpose to take a recess. I suggest that you discuss with counsel at length, in the event that you wish to, right here.

THE PRESIDENT: (Addressing Mr. Auerbach) his counsel):
The point taken is that under the call for the second meeting, the first meeting having adopted a constitution requiring twelve members to be a quorum, under the call for the second meeting not having more than eight members, that they were estopped from taking any action at all, and therefore all they did at that meeting was invalid, and under the constitution they could not proceed, and consequently, from that fact, the corporation was practically dead.

DR. OSGOOD: I think the question to leave to your attorney is as to whether it is your duty to put my appeal to the decision of the Chair, under the constitutional right under which we are working to-day. It is not a matter for the courts.

THE PRESIDENT: The question on which I ruled, the question is the one which I am stating to counsel, and you took an appeal from that and I refused to put the question because I was advised that you were estopped from calling the action of the club in question.

DR. OSGOOD: Whether the position of the Chair is sustained or not is the question.

MR. AUERBACH: These gentlemen are here, if I understand properly, by reason of what was done at this meeting, the legality of which they call in question now;
they are here by reason of that meeting which was held?

DR. OSGOOD: We are here to call in question the legality of this meeting and the meeting previous to it.

MR. AUERBACH: The question is, who are you that calls it in question. Are you not in existence to call it in question by reason of this meeting, the legality of which you dispute?

DR. OSGOOD: Put that once more.

MR. AUERBACH: You are here as a delegate or are you here by reason of this meeting, the legality of which you are protesting against?

DR. OSGOOD: I do not think I need to go into any argument. I am simply asking for my rights under parliamentary rules, after a motion has been made.

DR. AUERBACH: Your rights arise out of your election to be at this meeting. Is that correct?

MR. MC GUIRE: Have we any right to be here for the purpose of protecting the legality of that meeting and the legality of the corporation?

MR. AUERBACH: I think you have got to be here either represented or corporate. You cannot take the position that you are here as members and then call into question the propriety of the meeting upon which you
must stand to be here as a delegate at all. It is a matter of business and a matter of good sense. You are here to protest. You are here to protest. You are here to do all sorts of things as members of this organization. How can you question your right to be here and yet insist upon being heard?

DR. OSGOOD: I will answer that. The position was taken by a number of us that at the meeting of November 19th there was no need for us to be there. We found out that in the absence of the delegates which represent clubs, the incorporators of the new club took action and claim now they have taken legally all the property that belonged to us as the American Kennel Club unincorporated. This American Kennel Club so-called incorporated, called a, meeting to-day after electing us as members. We come here to protest against their taking any action under the plan of incorporation.

MR. AUERBACH: Involved in that protest must be a protest against your being made a member of that organization.

DR. OSGOOD: I asked the Chair to rule, to put the motion under parliamentary law, which I asked for. The appeal is on the decision of the Chair.

MR. WILLSTS: Do you claim you have a right to come
here and ask questions of the Chair?

DR. OSGOOD: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: It is just the point the Chair is taking, that I am advised under those circumstances that you have no right to call it in question.

DR. OSGOOD: Have you a right to occupy the Chair? If you have a right to occupy the chair at this meeting, I have a right to put questions to you. We are both in the same position.

THE PRESIDENT: I think not. I think, you have no right to address this meeting on a question if you take the position you do; that is to say, there is no existence at all —

DR. OSGOOD: If you are a member of the American Kennel Club Incorporated, I am.

THE PRESIDENT: You say you are not.

DR. OSGOOD: I do not say I am not. I do not commit myself either way.

MR. WILLETS: I simply asked if he claimed to have a right to come here and raise any question or vote on any question.

DR. OSGOOD: I am not here under cross-examination.

MR. MILLETS: If Mr. Osgood does claim that he was elected at the meeting which he is now calling in ques-
tion, and he claims that was an illegal meeting, why he has no standing in the premises.

MR. CUTLER: I came here, and I suppose everybody came here, because they got a postal card from Mr. Vredenburgh asking us to come here. This is an argument which I think will not appeal to the reason. If this had been a writ, or a summons into court, I could not have stayed away because I would have been in default; I would have had to go to court and raise the point. Even if the court had no jurisdiction, or that I was not properly summoned, or that I was not the person intended, I would go there for the purpose of raising that point, would enter an appearance; that is what we call it as lawyers. I came in answer to a summons; I came here at the first opportunity which was given to me. I raised the objection in order that I might not have it said by sitting here and taking part in this meeting I estopped myself from raising the point. The very first opportunity that I had to raise that point I raised it; that I was properly here, and I have said before you came in that it was my duty and the other members’ duty to come here, and if they had no rights here to take their hats and go out of that door. We are not here in any sense except as a company of gentlemen, not an incor-
MR. STEVENSON: Perhaps the only way to an answer that is by reference to the records of the American Kennel Club Incorporated. This, as I understand it, is not a meeting of gentlemen, is not a meeting of the American Kennel Club Incorporated, nor is it a town meeting. It is a meeting of the American Kennel Club Incorporated under the laws of the State of New York, where, I presume, the State of New York has statutes covering the management of corporations. We have our by-laws adopted in accordance with those laws; they have regulations for becoming members. What do those records show? I do not know the gentleman that just spoke; I do not know what clubs they represent, but do the records show that their clubs are members of the American Kennel Club Incorporated? If they are, I think they are here and have a right to speak; if they are not, they have not a right to speak. If they are here as members of the American Kennel Club Incorporated, I think they are bound by the action which the American Kennel Club Incorporators took at the meeting referred to.

MR. MC GUIRE: I think we are obtaining a great deal of legal knowledge here. Here you have a lot of unnecessary quibbling upon the illegality or advisability
of the Chairman putting this motion, but I should say that if the Chairman desists in his
arbitrary ruling that he will not put the motion before the house, then I should ask Dr.
Osgood to withdraw his motion to appeal from the decision of the Chair. I do not think,
Mr. Belmont, that it is quite within the conception of really fair play, without regard to
the legal standing of the question in troubles of this kind, to quibble over technicalities.

THE PRESIDENT: I am not here to exercise my preference, I am here to do
my duty as I understand it. I am not catering to any question at all of either
friendship or consideration, or sportsmanlike feeling, or anything of that kind. My duty is
to preside at this meeting and do what I think is proper. I have not refused finally to do
this; I ask for the advice of counsel, because it occurred to me that I should not put
the question inasmuch as it was a question I believed I had not a right, as Chairman to
definitely decide. Otherwise declarations that these minutes were out of order would be
that this meeting would have to adjourn. I am perfectly willing if counsel tells me that
I should put the same, to do so, and then re-convening for those who consider
themselves as members of the American Kennel Club.
Incorporated, provided they constitute a quorum and go with the meeting.

MR. MC GUIRE: May I ask are you now prepared to rule on the question before the house, or have you ruled, or will you rule on it?

THE PRESIDENT: I will ask the stenographer to read the original motion which I rules out of order, from which an appeal was taken.

(At the direction of the President, the stenographer wrote out the original motion referred to.)

THE PRESIDENT: I think we will get a clear understanding of this, because you are assembled here and apparently satisfied that you are members of the American Kennel Club. It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes should be read, and then Dr. Osgood arise to a point of order saying that the minutes should not be read, as they were not the minutes of the valid meeting, and his words were: “I rise to a point of order. The point of order is that the constitution which was adopted by your incorporated club on the 5th day of November says that a quorum shall consist of twelve. The Secretary has just read here that there were present at this special meeting but six members. Consequently there was
not a quorum present, and I ask for the ruling of the Chair that these minutes be not read, as there was no quorum present at this meeting and no legal meeting held. The Chair ruled that that point was not well taken, and then an exception to the Chair’s ruling was taken, and I allowed the motion to be debated with a view of putting it until it was made clear to me that that was not a question that this meeting should pass upon. This second meeting was a meeting of the incorporators who adopted the constitution, and they met and proceeded with their organization, as here related. If such action as that was irregular, why their corporate existence would have been brought to an end, which was impossible. That could not be done. They must have had some powers left. I have been in any number of companies that have incorporated that way, meaning that the incorporators selected a Board of Directors to perform all functions until they made the organization complete. That is the way I understood it, and that is why I ruled that way. If I can be shown that was wrong, I would be glad to change my ruling.

DR. OSGOOD: I quite agree that all the action which the incorporators took under the waiver of notice, and so forth, up to the time of the organization was per-
fectly legal and legitimate, but where I draw the line is, after you organized and adopted a constitution which says distinctly that a quorum shall consist of twelve, then I do not see how you can hold a legal meeting with six members afterwards. Up to that time when you were the sole members of the club and the incorporators were acting according to a constitution that forbade you to hold a meeting with less than twelve, it was a different matter.

THE PRESIDENT: I will ask Mr. Auerbach to explain it.

MR. AUERBACH: Have you this Gazette before you, Dr. Osgood?

MR. MC GUIRE: No, the doctor has not

(Dr. Osgood was provided with such Gazette.)

MR. AUERBACH: Will you turn to page 1039 of the American Kennel Gazette?

DR. OSGOOD: For what purpose, of arguing this out?

MR. AUERBACH: No, I was going to call your attention to certain things.

DR. OSGOOD: As I understand, Mr. Belmont, in addressing the meeting, he had sent for you to advise him?

MR. AUERBACH: I did not think so.

THE PRESIDENT: You probably did not hear me ask
the privilege just now, when the question was raised; I asked the privilege of asking Mr. Auerbach to explain that.

MR. MC GUIRE: The question is the ruling of the Chair on the motion. That is the question before the house, and I think we are having a discussion on other phases of it, to satisfy us that we are all wrong and somebody else is right. The question is the ruling of the Chair and that is what I call for.

MR. HOOLEY: Don’t you think some other people have a right to say anything? It seems to me you have monopolized a great deal of time. We are perfectly willing to listen to you and to listen to our friend from Boston, or from anywhere else; but it does seem to me that we have some rights, and when the counsel very kindly makes a suggestion which might enlighten you and enlighten us, I think we have the right to hear it.

MR. MC GUIRE: I did not mean purposely to trespass on your time at all.

MR. HOOLEY: You seem to do a good deal of the talking.

MR. MC GUIRE: You just told me you would listen to me, and you are not listening to me.

(Cries of order.)
MR. MC GUIRE: Now the question is on the ruling of the Chair.

THE PRESIDENT: I think this is too serious a question not to ask again the privilege of having Mr. Auerbach explain, my personal counsel, the position which I have taken here. Mr. Mc Guire is constantly appealing to me on the question of fair play, and I want to give it to you, but you are trying to push me into putting a question which I am told this meeting is not competent to decide, and that is the question of legality. If you gentlemen want to listen to Mr. Auerbach — and I say really I want to put the question — if you will listen to him I will be very glad to put the question of sustaining my position and my ruling to you. Otherwise, if it is a matter of interpreting the legality of this, I am advised you are not competent to pass upon it.

DR. OSGOOD: We are perfectly ready to listen to Mr. Auerbach if it is your desire that he should be heard.

THE PRESIDENT: I shall be deeply indebted to you if you will.

MR. AUERBACH: I address myself particularly to you, Dr. Osgood, and to Mr. Mc Guire really, for I hope to deliver to you what you are in search of, that is,
your information. On this question that has been presented to me, it is quite clear that you are in error, and the proposed course that the Chairman should take is not only the correct one, but the only defensible one to take. It is not a question for a joint meeting to determine the legality of this organization. Why, for a joint meeting to determine the position which you occupy here, as members or not of this organization, that is a technical ruling, but Mr. Belmont does not wish to rest it there alone, and has asked me to make an explanation, a statement which is explanatory of his ruling. If you will turn to page 1039 of the Gazette, I think all your solicitude about this question will be cleared up. I will read it:

"Section 5: At any special or regular meeting of this club twelve members shall constitute a quorum." You understand how this organization came into being, and you understand at this meeting that all the incorporators of the club were present, or they had waived notice of the meeting that was called. In order to bring the constitution into existence, so far as Section 5 was concerned, you must read the previous clause. You do not want to take a text and rip it out of its context and think you understand it. It says "upon organization". Upon organization of whom?
Of the incorporators — "the club shall elect as members all of the members of the American Kennel Club (unincorporated) and accept as delegates the delegates of said clubs whose credentials are now on file and as associate subscribers all persons who are now associate members thereof, as they shall then appear on the books of that association, and accept their several delegates as delegates to this club, such delegates to act as such until the next annual meeting of the club or their successors are elected." The method of qualifying members was there set forth. These gentlemen meet and at the meeting which takes place you then become qualified members of this organization, and you are now here qualified by this second meeting, at which all the incorporators were present or authorized that meeting of which they waived notice. How can there be any question, not only as to the legality, the necessity of it, but as to the fairness of it? How can there be any question about it in view of this clause? Of course if you disregard it and read Section 5 out of its context, the only thing that explains it, you see only that, but when you read the two things you will see that it is according to the spirit of the constitution.

DR. OSGOOD: The way it strikes me is that this
clause was put in giving the incorporators the right, making all business legal, and would permit the incorporators to transact business, being all members of the American Kennel Club — we recognize that they did that all legally and properly up to the time that they adopted — at the close of this meeting that they adopted a constitution which prevented them every future time doing it in that way any more. I do not think you get exactly our point. Our point is not as to the legality of anything. We want it one way or the other, whether it is right or wrong. I asked the Chair to rule that the Secretary was out of order on the ground that he was reading the minutes of the a meeting which was held in direct opposition to the laws as laid down in the constitution, and that constitution did say that there must be twelve present to constitute a quorum, and I called the attention of Mr. Belmont, the Chairman, to the fact that there were only six present, and asked him to rule that, that was not a good and sufficient meeting, and I asked him to so rule. He decided that it was — that my point was not well taken, and he ruled against me; whereupon I, as every member of any organization, objected, and said I had a right under parliamentary law to question the ruling of the Chair; and I appealed to the meeting whether they
MR. AUERBACH: I am sure it would help all these gentlemen --

DR. OSGOOD: You are interrupting me. I have the floor. To resume from where I left off, it is simply on the question of whether Mr. Belmont in parliamentary law should put the question to vote; that is my appeal from his decision will the body here sustain the Chair in its action in declaring me out of order.

MR. AUERBACH: As I said before, the vote of this organization would not have anything to do with the propriety --

DR. OSGOOD: That does not matter.

MR. AUERBACH: I tried to call your attention to what the record is before you. If you will turn to page 1041 you will see what these organizers did: “On motion, duly made and seconded, it was resolved, to proceed to the election of all the members of the American Kennel Club (unincorporated), as members of this club, and to the acceptance of their delegates as delegates to this club” —

DR. OSGOOD: We are not discussing the meeting of
the Board of Directors which you are reading. When the minutes of that meeting are called up to be read we will, discuss that question.

MR. AUERBACH: Let me finish. (Reading) "As delegates to this club, and to the election as associate subscribers to this club all the persons now associate members of said unincorporated club, and to the acceptance of their delegates as delegates to this club." So that you come here by virtue of the action taken by the Board of Directors at that meeting. Automatically the constitution did not execute this. There were eight members in existence of this club, who had been the incorporators of it, who preceded it, obeyed the mandate of the constitution and did elect those members into the club. Thereafter, when the other provisions of the constitution had been complied with, then no meeting could be held except in accordance with the constitution.

DR. OSGOOD: It strikes me that through some oversight by somebody they practically legislated themselves out of all powers in the future. But as to the minutes which you have just read, they have nothing to do with the minutes which we were just discussing. The minutes you read are of a directors' meeting held later.

THE PRESIDENT: If your position is sustained, the
whole property and the whole of the American Kennel Club is vested then in three incorporators.

DR. OSGOOD: That is all right; we know you are perfectly responsible.

THE PRESIDENT: And they are under no obligation whatsoever to give that property back.

DR. OSGOOD: The question might arise as to the legality of that transfer.

MR. AUERBACH: You might just as well vote as to whether there has been a legal transfer of this property as to vote on the other question.

THE PRESIDENT: The point is not on the question of deciding a question of law, but I am perfectly willing to put the vote on appealing from my decision as a question of opinion as to the legality of that, but the meeting will go on.

MR. VITI: I move that Dr. Osgood’s point of order be stricken from the minutes for the reason that there was no pending motion before the meeting. A motion has been made that the minutes be not read, and that was seconded and carried. There being no pending motion before the house, the Chair was not obliged to entertain an interruption.

THE PRESIDENT: This is an appeal from the Chair.
This is not on the question of legality, because that you are not competent to decide.

Are you ready for the question?

The roll was then called, and the Chair was sustained a vote of 39 ayes to 27 noes.

The roll call is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AYES</th>
<th>NOES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.G. Rockefeller</td>
<td>William L. Barclay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwight Moore</td>
<td>J. Sergeant Price, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.G. Hooley</td>
<td>Horace A. Belcher,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moses Taylor</td>
<td>F. H. Osgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.J. Berg</td>
<td>W. C. Codman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.S. Smith</td>
<td>John W. Britton, II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Rutherford</td>
<td>Clair Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.H. Brookfield</td>
<td>R. S. Edson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
<td>George H. Cable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.K. Bloodgood</td>
<td>J.B. Thomas, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.F. Trown</td>
<td>Richard H. Hunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.W. Campbell</td>
<td>O.H. Albanesius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Bleistein</td>
<td>Mark A. Knipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John G. Bates</td>
<td>H.S. Spackmna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abram D. Gillette</td>
<td>Lawrence M.D. McGuire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Crozer Robinson</td>
<td>E.L. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Croker, Jr.</td>
<td>W.N. Kimball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles R. Stevenson</td>
<td>Chas. T. Luce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seabury C. Mastick</td>
<td>Tom B. Middlebrooke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singleton Van Schaick</td>
<td>Dr. M.S. Stivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thos. Cadwalader</td>
<td>Samuel R. Cutler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Brooks</td>
<td>William Codman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.F.R. Drake</td>
<td>Dr. J.E. DeMund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jas. W. Appleton</td>
<td>James Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chetwood Smith</td>
<td>John H. Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.B. Emery</td>
<td>Geo. W. Clayton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Huer</td>
<td>R.H. Johnson, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John J. Boyle</td>
<td>Dr. J. Fletcher Lutz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin J. Van Schaick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monson Morris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.P. Keasbey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard Willets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AYES.
Edward Hance, Jr.
George B. Post, Jr.
Irving C. Ackerman
Marcel A. Viti
Clifford Drake
James Mortimer
Harry T. Peters

NOES.

THE PRESIDENT: We have ventilated that subject, and I am very sorry that we have occupied so much time with it; but the next business in order is the election of delegates upon credentials.

MR. MORRIS: I move that the reading of the balance of the minutes be dispensed with and that they be accepted as published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded.

Upon the motion being put to a vive voce vote, the President declared that it had been passed.

Dr. Osgood asked for a roll call.

DR. OSGOOD: I withdraw my request for a roll call with the consent of those present, and accept them as passed. Shall we vote on the acceptance of those minutes as published in the Gazette?

It was moved and seconded that the minutes be accepted as published in the Gazette.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have here the credentials of
seventeen delegates to represent clubs.

The President appointed Messrs. Mc Guire and Van Schaick as tellers to take and count the vote on the credentials.

THE PRESIDENT: I think we would save time if we balloted for the delegates and proceeded with the next business in order, which is on the question of the amendments to the constitution.

MR. MORRIS: I will move that while we are taking this vote we proceed with the regular orders of business.

THE PRESIDENT: The voting would be very much expedited if those gentlemen who were not delegates, but who have their credentials to be acted upon, would leave the room. I have been requested to so state because the tellers cannot circulate well, and we have lost a great deal of time. I mean those who are not delegates and who have not credentials to be acted upon.

MR. MORRIS: I move we proceed with the regular order of business.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection we can do it. If there is no objection we could proceed during the balloting to the consideration of the amendments to the constitution.

MR. VITI: Why not suspend the order of business
and take up these reports and get through with them?

MR. MC GUIRE: I am perfectly willing to do anything of that kind except for the suggestion made by Mr. Muss-Arnolt that there might be a close vote on one of these amendments.

MR. VITI: Let us take a vote on the delegates who are in the room. Let us take a vote on those who are in the room and can vote.

MR. MC GUIRE: I think that would help matters very much.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection taken we can proceed to the reading of the report of the committees and get through with them while we are balloting. The Secretary will please read the report. The tellers will continue balloting for the delegates.

The Secretary then read the certificate of election, which is as follows:
New York, February 6, 1907.

To the American Kennel Club, (INC)

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, in accordance with Article XII, Section V, of the Constitution, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club (INC), for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club (INC), for the officers and delegates of the Associate Subscribers of said Club, to hold office for the term of one year from this date or until their successors be elected.

We found the total number of Associate Subscribers entitled to vote to be 364.

Total number of votes cast  202
Total number of votes scattering, 91
Total number of irregular votes and thrown out, 5

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greater number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the Associate Subscribers for the year ending February, 1908, to wit:
President H. K. Bloodgood
Vice-president W. C. Codman
Secretary C. W. Keyes

Delegates
(1) W. G. Rockefeller
(2) Dwight Moore
(3) A.G. Hooley
(4) Moses Taylor
(5) W.J. Berg
(6) B.S. Smith
(7) W. Rutherford

(Signed) Joseph. M. Dale,
Representing the President American Kennel Club (INC)

H. K. Bloodgood

President of the Associate Subscribers.

(Attest) A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary of the American Kennel Club (INC)

The Secretary then read the annual report in compliance with the Membership Corporation Law. The same is as follows:

"Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Section XI, of the Membership Corporation Law, we beg to report the Financial Statement for the year 1906 of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB and the AMERICAN
KENNEL CLUB (INC.). We also beg to report the admission of the following clubs to membership, to wit:-

ANTHRACITE KENNEL CLUB,
BERGEN COUNTY KENNEL CLUB,
BLOOMINGTON KENNEL CLUB,
CHESAPEAKE KENNEL CLUB,
CONEMAUGH VALLEY KENNEL CLUB
DAYTON KENNEL CLUB,
NORTH JERSEY KENNEL CLUB,
SHEEPSHEAD BAY KENNEL CLUB
WESTCHESTER KENNEL CLUB.

Respectfully submitted,

August Belmont,

President

A. P. Vredenburgh.

SECRETARY- TREASURER,

THE PRESIDENT: Unless it is desired, the reading of file financial statement will be omitted. It is only a lot of detail. These accounts have been examined by the finance committee and duly signed by them.

The Secretary then read his report, which is as follows:
SECRETARY’S REPORT.

NEW YORK, 13, 1907.

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB (INC)

Gentlemen:-

I have the honor to present this my regular quarterly report. I have referred one application for membership and Credentials from seventeen (17) clubs appointing Delegates, to the Membership Committee, which will report its recommendation at this meeting.

I have referred all claims for the registrations of kennel names, and transfers of same to the Stud Book Committee which will submit its report for your consideration.

I beg to report the resignation of the Gordon Setter Club of America, and the Tedesco Kennel Club, and would recommend the acceptance of same.

I will also present the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of December, 1906, of January 28th, 1907 and of February 6th, 1907, also a bill from said Committee for disbursements from Dec. 15th, 1906, to Feb. 4th, 1907, amounting to $26.60. I would respectfully recommend the payment of said bill.
Pursuant to a resolution at our December meeting I communicated with the Interstate Commerce Committee on the subject of its control over express rates, and in reply the Secretary of said Commission sent to this office a copy of the Act to regulate Commerce as amended to date and advises me that Express Companies are subject to the provision of the Act.

The following charges have been preferred and should he referred to the Executive Committee.

Jacob Dugro vs. Dorchester Kennels A. Nolan vs. Wilson Bernard

The following cases should be referred to the Stud Book Committee:

J. J. Dutra vs. A. S. Foutes T. W. Bartels vs. Cox
Wm. Blackburne vs. Mrs. Koerlin

The following case should be referred to the Pacific Advisory Committee:

*M*

J. Palin vs Mrs. L. C. Phipps

I also present appeals for reinstatement to good standing from G. R. Preston Jr., W. J. Gram, and Shirley Marston. These cases should be referred to the Executive Committee.

The Fancies’ Association of Indiana filed a notice
of the suspension by its Bench Show Committee of L. Klein, for misconduct at and during its show Feb. 5th-3th, 1907, at Indianapolis, Ind. Under the rules the suspension of said Klein should be removed or should be changed to a penalty of disqualification.

Mr. James Watson asks for instruction as to the proper course of procedure relative to a challenge prize offered at the late Philadelphia show under specified conditions, and alleged to have been competed for under erroneous conditions. I therefore submit his communication to this meeting for action.

I am in receipt of a communication from the delegate of the Champlain Kennel Club requesting the adoption of a rule defining what should be considered as "misconduct in connection with dogs." This communication was received at this office on Jan. 30th, and therefore the same did not come to hand in time to be acted upon by the Rules Committee or to be published in the Gazette, prior to this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary.”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

It was announced that Mr. W. H. Brownell was elected as a delegate to represent the Brockton Kennel Club.
Mr. Chairman, I object to, Mr. Viti going around and telling people how to vote in passing the ballot box.

MR. VITI: I had not voted. I had followed Mr. Van Schaick to give me an opportunity to vote.

THE PRESIDENT: These remarks are quite out of order. The Chair would rule that there is no objection on the part of any one to canvass or do what he pleases with regard to the election of delegates, and I think it would be a very undesirable thing if any of you gentlemen knew anything about a delegate — who knew anything against a candidate — knew of some reason why he should not be elected, if you did not state it, because you only want the very best men you can get, and if there is anything you know about anybody, this is the time to state it.

The Treasurer read his report, as follows:
TREASURER’S REPORT


TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB INC.,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

Balance on hand January 1st, 1907 ............................................. $19,922.56
Receipts from Jan. 1st, to date .......................................................... 3,445.48
Total .............................................. $23,368.04

Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date .............................................. $2,021.57
Balance on hand .................................................. $21,346.47

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer."

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

MR. MC GUIRE: The vote is here on the election of Mr. George H. Taylor to represent the Bloomington Kennel Club. I would rather have the Chair announce it.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Taylor received 22 ayes and 23 noes. Mr. Taylor is therefore not accepted by this
meeting.

The next candidate is George Lauder, to represent the Scottish Terriers’ Club of America. Remember that it requires a three-fourth vote to elect.

MR. SINGLETEN VAN SCHAICK: In regard to Mr. Taylor, as a teller I feel that there was hardly a fair vote. A great many numbers did not vote owing to the scarcity of white balls, and they let it pass by thinking there would he no question. It does not seem to me exactly fair that a man should be turned down in that way. I think we ought, as a fair lot of men, to have another ballot on that. I think we should give a fair deal.

It was moved and seconded that the vote for Mr. Taylor be reconsidered.

Motion carried.

THE PRESIDENT: That motion is carried. The tellers are instructed to pass the ballot-box again.

I have here the report of the Rules Committee, which I will read.

DR. OSGOOD: It strikes me that is a very important matter and one which will involve argument, and I would suggest that that be laid over until after we get through with this balloting.

Motion seconded.
MR. VTTI: I move that we proceed with the reading of the report, as an amendment to that motion,

MR. CUTLER: I raise the point that you cannot deviate from the regular order if there is objection. Here is an objection. When we proceeded to the reading of the Secretary's different reports you made the point that if there was no objection raised we would stick to the Secretary's report. We have come now to the report of the Rules Committee, which would involve these amendments, and I ask that this be delayed.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no objection. Unless there is objection to passing that over the Secretary will take up these other matters.

THE SECRETARY: I have the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of meetings dated December 15th, 1906, January 28th and February 6th, 1907. It is usually the case to dispense with the reading of them and have them published in the Gazette.

MR. MORRIS: I move that we dispense with reading those minutes, and have them published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The following are Pacific Advisory reports:
"REGULAR MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held at 520 California Street, Dec. 15, 1905.

PRESENT: J. E. de Ruyter, Chairman, W.W. Stettheimer, J. P. Norman.

MINUTES: On motion, the minutes were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read a communication from H. H. Carlton withdrawing his resignation. The same was ordered filed.

The Secretary read a communication from J. E. Hax, advising that this Committee was misinformed in relation to the charge of cruelty to dogs for which Lawrence S. Adams was punished by fine in the courts of Marin County. Mr. Hax stated that Adams had filed no appeal and had paid his fine. On motion the letter with all documents pertaining to the case was laid upon the table, and the Secretary was instructed to bring up the matter at the next regular meeting of the Committee.

A.K.C. v. John Riplinger; Fraudulent entry at the Portland Dog Show. The secretary advised that no reply had been received from Mr. Riplinger and that there could be no certainty that any of the communications had reached the defendant. The committee proceeded to the examination of the entry blank and it was
Moved and seconded, that this Committee does not find any evidence of fraud, but does find a technical error in making out the entry blank and therefore refers the said blank to the American Kennel Club to determine whether the usual fine should not be levied on the exhibitor. CARRIED.

A.K. C. v. MARIE RIPLINGER: Fraudulent entry at the Seattle Dog Show. The secretary advised that no reply had been received from Miss Riplinger, although one registered letter had been receipted for by her. It was MOVED and seconded, that as the circumstances in this case were the same as in the case of John Riplinger, the secretary be and hereby is instructed to follow the same procedure as in that case. CARRIED.

HAWAIIAN KENNEL CLUB v. J. F. MAY: Misconduct in connection with Dog Shows. It appeared from the evidence submitted to the Committee, that J. F. May was an exhibitor at the Honolulu Dog Show and a member of the Hawaiian Kennel Club. May insisted on forcibly removing his dog from the said show immediately after said dog had been judged, before the close of the show and before the show had closed for the day on which the dog was judged. The Hawaiian Kennel Club called on the defendant to show
At the regular meeting of the Hawaiian Kennel Club held on September 25th, 1906, the defendant was expelled by unanimous vote and due notification thereof made to the Pacific Advisory Committee on October 10th and November 27th, J. F. May was notified by the Secretary of this Committee to show cause why he should not be permanently disqualified. Mr. May has filed no defense.

It was moved and seconded that the present suspension in force against J. P. May be made permanent and that he be and hereby is disqualified. CARRIED.

ERNENWEIN V. TUCKER: CHARGE OF MISCONDUCT.

This is a complaint filed by J. F. Ernenwein against W. W. Tucker of Eatontown, N.J.; it is alleged that appellant bought from defendant a great Dane Dog, on the arrival of which at Seattle, the appellant had to pay $23.00 excess express charges, assessed on account of defendant's alleged error in placing an excess valuation on the dog. It is alleged that defendant has failed to furnish bill of sale and pedigree for said dog.

It is alleged, that defendant has sold to appellant a Great Dane bitch puppy as a fit mate to the said Dog, which puppy is the offspring of a brother and sister,
brother and sister to the said dog and thus full uncle to the puppy. It is claimed that this relationship makes the said bitch ineligible as a fit mate for the said dog.

Appellant prays the Committee for relief as follows: First, that defendant be ordered to reimburse the plaintiff for the excess of $23.00 caused by defendant's fault. Second: That defendant be ordered to furnish bill of sale and pedigree for the dog. Third: That defendant be ordered to pay to plaintiff the freight charges for the carriage of the bitch puppy, also charges for keep of same and to furnish directions for the return of same to the defendant. It was moved and seconded, that this Committee is of opinion that the matter of reimbursing the excess freight charges paid on account of over-valuation is properly a matter for a civil court and not for this Committee and that this Committee declines to take action in such circumstances, that the same conditions and decision apply in the matter of the bill of sale and pedigree of the dog, and that this Committee declines to decide as to the proper mating for Great Danes and refuses to take action in reference to the sale and return of the bitch, and that

deposit filed by Appellant be returned to him.

CARRIED.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

J. P. Norman,

Secretary."
“MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C.

Held at 520 California St., San Francisco,
January 28, 1907.

PRESENT: J. E. de Ruyter Esq., Chairman H. H. Carlton, Esq; J.P.
Herman, Esq.

ABSENT: Dr. W. F. Burnham; W.W. Stettheimer, Esq.

MINUTES: On notion the minutes of the previous meeting were accepted, as read.

Application: The secretary stated that acting under the constitution of the American Kennel Club, he had refused to accept the dues of the Stockton Kennel Club for the reason that payment of same had not been tendered within the limits laid down by the rules. The club was consequently suspended and the application for reinstatement signed by secretary and president was now before the meeting. It was moved and seconded that the Stockton Kennel Club be and hereby is restored to membership and good standing in the American Kennel Club, and that the secretary is therefore at liberty to accept and receipt for the dues of said club. CARRIED.
RESIGNATION: The resignation of the Santa Clara County Kennel Club, dated December 31st, 1906, being placed before the meeting, and the secretary stating that at the date of said resignation the club was in good standing, it was Moved and seconded that the resignation be accepted. CARRIED.

MISCONDUCT: On notion the case of Lawrence S. Adams was taken from the table. The information filed by J. Hax was read, stating that said Adams had been tried in the Marin Superior Court, found guilty and fined for cruelty to animals, in that he had maliciously injected turpentine into the rectum of several dogs. It was MOVED AND SECONDED, that the Secretary of this Committee be and hereby is instructed to file charges of misconduct against said Adams, such charges to be filed in the name of the American Kennel Club. CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

J. P. Norman,

SECRETARY."
"REGULAR MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held at 520 California St., February 6, 1907

PRESENT: J. E. de Ruyter, Chairman; H.H. Carlton;
Dr. W. P. Burnham, J. P. Norman

ABSENT: W. W. Stettheimer.

It was moved and seconded that the order of business be suspended, and that the case of
THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, INC. V. L.S. ADAMS be taken from the table. Carried.

AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB INC. versus L. S. ADAMS, re misconduct in connection with
dogs, charge of cruelty.

From the information filed with the committee, it appeared that the defendant in July last
had been tried in the Justices Court of Marin County and had been convicted and fined for
having injected turpentine into the rectum of a certain fox terrier dog owned by J. E. Hax.
The informant did not respond to the call to attend the meeting. The defendant filed an
affidavit, in which he denied having injected the turpentine as alleged, but
acknowledged having thrown some on the dog in question. It appeared that the said dog
had excavated under a board fence and had further burrowed his way into the shed where
the defendant kept a bull bitch in season. This dog was the most persistent and annoying
of several, that had infested
defendant's premises.
Defendant heard an unusual noise in the shed, and on entering found the fox-terrier in the room with the bull bitch. On trying to drive out the dog, he showed fight, and defendant had recourse to some turpentine, which he then threw on the dog, and which had the desired effect of inducing him to leave.
Defendant offered some further verbal testimony. It was Moved, by Mr. Norman but not seconded, that defendant’s action was justifiable and that he should be exonerated from the charge of cruelty to animals. It was moved and seconded that the charge be dismissed. Carried. Mr. Norman rose to a point of order, and explained that he had voted against the motion to dismiss, as he thought that the alleged cruelty was misnamed, and that the defendant was entirely justified in adopting the means he did to rid his premises of an intruding dog, that threatened to attack him. The chair remarked that he coincided entirely with Mr. Norman, and that if he had had to vote to decide a tie, his vote would have gone to exonerate Mr. Adams.
The secretary then read a communication from a member of the Committee; after some discussion, it was decided that no action should be taken thereon.
THE SECRETARY: I submit the report of the Executive Board as published in the Gazette under date of January 31st, 1907.

MR. MASTICK: I move that the reading of that report be dispensed with.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Directors of February 5th, 1907, which have not been published. They are as follows:
SPECIAL MEETING BOARD OF DIRECTORS, Feb. 5, 1907

The following Directors answered to roll call-

August Belmont, presiding.
James W. Appleton.
Hildreth K. Bloodgood.
Wm. C. Rockefeller.
Alfred P. Vredenburg
G. Muss Arnolt
Monson Morris.
Dwight Moore
Harry T. Peters.
Rowland P. Keasbey
J. H. Brookfield.
B. S. Smith.
Craig P. R. Drake.
Edwin J. Van Schaick.
John G. Bates
Ancel H. Ball.

RESOLVED that the Secretary be and he is hereby instructed to receive as of January 20, 1907, the annual dues of any associate subscribers tendered to him since that date or hereafter paid to him, provided such dues are so paid on or before February 13, 1907 and that all associate subscribers so paying their annual dues fee thereby reinstated to all their rights and privileges as of January 20, 1907.

RESOLVED that the delegates be and they are hereby requested to suggest names to the Board of Directors of persons acceptable to them to be elected as Directors to fill any vacancies in the Board, there may be at the time
of the Annual Meeting of the Club and that the Secretary be and he is hereby instructed to send a copy of this resolution to the delegate and Secretary of each club. Adjournment.

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary.”

THE PRESIDENT: The tellers report forty-nine notes in favor of Mr. Lauder and eight against as delegate for the Scottish Terriers’ Club of America. Mr. Lauder is therefore elected delegate. The tellers will please pass the ballot-box on the re-consideration of Mr. Taylor’s name.

THE SECRETARY: I report the resignation of the Gordon Setter Club of America as a member.

On motion the same was accepted.

THE SECRETARY: I have also the resignation of the Tedesco Kennel Club.

On motion the same was accepted.

On motion the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from December 15th, 1906 to February 4th, 1907 amounting to $26.60, was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: The Fanciers’ Association of Indiana, suspended L. Klein, and sent the notice of his suspension.
with affidavits of misconduct to this office.

On motion the same was referred to the Executive Board.

(At this point President Belmont resigns the chair to Mr. H. K. Bloodgood.)

MR. BELMONT: I would like to ask the chair if during the balloting we could consider the question of the amendments to the constitution. I would like to ask the privilege of the meeting to say a few words, and that we devote the time pending our electing delegates in the discussion of that subject, and then we will rapidly prepare ourselves to vote upon them when we have passed the credentials. If there is no objection I would ask your indulgence to say a few words on the subject.

CHAIRMAN BLOODGOOD: There is no objection, gentlemen? I hear none,

MR. BELMONT: I want to repeat again how gratified I am to see such a large meeting and such a great interest in the affairs of the club. Of course we are divided here into two separate camps as to what is best for the club, and that is embodied in the amendments which have been offered or are to be offered to-day for your consideration -- amendments to the constitution. Now, of
course those who do not favor those amendments are all the present directors or officers, and those who have been associated with the Kennel Club for a lifetime, with the exception of a few who have never been satisfied with anything that we did, but I will say that those who have been dissatisfied have kept us to the mark in a great measure. When Mr. McGuire asked me to explain my position on that subject, I did not feel I could do so very well from the chair. I admit that I did not take an active part in a great deal of the details leading up to the incorporation of the American Kennel Club, but when I looked into it I felt perfectly satisfied, and I felt perfectly satisfied with the constitution, because I recalled that for many years we had a very limited attendance at the meetings of the delegates; the meetings were few necessarily and far between, and after all the majority of the clubs were really represented by local men and the work devolved practically upon a limited set of men who are actively engaged in promoting the interest of the American Kennel Club and attending to its affairs. I saw in this constitution simply this; viz giving permanent effect, to what was an existing state of things.

The Board of Direction numbered more than the
average attendance we had of the delegates, and thirty seemed to be about as large a number of men as you could put on the board expecting them to give serious attention to the affairs of the club. At the beginning of any movement there is always sufficient interest to insure attendance, but as time goes on, the work, particularly if it goes smoothly gradually devolves upon a few, and it was absolutely necessary to so arrange our organization that it may be effective, with an Executive Committee, to lay mind & very desirable and the best way to conduct the business. Therefore, in explanation to Mr. McGuire's question I submit that the whole constitution was entirely satisfactory to me from the point of view of its efficiency. As to the personnel of it, that is another question. I did not know of a great deal of the friction in the club as it existed. I did not know of the motives governing the selection of certain gentlemen put on the board. I did not know of some put on the committee, and therefore the selection of these gentlemen I did not scrutinize accepting them as being the choice of those who knew more intimately than I the needs of the club. It has transpired since then that it might have been better to have selected some delegates who are representatives here of
large specialty clubs. I say it might have been better, but I have never questioned the motives of those in control of the organization, nor do I question them now. Those steps were slated very deliberately, and I have never been able to reconcile myself with the idea that a fair opportunity was not given to every delegate to know all about them if they chose. I admit it was not published, but I submit there are delegates who live in this city and are within a stone’s throw of this office who never came near to inquire. They must have known a big organization like the American Kennel Club, if an incorporated organization that organization must be governed, by a constitution, rules by-laws — something, and that while they might be somewhat like the rules and regulations governing the American Kennel Club as an association, they necessarily must be different, and there are a great many here who could have know, if they had wanted to, and particularly if they were suspicious of the purpose of the management could have ascertained just what was going to be done. They did not do it, and that is their fault. The organization was brought about legally. Of that I was satisfied. When the incorporation took place and the unincorporated club conveyed its
property into the hands of the incorporated organization I was in the chair. Some of the gentlemen who are most strenuously objecting to-day were sitting in this room, and I asked those present if anyone had any objection, and if so was I called for its expression. None came at all; not a word was said, and I congratulated the Kennel Club upon its peaceful re-birth if I should so put it, and stated that I thought we were starting really to do effective work and to preserve what was now a very important organization. This agitation that has been conducted is a very desirable one from the point of view of stirring us up. I like it. It is a good thing, but I want the right to prevail all the same; I do not want mistakes if I can help it. I submit you are going to work to rip up this constitution more in a feeling of resentment, for the extreme to which you are going really does not seem to me to illustrate anything else. Now, if, however, you want to take an active interest there is no reason why you should not have the opportunity, but the organization will prevail—if you do not take an active interest somebody will and somebody ought to. In these amendments there are certain cardinal features. One of them is the dissolution of Executive Committee, by which you hang up
every subject for the board to act upon. It is not going to be easy to get that board together, and if we have such strenuous objections as to the number to constitute a quorum as we have had today we might as well let six months pass without doing anything. Besides you know very well that whatever amendment should pass now cannot take effect until next year. I submit, therefore, would it not be better not to pass a number of these amendments, even if you believe in them, and let us see how the thing worlds. It will soon show itself. You as delegates have every privilege to come into this office and ask what is going on, and I am sure you will find out everything you want to, provided you do not square off and want to fight to begin with because that is something sometimes takes place, I judge from some gentlemen who have complained of Mr. Vredenburgh's irritability --- and you must remember one cannot make a distinction between Mr. Vredenburgh as a professional secretary, as they call him, and myself, as an amateur officer, for I certainly think Mr. Vredenburgh is entitled to the same treatment as myself. I cannot see the difference, and I am sure that Mr. Vredenburgh has always been courteous and given all information possible when properly approached. In the present regulations, for example, there is an
excellent provision with regard to the election of delegates, but you provide for a method of undoing which I think is not wise, and had better be left to see how this year works. Then you provide that vacancies among the delegates shall be filled by the club instead of by the directors. We might meet a condition of things as bad as that which Dr. Osgood said that we were in today. Supposing that through having delegates resign it deprived us of representation, and as new members of the board will have to be delegates to be directors, we might fall below the mark, if we had to wait for a meeting of the club before the gap could be filled. That is not the thing to do. But not to detain you any longer, I have felt — and in this matter perhaps you may not agree with me — I have felt it might be a good thing for the club if one feature here, it seems to me in view of the active interest that all you gentlemen seem to take, that we ought to amend the constitution so as to have quarterly meetings of delegates, but do not destroy the rest of the machinery if you do not propose to regularly come here. If those meeting did not lapse altogether — probably you would find when those meeting are held that the majority of the delegates present will be the directors of the this organization. If you find that to be the case, then the
constitution putting all the power in the directors’ hands is best, but I see no objection, and I see perhaps agree advantage possibly of having quarterly meetings, taking up the current business every quarter, but if they do not take place, you have all your machinery to run this business, because it is a business now. The breeding and exhibiting of dogs is a pleasure and a past time, but the regulation of them and keeping of the records is a business, and one that must be attended to by a man that understands it, and I believe it would be difficult to-day to find a man who could take Mr. Vredenburgh’s place, very difficult. Mr. Vredenburgh has been here at the American Kennel Club day in day out -- he is a man who could have occupied a very good position, I am sure, in our business community, at a great deal better compensation than he receives here. He has devoted his life to the American Kennel you ought to feel very lucky to have a man of that kind. He lives and thinks of practically nothing else. I hope that you will confine yourselves, if you make any amendments to the constitution to this one amendment — that you do not go beyond that, because, I really believe that you will impair the club’s efficiency, and you can reach what you want just as well after the current year is over just as well, as you can by upsetting it now. Another thing it does not do us any
good as an organization to appear divided. It would be better if we composed our differences here and the Board of Direction has certainly made every effort in its power to meet you in that spirit by recommending that you would select for their consideration because under the constitution they have to elect the directors to fill the vacancies now on the board candidates for the vacancies now on the board but they are prepared to take your selections made here. That is what you want to do. Those selections will certainly be representative of this meeting. That will be best for the welfare of the club. You must remember that according to the constitution if you want a meeting of the delegates you can always call it in the regular way by request. It only takes eight to call a special meeting of the delegates, but fix it, if you like through quarterly meetings, and see how it works; but do not change the rest; do not take away the power of the Executive Committee until you are satisfied that they do things improperly; do not destroy it entirely. Perhaps it is not large enough; Make it larger if you like. We may in the conduct of the club find that the machinery is not fully adequate. We cannot give you any notion of it to-day beyond what we have had in the way of experience of running it a short time and you yourselves
can only criticize its working from a theoretical standpoint. You can only bring about what you think would be better. You cannot say it is better. I submit that [illegible] select your men and recommend them for the direction, and I am sure the board will elect them, and if you want to have quarterly meetings change the constitution. If you decide not to change the constitution, if there are eight men sitting in this room that want quarterly meetings, select your time for those meetings and ask for the call in the regular way. The meeting will be called and you will meet just as much as if it was provided in the constitution. (Applause).

DR. OSGOOD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask you to explain away some of the apparent causes of dissatisfaction in the framing of this constitution, one or two leading questions. In the first place, I want to ask you why you did not follow the regulation method of procedure in the changes of the constitution and by-laws which have always been in existence in this organization, that is by publishing them in the official organ for twenty days, or something of that kind so that the delegates that were broadcast through the country might have an opportunity to look over that constitution and decide whether there were things in there that they would like to come down and talk over. Why was it necessary for
eight men to change a constitution which had been worked out satisfactorily for years and years without any publicity, without knowledge coming to any delegate that the matter of a changed constitution must be considered at all. I think I for one may say, and I presume others are in the same position, that we understood from the minutes appointing the committee and giving them their power, to give such power to insure a charter for the incorporated club. I did not think that that committee had, given any power to draw up a new constitution which would bind the old club. I had an idea that the old constitution was still the constitution of the club, and that your committee was simply empowered to procure for that club a charter.

THE PRESIDENT: I will answer that as well as I can. I do not know whether it will be satisfactory to you or not. The constitution was framed as nearly as possible like the old and certainly the Executive Committee existed, as you know. The delegates met regularly, and to take their places the Board of Direction was substituted to meet regularly. They meet at any time, the Executive Committee acting in the meanwhile; there is no reason why they should not meet every week if they want to. The machine is very much the same Dr. Osgood and in not submitting it
I cannot give you any explanation for that other than I do not think it was intended to dodge publicity. The publication in the Gazette you must remember was no property of the incorporated club at that time. The two were just as distinct as if that had been -- I know morally two totally dissimilar organizations were the same information but I mean physically, legally the two were just as distinct as if one was a horse show association; and that so far as that is concerned, the Secretary would have had no right to publish any Gazette of the incorporated club; and the other was not its property. He might have done it by asking and by courtesy, he would have had to get authority for doing it. He could not publish a constitution which had not become really a constitution of the American Kennel Club unincorporated because they had not sold themselves to the new.

DB. OSGQOD: That did not prevent him reading that constitution to the delegates that were assembled before asking them to vote on it.

THE SECRETARY: Every change was read.

THE PRESIDENT: It was read, I understand.

(Cries of no, no.)

THE PRESIDENT: I came in about the middle of the meeting, and I was told it was read.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It was read.
DR. OSGOOD: Mr. Taylor says the constitution was read to the delegates of the American Kennel Club unincorporated.

THE SECRETARY: The changes; not in full, but every change that was made from the old constitution was read.

DR. OSGOOD: Was not what was read and stated, simply such changes were made as were necessary to conform to the corporation laws?

THE SECRETARY: Mr. Taylor was here and read it himself.

DR. OSGOOD: I was not present at the meeting, but I read the record as signed by Mr. Vredenburgh, where it did not for a moment claim that the constitution was read at this meeting.

MR. TAYLOR: I do not think that you have read the minutes.

DR. DE MUND: In answer to that statement that that constitution or any part of it was read at that meeting of November 19th -- I was present and I say absolutely that no part of that was ever read to the delegates that were in the outer room.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: There is one here that said it
THE PRESIDENT: I regret extremely that Mr. Hunnewell is not here, who occupied the chair prior to my coming in at that meeting. I understood it was read.

A DELEGATE: I did not hear it read.

THE PRESIDENT: That does not necessarily follow that it was not read,

MR. PETERS: I sat through that meeting, and that constitution was not read at that meeting. No part of the constitution was read at that meeting,

THE PITESIBMT: The statement made by the Secretary is that changes were pointed out.

DR. OSGOOD: Were those changes specific so that the delegates of the unincorporated club understood all these changes?

THE SECRETARY: The minutes show the whole thing.

THE PRESIDENT: The minutes, Mr. Vredenburgh says, show the whole thing. Now, Dr. Osgood, with regard to the changes. As you know, the law provides for the Board of Direction, and you would have to have that anyway. Your delegates could not take charge of all the affairs of the club; it would be impossible if they wanted to.
DR. OSGOOD: I submit that was absolutely necessary. May I ask one other question, and that is, why it was necessary to deviate from the methods of almost all business—I mean membership corporations, and take the work out of the hands of the incorporators and put it into the hands of the directors themselves.

THE PRESIDENT: That is a very general custom in corporations, that incorporators elect the board and board serves for the time specified in the bylaws, whatever they may be. They classify themselves; they did exactly that. The recommendation to do that came from the President of the Westminster Kennel Club. I think a great injustice has been done to Mr. Vredenburgh on that question. That was recommended by Mr. Williams. At the last meeting of the Board of Direction Mr. Peters said he did not know about these matters. I did not question it but I thought it was very unjust to Mr. Vredenburgh and as Mr. Vredenburgh would not say anything I am taking the privilege of saying it for him. I think, gentlemen, that opened my eyes to the fact that Mr. Vredenburgh did not propose to use an affidavit which I have here; that he went to the President of the
largest kennel club we have in this country, the first and the most important, and that on the question of the election of the directors we found that we had followed and recommended what the President of that club suggested; and he had held up to us as an example the foremost general athletic association — not the New York Athletic Club, but the Racquet Club, which is a very important organization here and as high as any.

MR. PETERS: I think I am entitled to the floor on that. I would like to say that that is absolutely so in a great many respects, that Mr. Vredenburgh did come down there one day to the office — we were very busy — and stated that the gentlemen in control of the American Kennel Club had decided on these changes. I never for a minute questioned it. I do not say that he had the constitution, but we were very busy in our office and we did not read it. I never looked at the constitution and never thought there was a change in the constitution; so far as I am concerned. In regard to the talk about the directors that I don't know about, if he had that talk with Mr. Williams. At the time I was instructed by the Westminster Kennel Club to vote against the amendment and shall I do so absolutely as far as that is concerned, but the
personal feeling that I have in the matter is that I did not know because my attention was not called to the fact that there was a change in the constitution. That is the only grievance I have, that that thing was put through and I did not know it. I don't know that I have any excuse — I have not a blessed excuse. I should have read it. I should have insisted on it that the constitution be read and never for a moment have I been informed that there was any change in that constitution.

MR. RUTHERFORD: I would like Mr. Peters in stating what his instructions from the Westminster Kennel Club were to state them in full. He did not state them in full just now. If he has forgotten them I can state them for him.

THE PRESIDENT: You are not a delegate of the Westminster Kennel Club, if he does not choose to state them, you cannot.

DR. OSGOOD: That brings us right to a battle point. If the largest kennel club in existence was entitled to that consideration to look over the constitution and by-laws, why were not all the other clubs belonging to this organization entitled to that same consideration?

THE PRESIDENT: I think the Secretary, if you will allow him to answer, that can do that himself.
DR. OSGOOD: One other thing I would like to ask; we only want what is right; we do not understand it; we do not care anything about the personality; we want simply a square deal, and we want to be straightened out. If everything is square, above board and all right, we want it just as strong as anybody in the room.

THE PRESIDENT: Don't you think that is information? They want you to elect directors; they want you to be active.

(The delegates were informed by President Belmont that they were now voting for Joseph H. Dale to represent the Asbury Park Kennel Club)

DR. OSGOOD: As I understand, in incorporating a great many organizations it has been the custom to put up straw directors, clerks in offices, and so forth, as a temporary board to act as a Board of Directors for the purpose of incorporating, but those straw men are simply put up at the annual meeting, at which time the stockholders and all of the members of their corporation are allowed to vote for the Board of Directors.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the exception — I mean the exception as you state it. They have straw directors, as you call them, but their places are taken without recourse to the stockholders. The incorporators usually
do that. They simply take straw men through whom they hide their identity but their places are taken by simply voting the various names in rotation that are handed to them, not by the stockholders at all.

DR. OSGOOD: Are not those straw directors usually expected to tender their resignations prior to the annual meeting to give the members an opportunity to express their views as to who are the proper men, or would not that be a little fairer way? Wouldn't it be a little more representative?

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps it would, but the best was done that we knew how.

DR. OSGOOD: That may all be, and that is why we brought in these amendments, and that is why we feel that perhaps instead of making that term of office five years, as we did they ought to have been nominated to hold office until the annual meeting, and then ask for a general opinion of the club, as to who they would like to have represent them.

(At this point the President announced, the result of the vote for William H. Carroll, to represent the Bergen County Kennel Club as thirty-four and twenty-three against, showing that he was rejected.)
THE PRESIDENT: What was your question? The vacancies, as we now understand, are three. I thought there were more than that. One of them is to serve three years, one for two years, and one for one year. Therefore whoever you nominate at this meeting would be elected the directors. Then at the end of the year you have an opportunity to vote on the six, and two of those remain, and therefore if you elect six, you will have eight already in the Spring of the year, if you call them your men by that time. I do not think we will have any lines of difference then. Supposing there is, that will be eight out of thirty.

DR. OSGOOD: That was not the point as to the election to fill the vacancies. The point was, how did it happen you voted it was necessary to elect?

THE PRESIDENT: It is bad for any organization to have a full board go out, and to have to make an entirely new selection. The principle as we have it is good. The only question is, and that we will admit; perhaps it would have been proper for you to have had a voice, but because you did not have it, do not upset a good piece of machinery.

DR. OSGOOD: Let us put it a little more directly. You had twenty-three representatives of clubs sitting in the next room at the time you elected these directors.
Why wouldn't it have been a good think to have called those twenty-three men right in and allowed them to express their views? Why was it necessary to conduct your meeting in a private room with six men present or eight, whichever it was, with twenty-three men in the other room who were duly accredited delegates and supposed they had a right to indicate their preference in the election of officers that they always had had in the past? One other thing: The old constitution by which it was the privilege of the members to elect their Executive Committee and to have practically all the power of the club— that power, was it necessary to conform to the corporation laws? Was it necessary for you to take the power of the election of the Executive Committee out of the hands of the clubs and put it into the hands of the Board of Directors?

THE PRESIDENT: That was not necessary; necessarily not. It was deemed advisable to act otherwise and that is the only answer I can give you. However, that can be criticized by anybody who chooses to, and there it is. I can only say it was not necessary, but it was deemed advisable, and there was no serious objection raised to it by those who were elected, as I understand.

DR. OSGOOD: I think that showed that pretty nearly
as a matter of fact, in that meeting the twenty-three all but six were members of the Board of Directors, and had been elected by you before they transferred the property.

THE PRESIDENT: I think this selection was largely made -- of course I notice there are some men that were not put on the board -- the majority of them were selected because they were known as the active men who would give their services to the club and other men, if they had been put on from a distance would not probably have attended the meetings, and we could not run the risk of not having them at the meeting.

A DELEGATE: The twenty-three in the other room had no voice in the matter at all.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been suggested, to me that it is a fact the Executive Board which was selected is, the Executive Board that had been elected before by the delegates, that was the fact. If in their actions they made a mistake and excited the club into activity, then they have done the best thing that could be done.

(turning to the vote on delegates) It is almost tantamount to suspending action on these delegates because you are not electing them. I will suspend that order of business, if you wish, and go to work on the constitution.
(The President then announced the vote of the delegates for Mr. Joseph H. Dale to represent the Asbury Park Kennel Club as being forty-one, and twenty-five against, thus defeating Mr. Dale.)

MR. BOYLE: It seems to me it is establishing a very bad precedent. It seems to me, gentlemen, that you are going to have quarrels in your meetings right along if you persist in doing this work. I do not know those gentlemen. Simply because an attorney has been hired by a corporation to work for them and received their pay is no reason why that man should be blackballed. I rely on your honor for the good feeling of this club, to stop it.

THE PRESIDENT: I suggest that you suspend the election of delegates, if it is going to take your time, and proceed with the selection of your candidates for the Board of Governors.

DR DeMUND: I move after this ballot, that we suspend the election of delegates and proceed with the election of the Board of Governors.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I object. We have started with it. Let us proceed with it.

MR. PETERS: My position has been misunderstood, no doubt but I would like to state that we are getting
in a very serious place. I am a very young man here. It is looking very serious, and I really think that we had better suspend this election and try to get together to uphold the American Kennel Club in some way or another each party will have to try and make some concession and get together in some way. If some of the older men would get up and make some suggestions, they would accomplish what we want, and we could get out of here some time to-night with an amicable feeling and full understanding established. I would offer to suggest in that matter that the delegates be voted, for, not the directors.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion made by Dr. DeMund is that after the passing of the ballot-box for this delegate, that that business be suspended until after we have proceeded with the vote for the Board of Governors.

DR. OSGOOD: I second that motion.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know whether you have observed the resolution of the Board of Directors, but it is resolved that the delegates be and they hereby are requested to suggest names to the Board of Directors of persons acceptable to them to be elected as directors to fill any vacancies in the board.
MR. McGUIRE: I want to say, in the course of your remarks, you seemed to assume that the opposition to the constitution was on the ground that some of the members of the opposition were disgruntled on account of not having been made directors in the incorporated club, I am speaking entirely and wholly for myself in saying that that has or had nothing whatever to do with my reason for objecting to it. To put it very briefly, I did intend to state it at length but I have been prohibited from doing that, but to put it briefly, I did not object to what you did, but it seemed to be the nasty way in which you did it. I did not object to being told some time ago by the delegate of how much time I monopolized, but it was the nasty way in which he did it.

MR. BROOKS: I object to the word "nasty."

THE PRESIDENT: It is not a parliamentary word.

MR. McGUIRE: It was not my purpose to be recognized in any way nor did I seek any preferment from the American Kennel Club in any way.

THE PRESIDENT: If you will allow me to say it, that was only stated as an element but certainly not as the controlling cause, I did not intend so to convey it, but it was an element in some cases.
MR. McGUIRE: I mean to say, so far as I was concerned it had no bearing whatever.

THE PRESIDENT: I think there was nobody selected for that reflection. What is your pleasure and what method do you propose to follow in the nominations?

MR. PETERS: I nominate Mr. Price.

MR. VITI: I second the nomination.

Nomination seconded and carried.

DR. DeMUND: I would like to nominate Dr. Osgood.

MR. VITI: I second the nomination.

Nomination seconded and carried.

MR. MORRIS: I nominate Mr. Winthrop Rutherford.

Nomination seconded and carried.

DR. DeMUND: I would like to nominate Mr. William C. Codman.

Nomination seconded and carried.

It was moved and seconded that the list of nominations be closed.

Carried.

THE PRESIDENT: In balloting, there are five in nomination. The three having the greatest number of votes will be your selection. If there is no objection taken to that method, that will be the method of counting. If you desire some other method please express it. I
presume that is the fairest way. I appoint Mr. McGuire and Mr. Van Schaick tellers to take the ballot on the persons named. I will announce the result later.

MR. MORRIS: Wouldn’t it be easier to write down the choices on a piece of paper — let the gentlemen write down their choices of three names and put it to vote?

DR. OSGOOD: I suggest it be the method of voting that each member or delegate sign a slip containing three names and sign at the foot of the slip his own name so that the Secretary can check it.

MR. PETERS: I move that the four names be submitted to the Board of Directors for them to select three from.

Motion seconded.

MR. VITI: My understanding was that this meeting was to nominate one candidate for each vacancy and we were going to elect those candidates that had been so selected.

THE PRESIDENT: If the delegate will provide himself with a piece of paper and write the three names in the order of his preference, I think that will be the proper method. I desire to call your attention to the
report of the committee, that you dispense with the reading on the question of the
amendments. I think it proper for you to understand exactly how this matter has to be gone
through. Amendments have been offered in the regular way and are here for your
consideration, but the constitution provides that the committee has to pass on these
amendments and make their recommendation to the board. The committee did pass upon
those amendments and has reported adversely on every one of them. This is their report, I
think, because it will save you a lot of time. The constitution provides that in the event of
the committee reporting unfavorably, it then requires under those circumstances a two-
thirds vote to carry the amendment, whereas if the committee had reported favorably it would
only require the majority of the meeting, but having reported unfavorably every amendment
that has been put forward must have a two-thirds vote.

DR. DeMUND: I ask that the vote be announced on Mr. Leslie.

MR. McGUIRE: The vote is here, and I ask the chair to announce it.

THE PRESIDENT: The vote on Mr. Leslie is forty-four, and twenty-four against.

That is not sufficient for election.
In voting for directors every delegate will have to write his name on the ballot; otherwise the vote could not be recorded.

MR. BOYLE: Would that be a secret ballot for each delegate to put his name on it?

THE PRESIDENT: This is a recommendation and therefore this meeting can adopt any method it chooses, even voting vi[illegible] voce. It is not necessary. They can vote any way. There was to be no prescribed method.

(At this point Mr. Belmont resigned the chair to Mr. Viti.)

MR. BELMONT: I am very sorry to have to state to you that I am the host at a dinner at home and must be there at quarter past seven. I would like very much to give expression in addition to what I have said, if I may be permitted, in offering an amendment for your action. Article V, Section 1, reads: "That the regular annual meeting of the club shall be held in February of each year at such time and place as shall be designated by the President, twenty days notice of which shall be given and published in the Gazette." I propose this: "That the regular annual meeting of the club shall be held in February of each year, and there shall be also regular quarterly meetings of the delegates
in May, August and November in each year at such time and place as shall be designated by the President, twenty days notice of which shall be given and published in the Gazette."

May I leave this in the hands of one of the delegates who will offer it for me? If anybody chooses to offer that, you are quite competent to proceed.

MR. HUNT: I offer that amendment. (Reading same.)

MR. VITI: I move the adoption of that amendment.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any discussion on the question?

DR. OSGOOD: I should be very glad to see your motion or amendment go through; but I do feel that it is my duty to say one word, that I think about the constitution, and that is an amendment to an amendment is unconstitutional because there is no opportunity to publish that in the Gazette for the required length of time, and I think we are obliged either to accept those amendments as they are worded or reject them.

THE PRESIDENT: I think you have the right. Your point is well taken so far as any action on this particular amendment is concerned, but there could be an amendment that this could be substituted for it. You are quite right. It may not be that section, but Dr. Osgood's
objection is well taken, that the amendment which was proposed would have to come up for action by the club and then this could be the amendment of that.

MR. VITI: No, it is a substitute for that.

DR. OSGOOD: I think perhaps this would cover the same and get rid of the constitutional change.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you make that motion or amendment?

DR. OSGOOD: The amendment is in this form: To amend Article V, Section 1, by striking out such section and inserting in place thereof the following: "Section 1. Regular quarterly meeting's of the club shall be held in February, May, August and November, at such time and place as shall be designated by the President, twenty days notice whereof shall be given and published in the Gazette." It is practically the same thing.

MR. VITI: We have to vote on the amendment first anyway and this is an amendment to your amendment,

DR. OSGOOD: I don't quite understand. I do not think the amendment can be amended. The amendments as published in the Gazette must pass in toto or not at all.
MR. VITI: No; everything that is germane but not otherwise.

DR. OSGOOD: Then I am misinformed.

MR. VITI: Few matter cannot he introduced. I ask for a vote.

MR. CUTLER: Will you state what the original amendment offered was?

THE PRESIDENT: It is practically the same.

MR. CUTLER: I. would like to know because I would not want to have to explain to my club that I did not know what I was doing; when I was here.

THE PRESIDENT: Dr. Osgood proposes the original amendment.

DR. OSGOOD: To amend Section V of Article V by striking out the said section and inserting in place thereof the following: Section 1. Regular quarterly meetings of the club shall be held in February, May, August and November at such time and place as shall be designated by the President, twenty days notice whereof shall be given and published in the Gazette."

THE PRESIDENT: The other one simply indicates this: That the regular annual meeting of the club shall be held in February of each year, and there shall be
also regular quarterly meetings.

MR. VITI: It is only a matter of phraseology.

THE PRESIDENT: I mean to say that the amendment offered by Dr. Osgood designates annual and quarterly meetings without differentiation at all, and this makes the February meeting the annual meeting, and the others quarterly meetings. The question then will be on the amendment. Are you ready for that question?

The amendment was unanimously carried.

MR. VITI: In view of the lateness of the hour I move we adjourn.

Motion seconded.

The roll call on this motion resulted as follows: Ayes thirty-three; noes twenty-seven.
Price, fifty-three, Rutherford, forty; Codman, thirty-nine; Osgood, thirty; Edson, twelve.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

-------------------------------

Mr. McGuire at the close of the meeting handed in the result of the ballot for names to suggested as directors as follows:

Price 53
Rutherford 40
Codman 39
Osgood 30
Edson 12
New York, February 14, 1907.

A stated meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club was this day duly held at the close of the annual meeting of the club.

At said meeting there were present,

Messrs. August Belmont
          H. K. Bloodgood
          Marcel A. Viti.
          James W. Appleton.
          Edward Brooks.
          Wm. G. Rockefeller
          Wm. B. Emery.
          A. P. Vredenburgh
          G. Muss-Arnolt
          L. W. Campbell.
          Monson Morris
          Dwight Moore.
          Harry T. Peters
          R. P. Keasbey
          J.H. Brookfield.
          A. D. Gillette.
          Singleton VanSchiack
          B. S. Smith
          Chetwood Smith.
          C. F. R. Drake
          Howard Willets
          James Mortimer
          Edwin J. Van Schaick.
          John G. Bates

The president, Mr. August Belmont, in the chair, Mr. Vredenburgh acted as secretary of the meeting.

On motion duly made and seconded the regular order business was suspended and it was resolved to proceed to
the election of three delegates to fill the vacancies in the board. The result of the vote of the delegates on names to be suggested to the board to fill said vacancies showed that Mr. J.S. Price, Jr., had received 53 votes; Mr. Winthrop Rutherfurd, 40 votes, and Mr. W. C. Codman, 39 votes. Mr. Musss-Arnolt thereupon nominated Mr. J.S. Price, Jr., as director for three years, Mr. Winthrop, Rutherfurd as director for two years and Mr. Codman as director for one year. No other nominations having been made it was on motion duly made, unanimously resolved that the secretary be and he is hereby directed to cast one ballot for said members. The secretary thereupon cast such ballot and J. S. Price, Jr. was duly elected a director for three years, Mr. Winthrop Rutherfurd a director for two years and Mr. W. C. Codman a director for one year, thus filling the unexpired term of Messrs. W.P. Earle, Joseph M. Dale and Gouverneur M. Carnochan.

On motion duly made and seconded it was resolved that a recess be taken until February 15th at 2 o’clock p.m.
New York, February 15, 1907.

The meeting of the Board of Directors reconvened pursuant to adjournment. On said reconvening there were present, Messrs. Belmont, Bloodgood, Viti, Rockefeller, Vredenburgh, Muss-Arnolt, Morris, Moore, Peters, Keasbey, Brookfield, Gillette, S. Van Schaick, B.S. Smith, C. Smith, Drake, Willetts, Bates, Price and Rutherfurd.

On motion duly made and seconded it was resolved to proceed to the election of delegates, a ballot was thereupon taken and the following delegates were duly accepted:

- F.F. Coite E. Liverpool Kennel Club
- F.J. Trown Sheepshead Bay Kennel Club
- George Greer Westchester Kennel Club
- F.H. Cothern No. Jersey Kennel Club
- R.D. Murray Lawrence Kennel & Fanciers Club
- A.L. Page Scottish Deerhound Club
- C.H. Malleson Rochester Kennel Club

And the following delegates were rejected:

- J.F. Collins Long Island Kennel Club
- R.F. Mayhew American Pomerian Club

On motion duly made and seconded it was resolved to proceed with the election of members to fill the vacancies of the standing committees. Mr. Rutherfurd was thereupon nominated as a members of the committee on Constitution & Rules, and no other nominations having been made a vote was thereupon taken and Mr.
Rutherfurd was unanimously elected a member of the committee on Constitution & Rules. Messrs. Monson Morris and James Mortimer were thereupon nominated as members of the Finance Committee and no other nominations having been made a vote was thereupon taken and Messrs. Morris and Mortimer were unanimously elected members of the Finance Committee.

The secretary thereupon called the attention of the meeting to the fact that several clubs were not complying with the rule fixing the size of catalogues, and on motion duly made and seconded, the secretary was instructed to warn these clubs against recommended that the matter be called to the attention of the Rules Committee, directing it to amend the rule fixing a penalty for filing catalogues not of the size prescribed.

Mr. Vredenburgh thereupon requested the board to fix the amount of his bond as treasurer. On motion duly made and seconded, the matter was referred to the Finance Committee with power to act.

On motion duly made and seconded, the secretary was instructed to notify all clubs in arrears of dues that if same were not paid within thirty days from this date they will be dropped.

On motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously resolved that Messrs. George H. Taylor and Marvel A. Viti be and they are hereby appointed counsel to the club.

On motion duly made and seconded the meeting thereupon adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secy.
ROLL CALL

August Belmont,
H.K. Bloodgood
M.A. Viti
J.W. Appleton
Edward Brooks
W. B. Emery
A. P. Vredenburgh
G. Muss-Arnolt
Dwight Moore
H. T. Peters
R. P. Keasbey
J. S. Price, Jr.,
J. H. Brookfield
A. D. Gillette
Winthrop Rutherfurd
S. Van Schaick
B. S. Smith
C. F. R. Drake
Howard Willets
E. J. Van Schaick
John G. Bates
Ancel H. Ball

President, August Belmont in the Chair.

Minutes of the meeting of the Board of Directors of Feb. 14th and 15th, 1907, accepted and approved as published in the Gazette of Feb. 28th, 1907,

By Mr. Moore, seconded by Mr. Brooks.

RESOLVED,- That the delegates be and they are hereby requested to suggest names to the Board of Directors
of persons acceptable to them to be elected as Directors to fill any vacancies in the Board which may exist at the time of the quarterly meeting of the Club in May, and the Secretary be and he is hereby instructed to send a copy of this resolution to the delegate and secretary of each club. CARRIED.

By Mr. Peters, seconded by Mr. Ball.

RESOLVED, - At the time of sending notices for the May meeting, the Secretary be and he is hereby instructed to state in said notice the number of resignations of Directors in hand. CARRIED.

The request of the Stud Book Committee for instruction in the approval of kennel names, it was,

RESOLVED, - That on and after this date the names of States, Territories, Cities, Colleges and Universities, shall not be granted as kennel names, prefixes or affixes. The following kennel names having been approved by the Stud Book Committee, were duly granted.

BEN HUR.....................................................Louis F. Bartels.
BLYTHEBRUSH........................................Dr. R. E. Luther
BRANNVIN ..............................................D. D. Bush
CABANNE..............................................L. L. Bonham
CRESCENT.............................................E. A. Trask
DALVAY .................................................A. C. Shattuck & Son
DAUTLESS...........................................Mr. & Mrs. E. H. McFee
EDDYSTONE..........................................J. B. McClelland
EDGEBURY.............................................S. P. Strang
EL DALMACIANO......................................... Zue G. Peck
GEM .............................................................. D.C. Hale & Will E. Kett
GLEN VISTA ................................................ Carl D. Herdman
GYPSY......................................................... Joseph Cook
HAZELDEAN ................................................ Alvah J. Ragon
HILO ............................................................ F. A. Medcalf
HOPEWELL................................................ Mr. & Mrs. H. Fensterer
KEO .............................................................. Dr. & Mrs. C.C. Countryman
KNOLL CREST............................................. R.L. Kingston
LAKELAND..................................................... Chas. Von Weller
LONGWOOD ................................................ P.R. Drury & Ada B. Denne MALTA
PURA ........................................................... Dr W. Blackbourne
NAVONOD...................................................... C.G. Donovan
PARAGON..................................................... Franz J. Heilborn
PATRICIAN.................................................. C. Bonfils & W.C. Cox
RAMONA ...................................................... J. R. Huddleston
RAPPAKANNOCK................................. E.S. Troughton, Jr.
RICHELIER................................................ E. C. Rich & Sons
RIDGECOTE................................................. H. E. White
SAXTON ...................................................... Mr. & Mrs. W.N.G. Clark
SCHWARZ-ADLER...................................... Louis H. Rushton
VENUS........................................................ A. F. Ubertino
VARIAN........................................................ E. B. Varian

TRANSFER GRANTED

MILLFIELD ....................from Vance S. Manson to Henry R. Sedgwick and Vance S. Manson.

WILLOW BROOK ........ from Mrs. F.E. Brown to W. L. Davis.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.
President,
American Kennel Club,
55 Liberty Street.

Dear Sir:-

We, the undersigned, hereby request you to call a Special Meeting of the Board of Directors and would surest that the same be held on Wednesday, May the first, 1907, at three o'clock in the afternoon.

Yours very truly,

B. S. Smith
Craig F. R. Drake
Dwight Moore
J. H. Brookfield
Howard Willets
Singleton Van Schaick
Marcel A. Viti
John G. Bates
Edwin J. Van Schaick

A. P. Vredenburgh, Esq.,
Secretary, American Kennel Club,
55 Liberty Street, New York City.

Dear Sir:-

In the absence from the town of the President,
Mr. August Belmont, and the Vice-President, W. Hollis Hunnewell, I hereby request that you call a special meeting of the Board of Directors of the Club for Wednesday, May 1st, 1907, at 3 o'clock in the afternoon, pursuant to the enclosed request,
and that you forthwith sent out the notices for said meeting.

Yours truly,

H. K. Bloodgood,


To the Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Dear Sir:

A special meeting of the Board of Directors is hereby ordered to be held at the office of the Club on Wednesday, May 1, 1907, at 3 o'clock.

A full attendance of the Board is requested.

By order of H. K. Bloodgood, President Associate Subscribers, in the absence of the President and Vice-President.

A. P. Vredenbureh, Secretary.
SPECIAL MEETING BOARD OF DIRECTORS, Held
May 1st, 1907.

-----------------------
August Belmont in the chair Present:
  August Belmont
  H. K. Bloodgood
  Marcel A. Viti
  Edward Brooks
  Wm. G. Rockefeller
  W. B. Emery
  A. P. Vredenburgh
  G. Muss-Arnolt
  Monson Morris
  Dwight Moore
  R. P. Keasbey
  J. H. Brookfield
  A. D. Gillette
  Winthrop Rutherfurd
  Singleton Van Schaick
  B. S. Smith
  Howard Willets
  James Mortimer
  John G. Bates

The minutes of the meeting of the Board held March 20th, 1907, were read and approved as published, in the Gazette of March 31st., 1907.

Mr. Monson Morris thereupon presented the following preambles and resolutions to the Board:

"WHEREAS, at a meeting of the Board held March 20th, 1907, it was

Resolved, that the delegates be and they are
hereby requested, to suggest names to the Board of Directors of persons acceptable to them to be elected as directors to fill any vacancies in the Board which may exist at the time of the quarterly meeting of the Club in May, and the Secretary be and he is hereby instructed to send a copy of this resolution to the delegate and secretary of each Club.

WHEREAS, at said meeting it was further

Resolved, At the time of sending notices for the May meeting the Secretary be and he is hereby instructed to state in said notice the number of resignations of Directors in hand; and

WHEREAS, in conformity with said last resolution the Secretary added to the notice of said May meeting the statement that there were twenty-one (21) resignations in hand; and subsequent thereto six (6) more resignations were received by him. The said twenty-seven (27) names being as follows;

August Belmont
H. H. Hunnewell
M. A. Viti
James W. Appleton
Edward Brooks
William G. Rockefeller
William B. Emery
A. P. Vedenburgh
G. Muss-Arnolt
and WHEREAS, and subsequent thereto and while conferences were being held between the representatives of the Ashland House Committee and the President of the Club, with a view to the possible solution of questions of differences of opinion which had arisen among members of the Club, an article appeared over the signature of such Committee in the American Stockkeeper for April 20th, and in other publications which among other things, attacked the good faith of the action of the Board of March 20th, and otherwise contained inaccuracies and misleading statements, as will appear by the answer thereto published by certain delegates not members of this Board in circular from under date of April 27th, 1907, copies of both of which documents are made a part of this resolution,
AND, WHEREAS All of said resignations except those of Messrs. Ackerman, Peters and Vredenburgh could not be acted upon at this meeting.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the action of the Board taken at the meeting of March 20th asking the delegates to suggest names to the Board of Directors of persons acceptable to then to be elected as Directors to fill any vacancies etc., be and the same is hereby rescinded; and it is further

RESOLVED, That it is the sense of this Board that any vacancies therein be filled by it pursuant to the Constitution of the Club.

The said preambles and resolutions having been duly seconded by Mr. Edward Brooks were thereupon unanimously adopted.

The circular of April 27th, 1907, referred to in the foregoing resolution is as follows:
On motion of Mr. Edward Brooks, seconded by Mr. Howard Willets the following preambles and resolutions were unanimously adopted;

WHEREAS, the validity of the Incorporation of the Club has been questioned and

WHEREAS, the Board has been advised that such a question is not well founded,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the matter be referred to the Attorneys for the Club, to take such steps in the premises as they may consider necessary.

The Secretary thereupon presented the resignation of Mr. Irvine O. Ackerman as a Director of the Club, and on motion duly made and seconded the same was accepted.

The President thereupon presented to the meeting the resignation of Mr. Alfred P. Vredenburgh as a Director of the Club and on motion duly made and seconded the same was accepted.

Mr. Vredenburgh’s letter is as follows:

April 18, 1907.

August Belmont, Esq.,
President American Kennel Club,
23 Nassau Street, New York City.

Dear Mr. Belmont:

When I accepted the position of a director in the
American Kennel Club I was under the impression that I would be ineligible for the secretaryship unless I was a director. It was for this sole reason that I allowed myself to be elected, a director. I have always been loath to hold any position in the Club, excepting that of secretary and for that reason offered an amendment to the rules some two years ago so that the secretary-treasurer would not be a member of the Rules Committee, and although overruled by the balance of the committee, I again suggest the advisability of such an amendment.

In handing you my resignation, together with the other members of the Board, I adverted to the subject on the necessity of my being on the Board in order to be eligible to the secretaryship and deplored the same. To my surprise I was informed that it was not necessary for the secretary to be a director. Such being the fact, I hasten to say that under no circumstances will I be a candidate for re-election to the Board, my resignation there from being permanent.

Your very truly,
A.P. Vredenburgh

The secretary thereupon presented to the meeting the following letter of resignation from Mr. H. T. Peters:
New York, N. Y., April 22, 1907,

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
The American Kennel Club,
55 Liberty Street, City.

Dear Sir:

I hereby tender my resignation as a director of the American Kennel Club, also my resignation as delegate of the Westminster Kennel Club, latter to take effect upon the appointment of my successor.

Yours truly,

Harry T. Peters."

On motion duly made and seconded the latter was laid upon the table.

The Secretary thereupon stated to the Board that reason of the resignations of Messrs. Ackerman and Vredenburgh there were two vacancies in the Board.

Mr. Winthrop Rutherfurd thereupon nominated Mr. George Bleistein of Buffalo, N. Y., as a Director.

Mr. W. B. Emery nominated Mr. C. W. Keyes, East Pepperell, Mass. as a Director.

Mr. J. H. Brookfield nominated Mr. Thos. Cadawalader, of Phila. Pa. as a Director.

Mr. Dwight Moore nominated Mr. S. W. Cutler, Revere, Mass. as a Director.
On motion duly made and seconded the matter of the election of the Directors to fill vacancies was laid over for further action.

On motion duly made and seconded the meeting thereupon adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary
President August Belmont in the chair.

PRESENT:

AUGUST BELMONT
HILDRETH K. BLOODGOOD
MARCEL A. VITI
EDWARD BROOKS
WILLIAM G. ROCKEFELLER
WILLIAM B. EMERY
G. MUSS-ARNOLT
MONSON MORRIS
DWIGHT MOORE
ROWLAND P. KEASBEY
J.H. BROOKFIELD
ABRAM D. GILLETTE
WINTHROP RUTHERFORD
SINGLETON VAN SCHAICK
B. S. SMITH
WILLIAM C. CODMAN
JAMES MORTIMER
JOHN G. BATES
ANCEL H. BALL

Secretary Vredenburgh read the minutes of the last meeting of the Board of Directors.

PRESIDENT BELMONT: There are before us for consideration this afternoon two questions: First, the question of filling the vacancies caused by the resignations of Mr. Vredenburgh, Mr. Ackerman and Mr. Peters.
The other is the question of accepting the credentials of delegates. I understand that there is a report of the Committee on membership on that subject, which I will ask the secretary to read.

The secretary then read the Membership Committee’s report as follows:

New York, May 2, 1907

The Membership Committee recommend the election of the following clubs:

- Western Beagle K. Club
- Cleveland Fanciers’ Club Co.
- Fairfield County KC
- Bloodhound Club of America
- Franingham District KC
- Ft. Pitt KC
- Hudson County KC

As may questionable statements have been made regarding the motive governing the selection of delegates at recent meetings, your Committee deem it advisable to abstain from the approval of any additional delegates at present.

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. B. Emery, Chairman
Singleton Van Schaick
B.S. Smith
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the report be accepted and the recommendation therein contained adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Secretary Vredenburgh read the following report:

New York, May 2, 1907

To the Board of Directors,--

Gentleman:-

I beg to report that under the Constitution of the American Kennel Club (Incorporated) the credentials of delegates can be acted upon by the Board of Delegates at the annual meeting only, and thereafter by the Board of Directors at any stated meeting. I therefore beg to report that reception of the following credentials.

Feb. 9, Hartford Show Assn. W.L. Davis
" 18 Genesee Co. KC Chas. W. Gardiner
" 19 Welsh Terrier Club F.B. Lord, Jr.
Mar 2 District of Columbia KC Howe Totten
" 2 Methuen KC W.N. Kimball
" 5 Anthracite KC R.E. Westlake
" 7 North Shore KC W.J. Green
" 8 Long Island KC John F. Collins
" 8 English Setter KC Charles M. Bruce
" 14 Toy Spaniel KC H. Lee Anstey
Apl 1 Bloodhound Club of America Dr. L.G. Knox
" 2 Chesapeake KC S.T. Peters
" 4 Asbury Park KC Jos. M. Dale
" 5 Bergen Co. KC Wm. H. Carroll
" 9 Duquesne KC S.S. Lesslie Jr.
" 15 Seattle Dog Fanciers' Assn. Clarence Sackett
" 16 American Pomeranian Club Henry D. Riley
The above applications will be reported by the Membership Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

MR. RUTHERFORD: I move that Mr. Blestein be elected to fill the vacancy on the Board of Directors caused by the resignation of Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh.

Motion seconded and carried.

A ballot was taken which resulted in the unanimous election of Mr. Bleistein as a director to fill the vacancy caused by Mr. Vredenburgh’s resignation.

MR. MORRIS: I move that the resignation of Mr. Peters be taken from the table and that it be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I move that when we adjourn, we adjourn subject to the call of the chair.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
THE REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE DELEGATES
OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its offices,
No. 55 Liberty Street, New York City,
Thursday, May 2nd, 1907.

President August Belmont in the chair.

PRESENT:

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES:

Airedale Terrier Club of New York:  W. G. Rockefeller
AMERICAN DACHSHUNDE CLUB: Dwight Moore
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB: B. S. Smith
BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA: A. G. Hooley
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB: V. J. Berg
CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB: W. Rutherfurd
CHESTER KENNEL CLUB: J. H. Brookfield
CROTONA COLLIE CLUB: W. C. CODMAN
DALMATIAN CLUB OF AMERICA: John G. Bates
DESERJET AGRICULTURAL AND MANUFACTURING SOCIETY: Abram D. Gillette
EAST LIVERPOOL KENNEL CLUB: S. Crozer Robinson
FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA: George H. Cable
HAWAIIAN KENNEL CLUB: J. B. Thomas, Jr.
IRISH SETTER CLUB: Charles R. Stevenson
IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: W. F. Coite
KEYSTONE BEAGLE CLUB: Richard H. Hunt
LADIES KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS: Seabury C. Mastick
NEW ENGLAND COLLIE CLUB: Lawrence M. D. McGuire
NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB: Singleton Van Schaick
ORANGE COUNTY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY: Thos. Cadwalader
POINTER CLUB OF AMERICA: Edward Brooks
REVERE KENNEL CLUB: Tom B. Middlebrooke
RHODE ISLAND KENNEL CLUB: W. B. Emery

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES:

Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
A. G. Hooley
V. J. Berg
W. Rutherfurd
J. H. Brookfield
W. C. CODMAN
John G. Bates
Abram D. Gillette
S. Crozer Robinson
George H. Cable
J. B. Thomas, Jr.
Charles R. Stevenson
W. F. Coite
Richard H. Hunt
Seabury C. Mastick
Lawrence M. D. McGuire
Singleton Van Schaick
Thos. Cadwalader
Edward Brooks
Tom B. Middlebrooke
W. B. Emery
Dr. M. A. Stivers
Ance ll H. Ball
Samuel R. Cutler
William Codman
ROCKLAND COUNTY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION: Monson Morris
SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB: R. P. Keasbey SANTA
CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB: Edward H. Hance, Jr.
SCOTTISH DEERHOUND CLUB: Albion L. Page
SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: George Lauder, Jr.
SHEEPSHEAD BAY KENNEL CLUB: F. J. Trown
SOUTHERN BEAGLE CLUB: George B. Post, Jr.
SPANIEL BREEDERS' SOCIETY: Marcel A. Viti
STOCKTON KENNEL CLUB: Clifford Drake
THE LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA: James Mortimer
WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: B. S. Smith
WESTCHESTER KENNEL CLUB: George Greer

During the call of the roll Mr. McGuire said: May I rise to a question of privilege? Without committing myself or the Irish Setter Club of America, and without recognizing the legality or acts of the persons and representatives here at this meeting, I wish to protest against it, and against any and all action which it may take.

MR. MIDDLEBROOKE: I wish to make the same protest on the same grounds as Mr. McGuire.

MR. CUTLER: I reiterate the same statement as Mr. McGuire.

THE CHAIRMAN: There are thirty-nine delegates present and a quorum. A motion is in order to approve the minutes of the previous meeting. It is customary to pass a resolution approving them as published in the Gazette.

MR. MASTICK: I move that they be so approved.
Motion seconded, and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: The secretary will now read an extract from the minutes of the Board meeting held yesterday with reference to the question of the proposed selection by this quarterly meeting of candidates to fill vacancies that might exist at this time on the Board.

The secretary then read the part indicated.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is announced to you with great regret on the part of the Board but the circumstances are these: These resignations had to be tendered to take effect upon the appointment of each individual resigner's successor. The constitution compels the Board meeting at any time to have at least twelve members present to constitute a quorum, and therefore the Board of course could not resign. That was impossible because there would be nobody then to fill their places, the constitution not providing that the delegates could do it at any meeting, either annual or quarterly, as you all know, and so the same method of procedure was necessary as that adopted at the annual meeting when the delegates then assembled selected a certain number of names to fill the then existing vacancies on the Board of Direction. All these resignations therefore had to be tendered in
The form referred to and were naturally subject to withdrawal. It was possible even to tender them at the last moment; that is to say, at a meeting of the Board of Direction which would have to be held subsequent to this quarterly meeting, in order that it might act upon those names selected for it to fill vacancies with. Inasmuch as those resignations might be withdrawn, and, as such as had not been tendered, might be tendered at the last moment -- (might even be tendered after you had been called upon to select candidates to fill Vacancies,) it was not deemed proper, [illegible] make the names public. There were two Requests made upon the officers of the club not to disclose the names of those directors Who had tendered their resignations. After the conversation with Mr. Vredenburgh that Course was determined upon, and the names of those resigning were withheld from one and all. No member of the Board or delegate not a member of the Board, could ascertain Who those gentlemen were. The Ashland House Committee desired to have those names Given to them, in order, as they stated, that they might canvass for candidates at this Proposed meeting of the Board. There were told that there was no necessity for it; that Twenty-one resignations had already been offered; that it would be necessary in
any event to select thirty candidates, if not more. If you will recall that you selected four in order to fill three vacancies, on that basis you should have selected thirty eight to fill thirty. They were told that they could, canvass exactly as if they knew the names, and that is the fact. If these twenty seven resignations were before you now it nevertheless would, be necessary for you to select thirty names, because at the meeting to take place — that is, that was intended to take place after this quarterly meeting — there might be thirty resignations. However, they were persuaded in their own minds upon reflection that they were handicapped and could not properly canvass for candidates for this Board. The desire of the Board of course was to have a representative Board. The feeling was, if the Kennel Club does not believe that this Board is representative, or has been constituted in a manner unsatisfactory to all concerned, we had better resign and let the delegates select the Board. I wish to say here that there is not any question that if the delegates at large had chosen to come together, and had not questioned the fairness of our Board in taking that action, they would have selected a Board, and would have selected it absolutely and perfectly untrammeled, just as you did at the annual meeting. That questions ought then to
have been set at rest; but, as the minutes show, the directors were incensed at the thought that their spirit of fairness was being called in question. They were also given to understand that there would be no effort made at all to secure a representative Board. They were also given to understand (this not officially,) as the attendance here shows, but a great many of those affiliated with the Ashland House Committee, and representing the Ashland House Committee would not be present here to-day, for the reasons illustrated by Mr. McGuire’s protest, and of those who followed his course here to-day.

MR. McGUIRE: May I ask where that was stated, or anything similar to a protest? I do not like to interrupt you, but for the sake of accuracy I would like to know.

THE CHAIRMAN: I was told that. If you will permit me to disclose our conversation —

MR. McGUIRE: I release you to disclose any conversation with perfect freedom.

THE CHAIRMAN: I was told that that was the case.

MR. McGUIRE: You are not forgetting that you are at liberty to disclose the conversation.

THE CHAIRMAN: I am going to disclose all that I know. Having heard what I already stated, I had no way of veri-
fying it at all, but I had a conversation concerning matters that are not pertinent to this discussion with Mr. McGuire over the telephone, and I stated to him that I heard that they, the Ashland House Committee followers, were not going to be present because they did not want to jeopardize their future action. I understood you to say over the telephone that that was the fact.

MR. McGUIRE: Your understanding was entirely wrong as to anything even approaching that being the fact. I had no personal knowledge on the subject, so I could not possibly give you any. I had absolutely no personal knowledge at the time, and that is absolutely true.

THE CHAIRMAN: I referred to the same fact when Murray and yourself had discussed these various questions, with me, and there was no statement from you that that was not the fact.

MR. McGUIRE: You had no authority to communicate an expression of my personal opinion, and you accepted it as such, and now you make it as a semi-official opinion from the Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am stating simply what I heard; what I understood.

MR. McGUIRE: Understood unofficially from the Committee.
THS CHAIRMAN: If I have given the impression to any one present that I heard it from the Committee officially, I wish it to be understood that that is not the case. What I heard was hearsay, but when I made the allusion to Mr. McGuire, he said that he only expressed his personal opinion that it was so, but his personal opinion was unquestionably well taken because the attendance here proves this.

MR. MCGUIRE: Did not Mr. McGuire express that in a written communication long before he expressed it over the telephone? You knew Mr. McGuire’s opinion before that conversation?

THE CHAIRMAN: It was a presumption, and that presumption was well founded. If it was not well founded, why are not those gentlemen present to-day, the men who brought about this quarterly meeting? The incorporated club did not provide in its original Constitution for a quarterly meeting, but at the annual meeting it was voted on the suggestion of these very gentlemen that quarterly meetings should be returned to. They embodied it as one of their chief amendments, and the meeting accepted it on my suggestion, on the floor, that that should be done. I believe it was Dr. Osgood who proposed it.
MR. VREDENBURGH: No, Mr. Hunt.

THE CHAIRMAN: At all events, Dr. Osgood took part in the discussion, and was in accord with that proposed amendment, and these quarterly meetings -- this quarterly meeting is held because of that. It is certainly held in response to a desire on the part of the Ashland House Committee, and those who believe with them. It was in response to their wish and their belief that quarterly meetings should be held. That furnished the first opportunity that this Board could seize upon in an effort to adjust our differences, and therefore a special meeting of the Board was called to act upon the proposition for the selection of a new board. Call what I have stated before purely a presumption, that the Ashland representatives would not be present to-day, it is fair to say it was well founded, otherwise we would have all those gentlemen here now - otherwise the three protests recorded to-day would not have been made. That is the situation as I understand it, and if I am not stating it correctly, I hope that if there is anything to be added to it, some member of the Board will amplify what I have said, or correct anything that I have said that is not correct. The resolution described and amplified in the extract of the Board's meeting read to you, the publication signed by the Ashland House Committee calling the Board's good faith in question and stating their own inability to properly canvass without know-
ing their names, as if in this some injustice had been done them, was the basis for the reason given why this Board finally concluded that if it did come to you with a request to select new members of the Board, it would not be submitting those resignations to a representative meeting. Furthermore, if their sense of fairness was to be called in question they would not submit their resignations anyway. However, this has been the result. There was no time between yesterday and to-day to notify the delegates who are here expecting to select candidates for the Board. I think that covers the ground as nearly as I can do so, and if not, if any one present at those meetings desires to state anything further, I hope he will do so.

MR. McGUIRE: You referred to a telephone conversation we had just a few days ago in which you stated that you inferred from my statement that this would not be a thoroughly representative meeting, that all the delegates would not attend — do you say that that was a matter of news to you? Is it still your impression that that was the first intimation you had of it? If so, that is not fair, because you had a month prior practically received the same information from me, and only as an expression of ray personal opinion, and I have here a copy of the letter which I sent to you under date of April 10th, about two weeks prior to this telephone conversation to which you have referred in
which I made exactly the same statement, and in your answer, which I have here, you comment on that statement. Does it seem reasonable that the telephone conversation would be a great surprise to you after having had the information two weeks prior to the telephone conversation?

THE CHAIRMAN: My telephone conversation with you was to the effect that I did not expect from what I had heard that the gentlemen were going to attend. That is what I said. I do not know but what this is almost extraneous, but you will have to confine yourself to that, because we have got to proceed with the business of the meeting. I would be glad to have you read our correspondence.

(Correspondence read.)

MR. McGUIRE: I state now that of my own personal knowledge there was no official agreement to that effect.

MR. BALL: I did not understand the chairman to make his statement based on official knowledge on his part. I understood him to state that he had heard of it before.

THE CHAIRMAN: I thought I made that clear. The delegates will have to judge of that according to the
way it has been presented. We will now proceed to the regular order of business.

The secretary then read his quarterly report as follows;

New York, May 2nd, 1907.

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this my regular quarterly report.

The following clubs have filed application for Active Membership:

Western Beagle Club,

Cleveland Fanciers' Club Co.

Fairfield County Kennel Club.

Bloodhound Club of America,

Framingham District Kennel Club.

Port Pitt Kennel Club.

Hudson Co. Kennel Club,

The above applications have been referred to the Membership Committee which will report its recommendation at this meeting.

A report from the Field Trial Committee, together with a minority report of said Committee will be submitted for your action.

The Philadelphia Pointer Club was dropped from membership for non-payment of dues on March 16th, 1907,
in accordance with the resolution adopted at the February meeting. Said club has filed its application for reinstatement.

The Southern Kennel Club held a show under A. K. C. rules which closed Jan. 19th, 1907; notwithstanding a number of demands made for the listing fees received by said club at its show it has so far failed to pay said fees. It would appear that said club had received fees for listings and has used the money so received for other purposes. I would recommend that the Club and its officers be suspended.

The Pacific Advisory Committee has rendered a bill amounting to $35.80, for disbursements from Feb. 6th to April 22nd, 1907. I would recommend that the same be ordered paid.

Mr. James Watson who officiated as judge at the late New England Kennel Club Show claims that certain statements and rumors derogatory to his standing as a judge had been circulated and quoting precedents already established by this club demands an investigation, and has filed with this office certain correspondence on the subject which is now offered to you for such action as you may deem best in the premises.

Mr. James Ballantyne a disqualified man has filed
his appeal for reinstatement.

The New England Kennel Club at its late show sustained a protest against the entry of a chow at its show by the Princess de Montglyon and cancelled the winnings of the dog protested. An appeal against the decisions of the New England Kennel Club has been filed, and will be referred to the Executive Committee.

W. L. Davis has filed a complaint against the registration of a Boston Terrier, number 104,166, as fraudulent.

W. W. Tucker has also filed a complaint against the registration of a Gt. Dane, number 90184. These cases will be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Messrs. A. D. Gillett, S. B. Cummings and A. D. Newell recommend certain amendments to the Rules, and the same will be referred to the Rules Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The treasurer then read his report as follows:
New York, May 2, 1907.

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly report:

Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1907....................................................19,922.56
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date...................................................... 8,784.59
Total 28,707.15
Disbursements from Jan 1st to date ........................................... 7,018.92
Balance on hand 21,688.23
Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vedenburgh,
Treasurer."

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The majority and minority reports of the Field Trials Committee were read, and on motion referred to the Rules Committee.

THE SECRETARY: The Membership Committee reports the applications of the following named clubs for membership in the American Kennel Club: Western Beagle Club, Cleveland Fanciers' Club Company, Fairfield County Kennel Club, Bloodhound Club of America, Framingham District
Kennel Club, Fort Pitt Kennel Club and Hudson County Kennel Club.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would like to say a few words to you on this question of admitting clubs at this time. You have got to face a situation far different now from that which was presented some years ago. In times past when this organization was being built up there were not many clubs. There was no trouble in securing delegates that were qualified, and who were in New York, or sufficiently near to attend the meetings, and if they did not attend them, they showed a lack of interest, and that produced its own remedy, but to-day the American Club is getting very large and its membership is becoming more and more scattered. When we began to hold meetings we did not have any representation practically, as you know, for specialty clubs. There were not very many of them, and dog shows at that time were only profitable in large centres. Small dog shows did not pay, and they did not pay the exhibitors. I do not mean to say that they did not pay the premiums, but the premiums were so small, and transportation was not so good, and altogether there was not the same reason for discrimination with regard to membership then; but now the membership is growing very fast, and we have got property; so that giving up a membership is a very different thing at the present time from giving it up fifteen or twenty
years ago. Fifteen or twenty years ago when they gave up membership, they gave up the chances of belonging to an organization that might be in debt. Now, they would be giving up a membership in an organization that has property, and that membership constitutes proprietary rights and interests, which of course are useful. In former times nothing but membership was permitted to bring them under the control of the American Kennel Club in order to bring about uniformity of rules and uniformity with regard to discipline and other matters. No show could be given except by a member of the club. The consequence of that was that whenever it became desirable to hold a show in connection with some great public function, such as you have now at Jamestown, the exposition at Chicago at the World’s Fair, and others of the same kind -- whenever it was deemed advisable to hold one of these shows, (which was very good for kennel matter for the promotion of our interests in a way) those organizations had to be made members, even if the chances were that they would not live beyond that particular event. If they did not survive, there was no way of ridding ourselves of their membership, even if they never held any show at all and simply possessed what some have been pleased to call a charter they could not have their membership taken from them. And when you take the other extreme of an organization
started in a small place, not having proper financial backing, and very small prospects for the future, and they held that charter, as they call it, that membership stood to the exclusion of some other club proposing to hold a show, perhaps venturing upon or even inviting a loss, but more capable of bearing it. I strongly urge you to think this matter over. It has been brought up before, but the delegates have always voted against it. In that they have made, in my mind, a very grave mistake. At the present time we are not settled entirely as to our future. We have a schism here in our ranks, and unfortunately it is not yet healed, but I hope it will be. So it would seem wise not to increase the membership at all. It has nothing to do with the votes here. Please disabuse your minds of that. On the contrary, I think the tendency would be on the part of both the directors, committees and delegates to even bend over backward in favor of such credentials as might be considered attributable to the opposition. Of course a contest of this kind, if it is sought to acquire representation — you can see a premium would thus be placed on creating little clubs and making them part of your membership and giving them a position here which you can, never rid yourselves of, and perhaps later on would not be entitled to hold such membership by reason of their not having performed the serious membership by reason of their not having performed the serious functions of a club holding shows, or promoting the breed of any special dog. You
really do not want to encourage a specialty club to split up into a dozen or fifteen different sub-clubs, so to speak, containing a few members, perhaps not exceeding their officers, a few people in some localities, and bringing them alongside of the Collie Club, alongside of the Beagle Club— I mean the main bodies, the important specialty clubs— alongside the Fox Terrier Club or alongside of any organization.

Really, as business men who have been interested in this organization, (and you would not be fighting over it if you were not interested), I want to call your attention to that, and in view of the fact that all these difficulties are not settled, why don't you wait until they are and then in the selection of your new membership it will be based on what you believe to be for your Club's best interests and then you can discuss this matter dispassionately. There is no reason whatever why you should not be able to license a show, or, in other words, put an organization on probation until it grows and becomes worthy of election to your membership. Let them prove themselves. Let them establish themselves permanently. At one time such a plan was considered a mistake because then every club would have preferred a license would [illegible] paid no dues whatever
to the American Kennel Club to help support it. But to-day what is ten dollars it does not amount to anything compared to the proportionate interest in the Club's property. At all events if so great a premium is put upon membership by this organization as to have a small concern stand in the way of holding of shows in some particular locality unless the rival pays quite a large sum -- I think there is such an instance, isn't there?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, Columbus.

THE CHAIRMAN: If it is worth that, if a charter, in other words, membership in this organization is worth so much, then raise your dues; in other words, make it worth while, or else change your constitution so as to permit licenses, and then when a club has grown to a fair size and worthy of membership in this organization, elect it. I earnestly recommend that for your consideration. You have before you for election to membership these seven clubs. It is in order to proceed to the election of them if you so desire.

MR. STEVENSON: Acting on the suggestion of the Chairman, I move that the election of these applicants for membership be postponed; also that the question of
qualifying for membership or licensing shows be referred to the Rules Committee which will take up the present situation and recommend to this club at a future meeting some plan which will cover the point suggested by the president.

Motion seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Would not that work some injustice to some clubs which desire in the near future, before this matter can be acted upon, to hold a show? Will not the action proposed by this motion deprive such clubs as want to give a show at a near date the opportunity of doing so?

THS SECRETARY: There are three or four of these applicants that intend to hold shows — claim dates.

THE CHAIRMAN: There is no real hardship excepting this, that if they are not members, their wins do not count; that is all. So far as holding a dog show is concerned, there is nothing to prevent their doing that. It does not reduce the premium list; it simply reduces the value of the wins.

MR. McGUIRE: Do I understand that in order to be eligible for membership it is necessary to have the approval of the Rules Committee in the future?
THE CHAIRMAN: No. The Rules Committee will suggest a method of licensing clubs; suggest some scheme in the constitution that will cover this point and enable this body to authorize a show to be held under the rules and in without membership.

MR. MORTIMER: If these applicants for membership are not admitted to membership, it is useless for them to try to hold a show. There can be no successful show held now in this country if it is not held under A.K.C. Rules.

THE CHAIRMAN: They cannot hold shows until the Autumn, can they?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, they are going to hold shows this summer, before our next meeting,

MR. MOORE: There are really two motions in the same resolution.

THE CHAIRMAN: You can divide that motion into two parts, if you desire.

MR. STEVENSON: My intention was to postpone action on these applications until the Rules Committee had taken up the question of qualification. I have no objection to its being divided.

MR. MOORE: I offer that in the form of an amendment, that the original motion be divided into two
MR. THOMAS: I do not know that the mover of the motion understands the situation, but I personally know of four or five clubs now forming, and probably a good portion, of these clubs desire to hold registered shows in the immediate future. I consider that the refusal on the part of this club to at least consider their election at the present time would cause a tempest that would make the present controversy look like a breeze in a tea pot. There are so many small clubs around the country that desire in the next few months to hold shows, it seems to me that this matter ought to, be brought to some immediate decision.

THE CHAIRMAN: It may be a hardship, but it seems to me that the interests of the American Kennel Club are far greater than those of some small organization in the neighborhood, and I submit that while it may be a hardship, nevertheless you will be acting in the right direction not to act on their election, because you ought to have really a sort of probationary condition for a club before it becomes an active member. I do not know what these applicants are, I am in absolute ignorance of their size or importance, but that very remark
Mr. Thomas has made me feel like emphasizing that recommendation, because you say they are little and small. The smaller they are, the smaller the hardship and certainly so far as the American Kennel Club is concerned, that is a greater reason why they should have to wait and pass through a period of probation and hold their shows under a license and qualify for Membership later.

MR. THOMAS: I do not know the names of any of these clubs, but I would make an amendment to the present motion to the effect that the present clubs applying for membership be acted upon, and that a, committee be appointed, if necessary to act on the proposition as presented.

THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment already offered would bring that about.

MR. CADWALADER: How many of these clubs are there?

THE CHAIRMAN: Seven.

MR. CADWALADER: They all intend to hold a show in the near future?

THE SECRETARY: There are five, I believe that hold show but only one that has claimed dates. One of them is the Beagle Club which holds field trials and another is a
specialty club.

MR. CADWALADER: Would it be possible for the Rules Committee to hold a meeting in the near future?

THE CHAIRMAN: So far as this is concerned, committees are only intended to simplify the business for a meeting at large, but the meeting at large has a perfect right to go into details. The committee on membership has approved and recommended these clubs, yet it is perfectly competent for this meeting to take up each one of these recommendations and act upon them after discussion.

MR. VITI: If this does not involve a charge of the constitution, but only a change in the dog show rules, the dog show rules could be amended at the September meeting. It would be only a question of the summer time when dog shows are not usually held.

The amendment to divide Mr. Stevenson's motion into two parts was then put and carried.

The first part of the motion offered by Mr. Stevenson was then read as follows: "I move that the election of these applicants for membership be postponed."

The same was put and carried, Messrs. Thomas, Stivers, Lauder, Bates and Trown voting in the negative. Mr. McGuire stated that he desired to be recorded as opposed to the motion, but not voting.
THE CHAIRMAN: If the next resolution is passed there will be a reference to the Rules Committee of the question of reporting at the next meeting a plan by which this club can license shows by amending its rules instead, of electing clubs to membership. Then, that recommendation being reported, I believe it is competent under the constitution, for the club at the next quarterly meeting, to amend those rules, and then if these clubs are not elected, and it is decided again that they shall not become members at all, but shall be entitled to be licensed, they can receive a license to hold a show which will then answer the objection stated by Mr. Mortimer. In other words, that they can then hold shows under A.K.C. rules and their wins would count under those rules.

MR. THOMAS: Am I correct in surmising that the next meeting will be held in September?

THE CHAIRMAN: Quarterly meetings are held every three months.

MR. THOMAS: Then this present club which applies for a date cannot have the right to hold an A. K. C. show, and the matter cannot be acted upon?

THE CHAIRMAN: Not before the next quarterly meeting, unless there should be a special meeting. In the ordinary course of the business of this club a ques-
tion of this kind will come up at the next quarterly meeting, but the constitution provides that you, as delegates, can have any special meeting that you want if applied, for in the proper way, and at such a meeting you will be able to act.

MR. THOMAS: May I be informed if this duo has paid its deposit?

THE CHAIRMAN: That would be immaterial. Their application is before the American Kennel Club.

The second part of the notion offered by Mr. Stevenson was then read as follows: “That the question of qualifying for membership or licensing shows be referred to the Rules Committee, which will take up the present situation and recommend to this club at a future meeting some plan which will cover the point suggested by the president.”

MR. CUTLER: The question is, shall it be referred to the Rules Committee for the Rules Committee to make some rule about the admission of clubs?

THE CHAIRMAN: No; the Rules Committee simply recommends; the Rules Committee has no power to act.

MR. CUTLER: Then it is to refer the matter to the Rules Committee for the purpose of having it recommend
some rule to cover this point?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. CUTLER: Would it not be wiser to leave the matter as it is now? As it is now the Membership Committee have power to investigate everything; to investigate the standing of every duo that applies for membership; to investigate their purpose or motive in becoming members; to decide every application upon its merit, and then to recommend whether it shall be accepted as a member. It seems to me that any rule that might be adopted would be subject to occasionally hardship, and it could be probably circumvented, if the Membership Committee as it is in existence now, made all the necessary investigation, and after looking the matter over, see fit to recommend and applicant for membership, why cannot everything be accomplished under that provision that is in vogue to-day, as it can be under any rule which may be framed, I do not care how strict it is. You do not know what is going to happen in two or three years from now. A rule which may cover this matter to-day may not cover it several years from now, but it is fair to presume that when a committee appointed by this body looks into a matter and gives fair investigation and careful consideration and arrives at a conclusion,
and then presents its findings to this body, that body will be in a fair position to act upon it. Take each case as it comes up, and it seems to me that is wiser than to try to make laws in advance to cover every case. The Rules Committee has already made some very good rules; it perhaps can make others when the time comes. They may not be binding, but at the same time they are practically binding because they have always been lived up to, and it seems to me that we are getting into a position here where we are going to have a reign of law in good old fashioned style. Too much law is a bad thing for any organization.

THE CHAIRMAN: You asked me, Mr. Cutler, and I presume you asked for an answer, with regard to it. I see by what you have said that you quite misunderstood the power of the committee on the admission of clubs. They are not vested with power to investigate in all particulars, not at all, not in the slightest; could not be by any rule of this club. But what the club will be able to do either through this committee or rather through the recommendation of this committee, is to license a small show, a small club, and bring it into being and let it grow until it is capable of becoming a member. If they now refuse to recommend a club because it is
insignificant, that it does not seem to have the proper backing, then the club cannot join at all; then it simply has to go out of existence. On the other hand, if the recommendation that I make is adopted and the Membership Committee find that it is really quite a worthy organization, but has got to grow, it can be given a chance by licensing it and permitting it to hold a show.

MR. CUTLER: I do not differ with the Chair in that I believe that there are a great many clubs that should be put on probation. My only point is that it seems to me that that can be accomplished in a different way.

THE CHAIRMAN: It cannot be done under the present Rule. There is no power in the rules or constitution which permits anything but one of two things, either you become a member or you do not, and you have got to become a member to be able to hold a so called recognized show. You cannot hold a show under any other course.

MR. CUTLER: Suppose the Membership Committee has an application before it and they say, we want to investigate, we have not finished our investigation; we will continue this matter and not make any report at this meeting; in other words, we will not report at this meeting, but will continue the investigation further until we have ascertained that this club wants to come in good faith and has a good purpose in coming in. I
understand your answer would be that they cannot, hold a show in the meantime. Is there any way of giving them a special license to hold a show with the idea that it may be taken away? Is that fair to them, to let them have a back door; to have two kinds of membership? It does not strike me as being right. I do not think there should be two grades of members. A club ought to be a member or it ought not. If it is not fit to come in, it ought to be held by the committee on investigation, on probation, if you wish to use that term, until it can prove that it has a purpose and has a field for good work. If it is not a proper club to become a member, then we ought not to let them give a show.

MR. THOMAS: I do not think a good many people understand what this proposition is. I know at the present moment three clubs that are desirous of giving an A. K. C. exhibition. They have grown up from small exhibitions, and they have now come to the point where they wish to give American Kennel Club shows in the early Autumn.

THE CHAIRMAN: You are not talking to the point. I rule your remarks out of order unless you devote yourself to the discussion of this motion. The part of the motion to which you are addressing your remarks has been passed.
MR. THOMAS: I would call attention to the fact that a great many clubs wish to hold A. K. C. shows later on, and that they will not know whether or not to state that they are going to hold or intend to hold A.K.C. shows. There are a great many one day shows held through the summer, and this matter which has come up will put them in a position where they will not know what to do.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, they do. They know perfectly well that they cannot announce this summer that they are going to hold shows as members of the American Kennel Club. They have got to hold shows where their wins will not count. They also will know, if they choose to ascertain, that there is before the Kennel Club for consideration the question of possibly making them members, or licensing them, and that their application for membership is regularly before the club, and will be acted upon probably at the next meeting.

The second part of the motion offered by Mr. Stevenson was then put and carried.

MR. POST: I have a resolution to submit, and with your permission I will ask the secretary to read it.

The secretary then read the following preamble and
Resolution: “WHEREAS, the regularity of the incorporation of the Club has been brought into question and WHEREAS, in the opinion of the Club no such question can be fairly raised, NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that it be referred to the Attorneys for the club, to take such steps as may be necessary or proper in the premises.

George E. Post, Jr.

Seconded by E.M. Mance, Jr.

Resolution seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Philadelphia Pointer Club was dropped from membership for non-payment of dues on March 16, 1907, in accordance with the resolution adopted at the February meeting. They have received three notices, three bills. It filed an application on April 12th for reinstatement.

MR. MOORE: I move that the communication be acknowledged and laid upon the table.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Southern Kennel Club has neglected, declined or refused to pay the listing fees that it received from exhibitors for its show which closed on January 19th last. Under the rules they have
seven days to turn in their listing and fees to this office. They have not turned them in and have informed me that they have spent the money, and state that when they get money they will pay it.

MR. MOORE: I move that they be given ten days in which to pay, and if not paid at the end of ten day they be suspended.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill rendered my the Pacific Advisory Committee amounting to $35.80 was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: Mr. James Watson has requested an investigation as to certain rumors made against him as Judge at the New England show.

MR. MORRIS: I move that the matter be referred to the Executive Board with power.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned subject to the call of the Chair.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB (Incorporated)

Held at its offices,
No,. 55 Liberty Street, New York City,
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Deseret Agricultural and Manufacturing Society
Charles R. Stevenson,
East Liverpool Kennel Club,
F. F. Colte,
Haverhill Kennel Club,
Mark A. Knipe
Irish Terrier Club of America,
Singleton Van Schaick,
Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts,
Edward Brooks,
Masoutah Kennel Club,
C. F. R. Drake
National Beagle Club,
Jas W. Appleton,
New England Beagle Club,
Chetwood Smith
Orange County Agricultural Society
Dr. M. A. Stivers,
Ponter Club of America,
Ancell H. Ball,
Portland Kennel Club,
Edwin J. Van Schaick,
Russian Wolfhound Club,
Dr. J. E. De Mund,
San Francisco Kennel Club,
R. P. Keasbey,
Sheepshead Bay Kennel Club,
F. J. Trown,
Spaniel Breeders’ Society
Marcel A. Viti

The Ladies Kennel Association of America,
James Mortimer,
Westchester Kennel Club,
George Greer,
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and adopted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, N. Y., July 31, 1907.

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit this my regular quarterly report.

At the meeting held May 2d the applications for membership from seven clubs were laid on the table pending proposed action on a report of the Rules Committee under which clubs can be licensed to hold a show. Since that time one of the applications laid on the table has been withdrawn, and the following new applications have been filed:

“Chester Co. Agl. Association
Louisville Collie Club
Rutland Kennel Club
Simsbury Kennel Club”

All the applications for the registration of kennel names that have been filed with this office since February last, have been turned over to the Stud Book Committee, and its report will be placed before you.

The Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of April 27th will be made a part of the records of this
The Committee on Constitution and Rules held a meeting on June 12th last, and will submit Five Amendments to the Dog Show Rules, for action at this meeting. These amendments were published in the Gazette of June 30th.

By Act of the Legislature of Utah the title of the Deseret Agricultural and Manufacturing Society was changed to that of the Utah State Fair Association, and the A. K. C. is requested to make the change in title of this club on its records.

The following changes have been filed.

"Mrs. L. L. Millar vs. People's Poultry and Pet Stock Association. Failure to pay a Special, alleged to have been won at the Show, Jan. 23d-26th.

J. T. Moore vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club.
Failure to pay a Special.

W. F. Fisher vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club.
Failure to pay a Special.

Mrs. A. O. Van Heusen vs. Princess de Montglyon.
Misconduct in connection with dogs.
At the late Wissahickon Show, the Alstead Kennel alleged that an entry of a Collie was sent to said show with a check to cover entry fees. It appears that the Wissahickon Show never received either entry or entry fee and at the request of Mrs. C. M. Lunt, one of the proprietors of the Alstead Kennels, the said Collie was permitted to compete, was awarded a prize, and the entry written in the Catalogue which is filed with this office. Such a procedure being unusual, the award was cancelled by this office upon the ground that as the entry was not received at the closing of the date of entry, that the dog officially was not eligible to compete at said show. The said Mrs. Lunt in behalf of the Alstead Kennels, has appealed from the above action and requests the win of the dog to be recognized.

Under date of June 18th Mr. T. B. Middlebrooke, Superintendent and Secretary of the Revere Kennel Club, filed a notice of the suspension of said club of ten exhibitors for removing their dogs from the show without permission, notice of the above was sent from this office to each person suspended, and in every case a reply has been received denying that permission was not granted them and that owing to the absence from the show of Mr. Middlebrooke that permission had been accorded by the person in charge of the
gate. No further action can be taken by this office until official action is had in the premises.

The Pacific Advisory Committee has filed a for disbursements from April 25th to July 23rd, amounting to $40.10, I respectfully recommend that the same be ordered paid.

At the last New York Show Edward Lyons of Hadley, Mass, through M. T. Mason Agent, entered a Pointer bitch, named Betsy L. in limit Class, No. 105, and gave its pedigree by Joe Pointer, out of Sara’s Elsie, this bitch was awarded first prize. I have a certificate from the breeder L. E. Dickinson, stating that the sire of this bitch was Pointer D., and the dam Lucy. I have therefore cancelled the award and called upon Lyons, to show cause why action should not be taken against him for giving fraudulent pedigree.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary,”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read, and is as follows:

New York, July 31, 1907,

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly
financial report.

Balance on hand Jan. 1st. 1907.................................................................19,922.56
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date..............................................................13,443.59

Total 33,366.15

Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date................................................14,928.99

Balance on hand 18,437.16

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Treasurer.”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I have here the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee under date of April 27th, 1907.

MR. MOORE: I move that they be made a part of the record of this meeting and published in the Gazette.

Motion second and carried.

Following are such minutes:

"MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, S.F.K.C. BENCH SHOW,
_____________________ April 27, 1907. ______________________________

PRESENT: H. H. Carlton, Dr. W. P. Burnham, W. W. Stettheimer, J. P. Norman

ABSENT: J. E. de Ruyter.

On motion, Mr. Carlton took the chair."
Moved and seconded that the minutes of the previous meeting be accepted as published in the A.K. Gazette. CARRIED.

On motion, the resignation of J.E. deRuyter was taken from the table. It was moved and seconded, that the resignation being unavoidable on account of the removal of Mr. de Ruyter to Los Angelos, it be and hereby is accepted with the deepest regret, and that the thanks of this Committee be and hereby are extended to Mr. de Ruyter for his long and invaluable service to the best interests of kenneldom and the American Kennel Club, and for the many sacrifices he has been called on to make in the rendering of such services, and that the secretary of this Committee be and hereby is instructed to notify Mr. de Ruyter of this resolution. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

It was Moved and seconded, that Charles K. Harley be nominated to the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB for appointment to the vacancy on this Committee occasioned by the resignation of John E. de Ruyter. Carried unanimously.

The Secretary read the application of the PASADENA KENNEL CLUB for membership, and stated that all requirements of the A.K.C. had been duly met. It was Moved and seconded, that the Pasadena Kennel Club be and hereby is elected to membership in the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB. CARRIED.
The secretary read the application of the Western Bullterrier Breeders Association for membership and stated that all requirements of the rules had been duly met, including an undertaking to conform to the standard, present or future, adopted by the Bull Terrier Club of America. It was Moved and seconded, that the Western Bull Terrier Breeders Association be and hereby is elected to membership in the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB. CARRIED.

PEASLEY vs. OAKLAND KENNEL CLUB

This was a protest filed by W.K. Peasley of Los Angeles, owner of the Hampshire Kennels, against the refusal of the Oakland Kennel Club to deliver to appellant a trophy offered by John Tait for the best Champion, as published in the premium list of the said club. It was stated and not contradicted, that the trophy was awarded in the ring to appellant’s foxterrier dog, Champion Wandee Knight, No. 262 in the catalogue. The defendant claimed that the said dog had no right to compete in the ring, the trophy having been really intended for the best dog entered in the “Champion Class”, and that the word “CLASS”, and that the word “CLASS” was omitted from the premium list and catalogue through inadvertence. The defendant further claimed that the appellant knew this, and was taking advantage of a technically to obtain the cup, he having omitted to enter
In the “Champion Class” through oversight of his handler. The defendant further stated that the judge, James Mortimer, had scratched out the number of appellant’s dog, “262; in the judge’s book and had substituted therefore No. 162, the number of the reserve dog, Champion Greystone Breadalbane, owned by O.J. Albee. The defendant was represented by the president, G.W. Ellery and the secretary W.E. Chute.

Defendant made the argument, that the context in the premium list and the “Champion Class” opened farther on should have caused appellant to know that his dog was not eligible to compete for the trophy, as it was not entered in the “Champion Class”.

After consideration of the evidence, it was MOVED and seconded, that whereas a club is responsible for its errors, in the same way as an exhibitor, according to rule XIV. Of Section 6 of By-laws, and whereas the premium list issued by the defendant club placed no restriction on the trophy offered for best Champion, therefore Be it Resolved, that the Oakland Kennel Club be and hereby is ordered to deliver to W.K. Peasley, the cup awarded at the late Oakland Dog Show for best Champion to the Appellant’s Fox Terrier, Champion Wandee Knight, No. 262 in the Oakland catalogue, and that said
delivery must be made within sixty days from March 21st the closing day of said show, in
default whereof, that said club be suspended until the delivery is made, and due notice
thereof furnished to this committee. CARRIED.

SOUTHWESTERN KENNEL CLUB vs. J.W. BROOKS:

Misconduct.

The appellant club had suspended the defendant for having refused to take his
dogs into the ring to compete for a special prize, which action the defendant justified by
the statement that he had removed a puppy from the show after it was judged, which
broke up his team, the said prize being a trophy for the best team of Boston terriers. A
further reason for the suspension was the action of the defendant in having made
remarks derogatory to the character and reflecting on the honesty and integrity of the
judge, James Mortimer.

The defendant appeared, and the Southwestern Kennel Club was represented
by J.J. Morris, chairman of the bench show committee.

From the affidavits furnished, it appeared that the defendant had been neaten in
several classes and that he had become very excited, and had made remarks to
members of the Bench Show Committee on others, that “the Judge had thrown him
down, but that he would fix him”; “that unless the judge put matters right at Oakland, he
(Brooks)
would spend a thousand dollars to publish him over the country,” and other speeches of like kind.

The defendant, on being called on to furnish evidence in his defence, in addition to the affidavits already filed, which did not bear on this particular charge, said that he felt great regret to having made any such statements, that he desired to retract any and all reflections on the honesty and integrity of the judge, James Mortimer, that he had only made such statements in the excitement of the moment, when laboring under the disappointment of seeing his dogs beaten, and that he desired to offer to the Committee, the judge and Club his unqualified apology for having been guilty of the misconduct charged.

Mr. J.J. Morris, representing the appellant club, made a plea for leniency for the defendant.

It was Moved and seconded, that Whereas the refusal to take in a team of dogs to compete for a special prize did not constitute an offense punishable with suspension, and whereas the defendant acknowledged that he had been guilty of conduct prejudicial to the best interests of dogs and dog shows in making remarks reflecting on the honesty and integrity of the judge, James Mortimer, and whereas he has expressed
his willingness to file with this Committee such apology as may be deemed suitable by it, Therefore be it Resolved, that the offense committed is punishable by suspension, and that such suspension shall be and is lifted, when the defendant shall have filed with the secretary of this Committee such apology to the parties concerned as may be deemed acceptable by the secretary of this Committee. CARRIED.

SOUTHWESTERN KENNEL CLUB vs. BENJAMIN BLOSSOM

Misconduct

The defendant had been suspended by the appellant club for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of Dogs and Dog Shows, in that he had forcibly removed his dogs form the late Dog Show held by the Southwestern Kennel Club at Los Angeles before the close of the show, contrary to the expressed wish of the superintendent and bench show committee of said show.

It appeared from the complaint filed by the club, that the defendant had been requested by the doorkeeper not to take his dog, Bill Sykes IV., Bulldog, from the show, and that he had told the doorkeeper to go to the devil and had refused him to give his name.

In defense, the defendant alleged unsanitary conditions prevailing at the show, danger of fire caused by smoking by officials, visitors and attendants and lack
Of management, including frequent changes in the location of the dogs.

In reply, the club filed affidavits showing that the benching for which it had contracted failed to arrive, owing to wash-outs on the railroad, that it had used extraordinary means to provide its own benching, that it had succeeded in providing the same in a remarkably short space of time, that the conditions were not unsanitary, and that the defendant did not remove his dog until after it had been judged.

J.J. Morris, chairman of the bench show committee, represented the appellant club.

It was Moved and seconded, that Whereas the Southwestern Kennel Club was disappointed in not receiving the benching for which it had contracted by reason of wash-outs and other circumstances over which it had no control, and whereas the club had no knowledge of this disappointment until the morning of the opening of the show, and whereas the club used every effort to meet the unforeseen contingency, and proof thereof has been furnished, Benjamin Blossom, was not justified in removing his dogs form the show, prior to the close thereof, contrary to the rules by the Club provided and to the wishes of the superintendent.
and the Bench Show Committee, and that the said Benjamin Blossom be and hereby is suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club for the period of six months, dating from the closing day of the show of the Southwestern Kennel Club at Los Angeles, March 9, 1907. CARRIED.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned.

ATTEST:     J.P. Norman,
Secretary."

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and is as follows:

STUD BOOK COMMITTEE’S REPORT

Referring to the resolution adopted at the March meeting, “That names of States, Territories, Cities, and Colleges and Universities shall not be granted as kennel names, prefixes or affixes,” we think same should be amended to read “Cities of importance” etc.

The Committee would request instructions as to cases wherein applications for Kennel Names are filed by more than one applicant, and wherever one or more of the applicants already have a registered kennel name, whether or not in such case the name applied for should be granted to the applicants.
J.J. Dutra has preferred charges against A.S. Foutes regarding the pedigree of a Boston Terrier, Minnie II, stating that the dam of the this Terrier, Minnie II, stating that the dam of this Terrier, was bred to a Spaniel before having been mated to a Boston Terrier, but as yet the complainant has not submitted any proof of the fact stated, and the Committee think that the matter should be held in abeyance until further proof is submitted.

In the matter of the Greyhound Melody II, l02227. T.W. Bartels has filed a protest in which he states” that I was the owner of Mac’s Melody on June 11, 1905, when she has a litter of puppies by Lord Bluff. I neither gave nor sold any of the bitch puppies of this litter to any one. This litter was whelped outside of Denver and it was afterwards said that there was a black and white bitch puppy obtained in some manner from the litter without my sanction. When Mr. Cox was about to purchase a white and black bitch purported to be from Mac’s Melody I notified him in person that if there was such a puppy that it has been stolen from me, and that I would protest his registering or entering in any event such a greyhounds as being from Mac’s Melody.

The applicant Mr. Cox claims that the pedigree is correct, but he can have no personal knowledge of the
subject because he purchased same from A.S. Carter, who is given as the breeder and who states, “that I never owned this animal. A man by the name of William Schutz of Denver, who worked for me at that time, owned her and had me bring her down to Denver and trade her for a Pointer bitch.” That the question of pedigree being exclusively in the knowledge of Mr. Bartels, the Committee think full credence should be given to his statement regarding same. There does not appear any evidence of fraud affecting Mr. Cox or Mr. Carter. Carter however obtained the pedigree from one Schutz and we think therefore Mr. Carter should establish this fact and put us in communication with Mr. Schutz, and we recommend that the Secretary be instructed to communicate with him to this end. For the above stated reasons the registration of the dog should be cancelled.

The Committee has in hand several other cases but regret they are unable to report on them at present as some parties and witnesses have not responded to requests fro information necessary to a proper termination of the matters. The Committee expect replies very shortly when they will immediately report on same.

The word Aberdeen was not allowed, for the reason that it conflicts with the breed of that name.
In the matter of the Kennel Name Roydon, the same is disallowed on account of conflicting with the word Royban. In deciding between these two names, the Committee allowed Royvan on account of the application having been received prior to Roydon.

The word Sheepshead Bay, is not allowed for the reason that it conflicts with the Sheepshead Bay Kennel Club a member.

We recommend that the following names be allowed registered as kennel names, as the applications are in proper order and the names do not conflict with any already registered also that the following transfers be allowed:

APPLICATIONS FOR KENNEL NAMES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Gazette Issue</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anfield</td>
<td>Feb. 28</td>
<td>A.C. Armbruster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnciffe</td>
<td>July 15</td>
<td>E.C. Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashland</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>Elliott P. Hinds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balgowire</td>
<td>Mar. 31</td>
<td>Robert Sedgwick, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball Salla</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>J.H. Blackwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banzai</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>H.W. Cassady</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beechmont</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>L.R. Myers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Nevis</td>
<td>Apl. 30</td>
<td>Mrs. A. Stinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellew</td>
<td>July 15</td>
<td>W.B. Bailey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Ridge</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>Royal Koch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Rock</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>R.S. Wieneke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonidee</td>
<td>Apl 15</td>
<td>J.W. Coon &amp; T.D. Haskins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnie Oaks</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>J.W. Ormsby, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreas</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>F.N. &amp; R.W.K. Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Gazette Issue</td>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradwadine</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>L.O. Jack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromford</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>S.H. Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burness</td>
<td>Feb. 28</td>
<td>B.L. Winslow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimes</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Mrs. Ellen Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Apl 15</td>
<td>Helen T. Pearson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claiborne</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. H.D. Whitefield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluny</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>W.G. Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coatbridge</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Charles R. Uebelmesser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockcrift</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>F.H. McConnell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Gill</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>A. &amp; H. Hine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>J.E. Chamberlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogwood</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Wallace Snow &amp; A.E. Wright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dork</td>
<td>July 15</td>
<td>Fred L. Goodman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldorado</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>Harvey S. Ladew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsinore</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>K.G. Smith &amp; E.F. Groff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Famabella</td>
<td>Apr 15</td>
<td>John Gallagher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferndale</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>J.J. Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frisco</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>W.V.N. Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganargua</td>
<td>Apr 30</td>
<td>A.E. Quaife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Echo</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>R.H. Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gowanda</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>J.E. Carroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prize</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Mrs. A. Van Heusen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graustark</td>
<td>Apl 15</td>
<td>M.W. Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Acre</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>David Wark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazlehead</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>C.H. Catherwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heatherbell</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>John Gallagher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Wild</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Chas. Hess., Arthur &amp; William Vonderheide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinderhook</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>L.E. Furtwangler &amp; J.P. Kilgore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kintore</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. W.E. Blake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Dell</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>R.W. Palmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazeland</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Freeman Ford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lockleigh</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>J.A. Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludlow</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>W.C. Hoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvern</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>Miss M.U. Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manor</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Wm. Sheppard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mashomoquet</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>F.G. Goodrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxine</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>Herman Hoster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melville</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>M.H. Hudson, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mignon</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>R.W. Harvey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montecito</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>J.M. Taft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morland</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>J.W. Burrell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanus</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>C.F. Winslow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Gazette Issue</td>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Port</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>R.R. Streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Park</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>R.L. Strickler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomeria</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>Miss Lila Ives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomfield</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>Miss M.F. Sturges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragnar</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>C.L. Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rexall</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>C.F. Jean, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rip Van Winkle</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>David Ford &amp; Dr. D.L. Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosebourne</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>J.P.H. Willmuth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royvan</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>R.V. Hunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Town</td>
<td>Apl 15</td>
<td>C.L. Amos &amp; J.G. Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scioto</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>Dr. &amp; Mrs. J. Mc I. Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma Nu</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. R.P. Higgins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvercloud</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>E.H. Guy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Mountain</td>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>W.F. Patterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strathearn</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>E.F. Watson &amp; Thos. Iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strathspey</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>A.B. Baylis &amp; G.M. Dexter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnybrae</td>
<td>Apl 15</td>
<td>Sunnybrae Collie Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonka</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>M.H. Amsden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Blue</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>W.D. White &amp; A.B. White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-to-Date</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>Arthur S. Zell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violet</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>E.W. Oakley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wee Wah</td>
<td>Apl 30</td>
<td>F.F. Carey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Hall</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>R.G. Whittemore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Star</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>Mrs. N.M. Dodd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willowrow</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>J.G. Terrill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wonderland</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Miss Jennie Crocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming Valley</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>E.B. Chase &amp; H.M. Posten</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TRANSFERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Essex</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>“ Mr. &amp; Mrs C.A. Grummon, Lathrop Hedge ”</td>
<td>“ C.A. Grummon, Mr. &amp; Mrs. Lathrop Hedge “</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossmore</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>“ Mr. &amp; Mrs. J. Phelan, ”</td>
<td>“ Mrs. Gertrude Ross, ”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MR. MORTIMER: I would like to know why the prefix “Aberdeen” was refused.

THE SECRETARY: Because there is an “Aberdeen” terrier.

MR. MORTIMER: No; that name has long ago gone into disuse, and that breed is now known as Scottish terrier. I fail to see why that should be a sufficient reason for not allowing the prefix “Aberdeen”.

MR. VITI: We thought it conflicted with the Aberdeen terrier. Moreover it is the name of a town, and there was a resolution passed at the last meeting provided that the name of a city should not be given.

MR. MOORE: I move that the report of the Stud Book Committee be accepted and the recommendations therein contained be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There are two questions which they ask that they want decided at this meeting. First, to amend a recent resolution that where the word “cities” is used, it should be amended to read “cities of importance.”

MR. MOORE: That was included in my motion to adopt the recommendations of the Stud Book Committee.

THE SECRETARY: They do not recommend; they ask for action on that.

THE CHAIRMAN: That should be taken up under the
head of general business.

MR. VITI: We found there were so many small cities of different names, and that there was a tendency on the part of exhibitors to use the names of small cities without knowing them to be such, it would be necessary to have an atlas at hand to look up all these names, and there might be some city of that name somewhere. We thought for that reason they ought to be large or important cities or well known cities. We simply ask for instructions.

MR. MORTIMER: I move that the recommendation of the Stud Book Committee be adopted in that regard, that the word “cities” be amended to read “cities of importance.”

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The committee requests instructions as to cases wherein applications for kennel names are filed by more than one applicant, and wherever one or more of the applicants already have a registered kennel name, whether or not in such cases the name applied for should be granted to the applicants. In other words, the committee means, there is a rule that a man having had a kennel name registered, but where two men each have a kennel name, and they form a co-partnership, and they apply for another kennel name under the co-partnership, whether that shall be allowed.
MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think if it was not one of the same breed that one of the previous applicants has applied for, it should be allowed.

MR. MORTIMER: I do not see any objection to allowing a partnership to have a kennel name, even if the partners have an individual kennel name of their own, so long as the dogs are owned by the partnership.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think if it was not one of the same breed that one of the previous applicants has applied for, it should be allowed.

MR. MORTIMER: I do not see any objection to allowing a partnership to have a kennel name, even if the partners have an individual kennel name of their own, so long as the dogs are owned by the partnership.

MR. APPLETON: Suppose one of the partners dies, the partnership goes on, and one man would have two names.

MR. MOORE: I think this is out of order. We can adopt the recommendations as a whole at this time, but we cannot go out of the order of business.

THE CHAIRMAN: We can act on the report.

MR. MORTIMER: I cannot see any objection to a partnership having a kennel name. I have the kennel name “Suffolk’ registered. Mr. Greer has a kennel name brookside”. If we chose to form a partnership why could not we take another kennel name to be used by us for dogs that are owned by us as a firm?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Under such a plan Mr. Mortimer might form a partnership with somebody else, and they can go on ad infinitum and have a dozen or more prefixes.

MR. THOMAS: Mr. Peters and I have a kennel and we formed a partnership and exhibited for some two years un-
der a separate kennel name. We had, wherever we exhibited, anywhere from 8 to 15
dogs in a show, and materially benefited a show and had a great deal of sport out of it
and it did no harm. The kennel no longer exists, but that is a tangible example.

THE SECRETARY: The committee brought up that instance that Mr. Thomas
mentioned, and they felt that they possibly had been approving of a registration of a
name that they ought not to have approved, but to make sure they ask for instructions on
that point from this meeting.

MR. THOMAS: I move that the committee be instructed to report on this matter
favorably, that is, to grant a co-partnership kennel name.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think we are doing a foolish act if we adopt that. It will
tend to endless confusion. The two gentlemen who have claimed that prefix do not show
that breed any longer. They have their own breed, and they show under their own prefix.

MR. MORTIMER: As I understand it, there is no rule which prevents the two
gentlemen whose names have been mentioned, that is, Mr. Peters and Mr. Thomas, from
showing dogs under the name of the Windy Valley Kennel, if they choose to.

MR. VITI: We simply want to know what is going to happen hereafter. We want
to know for future guidance
what we are going to do hereafter. There is a rule of the American Kennel Club that holds that no one shall have more than one name. We want to know when applications are made hereafter what is to be done.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Does not that rule prevent that practically?

THE SECRETARY: No, it does not, although it can act that way.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: If one of the partnership partners died, then one man has two kennel names. That would not be allowed, and therefore the partnership name would have to be cancelled.

THE SECRETARY: For example, you and I are in partnership, and I die. A part of your money was contributed to joint kennel name. you are the surviving partner. We have no right to cancel that name. Therefore, you would have two kennel names, would you not?
MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: Would not your name on your death become void?

THE SECRETARY: My name would become void, but the registration would not become void.

MR. MOORE: Take the case of the Presto Kennels. After Dr. Mott’s death the kennel name was sold to a party in New Jersey, and we approved of the transfer.

THE SECRETARY: The case of Mr. Tilford’s kennel was argued here at length, and it was decided that that was an asset of Mr. Tilford’s estate, and no one could use the name; that it was still in force, and that the only way the name could be used by another person would be to have the application for the transfer made by the executor of the estate. That was decided here by this club.

THE CHAIRMAN: How does that affect the partnership?

THE SECRETARY: The same way.

THE CHAIRMAN: You mean that the heirs of the deceased partner would have an interest in that kennel name?

THE SECRETARY: A one-half interest in that kennel name, yes.

MR. THOMAS: Would it be satisfactory if this was
worded so that at the death or cessation of such partnership the name should lapse?

THE CHAIRMAN: I would like to have this matter referred back to the Stud Book Committee in order that we might have more information about it.

Mr. Thomas’ motion was then put and lost.

MR. EDSON: At this point I move that we suspend the regular order of business and proceed with the election of the different clubs. I am speaking for the clubs that have deposited their money for membership, and also deposited their checks claiming dates. The Fairfield County Kennel Club deposited its check for $10 for membership on March 11th.

MR. MOORE: I arise to a point of order.

MR. EDSON: After that rule has taken effect I suppose it will keep us from electing these different clubs as members under the old rule. They formed their clubs; they have deposited their money with the American Kennel Club during March and April. Then the new rules comes out of the June meeting, and they are held back.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, waiting for the report of the Rules Committee. It was so voted that they should vote at this meeting. I do not see the necessity of going out of the order of business to elect these clubs.
MR. EDSON: If that report is adopted by the meeting, the Fairfield County Kennel Club will have to come under that ruling, whereas if we suspend now and elect them members of the American Kennel Club under the old ruling, that will not apply to their membership, and they will become full bone fide members. I move that we suspend the regular order of business.

MR. MOORE: I arise to a point of order.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is your point?

MR. MOORE: That what Mr. Edson is saying is out of order.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not rule that he is out of order now.

MR. EDSON: I would like to say a few things here. The membership of the Fairfield County Kennel Club is 63 –

THE CHAIRMAN: The question is whether you move to suspend the regular order of business and take up this business out of its regular order.

MR. EDSON: I do move that we suspend the regular order of business and take up the election of these clubs.

Motion seconded and lost.

The report of the Committee of Rules was then read, and follows:
“RULES GOVERNING DOG SHOWS.”

Add to Rule II the following:

“Field Champion – Foxhounds. The dog winning the highest general average in any one year at the trials of the Brunswick Foxhound Club, or winning the highest general averages twice at any other trials of clubs, members of the American Kennel Club, shall be entitled to be recorded as a Field Champion.

Beagles – Classes when divided by height, must be divided as follows, and in no other way – Over 13 inches, and not exceeding 15 inches – 13 inches and under – 12 inches and under (optional with Club holding trials).

The members to be rated as follows:
National Beagle Club of America.......................................................... 4 points
New England Beagle Club................................................................. 3 “
Central Beagle Club........................................................................... 3 “
Keystone Beagle Club....................................................................... 2 “
Southern Beagle Club....................................................................... 1 “

Open class wins only to be counted.

RULES GOVERNING CLUBS.

Amend Rule III by Adding Section 2

“Any Club not a member of the American Kennel Club
may be licensed to hold a show under the American Kennel Club rules upon the payment of ten dollars ($10.00) for such license, the same to accompany the application. All other conditions which now govern members shall apply to such licensed shows. Licenses if approved by the Membership Committee within ten days after same have been filed, must be issued by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club.”

Amend Rule XIII by adding:
“No special prize can be withdrawn or the conditions thereof changed after same has been published in the Premium List.”

Amend Rule XXVII by adding:
“Penalty for Non-compliance, five dollars ($5.00).”

Make new rule XI as follows:
“Any Club which accepts an entry fee other than that published in its Premium List, or in any way discriminates between exhibitors, shall upon proof of such discriminations be suspended.”

Change present rule XI to XXIX, inclusive to XII to XXX Inclusive.

Edward Brooks, Chairman Rules Committee.

MR. EDSON: I move that we suspend the order of business once more.

THE CHAIRMAN: The next business in order is to ac-
cept or not accept this report.

MR. EDSON: If that is accepted that will rule out the Fairfield County Kennel Club. We are lost if that is passed.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move it be laid on the table and taken up first under the head of new business.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the first amendment relating to field champions.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that it be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the proposed amendment relating to beagles.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I wish to offer an amendment to that, being a minority member of the Rules Committee, not considering that the propose amendment as read is a fair proposition at all. I wish to submit this, which is in my opinion fair:

Points to entitle a beagle to claim field championship title: Any bona fide starters in Open and Derby Classes at any public field trial affiliated with the American Kennel Club to be graded in points as follows: 75 entries and over, 5 points; 60 entries and under 75, 4 points; 45 entries and under 60, 3 points; 30 entries and under 45, 2 points; under 30, 1 point. 10 points are necessary to qualify for
title of champion under following conditions: One win must be secured at a trial which is rated at 3 points or over, and points must be won under at least two different judges or set of judges at separate trials.

Amendment seconded.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: The proposition of the majority of the Rules Committee means plain and simple class rulings. If the proposed ruling goes through, they can sit on a rocking chair and do nothing and have the four points just the same. This is not fair sport. If there is a club of as many entries, and has as good a trial as the National, it ought to be entitled to the same credit. We do not want to make a class rule here and have to throw it over when another club has as many entries. Let us make the entries once for all.

THE SECRETARY: Do you mean to add your amendment to the classification of under and over inches, or do you mean to cut that out?

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Only field trial champions.

THE SECRETARY: It is to be divided by height as follows-

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Only to the title, to the points

MR. APPLETON: I am a member of the committee that recommended this. Our object was to give something based on the last ten years, and that scale was based on that. We wanted to get something through. We did not
think it possible to get a proper arrangement according to the number of starters, but in talking it over with the other gentlemen, as a member of the National Beagle Club, I am willing to agree to this amendment of Mr. Muss-Arnolt, provided the number of starters including the Derby, which I not an open class –

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I accept that. I did not make a distinction by the entries in the open class.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt's proposed amendment re-read.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think that is a little vague.

MR. MORTIMER: Suppose that at the National Beagle trials of this year, we will say, a dog wins under two judges, and that dog wins again the following year, and the same two judges are appointed. Will that count?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. APPLETON: I move to amend that by striking out that part of the recommendation referring to the same judges.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Yes, I accepted that.

The proposed amendment, as amended, was then read as follows:

Beagles: Classes when divided by height, must be divided as follows, and in no other way: Over 13 inches, and not exceeding 15 inches – 13 inches and under – 12 inches and under (optional with club holding trial).
Points to entitle a beagle to claim field championship title: Any bona fide starters, in open and Derby, at any public field trial affiliated with the American Kennel Club to be graded in points as follows: 75 entries and over, 5 points; 60 entries and under 75, 4 points; 45 entries and under 60, 3 points; 30 entries and under 45, 2 points; under 30, 1 point. 10 points are necessary to qualify for title of champion under following conditions, only open class wins to count. One win must be received at a trial which is graded at 3 points or over.

   Adopted.

   THE SECRETARY (Reading): Amend Rule III by adding Section 2.

   “Any club not a member of the American Kennel Club may be licensed to hold a show under the American Kennel Club rules upon the payment of ten dollars ($10.00) for such license, the same to accompany the application. All other conditions which now govern members shall apply to such licensed shows. Licensed if approved by the Membership Committee within ten days after same have been filed, must be issued by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club.”

   MR. EDSON: I would offer an amendment to that, to read: “That all clubs having requested membership in the American Kennel Club prior to August 1, 1907, be admit-
ted to membership to the American Kennel Club under the old ruling.

MR. MORTIMER: Do I understand that this new rule prevents any admissions to the American Kennel Club?

MR. EDSON: It does as it stands.

MR. MOORE: Not at all. A licensed club has a right to apply for full membership at any and at all times.

MR. EDSON: You are making it a great hardship to the clubs that were organized.

MR. MOORE: I do not understand that. We allow them to hold their show.

MR. EDSON: The Fairfield County Kennel Club for membership, and applied for dates on March 11th and April 24th. We hold receipts for those dates. There was no such rule as this in force, and it was not even considered, and we organized our club thinking we could properly become members under those old conditions. That being the case, and the American Kennel Club desires to act properly I think, they should admit these under the old ruling as we requested to become members prior to any thought of this new rule coming up. We wish to become regular members. We are trying to promote dog interests. We have at the present time 63 members. I am perfectly willing to submit that list or anything else.
MR. THOMAS: I appeal to the gentlemen here in the name of the Simsbury Kennel Club for an equal footing with every other kennel club here, and I ask for the admittance of that club on the same basis as every other club. The club has been in existence three years. This is the third time it will have given a show. It has 45 members, and it will be the third A.K.C. Club, if it is admitted as an A.K.C. Club in the State of Connecticut now in active work. The Fairfield County Kennel Club will be the fourth. It you think there are too many clubs in the State of Connecticut helping on the work of the American Kennel Club, I am perfectly willing to step aside and take a license, or anything you like, but I appeal to you as sportsmen to let those clubs in on an equal basis with every other club. It was organized and has given two shows; not A.K.C. shows, and at its last show there were nearly 200 dogs benched. Mr. Bates has exhibited there and Dr. DeMund and Mr. Edson has exhibited there for the last two years.

MR. STEVENSON: If I understand this question properly, up to the present time the only way that a dog show could be given under A.K.C. rules was for a club to become a member. Am I correct?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. STEVENSON: The question arose some time ago
suggested, I think, by Mr. Belmont, as to the advisability of limiting the membership of this club, and the matter was referred to a committee which made this report, and the effect of this report, as I understand it, if recommended and carried, is to provide for those who did not wish to form a club for the purpose of becoming members, but who wished to hold a show under A.K.C. rules, so that if this rule is passed a club need not become a member of the American Kennel Club to hold a show, but they may be licensed. I take it that the gentleman misinterprets the intention, and he interprets it to mean that if this rule was passed no other club can ever become a member of the American Kennel Club. If this rule is passed I think it is optional with the gentleman’s club whether they make application for membership, and being elected they could hold their show under AKC rules; so their standing cannot be affected in any manner, shape or form by the passage of this resolution. Their application for membership can be acted upon and they can be elected. If perchance they should not be elected, they can apply for a license.

MR. THOMAS: I would like to know under what circum-
stances if this rule goes into effect, discrimination will be made as to whether a show is a bona fide proposition working for dog interests, or whether it is a fake organization for political purposes. In other words, how will it be decided as to whether the thing is a bona fide proposition or not?

THE CHAIRMAN: I suppose the delegates will have to settle that.

MR VITI: I understand this rule exactly as Mr. Stevenson has stated it. It does not preclude any club that has made application for active membership by a vote of the delegates here being elected, provided of course the application has been on file a proper length of time; they may be elected either to active membership or as a licenses member. If we adopt the rule then the motions that have been made by Mr. Edson and Mr. Thomas could be acted upon as to whether or not those clubs, for the reasons that they have stated, shall be elected to active membership commencing today, or license clubs.

MR. EDSON: If that is the case, and one way accomplishes it as well as another, why not do it now?

MR. VITI: Because I do not think you can suspend this business. It is not germane to the report of the Rules Committee, and would be constitutional. It is not germane to the amendments proposed by the Rules Com-
mittee, and you can only offer an amendment that is germane to the original amendment suggested by the Rules Committee.

DR. DE MUND: Why is it then that these clubs that had their applications in before the last meeting were not admitted an usual at that time? Why was a new rule suggested and the clubs kept out? It has worked a great deal of hardship to the Fairfield County Kennel Club. Why could not they have been admitted at the last meeting as usual?

THE CHAIRMAN: Were you at the meeting when the delegates voted to do this?
DR. DE MUND: No, but I think it is rather a humiliating spectacle.

THE CHAIRMAN: Were you at that meeting?
DR. DE MUND: No, I was not at the meeting.

MR. STEVENSON: I move that the recommendation be adopted.
MR. THOMAS: I represent a bona fide proposition, and I am perfectly willing to leave it to the gentlemen present as to whether my club should be a full fledged member of the AKC.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is not the question. The question is whether this is a proper rule to pass.

Motion to adopt amendment seconded and carried.
THE SECRETARY (Reading): Amend rule XIII by adding, “No special prize can be withdrawn or the conditions thereof changed after same has been published in the Premium List.”

DR. DE MUND: I move that it be adopted.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY (Reading): Amend Rule XVII by adding: “Penalty for non-compliance, five dollars ($5.00).”

That amendment was brought in pursuant to instructions of the delegates. The rules provides at present that the size of the catalogues shall not exceed a certain dimension, and shall not be less than a certain dimension, but there was no penalty fixed when they violated that rule, and we found that some of the clubs were violating the rule by making the dimensions of their catalogues anything they pleased, and we now fix a penalty of five dollars.

Moved and seconded that the proposed amendment be adopted.
Carried.

THE SECRETARY (Reading): Make new Rule XI as follows: “Any club which accepts as entry fee other than that published in its premium list, or in any way discriminates between exhibitors, shall upon proof of such discrimination be suspended.”
The object of that rule is this: That any one of you gentlemen paying three dollars for the privilege of competing for a prize at any show must know as a fact that a professional handler cannot go to that show and allow that show to pay him a rebate of one dollar, or a dollar and a half or two dollars in order to send twenty or thirty entries, and he competing against you, paying one-third of entry fee that you paid.

On motion, duly seconded, said proposed amendment was adopted.

THE SECRETARY (Reading): Change present rule XI to XXIX inclusive to XII to XXX inclusive. That is owing to making new Rule XI.

On motion, duly seconded, the same was adopted.

MR. MORTIMER: I should like to make a motion as to the time when that should go into effect; that is, the changing of the rule; the additions that have been made and the rules that have been changed, that some day be fixed when those rules should go into effect. I have all my rules and premium list in print. All the preliminary copy for the premium list I have already sent to the printer, and no doubt it is in type by this time. Must I alter those rules?

THE SECRETARY: No. Make the amendments acted upon today to take effect September 1st.
MR. MORTIMER: I move that they go into effect the 1st day of September.

THE SECRETARY: That will not affect any show that files its classification prior to September 1st.

MR. MOORE: It goes into effect today?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, September

MR. MOORE: How can you approve of any show until September 1st.

THE SECRETARY: Approve them under the present rule.

MR. MOORE: How are you going to license shows? I move that the amendments to the rules governing the holding of shows go into effect immediately, and the other amendments go into effect on September 1st.

MR. STEVENSON: I move to amend that, that all rules go into effect immediately except the rule governing the discrimination of shows, and that to take effect September 1st.

THE SECRETARY: I think the easiest way to get over this is that the amendments adopted today, with the exception of that under which shows can be licensed, shall go into effect on September 1st; that the amendment covering the licensing of shows to go into effect upon its passage. That will cover the whole thing.

MR. MOORE: I accept that correction to my motion.

Seconded and carried.
THE SECRETARY: The Deseret Agricultural & Manufacturing Society, by act of the Legislature, was changed to that of the Utah State Fair Association, and it asks that the change be noted on our records.

On motion the request was granted and the change directed to be noted on the record.

On motion the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements, from April 25th to July 23rd, amounting to $40.10, was ordered paid.

The matter of the suspension by the Revere Kennel Club of ten exhibitors for removing their dogs from its show without permission was, on motion of Mr. Muss-Arnolt, referred to the Executive Board.

THE SECRETARY: I have nothing else to present for your action except the application of the clubs that were laid upon the table at the last meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: If it is in order we will take that up now.

THE SECRETARY: The earliest application is December 10, 1906, of the Western Beagle Club. That application was held up since the time of its reception for the reason that the Western Beagle Club failed to file the consent of the National Beagle Club. It finally filed that consent April 30th last.

The Western Beagle Club was then balloted for and
elected.

MR. MOORE: I move that the applications for the Simsbury Kennel Club and the Fairfield County Kennel Club be taken up for election at this time. I claim that everything else is out of order. I ask that those two be voted upon.

MR. VITI: I second the motion.

Motion carried.

In view of the appeal made on behalf of the Fairfield County Kennel Club, the Simsbury Kennel Club and the Bloodhound Club of America, the applications of such clubs were then voted upon and they were duly elected.

Present:
Hildreth K. Bloodgood,
Marcel A. Viti,
James W. Appleton,
William B. Emery,
Monson Morris,
Dwight Moore,
Rowland P. Keasbey,
J. H. Brookfield,
Winthrop Rutherfurd,
B. S. Smith,
Chetwood Smith,
C. F. R. Drake,
John G. Bates.

In the absence of the President and Vice President Mr. William B. Emery was selected as temporary chairman.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were adopted as published in the Gazette.

The secretary read the credentials of clubs appointing delegates as follows:
Chesapeake Kennel Club, Mr. S. T. Peters.
English Setter Club, Mr. Charles M. Bruce.
Hartford Show Association, Mr. William L. Davis.
Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association, Mr. Clarence Sackett.
North Shore Kennel Club, Mr. William J. Green.
District of Columbia Kennel Club, Mr. Howe Totten.
Welsh Terrier Club, Mr. P. B. Lord, Jr.
Bloodhound Club of America, Dr. Louis G. Knox.
Bergen County Kennel Club, Mr. William H. Carroll.
Asbury Park Kennel Club, Mr. Joseph M. Dale.
Westminster Kennel Club, Mr. William Rauch.

All approved by the Committee.

Anthracite Kennel Club, Mr. R. E. Westlake; not approved by the Committee, he having been a paid judge.

Western Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association, James H. Andrews, M. D.; no report by the committee.

Philadelphia Collie Club, Dr. J. Richard Dalling; no report by the committee.

Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania, Mr. S. S. Leslie, Jr; no report by the committee.

Methuen Kennel Club, Mr. Willis N. Kimbal; no report by the committee because he is already a delegate and has not been withdrawn.

Genesee County Kennel Club, Mr. Charles W. Gardner; no report by the committee.

Toy Spaniel Club, Mr. H. Lee Anstey; No report by the committee.

Long Island Kennel Club, Mr. John S. Collins; no report by the committee,
American Pomeranian Club, Mr. Henry D. Riley; not approved by the committee, he being the secretary of a show giving club.

MR. MOORE: I move that we proceed to the election of those delegates approved by the committee:

Motion seconded and carried.

The following named delegates were then elected to represent the following named clubs:

Chesapeake Kennel Club   S.T. Peters
English Setter Club       Charles M. Bruce
Hartford Show Association William L. Davis
Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association Clarence Sackett
North Shore Kennel Club   William J. Green
District of Columbia Kennel Club Howe Totten
Welsh Terrier Club        F.B. Lord, Jr.
Bloodhound Club of America Dr. Louis G. Knox
Bergen County Kennel Club  William H. Carroll
Asbury Park Kennel Club    Joseph M. Dale
Westminster Kennel Club    William Rauch

At this point Mr. Emery resigned the chair to Mr. H.K. Bloodgood.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club (Inc.)
Gentlemen:

I have the honor to present this my regular quarterly, report.

Three applications for membership and twenty-one credential certificates have been referred to the Membership Committee, and a report from same will be submitted at this meeting for your consideration. All matters under the jurisdiction of the Stud Book Committee have been referred, and a report covering the applications for kennel names having been received since the last meeting will be presented to you.

I beg to report that the Newport Dog Show has tendered its resignation to take effect at once. This club being in good standing I recommend the acceptance of this resignation.

The following complaints have been filed:

Mrs. L. L. Millar vs. Peoples’ Poultry and Pet Stock Ass.

M. S. Heald vs. Revere Kennel Club.

G. F. Eggert vs. Westminster Kennel Club.

F. E. Smith vs. Wolverine Kennel Club.

John E. White vs. Dr. James 0. Lacaillade,

The above cases with the exception of the last mentioned are claims for unpaid prizes, the latter being for misconduct in connection with dogs.

I beg to report that since the new rule governing licenses went into effect the Membership, Committee has approved of eight applications, and I have accordingly issued li-
to the following clubs: Louisville Collie Club, Rutland Kennel Club, Chester County Agricultural Association, Greenfield Country Club, Collie Club of the State Agricultural Society, Fort Worth Pet Stock Association, Illinois Valley Kennel Club, Sullivan County Kennel Club and Cleveland Fanciers Club Company.

In connection with the license question I respectfully request a ruling upon the following points:

First: Shall a license be granted to a Specialty Club there being a club for the same breed already an active member.

Second: In case a Specialty Club can be licensed, what should be the rating of such a show.

A rule exists by which a Specialty Club cannot become a member unless it files with its application the consent and approval of the older member for the same breed. This possibly might be construed to cover the license question, but there is nothing whatever in the rule under which your Secretary can assure the responsibility of deciding that point, and for that reason the above ruling is asked for.

I mailed final bills to all Associate Subscribers in arrears for subscriptions for 1907 on September 5th, and would request the usual resolution that such persons in arrears at the expiration of the thirty days from the mailing of said bills shall be dropped without further notice,

I would respectfully call your attention to the fact
that there are two vacancies in this Board, one in the class to serve two years, and one in the class to serve three years. These vacancies were laid over at the last meeting.

Since writing the above report I beg to state that I have received from the Wolverine K. C. an affidavit setting forth that they have just paid all outstanding claims in full for prize money due exhibitors at the late show.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was read, and is as follows:

New York, Sept. 19, 1907.

To the Directors

Of the American Kennel Club (Inc.)

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my financial report to date.

Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1907, 19,922.56
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date, 15,865.33
Total, 35,787.89

Disbursements from Jan. to date, 17,284.58

Balance on hand, 18,503.31
Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh, Treasurer.
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Viti, Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, reported that said committee had approved the applications for the following kennel names, as they do not conflict with any already registered:

Aberdare Philip Boyer and W. A. Flagg.
Amberston J. B. Clancy and N. L. Clancy.
Beaufresne Gardner Cassatt.
Beechview Charles Biesel.
Brandwood Philip J. Brand.
Bruce Mrs. F. L. Schubert.
Cayuta A. L. Thompson.
Elorer J. E. Webster.
Fernbank O. B. Fleming.
Gabilan Mrs. Thomas Murphy.
Harman's Mrs. G. Harman-Shelley.
La Sonrisa R. C. Halstead.
Lincroft W. A. Winter.
Longhill A. B. Dalby and M. Echverria
Majestic L. M. Chaney.
Mirasol C. C. Curtis.
Newry Jos. A. McAlleenan,
Old Home Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Capwell,
Phiro     F.A. Fisher
Richwoods Walter Mc Roberts.
Rockley    Mrs. W. W. Vaughn.
Rhuddlan   Edward C. Kirk
Tanglewood John K. W. Loyd.
Thornwood  G. A. Clark.
Shadywood  F. A. Rowsell,
Southwark  F. F. Gray,
Sherbourne John Doherty.
Transfer. From F. H. McConnell to F. M. Jowett.

MR. MOORE: I move that the recommendations of the Stud Book Committee be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The complaints that have been filed are to be referred to the Executive Board. There are five.

MR. MOORE: I move they be referred to the Executive Board.

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I ask for a ruling. Shall a license be granted to a Specialty Club there being a club for the same breed already an active member? The rule today is that there being an active member of a Specialty Club, no other Specialty Club of the same breed can be admitted to membership
unless it files with its application the written consent and approval of the original club.

   THE CHAIR:    It is practically the same thing.

   THE SECRETARY:     It is practically the same thing if it is so decided.    The inference is that it is the same thing.

   THE CHAIR:    This is a question of license, and to become a member the applicant must have the consent of the specialty club.

   MR. MOORE:    But only to become a member.    Why should they not hold a show ?

   THE CHAIR :    Does not a license accomplish it in the same way ?

   MR. MOORE :    No, because they are only licensed to hold a show, and if a sufficient number of men form a specialty club, and hold a show, and are willing to take the one point, I do not see why they should not hold a show.

   MR. VITI :    It seems to me that it is a question of standard, because there is no guaranty that the specialty club—I do not care what the rating may be—-would abide by the same standard of weights and measures as the original club, and you have four or five different standards in different parts of the country.

   MR. MOORE :    I move that no licenses be granted to specialty clubs unless they are regular members of the American Kennel Club, or receive the approval of the specialty club of same breed now a member of the American Kennel Club.
THE SECRETARY: That would not be advisable because a regular member is entitled to hold a show. I suppose you mean by your resolution that a license shall not be granted to specialty club where a club exhibiting the same breed is on our roll of membership.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Suppose a parent club is in the east and the specialty club applying for a license is out west, and the specialty club is perfectly willing and anxious to abide by the standard and rules of the parent club, and all they desired to do was to give a show, why should they not have a license to hold a show? Would it not be well to ascertain if they are willing to abide by the proper standard, and if they understand that their existence is contingent upon their following a proper standard for that breed, that then they can be permitted to hold a show, if it does not clash with the original club,

MR. SMITH: It seems to me that the whole matter could be covered by granting the other specialty club a license providing the parent club is willing for them to have a license.

THE CHAIR: It is a question of the American Kennel Club protecting its members.

MR. APPLETON: I move that licenses be granted to specialty club subject to the written approval of the specialty club of the same breed now a member of the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.
THE SECRETARY: The second question is, In case a specialty club can be licensed, what should be the rating of such a show? A member holding a show rates at four points.

MR. VITI: I do not see how you can change that. The rules in regard to the rating of other licensed shows certainly apply, and I do not see how you can suspend those relating to specialty clubs and not suspend those relating to ordinary show clubs. I think they are licensed they should be licensed to have the same privileges as the rules allow to specialty club members. I do not see how you can possibly get away from that. Of course you can change your rules if you so desire.

MR. MOORE: If they are granted four points would the shows held in the west or in the middle west be represent active of the breed to entitle them to four points towards a championship?

THE CHAIR: Probably it would not, but it is a question of the rules.

MR. MOORE: The question is, is specialty club unless it is a member of the American Kennel Club? What constitutes a specialty club in this country?

THE CHAIR: I suppose it is a specialty club if you license it as a specialty club.

MR. MOORE: Then we recognize it.

MR. RUTHERFORD: What is the rule of rating at ordinary shows?

THE SECRETARY: At general shows the minimum of one
point, and then it is determined on the number of actual dogs that the catalogue shows have been entered. On the Pacific coast there is a different rating, but every specialty club now is guaranteed four points.

MR. BATES: Would they get a point more out there on their specialty shows?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. RUTHERFURD: This is a licensed specialty club?

MR. VITI: It is a licensed specialty club, but it can only be licensed to hold shows under the rules of the American Kennel Club.

MR. RUTHERFORD: They have got to hold their shows under the rules, but as regards their rating I think it would be amply covered if you included in the motion the condition that the rating is to be determined by the parent club.

MR. MOORE: All we can do to-day is to interpret the rules.

THIS SECRETARY: You have just determined that an application for a license to a specialty club may be granted if with that application is filed the approval of the original club member. That is settled. Now another question comes up. The parent specialty club cannot determine for us what the rating of that licensed show shall be.

MR. RUTHERFURD: But they might suggest it.

MR. MOORE: The Membership Committee has power to take care of that.
THE SECRETARY: They cannot determine on the rating.

MR. MDORE: Then it will be a four point rating.

MR. VITI: Rule III says that all other conditions which now govern members shall apply to such licensed shows. "What are licensed shows? Clubs. It does not say specialty clubs. It means show club and specialty club.

MR. MOORE: I think we can resolve that it is the sense of this meeting that no club can be recognized as a specialty club which is not a member of the American Kennel Club. Then we deny their applications right at the start.

THE SECRETARY: But you have just passed a resolution that they can be licensed.

MR. WORE: We can rescind that, that former resolution which we passed which in a sense recognizes specialty clubs that do not belong to the American Kennel Club, which is contrary to our rules.

THE SECRETARY: My opinion is that if we have a specialty club member in good standing we ought not to license a show for the same breed of dogs.

MR. MORE: That was my idea originally.

MR. MORRIS: That is in the hands of the parent club.

THE SECRETARY: I think the Membership Committee would rather have some instructions in regard to it, and not be left to act upon its own responsibility.

MR. MOORE: I move that it is the sense of this meeting that if licenses are granted to specialty clubs, the
same rating applies as to a member of the American Kennel Club in accordance with Rule III.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRET AST : The Newport Dog Show tenders its resignation to take effect at once.

MR. SMITH : I move that the resignation be accepted with regret.

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY : Final bills to associate subscribers in arrears were mailed on September 5th, and I would ask for the passage of the usual resolution that all such persons that may be in arrears on October 5th shall be dropped from the roll without further notice.

MR. MOORE : I make such a motion. Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY : There are two vacancies on this Board, one to serve in the three year class, and the other to serve in the two year class.

MR. SMITH : I nominate Mr. Joseph 1, Dale to fill the vacancy in the three year division. I think something should be said in regard to Mr. Dale, at this meeting. I think if the American Kennel Club ever had a faithful servant and a man who was interested in its affairs, it is Mr. Joseph M. Dale. Through a miserable fraud he was virtually ousted from this club, and I think if there is any delegate who is worthy of a place on this Board, who really deserves it, that man is
Mr. Joseph M. Dale.

Mr. Viti: I nominate Mr. William Rauch, of the Westminster Kennel Club to fill the vacancy in the two year division.

Mr. Dale was elected by a vote of nine to one.

Mr. Rauch was elected unanimously.

The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS
OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 1907.

Vice President H. H. Hunnewell presiding.

Present:

Associate Members,

American Fox Terrier Club,
American Spaniel Club,
Asbury Park Kennel Club,
Bergen County Kennel Club,
Bloodhound Club of America,
Cedarhurst Kennel Club,
Champlain Kennel Club,
Collie Club of America,
East Liverpool Kennel Club,
Haverhill Kennel Club,
Irish Terrier Club,
Ladies Kennel Association,
Mascoutah Kennel Club,
New England Beagle Club,
New England Kennel Club,
Rockland County Industrial Asso.,
Russian Wolfhound Club,
San Francisco Kennel Club,
San Mateo Kennel Club,
Scottish Terrier Club,
Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Asso.,
Sheephead Bay Kennel Club,
Spaniel Breeders’ Society,
The Ladies’ Kennel Association of America,
Welsh Terrier Club of America,
Westchester Kennel Club,

W. G. Rockefeller
Dwight Moore.
B. S. Smith.
H. H. Hunnewell.
H. K. Bloodgood.
Joseph M. Dale.
William H. Carroll.
Dr. Louis G. Khox.
John G. Bates.
Abram D. Gillette.
R. S. Edson.
F. F. Coite.
Mark A. Knipe.
Singleton Van Schaick.
Edward Brooks.
C. F. R. Drake.
Chetwood Smith.
W. B. Emery.
Monson Morris.
Dr. J. B. DeMund.
R. P. Keasbey.
Howard Willets.
George Lauder, Jr.
Clarence Sackett.
F. J. Trown.
Marcel A. Viti.
James Mortimer.
Lord, Jr.
George Greer.
The Secretary read Ms regular quarterly report as follows:

New York, November 26, 1907.

To the American Kennel Club (Inc.)

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the Pacific Advisory Committee has filed a copy of the minutes of its meeting held November 12th, 1907, and will present same at this meeting for your consideration.

The Stud Book Committee recommends the granting of 34 applications for kennel names, and ten applications for transfer of registered kennel names.

The following charges have been filed: Oct. 10, 1907, Charles S. Shand vs. C. A. Armstrong; Oct. 16, 1907, E. M. Meek vs. Daniel O'Keefe; Nov. 19, 1907, Silver Lake Kennels vs. Rutland Kennel Club. These matters will be referred to the Executive Committee.

I have a communication from the Bull Terrier Club of America, dated Nov. 13, 1907, informing me that the check sent from this office on Nov. 12th for a return of the deposit fee of $25.00, had through an accident been burned, and requests a duplicate check. I took immediate steps to stop the payment of this check, and now ask your authority to issue a duplicate check for said amount.

The Pacific Advisory Committee has presented a bill for disbursements from August 1st to Nov. 18th amounting to $61.85, and would recommend the payment of the same.
The bills to clubs for the annual dues for 1$08 will be mailed on the 30th instant, and I now ask for instructions whether I shall accept dues tendered after Jan. 1st. The Constitution prescribes that clubs that have failed to pay their dues on or before Jan. 1st shall forfeit all right to representation. The question arose one year ago whether the secretary had the right to accept dues tendered after Jan. 1st.

I will present two communications, one from the Long Island Kennel Club and one from R. F. Mayhew.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was read as follows:

New York, Nov. 26, 1907. To the American Kennel Club (Inc.) Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my financial report for the year 1907 to date:

Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1907, 19,922.56
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date, 19,531.94
Total, 39,454.50
Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date, 20,895.76
Balance on hand, $18,558.74.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer.
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I present the minutes of the meeting the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Board be spread upon the minutes of this meeting and published in the Gazette in the usual manner.

Motion seconded and carried.

The minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee are as follows:

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE A.K.C.
at 1196 McAlister Street, San Francisco, Nov. 12, 1907.
Present: Chas. K. Harley, Chairman; H. H. Carlton, Dr. W. P. Burnham, W. W. Stettheimer and J. P. Norman.

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting were approved as published in the Gazette.

The application of the Western Fox Terrier Breeders Association was presented by the Secretary. The applicant having complied with all requirements, including an undertaking to conform to the standard as adopted by the parent specialty club, it was

Moved and seconded that the Western Fox Terrier Breeders Association be and hereby is elected to membership in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

The application of the California Cocker Club for membership was presented by the secretary. The applicant having complied with all requirements, including an undertaking to conform to the standard adopted by the parent specialty club,
Moved and seconded that the California Cocker Club be and hereby is elected to membership in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

By direction of the chair the secretary was called to read a statement published in a New York Kennel paper over the alleged signature of the "Ashland Committee." The chair called for an expression of opinion and a substantive motion, and it was

Moved and seconded, that whereas there appears in the issue of Field and Fancy, dated Nov. 2nd, 1907, over the alleged signature of the "Ashland Committee" the following statement:

"we can tell you the facts in the case of Dr. Andrew, the delegate appointed by the Western Bull Terrier Breeders' Association. This club did not choose to accept a man whose name had been sent to Norman, secretary to the Pacific Advisory Board, by Vredenburgh and suggested to them by Norman. When informed of what had been put up to this club, the Bull Terrier Club told them that it was a foregone conclusion, that if they did not accept Vredenburgh's man, they would not get any other man past the committee. They replied that they did not care, that they had no use for any kind of a delegate except one who would represent them."

and whereas, this Committee has determined by such investigation as is so far possible that there is no vestige of truth in the statement as published, Therefore be it
Resolved, that the officials of the Western Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association be and hereby are requested to appear before this Committee at its next meeting to be held on Tuesday, the tenth day of December, for the purpose of adding further testimony in this matter. Carried.

PABST VS. STOCKTON KENNEL CLUB: MISCONDUCT IN CONNECTION WITH DOG SHOWS.

The complaint recited that the appellant, H. M. Pabst of Portland, Oregon, had mailed to the Stockton Kennel Club on October 1st the date of the closing of entries for the Stockton show, an envelope containing the entries of appellant's Pointer Mason's King, and of the Fox Terrier Multnomah Blue, belonging to W. B. Fechheimer. The envelope had been misdirected to Stockton, Wash, and did not arrive at Stockton, Cal. until the 11th October, after the catalogue had been printed. Under instructions from the Chairman of the Bench Show Committee the secretary of the club wired the appellant refusing the entries, but on receipt of another telegram from appellant, stating that entries had been mailed before date of closing, wired accepting the entries, having been advised by telephone by the secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, that such acceptance or rejection was at the discretion of the club. The pointer arrived at noon of the opening day of the show, together with the fox terrier. Both were admitted and benched, but the pointer was not allowed to compete, the chairman of the bench show committee having instructed the judge to refuse to judge the dog.
Mr. Fechheimer, the representative of the appellant, protested, but the bench show committee claimed to have overruled the protest verbally.

In view of the fact that no evidence was submitted by the club showing that the entries were not actually mailed before the date of closing, and that the secretary of the club telegraphed the appellant that his entries would be accepted, it was

Moved and seconded, that whereas there is no evidence before this Committee to show that the appellant's entry was not mailed before the closing of entries for the show, and whereas the secretary of the Stockton Kennel club wired the appellant that his entry would be accepted, Therefore be it

Resolved, that the appeal be and hereby is sustained, and that the Stockton Kennel Club was grossly in error in refusing to allow appellant's Pointer to be judged and is hereby censured for such refusal; and it is further

Resolved, that the following items of account claimed by the appellant be not allowed for the reasons stated, viz:

- Expense at dog show, necessity not shown, $5.00
- Telegrams incurred through appellant's misdirection of letter, .77
- Half expense of representative, Mr. Fechheimer, Not necessary, as the club advised that it would take due care of dogs sent by express, 50.00

And it is further

Resolved, that the following items of appellant's account tie and hereby are allowed, and that the Stockton Kennel Club be hereby is ordered to pay the following amounts within ten
days from date into the hands of this committee, viz:

Entrance fee, $2.00
Deposit for Protest, $5.00
Expressage to and from Stockton, $13.95

Total, $20.95

and that the same together with appellant's deposit to this Committee shall be duly paid to him on surrender of the express company's receipt for the charges paid. Carried.

NIEBORGER VS. STOCKTON KENNEL CLUB: Misconduct in connection with dog show.

The appellant, George A. Nieborger, complains that the Stockton Kennel Club refused to allow his brace of Cocker Spaniels to compete for a trophy offered in the premium list "For the best Brace of Cocker Spaniels", on the ground that the said brace had not been entered in a class provided for braces, and that he had filed a protest and a deposit of $5.00 in connection with said refusal, and had received no decision in writing as provided by the rule, or otherwise. Appellant further charged:

2'. That the superintendent was permitted to show dogs in the ring contrary to the rules.

3'. That his dogs and a number of others were not examined by a veterinary surgeon prior to being benched or during the progress of the show. Appellant claims damages in the sum of $50.00.

On suggestion of the Committee appellant agreed to allow the representative of the club, R. H. Groves, treasurer, to
recite verbally the decision of the Bench Show committee, which the secretary had been instructed to convey to appellant in writing and had not so done. Said decision was the overruled of the appellant's protest. Evidence was produced by the defendant showing that the veterinarian of the club had been in attendance and had examined all dogs daily before and during the progress of the show. After hearing witnesses on either side it was

Moved and seconded, that whereas the premium list of the Stockton Kennel Club contained the offer of a trophy for the best brace of Cocker Spaniels, and it was shown that the appellant's brace was entitled to compete, inasmuch as no mention was made in said offer of any restriction in the nature of an obligatory entry in a brace class, Therefore be it

Resolved that appellant was entitled to compete in such class and the decision of the bench show committee of the Stockton Kennel Club is hereby overruled and the said club ordered to return to defendant within ten days from the date the deposit of $5.00, and it is further resolved that the award of the said cup for best brace is hereby cancelled, and the holder, W. H. MacKay, is hereby ordered to return the said cup to the Stockton Kennel Club within ten days from date or be then suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club, and the Stockton Kennel club is hereby censured for refusing to allow appellant's dogs to be judged in the ring by the judge of the show then present. And it is further resolved that the charge No. 2 of appellant be
dismissed.
And it is further resolved that the charge No. 3 of appellant be dismissed, and that
appellant's deposit be returned to him in the regular course.
Carried.

HANSING V. LAURIN : Charge of fraud and misrepresentation.

F. L. Hansing, of Salt Lake City, charges that Joseph A. Laurin, of Montreal, did
not conform to contract in the matter of a purchase of an Airedale Terrier bitch supposed to
be in whelp.

There being no reply from defendant, and the registration receipt not having been
returned to the secretary, it was Moved and seconded that the case be postponed to the
next meeting, December 10th, 1907. Carried.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned on motion to Tuesday,
December 10th, 1907.

ATTEST:

J. P. Norman,
Secretary.

THE SECRETARY : The list of names claimed for kennels approved by
the Stud Book Committee, is as follows:

Ailsie Craig
Anjak,
Arslan,
Birkdale,
Byoak,
Misses II. K. Scofield and F.E. Kennedy.
S. J. Stevens.
William J. Roe.
Ernest Kennedy.
J. P. Hoquet
Choctaw, Fred Graham.

Corporation, J. H. Andrews, J. W. Britton 2d, A. P. Northridge

Deermont, Mrs. E. I. Hall.


Green Mountain, C. A. Carlisle.

Illahee, Edwin T. Chase.

Imna, Miss M. Bullocke.

Kapawack, Mrs. M. E. Morrisey.

Kenmare, W. P. Walcott.

Killearn, Alfred B. Maclay.

Laurelworth, A. G. Aldrich.

Lindale, H. H. Harvey.

TorneBrook, S. Herbert Mapes.

Osage, J. P. Lane.

Overcross, Mrs. Haley Fiske.

Peek-a-Boo, Harry Ungerer.

Peelsborough, W. H. C. Pillsbury.

Petite, Mrs. John von Blond.

Pico, Mrs. Richmond Gordon.

Popular, John C. Rayment.

Regal, R. G. C. McMeekin.

Roelofs, Mrs. Arthur Roelofs.

Shady Brook, Fred R. Stephenson.

Tarleton, W. T. Wisner 2d.

Tipo, Mrs. Meyer Cohen.

Toro, Mrs. M. A. Driscoll.

Wauregan, John White.

White Lodge,
Windfall, 
Yankee Doodle, 

J. Lytton
M.F. Mulcahy

TRANSFERS

Alstead, from Miss M. Bullocke to Mrs. C.M. Hunt
Carmen, " T.E. L Kemp " to Mrs. T.E.L. Kemp
Goldfield " Willis M. Waddell " to F.B. Waddell &
W.M. Waddell
Lazeland, " Freeman A. Ford " to Mrs. Freeman A. Ford
Montez, " J. P. Norman " to C.W. Minor & D.A.
Oakmount, " Albert F. Mount " to Mrs. Albert F. Mount
Ravencote, " W. S. Gartner " to John W. Gartner
Temple, " Mr. & Mrs. W. H. C. Pillsbury " to Mrs. W.H.C. Pillsbury
Thistlemere, " W. S. & L. W. Gartner " to Louis W. Gartner
Walesscott, " Herbert Lloyd " to Francis G. Lloyd &
D. Herbert Lloyd
On motion the report was accepted and kennel names granted.

On motion the treasurer was authorized to pay the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee amounting to $61.85.

On motion the charges filed in the matters of Shand vs. Armstrong, Meek vs. O’Keefe and Silver Lake Kennels vs. Rutland Kennel Club were referred to the Executive Committee.

The secretary was, on motion, empowered to send a duplicate check for $25. to the Bull Terrier Club of America to replace a check for the same amount burned through accident.

THE SECRETARY: I ask for instructions whether I
shall accept dues from clubs tendered after January 1st. As I read the rule it is mandatory in one part of it, which is that the club ceases to have representation if the dues are not paid on or before January 1st. I take it that if I accept those dues tendered after January 1st by my individual act I re-instate representation. The additional part of the rule is that if dues are not paid on or before January 1st the club may be suspended or dropped. Last year of my own volition I declined to accept dues that were tendered after January 1st, and at a subsequent meeting there was a resolution adopted by which I was directed to accept those dues as of a certain date.

MR. MORTIMER: What was customary previous to January 1st?

THE SECRETARY: Previous to the incorporation I accepted the dues -whenever they were paid.

MR. MOORE: There was a resolution passed at the last February meeting touching upon that.

THE SECRETARY: There was on that individual case,

MR. MORRIS*: What is the use of having a rule if we do not live up to it? The rule is plain enough.

THE SECRETARY: All the bills will go out on Saturday, the 30th, and on the bottom of each bill is this clause of the constitution. The clubs then have 30 days within which to pay their dues according to the constitution. I want definite instruction as to whether or not I shall accept dues tendered after January 1st.

MR. MORTIMER: The rule says you must not accept
THE SECRETARY: The rule says that they shall cease to have representation if their dues are not paid on or before January 1st. If I accept them when tendered after that date do I not reinstate the representation of a club that the constitution has disfranchised?

MR. MOOEE: The secretary need not accept them in writing. He can say that the check has been received and it will be placed before the next meeting of the club.

THE SECRETARY: The rule says they may be suspended or dropped.

MR. LORD: Therefore it is necessary for them to act; and if the secretary takes that check it does not become dues until the club acts.

THE SECRETARY: Does not the secretary reinstate their representation by accepting it?

MR. LORD: I do not think it necessarily does from the wording of the constitution.

THE CHAIR: It seems to me that the proper way is if those dues are not paid on or before the first of January the secretary report that to the club, and the club can decide as to whether they shall be suspended or not. They might have a very good reason for not sending in their dues in time. It would be a question of appeal then I should think.

MR. B. S. SMITH: I move that the secretary be instructed not to accept any dues tendered after January 1st.

Motion seconded.
THE SECRETARY: All I ask is the club's direction. If the association tells me to accept dues whenever they are tendered, that is all I want. If any club should send dues post marked January 1st I would of course accept it just as I would an entry to a show.

MR. MOORE: It is very unusual for people to look at the post mark on a communication to see if it was mailed on time, and for that reason if the dues are payable on January 1st, and we give them ten or fifteen days grace, I do not see why that would not be proper. I offer an amendment to the motion giving them ten days after January 1st in which to pay their dues.

MR. B. S. SMITH: I think by passing that amendment you do away with the rule, I think all the secretary wants to know is whether he shall accept these dues after January 1st or not for the reason that last year he was censured for not having done so in several cases.

MR. LORD: If the dues are accepted, it makes no difference if the club is not in good standing, but if a club has been so late in paying its bills that the delegates think it a good thing to suspend that club, they can do it even after the check has been accepted, under the wording of the clause. But if, as Mr. Smith says, the secretary has been censured for not having lived up to this rule strictly, I take back what I say. I do not see why it is not perfectly proper to let those checks come in and accept them, and return the checks or return the money if the Kennel club shall decide that the club shall
not be re-instated. The club can be dropped or it can lose its representation.

THE SECRETARY: It must lose its representation.

THE CHAIR: Your print is, Mr. Lord, that the Secretary’s action is not binding on the club?

MR. LORD: I do not think the Secretary’s is binding on the club.

MR. MORTIMER: I offer an amendment to the amendment that 30 days grace be allowed to clubs in arrears.

MR. MOORE: I am willing to make it 30 days if necessary, but I think ten days is sufficient. Last year the secretary was not criticized unless he was criticized for not using his discretion. He could not use his discretion in the matter. Now he wants to know just what he shall do. Last year he rejected the checks, and then we had to extend the time, I think, 60 days.

THE SECRETARY: At the annual meeting they accepted every amount that had been tendered up to that time as of an earlier date.

MR. MOORE: Therefore if you were to fix a date now it would be perfectly satisfactory, I do not accept the amendment. I think ten days is sufficient.

MR. MORRIS: Is not this matter absolutely settled by the constitution?

THE CHAIR: I do not think the Secretary has anything to do with it in this case. It is for the delegates to drop them or not as they please. As I understand it, the fact that
the Secretary receives a check is not binding on the club in any way.

   THE SECRETARY : I have to send a receipt for the check if I accept it.

   MR. B. S. SMITH: I think the secretary asked the question whether he shall live up to the rule laid down or not this year. I move that the secretary be instructed to live up to the rule.

   THE CHAIR: If you are ready we will vote on the second amendment first.

   MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: It has notably to do with dropping the club. It is simply the re-instatement of that representation.

   THE CHAIR: That is all. You have heard the motion. The second amendment is that clubs have 30 days after the first of January.

   MR. BLOODGOOD: I don't think we have any right to do that. I don't think we have the power to do that.

   MR. MORTIMER: I withdraw my amendment, as to 30 days.

   THE SECRETARY: You can only amend articles to the constitution in February.

   MR. WILLETS: There is nothing in the constitution about whether you accept the check or not. If a club does not pay its dues before the first of January it ceases to be represented.

   THE CHAIR: Accepting the check up to the 31st of
January will not do this at all.

MR. VITI: It seems to me you would only be re-instating the representation. They do not lose their membership. It simply says that they shall not be represented while the dues are not paid.

THE CHAIR: This motion for the secretary's guidance does not change the article in any way. They lose their representation if the check comes after the first of January whether he accents it or whether he does not. I cannot see that we are amending the constitution in any way. We could not amend the constitution at this meeting. If it could be pointed out to me that we were amending the constitution in any way I should rule the motion out of order.

MR. MOORE: You are making a motion concerning something that has not occurred. You cannot legislate for something that is going to happen.

THE CHAIR: All legislation is for the future.

MR. BROOKS: Are you not extending their representation 30 days?

THE CHAIR: No, by no means. We simply tell the secretary he can accept the check. It empowers the secretary to keep the check here.

MR. BROOKS: So long as you hold the check they have the right to send a delegate here.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: It seems to me that if the bills go out on Saturday they have 30 days notice, but as the printing on the bills is not particularly conspicuous, I should think it
would be wise for the secretary to enclose an additional circular stating in large type that clubs would waive their right to representation unless their dues are paid by January 1st. I think after that it would, be proper for the secretary, after January 1st, to accept checks, but in receipting his bills stating it is in payment of dues, and that the right to representation has been forfeited.

MR. MOORE: If that is put in the form of an amendment I will withdraw my amendment.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I would make that as a motion, substituting it for the other motion.

MR. MORTIMER: Before that motion is put I would like to ask how long it is going to take to get those circulars out.

THE SECRETARY: I can have them printed so that they would go out Saturday with the bills.

Motion second and carried.

The Secretary read the following communication from the secretary of the Long Island Kennel Club;

Oct. 14, 1907,

American Kennel Club,

55 Liberty Street,

New York City.

Gentlemen:
The following copy of a resolution unanimously passed at the last regular monthly meeting of the Long Island Kennel Club held in Brooklyn on October 1, 1907, is transmitted to you in according with the instruction therein contained:

Resolved: That the Secretary be instructed to call the attention of the American Kennel Club to the fact that no action appears to have been taken on the credentials of the club's delegate to the American Kennel Club presented in the early months of the present year; and that as a consequence the Long Island Kennel Club, one of the largest showing giving
org. in the US in point of membership, has now bee without proper representation for nearly a year.

Resolved further: That in the absence of any official or other intimation that the delegate elected to represent the club is not in good standing or is unacceptable for any reason, the Secretary be instructed to request definite action on the credentials in question, and official notice of such action at the earliest possible date, to the end that the exact status of the Long Island Kennel Club as a member of the AKC may be determined.

Respectfully submitted,
John H. Dorian
Secretary

MR. MORRIS: I move the communication be laid on the table.

THE SECRETARY: The credentials of the Long Island Kennel Club were presented to the Board of Directors at the meeting in Feb, the day following the annual meeting, and I think that he received one white vote. They were notified to that effect that he was not accepted, and they then filed another set of credentials for the same gentleman, and the Membership Committee, at the August meeting, the following meeting, reported that in its judgment of any delegate owning to the domestic condition of affairs in the Kennel Club, and there the matter rests.

THE CHAIR: Then their present credentials are before the Membership Committee at the moment?

THE SECRETARY: At the next meeting the Membership Committee will have to report for or against; that is, if they report on any of the credentials of any delegate owning to the domestic condition of affairs in the Kennel Club, and there the matter rests.

THE CHAIR: Then their present credentials are before the Membership Committee at the moment?

THE SECRETARY: At the next meeting the Membership Committee will have to report for or against; that is, if they report on any of the credentials.

THE CHAIR: What has been written to them in answer to this?

THE SECRETARY: That I would present the matter to
DR. DEMUND: I would like to ask why the Membership Committee could report upon other credentials if they could not report on the credentials of the Long Island Kennel Club.

The Secretary then read the part of the rules bearding on this subject.

DR. DEMUND: Was such a notice ever sent to the Long Island Kennel Club or to its delegate, by the Membership Committee?

MR. EMERY: I do not think that those credentials have come before the committee since last Feb. when, if I remember correctly, they were passed over to the meeting.

THE SECRETARY: It was approved by you?

MR. EMERY: Yes, but the action of the meeting blackballed the candidate. So far as the Membership Committee was concerned, that was passed to the meeting and approved.

DR. DEMUND: I should say that the candidate never came up before the meeting; he merely came up before a few of the directors.

THE CHAIR: is the application before the Comm now?

THE SECRETARY: Yes. There are a number before the club now, by they cannot be acted upon.

THE CHAIR: Do I understand you to say that no credentials have come in since then?

MR. EMERY: No additional ones.

DR. DEMUND: Did not the secretary just say that
credentials have since been filed with the Membership Committee?

THE SECRETARY: There are ten credentials there. His is among them.

DR. DEMUND: Did not the secretary just announce that they had been before the Membership Comm, and they said they preferred not to report upon them?

THE SECRETARY: At the August meeting, and it is a part of the minutes.

DR. DEMUND: I ask the Membership Committee if they have not been before it.

MR. EMERY: They have not been before the Committee because they have not been signed.

THE SECRETARY: The Membership Committee refused to sign any.

DR. DEMUND: That is what we are asking, why they do not report upon them.

MR. EMERY: The Membership Committee decided that just at present it is not wise to approve or disapprove of any of the credentials of delegates until the atmosphere is cleared so far as the domestic trouble of the club is concerned.

DR. DEMUND: Then why did they discriminate in approving some credentials and not others? They say one minute they do not care to pass upon any. Then why do they do they pass on some and not on all?

THE CHAIR: That is your expression of opinion.

DR. DEMUND: No; the secretary said they have passed on some and not on others.
MR. MOORE: I move that the matter be referred to the Membership Committee.

DR. DEMUND: It has been before them. The letter asks why some action has not been taken.

MR. MOORE: I move that this letter from the Long Island Kennel Club be referred to the Membership Comm.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There is one more communication written by Mr. R. F. Mayhew on the same subject, as the rep. of the Pomeranian Club, that his credentials had been rejected, and he asks me to publish the letter in the Gazette. I told him I was willing to do that, but I would refer it to the meeting.

Communication referred to and reply read.

   DR. DEMUND: I move that Mr. Mayhew's letter be published in the Gazette.
   Motion not seconded.

   MR. MOORE: I move that that letter be laid upon the table. It is entirely out of order. It is from an individual and not from a club.
   MR. BROOKS: I second the motion.
   Motion carried.

   MR. MORRIS: I move that the Rules Comm take up the subject of increasing the championship points, increasing the rating of a show necessary to qualify for a championship.
   Motion seconded and lost.

On motion the meeting then adjourned subject to the call of the chair.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB (INC.)
HELD AT ITS OFFICE, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, WEDNESDAY,
DECEMBER 18, 1907

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell, in the Chair

Present:

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES: W. G. Rockefeller,
Dwight Moore,
B. S. Smith,
A. G. Hooley,
J. W. Berg,
W. Rutherfurd

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA William Barclay
AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF NEW YORK, J.H. Brookfield
AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB HH. Hunnewell
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB H.K. Bllodgood
ASBURY PARK KENNEL CLUB Joseph Dale
ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
BERGEN COUNTY KENNEL CLUB William H. Carroll
BLOODHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA Dr. Louis G. Knox
BROCKTON DISTRICT KENNEL CLUB Dr. W.H. Brownwell
BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA W.C. Codman
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB, John G. Bates
CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB, Abram D. Gillette
COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA , R. S. Edson,
Dalmatian Club of America, J. B. Thomas, Jr.
IRISH SETTER CLUB Lawrence M. D. McGuire
IRISH TERRIER Singleton Van Schaick,
LAWRENCE KENNEL & FANCIERS CLUB Robert D. Murray
MASOUTAH KENNEL CLUB, C. F. R. Drake
NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB, Jas W. Appleton
NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB Chetwood Smith
NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB W. B. Emery
REVERE KENNE CLUB Samuel R. Cutler
ROCKLAND COUNTY INDUST ASSO Monson Morris
RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB, Dr. J.E. DeMund
SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB, R. P. Keasbey
SCOTTISH DEERHOUND CLUB Albion L. Page
SEATTLE DOG FANCIERS’ ASSO. Clarence Sackett
SHEEPSHEAD BAY KENNEL CLUB F. J. Trown,
SPANIEL BREEDERS’ SOCIETY Marcel A. Viti,
THE LADIES KENNEL ASSOCIATION James Mortimer
OF AMERICA,
WELSH TERRIER CLUB Franklin Lord, Jr.
WESTMINSTER KENNEL CLUB William Rauch
WESTCHESTER KENNEL CLUB, George Greer
WISSAHICKON KENNEL CLUB R.H. Johnson, Jr.
THE CHAIR: As I understand, there is only one thing before us today, and that is an agreement that has been drawn up by the counsel for the Ashland House Comm. and the counsel for the American Kennel Club. This agreement was voted on by the directors and unanimously carried. The memorandum will not be read.

Mr. Taylor read the memorandum as follows:

Whereas, suit has been brought by the members of the Ashland House Comm. attacking the validity of the corporate existence of the club and praying for the appointment of a receiver and for judgment directing the Incorporation Club to turn over its assets, etc., to the old unincorporated club; and

Whereas, the defense of said suit would entail great expense to the club; and

Whereas, the matters in controversy can be settled once and for all by the club and this render further prosecution of said suit unnecessary and relieve the club of the expenses attendant thereon;

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved that a committee of thirty be appointed and elected as follows:

Messrs. Frederick H. Osgood, John E. DeMund, Clair Foster, Lawrence M.D. McGuire and Henry Jarrett appointed by the Ashland House Comm;

Messrs. Wm G. Rockefeller, Dwight Moore, Howard Willets, B.S. Smith and Marcel A. Viti, appointed by the
President of the club, all of whom have signified their willingness to act on said comm...

Twenty members of said committee are to be elected by a mail vote of all of the members of this club, and of the members of the American Kennel Club Unincorporated, as they existed on the 19th day of November, 1906. The present delegate of the associate subscribers shall each be entitled to one vote for each of said twenty members of said committee. The vote of the a delegate of a member shall be considered and counted as the vote of the member he represents unless such member shall decide at a meeting thereof, regularly called and held, to vote itself for said twenty committeemen, and shall itself vote therefore by a ballot signed by it President and Voice President and Secretary, in which case the vote of such member shall be counted and that of its delegate shall be of no effect and disregarded.

A member of the club which was also a member of the American Kennel Club Unincorporated on the 19th day of Nov., 1906, shall have but one vote and shall not be entitled to an additional vote by reason of the fact that it was a member of both clubs, and any member of the American Kennel Club Unincorporated in good standing on the 19th day of Nov. 1906, shall be entitled to resigned from this club of the American Kennel Club Unincorporated subsequent to said date.

Members not having delegates may at a meeting thereof
regularly called and held vote for the said twenty committeemen and the ballot of such
class so voting shall be signed by its President or Vice President and Secretary.

Each member to be entitled to one vote for each of said twenty committeemen, to be cast by itself or its delegate as above provided, and the twenty names receiving the highest number of votes shall be and constitute twenty members of said committee.

Twenty names for said committee shall be proposed by the Board of Directors of this club and twenty names shall be proposed by the Ashland House Comm, any twenty of which may be elected by the members or their delegates, as above provided, as member of said comm., but the members or their delegates, vote as aforesaid, shall not be confined to the names as they may see fit as members of said committee.

The Secretary shall at once sane to each delegate to the club, and to each member of the club, and to each member and delegate of the American Kennel Club Unincorporated in good standing on the 19th day of Nov. 1906, a copy of these resolutions, and a list of the names proposed by the Board of Directors of the club and by the Ashland House Comm respectively, together with a request to such delegate or member to vote for twenty names for said comm., and to return his or its ballots in an envelope, to be sent therewith, addressed to him, and marked “Vote for Committee.”
All votes must be returned to the Secretary on or before January 21st, 1908, and shall be opened and counted by him and two tellers to be appointed by the ten members appointed by the Ashland House Comm. and by the President of the his club, as above provided, and in the presence of the attorney for this club and the attorney for the Ashland House Comm. Said notes to remain securely sealed and unopened and until said canvassing, which shall be on the 22nd day of Jan. 1908.

Such comm. shall organize as soon after Jan. 22nd, 1908, as practicable and elect a chairman and secretary. A majority of the comm.. shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Such committee shall have full power and authority to determine by a majority vote of the entire comm.. whether the club as it now stands is properly incorporated or whether it would be for the best interests of the club to re-incorporate, and if so upon what lines, and for that purpose take a mail vote so that a full vote of the committee may be assured.

Should such comm.. by such majority vote decide that the club should be re-incorporated, then in that event the comm.. shall have full power and authority to re-incorporate the club, adopt a constitution and select and elect a board of directors therefore by a majority vote of the entire comm.. which may be taken by mail, and generally to do everything requisite and necessary to be done to make such new incorporated club an organization prepared and competent to take over the
assets, effects, good will, name, etc. of the American Kennel Club.

MR. MORRIS: I move the adoption of the preambles and resolution.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I second the motion.

The resolution was unanimously carried.

Mr. Belmont then took the Chair.

THE CHAIR: I want to congratulate you, gentlemen. I have been informed that you have unanimously adopted these resolutions. That augurs the beginning of a very early end.

On motion the meeting then adjourned subject to the call of the chair.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB (INCORPORATE), HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55
LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 18TH, 1907

Mr. H.H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:

AUGUST BELMONT
HILDRETH K. BLOODGOOD
MARCEL A. VITI
JAMES W. APPLETON
WILLIAM G. ROCKEFELLER
WILLIAM B. EMERY
MONSON MORRIS
DWIGHT MOORE
ROWLAND P. KEASBEY
J. SERGEANT PRICE, JR.
J.H. BROOKFIELD
ABRAM D. GILLETTE
JOSEPH M. DALE
WINTHROP RUTHERFORD
SINGLETON VAN SCHAICK
B. S. SMITH
CHETWOOD SMITH
C.F.R. DRAKE
WILLIAM RAUCH
WILLIAM C. CODMAN
JAMES MORTIMER
JOHN G. BATES
MR. EMERY: The Membership Committee has ten credentials on file, a number of which are in proper form. The others simply lack one or two slight details which may be furnished in a day or two by mail. The Membership Committee is ready to approve the credentials which are in proper form if this meeting so desires.

MR. MOORE: How many are in proper form?

MR. EMERY: There are three in proper form. I might add that of the seven remaining two of the gentlemen named are already delegates, so I do not see how we can re-elect them.

THE CHAIR: Do you mean by that that they represent some other club now?

MR. EMERY: They represent clubs other than those for which they are now proposed.

THE CHAIR: Do I understand you to say that they are delegates at present?

MR. EMERY: They are delegates at present.

THE SECRETARY: As I understand it there are two delegates present who have been appointed to represent other clubs, and have not yet resigned from the clubs that they do now represent.

MR. EMERY: That is correct.

The following named gentlemen were then elected to represent the following named clubs.

Simsbury Kennel Club, Louis E. Stoddard.
Old English Sheep Dog Club of America    J.P. Welch
Toy Spaniel Club of America, H. Lee Anstey

THE CHAIR: What is the report of the Committee as to the other delegates?

MR. EMERY: We will have to lay those over until their credentials are received in proper form.

MR. MOORE: I move that those credentials be laid over until the next meeting.

MR. EMERY: We desire instructions to write to these various clubs asking for a reply to our letters within a specified time. Otherwise we cannot approve their credentials. It is only fair to some of the clubs that we should hear from them.

MR. VITI: I move that the request of the committee be granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

American Kennel Club (Inc.)

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee beg to report the granting of the following names as kennel names, prefixes and affixes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Champagne</td>
<td>William H. Hommel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mersham</td>
<td>Mrs. Carlo F. C. Clarke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovayonda</td>
<td>Mrs. Herbert Satterlee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penrose</td>
<td>Mrs. Brook Storts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thornbury</td>
<td>Robert Lobban</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and kennel names granted.

On motion of Mr. Rockefeller the Board went into executive session.
The President, Mr. August Belmont, then took the Chair.
The Board then arose.
The memorandum of terms for the adjustment of the questions at issue between the Ashland House Committee and the American Kennel Club (Inc.), having been recommended by Mr. R.D. Murray, attorney for the American Kennel Club, having been read to the meeting, on motion was unanimously adopted.

MR. VITI: I move in the event of the memorandum of terms which was just read being adopted by the quarterly meeting that the secretary be directed to request a mail vote from the Board of Directors for nominees for the ticket of twenty to be suggested by the President, and that the twenty names receiving the highest number of votes shall constitute that ticket.

Motion seconded and carried.
MR. APPLETON: In adopting rules some time ago covering field championships for fox hounds and beagles no provision was made for registering such champions or for the issuing of medals to field champions. Therefore I moved that the secretary be instructed to register fox hounds and beagles who are entitled to field champions as such, and to issue to them an American Kennel Club championship medal provided that the dogs are registered in the stud book of the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

Adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

WAS HELD AT THE OFFICES OF THE CLUB, NO. 55 LIBERTY STREET

NEW YORK CITY, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 1908

Vice President H. H. Hunnewell, presiding:

Present:

Associate Delegates

W. G. Rockefeller.

Dwight Moore.

B. S. Smith.

American Dachshund Club,

American Fox Terrier Club,

American Spaniel Club,

Bay State Co-Operative

W. J. Berg.

G. Muss-Arnolt.

H. H. Hunnewell.

Horace A. Belcher.

Bench Show Association

Cedarhurst Kennel Club,  John G. Bates.

Champlain Kennel Club,  Abram D. Gillette.

Chester Kennel Club,  A. Crozer Robinson

Irish Setter Club,  Lawrence M. D. McGuire


Lynn Kennel Club  E. L. Jones.

New England Beagle Club,  Chetwood Smith.


Rochester Kennel Club  C. H. Malleson.

Rockland County Industrial Asso.  Monson Morris.

Russian Wolfhound Club,  Dr. J. E. DeMund.

San Francisco Kennel Club,  R. P. Keasbey.

San Mateo Kennel Club,  Howard Willets.
MR. ROCKEFELLER: In view of the fact that the Committee of Thirty is unable to make any report at present, I think it would be well that present conditions should not be changed. The directors are going to have a meeting to take care of certain routine business, and I would therefore like to move that this meeting adjourn subject to the call of the Chair.

MR. MCGUIRE: I second the motion.

Carried.

A. P. Vredenburgh
DIRECTORS’ MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, INCORPORATED,

Held at the Offices of the Club, No. 55 Liberty Street,

New York City, Thursday, February 13, 1908.

Vice President H. H. Hunnewell, presiding.

Present:

Hollis H. Hunnewell,
Hildreth K. Bloodgood,
James W. Appleton,
Marcel A. Viti,
William G. Rockefeller,
G. Muss-Arnolt,
Monson Morris,
Dwight Moore,
Rowland P. Keasbey,
Abram D. Gillette,
Joseph M. Dale,
Withrop Rutherfurd,
Singleton Can Schaick,
B. S. Smith,
Chetwood Smith,
William C. Codman,
Howard Willets,
John G. Bates.
THE CHAIR: If there be no objection the minutes of the last meeting, as published in the Gazette, will be accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: In the minutes of the last meeting of the directors, as published in the Gazette, there is attributed to Mr. Moore a statement in reference to legislation for the future. Mr. Moore states that he made no such statement and desires to have the matter corrected.

On motion the paragraph was amended and corrected to read as follows: Substitute the words “A delegate” in the place and stead of the words “Mr. Moore.”

The Secretary then read his regular quarterly report as follows:

New York, February 12, 1908.

To the American Kennel Club (Inc.).

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to present my quarterly report for your information and consideration.

I have submitted ten credentials appointing delegates to your Membership Committee, which will report its recommendations to you at this meeting.

The annual report, as pr. Sec. XI Corporation Laws: Pacific Advisory Committee reports, and Treasurer’s report will be presented in their proper order of business.
A bill for disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee, amounting to $72.95 has been received and your Secretary and your Secretary would recommend the usual resolution ordering its payment.

The Toledo Kennel Club, under date of Dec. 9, 1907, requests to be dropped from the roll of membership, and the Rockland County Industrial Association, under date of Dec. 20, 1907 tenders its resignation. These Associations were in good standing at the time of the receipt of their communications having paid their dues to Jan. 1, 1908, and your Secretary would respectfully recommend that their requests be granted.

I also report that the Dalmatian Club of America filed a communication dated Jan. 15, 1908 advising your Secretary that Mr. J. B. Thomas, Jr., had ceased to be its delegate from that date.

I beg to report the suspension of Fred P. Kirby by the Philadelphia Dog Show Association.

The following charges have been filed:

Dec. 16, 1907: W. H. C. Pillsbury vs. Ladies' Kennel Association of Massachusetts. Charge, unpaid special. Notice was sent by your Secretary to Mrs. O. K. Dennee, Secretary, on Dec. 16, 1907. No reply has been received by this office.
Dec. 28, 1907: M. A. Ross vs. Amos Clayton. Charge: Selling plaintiff a blind dog. Notice was sent Clayton, by registered mail, on same date. No reply has been received.

Jan. 28, 1908: C. M. Hutton and Fred M. Huggins vs. Fort Wroth Poultry and Pet Stock Association. Charge: Failure to act on their protest. The usual notices were sent to the secretary, who replied that the case was not acted upon for the reason that the plaintiffs had failed to file proofs in support of their allegations.

Feb. 11, 1908: L. M. Goldsmith vs. Fort Worth Poultry and Pet Stock Association. Charge: Unpaid prizes. Notice was mailed to the Secretary yesterday and therefore no reply can be expected within a week hence. I will present a communication from Mr. Wallace N. Vreeland, and correspondence relative to same, on the subject of awards in Cocker Spaniels, at the late Bryn Mawr Show. We called on the Secretary of said show to forward to this this office the judge’s book, which has evidently been lost in the mail, and the missing book is now being traced by the Post Office Authorities.

In this matter of lost mail, the many reports from our various correspondents, notifying us of remittances, which upon search we can find no record of the receipt of same, is getting to be a very serious matter. The complaints began early in November last, and have continued without intermission to date. We receive daily from one to seven such complaints, and all have been reported to the Postmaster, who in turn handed them to the Inspector for investigation. With the view of removing as many possibilities as possible. I rented a Post Office Box, No. 2048, and arranged that all mail for this office should be taken from said box.
by the delivery clerk, and placed in a mail bag, and the lock snapped by him, I keeping the key in our safe. There can therefore be no opportunity of our mail being tampered with, between the Post Office and myself, and notwithstanding these measures of precaution, the daily loss of letters still continues. Two notices have been published in our Gazette warning our patrons, and asking to register all letters containing money. I am reporting this matter very fully, for the purpose of giving widespread notice through the publication of the minutes of this meeting the sporting papers, and with a request that all persons sending remittances through the mail to this office to register same, for their own protection.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

New York, Feb 12, 1907.

To the American Kennel Club (Inc.).

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1908</td>
<td>$20,640.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan. 1st to date</td>
<td>3,679.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$24,320.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>$4,113.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$20,106.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I also beg to report that bills for dues for 1908 were mailed on Nov. 30, 1907 with an enclosure advising the
Clubs that in case the dues were not paid on or before Jan. 1, 1908 that such Clubs that were in arrears on said date, would forfeit the right to representation by delegate. I now have to report the following Club in arrears:

Chesapeake Kennel Club,
Framingham District Kennel Club,
Massachusetts Kennel Club,
Taunton Kennel Club,
Methuen Kennel Club,
Southern Ohio Kennel Club,
Valley Fair Kennel Club.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary then read the following report:

February 13, 1908

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Section XI of the Membership Corporation Law, we beg to report the financial statement of the American Kennel Club (Inc.) for the year 1907. We also report the admission of the following clubs to membership, to wit:

Western Beagle Club,
Fairfield County Kennel Club,
Simsbury Kennel Club,
Bloodhound Club of America.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer.

H. H. HUNNEWELL,
Vice-President.
THE SECRETARY: The financial statement was published in the last Gazette.

I have the regular minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee, and also special minutes from the same committee. They have not been published.

The minutes of the regular meeting follow:

REGULAR MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

1296 McAlister Street, San Francisco,

February 1st, 1908

Present: H. H. Carlton, Dr. W. P. Burnham, W. W. Stettheimer,

J. P, Norman.

On motion, Dr. Burnham took the chair.

It was moved and seconded that the regular order of business be suspended and that the case of Nieborger vs. the California Cocker Club be taken up. Carried.

NIEBORGER V. CALIFORNIA COCKER CLUB: The appellant, George A. Neiborger, protests against the action of the California Cocker Club in expelling him from membership in said club without giving him an opportunity to be heard in his own defense.

The respondent filed the defense that said action did not affect appellant’s status with the American Kennel Club, inasmuch as appellant had been dropped from membership for the reason that members of the club found him inharmonious and uncongenial.

The defendant further pleaded that inasmuch as said action did not affect defendant’s good standing with the American Kennel Club and was taken in the interest of the California Cocker Club and was a purely domestic affair, the expulsion of appellant was a matter over which the A.K.C. has no jurisdiction.
It was moved and seconded that defendant’s demurer be sustained on the ground of lack of jurisdiction and that appellant’s deposit be returned to him.

CARRIED.

The minutes of the last meeting were read and approved.

The Secretary read the following report, as per copy, hereto attached and made part of these minutes.

It was moved and seconded that the committee do now go into executive session and that the attendance of the Secretary be dispensed with during the consideration of his report, Mr. Stettheimer acting as secretary during said session. CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded that the finding of the executive session be transmitted direct to the Executive Committee without the intervention of the Secretary of the Committee. CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded that the report of the Secretary be accepted, made part of the minutes of this meeting and filed. CARRIED.

The MENLO PARK KENNEL CLUB FILED APPLICATION for membership in the American Kennel Club. It was moved and seconded that the applicant club be and hereby is elected to membership. CARRIED.

THE PACIFIC BOSTON TERRIER CLUB filed application for membership in the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB. It was moved and seconded that the applicant club be and hereby is elected.
HANSING v. LAURIN: Charges of fraud and misconduct.

The appellant, F. L. Hansing of Salt Lake City charges that Joseph A. Laurin, Montreal, sold to Mr. Harkness, et al, an Airedale bitch said to be in whelp, and that said bitch when due to whelp, came in season. By authority of the defendant, the bitch was returned to him and another sent out. This bitch was represented to have been bred to her grandsire, but it is claimed did not whelp.

Appellant charges fraud and misconduct by reason of first bitch not having been in whelp and not conforming to specification in advertisement. The same charges are preferred in connection with the second bitch, which had been bred to her grandsire, and claim was made that said breeding was unsuitable.

Defendant filed the defense that neither bitch was guaranteed to be in whelp, that he had used all diligence to be sure of the breeding of both bitches, that he had been unable to secure the exact date of birth of the second bitch, but was sure she was not more than three years old, that he had paid out of his own pocket the express charges on the return of the first bitch,

And that he was not guilty of Fraud, Misconduct or Misrepresentation. It was moved and seconded that whereas the vendor had not guaranteed either bitch sold to appellant
or partners to be in whelp, and that the allegation of said bitches having been bred had
not been disproved, and whereas it appeared that defendant had made a required effort
to satisfy the purchasers of the bitches in question: Therefore be it RESOLVED that the
charges preferred against Joseph A. Laurin be and hereby are dismissed. CARRIED.

The Secretary presented the resignation of the SPOKANE KENNEL CLUB from
membership in the American Kennel Club. On the affirmation by the secretary of the
good standing at said time of resigning, it was Moved and Seconded that the resignation
be accepted. CARRIED.

In the matter of the article appearing in Field and Fancy of November 2, 1907,
the Secretary read a letter dated Jan. 2, File 2, addressed to Chas. R. Thorburn,
Secretary of the WESTERN BULL TERRIER BREEDER’S ASSOCIATION, requesting
Mr. Thorburn to furnish a written statement that would assist the Committee in the
investigation of said article, Mr. Thorburn having volunteered to furnish such statement
in his letter of November 30th. The Secretary reported that he had received no reply
from Mr. Thorburn.

It was moved and seconded that the secretary of this Committee be and hereby
is instructed to mail to Mr. C. R. Thorburn under registered cover, a copy of his letter of
January 2nd with request for immediate reply.
There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J. P. NORMAN,
Secretary.

San Francisco, Cal., Feb 1st, 1908.

Members of the Pacific Advisory Committee, A.K.C.

Gentlemen:

I beg herewith to submit my report of the business transacted during the past year, and my recommendation in connection therewith.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paid Registrations passing through office</td>
<td>662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpaid &quot;</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine collected and bills made in duplicate</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Dues</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions to Gazette</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single orders</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Names</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred (4 paid)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Pedigrees and Lists of winnings</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listings (15 Shows)</td>
<td>1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club Dues (2 delinquent)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses issued</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of Stud Books</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income transmitted to A.K.C.</td>
<td>$1,840.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deposits transmitted to A.K.C.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of amounts transmitted</td>
<td>$2,215.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits returned to A.K.C. and paid to Club.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net amount paid to A.K.C</td>
<td>$1,840.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters written by the Secretary</td>
<td>896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>1,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clubs in good standing in the jurisdiction of the Committee</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the foregoing, many calls by telephone were answered and requests for information complied with.

Quite a number of callers were received at the residence
of your secretary, such calls being made mainly for the purpose of inspecting the Stud Books, or in reference to protests or registrations.

The above is exclusive of requests for blanks and forms.

It is obvious that the amount of work barely outlines above, and the inconvenience of having to transact kennel business at his private residence, and sometimes, even, at his place of business, must work hardship on your Secretary, whose time, labor and entire leisure time are given over to the prosecution of the work of the American Kennel Club without compensation. But your Secretary must absolutely decline to allow the business of the American Kennel Club to encroach on the time claimed by his daily avocations.

I recommend, therefore, that another Secretary be elected, who can give the necessary time, labor and location to the A.K.C. business without injury to his other claims, or that an office be provided for the transaction of such business with provision also for a competent assistant to take care thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. NORMAN, Secretary,
Pacific Advisory Committee, A.K.C.

The special minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee were then read as follows:

San Francisco, Cal., Feb. 1 1908

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary Executive Board,
American Kennel Club,
#55 Liberty St., New York City.
Dear Sir:

At the regular meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, held on February 1st, 1908, our Secretary, Mr. J. P. Norman, submitted the attached report, which after being read was ordered spread upon the minutes. On motion duly made and seconded, the Advisory Board excused Mr. Norman from entering into a deliberation on his recommendation and retired into executive session.

After careful investigation of the report the undersigned members of the Pacific Advisory Committee respectfully submit following recommendation for your approval and early action:

Whereas Mr. J. P. Norman as acted as Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee without compensation for the past nine years and has faithfully performed all duties connected therewith and

Whereas Owing to the enormous growth of interest in matters connected with American Kennel Club affairs in the territory of the Pacific Advisory Committee, the Secretary's dues, originally few, have become to manifold and arduous that it is no longer within the province of Mr. J. P. Norman to devote the requisite amount of time to the execution of same without compensation. Be it

Resolved That the Pacific Advisory Committee recommend
to the Executive Board of the American Kennel Club to make following appropriation for
the proper maintenance of a Secretary and office of the American Kennel Club in the city
of San Francisco under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee, to wit:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secretary's annual compensation</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office rent, annual, including heat, light, water</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationary, postage, typewriter service, extra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

Resolved that the Pacific Advisory Committee recommends to the Executive
Board of the American Kennel Club to tender this position of Secretary to Mr. J. P.
Norman, whose tireless efforts in the past have been almost entirely responsible for the
successful growth of affairs of the American Kennel Club on the Pacific Coast.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. P. BURNHAM,

H. H. Carlton,

WALTER W. STETTHEIMER.

Owing to illness I was unable to attend above meeting. This recommendation
meets with my hearty approval.

CHAS. K. HARLEY.

MR. GILLETTE: I move that they be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion seconded.
MR. ROCKEFELLER: I think rather than referring them to the Finance Committee it would be better to refer them to the Special Committee of Thirty. There are a number of questions that have got to be taken up. The club last year practically made what money it did show as a surplus from the interest it received from savings banks. The Stud Books are a direct loss to the club. They cost in the neighborhood of six dollars apiece, and we give them away with a subscription. My own opinion is that we should not refer this to the Finance Committee, but to send it to the Committee of Thirty. I offer that as an amendment to the motion.

MR. GILLETTE: I accept the amendment.

MR. MOORE: I second the amendment.

Motion as amended carried.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

APPLICATIONS FOR KENNEL NAMES AND TRANSFER.

Recommended by the S. B. Committee

Lainey Castle          H. P. Weber and E. P. Weber,
Blennerhassett        E. E. Fullerton,
Howgill               Fred S. Leighton
Clover Nook           Chester F. Kiehel,
Craneycrow            R. H. Scott
Flammer               George A. Flammer.
Fortuna               Mrs. E. E. Edelhoff
Gadshill              L. R. Walton,
Hertzville            Henry L. Hertz
Hope Farm             J. E. Sullivan and Henry Bender
Kyo                   R. R. Vandiver,
Lindenwald            R. Monell Herzberg,
Transfer

Audubon from C. G. Birnstill to J. J. Jantzen.

MARCEL A. VITI,
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file and the recommendations contained therein adopted.

THE SECRETARY: I have a request from the Toledo Kennel Club to be dropped from the roll. They do not resign. They ask to be dropped from the roll, as they no longer want to continue.

MR. MOORE: I move that they be dropped from the roll at their own request.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I report the resignation of Rockland County Industrial Association.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I move that the resignation be accepted to take effect March 1, 1908.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from Mr.
Vreeland in regard to the changing of awards at the last show of the Bryn Mawr Kennel Club.

MR. MORRIS: I move that the matter be referred to the proper committee.

Motion seconded and lost.

MR. MOORE: I move that the Secretary be instructed to make the change in accordance with the Judge’s book when he receives it.

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There is only one other matter mentioned in my report which requires action, and that is a very serious matter, the loss of our mail. I have done everything I can think of to prevent further losses of our mail matter, but it still continues. We had seven complaints to-day, seven complaints day before yesterday, and they run from one to four complaints every day.

MR. MOORE: I suggest that it might be well if the Secretary Communicate with Spratt’s, and have them put on in red print that remittances must be registered when accompanying applications for resignation.

MR. VITI: We might have some printed slips stating that his mail had been stolen and therefore ever one is advised to registered remittances, and put one of such slips in every letter that goes out of the office.

THE SECRETARY: This trouble commenced about the middle of November last. Heretofore we have occasionally
received such complaints. Possibly they would not average one a month, but about the 15th of November these complaints commenced to pour in, and they have come in right along without any intermission from that time. I hoped I could stop the leak by being able to place the responsibility between the Post Office and myself. The letter carriers who come in with registered mail are very much exercised about it, because it as been pretty generally talked about. I have procured a mail bag with a snap lock upon it, and the Postmaster has directed the delivery clerk to place the mail in that mail bag and snap the lock, and then give it to our messenger. There can be no tampering with that until open the mail with keys which I keep in the safe, but notwithstanding that the letters still continue to miscarry. It must be in the Post Office that they are lost. The only satisfaction that I have been able to get is the statement by the post Office authorities that they have turned the matter over to the inspector in charge. I have questioned the letter carriers, and they tell me that they hear very little from the inspector on any subject. There is no doubt that the letters which contain checks have been opened and the checks destroyed. I have heard of checks being sent, but have never been put through the bank. One check for $200 was sent in November. It never reached us. Money orders are very probably destroyed,
because we have had quite a number of duplicates come to us for money orders that have been issued, and have never been presented for payment. When it comes to money and postage stamps sent as money, they are the perquisites of the gentleman that has been making love to our mail. You can readily understand that it is a very serious matter when complaints arrive in such numbers.

THE CHAIR: have there been complaints of the same kind from other firms?

THE SECRETARY: I do not know, but Mr. Glynn, who has just been judging at the show, told me that they were going through the same experience in the English Kennel Club. There is no doubt that the Post Office employees know pretty well by this time that about 75% of our letters contain money, and it appears as if they thing it has been pretty good game.

THE CHAIR: Is there any higher authority that we can bring this matter to?

THE SECRETARY: The Post Master is the only man I know of.

MR. GILLETTE: I have had some experience with lost mail in my time, and I know very well that the Post Master here will take care of it if he can.

MR. MOORE: I would like to ask the Secretary if he
has notified the Post Office authorities that he has received these complaints.

THE SECRETARY: Yes; I sent them a number of notices about it, but the loss still continues. In a great many cases I have given them the names and addresses of the complaining parties.

MR. MOORE: I think Mr. Viti’s suggestion that you have slips printed and sent in each of your envelopes is a good one.

THE SECRETARY: I think the publicity that will be given to the minutes of our meeting will bring the matter to the notice of almost everybody, because men do read the sporting papers, and they do read the proceedings of our meetings. I will tell you of a little incident. Dr. Knox, the bloodhound man, sent me a letter containing a money order for $1.50, and he had written on the face of his envelope: “This envelope contains a money order for $1.50. Please do not steal it.” The Post Master sent a demand to me after taking out the contents to give him the envelop, which I did.

In regard to the clubs in arrears for dues, named in the Treasurer’s report, Mr. Willetts moved that such clubs be notified of the fact that they are in arrears and if they do not pay such dues within thirty days, they be dropped from the roll.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Chesapeake Kennel Club made a deposit and claimed dates for a show to be held in November last in connection with the Exposition at Norfolk. They went so far as to have their premium list printed, I believe, when the appropriation that they expected from the Exposition failed to materialize, and they gave up their show. They have requested the return of that deposit of $25. The rule is very positive that after a deposit is made, if the show is not held, such deposit is forfeited.

MR. MORRIS: I move that this club refuse to refund the deposit.

Motion seconded.

MR. DALE: I do not think there is any need of a motion. I think it takes care of itself.

THE SECRETARY: It is a request, and the club should answer it.

MR. DALE: All you can do is to refer to the rule and abide by it. What is the use of having rules if you are not going to live up to them?

MR. MOORE: I move, as an amendment, that the Secretary be instructed to notify them that their request is denied.

Amendment seconded and carried.

Mr. Viti: Is it possible to act on the credentials
of any delegates at this meeting?

    THE CHAIR: We have no report from the membership committee to-day.

    MR. VITI: If possible would like to have them taken up and balloted for, if there are any. I thought we had laid some on the table.

    MR. VAN SCHAICK: The reason that no report has been made on those names is that, in the first place, a meeting has not been called by the chairman; and, in the second place, during the interval while this Committee of Thirty is acting, we thought it best not to act upon any names until they had come to some decision.

    MR. MOORE: I move that the report be accepted.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    On motion of Mr. Moore, the delegates went into executive session.

    On motion the committee arose.

    MR. GILLETTE: I beg to report that the Executive Session has directed the Secretary to send a written communication to the Bull Dog Club of America in reply to its communication, being an extract from the minutes of its annual meeting.

    On motion the report was accepted and the Secretary directed to comply with the direction of the Executive Session.

    On motion the meeting then adjourned.

    A. P. Vredenburgh
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING
OF THE
AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB (INCORPORATED)

Held at the offices of the club, No. 55 Liberty Street, Tuesday, May 26th, 1908.

Mr. H.H. Hunnewell presiding.

PRESENT:

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES

American Fox Terrier Club            W.G. Rockefeller
Asbury Park Kennel Club              Dwight Moore
Bloodhound Club of America           B.S. Smith
Champlain Kennel Club                H.H. Hunnewell
Collie Club of America               Joseph M. Dale
East Liverpool Kennel Club           Dr. Louis G. Knox
Irish Setter Club                    John G. Bates
Irish Terrier Club of America        Abram D. Gillette
Ladies' Kennel Association of
Massachusetts                        F.F. Coite
Lawrence Kennel and Fanciers' Club   Lawrence M.D. McGuire
Mascoutah Kennel Club                Edward Brooks
National Beagle Club                  Robert D. Murray
New England Kennel Club              C.F.R. Drake
Old English Sheepdog Club of
America                               Jas. W. Appleton
Pointer Club of America              W.B. Emery
Russian Wolfhound Club               J.P. Welsh
San Francisco Kennel Club            Ancell H. Ball
San Mateo Kennel Club                Dr. J.E. De Mund
Scottish Deerhound Club              R.P. Keasbey
                                                                  Howard Willets
                                                                  Albion L. Page
Scottish Terrier Club of America  George Lauder, Jr.
Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association  Clarence Sackett
Sheepshead Bay Kennel Club  F.J. Trown
Welsh Terrier Club of America  Franklin B. Lord, Jr.
Westchester Kennel Club  George Greer

THE SECRETARY: I have the minutes of the last meeting here. They have been published in the Gazette.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

THE CHAIRMAN: We seem to be very much in the same position that we were at the annual meeting that was adjourned without any sine die, and I suggest, if there be no objection, that we shall not allow the regular order of business to-day, but ask for a report from the Committee of Thirty, and then decide, after hearing that report, what we shall do, whether we shall go on with the meeting or adjourn for further action. Mr. Rockefeller, have you any report?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yes, I would like to speak for a moment. Gentlemen, in behalf of the Committee of Thirty and the Committee of Twelve, I would like to say that it seemed to be the opinion of both those committees that if we could obtain a special charter from the State of New York it was the wise thing to do. So we had prepared a
charter which was offered to the Legislature at Albany. A number of changes were made. We did not get it completed as quickly as we expected, but it finally passed the Senate the last day of its session, and the Governor signed it on last Saturday, so that we are now in a position to proceed under a special charter. The charter gives us powers governing dog shows, and similar matters, but does not in any way provide for the government of the club, leaving that to be arranged for in a constitution to be adopted. We did not dare to delay very long because at the time the bill was introduced it was expected that the Legislature would adjourn on April 11th. As a matter of fact the session was extended for about two weeks longer, but it is true that we did not have any time to waste. I think now that we are in shape for the Sub-Committee of Twelve to proceed and prepare a constitution and by-laws to be presented to the various committees. Up to this time we have felt that we were not in a position to proceed because we did not get the special charter, and we would have to try and adopt some sort of a stock corporation law which would have been very irksome, which would have provided for a number of different classes of stock, and would have been very complicated.

THE CHAIRMAN: For information I would like to ask
if any work has been done on the constitution of any kind.

    MR. ROCKEFELLER: With the aid of several members in New York a great deal
of work has been done in drafting a new constitution.

    THE CHAIRMAN: Has the Sub-Committee of Twelve appointed a sub-
committee?

    MR. ROCKEFELLER: It was left to the Chair to appoint it, but that committee
has not been appointed because we felt we were not sure enough as to what we could
do.

    THE CHAIRMAN: I would suggest that we are keeping the kennel world waiting
for a long time.

    MR. ROCKEFELLER: I think we should be able to report inside of two weeks.

    THE CHAIRMAN: To the Committee of Thirty?

    MR. ROCKEFELLER: We can report practically at the same time, I think, to both
Committees by giving long enough notice.

    THE CHAIRMAN: Does the Committee of Twelve realize the importance of
hurrying this matter up?

    MR. ROCKEFELLER: We do certainly.

    THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, you have heard the report of the Committee.

What is your pleasure?

    MR. McGUIRE: As a member of the Committee of Twelve
I wish to state that we had one meeting, and I believe there was but one resolution passed, and that was to appoint a Sub-Committee, and that Sub-Committee has never been appointed, and so far as the Committee of Twelve is concerned, of which I am a member, I can say that all that Mr. Rockefeller has stated is news to me. I am quite sure that that resolution provided that before the Sub-Committee of Twelve would take any action or present any constitution to the Committee of Thirty, the report of the Sub-Committee would come to the Committee of Twelve in writing. That was my understanding about it.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I think that is correct in the main, but we felt if we delayed long enough to formally have the Committee of Twelve adopt a resolution, we might not be able to get the bill through the Legislature, and the Committee of Thirty or the Committee of Twelve are in no way bound to accept this new charter.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is a matter for your Committee meeting.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: And I would like to say, in excuse, that I have been sick for the last sick weeks, and I have not been able to push thing along as much as I would like to have done.

THE CHAIRMAN: That matter which you bring up, Mr. McGuire is a matter to be brought up in the Committee of
Thirty, because we have no jurisdiction over the Committee of Thirty. They can do anything they please, and anything you would have to may would have to come before that Committee, and not before us here.

MR. McGUIRE: I understand the Chairman of the Committee has reported, and he is also reporting for the Committee of Twelve, and I, as a member of that Committee, had not heard of what had been accomplished. In line with the Chairman’s report I think, in order to get the situation accurately before this meeting it would be quite accurately before the Committee. I believe the charter has been granted --- has been applied for, and I presume it has been applied for by this club.

THE CHAIRMAN: That has nothing to do with this meeting.

MR. McGUIRE: The meeting should have known of that.

THE CHAIRMAN: That would come up before the Committee of Thirty. We can only accept the report of the Chairman of the Committee of Thirty, and he has reported progress so far. Anything that we have to say about that would come up before the Committee of Thirty, and not before the Committee of Delegates.
MR. McGUIRE: My only purpose is to give information to the delegates: that is all.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I would like to say that there really was noting to report until Sunday night because I was unable to find out whether the bill has been signed by the Governor or not.

THE CHAIRMAN: I simply asked for information.

MR. WILLETS: I would like to say that the only reason for applying for a special charter was that this club, in consequence of its constituency, the way it is made up, cannot have a charter put through under a general act, and that was the only reason for going to the Legislature for a special act. We could not organize under a general law.

MR. McGUIRE: I believe we are all agreed that that was unnecessary and essential. However, we all have agreed on the point that that charter should have been submitted to the Committee of Thirty or to the Committee of Twelve.

THE CHAIRMAN: That would come up at your meeting. The discussion of that question is out of order here. The discussion, as far as it has gone, although out of order, had better be printed so as to let the public know that we have been working on it. I do not see that
we are in a position to do anything at all until that Committee reports.

MR. McGUIRE: I believe it is in order to act upon the report of the Committee of Thirty.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is in order to move that the report be accepted.

MR. MOORE: I make that motion.

MR. McGUIRE: I move that there be added to the report of the Chairman of the Committee of Thirty the special act for the charter obtained for the incorporation of the club, and that it form part of his report and be printed along with his report in the Gazette.

THE CHAIRMAN: You mean the charter itself?

MR. McGUIRE: Yes.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I do not think that is proper. I have not reported to the Committee of Twelve or to the Committee of Thirty. You simply asked if I could report anything, and on my own individual responsibility I stated to the meeting what has been done.

THE CHAIRMAN: You mean that what you have said is not a report, but an answer to a question for information?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any legal objection to the charter being added, as moved?
MR. ROCKEFELLER: I am not a lawyer. I do not know.

MR. McGUIRE: I do not feel that there could be any possible objection to giving the delegates of the club as a whole all possible information of what is being done, or what has been done.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think you are perfectly right as to that. The question is, are we not riding over the heads of the Committee of Thirty. This matter ought to go to the Committee of Thirty before it comes to us. My request to Mr. Rockefeller was for information to ascertain if there was any chance for this thing being finished within the next year, and, as Mr. Rockefeller has stated, he did not offer his statement as a report, but as information for that purpose. Now the question is whether we are not riding over the Committee of Thirty.

MR. McGUIRE: I do not see in what sense we are riding over anybody. There has been a charter returned for the incorporation of the club, and I believe that is very good work. I believe it was only necessary to do it very quickly, and I think there cannot be any possible objection to advising the delegates, and especially those who live so far away that they cannot
come here, of the charter under which our Committees are working. If there is any objection to the charter going in, let us here it.

MR. BROOKS: Is not that charter already public property? It has already been signed by the Governor.

MR. SMITH: It seems to me that in as much as we elected a Committee of Thirty, and that Committee of Thirty elected another Committee of Twelve, and of the Committee of Twelve there were several appointed to take care of this charter, and all that sort of thing, it is very bad taste, and we would show a lack of confidence in that Committee if we tried to do anything like what has been suggested that we should do. I think it is in very bad taste to try to force them to do anything. Now, Mr. Rockefeller has made his report—

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Rockefeller has not made a report; it was simply information.

MR. SMITH: Well, simply information, I think as we adjourned the last meeting and were willing to leave the matters in the hands of the Committee, we should do the same thing now, and not force that Committee to do any more than it is possible to do to-day.

MR. BROOKS: I cannot see any possible objection to having the charter already signed by the Governor, and
therefore a public document, published in the Gazette. It is public property. You can go to Albany any time you please and get a copy of that charter.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: We have not a certified copy of the charter. It was only signed on Saturday night, and I presume that we will get one in the course of a few days.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think this would be a solution of this matter: The Chairman of the Committee of Thirty makes no report, but for information which I asked he made the statement which has been made. I see no objection, as Mr. McGuire and Mr. Brooks suggest, to having the charter, which is public property, published in the Gazette, simply showing that that is as far as they have gone.

MR. WILLETS: It is impossible to publish any paper which the Committee has not received.

MR. BROOKS: When it is received. Of course that is what I meant.

THE CHAIRMAN: I mean when you receive your certified copy of the charter.

MR. WILLETS: That certified copy would naturally go to the lawyer who represented the Kennel Club in Albany; from him it would come to our counsel here in
New York, and from him it would be turned in to the club. If the bill was signed only late Saturday, I do not think there has been sufficient time to go through all that. I agree with what has been said, that the matter should be made public as soon as possible.

MR. BROOKS: I think that is all either of us meant, Mr. McGuire, wasn’t it?

MR. McGUIRE: Yes.

MR. APPLETON: There has been no report from the Committee as a Committee. This matter has not been reported to the Committee of Thirty. I do not see how they can come here before you as a Committee to report, and take action on their report.

MR. McGUIRE: It is not my purpose to do anything in bad taste, but I would like to know what this charter provides, so when there is a meeting of the Committee of Thirty called we can talk intelligently.

THE CHAIRMAN: Before that meeting of the Committee of Thirty is held, this matter will be told you.

MR. McGUIRE: I have not any reason to believe that it will. In view of past experiences I have not the least reason to believe it will. It is not going to harm us to have it published. A great many people a long distance from here are very much interested in any charter we might incorporate under, and I think it
is much better to give it the widest publicity. This is the exact time to do so in order, if there is any objection before the Committee can make up a constitution of which this charter would be a foundation to let the Committee know it. A certified copy of that charter can be had on request. There is no necessity for it to pass through various legal hands. That has been done. That was done before it was sent up to Albany. The Secretary of the Club can be instructed to send for a certified copy for the purpose of having it published in the Gazette.

THE CHAIRMAN: All we can do is to ask the delegates to request the Chairman of the Committee of Thirty, in view of the fact that he has made no report, but that we understand there has been a charter passed, if he will make that public property in the Kennel Gazette, and he can do it or not as he pleases.

MR. BROOKS: This has already been done. It is a public document, and I could go up to Albany and see it without a Committee or anybody.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Before the judiciary committee in either the Assembly or Senate would pass the bill they made our lawyers insert a clause which provided that it must be accepted by the existing organization before
it became final.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then the charter is not final?

MR. ROCKEFLLER: It is final where it has been accepted by our present club.

MR. McGUIRE: That charter will be published, if not in the Gazette, in some other law papers.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been obtained, but it does not go into effect unless accepted by the club.

MR. McGUIRE: That is entirely immaterial. I think it would be much better to publish that charter in the Gazette than perhaps to have it published in advance of our official notice by some other dog paper.

THE CHAIRMAN: I see no objection to have the charter published, and I think the best thing to do would be to bring a motion before the meeting. A motion is in order to ask the Chairman of the Committee of Thirty to publish the charter in the Gazette.

MR. McGUIRE: I renew my motion.

MR. BROOKS: I second it.

MR. McGUIRE: I will withdraw my motion and substitute this: That the Chairman of the Committee of Thirty advises this meeting that a charter has been obtained under which we propose to incorporate this club. I move that the Secretary of the club be instructed to
obtain, without delay, a certified copy of the charter and that it be published in the next number of the Gazette.

MR. BROOKS: I second that motion.

Motion carried.

MR. McGUIRE: I have noted in the Gazette that the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, Incorporated, have dropped from membership several clubs who were members of any in good standing of the American Kennel Club unincorporated. It is my belief that that was a mistake.

THE CHAIRMAN: I shall have to ask the Secretary to answer you.

MR. McGUIRE: These clubs should be immediately re-instated, and no further action taken with regard to dropping clubs until this club is re-incorporated.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you limit your action to that one thing?

MR. McGUIRE: That is all I can think of in a minute, but I believe it ought to be very general. I do not believe the Board of Directors of this club should take any further drastic action just now. There is some question as to the title obtained to the assets of the club by the present incorporated club. We do not
want any question of that king in the new incorporated club, and surely we cannot gain a clear title to the assets of the club without getting the vote of all the members of the old unincorporated club.

MR. APPLETON: Were these clubs dropped for non-payment of dues?
THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. LORD: If there were dropped by the incorporated club, which had no power to drop them, its action would have no effect on their standing in the club. If the club was not able to drop members, there would be no point in voting on this motion at all.

Dr. De MUND: It was understood at the annual meeting that no action would be taken by the American Kennel Club affecting any legislation until the Committee of Thirty had completed its work, and it seems to me that the directors at present should not take any action affecting the standing of clubs or do anything more than the routine business. That was understood at the time of the annual meeting.

THE SECRETARY: The old constitution of the un-incorporated club laid down a rule for the payment of dues by which clubs could be dropped. The present incorporated club adopted the same rule in the constitution.
These clubs that were dropped had not paid their dues. The bills were sent out to be paid before January 1st, and then at the February meeting they were given thirty days within which to pay their dues or be dropped. Notices were sent to them. They were given thirty days, and they did not pay their dues; therefore the clubs were dropped under the clause of the constitution of the present incorporated club, and also under the clause of the constitution of the unincorporated club.

MR. McGUIRE: I think it leaves the way open possibly for those clubs to come in and contest the title to the assets by this club.

THE CHAIRMAN: They have forfeited their membership since then.

MR. McGUIRE: They may have forfeited their membership in the incorporated club, but whether they forfeited membership in the American Kennel Club is a very serious question. I do not believe they have.

MR. BALL: Would they not have to tender their dues to the incorporated club?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. BALL: And they never did?

THE CHAIRMAN: No.

MR. BALL: I should consider that they were dropped.
THE SECRETARY: They could be dropped if they did not pay; they ceased to be members by action. They could have time granted to them, and it has always been the custom to grant thirty days. These clubs have not paid their dues since 1906.

MR. McGUIRE: My reference is not to any club which has obtained its membership since the incorporation of this club. My reference is to clubs only who were in good standing before the club was incorporated. It is quite within the province of the directors to stop any club that was a member since the incorporation, but not prior to the incorporation.

MR. BALL: Is a club in good standing that has failed to tender its dues?

MR. McGUIRE: They did tender their dues. They may have taken the position to refuse to pay their dues to the incorporated club for twenty different reasons. We do not know why they refused them. The old club was not in existence; they could not have tendered them then.

MR. BALL: Your Committee claimed that the old club was in existence.

MR. McGUIRE: We claim it now.

MR. BALL: They never tendered payment to the old
MR. McGUIRE: They could not because the Secretary would not accept them. I am afraid if you try to drop any clubs that were in good standing prior to the incorporation, and they see fit to be re-instated, they can be.

THE SECRETARY: If I understand this case properly, when the club re-incorporates the members of the old unincorporated club has the privilege of a voice in the re-incorporation. If any club that was a member of the old unincorporated club refuses to pay its dues to the incorporated club, the incorporated club can drop that member from the incorporated club and yet that member is not dropped from the old unincorporated club. That is the way I look at it, and there is no question in my mind that the action of the Board of Directors at the last meeting in dropping delinquents from the so called incorporated club was absolutely legal and yet those dropped clubs, if they were members of the old unincorporated club, have a vote, and they have a vote because they were not dropped from the old unincorporated club.

MR. McGUIRE: I meant to put in my motion any club that was in good standing in the unincorporated club. It is immaterial what we do with clubs who gained
their membership through this incorporation.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Secretary tells me that no clubs that were in good standing at the time of the incorporation of the club have been dropped from the unincorporated club. They have been dropped from the incorporated membership.

MR. McGUIRE: Then I move that they be re-instated into the incorporated club be reason of their having been in good standing in the old club.

MR. WILLETS: I submit that all these matters about incorporated and unincorporated clubs were left to the Committee of Thirty. The moment that that Committee of Thirty were elected at these matters were left in the hands of that Committee, and I do not think any resolution we may pass here would have any effect whatever.

DR. De MUND: Did the Committee drop the clubs, or the directors?

MR. WILLETS: I do not think the directors had any right, and I don’t think they had anything to do with it whatever, and I do not thing any action we may take here will affect the matter, and I move that the matter be laid on the table.

MR. MOORE: I second the motion.
Carried
The meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

-------------
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE
AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB (INCORPORATED)

Held at its offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, Tuesday, May 26th, 1908

Mr. H.H. Hunnewell presiding.

PRESENT:
HOLLIS H. HUNNEWELL
MARCEL A. VITI
JAMES W. APPLETON
WILLIAM G. ROCKEFELLER
WILLIAM B. EMERY
DWIGHT MOORE
ROWLAND P. KEASBEY
ABRAM D. GILLETTE
JOSEPH M. DALE
SINGLETON VAN SCHAICK
WILLIAM C. CODMAN
EDWIN J. VAN SCHAICK
ANCEL H. BALL
WINTHROP RUTHERFORD

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, May 25, 1908

To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this my regular quarterly report. I have referred one application for membership, one application for reinstatement, and fourteen
credentials for delegates to the Membership Committee for its action. Since our February meeting we have issued four licenses for shows to be held under our rules all of which have been held, and have two applications now pending. On May 18th I mailed Final Bills to one hundred and twenty Associate Subscribers in arrears for subscriptions for the present ear, and would respectfully recommend that the usual thirty days be granted to such subscribers in arrears, and all such subscribers that have not responded at the expiration of thirty days be dropped from our list.

The Pacific Advisory Committee has filed a bill of disbursements from March 1st, to May 13th inclusive amounting $47.45, and I would recommend the payment of same.

I beg to report that C.M. Landis, Keystone State Kennel, Reading, Pa., sent us a check for $19.00, for registration and certified pedigrees. We found it necessary to decline to register and issue these pedigrees to the extent of $6.00, and said amount was returned to him in cash. The above check was returned by our Bank "not good" with $1.50 protest fees added. Upon notification he sent us another check which was again returned "not good" with a similar amount of protest fees.
again added. He subsequently sent a third check which I placed in the Bank for collection, this was again returned to us which we still hold and which I have endeavored to collect, but without success. This matter has been placed in the hands of counsel from whom no report has been received up to this date.

I preferred charges against this man serving him with a copy of same, and notwithstanding his many promises to settle the account has failed to do so. I would respectfully recommend that this man C.M. Landis, be suspended until he settles his account.

On Nov. 16th, 1907, I mailed a check to the Louisville Collie Club returning its Date Deposit of $25.00 less a penalty of $5.00. I am advised that this check has never been received by said club, and an application has been filed requesting a duplicate. I ask instructions.

The North Jersey Kennel Club was admitted to Membership with headquarters at Hackensack, N.J. Said club has applied to register with its headquarters at Newark, N.J., and desires official sanction to that effect.

The Revere Kennel Club applied for dates to hold a show at Charleston, Mass. I granted said dates,
and approved of the show, not being aware that Charlestown was a part of the municipality of Boston. The New England Kennel Club at its meeting in April passed a resolution protesting my action in granting these dates at said place. This protest is now before you for such action as you may deem proper.

On April 23rd, 1901, Mr. Shirley Marston, Boston, Mass., was duly disqualified by our Executive Board by virtue of his suspension by the New England Kennel Club for forcibly removing his dogs from its show and for an assault on the Ticket Taker. Mr. Marston has appealed for reinstatement and under date of Feb 12th, the New England Kennel Club voted at the regular meeting of its Executive Committee, to request the American Kennel Club to reinstate Mr. Marston. The matter is now before you for such action as you may deem proper.

The following charges have been made for unpaid prizes at shows: A. Self vs. Ft. Worth Poultry and Pet Stock Assn.

H.H. Knowles against A.G. Eberhart for misconduct in connection with shows.


Failure to act on protest.
The following appeals have been filed:
Mrs. R.F. Mayhew against North Jersey Kennel Club, for refusal to accept an entry marked “to compete only for unclassified specials and sweepstakes.”
M.F. Mulcahy against the Revere Kennel Club from decision on protest.
H.G. Vaughan against the A.K.C. for cancellation of the winnings of a Foxhound at the late Boston show.
North Shore Kennel Club against Prof. Wm. O'Connor. For misconduct at the Salem Show.

The following notices of suspensions have been filed:
North Jersey Kennel Club against C.H. Armstrong. For misconduct in connection with shows.
North Jersey Kennel Club against Leo Cohn. For misconduct in connection with shows.

At our February meeting I reported a charge by W.H.C. Pillsbury against the Ladies Kennel Assn. of Mass. for an unpaid special. This claim was outlawed under the rules by a margin of eleven days. I notified the Sec'y, of said Club of the claim on Dec. 16th, and received no reply until after our February meeting and inadvertently reported this claim.

The Bulldog Club of America has filed a notice with this office that it has expelled from membership C.G.
Hopton for reprehensible conduct. I have therefore suspended said Hopton and mailed him the usual notice giving him an opportunity to appeal.

I beg to present correspondence from the U.S. Department of Agriculture; and from H.K. Bloodgood, President of our Associate Subscribers; a communication from James Watson requesting a ruling on the subject of mixed puppy classes in English Toy Spaniels; and request instructions about the issuing of a license for a show to be held at Dallas Texas, there being at this time an active member in said City, that has not held a show since 1891.

Finally I beg to present a supplemental report and recommendations on matters of vital importance to the welfare of the Club.

Respectfully submitted,
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary."

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Treasurer's report was read as follows:

New York, May 25, 1908
To the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit herewith my regular quarterly financial report.

Balance on hand January 1, 1908 ...........................................20,640.24
Receipts from January 1st to date.............................................10,807.66
   Total                                             31,477.90
Disbursements from January 1st to date...............................13,486.95
   Balance on hand..............................................17,960.95

Respectfully submitted,

    A.P. Vredenburgh
    Treasurer."

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

On motion the bill filed by the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from
March 1st to May 13th inclusive, amounting to $47.45, was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: I now bring before you this matter of C.M. Landis. If there
was ever a case of fraud, that is it. He succeeded in getting $6 from this club for a bad
check.

MR. BROOKS: I move that Mr. Landis be suspended.
until he pays his account.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: In November of 1907 I mailed a check to the Louisville Collie Club returning its date deposit of $25, less a penalty of $5. They claim they had never received it. The check is marked out in my bank balance.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: I move that the Treasurer stop payment on the original check.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The North Jersey Kennel Club applied for permission to change the address of its headquarters from Hackensack to Newark.

On motion the request was granted.

THE SECRETARY: The New England Kennel Club filed its protest against the show of the Revere Kennel Club on Charlestown. As I reported to you, on granting those dates to that club I had no knowledge that Charlestown was a part of the municipality of Boston. Their protest came too late for me to withdraw my approval, as the premium lists were printed and distributed, but the New England Kennel Club now sends a suggestion here that I think should go to the Committee of Thirty because it is in the nature of an amendment to the constitution.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection, that matter
THE SECRETARY: I have here a communication from the New England Kennel Club relative to the reinstatement of Mr. Shirley Marston, who was disqualified in 1901 for forcibly removing his dogs from a show, and for knocking down the ticket taker who attempted to keep him in the show. The New England Kennel Club suspended him for that action.

MR. BROOKS: I move that the request of the New England Kennel Club in this respect be granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from the Department of Agriculture at Washington. In July, 1906, they changed their regulation under which dogs could be imported to this country free of duty. I was just informed by the department that we must issue a new form of customs certificate, which I have had prepared and so far have issued a great many of them. The Department, however, insists that every imported dog before receiving such certificates from the American Kennel Club should have been registered in the Book of Record of the country from which it is imported. That rule we have never enforced. It has been a matter of indifference to us whether a dog was registered in the country from
which it was imported or not so long as that dog could establish its pedigree for three
generations. The Department is insistent on this regulation, and I have held them off as
long as possible and told them I would report it as the first meeting and have it referred
to the proper Committee. Their last letter is dated April 17\textsuperscript{th}, 1908.

On motion the above matter was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

On motion of Mr. Rockefeller the communication from Mr. Bloodgood, President
of the Associate Subscribers, addressed to the President of the Club, was tabled.

THE SECRETARY: Here is a matter that I suppose should come before the
Executive Committee, but I will report it to you. A fox hound was shown a the late Boston
show as an American fox hound. It is an appeal from my decision in cancelling the win.
Here is a fox hound that was sired by an English fox hound out of a so called American
fox hound bitch, and I decided that that was a cross-bred hound, and was not eligible to
compete in the American fox hound classes, and I cancelled his win.

MR. BROOKS: Should not that be sent to the Stud
THE SECRETARY: I do not know. I think it is for you to determine.

MR. APPLETON: I think precedent will show that wins of hounds bred in that way have been recognized.

THE SECRETARY: The precedent has been established in this club that a setter that can trace to a cross between a Gordon or the Irish must be known as a cross-bred setter. The precedent has been established that a Boston terrier is only a Boston Terrier when the original cross is at least in the fourth generation, and in hounds it cannot be known as an American fox hound, when it is stated and admitted that the sire of that dog is an imported English fox hound.

On motion the matter was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

On motion the recommendation of the Secretary-Treasurer concerning certain financial matters was referred to the Finance Committee with the request that it be acted upon immediately and referred to the Committee of Thirty.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, containing the following applications for kennel names.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airedale Farm,</td>
<td>Howard Keeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha,</td>
<td>E. A. McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arco,</td>
<td>A. J. Cueny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkaketa,</td>
<td>W. H. Hardman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanco,</td>
<td>J. S. Shannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomcroft,</td>
<td>Mrs. Oliver Posfay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Merle,</td>
<td>W. T. Akers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadstone,</td>
<td>F. A. Doll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briar Brae,</td>
<td>Alex L. Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canonicut,</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal,</td>
<td>Arthur L. Mitchell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clipstone,</td>
<td>E. W. Thorpe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climax,</td>
<td>J. B. Werner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cresco,</td>
<td>J. D. Sterrett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domino,</td>
<td>E. C. Cowdin, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dreadnaught,</td>
<td>Dr. J. A. Wiborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drewsdale,</td>
<td>Dr. E. Poseel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dundarroch,</td>
<td>Robert Pilling, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endemere</td>
<td>Albert H. Hayes, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldone,</td>
<td>E. P. Little</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fardale,</td>
<td>Nellie T. Carney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firwood,</td>
<td>Frank Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaiety,</td>
<td>Geo. Chipchase &amp; C.E. Clayton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glanmoir</td>
<td>H. W. Clapham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Esk</td>
<td>John Jamieson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenbrook</td>
<td>R. W. Ide &amp; J. A. Wheeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holdfast,</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. J. Balard &amp; G.F. Eggert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway</td>
<td>H. G. Cheney &amp; Robert Cheney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td>H. Eichholt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatslea</td>
<td>W. J. Hunter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harpeth</td>
<td>L. P. Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heir-at-law</td>
<td>R. F. Thoma &amp; Dr. F. Thoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillcroft</td>
<td>Alice M. Bacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idlewood</td>
<td>L. F. Schelver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imprimis</td>
<td>W. J. Morris &amp; Parker Morris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inglewood</td>
<td>Mrs. D. J. Hansing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keewaydin,</td>
<td>G. J. Hodges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrencedale,</td>
<td>C. C. Philer &amp; Son</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Vern,</td>
<td>M. J. Bidwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leabrooke,</td>
<td>I. H. Auerbach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynwood,</td>
<td>H. B. Troyer &amp; J. B. Troyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milnshaw,</td>
<td>Jules Ferond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mountain View          James G. Keefe
Naomi                 James D. Blakeslee
Nelson                George C. Douglas
Nirvana               M. J. Mullin
Noema                 Stephen Kinal
North Shore           E. J. Burns
Northern              Ivan Hardon
North Woods           W. U. Taylor
Ormonde               George R. Preston
Orienta               A. C. Bostwick
Otis                  C. P. Rockwood
Pah King Kow          Mr. & Mrs. A. J. S. Edwards
Red Stone             A. J. Taylor
Ridgeway              G. W. Quintard, Jr.
Ripplebrook           Barney Schley
Rozane                F. H. Fletcher & W. Walker
Satinwood             George A. Cheney
Sweet Briar           L. B. Bridaham
St. Boswells          Dr. H. F. Waite & G. A. Armstrong
Thayerdale            Nat. L. Messer
The Mission           J. Rolph, Jr.
Uvas                  G. A. Nieborger
Weequahic             H. M. Vosburgh
Westfield             E. E. Gowey
Whitedotte            R. H. Plant, Jr.
Yewsummit             E. A. Schumann
8 Acres               Jacob Wirth
Ononda                W. E. P. Hewitt

TRANSFERS

Annandale           from Moses Taylor to Mr. and Mrs. Moses Taylor
Celtic              from Estate Rev. J. D. O’Gorman to Daniel O’Gorman
Fairfield           from H. C. Fleitman & G. C. Riggs to H. C. Fleitman
True Blue           from W. D. White to Austin B. White
Willowmere          from Estate Anna S. Marks to A. S. Dodd
On motion the report was accepted and placed on file and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

MR. BROOKS: I would like to make it a part of the minutes that the Ladies' Kennel Association of Massachusetts paid on January 4, 1908 to Mr. W. H. C. Pillsbury the special prize offered by the Airedale Terrier Club of $5 won by his “Roylston Nance” at the Ladies' Kennel Association show at Riverdale June 5, 1907.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
President August Belmont in the chair.

Present:

August Belmont,  
Hildreth K. Bloodgood,  
Marcel A. Viti,  
James. W. Appleton,  
Monson Morris,  
Dwight Moore,  
Joseph M. Dale,  
Singleton Van Schaick,  
B. S. Smith,  
L. F. R. Drake  
William C. Codman,  
John G. Bates.

Mr. Moore: I move that the reading of the minutes of the last meeting be dispensed with and adopted as published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his regular quarterly report.

The same follows:

New York, Sept. 30, 1908

To the BOARD OF DIRECTORS of

THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this my regular quarterly report.

Since the last meeting
of the Board licenses have been issued to twenty-one clubs to hold shows under our rules. I beg to submit a report of the Stud Book Committee of its meeting held June 16th, last. Also minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of May 26th and August 1st, 1908. Also a communication from said Committee requesting an interpretation of the conditions governing a Breeders’ cup which was competed for on the Pacific coast, also a bill from said Committee for disbursements from May 12th to August 22nd, amounting to $60.15.

At the meeting held May, 1907, the Southern Kennel Club and its officers were suspended for its failure to pay listing fees amounting to $60.50. Said amount was paid on September 8th, 1908. As I had no authority to re-instate said Club or its officers, upon the liquidation of said indebtedness, I respectfully refer the matter to your Board for action.

Mr. Ernest Lester Jones, applied for the Kennel Name Brentwood, which was not approved by the Stud Book Committee. He now appeals from the action of the Stud Book Committee and said appeal with his reasons will be presented to you for your action.

Under date of September 8th, 1908, the Toy Spaniel Club of America filed with this office a notice of the expulsion of one of its members, Miss Rosalie I. Stewart, and
on same date I notified Miss Stewart of her suspension giving her an opportunity to show cause why said suspension should not be made permanent. An appeal has been received from Miss Stewart.

The following charges have been filed for unpaid prizes:

June 9th: John L. Korzendorfer against Merrimack Valley K. C.


August 22nd: O. A. Zuerchen vs. Buffalo K. C.

The following charges are for misconduct in connection with dogs.

June 17th, 1908, James Webber vs. Frank T. Brown.

Sept. 25th, 1908, American Kennel Club vs. Jules Ferond.

In compliance with the recommendation of the Stud Book Committee charges have been preferred by the A. K. C. against R. S. Barrett for an alleged fraudulent transaction in connection with dogs.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, Sept. 30, 1908.

To the BOARD OF DIRECTORS of

THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit
herewith my regular quarterly financial report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand January 1st, 1908</td>
<td>20,640.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from January 1st to date</td>
<td>19,814.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$40,455.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from January 1st to date</td>
<td>23,274.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$17,189.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

A. P Vredenburgh
Treasurer.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I present the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee, held on May 26th, 1908 and August 1st, 1908.

MR. MOORE: I move that the reading of such minutes be dispensed with, but that they be spread upon the minutes of this meeting of the Board of Directors.

Notion seconded and carried.

Following are the minutes of the two meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee:

REGULAT MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held at the Office of Dr. W. P. Burnham, San Francisco, May 26th, 1908.


Absent: Chas. K. Harley, H. H. Carlton

On motion, Dr. Burnham took the chair.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
SECRETARY’S REPORT.

San Francisco, May 26\textsuperscript{th}, 1908.

Pacific Advisory Committee,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that to date of the current year, ten shows have been held in the jurisdiction of this Committee, viz.: 7 by members of the A. K. C. and 3 by licensees.

2252 dogs were shown and 29 championship points recorded.

Total listing fees remitted to A. K. C. $278.50

I have approved dates for the following members:

Santa Cruz Co. K. C. Colorado K. C.

I have to lay before you application for license from the Del Monte Kennel Club for Show at Del Monte, August 28-29; Montana Kennel Club for Show at Helena, September 30 to October 2.

Both applicants have complied with all requirements of the American Kennel Club, and I would recommend that the licenses be granted.

The Hawaiian Kennel Club has filed a deposit for a show to be held at a date to be claimed later, when the movements of the Atlantic Fleet are definitely determined. On account of the emergency of the case, and that owing to geographical distance, the show at Honolulu could not be
held, if that club had first to ascertain if a certain specified date would be granted I have authorized the Club to hold the show and notify me when the date is settled. Such date cannot conflict with any other show in our jurisdiction and I would ask the Committee to approve my action.

There are 26 Club in this jurisdiction, 20 being members and 6 licensees.

The following appeals will be laid before the Committee:

BONE AND ATTRIDGE v. S. F. K. C. Appeal from Bench Show Committee.

WAGNER v. SEATTLE D. G. G. Compliant of non-payment of special prize.

I beg to inform the Committee, that in conformity with instruction from New York, I have issued a penalty bill against Eugene Tripod for erroneous entry of his Poodle, Black III. At Oakland, and he has returned said bill with no advice that he did not enter nor authorize any one else to enter his dog at that show, nor did he show his dog. As a matter of fact his dog is marked absent in the official catalogue. I submit the original blank, catalogue and letter from Mr. Tripod.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman,

Secretary.
On motion, the Secretary’s report was accepted and ordered filed.

On motion, it was ordered that a License be granted to the Montana Kennel Club of Helena, Montana.

On motion, it was ordered that License be granted to the Del Monte Kennel Club of Del Monte, California.

After some discussion it was moved and seconded that the Standing Resolution of March, 1902, and September 1907, be and hereby is suspended in so far as applications for License from New Clubs are concerned, and that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to call a special meeting of the Committee to consider all such application, giving at least five days notice of such meeting to the members, and that mail votes shall no longer be issued to cover the cases in question.

CARRIED

On motion, it was resolved that the action of the Secretary in the case of the Hawaiian Kennel Club be and hereby is approved.

F. W. WAGNER v. SETTLE DOG FANCIERS ASSOCIATION:
Complaint of non-payment of Special Prize

The Complaint of Appellant sets forth that his Pointer Puppy, Chief Sam, won the “Butterworth Trophy” at the Seattle Show, 1907, and that the same was never received.

From the letters of the Appellant to the Committee, as well as from the letters of Appellant to the Secretary of the
Respondent Association, it appeared that the said Association has never received the trophy offered by Butterworth, but that they had sent to Appellant a trophy to take the place of the one offered. The Appellant had returned the trophy sent to him, because it was tarnished and did not appear to him to be of sufficient value. The Seattle Dog Fanciers Association submitted that it had acted in good faith, and had done its best to make good the loss occasioned by the failure of Butterworth & Son to keep their word.

It was moved and seconded that WHEREAS the Seattle Dog Fanciers Association appears to have complied with the rules of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB by forwarding to Appellant a trophy to replace the one advertized in the premium list, therefore be it

RESOLVED that the SEATTLE DOG FANCIERS ASSOCIATION has fulfilled its duty, and that the case be dismissed.

CARRIED.

In the matter of the penalty bill, for $1.00 issued against EUGENE TRIPID, for erroneous entry of his Poodle, Black III. In the Oakland Show of 1908, as shown by original entry blank and catalogue of said show,

It was moved and seconded that WHEREAS the entry blank shows that the entry of the dog was made, and

WHEREAS Exhibitor returns the bill and advises that he never made nor authorized such entry, therefore be it
RESOLVED that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to call on the Oakland
Kennel Club for an explanation of the transaction. CARRIED.

BONE AND ATTRIDGE v. SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB:

Appeal from decision of Bench Show Committee.

The trophy to be known as the “Breeder’s’ Cup” from the award of which appeal
was taken, was originally offered in 1902, by Dr, H. L. Tevis, “For the best Pacific Coast-
bred Bull Terrier whelped in the year previous to competition, to be won three times
under the condition of deed before becoming the property of one exhibitor.”

From the premium lists submitted, it appeared that the defendant in 1903, the
second year of the competition for the trophy, amended the wording of the deed to read
as follows: “Dr. H. L. Tevis offers the Breeder’s Cup for the best Pacific Coast-bred Bull
Terrier, whelped in the year previous to competition, to be won three times by the same
Breeder, before becoming his or her absolute property.”

Each of the Appellants had scored one win for the trophy under the first deed and
J. I. Sparrow, to whom the trophy was awarded and delivered, had made three wins
under the new deed.

The Respondent Club contended that the change in the wording simply made the
conditions of the deed plainer, without altering the wording, and that the Appellants had
forfeited
their right to appeal by omitting to do so, when the change was first made five years previously. As argument for the defense, the Club cited the fact, that in 1903, the Appellant, Bone, exhibited a bitch puppy, Hawthorn Pleasure, bred by himself, which took second in puppy class, and allowed the award to go to John Bradshaw for a puppy bred by him, but exhibited by Arthur Spear; and as a further argument for the defense, the fact, that the other Appellant, Attridge, exhibited a dog puppy bred by himself, Edgcote Baron, which took first in its class, and yet allowed the award to go, also without protest, to Mrs. C. R. Thorburn’s bitch puppy Meg Mirrilies II, bred by J. I. Sparrow, the award being the second made the latter.

On consideration of the premium lists and catalogues, and of the arguments advanced by both parties to the appeal it was

MOVED AND SECONDED that the Secretary to be and hereby is instructed to refer the case, with all necessary detail, to the Rules Committee for an interpretation of the original deed of gift, especially with reference to the word “Exhibitor” appearing therein, and for a ruling as to whether the appellants had or had not lost their right to contest the amended wording of the premium list, in view of their failure to make an earlier protest, and that the consideration of
this appeal be and is deferred until reply is received from the Rules Committee.

CARRIED.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Attest:

J. P, Norman,

Secretary.

REGULAR MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held at First and Mission Streets, San Francisco,

August 1st, 1908.

Present:  C. K. Harley, Chairman; W. W. Stettheimer,

Absent: H. H. Carlton; Dr. W, P, Burnham.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read. It was moved and seconded that they be approved as read. An amendment was moved and seconded that the minutes be corrected by substituting the words “American Kennel Club” for “Rules Committee”, wherever the latter may occur in the last paragraph of said minutes.

CARRIED.

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting were then accepted as corrected.

The Secretary’s report was read and on motion was accepted and ordered spread on the minutes, as hereunto attached.

The application of the Spokane Kennel Club for
license to hold a show at Spokane, Wash., on October 6\textsuperscript{th} to 9\textsuperscript{th} was approved.

The application of the Ladies kennel Association of California for a license to hold a show at Oakland, Cal. On September 25\textsuperscript{th} and 26\textsuperscript{th} was approved.

In the matter of the fine levied on Eugued Tripod for error in entering dog at Oakland show, and cancelled on account of statement of Tripod that he had not made nor authorized such entry, the Oakland Kennel Club made reply to the enquiry of the Committee, that said entry was procured by telephone. On motion, the case was laid on the table.

A communication was received from the San Francisco Kennel Club and read: On motion, it was ordered that consideration of the same be deferred to the next meeting of the Committee.

VENICE OF AMERICA KENNEL CLUB v. W. E. CHUTE: MISCONDUCT.

Notice from the Venice of America Kennel Club that W. W. Chute had been suspended for three years for removing a dog from the Venice show without permission of superintendent or veterinarian. Respondent filed an affidavit acknowledging the offence, but claiming that he removed the bitch in question, for the reason that she would not relieve the wants of nature on the hard wooden floor provided for the exercising room, and further, that he perforce to leave
the show some hours before its close and removed the bitch, because the latter was of such a bad temper as to forbid of being handled by any strangers and was therefore a menace to all visitors at the show.

It was moved and seconded that W. W. Chute be and hereby is suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club for thirty days, dating from July 25th, the last day of the Venice show. CARRIED.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest:

J. P. Norman,

Secretary.

August 1, 1908.

Pacific Advisory Committee,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the business done by this office to the end of July of the current year is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Letter written</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>received</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration, certified pedigrees and Kennel Names, &amp;c.</td>
<td>about 1500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalty bills issued by instruction of New York office</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shows licensed of approved</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listing fees remitted</td>
<td>$352.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls at residence to examine Stud Books or for information</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A large number of requests were made for examination of Stud books and other information, which could be granted; others had to be refused or referred to the New York office, on account of the lack of time or information.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman,

Secretary.

On motion the bill submitted by the Pacific Advisory Committee of $60.15 for disbursement was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: At the meeting of this club held in 1907, the Southern Kennel Club was suspended, together with its officers, for its failure to pay over to this club the listing fees which it received. A year and a half after that, on September 8th, we received the $60.50 which they owed to this club. The resolution simply suspended the club and its officers, and gave no authority to reinstate upon the payment of the amount due. It is now for you gentlemen to determine whether this club should be reinstated or not.

MR. MOORE: I move that the Southern Kennel Club be reinstated, it having made good its indebtedness to this club.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Dale: I offer as an amendment that the matter
be laid on the table.

Amendment seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Mr. Ernest Lester Jones applied for the kennel name “Brentwood”. The Stud Book Committee disapproved this application for the reason that the same name “Bentwood” was already registered.

MR. APPLETON: It has been the policy of the Committee not to allow names that conflict in any way. That list of kennel names which Mr. Jones gives in his communication I think was given some years ago before we adopted this present policy. I think our present method is the right one. I think after we have given these names a registration they ought to be protected by us, and that we should not grant names which sound so nearly alike that a mistake might be made.

MR. MOORE: I would like to ask with the Stud Book Committed, in framing their report, did not have in mind the interest of other parties holding similar kennel names rather than any other purpose, to protect the owner and the club.

MR. VITI: And the general public. They must not be confounded. It is the same rule that the Courts have adopted in regard to trade names and trade marks. There must be no infringements. We came before the directors some time since and asked for instruction in this matter, and it
seemed to be the consensus of opinion, that we should not permit it for these two reasons: First, that it was unfair to the owner of a similar registered name; and second, it was unfair to the public.

MR. MOORE: If the owner of a similar name raised no objection, it would still be against the policy of the Stud Book Committee?

MR. VITI: Of public policy.

THE SECRETARY: The owner of the word “Bentwood” does object. He sent us a letter to that effect.

MR. MOORE: I move that the action of the Stud Book Committee in this matter be approved and its policy endorsed.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from the Pacific Advisory Committee under date of May 28th, 1908, asking an interpretation of the condition governing a Breeder’s Cup which was competed for on the Pacific coast.

MR. MORRIS: I move that it be referred to the Committee on Rules with power.

Motion seconded and carried.

(At this point President Belmont resigned the chair to Mr. Bloodgood).

The report of the Stud Book Committee of June 16th, 1908, was read, and is as follows:
At a meeting of the Stud Book Committee held the 16\textsuperscript{th} the following subjects were acted upon.

In the matter of the breed of dog submitted by Commander Peary. The same is to be known and recognized as the North Greenland Eskimo.

In the matter of the Maltese Terrier, “Sonny Boy”. This dog was registered in 1906 on its wins, and there does not seem to be sufficient evidence to show that his pedigree is incorrect.

In the matter of the English Setter “Fleetbird”. From the evidence submitted it seems that the bitch sold does not tally with the bitch registered, both in number, color, and the date of whelping changed on the certified pedigree. The Committee recommend that the transaction be investigated upon charges of fraud.

M. A. Viti,

Chairman Stud Book Committee.

THE SECRETARY: I have preferred charges against this man for fraud.

The report of the Stud Book Committee dated September 30\textsuperscript{th}, 1908, was then read, as follows:

To the Board of Directors,

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee herewith submit their report. 72 application for Kennel Names have been
Received, also 4 applications for transfers. All have been passed with the exception of the word “Braemore” which conflicts with the word “Braemar” already registered, and therefore is disallowed.

In the matter of the Foxhound “Vanquish” your Committee beg to report that whereas there are no rules and resolution taken by this Club defining what constitutes an American Foxhound, a misunderstanding has therefore existed. We recommend that the wins at the New England Kennel Club show in February, 1908, should be allowed.

APPLICATIONS FOR KENNEL NAMES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abington</td>
<td>Clark Guild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aikbrae</td>
<td>C. M. Terrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airefield</td>
<td>R. B. Harkness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbordene</td>
<td>Mrs. N. W. Emerson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocrat</td>
<td>W. W. Ordway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beacon</td>
<td>Daniel O’Keefe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bichon</td>
<td>Mrs. R. T. Cochrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Bonnet</td>
<td>P. H. Gilbert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boquet</td>
<td>B. Strauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braehead</td>
<td>A. L. Hinterleiter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carteret</td>
<td>Mrs. A. R. Conkling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carole</td>
<td>A. E. Sussex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedarcroft</td>
<td>C. H. Gillette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celamo</td>
<td>Mrs. C. E Lamouree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrali</td>
<td>Miss R. A. Beardsworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clove Valley</td>
<td>Hermann Mahnken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobham</td>
<td>R. G. Carpenter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denniston</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. W. H. Dennis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Run</td>
<td>Knox Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derryfield</td>
<td>Dr. G. A. Spencer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doberman</td>
<td>T. F. Jager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enaetai</td>
<td>Mrs. L. Macdonald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair View</td>
<td>F. K. &amp; E. A. Hiser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falkirk</td>
<td>Edward M Evans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontaines</td>
<td>E. J. D'Argent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Pitt</td>
<td>Robert W. Kennery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Oaks</td>
<td>Henry R. Renwick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garland-dale</td>
<td>C. C. Garland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greggsdale</td>
<td>J. W. Gregg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhill</td>
<td>Matt Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grizzly</td>
<td>Ned Hodgkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guelph</td>
<td>W. H. Harris &amp; M. M. Harris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halls</td>
<td>Geo. W. Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayfields</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. W. M. Benjamin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubertus</td>
<td>E. W. Peter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay-Ess</td>
<td>Mrs. K. S. Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilburn</td>
<td>J. P Brownlee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kill-Kare</td>
<td>Mrs. F. A. Kendall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Killiekrankie  I. Erhardt Lee
Larchmere  Robert Jordan
Longellow  Stephen B. Adams
Mahoning  A. D. Newell
Meadowspring  F. M. Small
Millbrae  E. F. Morgan
Mill Farm  Marie A. Stovell
Nazinova  Chas. Givernaud
Oacheta  C. E. Faulk
Peerless  S. G. Allen
Picktime  E. J. Bernhard
Pinewood  Mrs. H. McCurdy
Rose City  Julius Kallich
Royacote  Fred M. Hill
Ryton  Robert Wallace
Saint Tammany  J. B. Fain
Scarlet  S. L. Davenport
Selmore  Alexander Adams
Sheffield  Mrs. J. Bischoff
Skagit  Howard Seabury
Sunnyvale  John Fagan
Sycamore Farm  Loomis L. White
Tatiana  Mrs. J. Hadley
Templand  Mrs. A. M. Grierson
The Duchess  A. Zimmerman
TRANSFERS.

Burnley          From Geo. W. Bunnell to Edward Harding
Rodney           From C. G. Hopton to John D. James.
Ridgetop         From R. B. Baker to Eliza T. Baker and E. B. Baker
Water Witch      From S. C. Denby to Mrs. M. H. Flick

Signed          M. A. Viti, Chairman,
                 J. A. Appleton,
                 S. Van Schaick,
                 Stud Book Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that the reports of the Stud Book Committee be approved.

Motion seconded and carried.
The meeting then adjourned.

A P Vredenburgh
Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD
AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 55 LIBERY STREET, NEW YORK CITY,
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 30TH, 1908

In the absence of the President and Vice President Dr. J. E. De Mund was
selected to preside.

Present:

ASSOCIATE DELEGATE. Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
W. J. Berg

AMERICAN DACHSHUNDE CLUB. G. Muss-Arnolt.

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB. H. H. Hunnewell

BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA. W. C. Codman

CEDARHURTS KENNEL CLUB. John G. Bates.

CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB. Abram D. Gillette

COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA R. S. Edson.

FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA Richard H. Hunt.

IRISH SETTER CLUB. Lawrence M. D. McGuire

IRISH SETTER CLUB. Singleton Van Shaick.

MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB. C. F. R. Drake.

NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB. Chetwood Smith.

RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB Dr. J. E. De Mund.
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his regular quarterly report, as follows:

New York, Nov. 30, 1908

TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this my regular quarterly report.

Agreeable to the direction of the Board of Directors at its last meeting, I have referred the matter of the Tevis Breeders’ Cup, competed for at the shows of the San Francisco Kennel Club, to the Committee on Constitution and Rules, which will report on the subject at this meeting.

I have sent to the Stud Book Committee thirty-six application for the registration for Kennel Names, and four Transfers. A report from said Committee on these application should be presented at this meeting.

Since the last meeting of the delegates twenty-four
licenses have been granted by the Member Committee for shows to be held under our rules.

I beg to present the minutes of the meeting of our Pacific Advisory Committee of October 17th, 1908.

The following charges have been lodged for unpaid prizes, F. T. Brown vs. Oak Park Collie Club; Charles Kershner vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club; Mrs. A. R. Conkling vs. Monmouth Co. Kennel Club.

I reported at a former meeting the suspension of William O’Connor by the North Shore Kennel Club, and under date of October 20th I have a request from said club to reinstate O’Connor.

At the last meeting of the Board of Directors I reported the expulsion of Miss Rosalie Stewart by the toy Spaniel Club of America, and our suspension is in consequence thereof. I respectfully submit that the notice of expulsion should be read at this meeting to enable you to determine whether grounds for expulsion is sufficient for our suspension.

I have a notice from the Secretary of the New England Kennel Club of the resignation of William B. Emery as a delegate to this club.

I beg to report that I will mail this day all bills for Active Dues and for Associate Subscriptions. As Active Dues must be received on or before January
1st I will act in accordance with the instructions given me last year, and hold such payments as may be made after January 1st subject to your official action at the annual meeting in February.

A bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from August 24th to November 23rd inclusive has been presented and I respectfully recommend that same be paid.

Respectfully,

Signed    A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report, as follows:

New York, November 30, 1908

TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand January 1st, 1908</td>
<td>20,640.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from January 1st to date</td>
<td>23,179.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$43,819.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from January 1st to date</td>
<td>26,721.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$17,098.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

Signed    A. P. Vredenburgh,  
Treasurer
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee, October 17, 1908, were submitted, and on motion they were ordered published in the Gazette. They are as follows:

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, OCTOBER 17, 1908,

1196 McAllister Street, San Francisco.


Absent: C. K. Harley

On motion, Mr. Carlton took the chair.

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

It was moved and seconded that the rules be suspended, in order to dispose of pending protests and appeals.

CARRIED.

In the case of STETTHEIMER vs. VENICE OF AMERICA KENNEL CLUB: An appeal from decision of a bench show committee.

Appellant filed an affidavit stating that his fox terrier dog, Tallac Marlin, had been entered in the Venice show, had won special prize for best of any breed in the show, but had failed to compete for the special offered for best Pacific-Coast bred dog, by reason of the neglect of the show-giving club to see that his dog was brought into the ring for competition. The dog was evidently eligible to compete, as appel-
llant’s entry blank stated that the owner was breeder, and that his residence was at Redwood City, California. Appellant appeared in person.

Respondent was not represented.

Respondent filed answer to the effect that the club was not responsible for the failure of Appellant’s dog to compete, inasmuch as it was impossible for a club to determine which dogs were eligible for specials, and moreover that it was no part of the duty of a club to look out for dogs for anything but regular classes.

It was moved and seconded, that whereas the Venice of America Kennel Club advertised in its premium list, that when exhibitors were not present, their dogs would be taken into the ring for competition by attendants, and whereas appellant’s entry blank showed clearly that Tallac Marlin must be a Pacific Coast-bred dog, and therefore eligible to compete for the trophy offered for such, and whereas said Tallac Marlin had won the special for best of any breed in the show and would necessarily have won the aforesaid trophy, if allowed to compete for same, and had the respondent exercised due care to take him into the ring, as advertised in their premium list:

Therefore be it RESOLVED, that the appeal be and is sustained, and that Appellant’s dog is entitled to the trophy offered for best Pacific Coast-bred dog, and that the Venice
of America Kennel Club be and hereby is ordered to deliver said trophy to appellant and to return to him his deposit of $5.00 within thirty days from date hereof, under penalty of suspension.

DENNIS vs. LADIES KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA: An appeal from decision of Bench Show Committee.

W. H. Dennis represented by Mrs. W. H. Dennis.

L. K. A. of Cal. Represented by Mrs. H. P. Rosenberg for the President, Mrs. J. A. Wiborn and by Dr. L. W. Spriggs for the Secretary, Mrs. G. A. Spriggs.

Appellant filed affidavit by Mrs. W. H. Dennis and Mrs. J. W. Mathews.

Respondent filed no affidavit and no written defense.

Appellant appealed from decision of bench show committee, on protest based on statement that appellant’s black cocker spaniel bitch, Twinkle II. Had been beaten for first winners, and for special prizes, by bitch Cressella Nancy, owned by A. L. Crewsell, which bitch had been entered at the Oakland show of the L. K. A. Cal. After the official due date of closing of entries.

After hearing the affidavits presented by appellant, and the oral testimony, offered by respondent and a witness,

It was MOVED AND SECONDED, that Whereas it was proven by the testimony given by the Respondent Club’s representative,
that the entry of Cressella Nancy was not completed until after the date of closing of entries,

Therefore be it RESOLVED that this appeal be and is sustained, and that all awards given to said Cressella Nancy be and hereby are cancelled and all prizes forfeited, and that the award of First in Winners Class, Bitches, Black Cocker Spaniels and the Trophy for best Black Cocker Bitch, and the California Cocker Club Trophy for best Black Cocker Spaniel of Opposite Sex be made and passed to the Reserve bitch, Appellant's Twinkle II and that the Ladies Kennel Association of California be and hereby is ordered to deliver to Appellant all said Trophies and to return to him his deposit of $5.00 within thirty days from date hereof, and to pay to the American Kennel Club a fine of $5.00 in respect to the entry of Cressella Nancy aforesaid.

On motion the meeting was adjourned, subject to the call of the chair.

Attest: J. P. Norman,

Secretary.

The following report of the Rules Committee was read:

Nov 30, 1908

In reference to Tevis Breeder's Cup matter referred to us to determine, we beg to report that in view of the fact that the original deed of gift was destroyed in the San Francisco fire, a decision can only be reached through the wording of the special as published for the first time in the San Franci-
co Dog Show catalogue of 1902 which reads as follows:

Dr. H. L. Tevis offers a silver cup to be known as “THE BREEDER’S CUP” for the best Pacific Coast bred bull terrier whelped in the year previous to competition (1901). To be won three times under the condition of deed before becoming the absolute property of one exhibitor.

It is the sense of this Committee that the conditions of a deed of gift for any special prize cannot be changed after the conditions of the same have been published in premium list or catalogue, therefore we decide that the cup has been won as follows:

At the 1902 show by J. C. Bone breeder and exhibitor of the bitch Hawthorn Snowflake.

At the 1904 show by Ed. Attridge breeder and exhibitor of the dog Edgecote Peer.

At the 1903, 1905 and 1906 shows the exhibitors to whom the awards were given were not the breeders and therefore the awards are not in accordance with our conception of a “Breeder’s Cup.”

G. Muss-Arnolt.

Dwight Moore.

A. P. Vredenburgh.

On motion the same was accepted and filed.
The Stud Book Committee report was read as follows:

The Stud Book recommend the granting of the following Kennel Names and transfers with the exception of the word “Portsmouth” as it is the name of a City and under the rules cannot be allowed.

Albedo       Charlotte J. Dunks
Briar Bush   J. E. Stuckert
Dadda        Samuel S. Benedict
Dun-Edin     Austin V. King
Edgehill     Herbert Chantry
Eden Hill    Silas Wodell
Elscote      Mrs. T. King and Miss E Ladew
Elysees      Fred L. Lewis
Ethelburt    Fred E Colburn
Fantastic    Daniel S. Fling
Forward      Edgar Frowd
Gladstone    Emrick Bros. and B. J. Ankenbruck
Holmes       F. H. Scott and C. M. McDickey
Houghton      J. V. Bostwick
Jacquesrose  Mrs. J. B. Moulton
Live Oak Grove O. J. Albee
Mt. Greylock  Richard Knowles
Northrop     Florence E. Northrop
Proctor      S. F. Murphy
Rudolfus     J. L. Rudolf
Sleep Hollow H. K. Browning
Stirling      Whitefield Bowers
Stone        Alice W. Clark
Sunnisippi   L. B. & E. M. Denniston
Swastika     Harry W. Maloy
The Colony   Charles R. Wood
Treborata    Robert A. Roos
Ute          A. J. Robinson
Wethersfield Alfred Fischer
Wildgrove    George H. Vickery
Von Yon      C. C. Prevost
Transfers.

Alarca from A. E. Hutchinson to Charles C. Ryder.

Twilight Miss E. F. Brownewell to Miss. E. F. Brownewell and Howard Weber.

Westwood Charles C. Goodich to Edward Cunningham.

Your Committee would also call your attention to the fact that the name "Lynbrook" has been applied for, for registration, while the word "Lynwood" is registered. An application has been made for the word "Parkview", while the words "Parkdale" and "Parkway" are registered. Application ahs also been made for the word "Southland" while the words "Southlawn" and "Southwark" are already registered and we would ask you to determine whether or not these applications should be granted. We further recommend that in order to remedy the present confusion and unsatisfactory condition of affairs and to meet what seems to be the view of the breeders of Foxhounds, hereafter the breed be divided into English and American Foxhounds. The requirements of registration in each breed to be the same as those prescribed for other breeds of dogs. In order to disturb existing conditions as little as possible, we recommend that this should go into effect after December 31st, 1908, the closing of the current Stud Book. Your Committee in making the foregoing recommendation bases its conclusion upon a wide correspondence with those interested in breeding Foxhounds and in exhibiting them and found only one correspondent, the Brunswick Foxhound Club, who was not in favor of the change.
The correspondence is attached hereto and made a part of this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Marcel A. Viti.
Singleton Van Schaick.

(At this point Mr. H. H. Hunnewell arrived and took the chair.)

THE CHAIRMAN: The Stud Book Committee calls attention, in its report, to the fact that the name "Lynbrook" has been applied for, for registration, while the word "Lynwood" is registered; that the application has been made for the name "Parkview", while the words "Parkdale" and "Parkway" are registered; that application has also been made for the word "Southland" while the word "Southlawn" and "Southwark" are already registered. The Committee asks the delegates to determine here whether or not these applications should be granted under the circumstances.

MR. MORTIMER: I move that those applications be granted.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: What recommendation does the Committee make?

THE CHAIRMAN: The Committee makes no recommendation at all.

MR. VITI: We thought it would be better to have the
Delegates pass on those applications. There has been a great deal of comment made
by applicants as to why kennel names applied for by them were not passed upon.
Heretofore we have been in the habit of rejecting all those which were phonetically
similar to those already registered. Mr. Can Schaick and I went over the names, and for
that reason we thought it would be well to bring up those three names for the meeting to
pass upon.

MR. McGUIRE: I do not think it would be advisable or wise to grant those names
if there is the least doubt on the part of the Committee about the matter. I think those
three names should be sent back to the Stud Book Committee.

MR. MORITMER: I think the difference is so great between, for instance,
"Southland" and "Southlawn" and the same with the others, that there would be no
danger of conflict, and therefore I cannot see any reason why they should not be
granted.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It seems to me that the Stud Book Committee should
make a decision in the matter. The Committee is appointed for the purpose with power
to act. If they have any doubt about it, let them so state, but they should make a
decision one way or another. Otherwise there is no use in having the Committee.

MR. MORTIMER: I think the Committee has acted with
A great deal of wisdom. They were not certain in their own minds whether these applications should be granted or not; therefore they refer the matter to a general meeting. What more can they do? It was the wisest course they could pursue in my opinion.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: The general meeting has no light on those matters except from the information which is given to us, therefore it cannot decide properly. It is for the Stud Book Committee to act upon.

Mr. Mortimer's motion was seconded and lost...

Mr. McGuire moved that the matter be sent back to the Stud Book Committee and that the balance of its report be accepted and its recommendations adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Oak Park Collie Club has defaulted in the payment of prizes to F. T. Brown; the Merrimack valley Kennel Club has defaulted in the payment of prizes to Charles Kershner, and the Monmouth County Kennel Club has defaulted in the payment of prizes to Mrs. A. R. Conkling.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is the usual procedure?

THE SECRETARY: It has been usual to refer it to the Executive Committee, but I think that this meeting is capable of acting. They have all been notified. The usual procedure is suspension until they comply and pay their prizes.
MR. MORTIMER: Have they given any reason why they declined to pay their prizes?

THE SECRETARY: No. I sent a communication to the Secretary of the Monmouth County Kennel Club on October 29th. I had a call from the Secretary about a week ago, and he said he would attend to the matter. I have had no information on the subject since. He said he would let me know just as soon as he had attended to it. I addressed a communication to the Secretary of the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club on October 24th on the subject, but no reply has yet been received. I notified the Secretary of the Oak Park Collie Club by letter dated September 3rd, and he replied saying that the medals were now completed and would be delivered to Mr. Brown with the cups which were then being engraved, and that he had notified Mr. Brown to that effect. I received a letter from Mr. Frank Brown to-day in which he states that the same answer was given to him two months ago, and that he could not understand why it should require two months to send medals which were already engraved. This notice was sent to me by the Secretary of the Oak Par Club on September 14th, two months and a half ago.

MR. MOORE: I move that these three clubs be given thirty days in which to pay said prizes, at which time if not paid, they be suspended.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The North Shore Kennel Club, under date of April 20th, suspended Mr. William O’Connor, which acted as a suspension here. There has been no opportunity since that time to refer this suspension for action to the proper committee, but on October 20th I received a request from the North Shore Kennel Club to re-instate Mr. O’Connor.

MR. MOORE: Who asks for his re-instatement?

THE SECRETARY: Mr. M. F. Mulcahey, who says he thinks Mr. O’Conner has been punished enough.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Does he withdraw the charges?

THE SECRETARY: That is practically what it amounts to.

MR. CODMAN: It was a rather stormy mix-up at the time, and they were all somewhat heated. Mulcahey was the offended person, and he is asking to rescind the order of suspension.

MR. MORTIMER: The request should come from the show-giving club that suspended him, shouldn’t it?

THE CHAIRMAN: It should come from the people who punished him.

THE SECRETARY: It came here from Mr. M. F. Mulcahey, the chairman of the committee, and this letter is signed simply by M. F. Mulcahey.
MR. CODMAN: I think it should be referred back to the club which suspended him.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: As the second letter is not signed Bench Show Committee, I think it is absolutely informal, and we cannot take action on it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, we can take action.

MR. MORTIMER: We can take action provided Mulcahey will certify that he is writing as Chairman of the Bench Show Committee. He simply signed his name without any official designation.

MR. MOORE: Can we not re-instate him on a given date, notifying the club to that effect, and if no objection is made up to that time, he will be reinstated?

THE CHAIRMAN: You could do that.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: We cannot do anything of the kind. Charges have to be referred by a club. The request to withdraw is signed by an individual.

Mr. McGuire: I move that the secretary be instructed to write to that club and ask if they wish those charges withdrawn.

THE SECRETARY: In case I receive an official communication from that club stating that they withdraw the charges, will you include in that motion that I am authorized to re-instate him?
MR. McGUIRE: Yes.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: At the last meeting of the Board of Directors I reported the expulsion of Miss Rosalie Stewart by the Toy Spaniel Club of America, and our suspension in consequence thereof. I now read you the charges and the correspondence in connection therewith. On account of her expulsion, under a standing resolution she was suspended pending appeal. She has appealed and denies all the allegations, and to-day I received a communication stating that on the first day of January preceding her alleged expulsion she had resigned as a member of the club and therefore was not under its jurisdiction. I submit this matter to you in order that you may determine whether the cause of her expulsion by her club is sufficient cause for the American Kennel Club to suspend her and thereby deprive her of the privileges of the American Kennel Club.

MR. MOORE: I would like to know what standing resolution gives any specialty club, or any other club, the right to suspend a person--

THE SECRETARY: The American Kennel Club had a definition of the word "expulsion" determined in 1904, that expulsion from a club meant suspension by the American Kennel Club pending appeal. If a man is expelled from a club for
non-payment of dues, under their constitution they have a right to expel him, but that does not mean he will be suspended by the American Kennel Club, because he may be unfortunate and not able to pay his dues, but if he is expelled by his club for conduct prejudicial to the interests of the American Kennel Club, we permit the suspension to remain. That is the reason I want to know whether in your opinion the grounds upon which this person was expelled by the Toy Spaniel Club are sufficient for the American Kennel Club to continue its suspension.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Do not all those reasons fall flat if it is shown that her resignation from the club was offered previous to that occurrence?

(At this point President Belmont arrived and took the chair.)

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: It depends upon the circumstances. Suspension by this club does not necessarily follow. Supposing the person who submits the resignation is in arrears for dues, the resignation cannot be accepted; or the resignation may be received on the eve of the action of the club ordering the suspension. In a case of that kind they are not obliged to accept it, and it does not necessarily follow that that resignation shall be effective. If charges are lodged against a member of a club, he cannot resign.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Suppose the resignation comes in
Before the charges are preferred?

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: I mean a resignation that is a palpable evasion, it would not be necessarily effective.

MR. McGUIRE: Is there anything in the papers to show whether the lady was a member of the club or not before the suspension?

THE SECRETARY: There is not. The action of the Toy Spaniel Club was taken on the 25th of March, and they did not see fit to notify me of the expulsion until the 2nd of September thereafter.

MR. EDSON: It would seem that she did not have an opportunity to defend herself; that they took action without her even knowing it.

THE SECRETARY: That I do not know. I received a letter from her to-day. The question is whether the charges upon which she was suspended by the Toy Spaniel Club are sufficient to base our suspension upon, or whether they relate entirely to a domestic matter that is not misconduct from our point of view.

CHAIRMAN BELMOT: The point you make is that if the expulsion is effective, then it is merely a matter of custom here to endorse that expulsion with a suspension by the American Kennel Club?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, pending appeal, to show that it
Is not an expulsion that would come under our rules.

MR. EDSON: I think the secretary ought to be instructed to examine into this matter, as the papers do not explain themselves, and that he should write to the Toy Spaniel Club and ask for more information on the subject.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move that the secretary be instructed to ascertain if Miss Rosalie Stewart was a member or not at the time of the expulsion. That will settle the whole matter one way or the other, and we can then take it up. We cannot allow a suspension against a non-member.

THE SECRETARY: If this matter cannot be determined or decided today, it cannot be disposed of for three months. In the meantime, if this person is innocent so far as we are concerned, we are depriving her of the right of showing or exhibiting or taking any part whatever in shows. If it should be proven that we are right in continuing her suspension, then it is proper to deprive her of those privileges, but if there is any question that the grounds of her expulsion are not sufficient, and cannot be called misconduct under our rules, I do not think it is fair to deprive that person of the right of exhibiting dogs.

DR. De MUND: It seems to me that the club is making pretty serious charges against the lady, and if they are well founded she should certainly be kept under suspension until the proof is given one way or the other. I understand that one of the charges accuses her of taking money belonging to the club.
CHAIRMAN BELMONT: The charges are not proven. They are simply made, and therefore you could not expect the American Kennel Club to act upon them without a hearing.

DR. DeMUND: But they have considered them grave enough to expel her.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: But the expulsion does not necessarily make it valid. That is the point. In the ordinary course, if that expulsion is valid, then you could suspend her, but if it is not valid, then it is a question that has not yet been definitely decided, and she would have to come to you on appeal. It is for you to decide whether the evidence is sufficient on which the lady was expelled.

MR. CODMAN: She could not be expelled. She had already resigned.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: The secretary is not in possession of definite facts. On the one side it is claimed that she resigned; on the other side it is claimed that she was expelled and naturally at that time was a member in good standing. There being some doubt about it, the secretary calls to your attention how unjust it would be to deprive this lady of the right to exhibit dogs, because she might be proven innocent.
DR. DEMUND: We have a report signed by eight members of the executive committee that she was expelled from the club. That seems sufficient proof for the present that she has been expelled from the club. Against that we merely have her statement that she was not a member of the club.

THE SECRETARY: Suppose we show that she was expelled by the club legally - that she was a member of the club and legally expelled, and her expulsion is signed by every member of the Executive Committee. We suspend her, of which we notify her. She comes in with her appeal and says, yes, I was expelled; I was expelled because I was in arrears for dues for two years. That is not reason why the American Kennel Club should suspend her. That ought to raise her suspension. The point to be decided here is whether the grounds upon which the Toy Spaniel Club expelled her -- which we will say for the sake of argument are good grounds -- whether they are sufficient grounds for the American Kennel Club to suspend her.

MR. McGUIRE: I think those charges are very general, and I do not think we have sufficient facts before us to decide the matter on its merits, and until we have further facts we had better lay it over. I move that the matter be laid over until the next meeting of the club, and the secretary be instructed to communicate with all parties concerned for the purpose of getting all facts in relation thereto.
Motion seconded and carried.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: I would like to interrupt you for a short time in your deliberations. I am very busy to-day. I could not get here to attend this meeting from beginning to end, but there is one matter that has been brought to my attention which I deem of great importance, and I hope if I am not able to remain with you, at all events you will take some action on the subject, and that is the expenses of this club. The fact is that we are spending more money in getting out our Gazette and publishing our Stud Book than we are getting in, and we are running on a basis that is eating into our reserve fund. That is something that we must correct at once. The work has very much increased. The charges were very low for the sort of work done in times past, but somehow or other it could be done at that time. There was not so much material. For instance, the hunting up of the pedigree of a dog then was not anything like the work it is now, for the reason, I suppose, that the pedigrees have vastly increased. The secretary can give you the details with respect to it. And I think this Board is competent to act upon the increase of the charges. In the first place, the Associate Membership receives more to-day than the club can afford to give them. It costs much more to publish the Stud Book. For $5 they get a certain number of registrations and then they get a copy of the Stud
Book and Gazette. It costs the club more than it can afford and I think the secretary suggests that he may be able to show you that the associate members should pay $7.50 instead of $5.00.

THE SECRETARY: The charges ought to be increased.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: The charges of this club should be so arranged that we can add to our reserve fund instead of eating into it. We are bound to destroy it, and that is an important asset for the club. That asset was looked upon as a matter for contention at one time, and you do not want to remove the chance of getting into discussion about that fund by letting it be destroyed, or giving it away. I urge upon you to take action now and correct this evil at once, because you are running at a loss of from $2,000 to $3,000 a year fully, if not more, and it has been going on for some time. Increase your charges. It is better not to do business men must know. That is what I came here to say to you this afternoon and to ask you to give that subject your earnest attention. I do not think it will take long because the secretary has the figures ready for you, and you are all sufficiently familiar with the charges, and what you give for them, to decide very promptly what would be a fair increase. You must increase them or you will run behind.

MR. HUNNEWELL: In a matter as important as this
would it not be wise to let it go to the Finance Committee and allow them to submit some plan? It seems to me it is quite an important matter and ought to be gone over very carefully before any decision is reached. It is a very difficult thing to decide in an afternoon. I understand that the Committee of twelve have some scheme on hand.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, they have suggested something, but I would suggest that it might be a good idea to submit the matter to the different committees that have charge of it, and let them bring in a recommendation.

MR. HUNNEWELL: What is the Finance Committee for?

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: It has not brought this matter before the club. Take whatever action you deem wise. Have a report made to the different committees and report again at a special meeting.

DR. DeMUND: I think we all understand that is the position, but in the present status of the club, can we take any action? It seems to m that it is up to the committee of thirty, if they ever meet, to take some action in regard to increasing the charges.

THE SECRETARY: Notice is to go out for a meeting on December 16th.

MR. MCGUIRE: That is a matter that has come up before the Sub-committee and has had more or less serious consid-
eration. It has been discussed, and I believe when the committee does report it will have reached some recommendation to submit to the club, but I think it would be unwise to take any action at this meeting affecting the membership. The club in its present status can hardly afford that, although realizes that the matter which you have brought to our attention warrants immediate action.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: I would urge you, at all events, to pass a resolution recommending a committee to take such steps to insure to the club a sufficient revenue. You say it is up to the committee. I am a member of the committee of thirty, but I have never been called upon to attend any meeting.

MR McGUIRE: It is unfortunate that the chairman of the committee of thirty is not here. However, I think Mr. Moore is better informed than any other person on what the committee has done and is about to do, and I think, if he is so disposed, he can enlighten us very much. There are provisions in the constitution which tend to cure this very condition which you have mentioned.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: In your opinion then that matter is sufficiently in hand as to now need no action at all. I only know, as your executive officer, that that is what is happening.
MR. MOORE: I do not think there is any question about that. It has been discussed quite a number of times, but it seemed to be the sense of the members of the committee that it was not a good time now to make any positive changes; that when the new organization takes hold, and we know where we stand as regards this present organization, then the matter should be gone into very thoroughly and very carefully.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: In the meantime we are losing money.

MR. MOORE: It is unfortunate, but it may be better than to rush pell mell into something that we would have to change right after it was done.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: We are publishing an absolutely unnecessary Stud Book. We could cut down the expenses one half. There are entries of classes in it that have no recognition whatever in this club. We could cut down the Stud Book and the Gazette.

MR. MORTIMER: The great trouble seems to be that nobody knows what the Sub-committee is doing. I am a member of the committee of thirty, but I do not know what it is doing. The Sub-committee of thirty, but I do not know what it is doing. The Sub-committees have held meetings. I happened to be here one day last week when there was a meeting of the committee.

THE SECRETARY: I have copies of the notices for a meeting of the committee of thirty to be held on the 16th day
Of December, in which enclosure I am sending out the original agreement of November, 1907, and the tentative constitution which the Sub-committees have put together.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that this matter be referred to the committee of thirty with a request that it act as soon as possible.

MR. McGUIRE: As a member of the committee of thirty I have been asked frequently by various members of the club what progress the committee was making. I was away for quite a long time and was in no position to answer. Since the granting of the charter I believer there have only been two meetings of the Sub-committees, and without attempting to offer any criticism, or anything of the kind, I think that work could have been proceeded with faster. I thin kit should be proceeded with now. I think the committee of thirty should report to the club, if possible, not later than its annual meeting.

MR. HUNNEWELL: There is a meeting called for the 16th of December.

MR. McGUIRE: Yes, but that merely brings before the committee of thirty a tentative constitution which, if I may use the expression, cannot be chewed over for two or three months. The gentlemen who drew this tentative constitution, I believe, have devoted a good deal of time to it. The committee of thirty always being entirely competent to act, I think that
some suggestions might be had from the members. The secretary has just told us he is about mailing this tentative constitution along with the agreement, and so forth, which is a very good thing, but I also think it would be a good thing not only to hasten the delivery of that tentative constitution to the committee, but at the same time publish it as soon as possible in the Gazette, thereby leaving the committee open to suggestions from members.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to say that it would have been published in the Gazette before this had it not been for a rule in the constitution prohibiting any committee from reporting until they report to the Kennel Club. If the American Kennel Club directs it, then it is proper, because the constitution says that reports to be made by committees can only be made public by and through the American Kennel Club. If you pass a resolution to-day, it will go in, but I cannot do it without a resolution.

MR. HUNNEWELL: My motion was to refer this matter of the finances to the committee of thirty with a request that they act promptly.

Motion seconded and carried.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: I cannot see what the charges have to do with a new organization. We are talking business here. We are going to talk business together. It is only
That we are going to change the method perhaps of doing so, but the charge for registration may remain the same, no matter what the organization is.

MR. McGUIRE: This whole subject is now in the hands of the committee of thirty, and if this tentative constitution is made known it will be readily seen that they have time to make provision for just the circumstances to which you have called our attention. They will in all likelihood report in six weeks. I do not think any action should be taken before they report. I think the constitution will provide for the dues, and so forth, and if we have waited until this time, we can afford to wait six weeks longer. The Sub-committees that made up this constitution have gone over it very carefully, and if it is put before the committee of thirty members might possibly care to make some suggestions with regard to it. I suggest that we publish the constitution, and if any of the committee of thirty, or if a request is addressed to the committee of thirty as a whole by any member, it will receive consideration.

CHAIRMAN BELMONT: I understand that a resolution authorizing the committee of thirty to publish the draft of the constitution, in their discretion, would be proper?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

It was moved and seconded that the committee of
thirty be authorized, in their discretion, to publish the draft of the constitution.

   Carried.

(Mr. H. H. Hunnewell takes the chair)

On motion the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee amounting to $40.40 for disbursements was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from the New England Kennel Club reporting the resignation of Mr. William B. Emery. Nobody has been suggested to fill his place. It is simply a notice to us that he has resigned.

MR. VAN SCHAIK: I move it be laid upon the table.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: I would like to move, in reference to Mr. Emery's resignation, that the secretary be instructed to write to him and ask him to reconsider the same until the new organization has been effected.

MR. McGUIRE: I second the motion.

   Carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

Signed          A. P. Vredenburgh

              Secretary
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its Offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, New York City,
Thursday, February 11, 1909

-----

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, in the chair.

PRESENT:

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES: Dwight Moore; B.S. Smith; A.G. Hooley.

AMERICAN DACHSHUNDE CLUB: G. Muss-Arnolt
AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB: H.H. Hunnewell
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB: H.K. Bloodgood
ASBURY PARK KENNEL CLUB: Joseph M. Dale
ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB: J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
BLOODHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA: Dr. Louis G. Knox
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB: F.H. Osgood
BULL TERRIER BREEDERS ASSOCIATION: John W. Britton, II
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB: John G. Bates
CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB: Abram D. Gillette
COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA: R.S. Edson
EAST LIVERPOOL KENNEL CLUB: F.F. Coite
FRANKLIN KENNEL CLUB: Wm. T. Payne
IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: Singleton Van Schaick
LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS: Edward Brooks

LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB: John F. Collins, proxy
MASCOUTAH KENNEL CLUB: C.F.R. Drake
NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB: Jas. W. Appleton
NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB: Chetwood Smith
NEW ENGLAND COLLIE CLUB: Tom B. Middlebtooke
RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB: Dr. J.E. De Mund
SAN MATEO KENNEL CLUB Howard Willets
SCOTTISH DEERHOUND CLUB: Albion L. Page
TEXAS KENNEL CLUB: George W. Clayton
TOY SPANIEL CLUB OF AMERICA: H. Lee Austey
WESTCHESTER KENNEL CLUB: George Greer
YORK KENNEL CLUB: Dr. J. Fletcher Lutz

The Secretary read the call for said special meeting.

The Secretary read a communication from the Long Island Kennel Club naming Mr. John F. Collins as its proxy.

Mr. Moore moved that said proxy be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Moore offered the following resolution:

“WHEREAS, In pursuance of resolution passed and adopted by this Club, the committee elected and appointed there-under have caused the American Kennel Club to be duly incorporated under the laws of the State of New York, and

WHEREAS, the said Club has been duly organized, ad-
opted a Constitution and by-laws and elected all the members and associate subscribers and all delegates of members and of associate subscribers and all delegates of members and of associate subscribers of this Club, members, associate subscribers and delegates of said Club, and

WHEREAS, a notice of this special meeting, stating the purpose for which it was called, was mailed to each member and delegate on January the ninth, 1909, and

WHEREAS no dissent thereto has been received by the Secretary.

Now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of this Club be and hereby are instructed to transfer, assign and set over all of its property, assets, effects and good will unto said American Kennel Club without compensation.

DR. DE MUND: I second the resolution.

THE CHAIRMAN: We seem to have arrived at the end of our difficulties, and this seems to be the last step. Are you ready for the question?

The question was then put, and all the delegates voted in favor of the resolution except Mr. John W. Britton 2nd, representing the Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association, who voted “No.”

DR. DE MUND: I would like to ask the gentleman that voted “no” to reconsider his vote. I ask that as one of the representative that met this Committee of
Thirty. After a great deal of work and after discussing the matter thoroughly we agreed unanimously on this resolution which has been put before you, and I do not think that the gentleman has carefully considered the matter. He is not supporting his own representatives. I speak for Mr. McGuire and myself. Some of the other members of that committee are here, and I do not think it is fair to send him into a body and then not stand by it, and I hope he will reconsider that vote.

MR. OSGOOD: I would like to add my voice to what has been said by Dr. De Mund, and to say to the gentleman that the large majority has conceded everything that they fairly the large majority has conceded everything that they fairly could for unity and harmony. Perhaps the constitution does not absolutely suit him. No constitution could, but there will be an opportunity at the next annual meeting for him to suggest any change. I hope he will reconsider his vote.

MR. BRITTON: If you have all had your say, I would like to say that I am representing my club, and I am voting as my club wishes me to.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you realize what your club is doing?

MR. BRITTON: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: And you still do it?

MR. BRITTON: I have certain instructions from my
club, and I am following them to the best of my ability.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you realize that the Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association is holding up the American Kennel Club, and for what reason? If you are a representative you must be able to state the reason.

MR. BRITTON: I do not think it is necessary.

THE CHAIRMAN: Under those circumstances it would seem to me that the Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association could very properly come under this resolution.

DR. DE MUND: I cannot see what ground the Bull Terriers’ Club has for this action. The Bull Terrier Club signed the agreement indicating that it would stand by the Committee of Thirty. That agreement states that if any one of the representatives and Committee of Thirty has any fault to find, then I could see where they might have a loophole, but it was unanimous, and I cannot see how the Bull Terrier Club, or any other club, can stultify the action of this committee and of its representatives.

MR. OSGOOD: I have had a talk with the delegate of that club, and I know he is laboring under a misunderstanding. He told me one of the reasons why he thought the constitution was no better than the one we had previously, and he is absolutely wrong in his premises. He understands the matters directly opposite from what it is, and it would
seem to me a great disappointment to us to have the affairs of the American Kennel Club held up for a year through a misunderstanding on the part of the delegate of the club.

MR. HOOLEY: It would seem to me that possibly the gentleman might be conferred with, and there may be some little thing in his mind that does not quite agree with the facts. Is it in order to adjourn for a few moments to talk this matter over? The gentleman seems to be an intelligent looking man, and I am sure an intelligent man would not stand alone, and I am sure any man of intelligence would be open to any information he might receive.

On motion recess was declared.

THE CHAIR: The meeting has now come to order after a recess. Owing to the very unfortunate circumstance that has arisen, we are not in a position to turn over the assets to the new incorporation club, or at least become the incorporation club which we are at present, owing to the sole dissenting voice of the Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association, which apparently will not give its reason, and if it does not give its reason it will be very difficult for us to ascertain what the trouble is, unless it is a sore head of some kind. Owing to this unfortunate situation the object of the meeting is no longer before us, and we will have to adjourn. The club being incorporated,
we can proceed with the ordinary business, because it is simply a question of the assets.

MR. MOORE: I move that this meeting adjourns to meet at the call of the chair.

DR. DE MUND: Perhaps Mr. Britton will talk to the members of his club this afternoon, and they might telephone us if there is any idea of a change of mind at a future meeting of this club. They might instruct him the other way. If we are to adjourn now let us ask Mr. Britton to do that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Britton, you might communicate with the members of your club and tell them that everybody has been perfectly amicable. Everybody has done his very best to bring about this agreement, but of course it is impossible to suit everybody in every detail, and for one dissenting vote to stop the whole organization seems to be a little bit --- well, I won’t say the word.

MR. OSGOOD: Might it not be possible providing Mr. Britton cannot get an expression of opinion from the members of the Bull Terriers’ Club this afternoon, for him to bring the matter up at their meeting to-night? We shall probably all be in New York, and if they should take action to-night perhaps we could organize to-morrow at an adjourned meeting at the call of the Chair, provided they telephoned down to that effect.
MR. MOORE: I would move that where we adjourn, we do so until tomorrow at four o’clock at Madison Square Garden, in order to give the Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association ample opportunity to confer. Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: I will adjourn the meeting until tomorrow at the restaurant at Madison Square Garden, at four o’clock, and, Mr. Britton, I trust you will do your best to bring about a change in your vote, because it seems to me ridiculous that one club should hold up 130 clubs.

MR. BRITTON: If any gentleman wants to attend our meeting to-night I have not the slightest doubt that they would be glad to listen to him.

DR. DE MUND: I will go to the meeting tonight if I will be welcome.

MR. MOORE: I move that Dr. De Mund and Mr. Osgood be appointed a committee of two to attend this meeting of the Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association to-night. Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: And I move that Mr. Britton be asked to appeal to his club to do the best they can, and I feel that Mr. Britton will do it; that he will state the facts, and it will undoubtedly have a great deal of weight with his club. Motion seconded and carried.
The meeting then adjourned until to-morrow, at the restaurant at Madison Square Garden, at 4 p.m.

-------------------

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Mr. H.H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

In addition to a quorum at the meeting of the 11th ______ there were present Messrs. R.P. Keasbey, William H. Carroll and F.J. Trown.

Mr. J.W. Britton, II, the delegate from the Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association, moved that the vote on Mr. Moore’s resolution to transfer the property of the American Kennel Club to the American Kennel Club, Incorporated, under the Special Act, be reconsidered.

Motion seconded and carried.

DR. OSGOOD: I move that the resolution offered by Mr. Moore to transfer, assign and set over all the property, assets, effects and good will of the American Kennel Club to the American Kennel Club, Incorporated, without compensation, be now acted upon.

Motion seconded and carried.

The resolution of Mr. Moore was then presented seconded and carried unanimously.

On motion the exciting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
MR. MOORE: Mr. Chairman, I think a motion is in order to elect a permanent chairman. The chairman that was elected by vote was called to Europe, and I therefore move that Mr. Hunnewell be elected permanent chairman of this committee.

MR. B.S. SMITH: I second the motion.

Carried.

MR. WILLETS: I nominate Dr. De Mund as vice-chairman of this committee.

Nomination seconded.

Dr. De Mund was unanimously elected vice-chairman of the committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: I rule that the Committee of Thirty is acting with the powers of directors and under the constitution of January 5th, 1909, as per the vote at the meeting of the American Kennel Club, Incorporated. Is there any objection to my ruling?

No objection was made.

MR. OSGOOD: I call attention of the Chair to an error in Section III, Article 6 of the Constitution, and
I state that it is the opinion of every member of this committee that the number constituting a quorum was placed at twelve instead of sixteen.

It was moved and seconded that the order of business be suspended.

Carried.

MR. WILLETS: I move that we now proceed to the election of committees.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: I submit the report of the Nominating Committee appointed by the Chair, signed by all the members of the committee.

FINANCE COMMITTEE. (3).

Chairman, W.G. Rockefeller,
Member, W. Rutherford,
Member, W. Rauch,

STUD BOOK COMMITTEE. (3)
Chairman, J. Appleton
Member, S. Van Schaick,
Member, W.L. Barclay,

RULES COMMITTEE. (5)
Chairman, E. Brooks,
Member, J.E. De Mund,
Member, D. Moore,
Member, Franklin Lord, Jr.
Member, H.H. Hunnewell,

FIELD TRIAL COMMITTEE. (5)
Chairman, Chet. Smith,
Member, Geo. Post, Jr.,
Member, S. Van Schaick,
Member, W.G. Rockefeller,
A.H. Ball
MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE.  (5)
Chairman,  H. Willets,
Member,  J.E. De Mund
Member,  J.S. Price
Member,  B.S. Smith
Member,  R.H. Johnson, Jr.

Publications Committee.  (5)
Chairman,  D. Moore
Member,  Richard Croker, Jr.
Member,  R.H. Hunt
Member,  R.P. Keasbey
Member,  R.S. Edson

LICENSE COMMITTEE.  (3)
Chairman  J.G. Bates,
Member,  F.H. Osgood
Member,  Geo. Lauder, Jr.

MR. MOORE:  I move the nominations close.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. WILLETS:  I move that the Secretary be instructed to cast one ballot for all
these candidates.
Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary announced that he had cast the ballot as instructed.

THE CHAIRMAN:  Then I declare that these gentlemen have been duly elected
members of these committees.

THE SECRETARY:  There are sixteen clubs in arrears for dues for 1909.

THE CHAIRMAN:  The Secretary will read the names of such clubs in arrears.
The Secretary read the names of said clubs.

MR. MOORE:  I move they be given the usual mail
notice that if their dues are not paid within thirty days from date they will be dropped from membership.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Moore has made a suggestion which I think it is well to state, and that is that the Secretary be asked to write to the chairman of each of these different sub-committees, requesting them to organize as quickly as possible and frame rules of procedure, and then report to the Secretary, so that he will know how to conduct the business in connection with these different committees.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the appeal from Miss Rosalie Stewart against her suspension by the Toy Spaniel Club. I reported at the last meeting that she had been expelled from the Toy Spaniel Club, and I brought up the question whether the cause of her expulsion by her club was sufficient for us to continue the suspension. The matter was laid on the table. Pursuant to instructions I wrote to the Secretary of the Toy Spaniel Club in regard to this matter, and I submit to you the correspondence in connection therewith, which is quite voluminous.

DR. DE MUND: I move that the Committee of Thirty resolve itself into a trial board to hear and determine the case of Miss Rosalie Stewart.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Committee then went into session as a trial board.

DR. DE MUND: I have to report that the finding of the trial board is that the suspension of Miss Rosalie Stewart by the Toy Spaniel Club be removed.

MR. MOORE: I move that the finding of the Trial Board be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

DR. DE MUND: I move that a communication from R. S. Barrett, et al, in reference to the Fanciers’ Association of Indiana, be referred to the License Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned until tomorrow afternoon at four o’clock, at Madison Square Garden.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Mr. H.H. Hunnewell in the chair.
Quorum present.
The directors proceeded to the election of officers for the ensuing year with the following results:

President, August Belmont,
1st Vice-President Hollis H. Hunnewell
2nd Vice-Pres. Hildreth K. Bloodgood
Secretary and
Treasurer, A.P. Vredenburgh

On motion the following named gentlemen were appointed as members of the Pacific Advisory Committee:
Charles K. Harley,
H.H. Carlton,
W.P. Burnham,
W.W. Stettheimer, and
J.P. Norman

On motion the Secretary was directed to advise the Pacific Advisory Committee that a Code of Rules for their guidance would be forwarded in due course. Also that no additional active members should be elected until further notice.

DR. OSGOOD: I desire to offer the following:
Resolved that it is the sense of this meeting that the members desire to express their regret that President
Belmont was unable to be present at the satisfactory closing up of the affairs of the club of which he was instrumental in organizing and many years its president, owing to his compulsory absence through illness.

Seconded and carried unanimously.

MR. MOORE: I move that all standing resolutions that have heretofore been in force shall be rescinded from this date.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vrendenburgh
Secy

--------:-o0o::---------
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its Offices, No. 55 Liberty Street, New York City,
Thursday, February 11, 1909.

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell in the chair.

PRESENT:

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES: Dwight Moore;
B.S. Smith;
A.G. Hooley;

AMERICAN DACHSHUNDE CLUB: G. Muss-Arnolt
AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB: H. H. Hunnewell
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB: H.K. Bloodgood
ASBURY PARK KENNEL CLUB: Joseph M. Dale
ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB: J. SERGEANT PRICE, JR.,
BLOODHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA: Dr. Louis G. Knox
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB: F.H. Osgood
BULL TERRIER BREEDERS’ ASSOCIATION: John W. Britton, II
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB: John G. Bates
CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB: Abram D. Gillette
COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA: R. S. Edson
EAST LIVERPOOL KENNEL CLUB: F.F. Coite
FRANKLIN KENNEL CLUB: Wm. T. Payne
IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: Singleton Van Schaick
LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF MASSACHUSETTS: Edward Brooks
The Secretary then read the following report:

"New York, February 6, 1909.

To the American Kennel Club (Inc.)

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that we, the undersigned, in accordance with Article XII, Section 5, of the Constitution, met this day at the office of the American Kennel Club (Inc.), for the purpose of opening and canvassing the votes received by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club (Inc.), for the officers and delegates of the associate subscribers of said Club, to hold office for the term of one year from this date of until their successors be elected.
We found the total number of associate subscribers entitled to vote to be 301.
Total number of votes cast ------------------------------- 128
Total number of votes scattering ---------------------------------- 56
Total number of irregular votes and thrown out ------------------ 2

We do therefore declare the following persons as having received the greater number of votes to be duly elected as officers and delegates of the associate subscribers for the year ending February, 1910, to wit:

President: H.K. Bloodgood,
Vice-President: O.W. Donner;
Secretary: Dr. J.E. De Mund
Delegates:
1. Wm. G. Rockefeller
2. Dwight Moore
3. Winthrop Rutherford
4. A.G. Hooley
5. B.S. Smith
6. Wm. J. Berg

(Signed) SINGLETON VAN SCHAICK,
Representing President of the Am. Kennel Club
(Signed) CRAIG F.R. DRAKE
Representing President of the Associate Subscribers of the American Kennel Club

A.P. VREDENBURGH
(ATTEST) Secretary of the American Kennel Club
The Secretary read his report as follows:


To The American Kennel Club (Incorporated)

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that there are in file credentials from nineteen clubs, and applications from three Specialty Clubs for admission to Membership.

Applicants for fifty three kennels names have been filed since our last meeting.

A bill fro $28.75 from the Pacific Advisory Committee from December 1st, 1908 to February 3, 1909 has been presented. The same appears to be correct, and I respectfully recommend the payment of same.

Twenty-one clubs paid their dues for 1909 after January 1st, 1909 and receipts were given “Subject to your action.”

Seventeen clubs are in arrears for dues for 1909 and your action on the subject is requested. The following matters were “laid on the table” at the last meeting, and should be taken up, and disposed of at this meeting:

Miss Rosalie Stewart’s appeal, and William B. Emery’s resignation.

Resignations have been received from the District of Columbia Kennel Club, and the Keystone Beagle Club. Both clubs were in good standing on December 31st, 1908, and their resignations are in good order.

At the election of officers and delegates for the Associate Subscribers, the following were elected:

President ------------------------- H.K. Bloodgood
Vice-President ------------------ O.W. Donner
Secretary-------------------------- Dr. J.E. DeMund
Delegates:
  W.G. Rockefeller, A.G. Hooley
  Dwight Moore, B.S. Smith
  W. Rutherford, W.J. Berg

I will submit the annual report of the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, also the minutes of the meeting of January 16, 1909 of said Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh

Secretary.”
MR. MOORE: I move that the report be laid upon the table to be taken up in the regular course of business.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the treasurer’s annual report. This will be published and made part of the minutes of this meeting.

MR. OSGOOD: I move that the Treasurer’s annual report be accepted and spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the financial report from January 1st to date, as follows:

“New York, February 10, 1909:

To the American Kennel Club (Incorporated)

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial report from January 1, 1909 to date:

Balance on hand January 1, 1909 ---------------------------- $19,151.37

Receipts from January 1, 1909 to date --------------------- 3,428.93

Total -------------------------------------------------------- $22,580.30

Disbursements from January 1, 1909 ------------------------ 3,107.98

Balance on hand ---------------------------------------- 19,472.32

Respectfully submitted.”

A.P. Vredenburgh

MR. OSGOOD: I would like to ask if in the annual report of the Treasurer there is included extraordinary
legal expenses, and if so, what is the amount.

THE SECRETARY: Yes. Legal expenses and disbursements for the year, $1,704.95, which just about covers the deficit which has been pointed out.

On motion the Treasurer’s report was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: There were 53 applications made for kennel names and 10 for transfers. The Stud Book Committee have approved of 49. It has disapproved of the names “Lord”, “Shady Brook Farm” and “Watchung” Anthony-Wayne because they conflict with other kennel names already granted. The names and transfers approved by the committee are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ai-Gee</td>
<td>Mrs. Alfred Goodson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arch City</td>
<td>Miss Ella Landacre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashbourne</td>
<td>E.G. Marquardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrollon</td>
<td>J.N.R. Bryant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark’s</td>
<td>H.R. Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conway</td>
<td>W.C. Ely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Argent</td>
<td>F.M. Willeson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalgetty</td>
<td>E. Norman Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drassac</td>
<td>Stuart Cassard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnseliffe</td>
<td>H.P.J. Earnshaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgecliffe</td>
<td>Mrs. Monroe Harris</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Egyptian, R.H. Dunston,
Ethelston, R.C. Vilas
Fairsea, Mrs. F.B. Basford
Fearless, Mr. and Mrs. L.H. Patty
Furore, Norton Dock & Enno Meyer
Garfield, Mrs. J. Mathis
Gilmore, James A. Cain
Glenclyffe, Mrs. Stuyvesant Fish
Interstate, C.P. Larkin
Kaniksu, A.C. Klein
Lyric, Max Cohn
Mars, Charles Marx
Mayhurst, G.L. Haupt
Normandy, Dr. F.A. Fisher
Norman, E.C. Norman Bennett
Prophecy, Benj. A. Baker
Pearl City, R.H. Cole & R.E. Thomson
Pekin, Dr. Mary H. Cotton
Reilly's, William Reilly
Ragged, Mrs. J.L. Frothingham
Rinada, A.C. Pickhardt
Ruddington, John A. McVickar
Sarsfield, Patrick Tully
Sedgwick, Frank S. Young
Sherbrooke, C.L. Dohme
Simonds, B.F. Simonds
Strathcona, Mrs. Bertha Betts
Stratford, E.S. Bauman
The Beau Pom, G.W. Price
Tred Avon, Joseph B. Carse
Vallamont, Charles Cochran
Vintage, Hugh Miller
Vir, L.A. Craig
Wateree, W. de F. Haynes
Webster W.H. Ross & J.C. Betson
Wenuchus, Mrs. B.F. Dupar
Wightman, Henry B. Drury
Winfield, Chas. L. Weber

TRANSFERS.
Colne from Jos. A. Laurin to Mr. & Mrs. Jos. A Laurin,
Doberman from T.F. Jager to T.F. Jager and W. Doberman,
Elkwood from F. Daly, Jr. to MG. Kahn
Hillside from J.E. Thayer to Eugene V.R. Thayer,
Holdfast from G.F. Eggert and H. Ballard to G.F. Eggert & Justin Ballard and Jos.
Spindler.
On motion the report was accepted and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the annual report of the Pacific Advisory Committee and the minutes of the meeting of January 16, 1909. It has been customary to print these minutes without reading them

"MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE: A.K.C.

Hotel St. Francis, San Francisco,

January 16, 1909.

Present: H. H. Carlton, Dr. W. P. Burnham, W. W. Stettheimer, J. P. Norman

Absent: C. K. Harley.

On motion, Mr. Carlton took the chair.

On motion the minute were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary presented the following report:

Berkeley, January 16, 1909.

Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith a statement of the business transacted during the past year by the Secretary of this Committee. In consequence of the fact that many applications for registrations, kennel names, certified pedigrees, etc. are forwarded direct to New York, I am unable to give even an approximate estimate of the total revenue derived from the Pacific Coast.

The following figures represent the amounts remitted to New York through my office:

Number of letters written 770
Received approximately 1300

Deposits remitted to New York $525.00

Returned to clubs 325.00

INCOME: Collected for A. K. C.

Deposits forfeited: $50.00

Fines 61.00

Listing Fees 517.50

Dues and License fees 240

Registrations, certified pedigrees, kennel

Names, etc. 380.50

Total revenue remitted to A.K.C $1,549.00

I have kept no account of the blanks, rules, etc., sent in answer to requests for same, but
the number is quite large.

I beg to call your attention to the request made in my last annual report that you
elect another secretary in my place, or afford me some other relief from the excessive
strain on my time, labor and pocket involved in the incumbency of the office of Secretary
of this Committee, which I have now occupied for over eleven years.

Respectfully submitted:

J. P. Norma, Secretary.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary’s report be accepted and filed,

pending action taken at the ensuing

Meeting of the A.K.C. Carried.

The Colorado Kennel Club announced its consolidation with the Inter-Mountain
Terrier Club, and requested permission to change its title to Colorado Kennel and
Intermountain Terrier Club.
It was moved and seconded that the desired permission be granted, and that the Secretary of American Kennel Club be requested to change the records of his office accordingly. Carried.

The Menlo Park Kennel Club and the Pacific Boston Terrier Club presented their resignations. Both members being in good standing. It was moved and seconded that the resignations be and hereby are accepted. Carried.

Communications were read from the Colorado Kennel Club and the Hawaiian Kennel Club, announcing their inability to hold their shows on the dates approved. There being no mention of any definite date in the future as one, for which they would desire recognition. It was moved and seconded that the deposits follow the usual course and be forfeited to the A.K.C. Carried.

IN RE BONE AND ATTRIDGE V. S. F. K. C.

It was moved and seconded, that Whereas the Rule Committee of the A.K.C. has decided that the only wins on the Tevis Breeder's Cup have been made as follows: In 1902 by J. C. Bone, Breeder and Exhibitor of the Bitch, "HAWTHORNE SNOWFLAKE, and in 1904 by E. Attridge, Breeder and Exhibitor of the dog "EDGECOTE PEER", and that the awards made in 1903, 1905, and 1907 were not in accordance with the conditions originally laid down, the exhibitors not being the breeders. Therefore, be it resolved, that the protest of the Appellants be and hereby is sustained, and that the San Francisco Kennel Club be and hereby is ordered to cancel said awards of 1903, 1905 and 1907, and to offer the Tevis Breeders Cup at future
shows until won outright under the original deed of gift as published for the first time in the catalogue of 1902. Carried.

IN RE ACKERMAN V. F. S> POTT: A charge of misconduct.

The Appellant stating that he was misinformed as to the extent of the culpability of the respondent, requested permission to withdraw the charge filed. On motion, said permission was granted.

IN RE ACKERMAN V. JOHN OLIVER: Charges of Misconduct and Fraud. From the affidavits filed, it was charged that respondent had custody of one of appellant's stud dogs, which he bred without appellant's knowledge or consent, appropriating to himself the puppy taken in lieu of stud fee. It was further charged, that respondent was withholding from Appellant a wire-haired fox terrier bitch, of which he denied appellant's ownership, and the half of a litter of puppies, resulting from the mating of one of appellants'

Stud dogs, with the said bitch, also the property of appellant. It appeared from the evidence of appellant, as well as from reply of respondent, that said bitch had been left in the latter's custody by one Frank Hall, to whom appellant had entrusted her under certain conditions, which Hall had failed to fulfill. Both parties to the case appeared in person.

After hearing the defense, which set up a claim for the board for several dogs, it was moved and seconded: That whereas appellant's bitch, Chamption Humberstone Hope, was acknowledged to be his property by Frank Hall, who also in the affidavit filed, acknowledged his failure to fulfill the terms on which said bitch had been turned over to
him by appellant. Therefore be it Resolved that appellant's protest be sustained and that John Oliver be and hereby is ordered to deliver to I. C. Ackerman, within their (30) days, under penalty of suspension, the said bitch, on receipt of the payment for board and stud fees as follows, and also to deliver to said Ackerman half the littler of puppies, the result of mating said Humberstone Hope to appellant's stud dog, Humberstone Penance, or failing the delivery of half said litter, to pay to said Ackerman, the stud fee of $25.00 for services of said dog. And be it further Resolved, that from the sum due to said Oliver shall be deducted the amount expended on said Oliver's be-

Behalf by appellant $11.25 for entry fees at Santa Cruz Show, as acknowledged by respondent. The amount due to respondent is as follows:

Seven weeks' board during nursing of puppies, at 20 cents per diem: $9.80
Ten months board at 1.00 per week $40.00
One months board Ch. Humberstone Record at 3.00 per month $3.00

Less 11.25 entry fee -11.25

$41.55

From which amount there is a further deduction of $25.00, in case of failure to deliver half of litter by Humberstone Penance out of Humberstone Hope. Carried.

In the matter of the breeding of Humberstone Record to a bitch owned by Pott, it was moved and seconded that there was no evidence to show that respondent was
responsible for said breeding, and that it was not clearly proven that Pott’s bitch was bred to said dog. Carried.

IN RE STETTHEIMER V. VENICE KENNEL CLUB: A protest from Bench Show Committee’s decision.

W. J. Morris filed an application for a re-hearing of this case, and on perusal of his letter and accompanying affidavit, it was moved and seconded, that his application be referred to next meeting of reconsideration, pending the presentation of further evidence, as announced by him. Carried.

After some discussion on matters of general interest

To the Pacific Coast, the meeting, on motion, adjourned.

ATTEST:

J. P. Norman,

Secretary.

MR. MOORE: I move that they be published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I also submit a bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee showing disbursements amounting to $28.75.

MR. WILLETS: I move that the bill be paid.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There are twenty-two clubs that paid their dues after January 1st up to and including today. I made a separate list of these clubs for the reason that the constitution says that clubs not paying dues on or before January 1st shall cease to have representation, and had I accepted these dues in regular course I felt I would be placing clubs on the list as representatives in contradiction to the
constitution, so I gave receipts to these twenty-two clubs subject to the action of the American Kennel Club.

MR. MOORE: I move that the dues which have been

Paid by these twenty-two clubs mentioned be accepted as of January 1, 1909.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There are sixteen clubs which have not paid up to date. They are in arrears.

MR. MOORE: I move that that matter be laid on the table and taken up later in the afternoon.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the resignation of the delegate of the New England Kennel Club, Mr. William B. Emery. At the last meeting it was moved that his resignation be laid upon the table and that the Secretary be directed to request him to withdraw his resignation until after the reorganization of the club. Mr. Emery has replied that he could not see his way clear to do so, and his resignation should be taken from the table and disposed of.

MR. OSGOOD: I move that the resignation be accepted.

Motion seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think a vote of thanks should be extended to Mr. Emery for his services to this club covering many years.

MR. OSGOOD: I ask that that be incorporated in my motion.
Motion carried.

THE SECRETARY: Another matter that was laid on the table at the last meeting was the appeal of Miss Rosalie Stewart against her suspension. I have voluminous correspondence here, and I would like to take that matter up.

DR. DEMUND: I move that it be laid on the table.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I report the resignations of the District of Columbia Kennel Club and the Keystone Beagle Club. They were in good standing on January 1st.

DR. DEMUND: I move that their resignations be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I submit a long communication from twenty seven dog fanciers protesting against allowing the Fancier’s Association of Indiana holding another show under our rules.

MR. MOORE: I move that that matter be laid on the table ad taken up later.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Membership Committee has reported on the credentials of delegates which are before us. They have reported favorably on fifteen and laid over four credentials for further consideration.

MR. OSGOOD: I move that we proceed to vote upon
The fifteen which have been reported favorably.

Motion seconded and carried.

The following named delegates were then duly elected to represent the following name clubs:

- Genesee County Kennel Club,    James W. Gardiner,
- Duquesne Kennel Club,    S. S. Leslie, Jr.
- Long Island Kennel Club,    John F. Collins,
- Philadelphia Collie Club,    Dr. J. R. Dalling,
- Japanese Spaniel Club of America    Monson Morris,
- Chicago Kennel Club    Frank T. Brown,
- Western Fox Terriers Breeders' Association    Edgar C. Beecroft
- Anthracite Kennel Club,    Wilson Bailey,
- California Cocker Club,    George H. Taylor,
- Dalmatian Kennel Club of America,    Joseph B. Thoman, Jr.
- Bay State Cooperative Bench Show Association,    Edward W. Dwight,
- Fairfield County Kennel Club,    Alvin Untermeyer,
- Lynn Kennel Club,    C. C. Rogers,
- New England Kennel Club,    Edward Brooks,
- Ladies' Kennel Association,    J. L. Frothingham.

MR. OSGOOD: I move that those credentials which were reported unfavorably be laid upon the table.
THE CHAIRMAN: They go back to the Membership Committee.

The Maltese Terrier Club and the Greyhound Club of America were duly elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: The Philadelphia Pointer Club was a member of the American Kennel Club, and it was dropped because it did not pay its dues within the time specified by the club. A short time thereafter this club sent its dues, which I accepted pending the action of this club, and it has applied several times for reinstatement. It is not a new application; it is simply an application for reinstatement, and therefore I did not consider that it was necessary to apply to the Pointer Club of America for its sanction, because it was already a member.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: They are non-members to-day.

MR. MOORE: For how long a time were they members?

THE SECRETARY: For two or three years.

MR. OSGOOD: I understand this is simply a question of reinstatement?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: If a club is dropped from our roll, it ceases to be a member.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It was dropped from non-payment of dues, and for no other cause, and so it ceased to be a member absolutely.

MR. BLOODGOOD: It seems to me they are non-members.
I should think it would be advisable for the Pointer Club of America to give it's sanction. It is the parent club. You have got to protect your members. That is what the club is for, to protect the clubs that are members.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I cannot comprehend how the club can be in a position to apply for reinstatement. If it was in default for non-payment of dues, and it was dropped for that reason, I do not think we have any right to be lenient with it.

MR. MOORE: Would it be in order to move that they make an application for membership in the usual manner?

THE SECRETARY: The club was dropped by vote in connection with other club which were given thirty days within which to pay their dues, and f not paid they were to be dropped without any further action, and I do not know whether they give any excuse here for not paying their dues. It is quite a while ago that they applied, March 28th.

DR. DE MUND: I move that they be instructed that they must get the consent of the parent club before applying for election.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think they should be told to apply in the usual course for membership.

MR. OSGOOD: I second the motion.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: There is one very important matter which I would like to have action on. This building is to be torn down on the 1st day of May. We have got to move. We have looked around both uptown and downtown, and the consensus of
opinion of the people who have called here seems to be that the club should remain downtown. The rent for such a space as we actually require is very high, and I do not think that the delegates would care to go into an old building again. I have looked at a new building just one block from here in which we can secure most advantageous rates for a large floor space. The agents of the building expressed themselves as being particularly anxious to have the American Kennel Club become their tenant, and I have a plan here for your inspection. It is the German-American Insurance Building. It is another Flatiron building like the one at 23rd street, situated on Liberty street and Maiden Lane. They offer us practically one-half of the seventeenth floor. They will arrange the partitions at their own expense in any way that we desire. The building is not fully completed yet, but it is a modern building in every respect. They offer us a fine meeting room for our delegates and for our committees, larger than this. Adjoining that is a large room running the entire width of the floor for the accommodation of those who take care of the Stud Book and the Gazette. On the point of the Flatiron they offer another room in which to place all our typewriters. Directly in front of the corridor is the general reception room, as we have here, for our visitors, patrons and customers. On the left of that is a small private office, 17 by 14 feet. The general reception room is 15
by 14 feet. The general reception room is 15 by 18 feet. The meeting room is 17 by 30 feet. The library is 17 by 17 feet. The working room is 18 by 25 feet, and the typewriters room is 19 by 10 feet. Every room on that floor is lighted by windows. As I said, they have made special rates for us. They have a regular rate of so much a square foot, but they have made special rates for us, and we can get that special section on a five-years' lease for $1,000 per annum more than we paid for this office, or $3,000 per annum exactly. For storage room they will give us either room on the top floor or down in the sub-basement free of cost. Not desiring to put this matter before you entirely upon my own judgment, I asked Mr. Dwight Moore yesterday to go around and look at the place with me, which he did, and he can confirm what I have said and give his own opinion of the matter.

MR. MOORE: I visited the quarters with Mr. Vredenburgh, and I found they were well situated for our needs and gave us room for expansion which we hope will take place in the next few years. We certainly ought not to take quarters for any length of time and not have some room for expansion, and those quarters would be adequate for us for some time to come.

THE SECRETARY: I also desire to state that I explained to the agent of the building that we held a number of meetings during the year, and that we had from 30 to 50 delegate attending them, and he said he would be very glad, if we gave him notice of
the dates of the meetings, to reserve one elevator to run as an express for our delegates only.

MR. APPLETON: I would like to ask the Secretary whether it would interfere with the convenience of customers, and so forth, if we moved uptown.

THE SECRETARY: The majority of our business is done by mail, but in talking over this removal, either uptown or downtown, we found that very often it is necessary for us to telephone, for instance to Mr. Appleton, or to Mr. Belmont, or to Mr. Van Schaick, or to Mr. Bloodgood to come here to enable us to organize with a quorum. Those gentlemen would be very willing to come here, which gives them an opportunity of going back to their offices, but if we asked

Them to come to 23rd street or 42 street, or some other place uptown, they would be unwilling to come because it would take too much time and it is too far away. We have been in Liberty street since 1892, and I think it a good idea for us to stay in Liberty street if we can. I also visited the Everett Building, a new building which has not been fully completed yet, at the Plaza at 17th street overlooking Union Square. There the agents offered us fine quarters, but the rent is very high and we would be obliged to put up our partitions at our own expense. I also visited the City Investing Company Building, the Singer Building and the Terminal Building and found that they all ask from three dollars to four dollars and a half a square foot, while the rate at the German-American Insurance Building in Liberty street, to which I have referred, is about one dollar and a half a square foot.
MR. MOORE: I think if this matter was referred to a committee to confer with the
Secreatry, the whole matter could be adjusted.

THE SECRETARY: I have held this matter open for ten days, and I finally told
the German-American Insurance Building that I would give them an answer one way or
the other to-morrow morning.

THE CHAIRMAN: This meeting is perfectly competent to act upon this matter.

MR. WILLETS: I move that a lease be made for these quarters spoken of by the
Secretary. By the way, that building is No. 1 Liberty street. So we will still be in Liberty
street.

MR. MOORE: Some considerable time and attention will be required in the
selection of new furniture, and so forth, and I think that a committee would have
something to do.

THE SECREATRY: Yes, I would lie to have a committee.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, I would like to have a committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that a committee of three be appointed by the Chair to act
with the Secretary in this removal matter.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: I will appoint Mr. Moore, Dr. De Mund and Mr. B. S. Smith as
such committee.
THE CHAIRMAN: What do you wish to do with these matters that were laid on the table?

MR. MOORE: It seems to me that the conduct of affairs would be more satisfactory in the hands of the Committee of Thirty than in the hands of the officers and present Board of Directors of the club that has been in existence for the last two years. I move that the management of the club be vested in the Committee of Thirty with power to conduct the business of the American Kennel Club Incorporated, until such time as a meeting of delegates of the American Kennel Club, Incorporated, rescind this action.
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That the Committee of Thirty have all the powers of the Board of Directors, and act under the constitution adopted by them January 5, 1909.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I move that all these matters that were laid on the table be referred to the Committee of Thirty.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: I move we now adjourn until tomorrow afternoon at four o clock at the Madison Square Garden.

Motion seconded and carried.

ADJOURNED.

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary
ADJOURNED ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
INCORPORATED.
Held at Madison Square Garden, February 12, 1909.

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
Quorum present.
The Committee on Nominations submitted the following report:
Chairman,
Committee of Thirty,
Your sub-committee respectfully reports that after carefully considering the eligible lists of names for directors the names of the following gentlemen be recommended to the delegates to constitute the first Board of Directors:
August Belmont,
H. H. Hunnewell,
H. K. Bloodgood,
J. W. Appleton,
Edw. Brooks,
W. G. Rockefeller,
Dwight Moore,
R. P. Keasbey,
J. S. Price,
W. Rutherford,
S. Van Schaick,
B. S. Smith,
Chetwood Smith,
Wm. Rauch,
Howard Willets,
W. C. Codman,
J. G. Bates,
A. H. Ball
J. E. DeMund,
Your Committee is of the opinion that the fairest way to divide these into the proper classes is by drawing lots after the thirty directors have been elected.

Respectfully submitted,

L. M. D. McGuire,
Howard Willets,
J. E. DeMund,
Dwight Moore,
John G. Bates.

On motion the nominations were closed.
The Secretary directed to cast one ballot for the candidates nominated.
The Secretary cast the ballot, and the Chair declared the above ticket elected.

On motion the action to place the control of the club in the hands of the Committee of Thirty was rescinded.
The Several terms of the directors were ordered to be determined by lot.
The Secretary was directed to draw the names and the
Chairman announced the allotments as follows:

For five years: August Belmond, J. W. Appleton, S. Van Schaick, J. E. DeMund R. H. Johnson, Jr., and F. H. Osgood

For four years R. T. Keasbey, J. S. Price, Jr., John G Bates, S. R. Cutler, Richard Croker, Jr., and James Mortimer,

For three years: H. K. Bloodgood, Chetwood Smith, William Rauch, R. S. Edson, E. D. Post, Jr., and Richard H. Hunt.


For one year: Dwight Moore, B. S. Smith, Howard Willets, A. H. Ball, F. B. Lord, Jr., and W. L. Barclay

On motion the meeting was adjourned

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Hold at its offices, No. 55 Liberty Street
H. H. Hunnewell in the chair:

Present.

H. H. Hunnewell
J. W. Appleton
Edward Brooke
Chetwood Smith
Dwight Moore
John G. Bates

Applications for reinstatement to good standing from G. Lacy Crawford and W. J. Gram were granted.

From James Ballantyne was denied.

The following resolution was offered by Dwight Moore;

“Whereas the original application forms for registrations and the mail matter to this Club is now on file and in storage, including the years 1889 to date, and whereas this mass of papers occupy a great deal of room and are of no further services to the Club, be it resolved that the Secretary be directed to destroy all such papers up to the year 1903.”

Seconded and adopted.

By R. P. Keasbey, That all new blanks required by the American Kennel Club office be referred to the Publication Committee.

Seconded and carried.

The case of West vs. Kelly was not acted upon.

Meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vrendenburgh

Secy
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Held at its offices, 55 Liberty Street,
Tuesday, March 16, 1909

H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present.  August Belmont
          J. W. Appleton
          S. Van Schaick
          J. E. De Mund
          F. H. Osgood
          R. P. Keasbey
          J. Sargeant Price Jr.
          John G. Bates
          James Mortimer
          Chetwood Smith
          William Rauch
          R. Stuart Edson
          Richard H. Hunt
          H. H. Hunnewell
          Edward Brooks
          Dwight Moore
          B. S. Smith
          F. B. Lord, Jr.
          W. L. Barclay

All appeals for reinstatement from persons not in good standing were referred to the Executive Committee.

The following applications for permission to withdraw charges now pending were granted, and deposits made with said charges were ordered to be returned.

Oakley vs. Luce,  Annan vs. Guggenheim
Shand vs. Armstrong,  Adamson vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club

In the matter of claims for unpaid prizes and specials the following resolution was offered by Dwight Moore:

“That the Secretary be directed to write to each of the complaints of the complainants of unpaid prizes and ask if obligation has been fulfilled, and if no reply is received within thirty days the matter will be dropped by this Club.”
Seconded and carried.

The following cases were referred to the Stud Book Committee.

A. K. C. vs. Lyon  King vs. Barrett

The following cases were referred to Trial Boards.

A. K. C. vs. G. J. Ireberry
Mrs. C. M. Lunt vs. Appeal
Bloodgood vs. Vreeland
A. K. C. vs. Kenney
Webber vs. Brown
Ross vs. Clayton
Van Heusen vs. de Montglyon
de Montglyon vs. New England Kennel Club
Mayhew vs. North Jersey Kennel Club
A. K. C. vs. Ferrond
North Jersey Kennel Club vs. Armstrong
Hutton & Higgins vs. Ft. Worth Kennel Club
Griffith vs. Carey
North Jersey Kennel Club vs. Cohn
Guggenheim vs. Wolfrum

Trial Board for above cases,
Franklin B. Lord Jr.,
John E. De Mund
B. S. Smith

Evans vs. Chester Co. Agl. Society
Nolan vs. Bernard

Trial Board for above cases
T. Cadwallader
J. Sergeant Price Jr.
William L. Barclay

Dugro vs. Dorchester Kennels
Farrell vs. H. C. Kannerer
White vs. Lacaillade
Mulcahy vs. Revere Kennel Club

Trial Board for above cases
F. H. Osgood
L. Loring Brooks
S. R. Cutler

West vs. Kelly

Referred to Executive Committee.

The failure of the Flattsburgh Kennel Club to file certain papers with the A.K.C. was reported and the Secretary was directed to notify said club that no future license will be granted to it until the papers asked for are filed with this office.

Meeting adjourned.
A. P. Vrendenburgh
Secy
I will present the certificate of Election for four delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers, the report of the Rules Committee on the matter of three proposed amendments. One approved and two not approved. Said report having been published in the Gazette of Jan. 31st as proved for in the Constitution. The report of the Nominating Committee for Directors of the Class of 1915. The minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of Jan. 16th and Jan. 22nd, 1910.

"Respectfully submitted,
(SIGNED) A.P. VREDEBURGH,
Secretary."
MR. MOORE: I move that it be laid on the table, to be taken up in the regular course of business.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Rules Committee was then read, as follows:

“January 18, 1910.

MEETING OF RULES COMMITTEE

Dr. De Mund proposed an amendment to Article VI, new Section XI as referred to the Rules Committee as follows:

“All director who shall absent himself from two consecutive regular meetings of The Board without being excused by a majority vote of said Board, shall be Deemed to have resigned and shall cease to be a director.”

APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

Mr. Leslie’s amendment will not implicate and would not serve in its purpose, and would be an injury to small breeders, is as follows:

1. “Puppies to be eligible for entry in the puppy class must be American bred and the entry blank must shall number of litter registrations.

2. All litters eligible for entry to exhibit in the puppy class must be registered within thirty (30) days of birth, the registration blank showing breed of dog, date of birth, making of litter, sex of litter, sire and dam of owner, dam and name of owner, dates of service to be properly certified by owner of stud dog.

3. All litters offered for registration will be subject to the usual rules of the American Kennel Club covering registration.

4. A charge of one ($1.00) dollar will be made for such registration.”

NOT APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.
Mr. Mortimer’s amendment to Rule XV is as follows:

“Amend Rule XV of the Rules Governing Clubs by striking out the second Paragraph of the rule, and a new rule substituted in the original form.

NOT APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

(SIGNED)    H.H. HUNNEWELL
            J.E. DE MUND
            F.B. LORD, JR.

MR. HUNNEWELL:

On a point of information I would ask was there no an error in that report as published in the Gazette which was afterwards corrected?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, it was erroneous as published, but was corrected. The error crept in by poor proof reading and I had the man who read the proof sign a letter of explanation and apology to every one of the members of the Rules Committee, and to Mr. Leslie too.

THE CHAIRMAN: The first proposed amendment is to Article VI, new Section XI. What is your pleasure with regard to that proposed amendment?

MR. WILLETS: I move that it be adopted.

Motion seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is ambiguous in this sense, when he be excused. If he has, for instance, absented himself from one meeting and then desires to be excused at the next, and it so happens that he is compelled to be absent from that second meeting, he is without excuse.
MR. HUNNEWELL: The Rules Committee intended that at the second meeting if he was not present he would send in his excuse for not being present. We passed the rule as it was given to us, and that was the presumption, that if he was not present at the second meeting, he would give his excuse, and his excuse would be accepted or not. In other words, if a man was unavoidably absent from the first meeting he would write, saying he was sick or something of that kind. In that event he would be excused, and then he would have two more meetings before him before he could be considered to have resigned in the event that he had not been excused.

THE CHAIRMAN: Still it might happen, and I think when you come to apply the rule that a man might be prevented from attending the second meeting too late even to hand in his excuse. This is, his excuse would be submitted at the third meeting if he could not present it at the second meeting, and you might lose a very valuable representative.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I think under that ruling, as we intended it, a man might be absent from eight meetings and on giving a proper excuse the directors would grant it. He could say that he could not be present at a certain meeting, and it is for the directors to decide whether or not they choose to keep him on the directorate.
DR. DE MUND: As a member of the Rules Committee I desire to say that our object in proposing this rule was to reach certain directors who have never been present at meeting and have never shown any interest in our meetings. It is very difficult to get a quorum present. Any director who takes an interest in our meetings and who is prevented from attending them, can send an excuse to the first meeting or to the second meeting. It was not intended to be arbitrary.

MR. HUNNEWELL: For instance, a man might go abroad and that reason would be considered sufficient.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is quite true, but the language is mandatory.

DR. DE MUND: It seems to me to be perfectly clear. A director need not necessarily offer the excuse himself. His excuse can be offered through a friend of his who knows that he will be unavoidably absent.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not understand that there is anything that prevents the Board, at the time such a director ceases to be a member of it without being excused from re-electing him. If at the third meeting he presents an excuse it would be perfectly competent for that Board to re-elect him a director.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It is not a question of re-election. He would not be dropped.
THE CHAIRMAN: I think he is dropped. I think it is mandatory.

DR. DE MUND: Without an excuse, it says.

THE CHAIRMAN: It does not make any difference, if his excuse is not offered then and there at the next meeting, he is dropped.

DR. DE MUND: If some member of the Board should move that a director be excused, that would be sufficiently.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Supposing one of us should go abroad, and our mail should not be forwarded to us, and we should not receive notice of a meeting of the directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think any one going abroad with this rule in existence would notify the Secretary to request a leave of absence.

THE SECRETARY: It is not necessary for any director to get a notice. The constitution provides that the directors meet on the third Tuesday of every fourth month, and they know it perfectly well.

THE CHAIRMAN: However, the language is mandatory.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The Rules Committee did not mean to be mandatory in any way. Our object was to get rid of certain men who never come to the meetings. They do not give any excuse, and that settles them. The men that take an interest and attend the meetings, if they are absent all they have got to do is to say that they were in
Europe or were prevented from attending through some other cause, and their excuse will be accepted.

DR. DE MUND: I must take exception to your statement that it is mandatory. It would be mandatory without that clause in it providing for excuses. The directors may excuse whom they please, so that if a man is excused by a vote of the Board, the Rules does not apply.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Could the Chair suggest anything to make it more satisfactory?

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think there is any danger in it, only I think it is proper that the Board should know what it is doing. You are proposing an amendment here which provides that if a director is absent from two meetings without being excused he ceases to be a member. I do not think there is any harm in pointing it out. When a man ceases to be a member in that way you can reinstate him if you like. I do not think there is any harm in it.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move it be adopted.

Carried.

MR. WILLETS: I move that a copy of this new rule be mailed to each director and be also published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now I call your attention to the second proposed amendment and ask your pleasure regarding it. I
observe that it is not approved by the committee.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the Committee’s Report be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: I now read you Mr. Mortimer’s proposed amendment to Rule XV. This is not approved by the Committee.

MR. MORTIMER: That refers to the wording of the catalogue. There was a rule passed here that instead of the owner’s name coming first in the catalogue, the dog’s name should come first, the stud book number, then the owner’s name, then the date of the birth of the dog, then the sire and dam, and so on. My object in calling for the old method of wording the catalogue is because that method has been in existence for some fifty or sixty years nearly. It is the method that has been adopted and is still used by the English Kennel Club and by the American Kennel Club ever since its inception, and by all other dog clubs in the world so far as I can learn. Furthermore, there is no good reason why it should be changed. I inquired of the Secretary of our club, and he informed me that the change will not facilitate the work of the office in any way at all. I have found from my own experience that putting the dog’s name first caused me double work in the office in checking off the numbers of the dogs; and then again in
putting an owner’s dogs together, as is the general request on the past of exhibitors as to dogs of the same breed, as we have done; for instance, an exhibitor has four or five fox terriers entered, he likes to have those fox terriers benched together, not that the numbers should follow consecutively, but that the dogs should be benched together — I say that I have found that that has doubled the work of the office to do it. Several exhibitors have spoken to me on the subject, and they are all in favor of going back to the old method. It seems that a change of this kind should be made, if it is, for some good reason, but no one has been able to urge any good reason why the change was made, and for that reason I ask that we return to the original method of wording the catalogue, where the exhibitor’s name comes first, and then the dogs, and so forth.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I should like to ask the Secretary’s opinion as to whether it would facilitate the work of the office.

THE SECRETARY: I do not see that it does. It does not facilitate the work of the office. When the New York catalogue was printed it has the name of the dog first in very small type and the owner’s name in capitals, and of course the capitals first strike the eye. I am inclined to believe, however, that if the name of the dog
was printed in capitals and the owner’s name in small type, it would obviate in great measure many mistakes that may be made in tabulating them and in compiling them for the stud book. I would like to say that the stud book for 25 years has been arranged precisely according to the present rule, that is, the name of the dog comes first --- then the number, and then the name of the owner, and then the particulars regarding the dog.

MR. MORTIMER: The argument of the Secretary is all very well so far as the stud book entry is concerned, because he was looking for a particular dog or a particular number, but we have in our catalogue an index to exhibitors. We refer to the index and we find there the number of Mr. Belmont’s or Mr. Vredenburgh’s dog. It is much easier to find that when the owner’s name is in the margin of the catalogue. I am not talking about the little catalogue that is printed by some specialty club, but of catalogues printed by the larger clubs because they have great number of exhibits.

THE CHAIRMAN: I presume the committee thought it was an exhibition of the dog and not an exhibition of the owner.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Absolutely that was the idea.

MR. MORTIMER: Is not the exhibitor more important
Than his dog?

MR. HUNNEWELL: No, not at a dog show.

MR. MORTIMER: Can there be a dog show without an exhibitor? Think of the absurdity of this thing. The name of the dog comes first, then the stud book number, then the owner’s name, then the date of birth, and so on. It does not refer to the dog, it refers to the owner.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you not possibly exaggerating the difficulties which come to you simply because you have been so long in the habit of following that method?

MR. MORTIMER: No, I am not. I am objecting on account of the actual excess of work that it has caused me in my office, and I feel very strongly about it because I am sure that any one here who has had any experience in that kind of work would find that they have double work, double trouble in finding the dogs when they do not know where the owners’ names are. The owner is what you are looking for first, and I say that a method which has been good enough for the English Kennel Club and for ourselves ever since there were dog shows should not be changed unless there is some very good reason for changing it.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The committee, as I remember it, changed it for several reasons. One reason is that horse shows are catalogued in that way. The fact that the Eng-
lish Kennel Club has followed that method for fifty years does not affect the question at all. Horse show catalogues and other catalogues give the name of the horse first, and after all, the dogs are on exhibition, and not the exhibitors. Mr. Vredenburgh assured us that it would help the office here to a considerable extent in making up their stud book.

MR. MORTIMER: I asked the question of Mr. Vredenburgh and he assured me that it would not facilitate the work of the office. Another thing, you referred to horse shows. They do not print the pedigrees of the horse in their catalogues.

THE SECRETARY: So far as facilitating the work of the office here is concerned, it does not make really very much difference to us, but I think that with the catalogue we have before us we are less liable to error than we were on the old way.

MR. VAN SCHAICK: Mr. Mortimer’s point is well taken, I think, for that reason that a great many people go to a dog show to see certain people’s dogs, and it is a great deal easier to find them if the owner’s name comes first.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The owner’s name is indexed.

MR. MORTIMER: If this present method is continued I can say now for the information of exhibitors that their dogs will be no longer placed together at the Westminster
Kennel Club Show, but they will have to follow the regular order of the catalogue, because it is too much work. It took me nearly forty hours to get out a draft of the benching so that the exhibitors’ dogs could be put together before their numbers could be put up.

MR. PALMER: In support of Mr. Mortimer’s remarks, I desire to say that while at the Westminster Kennel Club Show I came in contact with a great many people who do not go to dog shows very frequently, but occasionally, and they seemed to experience any amount of trouble in ascertaining where the dogs were, etc. The average dog man can find his way around, but the novices cannot, and I do not see that the committee has shown us in any degree how what they adopted is going to benefit us in any way.

The question was then put and resulted in the committee’s recommendation being not approved, and the Chairman declared Mr. Mortimer’s proposed amendment to Rule XV adopted.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. J.J. Lynn has recently spoken with me in regard to the situation on the Pacific Coast which, I believe, is not entirely satisfactory. Mr. Lynn seemed to think that the best method was for us to return to the old control exercised from New York. I think our present method is the better one, because it is so far
away, and if we have the right men there undoubtedly it is the proper method of conducting business, but I want to suggest that during the coming spring or summer when Mr. Vredenburgh is at liberty it would be an excellent idea to send him out there to look over the situation. It would be unfortunate if by reason of any confusion that may be growing up there that we would have a secession and would have to pass through all the trouble that we had once before, because Mr. Lynn intimates that there is a movement to establish an independent Pacific Coast Kennel Club. Before acting I want to call your attention to this fact and want to make the suggestions that you authorize Mr. Vredenburgh sometime during the summer to go and look over the ground so that he can intelligently report on the subject, and then we will be satisfied as to whether the proper method is being followed or not.

At this point Mr. Belmont resigned the chair to Mr. Hunnewell.

THE SECRETARY: I have the usual minutes of the meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee of January 6th and January 22nd. It is customary to print these in the Gazette without reading them.

MR. MOORE: I move that they take that course.

Motion seconded and carried.
'REGULAR MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
Held at Metropolis Bank Bldg., San Francisco, January 6, 1910.
Present: H.H. Carlton, Chairman; Dr. W.P. Burnham; W.W. Stettheimer; Nat. T. Messer; J.P. Norman, Secretary.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read, and on motion adopted.

The Secretary read a communication from a member of the Committee calling attention to the discrepancy between the entries at the Oakland show, of the Bull Terrier puppies, TAMARACK VICTOR and the BLOOMSBURY TERROR, the dam of the former being given as Tamarack Glory, and of the latter as Venoma Peeress. The breeders being given respectfully as W.D. Kant and Mrs. Frank Morris. The two dogs being litter brothers.

The Secretary was by resolution, directed to obtain certain particulars from the alleged breeders, and from Mrs. Witts, the alleged owner of the bitch at the time of serving.

The Secretary read the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, held December 21, 1909, in which it is twice stated by Mr. Mortimer, that the San Francisco Catalog and the awards therein, had been passed by the Pacific Advisory Committee.
With a view to the correction of said minutes, it was Resolved that the Pacific Advisory Committee do hereby respectfully call the attention of the Board of Directors to the fact that this Committee is not, nor has it ever been authorized or qualified to pass upon the awards contained in any certified catalog, all inspection of catalogs being performed at the office of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, #1 Liberty Street, New York.

The Secretary read a communication from a member of the Committee, which after due consideration was laid upon the table.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:  J.P. NORMAN
Secretary.”

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at Metropolis Bank Bldg., San Francisco, January 22, 1910
PRESENT:  H.H. Carlton, Chairman;  W.W. Stettheimer, Nat. T. Messer, J.P. Norman, Secretary.
Absent:    Dr. W.P. Burnham

Minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

In the matter of the Bull Terrier puppy entries at the Oakland Show of 1909:

It was found on investigation that the puppy TAMARACK VICTOR, #162 in the catalog, awarded second prize in Bull
Terrier Puppies, Dogs, was not bred by W.D. Kant as stated by the owner, J.C. Berry, and it was

Resolved that the attention of the American Kennel Club be and is hereby directed to this error, for which a penalty of $1.00 should be levied; there being no evidence of any intent to defraud.

In re application of the Santa Clara Valley P. & P. S. Ass., to be allowed to change the name of said association to San Jose Kennel Club, it was Resolved that the application be granted and the American Kennel Club be notified accordingly.

A communication was laid before the Committee by a member thereof, and after discussion was on motion laid upon the table.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST: J.P. NORMAN,
Secretary"

THE SECRETARY: I submit the resignations of the Champlain Kennel Club, Madison Athletic Association, Simsbury Kennel Club, Southern Beagle Club, Southern Kennel Club, and Utah State Fair Association. These clubs have all paid up to January 1st.

DR. DE MUND: I move that they be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There are eleven clubs that accord-
ing to Article XVI, Section III of the constitution or by-laws, which have ceased to be members of the American Kennel Club. There were not dropped. They ceased to be members through not paying their dues up to this date. The by-laws say that on the 1st day of February if the dues are not paid they shall be suspended, and if there dues are not paid during the annual meeting to be held in February they shall cease to be members.

THE CHAIRMAN: No action is necessary.

THE SECRETARY: Now, that they have ceased to be members of the American Kennel Club, it is not within the power of this Association to reinstate them unless they come in as a new member would with the usual application and entry fee.

MR. MORTIMER: I desire to offer the following resolution: That no puppy or dog under twelve months of age shall be eligible to compete in any class or for any prize competition for which is limited to exhibits not exceeding a certain weight or height. That is to say an undeveloped dog shall not compete in any class where there is a limit of height or weight. A dog competing in a class where there is a limit of weight or height should be a matured dog. He should be twelve months old or over.

THE CHAIRMAN: You do not apply that to the ordinary terrier except the bull or Boston terrier?
MR. MORTIMER: We apply it where there is a limit of weight. We have in bull terriers and different other breeds classes that are limited by weight. In beagles dogs are limited by height, and no puppy should be eligible for a class where there is any limit either of weight or height.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it would be well to take up Mr. Belmont’s suggestions concerning a proposed visit by Mr. Vredenburgh to the Pacific Coast, because if there is any dissention some steps should be taken to end it before the trouble goes any further.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Is not that in the power of the directors only?

THE CHAIRMAN: It is in the power of the directors, but I see no objection to the delegates making any suggestion that they wish in regard to it. As I understand the situation, it is entirely in the power of the directors, and the matter would come before them, but the directors no doubt would be glad to hear anything that the delegates would like to say about it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I move that Mr. Belmont’s remarks in connection with Pacific Coast kennel matters be seriously considered by the directors and acted on.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh
Secy
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,
Held May 18, 1909, at the Offices of the American Kennel Club,
No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City.

H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

Present:

J. W. Appleton,
Singleton Van Schaick,
John E. De Mund,
F. H. Osgood.

Roland P. Keasbey,
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
John G. Bates.

Chetwood Smith,
R. Stuart Edson,
Richard H. Hunt.

Hollis H. Hunnewell,
Edward Brooks,
George Lauder, Jr.

Dwight Moore,
B. S. Smith,
Howard Willets,
Franklin B. Lord, Jr.

The Secretary read his report as follows:


To the Board of the Directors:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that at the last meeting of the Board of Directors, Trial Boards
were appointed and the papers in the different cases referred to the Board s were
promptly sent to the Chairmen thereof. I have
Partial report from the Trial Board sitting in New York, but have heard nothing whatever from the Boards sitting in Boston and Philadelphia. The papers which were sent on March 18th, 1909, and their receipt acknowledged.

At the same meeting of the Directors claims for unpaid prizes were submitted and I was directed to write to each of the complainants and ask if the obligations had been fulfilled, and if no reply be received within thirty days, the matters to be dropped by this club.

Notices were received in the cases Goldsmith vs. Ft. Worth Poultry and Pet Stock Association, M. S. Heald vs. Revere Kennel Club, and R. A. Follett vs. Boston Terrier Club, and their claims had not been satisfied.

No reply having been received from D. G. Gardner vs. Cincinnati Kennel Club, same vs. People's Poultry and Pet Stock Association, A. Self vs. Ft. Worth Poultry and Pet Stock Association, W. F. Fisher, and John L. Korzendorfer vs. Merrimack Valley K. C., I therefore have dropped these cases in accordance with the resolution adopted by your Board at its last meeting.

I beg to report the following charges:

April 2, 1909, Howe Totten vs. W. W. Tucker,
April 15, 1909, William Reilly vs. Chicago Kennel Club,
April 26, 1909, Mississippi Valley K. C. vs. L. L. Bonham,

May 12, 1909, R. F. Aabel vs. W. W. Tucker.

I have an appeal from the Pacific Advisory Committee from my action in declining an Associate Registration for 1908, after the entries for that year's book had closed. T
his resolution was sent to the Executive Committee of the A. K. C., which decided at its last meeting that it properly belonged to your Board.

I have a communication from the Professor of Animal Husbandry of the Ohio State University in reference to the purchase of an entire set of our Stud Book, requesting that some concession in the price be made. This is a matter over which I have no discretion and therefore refer it to your Board for instruction.

I beg to report the following bills that have been received:

Pacific Advisory Committee disbursements from March 1st to May 11, $27.40
Davies, Stone and Auerbach, for professional services, $1,000

The minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of March 20th are presented and the same will be spread in full upon the records of this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

“New York, May 18, 1909

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present eh financial report from January 1, 1909, to date:

January 1, 1909, to date:
Balance on hand January 1     $19,151.37
Receipts from January 1 to date    10,192.00
Total                          $29,343.37
Disbursements from January 1st to date   $12,222.20
Balance on hand                  $17,121.17

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer."

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the stud book Committee was read as follows:

REPORT OF THE STUD BOOK COMMITTEE

In the matter of the charges of Honborg vs. E. E. Franke giving a false pedigree
for the Boston Terrier "Leone Peanuts", The Committee do not consider that any intent
to defraud is shown. Charges are therefore dismissed. The pedigree as given by Mr.
Walkland, the breeder, is accepted as correct.
Application has been made by several owners of the Terriers heretofore known as Roseneath, that these dogs be recognized as West Highland White Terriers on the ground that they are shown in England under this name, and that Roseneath is an erroneous name for the breed. The committee recommend that this change be made and the breed be hereafter known as West Highland White Terriers.

The requests for recognition of the breeds called Deutsh Long Haired Vorstch Hund and Red Bone Hounds have been refused.

A protest against the Kennel Name "Garfield" registered by Mrs. Jennie Mathis has been filed by Mr. C. Schaefer, the ground being that the name properly belongs to him. The protest is not sustained.

Signed

J. W. Appleton,
Singleton Van Schaick,
W. L. Barclay.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Appleton: I would also like to state that there was some discussion at the last meeting with reference to what we should charge for prefixes, or kennel names. The committee has decided on a charge of fifteen dollars for a life ownership of a name, and a fee of five dollars with one dollar maintenance fee for each year.

Mr. Books, on behalf of the Rules Committee, reported as follows:
The Committee has been hard at work and have the rules pretty well into shape, but we are still to hear from the Field Trials Committee and also from the License Committee. I understand from the chair of the License Committee that it has no rules. I think rules ought to be drawn and published defining exactly what a license is. We will be able to finish our work as soon as we hear from those two committees and report at the next meeting of the Board of Directors.

Mr. Chetwood Smith, on behalf of the Field Trials Committee, reported progress. Mr. Dwight Moore, chairman of the Publication Committee, reported as follows: "I wish to state that the members of this committee took up an entirely new line of work and are groping somewhat in the dark, and have been earnestly striving to accomplish some good. I believe that they are on the right track now, and hope to be able to make a further report at the next meeting."

Mr. Bates, on behalf of the License Committee, reported as follows: "We have been unable to form any rules for the License Committee. At the present time the license are being granted to clubs, and they are paying a certain amount of money. The License Committee is going to try to increase that amount, if possible.

The Secretary then read the report of the Trial
Board sitting in New York to which was referred the charges of The American Kennel Club vs. Lyon and Vern King vs. R. S. Barrett.

MR. MOORE: I rise to a point of order. As we have no jurisdiction in this matter, it being merely decisions by the Trial Board, I move that the decision be read and such portions as the judge see fit to publish be published in the Gazette.

THE CHAIRMAN: I rule that the point of order is well taken. Just read the findings. The report is very indefinite. The committee simply recommend certain things.

MR. MOORE: I move the report be sent back to the Trial Board with instructions.

Motion seconded and carried.

Subsequently, the Trial Board submitted the following report:

TRIAL BOARD

A. K. C. vs Lyon:
Ordered that Lyon be suspended.

Vern King vs. R. S. Barrett:
Ordered that charges be dismissed.

Singleton Van Schaick,
William L. Barclay.

The Secretary read the reports of the Trial Board
Sitting in New York

REPORTS OF TRIAL BOARD SITTING IN NEW YORK.

AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB vs. J. L. IREBERRY:

J. L. Ireberry disqualified for misconduct in connection with dogs.

MRS. C. M. LUNT APPEAL:

Dismissed. Cancellation upheld.

M. A. ROSS vs. AMOS CLAYTON:

Clayton to return to Ross the money he paid for dog within 30 days or be disqualified. Ross to return dog to Clayton, if dog is still alive.

AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB vs. JULES FEROND:

Charges dismissed.

BERNARD GUGGENHEIM vs. BRUNO WOLFRUM:

Ordered that Wolfrum give a pedigree or refund the money within 30 days or be disqualified.

NORTH JERSEY KENNEL CLUB vs. LEO COHN:

North Jersey Kennel Club sustained.

NORTH JERSEY KENNEL CLUB vs. C. H. ARMSTRONG:

North Jersey Kennel Club sustained.

MATTER OF H. K. BLOODGOOD and WALLACE N. VREELAND:

The trial board finds that Mr. Wallace N. Vreeland's charges against Patrick Shea are without any foundation.
HUTTON and HUGGINS vs. FT. WORTH POULTRY & PET STOCK ASSOCIATION:

The Trial Board finds that at this show the Superintendent exhibited dogs and that the dogs were sick, and that the cub did not decide the protest within thirty (30) days. We recommend that the Fort Worth Poultry & Pet Stock Association receive no more than licenses to hold shows and it is hereby ordered that all the officials and Superintendent of that show be disqualified.

MAYHEW vs. NORTH JERSEY KENNEL CLUB:

The Trial Board finds that under Rule XI of the Rules Governing Dog Shows the Secretary of the North Jersey Kennel Club had a right to refuse the entry of any exhibitor and therefore had a right to refuse the entry of Mrs. Mayhew.

GRIFFITH vs. CARY:

The Trial Board finds that there is no ground for the disqualification of Mr. Cary and therefore the charges are dismissed.

THE APPEAL OF MERCY ARGENTEUAU, PRINCESS de MONTGLYON FROM THE DECISION OF THE NEW ENGLAND KENNEL CLUB:

The Trial Board finds that on Friday, February 22, 1907, when taken before the Bench Show Committee of the New England Kennel Club the dog Chin Chino owned by the Princess de Montglyon had some coloring matter in his coat. The Bench Show Committee thereafter cancelled the dog's
Winnings. No opportunity gas given the exhibitor to be heard according to Rule XXII of the Rules Governing Clubs. In this the New England Kennel Club erred.

The trial Board also finds that there was no dye on the coat of the dog when judged and that the Princess de Montglyon had no knowledge of any dye being placed there subsequently, therefore, the decision of the New England Kennel Club is revered and the dog's winnings are restored.

ADA OLIVE VAN HEUSEN vs. MERCY ARGENTEAU, PRINCESS DE MONTGLYON:

The Trial Board finds that the Princess de Montglyon did not dye or cause to be dyed the dog Chin Chino and that she had no knowledge of any coloring matter being put on the dog's coat at anytime. In regard to the letter referred to in the charges which was published in Field & Fancy, we find that this does not constitute a sufficient cause for action by the American Kennel Club.

J. E. De Mund,
B. S. Smith

May 18th, 1909, Franklin B. Lord, Jr.
Chairman

THE SECRETARY: I will state that there is one case pending before the Board sitting in New York, Weber vs. Brown, which has not yet been settled. As to the cases referred to the Trial Board sitting in Boston, and as to the cases referred to the Trial Board sitting in Philadelphia
I have received no reports.

DR. OSGOOD: I would like to make a statement regarding the Boston Trial Board. I received notice from the Secretary appointing the Trial Board, consisting of three members. I notified him immediately that one of the members had already acted on one of the cases. I then received a reply from him, stating that he had no authority to make any change in the matter. The next day he informed me by letter that the other member of the Trial Board had refused to act. Consequently there is no Trial Board. I then received a communication from Mr. Vredenburgh asking me to endeavor to prevail upon that member to serve, as he was a useful man, but before receiving that letter I sprained my ankle and was laid up, and have been ever since.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard Dr. Osgood on behalf of the Boston Trial Board, and I would suggest that Dr. Osgood should try to prevail upon the third member to serve, and Mr. Cutler could be replaced by some one to try the case in which Mr. Curler is interested.

MR. MOORE: I move that the two remaining members elect a third person to take Mr. Cutler's place on that Trial Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: In order that we may act legally, you should recommend a man to me, and if the meeting will
Give me the power, I will appoint him.

MR. MOORE: I should like to ask if all the Trial Boards who have reported to-day have sent in all the exhibits and all correspondence and papers in reference to the cases referred to them. I ask this because it is very important if the matters come up on appeal that the Executive Board have all of the papers relating to the cases, and not merely the decisions.

MR. DE MUND: On behalf of our Board, I will say that all the testimony and everything will be turned over to the Secretary. He has most of them now.

THE SECRETARY: I have all the papers with the exception of the stenographer's report of the trial of the Princess de Montglyon.

MR. MOORE: I move that the Secretary be instructed to follow the matter up closely and see that all papers are forwarded.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, the Trial Boards out of existence as soon as the cases are tried and decided?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

I have three cases of claims for unpaid prizes: Goldsmith vs. Fort Worth Poultry and Pet Sock Association, M. S. Heald vs. Revere Kennel Club and R. A> Follett vs. Boston Terrier Club. They were notified by me in
Conformity with the resolution passed at the last meeting to advise us if the obligations had been met, and if no replies were received within thirty days the cases should be dropped.

Replies in the three cases referred to were received, stating that the obligations had not been met.

DR. DE MUND: In the first cases referred to of Goldsmith vs. Fort Worth Poultry and Pet Stock Association, I do not see how any further punishment can be meted out to the latter named club. It has been disqualified, together with all its officials, and we recommend that it be not granted another license, so I fail to see how we can punish it any further. I move that the case of Heald vs. Revere Kennel Club be sent to a Trial Board to be designated by this Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Willets moved to rescind the motion just passed.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR MOORE: I move that the Secretary communicate with both parties once more and if the prize is not paid within thirty days, the Revere Kennel Club be suspended until paid.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have eight cases in which no reply was made to my letter of inquiry, and I have dropped the

THE CHAIRMAN: There are two Trial Boards, and we should elect one consisting of three New York men and one consisting of three men from Chicago.

THE SECRETARY: I think the two Western cases can be tried by the Board sitting in New York as well as a Board sitting in Chicago.

MR. MOORE: I move that the Chair appoint that Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: I appoint Messrs. Lauder, De Mund, and B. S. Smith as such committee, they to elect their own chairman.

The Secretary read a communication from the professor of Animal Husbandry of the Ohio State University in reference to the purchase of a set of the stud books, requesting that some concession in the price be made.

THE SECRETARY: The price of a set now is five dollars a volume, and there are twenty-five volumes. We have all the volumes but Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 5, which are now out of print.

MR. APPLETON: It would be a good thing to have
Those volumes on file with the Ohio State University. I would recommend a large concession in price.

MR. WILLETS: I move that we donate a set to this institution.

Seconded

MR. MOORE: I move as an amendment that they be sold to this society at half price without prejudice to any charge that might be made in the future, starting with Volume No. 6.

Amendment seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of March 25th, 1909.

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE: March 20, 1909.

Held at office of W. W. Stettheimer.


On motion, Mr. Carlton took the chair,

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

RE the application of the Tacoma Kennel Club for a license to hold a show at Tacoma, Wash., April 28, 29, 29, May 1, 1909. On motion the license was unanimously granted.

Re the rejection by the Secretary of the A. K. C. of two applications for registration account associate membership in 1908 from E. B. Varian and two for same account from E. T. Chase. After due consideration of all correspondence in the matter between the two applicants and the
Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee and the Secretary of the A. K. C. respectively.

It was moved and seconded, that Whereas it appears from the records that theses applications were filed with the secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, as agent of the A. K. C., bearing date of December 28 and 29, 1908, respectively, for which year the associate membership dues had been paid by the two applicants:

And Whereas the secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee was precluded by the pressure of private business from dispatching A. K. C. matters until January 6, on which date said applications were mailed to New York, arriving there on or about January 11th:

And Whereas the Secretary of the A. K. C rejected the application on what appears to this Committee to be the insufficient reason that they were too late to be included in the 1908 Stud Book:

And Whereas such action wrongfully deprives said two associate members of the registration for which they had duly paid in 1908 and duly claimed in 1908:

Therefore be it resolved that it is the sense of this Committee, that the Executive Committee should order the inclusion of these two registrations in the 1909 Stud Book, charging same against the 1908 A/C. and this Committee respectfully requests the Executive Committee to so order.
And be it further resolved that the secretary of this Committee address this resolution direct to the Executive Committee for prompter action, in addition to spreading it on the minutes of this Committee, of which it shall be part.

Carried.

There being no further business, the committee adjourned.

Attest:

J. P. Norman,
Secretary.

DR. OSGOOD: I move that it be ordered spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

THE SECRETARY: The entries for the Stud Book close precisely as the entries for a show, on the 31st day of December. On the 2nd day of January, before the mail is opened, it is divided by postmark on the envelope, and every envelope that is dated the 2nd of January goes in for the coming year's books. We hold the mail open for seven days so that registrations can reach us from the Far West and Northwest that have the date mark of the 31st day of December. Then the copy goes to the printer. These entries were not received until the 11th or 12th of January, not having been sent, according to the postmark, until about the 6th of January, six days after the closing of our entries, and I adopted the course that has been followed
By this club since 1889, declined to receive them. We have a signed agreement between the American Kennel Club and the Pacific Advisory Committee specifying wherein the Pacific Advisory Committee is accepted as an agent for this club, and I think it is a fair conclusion that they cannot act as agent for this club in any matter not specified. Therefore I declined to receive these applications, just the same as I would decline to receive any application from any of you gentlemen which you did not mail until the 1st day of January, and they now appeal from my decision.

DR. OSGOOD: I think they ought to take the same course that entries do which are received too late to be accepted.

MR. BROOKS: I go further back than that. If they are going to assume powers that are not relegated to them by existing agreement, I think they ought to be notified that they should attend strictly to their own business, and not assume powers that have not been given to them. They have received registrations that ought to come to this office. They have nothing to do with registrations. We never asked them to look after registrations, and I think now is the time to call their attention to that fact. I would suggest that the Rules Committee might frame a rule according to which we can notify the Pacific Advisory
Board exactly under what rules they are acting.

THE SECRETARY: Have you a copy with you of the agreement we have with them, Mr. Brooks? I wish you would read the supplemental agreement, the second one, under which I acted.

(Mr. Brooks read the part of the agreement referred to.)

MR HUNNEWELL (resigning the chair to Mr. Appleton): I think there is one element in this matter that we completely overlooked, and that is the fact that the Secretary has been receiving registrations from the Pacific Advisory Committee for a long time. If the Pacific Advisory Committee had not any right to send registrations, why did you accept them?

THE SECRETARY: For instance, Tom Middlebrook, of Boston, sends me day in and day out applications for registrations for other people. I take them. Does that constitute Mr. Middlebrook as our agent?

MR. HUNNEWELL: No, in that case, but I think the exhibitors out West think they can do that, as they have been doing it right along. I think it is a hardship on the exhibitors out there. I think in the future it ought to be distinctly understood whether the Pacific Advisory Committee can take registrations, or whether they cannot, but as they have been doing it, people out West think
That if they get their application there in time that is all that is necessary.

THE SECRETARY: IN one day I have received four checks with application sent to us, checks drawn to J. P. Norma, Secretary, and J. P. Norman endorses them over and sends those checks with the applications. I do not see how that constitutes Mr. Norman, or the committee he represents, our agent.

MR. WILLETS: If whoever mailed these checks had happened to mail them so they would have arrived here in time, would you have accepted them?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. WILLETS: But if he has been careless and has not attended to it in time, I do not see why simply because he has made a mistake the innocent exhibitor should be made to suffer. That has been the custom and course of business for years to accept registrations in that manner.

THE SECRETARY: But that does not constitute them our agents. For instance, your show closes on the 1st day of the month, and I give my entry on the 1st day of the month, the date it closes, to John Smith, and John Smith fails to send it to you, have you any right to accept that entry?

MR. WILLETS: No, because we have not been in the
Habit of receiving entries from John Smith.

THE SECRETARY: The rules state that the registration covers only the year for which dues are paid. For 1909 these gentlemen had not paid their dues.

MR. WILLETTS: I understand that, but they have mailed their applications within the proper time.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, but they made an error in mailing them to somebody who had no right to receive them.

MR. WILLETTS: We have accepted registrations through those channels. I move that those registrations be accepted in accordance with the request in that letter.

MR. APPLETON: My point is this: So far as the public is concerned, the Pacific Advisory Committee are our agents out there, and a man is very apt to make a mistake in not knowing what he is an agent for. They are agents for the American Kennel Club, so far as I know, and exhibitors hand them their registrations as agents for the American Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: Suppose that the man who accepted them had no legal right to accept them, although the exhibitors
Thought he had a legal right, and suppose he lost them in his desk and did not send them to us in a year, should we accept them?

MR. WILLETS: Yes. That is his fault.

DR. DE MUND: I think that the exhibitors should get what they are entitled to, but I do not think we should uphold the Pacific Advisory Board for acting beyond its powers.

MR. MOORE: I think we should wholly ignore this communication from the Pacific Advisory Committee, and the Secretary should write directly to these people.

DR. DE MUND: We ought not to uphold this appeal from the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: If it is the pleasure of this meeting the Secretary could notify those two people direct that their registrations have been accepted.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have to acknowledge their appeal. We cannot ignore that.

MR. MOORE: This is a matter between the Secretary of the American Kennel Club and the secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, and why are we not justified in taking up the matter directly with those two exhibitors?

MR. APPLETON: If we decide to accept these entries we should couple with that decision a vote to the effect
That the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee has exceed his authority in accepting these registrations.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would suggest that coupled with this motion we ought to back up the Secretary of the American Kennel Club in his position that he was perfectly right, and that the Pacific Advisory Committee had no business to accept the registrations as agents. In that way we return the money to the exhibitors, and we tell the Pacific Advisory Committee that they should not have done it.

MR. MOORE: I do not know that the Secretary wants to go as far as that.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, I do. There is nobody living that can compel those registrations but this Board. I could not do it. I would be exceeding my authority if I did.

MR. MOORE: Isn't it a fat that Secretary Norman has been keeping copies of all these registrations?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. MOORE: We have been furnishing them with a card index to give them this information.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, but not for registrations.

THE CHAIRMAN: I did not mean to ask for a vote of censure in my remarks. I thought it would be a good idea to point out the fact that our Secretary acted according to the rules, and that those registrations should not
Have been accepted, or, in other words, when they were accepted, they were not accepted according to our agreement as an agent, but simply as an individual.

MR. WILLETS: I move that those four registrations be accepted and that Secretary Norman be informed that in future he may simply accept registrations as an individual, not as an agent of the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bills rendered by the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from March 1st to May 11th, aggregating to $27.40, were ordered paid.

On motion the Board then went into a committee of the whole.

Subsequently the committee arose and reported through its chairman that the committee of the whole direct the chair to appoint a committee of three to confer with Mr. August Belmont for legal services.

The Chair appointed Messrs. Willets, Moore, and B. S. Smith,

The Secretary: I would like to state for general information that there was a committee appointed in connection with myself to lay out and furnish these offices, and I can state in general terms that the entire cost of furnishing and of work we have had to have done here,
And the removal, was $1,500.

MR. WILLETTS: I move that a vote of thanks be extended to the committee which had charge of the removal and furnishing of the offices.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting was then adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City,
Tuesday, May 18, 1909.
Vice President H. H. Hunnewell, in the chair.

Present:

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES
  Dwight Moore
  B. S. Smith
  A. G. Hooley

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA  William L. Barclay
AMERICAN DACHSHUNDE CLUB   G. Muss-Arnolt
AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB   H. H. Hunnewell
ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB   J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
BAY STATE CO-OPERATIVE BENCH SHOW ASSOCIATION  Edward W. Dwight.
BLOODHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA   Dr. Louis G. Knox
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB   F. H. Osgood
CALIFORNIA COCKER CLUB   George H. Taylor
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB   John G. Bates
CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB   Abram D. Gillette
COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA   R. S. Edson
DUQUESNE KENNEL CLUB OF WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA  S. S. Lesslie, Jr.
FAIRFIELD COUNTY KENNEL CLUB   Alvin Untermeyer,
FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA  Richard H. Hunt
IRISH SETTER CLUB   Lawrence M. D. Mcguire
The minutes of the last meeting having been published in the American Kennel Gazette, on motion they were accepted as published.

The following named clubs were elected to membership:

Central New York Kennel Association,
Framingham District Kennel Club,
Pekingese Club of America, and
Monmouth County Kennel Club.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Western Bull Dog Breeders’ Association; Mr. James H. Andrew
Toy Spaniel Club of America; Noah Loder, Jr.
Scottish Terrier's Club of America; Robert Sedwick, Jr.
Pekingese Club of America; C. W. B. Wheeler.
Monmouth County Kennel Club; Montifiore G. Kahn,
Massachusetts Kennel Club; August Belmont
Greyhound Club of America; M. Mowbray Palmer.
Great Dane Club; Edwin F. Gissler
Dalmatian Club of America; Alfred B. Maclay
Bull Terrier's Club of America; Dr. A. P. Northridge
Airedale Terriers' Club of New York; W. W. Vaughn
Bull Terriers' Breeders' Association; Cyril Crimmins,
American Pomeranian Club: Theodore Offerman

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:


To the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen,

I beg to report that I have turned over to the Membership Committee,
applications for active membership from the following clubs:

Central New York Kennel Association,
Framingham District Kennel Club,
Pekingese Club of America, and
Monmouth County Kennel Club.

Also credential appointing delegates from the following clubs:

Airedale Club of New York,
American Pomeranian Club of New York,
Bull Terrier Breeders' Association,
Bull Terrier Club of America,
Greyhound Kennel Club,
Great Dane Club of America,
Massachusetts Kennel Club,
Monmouth County Kennel Club,
Scottish Terrier Club of America,
The Pekingese Club of America,
Toy Spaniel Club of America,
Western Bull Terrier Breeders' Association.

At the annual meeting of the club there were sixteen clubs reported in arrears for
dues for 1909, and I was directed to send final bills and if same were not paid on or
before March 11th, that such clubs should be dropped from the roll without further
action. Five of the clubs paid within the required time, and eleven were dropped from
the roll of members. Subsequently the Mascoutah Kennel Club, Altoona Kennel Club,
People's Poultry and Pet Stock Association, and the Maltese Terrier Club paid, and I
accepted same subject to the action of this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary read the correspondence between himself
And the secretaries of the Mascoutah Kennel Club, Altonna Kennel Club, and People's Poultry and Pet Stock Association, resulting in the payment by those clubs of the dues of which they had been reported in arrears, and stated that he had received those dues subject to the approval of the American Kennel Club.

It was moved and seconded that the dues received from the clubs mentioned be accepted.

CARRIED.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to state that two of our delegates have just presented the American Kennel club with very handsome pictures, and Mr. Arkwright, of London, has presented the club with a copy of his book, which is regarded as the best book extant on the pointer, and I think some acknowledgement might be properly made at this meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there be no objection, a motion is in order that the American Kennel Club tender its thanks to these donors of these gifts.

MR. LESSLIE: I move the adoption in the minutes a resolution recognition of the services of Mr. Charles Gibbs Carter, late president of the Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania, who died last Friday. The data for the minutes will be furnished by the secretary of that club. Mr. Carter gave a number of years of his time
Gentlemen:

At a recent meeting of this club Mr. Jno. Moorehead, Jr. was elected President to fill the office which was made vacant by the death of Mr. Chas. Gibbs Carter; Mr. Charles A. Painter was elected Vice President in the place of Mr. Moorehead.

At the request of our delegates Mr. Lesslie I enclose the following minute, Which at your recent meeting of the delegates was to be sent to you to be spread upon the minutes of the American Kennel Club.

“Whereas, in the death of Mr. Charles Gibbs Carter the Kennel world has lost an [illegible] fancier, gentleman and capable judge, and

Whereas Mr. Carter who by him time, services and devotion has done much for the kennel interests, therefore

Be it resolved that the American Kennel Club express its sorrow over his death and extend their sympathy to his family, and

Be it further resolved that this minute be spread upon the minutes of this Club.”

Yours very truly

S. B. Cummings
Secy
genera in his death. I desire that the data, when furnished, shall be spread upon the minutes of the meeting.

   Motion seconded and carried.
   The meeting was adjourned.

   A. P. Vredenburgh,
   Secretary.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, Tuesday,
September 21st, 1909.
Mr. H. H. Hunnewell presiding.

Present:

John E. De Mund,      R. Stuart Edson,
F. H. Osgood,       Hollis H. Hunnewell,
Rowland P. Keasbey,   Edward Brooks,
John G. Bates,       Dwight Moore,
H. K. Bloodgood,      B. S. Smith,
Chetwood Smith,      Howard Willets.

On motion of Mr. Moore the regular order of business was suspended.

MR. MOORE: As Chairman of the Publication Committee I would like permission
from the Board of Directors to make the Gazette a monthly publication on the 1st of
January, 1910, if in the opinion of the Committee it would prove to be economical to do
so.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: I move that the Publication Committee be directed to publish the
rules as adopted, with the Constitution, and have copies thereof placed with the
Secretary for sale at a price to be determined upon by the committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with,
and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.
The Secretary read his report, as follows:


To the Board of Directors,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit for you consideration a report from the Stud Book Committee of Sept. 3rd, 1909, Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee, of June 5th, 1909, and August 4th, 1909.

In the Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of June 5th, Mr. Nathaniel Thayer Messer was unanimously nominated as a member of the Committee to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Charles K. Harley. Action should be taken on this nomination at once as the Committee is in need of the services of another member of its body.

I beg to report the bill for disbursements by the P. A. C. from May 21 to September 10, amounting to $36.15. This bill appears to be correct and I recommend that same be ordered paid.

I also submit the reports of the Trial Board sitting in Boston upon the cases referred to it.
Under date of June 11th, 1909, the Anthracite Kennel Club notified me of the suspension of John Gaynor, and Dr. H. M. Beck for removing their dogs from its show without permission. In connection with the above it has been the custom heretofore to either remove the suspension or change same to that of disqualification.

The Newcastle County Fair Dog Show held under a License, failed to publish in its premium list as directed by the Rules a list of officials under whose direction the show was held. A notice to your Secretary was received to the effect that their show being held in connection with the County Fair it was not considered necessary to publish any names of the Committees and expresses regret that one of our Rules was violated.

The following charges have been filed for unpaid specials:

John Black vs. Buffalo Kennel Club.
Mrs. E. Moore vs. Buffalo Kennel Club.
Mrs. J. Benzie vs. Lynn Kennel Club
George D. Smith vs. Plainfield Kennel Club.
Revere Collie Kennels vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club
J. H. Blackwood vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club
Helen M. Tablot vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club
Mrs. W. H. Ingham vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club
W. E. Weare vs. Merrimack Valley Kennel Club
The different Clubs against whom charges are filed have all been notified and with the exception of the Plainfield Kennel Club I have no knowledge that any effort has been made to pay the claims.

Under date July 27th, I notified the Secretary of the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club as follows: "I regret to say that there has not been a show held by your club for several years that we have not had either one or two complaints against it for its failure to pay its obligations, and unless you pay all Specials won at your last show at once, I will be under the necessity of recommending that your club be dropped from our list of Membership and its officers suspended." In making a search of our records for the past four years I find that twelve cases were filed for unpaid prizes against the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club. The officers to whom my communication are addressed, ignore them absolutely. In four case out of the five complaints reported at this meeting, the complainants allege that they have proof that the Specials won by them had been paid into the Club. In my opinion the continuance of this club's membership is not only a detriment to Dog Shows, but it places the American Kennel Club in the position that it cannot enforce its rules unless action shall be taken to eliminate the shows held by this club from our jurisdiction.
The late Trial Board sitting in New York disqualified W. W. Tucker of Eatontow, N. J., on charges preferred by How Totten and F. R. Aabel, Jr. Tucker filed a mass of papers and photographs in his reply to these charges and now demands the return of certain of these papers and photographs. I, having no authority to comply with his request, would recommend that the Board that tried him be authorized to return such papers as in their judgment they may deem proper.

The following charge has been filed for misconduct in connection with dogs:
"June 14th, 1909, J. W. Tasker vs. W. E. Mason."

I would recommend that a Trial Board be appointed at this meeting to investigate this case.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:


To the Board of Directors of

The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen,

I beg to present the financial report from Jan. 1 to date:

Balance on hand Jan. 1st 19,151.37
Receipts from Jan 1 to date 18,478.26

Disbursements from Jan 1st to date 19,766.72
Balance on hand 17,711.54

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
Report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

STUD BOOK COMMITTEE MEETING

September 1st, 1909.

Resent- Mssrs. Appleton and Van Schaick.

In the matter of George C. Newman vs. John Faulkner with regard to the pedigree of poodle "Frolic" and other charges: As there was no proper evidence produced by Mr Newman and the case is now more than two years old, it was decided to go no further into the matter.

Bull Terriers "Macomb Kentucky" and "Macomb Hupp". The registrations of these terriers were canceled on account of improper pedigree having been given. We find no intention of fraud on the part of E. A. Goring, who registered the dogs, of C. L. Borders. Thomas Finn, 420 Brooklyn Ave., Detroit, having supplied to C. L. Borders a false pedigree for the dam of the above mentioned dogs, and having been convicted in a Police Court for fraud in so doing, we recommend, on the evidence before us, that said Thomas Finn be disqualified.
In the matter of the charge of Dan F. Riordan against Martin Smith, of Hillsboro, Wis., for furnishing false pedigree for beagle bitch "Little Daisy". The charge being dated 1907 and the Committee being unable to get any answer to its letters or any evidence, the matter is dropped.

In the matter of charge of L. Bartlett that a false pedigree was given him by Mrs. W. R. Gibbs for the French bull bitch by "Athos" ex. "San Toy": The Committee is satisfied by the breeder's statement and that of Mrs. Gibbs that there has been no fraud and that the pedigree is correct as given to Mr. Bartlett.

In the case of the bulldog "Morgan" registered under No. 129272 as by "Woodcote Marshall" ex. "Fair Lady": Upon the statement of the owner of "Woodcote Marshall" that such mating never took place, we recommend that the registration of "Morgan" be canceled. E. S. Lepet, who registered this dog, appears to be innocent of any intention of fraud. We recommend that T. M. Marshall, 3026 Locust Street, St. Louis, be disqualified for fraud in giving a false pedigree for the dog "Morgan".

J. W. Appleton
Singleton Van Schaick
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

The minutes of meetings of the Pacifica Advisory Committee were read, as follows:
MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE: June 5, 1909.
Held at First & Mission Streets, San Francisco.


Minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

BARTELS vs. COLORADO KENNEL CLUB & I. M. T. C. CON.
Appeal from decision of bench show committee.

The reply of the respondent club not being received,
It was moved and seconded, that consideration of the case be postponed for 30 days.
Carried.

In re the petition of William J. Morris, to reopen the case of Stettheimer vs. Venice of America Kennel Club.

Mr. Stettheimer rose to a point of order and requested the privilege of making a statement.

He said that he did not care for the cup in question and that as a matter of fact he had already told the Venice Kennel Club that they might put it up at their next show. As however, Mr. Morris seemed very anxious for the cup, the speaker waived his right and was willing to let Mr. Morris have it.

On motion, the petition of appellant was denied and
The secretary instructed to inform appellant of Mr. Stettheimer's abandonment of the trophy.

The Committee then went into executive session with Mr. Stettheimer in the chair.

At the close of the Executive Session.

It was moved and seconded, That Whereas this Committee is embarrassed in its work by the uncertainty as to the new rules, which are to be formulated for its guidance, and Whereas such uncertainty tends to the detriment of the kennel interests of the coast, Therefore be it Resolved that the Pacific Advisory Committee do recommend to, and request of the Executive Committee of the A. K. C. that Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh be sent out at the earliest opportunity, to enunciate said rules for the information of the Pacific Advisory Committee, and that he be endowed with full power to formulate such additional rules, as may be found necessary on conferring with this Committee.

And be it further Resolved that it is the firm belief of this Committee that such action would be of the highest benefit to the kennel interests of the coast and of the A. K. C and that such action is at the present juncture highly proper and essential to the proper development of the kennel interests of the Coast and of the A. K. C. Carried.
The chair then rose and stated that he was reluctantly compelled to tender his resignation as a member and Chairman of this Committee, for the reason that his business interests would necessitate his prolonged absence from San Francisco.

It was moved and seconded, That this Committee do accept the resignation of its Chairman, Charles K. Harley, with the deepest regret and with a most acute personal feeling of loss of his counsel and association, and that said Charles K. Harley has earned the gratitude of American Kenneldom and of the Pacific Coast in particular for his services to the kennel interests of the country. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, that this Committee do recommended to the American Kennel Club Henry H. Carlton for appointment as Chairman to succeed Charles K. Harley resigned. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, That this Committee do unanimously nominate to the American Kennel Club Nat T. Messer for appointment to the vacancy on this Committee caused by the resignation of Chas. K. Harley. Carried.

It was moved and seconded, that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to convey at the earliest possible moment to the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, the resolutions passed at this meeting, at the nomination
Of the executive session, so that the said Executive Committee may be enabled to take action thereon without loss of time. Carried.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

J. P. Norman,
Secretary.

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary American Kennel Club,
New York.

Dear Sir:

I am directed by the Pacific Advisory Committee to communicate to you the following resolutions, passed at the meeting held on the 5th inst. and to request you to communicate them to the Executive Committee at the earliest opportunity.

1. That this Committee do accept the resignation of its Chairman, Charles K. Harley, with the deepest regret and with a most acute personal feeling of loss of his counsel and association, and that said Charles K. Harley has earned the gratitude of American Kenneldom and of the Pacific Coast in particular for his services to the kennel interests of the country.

2. That this Committee do recommend to the American Kennel Club Henry H. Carlton for appointment as Chairman to succeed Chas. K. Harley, resigned.

3. That this Committee do unanimously nominate the American Kennel Club Nat T. Messer for appointment to the vacancy on this Committee caused by the resignation of Chas. K. Harley
4. That Committee is embarrassed in its work by the uncertainty as to the new rules, which are to be formulated for its guidance, would recommend to, and request of the Executive Committee of the A. K. C. that Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh be sent out at the earliest opportunity, to enunciate said rules for the information of the Pacific Advisory Committee, and that he be endowed with full power to formulate such additional rules, as may be found necessary on conferring with this Committee.

And it is the firm belief of this Committee that such action would be of the highest benefit to the kennel interests of the Coast and of the A. K. C. and that such action is at the present juncture highly necessary and essential to the proper development of the kennel interests of the Coast and of the A. K. C.

Yours very truly,

PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE: A. K. C.

J. P. Norman,
SECRETARY.

Present, H. H. Carlton in the Chair; W. W. Stettheimer, J. P. Norman.

Absent, Dr. W. P. Burnham; Present by invitation of the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, Mr. A. P. VREDENBURGH, Secretary of the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

Moved and seconded that the rules be suspended in order that the Committee may consider all pending charges and protests.

CARRIED.

SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB vs. THOMAS HOWARD.

CHARGE OF MISCONDUCT.

In the foregoing case, the papers showed that the defendant had been tried by the SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB for the offense of painting black the nose of his Bull Terrier. On his own confession the SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB had found him guilty and had cancelled the wins of his dog, but had exonerated the defendant on the ground that he erred in ignorance of the rules. The case had been referred back to the SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB by the Secretary of the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, with instruction
That the Kennel Club had no power to exonerate anyone guilty of conduct prejudicial to the best interests of Dogs and Dog Shows, and that it was the duty of said club to suspend defendant, and to so advise the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE. The defendant appeared in person, and the SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB was represented by the Secretary, DR. L. W. SPRIGGS. DR. SPRIGGS, being granted permission to address the Committee, represented that the SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB had acted as it had, because it believed the defendant to be guiltless of any evil intent. The defendant on being interrogated, acknowledged that he had painted the dog’s nose that he had so done without intent to deceive the Judge, but simply to make his dog look prettier, and expressed sincere contrition for his action.

It was moved and seconded that the defendant having evidently erred in ignorance, and having expressed regret for his action, be suspended for the term of sixty days, dating from June 24th, 1909.

CARRIED.

GEORGE A. CRANFIELD vs. SYDNEY A. CUMMINGS.
MISCONDUCT.
CHARGE OF ASSAULT AND BATTERY IN THE PRECINTS OF A DOG SHOW.

It is charged by GEORGE A. CRANFIELD that on Friday,
May 14th, 1909, at the San Francisco Dog Show, Sydney A. Cumming struck the appellant in the face, knocked him down and struck him after he was on the ground, using at the time foul and unspeakable language, and all this without provocation. Neither appellant nor respondent appeared. Dr. L. W. Spriggs, Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club being present, was interrogated as to his knowledge of this affair, and answered that he heard only vague rumors. On being asked why he had no investigated them, he replied that he was too busy with the details of the show.

It was moved and seconded that whereas the alleged assault was committed in the Precincts of the Dog Show held by the San Francisco Kennel Club, that that Club was the Court of first instance, and that the charges filed by George A. Cranfield against Sydney A. Cumming be and hereby are referred to the San Francisco Kennel Club for investigation with instruction to report to this Committee within twenty (20) days from date, and it was further moved and seconded that the San Francisco Kennel Club be and hereby is censured for not having investigated a case of assault and battery committed within the precincts of a Dog Show held by said club.

CARRIED.
SAN FRANCISCO KENNEL CLUB vs. JOHN I. SPARROW.

FAILURE TO RETURN CANCELLED PRIZES.

The San Francisco Kennel Club notifies the Pacific Advisory Committee that it has called on Mr. John I. Sparrow to return the Tevis Breeder’s Cup, according to the ruling of the rules committee and the Pacific Advisory Committee. Mr. Sparrow, notwithstanding repeated presentation of the registered letter containing notice from the San Francisco Kennel Club, and repeated notification by the Post office to call for such registered letter, having failed to do so, it was moved and seconded that whereas Mr. Sparrow has failed to return to the San Francisco Kennel Club the Tevis Breeder’s Cup, which was handed to him in error, his wins of same having been canceled by the American Kennel Club, and whereas said Sparrow has prevented the postal authorities from delivering to him, a registered letter containing the notice of the American Kennel Club, and whereas said Sparrow is known to have had ample notice of the decision of the American Kennel Club, cancelling his win of the Tevis Breeder’s Cup,

THEREFORE, be it resolved that said Sparrow be and hereby is suspended for the period of thirty day, and if said Cup be not returned to the San Francisco Kennel Club at the expiration of said period, Mr. Sparrow be disqualified.
For life.

CARRIED.

THOMAS W. BARTEL
Vs. THE COLORADO KENNEL CLUB and INTERMOUNTAIN TERRIER CLUB, Consolidated.

APPEAL FROM DECISION OF BENCH SHOW COMMITTEE.

Appellant claims to have paid the fee for an associate membership in the respondent Club during the Show, and before the awarding of certain special prizes, and that said Club prevented him from competing for those prizes. The respondent Club claims that the appellant was not elected to membership prior to the award of such specials, nor was he ever elected, and that his money was subsequently returned to him.

It was moved and seconded that the protest of appellant is frivolous and therefore be and hereby is dismissed.

In the matter of the Dog Show held in May, 1909, by the San Francisco Kennel Club, it was moved and seconded that said Club be directed to surrender to this Committee all of the original entry blanks of said show.

CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded that the minutes of the previous meeting be approved as published in the gazette.
It was moved and seconded that the minutes be amended by striking out the paragraph nominated MR. CARLOTON to the American Kennel Club for appointment to the Chair.

CARRIED.

It was moved and accepted that the minutes as amended be accepted as published in the gazette.

CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded that MR. CARLOTON be hereby declared the Chairman of this Committee.

CARRIED by Acclamation.

It was moved and seconded that this Committee do now proceed to the consideration of the proposed new rules of the American Kennel Club governing Dog Shows and Clubs, and also of the proposed new rules of the American Kennel Club governing the Pacific Advisory Committee.

CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded that it be suggested to the American Kennel Club that in Rule Seventeen of the Rules governing Clubs, that the word "must" be substituted for the word "May" in the rule permitting Clubs to collect the listing fees on behalf of the American Kennel Club. So that it will be compulsory for Clubs holding Shows to collect those fees. This will have the effect of preventing exhibitors from listing direct with the American Kennel
Club. And consequently will eliminate unnecessary expense and office work. It will also prevent any fraud on the Clubs, through exhibitors falsely claiming to have listed direct with the American Kennel Club.

CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded that the American Kennel Club be requested to embody in its rules governing the Pacific Advisory Committee, the amendments hereinafter proposed by this Committee.

RULE 1: "The Pacific Advisory Committee shall consist of five members, who shall be elected at the first meeting of the Board of Directors held after adoption of said new rules. One member shall hold office until 1911; one until 1912; one until 1913; one until 1914, and one until 1915, and at each annual meeting of the Board of Directors, beginning 1911, a member shall be elected to hold office for five years. This article is to supersede Article Six, Section Five of the By-Laws of the American Kennel Club."

In explanation of the above Amendment, it was the sense of the Committee that no member could attain efficiency and a working knowledge of the rules in less than six to eight meetings, and as a Committee rarely held more than six meetings a year, it is obvious that any new member
Would be legislate out of office before he had obtained the knowledge requisite to conduct the business of the Committee, and to further the interests of the American Kennel Club.

RULE 2: Approved as proposed.

RULE 3: and RULE 5: That Rule Five be cancelled, and that Rule Three be amended to read as follows: "Said Committee shall exercise the power of the Board of Directors as laid down in Article Six of the Bylaws, Sections Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten, and Article Twenty of the Bylaws, Sections Four, Five and Six.

Rule 4: Approved as proposed
Rule 5: Cancelled.
Rule 6: Cancelled.
Rule 7: Approved as proposed.

Rule 8: That it shall have power to receive admission fees and dues from Active Members; deposits with date claims; charges and appeals; listing fees, and penalties for violation of rules. All fees collected must be forwarded to the Treasurer of the American Kennel Club within seven days after the Committee has taken any necessary action, that may be required in connection with such fees.

Rule 9: Approved as proposed.
Rule 10: That it shall elect its own Chairman,
And shall appoint an Honorary Secretary, who shall be a member of the Committee. It shall have the power to appoint an Assistant Secretary, who need not be a member.

Rule 11: That it shall not incur any liabilities without the prior sanction of the Board of Directors, except for postage, stationary, telephone service, room rent, for meetings, express and telegraphic charges, and such services of a stenographer as may be necessary to conduct the work of the Committee.

It was moved and seconded that the hearty thanks of this Committee be and hereby are extended to Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh for his valuable advice, counsel and assistance.

CARRIED BY ACCLAMATION.

It was moved and seconded that the hearty thanks of this Committee are due and are hereby extended to the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club for their action in complying with the request of this Committee, to send Mr. Vredenburgh to the Coast, and it is the firm conviction of this Committee that this action of the Executive Committee and the presence of Mr. Vredenburgh have been of an inestimable value in furthering the interests of the American Kennel Club on the Coast, and in cementing the bond that binds the Pacific Coast in unswerving loyalty
To the American Kennel Club.

CARRIED BY ACCLAMATION.

There being no further business, the Committee then adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman,
Secretary.

On motion these minutes were ordered received and spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

It was moved and seconded that the terms of agreement with the Pacific Advisory Committee, as handed in by the Rules Committee, be adopted and placed on file.

Motion carried.

Following are the terms of agreement referred to:

RULES GOVERNING THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

1. The Pacific Advisory Committee shall be annually elected in the matter provided by Article VI Section V of the By-Laws and derives its powers and limitations in accordance with Article XIV.

   Article I. It shall consist of five members, who shall be elected at the first meeting of the Board of Directors held after adoption of said new rules. One member shall hold office until 1911; one until 1912; one until
1913; one until 1914; one until 1915, and at each annual meeting of the Board of Directors, beginning 1911, a Member shall be elected to hold office for five years. This Article is to supersede Article VI., Section V., of the By-Laws of A. K. C.

2. The powers granted to the Membership Committee and the License Committee shall be exercised by it acting with the same limitation of power as held by such Committee of the American Kennel Club.

3. Said Committee shall exercise the power of the Board of Directors as laid down in Article VI of the By-Laws, Sections 7, 8, 9, and 10, and Article XX of the By-Laws, Sections 4, 5, and 6.

4. It shall have power to decide appeals from rulings of Dog Show Committees.

5. It shall recommend to the Board of Directors candidates to fill vacancies on its Committee.

6. It shall have power to receive admission fees and dues from Active Members; deposits with date claims; charges and appeals; listing fees, and penalties for violation of rules. All fees collected must be forwarded to the Treasurer of the American Kennel Club within seven days after the Committee has taken any necessary action, that may be required in connection with such fees.

7. It shall have power to approve classifications
of the Premium List and shall exercise the powers of the Secretary as described in Rule VIII of the Rules Governing Clubs.

8. It shall elect its own Chairman, and shall appoint an honorary Secretary, who shall be a Member of the Committee. It shall have the power to appoint an Assistant Secretary, who need not be a member.

9. It shall not incur any liabilities without the prior sanction of the Board of Directors, except for postage, stationary, telephone service, room rent (for meetings), express and telegraphic charges, and such services of a stenographer as may be necessary to conduct the work of the Committee.

On motion the bill for disbursement by the Pacific Advisory Committee from May 21st to September 10th, amounting to $36.15, was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: The Pacific Advisory Committee, at its meeting held on June 5th, 1909, nominated Mr. Nathaniel Thayer Messer as a member of the Committee to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Charles K. Harley. I recommend that action should be taken on this nomination at this meeting, as the Committee is in need of the services of another member of its body.
DR. DE MUND: I nominate Mr. Nathaniel Thayer Messer for that vacancy.

Nomination seconded and carried,

On motion the reports of the Trial Board sitting in Boston upon the cases referred to it, were ordered published.

They are as follows:

DECISION OF BOSTON TRIAL BOARD

M. F. MULCAHY v. REVERE KENNEL CLUB

This is an appeal from the decision of a Show Committee of the Revere Kennel Club, upon a protest whereby the wins of the Boston Terrier, "CUPID'S PEACH", at the Charleston Show, held in April, 1908, was protested on the ground that said dog was entered without being identified by the correct names of sire and dam and the correct name of its breeder.

After due notice to the protestant and the exhibitor of said dog, the parties came before the Board on July 7, 1909, at three o'clock in the afternoon, the protestant appearing in his own behalf, and the exhibitor appearing by Mr. Dwight Baldwin.

The evidence presented, consisted of the papers filed with the Executive Committee, together with additional evidence, both documentary and oral presented at said hearing.

Arguments were made by both parties.

And now after consideration, the Board finds

1. That the entry of said CUPID'S PEACH, did not identify her by the correct name of her sire and dam, and
that the name of her breeder, as given in said entry, was incorrect.

2. That the exhibitor was guilty of no fraud in describing the dog in the manner in which she was described in said entry.

3. The Board are of opinion that the foregoing errors are not technical errors within the meaning of the RULE VI of the rules governing dog shows in force at the time said entry was made.

The Board therefore decides that all prizes won by said "CUPID'S PEACH" at said show, are hereby forfeited.

Mr. Cutler, as he sat as a member of the Show Committee, from which this appeal was taken, did not sit at the hearing of this case, and took no part in the deliberations of the Board in reference to it.

Respectfully submitted,

Frederick H. Osgood,
Chairman.

Edwin W. Dwight,

MAJORITY OF THE BOSTON TRIAL BOARD
Boston, July 31, 1909.

DECISION OF BOSTON TRIAL BOARD

JOHN E. WHITE, Plaintiff, v. JAMES O. LACAILLADÉ, Defendant.

In the matter of the sale of the French Bull Bitch,
"D'ANGLEMONT BUD."

This case came before the Board for trial upon charges in writing preferred by the plaintiff against the defendant, specifications in due form having been properly filed with the Executive Committee.

The allegations contained in said specifications are: That the defendant did non or about the eighteenth day of Jun, 1907, fraudulently sell to the plaintiff, the French Bull Bitch, "D'ANGLEMONT BUD" by falsely representing her to be sound, healthy and in whelp.

The parties were each duly notified by letters addressed to each respectively and to the attorney of the plaintiff, Robert E. McClure, to which notice the defendant replied by letter and the plaintiff replied by letter through his said attorney.

The evidence presented to this Board, consisted of the various papers, correspondence and affidavits, filed with the Executive Committee, together with the letter above referred to from each of the parties.
And now after consideration the Board finds:

1. That the plaintiff was induced to purchase said "D'ANGLEMONT BUD" because of the representations of the defendant that she was sound, healthy and in whelp.

2. That said "D'ANGLEMONT BUD" was not, at the time of said purchase, sound, healthy and in whelp, and that she die soon after being received by the plaintiff, because of said unsoundness.

3. That the defendant knew, or should have known at the time he made said representations and at the time he sent said "D'ANGLEMONT BUD" to the plaintiff that said representations were false.

4. That the conduct of the defendant since said sale and representations and since his attention has been called to said condition of said "D'ANGLEMONT BUD", has been discreditable.

The Board therefore decides that the defendant, James O. Lacaillade, and all dogs owned by him be and are hereby disqualified until such time as he shall return and pay over to the plaintiff the purchase price of said dog, to wit: Fifty Dollars.

F. H. Osgood, Chairman,
Samuel R. Cutler,
Edwin W. Dwight.
The foregoing two cases, being of a similar nature, both in reference to the facts and in reference to the considerations necessary for their decision, have been considered by the Board together.

All the parties in both of said cases were duly notified to appear before the Board on July 7, 1909, at three o'clock in the afternoon at Room 320, Tremont Building, #73 Tremont Street, Boston, Massachusetts, but none of said parties appeared, either in person or otherwise, nor did any of them, in any matter whatever, communicate with the Board.

Our investigations, therefore, have been confined to the papers in each of the cases, respectively, filed with the Executive Committee, and inasmuch as neither said Kammere, nor the Dorchester Kennels, appeared before us, or in any ways submitted themselves to the Board's jurisdiction, the Board deem it necessary to decide whether it has power to act in the premises.
And now, after consideration, the Board are of opinion

That the papers on file in these cases do not constitute "charges" against said Kammerer, or the Dorchester Kennels, and were not intended as such, but seem to be inquiries as to the pedigrees of certain dogs, alleged to have been sold by said Kammerer and the Dorchester Kennels; and insomuch as the parties have not been before the Board, the facilities for investigating said pedigrees being so limited, it would seem that an investigation by the Stud Book Committee would develop more reliable information, than any attainable by this board.

The Board therefore decide to take no further action in either of said case, unless further directed so to do.

Respectfully submitted,

F. H. Osgood,
Samuel R. Cutler,
Edwin W. Dwight,

BOSTON TRIAL BOARD.
THE SECRETARY: The Anthracite Kennel Club, under date of June 11th, 1909, notified me of the suspension of John Gaynor and Dr. H. M. Beck for removing their dogs from its show without permission. It has been customary heretofore to either remove the suspension or change it to disqualification.

It was moved and seconded that these two exhibitors be disqualified.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: I call your attention to the fact that the Newcastle County Fair Dog Show, held under a license, failed to publish in its premium list the names of the officials of that club under whose direction the show was held, as required by the rules. I submit a letter of apology from that club in which regret is expressed that one of our rules was violated.

MR. WILLETS: I move that their apology be accepted, and no further action taken.

Motion seconded and carried.
In relation to the charges filed by John Black vs. Buffalo Kennel Club, Mrs. E. Moore vs. Buffalo Kennel Club, Mrs. J. Benzie vs. Lynn Kennel Club, and George D. Smith vs. Plainfield Kennel Club, for unpaid specials, on motion the Secretary was directed to notify these clubs that unless they paid these prizes within thirty days they would be disqualified.

On motion the secretary was also directed to notify the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club that in default of the payment of prizes to J. H. Backwood, Helen M. Talbot, Mrs. W. H. Ingham and W. E. Weare within thirty days, it would be disqualified.

THE SECRETARY: I refer in my report to the disqualification by the late trial board sitting in New York of Mr. W. W. Tucker, of Eatontown, New Jersey, on charges preferred by Howe Totten and F. R. Aabel, Jr. Mr. Tucker now demands the return of certain papers and photographs furnished him in reply to these charges.

It was moved and seconded that the Board that tried Mr. Tucker be authorized to return such papers to him as in their judgment they may deem proper.

Carried.

In relation to the charge filed for misconduct in connection with dogs by J. W. Tasker vs. W. E. Mason, it
Was moved and seconded that it be referred to a New York trial board.
Carried.
The Chair appointed Messrs. De Mund, Smith and Lauder as such trial board.
DR. De MUND: I would like to make a motion not to be considered as
establishing a precedent, that the American Kennel Club reimburse Mr. Lord for the
money which he has spent for typewriting in connection with the rules and with the
testimony in the case of the Trial Board of which he was Chairman.
Motion seconded and carried.
On motion the meeting then adjourned.
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET. NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 21st, 1909.

Vice President H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.

Present:

Association Delegates, Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
A. G. Hooley.

American Dachshunde Club, G. Muss-Arnolt.
American Fox Terrier Club, H. H. Hunnewell.
American Spaniel Club, H. K. Bloodgood.
Bloodhound Club of America, Dr. Louis G. Knox.
Boston Terrier Club, F. H. Osgood.
Central New York Kennel Association, Inc., Dr. S. J. Fairbanks.
Champlain Kennel Club, Abram D. Gillette.
Chicago Kennel Club, Frank T. Brown.
Collie Club of America, R. S. Edson.
Fairfield County Kennel Club, Alvin Untermeyer.
Greyhound Club of America, M. Mowbray Palmer.
Long Island Kennel Club, John F. Collins.
Madison Athletic Association, E. L. Jones.
Mascoutah Kennel Club, C. F. R. Drake.
On motion of Mr. Gillette, the minutes as published in the Gazette were accepted.

The following named clubs were elected to membership:

- West Highland White Terrier Club of America.
- Chicago Collie Club.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named club:

- West Highland White Terrier Club of America, George Lauder, Jr.
- Central New York Kennel Association, Dr. S. J. Fairbanks.
- Framingham District Kennel Club, O. B. Gilman
The Secretary read his report as follows:


To the Delegates of

The American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen: I have the honor to report the reception of Applications for Active Membership from the Chicago Collie Club and the West Highland White Terrier Club of America. Also credentials of the delegates from the Central New York Kennel Association, Framingham District Kennel Club, Maltese Terrier Cub of America, and the West Highland White Terrier Club of America.

The above applications and credentials have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

The Rules Committee filed its report recommending new Rules to Govern Clubs and to Govern Dog Shows to go into effect January 1st, 1910. The report and the proposed rules were published in the August 15th Gazette, and action on this report should be taken at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Committee on Constitution and Rules submitted through its Chairman, Mr. Edward Brooks, the proposed rules governing clubs and shows to take effect January 1, 1910, as published in the Gazette. The delegates took them up seriatim, and after thorough consideration and long discussion, the rules were adopted as amended. The rules amended as follow:

RULES GOVERNING CLUBS.

RULE VIII. Two copies of the proposed classification must be sent to the Secretary for approval and none shall be valid without his endorsement, which must be printed at the head of the classification. The Premium List must contain a list of the Officers of the Club and Officials of the Show. One copy of the Premium List must be forwarded to the Secretary before distribution. Penalty for non-compliance: $10.00

Two copies of the Premium List must be forwarded to the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee immediately after publication by Club within its jurisdiction.

RULE XIII. Special prizes cannot be accepted or offered by a Club after the opening of the Show, nor can any be withdrawn, or the conditions thereof change after the same have been published in the Premium List (except where a Specialty Club's prizes are governed by its own
Rules in force when announced), and provided that the conditions are properly stated as in the written terms of the gift, or if there be no such written terms, in the event f Specials being offered at more than one Show, the conditions in the first Premium List under which they were offered shall govern. The show giving club shall be responsible for errors of interpretation or publication of special prizes and shall award prizes of equal value. All prizes must be in accordance with the description in the Premium List. Prizes of gold or silver must be of the purity of the United States coin. If money prizes are offered, a fixed amount for each prize must be stated. This however, does not prevent the offering of percentage prizes in the classes. All prizes must be in accordance with the description of the premium list.

RULE XVI. A Catalogue marked with the winning and absentees certified to by the Secretary of the Show giving Club and also all the Judges’ books must be filed with the American Kennel Club within seven days after the closing of the Show. Penalty $1.00 per day.

Clubs in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee must forward to the Secretary thereof two marked and certified copies of the Catalogue, and also all the Judges’ books.
RULE XII. A Show giving Club must assume the responsibility of collecting all listing fees for the American Kennel Club, which fact must be stated in the Premium List. Such fees collected, with complete data, must be forwarded to the Secretary within seven days from the closing of the Show. Penalty for non-compliance, $1.00 per day. (The amount deposited with the application for dates cannot be applied as payment of said fees.)

RULE XVIII. Every Show must have a qualified veterinarian who shall be in attendance before and during the progress of the Show, and no dog shall be benched without having been examined and passed by him or his representative, who shall himself be a qualified veterinarian. He is required to personally inspect the dogs on the benches and the quarters where the dogs are exercised, or crated, before 6 p.m. on the opening of the Show, and at least once during the morning of each day shall examine all dogs and submit a written report to the Bench Show Committee. Dogs suffering from Contagious diseases must be removed from the building. The Show Committee shall be empowered, in case the regularly appointed veterinarian shall be incapacitated to serve, to appoint another veterinarian to take his place and act for him in all things. Failing to comply with this rule, the Club shall be liable to suspension.
To the classification under Rule XXV was added the word "Scottish" before the name "Deerhounds",

RULES GOVERNING DOG SHOWS.

Section C, Rule II was amended to read:

Section C. Specialty Club Shows confined to the breed represented by their Club shall be rated at 4 points. Specialty Clubs not active members, may be licensed with the written consent of the parent club, and will be rated at 2 points.

The heading "Field Trial Rules and Championships," was amended to read "Field Trial Rules and Field Championships."

Every dog must be the bona fide property of the person making the entry. The right to run a dog cannot be transferred.

Under the heading "Beagles", Section 4 was amended to read

OTHER BREEDS THAN THOSE SPECIFIED:

Two wins in open classes will constitute a Field Champion.

Section D. relative to the Graduate Class was eliminated and the following was substituted:
SECTION D: The American bred shall be for all dogs bred in the United States of America and no champion shall be eligible.

SECTION F was amended to read:

SECTION F. The Winners' Class, for which there shall be no entry fee, must be opened to winners of first prizes in either the Puppy, Novice, Limit, American bred or open classes, all of which shall constitute the regular classes, at a show giving at least three of the above mentioned classes, one of which must be the Open. The Winners' Class may be divided by sex, provided the required three classes are so divided.

After awarding the Winners' prize, the dog or dogs having been placed second to the winner in any of these classes must compete with the remaining dogs in said class for "Reserve." No eligible dog can be withheld from competition.

SECTION J. Field Trial Classes at Bench Shows shall be confined to dogs that have been placed at a recognized Field Trial.

Hunting Classes shall be confined to dogs that received a certificate of merit as a hunting dog from judges at a recognized field trial, or that have been placed at such a trial.
Rule X was amended to read:

RULE X. IF the name of a dog which has won a prize at any show has been changed, the old name also must be given on the entry blank and published in the catalogue until such time as it wins a prize under its new name.

Rule XIV was amended to read:

RULE XIV. Dogs may be entered for exhibition or for specials only, or for certain specials which must be specified on the entry blank; but any dog entered for competition and received at the Show must compete in all the classes for which it is entered, and failing to do so shall be fined an amount equal to the entrance fee for each class. Dogs competing for specials only must be entered in one of the regular classes in which they need not compete. All specials classified and unclassified offered in any breed must be adjudicated upon by the judge appointed for that breed.

Rule XVII was amended to read:

RULE XVII. The regularly appointed veterinarian or his representative shall determined the physical condition of dogs during the Show. When appealed to by the judge, or when giving an opinion on a protest to the Show Committee, he shall immediately render his decision in writing.

A dog that is blind, deaf, lame, castrated, spayed, dyed or faked shall be ineligible to compete at any show,
Except in the case of lameness, when the veterinarian is satisfied that it is only temporary.

RULE XVIII was amended to read:

RULE XVIII: The appointment of judges shall rest with the Club giving the Show. A judge must be a person in good standing with the American Kennel Club. After the list of judges has been published in the Premium List, it cannot be changed, unless an appointed judge is unable to fill his engagement. The Committee shall then have the right to fill the vacancy.

All the classes of any one breed of dogs must be adjudicated upon by the same judge, or judges, acting in conjunction. In the event of any appointed judge not officiating, it shall be optional with the exhibitor before the commencement of judging the breed to withdraw his dog or dogs from competition and from the show, in which event his entry fee shall be returned.

A judge may order any person from the ring. Upon the complaint of any one actually engaged in the handling or showing of a dog during the judging of a class, he may, if in his opinion the complaint is warranted, order from the ring any person guilty of intentional or deliberate interference with any dog therein competing.

The Judge’s decision shall be final in all cases affecting the merits of the dogs.

Full discretionary power
Is given to the judge to withhold any or all prizes for want of merit.

Should the win of a dog be cancelled the next dog in order of merit shall be moved up, and the win shall be counted in every respect the same as if it had been the original award. For this purpose the Judge shall place one dog "reserve" after the regular prizes are awarded if a dog of sufficient merit is available.

The judges must mark in their own books all awards made by them and must also mark all absentees in their classes.

RULE XXIV was amended to read:

RULE XXIV: The suspension or disqualification of an owner shall be from the day of the perpetration of the fraudulent act, and apply to all dogs owned by him or connected with the perpetration of a fraudulent act, and no dog so disqualified is eligible for entry at any show under any ownership.

No person under suspension or disqualification can make an entry, exhibit, or take a prize, act as agent for an exhibitor, or take a dog in the judging ring at any show.

The privileges of the Stud Book are withheld from all persons under suspension or disqualification, for registration of dogs owned or bred by them.
Under Rule XXVI was added to the breed "Deerhounds" the word "Scottish".
Under Rule XXVII was added before the breed "Deerhounds" the word "Scottish".
There was also added the breed "Maltese" to the terrier division.

DR. DE MUND: I move that Rule XXVII be referred back to the Stud Book Committee for revision, specifying all different breeds of dogs that belong to the Sporting and Non-Sporting Division with power to adopt in these rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. BROOKS: I move that the rules as amended be adopted as a whole.

Motion seconded and unanimously carried.

Insert full rules as adopted;

On motion the meeting was then adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, held at the offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, Tuesday, December 21st, 1909

Present:
J. W. Appleton,
John E. DeMund,
Rowland P. Keasbey,
John G. Bates,
James Mortimer,
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
Hollis H. Hunnewell
William C. Codman
George Lauder, Jr.,
Dwight Moore,
B. S. Smith
Howard Willets,
Franklin B. Lord, Jr.

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:
To the Board of Directors,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report upon the following matters which have been filed with this office since our last meeting. On Oct. 6th, 1909, the Asbury Park Kennel Club requested to be dropped from the Roll of Membership, for the reason that it has ceased to exist as a club.

On Dec. 13th, 1909, the Western Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association tendered its resignation. The above Clubs are in good standing and I would recommend that their requests be complied with.

On December 18, 1909, the Sheepshead Bay Kennel Club requested to be dropped from the Roll of Membership for the reason that it had ceased to exist as a club.

At the Bangor Show of 1908, Mr. R. J. Chase was suspended for removing his dogs without permission. Under date of Dec. 18, 1909, Mr. Tom. B. Middlebrooke, Secretary and Superintendent of said Show requests the reinstatement of Mr. Chase, believing that he has been sufficiently pun-
ished, and at the time his offense was committed, he did not realize the graveness of it.

The American Poultry Association solicits the cooperation of the American Kennel Club to take up with the Express Companies the question of rates, uniform dispatch in shipping, prompt delivery, feed and water en route, and protection from exposure.

The Tri-State Fair held a dog show at Memphis, Tenn., Oct. 4th-9th, 1909, and published in its premium lists and catalogue the Rules of the American Kennel Club, the classification, and my certificate of approval guaranteeing for championship record one point. This Club was neither a member nor was it licensed. It did not send its classification of approval signed by me as published. Undoubtedly many exhibitors believed the statement that the American Kennel Club sanctioned its show. I called upon the Secretary, Mr. R. M. Williams, to show cause why the officers of the Tri-State Fair should not be disqualified for inducing entries under false pretences and the fraudulent use of the American Kennel Club Rules, and the Secretary’s name. The reply to this communication states “that the Tri-State Fair sold outright for a consideration of $250.00 to J.M. Avent, Hickory, Tenn., the exclusive right to hold and
conduct a dog show on the Fair Ground, presuming that he knew what he was doing, and feeling that with his standing and reputation we were fully protected therein.”

This is a very serious matter, and such action should be taken as may be deemed proper.

The Pacific Advisory Committee called upon the San Francisco Kennel Club to explain certain irregularities found in the Catalogue of its late show, and also called upon William Ellery, an exhibitor, to explain nine (9) interlineations in his Collie entries as found in the official catalogue of the San Francisco Show. Both the San Francisco K.C. and William Ellery ignored the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee and applied to the Superior Court of the State of California for a temporary injunction restraining the Pacific Advisory Committee from taking any action in the matter. An application is still pending before the Court for a permanent injunction. I called the attention of Mr. August Belmont, President of the American Kennel Club to the action above stated, and such proceeding appearing to him to be conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, he suspended the San Francisco K.C. and its officers: William Ellery and the Valverde Kennels until such time as the charges for alleged misconduct can be investigated by
competent authority. Mr. Belmont’s action was taken under the provision of Rule XXI, Rules Governing Dog Shows adopted in 1907, and will remain in force until January 1st, 1910.

I have given merely an outline of the matter an will submit voluminous correspondence which foes into the details fully, for your due consideration. In connection with the above case, I beg to say that we have found another discrepancy in Mr. William Ellery’s entry of the Collie Valverde Virgil, which was entered at San Francisco as having been whelped May 18, 1908, and at Oakland as whelped as May 27th, 1908, this entry was in the puppy classes.

The following charges have been filed:
Carrie E. Lamouree vs. Harry R. Kendall
Bulldog Club of Am. vs. Charles G. Hopton

Both cases for misconduct in connection with dogs.
G.A. Wertheim vs. Monmouth Co. K.C.
A.B. Dalby vs. Framingham District K.C.
John F. Crowell vs. Plainfield K.C.
J. Cooper Mott vs. Cedarhurst K.C.

These cases are for unpaid specials

Five other charges for unpaid special were filed but were satisfied through the efforts of the American Kennel Club.
Pursuant to the Resolution of your Board at its September meeting, I suspended the Merrimack Valley K.C. and its officers, and the Buffalo K.C. and its officers for the non-payment of special prizes. The officers of the Merrimack Valley K.C. and its officers, and the Buffalo K.C. and its officers for the non-payment of special prizes. The officers of the Merrimack Valley K.C. ignored all communications from this office, and so far as I know have never made any effort to pay their just obligations. With the Buffalo fort to pay their just obligations. With the Buffalo K.C. however, I am assured that it has made every effort to pay a cut donated by Mrs. C.E. Proctor, but were unable to locate her. In this connection I received a letter from Mrs. Proctor on the 17th instant, in which she informs me that the communications from the Buffalo Club had just reached her, they having been misdirected. She states that she will pay the cup to the winner, after the holidays, so that the same way be suitably marked.

John W. Patten and Edmund L. McKenzie presented to the Club framed pictures which were valuable acquisitions to our collections, and official thanks are due these gentlemen for their courtesy.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vrendenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I beg to report the resignation of
the Asbury Park Kennel Club Sheepshead Bay Kennel Club and the Western Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association. They are all in good standing.

MR. MOORE: I move that their resignations be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then submitted the correspondence in the matter of Mr. R.J. Chase, who was suspended for removing his dogs from the Bangor Show of 1908.

MR. LORD: I move that he be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary brought before the Directors the matter of the dog show held by the Tri-State Fair at Memphis, Tennessee, on October 4th to 9th, 1909, stating that said association had published in its premium list and catalogue the rules of the American Kennel Club, the classification and his certificate of approval guaranteeing for championship record one point. Also the fact that this club was neither a member of the American Kennel Club, nor was it licensed, and that it did not send its classification for approval, and never received a certificate of approval signed by him as published. That being called upon by him to show cause why the offices of the Tri-State Fair should not be disqualified for inducing entries under false pretences and the fraudulent use of the Ameri-
can Kennel Club’s rules, and also the use of his name, Mr. R.M. Williams, the Secretary of said show, replied that the Tri-State Fair sold out right for a consideration of $250 to J.M. Avent, of Hickory, Tennessee, the exclusive right to hold and conduct a dog show on the Fair Grounds, presuming that he knew that he was doing and feeling that with his standing and reputation they were fully protected therein.

On motion of Mr. Chetwood Smith, the matter was referred to the New York Trial Board.

THE SECRETARY: Charges have been filed for unpaid specials by Charles J. Best vs. New Castle County Agriculture Fair Association, G.A. Wertheim vs. Monmouth County Kennel Club, A.B. Dalby vs. York Kennel Club, John F. Crowell vs. Framingham District Kennel Club, Anna Sands vs. Plainfield Kennel Club and J. Cooper Mott vs. Cedarhurst Kennel Club.

DR. DeMUND: I move that they be given thirty days in which to pay these specials, in default of which said clubs and their officers be disqualified.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Buffalo Kennel Club and its officers were suspended for non-payment of prizes, and I submit to you the correspondence from said club and Mrs. Proc-
tor, the donor of the prize. The American Kennel Club had nothing whatever to do with it. To-day I received a letter from the Buffalo Kennel Club in which it is stated that they have done everything within their power to procure this prize cup from Mrs. Proctor, but had so far been unable to do so. I would like to say that I am really liable to censure because I was given thirty days to suspend these men. Within those thirty days I received letters from them in which they say that they have made every effort to locate Mrs. Proctor, and I finally gave them Mr. Proctor’s address at 149 Broadway, and they wrote to him, as he now states, and instead of suspending them after thirty days, I waited until sixty days had elapsed and then suspended them. This is the result. All of the officers who are dog showing men and the club itself, which has a claim in for the next spring, are suspended, and they cannot show or hold a show.

MR. MORTIMER: Is it possible to prefer charges against the donor of a special prize who does not pay it? The club itself has done everything in its power to get this special paid. It seems to me the donor of the special is the one that is to blame instead of the club.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: It seems that this is a cup which is given to various shows, to be later returned. I
do not see how you can hold the club responsible, because it has to deliver it to the individual that wins it, and they hold it for a year.

THE SECRETARY: That is an old time matter with us. The club advertises a certain special which may be the inducement for a man to enter his dog at that show. He does not care who donates the cup. It is the show giving club that offers that special, and it is one of the conditions of the agreement made between the exhibitor and the club, for which a monetary consideration is paid, and therefore the club is held responsible, which is proper in my opinion.

MR. MORTIMER: If I may be allowed to explain, these cups that are put up to be won at the different shows are never at any time in the possession of the club. They are retained by the donor of the cup.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, I do not quite agree with you there.

MR. CODMAN: They should be sent to the club.

MR. MORTIMER: The club seldom has possession of the cup, and it is never won. It goes on record with the secretary of the club, and he notifies the donor that a certain exhibitor has won this cup. I suppose this cup has been won three times or more by this same exhibitor.
THE CHAIRMAN: It looks as if it was won outright and never existed.

MR. MORTIMER: I move that in view of the fact that the Buffalo Kennel Club has done everything in its power to hand over this cup to the winner, no blame attaches to it, and that the suspension of the Buffalo Kennel Club be now removed, and that Mrs. Proctor, the donor, be suspended until the prize is paid.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I beg to report that charges have been filed by Carried E. Lamouree vs. Harry R. Kendall and by the Bulldog Club of America vs. Charles G. Hopton for misconduct in connection with dogs.

DR. DeMUND: I move that that matter be referred to the Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as the New York Trial Board to hear and determine these charges Dr. DeMund, B.S. Smith and George Lauder, Jr.

THE SECRETARY: You heard my report about the trouble we are having in San Francisco, and I now submit to you Mr. Belmont’s order of suspension. I would like to state that I sent that communication to the Pacific Advisory Committee, and it was returned to me with the statement.
that it would be contempt of court as they were prohibited from taking any action whatever owing to the temporary injunction restraining them. So I had to send it back to these people direct from this office. I received a telegram from William Ellery this morning stating that the Pacific Advisory Committee had refused to give hour and place for contemplated hearing of injunction suit. The situation is this: There were nine different entries made in the catalogue of the San Francisco Show, and when the official catalogue was sent to the Pacific Advisory Committee, and by it sent to this office, there were nine interlineations in handwriting giving in three or four instances differences in date of birth of some of the puppies, and in other instances giving different names of sires. The Pacific Advisory Committee asked me what I thought about it. I said that the matter ought to be investigated. So they sent to the San Francisco Kennel Club asking it to send to them its entry forms. The San Francisco Kennel Club replied that the entry forms was quite probably brought about, as was explained to me, from the fact that they were in the custody of Mr. William Ellery who was then up in Van Ness Avenue,
and who removed down to Geary street. He did not care about taking any of this stuff with him, and he destroyed it. The Pacific Advisory Committee then called upon the San Francisco Kennel Club to show cause why action should not be taken against them for changing the printed body of the catalogue, and they called up Mr. Ellery, who is not only an exhibitor, but the President and owner of the San Francisco Kennel Club, to produce his personal kennel records in their original form, giving both the club and Mr. Ellery fourteen days to comply with its request. I believe that it is a fact that the Pacific Advisory Committee did, through some oversight, neglect to state the place where the meeting was to be held. There were two source of redress. They should have submitted to the Pacific Advisory Committee, and if they lost their case there, to send it on appeal here to the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, neither of which was done.

It is clear that Mr. Ellery saw fit to ignore the American Kennel Club, both on the Coast and in New York, and applied to the courts and obtained a temporary injunction restraining our committee from any action whatever in the case, and an application is still pending for a permanent injunction. I am informed that the temporary injunction was granted on the ground that we were a foreign corporation doing business in another state without having filed our articles of incorporation. The action of the person forced the American Kennel Club, through its Pacific Advisory Committee, to engage counsel, at some substantial cost, and I sent a certified copy of our charter to the Coast, certified by the Secretary of State at Albany, and asked him if he found it necessary to file that charter to do so, pay the fees and send us the bill. I have a notice here that the counsel for the Pacific Advisory Committee says that in his opinion it is not necessary to file it.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to say a few words in
regard to this case, not because I am taking the part of the San Francisco Kennel Club, or Mr. Ellery, but simply because I know Mr. Ellery, and I have quite some knowledge of the San Francisco Kennel Club, and I just wish to say a few words here to show that everything has not been carried on with the courtesy that it might have been. The San Francisco Kennel Club held its show on May 15th, 1909, and its catalogue was sent in to the Pacific Advisory Committee and was passed by that body, and the winnings were published in the American Kennel Gazette on June 30, 1909. There was only one entry form sent on, and that was for fox terriers that were entered for June 19th, 1909. After the winnings had been published in the American Kennel Gazette – and I do not suppose there is any necessity for keeping the entry forms after the winners have been published, the entries list of entries was called for on August 6th. They did not call for Mr. Ellery’s forms alone, but for the entry forms of every dog that was entered in that show, which was over a month after the Kennel Gazette was printed and the awards published. Notification was sent to Mr. Ellery by the Pacific Advisory Committee that an affidavit had been filed on September 20, 1909. You note that the show was helped on May 12th to 15th, 1909, and this affidavit was made.
on September 20th, the same date that the San Francisco Kennel Club was notified that it was to be tried for misconduct, but with no time or place mentioned for the proposed trial in this notification, no reply made by the Pacific Advisory Committee to a registered letter signed by Mr. Ellery asking for information as to the time and place when this trial was going to be held. This was addressed to J.P. Norman, Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee. Mr. Ellery received the post office receipt for the delivery of the registered letter, but no reply was ever sent him or to the San Francisco Kennel Club to that registered letter asking for the time and place where the trial was to be held, consequently they did not know when or where it was to be held, and then they took the action that you have heard about. That is all I have to say, but it seems there was a little irregularity. It seems to me if a committee has to retain all their original entry forms for an unstated length of time we would be obliged to have a special store room for that purpose. When once the awards have been passed by the representative of the American Kennel Club, that is the Pacific Advisory Committee, one would imagine that those entries has been found correct. Then all the entry forms were called for of every individual exhibitor at
the show. At that time Ellery had to move from Van Ness Avenue on account of the fire, and the building was being erected at 48 Geary Street. I was out there in May and they showed me the new building which they were going to remove into the following month in June. All the waste paper and matters that they did not want to take down to Geary street were destroyed. That is the reason those entry forms could not be produced but Mr. Ellery makes the point that he could not receive any reply to a registered letter which he sent asking the Pacific Advisory Committee where this trial was going to take place, and when, and that is what he complains of. That is the reason he got out the injunction.
THE SECRETARY: He could have appealed to the American Kennel Club at No. 1 Liberty Street, and he would have gotten an answer.

DR. DeMUND: I move that on the withdrawal of the injunction suit by Mr. Ellery and the San Francisco Kennel Club, the payment of all expenses that the Pacific Advisory Committee has been put to in this case, and the submission of Mr. Ellery and the San Francisco kennel Club to the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee, that suspension be removed. Furthermore, that the Pacific Advisory Committee, be directed to appoint a place and time for the hearing of the charges against Mr. Ellery and the San Francisco Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read the following:

In the Matter of the Fort Worth Poultry & Pet Stock Association, H.W. Clapham was notified by this Board of show cause why he should not be disqualified.

The evidence submitted by H.W. Clapham being satisfied, we find that there is no cause for his disqualification.

J.E. DeMUND
B.S. Smith
Franklin B. Lord, Jr.,
Chairman

December 21st, 1909
On motion said report was accepted and placed on file.
On motion the disbursement of the Pacific Advisory Committee amounting to $32.95, were ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: The Louisville Poultry & Pet Stock Association writes this letter and submits its catalogue. Here is the catalogue which is supposed to be typewritten. There are no awards in it at all. I told them I would submit it to this meeting to see whether you would accept that when our rules call for a printed catalogue.

MR. MORTIMER: I move that that be returned to them and they be asked to inset their awards.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Here is an appeal from Charles Walters, which was brought before the Executive Committee. We can constitute ourselves a Trial Board and settle it right here, if you desire. At the Bridgeport Show there was a Collie entered by Mr. Walters. On the morning of the show this Collie was very sick, and this gentleman sent his wife to the show and asked if they dog was well enough late in the afternoon they could bring it in. She asked the President of the club, who was also the Chairman of the Bench Show Committee, Dr. James E. Hair, and I have his letter to confirm his statement, he said
they would admit the dog any time that afternoon, and he did it under this additional Rule 1. He was the Chairman of the Committee and this lady supposed he had perfect authority under that rule to grant her that permission. They fixed the dog up so that they got it there about six o’clock that night. The dogs were judged the next day. She was awarded first. One of the competitors protested and the Bench Show Committee, consisting of three, but not with the Chairman of the Committee present, sustained the protest, and they appeal from that decision.

THE CHAIRMAN: If you want to continue this case here now, you must constitute yourselves a trial boards.

MR. WILLETS: I move that the matter be referred to a trial board.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I now submit to you the matter of the application of the American Poultry Association asking for the co-operation of this club to take up with the Express Companies the question of rates, uniform dispatch in shipping, and so forth. We have been through this several times.

MR. MORTIMER: The Poultry Association has succeeded in getting a great many concessions from the Express Companies, and I believe it would be a very good
thing for some committee of the American Kennel Club to work in co-operation with them.

MR. WILLETS: I move that a committee of three be appointed to confer with the American Poultry Association of which Mr. James Mortimer be one.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed as the other two members of the Committee Messrs. R. P. Keasby and Mr. Chetwood Smith.

MR. MORTIMER: I move that a vote of thanks be extended to Messrs. Patten and MacKenzie for their kind gift of pictures to the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A. P. Vrendenburgh
Secy
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City,
Tuesday, December 21st, 1909

---

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell, Presiding

-Present-

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
A. G. Hooley

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: William L. Barclay
AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB: H. H. Hunnewell
AMERICAN POMERANIAN CLUB: Theodore Offerman
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB: H. K. Bloodgood
BLOODHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA: Dr. Louis G. Knox
BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA: E. L. Boger
BULL TERRIER BREEDERS' ASSOCIATION: Cyril Crimmins
CALIFORNIA COCKER CLUB: George H. Taylor
CEDARHURST KENNEL CLUB: John G. Bates
CHAMPLAIN KENNEL CLUB: Abram D. Gillette
CROTONA COLLIE CLUB: George W. Cable
DALLMATIAN CLUB OF AMERICA: Alfred B. Maclay
DUQUESNE KENNEL CLUB OF WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA: S. S. Lesslie, Jr.

GREAT DANE CLUB OF AMERICA: Dr. Edwin F. Gissler
GREYHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA: M. Mowbray Palmer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Club</th>
<th>Delegate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>John F. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALTESE TERRIER CLUB</td>
<td>Dr. E. H. Berendsohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASOUTAH KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>C. F. R. Drake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB</td>
<td>Chetwood Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB</td>
<td>Dr. J. E. DeMund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN MATEO KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Howard Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA</td>
<td>Robert Sedgwick, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEATTLE DOG FANCIERS’ ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>Clarence Sackett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTHSIDE KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>M. G. Kahn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA</td>
<td>James Mortimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA</td>
<td>Franklin B. Lord, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST HIGHLAND WHITE TERRIER CLUB</td>
<td>George Lauder, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCHESTER KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>George Greer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The applications of the Butterfly Bench Show Association and the Southside Kennel Club having been approved by the Membership Committee, said clubs were duly elected.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

- **Bulldog Club of America**: Edwin L. Boger
- **Bergen County Kennel Club**: R. P. Keasby
The Secretary read his report as follows:

“New York, Dec. 20, 1909

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that I have received two applications for Active Membership, eight credentials, with the appointment of delegates, all of which I have referred to the Membership Committee, which will report at this meeting.

“I also report the nominations for delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers, made by the Membership Committee, on Dec. 2, 1909, and from the same Committee the names suggested to act as a Nominating Committee for the expiring Class of Directors.

“Dr. J. E. DeMund gives the following notice to amend the By-Laws Article VI, new Section XI. ‘Any director who shall absent himself from two consecutive regular meetings of the Board, without being excused by a
majority vote of said Board, shall be deemed to have resigned, and shall cease to be a
director.”

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) A.P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary“

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report, as follows:

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial statement form Jan. 1st, 1909, to date:

Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1909 ........................................ $19,151.37

Receipts from Jan. 1, to date........................................... 23,472.09

Total ................................................................. $49,623.46

Disbursements from Jan. 1, to date ......................... 25,718.64

Balance on hand ............................................. $16,904.82

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) A. P. VREDENBURGH,
Treasurer”

THE CHAIRMAN: May I ask why it is that the disbursements are in excess of the
receipts?

THE SECRETARY: The expense of removal and the nec-
essary new furniture made that increase of expenditures necessary, together with the additional rent was about $1,000. That pays the rent up to the 1st of January.

On motion the Treasurer's report was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary then read the following report:

December 2, 1909

TO the Delegates of the American Kennel Club
No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC

Dear Sirs:

In accordance with Section V, Article X of the Bylaws, the Membership Committee at its meeting held December 2, 1909, suggests the following names to act as a Nominating Committee in conformity with Section III, Article XVIII of the Bylaws, namely:

H. K. Bloodgood
Singleton Van Schaick
George Greer
B. S. Smith
Clarence Sackett

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) HOWARD WILLETTS
Chairman Membership Committee

MR. MOORE: I move the adoption of that report.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: I place those names in nomination as members of the Nominating Committee for the expiring class of directors.

DR. DE MUND: I second the motion.

MR. MOORE: I move that the Secretary cast one ballot for those names as read. Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have cast ballot for the gentlemen named.

THE CHAIRMAN: I declared them duly elected.

The Secretary then read a further report from the Membership Committee, as follows:

December 2, 1909

To the Secretary of the American Kennel Club
No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC

Dear Sir:

In accordance with Section VI, Article X of the By-laws, the Membership Committee at its meeting held December 2, 1909, nominated the following persons as Associate Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1910, namely:

W. G. Rockefeller
Dwight Moore,
Ben S. Smith
Winthrop Rutherfurd

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) HOWARD WILLETS

Chairman Membership Committee

THE SECRETARY: Under the new Bylaws were have had to reduce the number of our Associate Delegates from 7 to 4. That is the reason there were only 4 nominated. These nominations are to be voted for by the Associates in January. I give that simply as a matter of information.

THE SECRETARY: I have this proposed amendment to the Bylaws offered by Dr. DeMund, which I will read:

“Art. VI, new Sec. XI. Any director who shall absent himself from two consecutive regular meetings of the Board without being excused by a majority vote of said Board, shall be deemed to have resigned and shall cease to be a director.

J. E. DE MUND”

DR. DE MUND: I move that be referred to the Rules Committee. I merely report it here in conformity with the by-laws which require it to be read to the meeting and published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary read the following:

New York, December 21, 1909

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg leave to submit the following for your consideration.

That a rule be provided for the registry of all litters whelped, giving date, sire and dam.

That a charge sufficient to cover expense of publishing, giving date, sire and dam.

That no puppy be entitled to enter any competition after January 1, 1911, where said rule has not been observed.

That the number of puppies in litter and sex of them be made a part of the record.

That no foreign born puppies be admitted to competition in the puppy class.

Respectfully submitted for the further consideration of the Committee on Rules.

(Signed) S. S. Leslie, Jr.

Delegate, Duquesne Kennel Club

DR. DeMUND: I move that it be referred to the Committee on Rules.

MR. GILLETTE: May I suggest that there be included in
that proposed rule the following: That the American Kennel Club be notified of the deaths.

MR. MORTIMER: I think that is a matter that ought to be discussed here. It seems to me that there are a great many objections to it, and as we have a pretty full meeting of delegates here, this question should be discussed by them now. To my mind it is one of the most absurd propositions that ever was brought before this club. It seems to me an erroneous idea that puppies can be identified from the mere fact that they are registered. If a man wants to be dishonest in this regard he can be so regardless of how much registration might be resorted to. So far as shutting out puppies of foreign breed is concerned, I do not think it is right at all. I should like to hear some discussion on this subject.

MR. LESLIE: As the delegate of the club which makes this recommendation, and as Mr. Mortimer seems to think it is absurd, I want to say that I think it is anything but absurd. To my mind and I think to the minds of a great many people present, puppies are so near the limit or over the limit that there is very little question whether they are puppies at all. This proposed rules was framed to present fraud on the part of men who will do these things. The majority of breeders will not countenance it. Mr. Mortimer would not countenance it. It gives the Kennel Club
some check on the puppies that are coming in. As to the matter of our presenting foreign bred puppies from being exhibited in the puppy class, it is for the benefit of the American bred dog, and in order to try to bring it to a better standard than it is to-day. I trust that the Rules Committee will adopt it.

MR. MORTIMER: I want to say that I think it is impossible to make a man honest by legislation. I do not see how the registration of litters of puppies is going to be a safeguard at all, because it is the easiest thing in the world to substitute some other puppy. As I said before, if a man is dishonest, he will continue to be so, it does not make any difference what rules you make, and the fact that Mr. Leslie has seen some puppies which looked like grown dogs is no reason why this rule should be adopted. A puppy is a puppy until he has attained the age of twelve months, although there are many breeds that develop earlier. I do not think the shutting out of foreign bred dogs will add to the interest or to the benefit of dogs in general. We have already shut out the foreign bred dogs from the novice class, and the result is that whereas our novice class, before that rule was passed, was the largest class in the Show, it is now the smallest class. Anybody who has had any experience in superintend-
ing shows will know that what I am saying is true.

THE CHAIRMAN: This matter must go before the Rules Committee, and anyone who wishes to make any suggestions may come before that committee, and they will be heard.

MR. LORD: I think it must be referred to the Rules Committee by a vote.

MR. LESLIE: I move that this suggestion be referred to the Rules Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you accept the amendment that has been made in regard to the insertion of deaths?

MR. LESLIE: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gillette, your amendment was that deaths should also be recorded?

MR. GILLETT: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chairman of the Rules Committee is not here to-day, but as one of the Rules Committee, I should also be very glad to have anybody who so desires to come before the Rules Committee, or send anything they like in the form of suggestions to the Committee in writing.

A call for the ayes and nays on the motion resulted in the motion being lost.

DR. DeMUND: I would like to offer an amendment to
that last motion. I think the by-laws prescribe that any amendment to the rules may be offered, and must go to the Rules Committee, and then they committee reports back. I do not think this meeting has any power to take the matter out of the hands of the Rules Committee. The delegates can only act on the report of the Rules Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: Your idea is that it goes to the Rules Committee from the mere fact that it has been reported here?

THE SECRETARY: I do not think there is any doubt about that, but at the annual meeting you can vote it down if you please.

MR. LESLIE: Just in the same manner that those other reports were referred to the Rules Committee without the action of this body.

THE SECRETARY: This is simply giving notice at this meeting that this should not go to
the Rules Committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: This matter must go to the Rules Committee under the constitution.

MR. MORTIMER: I move that if it goes to the Rules Committee, that the vote that was taken also goes to the Rules Committee.

The Chair then called for a vote on this motion by a showing of hands, which resulted in seven ayes and fourteen nays.

THE SECRETARY: At one of the meetings of the delegates in May last, there was a sub-committee appointed to report upon a bill rendered by Davies, Stone & Auerbach for $1,000 for legal services.

MR. WILLETS: I beg to make a slight correction, that the bill was originally $4,000. After various talks and negotiations with Mr. Auerbach, the bill has been reduced to $1,000.

The Secretary then read the report of the sub-committee referred to.

DR. DeMUND: I move that the report be accepted and placed on file.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Mortimer offered the following amendment to the rules:
Amend Rule 15 of the rules governing clubs by striking out the second paragraph of the rule, and a new rule substituted in the original form.

THE SECRETARY: The object of this amendment is to give them privilege of publishing the name of the owner first.

MR. LESLIE: I move that the amendment be referred to the Committee on Rules.

MR. LORD: I would like to hear an expression of opinion on that.

DR. DeMUND: I do not see the object of an expression of opinion beforehand. The rules Committee cannot adopt a rule. The amendment must be sent back to the delegates, and then is the time to make suggestions before voting upon it. The Committee on Rules merely reports back to this body.

THE CHAIRMAN: They report back to the annual meeting.

MR. MORTIMER: The annual meeting takes place in February. In the meantime, the Westminster Kennel Club will be getting out its catalogue, and I ask the privilege of putting in the names of the owners first. It has been done in that manner for a great many years, and it has been done by every other club in the world.
THE CHAIRMAN: That cannot be done.
MR. MORTIMER: I have sent communications to several of the representatives here, but I do not know what was done in the case. It seems rather a hardship when you are willing to conform to every rule which the American Kennel Club makes, and considering the size of your catalogue, and the fact that you spend $1600 or $1700 for your catalogue, you cannot word it as you please. If any one can show me any benefit accruing from this change I should be very glad to give way.

THE CHAIRMAN: The only think that I can remember as the object which the Rules Committee had in mind when they made this change was to make it clear that it was the dogs that were being exhibited, and not the owner’s.

MR. MORTIMER: I say with equal force now you give the pedigree of the owner instead of the dog. Now you first enter your dog’s name and your stud book number, and then you enter the owner’s name, and then the date of the birth and the sire and dam. It does not show that the date of the birth and the sire and dame belong to the dog. It might naturally follow the owner’s name.

THE CHAIRMAN: This will go before the Rules Committee. I am informed that there is nothing else to come be-
fore this meeting, and a motion to adjourn is in order.

MR. MOORE: I move we adjourn.
Motion seconded and carried.
Meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secy
MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Held Tuesday, September 21, 1909

Vice-President, H. H. Hunnewell, presiding

President: --  H.H. Hunnewell
   H. K. Bloodgood
   Edward Brooks
   Chetwood Smith
   Howard Willets
   Dwight Moore
   John G. Bates

James Webber )
   vs.       ) Re: Appeal of Brown
   F. T. Brown )

Brown appeared personally, Webber telegraphers requesting a postponement. ORDERED—That Webber be and hereby is directed to appear personally with the dog sold to him as “Southport Symphony”, at the next Westminster Kennel Club Show to be held in New York in February, 1910, at which time Brown agreed to be present. Further that W. E. Mason the vendor be requested to attend at the same time and place. Case on appeal postponed until time above referred to.

Princess de Montglyon )
   vs.       ) Re: Appeal of New England Kennel Club
   New England Kennel Club )

General Robert Avery appeared for appellant. Mr. Gerdner Perry appeared as the Attorney for the defendants, and requested permission to introduce on the appeal must be confined to the evidence taken by the original Trial Board. The claim by the New England
Kennel Club that the Board that tried the case had no jurisdiction, and that the original appeal should have been tried by the Executive Board under the rules of 1907 was overruled.

Both sides agreed to submit briefs, to be considered at the regular meeting to be held in October.

Mrs. A. O. Van Heusen

vs.

Princess de Montglyon

Re: Appeal of Van Heusen

Mr. Thomas D. Rambaut, Attorney for appellant. General Robert Avery, Attorney for Defendant. Attorneys for both sides joined in a request to submit written agreements to be acted upon at the meeting to be held in October. Request granted.

The appeals for reinstatement from S. C. Hodge, and C. G. Hopton were received and granted.

Meeting adjourned.
Regular Meeting of the Executive Committee
Held December 20, 1909

The meeting called to order at 11 A.M. with the following members present:
H. K. Bloodgood
J. W. Appleton
Chetwood Smith
Howard Willets
Dwight Moore
John G. Bates
W. G. Rockefeller

H. K. Bloodgood in the chair:--
The Secretary read his report as follows:--

New York, Dec. 20, 1909

To the Executive Committee

Gentlemen:

I beg report the following cases for your consideration:

J. W. Tasker vs. W. E. Mason Appeal from decision of Trial Board.

Under date of Oct. 26, 1909, Tasker filed an appeal and made the necessary
deposit of $25.00. Under date of Nov. 12, 1909, I received the following telegram. “I
withdraw charge against Mason please return money.” Tasker.” This was followed by a
written request to withdraw the charge and to return the money. To which I replied that I
had no authority to do so without official sanction.

New England K. C. & Mrs. A. O. Van Heusen vs. Princess de Montglyon
Appeals from decision of Trial Board.
At the last meeting of this Committee Counsel for all the parties in interest were directed to file briefs which order was duly complied with and copies of said briefs were mailed to each member of this Committee. The matter is now ready for final action.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vrendenburgh
Secretary

Tasker vs. Mason:

Appellant applied to withdraw his appeal from the decision of the Trial Board.

Ordered:-- That request be allowed, and that the deposit be declared forfeited.

New England Kennel Club )

and )

Mrs. A. O. Van Heusen ) Appeal:

vs. )

Princess de Montglyon )

Counsel allowed ten minutes each to make such statements as they may desire.

Both sides availed themselves of the permission.

Counsel for appellant objected to any action in the case, unless before the entire Committee. Objection overruled.

The evidence in the original trial, and briefs from both sides, had been sent to each member of the Committee.

After a full and thorough discussion the following resolutions were adopted by unanimous vote.

ORDERED:-- That the decision of the Trial Board in the case of the New
England Kennel Club vs. Princess de Montglyon is affirmed.

ORDERED:-- That the decision of the Trial Board in the case of Mrs. A. O. Van Heusen vs. Princess de Montglyon is affirmed.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vrendenburgh,
Secretary
In the absence of the President and Vice-President the Secretary called for
nominations for Chairman.

Mr. Willets moved that Mr. S. R. Cutler, of Boston, be appointed chairman.
Motion seconded and carried.

-PRESENT-

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICAN:
William L. Barclay

AMERICAN DACHSHUNDE CLUB:
G. Muss-Arnolt

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB:
H. H. Hunnewell

AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB:
H. K. Bloodgood

ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB:
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

BERGEN COUNTY KENNEL CLUB:
R. D. Keasbey

BLOODHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA:
Dr. Louis G. Knox

BOSTON TERRIER CLUB:
F. H. Osgood

BRUNSWICK FOXHOUND CLUB:
L. W. Campbell

BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA:
Edwin L. Boger

COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA:
R. S. Edson

FRAMINGHAM DISTRICT KENNEL CLUB:
O. B. Gilman
On motion of Mr. Moore, the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary then read the following certificates:

“ASSOCIATE DELEGATES.

New York, Feby, 2, 1910

“Pursuant to Article XIX, Section 4 of the Bylaws of the American Kennel Club the undersigned, Secretary of
the said club, duly opened the ballots in the presence of the teller appointed by the
President of said club and certify as follows:--

Associate Subscribers entitled to vote-------------------248  
Number of vote cast--------------------------------------------96  
Scattering, six, irregular and thrown out, one

We therefore declare the following candidates having received the highest
number of votes to be duly elected to act as delegates for the Associate Subscribers for
the year 1910 and until their successors shall have been elected.

William G. Rockefeller  
Dwight Moore  
Ben S. Smith  
Winthrop Rutherford

(Signed)     A. P. Vredenburgh  
Secretary

George Greer,     )
Franklin B. Lord, Jr.,  )  Tellers
A. G. Hooley     )
Applications for membership were submitted from the Pittsburg Collie Club, Maryland Kennel Club and the Southern Collie Club of Memphis, and having been duly balloted for, they were declared elected.

At this point President August Belmont arrived and took the chair.

Mr. A. C. Wilmerding was elected as a delegates to represent the Santa Cruz County Kennel Club.

The Secretary read the report of the Nominating Committee, as follows:

“New York, January 13, 1910

To the Delegates of American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

The Nominating Committee to name Directors for the class expiring 1910 elected at the meeting of the Delegates on December 21, 1909, beg to report that in conformity with Section III, Article XVIII of the By-laws hereby make the following nominations, to serve in the Directors class for 1915.

Messrs. Dwight Moore, Howard Willets,
MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the Secretary cast one ballot for the election of those gentlemen.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary state that he had cast such ballot for the gentlemen named, and they were declared duly elected.

THE SECRETARY: I submit herewith the Treasurer’s report. It is quite a lengthy document. I have caused galley proofs to be printed and handed to the delegates, and it is for you to say whether or not I shall now read this report to you.

MR. WILLETS: I move that we dispense with the reading of the report, and that it be adopted and spread on the minutes of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read his report as follows:

“New York, February 14th, 1910.

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my regular quarterly report.

The following clubs have tendered their resignations:
Champlain Kennel Club
Madison Athletic Association
Simsbury Kennel Club
Southern Beagle Club
Southern Kennel Club
Utah State Fair Association

Under Article 16, Section III, the following clubs have ceased to be members of the American Kennel Club for the non-payment of dues for 1910:

Cedarhurst Kennel Club
Chester Kennel Club
Conemaugh Valley Kennel Club
Dayton Kennel Club
Franklin Kennel Club
Japanese Spaniel Club
Mascoutah Kennel Club
San Francisco Kennel Club
The People’s Poultry and Pet Stock Association
Valley Fair Kennel Club
Washington (Pa.) Kennel Club

I will present the certificate of Election for four delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers, the report of the Rules Committee on the matter of three proposed amendments. One approved and two not approved. Said as provided for in the Constitution. The report of the Nominating Committee for Directors of the Class for 1915. The minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of Jan. 6th and Jan. 22nd, 1910.

“Respectfully submitted,

(SIGNED) A. P. VRENDENBURG
Secretary.”
MR. MOORE: I move that it be laid on the table, to be taken up in the regular course of business.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Rules Committee was then read, as follows:

“January 18, 1910

MEETING OF RULES COMMITTEE

Dr. DeMund proposed an amendment to Article VI, new Section XI as referred to the Rules Committee as follows:

“All directors who shall absent himself from two consecutive regular meetings of the Board without being excused by a majority vote of said Board, shall be deemed to have resigned and shall cease to be a director.”

APPROVED BY COMMITTEE

Mr. Leslie’s amendment will not implicate and would not serve in its purpose, and would be an injury to small breeders, is as follows:

1. “Puppies to be eligible for entry in the puppy class must be American bred and the entry blank must show number of litter registrations.
2. All litters eligible for entry to exhibit in the puppy class must be registered within thirty (30) days of birth, the registration blank showing breed of dog, date of birth, marking of litter, sex of litter, sire and name of owner, dam name of owner of stud dog.
3. All litters offered for registration will be subject to the usual rules of the American Kennel Club covering registration.
4. A charge of one ($1.00) dollar will be made for such registration.”

NOT APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.
“Mr. Mortimer’s amendment to Rule XV is as follows:

“Amend Rule XV of the Rules Governing Clubs by striking out the second paragraph of the rule, and a new rule substituted in the original form.

NOT APPROVED BY COMMITTEE

(Signed)  H.H. Hunnewell
   J.E. DeMund
   F.B. Lord, Jr.”

Mr. Hunnewell:

On a point of information I would ask was there not as error in that report as published in the Gazette which was afterwards corrected?

   THE SECRETARY: Yes, it was erroneous as published, but was corrected. The error crept in by poor reading and I had the man who read the proof sign a letter of explanation and apology to everyone of the members of the Rules Committee, and to Mr. Leslie too.

   THE CHAIRMAN: The first proposed amendment is to Article VI, new Section XI. What is your pleasure with regard to that proposed amendment?

   MR. WILLETS: I move that it be adopted.

   Motion seconded.

   THE CHAIRMAN: It is ambiguous in this sense, when shall he be excused. If he has, for instance, absented himself from one meeting and then desires to be excused at the next, and it so happens that he is compelled to be absent from that second meeting, he is without excuse.
MR. HUNNEWELL: The Rules Committee intended that at the second meeting if he was not present he would send in his excuse for not being present. We passed the rule as it was given to us, and that was the presumption, that if he was not present at the second meeting, he would give his excuse, and his excuse would be accepted or not. In other words, if a man was unavoidable absent from the first meeting he would write, saying he was sick or something of that kind. In that event he would be excused, and then he would have two more meetings before him before he could be considered to have resigned in the event that he had not been excused.

THE CHAIRMAN: Still it might happen, and I think when you come to apply the rule that a man might be prevented from attending the second meeting too late even to hand in his excuse. That is his excused would be submitted at the third meeting if he could not present it at the second meeting, and you might lose a very valuable representative.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I think under that ruling, as we intended it, a man might be absent from eight meetings and on giving a proper excuse the directors would grant it. He could say that he could not be present at a certain meeting, and it is for the directors to decide whether or not they choose to keep him on the directorate.
DR. DeMUND: As a member of the Rules Committee I desire to say that our object in proposing this rule was to reach certain directors who have never been present at a meeting and have never shown any interest in our meetings. They will not resign. It is very difficult to get a quorum present. Any director who takes an interest in our meetings and who is prevented from attending them, can send an excuse to the first meeting or to the second meeting. It was not intended to be arbitrary.

MR. HUNNEWELL: For instance, a man might go abroad, and that reason would be considered sufficient.

THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me to be perfectly clear. A director need not necessarily offer the excuse himself. His excuse can be offered through a friend of his who knows that he will be unavoidably absent.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not understand that there is anything that prevents the Board, at the time such a director ceases to be a member of it without being excused from re-electing him. If at the third meeting he presents an excuse it would be perfectly competent for that Board to re-elect him a director.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It is not a question of re-election. He would not be dropped.
THE CHAIRMAN: I think he is dropped. I think it is mandatory.

DR. DE MUND: Without an excuse, it says.

THE CHAIRMAN: It does not make any difference, if his excuse is not offered then and there at the next meeting he is dropped.

Dr. DE MUND: If some member of the Board should move that a director be excused, that would be sufficient.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Supposing one of us should go abroad, and our mail should not be forwarded to us, and we should not receive notice of a meeting of the directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think anyone abroad with this rule in existence would notify the Secretary to request a leave of absence.

THE SECRETARY: It is not necessary for any director to get a notice. The constitution provides that the directors meet on the third Tuesday of every fourth month, and they know it perfectly well.

THE CHAIRMAN: However, the language is mandatory.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The Rules Committee did not mean to be mandatory in any way. Our object was to get rid of certain men who never come to the meetings. They do not give any excuse, and that settle them. The men that take an interest an attend the meetings, if they are absent all they have got to do is to say that they were in
Europe or were prevented from attending through some other cause, and their excuse will be accepted.

DR. DE MUND: I must take exception to your statement that it is mandatory. It would be mandatory without that clause in it providing for excuses. The directors may excuse whom they please, so that if a man is excused by a vote of the Board, the Rule does not apply.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Could the Chair suggest anything to make it more satisfactory?

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think there is any danger in it, only I think it is proper that the Board should know what it is doing. You are proposing an amendment here which provides that if a director is absent from two meetings without being excused he ceases to be a member. I do not think there is any harm in pointing it out. When a man ceases to be a member in that way you can reinstate him if you like. I do not think there is any harm in it.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I move it be adopted.

Carried.

MR. WILLETS: I move that a copy of this new rule be mailed to each director and be also published in the Gazette.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now I call your attention to the second proposed amendment and ask your pleasure regarding it. I
observe that it is not approve by the committee.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the Committee’s Report be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: I now read you Mr. Mortimer’s proposed amendment to Rule XV. This is not approve by the committee.

MR. MORTIMER: That refers to the wording of the catalogue. There was a rule passed here that instead of the owner’s name coming first in the catalogue, the dog’s name should come first, the stud book number, then the owner’s name, then the date of the birth of the dog, then the sire and dam, and so on. My object in calling for the old method of working the catalogue is because that method has been in existence for some fifty or sixty years nearly.

It is the method that has been adopted and is still used by the English Kennel Club and be the American Kennel Club ever since its inception, and by all other dog clubs in the world so far as I can learn. Furthermore, there is no good reason why it should be changed. I inquired of the Secretary of our club, and he informed me that the change will not facilitate the work of the office in any way at all. I have found from my own experience that putting the dog’s name first caused me double work in the office in checking off the numbers of the dogs; and then again in
putting an owner’s dogs together, as is the general request on the part of exhibitors as to
dogs of the same breed, as we have done; for instance, an exhibitor has four or five fox
terriers entered, he likes to have those fox terrier benched together, not that the
numbers should follow consecutively, but that the dogs should be benched together --- I
say that I have found that that has doubled the work of the office to do it. Several
exhibitors have spoken to me on the subject, and they are all in favor of going aback to
the old method. It seems that a change of this kind should be made, if it is, for some
good reason, but no one has been able to urge any good reason why the change was
made, and for that reason I ask that we return to the original method of wording the
catalogue, where the exhibitor’s name comes first, and then the dog, and so forth.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I should like to ask the Secretary’s opinion as to whether it
would facilitate the work of the office.

THE SECRETARY: I do not see that it does. It does not facilitate the work of the
office. When the New York catalogue was printed it had the name of the dog first in very
small type and the owner’s name in capitals, and of course the capitals first strike the
eye. I am inclined to believe, however, that if the name of the dog
was printed in capitals and the owner’s name in small type, it would obviate in great
many mistakes that may be made in tabulating them and in compiling them for the stud
book. I would like to say that the stud book for 25 years has been arranged precisely
according to the present rule, that is, the name of the dog comes first – then the number
and then the name of the owner, and then the particulars regarding the dog.

MR. MORTIMER: The argument of the Secretary is all very well so far as the
stud book entry is concerned, because he was looking for a particular dog or a particular
number, but we have in our catalogue an index to exhibitors. We refer to the index and
we find there the number of Mr. Belmont’s or Mr. Vrendenburgh’s dog. It is much easier
to find that when the owner’s name is in the margin of the catalogue. I think you would
readily understand that if you saw the catalogue. I am not talking about the little
catalogue that is printed by some specialty club, but catalogues printed by the larger
clubs because they have a great number of exhibits.

THE CHAIRMAN: I presume the committee thought it was it was an exhibition of
the dog and not an exhibition of the owner.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Absolutely that was the idea.

MR. MORTIMER: Is not the exhibitor more important
than his dogs?

MR. HUNNEWELL: No, not at a dog show.

MR. MORTIMER: Can there be a dog show without an exhibitor? Think of the absurdity of this thing. The name of the dog comes first, then the stud book number, then the owner’s name, then the date of the birth, and so on. It does not refer to the dog, it refers to the owner.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you not possibly exaggerating the difficulties which come to you simply because you have been so long in the habit of following that method?

MR. MORTIMER: No, I am not. I am objecting on account of the actual excess of work that it has cause me in my office, and I feel very strongly about it because I am sure that any one here who has had any experience in that kind of work would find that they have double work, double tracking in finding the dogs when they do not know where the owner’s names are. The owner is what you are looking for first, and I say that a method which has been good enough for the English Kennel Club and for ourselves sever since there were dog shows should not be changed unless there I some very good reason for changing it.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The committee, as I remember it, changed it for several reasons. One reason is that horse shows are catalogued in that way. The fact that the Eng-
lish Kennel Club has followed that method for fifty years does not affect the question at all. Horse show catalogues and other catalogues give the name of the horse first, and after all, the dogs are on exhibition, and not the exhibitors. Mr. Vrendenburgh assured us that it would help the office here to a considerable extent in making up their stud book.

MR. MORTIMER: I asked the question of Mr. Vrendenburgh and he assured me that it would not facilitate the work of the office. Another thing, you referred to horse shows. They do not print the pedigrees of the horses in their catalogues.

THE SECRETARY: So far as facilitating the work of the office here is concerned, it does not make really very much difference to us, but I think that with the catalogue we have before us we are less liable to error than we were in the old way.

MR. VAN SCHAICK: Mr. Mortimer’s point is well taken, I think, for the reason that a great many people go to a dog show to see certain people’s dogs, and it is a great deal easier to find them if the owner’s name comes first.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The owner’s name is indexed.

MR. MORTIMER: If this present method is continued I can say now for the information of exhibitors that their dogs will be no longer placed together at the Westminster
Kennel Club, Show, but they will have to follow the regular order of the catalogue, because it is too much work. It took me nearly forty hours to get out a draft of the benching so that the exhibitors' dogs could be put together before their numbers could be put up.

MR. PALMER: In support of Mr. Mortimer's remarks, I desire to say that while at the Westminster Kennel Club Show I came into contact with a great many people who do not go to dog shows very frequently, but occasionally, and they seems to experience any amount of trouble in ascertaining there the dogs were, etc. The average dog man can find his way around, but the novice cannot, and I do not see that the committee has shown us in any degree how what they have adopted is going to benefit us in any way.

The question was then put and resulted in the committee's recommendation being not approved, and the Chairman declared Mr. Mortimer's proposed amendment to Rule XV adopted.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. J. J. Lynn has recently spoken with me in regard ot the situation on the Pacific Coast, which, I believe is not entirely satisfactory. Mr. Lynn seemed to think that the best method was for us to return to the old control exercised from New York. I think our present method is the better one, because it is so far
away, and if we have the right men there undoubtedly it is the proper method of conducting business, but I want to suggest that during the coming spring or summer when Mr. Vrendenburgh is at liberty it would be an excellent idea to send him out there to look over the situation. It would be unfortunate if by reason of any confusion that may be growing up there that we would have a secession and would have to pass through all the trouble that we had once before, because Mr. Lynn intimates that there is a movement to establish an independent Pacific Coast Kennel Club. Before acting I want to call your attention to this fact and want to make the suggestion that you authorize Mr. Vrendenburgh sometime during the summer to go and look over the ground so that he can intelligently report on the subject, and then we will be satisfied as to whether the proper method is being following or not.

At this point Mr. Belmont resigned the chair to Mr. Hunnewell.

THE SECRETARY: I have the usual minutes of the meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee of January 6th and January 22nd. It is customary to print these in the Gazette without reading them.

MR. MOORE: I move that they take that course.

Motion seconded and carried.
“REGULAR MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at Metropolis Bank Bldg., San Francisco, January 6, 1910.
Present: H. H. Carlton, Chairman; Dr. W. P. Burnham; W. W. Stettheimer; Nat T. Messer; J. P. Norman, Secretary.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read, and on motion adopted.

The Secretary read a communication from a member of the Committee calling attention to the discrepancy between the entries at the Oakland show, of the Bull Terrier puppies, TAMARACK VICTOR and the BLOOMSBURY TERROR, the dam of the former being given as Tamarack Glory, and of the latter as Venoma Peeress. The breeders being given respectively as W. D. Kant and Mrs. Frank Morris. The two dogs being litter brothers.

The Secretary was by resolution, directed to obtain certain particulars from the alleged breeders, and from Mrs. Witts, the alleged owner of the bitch at the time of serving.

The Secretary read the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, held December 21, 1909, in which it is twice stated by Mr. Mortimer, that the San Francisco Catalog and the awards therein, had been passed by the Pacific Advisory Committee.
With a view to the correction of said minutes, it was Resolved that the Pacific Advisory Committee do hereby respectfully call the attention of the Board of Directors to the fact that this Committee is not, nor has it ever been authorized or qualified to pass upon the awards contained in any certified catalog all inspection of catalogs being performed at the office of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, #1 Liberty Street, New York.

The Secretary read a communication from a member of the Committee, which after due consideration was laid upon the table.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:  J. P. NORMAN
Secretary.”

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at Metropolis Bank Bldg. San Francisco, January, 22, 1910
PRESENT:  H. H. Carlton, Chairman; W. W. Stettheimer, Nat. T. Messer, J. P. Morgan, Secretary.
Absent:  Dr. W. P. Burnham

Minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
In the matter of the Bull Terrier puppy entries at the Oakland Show of 1909:
It was found on investigation that the puppy TAMARACK VICTOR, #162 in the catalog, awarded second prize in Bull
Terrier Puppies, Dogs, was not bred by W.D. Kant as stated by the owner, J. C. Berry, and it was

Resolved that the attention of the American Kennel Club be and is hereby directed to this error, for which a penalty of $1.00 should be levied; there being no evidence of any interest defraud.

In re application of the Santa Clara Valley P. & P. S. Assn., to be allowed to change the name of said association to San Jose Kennel Club, it was Resolved that the application be granted and the American Kennel Club be notified accordingly.

A communication was laid before the Committee by a member thereof, and after discussion was on motion laid upon the table.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:  J. P. Norman,
         Secretary."

THE SECRETARY:  I submit the resignations of the Champlain Kennel Club, Madison Athletic Association, Simsbury Kennel Club, Southern Beagle Club, Southern Kennel Club, and Utah State Fair Association. These clubs have all paid up to January 1st.

DR. DE MUND:  I move that they be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY:  There are eleven clubs that accord-
ing to Article XVI, Section III of the constitution or bylaws, which have ceased to be members of the American Kennel Club. They were not dropped. They ceased to be members through not paying their dues to this date. The by-laws say that on the 1st of February if the dues are not paid they shall be suspended, and if their dues are not paid during the annual meeting to be held in February they shall cease to be members.

THE CHAIRMAN: No action is necessary.

THE SECRETARY: Now, that they have ceased to be members of the American Kennel Club, it is not within the power of this Association to reinstate them unless they come in as a new member would with the usual application and entry fee.

MR. MORTIMER: I desire to offer the following resolution: That no puppy or dog under twelve months of age shall be eligible to compete in any class or for any prize competition for which is limited to exhibits no exceeding a certain height or weight. A dog competing in a class where there is a limit of weight or height should be a matured dog. He should be twelve months old or over.

THE CHAIRMAN: You do not apply that the ordinary terrier except the bull or Boston Terrier?
MR. MORTIMER: We apply it where there is a limit of weight. We have in bull terriers and difference other breeds classes that are limited by weight. In beagles dogs are limited by height, and no puppy should be eligible for a class where there is any limit either of weight or height.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it would be well to take up Mr. Belmont’s suggestion concerning a proposed visit by Mr. Vredenburgh to the Pacific Coast, because if there is any dissension some steps should be taken to end it before the trouble goes any further.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: Is not that in the power of the directors only?

THE CHAIRMAN: it is in the power of the directors, but I see no objection to the delegates making any suggestion that they wish in regard to it. As I understand the situation, it is entirely in the power of the directors, and the matter would come before them, but the directors no doubt would be glad to hear anything that the delegates would like to say about it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I move that Mr. Belmont’s remarks in connection with the Pacific Coast Kennel matters be seriously considered by the directors and acted on.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vrendenburgh
Secy
Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

-PRESENT-

J.W. Appleton
Singleton Van Schaick
John E DeMund
F. H. Osgood
Rowland P. Keasbey
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.,
Samuel R. Cutler
James Mortimer
H. K. Bloodgood
William Rauch
R. Stuart Edson
George B. Post, Jr.
Hollis H. Hunnewell
George Lauder, Jr.,
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
Howard Willets

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

DR. DE MUND: There are two or three vacancies on the Board of Directors at present. Would it not be in order to fill those vacancies before we proceed with the election of the officers?

THE SECRETARY: You can suspend the rule.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there be no objection, the order of
business will be suspended and we will proceed to fill vacancies in the Board of Directors.

THE SECRETARY: In the class of 1911, William G. Codman, having ceased to be a delegate, his directorship becomes vacant.

Dr. DE MUND: I nominate Mr. W. L. Barclay in the place of Mr. Codman.

MR. B. S. SMITH: I second the nomination.

MR. OSGOOD: I move that the Secretary cast one ballot for his election.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the chair declared Mr. W. L. Barclay to be elected in the place of Mr. Codman.

THE SECRETARY: The next vacancy is in the class of 1912, George B. Post, Jr., his club having resigned and the same having been accepted at this meeting.

MR. WILLETS: I nominate Mr. M. M. Palmer.

Nomination seconded.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast one ballot for his election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chairman declared Mr. Palmer elected.

THE SECRETARY: The next vacancy is in the class of 1913, in the place of John G. Bates, whose club has ceased
to be a member.

MR. WILLETs: I move that the filling of the vacancy be postponed until the next meeting.

MR. OSGOOD: I place in nomination the name of Dr. Edward W. Dwight. Nomination seconded.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast one ballot for Dr. Dwight’s election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the Chair declared him duly elected.

Dr. DE MUND: I should like to state that these gentlemen are only elected for a year. Their places must be filled by nomination and election by the delegates next year.

MR. OSGOOD: I nominate Mr. August Belmont for President of this association.

MR. B. S. SMITH: I second the nomination.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being no further nominations, the secretary will be empowered to cast one ballot for Mr. Belmont’s election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Belmont was declared elected.

MR. BLOODGOOD: For Vice-President I nominate Mr. H. H. Hunnewell. Nomination seconded.
On motion the Secretary was authorized to cast one ballot for Mr. Hunnewell. The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Hunnewell was declared elected.

MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. H. K. Bloodgood for Second Vice-President. Nominations seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there be no further nominations, the Secretary will be instructed to cast one vote for Mr. Bloodgood.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Bloodgood was declared duly elected.

MR. OSGOOD: I nominate Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh as Secretary and Treasurer. Nomination seconded, and by viva voce vote, he was declared duly elected.

STANDING COMMITTEE

DR. DE MUND: I nominate for the Finance Committee, Mr. W. G. Rockefeller, Chairman; Mr. Dwight Moore and Mr. Howard Willets. Nominations seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being no further nominations, the Secretary is instructed to cast one vote for the gentlemen named to compose the Finance Committee.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot,
and the gentlemen named were declared duly elected.

Messrs. James W. Appleton, Singleton Van Schiack and W. L. Barclay were re-nominated to succeed themselves as members of the Stud Book Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being no further nominations, the Secretary is instructed to cast one ballot for these gentlemen.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the gentlemen named were declared duly elected as members of the Stud Book Committee.

MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. Edward Brooks, Mr. H. H. Hunnewell, Dr. De Mund, Mr. Winthrop Rutherford and Mr. B. S. Smith as members of the Rules Committee.

Nominations seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being no further nominations, the Secretary is authorized to cast one ballot for their election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot and the gentlemen named were duly declared elected members of the Rules Committee.

Messrs. Chetwood Smith, M. M. Palmer, J. W. Appleton, W. G. Rockefeller, and A. G. Hooley were nominated as the Field Trial Committee.

Nominations seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being no further nominations, the
Secretary is instructed to cast one ballot for these gentlemen.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot, and these gentlemen were duly declared elected members of the Field Trial Committee.

Messrs. Howard Willets, J. E. De Mund, B. S. Smith, J. S. Price, Jr., and George Greer were nominated as members of the Membership Committee.

Nominations seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being no further nominations, the Secretary is authorized to cast one ballot for these gentlemen.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and these gentlemen were declared duly elected member of the Membership Committee.

Messrs. Dwight Moore, C. F. R. Drake, George Lauder Jr., R. P. Keasbey and W. L. Barclay were nominated as the members of the Publication Committee.

Nominations seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being no further nominations, the Secretary is instructed to cast one ballot for these gentlemen.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and the gentlemen named were declared duly elected as the mem-
bers of the Publication Committee.

Mr. Willets nominated Dr. J. E. De Mund, George Lauder, Jr., Dr. F. H. Osgood as the members of the License Committee.

Nominations seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being no further nominations, the Secretary is instructed to cast one ballot for these gentlemen.

The secretary stated that he has cast such ballot, and the gentlemen named were declared duly elected as the members of the License Committee.

THE SECRETARY: There are five members to be elected on the Pacific Advisory Committee, and the agreement entered into between the American Kennel Club and the Pacific Advisory Committee directs that the five members shall be elected at this meeting, one to serve until 1911, one to serve until 1912, one to serve until 1913, one to serve until 1914 and one to serve until 1915, so that each year one of these member’s terms expires.

MR. MOORE: I would like to ask if it is mandatory upon us to fill those vacancies at this meeting according to the constitution. In view of the recommendation that was made by the delegates and the possibility of a visit by the Secretary to the coast, it might be well to postpone action until some future meeting. If that is in order,
I will put it in the form of a motion.

THE CHAIR: That is in order.

MR. MOORE: Then I make that a motion.

DR. DE MUND: I second that motion.

Motion carried.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, February 14th, 1910

To the Board of Directors,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my quarterly report. The decisions of the Trial Board sitting in New York, at its meeting held January 18th, 1910. The resignation of Ancel H. Ball, as a Director, and as a member of the Field Trial Committee. The resignation of Albion L. Page, as the Delegate of the Scottish Deerhound Club. The resignation of James Mortimer from the Special Committee on the subject relating to the Express Company. Mr. Mortimer tells me to-day that I can withdraw that.

J. W. Tasker, Pontiac, Mich., preferred charges against W. E. Mason, of Liverpool, England. Said charges were tried and investigated by a Trial Board which decided in favor of the defendant. Tasker appealed from such decision to the Executive Committee, and later applied to withdraw his appeal. This request was granted b the Execu-
tive Committee which ordered that the deposit of $25.00 be forfeited. Under date of January 14th, Tasker renews his appeal stating that his withdrawal was for the purpose of having his deposit returned. The disposition of this matter is now before you.

At the meeting held December 21st, last, the Merrimack Valley Kennel Club and the officers of said Club were suspended for their failure to pay six special prizes, for which charges had been preferred. Under date of February 4th the said club filed with this office receipts for the payment of said prizes in full, and appeals to you for reinstatement.

The San Francisco Kennel Club and its officers were suspended by the President of the American Kennel Club for conduct prejudicial to its best interest. Said suspension was confirmed by this Board at its meeting held December 21st, and by resolution at said meeting, certain conditions were imposed upon the fulfillment of which the suspension would be removed. Notice of this resolution was sent to the Secretary of the San Francisco Kennel Club notice of the receipt of which is set forth in a supplemental complaint filed in the Superior Court of the City County of San Francisco, state of California. This supplemental complaint was occasioned by a demurrer
by the Pacific Advisory Committee to the original complaint said demurrer being sustained by the Courts. I am advised by wire as follows:

"Feb. 9th, 1910. Injunction suit fought to a finish. Court decision for us demands the resolutions that club withdraw suit not complied with. Await action of Board of Directors."

In connection with this matter, I received a request for the return of the deposit made with the claim for dates for the show for 1909. In reply to which I quote from my letter of January 28th.

"I beg to advise you that it is usual to apply for the return of this deposit through our P. A. C. I will however present your request at the next meeting of the Kennel Club to be held Feb. 15th, 1910."

The following charges have been filed with this office and should be referred to a Trial Board:

Jan. 7th, 10. Robert N. Adams vs. J. S. Bechtold
Jan. 7th, 10. Robert N. Adams vs. Edwin L. Boger
Jan. 28th, 10. H. W. Jacobs and
               T. I. Herren ( ) vs. El Paso Kennel Club
Feb. 8th, 10. Mrs. Ellen Moore vs. Mrs. Nina Proctor and Blue Dragon Kennel

The Pacific Advisory Committee has just forwarded a bill for disbursements from December 27th, 1909 to February 7th, 1910, inclusive, amount to $19.20. The charges appear to be correct and I would recommend payment of same.

Respectfully submitted, A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, February 14th, 1910.

To the Board of Directors,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial statement from January 1st, 1910 to date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand January 1st, 1910</td>
<td>19,318.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from January 1st, to date</td>
<td>3,687.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>23,005.64</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from January 1st to date</td>
<td>3,048.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>19,957.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the findings of the Trial Board in the matter of the Appeal of Mrs. C. F. Walters against the Bridgeport Kennel Club, and also in the matter of the Tri-State Fair Association of Memphis. I suppose they should be included in the minutes and published.

January 18, 1910

MEETING OF THE TRIAL BOARD

In the matter of the appeal of Mrs. C. F. Walters against the Bridgeport Kennel Club.

Mrs. C. F. Walters and Mr. Blatz, the Secretary of the Bridgeport Kennel Club were before the Committee.
The Board finds that Mrs. Walters received permission to bring her dogs into the show from Dr. James E. Hair, President of the Club, and a member of the Bench Show Committee, under additional Rule No. 1, of the Club.

It is ordered that the wins of “Bridgeport Professor” be restored, and the decision of the three members of the Bench Show Committee be reserved.

J. E. DeMund
Geo. Lauder, Jr.
B. S. Smith

January 18, 1910

MEETING OF THE TRIAL BOARD

In the Matter of the Tri-State Fair Association re holding a Dog Show under American Kennel Club Copyrighted Rules, and as published with the approval and rating of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club without permission, and as said show was not held by a Member of the American Kennel Club, under license from the American Kennel Club, or with the knowledge or consent of the American Kennel Club, and using the Secretary’s name without his knowledge or consent, and in the absence of a reply to a letter of December 28th addressed to R. M. Williams, Secretary of the Tri-State Fair Association, IT IS ORDERED that the
following officers be and hereby are suspended until such time as they comply with the demand of the Kennel Club:

S. M. Neely, President  
Frank F. Hill, 1st Vice President  
T. H. Tutwiler, 2nd Vice President  
D. M. Armstrong, Treasurer  
R. M. Williams, Secretary  

Also the following numbers of the Executive Committee:

C. E. Coe  
W. E. Gerber  
E. R. Parham  

Further that J. M. Avent, Hickory Valley, Tenn. be and hereby is suspended until he can show cause why said suspension should not be removed. Inasmuch as it is alleged he purchased for the sum of $250.00 from the Tri-State Fair Association the exclusive right to hold and conduct a dog show on the fair grounds during the fair, that it is alleged that he with knowledge used the aforesaid copyrighted rules, used the alleged approval signed by the Secretary, the same being used without warrant, thereby inducing entries at said show false representation.

(Signed) Geo. Lauder, Jr.  
J. E. DeMund  
B. S. Smith
Dr. DeMund moved that the resignation of Albion L. Page, as the delegate of the Scottish Deerhound Club, be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Merrimack Valley Kennel Club appears for reinstatement. They have paid all the claims that were outstanding against them, and the Secretary of the club takes all the onus for the trouble on himself.

MR. OSGOOD: I move that that club be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I report the request of Mr. Tasker to renew his appeal. I would like to state that the case was tried and Mr. Tasker lost. After Mr. W. E. Mason was notified that he had won his case against Tasker, he then sent a Collie to Mr. Tasker as a gift, as he did not want him to be at a loss, but he said he would not do it until the case has been decided, and it being decided in his favor, he felt he was in position to make him the offer, which Mr. Tasker accepted and still has the collie. For that reason Mr. Tasker wanted to withdraw his appeal. This Board granted that permission but forfeited his $25. Now he says in order to save his $25, he wants to renew his appeal.

THE CHAIRMAN: I rule that his appeal is out of order.

MR. MOORE: I move that the Chair appoint Trial Boards to hear these charges.


THE SECRETARY: The San Francisco Kennel Club requests the deposit of $25 for its show last May to be returned. There is no question in my mine that they have sent this request directly here because they insist upon ignoring the existence of our Pacific Advisory Committee. In reply to that I wrote to that club that the usual courses was to apply through the Pacific Advisory Committee, but I would bring it before the meeting of the directors to-day. It is now before you. In this connection I suppose I may as well give you a little history of what is going on there. Mr. Ellery and the San Francisco Kennel Club, through Spriggs and others as officers, obtained a temporary in-
junction restraining the Pacific Advisory Committee from taking any action in the case; that they desired to investigate the last San Francisco show where there were ten entries that had been tampered with in the official catalogue of the club. The temporary injunction was granted. We made demurrer, and the demurrer was sustained, but leave given to put in a supplemental application. That was decided during this last week, February 10th, in our favor in both cases. I believe that that temporary injunction was granted on the ground that we were a foreign corporation doing business in the State of California without having filed a copy of our articles of incorporation. I caused an attested copy from the State Department at Albany to be sent to the Coast, asking if it was necessary to file it. Our attorneys there said that they declined at present to file these articles because they did not think that it came within the meaning of the act that we should file them. By this decision which has been just handed down by the Judge of the Superior Court there, our contention is probably the correct one. We do not have to file articles of incorporation there. We are not considered by the Court of California as coming under the statute that we are a firm doing business for profit in their state. In connection with this matter we have a bill for professional services in the case of Ellery
against the American Kennel Club for $250, and for professional services in the case of L. W. Spriggs and William Ellery, constituting the San Francisco Kennel Club, against the American Kennel Club, also a bill for $250, a total of $500. I received a letter this morning which I now read:

“Berkeley, Cal., Feb. 9, 1910

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary American Kennel Club,
New York.

Dear Sir:-

I have the honor to inform you that a decision was rendered to-day by Judge Sturtevant, of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, Cal., in the cases of Ellery and the San Francisco Kennel Club vs. the American Kennel Club, the Pacific Advisory Committee et al.

The decision dissolves the temporary restraining order issues against us, and denies the application for a permanent injunction. In fact, our demurrer was sustained at all points. This confirms my telegram of date:

“A. P. Vredenburgh, Liberty Street, New York.
Injunction suit fought to finish. Court decision for us DeMund resolution Ellery and Club withdraw suit not complied. We await action Board of Directors. J. P. Norman.”

I beg to advise you further that the Pacific Advisory Committee held a special meeting immediately after the
promulgation of the decision, and it was resolved that no action could be taken by this Committee in the cases of the American Kennel Club vs. Ellery and vs. the San Francisco Kennel Club, in view of the De Mund resolution ordering Ellery to withdraw his injunction suit, which order has not met with compliance on his part. The Committee therefore, awaits the further pleasure of the Board of Directors.

Yours very truly,

PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A.K.C.

J. P. NORMAN,
Secretary.

MR. OSGOOD: I move that the matter be laid on the table.

MR. MOORE: Is it in order for me to make a motion that the Chair arrange with the Secretary to investigate this matter on the spot?

MR. CUTLER: I have been somewhat in doubt as to whether a motion ought to be made in the present state of affairs. I suppose there is no question but what the bill of lawyers will have to be paid, but it occurs to me, and it has been discussed somewhat informally before the meeting that some resolution ought to be passed which would require such condition precedent to the reinstatement of Mr. Ellery and the San Francisco Kennel Club that they
should reimbursement the club for the expense of the litigation. Therefore I will move
that the bill of Messrs. Ackerman & Oppenheim be approved and paid.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CUTLER: I move that the First Vice-President, Mr. H. H. Hunnewell and the
Secretary be constituted a committee to investigate this whole matter; that this matter be
referred to them and that they be required to report at the next meeting; and that further
consideration of this matter be postponed until the next meeting of the Board of
Directors.

MR. MORTIMER: I second the motion.

Motion carried.

MR. MOORE: I move that the application of the San Francisco Kennel Club for
the return of its deposit fee of $25, be sent to the Pacific Advisory Committee for action.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CUTLER: I move that it is the sense of this Board that whenever any person
or club shall begin suite or commence any litigation against the American Kennel Club
before having exhausted the remedies provided by the American Kennel Club, the same
shall be deemed conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club
within the meaning of Rule XXII of the rules govern-
ing clubs, and misconduct in connection with dogs, dog shows and field trials within the meaning of Rule XXIII of the American Kennel Club rules Governing Dog Shows.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I second the motion.

Motion carried.

On motion, the bill for disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee amounting to the $19.20 incurred during the period from December 27th to February 7th, was ordered paid.

MR. MOORE: That raises a question which I think we ought to consider, that they lawyers' fee should be paid by the Pacific Advisory Committee, and the cost of the litigation included in the conduct of their office, and not of the American Kennel Club. Therefore, I move that our Treasurer should provide them with the funds with which to meet that expense, so that it is in their expenses, and not expenses of New York.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secy
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Held at its offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City
Tuesday, May 17th, 1910

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

-- PRESENT--

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES: Dwight Moore
                        B. S. Smith
                        W. Rutherfurd

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: William L. Barclay
AMERICAN DACHSHUNDE CLUB: G. Muss-Arnolt
AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB: H. H. Hunnewell
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB: H. K Bloodgood
ANTHRACITE KENNEL CLUB: Wilson Bailey
BLOODHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA: Dr. Louis G. Knox
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB: F. H. Osgood
BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA: Edwin L. Boger
CALIFORNIA AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB: G. A. Wertheim
COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA: R. S. Edson
FRAMINGHAM DISTRICT KENNEL CLUB: O. B. Gilman
GREAT DANE CLUB OF AMERICA: Dr. Edwin F. Gissler
IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: Singleton Van Schaick
MALTESE TERRIER CLUB: E. H. Berendsohn
MONMOUTH COUNTY KENNEL CLUB: Andrew Albright, Jr.
NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB: Chetwood Smith
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named clubs were elected to membership: Washington Kennel Club, Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club and St. Claire Kennel Club of Detroit.

The following named gentlemen were elected as delegates to represent the following named clubs:

- California Airedale Terrier Club, G. A. Wertheim;
- Golden Gate Kennel Club, M. Mobray Palmer;
- Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association, John G. Bates;
- French Bulldog Club of America, O. F. Vedder;
- Greyhound Club of America, Edward H. Carl
- Irish Setter Club of America, R. Walter Cruezbauer;
- Old English Sheepdog Club, Tyler Morse.
The Secretary read his report as follows:


“To the Delegate of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last meeting, I have received five applications for active membership, and nine credentials for delegates to represent active members.

These applications and credentials have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report on same at this meeting.

Proposed amendments by James Mortimer, and the Pacific Advisory Committee, together with a request from said Committee for an interpretation of the third paragraph of RULE V., of Rules Governing Clubs have been referred to the Rules Committee, which will report at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary.”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows: “The proposed amendment offered by Mr. James Mortimer “That no puppy under twelve months of age shall be eligible to compete in any class where there is a certain stated weight or height limit.” Approved by the Committee.
“Your Committee has been requested to interpret the third section of Rule V, Rules Governing Clubs, which reads as follows: ‘Clubs which have not held a show during the year 1908, or prior to September 1, 1909, desiring to hold a show within twenty-five miles of a place where a show has been held during that year or the year previous, must obtain the consent of the older club before the application would be approved.’ Your Committee interprets the word ‘older’ in the clause above quoted, means ‘oldest.’ In this interpretation the License Committee concurs, upon the ground that that was its meaning when it framed the rule.

“The resolution of the Pacific Advisory Committee, which reads as follows: ‘That every club in good standing in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee shall, between January 1st to 15th of each year, send its President, Secretary or Chairman of its Bench Show Committee, to a meeting with the Advisory Committee in San Francisco, and shall then by a majority of votes determine on the name to be sent to the Board of Directors to be appointed to the vacancy on the Committee.

“That between June 1st and 15th of each year, the same officials shall meet and determine on the legislation or measures necessary to be enacted either by the Committee or for recommendation to the American Kennel Club.
“That the position of Secretary of the Committee be made a paid one, and that said Secretary shall have no vote.”

“The above a rule is recommended by your Committee.

(Signed)

H. H. HUNNEWELL
J. E. DEMUND
WINTHROP RUTHERFURD
B. S. SMITH.”

MR. MOORE: I would like to have those two matters referred to in the report of the Rules Committee taken up separately.

THE CHAIRMAN: The first matter that comes up for your consideration is the proposed amendment offered by Mr. James Mortimer which is: “That no puppy under twelve months of age shall be eligible to compete in any class where there is a certain stated weight or height limit.”

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: In Beagles there is a limit of fifteen inches in height. Does this mean that Beagle puppies are not eligible for the winners’ class?

THE SECRETARY: No, not at all.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: Why can he not compete in the fifteen inch class if there is such a class?

MR. MOORE: He cannot.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: If I have a puppy under fifteen inches in height, and it cannot be shown under this pro-
posed amendment, is he eligible for the winner’s class?

MR. RUTHERFURD: Yes, I think so.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: Why is he not eligible for a limit class for fifteen inches and under?

MR. RUTHERFORD: Not if all Beagles are specified to be fifteen inches and under.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: It says, height or weight. Last year at the New York Show there were a number of puppies which were not allowed to compete in the open class for dogs fifteen inches and under in height.

MR. MOORE: I notice that the representative of the Boston Terrier Club is now here, and I would like to bring up the question as to how it would affect Terriers. They are divided into three classes of weight, and I think that most of the show giving clubs who are members here, would consider that it would curtail their entries very seriously. I think it would work a great hardship on show giving clubs. It will reduce their number of entries because the Boston Terrier cannot go into that weight class, and it will also be a considerable hardship on the exhibitor.

DR. OSGOOD: I move that it be accepted as proposed by the Rules Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question of weight was one which we went into here this morning.
MR. RUTHERFORD: The Bull Terrier puppy may weigh twenty-five pounds, and when he is grown he may weigh sixty pounds, and if he is evidently unfair that that puppy should compete in a thirty pound class. That question came up at the New York Show last year, and a protest was entered. We could not allow the protest because there was no rule covering it.

MR. MOORE: The same principle applies in pugilistic circles. If a man is at a certain weight and fights in a certain class, and two years later he puts on weight and goes into another class, it has no effect; he goes into a heavier class.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It does not mean that he always has to remain in that class.

MR. MOORE: That will deprive a puppy of the opportunity to win in a weight class under the rule.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think the only breed which this word “height” refers to is Beagles. I do not think there is any other breed which is restricted as to height. I would like to ask the Secretary for information about that.

THE SECRETARY: I do not know of any other breed which is so restricted.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think it would work very ad-
versely in Beagles because it would prevent your entering puppies in any other class except the puppy class, and if that is the intention I think that might be treated in that way, that puppies are only eligible for puppy classes and not for any of the other classes.

THE CHAIRMAN: The only way we looked at it was this, that a puppy twelve months old is not fully grown either in height or weight, and that is the reason we though it was unfair competition.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I would like to offer an amendment to that, that the class in relation to height be stricken out, as it refers only to Beagles and does not affect any other breed.

Amendment seconded.

DR. OSGOOD: I accept the amendment, because there is only one breed affected as to height.

MR. MOORE: I ask why you make any exception. If it is a fair rule it ought to include every dog without exception. I should like to except the Bulldogs and Boston Terriers and Bull Terriers. A rule is a rule.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think this is a little bit different. I am not making any exception. I am striking out height.

MR. MOORE: And I am striking out weight.

Amendment to proposed amendment striking out the lim-
itations of height and weight carried.

MR. BAILEY: Would that affect Cocker Spaniels where the premium list calls for weight or height, that is, a weight of 18 or 24 pounds?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, it would not apply to them.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Where is this weight to be stated, in the prize list, or in the club list or in the standard weight of breeds?

THE CHAIRMAN: In the premium list.

The proposed amendment as read was then put to vote and lost.

THE CHAIRMAN: The next paragraph in the report of the Rules Committee is the interpretation of Rule V of the Rules Governing Dogs.

DR. DeMUND: I move that that be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the report of the Rules Committee as amended was adopted.

THE SECRETARY: I would state for the information of the delegates that the Gazette under date of April 30th, contains a full report of the Special Committee which was sent out to the Pacific Coast two minutes ago. I cannot report anything further in regard to that at this time.

MR. MOORE: I move that the report of the Special Committee as published in the Gazette of April 30th, be ap-
proved by the delegates, and that a copy of a resolution be forwarded to the Board of Directors.

   DR. DeMUND: I second the motion.

   Carried.

   On motion the meeting then adjourned.

   A. P. Vredenburgh

   Secy
MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Held of its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City,
Tuesday, May 17th, 1910

- P R E S E N T -

H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
James W. Appleton
Chetwood Smith
Howard Willets
John E. DeMund

The Secretary’s report was read as follows:


“To the Executive Committee:

Gentlemen:

Webber vs. Brown

In the above case the Trial Board sitting in New York found in favor or Webber. Brown appealed and by resolution of your Committee, a meeting was arranged with Webber, Brown and Mason, and Webber was directed to produce the dog in question, at Madison Sq. Garden in Feb. last. New evident being produced at said meeting the matter was referred back to the Trial Board, which reports that said Board re-affirms its former decision and returns the case to this Committee to render its final decision on this appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH,
Secretary.”
“May 17th, 1910: The Executive Committee affirms the finding of the Trial Board.

A. P. VREDBERGH.”

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

A. P. VREDBERGH

Secy
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City,
May 17th, 1910

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

THE SECRETARY: Mr. Edward Brooks has resigned as the delegate to represent the New England Kennel Club. This causes a vacancy on our Executive Committee, our Rules Committee and our Board of Directors.

MR. WILLETS: I move that the vacancies caused by the withdrawal of Mr. Brooks as delegates of the New England Kennel Club be not filled at this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: there is a vacancy in the class of 1912, Mr. Richard H. Hunt. His successor as delegate has been appointed.

MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. Andrew Albright.

Nominate seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There is a vacancy also in the class of 1915. The Mascoutah Kennel Club has ceased to be a member of this club, and its delegate, Mr. C. F. R.
Drake cannot therefore act.

DR. DeMUND: I nominate Mr. A. C. Wilmerding to fill that vacancy.
Nomination seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: There is a vacancy on the Publication Committee caused by the withdrawal of Mr. C. F. R. Drake.

DR. DeMUND: I nominate Mr. A. C. Wilmerding to fill that vacancy.
Nominate seconded and carried.

The roll call of the Board of Directors showed the following named members present:

Dwight Moore  
B. S. Smith  
Howard Willets  
George Creer  
A. G. Hooley  
A. C. Wilmerding  
J. W. Appleton  
Singleton Van Schaick  
John E. Osgood  
Rowland P. Keasbey  
H. K. Bloodgood  
Chetwood Smith  
R. Stewart Edson  
A. Albright Jr.  
William G. Rockefeller  
Winthrop Rutherfurd  
W. L. Barclay  
George Lauder  
Hollis H. Hunnewell

The Secretary read his report as follows:


"To the Board of Directors.

"Gentlemen:

"I beg to report Minutes of the Pacific Ad-
visory Committee of its meetings held February 8th, March 5th, April 9th, April 19th and May 17th; also the report of the Special Committee on Pacific Coast matters, said report having been published in the April 30th issue of the Gazette.

“I will present, if you so desire, the personal opinion of Mr. Hunnewell, one of the Special Committee, with which, as the remaining members I fully concur.

“The Bulldog Breeders’ Assn. having failed to obtain the consent of the Bulldog Club of America, to hold a licensed show, request the privilege to use the American Kennel Club’s copyrights rules, so that shows held by it could in no way be held as antagonistic to the American Kennel Club.

“The New England Kennel Club has filed a notice that Mr. Edward Brooks has resigned as its delegate and that the same has been accepted by said club. This resignation will make a vacancy on the Executive Committee, the Board of Directors, and the Chairmanship of the Rules Committee.

“I beg to report the receipt of the bill for disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee from February 9th to May 7th inclusive amounting to $64.85, and would respectfully recommend that same be ordered paid.

“A certain article published in the Canadian Gazette and copied in a local dog paper, reflecting on the integ-
rity of one of our directors, Dr. J. E. DeMund, has been filed with this office, and Dr. J. E. DeMund requests that a full investigation be had.

“I will submit a communication from James Mortimer resigning as Chairman of the Special Committee on Express matters.

“The following charges have been filed:

Appeal by Thornton O. Crown against decision of Washington K. C. on his protest.

Misconduct in connection with dogs:
John Newman vs. Miss Ella Van Benthuysen,
Miss Lilliam Webster vs. Senn Senn Kennels;
A. J. S. Edward vs. F. S. Sternberg.

For Unpaid Prizes:

For Unpaid Prizes:
Mrs. L. L. Bonham vs. Louisville Poultry and Pet Stock Assn.

The La Crosse Kennel Club held a licensed show September 28th to October 1st, 1909, and have failed to send to the American Kennel Club the Listing Fees amounting to $22.00, and notwithstanding our demands for this money, it is still unpaid.

Mrs. E. W. Throckmorton and Mr. F. H. Farwell have presented this club with framed pictures, and official
thanks are due the donors. Also to Dr. L. G. Knox for a medallion head of a bloodhound.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

“New York, May 17th, 1910

To the Board of Directors:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial statement from January 1st, 1910, to date:

Balance on hand January 1st, 1910 --------------------------- $19,318.64
Receipts from January 1st to date ----------------------------- 10,647.65
Total --------------------------------------------------------- $29,966.29
Disbursements from January 1st to date --------------------- 10,655.58
Balance on hand -----------------------------------------  $19,310.71

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A. P. VREDENBURGH
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the minutes of five meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that they be accepted and spread
upon the minutes of this meeting.
    Motion seconded and carried.

“SPECIAL MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at the Palace Hotel, San Francisco, February 8, 1910.

Present:  H. H. Carlton, Chairman; Dr. W. P. Burnham; W. W. Stettheimer; Nat T.
          Messer; J. P. Norman, Secretary

    On motion the reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was postponed to
    the next meeting.

    The Chair stated that the meeting was called for the purpose of communicating
    to the Committee the fact that the Honorable Judge Sturtevant of the Superior Court of
    San Francisco, had sustained the demurrer offered by the attorneys for the Committee,
    and had denied the application for permanent injunction sued for by the San Francisco
    Kennel Club and by William Ellery, and for the further purpose of considering the cases
    of the American Kennel Club vs. the parties named.

    The Committee being barred by the De Mund resolution from proceeding with the
    trial of the San Francisco Kennel Club and of William Ellery.

    It was resolved that a telegram be dispatched at once to the American Kennel
    Club, acquainting them with the decision of the Court, and asking for further instructions
    in the matter.
MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE:
Metropolis Bank Building, San Francisco, March 5, 1910

The minutes of the meeting of January 22\textsuperscript{nd} and of the meeting of February 8\textsuperscript{th} were read and approved.

The resignation of the UTAH STATE FAIR ASSOCIATION was read and accepted.

The Secretary read a letter from W. E. Chute to the American Kennel Club respecting a discrepancy in the date of birth of the Collie Valverde Virgil as shown at San Francisco and at Oakland, and a reply to said letter from the Secretary of the A. K. C. referring the matter to this Committee for investigation. On motion the communications were laid on the table until after the pending visit of Messrs. Hunnewell and Vredenburgh.

In the matter of the cancellation of the win of the French Bulldog Halcyon Alexandre, entered in the open class at Oakland, and catalogued in limit class by error of the Oakland Kennel Club, it was resolved that the matter be laid on the table until the next meeting.

The Secretary notified the Committee that he had re-
ceived a check for the deposit of the San Francisco Kennel Club for its 1909 show, with instructions form the Secretary of the A.K.C. to return said deposit, and that he had complied with said instructions.

The Secretary read a communication from the secretary of the A.K.C. advising that the Vice-President and Secretary of the American Kennel Club would be in San Francisco on March 17th and 18th.

It was resolved that a meeting of the Committee be held on March 17th at the Palace Hotel at 1 p.m., and that Messrs. Hunnewell and Vredenburgh be invited to attend.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned to the date named.

ATTEST:  J. P. NORMAN

Secretary

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE: A.K.C.
Metropolis Bank, San Francisco, April 9, 1910.
Present:  H. H. Carlton, Chairman; J. P. Norman; W. W. Stettheimer; N. T. Messer.
Absent:  Dr. W. P. Burnham

The minutes of March 5th, March 17th, and March 19th were read and approved.

The Secretary read the following report:

SECRETARY’S REPORT
“Pacific Advisory Committee:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last meeting, two mail votes have been taken, as a result of which, a license has been granted to the SPOKANE KENNEL CLUB to hold a show on October 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 1910.

TACOMA KENNEL CLUB to hold a show on April 21, 22, 23, 1910.

and THE GOLDEN GATE KENNEL CLUB OF SAN FRANCISCO has been admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club.

In addition to the foregoing, I have approved dates for the following clubs:

PORTLAND KENNEL CLUB, April 27-30
GOLDEN DATE KENNEL CLUB, May 4, 5, 6, 7
SEATTLE KENNEL CLUB May 4, 5, 6

I lay before you the application of the SEATTLE DOG FANCIERS ASSOCIATION for license to change their name to SEATTLE KENNEL CLUB. Anticipating your favorite action in this matter, I have approved the dates for their show under the new name, and would like your confirmation of my action.

In accordance with your resolution, calling for papers in the matter of the cancellation of the win of the French Bulldog, Halcyon Alexandre, at the Oakland Show, I beg to lay them before you, with the advice that the said win has been restored by the A.K.C.
I have a communication from the Secretary of the A.K.C. directing me to return to the San Francisco on March 19th to cover the club’s 1910 dues. The money has been refused, as the San Francisco Kennel Club ceased to be a member of the A.K.C. on February 15th by reason of failure to pay the dues before that date. I have returned the said money order to Dr. L. W. Spriggs per registered letter.

(Signed) J. P. Norman
Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted.

It was moved that the application of the Seattle Dog Fanciers’ Association to change the name of the club to “Seattle Kennel Club” be approved, and that the action of the secretary of this Committee approving dates and premium list under that name be hereby confirmed. Seconded and carried.

In the matter of the cancellation of the win of the French Bulldog Halcyon Alexandre, now restored, it was resolved that the papers be ordered filed.

It was moved that copies of Mr. Stettheimer’s resolution, passed on March 19th, be sent to various clubs in this jurisdiction, asking for their approval.

After discussion of matters of general interest, the
meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

J. P. NORMAN,

Secretary

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE: A. K. C.

St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco, April 19, 1910

PRESENT:  W. W. Stettheimer; Nat. T. Messer; J. P. Norman;

ABSENT:  H. H. Carlton; Dr. W. P. Burnham.

It was resolved that the reading of the minutes of the previous meeting be postponed.

The Secretary presented an application for membership from the Ladies Kennel Club of California

On motion the application was approved.

The Secretary submitted a copy of a communication from the Oakland Kennel Club to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, purporting to be a protest against the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee, in granting dates for a show to the Golden Gate Kennel Club of San Francisco.

It was moved and seconded that the said communication to the American Kennel Club was contrary to the rule, that all communications from clubs in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee should be addressed to said Committee and that consequently the Board of Directors of
the American Kennel Club be requested to return to this Committee for consideration
and action, the said communication from the Oakland Kennel Club, and that the
secretary of this Committee be and is instructed to convey this resolution to the Board of
Directors of the American Kennel Club. CARRIED.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST: J. P. Norman,
Secretary

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE:
Auditorium, S. F. May 7th, 1910
PRESENT: H. H. Carlton, Chairman; J. P. Norman; W. P. Burnham; W. W. Stettheimer;
N. T. Messer.

Minutes of meetings, April 9th and 19th were read and approved.

It was resolved that the secretary read the replies from the clubs to the resolution
passed March 19th.
Clubs endorsing resolution: WESTERN FOX TERRIER BREEDERS;
UTAH KENNEL CLUB
PORTLAND KENNEL CLUB
GOLDEN GATE KENNEL CLUB
VENICE OF AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
SAN MATEO KENNEL CLUB
PASADENA KENNEL CLUB
SOUTHWESTERN KENNEL CLUB
SEATTLE KENNEL CLUB
SANTA CRUZ CO. KENNEL CLUB
LADIES KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF CAL.
HAWAIIAN KENNEL CLUB
CALIFORNIA AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB
Clubs adverse to Resolution: SAN JOSE KENNEL CLUB.

Total number of clubs endorsing---------------------------13
  “ “ “ “ adverse------------------------1
  “ “ “ “ not replying----------------------2

Moved that the original endorsements of above members of American Kennel Club be forwarded to the secretary thereof for the meeting on third Tuesday in May. Seconded and carried.

The application for membership of the California Airedale Terrier club was submitted to the Committee. The Secretary stated that the same was in conformity with the rules, and that the constitution of said club contained a clause that the club would adhere to the standard laid down by the Airedale Terrier Club of America.

It was resolved that the application be granted and that the California Airedale Terrier Club be elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary presented an application from S. A. Cummings for reinstatement to good standing. In view of the fact that the said Cummings had been disqualified for assault committed in the precincts of an American Kennel Club show and for the use of foul and indecent language threat, it was resolved that said application be rejected.
The secretary presented an application from Horace G. Lepman for reinstatement to good standing, Said Lepman had been disqualified after having been suspended pending return of the money, which had been paid after the expiration of the suspension.

The secretary stated that Lepman had wired him on March 18, asking for reinstatement and requesting a reply to Jefferson Hotel, St. Louis. The reply had been sent to the address mentioned, but the Telegraph Company had not been able to deliver it, as Lepman was not there. The American Kennel Club had consequently been called on to pay the charges on the telegram.

It was resolved that consideration of Lepman’s petition be postponed until the next meeting, and that the papers in the case be submitted for further consideration.

It was moved that it is the sense of this Committee that the “twenty-five mile” clause in Rule V of the Rules Governing Clubs Is not intended to apply to show-giving towns, where a new club takes the place of an old one, of which the membership has lapsed, and that the Secretary of this Committee is instructed to convey this interpretation to the Rules Committee of the American Kennel Club.
with the request that it pass on this and approve or disapprove of same. Seconded and carried.

It was resolved that the secretary be instructed to notify all members of the American Kennel Club in good standing in the jurisdiction of this Committee, that the semi-annual meeting of Club representatives will take place on Saturday, June 4th, at 1 p.m., at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco, and that all clubs are requested to send to the Secretary the names of the official that will represent them.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

J. P. Norman
Secretary

At this point Mr. H. H. Hunnewell resigned the chair to Mr. H. K. Bloodgood.

THE SECRETARY: The report of the Special Committee on Pacific Coast matters is printed in full in the Gazette of April 30th, and in my report to-day I state that I will read out personal impressions if this Board desires to hear them.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think inasmuch as Mr. Hunnewell and Mr. Vrendenburgh went out there it would be very interesting to hear what they have to say on the subject.

The Secretary then read the committee’s personal
impressions, as follows:

Gentlemen:

In our report we have stated what happened on the Coast. My personal deductions and opinions on the various matters discussed, as referred to in our report, are as follows:

To begin with, I wish to state that the general courtesy and hospitality we received was most complimentary and gratifying. Our representatives, the Pacific Advisory Committee, appeared to me to be a very intelligent, capable, honest and most conscientious body, doing their work with a thorough knowledge of the rules in an efficient manner. The support we received from those loyal to the American Kennel Club and the Pacific Advisory Committee, was sincere, strong and gratifying; but there are a number there, who, though loyal to the American Kennel Club, object to the Pacific Advisory Committee, as well as a very small number, who, I am afraid, would object to anything and everything which did not coincide with their own views.

In my opinion, the various troubles most apparent are largely due to the ignorance of our rules and to the rights they are entitled to and posses – a conduction most deplorable and which is responsible for the lack of
interest they take in keeping in touch with their delegates in New York and in directing them what to do; and, to a certain extent due to a strong personal element antagonistic to the Pacific Advisory Committee, principally as to its system of election, and, in a few instances, to its personnel – I will refer to this later.

The general feeling seemed to be not antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, believing, as they said, that they would get fair play from the home body. As to an antagonistic club being formed as a rival to the American Kennel Club, there was no real evidence of it, and, if such is the case, it is known to but very few. The abolishment of the Pacific Advisory Committee was never advocated, except that a few said that if things were to go on as they were at present, they would personally deal directly with No. 1 Liberty Street.

This brings us to the Pacific Advisory Committee referred to above. A large number of those whom we heard insist on a direct say in the election of their committee, a right to be represented, and to abolish of their committee, a right to be represented, and to abolish the system of self perpetuity, which they claim exists now. Some of them seem to feel that they cannot get a fair deal – why, I fail to see. No valid reasons are given for such a feeling, but a personal surmise only that such and such a
thing would happen, because they knew it would. We told them that they had a right to
their delegates in New York; to propose whom they wanted to the directors, who are
bound to listen to them – but they will not see it that way. The present system of electing
one man a year seems too slow for them; they want to elect a new committee
immediately – a fact we showed them was impossible without changing the Constitution,
and, undoubtedly, would be most inadvisable. The fact that they system they advocated
was tried years ago and failed, in my opinion has nothing to so with the case.
Conditions have undoubtedly changed, and I think they are entitled to a try with what, in
my mind, they have a right to have. I explained to them that it would be impossible for
us---anxious as we were to please them and help them along – to legislate differently for
one section of the country from that of any other, the best for all being our predominante
idea.

In view of these facts, I heartily support the resolutions and suggestions made by
the Pacific Advisory Committee, which give them a voice in selecting their nominees to
be presented to the directors for election, as I think they are distinctly entitled to a voice
in electing the body that governs them. As to the other causes, they were so little
referred to and so seldom mentioned in
their discussions, and, to my mind, impracticable and inadvisable for many reasons, that I do not recommend them.

Now I am coming to the personal element, which is the most difficult problem to deal with. There is no doubt that there are two factions at war; that, you can see for yourselves in the resolutions offered. The outside breeder and exhibitor is suffering thereby, being between them both and must put up with whatever happens. There is no doubt but that the suspension of Ellery, owing to the injunction, has brought matters to a head. I can say, without betraying any confidential conversation, that one element is dissatisfied with the suspension, owing to the fact that, as you all know, the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee did not answer the San Francisco Kennel Club’s letter as to a place and date of meeting. The injunction was made for the reason that they Pacific Advisory Committee could hold a meeting without representation, an entirely untenable position, as it was against the Rules of the American Kennel Club that such a thing could happen. Ignorance of the Rules is no excuse, and when one member of a corporation sues another or the whole corporation, they should at least know the Constitution and By-laws before doing so. The result is, that owing to the want of courtesy at most and an un-
intentional omission and nothing more, and for the reasons stated in their report on the part of the Pacific Advisory Committee, an unfortunately injunction is put on the whole American Kennel Club. This, in my opinion, is distinctly prejudicial to the interests of the American Kennel Club, in view of the fact that all the resources in the power of the members of the American Kennel Club were not exhausted before such action was taken. The error of the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, having no possible evil results to any one except the want of courtesy, was no excuse for such injunction, and is due to the want of the knowledge of the Rules, which would clearly have stated that their surmise was impossible.

It was clearly pointed out by me that the suspension was not instigated by the Pacific Advisory Committee; that it was done by our President with the full knowledge and consent of the 1st and 2nd Vice Presidents and in accordance with our rules; and that we could make no exception in this case, but that every one under the same circumstances would have been treated likewise. I also stated that the parties concerned were given the opportunity by the President of the American Kennel Club to fulfill certain conditions or take the consequences; the conditions to date have not been complied with. The Board of Directors held the question in abeyance and took no action
on our President’s proclamation.

In this case, gentlemen, I do not care to recommend anything other than what I have said, but I have simply stated the facts as they have impressed me. As to those conditions not pertaining to this personal matter, I am heartily in support of giving the Coast the right to elect their own governing body, and think that in the near future there will be perfect harmony between us all, for there certainly should be, as there are many enthusiastic breeders there who should be encouraged and helped to the best of our power. The best results will be obtained through a perfect harmony and feeling that all, whomsoever the may be, are treated alike; no favoritism nor antagonism. I close my personal opinions with thanks to one and all on the Coast for the courtesy and hospitality I received, and wish I could have the pleasure of going out there yearly to talk over dog matters.

Respectfully submitted,

H. H. Hunnewell,
Member of Committee

I concur,

A. P. Vredenburgh
MR. WILLETS: I move that the Board of Directors concur in the remarks of Mr. Hunnewell as read.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that a vote of thanks of the club be tendered to Messrs. Hunnewell and Vredenburgh, composing this committee on Pacific Coasts matters, for their interest and efforts and the very thorough manner in which they have handled this matter.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: Mr. Hunnewell called attention to the error in Mr. Cutler's resolution passed at the February meeting. As I took part in that discussion, I would like to state that it is my distinct recollection, I would like to state that it is my distinct recollection that the purpose of the resolution was to authorize the Vice-President and Secretary to investigate the general condition of affairs on the Pacific Coasts within the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

DR. DeMUND: I move to rescind my resolution passed at the December meeting in regard to Mr. William Ellery and the San Francisco Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

DR. DeMUND: I move that on the submission by the officers of the late San Francisco Kennel Club of their case to the Pacific Advisory Committee, and on the pay-
ment by them of $250, being one-half of the cost of legal services in connection with the injunction suit brought by them against this club, their suspension be removed.

Motion seconded and carried unanimously.

DR. DeMUND: I move that on the submission by Mr. William Ellery of his case to the Pacific Advisory Committee, and on the payment by him of $250, being one-half of the costs of legal expense in connection with the injunction suit brought by him against this club, his suspension be removed.

Motion seconded and carried unanimously.

At this point Mr. H. H. Hunnewell resumes the chair.

THE SECRETARY: I submit a protest from the Oakland Kennel Club.

MR. MOORE: I move that it be referred to the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I also submit a communication from the Bulldog Breeders’ Association, requesting the use of the American Kennel Club rules.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I move that inasmuch as the Bulldog Club of America has objected to the Bulldog Breeders’ Association holding a licensed show, and as our rules are copyrighted, we could not allow them to use our
rules, but should said association hold a show which did not conflict with any of our rules, the same would not be considered as antagonistic to the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill for disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee from February 9th to May 7th inclusive, amounting to $64.85, was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: I now present the resignation of Mr. James Mortimer as chairman of the Special Committee on Express matters.

It was moved and seconded that said resignation be accepted.

Carried.

Mr. R. P. Keasbey was nominated to fill the vacancy caused by Mr. Mortimer’s resignation.

Nomination seconded and carried.

The Secretary read a communication from Dr. J. E. DeMund, asking that a full investigation be made in connection with an article published in the Canadian Kennel Gazette and copies in a local dog paper, reflecting on his integrity.

On motion the matter was referred to the Trial Board for investigation.

THE SECRETARY: I submit an appeal by Thornton O.
Crown against the Washington Kennel Club. He made a protest because his dog did not get a certain prize, and the protest was not sustained. Therefore he has appealed to the American Kennel Club.

On motion the matter was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

On motion, the matters of Miss Lillian Webster vs. Senn Senn Kennls and John Newman vs. Miss Ella Van Benthuyzen were referred to the New York Trial Board.

On motion the charges filed by A. J. S. Edwards vs. F. S. Sternberg were referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

On motion the charges preferred by S. C. Spaudling and Mrs. L. L. Banham vs. Louisville Poultry & Pet Stock Association for unpaid prizes were referred to the New York Trial Board.

THE SECRETARY: The La Crosse Kennel Club held a license show in September and October of last year, and has failed to send to this club the listing fees, amounting to $22.00, although due notice has been given it of such indebtedness.

MR. WILLETS: I move that said club be given thirty days in which to pay this amount, in default of which they be disqualified.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion Mrs. E. W. Throckmorton, Mr. F> H. Farwell and Dr. L. Knox were tendered a vote of thanks for
their kindness in presenting the club with framed pictures and medallion.

MR. WILLETS: As Chairman of the Special Committee appointed in connection with the bull of Mr. Auerbach for legal services, I desire to report that I submitted the list given me by Mr. Vredenburgh to several lawyers, and I have also discussed the matter with Mr. George Taylor, and it seemed to be the consensus of opinion that if we paid $750 for the services that were rendered we would be quite right in the matter. The Committee suggests that this proposition be submitted to Mr. Belmont for his consideration. We also request to be discharged.

MR. APPLETON: I move that the report of the Committee be accepted and the committee discharged, and that the matter be submitted to Mr. Belmont.

Motion seconded and carried.

DR. DeMUND: On behalf of the Rules Committee, I present the following resolution: That the Secretary be authorized to refer cases for trial direct to the Trial Boards at his discretion, thereby avoiding the delay necessitated by waiting to have these cases first reported at the regular meetings of the Directors.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secy
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL
CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY,
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 1910.

Meeting ordered by President Belmont under Article VI, Third paragraph, Section 3.

President August Belmont in the Chair.

Present: Dwight Moore
         B. S. Smith
         Howard Willets
         George Greer
         A. G. Hooley
         August Belmont
         Singleton Van Schaick
         John E. De Mund
         Rowland P. Keasbey
         Andrew Albright, Jr.
         Hollis H. Hunnewell
         William G. Rockefeller
         Winthrop Rutherfurd

On motion the Board went into executive session.

THE CHAIRMAN: I thought it my duty to call you together as soon as possible to
acquaint you with a situa-
tion with which you are probably already familiar to a very great extent, and to discuss
with you what steps should be taken with regard to certain events happening on the
Pacific Coast at the present time, as to whether the officers or any of your committee
would be justified in defending suits brought against the Club, and take any action that
you might desire for the protection of the Association. I do not know that that will be
necessary. As you are aware, there has long been in the West at one time and another
a desire to establish an independent organization. Once before it took form because we
were administering kennel affairs, and it was not possible for us as a club to give close
consideration to all the minor details of kennel matters in the West, and to meet that
situation a committee of this club was appointed to look after Pacific Coast kennel
affairs. It appears that that committee, in the exercise of its functions, disciplined a
certain man for irregularities. Their action in that respect was under our rules, and so far
as I can judge, correctly taken, but the discipline happened to fall upon a man who had
not only a very large interest in dogs, but a very great following. Since his suspension
he has gathered together all the dog men that he could, and by supplying plenty of
means and having a press agency, he
has made certain headway. Just what that headway is it is difficult to judge. A regular association has been formed which is called the National Dog Breeders Association, and it was organized on the lines of the American Kennel Club itself, having an association membership and a representation just as we have. It is practically modeled upon our club. The men composing this organization desire to substitute their association for the American Kennel Club. They give their associate members a right to a stud book. There is a letter here from the Secretary which shows you what they propose to do. The National Breeders Dog Association was organized under date of August 30th, 1910. You see of course that they mean business. What they desire to do is to control certain newspapers, when I say “they”, it is really boiled down to one man, who supplies the money. This man is William Ellery. It is a of course very easy to excite prejudice against the American Kennel Club through the newspapers just as it is against any established body, no matter what it is. The man that has been disciplined can always claim he was treated unfairly, because that is very natural. It is exactly like horse racing. There is no possible way of reaching those men under the law. You can send them to jail if they do anything wrong or
steal, or anything of that kind, but the only thing that can be done by an association is to deprive them of the privilege of that association and everything connected with it, and the moment you do that you naturally destroy the value of a man’s property. That is practically the reason why the punishment that we impose is so drastic in the American Kennel Club. If it is only the individual that you punish, if you only deprive him of a privilege, very often he will run the risk, but if you go on beyond that and make the property which he has used in connection with this fraud valueless, then you really reach him. It has been found for the last one hundred years on the turf that you never could do anything to a man unless you destroyed his property. It is so in England and it is so here. The moment a man commits a fraud you not only deprive him of the privilege of joining in the sport, but you rule that every single horse that he owns is deprived of the right to race, and what we do here is to deprive a man also of his right of being recognized at shows, and that affects the value of his property, and on the strength of that you know they have raised a great hue and cry against us because this man Ellery was disciplined. He had at the time dogs that represented a value of at least $10,000, and the moment their wins could not
be recognized of course it hurt them commercially, and under that plea he begun suits---
not himself, but through somebody back of him—a suit under the Sherman act, by which
he claims that the value of his property has been destroyed in restraint of trade. I have
not yet had an opportunity to consult about it, but it is so nearly on the line of what we
have on the turf that I think there is no merit in it at all. You do not interfere with his
business so far as that is concerned, and there is no monopoly. You are not doing it for
that purpose of preventing any competition, or anything like that. You are simply taking
away from him a privilege as a member or an organization. I do not think that amounts
to anything. The serious part of it is the advertisement it gives him under the Sherman
act. It is the kind of talk that carried very far just at the present time, and people not
familiar with the facts may think that we have attempted to exclude from our field people
who want to compete with us. That seems to be the idea, but this agitation was really
brought about by a disgruntled man who has the means of creating a counter movement
b which he can control the question of discipline, and knowing that his action in
connection with that is such that he can very likely get reinstated. I don't know
whether he can or whether he cannot. That is a matter for the committee; they are to be judge of the character of his offense. But he came up and appealed, didn’t he?

THE SECRETARY: No, he never appealed; he went to court instead of appealing. He had a right to appeal to the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIRMAN: The serious feature of it is, what might it ultimately grow into. A number of years ago when the field trials were going on Mr. Frank Hitchcock, who was one of our active members, and Mr. Duryea and Mr. Terry---there were a great many field trial owners that were personally connected with the American Kennel Club, and we never took any active steps to concern ourselves with regard to it. They wanted us to take hold of it, and I remember that at that time there was a feeling that it would complicate matters. I think it is only the Beagle Club that has brought us into active touch. That is probably the most energetic organization we have had, but as the American Field was more in touch with the field of sport than dog shows, they were able to start the nucleus of what has now grown into a pretty substantial register of dogs, known as the Field Stud Book.

THE SECRETARY: It has 22,000 registered up to date.

THE CHAIRMAN: And I should think they register about
40 per cent of the number we register. That is in Chicago, an active center, and has taken a hostile attitude—and by the way I hope that you will treat the matters that we are discussing here as strictly in confidence, because if you have really a desire to win this fight, if it comes to that, or take any course of procedure, nothing can hurt you so much as a disclosure of any of your intentions with it than to have this question come out in the newspapers, because you would be abused, and to make a proper defence you would want to be so organized as to be effective to do this or that until we know precisely what we are going to do. The Field Stud Book is an entity and can be made the nucleus of serious opposition. I suppose you are all familiar with the history of the club in Boston which was at one time our competitor, and which has a register here with the Forest & Stream, and we were registered with the American Field, and both those registers belonged to independent journals, and if they had taken the register of the Forest & Stream as the official register and started with the prestige of the Western Kennel Club they probably would have that standing and might have been capable of conducting dog affairs and outlived us if we had not taken the Field Stud Book, the nucleus of the whole thing; and then by negotiations we
get together, and the dog club believed in personal representation; they were satisfied in being represented as associate members and delegates, and their entity was preserved, and the danger of a competing register was done away with by their exercising as option which they then had on the register, and the register was suppressed and the American Kennel Club stud book made the official stud book, and as you remember, registration was made compulsory. Every man that owned a dog has to register in the American Kennel Club stud book or he could not show his dog; he was disqualified if he did not do that, and the moment that occurred you built up what you have got to-day. There is in existence another one which if we should stop to-day, or lose ground, could gradually grow to be considerable record and might become sufficiently important to be recognized by the United States Government. We have that advantage at the present time. That is what I want to impress upon you, not to do anything because I am going to tell you what my views are. I do not know whether they will hold; I do not know that I am as familiar with these affairs as I ought to be and you have to be, but I have received a report that they had secured an option on that American Field Stud Book and were going to take it and make it their official organ
and start our with that. It is a question whether we ought not to get together with all the
dog interests and combine those registers, and let those people proceed in their own
way as they are doing now. They can never succeed with a stud book beginning at the
present moment; starting with 500 registrations and then publishing every year 600 or
700, it would be lost in the shuffle in time. That, gentlemen, is one of the subjects that
we must consider. The other matter is the question of defending any suits or helping
them in the West to do so. Those are the steps before you. Mr. Vredenburgh is very
familiar with the matter. He can answer any questions. If any one desires to make any
statement, now is the time to make it. Mr. Hunnewell and Mr. Vredenburgh were out
West recently trying to ascertain the cause of the dissatisfaction existing there, and they
can tell you a great deal more than I can.

MR. HUNNEWELL: At the last meeting I think I told all I know about it. I gave
my impressions and a statement of facts which appeared in the Gazette, and also my
personal impression of the whole matter, and I am perfectly willing to answer any
questions. All those gentlemen whose names you mentioned as being in connection with
this National Breeders Dog Association were talked
THE CHAIRMAN: Were they our friends?

MR. HUNNEWELL: No, not those men you have mentioned there. They acted as our friends at the time, but we were a little skeptical about it then. George Gray was Secretary of Oakland Kennel Club, and all those people are Ellery men. When I was out there I thought the row was a little bit more serious than Mr. Vredenburgh did. I thought there was a certain kind of dissatisfaction apart from Ellery, but I don’t think they knew what it was. They were just dissatisfied on general principles as is vey apt to be the case against the East.

THE CHAIRMAN: They had their own committee.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Their contention was that they did not have any voice in electing the committee, which was a very good point. It was a compulsory committee, and it all came down to the question of Mr. Norman. It is personal antagonism against Mr. Norman, because he was put in a position he had not right to be put in. He had to act just as our Secretary does, and then he had to vote, and they came to the conclusion that he penalized somebody for an offence there—he was on a committee of five and had one vote, and that they all did as he said, and that position they took was a perfectly justifiable one.
We answered that by telling them that they could elect their own committee; that under our constitution they could suggest names to the directors and the directors would elect them; but that was not enough. In fact, if we granted everything they asked for it would not have been sufficient unless you exonerated Ellery.

THE CHAIRMAN: So far as Ellery went, but how about the rest of the Coast?

MR. HUNNEWELL: The rest of the Coast was perfectly satisfied, but Ellery has a big following. There is great antagonism to the American Kennel Club—not against the club, but against the Pacific Advisory Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: On what grounds do they want Ellery reinstated, simply because he is Ellery?

MR. HUNNEWELL: Because he is Ellery. They never gave me any other reason. I said that it did not make any difference, that if Mr. Belmont or I, President and Vice-President, did what Mr. Ellery did we would be brought up before the Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: What was the exact reason for this disqualification?

MR. HUNNEWELL: We did not disqualify him; we suspended him. He is only suspended. As I told them out there, Mr. Bloodgood and I were here at the time when this
thing came up, and Mr. Vredenburgh asked me to do it, and I said no, it was the President’s duty to do that, and Mr. Bloodgood and I sent word to you that if you did that we would back you up. That was the time they said the thing has been misquoted to you. I told them out there that was no such thing, that Mr. Bloodgood and I sent word to you that if you did that we would back you up, and Mr. DeMund was there at the time.

DR. DEMUND: That action was sustained afterward by the entire Board of Directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: That was under the rules a duty which I had to perform in order that the discipline shall reach a man immediately, which action shall be sustained or otherwise by the Board.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It was unanimously sustained at the next meeting of the Board of Directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: Their own Board out there, what have they got to do with it now?

MR. HUNNEWELL: As the matter stands at present Ellery has to come up for trial. Then he has the right to appeal.

THE CHAIRMAN: He must come up before them?

MR. HUNNEWELL: First.

THE CHAIRMAN: That he would not do?
MR. HUNNEWELL: That he would not do. He absolutely refused to do it. I had a talk with Ellery.

THE CHAIRMAN: Were there not some changes with regard to his registrations?

MR. VREDENBURG: He made twelve entries, and they were published in the catalogue, and then when the official catalogue came to us there were ten interlineations, three of which was the changing of the name of the sire, and the other nine was the changing of the dates of birth.

MR. HUNNEWELL: But he has the privilege of explaining why he did that. No fraud has been proved against him at all. Mr. Ellery told me that Norman has no business to bring him up and accuse him, because he knew his records were burned. I asked him what that had to do with it. He said he has no business to of that because he knew his (Ellery's) records were burned. He told me that Norman had no business to bring him up before the committee because he knew that his records were burned. I told him that all he had to do was to go up and explain it.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Were they home bred dogs?

MR. VREDENBURGH: Home bred dogs.

MR. HUNNEWELL: There were twelve changes in all. We did not go into that matter out there to any extent because the matter had not breed tried, and we did not
want them to get the impression that we were going over the heads of the Pacific Advisory Committee. We could not go over their heads.

THE CHAIRMAN: The only thing with regard to that is that they cannot compel an inquiry. They are prepared to listen to anything he has to say, but he will not go before them.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Yes, but this contention is that where the Pacific Advisory Committee erred gravely was that they sent him first a letter saying that there was going to be a meeting and he was to appear, but they did not send him the time of the meeting of the place. Then Ellery—not Ellery, but it is all the same thing—sent a registered letter to the Secretary asking where this meeting was to take place, and Norman paid no attention to it, and therefore Ellery’s contention is that he could have been tried without having an opportunity to be heard. I saw Ellery’s lawyer, who was there at the same time with Ellery, that is Cook—and he was a Judge out there—I said: “Mr. Cook, when you sue a corporation or one of the members of a corporation, don’t you read the constitution of the corporation first?” He said yes. I said: “Why didn’t you read the constitution of the American Kennel Club first?” He said: “I took Mr. Ellery’s word for
it.” I then showed him the rule by which no man can be disqualified without proper notice. He said: “That is not proper notice,” but Cook acknowledged that Ellery had not anything to stand on in that regard.

DR. DE MUND: Don’t they dispute that any registered letter was ever received?
MR. VREDENBURGH: No. Mr. Ellery stated he sent a registered letter. They dispute that, that he did not---

MR. HUNNEWELL: Well, it is the same thing. I mean the two things are so closely connected that one goes with the other. Ellery is one individual and the Ellery Club is the other.

THE CHAIRMAN: When is that committee on the Coast appointed?
MR. HUNNEWELL: They are appointed here by us every year. Norman is now a paid secretary with no vote.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yet they do not want him?
MR. HUNNEWELL: I do not know what the row is about bow, but it is the same thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: Ellery, under the present conditions, is out?
MR. HUNNEWELL: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: And he will not submit to that?
MR. HUNNEWELL: He will not submit to that, because
he claims a change of venue. He says they are prejudiced against him and he wants to bring the matter before a fair board.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Have you an explanation at all about those two matters?

MR. HUNNEWELL: I would not discuss them with him.

MR. VREDENBURGH: They told us they were printer’s errors.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It was none of my business. It was the Pacific Advisory Committee’s errors. It was none of my business to find out what his errors were.

THE CHAIRMAN: Two months ago I rather got the impression from you that this movement was not a serious one.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I did not think it was.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would liked to have known that, because if it was not serious it seemed to me that with all the disadvantages they were laboring under there, without organization, and if they were really satisfied with the committee, this movement would just go the course of most of them, but if they are dissatisfied with the committee, and if it can be said that the committee did not give them a fair show, it sounds a little queer that they should have notified them to appear before them without stating a place or time, and then that Ellery
sent them a registered letter, and even then they did not notify him.

MR. HUNNEWELL: But that first notice was exactly the same as our Secretary sends out to-day. He says simply: You will have to appear, but he does not state any date. His claim is, if I did wrong, they did wrong, and they ought to call it off.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is not quite the same kind of a wrong.

MR. HUNNEWELL: His point is that he does not want to be tried before that Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: So far as the Committee is concerned, it seems to me that is our creation, and if we are conscious of the fact that they failed to answer a demand for a hearing, that they should at least take steps to either bring it about or else refer the matter to us. If they have that letter on their files, and they choose to hand it over to us, I do not know of anything that would prevent us from proceeding in the matter.

MR. HUNNEWELL: There is no reason at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: We might perfectly well give them a hint that unless they did that we cannot have our interests jeopardized by reason of their doing something that is
unfair.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Of course their reason is a very good one. They did not want to interfere with the Oakland show, but then they should have told him so. Their reason is a perfectly good one, if they had a reason for not having the meeting; they wanted the Oakland show over with before they had the meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: It does not sound to me like a very good argument. If a man is under ban of any kind he certainly has a right to demand relief without any reference—

MR. HUNNEWELL: I am merely stating what they told me.

MR. MOORE: When Mr. Ellery said that he had done wrong and we had done wrong, and to call it quits, didn’t he refer to his action in going to court rather than to any other offence?

MR. HUNNEWELL: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Not that he had done wrong in making a change in his entries, which he does not admit at all, but that he had gone at once to the California courts to override us.

MR. HUNNEWELL: He want to the California courts because he did not want to go before that committee, and
because they did not answer his letter, and he wanted to come before us. There is not a single one of those men that would not be perfectly willing to come up before the Board of Directors.

MR. MOORE: Did not Mr. Belmont suspend him for his court action?
MR. HUNNEWELL: Yes.
MR. MOORE: Not for his offence?
MR. HUNNEWELL: No.
MR. VREDENBURGH: He did not exhaust his remedies.

THE CHAIRMAN: If any one does anything against our interest we have a right to suspend him.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It is more than a right; it is mandatory.

THE CHAIRMAN: I did not know enough about it to believe I was justified in acting under that rule.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I quote the rule to you and cited your opinion.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The only question for you to decided is whether it was an act prejudicial to the interest of the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIRMAN: I want to see Mr. Wickersham as to whether that rule under which I acted is a rule that would be sustained in court, or whether it was an unjust-
tifiable rule to have. We had a litigation here one in this State that established in this State a very important point. We published in our Gazette as a matter of information to our members the disqualification of a man, and that remained there in print, and we were taken into court individually and collectively. We won that suite. They went to the Court of Appeals with it, and that court said we had a perfect right to do that. We ceased to do it because we thought after all that it would work an injustice some times, just as in this case, all the men were perfectly fair. The men who conducted the show had not paid the premiums, and we disqualified under the rules everybody connected with the show, all the officers and everybody. That was decided in our favor, and in this case we will have to defend a suit as to whether we can properly do this.

MR. WILLETS: Do you know on what ground they complain that we are a monopoly under the Sherman Act?

THE CHAIRMAN: We have the complaint here. The Secretary can read it to you.

(Complaint read.)

MR. MOORE: This was in connection with going to law; this is conduct prejudicial to the best interest of dogs.
MR. VREDENBURGH: Any matter prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, and he specified in his suspension that the rules gave him certain redress that he had no exhausted the remedies the rule provided for, and had gone to court without doing anything, and therefore his act was prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club.

MR. MOORE: No dog show rule covers this case of Mr. Belmont’s. It must be some other rule.

MR. HUNNEWELL (pointing): There is the rule.

MR. MOORE: You raised the question of employing counsel to defend suit. There is a resolution passed either by the directors or by the club shortly after our reconstruction, which prohibited us from employing counsel except under certain conditions. Do you recall it?

MR. VREDENBURGH: To originate anything, but not to defend.

MR. MOORE: There is such a resolution, and we ought to have it.

THE CHAIRMAN: That you should have, but it is the object of this meeting that if anything of that kind was done, it should be done by the Board.

MR. MOORE: I am not sure but what the Board has been prohibited by the delegates; I may be wrong.
DR. DE MUND: It must be authorized by the Board of Directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: In other words, that Mr. Vredenburgh or any of the offices shall not be able to employ counsel in connection with anything having to do with the club without authority.

MR. MOORE: I am offering this as a caution. I think we ought to have the resolution.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is what I understand to have been done.

THE SECRETARY: Suit was commenced against us, and we have got to defend it.

MR. MOORE: There is no question about that. When does the time to answer expire?

MR. VREDENBURGH: Two of them have ten days and the rest of them have thirty days. I telegraphed them to retain the same counsel who defended our suit before.

THE CHAIRMAN: In their complaint they do not touch upon my action at all?

THE SECRETARY: No, not at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: It may be that they are acting under the stress of a treatment which may not be wholly justified; I don’t know. Certainly if we are in the wrong, we ought to correct that.
MR. MOORE: Wouldn’t it be possible have that suit transferred to the court here where the head office of the club is?

THE CHAIRMAN: I don’t know.

MR. MOORE: And would that take it out of the hands of the Pacific Advisory Committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not know. The suit is against remember exactly the details. The way it was presented to me was that here was a man that had committee an error and he would not do anything. This man committed an error and he would not do anything. This man demanded through a registered letter the time and place for him to be heard, to which he received no reply, and then he sat still. That is new to me.

THE SECRETARY: No, he did not sit still.

THE CHAIRMAN: He sat still in this respect, it was up to our committee after that to give him a chance. He had gone through the West, and if he desired to out loose and make that a reason, he had something to go on. If he is able to tell that story and to say that these people were laying for him and trying to get his scalp and tricked him, and having no word to appear, and making it appear that no time and place was mentioned, whereas it was his business to see to a matter of that kind---
THE SECRETARY: He had the right to appeal to the American Kennel Club.
THE CHAIRMAN: If I heard such a story as that I would say it was pretty tough.
THE SECRETARY: He did not appeal to the American Kennel Club.
THE CHAIRMAN: I want the contents of his registered letter to the committee.
THE SECRETARY: Asking for a time and place. They never answered that letter, and when we were out there and when they made that report the acknowledged that they did not answer the letter and said it was an error, but no account of that they could not hold a meeting to try the account of that they could not hold a meeting to try the man, and never attempted to hold a meeting to try the man.

MR. HUNNEWELL: They could not hold a meeting after the injunction.
THE SECRETARY: I know that, but they never tried to.
THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me that we need some light about it. Here is a committee that we created, and of course we are going to be responsible for it to a certain degree.

MR. MOORE: We whitewashed them and told them everything they did was perfectly proper.
THE CHAIRMAN: Were you made award of the fact that they had not answered that letter?

MR. MOORE: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Why did you go over it without any—

MR. MOORE: I don’t know, unless we are all dummies, and one followed the other.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Ellery did not write the letter, but the San Francisco Kennel Club did.

MR. RUTHERFURD: My recollection of his explanation is that it was one man, not a committee. The Secretary had received word that he had asked them to do the proper thing, and the others had not any knowledge of it.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The Pacific Advisory Committee did not answer the letter because they did not have a meeting, and Norman did not take it upon himself to answer the letter.

MR. MOORE: I would like to ask if Mr. Norman is not 95 per cent of the whole committee.

THE SECRETARY: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: As between men, I do not think you would for a moment hesitate to say that a committee that had a secretary, paid or otherwise, and that secretary took it upon himself to do something, and the committee in your opinion did the baby act and say it was not their
fault, but it was his—

THE SECRETARY: They did not do that. They acknowledge the mistake.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The fact is the committee never had a meeting, and that letter did not come up.

THE CHAIRMAN: But a big stir like this, they must have known it, and we are in a false position if he ever made any attempt to have a hearing at any time.

MR. MOORE: I do not see that there was any necessity for the Pacific Advisory Committee rushing in pell mell to make possible a complication that could have been avoided, and we could have taken the action, or they could after deliberation, but I do not see any reason why we should jump into the pit ahead of the time. The Pacific Advisory Committee is so very anxious to do something that they invariably do the wrong thing.

THE SECRETARY: This rule 2 was absolutely mandatory.

MR. MOORE: Yes, but there is a time and place for everything. You don't have to do it on the minute. You might as well wait a week and think it over and see whether it is advisable.

THE SECRETARY: They did, and whether it was advisable or not, they must do it.
MR. MOORE: Yes, and now we are in the courts.

THE SECRETARY: If we disqualified the man they could sue us.

MR. MOORE: I am perfectly willing, if we did it, to stand by it, but they are subservient to this club, and they should have in mind the welfare, not of the Pacific Advisory Committee, but the welfare of the American Kennel Club.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Did you and Mr. Hunnewell come to any conclusion which would point to a way of getting out of this thing—in other words, if the right things were done, that this matter could entirely be smoothed over?

THE SECRETARY: The conclusion that I reached was this, that the only thing that could be done would be for us to say just exactly what Mr. Ellery’s counsel said in court, that if we would withdraw the accusation that there was anything apparently wrong in that catalogue, and we state that there was anything apparently wrong in that catalogue, and we state that there was no basis for our suspicion, then they would listen to us.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Was there an accusation made?

THE SECRETARY: There was a question asked to investigate, for him to show cause why these things were done.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Was it put in an offensive way? It strikes me as a very simple thing that a man might get
a name—it is frequently done in the New York shows and Boston shows. I have seen errors in the catalogues.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Very well, explain.

MR. RUTHERFURD: But a man is not accused.

MR. HUNNEWELL: He is not accused.

THE SECRETARY: He was asked to come before this Committee and explain this matter.

MR. RUTHERFURD: The way I should think it should be done would be to state that certain things were charged against a man and then to ask him to kindly state his side of the case.

MR. WILLETS: He said he would explain and he wants to know the time and place appointed for him to do so, and he gets no answer.

THE SECRETARY: He simply went to court and charged that the Committee restrained him from taking any action whatever, but the committee never attempted to take any action, and he knew that he sent this registered letter to the Committee, and he never appeals to the American Kennel Club. If we did not pay any attention to it then he could have gone to the courts.

MR. WILLETS: There was nothing to appeal except to complain that the Pacific Advisory Committee had not answered a letter which he had written to them.
THE CHAIRMAN: Let me tell you how I feel. It is a small quarrel but it seems to me that our skirts ought to be absolutely clean. There was an effort made for a hearing to this extent that there was a registered letter sent, and the Secretary, who is not a member of the Committee, did not bring it before the meeting.

MR. HUNNEWELL: He was a member at the time.

THE CHAIRMAN: He did not bring it before the Committee, and some say that it was because there has been no meeting. Nevertheless, he did not bring it before the meeting, and that effort was made, and it was so important that they should have answered it. I think they ought to have met and taken action, and we stand, so far as that matter is concerned, wrong no matter how you look at it.

DR. DE MUND: How soon after the letter was received was this injunction suit brought? That restrained them from all action.

THE CHAIRMAN: I know that, but then it was some time.

THE SECRETARY: But, Mr. Chairman, this suit to-day has nothing to do with the Ellery matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: But don’t you see the whole thing is Ellery. What do you suppose he is doing? He may have consulted counsel and found that the rule under which
I disqualified them would not be sustained, and then he goes further and the whole thing is published, and it makes a fine show, and we are put in the position of being a trust doing some one an injustice. The matter is pretty well managed, and behind it all is may be that he is going to give us enough trouble until we come to him.

DR. DEMUND: There was a resolution passed which I offered at a meeting after your suspension allowing Ellery, on the withdrawal of his suit and submitted to the Pacific Advisory Committee, and also directing them to give him notice of the time and place of the meeting, that this suspension would be removed. He could have accepted that and it would have wiped out the whole thing; but he would not do that.

THE CHAIRMAN: They would act on the record of that letter.

THE SECRETARY: Yes

DR. DE MUND: If that resolution were read I think it would clear the whole matter.

(The Chairman then read Rule 21).

MR. HUNNEWELL: That covers your action, because the new rules were not then in force; they did not come into effect until the following February.

MR. WILLETTS: Was Mr. Ellery advised of that resolu-
tion of Dr. De Mund’s? Do you know whether a copy of that was sent to him?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Did you sent it to him personally?

THE SECRETARY: I sent it to him personally, and one of the officers of the San Francisco Kennel Club that Mr. Belmont suspended, which matter was afterward taken out of Mr. Belmont’s hands, and he was suspended by the American Kennel Club—the Secretary was in to see me about two weeks ago, and he told me he was now perfectly ready to come before the Pacific Advisory Committee and make any explanation in his power if we would waive the payment of the $250 which was assessed against the San Francisco Kennel Club to reimburse the Committee, and for their legal expenses only.

MR. WILLETS: That was included in Dr. De Mund’s resolution.

THE SECRETARY: Yes. There is an action now to come up at a regular meeting the Pacific Advisory Committee recommending some such course as that. While this suspended man was here he told me: “I will not show under the National Dog Breeders Association and I cannot show under the American Kennel Club.” He said: “I would like to show under the American Kennel Club.” At the
same time he was one of the incorporators of this National Dog Breeders Association. I shows him the marked catalogue where the dispute occurred, and I said: “This is in the handwriting of Mr. Clute, who certified to the correctness of the catalogue.” He said: You are mistaken; that is in the handwriting of Mr. Ellery himself; I know his handwriting very well.” Now, Mr. Ellery stated to Mr. Hunnewell and to me that the only way that you could convict a man of any misconduct in connection with entries at a show was in misconduct in connection with entries at a show was in connection with the original entry blank, and he told me that if he owned a club, and he made out his entry blank fraudulently for some ulterior purpose, and saw that entry on the copy of the printer for the catalogue, and then deliberately tore up his entry blank, we could not convict him. That is the stand Mr. Ellery took.

MR. HUNNEWELL: There is no question about it that Ellery is as crooked as a ram’s horn. There is no reason at all why the San Francisco Kennel Club should not be allowed to do what the Committee suggests. That eliminates the registered letter because the registered letter was written by the San Francisco Kennel Club. Even there Ellery is back of them, because it has been spilt into two pieces. Ellery did not write the letter. To be sure, he
owned the other club, and if the letter was going to be received it was going to his office, but he did not write the letter. He is back of all this, and these people are as innocent as you and I are.

THE SECRETARY: We have to act accordingly to our rules, but there is no reason why we should not rescind some of them under certain circumstances. There is no reason why we should not allow the San Francisco Kennel Club to go before the Pacific Advisory Committee, remit the fine and let the think stay on Ellery.

DR. DE MUND: The San Francisco Kennel Club is out of existence.

THE SECRETARY: There are only two members, the Vice-President and the Secretary. The Secretary told me that the Vice-President was merely a figurehead.

MR. HUNNEWELL: That lets them in individually. The San Francisco Kennel Club is out of existence.

THE CHAIRMAN: Why?

MR. HUNNEWELL: Because they did not pay their dues. Their contention was that they were suspended and therefore they could not pay any dues, which is perfectly ridiculous. It was while this trouble was on that they
were suspended. They ceased to pay their dues because their contention was that they were suspended and therefore could not pay any dues, and while we were out there they sent their dues in, and they were refused because they had ceased on the first of February to be members.

THE CHAIRMAN: What has become of the organization?

MR. HUNNEWELL: They have ceased. They never were any organization; they were about the whole club.

THE SECRETARY: Ellery paid $600 for it, and there was $800 in the treasury. There were two men left in the old club. He put in two or three men as figureheads.

MR. HUNNEWELL: They say, if we will remit this fine of $250 they will go up and explain to the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: That has nothing to do with this trouble. It has nothing to do with this present suit.

THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me we have got two things to do. One is to decided intelligently as to what action we want to take with regard to these suits. They have got to be disposed of in some way so far as they touch upon us, and it seems to me the shortest cut would be to appoint a committee to take that matter up and make recommendation at the regular meeting of the Board. It seems a little bit hazy how we should be represented; we are
involved in the suit, and to what extent—it is primarily directed against the Pacific Advisory Committee.

THE SECRETARY: And the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIRMAN: They ought to be told to defend it. If you want to competent committee to take the matter up and make a recommendation either at a special meeting, if it is preferred, or a the regular meeting which will be held within twelve days, I think it would be proper that I should appoint one. The only reason I called this meeting was that I am going up to Canada next week, and I expect to be gone until the first of October. I injured myself quite seriously in June, and I want to get well before I go to work again; but I will do anything I can in the meanwhile. I do not want to recommend to you—I have only been a short time in the city. I am going away next Tuesday morning. That is one thing, and then the other one, which I think you ought to have a committee on also, because there is a lot of confusion about it, as to what course we had better take; also in regard to this matter, whether we can get those of our friends to stand by us rather than following Ellery on a misrepresentation of the facts.

MR. MOORE: Do you suppose it would be proper to have somebody address the Pacific Advisory Committee in-
dividually and ask them if under certain circumstances they would waive jurisdiction?

THE CHAIRMAN: That might be a good idea, but I think the committee ought to take it up. That committee ought to know the facts, what occurred between the parties, what answer has been made, what action has the Pacific Advisory Committee taken; all that is part of this matter, and see whether they have any connection with this case. What would induce them to come back. We ought to try to take the ground from under Mr. Ellery’s feet, if possible, so that he cannot complain that whatever we have done was not fair, and to see that he has no ground to stand upon, and after having established really what we are going to do, make everybody acquainted, even to the extent of having to send somebody out there. If they are going to establish a big organization, they are on feelings of hostility, and we are going to have endless trouble. We are going to be obliged to act first against this club and then against that club, and the first thing you know it may reach Chicago, and there your rules are and there you begin to disqualify all around, and your suits are pending in court. What are you going to do? You go on suspending, and they bring suits, and the Lord only knows where we are coming out. I suggest two sepa-
rate committees be appointed to make a report at the next meeting as to the exact conditions of affairs.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Would you have time to serve on those two committee, with counsel?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I will make time. I will read over what there is and make it a point to be present.

THE SECRETARY: There is another very important matter that ought to be taken in hand to-day, and that is to see if we can secure the possession of the Field Stud Book.

THE CHAIRMAN: I have mentioned that before. I think it very important the we should go to work and get the whole organization together, if possible. We cannot do it unless we raise a fund on the part of the members of the American Kennel Club. We do not want to take the money out of our treasury.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I am informed that we can get it for $15,000.

THE CHAIRMAN: I am willing to subscribe $1,00 towards it, if that is the price. I don’t know whether the Kennel Club could afford to pay a certain amount towards it. I stand ready at any time to give a thousand dollars towards it. I think that would remove the danger of opposition in the future very much.
THE SECRETARY: That includes just the stud book. We would have to recognize the 22,000 that they have registered, and there should be a condition made that the American Field would not attempt to publish another such book.

THE CHAIRMAN: It takes a long time to build a stud book up. If it is deemed advisable, that is my position. I will help to that extent. I think we ought not to have any trouble to raise the money if necessary. When it comes time to arrange as to counsel we will see what we can do about that. I am willing to subscribe something to that end; but I repeat my suggestion to you that you take these two subjects up separately, one the question of the litigation, what should be done in connection with that, and what recommendation be made to the full Board with regard to it.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Will these matters come up before the delegates or the directors?

THE SECRETARY: The directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: The other matter is the question of the Ellery case, to see what we can do to bring about some kind of harmony, and if possible get him under the jurisdiction of the club.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I should like to offer a motion that those two committees-why not make it one committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, a committee of five?

MR. MOORE: A committee of five is hard to get to-
MR. HUNNEWELL: I amend that motion by authorizing an appropriation of $200 to be paid counsel.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think you had better make it a committee of five, and if you wish me to be Chairman to say that I be simply ex officio chairman. The motion is that the Chair appoint a committee of five, of which he shall be ex officio chairman, to take up the subject of this litigation and the Ellery matter, and an appropriation of $200, and to report at a regular meeting of the Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question of a stud book is certainly a delicate one.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I have a suggestion to make about that. Mr. Chetwood Smith knows more about this than anybody else, and he has been talking about this, and I do not know why it would not be a good idea to make him a committee of one on that matter.

The Chairman appointed Messrs. Keasbey, Hooley, Hunnewell and Willets as said committee of five.

Mr. Willets moved that the recommendation of the Pacific Advisory Committee as follows: "Moved by W. W. Stettheimer, seconded by Nat. T. Messer, that it is the
sense of this committee to request the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club to remove from their minutes the two resolutions (adopted at a time when the Pacific Advisory Committee through court proceedings was enjoined from action) referring to the San Francisco Kennel Club case and to the William Ellery case, and to certify said resolutions to the Pacific Advisory Committee to be spread on the records of the latter. The purpose of this request is to place the Pacific Advisory Committee in apposition to act on the said two resolutions in such a manner as existing conditions may demand,” be approved.

Motion seconded and carried.

Adjourned.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20TH, 1910.

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell in the chair/

Present:
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
Singleton Van Schaick
John E. De Mund
Rowland P. Keasbey
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
E. W. Dwight
Samuel R. Cutler
Chetwood Smith
R. Stewart Edson
M. M. Palmer
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Winthrop Rutherfurd  
W. L. Barclay  
George Lauder, Jr.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting of the Board of Directors was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in The Gazette.

THE CHAIRMAN: At a special meeting of the Board of Directors held on September 8th, 1910, President August Belmont outlined the situation in connection with the Pacific Coast matter, and at that same meeting another question of importance was informally considered, of which a record was made. If you desire those minutes to be read the secretary will read them. However, as most of the directors were present at that meeting it may not be considered necessary to read what was said at that special meeting.

MR. RUTHERFURD: I move that the reading of those minutes be dispensed with.

Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary read his report, as follows:

New York, Sept. 20, 1910

To the Board of Directors,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this my quarterly report. The reports of the Standing Committee are as
follows:


Pacific Advisory Committee May 24, June 4, August 6, August 20, September 1, 1910.

The report of August 6, 1910, has been published in the Gazette of August 31st.


Unless you desire the above reports read at this meeting, I will adopt the usual course, and make them part of the Minutes of this meeting, and publish same in the next number of the Gazette.

The License Committee has approved of Twenty-four Licenses since our regular meeting of May 17, 1910.

In compliance with instructions received from this board at its May meeting, satisfactory settlement was made of Mr. J. S. Auerbach’s bill for legal services for the amount suggested by your Special Committee.

The Lexington Kennel Club held a show under License in April, 1910. They failed to file a printed catalogue, in place of which they sent a written list of awards. This we declined to accept and returned same. General Roger D. Williams caused a catalogue to be printed especially for filing with the A. K. C. at considerable ex-
pense. This however took time, so that the penalty amounted to $101. I would suggest that this penalty be remitted or if not expedient to do that, that the date deposit of $25.00 be forfeited in lieu of penalty.

The La Crosse Kennel Club held a Licensed Show October 8, 1909, and we were not able to collect from said club $22.00 in listing fees until July 11, 1910. Therefore assessed a penalty of $276.00. The President of said club has filed an appeal setting forth that the fault was entirely that of the secretary, and the other offers has no knowledge whatever that the secretary had failed to pay the listing fees.

The Schuylkill Valley Kennel Club held a Licensed Show December 30-31, 1910, charges were filed against said club for non-payment of certain prizes. This matter was referred by you to the Philadelphia Trial Board, and said Board disqualified the club and its officers. I made a number of ineffectual attempts to obtain word from the secretary, and the President, and it is only at this late day, that the secretary has found time to write asking for the return of their date deposit. This I have declined to honor. I have an appeal from the Vice President of said club for re-instatement, in which he makes rather damaging statements against the other officers of the club.
The Rules Governing Dog Shows, Rule III, Section C states “Specialty Club Shows confined to the breed represented by their club shall be rated at four points, specialty clubs not active members may be licensed by the written consent of the parent club and will be rated at two points. The Chicago Collie Club was elected an active member September 21, 1909, having filed with its application the written consent of the Collie Club of America. The question arises whether said club shall be entitled to four points or two points? I am personally familiar with the facts brought about by correspondence with several of the Specialty Clubs, particularly that of the Boston Terrier Club, to the effect that objections were made by the parent clubs to consent to the admission of another club for the same breed, upon the ground that the second club would rate precisely the same as the parent club. These objections have apparently been removed by the rule above quoted. While I am inclined to believe that the letter of the Rule does not apply to a subsidiary club, that has become an active member, yet I am not certain as to the intent of the Rule, and therefore ask for a decision at this meeting.

The American Dachshund Club has filed an application for permission to change the official title of said club to that of the Dachshund Club of America. I would
recommend that permission be granted accordingly.

At the annual meeting the appointment of the Pacific advisory Committee was laid over. Since that time Mr. Norman resigned and became a paid secretary, and Mr. Norman J. Stewart has been nominated to fill the vacancy. As the members of this Committee have been holding over, I am of the opinion that some action should be taken toward their appointment in accordance with their agreement between their committee and this Board.

W. E. Chute of San Francisco has begun a suit against the American Kennel Club. W. W. Stettheimer, and N. T. Messer, members of the P. A. C. Norman J. Stewart and J. P. Norman, neither one of whom are members of that Committee. The complaint is that the American Kennel Club has formed a conspiracy in the restraint of trade, damages are asked to the extent of fifteen hundred dollars and additional five hundred to pay his counsel. The matter has been placed in the hands of competent attorneys, to defend the suit.

At a special meeting of this board held in executive session on September 8, the request of the P. A. C. at its meeting held August 20th, was unanimously granted. The minutes of said meeting are specified above.

A statement by the A. K. C. has been prepared at
the request of the officers, galley proofs of which have been handed or mailed to every
director in order that proper action on the subject may be taken at this meeting.

The following cases will be referred to the Trial Board sitting in New York.

Appeal of Joseph M. Dickson against suspension Essex K.C.

Mrs. M. Thorp vs. Essex K. C. In this case I am advised by the Club that the
check has been mailed on August 4th. I have however been unable to have this
confirmed.

Mrs. J. D. Vhay vs. J. W. Burrell. This case has been finally settle and plaintiff
asks to have same dismissed and deposit returned to her.

J. H. Blackwood vs. Westminster K. C. In this case the special claimed was
donated by the Scottish Collie Club, through W> C. Hunter, Secy. Collie Club of
America. Hunter has been disqualified for his refusal to turn over to the Collie Club of
America the books, records and paper, which were in his custody while secretary.

James Watson vs. Staten Island K. C. Requesting an investigation of statements
made by the Staten Island K. C. reflecting on Watson in one Sporting Papers.

J. E. DeMund and A. Albright, Jr. vs. Dr. A. Boultee.
Jennie A. Holeschuh vs. Mrs. J. K. Fox.

The following cases will be referred to the Trial Board sitting in Philadelphia:

Mrs. Harry S. Peaster vs. The York K. C.

Howe Totten vs. Duquesne K. C.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

New York, September 20, 1910.

To the Board of Directors

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial statement from

January 1st to date:

Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1910, - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 19,318.64
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date, - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 18,858.27
Total 38,176.91
Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 18,117.32
Balance on hand 20,059.59

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
THE CHAIRMAN: Five names have been submitted to me as candidates for election as members of the Pacific Advisory Committee. They are: H. J. Stewart, Dr. W. P. Burnham, N. T. Messr, W. W. Stettheimer and E. H. Carlton. Mr. Stewart is nominated in place of Mr. Norman, who has resigned.

DR. DeMUND: I move the nomination of those gentlemen named as members of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

On motion nominations were closed.
Nominations seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: To conform to the agreement with the Pacific Advisory Committee necessitating a change in our rules I offer the following amendment to the constitution:

Article VI, Sec. 5, after the word “elect,” strike out “and thereafter the Board shall annually elect.” add the following paragraph: “At the annual meeting in 1911 or any adjournment thereof, the Directors shall elect five members, one of whom shall hold office until the annual meeting in 1912, one of whom shall hold office until 1913, one of whom shall hold office until 1914, one of whom shall hold office until 1915, and one of whom shall hold office until 1916, and at each annual meeting thereafter the Directors shall elect one member to hold office for five years.”

This matter was referred to the Rules Committee,
which reported in favor of the amendment.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

New York, July 27, 1910

Meeting of the Stud Book Committee was held at the office of the American Kennel Club, July 27, 1910.

Present: James W. Appleton, Chairman
Singleton Van Schaick

The Committee recommend that under the head of Foreign Dogs and the Doberman Pinscher be recognized and the general term “Pinscher” be omitted.

Complaint of W. H. Harris re the Bull Terrier, “Chess”.

The Committee recommend the cancellation of registration No. 138840, also registration No. 109578, and notify Mrs. Leonhart, who registered “Chess”.

Signed, J. W. Appleton, Chairman
S. Van Schaick

On motion the same was accepted and the recommendations therein contained were adopted.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the minutes of four meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that they be spread upon the minutes of this meeting.
Motion seconded and carried.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

In re the application of Horace G. Lepman for reinstatement:
On motion it was resolved to refer said application with accompanying affidavits submitted.

In re the entry of William Ellery’s Collie, Valverde Virgil, at the San Francisco and Oakland shows 1908, with different dates of birth, referred to this Committee by the American Kennel Club for investigation and action:
On motion it was resolved that consideration of said case be postponed and the papers filed with the papers in the other cases pending against William Ellery.

In re the protest of the Oakland Kennel Club to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, against the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee for granting dates for a show to the Golden Gate Kennel Club with-
out the consent of the Oakland Kennel Club.

On motion it was resolved that said protest as referred to this Committee by the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, be and is overruled, and that the attention of the Oakland Kennel Club be called to the fact that the interpretation by the Pacific Advisory Committee of Rule V of the rules governing clubs, was confirmed by the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club at the meeting held May 17th, 1910.

The secretary read the minutes of the meeting of the American Kennel Club and of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club held May 17th, 1910.

On motion it was resolved that the election of a paid secretary be postponed until the called semi-annual meeting of June 4, 1910.

On motion the meeting adjourned

Attest: J. P. Norman,
Secretary.

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held June 4, 1910, St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco
H. H. Carlton in the Chair.
The minutes of the previous meeting were read and
approved.

The Chair stated that it was in order to comply with the resolution of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club directing this Committee to appoint a paid secretary.

It was moved and seconded that Mr. J. P. Norman be elected as secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Carried.

Mr. Norman thanked the Committee for the election and tendered his resignation which was accepted.

On motion the Committee proceeded to consider the appeal of Horace G. Lepman for reinstatement to good standing, Dr. Burnham taking no part in the proceedings on account of having been plaintiff in the case.

It was moved and seconded that on careful comparison of the new correspondence of Horace G. Lepman, and of the old correspondence of 1905 on file, the Committee finds that the allegations stated in the two sets, to be at variance.

The facts of the original case appear to be as follows:

That Dr. Burnham paid $135.00 on April 8th, 1905, for the Collie bitch Brookmere Kelpie, which was to be shipped
to him for the San Francisco show in May, 1905. As the bitch was not shipped, and a number of demands for return of the money ignored, as late as July, 1905, H. G. Lepman on November 1st, 1905, was suspended by this Committee for sixty days, to be disqualified if the money was not returned within that time. Disqualification automatically took effect December 31, 1905, because the money had not been received within the time limit.

On review of the case, the Committee finds that the money was returned after expiration of time limit only by radon of the stress of the American Kennel Club’s action, and that there is therefore no reason for removal of the disqualification.

Appeal therefore denied.

On motion the Committee adjourned, for the semi-annual convention of coast delegates.

Attest: J. P. Norman,
Secretary

OFFICE OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

Berkeley, Cal., June 5, 1910.

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
American Kennel Club, New York

Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that at the meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee held on the 4th inst. in conformity with the resolution of the Board of Directors of
the American Kennel Club authorizing the appointment of a paid secretary, the undersigned was elected to that position. In consequence of my election to the position of secretary, I tendered my resignation as a member, which was accepted by the Committee.

At the convention of the delegates of the Pacific Coast Clubs, held subsequently to the said meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, the vacancy caused by my resignation was announced and on motion of the delegates of the Western Fox Terrier Breeders Association, Mr. Norman J. Stewart was selected by the unanimous vote of the delegates and the Pacific Advisory Committee, to be nominated to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club for election to the vacancy on the Committee caused by the resignation of Mr. J. P. Norman.

I have the honor to remain, dear sir,

Yours very truly,

J. P. Norman

Sect'y Pacific Advisory Committee A. K. C.
ADJOURNED MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held June 4, 1910, St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco.

Present: H. H. Carlton, Dr. W. P. Burnham, W. W. Stettheimer, N. T. Messer

H. H. Carlton in the Chair.

The Chair stated that the business of the meeting was to act on the recommendation by the Coast Delegates of Mr. Norman J. Stewart, to fill the vacancy on the Committee caused by the resignation of Mr. J. P. Norman.

On motion the recommendation was approved, and it was resolved that the name of Mr. Norman J. Stewart to be submitted to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club for election, to fill the vacancy on this Committee.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman
Secretary

MEETING OF DELEGATES OF PACIFIC COAST CLUBS
AND
PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held June 4, 1910, at St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco
Present: The Pacific Advisory Committee, Mrs. J. J. Matheson, Delegate, Ladies Kennel Association of California, Mr. Robert Wallace, Delegate, Golden Gate Kennel Club, Mr. H. Hastings, Delegate,
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association.

H. H. Carlton in the Chair.

The Chairman informed the meeting that there was a vacancy in the Pacific Advisory Committee caused by the resignation of Mr. J. P. Norman, and that it would be in order for the delegates assembled to select a nominee for recommendation to the Pacific Advisory Committee for nomination to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club. Mr. Wallace nominated Mr. Norman J. Stewart, Mr. Hastings seconded the nominations.

The nomination was carried unanimously.

The Chair then called for discussion on matters of general interest to the Coast. There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST: J. P. Norman
Secretary

The Committee appointed by President Belmont at the special meeting of the Board of Directors held on September 8th, 1910, submitted its report.

On motion the disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee amounting to $49.95 was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: In the matter of the Lexington Kennel Club the held their initial show under license of April
15, 1910, and their secretary sent us a hand written list of awards of the winners and the reserved winners. The rule states that they must file here a printed catalogue, and after some correspondence General Roger Williams said that he would fix the matter up for us, and he had an entire catalogue printed with all the entries in regular form for the purpose of filing here, which undoubtedly entailed considerable expense, but before that time arrived one hundred and one days had elapsed after the seven days subsequent to the closing of the show, which meant a penalty of $101.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that the fine be remitted and that their deposit be returned.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The La Crosse Kennel Club collected for the American Kennel Club $22 listing fees. They held their show on October 8th, 1909. I found it impossible to collection that money until July 11th, 1910, just two hundred and seventy-six days after the show was held. The American Kennel Club disqualified the La Crosse Kennel Club and its officers. I have an appeal here from the President of the club asking for reinstatement, and stating that they might desire to hold another show.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I move in this case that the fine be remitted, and that all of the officers be rein-
stated with the exception of the secretary of the club, and that hereafter in the event that
the listing fees are not paid when due the secretary of the American Kennel Club give
notice to that effect to all of the officers of the show giving club.

MR. WILMERDING: I second the motion.

MR. PALMER: I understand that the motion is that the secretary of this club is to
notify all the officers of the club in case the fees are not paid. It seems to me that that is
giving a lot of trouble to this organization. Heretofore we have always dealt only with the
secretary of the show giving club. I do not think it concerns us what they do.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think it is just exactly that attitude which has hurt the
American Kennel Club tremendously with the general public, the fact that we depend
upon one person and pay no attention to any one else. I think if we were a little more
liberal in our actions we would save enough in lawyers’ fees more than sufficient to pay
that additional expense.

JUDGE CUTLER: It seems to me that it is bad policy for us to disqualify
members of a club without giving them notice. I think case illustrates the principle very
well. It seems to me that it is an erroneous idea, which has been followed for some time,
in case the secre-
tary of a club is negligent as to sending in fees to disqualify the whole club.

THE SECRETARY: Only the officers.

JUDGE CUTLER: Well, the officers. Every one familiar with local clubs knows that the Vice President and Directors of the clubs have very little to do with the practical working of clubs. If the secretary neglects his duty it is not proper for this club to disqualify the officers for the secretary’s negligence. I concur in what Mr. Smith has said in this regard. I think it is sportsmanlike, and I think, to put it a little stronger, it is common decency to notify a man that he is likely to be disqualified because of somebody’s neglect before he wakes up and finds that he has been disqualified without knowing anything about it. I am very glad this motion has come up. I would like to add to the motion that our secretary not only gives notice to the officers as to unpaid listing fees, but in connection with fees of all kinds.

THE SECRETARY: It is not only the fees, but sometimes it is the catalogue that is not sent in at the proper time.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I accept Judge Cutler’s amendment and shall ask that the motion read in this way: If the listing fees or other requirements are not com-
plied with, which under our rules would call for disqualification, the officers of the club be notified.

THE CHAIRMAN: The secretary suggests that the notice goes to the secretary because he is the only person to whom it can be sent. If it is sent to the other officers it would only mean two or three extra letters, and I agree with Mr. Smith and with Judge Cutler that such a course of action would put us on a broader basis.

MR. HOOLEY: I think Mr. Wilmerding can testify in connection with several clubs that we have been connected with, in one case particularly, where the secretary was very lax, and because of the negligence of the secretary of the club nearly everything was put up to Mr. Wilmerding, who was President, to straighten out. This club would have been disqualified if Mr. Wilmerding had not attended to the matter. I think those of us who have been up against it in that respect, as most of us have, can realize the necessity of the passage of Judge Cutler’s motion. I think it is absolutely essential that the officers of the club be notified. Suppose the secretary dies, who would you notify?

Motion as amended carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Schuylkill Valley Kennel Club defaulted in the payment of prizes, and the matter was referred by the directors to the Philadelphia Trial
Board. That Board met and gave the defaulting club an opportunity to appear, and finally disqualified them. I submit an appeal from one of the officers of what club.

On motion that matter was referred back to the Trial Board.

THE SECRETARY: The Chicago Collie Club became a member of the American Kennel Club in 1909. They obtained the written consent of the Collie Club of America to be admitted. They were admitted. They are now holding a specialty show, and they claimed that they should received four points for that. I do not know but what they should receive four points, but at the same time I have in mind the correspondence that I had for two or three years with several of the Specialty Clubs in which objection to the admission of a club of the same breed as their own, or the licensing of a club showing dogs of the same breed as their own, was that the rating would be the same as the parent club received, which is supposed to hold the larger show. I see by the rules that specialty clubs not active members may be licensed and only get two points. The question is, what was the intent of the makers of that rule, whether if a club became a member
with the consent of the parent club it would receive the same rating as the parent club or not.

MR. MOORE: That was certainly the intent.

MR. PALMER: Heretofore all clubs that have come in and been recognized as members of the American Kennel Club have been rated at four points?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. MOORE: It was understood that in several breeds, if the club holding the show was a member, it got four points.

THE SECRETARY: That is the rule then that members, are under the circumstances, entitled to four points?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

On motion the application of the American Dachshund Club to change its name to the Dachshund Club of America was granted.

THE CHAIRMAN: In the minds of a great many people, both on the coast and in the east, there seems to be the idea that the American Kennel Club is a close organization, and that we stand so much on our dignity that we do not answer criticism and vilification, and what therefore our accusers will continue in that way so long as they can keep out of the courts on actions brought for libel. These criticisms have been particularly hard on the committee that was appointed to do to the Pacific
coast in order to ascertain the statue of matters there, and I think for one if I am not a
grafter and making my living out of the American Kennel Club, it is about time for this
club to say so. I do not know any reason why we should stand so much on our dignity
that we should not explain everything we do, especially in view of the fact that what we
do here is done for the best interests of all concerned, and if we are wrong we want to
know it. When we are required to act under our rules in a manner which may seem
drastic to some people, I see no reason why such action should not be explained in the
Gazette. At my instigation and with Mr. Belmont’s consent I gave the facts in connection
with our controversy with certain people on the Pacific coast to the Secretary and asked
him to have an explanatory article written for publication, and this article, the proofs of
which have been sent to you, is the result. A few corrections have been made since you
have received it, but I do not know whether you approve of its publication. I do not know
whether you approve of this article, but all I can say is that personally I am a little but
sick of being called a member of a gang and all that sort of thing. I think it is up to the
directors to put Mr. Vredenburgh and myself right before the public. I cannot see any
harm in it. We can always go into executive
session when we wish to, but what we do publicly I think we ought to be able to say why we do it.

DR. DeMUND: I move that that article, of which copies have been sent to every director, be published in the Gazette and sent to all of the dog papers in the country with the request that they publish it.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I second the motion.

MR. MOORE: I went over that article pretty carefully, and I think it is going to do a lot more harm than good.

MR. BROOKS: In what way is it going to do any harm?

MR. MOORE: I think it is only furnishing ammunition to the other side to keep up this same sort of vilification. We had the same trouble before in connection with the various reports that were given out in answer to what the Ashland House Committee said against us. That policy did us more harm than if we had kept silent.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think the American Kennel Club by standing on its dignity and not replying to these libels, has gone too far. It has been carried to the point where people think that everything we do here is done behind closed doors. I think the more publicity we can give to every one of our acts the better it will be for us in the end.

MR. MOORE: Have you seen that article?
MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: Yes.

MR. MOORE: That it asks a question and then answers it?

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: Yes, but I think if the American Kennel Club hereafter took the attitude of giving publicity to all their acts it would greatly improve its standing among dog breeders and exhibitors.

MR. MOORE: Do you think that the majority in the East believe all this stuff that has been published?

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: It is not in the East that we need fear any trouble; it is from the West and Middle West.

JUDGE CUTLER: I am laboring under somewhat of a disadvantage in this matter. I did read that article very carefully as I came over on the train, and I think we ought to discrimination between publicity and explanation. The American Kennel Club has not yet got to the point, and its officers have not got to the point, and its committee which was sent out to the coast has not got to the point where they are bound to make any explanation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Have you seem some of the articles that have been published?

JUDGE CUTLER: Yes, I have seen some of them which have attempted to interpret my own language and tell what I meant by a motion which I made to send out to the coast
two gentlemen whom I believe do not need to publish any explanation of why they went out there, and I don't believe that I should add anything to the meaning of the motion which gave that committee its power by publishing any explanation of what my motion meant. I have not any very definite opinion on this matter, but I think we ought to take the attitude that we are not bound to explain our actions to our enemies any way; our friends won't ask us to explain.

DR. DWIGHT: As the younger of the directors and as one elected for one year, I should have a great deal of hesitance in breaking into a discussion which it seems to me is extremely important, but I do feel very strongly about it, and I believe in what Mr. Cutler said so deeply that I feel that it would not be doing justice to myself by remaining silent on a matter of this kind. I read that article with care. I read it over three times, with the idea in mind as to how it would affect one who did not know the details. I am so unfortunate as to be a director who does not know the details, not having been present at the meeting. My opinion as to its effect on the American Kennel Club is of no value. My opinion as to its effect on the public without knowledge, I think is of a little value. It seems to me that it is always a mistake to say too much. I
think what you do not say you do not have to take back. I think it is always a mistake to
do too much explaining. It is a very easy matter to hire a clever attorney or a clever
newspaper writer who can beat us at their own particular game. I do not believe in
going into a game when we are going to get licked by doing so. I think we would lose a
great deal more than we would gain by so long an article, by so much explanation or so
much criticism of individuals. I do not believe that you have reached the stage where it
is necessary at all. It seems to me it is always unwise to explain by throwing mud at
another fellow even if he is very guilty. We may have a little of it stick on our own hands.
It has been said that we need not fear the East. We need not fear the East. You have
got the East now, but you do not want to do anything that is unnecessarily going to bring
about criticism in your own strong holds.

MR. WILMERDING: I coincide with these gentlemen who have just spoken on
this matter. I think the article is much too lengthy, and I also think that there are a
number of paragraphs which should be eliminated. I have made some notes which I
have not at hand now connected with it, but I did show them to Mr. Vredenburgh. I think
if an article should go out, it should be much
shorter than the present one, and there seems to be a little flavor there of mud slinging. The phase that seems to predominate throughout is the one of explanation, which, as the gentlemen here said a moment ago, seems to me quite unnecessary.

DR. DE MUND: I think that the American Kennel Club should define its attitude. We have been attacked be almost every paper, and no answer has been made in any way. I do not believe in an explanation, but I do believe in a statement of the facts of the case. Reference has been made to the Ashland House Committee. If a statement of the case has been made at that time before publicity has been given, there never would have been an Ashland House Committee; and I think we are in the same condition now, that we should state our attitude plainly and give the dog people of the country an opportunity of knowing all of the facts of the controversy, and not be confined to only one side of it.

MR. MOORE: In my remarks I did not intend to convey the idea that I am opposed to the publication of a statement of the facts. I think that is an excellent idea. I was talking of that article as it was submitted to the directors. I don’t think that any of the members of the Publication Committee would oppose a statement of fact. They did not seem to be particularly opposed to
that particular article.

MR. HOOLEY: I think some of these gentlemen perhaps did not read the Kennel World and some of the California papers and therefore they do not know how the matter is treated out there. Page after page in every issue has been published. Added to that there has been editorial criticism, and to all this there has been no reply, and we stand held up and nailed up to the cross and nothing done. It seems to me something ought to be done and done at once, particularly as they go into details so strongly on the coast.

MR. B. S. SMITH: There is one paper here in the East which has taken a very bitter attitude toward the American Kennel Club from the start of this controversy, and I understand that that very paper to-day has suspended hostilities in a measure owing to the fact that they are waiting to hear the side of the American Kennel Club before they write any more against it. That editor has had ammunition from the other side. He knows nothing whatever about what reason was for doing these things, so it seems to me that is one very good argument in favor of publishing some sort of an explanation in regard to our attitude in this matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: It does not seem to me that this is so
much a matter of explanation as it is of saying what we had done; not why we did it, but what we have done.

DR. DWIGHT: I think I agree and I think every gentleman in this room will agree that a careful statement of the facts might be very advisable. My own feeling is that personalities, calling names, is always unwise. That article, while it may not have been read by everybody in this room, has been read by a larger percentage of the people in this room than by the outside world, and what we are doing now is to lay ourselves open to criticism by everybody. Having that article published in every paper it becomes our official answer. We call names, we accuse them of various things which it would be difficult to prove. We use very many adjectives, which is usually unwise, and it does not seem to me a dignified article as representing a moral, judicial position. I think such an article as that would give the other side a lot of ammunition. I think if any article goes out it should be a very careful and a very question, leaving something to the imagination. Let the other side see the strong point. It seems to me it is very injudicious in its present form.
MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I would like to second the motion that has been put in connection with this matter.

MR. KEASBEY: On reading that article it struck me as being very good, and I like it all except galley No. 5, I think that should be eliminated.

JUDGE CUTLER: I read a copy of the Kennel World, and I would consider that I had cheapened myself, I should consider that the officers and the members of this club would cheapen themselves by answering any of the stud that was published in that paper. There is only one way to deal with a statement of that kind, either ignore it, or kick it downstairs. You cannot enter into a discussion with a man that will put out that kind of stuff. He will beat you. We send two gentlemen out to the Coast to talk with those people to find out what the facts are, to find what are their grievances and bring them back to us and report. If that sheet is indicative of their attitude, are we going into a diplomatic correspondence with a gang of muckers. We do not want to talk with them. We do not want to deal with that kind of people. What better could we have done than to have sent out Vice-President and our Secretary out there with sole power to investigate and ascertain what their griev-
ances were and bring them back to us so that we could act intelligently upon them, and yet note the kind of treatment which they received from their local dog press, treatment which I would not want to receive from the lowest sheet that I can think of. We do not want to explain anything in answer to that kind of talk. We cannot do it and maintain our dignity as gentlemen. That is my position in reference to it.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think it is with the idea of giving the general press a true statement of the facts.

JUDGE CUTLER: We will only dignify that paper and will not help ourselves any.

MR. PALMER: I agree thoroughly with all that Judge has said, and it seems to me that the Kennel World and the other papers of that kind have about exhausted all their ammunition. I don't know what more they can say. So far as denying these statements is concerned, it is merely giving them an opportunity to keep up the fight, and we will have it all over again. We certainly would lose our dignity.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: It seems to me when two members and officers of the American Kennel Club are sent out as a committee to the Pacific Coast, and statements are there made against them which appear in nearly all of the papers,
it is up to the American Kennel Club to make some statement to the general press.

JUDGE CUTLER: All you can make is a statement that you cannot publish and would not publish.

MR. RUTHERFURD: I simply want to say that I think Judge Cutler has stated the matter very well, and I think the simplest way would be to have the case put in a very few words, that the Vice-President and Secretary had been sent out there, for what purpose, that they had conferences with the dog world, and that articles have been written by the kennel press—I have not read them—they seem to be pretty long—should not even be alluded to, and give that statement to whatever dog papers or daily papers you see fit.

DR. DE MUND: It seems to me that some of the gentlemen lose sight of the fact that it is not the kennel press alone, but it has been every paper that has misquoted or told half of the truth as to the condition of affairs, and we have no way of answering it or putting it before them exactly according to the facts. A great many papers have quoted part of the truth about a matter and have worked it around to the disadvantage of the American Kennel Club, and it has not been answered in any way.
THE SECRETARY: Mr. Chairman, you will bear me out that at your suggestion you collated from the press diverse criticisms, misrepresentations and misstatements that had been made form time to time for a number of months regarding the attitude of the American Kennel Club. This statement is not drawn from the imagination at all. It is absolutely a statement of the facts a statement that can be proven every word. The mud slinging that is in this statement you will find is quotation from different papers. There is only one statement that I can recall that was not susceptible of proof, and it is only within the last three weeks that I have found that out—I was told by one of the parties implicated. We say on galley No. 5 that Mr. Chute is personally responsible for altering the entries on the San Francisco catalogue. That is not so, and I therefore struck it out. Dr. Spriggs, who was the Secretary of the San Francisco Club, of which Mr. Ellery was the President, called at this office about three weeks ago, and after talking the matter over with him I showed him the bound catalogue that was sent here as the official catalogue of the San Francisco Show. He examined the ten interlineations, and he told me positively that they were in the handwriting of Mr. Ellery himself and not in the handwriting of Mr. Chute; that he was very familiar.
with Mr. Ellery’s handwriting, and this was his handwriting. Just as soon as he stated that I struck out these three lines, and we make no allusion whatever as to who made the interlineations. Outside of that I do not know of a single thing in this statement that is not an actual fact.

MR. RUTHERFURD: It may be that a great many of them are facts to the best of your knowledge later on that they are not true, just as in this article were published to correct it. I think something very short, very much to the point and carefully gotten up should be sent not only to the dog papers, but to the daily press. I think that would cover the ground.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you mean referring to the San Francisco matter in a few words and all these matters should be referred to in a very few words?

MR. RUTHERFURD: Yes, very carefully and well done, so that nobody could prick it with a pin in any way, opening no argument, asking no questions, answering no questions, simply stating the facts as to what the trouble was out there.

THE CHAIRMAN: You cannot mention that trouble out
there without mentioning names.

MR. RUTHERFURD: I did not say not to mention any names. I do not say that it would be necessary to withhold all names, but I should not make any strictures on those names.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is your suggestion as to what should be done with this article?

MR. RUTHERFURD: I should have the Chair appoint a committee called a Publication Committee; a committee that the Chair considers competent to prepare such an article.

MR. HOOLEY: Would the Vice-President and the Secretary consider it in anywise discourteous if it should be declared as the sense of this meeting that it would be injudicious to publish the article proposed?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, not at all.

MR. HOOLEY: Why could not that article be boiled down as suggested by Judge Cutler and Mr. Rutherfurd and other gentlemen here, and made to fit the case, as the judgment of the majority seems to be, with the understanding that no discourtesy was intended to anybody.

JUDGE CUTLER: I move as an amendment to the motion that the Chair appoint a committee of three to take this matter under consideration with power to prepare and pub-
lish such statement as they deem proper.

MR. HOOLEY: As I understand it, using this article as a basis?

JUDGE CUTLER: Yes.

Amendment seconded.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think if you take that article and boil it down and make a dignified article of it, they will print it, but nobody will read it. The people in the Kennel World are used to plain facts.

DR. DWIGHT: There is just one more word I desire to say, and that is, the statement has been made, or the question has been asked, if Mr. Hunnewell and Mr. Vredenburgh would consider that discourteous. It seems to me it should be impressed upon these officers and upon the public, not only the kennel public, but the greater public, that instead of suggesting any discourtesy to our officers, them, and especially in all of their actions in connection with this mission on which they went. I think that should be made very plain; that the Board of Directors are backing them just as hard as we can.

DR. DE MUND: I accept the amendment.

THE SECRETARY: While you are discussing this matter, I might say that Mr. Hunnewell stated in an interview he
had with a newspaper man that he found fault with me as the Secretary of the American Kennel Club because I did not answer these misstatements and the different statements made in the newspapers, and quoted the act of the Secretary of the English Kennel Club, that he did it. If I live until the 6th of next May I will have been Secretary of this club for twenty-five years, and up to this time I never have gone into print to contradict anything. I did not think it was my business; if any contradiction was to be made, it should come officially from the club, and not from me. If the Board of Directors think that it comes within my duty when I see any newspaper criticism or misrepresentation to answer it, I should like to know that from the Board of Directors. Personally I should never do it unless I was so directed.

    MR. RUTHERFURD: My idea is that this article should come from the Directors.
    THE CHAIRMAN: It was written using the facts as they were given by me.
    MR. RUTHERFURD: I think that would be a fatal mistake for us to publish an unofficial statement.
    THE CHAIRMAN: It is not signed.
THE SECRETARY: I was given these facts that Mr. Hunnewell culled from the newspaper and the minutes of our different meetings simply to use and whip them into shape. There were no views of his own that he advanced.

The motion as amended was then put and carried.

The Chair appointed Messrs Cutler, Mr. Brooks and Dr. Dwight as the committee of three to prepare and publish such statement as they deemed proper.

The following was moved by Mr. Hooley, seconded by Mr. S. R. Cutler:
Resolved that the American Kennel Club hears with deepest regret of the death of its first President, Major James M. Taylor, and extends to his family its heartfelt sympathy in their great loss.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES,
NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20\textsuperscript{TH}, 1910.

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell in the chair.

Present:

Associate Delegates
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
W. Rutherfurd

Airedale Terrier Club of America
William L. Barclay

American Dachshunde Club
G. Muss-Arnolt

American Fox Terrier Club
H. H. Hunnewell

Atlantic City Kennel Club
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

Bay State Co-operative Bench
Show Association
Edwin W. Dwight

Bloodhound Club of America
Dr. Louis G. Knox

Bulldog Club of America
Edwin L. Boger

Collie Club of America
R. S. Edson

Dalmatian Club of America
Alfred B. Maclay

Golden Gate Kennel Club of
San Francisco
M. M. Palmer

Greyhound Club of America
Edward H. Carle

Irish Setter Club
R. W. Creuzbaur
Irish Terrier Club of America     Singleton Van Scaick  
New England Beagle Club       Chetwood Smith  
Old English Sheepdog Club      Tyler Morse  
Piping Rock Kennel Club        A. G. Hooley  
Revere Kennel Club            Samuel R. Cutler  
Russian Wolfhound Club        Dr. J. E. De Mund  
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club  A. C. Wilmerding  
West Highland White Terrier Club  George Lauder, Jr.  
Westchester Kennel Club        George Greer  

On motion the read of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and the same were accepted as published in The Gazette.

The Cleveland Fanciers Club was elected as a member of the American Kennel Club.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Butterfly Bench Show Association       Raymond Belmont  
Scottish Deerhound Club of America    Walter G. Oakman, Jr.  
North Jersey Kennel Club              Charles D. Bernheimer  

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City,
Tuesday, December 20, 1910.
-----

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

- P R E S E N T -

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA:    William L. Barclay
AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB:     H. H. Hunnewell
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB:         H. K. Bloodgood
ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB:    J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA:    Edwin L. Boger
BUTTERFLY BENCH SHOW ASSOCIATION:   Raymond Belmont
CALIFORNIA AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB:    G. A. Wertheim
COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA:    R. S. Edson
DALMATIAN CLUB OF AMERICA:    Alfred B. Maclay
DANDIE DINMONT TERRIER CLUB:     Jas J. Fox
FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA:    O. F. Vedder
GOLDEN GATE KENNEL CLUB OF SAN FRANCISCO: M. M. Palmer
GREYHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA:    Edward H. Carle
LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB:    John F. Collins
MONMOUTH COUNTY KENNEL CLUB:    Andrew Albright, Jr.
NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB:    Jas. W. Appleton
NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB:    Chetwood Smith
NORTH JERSEY KENNEL CLUB:    Chas. D. Bernheimer
OLD ENGLISH SHEEPDOG CLUB OF AMERICA:      Tyler Morse
PIPING ROCK KENNEL CLUB:    A. G. Hooley
RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB:    Dr. J. E. De Mund
SAN MATEO KENNEL CLUB:    Howard Willets
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB:    A. C. Wilmerding
SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA:    Robert Sedgwick, Jr.
SEATTLE KENNEL CLUB:    Clarence Sackett
THE LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA:    James Mortimer
WESTERN FOX TERRIER BREEDERS' ASSOCIATION:    John G. Bates
WEST HIGHLAND WHITE TERRIER CLUB:    George Lauder, Jr.
WESTMINSTER KENNEL CLUB:    William Rauch
WESTMINSTER KENNEL CLUB:    George Greer

-----

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

THE SECRETARY: I submit two applications from the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, one from New York and one from Boston. The one hailing from New York has been refused by the Membership Committee, but the application from the club hailing from Boston has been signed by all
the members of the committee.

MR. MORTIMER: Is there any reason given why the application of the New York club was refused?

THE SECRETARY: No. The chairman of the committee is here.

MR. WILLETS: The application of the New York Club was refused on several grounds. We think that the Boston Yorkshire Terrier Club is entitled to membership because it was actually sent in before that of the New York club.

A ballot being taken, the Yorkshire Terrier Club of Boston was duly elected.

The New London Kennel Club was also elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club:                James J. Fox
Ladies' Kennel Association of California:    Edward Brooks
Cleveland Fanciers' Club:                    George W. Batson
Duquesne Kennel Club:                       Joseph B. Vandergrift

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:


To the Delegates,

of the American Kennel Club.
“Gentlemen:

I beg to report that I have submitted to the Membership Committee three applications for membership, one for the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, with headquarters in Boston, the other for the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, with headquarters in New York City, and one for the New London Kennel Club, at New London, Conn. Also credentials appointing delegates received from the Cleveland Fanciers’ Club Co., Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club or America, Duquesne Kennel Club, and the Ladies’ Kennel Association of California.

“In accordance with Article XVIII, Section 3, By-laws, the Membership Committee shall at this meeting suggest the names of five delegates to act as a Nominating Committee for six Directors whose terms of office expire
starting with 500 registrations and then publishing every year 600 or 700, it would be lost in the shuffle in time. That, gentlemen, is one of the subjects that we must consider. The other matter is the question of defending any suits or helping them in the West to do so. Those are the steps before you. Mr. Vredenburgh is very familiar with the matter. He can answer any questions. If any one desires to make any statement, now is the time to make it. Mr. Hunnewell and Mr. Vredenburgh were out West recently trying to ascertain the cause of the dissatisfaction existing there, and they can tell you a great deal more than I can.

MR. HUNNEWELL: At the last meeting I think I told all I know about it. I gave my impressions and a statement of facts which appeared in the Gazette, and also my personal impression of the whole matter, and I am perfectly willing to answer any questions. All those gentlemen whose names you mentioned as being in connection with this National Breeders Dog Association were talked
THE CHAIRMAN: Were they out friends?

MR. HUNNEWELL: No, not those men you have mentioned there. They acted as our friends at the time, but we were a little skeptical about it then. George Gray was Secretary of the Oakland Kennel Club, and all those people are Ellery men. When I was out there I thought the row was a little but more serious than Mr. Vredenburgh did. I thought there was a certain kind of dissatisfaction apart from Ellery, but I don't think they knew what it was. They were just dissatisfied on general principles, as is very apt to be the case against the East.

THE CHAIRMAN: They had their own committee.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Their contention was that they did not have any voice in electing the committee, which was a very good point. It was a compulsory committee, and it all came down to the question of Mr. Norman. It is personal antagonism against Mr. Norman, because he was put in a position he had no right to be put in. He had to act just as our Secretary does, and then he had a to vote, and they came to the conclusion that he penalized somebody for an offence there--- he was on a committee of give and had one vote, and that they all did as he said, and that position they took was a perfectly justifiable one.
We answered that by telling them that they could elect their own committee; that under our constitution they could suggest names to the directors and the directors would elect them; but that was not enough. In fact if we granted everything they asked for it would not have been sufficient unless you exonerated Ellery.

THE CHAIRMAN: So far as Ellery went, but how about the rest of the Coast?

MR. HUNNEWELL: The rest of the Coast was perfectly satisfied, but Ellery has a big following. There is great antagonism to the American Kennel Club – not against the club, but against the Pacific Advisory Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: On what grounds do they want Ellery reinstated, simply because he is Ellery?

MR. HUNNEWELL: Because he is Ellery. They never gave me any other reason. I said that it did not make any difference, that if Mr. Belmont or I, President and Vice-President, did what Mr. Ellery did we would be brought up before the Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: What was the exact reason for this disqualification?

MR. HUNNEWELL: We did not disqualify him; we suspended him. He is only suspended. As I told them out there, Mr. Bloodgood and I were here at the time when this
thing came up, and Mr. Vredenburgh asked me to do it, and I said no, it was the
President’s duty to do that, and Mr. Bloodgood and I sent word to you that if you did that
we would back you up. That was the time if you did that we would back you up. That
was the time they said the thing has been misquoted to you. I told them out there that
was no such thing, that Mr. Bloodgood and I sent word to you that if you did that we
would back you up, and Mr. DeMund was there at the time.

DR. DE MUND: That action was sustained afterwards by the entire Board of
Directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: That was under the rules a duty which I had to perform in
order that the discipline shall reach a man immediately, which action shall be sustained
or otherwise by the Board.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It was unanimously sustained at the next meeting of the
Board of Directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: Their own Board out there, what have they got to do with it
now?

MR. HUNNEWELL: As the matter stands at present Ellery has to come up for
trial. Then he has the right to appeal.

THE CHAIRMAN: He must come up before them?

MR. HUNNEWELL: First.

THE CHAIRMAN: That he would not do?
MR. HUNNEWELL: That he would not do. He absolutely refused to do it. I had a talk with Ellery.

THE CHAIRMAN: Were there not some changes with regard to his registrations?

MR. VREDENBURGH: He made twelve entries, and they were published in the catalogue, and then when the official catalogue came to us there were ten interlineations, three of which was the changing of the name of the sire, and the other nine was the changing of the dates of birth.

MR. HUNNEWELL: But he has the privilege of explaining why he did that. No fraud has been proved against him at all. Mr. Ellery told me that Norman had no business to bring him up accuse him, because he know his records were burned. I asked him what that had to do with it. He said he had no business to do that because he knew his (Ellery’s) records were burned. He told me that Norman has no business to bring him up before the committee because he know that his records were burned. I told him that all he had to do was to go up and explain it.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Were they home bred dogs?

MR. VREDENBURGH: Home bred dogs.

MR. HUNNEWELL: There were twelve changes in all. We did not go into that matter out there to any extent because the matter had not been tried, and we did not
want them to get the impression that we were going over the heads of the Pacific Advisory Committee. We could not go over their heads.

THE CHAIRMAN: The only thing with regard to that is that they cannot compel an inquiry. They are prepared to listen to anything he has to say, but he will not go before them.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Yes, but his contention is that where the Pacific Advisory Committee erred gravely was that they sent him first a letter saying that there was going to be a meeting and he was to appear, but they did not send him the time of the meeting or the place. Then Ellery – not Ellery, but it is all the same thing—sent a registered letter to the Secretary asking where this meeting was to take place, and Norman paid no attention to it, and therefore Ellery’s contention is that he could have been tried without having an opportunity to be heard. I saw Ellery’s lawyer, who was there at the same time with Ellery, that is Cook—and he was a Judge out there – I said: “Mr. Cook, when you sue a corporation or one of the members of a corporation, don’t you read the constitution of the corporation first?” He said yes. I said: “Why didn’t you read the constitution of the American Kennel Club first?” He said: “I took Mr. Ellery’s word for
it.” I then showed him the rule by which no man can be disqualified without proper notice. He said: “That is not proper notice,” but Cook acknowledged that Ellery had not anything to stand on in that regard.

DR. DE MUND: Don’t they dispute that any registered letter was ever received?
MR. VREDENBURGH: No. Mr. Ellery stated he sent a registered letter. They dispute that, that he did not –

MR. HUNNEWELL: Well, it is the same thing. I mean the two things are so closely connected that one goes with the other. Ellery is one individual and the Ellery Club is the other.

THE CHAIRMAN: When is that committee on the Coast appointed?
MR. HUNNEWELL: They are appointed here by us every year. Norman is now a paid secretary with no vote.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yet they do not want him?
MR. HUNNEWELL: I do not know what the row is about now, but it is the same thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: Ellery, under the present conditions, is out?
MR. HUNNEWELL: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: And he will not submit to that?
MR. HUNNEWELL: He will not submit to that, because
he claims a change of venue. He says they are prejudiced against him and he wants to bring the matter before the fair board.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Have you an explanation at all about those two matters?
MR. HUNNEWELL: I would not distribute them with him.

MR. VREDENBURGH: They told us they were printer’s errors.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It was none of my business. It was the Pacific Advisory Committee’s errors. It was none of my business to find out what his errors were.

THE CHAIRMAN: Two months ago I rather got the impression from you that this movement was not a serious one.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I did not think it was.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would liked to have known that, because of it was not serious it seemed to me that with all the disadvantages they were laboring under there, without organization, and if they were really satisfied with the committee, this movement would just go the course of most of them, but if they are dissatisfied with the committee, and if it can be said that the committee did not give them a fair show, it sounds a little queer that they should have notified them to appear before them without stating a place or time, and then that Ellery
sent them a registered letter, and even then they did not notify him.

MR. HUNNEWELL: But that first notice was exactly the same as our Secretary
sends out to-day. He says simply: You will have to appear, but he does not state any
date. His claim is, if I did wrong, they did wrong, and they ought to call it off.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is not quite the same kind of a wrong.

MR. HUNNEWELL: His point is that he does not want to be tried before that
Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: So far as the Committee is concerned, it seems to me that is
our creation, and if we are conscious of the fact that they failed to answer a demand for
a hearing, that they should at least take steps to either bring it about or else refer the
matter to us. If they have that letter on their files, and they choose to hand it over to us, I
do not know of anything that would prevent us from proceeding in the matter.

MR. HUNNEWELL: There is no reason at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: We might perfectly well give them a hint that unless they did
that we would be obligated to change the Committee, that we cannot have our interests
jeopardized by reason of their doing something that is
MR. HUNNEWELL: Of course their reason is a very good one. They did not want to interfere with the Oakland show, but then they should have told him so. Their reason is a perfectly good one, if they had a reason for not having the meeting; they wanted the Oakland show over with before they had the meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: It does not sound to me like a very good argument. If a man is under ban of any kind he certainly has a right to demand relief without any reference –

MR. HUNNEWELL: I am merely stating what they told me.

MR. MOORE: When Mr. Ellery said that he had done wrong and we had done wrong, and to call it quits, didn’t he refer to his action in going to court rather than to any other offense?

MR. HUNNEWELL: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Not that he had wrong in making a change in his entries, which he does not admit at all, but that he had gone at once to the California courts to override us.

MR. HUNNEWELL: He went to the California courts because he did not want to go before that committee, and
because they did not answer his letter, and he wanted to come before us. There is not a single one of those men that would not be perfectly willing to come up before the Board of Directors.

MR. MOORE: Did not Mr. Belmont suspend him for his court action?
MR. HUNNEWELL: Yes.
MR. MOORE: Not for his offence?
MR. HUNNEWELL: No.

MR. VREDENBURGH: He did not exhaust his remedies.

THE CHAIRMAN: If any one does anything against our interests we have a right to suspend him.

MR. HUNNEWELL: It is more than a right; it is mandatory.

THE CHAIRMAN: I did not know enough about it to believe I was justified in acting under that rule.

MR. VREDENBURGH: I quoted the rule to you and cited your opinion.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The only question for you to decide is whether it was an act prejudicial to the interest of the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIRMAN: I want to see Mr. Wickersham as to whether that rule under which I acted is a rule that would be sustained in court, or whether it was an unjust-
tifiable rule to have. We had a litigation here once in this State that established in this State a very important point. We published in our Gazette as a matter of information to our members the disqualification of a man, and that remained there in print, and we were taken into court individually and collectively. We won that suit. They went to the Court of Appeals with it, and that court said we had a perfect right to do that. We ceased to do it because we thought after all that it would work an injustice some times, just as in this case, all the men were perfectly fair. The men who conducted the show had not paid the premiums, and we disqualified under the rules everybody connected with the show, all the officers and everybody. That was decided in our favor, and in this case we will have to defend a suit as to whether we can properly do this.

MR. WILLETS: Do you know on what ground they complain the we are a monopoly under the Sherman act?

THE CHAIRMAN: We have the complaint here. The Secretary can read it to you.

(Complaint read)

MR. MOORE: This was in connection with going to law; this is conduct prejudicial to the best interests of dogs.
MR. VREDENBURGH: Any matter prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, and he specified in his suspension that the rules gave him certain redress that he had not exhausted the remedies the rule provided for, and had gone to court without doing anything, and therefore his act was prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club.

MR. MOORE: No dog show rule covers this case of Mr. Belmont’s. It must be some other rule.

MR. HUNNEWELL (pointing): There is the rule.

MR. MOORE: You raised question of employing counsel to defend suit. There is a resolution passed either by the directors or by the club shortly after our reconstruction, which prohibited us from employing counsel except under certain conditions. Do you recall it?

MR. VREDENBURGH: To originate anything, but not to defend.

MR. MOORE: There is such a resolution, and we ought to have it.

THE CHAIRMAN: That you should have, but it is the object of this meeting that if anything of that kind was done, it should be done by the Board.

MR. MOORE: I am not sure but what the Board has been prohibited by the delegate; I may be wrong.
DR. DE MUND: It must be authorized by the Board of Directors.
THE CHAIRMAN: In other words, that Mr. Vredenburgh or any of the officers shall not be able to employ counsel in connection with anything having to do with the club without authority.

MR. MOORE: I am offering this as a caution. I think we ought to have the resolution.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is what I understand to have been done.

THE SECRETARY: Suit was commenced against us, and we have got to defend it.

MR. MOORE: There is no question about that. When does the time to answer expire?

MR. VREDENBURGH: Two of them have ten days and the rest of them have thirty days. I telegraphed them to retain the same counsel who defended our suit before.

THE CHAIRMAN: In their complaint they do not touch upon my action at all?

THE CHAIRMAN: It may be that they are acting under the stress of a treatment which may not be wholly justified; I don't know. Certainly if we are in the wrong, we ought to correct that.
MR. MOORE: Wouldn’t it be possible to have that suit transferred to the court here where the head of office of the club is?

THE CHAIRMAN: I don’t know.

MR. MOORE: And would that take it out of the hands of the Pacific Advisory Committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not know. The suit is against them. They would have to come all the way here. I cannot remember exactly the details. The way it was presented to me was that here was a man that had committed an error and he would not do anything. This man demanded through a registered letter the time and place for him to be heard, to which he received no reply, and then he sat still. That is new to me.

THE SECRETARY: No, he did not sit still.

THE CHAIRMAN: He sat still in this respect, it was up to our committee after that to give him a chance. He had gone through the West, and if he desired to cut loose and make that a reason, he had something to go on. If he is able to tell that story and to say that these people were laying for him and trying to get his scalp and tricked him, and having no word to appear, and making it appear that no time and place was mentioned, whereas it was his business to see to a matter of that kind—
THE SECRETARY: He had the right to appeal to the American Kennel Club.
THE CHAIRMAN: If I heard such a story as that I would say it was pretty tough.
THE SECRETARY: He did not appeal to the American Kennel Club.
THE CHAIRMAN: I want the contents of his registered letter to the committee.
THE SECRETARY: Asking for a time and place. They never answered that letter, and when we were out there and when they made that report they acknowledged that they did not answer the letter and said it was an error, but on account of that they could not hold a meeting to try the man, and never attempted to hold a meeting to try the man.

MR. HUNNEWELL: They could not hold a meeting after the injunction.
THE SECRETARY: I know that, but they never tried to.
THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me that we need some light about it. Here is a committee that we created, and of course we are going to be responsible for it to a certain degree.

MR. MOORE: We whitewashed them and told them everything they did was perfectly proper.
THE CHAIRMAN: Were you made aware of the fact that they had not answered that letter?

MR. MOORE: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Why did you go over it without any—

MR. MOORE: I don’t know, unless we are all dummies, and one followed the other.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Ellery did not write the letter, but the San Francisco Kennel Club did.

MR. MOORE: I would like to ask if Mr. Norman is not 95 per cent of the whole committee.

THE SECRETARY: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: As between men, I do not think you would for a moment hesitate to say that a committee that had a secretary, paid or otherwise, and that secretary took it upon himself to do something, and the committee in your opinion did the baby act and say it was not their
fault, but it was his –

THE SECRETARY: They did not do that. They acknowledged the mistake.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The fact is the committee never had a meeting, and that letter did not come up.

THE CHAIRMAN: But a big stir like this, they must have known it, and we are in a false position if he ever made any attempt to have a hearing at any time.

MR. MOORE: I do not see that there was any necessity for the Pacific Advisory Committee rushing in pell mell to make possible a complication that could have been avoided, and we could have taken the action, or they could after deliberation, but I do not see any reason why we should jump into the pit ahead of the time. The Pacific Advisory Committee is so very anxious to do something that they invariability do the wrong thing.

THE SECRETARY: This rule 2 was absolutely mandatory.

MR. MOORE: Yes, but there is a time and place for everything. You don’t have to do it on the minute. You might as well wait a week and think it over and see whether it is advisable.

THE SECRETARY: They did, and whether it was advisable or not, they must do it.
MR. MOORE: Yes, and now we are in the courts.

THE SECRETARY: If we disqualified the man they could sue us.

MR. MOORE: I am perfectly willing, if we did it, to stand by it, but they are subservient to this club, and they should have in mind the welfare, not of the Pacific Advisory Committee, but the welfare of the American Kennel Club.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Did you and Mr. Hunnewell come to any conclusion which would point to a way of getting out of this thing—in other words, if the right things were done, that this matter could entirely be smoothed over?

THE SECRETARY: The conclusion that I reached was this, that the only thing that could be done would be for us to say just exactly what Mr. Ellery’s counsel said in court, that if we would withdraw the accusation that there was anything apparently wrong in that catalogue, and we state that there was no basis for our suspicion, then they would listen to us.

MR. RUTHERFURD: Was there an accusation made?

THE SECRETARY: There was a question asked to investigate, for him to show cause why these things were done.

MR. RUTHERFORD: Was it put in an offensive way? It strikes me as a very simple thing that a man might get
a name—it is frequently done in the New York shows and Boston shows. I have seen errors in the catalogues.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Very well, explain.

MR. RUTHERFURD: But a man is not accused.

MR. HUNNEWELL: He is not accused.

THE SECRETARY: He was asked to come before this Committee and explain this matter.

MR. RUTHERFURD: The way I should thin it should be done would be to state that certain things were charged against a man and then to ask him to kindly state his side of the case.

MR. WILLETS: He said he would explain and he wants to know the time and place appointed for him to do so, and he gets no answer.

THE SECRETARY: He simply went to court and charged that the Committee restrained him from taking any action whatever, but the Committee never attempted to take any action, and he knew that he sent this registered letter to the Committee, and he never appeals to the American Kennel Club. If we did not pay any attention to it then he could have gone to the courts.

MR. WILLETS: There was nothing to appeal except to complain that the Pacific Advisory Committee had not answered a letter which he had written to them.
THE CHAIRMAN: Let me tell you how I feel. It is a small quarrel but it seems to me that our skirts ought to be absolutely clean. There was an effort made for a hearing to this extent that there was a registered letter sent, and the Secretary, who is not a member of the Committee, did not bring it before the meeting.

MR. HUNNEWELL: He was a member at the time.

THE CHAIRMAN: He did not bring it before the Committee, and some say that it was because there had been no meeting. Nevertheless, he did not bring it before the meeting, and that effort was made, and it was so important that they should have answered it. I think they ought to have met and taken action, and we stand, so far as that matter is concerned, wrong no matter how you look at it.

DR. DE MUND: How soon after the letter was received was this injunction suit brought? That restrained them from all action.

THE CHAIRMAN: I know that, but then it was some time.

THE SECRETARY: But, Mr. Chairman, this suit to-day has nothing to do with the Ellery matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: But don’t you see the whole thing is Ellery. What do you suppose he is doing? He may have consulted counsel and found that the rule under which
I disqualified them would not be sustained, and then he goes further and the whole thing is published, and it makes a fine show, and we are put in the position of being a trust doing some one an injustice. The matter is pretty well managed, and behind it all is maybe that he is going to give us enough trouble until we come to him.

DR. DE MUND: There was a resolution passed which I offered at a meeting after your suspension allowing Ellery, on the withdrawal of his suit and submitting them to give him notice of the time and place of the meeting, that his suspension would be removed. He could have accepted that and it would have wiped our the whole thing; but he would not do that.

THE CHAIRMAN: They would act on the record of that letter.

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

DR. DE MUND: If that resolution were read I think it would clear the whole matter.

(The Chairman then read Rule 21).

MR. HUNNEWELL: That covers your action, because the new rules were not then in force; they did not come into effect until the following February.

MR. WILLETS: Was Mr. Ellery advised of that resolu-
tion of Dr. De Mund’s? Do you know whether a copy of that was sent to him?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Did you send it to him personally?

THE SECRETARY: I sent it to him personally, and one of the officers of the San Francisco Kennel Club that Mr. Belmont suspended, which matter was afterwards taken out of Mr. Belmont’s hands, and he was suspended by the American Kennel Club—the Secretary was in to see me about two weeks ago, and he told me he was now perfectly ready to come before the Pacific Advisory Committee and make any explanation in his power if we would waive the payment of the $250 which was assessed against the San Francisco Kennel Club to reimburse the Committee, and for their legal expenses only.

MR. WILLETS: That was included in Dr. De Mund’s resolution.

THE SECRETARY: Yes. There is an action now to come up at a regular meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee recommending some such course as that. While this suspended man was here he told me: “I will not show under the National Dog Breeders Association and I cannot show under the American Kennel Club.” He said: “I would like to show under the American Kennel Club.” At the
same time he was one of the incorporators of this National Dog Breeders Association. I showed him the marked catalogue where the dispute occurred, and I said: “This is in the handwriting of Mr. Clute, who certified to the correctness of the catalogue.” He said: “You are mistaken; that is in the handwriting of Mr. Ellery himself; I know his handwriting very well.” Now, Mr. Ellery stated to Mr. Hunnewell and to me that the only way that you could convict a man of any misconduct in connection with entries at a show was in connection with the original entry blank, and he told me that if he owned a club, and he made out his entry blank fraudulently for some ulterior purpose, and saw that entry on the copy of the printer for the catalogue, and then deliberately tore up his entry blank, we could not convict him. That is the stand Mr. Ellery took.

MR. HUNNEWELL: There is no question about it that Ellery is a crooked as a ram’s horn. There is no reason at all why the San Francisco Kennel Club should not be allowed to do what the Committee suggests. That eliminated the registered letter because the registered letter was written by the San Francisco Kennel Club. Even there Ellery is back of them, because it has been spilt into tow pieces. Ellery did not write the letter. To be sure, he
owned the other club, and if the letter was going to be received it was going to his office, but he did not write the letter. He is back of all this, and these people are as innocent as you and I are.

THE SECRETARY: I told him he was suspended on a technicality.

MR. HUNNEWELL: We have to act according to our rules, but there is no reason why we should not rescind some of them under certain circumstances. There is no reason why we should not allow the San Francisco Kennel Club to go before the Pacific Advisory Committee, remit the fine and let the thing stay on Ellery.

DR. DE MUND: The San Francisco Kennel Club is out of existence.

THE SECRETARY: There are only two members, the Vice-President and the Secretary. The Secretary told me that the Vice President was merely a figurehead.

MR. HUNNEWELL: That lets them in individually. The San Francisco Kennel Club is out of existence.

THE CHAIRMAN: Why?

MR. HUNNEWELL: Because they did not pay their dues. Their contention was that they were suspended and therefore they could not pay any dues, which is perfectly ridiculous. It was while this trouble was on that they
were suspended. They ceased to pay their dues because their contention was that they
were suspended and therefore could not pay any dues, and while we were out there
they sent their dues in, and they were refused because they had ceased on the first of
February to be members.

THE CHAIRMAN: What has become of the organization?

MR. HUNNEWELL: They have ceased. They never were any organization; they
were about the whole club.

THE SECRETARY: Ellery paid $600 for it, and there was $800 in the treasury.
There were two men left in the old club. He put in two or three men as figureheads.

MR. HUNNEWELL: They say, if we will remit this fine of $250 they will go up and
explain to the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: That has nothing to do with this trouble. It has nothing to do with
this present suit.

THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me we have got two things to do. One is to
decide intelligently as to what action we want to take with regard to these suits. They
have got to be disposed of in some way so far as they touch upon us, and it seems to
me the shortest cut would be to appoint a committee to take that matter up and make
recommendation at the regular meeting of the Board. It seems a little bit hazy how we
should be represented; we are
involved in the suit, and to what extent—it is primarily directed against the Pacific Advisory Committee.

THE SECRETARY: And the American Kennel Club.

THE CHAIRMAN: They ought to be told to defend it. If you want a competent committee to take the matter up and make a recommendation either at a special meeting, if it is preferred, or at the regular meeting which will be held within twelve days, I think it would be proper that I should appoint one. The only reason I called this meeting was that I am going up to Canada next week, and I expect to be gone until the first of October. I injured myself quite seriously in June, and I want to get well before I go to work again; but I will do anything to you – I have only been a short time in the city. I am going away next Tuesday morning. That is one thing, and then the other one, which I think you ought to have a committee on also, because there is a lot of confusion about it, as to what course we had better take; also in regard to this matter, whether we can get those of our friends to stand by us rather than following Ellery on a misrepresentation of the facts.

MR. MOORE: Do you suppose it would be proper to have somebody address the Pacific Advisory Committee in-
dividually and ask them if under certain circumstances they would waive jurisdiction?

THE CHAIRMAN: That might be a good idea, but I think the committee ought to take it up. That committee ought to know the facts, what occurred between the parties, what answer has been made, what action has the Pacific Advisory Committee taken; all that is part of this matter, and see whether they have any connection with this case. What would induce them to come back. We ought to try to take the ground from under Mr. Ellery’s feet, if possible, so that he cannot complain that whatever we have done was not fair, and to see that he has no ground to stand upon, and after having established really what we are going to do, make everybody acquainted, even to the extent of having to send somebody out there. If they are going to establish a big organization, they are on feelings of hostility, and we are going to have endless trouble. We are going to be obligated to act first against this club and then against that club, and the first thing you know it may reach Chicago, and there your rules are and there you begin to disqualify all around, and your suits are pending in court. What are you going to do? You go on suspending, and they bring suits, and the Lord only knows where we are coming out. I suggest two sepa-
rate committees be appointed to make a report at the next meeting as to the exact condition of affairs.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Would you have time to serve on those two committee, with counsel?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I will make time. I will read over what there is and make it a point to be present.

THE SECRETARY: There is another very important matter that ought to be taken in hand to-day, and that is to see if we can secure the possession of the Field Stud Book.

THE CHAIRMAN: I have mentioned that before. I think it very important that we should go to work and get the whole organization together, if possible. We cannot do it unless we raise a fund on the part of the members of the American Kennel Club. We do not want to take the money out of our treasury.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I am informed that we can get it for $15,000.

THE CHAIRMAN: I am willing to subscribe $1,000 towards it, if that is the price. I don’t know whether the Kennel Club could afford to pay a certain amount towards it. I stand ready at any time to give a thousand dollars towards it. I think that would remove the danger of opposition in the future very much.
THE SECRETARY: That includes just the stud book. We would have to recognize the 22,000 that they have registered, and there should be a condition made that the American Field would not attempt to publish another such book.

THE CHAIRMAN: It takes a long time to build a stud book up. If it is deemed advisable, that is my position. I will help to that extent. I think we ought not to have any trouble to raise the money if necessary. When it comes time to arrange as to counsel we will see what we can do about that. I am willing to subscribe something to that end; but I repeat my suggestion to you that you take these two subjects up separately, one the question of the litigation, what should be done in connection with that, and what recommendation be made to the full Board with regard to it.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Will these matters come up before the delegates or the directors?

THE SECRETARY: The directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: The other matter is the question of the Ellery case, to see what we can do to bring about some kind of harmony, and if possible get him under the jurisdiction of the club.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I should like to offer a motion
that the President appoint two committees, himself to be Chairman of each, and the committees consisting of three each, with power to engage counsel.

THE CHAIRMAN: Whom would we get as counsel?

MR. MOORE: Engaging counsel, even though it might be for a specific purpose, might lead the club into an expenditure that it would not want to pay.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is time enough for the committee report and ask authority for counsel at the meeting of the Board. We want to share that a little; I do not think we ought to authorize them to employ counsel.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Don’t you think they could come to the meeting with a much more intelligent opinion if they had counsel?

THE CHAIRMAN: We might suggest an appropriation of so much for counsel, say $100.

THE SECRETARY: I think that the committee that is appointed on the Field Stud Book had better commence at once to open negotiations for it, so that they can report at the next meeting.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I will add to my motion that those two committees- why not make it one committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, a committee of five?

MR. MOORE: A committee of five is hard to get to-
MR. HUNNEWELL: I amend that motion by authorizing an appropriation of $200 to be paid counsel.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think you had better make it a committee of five, and if you wish me to be Chairman to say that I be simply ex officio chairman. The motion is that the Chair appoint a committee of five, of which he shall be ex officio chairman, to take up the subject of this litigation and the Ellery matter, and an appropriation of $200, and to report at a regular meeting of the Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question of a stud book is certainly a delicate one.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I have a suggestion to make about that. Mr. Chetwood Smith knows more about this thing than anybody else, and he has been talking about this, and I do not know why it would not be a good idea to make him a committee of one on that matter.

The Chairman appointed Messrs. Keasbey, Hooley, Hunnewell and Willets as said committee of five.

Mr. Willets moved that the recommendation of the Pacific Advisory Committee as follows: “Moved by W.W. Stettheimer, seconded by Nat. T. Messer, that it is the
sense of this committee to request the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club to remove from their minutes the two resolutions (adopted at a time when the Pacific Advisory Committee through court proceedings was enjoined from action) referring to the San Francisco Kennel Club case and to the William Ellery case, and to certify said resolutions to the Pacific Advisory Committee to be spread on the records of the latter. The purpose of this request is to place the Pacific Advisory Committee in a position to act on the said two resolutions in such a manner as existing conditions may demand,” be approved.

Motion seconded and carried.

Adjourned
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY,
SEPTEMBER 20TH, 1910.

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell in the chair.

Present:
Dwight Moore
B.S. Smith
George Greer
A.G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
Singleton Van Schaick
John E. De Mund
Rowland P. Keasbey
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
E.W. Dwight
Samuel R. Cutler
Chetwood Smith
R. Stewart Edson
M.M. Palmer
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Edward Brooks
Winthrop Rutherfurd
W.L. Barclay
George Lauder, Jr.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting of the Board of Directors was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in The Gazette.

THE CHAIRMAN: At a special meeting of the Board of Directors held on September 8th, 1910, President August Belmont outlined the situation in connection with the Pacific Coast matter, and at that same meeting another question of importance was informally considered, of which a record was made. If you desire those minutes to be read the secretary will read them.. However, as most of the directors were present at that meeting it may not be considered necessary to read what was said at that special meeting.

MR. RUTHERFURD: I move that the reading of those minutes be dispensed with.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his report, as follows:

New York, Sept. 20, 1910

To The Board of Director,

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to submit this my quarterly report. The reports of the Standing Committees are as
follows:

Stud Book Committee of July 27, 1910

Pacific Advisory Committee May 24, June 4, August 6, August 20, September 1, 1910.

The report of August 6, 1910, has been published in the Gazette of August 31st.


Unless you desire the above reports read at this meeting, I will adopt the usual course, and make them part of the Minutes of this meeting, and publish same in the next number of the Gazette.

The License Committee has approved of Twenty-four Licenses since our regular meeting of May 17, 1910.

In compliance with instructions received from this board at its May meeting, satisfactory settlement was made of Mr. J.S. Auerbach’s bill for legal services for the amount suggested by your Special Committee.

The Lexington Kennel Club held a show under License in April, 1910. They failed to file a printed catalogue, in place of which they sent a written list of awards. This we declined to accept and returned same. General Roger D. Williams caused a catalogue to be printed especially for filing with the A.K.C. at considerable ex-
pense. This however took time, so that the penalty amounted to $101. I would suggest that this penalty be remitted or if not expedient to do that, that the date deposit of $25.00 be forfeited in lieu of penalty.

The La Crosse Kennel Club held at Licensed Show October 8, 1909, and we were not able to collect from said club $22.00 in listing fees until July 11, 1910. Therefore assessed a penalty of $276.00. The President of said club has filed an appeal setting forth that the fault was entirely that of the secretary, and the other officers had no knowledge whatever that the secretary had failed to pay the listing fee.

The Schuylkill Valley Kennel Club held a Licensed Show December 30-31, 1910, charges were filed against said club for non-payment of certain prizes. This matter was referred by you to the Philadelphia Trial Board, and said Board disqualified the club and its officers. I made a number of ineffectual attempts to obtain word from the secretary, and the President, and it is only at this late day, that the secretary has found time to write asking for the return of their date deposit. This I have declined to honor. I have an appeal from the Vice President of said club for re-instatement, in which he make rather damaging statements against the other officers of the club.
The Rules Governing Dog Shows, Rule III, Section C states “Specialty Club Shows confined to the breed represented by their club shall be rated at four points, specialty clubs not active members may be licensed by the written consent of the parent club and will be rated at two points. The Chicago Collie Club was elected an active member September 21, 1909, having filed with its application the written consent of the Collie Club of America. The question arises whether said club shall be entitled to four points or two points? I am personally familiar with the facts brought about by correspondence with several of the Specialty Clubs, particularly that of the Boston Terrier Club, to the effect that objections were made by the parent clubs to consent to the admission of another club for the same breed, upon the ground that the second club would rate precisely the same as the parent club. These objections have apparently been removed by the rule above quoted. While I am inclined to believe that the letter of the Rules does not apply to a subsidiary club, that has become an active member, yet I am not certain as to the intent of the Rule, and therefore ask for a decision at this meeting.

The American Dachshund Club has filed an application for permission to change the official title of said club to that of the Dachshund Club of America. I would
recommend that permission be granted accordingly.

At the annual meeting the appointment of the Pacific Advisory Committee was laid over. Since that time Mr. Norman resigned and became a paid secretary, and Mr. Norman J. Stewart has been nominated to fill the vacancy. As the members of this Committee have been holding over, I am of the opinion that some action should be taken toward their appointment in accordance with their agreement between their committee and this Board.

W. E. Chute of San Francisco has begun a suit against the American Kennel Club. W. W. Stettheimer, and N. T. Messr, members of the P. A. C. Norman J. Stewart and J. P. Norman, neither one of whom are members of that Committee. The complaint is that the American Kennel Club has formed a conspiracy in the restraint of trade, damages are asked to the extent of fifteen hundred dollars and additional five hundred to pay his counsel. The matter has been placed in the hands of competent attorneys, to defend the suit.

At a special meeting of this board held in executive session on September 8, the request of the P. A. C. at its meeting held August 20th, was unanimously granted. the minutes of said meeting are specified above.

A statement by the A. K. C. has been prepared at
the request of the officers, galley proofs of which have been handed or mailed to every
director in order that proper action on the subject may be taken at this meeting.

The following cases will be referred to the Trial Board sitting in New York.

Appeal of Joseph M. Dickerson against suspension Essex K.C.

Mrs. M. Throp vs. Essex K.C. In this case I am advised by the Club that the
check had been mailed on August 4th. I have however been unable to have this
confirmed.

Mrs. J.D. Vhay vs. J.W. Burrell. This case has been finally settled and plaintiff
asks to have same dismissed and deposit returned to her.

J.H. Blackwood vs. Westminster K.C. In this case the special claimed was
donated by the Scottish Collie Club, through W.C. Hunter, Secy. Collie Club of
American. Hunter has been disqualified for his refusal to turn over to the Collie Club of
America the books, records and papers, which were in his custody while secretary.

James Watson vs. Staten Island K.C. Requesting an investigation of statements
made by the Staten Island K.C. reflecting on Watson in one of Sporting Papers.

J.E. DeMund and A. Albright, Jr. vs. Dr. A. Boultee.
Jennie A. Holeschuh vs. Mrs. J.K. Fox.

The following cases will be referred to the Trial Board sitting in Philadelphia:

Mrs. Harry S. Peaster vs. The York K.C.

Howe Totten vs. Duquesne K.C.

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer's report was read as follows:

New York, September 20, 1910

To the Board of Directors,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial statement from January 1st to date:

Balance on hand Jan. 1st, 1910 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 19,318.64
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date, - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 18,858.27
Total 38,176.91
Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 18,117.32
Balance on hand 20,059.59

Respectfully submitted,

A.P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
THE CHAIRMAN: Five names have been submitted to me as candidates for election as members of the Pacific Advisory Committee. They are: N.J. Stewart, Dr. W.P. Burnham, N.T. Messer, W.W. Stettheimer and H.H. Carlton. Mr. Stewart is nominated in place of Mr. Norman, who has resigned.

DR. DeMUND: I move the nomination of those gentlemen named as members of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

On motion nominations were closed.

Nominations seconded and carried

MR. MOORE: To conform to the agreement with the Pacific Advisory Committee necessitating a change in our rules I offer the following amendment to the constitution:

Article VI, Sec. 5, after the word “elect,” strike out “and thereafter the Board shall annually elect.” Add the following paragraph: “At the annual meeting in 1911 or any adjournment thereof, the Directors shall elect five members, one of whom shall hold office until the annual meeting in 1912, one of whom shall hold office until 1913, one of whom shall hold office until 1914, one of whom shall hold office until 1915, and one of whom shall hold office until 1916, and at each annual meeting thereafter the Directors shall elect one member to hold office for five years.”

This matter was referred to the Rules Committee,
which reported in favor of the amendment.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

New York, July 27, 1910

Meeting of the Stud Book Committee was held at the office of the American Kennel Club, July 27, 1910.

Present: James W. Appleton, Chairman
Singleton Van Schaick

The Committee recommend that under the head of Foreign Dogs the Doberman Pinscher be recognized and the general term “Pinscher” be omitted.

Complaint of W.H. Harris re the Bull Terrier, “Chess”.

The Committee recommend the cancellation of registration No. 138840, also registration No. 109578, and notify Mrs. Leonhart, who registered “Chess.”

Signed, J.W. Appleton, Chairman
S. Van Schaick

On motion the same was accepted and the recommendations therein contained were adopted.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the minutes of four meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that they be spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.
MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE A.K.C.
Metropolis Bank Building, San Francisco
May 24, 1910

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

In re the application of Horace G. Lepman for reinstatement.

On motion it was resolved to refer said application with accompanying affidavits to Dr. W.P. Burnham for investigation and report as to the truth of the new statements, evidence and affidavits submitted.

In re the entry of William Ellery’s collie, Valverde Virgial, at the San Francisco and Oakland shows 1908, with difference dates of birth, referred to this Committee by the American Kennel Club for investigation and action:

On motion it was resolved that consideration of said case be postponed and the papers filed with the papers in the other cases pending against William Ellery.

In re the protest of the Oakland Kennel Club to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, against the action of the Pacific Advisory Committee for granting dates for a show to the Golden Gate Kennel Club with-
out the consent of the Oakland Kennel Club:

On motion it was resolved that said protest as referred to this Committee by the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, be and is overruled, and that the attention of the Oakland Kennel Club be called to the fact that the interpretation by the Pacific Advisory Committee of Rule V of the rules governing clubs, was confirmed by the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club at the meeting held May 17th, 1910.

The secretary read the minutes of the meeting of the American Kennel Club held May 17th, 1910.

On motion it was resolved that the election of a paid secretary be postponed until the called semi-annual meeting of June 4, 1910.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J.P. Norman,
Secretary.

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held June 4, 1910, St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco.


H.H. Carlton in the Chair.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and
approved.

The Chair stated that it was in order to comply with the resolution of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club directing this Committee to appoint a paid secretary.

It was moved and seconded that Mr. J.P. Norman be elected as secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee.
Carried.

Mr. Norman thanked the Committee for the election and tendered his resignation as a member of the Committee.

On motion the resignation was accepted.

On motion the Committee proceeded to consider the appeal of Horace G. Lepman for reinstatement to good standing, Dr. Burnham taking no part in the proceedings on account of having been plaintiff in the case.

It was moved and seconded that on careful comparison of the new correspondence of Horace G. Lepman, and of the old correspondence of 1905 on file, the Committee finds that the allegations stated in the two sets, to be at variance.

The facts of the original case appear to be as follows:

That Dr. Burnham paid $135,000 on April 8th, 1905, for the Collie bitch Brookmere Kelpie, which was to be shipped
to him for the San Francisco show in May, 1905. As the bitch was not shipped, and a number of demands for return of the money ignored, as late as July, 1905, H.G. Lepman on November 1st, 1905, was suspended by this Committee for sixty days, to be disqualified if the money was not returned within that time. Disqualification automatically took effect December 31, 1905, because the money had not been received within the time limit.

On review of the case, the Committee finds that the money was returned after expiration of time limit only by reason of the stress of the American Kennel Club’s action, and that there is therefore no reason for removal of the disqualification.

Appeal therefore denied.

On motion the Committee adjourned, for the semi-annual convention of coast delegates.

Attest: J.P. Norman,
Secretary

OFFICE OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Berkeley, Cal., June 5, 1910

Mr. A.P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
American Kennel Club, New York

Dear Sir:

I beg to inform you that at the meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee held on the 4th inst. In conformity with the resolution of the Board of Directors of
the American Kennel Club authorizing the appointment of a paid secretary, the
undersigned was elected to that position. In consequence of my election to the position
of secretary, I tendered my resignation as a member, which was accepted by the
Committee.

At the convention of the delegates of the Pacific Coast Clubs, held subsequently
to the said meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, the vacancy caused by my
resignation was announced and on motion of the delegate of the Golden Gate Kennel
Club, seconded by the delegate of the Western Fox Terrier Breeders Association, Mr.
Norman J. Stewart was selected by the unanimous vote of the delegates and the Pacific
Advisory Committee, to be nominate to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel
Club for election to the vacancy on the Committee caused by the resignation of Mr. J.P.
Norman.

I have the honor to remain, dear sir,

Yours very truly,

J.P. Norman
Sec'y Pacific Advisory Committee A.K.C.
ADJOURNED MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held June 4, 1910, St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco

         H.H. Carlton in the Chair.

The Chair stated that the business of the meeting was to act on the
recommendation by the Coast Delegates of Mr. Norman J. Stewart, to fill the vacancy on
the Committee caused by the resignation of Mr. J.P. Norman.

On motion the recommendation was approved, and it was resolved that the
name of Mr. Norman J. Stewart be submitted to the Board of Directors of the American
Kennel Club for election, to fill the vacancy on this Committee.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

Attest:   J.P. Norman
         Secretary

MEETING OF DELEGATES OF PACIFIC COAST GUIDE
And
PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE.
Held June 4, 1910, at St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco.

Present: The Pacific Advisory Committee, Mrs. J.J. Matheson, Delegate, Ladies Kennel
         Association of California, Mr. Robert Wallace, Delegate, Golden Gate Kennel
         Club, Mr. H. Hastings, Delegate
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association

H.H. Carlton in the Chair.

The Chairman informed the meeting that there was a vacancy in the Pacific Advisory Committee caused by the resignation of Mr. J.P. Norman, and that it would be in order for the delegates assembled to select a nominee for recommendation to the Pacific Advisory Committee for nomination to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club. Mr. Wallace nominated Mr. Norman J. Stewart, Mr. Hastings seconded the nomination.

The nomination was carried unanimously.

The Chair then called for discussion on matters of general interest to the Coast.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

Attest:  J.P. Norman,

Secretary

The Committee appointed by President Belmont at the special meeting of the Board of Directors held on September 8th, 1910, submitted its report.

On motion the disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee amounting to $49.95 was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: In the matter of the Lexington Kennel Club they held their initial show under license of April
15, 1910, and the secretary sent us a hand written list of awards of the winners and the 
reserved winners. The rule states that they must file here a printed catalogue, and after 
some correspondence General Roger Williams said that he would fix the matter up for 
us, and he has entire catalogue printed with all the entries in regular form for the 
purpose of filing here, which undoubtedly entailed considerable expense, but before that 
time arrived one hundred and one days had elapsed after the seven days subsequent to 
the closing of the show, which meant a penalty of $101.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that the fine be remitted and that their deposit be 
returned.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The La Crosse Kennel Club collected for the American 
Kennel Club $22 listing fees. They held their show on October 8th, 1909. I found it 
impossible to collect that money until July 11th, 1910, just two hundred and seventy-six 
days after the show was held. The American Kennel Club disqualified the La Crosse 
Kennel Club and its officers. I have an appeal here from the President of the club asking 
for reinstatement, and stating that they might desire to hold another show.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I move in this case that the fine be remitted, and that 
all of the officers be rein-
stated with the exception of the secretary of the club, and that hereafter in the event that the listing fees are not paid when due the secretary of the American Kennel Club give notice to that effect to all of the officers of the show giving club.

MR. WILMERDING: I second the motion.

MR. PALMER: I understand that the motion is that the secretary of this club is to notify all the officers of the club in case the fees are not paid. It seems to me that that is giving a lot of trouble to this organization. Heretofore we have always dealt only with the secretary of the show giving club. I do not think it concerns us what they do.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think it is just exactly that attitude which has hurt the American Kennel Club tremendously with the general public, the fact that we depend upon one person and pay no attention to any one else. I think if we were a little more liberal in our actions we would save enough in lawyers’ fees more than sufficient to pay that additional expense.

JUDGE CUTLER: It seems to me that it is bad policy for us to disqualify members of a club without giving them notice. I think this case illustrates the principle very well. It seems to me that it is an erroneous idea, which has been followed for some time, in case the secre-
tary of a club is negligent as to sending in fees to disqualify the whole club.

THE SECRETARY: Only the officers.

JUDGE CUTLER: Well, the officers. Every one familiar with local clubs knows that the Vice President and Directors of the clubs have very little to do with the practical working of clubs. If the secretary neglects his duty it is not proper for this club to disqualify the officers for the secretary’s negligence. I concur in what Mr. Smith has said in this regard. I think it is sportsmanlike, and I think, to put it a little stronger, it is common decency to notify a man that he is likely to be disqualified because of somebody’s neglect before he wakes up and finds that he has been disqualified without knowing anything about it. I am very glad this motion has come up. I would like to add to the motion that our secretary not only gives notice to the officers as to unpaid listing fees, but in connection with fees of all kinds.

THE SECRETARY: It is not only the fees, but some times it is the catalogue that is not sent in at the proper time.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I accept Judge Cutler’s amendment and shall ask that hte motion read in this way: If the listing fees or other requirements are not com-
plied with, which under our rules would call for disqualification, the officers of the club be notified.

THE CHAIRMAN: The secretary suggests that the notice goes to the Secretary because he is the only person to whom it can be sent. If it is sent to the other officers it would only mean two or three extra letters, and I agree with Mr. Smith and with Judge Cutler that such a course of action would put us on a broader basis.

MR. HOOLEY: I think Mr. Wilmerding can testify in connection with several clubs that we have been connected with, in one case particularly, where the secretary was very lax, and because of the negligence of the secretary of the club nearly everything was put up to Mr. Wilmerding, who was President, to straighten out. This club would have been disqualified if Mr. Wilmerding has not attended to the matter. I think those of us who have been up against it in that respect, as most of us have, can realize the necessity of the passage of Judge Cutler’s motion. I think it is absolutely essential that the officers of the club be notified. Suppose the secretary dies, who would you notify?

Motion as amended carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Schuylkill Valley Kennel Club defaulted in the payment of prizes, and the matter was referred by the directors to the Philadelphia Trial
Board. That Board met and gave the defaulting club an opportunity to appear, and finally disqualified them. I submit an appeal from one of the officers of that club.

On motion the matter was referred back to the Trial Board.

THE SECRETARY: The Chicago Collie Club became a member of the American Kennel Club in 1909. They obtained the written consent of the Collie Club of America to be admitted. They were admitted. They are not holding a specialty show, and they claim that they should receive four points for that. I do not know but what they should receive four points, but at the same time I have in mind the correspondence that I had for two or three years with several of the Specialty Clubs in which objection was made. The great objection to their consenting to the admission of a club showing dogs of the same breed as their own, was that the rating would be the same as the parent club received, which is supposed to hold the larger show. I see by the rules that specialty clubs confined to the breed represented by the club should be rated at four points; that specialty clubs not active members may be licensed and only get two points. The question is, what was the intent of the makers of the rule, whether if a club became a member
with the consent of the parent club it would receive the same rating as the parent club of
not.

MR. MOORE: That was certainly the intent.

MR. PALMER: Heretofore all clubs that have come in and been recognized as
members of the American Kennel Club have been rated at four points?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. MOORE: It was understood that in several breeds, if the club holding the
show was a member, it got four points.

THE SECRETARY: That is the rule then that members, are under the
circumstances, entitled to four points?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

On motion the application of the American Dachshund Club to change its name
to the Dachshund Club of America was granted.

THE CHAIRMAN: In the minds of a great many people, both on the coast and in
the east, there seems to be the idea that the American Kennel Club is a close
organization, and that we stand so much on our dignity that we do not answer criticism
and vilification, and that therefore our accusers will continue in that way so long as they
can keep out of the courts on actions brought for libel. There criticisms have been
particularly hard on the committee that was appointed to go to the Pacific
coast in order to ascertain the status of matters there, and I think for one if I am not a grafter and making my living out of the American Kennel Club, it is about time for this club to say so. I do not know any reason why we should stand so much on our dignity that we should not explain everything we do, especially in view of the fact that what we do here is done for the best interests of all concerned, and if we are wrong we want to know it. When we are required to act under our rules in a manner which may seem drastic to act under our rules in a manner which may seem drastic to some people, I see no reason why such action should not be explained in the Gazette. At my instigation and with Mr. Belmont's consent I gave the facts in connection with our controversy with certain people on the Pacific coast to the Secretary and asked him to have an explanatory article written for publication, and this article, the proofs of which have been sent to you, is the result. A few corrections have been made since you have received it, but I do not know whether you approve of its publication. I do not know whether you approve of this article, but all I can say is that personally I am a little but sick of being called a member of a gang and all that sort of thing. I think it is up to the directors to put Mr. Vredenburgh and myself right before the public. I cannot see any harm in it. We can always go into executive
session when we wish to, but what we do publicly I think we ought to be able to say why we do it.

DR. DeMUND: I move that the article, of which copies have been sent to every director, be published in the Gazette and sent to all the dog papers in the country with the request that they publish it.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I went over that article pretty carefully, and I think it is going to do a lot more harm than good.

MR. BROOKS: In what way is it going to do any harm?

MR. MOORE: I think it is only furnishing ammunition to the other side to keep up this same sort of vilification. We had the same trouble before in connection with the various reports that were given out in answer to what the Ashland House Committee said against us. That policy did us more harm than if we had kept silent.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think the American Kennel Club by standing on its dignity and not replying to these libels, has gone too far. It has been carried to the point where people think that everything we do here is done behind closed doors. I think the more publicity we can give to every one of our acts the better it will be for us in the end.

MR. MOORE: Have you seen that article?
MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: Yes.
MR. MOORE: That it asks a question and then answers it?
MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: Yes, but I think if the American Kennel Club hereafter took the attitude of giving publicity to all their acts it would greatly improve its standing among dog breeders and exhibitors.

MR. MOORE: Do you think that the majority in the East believe all this stuff that has been published?
MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: It is not in the East that we need fear any trouble; it is from the West and Middle West.

JUDGE CUTLER: I am laboring under somewhat of a disadvantage in this matter. I did read that article very carefully as I came over on the train, and I think we ought to discriminate between publicity and explanation. The American Kennel Club has not yet got to the point, and its officers have not got to the point, and its committee which was sent out to the coast has not got to the point where they are bound to make any explanation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Have you seen some of the articles that have been published?

JUDGE CUTLER: Yes, I have seen some of them which have attempted to interpret my own language and tell what I meant by a motion which I made to send out to the coast
two gentlemen whom I believe do not need to publish any explanation of why they went out there, and I don’t believe that I should add anything to the meaning of the motion which gave that committee its power by publishing any explanation of what my motion meant. I have not any definite opinion on this matter, but I think we ought to take the attitude that we are not bound to explain our actions to our enemies any way; our friends won’t ask us to explain.

DR. DWIGHT: As the younger of the directors and as one elected for one year, I should have a great deal of hesitancy in breaking into a discussion which it seems to me is extremely important, but I do feel very strongly about it, and I believe in what Mr. Cutler said so deeply that I feel that I would not be doing justice to myself by remaining silent on a matter of this kind. I read that article with care. I read it over three times, with the idea in mind as to how it would affect one who did not know the details. I am so unfortunate as to be a director who does not know the details, not having been present at the meeting. My opinion as to its effect on the American Kennel Club is of no value. My opinion as to its effect on the public without knowledge, I think is of a little value. It seems to me that it is always a mistake to say too much. I
think what you do not say you do no have to take back. I think it is always a mistake to
do too much explaining. It is a very easy matter to hire a clever attorney or a clever
newspaper writer who can beat us at their own particular game. I do not believe in
getting into a game when we are going to get licked by doing so. I think we would lose a
great deal more than we would gain by so long an article, by so much explanation or so
much criticism of individuals. I do not believe that you have reached the stage where it is
necessary at all. It seems to me it is always unwise to explain by throwing mud at
another fellow even if he is very guilty. We may have a little of it stick on our own hands.
It has been said that we need not fear the East. We need not fear the East. You have
got the East now, but you do not want to do anything, that is unnecessarily going to bring
about criticism in your own strongholds.

MR. WILMERDING: I coincide with these gentlemen who have just spoke on this
matter. I think the article is much too lengthy, and I also think that there are a number of
paragraphs which should be eliminated. I have made some notes which should be
eliminated. I have made some notes which I have not at hand now connected with it, but
I did show them to Mr. Vredenburgh. I think if an article should go out, it should be much
shorter than the present one, and there seems to be a little flavor there of mud slinging. The phase that seems to predominate throughout is the one of explanation, which as the gentlemen here said a moment ago, seems to me quite necessary.

DR. DeMUND: I think that the American Kennel Club should define its attitude. We have been attacked by almost every paper, and no answer has been made in any way. I do not believe in an explanation, but I do believe in a statement of the facts of the case. Reference has been made to the Ashland House Committee. If a statement of the case had been made at that time before publicity had been given, there never would have been an Ashland House Committee; and I think we are in the same condition now, that we should state our attitude plainly and give the dog people of the country an opportunity of knowing all the facts of the controversy, and not be confined to only one side of it.

MR. MOORE: In my remarks I did not intend to convey the idea that I am opposed to the publication of a statement of the facts. I think that I san excellent idea. I was talking of that article as it was submitted to the directors. I don’t think that any of the members of the Publication Committee would oppose a statement of fact. They did not seem to be particularly opposed to
that particular article.

MR. HOOLEY: I think some of these gentlemen perhaps did not read the Kennel World and some of the California papers and therefore they do not know the matter is treated out there. Page after page in every issue has been published. Added to that there has been editorial criticism, and to all this there has been no reply, and we stand held up and nailed up to the cross and nothing done. It seems to me something ought to be done and done at once, particularly as they go into details so strongly on the coast.

MR. B.S. SMITH: There is one paper here in the East which has taken a very bitter attitude toward the American Kennel Club from the state of this controversy, and I understand that that very paper to-day has suspended hostilities in a measure owing to the fact that they are waiting to hear the side of the American Kennel Club before they write to hear the side of the American Kennel Club before they write any more against it. That editor has had ammunition from the other side. He knows nothing whatever about what ah has been done by the American Kennel Club or what their reason was for doing these things, so it seems to me that is one very good argument in favor of publishing some sort of an explanation in regard to our attitude in this matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: It does not seem to me that this is so
much a matter of explanation as it is of saying what we had done; not why we did it, but what we have done.

DR. DWIGHT: I think I agree and I think every gentlemen in this room will agree that a careful statement of the facts might be very advisable. My own feeling is that personalities, calling names, is always unwise. That article, while it may not have been read by everybody in this room, has been read by a larger percentage of the people in this room than by the outside world, and what we are doing now is to lay ourselves open to criticism by everybody. Having that article published in every paper it becomes our official answer. We call names, we accuse them of various things which it would be difficult to prove. We use very many adjectives, which is usually unwise, and it does not seem to me a dignified article as representing a moral, judicial position. I think such an article as that would give the other side a lot of ammunition. I think of any article goes out it should be a very careful and a very judicial statement of fact of which there can be no question, leaving something to the imagination. Let the other side see the strong point. It seems to me it is very injudicious in its present form.
MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I would like to second the motion that has been put in connection with this matter.

MR. KEASBEY: On reading that article it struck me as being very good, and I like it all except galley No. 5. I think that should be eliminated.

JUDGE CUTLER: I read a copy of the Kennel World, and I would consider that I had cheapened myself, I should consider that the officers and the members of this club would cheapen themselves by answering any of the stuff that was published in that paper. There is only one way to deal with a statement of that kind, either ignore it, or kick it down stairs. You cannot enter into a discussion with a man that will put out that kind of stuff. He will beat you. We send two gentlemen out to the Coast to talk with those people to find out what the facts are, to find what are their grievances and bring them back to us and report. If that sheet is indicative of their attitude, are we going into a diplomatic correspondence with a gang of mockers. We do not want to talk with them. We do not want to deal with that kind of people. What better could we have done than to have sent our Vice-President and our Secretary out there with sole power to investigate and ascertain what their griev-
ances were and bring them back to us so that we could act intelligently upon them, and yet note the kind of treatment which they received from their local dog press, treatment which I would not want to receive from the lowest sheet that I can think of. We do not want to explain anything in answer to that kind of talk. We cannot do it and maintain our dignity as gentlemen. That is my position in reference to it.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think it is with the idea of giving the general press a true statement of the facts.

JUDGE CUTLER: We will only dignify that paper and will not help ourselves any.

MR. PALMER: I agree thoroughly with all that Judge Cutler has said, and it seems to me that the Kennel World and the other papers of that kind have about exhausted all their ammunition. I don't know what more they can say. So far as denying these statements is concerned, it is merely giving them an opportunity to keep up the fight, and we will have it all over again. We certainly would lose our dignity.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: It seems to me when two members and officers of the American Kennel Club are sent out as a committee to the Pacific Coast, and statements are there made against them which appear in nearly all of the papers,
it is up to the American Kennel Club to make some statement to the general press.

JUDGE CUTLER: All you can make is a statement that you cannot publish and would not publish.

MR. RUTHERFURD: I simply want to say that I think Judge Cutler has sated the matter very well, and I think the simplest way would be to have the case put in a very few words, that the Vice-President and Secretary has been sent out there, for what purpose, that they has conferences with the dog world, and that articles have been written by the kennel press—I have not read them— they seem to be pretty long—should not even be alluded to, and give that statement to whatever dog papers or daily papers you see fit.

DR. DE MUND: It seems to me that some of the gentlemen lose sight of the fact that it is not the kennel press alone, but it has been every paper that has misquoted or told half of the truth as to the condition of affairs, and we have no way of answering it or putting it before them exactly according to the facts. A great many papers have quoted part of the truth about a matter and have worked it around to the disadvantage of the American Kennel Club, and it has not been answered in any way.
THE SECRETARY: Mr. Chairman, you will bear me out that at your suggestion you collated from the press divers criticisms, misrepresentations and misstatements that had seen made from time to time for a number of months regarding their attitude of the American Kennel Club. This statement is not drawn from the imagination at all. It is absolutely a statement of the facts, a statement that can be proven every word. The mud slinging that is in this statement you will find is quotation from difference papers. There I only one statement that I can recall that was not susceptible of proof, and it is only within the last three weeks that I have found that out--- I was told by one of the parties implicated. We say on gallery No. 5 that Mr. Chute is personally responsible for altering the entries on the San Francisco catalogue. That is not so, and I therefore struck it out. Dr. Spriggs, who was the Secretary of the San Francisco Club, of which Mr. Ellery was the President, called at this office about three weeks ago, and after talking the matter over with him I showed him the bound catalogue that was sent here as the official catalogue of the San Francisco Show. He examined the ten interlineations, and he told me positively that they were in the handwriting of Mr. Ellery himself and not in the handwriting of Mr. Chute; that he was very familiar
with Mr. Ellery's handwriting, and this was his handwriting. Just as soon as he stated that I struck out these three lines, and we make no allusion whatever as to who made the interlineations. Outside of that I do not know of a single thing in this statement that is not an actual fact.

MR. RUTHERFURD: It may be that a great many of them are facts to the best of your knowledge later on that they are not true, just as in this case you have now cited, and it would be too late if this article were published to correct it. I think something very short, very much to the point and carefully gotten up should be sent not only to the dog papers, but to the daily press. I think that would cover the ground.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you mean referring to the San Francisco matter in a few words and all these matters should be referred to in a very few words?

MR. RUTHERFURD: Yes, very carefully and well done, so that nobody could prick it with a pin in any way, opening no argument, asking no questions, answering no questions, simply stating the facts as to what the trouble was out there.

THE CHAIRMAN: You cannot mention that trouble out
there without mentioning names.

MR. RUTHERFURD: I did not say not to mention any names. I do not say that it would be necessary to withhold all names, but I should not make any strictures on those names.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is your suggestion as to what should be done with this article?

MR. RUTHERFURD: I should have the Chair appoint a committee called the Publication Committee; a committee that the Chair considered competent to prepare such an article.

MR. HOOLEY: Would the Vice-President and the Secretary consider it in anywise discourteous if it should be declared as the sense of this meeting that it would be injudicious to publish the article proposed?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, not at all.

MR. HOOLEY: Why could not that article be boiled down as suggested by Judge Cutler and Mr. Rutherfurd and other gentlemen here, and made to fit the case, as the judgment of the majority seems to be, with the understanding that no discourtesy was intended to anybody.

JUDGE CUTLER: I move as an amendment to the motion that the Chair appoint a committee of three to take this matter under consideration with power to prepare and pub-
lish such statement as they seem proper.

    MR. HOOLEY:  As I understand it, using this article as a basis?
    JUDGE CUTLER:  yes.
    Amendment seconded.
    MR. CHETWOOD SMITH:  I think if you take that article and boil it down and
    make a dignified article of it, they will print it, but nobody will read it.  The people in the
    Kennel World are used to plain facts.

    DR. DWIGHT:  There is just one more word I desire to say, and that is, the
    statement has been made, or the question has been asked, if Mr. Hunnewell and Mr.
    Vredenburgh would consider that discourteous.  It seems to me it should be impressed
    upon these officers and upon the public, not only the kennel public, but the greater
    public, that instead of suggesting any discourtesy to our officers, we have the greatest
    and highest possible confidence in them, and especially in all of their actions in
    connection with this mission on which they went. I think that should be made very plain;
    that the Board of Directors are baking them just as hard as we can.

    DR. DE MUND:  I accept the amendment.

    THE SECRETARY:  While you are discussing this matter, I might say that Mr.
    Hunnewell stated in an interview he
had with a newspaper man that he found fault with me as the Secretary of the American Kennel Club because I did not answer these misstatements and the different statements made in the newspapers, and quoted the act of the Secretary of the English Kennel Club, that he did it. If I live until the 6th of next May I will have been Secretary of this club for twenty-five years, and up to this time I never have gone into print to contradict anything. I did not think it was my business; if any contradiction was to be made, it should come officially from the club, and not from me. If the Board of Directors think that it comes within my duty when I see any newspaper criticism or misrepresentation to answer it, I should like to know that from the Board of Directors. Personally I should never do it unless I was so directed.

MR. RUTHERFURD: My idea is that this article should come from the Directors.

THE CHAIRMAN: It was written using the facts as they were given by me.

MR. RUTHERFURD: I think that would be a fatal mistake for us to publish an unofficial statement.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is not signed.
THE SECRETARY: I was given these facts that Mr. Hunnewell culled from the newspapers and the minutes of our different meetings simply to use and whip them into shape. There were no views of his own that he advanced.

The motion as amended was then put and carried.

The Chair appointed Messrs Cutler, Mr. Brooks and Dr. Dwight as the committee of three to prepare and publish such statement as they deemed proper.

The following was moved by Mr. Hooley, seconded by Mr. S.R. Cutler: Resolved that the American Kennel Club hears with deepest regret of the death of its first President, Major James M. Taylor, and extends to his family its heartfelt sympathy in their great loss.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS
OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY SEPTEMBER 20TH,
1910.

Vice President H.H. Hunnewell in the chair.

Present:

Associate Delegates
Dwight Moore
B.S. Smith
W. Rutherfurd

Airedale Terrier Club of America
William L. Barclay

American Dachshunde Club
G. Muss-Arnolt

American Fox Terrier Club
H.H. Hunnewell

Atlantic City Kennel Club
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

Bay State Co-operative Bench

Show Association
Edwin W. Dwight

Bloodhound Club of America
Dr. Louis G. Knox

Bulldog Club of America
Edwin L. Boger

Collie Club of America
R.S. Edson

Dalmatian Club of America
Alfred B. Maclay

Golden Gate Kennel Club of
San Francisco
M.M. Palmer

Greyhound Club of America
Edward H. Carle

Irish Setter Club
R.W. Creuzbaur
Irish Terrier Club of America   Singleton Van Schaick
New England Beagle Club   Chetwood Smith
Old English Sheepdog Club   Of America   Tyler Morse
Piping Rock Kennel Club   A.G. Hooley
Revere Kennel Club   Samuel R. Cutler
Russian Wolfhound Club   Dr. J.E. De Mund
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club   A.C. Wilmerding
West Highland White Terrier Club   George Lauder, Jr.
Westchester Kennel Club   George Greer

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and the same were accepted as published in The Gazette.

The Cleveland Fanciers Club was elected as a members of The American Kennel Club.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:
Butterfly Bench Show Association   Raymond Belmont
Scottish Deerhound Club   Of America   Walter G. Oakman, Jr.
North Jersey Kennel Club   Charles D. Bernheimer.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its Officers, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City,
Tuesday, December 20, 1910

Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell in the chair.

- P R E S E N T -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>William L. Barclay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB:</td>
<td>H.H. Hunnewell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB:</td>
<td>H.K. Bloodgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATLANTIC CITY KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>J. Sergeant Price, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>Edwin L. Boger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUTTERFLY BENCH SHOW ASSOCIATION:</td>
<td>Raymond Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALIFORNIA AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB:</td>
<td>G.A. Wertheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>R.S. Edson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALMATICIAN CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>Alfred B. Maclay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANDIE DINMONT TERRIER CLUB:</td>
<td>Jas J. Fox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>O. F. Vedder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLDEN GATE KENNEL CLUB OF SAN FRANCISCO:</td>
<td>M.M. Palmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREYHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>Edward H. Carle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>John F. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONMOUTH COUNTY KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>Andrew Albright, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB:</td>
<td>Jas. W. Appleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB:</td>
<td>Chetwood Smith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

THE SECRETARY: I submit two applications from the Yorkshire, Terrier Club of America, one from New York and one from Boston. The one hailing from New York has been refused by the Membership Committee, but the application form the club hailing from Boston has been signed by all
the members of the committee.

MR. MORTIMER: Is there any reason given why the application of the new York club was refused?

THE SECRETARY: No. The chairman of the committee is here.

MR. WILLETS: The application of the New York Club was refused on several ground. We think that the Boston Yorkshire Terrier Club is entitled to membership because it was organized before the other one, and its application was actually sent in before that of the New York club.

A ballot being taken, the Yorkshire Terrier Club of Boston was duly elected. The New London Kennel Club was also elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Dandie Dinmont Terrier Kennel Club: James J. Fox
Ladies’ Kennel Association of California: Edward Brooks
Cleveland Fanciers Club Co: George W. Batson
Duquesne Kennel Club: Joseph B. Vandergrift

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

“New York, December 19, 1910

“To the Delegates,
Of the American Kennel Club
“Gentlemen:

I beg to report that I have submitted to the Membership Committee three applications for membership, one for the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, with headquarters in Boston, the other for the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, with headquarters in New York City, and one for the New London Kennel Club, at New London, Conn. Also credentials appointing delegates received from the Cleveland Fanciers’ Club Co., Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club of America, Duquesne Kennel Club, and the Ladies’ Kennel Association of California.

“In accordance with Article XVIII, Section 3, By-laws, the Membership Committee shall at this meeting suggest the names of five delegates to act as a Nominating Committee for six Directors whose terms of office expire in February, 1911. In accordance with Article XIX, Section 4, of the Bylaws, the Membership Committee, shall at this meeting nominate four candidates to act as delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers.

“The Inter-State Kennel Club has tendered its resignation as an Active Member to Take effect at once; this club is in good standing and I recommend the acceptance of said resignation.

“I beg to report the following proposed amendment:
Rule Governing Club. 1st. ‘Make a new section, known as Section VII, Article XX, Bylaws, to read:

‘Any Association, Club, Licensed Show, individual person or persons, against whom charges have been preferred, must forward a deposit of $5.00 to the American Kennel Club before their defense will be heard, which deposit shall be forfeited if said charges are sustained.’

“The above proposed amendment is offered by Messers. Smith, Lauder, Jr., and Hooley, comprising the New York Trial Board.

“No. 2. Proposed Amendments, to the Rules Governing Clubs:

‘Strike out the 3rd (third) Article of Rule V, which reads as follows: Clubs Which have not held a show during the year 1908, or prior to September 1st, 1909, desiring to hold a show within twenty-five miles of a place, where a show Has been held, during that year, or the year previous, must obtain the consent of The older club before the application will be approved.’

‘Offered by Dr. J.E. De Mund, Chariman License Com.

‘No. 3. Amend Rule XVI, as follows:

‘Strike out the words (and also all judges’ books) in
the first paragraph of said Rule. Make a new paragraph to read:

‘Judges’ books must be provided for all shows held under American Kennel Club Rules, and said books must be filed with the American Kennel Club, within seven (7) days after the closing of a show. Penalty for non-compliance twenty-five dollars ($25.00),’ Offered by Howard Willets.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A.P. VREDENBURGH,
Secretary.”

On motion the same was received and placed on file.

Mr. Willets, Chairman of the Membership Committee, reported the following named gentlemen to compose the Nominating Committee: Messrs. Alfred Maclay, Chairman; E.H. Sackett. Also nominations for Associate Delegates: Messrs. W.G. Rockefeller, Dwight Moore, B.S. Smith and W. Rutherfurd.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read as follows:

“December 20th, 1910.

“The Stud Book Committee begs to report that since the last meeting, they have added the following to the list
of recognized breeds: Sheepdog of the Abruzzes, sometimes known as Sheepdog of the Maremmes, and the Welsh Springer. The Committee now recommends in addition, the recognition of the Shetland Sheepdog, which is now recognized by the English Kennel Club, and of which breed, there are now some representatives in this country.

The Committee reports further. In the matter of the bull terrier Chess. The bull terrier Chess, was registered by Mrs. N. Leonhart, as being bred by Mrs. W.H. Harris. Mrs. Harris denies having bred the dog. No reply to the Secretary’s letter, asking an explanation has been received from Mrs. Leonhart. The registration has been cancelled, and the Committee recommends that the Secretary be instructed to prefer charges against Mrs. Leonhart for fraudulent registrations.

The Irish Terriers, Redskin and Cambridge Dick, were entered at the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts’ show and listed by Joe Hennessey and P. Bronw, respectfully as being by Thorncroft Sportsman. The breeding is denied by Mr. J.P. Thorndike, the owner of the Sportsman, and the entries were protested by him and the protest sustained by the Ladies’ Kennel Association. The wins have been cancelled, and the Committee is now further investigating the matter.

(Signed)

J.W. APPLETON, Chairman
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

Mr. Edson: I would like to hear a report from the Publication Committee. Since that committee has been in existence we have never had any report of and kind of nature.

MR. WILMERDING: I have no report to make. I am not the chairman of the committee. I only attended one meeting since I have been a member. There has only been one meeting.

MR. EDSON: There has only been one meeting this year. Last year I was a member of the Publication Committee and there were several suggestions advanced for consideration by the Board of Directors. One subject which I remember has never been brought before the Board of Directors, and I cannot understand why the matter has not been brought up. It was suggested at that meeting that the Chairman bring before the Board of Directors the subject of eliminating the publication of pictures in the American Kennel Club Gazette, as it was useless. That was unanimously carried. That happened more than a year and a half ago. I see the pictures are still appearing in the Gazette. I would like to know why.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think your remarks will have the effect of bringing an answer from the committee at the next
THE SECRETARY: I can answer that question now. The pictures are being paid for now.

MR. EDSON: They were paid for before.

THE SECRETARY: No, they were not.

MR. EDSON: Why does the American Kennel Club offer to pay for them when the Publication Committee came to the conclusion that they were useless and that they should be eliminated?

THE SECRETARY: I will not speak for the Publication Committee, but I will say what I was directed to do by the Publication Committee through its chairman, and that is that the expense of publishing pictures in the Gazette was something that we got no return for, and we should discontinue their publication unless they were paid for. In that event to continue to publish. In every case where we have published a picture since that meeting of the Publication Committee we have received our price for the publication of the picture.

MR. EDSON: I have always understood that they were paid for.

THE SECRETARY: You misunderstood.

MR. EDSON: I cannot understand why these pictures were published after the Publication Committee had decided to eliminate them.
THE SECRETARY: I have already stated that the Publication Committee did not
decide not to publish them.

MR. EDSON: I call upon the Chairman of the Publication Committee to show me
those minutes.

THE CHAIRMAN: There is only one member of the committee here.

THE SECRETARY: Whoever owns the dog pays the American Kennel Club for
the publication of the picture.

MR. EDSON: Do you mean to tell me that we are getting the benefit of those
pictures in the Gazette and are not paying for them? As a member of the Committee, I
understand they cost the American Kennel Club Gazette a certain specified amount.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Publication Committee will report at the next meeting.

MR. WILMERDING: This matter did not come up at the single meeting we have
held this year.

THE CHAIRMAN: I will ask you to bring it up before your Committee.

On motion the resignation of the Inter-State Kennel Club was accepted.

THE CHAIRMAN: The balance of the Secretary’s report relative to amendments
to the constitution goes to the Rules Committee, and I would suggest in the future that
these quarterly meetings that every standing committee should
send in a report of some kind, so that we may know exactly what they are doing. Very often we have no reports of any committee except the Stud Book Committee. I merely offer that as a suggestion.

Communications were received from Messrs. F.H. Osgood, Edward Brooks, and R.P. Keasbey, giving reasons for their absence at this meeting.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

--------
A.P. Vredenburgh,
Secy
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City,
Tuesday, December 20th, 1910.

--------
Vice-President H.H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.

- P R E S E N T -

Board of Directors
HOWARD WILLETS
GEORGE GREER
A.G. HOOLEY
A. CLIFTON WILMERDING
J.E. APPLETON
SINGLETON VAN SCHAICK
JOHN E. DE MUND
J.G. BATES
J. SERGEANT PRICE, JR.
JAMES MORTIMER
H.K. BLOODGOOD
CHETWOOD SMITH
WILLIAM RAUCH
R. STEWART EDSON
M.M. PALMER
ANDREW ALBRIGHT, JR.
HOLLIS H. HUNNEWELL
The minutes of the last meeting as published in the Gazette were duly approved.

The Secretary read his report as follows:


To The Board of Directors

Of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report the Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of meetings held October 27th, and November 19, 1910. I would also report that the Special Committee appointed at your last meeting to publish a statement to the press, setting forth the position taken by the American Kennel Club on matters pertaining to the Pacific Coast, finished their labors and having been appointed with full power to publish same, caused papers.

“Since our last meeting, the License Committee has approved of seven licenses.

“At the meeting of the New York Trial Board held November 29, 1920, your Secretary called upon B.F. Simonds, H.R. Williams, as President and Vice-President of the National Airedale Terrier Club, and Herbert M. Wolff, as judge at said National Airedale Terrier Club, at its show held in Kansas City, Mo., which show was held under
rules antagonistic to those of the American Kennel Club to show cause why they should not be disqualified, under RULE II of the Rules Governing Clubs. Replied were received from Mr. Simonds, and Mr. Williams to the effect that their election to office in said club, was without their knowledge or consent, that they did not exhibit at said show, not did they visit said show. No reply was received from Wolff. These matters were referred to the N.Y. Trial Board, at its meeting held November 29, 1910, and said Board recommends, that in the case of Simonds and Williams, Rule II, shall not be enforced, and in the case of Wolff, that he be disqualified.

In compliance with Section XI, of the Bylaws, adopted February, 1910, I have to report that the following Directors have absented themselves from the regular meeting of the Board for two consecutive meetings, and are therefore deemed to have resigned; Russell H. Johnson, Jr., Class 1914; Richard Croker, Jr., Class 1913; William Rauch, Class 1912. Their successors should be elected at this meeting, except in the case of Mr. Rauch who has submitted for your consideration his reasons for said absences.

I beg to report that during the present year, at the following shows, National Beagle Club, Greater Houston Club, Texas Kennel Club, Lone Star Kennel Club, and
Springfield Kennel Club, failed to provide judges’ books at their shows, and it is stated that the judges used the catalogues in judging. The rules state that judges’ books must be filed with the American Kennel Club, but does not impose any penalty for non-compliance, therefore I am reporting this matter for information only.

At the Chicago Collie Club show, October 1, 1910, there was an entry made under the name of Allendale Assn. owner, the Allendale was registered, William Ross owner. I therefore cancelled the winnings of the dog shown by the Allendale Assn. The President of the Chicago Collie Club appeals from my decision in said cancellation, and asks for a ruling on the subject. Your Secretary joins in this request for his future guidance, as to whether an Association not registered as such can be considered as an owners and exhibit as such.

I have to report that the Pointer Club of America, an Active Member of the A.K.C. at its late Field Trials, accepted three entries at said trials, from J.M. Avent, and permitted him to handle six entries. The said J.M. Avent, is a person not in good standing, having been disqualified for using A.K.C. rules at a show held without the sanction of the A.K.C. and for publishing the approval of the American Kennel Club over the signature of its
Secretary without authority. I have therefore called upon the Pointer Club of America through its Secretary to show cause why action should not be taken against it.

The Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Animal Industry, at Washington, D.C., has notified the different association for the registration of domestic animals that on and after January 1, 1911, the privilege heretofore accorded to said association to issue custom certificates, under certain conditions, will be withdrawn, and that all applications for the importation of domestic animals free of duty, must be made direct to the Department of Washington. For general publicity, I have cause the preliminary notice and the new regulations governing the certification of pure bred animals to be published in the forthcoming Gazette of December 31st.

I would respectfully recommend that this meeting resolve itself into executive session to discuss matters of a confidential nature.

The Pacific Advisory Committee bill of $49.35, for disbursements from September 20th to December 13th, 1910, has been filed and found to be correct. The payment of same is respectfully recommended.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A.P. VREDENBURGH,
Secretary."
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:


To the Board of Directors,
American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial statement from January 1st to date:

“Balance on hand, January 1st, 1910 ---------------------------- $19,318.64
“Receipts from January 1st to date ------------------------------------ 25,914.74
“Total --------------------------------------------------------------- $45,233.48
“Disbursements from January 1st to date ---------------------------- 23,986.68
“Balance on hand ------------------------------------------------- $21,246.80

“I also report that all bills for active dues and associate subscriptions were mailed November 30th, 1910.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) A.P. VREDENBURGH
Treasurer”

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The following reports of the Pacific Advisory Committee were read as follows:

“MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE: October 27, 1910
Held at Dreamland Pavilion, San Francisco.

Dr. Burnham in the Chair.

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The Secretary presented certain correspondences, which was ordered filed.

The Secretary presented the premium list and catalogue of the dog show held by the San Jose Kennel Club.

It was moved and seconded, that the San Jose Kennel Club, a member of the American Kennel Club, having held a dog show on October 6, 7, and 8, 1910, under rules antagonistic to the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, in contravention of Rule II of the Rules Governing Clubs, be and hereby is suspended, together with its officers and judges, including H.F. Mann, President, F.E. Baldwin, A. Kammerer, E.K. Clendenning, V.W. Richards, D.A. Robertson, Vice-Presidents, Charles R. Harker, Secretary, E. Van Every, Treasurer, J.C. Berret, Superintendent; J. Hervey Hones and Theed Pearse, Judges, and Henry Berrar, Joseph T. Brooks, Val J. Ruh, E.R. Everett, A.B. McKean and W.S. Sullivan, Members of the Executive Committee, and that said San Jose Kennel Club and its officers and judges are hereby cited before this Committee on November 19th, 1910 at 4:30 p.m., in the Green Room of the Saint Francis Hotel, San Francisco, or by letter within fourteen days from date,
to show cause why such suspension should not be made permanent, and why said San Jose Kennel Club should not be expelled from the American Kennel Club. Carried.

The Secretary reported that the following clubs had not complied with the request of this Committee for the lists of officers and members thereof.

It was moved and seconded, that the Secretary be instructed to direct the following clubs to forward the required lists within fourteen days from date: SEATTLE: PORTLAND: OAKLAND: SOUTHWESTERN: HAWAIIAN: CAL. AIREDALE. CARRIED.

The Secretary submitted a notification from the American Kennel Club that the Board of Directors at the meeting held August 20th, 1910, had remanded to the Pacific Advisory Committee, the De Mund resolution, adopted May 17, 1910.

It was moved and seconded, that This Committee do hereby adopt the resolution remanded to this Committee by the American Kennel Club as follows: That on the submission by the officers and members thereof.

It was moved and seconded, that This Committee do hereby adopt the resolution remanded to this Committee by the American Kennel Club as follows: That on the submission by the officers of the late San Francisco Kennel Club of their case to the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, and on the payment by them of $250.00, being one-half the cost of legal services in connection with the injunction suit brought by them against the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, their suspension be
removed, AND that on the submission by Mr. William Ellery of his case to the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, and on the payment by him of $250.00, being one-half of cost of legal expenses in connection with the injunction suit brought by him against the AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, his suspension be removed. CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded, that the Secretary be directed to notify the officers of the late San Francisco Kennel Club and Mr. William Ellery of the adoption of this resolution by the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE, and to call upon them to fulfill the terms of same by paying the amounts involved to J.P. Norman, Secretary of this Committee at his office, 1215 Metropolis Bank Building, San Francisco, on or before November 15, 1910, and in the event of such payment to appear before the PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE for trial in the Green Room of the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco, on November 26th, 1910, at 4:30pm. CARRIED.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

(Signed) J.P. NORMAN,
Secretary.”

“MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco,

November 19, 1910.
PRESENT: Dr. W.P. Burnham, W.W. Stettheimer; Nat. T. Messer; Norman J. Stewart
ABSENT: H.H. Carlton

Mr. Stettheimer in the Chair.

"On motion the minutes of the previous meeting were approved, as read.

It was moved and seconded that the San Jose Kennel Club, a member of the American Kennel Club, having been suspended for holding a dog show at San Jose, Cal., on October 6th, 7th, 8th, 1910, under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, and having failed to appear before this Committee, as summoned, to show cause why the suspension should not be made permanent, the said San Jose Kennel Club be and hereby is disqualified and expelled from the American Kennel Club, and that the officers and judges of said San Jose Kennel Club, namely: H.F. Mann, President; F.E. Baldwin, A. Kammerer, E.K. Clendenning, V.W. Richards, D.A. Robertson, Vice-Presidents; C.R. Harker, Secretary; E Van Every, Treasurer; J.C. Berrett, Superintendent; Henry Berrar, Jos. T. Brooks, Val J. Ruh, E.R. Everett, A.C. McKean, W.S. Sullivan, Members of the Executive Committee; and J. Hervey Jones and Theed Pearse, Judges; having been suspended and having failed to show cause before this Committee why such suspension should not be made permanent, they be and hereby are dis-
qualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary be and is instructed to communicate to Mr. A. Wolfen, whose name appears as Secretary-Treasurer of the California Cocker Club, in a list of officers and members furnished by that club under date of September 21st, 1910, that such list contains the names of three disqualified persons, and the California Cocker Club is requested to furnish to the Pacific Advisory Committee a list of officers and members, amended so as to omit the names of said disqualified persons from the Executive Committee. Said names being: J. Hervey Jones, H, Leo Park, Val J. Ruh.

CARRIED.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:

(Signed) J.P. NORMAN

Secretary."

THE SECRETARY: The special Committee that was appointed last August have completed their labors and I recommend their discharge. That committee is composed of Samuel R. Cutler, Edward Brooks and Edwin W. Dwight.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that they be discharged with the thanks of the delegates for their work.

Motion seconded and carried.
THE SECRETARY: In relation to the charges brought against B.F. Simonds, H.R. Williams and Herbery M. Wolff, officers of the National Airedale Terrier Club, for holding a show in Kansas City, Mo., under rules antagonistic to those of the American Kennel Club, I have to state that they were given an opportunity to show cause why action should not be taken against them. Messrs Simonds and Williams reported that they had been placed in these positions without their knowledge or consent; that they did not know that they held these offices, and they ask that they be not disqualified. The Trial Board went through this correspondence, and it recommends that Rule II, which calls for the disqualification of such persons, be not enforced. Mr. Wolff, who judged at this show, never replied to my communication, and the Trial Board recommends that Rule II be enforced in his case.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I move that the Trial Board’s recommendation be adopted. Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There are three vacancies on the Board of Directors. In the case of Mr. Williams Rauch,
who represents the Westminster Kennel Club, I received a letter from him dated December 16th, giving his reason why he could not attend.

It was moved and seconded that Mr. Rauch’s explanation be accepted.

CARRIED.

THE SECRETARY: The two directors who have not put in any appearance or furnished any explanation for their absence, are Messrs. Russell H. Johnson, in the class of 1914, and Richard Croker, Jr., in class 1913. I may say in connection with this matter that these gentlemen have never attended a meeting of the Board of Directors.

Nominations were made and a ballot being taken, the Chairman announced that Mr. John G. Bates was elected to fill the vacancy in the class of 1914, and that Mr. Alvin Untermyer was elected to fill the vacancy in the class of 1913.

THE SECRETARY: The question now comes before you in relation to the entry made in the name of the Allendale Association at the Specialty Show held by the Chicago Collie Club in October last. It has always been a question in my mind whether that is a good entry. The Association has never been registered with us and we have no means of getting at the individuals who compose the association. If it
is necessary to prefer charges or to cancel wins or to discipline. In this case there was a kennel name Allendale registered, owned by a man named Ross. It appears that, within the last five to six days, he has sent in an application to transfer the word “Allendale” from himself to the Allendale Association. The Allendale Association is a farm for young boys for educational purposes. It is a corporation. They showed this collie in the name of their association as owner, and as there has been other instances – for instance, a certain brewing company – I cancelled their wins because we had no record of the proprietors. The President of the Chicago Collie Club asks for a ruling in this matter and appeals from the cancellation that I imposed. I am very glad he did it because I would like your instructions in the matter.

DR. DE MUND: Does not that go to the Rules Committee for interpretation of the rules?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. WILLETS: It seems to me it is rather a hard ruling that an association or number of men together cannot show their dogs. Suppose there were several gentlemen owning a hunting club and they owned some very good pointers and wanted to show some of their dogs at some of
the shows. For instance, the Chesapeake Bay Hunting Club,— I do not say that there is such a club — wanted to show some pointers, and they entered them as being owned by the Chesapeake Bay Hunting Club. Are they to be debarred from showing their dogs because the Chesapeake Bay Hunting Club is not registered with us as an owner? Individuals do not own those does; it is the whole hunting club. This dog was simply shown by an association. They do not claim any kennel names or anything else. Mr. Bloodgood and two other gentlemen and myself have an association. Suppose we want to show our setters, would we be debarred from showing them as an association? We must show them as an association.

THE CHAIRMAN: You are opening a very wise road there.

THE SECRETARY: We have what appears to me as a precedent. The Stud Book Committee decided that the Myopia Hunt Club could not enter their dogs as Myopia Hunt, unless they registered the name. That was several years ago, and they did it.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I would like to ask if all the various hunt clubs are registered?

MR. MORTIMER: The hunt clubs are not entered at Madison Square Garden. They do not enter any hounds at
Madison Square Garden.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think the point is here, if the hunt clubs that enter dogs do not come under the jurisdiction of the American Kennel Club, they are governed by the rules of the local show.

MR. BLOODGOOD: The whole point of the matter is that the association must be registered.

MR. MORTIMER: If the association is registered, that covers it.

On motion the matter was referred to the Rules Committee.

On motion the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements amounting to $49.35 was ordered paid.

On motion the Dr. DeMund, the meeting went into committee of the whole.

The committee arose and Mr. Bloodgood, the chairman, reported that the matter under discussion has been referred to Mr. Alvin Untermeyer as counsel. Also that it has been resolved that hereafter only the motions and the results of the deliberations be published in the minutes of the meetings in cases of misconduct or charges for misconduct.

On motion the report was adopted.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

A.P. Vredenburgh,  
Sec'y
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB  
Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City,  
Wednesday, February 15\textsuperscript{th}, 1911

President August Belmont in the chair.

\begin{tabular}{ll}
\textbf{ASSOCIATE DELEGATES:} & Dwight Moore \\
 & B. S. Smith \\
\textbf{AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB:} & H. H. Hunnewell \\
\textbf{AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB:} & H. K. Bloodgood \\
\textbf{ANTHRACITE KENNEL CLUB:} & Wilson Bailey \\
\textbf{BUTTERFLY BENCH SHOW ASSOCIATION:} & Raymond Belmont \\
\textbf{CINCINNATI KENNEL ASSOCIATION:} & F. G. Adler \\
\textbf{COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA:} & R. S. Edson \\
\textbf{DACHSUNDE CLUB OF AMERICA:} & G. Muss-Arnolt \\
\textbf{DUQUESNE KENNEL CLUB OF WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA:} & Jos. B. Vandergrift \\
\textbf{ERIE KENNEL CLUB:} & R. C. Stewart \\
\textbf{FAIRFIELD COUNTY KENNEL CLUB:} & Alvin Untermeyer \\
\textbf{FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA:} & O. F. Vedder \\
\textbf{GOLDEN GATE KENNEL CLUB OF SAN FRANCISCO:} & M. M. Palmer \\
\textbf{GREYHOUND CLUB OF AMERICA:} & Edward H. Carle \\
\textbf{IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA:} & Singleton Van Schaick \\
\end{tabular}
LADIES KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA: Edward Brooks
LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB: John F. Collins
MALTESE TERRIER CLUB: E. H. Berendsohn
MASSACHUSETTS KENNEL CLUB: August Belmont
NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB: Jas. W. Appleton
NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB: Chetwood Smith
NORTH JERSEY KENNEL CLUB: Chas. D. Bernheimer
PIPING ROCK KENNEL CLUB: A. G. Hooley
SAN MATEO KENNEL CLUB: Howard Willets
SANTA CLARA COUNTY KENNEL CLUB: W. S. Gurnee, Jr.
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB: A. C. Wilmerding
SEATTLE KENNEL CLUB: Clarence Sackett
TEXAS KENNEL CLUB: Geo. W. Clayton
WELSH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: Franklin B. Lord, Jr.
WESTMINSTER KENNEL CLUB: William Rauch
GWYNEDD VALLEY KENNEL CLUB: C. R. Wood

The report of the election of associate delegates was read as follows:

"New York, February 1, 1911

"Pursuant to Article XIX, Section 4 of the By-laws of the American Kennel Club, the undersigned, Secretary of the said club, duly opened the ballots in the presence of the tellers appointed by the President of said club and
certify as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associate Subscribers entitled to vote</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattering</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of votes cast</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular and thrown out</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We therefore declare the following candidates, having received the highest number of votes, to be duly elected as delegates for the Associate Subscribers for the year 1911 and until their successors shall have been elected:

William Rockefeller, Dwight Moore, Ben S. Smith, Winthrop Rutherfurd.

(Signed) A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

RAYMOND BELMONT ) Teller
Clarence Sackett ) “

THE CHAIRMAN: The next business in order is the reading of the minutes of the last meeting.

MR. MOORE: At the last meeting of the delegates there was a matter acted upon by them which was an error. The matter should have been brought before the directors, and I would like to have the consent of the delegates to defer that matter of the action of the Board. I refer to the report of the Stud Book Committee. I move that the minutes of that meeting be approved with the exception of the report of the Stud Book Committee and the discussion.
relative to the Publication Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: You move that that part be expunged from the minutes?
MR. MOORE: Yes.
Motion seconded and carried.

The following named clubs were elected to membership:

Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club, Irish Wolfhound Club, Plainfield Kennel Club and Sioux City Kennel Club.

The application of the Toy Dog Club of New England was presented.
MR. MOORE: Has that club ever held a show?
THE SECRETARY: No.
MR. MOORE: Is a motion in order to postpone the election of this proposed member until after the vote on the amendments? In one of the amendments coming up today there is a question on locality, that clubs holding shows shall have jurisdiction within a certain territory.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Is this a specialty show?

THE CHAIRMAN: No. This is the application of a club for membership which has not held a show.

MR. MOORE: They do not claim a town. They are scattered all over Massachusetts, and they could hold a show in Boston if they were elected before this amendment goes into effect.

THE CHAIRMAN: Such a motion as you suggest is in or-
MR. MOORE: Then I make that motion.

MR. BEHRENDSON: Wouldn’t it be better to refer it back to the Membership Committee?

MR. MOORE: I accept that amendment. Amendment seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Santa Clara County Kennel Club was elected on January 3rd by the Pacific Advisory Committee and thereby becomes a new member of the American Kennel Club without any action on the part of this club.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Cincinnati Kennel Club: Morris G. Adler
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club: Charles R. Wood
Santa Clara County Kennel Club: W. S. Gurnee, Jr.
Erie Kennel Club: Ralph C. Stewart
Plainfield Kennel Club: Edmund L. Mackenzie
South Side Kennel Club: Charles A. Kelly
New England Collie Club: H. P. J. Earnshaw

THE CHAIRMAN: The next business in order is the election of directors. There are six directors to be elected at this meeting to serve until 1916. A nominating Committee was appointed and the Secretary will announce their selection, and it is competent for you to elect them as a whole or individually.
THE SECRETARY: The class of directors expiring today have been nominated for the club of 1916:


In the class of 1915 there was a vacancy and a director was elected for one year until this meeting. For that class Mr. A. Clinton Wilmerding is nominated by the Nominating Committee.

For the class of 1914, in a similar way Mr. John G. Bates was nominated.

For the class for 1913 Mr. Alvin Untermeyer was re-nominated for the entire term, and owing to the fact that Dr. Dwight, who was a director and whose club ceased to be a member of the American Kennel Club, it left a vacancy, and the Nominating Committee has nominated Mr. Charles D. Bernheimer in his place.

For class 1912 Messrs. M. M. Palmer and A. Albright, Jr., were nominated.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is unanimous consent you can authorize the Secretary to deposit one ballot for the gentlemen named, or if you wish to ballot for the gentlemen named, or if you wish to ballot on each of the names or in groups, it is for you to say. I do not find any specific method of election prescribed by the by-laws.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that the Secretary be authorized
to cast one ballot for the various nominees as recommended by the Nominating Committee.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    THE SECRETARY: The Secretary has cast a vote for the ticket named by the Nominating Committee appointed by this club last December.

    The Secretary read his financial statement for the year 1910, which will be found published elsewhere.

    “New York, February 14th, 1911.

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my usual report:

    The Philadelphia Dog Show Assn. has tendered its resignation from active membership. This club was in good standing on December 31, 1910, and I recommend that said resignation be accepted.

    Notices from the following Active Members have been filed: Jan. 21, 1911, Bloomington Kennel Club: Feb. 8, 1911, Bull Terrier Breeder’s Assn.: that both have ceased to exist as clubs, and therefore should be dropped from the list of Active Members.

    Under Article XVI, Section III of the By-laws, the following clubs were duly suspended on Feb. 1, 1911, for failure to pay the dues for the current year, and on this
date said clubs have ceased to be members of the American Kennel Club.
Bay State Co-operative Bench Show Assn.
Bryn Mawr Kennel Club
Bloomington Kennel Club
Bull Terrier Breeders’ Assn.,
Columbus Kennel Club
North Shore Kennel Club
Topeka Kennel Club

In consequence of the above, the delegates of the Bay State Bench Show Co-operative Assn. ceases to be a member of the Board of Directors, for the class of 1913. A successor for this class should be elected at this meeting.

All the proposed amendments to the By-laws, the Rules Governing Clubs and the Rules Governing Dog Shows have been duly published in the Gazette and the same have been referred to the Rules Committee which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

Since our last quarterly meeting the Santa Clara Kennel Club has been admitted to Active Membership by our Pacific Advisory Committee. I have received application for Active Membership from five clubs and credentials for delegates from seven clubs, all of which have been referred to the Membership Committee which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

MR. MOORE: The Finance Committee met a short time ago, and its report is embodied in the report of the Treasurer here.

MR. BROOKS: Chairman of the Rules Committee, reported as follows:

The Rules Committee reports the following amendments and additions to the By-laws and Rules Governing Clubs and Shows:

1. Add the By-laws, Section 7, Article XX, as follows: “Any person who may have been suspended or disqualified on charges by any Trial Board and who may appeal for re-instatement shall not be considered unless upon payment by the appellant of the fee of five dollars.”

That was not the rule which was originally submitted to us. The rule which was originally submitted to us called upon anybody who wanted to appeal to make a deposit of five dollars. We changed that so that anybody who has been disqualified or suspended and wants to have a rehearing for re-instatement should be charged a fee of five dollars. We thought it just and proper that he should pay part of the expense incurred by the club in hearing his appeal.

THE CHAIRMAN: That would also protect the club from any number of unnecessary re-hearings as well.
MR. BROOKS: It is suggested more with a view to covering the club’s expenses in holding these various Trial Board meetings. If he wants to be reinstated I think he should pay a part of the expense.

MR. COLLINS: Does that proposed amendment hold that a person against whom charges have been presented shall deposit five dollars?

MR. BROOKS: Anybody who has been disqualified or suspended by a Trial Board. If he has been disqualified by a meeting of the club, and he desires reinstatement, we do not ask here that he shall pay anything, but the proceedings of the Trial Board are rather expensive.

MR. APPLETON: It seems to me that every man who has been disqualified should be on the same footing. If one man wants to rehearing I do not see why he should not pay five dollars as well as another. Under this proposed amendment any one who desires a rehearing does not have to pay. It makes somewhat of a distinction in the two different kinds of disqualifications.

THE SECRETARY: There is more trouble and expense in a Trial Board than there is in having the matter come simply before the club. We have to have special stationery and a great deal of special matter in order to go through the trial by a regularly appointed Trial Board. We have had cases before the Trial Board where two consec-
utive days have been consumed. The Stud Book Committee may bring up a case and recommend the suspension or disqualification of a man that has committed some error at a show. As I understand, this amendment does not cover anything like that. It only covers the cases where expenses are incurred by the American Kennel Club to conduct that trial.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The cases which come up for trial before the Trial Boards are different from other cases of disqualification entirely. Disqualifications and suspensions have been imposed without any trial at all, and there is no expense connection with them. The disqualified one can appeal from that, and there is no Trial Board. For instance, suppose the President disqualifies somebody. There is no expense connected with that. He is disqualified, and he appeals, and that is the end of it; but the Trial Board has to go to considerable expense. That is the reason we limited the payment of a fee by the appellant to the Trial Board.

MR. MOORE: Why make an arbitrary sum? Why not leave it to the discretion of the Trial Board? Some cases take hours to decide and other cases might not take ten minutes.

THE SECRETARY: The plaintiff has to put up ten dol-
lars, and in eight cases out of ten he gets him ten dollars back because he wins his case, and the Trial Board seemed to think it was not fair to assess the person who has been disqualified a greater sum than five dollars because the plaintiff who brings the suit gets his ten dollars back in eight cases out of ten.

MR. WILLETS: In order to bring this matter before the house I will move an amendment that this shall read: “Any person who may be suspended or disqualified and who may appeal for reinstatement, the same shall not be considered unless upon payment by him of five dollars.”

MR. BROOKS: I will accept the amendment.

Amendment seconded and carried.

The Rule as amended and carried.

“No. 1. Add to the By-laws, Section 7, Article XX, as follows: Any person who may have been suspended or disqualified and who may appeal for reinstatement shall not be considered unless upon payment of a fee of five dollars.”

MR. BROOKS (reading): No. 2 Article VI, Section 5 of By-laws: At the annual meeting in 1911 or adjournment thereof, the directors shall elect five members of the Pacific Advisory Committee one of whom shall hold office until the annual meeting of 1912, one of whom shall hold office until 1913, one of whom shall hold office until 1914, one of whom shall hold office until 1915, and one of whom shall hold office until
1916, and at each annual meeting shall elect one member to hold office for five years.”

Amendment seconded and carried.

MR. BROOKS (reading): “No. 3. Strike out third article of Rule V, Rules
Governing Clubs, and substitute: “An active member shall have the sole show privilege
in the city or town of its organization, provided it shall hold an annual fixture. No show
shall be licensed in said city or town without permission of the said member except
should said member fail to hold a show within a period of eighteen months, in which
case a show may be licensed in said city or town, without the permission of the resident
member. If said resident member fails to hold a show within twelve months after a
licensed show has been held in said city or town, an application from a new organization
for active membership may be accepted by the American Kennel Club without the
consent of the original member.”

MR. COLLINS: If that rule were adopted would it not exclude any young club in a
city from being an active member of this organization or from holding a show? For
instance, the organization which I represent, the Long Island Kennel Club, is located in
the same city as the Westminster Kennel Club, and I think under this rule it would make
us subsidiary to them and compel us to go to the West-
minster Kennel Club for permission to hold this show in the same city.

MR. BROOKS: Have you held a show already?

MR. COLLINS: Yes.

MR. BROOKS: This applied to new clubs.

THE CHAIRMAN: You could amend that so it would apply to boroughs, so that kennel clubs could exist in the boroughs of the Bronx, Richmond and Queens, for instance. Even if a show took the place of the Long Island Kennel Club, it would be for them and not for the Westminster Kennel Club or for a Manhattan Club to have anything to say about it. It should mean the same as a borough.

MR. BROOKS: Do you think it would be wise to district New York?

MR. MOORE: It would be just as necessary to re-district Boston and Philadelphia and Chestnut Hill and other places. I do not think it will interfere.

MR. COLLINS: I think it would militate against the standing of the Long Island Kennel Club and the other clubs located in and around Boston and Philadelphia, and if any of these clubs should lapse for a year from holding a show, anybody could jump in and hold a show.

THE SECRETARY: Within eighteen months.

MR. BROOKS: They have got to get the sanction of the License Committee and the sanction of the older club.
THE SECRETARY: And also the sanction of the Membership Committee.

MR. COLLINS: I move as an amendment, that in the case of the City of New York that city or town should be read as city or borough.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I do not see how any trouble could arise from this because it goes through three bodies and it takes eighteen months to bring it up.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I think it should be referred back to the Rules Committee because I don’t think the rule has been digested well enough.

MR. COLLINS: If Mr. Muss-Arnolt will make that as an amendment to the motion I will accept that. I think the whole business is rather indefinite.

Motion last made seconded and lost.

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion being lost, do you renew your motion, Mr. Collins?

MR. COLLINS: Yes, I will renew my amendment now.

MR. APPLETON: I would suggest cities or towns that district or borough should be considered in the place of the word “city.”

MR. COLLINS: That is acceptable.

MR. HOOLEY: How about smaller cities of twenty-five thousand or thirty thousand inhabitants that are divided?
It would cause a great deal of unnecessary trouble and work. I think it would complicate matters for our committee and for our Secretary.

THE CHAIRMAN: Of course the Committee will interpret this amendment rationally, and if they should do an injustice to any smaller city, that would be a matter for appeal, and you could cover the point that way.

MR. PALMER: I think if a show is not good enough to make good in eighteen months, somebody ought to have the right of making good.

Mr. Appleton’s motion was put and lost.

MR. BROOKS: I now move the original amendment.

Original amendment as read carried.

MR. BROOKS (reading): No. 4. To amend Rule V, Section J of Rules Governing Dog Show: Field Trial Classes of Bench Shows shall be confined to dogs that have been entered and run at any recognized field trial.”

The Rules Committee cut out the part referring to the sire and dam and left it in this shape, that field trial classes at bench shows should be confined to dogs that have been entered and run at recognized field trials.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: That is a new rule, isn’t it?

MR. BROOKS: This is an amendment to the original rule, or rule published.

THE SECRETARY: Any dog that has been placed at a
recognized field trial.

MR. BROOKS: The amendment is that he strikes out the word “placed”. It shall be confined to dogs who have been entered and run. A dog might lose a place by a very small margin.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: Eight years ago I started this same movement and had several shows of our field classes for dogs that had been entered and run. The result was that dogs were entered for field trials and run there which could not run, simply with the idea of making them eligible for this class, and it spoiled the field trial class, and at the suggestion of a good many field trial people when these rules were gotten up, that was changed and put in in this way, that a dog must have been placed in the field trial class to be eligible. There is a Hunter’s class which follows this, if I am not mistaken, for dogs which have been run in field trials which have received a certificate of merit at those trials. I am very much opposed to having this change made.

MR. MOORE: I move that it be referred back to the Rules Committee with the recommendation that they take it up with the Field Trial Club. I think the Field Trial Club should be consulted about this.

Motion seconded.
MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I think it is a pity to lay this matter down for a year. It has been tried out in the way which is now suggested and found to be very unsatisfactory, and those who have watched these field trials for the last six years will know that only the dogs that have been placed are the ones entitled to recognition.

MR. APPLETON: I quite agree with Mr. Smith’s remarks. I have watched the field trials for a good many years.

The motion to refer the matter back to the Rules Committee was put and lost.

The original amendment was put and lost.

MR. BROOKS (reading): “No. 5. Amendment to Rule XVI, Governing Clubs: Strike out the words ‘and also all judges’ books’ in the first paragraph of said rule. Make a new paragraph to read: ‘Judges’ books must be provided for at all shows held under American Kennel Club Rules, and said books must be filed with the American Kennel Club within seven days from the close of the show. Penalty for non-compliance, twenty-five dollars.”

It used to be a dollar a day.

Amendment seconded and carried.

MR. BROOKS (reading): Section F, Rules Governing Shows: “At any show where there is no winners’ ratings, wins in the novice and limit classes will not be recorded.
The reason for this is that the puppies have been shown in the puppy class and then won in the winners class, and then the show had no rating, and they had ruled that that puppy was one having won in the winner’s class – having no credit in the winner’s class and at the same time has been shown as a novice.

(At this point Mr. Belmont resigned the chair to Mr. H. H. Hunnewell).

THE CHAIRMAN: You misunderstood that. The point is this: That a puppy that is entered in a show, and he wins in the winners’ class, and his winners does not count, but he wins in the novice; heretofore that novice counted. So he does not get credit for his winners, and he loses in his novice. A gentleman brought this matter up to me the other day. He said he entered his dog in a small show, and he won his winners, and the show did not give him a point. He entered this dog simply to help the show out. He meant to keep this dog for novice class in New York. He tried to enter him in the novice, and they say it is not eligible yet he does not win one point. It is unfair if you allow a dog to have the novice counted against him if his winners did not count.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: If the show is not large enough
to give one point, why pay any attention to any wins, even the limit wins?

MR. MOORE: There might be sixty dogs in one breed, and yet you say those wins do not count.

MR. COLLINS: That would work in the other direction. The exhibitor if you added that to it.

MR. MOORE: It would seem to me as if we were trying to change the rule because some one had mentioned a slight hardship; not that there is any crying need of it. We have been holding shows for two years under the present rules and I have not heard anybody crying about it. I do not think we ought to change the rules because some one calls attention to the fact that he might lose one win.

MR. BROOKS: Perhaps the word "recorded" is not properly used. The intention was that it should not work against him at the next show where he wants to go into the novice class.

MR. PALMER: If there wins do not count, what is the dog showing for? It is a recognized show, but it does not rate ne point. Yet at the same time he might win his novice. He could go to another show and if his first limit counted at the next show, his second limit would count; but in this way it would not count at all, his novice
or limit wins.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is against the exhibitor. We are trying to save the exhibitor.

MR. HOOLEY: I shows at a number of shows last year, I think at Lenox, Islip and Staten Island, as also did a number of others, where we did not get any winners ratings had dogs in the novice class and ran them through. I think that is a change we all take. You have got to be a good sport and take that chance. I think the average exhibitor feels that way about it. I think the average exhibitor would be perfectly satisfied with the rule.

Amendment seconded and lost.

MR. BROOKS (reading): "A puppy winning in the winners shall not be adjudged as having won in the novice or limit classes."

The object of that is that if the dog is entered in a novice class and wins in the winners where there is no rating, he shall not be classed as having been shown.

MR. HUNNEWELL: The reason that this was brought up was because this same gentleman said he entered a puppy in a puppy class and did not enter him in the novice because he wanted to show him in the novice in New York, and he entered him in the novice in New York and the Secretary ruled that having won in the winners it counted as a win and was not eligible in the novice.
MR. MOORE: I move that this amendment take the same course.

Amendment seconded and lost.

Mr. Brooks read the rest of the Rules Committee report as follows: “In regard to the case of the Allendale Association of Lake Villa, Illinois, where they entered a dog under the name of Allendale Black Douglas, the action of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club in cancelling the win of said dog is hereby sustained, on the ground that the prefix “Allendale” had already been registered with the American Kennel Club.

In regard to an associate entering a dog over its association name, the Rules Committee rule that in the future the name of the association, proof of its incorporation, and a list of its officers shall be filed with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club prior to the making of any such entry.

The report of the Rules Committee, as amended, was then adopted.

The following named gentlemen were elected directors of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

N. J. Stewart, one year; W. P. Burnham, for two years; H. H. Carlton, for three years; N. T. Messer, for four years; and W. W. Stettheimer for five years.

On motion the resignation of the Philadelphia Dog
Show Association was accepted.

THE SECRETARY: The Bloomington Kennel Club notified me on January 21st, twenty-one days after their dues were payable, that they had disbanded. The Bull Terrier Breeders’ Association notified me on February 8th, thirty-nine days after their dues were payable, that they had disbanded.

MR. MOORE: They have already been dropped.

THE SECRETARY: They have been dropped. I have here the appeal from the Topeka Kennel Club, asking to be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee. As the Topeka Kennel Club did not see fit to pay its dues I suppose there is nothing to be done.

On motion of Mr. Brooks, the meeting went into a committee of the whole.

MR. H. K. BLOODGOOD, in the chair.

The committee of the whole rose, and the chairman reported that the recommendation made by the committee of the whole was accepted unanimously.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell, in the chair.

On motion the rules were suspended and Mr. H. K. Bloodgood assigned to the chair.

MR. HUNNEWELL: On the recommendation of the delegates at the annual meeting I move that in view of the faithful services rendered to the American Kennel Club by Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh for twenty-five years we do now recommend the Finance Committee to direct the payment of $500 to him at a complimentary dinner to be tendered to him after the May meeting of the delegates, and that a committee of three be appointed to arrange for a subscription dinner to be tendered to him by the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and passed unanimously.

Chairman Bloodgood appointed Messrs. Bernheimer, Willets, Dr. J. E. DeMund and B. S. Smith as such committee.
THE CHAIRMAN: If there be no objection, the reading of the minutes of the last meeting will be dispensed with and they will be accepted as published.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS
THE CHAIRMAN: The first officer is that of a president. Nominations are in order.

MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. August Belmont for re-election.

Nomination seconded.

On motion the Secretary was authorized to cast a ballot for Mr. August Belmont as President.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot and the chairman declared Mr. August Belmont's election as President.

MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. H. H. Hunnewell for First Vice-President.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was authorized to cast one ballot for Mr. Hunnewell as First Vice-President.
The Secretary declared that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. H. H. Hunnewell was therefore duly declared elected.

MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. H. K. Bloodgood for the office of Seconded Vice-President.

Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for Mr. Bloodgood’s election as Second Vice-President.

The Secretary announced that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Bloodgood was thereupon declared elected.

MR. MOORE: I nominate Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh for election as Secretary-Treasurer.

The Secretary announced that he had cast such ballot.

STANDING COMMITTEES

MR. BROOKS: I nominate Messrs. W. D. Rockefeller, H. K. Bloodgood and Howard Willets as members of the Finance Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary announced that he had cast such ballot, and they were declared duly elected.


Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the secretary was directed to cast one ballot for these gentlemen as members of the Stud Book Committee.

The Secretary announced that he had cast such ballot, and the gentlemen named were thereupon declared duly elected.


Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary announced that he had cast such ballot, and the gentlemen named as members of the Stud Book Committee were declared duly elected.
MR. APPLETON: I nominate Messrs. Howard Willets, J. E. De Mund, J. Sergeant Price, Jr., B. S. Smith and George Greer as members of the Membership Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary announced that he had cast such ballot for the gentlemen named as members of the Membership Committee, and they were thereupon declared duly elected.

MR. B. S. SMITH: I nominate Messrs. J. E. DeMund, George Lauder, Jr., and F. H. Osgood as members of the License Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast such ballot, and the gentlemen named as members of the License Committee were thereupon declared duly elected.


Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary announced that he and cast such ballot, and the gentlemen named as members of the Field Trial Committee were declared duly elected.

MR. BERNHEIMER: I nominate Messrs. Dwight Moore, A. Clinton Wilmerding, A. Albright, Jr., Rowland P. Keasbey and M. M. Palmer as members of the Publications Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were declared closed. On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary announced that he had cast such ballot, and the gentlemen named as members of the Publication Committee were thereupon declared duly elected.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

“New York, February 14th, 1911.

To the Board if Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen: I beg to present my quarterly report:

A decision by the Trial Board sitting in New York, at its meeting held Feb. 7, 1911.

The semi-annual convention of Pacific Coast Clubs: The Minutes of the P. A. C. meeting held Jan. 3, 1911. An appeal from the Topeka K. C. to be transferred to the juris-
diction of the Pacific Advisory Committee. In this connection let me say that said club being in arrears for dues is no longer a member of the Kennel Club.

(This report was written yesterday and the time expired to-day when the Topeka Club ceased to be a member).

Dr. F. H. Osgood, a member of this Board requested me to inform you that owing to recent severe illness he has been ordered South by his physician and will not be able to return until early in April. Mr. S> R. Cutler sent his excuse for absence at this meeting. These notices are reasonable excuses for absence from this meeting and same should be passed upon by this Board.

On February 9, 1911, E. A. Rogers, Dundee, Mich. preferred charges against W> E. Mason, Ridgewood, NJ for misconduct in connection with dogs. This matter will be referred to the Trial Board sitting in New York.

I have two communications which I will read for your information, one from the Toronto Kennel Club, and one from the Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club.

A bill for disbursements by the P. A. C. from Dec. 23, 1910, to Feb. 7, 1911, amounting to $25.04 has been received and I recommend same to be paid.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

To The Board of Directors

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial statement from Jan. 1, 1911 to date.

Balance on hand Jan. 1, 1911-----------------------------$21,793.44

Receipts from Jan. 1 to date----------------------------- 3,708.65

Total --------------------------------------------------$25,502.09

Disbursements from Jan. 1, to date---------------------- 2,935.72

Balance on hand ----------------------------------------$22,566.37

I beg to report for your information that although the Rules do not require that final bills for active dues should be sent to clubs in arrears they were however mailed on Feb. 1, with a notice to each club that it had on that date been suspended, and that if said dues were not paid on or before the date of this meeting that said clubs would cease to be members without further action.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDEBURGH,
Treasurer

THE SECRETARY: I thought it was only fair to the clubs who had failed to pay their dues on February 1st to notify them that they had been suspended, but they had the
opportunity to make good before the 15\textsuperscript{th}, and as a consequence there are six clubs that have paid since February to date.

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file.

MR. MOORE: I move that the report of the Stud Book Committee at the December meeting to the delegates be accepted as of that date and placed on file.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Appleton read the report of the Stud Book Committee as follows:

"New York, February 15\textsuperscript{th}, 1911

REPORT OF STUD BOOK COMMITTEE

MATTER OF POODLE LANSDOWNE FANDANGO

Miss Elise Dorr petitions to be allowed to change the name of the Poodle ‘Lansdowne Fandango’, registered on December 30, 1910. The facts are as follows: The dog was bought here Mr. Lewis for Miss Dorr in England, and on arrival here Mr. Lewis applied for registration in his name. The name applied for being ‘Lansdowne Hermitage Fandango.’ ‘Lansdowne’ being the prefix owned by Mr. Lewis. The name ‘Hermitage’ being already taken, the dog was registered as ‘Lansdowne Fandango.’ Miss Dorr now claims that Lewis had no right to register the dog in his name, as she was the sole owner of the dog at the time. She objects to the dog being registered under Lewis’ pre-
fix and claims that he had no authority to register the dog. In spite of the fact that the registration has been published in last year’s Volume of the Stud Book, the Committee, nevertheless thinks it equitable under the circumstances that the registration of the dog be cancelled, and so recommends.

MATTER OF BULL TERRIER CHESS

In the matter of the Bull Terrier ‘Chess’ registered by Mrs. N. Leonhart as bred by Mrs. W. Harris, who denies having bred the dog. This matter was brought before the last meeting, and since then, the Secretary wrote Mrs. Leonhart under date of December 23rd, giving her an opportunity to state her case and has received no reply.

The Committee recommends that Mrs. N. Leonhart be suspended for incorrect registration.

MATTERS OF IRISH TERRIERS RED SKIN AND CAMBRIDGE DICK

In the matter of the Irish Terriers ‘Red Skin’ and ‘Cambridge Dick’ as stated in the Committee’s last report. These terriers were entered at the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Mass. Show by Joe Hennessey and P. Brown respectfully. The breeding given was denied by the owner of the sire and the Committee are satisfied that the correct breeding of the dogs were not given. Mr. Brown has satisfied the Committee that he was not responsible in any way for the misrepresentation of the breeding. We believe that
Joe Hennessey is guilty of having deliberately entered and listed the dogs under false pedigree and we recommend that he be disqualified.

J. W. APPLETON,
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and the recommendations therein contained adopted.

Mr. Moore read the report of the Publication Committee:

To the Directors:
The Publication Committee begs to submit for your information the following tables showing the annual deficits for publishing the Gazette from 1905 to 1910 inclusive, and the cost of printing the stud-books from 1905-1909.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gazette</th>
<th>1905</th>
<th>Deficit</th>
<th>$1,562.17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>2,399.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>3,160.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>2,350.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>1,847.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>599.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stud Book</th>
<th>1905</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>2,115.18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>2,901.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>3,251.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>2,398.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>2,118.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average yearly deficit of the Gazette 1905-1908 was $2,368.28 against $1,847.08 in 1909 and $599.69 in 1910. The small deficit last year would have been reduced by $170.00 had not the P. O. Department raised the Gazette to the 3rd class rates instead of 2nd class.
The average yearly cost of printing the Stud Book 1905-1908 was $2,666.71 against $2,118.36 in 1909. The figures for 1910 will not be available until edition is completed, but we are confident of a considerable cost saving over 1908.

Respectfully submitted,

DWIGHT MOORE,
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH read the report of the Field Trial Committee, as follows:

“The Field Trial Committee wish to report that a number of Field Trials for the various breeds have been held and that the results of these trials would be published in the Stud Book.

FIELD TRIAL COMMITTEE,

CHETWOOD SMITH

CHAIRMAN

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Keasbey, Chairman of the Committee on Expressage reported progress.

THE SECRETARY: I have a certified copy here of the notice that was sent to the Pacific Coast Clubs for the semi-annual convention to be held in San Francisco on January 3rd. That, I suppose should be published, but it is not necessary for me to read it. I also have the minutes
of the Pacific Advisory Committee under date of January 3rd. It is usual that these minutes be made a part of our proceedings and printed. They are as follows:

“THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.
Berkeley, Cal., Dec. 17, 1910.
Mr. A. P. Vredeburgh,
    Secretary American Kennel Club,
    New York
    (COPY)
Dear Sir:
    Please take notice that the semi-annual convention of Clubs, members of the American Kennel Club, in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee will be held on Tuesday, January 3rd, 1911, at 4 p.m. at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco.

    Your club is hereby invited to send a delegate to the convention, who must be either the President, Secretary or Chairman of Bench Show Committee of the Club, and you are requested to communicate to the Pacific Advisory Committee, at your earliest convenience, the name of your representative and the office he holds.

    Yours very truly

    American Kennel Club

By Pacific Advisory Committee:
J. P. Norman
Secretary

SEMI-ANNUAL CONVENTION OF COAST CLUBS
Held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco, January 3, 1911
PRESENT: Pacific Advisory Committee by H. H. Carlton, Chairman; Dr. W. P. Burnham; W. W. Stettheimer; Nat. T. Messer; Norman J. Stewart
Santa Cruz Kennel Club by George A. Nieborger.
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association by H. Hastings
Ladies Kennel Association of California by Mrs. J. J. Matheson

The meeting was called to order by Mr. H. H. Carlton in the Chair.

The Chairman stated that the first business would be the nomination of an entire Pacific Advisory Committee for election by the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, at the annual meeting February 15th, 1911.

Mr. George A. Nieborger stated that the present Committee was satisfactory in its entirety, to all the kennel clubs on the Pacific Coast, to the best of his knowledge, and he therefore moved that the Pacific Advisory Committee be requested to nominate to the American Kennel Club, the present incumbents, namely:

H. H. CARLTON, DR. W. P. BURNHAM, W. W. STETTHEIMER, N. T. MESSER, NORMAN J. STEWART.

Seconded by Mrs. J. J. Matheson, carried

Mr. H. Hastings stated that it was necessary for clubs, members of the American Kennel Club, to be protected against
outlaw clubs holding shows in the towns where the members of the American Kennel Club were located.

Mr. G. A. Nieborger moved, Mr. Hastings seconding, that the Pacific Advisory Committee be recommended to pass a rule affording protection to all clubs holding shows under the rules of the American Kennel Club, against outlaw clubs holding shows in towns where such members of the American Kennel Club held their shows, and that it is the sense of the meeting that such protection could be best afforded by supplementing Rule II of the American Kennel Club, by a rule disqualifying exhibitors at such outlaw shows, or their dogs, or both. Carried.

After some further discussion the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:  J. P. NORMAN
Sec’y, Pacific Advisory Comm.

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco
January 3, 1911

PRESENT:  H. H. Carlton, Chairman;  W. W. Stettheimer;  Nat. T. Messer; Norman J. Stewart.

ABSENT:  Dr. W. P. Burnham

On motion the minutes of the previous meeting were approved as read.

The Secretary read a letter from Mr. A. Wolfen in
answer to the communication sent to the California Cocker Club, in accordance with the resolution passed on November 19th, 1910, such reply stating that Mr. Wolfen was no longer secretary of that club.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary of the Committee be instructed to communicate to the officers of the California Cocker Club in good standing, that the list of officers furnished by that club, contains the names of three disqualified persons, namely:

J. H. Jones, J. L. Park and V. J. Ruh, as Members of Executive Committee, and that the California Cocker Club is hereby notified to furnish to the Pacific Advisory Committee within thirty days from date, a list of officers and members, amended so as to omit the names of such disqualified persons from the Executive Committee. Carried.

In the matter of the American Kennel Club vs. William Ellery and vs. the San Francisco Kennel Club: The Secretary read a reply from Dr. L. W. Springgs, stating that he could not conform to the resolution of this Committee adopted October 27th, 1910, for the reason that he was no longer an officer or member of the San Francisco Kennel Club, and that he had no funds of said club in his possession but that he was willing to be tried by the Pacific Advisory Committee.

The Secretary also exhibited a registered latter ad-
dressed to Mr. Wm. Ellery, 48 Geary Street, San Francisco, marked “returned to writer.”

It was moved and seconded that the suspension of William Ellery and the officers of the San Francisco Kennel Club, namely: William Ellery, President; H. B. Blatchly, Vice-President; and L. W. Spriggs, Secretary, be and is hereby made permanent.

On motion the application of the Del Monte Kennel Club for a license to hold a dog show at Del Monte, on February 10th and 11th, 1911, was approved.

On motion the application of the Santa Clara Kennel Club for membership in the American Kennel Club was granted.

The Secretary presented the minutes of the semi-annual Convention of Delegates of Pacific Coast Clubs, members of the American Kennel Club.

On motion, the minutes were approved and ordered adopted as part of the minutes of the present meeting.

It was moved and seconded that the resolution of the Delegates nominating H. H. Carlton, Dr. W. P. Burnham, W. W. Stettheimer, N. T. Messer, Norman J. Stewart, to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club for election as members of the Pacific Advisory Committee for the next one, two, three, four and five years be transmitted to said Board of Directors. Carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST: J. P. NORMAN

Sec'y Pacific Advisory Comm.
THE SECRETARY: The Trial Board of New York had before it an appeal from the decision of the Whippany River Dog Show Committee. It appears that in Russia Wolfhounds, one of the dogs was not on its bench, and it is alleged that the owner of the dog or the agent of the dog said that he did not know whether the dog was there or not. When the special was called for this dog suddenly appeared. The dog was protested and the Bench Show Committee found that there was no rule that they could discover by which the protest could be sustained, and therefore they overruled the protest. The protestant appealed here, and it was sent before the Trial Board, and this is the result of the Trial Board's deliberations:

February 7, 1911
BOREAS KENNELS VS. WHIPPANY RIVER KENNEL CLUB
Re Appeal:

The Trial Board finds that from testimony advanced the dog mentioned, 'Rasboi' was not benched but was held in crate until some time after the judging had started in fact until awards had been made in several of the classes, and no evidence to the contrary has been adduced. Additional rules Nos. one and ten, of the Whippany River Kennel Club, specifically provide that no dogs will be received after 10 A.M. on the first day of the show, and by Rule No. 10 dogs must not be removed from the bench.
during the hours of judging. Now as the said dog ‘Rasboi’ was not on bench during the
morning of said day, and did not appear until called for judging late in the day, the Board
finds that his winnings should be cancelled, and the reserve dog be given winners. The
Board’s judgment is, that all Clubs members of the A.K.C. and also all Clubs which show
under their rules by license must in every way live up to the rules by license must in
every way live up to the rules as published in their premium list. We wish to call
particular attention to the mater of exhibitors and officials of clubs regarding the question
of dogs being allowed to remain in crates or being kept off the bench during the
prescribed hours of judging. The Board understanding the term judging to refer to any
and all judging and not as confined to any one breed. We realized that the public who
pay admission to shows do so to see the dogs on the bench and not empty benches,
and that the crates or off the bench is unfair to the public and to other exhibitors. The
Board also considers the great injustice done to the Superintendent and Managers of
who cannot until notified always know if such cases, but who received from the public
and other exhibitors the criticism of such actions. They wish to state further that the
Whippany Kennel Club is censured by this Board for not enforcing its additional rules
Nos. one and ten,
and that at any future show any protest made in regard to any exhibitor guilty of keeping his dog off the bench (except by permission of Show Superintendent and then only for some sufficient cause) during the time advertised for judging dogs, and who does not bench his dogs promptly upon arrival will be subject to penalty as prescribed by the Trial Board. The Board realizes that at times it is absolutely imperative to remove the dog or dogs from the bench but still insists that they must be returned promptly to their stalls and requests exhibitors in all justice and fairness to held enforce the above ruling.

B. S. SMITH

A. G. HOOLEY

MR. BERNHEIMER: There are lots of one day shows that do not bench the dogs. The shows are held on horse show grounds where the dogs are placed in box stalls.

MR. HOOLEY: The benching of the dog is understood to be under his number. If it is under a tree, his number should be up. On horse show grounds or any other place where dogs are shown they have the number up. It does not necessarily follow that the dogs have to be placed on a bench or box.

THE SECRETARY: It means that they shall not be kept locked up in their crates and out of sight.

It was moved and seconded that the finding of the Trial Board be accepted and the recommendations therein
On motion the excuses sent in by Messrs. F. H. Osgood and Samuel R. Cutler for their absence from this meeting as directors were accepted.

THE SECRETARY: The charges preferred by Rogers against Mason will be referred to the Trial Board.

The Secretary read a communication from the Toronto Kennel Club and his reply thereto.

MR. MOORE: I move that that be laid on the table.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary also read the following communication from the Canadian Kennel Club.

184 Adelaide Street West

Toronto, Feb. 6th, 1911

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary American Kennel Club,

New York, NY

Dear Sir:

At the regular meeting of the Executive Committee of the Canadian Kennel Club held on Feb. 3rd, I was instructed to formally thank you, as Secretary of the American Kennel Club, also your associates in the office who so kindly received the Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club on his late visit to New York. This, I can assure you it gives me great pleasure to do.
Yours truly,

H. B. DONOVAN

Sec'y-Treas

On motion the disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee from December 23rd to February 7th, amounting to $25.04 were ordered paid.

Chairman Hunnewell appointed the following Trial Boards:


On motion the meeting then adjourned.
Present: Vice-President, H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.


THE SECRETARY: I have an appeal here from Mr. T. J. Farley for reinstatement. I will state for your information that in 1888 this man Farley was disqualified for showing a dog, an Irish Setter in the New York show in the puppy class when it was proven on the trial that the dog was very much older than twelve months. He has been under disqualification since 1888. He now appeals for reinstatement, thinking that he has been punished long enough, and he sends these four requests from other people.

Moved and seconded that Mr. T. J. Farley be reinstated.

Motion carried.

THE SECRETARY: At the show of the Anthracite Kennel Club, Messrs. H. M. Beck and John Gaynor were suspended for removing their dogs without permission and against the protest of the officers. I have applications from them for reinstatement. Their reinstatement is recommended by
the President of the Anthracite Kennel Club.

It was moved and seconded that Messrs. H. M. Beck and John Gaynor be reinstated.

    Motion carried.
    The meeting then adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY MAY 16TH, 1911.

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

P R E S E N T
ASSOCIATE DELEGATES
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA
William L. Barclay

AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB
H. H. Hunnewell

BERGEN COUNTY KENNEL CLUB
R. P. Keasbey

BOSTON COUNTY KENNEL CLUB
F. H. Osgood

CLEVELAND FANCIERS' CLUB COMPANY
George W. Batson

COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA
R. S. Edson

ERIE KENNEL CLUB
Ralph C. Stewart

GWYNEDD VALLEY KENNEL CLUB
Charles R. Wood

IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA
Singleton Van Schiack

LADIES' KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA
James Mortimer

LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB
John F. Collins

MALTESE TERRIER CLUB
E. H. Berendsohn

NEW ENGLAND BEAGLE CLUB
Chetwood Smith

NEW ENGLAND COLLIE CLUB
H. P. J. Earnshaw
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Club</th>
<th>Club Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NORTH JERSEY KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Chas. D. Bernheimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASADENA KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>John E. de Ruyter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPING ROCK KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>A. G. Hooley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAINFIELD KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Edmund L. Mackenzie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB</td>
<td>Dr. J. E. De Mund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CLARA COUNTY KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>W. S. Gurnee, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>A. C. Wilmerding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA</td>
<td>Robert Sedgwick, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIOUX CITY KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>M. Robert Guggenheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH SIDE KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Charles A. Kelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCHESTER KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>George Greer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISCONSIN KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>Chas. W. Keyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISSAHICKON KENNEL CLUB</td>
<td>R. H. JOHNSON, JR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were adopted as published in the Gazette,

The following named clubs, having been approved by Membership Committee, were duly elected as members of the American Kennel Club:

Trenton Kennel Club, French Bulldog Club of New England.

THE SECRETARY: The toy Dog Club of New England, whose application was placed before the meeting in February last, was referred back to the Membership Committee on account
of protests that had been filed against their admission. This application was again before
the Committee to-day and the application is not approved.

The following now gentlemen were elected as delegates to represent the following
named clubs:

PASADENA KENNEL CLUB  John E. de Ruyter
SIOUX CITY KENNEL CLUB  M. Robert Guggenheim
NEW LONDON KENNEL CLUB  Josiah Mason Willets
ST. LOUIS COLLIE CLUB  Lloyd W. Smith
BULL TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA  Robert Goelet
WASHINGTON KENNEL CLUB  Edward A. Conroy
POINTER CLUB OF AMERICA  W. C. Root
TOY SPANIEL CLUB  John W. Minturn

THE SECRETARY: The Southern Collie Club at Memphis appointed Joseph W.
Burrell as its delegates. They could not properly answer the questions that are on the
credentials, and therefore he is not approved by the Committee. I report this as a matter
of information.

The Yorkshire Terrier Club appointed George E. Peabody, nor did his club vouch for
him in any way, and the matter of his election has been laid over.

The Secretary read his quarterly report, as follows:
New York, May 16, 1911

To the Delegates of the
American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report two applications for membership received since the last meeting, also one application that was laid over. Ten credentials for delegates to represent active members. These papers have been referred to the membership committee which will report at this meeting.

We have added two active members on the Pacific Coast since our last meeting, the Northern Cal. K. C. and the Marin Valley K.C. they are both show-giving clubs.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: Under Rule 14 of the rules governing dog shows, the seconded paragraph thereof, it seems to me should be changed in order that its meaning may be made clear. It says; “That all specials, classified and unclassified, offered in any breed must be adjudicated upon the judge of the regular classes appointed for that breed.” How can you have unclassified specials for
one breed?

MR. MORTIMER: That is an absolute impossibly, except they are classified specials, because the judge is there to judge the classified specials.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is an unclassified special?

MR. MORTIMER: An unclassified special means a special where different breeds can be possible.

MR. MOORE: That does not apply. It is for that breed.

THE CHAIRMAN: As I understand it, it means, for instance, if you have a bull terrier and a fox terrier come together, it is necessary to have a judge for bull terriers and a judge for fox terriers to judge them.

MR. MORTIMER: That would be the case, but that is impossible.

DR. DeMUND: The reason for the selection of that term “unclassified” was that at some shows specials would be given for the best Airedale Terrier in the show, and they would be classified under the heading of unclassified specials, and then would be judged as had been done in the past.

MR. MORTIMER: That is not quite right. If there
is a special offered for the best Airedale terrier in the show, he is judged by the Airedale terrier judge. It is a special attached to that breed. Therefore it is a classified special; it is not an unclassified special. For instance, if there is a special offered for the best fox terrier in the show, it is judged by the fox terrier judge. The word “unclassified” in that resolution seems to me to be out of place altogether.

DR. DeMUND: The word “unclassified” is out of place there, but I remember distinctly that Mr. Bloodgood brought that point up, that any special offered for a particular breed has been put down under the heading of that breed, but before this rule went into effect some time ago there were specials put under the head of unclassified specials for a certain breed, and that was the reason it was made in that way. The working is wrong.

MR. MOORE: I think I was on the Committee at the time those rules were made, and this rule was formulated for the purpose of obviating the difficulty that we had some years ago in connection with dogs that had been passed upon in regular classes meeting again and having the regular judge’s award upset. It happened several times where a dog had received winners and another dog had received winners coming under another judge,
and the award in the regular classes being upset. It may be that the wording is bad, but the intent is all right.

MR. MORTIMER: Could we not pass a resolution to the effect that no dog having been beaten in its class in its particular breed should take part in any unclassified special?

THE CHAIRMAN: Suppose the dog was beaten, he might be ineligible for the special.

MR. WOOD: May I ask how the best sporting or the best non-sporting dog in a show is judged, whether by the judges of specials or by the different breeds?

MR. MORTIMER: Take, for instance, the Westminster Kennel Club show, the unclassified specials are judged there on the third day of the show. The regular classes out of ten the judges who have passed upon the different breeds have gone home. They are business men and have gone away, and they are not there to take part in the judging on the third day. How are you going to get over that? I say it is an absolute impossibly.

THE CHAIRMAN: The only way out of that difficulty, it seems to me, would be to have the resolution say that
the verdict of the judge of his class must be upheld. In that way they could not reverse a decision. I think the best thing to do would be to bring this matter to the attention of the Rules Committee.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I move that this matter be referred to the Rules Committee.

MR. COLLINS: If there were a rule in line with the suggestion of Mr. Mortimer to the effect that a dog would not be eligible to compete against a dog which has beaten him or her in the regular classes, I think that would tend to obviate the trouble referred to. If this dog that was beaten was eligible and competing – you see there is another phase of the subject. A dog might be eligible to compete and might have been removed from the show, which would let in the second dog to compete. If you made a hard and fast rule that a dog which had been beaten in his class could not compete or the special, there would be no competition for the special if the dog that was eligible for the special was not on the ground.

MR. MORTIMER: I think if there was a rule passed that no dog which had been beaten in his own particular breed should be permitted to come in to be judged for a special, that would obviate all that. There would be not trouble if those conditions were carried out.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supposing that the special is offered
for the best dog in the show owned by the members of that club or organization?

MR. MORTIMER: That is a different thing. I mean for a general special for the best dog in the show.

MR. HOOLEY: As I understand it, Mr. Mortimer confined it to the winners in both classes.

MR. MORTIMER: The only dog that could compete would be the dog that won in the winners class in his breed, or the bitch that own in her breed, and that no other dog should be permitted to come into the ring to compete for a special in the show.

MR. HOOLEY: And in a case where the dogs were entered for specials only that dog could come in?

MR. MORTIMER: Yes, because it had not been judged.

MR. HOOLEY: There is one other point in regard to these unclassified specials. After the New York show and Pittsburg show and a number of others, there was a great deal of dispute and in some cases considerable feeling as to the judging. For instance, in New York you had probably twenty-five or twenty-seven judges. Of course Mr. Mortimer, as the manager of that show, could not control all those judges, and they do go away, and the judging of toy spaniels is left for the judges of terriers to pass upon, and the same things applies to the judging of Newfoundlands and St. Bernards, and so forth.
It does not seem to be just to the breeders. Possibly Mr. Mortimer or the Rules Committee could suggest something to obviate that difficulty. It seems to me we could do away with the entire lot of judges and have one judge to judge specials. We know several men who are competent to do that sort of thing.

MR. MORTIMER: As a matter of fact that kind of specials do not amount to anything any way; they are spectacular and all that sort of thing, but no particular good is done by offering a special for the best dog in the show.

DR. DeMUND: There is a rule at present to the effect that the appointing of a judge shall rest entirely with the Bench Show Committee, and unless that rule is rescinded the American Kennel Club cannot interfere with that.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I move the following resolution: That no dog is eligible for the special in any of the regular classes.

MR. MORTIMER: I make a motion that the matter be referred to the Rules Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: It must go before the Rule Committee.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York
Tuesday, May 16th, 1911.
Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

PRESENT:
Hollis H. Hunnewell
W. L. Barclay
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
Singleton Van Schaick
John E. DeMund
John G. Bates
F. H. Osgood
Rowland P. Keasbey
C. D. Bernheimer
James Mortimer
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
R. Stewart Edson
Andrew Albright, Jr.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

“New York, May 16th, 1911.
To the Board of Directors
Of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the minutes of the P. A. C.
of March 11 were published in the March Gazette by the request of the Committee. The
minutes of said Committee of April 12th will be presented to you and they should be
ordered spread upon the minutes.

“The Phila. Trial Board recommend the removal of the disqualification of Mr. F. S.
Sternberg. Mr. Lwo Cohn was disqualified about three years ago for writing anonymous
letters against a judge at the North Jersey K. C. Show, he now apologizes and asks to
be reinstated.

The English Setter Club requests the changing of its title to read the English
Setter Club of America. The Revere Kennel Club applies to change its title to that of the
Bay State Kennel Club.

The following charges have been filed and will be referred to the different Trial
Boards:

Mrs. Mary Gately vs. Boston Terrier Club
Mrs. Charles Dalmaine vs. North Jersey K. C.
J. W. Minturn vs. William Hamilton, Jr.

To determine the ownership of Eng. Toy Spaniel ‘Speckled Wren’ at the following
Bank, 1910.

In the matter of judging English Setters at the late Pittsburg Show it is stated that
the owner of Mallwyd
Ned declined to bring his dog in the ring to be judged in winners classes. I have letters from the Secretary of the show and the judge on the subject, and although the owner of the dog in question has repeatedly promised to send a written statement, up to this writing he has failed to do so. I would suggest that this matter be referred to a Trial Board for investigation.

At the late Omaha Show, two judges were employed one for the non-sporting dogs. When the Special for the best dog in the dog show was called the Dog Show Committee gave notice to the judges that they had determined that this special should be judged solely by the ‘Sporting Dog’ judge. There is no doubt that the rules have been violated and the club should be disciplined. A number of the exhibitors have protested such action. I would suggest that this matter be investigated by a Trial Board.

J. W. Minturn changed the name of a Bulldog and failed in showing this dog to mention its previous name, having already won under its original name; it therefore became necessary for me to cancel all the wins of this dog. It however is very unfortunate if it is necessary to move up the beaten dogs for the reason that it takes in eleven shows, nine of which were in 1910, and the win-
nings are published in the 1910 Stud Book. Under the circumstances I believe it is in your power to legislate on this subject.

It gives me pleasure to give you the information that Federal Court of San Francisco upheld our demurrer in the Chute vs. A.K.C. damage case.

There is to be an International Congress of Kennel Clubs which will take place in Paris on May 23rd-24th, 1911, the object of which is to bring together all clubs interested in dogs throughout the world, and to furnish an occasion to exchange views on the questions that interest them all.

Mr. S. L. Goldenberg, one of our Associate Members of long standing, will be pleased to represent the A. K. C. at this initial meeting, and report to us more fully on the subject matters which will be brought up before that congress. I have arranged to cable him if you see fit to appoint him as our representative, as he is a resident of Paris.

The bill for disbursements for the P. A. C. from February 25th to May 8th, 1911, amounting to $40.35, has been field, same appears to be correct and I recommend that same be paid.

I have two communications from William G. Rockefel-
ler, begging to be excused for absence at the February and May meeting of this Board, on account of sickness.

Mr. Mortimer asks to be excused on account of his absence for the February meeting of this Board for the reason that he was detained at the W. K. C. at that date.

Mr. S. R. Cutler will be prevented from being present to-day on account of a professional engagement and asked to be excused.

Mr. Geo. Lauder, Jr. begs to make his excuses owning to illness.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and place on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, May 16th, 1911
To the Board of Directors
of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial statement from January 1st to date.

Balance on hand January 1st ......................... $21,793.44
Receipts from January 1st to date ....................... 10,232.64
Total
................................................................. $32,026.08

Disbursements from January 1st to date .............. 9,504.69
Balance on hand ...........................................  $22,521.39

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

DR. DeMUND:  On behalf of the License Committee, I have to report that last meeting we have granted nine licenses. The Pacific Advisory Committee granted two licenses, making a total of eleven licenses granted since February 15, 1911.

On motion the report of the License Committee was accepted and placed on file.

The Stud Book Committee, through its Chairman, reported progress.

DR. DeMUND:  For the Rule Committee I have to report that it has had no work to do since the last meeting, no matters having been referred to it.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: The Field Trials Committee wish to report that there have been no trials held since the last meeting, but that it expects shortly to bring a matter before the directors for action.

MR. MOORE:  I ask the directors to accept the report of the Publication Committee as published in the last Gazette.
On motion the Secretary was directed to spread upon the minutes of this meeting the proceedings of the meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee held on April 12th, 1911.

They are as follows:

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco
April 12, 1911

PRESENT: W. W. Stettheimer; Dr. W. P. Burnham; Nat. T. Messer.
ABSENT: H. H. Carlton; N. J. Stewart

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

On motion, the minutes were approved as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary presented the following report:

Members Pacific Advisory Committee, Gentlemen:

I shall submit to you two applications for membership: First, the Northern California Kennel Club, located at Sacramento, Cal. Second, The Marin Valley Kennel Club, located at Mill Valley, Cal.

This club is a consolidation of the Marin County and the Mill Valley Kennel Clubs, both of whose applications for membership were denied at the last meeting.

Both the applicants have filed constitutions in duplicate with lists of members, have deposited the necessary fees and have in all ways complied with the A. K. C. rules.
I beg to submit to the Committee a copy of a premium list issued by the Oakland Kennel Club for a show to be held in Oakland under antagonistic rules, on May 4, 5, 6, 1911, which are the published days of the show to be held in San Francisco by the Golden Gate Kennel Club. The Oakland Kennel Club is a member of the A. K. C., hitherto in good standing.

In the matter of the Cal. Cocker Club carrying disqualified individuals on its Executive Committee, I would advise that I have not, to date, received any reply to my request for its list of officers.

I beg to submit herewith a copy of the catalog of the show held under antagonistic rules by the San Francisco Kennel Club, all the officials of that club shown in said catalog are already disqualified, with the exception of Messrs. William Bay, William Blackwell, W. G. McMahon, C. W. Riffee, A. L. Stuart.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) J. P. Norman, Secretary

On motion the Secretary's report was approved and ordered filed.

It was moved and seconded that the application of the Northern Cal. Kennel Club for membership in the American Kennel Club be approved. Carried.
It was moved and seconded that the application of the Marin Valley Kennel Club for membership in the American Kennel Club be approved. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that the Cal. Cocker Club, a member of the American Kennel Club, be suspended for conduct prejudicial to individuals on its list of officers and that said club be called on to show cause, in writing, within thirty days from date, addressed to the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, J. P. Norman, P. O. Box 23, Berkeley, Cal., why it should not be expelled from membership in the American Kennel Club. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that the Oakland Kennel Club, a member of the American Kennel Club, having issued a premium list announcing a Dog Show in Oakland, under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, to be held on May 4, 5, 6, 1911, the published dates of the show to be held under A. K. C. rules in San Francisco by the Golden Gate Kennel Club, has been guilty of conduct most prejudicial to the best interests of Dogs and Dog Shows, and it was further moved and seconded that the said Oakland Kennel Club with all its officers, paid or unpaid, be and is hereby suspended, and is called on to show cause in writing, within
thirty days from date, addressed to the secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee, J. P. Norman, P. O. Box 283, Berkeley, Cal., why said club and its officers, paid and unpaid, should not be expelled from the American Kennel Club and disqualified. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that Wm. V. N. Bay and the Frisco Kennels, Wm. Blackwell, W. G. McMahon, C. W. Riffee and the Farallone Kennels and A. L. Stuart be and hereby are suspended for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of Dogs and Dog Shows, in having acted as officials of the San Francisco Kennel Club at a Dog Show held under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, and that said Bay, Blackwell, McMahon, Riffee, and Stuart be called on to show cause, in writing, within thirty days from date, addressed to the secretary, of the Pacific Advisory Committee, J. P. Norman, P. O. Box 283, Berkeley, Cal., why they should not be disqualified. Carried.

On motion, it was resolved that the secretary be instructed to forward a copy of this resolution for publication in the American Kennel Gazette.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST: J. P. NORMAN
Secretary

MR. KEASBEY: As Chairman of the Express Committee, I
have to report that the Committee has been unable to make any progress, and I move
that said Committee be discharged.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Trial Board of Philadelphia reports as follows:
April 28th, 1911
The Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

In case Edwards vs. Sternberg, submitted to the Philadelphia Trial Board in May,
1910, an award of $170 with interest from July 9, 1909, to September 21st, 1910, was
made in favor of Mr. Edwards, and on the failure of Mr. Sternberg to make payment
within the required time he was disqualified. On April 18th, 1911, the amount due from
Mr. Sternberg to Mr. Edwards was received by your Board, and Mr. Edwards’ receipt for
same is enclosed.

Mr. Sternberg has explained that it was impossible for him to make this payment last
September, and claims to have made payment as soon as he was in a position to do so.
He asks for reinstatement to good standing with the American Kennel Club, and in
consideration of information which has come to your Board, that Mr. Sternberg has paid
Mr. Edwards’ claim in full as soon as he was able to do so, we recommend the removal
of his disqualification.
Signed, J. Sergeant Price, Jr.,

Russell H. Johnson, Jr.,

William L. Barclay,

Chairman

MR. B. S. SMITH: I move that Mr. Sternberg be reinstated to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: One Mr. Leo Cohen write anonymously against some judges of the North Jersey Kennel Club Show at Newark about three years ago, and the Bench Show Committee of that club suspended him, and the matter was referred to our Trial Board, which changed the suspension to disqualification. Mr. Cohen now writes that he regrets his action, states that he had been showing, and he asks now after having suffered punishment for three years: “I trust you will give me one chance. I will prove worthy of the same by being reinstated.” That has not gone before the Board.

DR. DeMUND: I think that Mr. Cohen’s application might be granted. It was a question of writing articles against one of the judges, and as he states, how was a novice in the dame, and he has been punished now for three years, I think his application for reinstatement might be granted without any harm bring done to the fancy.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think it would be just as well to
let this matter go back to the Trial Board and let them look into it.

On motion the matter was referred to the Trial Board.

THE SECRETARY: The English Setter Club requests the privilege of changing their name from “The English Setter Club” to “The English Setter Club of America.”

MR. MORTIMER: I move that the application be granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Application is made for permission to change the title of the Revere Kennel Club to that of the Bay State Kennel Club.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: That is a Massachusetts Kennel Club of which I am an officer, and I object to this change being made. I think the two names are too nearly alike.

DR. OSGOOD: There is already a Bay State Association, and I object to the granting of the application.

On motion the application was refused.

THE SECRETARY: I submit a rather peculiar case from Omaha. There were two judges appointed, one a Judge of Sporting Dogs, and the other a Judge of Non-Sporting Dogs. When the special for the best dog in the show was called both judges were in the ring. It appears that in the meantime the Bench Show Committee has written letters to both
of these judges, one to the judge of sporting dogs, saying: “You have been designated to judge this special,” and to the judge of non-sporting dogs: “You are not required in this special.” He immediately left the ring and the judge of sporting dogs awarded the special for the best dog in the show to a sporting dog. IT is a question whether any club, unless it specifically states in its premium list or in its catalogue that this particular special shall be judged by one particular judge, has the right to remove the other judges or prohibit them from judging the special. If they had such right we want to say so; if they did not have such right we want to declare that. I think this club should be disciplined for its action in this respect.

MR. MOORE: Can the Trial Board be instructed to refer the question to the Rules Committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, it could be referred to the Rules Committee.

MR. MOORE: I move that the matter be referred to the Trial Board with instruction to ask for an interpretation of the rule that applies to that case.

MR. MORTIMER: In my opinion the Dog Show Committee at Omaha has a perfect right to select the judge of unclassified specials and in doing so they no doubt believed they were selecting the most competent and capable judge, and I
therefore move that the action of the Dog Show Committee of Omaha Kennel Club be endorsed.

MR. HOOLEY: I move as an amendment that this matter be referred to the Rules Committee to take action in regard to the appointment of judges and the way they should be specified.

Mr. Mortimer’s motion was then put and lost.

MR. MOORE: I renew my original motion that this matter be referred to the Trial Board with instructions to obtain a ruling from the Rules Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I would like to make suggestion to the Rules Committee to the effect that hereafter the judge or judges for unclassified specials be named in the premium list.

MR. MORTIMER: I do not think that this club has any right to demand any such thing as that. The selection of judges is up to the Dog Show Committee, and therefore I think we would be legislating too much if we tried to enforce any such rule.

THE SECRETARY: At the late Pittsburg Show Dr. James W. Hair was the judge in English Setter classes. A second dog received first, and the dog that was beaten received reserve, and the dog that beat him it is claimed
could not be produced and brought in the ring. I submit the correspondence which passed between the judge and the Secretary of the Pittsburgh Club and this office.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: I move that the matter be referred to the Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

DR. DeMUND: In the matter of Mr. Minturn’s dog “Rockcliffe Magnet”, I move that the wins be cancelled and that the other dogs be not moved up.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. CHETWOOD SMITH: In relation to the matter of disturbing the records after the stud book has been printed, I move that this matter be referred to the Publication Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill for disbursements for the Pacific Advisory Committee, amounting to $40.35, was ordered paid.

On motion the excuses of Messrs. William G. Rockefeller, James Mortimer, Samuel R. Cutler and George Lauder, Jr., for their absence at directors’ meetings were accepted.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that Mr. S. L. Goldenberg be appointed to represent the American Kennel Club at the International Congress of Kennel Clubs to be held in Paris on May 23rd and 24th, 1911.
Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting went into a committee of the whole.

The Chairman later reported that the matters under discussion by the committee of the whole had been decided.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Mr. H. K. Blodgood was appointed Chairman.

DR. DeMUND: We are about to lose one of the office force of this club now employed in this office. Mrs. Schellhass is to be married very shortly. She has been with the American Kennel Club for eleven years, and I move that we donate one month’s salary to her and also purchase a suitable wedding present for her.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Bernheimer, on behalf of the Dinner Committee, reported concerning the expenses incident thereto.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that the question of wins or souvenirs be eliminated and that the directors, for extraordinary expenses, appropriate an amount not exceeding $200 to defray any expenses for the dinner to be given to Mr. Vredenburgh.

Motion seconded and carried.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS
OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19\textsuperscript{TH}, 1911

in the absence of the President and First Vice President.

Second Vice President H. K. Bloodgood presided.

Present:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Delegate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associate Delegates</td>
<td>B. S. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Spaniel Club</td>
<td>H. K. BLOODGOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Terrier Club</td>
<td>F. H. OSGOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulldog Club of America</td>
<td>EDWIN L. BOGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Airedale Terrier Club</td>
<td>G. A. WERTHEIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland Fanciers' Club Company</td>
<td>GEORGE W. BATSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collie Club of America</td>
<td>R. S. EDSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Kennel Club of America</td>
<td>M. M. PALMER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladies' Kennel Association of America</td>
<td>JAMES MORTIMER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island Kennel Club</td>
<td>JOHN F. COLLINS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth County Kennel Club</td>
<td>ANDREW ALBRIGHT, JR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Jersey Kennel Club</td>
<td>CHAS. D. BERNHEIMER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English Sheepdog Club of America</td>
<td>TYLER MORSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena Kennel Club</td>
<td>JOHN E. DE RUYTER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting, I received Credentials for the appointment of delegates from the French Bulldog Club of New England, and the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America. These matters have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report at this meeting.

I also will submit a report from the Rules Committee, recommending certain amendments to the Rules. These amendments were duly published in the August issue of
the Gazette, and are now before you for adoption or otherwise.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The credentials of the French Bulldog Club of New England, appointing Mr. Walter Burgess its delegates, was read, and Mr. Burgess was duly elected.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:

New York, August 17, 1911

To the Delegates,

Gentlemen:

Your committee, at its meeting held this day, begs to present with its approval the following amendments to the Rules Governing Dog Shows.

Rule III, Sec. c: Amend second paragraph to read, Specialty Clubs not active members may be licensed with the written consent of the parent club, and will be rated at two or four points, as the parent club shall decide. If the consent of the parent club be not given within one month, or if a four point rating be refused, the applying club may appeal to the License Committee which may issue a license for a two or a four point show.
Rule IV: Add a new paragraph to read--- All classifications must be arranged as follows: Puppy, Novice, Limit, American Bred, Open and Winners, after which additional classes can be included in any breed.

Rule XIV: Substitute for the second paragraph the following:
All classified specials offered in any breed must be adjudicated for that breed. An classified special is one in which two or more breeds compete.

Rule XVIII: Add the following after the words “Kennel Club” on the third line.
The names of all judges, including those of unclassified specials, must be published in every Premium List. Failure to publish such names shall be punishable by a fine of Twenty-five (25) dollars.

Edward Brooks, Chairman
J. E. DeMund
B. S. Smith

THE SECRETARY: The first proposed amendment is to Rule III, Sec. C: Amend second paragraph to read, “Specialty Clubs not active members may be licensed with the written consent of the parent club, and will be rated at two or four points, as the parent club shall decide. If the consent of the parent club be not given within one
month, or if a four point rating be refused, the applying club may appeal to the License Committee which may issue a license for a two or a four point show."

MR. HOOLEY: I move the adoption of the proposed amendment.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The next paragraph amendment is to Rule IV: “Add a new paragraph to read: Puppy, Novice, Limit, American-Bred, Open and Winners, after which additional classes can be included in any breed.”

MR. HOOLEY: I move that that amendment be adopted.

Motion seconded.

MR. MORTIMER: Before we adopt this rule I would like to offer an amendment, and that is that the classes shall follow this order that I now read: Puppy, Novice, American bred, Limit, Open and Winners. My reason for asking that the American Bred class be placed next to the Novice class is because it will facilitate getting the dogs into the ring to a very great extent. Most of the dogs that go to make up the American Bred class generally come from the Novice class. They are generally entered first in the Novice class. They are generally entered first in the Novice class. Therefore if we have the classes to read, Puppy, Novice and then American Bred, it will be a
very great help to the committee.

DR. DeMUND: I do not think there is any objection to that amendment from the Rules Committee. It seems to be a very good point.

The proposed amendment as amended was then adopted, and is as follows:

“Rule IV: Add a new paragraph to read: ‘All classification must be arranged as follows: Puppy, Novice, American Bred, Limit, Open and Winners, after which additional classes can be include in any breed.’

THE SECRETARY: The next proposed amendment is to Rule XIV: All classified specials offered in any breed must be adjudicated upon by the judge of the regular Classes appointed for that breed. An unclassified special is one in which two or more breeds compete.” Now, there is another rule that must be taken in connection with that to the effect that the names, of all judges, including those for the classified specials must be published in every premium list.

DR. DE MUND: I move the adoption of the rule.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. BOGER: I would like to say in connection with this matter that in a certain show at which I entered a dog, a dog I had already beaten came in to compete, and
I thought it was so unsportsmanlike that I refused to bring my dog into the ring. If one dog has winners, it is possible under that rule that a dog that did not take anything in his class at all can come in and compete under another judge at the same show, practically annulling his win on points. That would bring in the larger Water Spaniel and Cocker Spaniels, in fact all breeds. That would make it an unclassified special, would it not, and the Spaniel judge could not judge that.

THE SECRETARY: Not unless he was appointed for unclassified specials.

MR. MORTIMER: Yes, and therefore he should be authorized to adjudicate upon that particular special.

THE SECRETARY: The judge of all sporting spaniels does not say which one of the specials of sporting spaniels is better than the other. He says each one is the best one of that particular special. Therefore if they appoint another judge where the different specials of sporting spaniels come in, he certainly cannot stultify what the original judge did.

MR. GUGGENHEIM: Supposing Mr. A. is judging my different breeds of Sporting Spaniels, and he puts Mr. X’s dog third in Cocker Spaniels, and the Mr. B is judging
Unclassified specials and he puts the dog which Judge A put third as the best Sporting Spaniel in the show? That can be done under the present rule.

MR. MORTIMER: If we carry out this rule we are going to cause a great deal of confusion. We have usually a set of judges. One judge judges Irish Setters and English Setters. There is a special for that. That is an unclassified special, and he cannot judge that.

DR. DE MUND: I rise to a point of order. That amendment was adopted. Asked for an expression of opinion before it was adopted and nobody had anything to say about it.

MR. MORTIMER:

There should be an amendment to provide that except in cases where there are several breeds of Sporting Spaniels, say for instance, Setters. You have your different breeds in Sporting Spaniels. You are going to take them away from your Spaniel judge, or your Setters away from your Setter judge and give them to somebody else to adjudicate upon, which really is not proper.

DR. DE MUND: I think Mr. Mortimer’s point is well taken. I move to reconsider the previous action of the delegates in this matter.

Motion to reconsider seconded and carried.
MR. GUGGENHEIM: If that rule were passed the way it is, and then another rule were adopted providing that no dog which has been previously beaten can compete for an unclassified special for which the dog beating him is eligible, I think that would obviate the difficulty.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but you are taking away from a judge of a breed of dogs his right to judge that breed. That is the question.

MR. COLLINS: I move the adoption of this amendment in place of the other one: That all classified specials offered in any breed or breeds must be adjudicated upon by the judge of the regular classes appointed for that breed or breeds.

DR. DE MUND: The question arises as to the definition of an unclassified special, and that was the difficulty the Committee has in mind to remedy. The idea of the Committee, when this matter came up, was to define a classified special and an unclassified special. If you could find some way of getting around the unclassified special it would obviate the whole trouble.

MR. BOGER: As I understand the rule to-day, for instance, the Westminster Kennel Club, that being the largest club. A special would be offered for the best dog in the show. Any number of exhibitors could come in the ring. They would have a right there, not only the
winning Cocker Spaniel or winning Setter, but the second, third and fourth winners. The whole ring would be filled with dogs that never took a point in their regular classes.

MR. HOOLEY: If the winning dog in a class should be taken out are you going to make a provision that a man who refuses to show him, or sends him home –

THE CHAIRMAN: A man has no right to refuse to show. He must bring his dog in the ring.

THE SECRETARY: A man must show for every special that his dog is eligible for.

MR. BOGER: And you propose to make him ineligible for those specials.

(A recess was then taken for ten minutes)

The meeting reconvened.

DR. DE MUND: The Rules Committee, after discussion with several of the delegates, offer the following amendment to take the place of the one proposed, commencing with the words “Unclassified specials: ‘An unclassified special is one not confined to the breed or breeds adjudicated upon by any judge or judges. A dog shall be deemed ineligible to compete for a special against any dog or dogs that have previously beaten it in the regular classes at the show then being held.”

Amendment seconded.
The amendment just offered to the proposed amendment was adopted, and is as follows:

“Rule XIV: Substitute for the second paragraph the following: All classified specials offered in any breed must be adjudicated upon by the judge of the regular classes appointed for that breed. An unclassified special is one not confined to the breed or breeds adjudicated upon by any judge or judges. A dog shall be deemed ineligible to compete for a special against any dog or dogs that have previously beaten it in the regular classes at the show then being held.”

THE SECRETARY: The next proposed amendment is to Rule XVIII: “Add the following after the words ‘Kennel Club’ on the third line. The names of all judges, including those for unclassified specials, must be published in every premium list. Failure to publish such names shall be punishable by a fine of Twenty-five (25) dollars.”

DR. DE MUND: I move the adoption of that proposed amendment.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. BERNHEIMER: Rule XX reads “The Superintendent, the secretary of the show, Veterinarian or club official acting in any of these capacities, cannot exhibit or officiate as judge at the show.” I would like to add to
I therefore offer this as an amendment to our classification:

“Section F. The winners’ class, for which there shall be no entry fee, must be opened to winners of first prizes in either the Puppy, Novice, Limit, American Bred, Open, and Field Trial Classes, all of which shall constitute the regular classes at a show giving at least three of the above mentioned classes, one of which must be the open. The winners’ class may be divided by sex, provided the required three classes are so divided.”

On motion the matter was referred to the Rules Committee.

MR. COLLINS: At the last meeting of the Long Island Kennel Club the matter of the warfare being conducted by one of the yellow journals of the City of New York against the dog was pretty thoroughly discussed, and I was instructed to bring the matter before the American Kennel Club, to, if possible, forestall any action which may be taken by the present Legislature that may be detrimental to the interests of the dog. It has been suggested that the American Kennel Club take steps to bring about legislation which will protect dog owners and also protect the public against the curs running in the streets. I would therefore move that a Committee be appointed to prepare such legislation and to see that it is placed
In the hands of some legislative representative in this State, and to do all that it possibly can to have it passed by the present Legislature, and that the American Kennel Club defray any expenses in the matter of drawing up a bill, or something to that effect. The legislation which I have in mind would be very simple. It is simply that a law should be passed providing that all dogs taken on the street must either have a leash or muzzle. That would protect the public and protect dog owners as well.

MR. KELLY: Would there be any objection to appointing such a Committee to report at the next meeting? It seems to me a very proper thing for the American Kennel Club to do, so that both sides may be heard on the question whether it is a proper matter for the American Kennel Club to consider or not.

MR. COLLINS: My motion was that the American Kennel Club attempt to have legislation of the character I have mention passed by the present Legislature so as to forestall more serious legislation that may go through from some other source.

MR. EDSON: It seems to me that this matter should be taken up by the different local clubs in the different states.

MR. KELLY: I move as an amendment that the American Kennel Club appoint a Committee to consider this ques-
Amendment seconded.

MR. WILLETS: May I add a further amendment, that that report shall incorporate in it some idea of the expense to be incurred by the American Kennel Club in entering into such a question.

MR. KELLY: I accept that amendment.

MR. COLLINS: I withdraw the original motion and accept Mr. Kelly’s amendment.

MR. KELLY: The amendment is that the Committee be instructed to report in addition to the matter directly under consideration the expense that this club might incur.

Motion as amended seconded and carried.

The chair appointed John F. Collins, Chas. A. Kelly, W. S. Guinee Jr., as such Committee.

THE SECRETARY: There have been a number of suggestions made to me since the last meeting as to the advisability of a rule under which the show management can take refuge, that no person shall be admitted into the show ring at any show except the judge, the steward and the exhibitors. The reason given for that suggestion is this, that many times they have seen visitors in the ring, or newspaper reporters in the ring, and that as soon as a judge drops his book, they pick it up to make copies of it for their papers, in perfect good faith and honest intent, but it gives the opportunity to who-
ever picks up that book to change any award that may be in it to suit himself. This is not probable, but possible. I simply bring this matter up in order to give you the ideas of quite a number who have talked to me on the subject since our last meeting.

MR. GURNEE: I move that we now give notice to the Rules Committee that we shall offer an amendment to the rule excluding from the ring all except the judges, stewards, exhibitors and show officials.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

A. P. VREDEBURGH

Secretary
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1911.

Mr. H. K. Bloodgood in the Chair.

Present:
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
John E. De Mund
John G. Bates
F. H. Osgood
C. D. Bernheimer
James Mortimer
H. K. Bloodgood
M. M. Palmer
Andrew Albright, Jr.

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The secretary read his report as follows:

September 18, 1911

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:
I beg to report that the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee meeting of May 22nd was published in the July issue of the Gazette, at the request of that Committee. I now submit the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee meeting held August 18th, 1911, also the report of the Stud Book Committee and of the License Committee.

I have two communications from the Monmouth County Kennel Club, which will be submitted to you for such action as may be deemed proper.

The Newark Ohio Kennel Club held a show under License May 3rd to 6th, 1911, and notwithstanding the fact that we have notified all of the officers of said Club, we have failed to receive all of the officers of said Club, we have failed to receive from the Club either the catalogue of the show, the judge’s book, or the listings. The letters sent to the President, Vice-President, and Secretary of said Show have all been returned, marked “not found.”

I have declined to recognize the show, and still hold their $25.00 deposit, subject to your direction.

The New Hampshire Fair Association held their show under License September 4th to 7th, 1911. Their entries closed September 2nd, 1911, which was a clear violation of Rule IX of the Rules Governing Clubs, which says: “Entries shall close not less than seven days prior to the first day of the show.”

I am advised by this Club that the show was gotten
up in such a hurry that they had not time to read and digest the Rules and that they overlooked the date of closing of the entries unintentionally, and asked to be excused in this licensed.

I beg to present a bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee from May 11th to September 6th, for $58.65. This bill appears to be correct, and I recommend the payment of same.

Two claims have been made for unpaid prizes. Dr. J. D. Lynch against the El Paso Kennel Club for a medal donated by the Collie Club of America, and Mrs. Annie Bruhn against the Mississippi Valley Kennel Club. These claims will be referred to the Trial Board, sitting in NY, at their first meeting.

Agreeable to a resolution adopted by your Board at its May meeting, Dr. De Mund, Chairman of the Special Committee to select a suitable wedding gift for Mrs. Schellhass, performed his duties, and I have a letter of thanks from the recipient.

The following Directors have made written excuses for their inability to attend this meeting: Messrs. A. Untermyer, J. W. Appleton, Edward Brooks, and George Lauder, Jr., H. H. Hunnewell, R. P. Keasbey, W. G. Rockefeller.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

September 18, 1911

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial statement from January 1st to date.

Balance on hand January first ------------------ $21,793.44
Receipts from January 1st to date -------------- 18,501.70

Total 40,295.14

Disbursements from January 1st to date ----- $17,859.92
Balance on hand ----- 22,435.22

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: A meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee was held on August 18, 1911, and I submit the minutes of such meeting.

On motion such minutes were adopted, and they are as follows:

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held at the Palace Hotel, San Francisco,

August 18, 1911
PRESENT: W. W. Stettheimer, Chairman, N. T. Messer, Norman J. Stewart
ABSENT: Dr. W. P. Burnham, H. H. Carlton

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and on motion approved.

The secretary presented the following report:

I beg to report that since the last meeting of this Committee two clubs have been licensed by mail vote, and four claims for dates have been approved.

The clubs licensed are Seattle and El Paso, and the claims were filed by San Mateo, Alameda County, Colorado, and Northern California. Eight shows have been already held this year and nine more are schedule in our jurisdiction, making a total of seventeen.

The Snohomish County Kennel Club of Everett, Washington, has filed an application for membership with the annual dues and admission fee, but the application is defective and not in shape to be definitely considered. They have filed no deposit, but apply for dates on September 14, 15, 16, 1911. As those dates are so near, I have wired and written them to amend their application, and would suggest that the Committee might approve it, conditionally on their filing it in due form with your secretary.

I call your attention to a letter from the Presi-
dent of the Marin Valley Kennel Club and ask for instructions.

Re the claim of J. H. Taylor for prizes at the late Tacoma show, I submit further correspondence, also in re the claim of William Gale at the same show.

An erroneous entry is referred to this Committee by the American Kennel Club, for investigation and action, and I submit all records.

J. W. Elliott was suspended by the Seattle Kennel Club and a letter was written to him by this Committee notifying him to file his defense within thirty days. The letter was returned.

On June 26th I received a letter from Mr. Elliot dated at San Francisco, June 24th, and on the 28th I forwarded to him at the address he gave, the original registered letter. The thirty days have long elapsed, and no reply has been received.

I submit a letter from the Ladies Kennel Association of So. Cal., and my reply thereto.

The secretary of the American Kennel Club has written a letter in reference to a matter of unfinished business, that was first discussed in Executive session on May 22, 1911 and in reference to which the Chair appointed a special Sub-Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman, Secretary
Re the application of the Snohomish County Kennel Club: It was moved and seconded, that said application be approved, conditionally on the club filing all necessary documents and fees with the secretary of the Committee. Carried.

Re the notice of the President of the Marin Valley Kennel Club in reference to the deposit only on the joint signatures of the President and secretary of the Club.

Re the claim of J. H. Taylor for unpaid prizes at the Tacoma show: On motion, the case was postponed until the next meeting.

Re the letter of F. E. King, secretary Tacoma Kennel Club, in reference to a certain false pedigree: On motion the secretary was directed to call on Mr. King for an affidavit.

Re the claim of William Gale for ribbons alleged to have been won at the Tacoma show: It was moved and seconded, that this Committee finds Mr. Gale’s claim for second and reserve ribbons to be unfounded, and requests the secretary of the Tacoma Kennel Club to furnish Mr. Gale with a duplicate 3rd prize ribbon. Carried.

In reference to the erroneous entry referred by the NY office, the secretary was directed to proceed with
the investigation thereof.

Re Jas. W. Elliot, suspended by the Seattle Kennel Club for misconduct, in the alleged stealing of a cup, the property of the Club: It was moved and seconded, that the said J. W. Elliott having failed to file an answer to the charges, be and hereby is disqualified. Carried.

The Committee went into Executive session, in order to receive a report from a special sub-committee, and the secretary was duly instructed.

On resumption of regular business, the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST: J. P. Norman

Secretary

The report of the License Committee was read as follows:

September 18, 1911

To the Directors of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

Your License Committee begs to report that since the May meeting, it has approved of sixteen Licenses and during that time four license have been granted by the Pacific Advisory Committee.

There are no further applications for Licenses pending at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

J. E. DeMund,

Chairman
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, and is as follows:

September 19, 1911

To the Delegates:

Gentlemen: During the last quarter, there have been no cases before your Committee to be reported upon. From time to time, the Members have met and passed on Kennel Names published in the Gazette not conflicting with those already granted.

Your truly,

Singleton Van Schaick,
Acting Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Publication Committee was read as follows:

New York City, Sept. 19, 1911

Mr. Chairman:

Your Publication Committee begs to report that no occasion for a meeting has arisen during the Quarter. The next matter of importance will be the letting of contract for publishing the Stud Book in January, 1912, which will not come up until December.

Respectfully submitted,

Dwight Moore,
Chairman
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I submit to you the communication from the Monmouth County Kennel Club. It is as follows:

Aug. 14, 1911

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh, Secy.

American Kennel Club,
No. 1 Liberty St., NY

Dear Sir:

The Bench Show Committee finds that in the classes judged by Mr. J. Willoughby Mitchell at the show held at West End, NJ, on July 22, 1911, in some instances the awards in the Judges' book were marked by the Steward at the alleged instigation of the Judge, which is a direct violation of the rules, and that in class No. 583 for Pomeranians the dog No. 481 was marked absent although actually present & shown in the ring, and awarded 1st prize.

Yours truly,

Chas. D. Bernheimer,
Secy

DR. OSGOOD: I offer a resolution that the matter be referred to a trial board.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I submit a further communication from the Monmouth County Kennel Club as follows:
At a meeting of the bench show Committee of the Monmouth County Kennel Club held on August 10, 1911, the Committee sustained the protest entered by Mrs. E. S. Allard against the Pomeranian Perky Swell, owned by the Carteret Kennels, for not being shown in his natural color, and have suspended the owner of dog with the recommendation for leniency, the Committee also recommend the cancellation of the wins of the dog at the show held on July 22, 1911.

Yours truly,

Chas. D. Bernheimer,

Secy

MR. HOOLEY: This case was a particularly unpleasant one for the Monmouth County Club, and perhaps for all concerned, but as I understand, the officers of that club have recommended mercy, as the offender has acknowledged having done what the veterinarian and others claimed she did. It seems to me from the amount of advertising and notoriety she has had, she has been sufficiently punished, and I move that the suspension be removed. I do this more
On account of the fact that the Monmouth County Club of officials have suggested that it be done.

DR. DE MUND: As one of the members of the Bench Show Committee which suspended Mrs. Conkling, I do not want to vote on this question now, but I do think the ends of justice would be served by removing her suspension, because her punishment and notoriety has been sufficient. I do not think she would ever do it again, and it seems to me that nothing would be gained by continuing her suspension further.

MR. BATES: I move as an amendment that the suspension be continued until after the holding of the New York show.

Motion seconded and lost.

MR. MORTIMER: I move as an amendment that her suspension be removed at the end of the present year.

Motion seconded and lost.

MR. HOOLEY: My motion is that the suspension be removed on the request of the Monmouth County Kennel Club.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Newark, Ohion, Kennel Club held a show last May under a license, and up to this time they have not furnished this club with a catalogue, with the Judges books or with any listings. Notices were sent
to the President, Vice-President and secretary of the Club and all came back marked not found. I have two applications here for recognition of the wins which of course I had to decline, because we not know what dogs won. We hold their $25 deposit. It is for the directors to say what shall be done about that show and what shall be done about that deposit.

DR. OSGOOD: I move that the $25 now in the hands of the American Kennel Club shall be retained to cover the fine against this club, that the American Kennel Club shall not recognize the show and that the officers and Bench Show Committee connected with this club be disqualified.

Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary: The New Hampshire Fair Association held a show on September 4th, and their entries closed on September 2d, two days prior to the opening of their show. The rule says that shall not close less than seven days from the opening say of the show. I have received from them a communication in explanation of their error to the effect that there was no intention on their part to violate any of our rules.

MR. WILLETS: I move that their explanation be accepted and their show be recognized.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill of the Pacific Advisory Com-
mittee for disbursements from May 11th to December 6th, amounting to $58.65, was ordered paid.

On motion the excuses of Messrs. H. H. Hunnewell, Alvin Uнтерmyer, J. W. Appleton, Edward Brooks, George Lauder, Jr., R. B. Keasbey and W. G. Rockefeller for absence at this meeting were accepted.

The secretary submitted a communication from Mrs. Josephine Mitchell (formerly Mrs. Schellhass) thanking the Directors for the beautiful gift of silver presented to her by Dr. De Mund on behalf of the American Kennel Club.

On motion the meeting went into Committee of the whole.

The Committee of the whole then arise, and Dr. Osgood, its Chairman, reported that the matters which came up before it were duly considered.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Dr Osgood presiding.

DR. DE MUND: There is a matter that has come to my attention lately. I had a talk with a very prominent member of the English Kennel Club, and it seems that now the time is ripe for reciprocity between the English Kennel Club and the American Kennel Club, but there was nothing official in this. He assured me he thought the English Kennel Club would be very glad to meet us on the question, and I move that a Committee be appointed, I think a small Committee would be best, a Committee of two with the secretary, to take up this matter with the English Kennel Club not officially, but to see what terms can be arranged and report back at the next meeting of this Club.

MR. MORTIMER: I would like to know or have it explained to me what benefit to us there would be in this matter?

DR. OSGOOD: I would ask the secretary to talk the matter over with Mr. Mortimer.

The secretary: you may remember that in 1901 there was a reciprocal agreement entered into between the American Kennel Club and the English Kennel Club which I have pigeonholed in the safe, and which shows several benefits to the American Kennel Club. At that time the American Kennel Club was feeling rather aggrieved over the
action of the Canadian Kennel Club in repudiating three different agreements made between it and the American Kennel Club. In this agreement made with England, the preamble was that it was agreed that the American Kennel Club shall be the sole kennel authority on the Continent of North America. The second was that the English Kennel Club should be the sole authority in Great Britain and her colonies. One stultified the other. Canada was on the Continent of North America, and Canada was one of the colonies of England. The following summer the English Kennel Club permitted Canada to affiliate with it. The American Committee consisting of Mr. Carnochan, Mr. Rodman and myself, held a meeting, and a vote of two to one decided that owing to the fact that England had allowed Canada to affiliate with it, the Committee declined to bring forward to the American Kennel Club for discussion or ratification the agreement entered into between the two clubs. The matter has rested in abeyance ever since. When Mr. Glynn was here in June last to judge the Mineola show, he called on me, and he was very earnest in hoping that an agreement could be made between the two leading kennel clubs in the world. He said he thought it would be mutually beneficial. He said that he had discussed the matter with some of their members and the
secretary, and they all thought the same way. The relations between the American Kennel Club and the Canadian Kennel Club are at this time so friendly that that matter does not enter into the question at all. I can bring up one little instance where a benefit would arise to the American Kennel Club. There was a certain man before the Trial Board. The Trial Board heard that this man had been set down by the English Kennel Club for a number of years for some fraudulent transaction. I was directed by the Trial Board to apply to the Kennel Club in London for the information that they desired on this subject of the disqualification of this man. The answer came back that they could not furnish me with any information whatever. I was rather surprised to get that communication because the relations between the Secretary of the London Club and myself have been so friendly that I have been able on several occasions to get a great deal of valuable information; but that matter was not explained to me until Mr. Glynn was here when I asked him the question. He said that in want of reciprocity between the two clubs that the libel laws were so strict in England that they feared to send the information we wanted; that had there been a reciprocal agreement between us we would have been welcome to all the information they could have given us. One
great benefit which would have accrued to the American Kennel Club is this: A man that is disqualified in England, coming over here is good enough to show with the best men we have got, whereas if we had reciprocity the man that is disqualified in England is not good enough, and could not show here. He could not sell his dogs here. There would be no reason in the world, if he was going to continue in the kennel world why he should come to this country, because there he would be down and out. There is another way in which we both would be benefited: We find now people in this country who claim a kennel name, a well known registered kennel name on the other side. There is nothing in our rules that prevent that Stud Book Committee from granting that name. Therefore some of our people are trading on the reputation made by the English kennels. We have several cases that we have granted. Now, with the old reciprocity agreement it was agreed between us that we would not grant the kennel names only at certain times in the year, at which times they would send us from time the additions to their kennels name, so that neither one would conflict with another. There is another thing: You take a man that imports a dog that has made a great reputation under a certain name, he come to this country, and the man wants to register that dog under
its name. We say we cannot register that dog under that name because we have a dog already registered under that name, and you have got to change that dog’s name. Under our agreement of 1901 it was arranged that in such a case we could duplicate the names we already have on our books by putting in parenthesis Eng. That was the English name of the dog. I cannot tell you all the benefits that would accrue, but there are many benefits that would come to us.

Motion to appoint Committee carried.

The Chair appointment Dr. J. E. DeMund, B. S. Smith and A. P. Vredenburgh.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not know whether it is in order in this Committee or not, but during our meeting here to-day I heard one of our delegate state that upon one occasion he refused to take his dog in the ring. One of our own delegates sits here with us and states that he refused to bring his dog in the ring. What is the criticism of the American Kennel Club?

MR. WILLETS: I make a motion that the secretary be instructed to write to Mr. Boger in regard to this matter and bring it before the Trial Board after having given Mr. Boger an opportunity to be heard.

Motion seconded and carried.

Adjourned

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary
In the Matter of the Appeal
Of Mr. B. F. Lewis against
The action of the secretary
Of the American Kennel Club
In cancelling the awards to
English Setter “Landsdowne Noble.”

DR. DE MUND: I move that the action of the secretary in cancelling the wins be sustained, but in view of the facts and circumstances as presented by Mr. Lewis, and with the advice of the secretary, we restore the wins and impose a fine of one dollar for each entry.

Seconded and carried.
In the Matter of the Appeal of Lewis H. Miller of reinstatement from disqualification.

On motion the same was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,

Held at its offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, New York City.

Tuesday, December 19th, 1911

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

- PRESENT -

ASSOCIATE DELEGATES: B. S. Smith
                        W. Rutherfurd

AIREDALE TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA: William L. Barclay
AMERICAN FOX TERRIER CLUB: H. H. Hunnewell
AMERICAN SPANIEL CLUB: H. K. Bloodgood
BOSTON TERRIER CLUB: F. H. Osgood
BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA: Edwin L. Boger
BUTTERFLY BENCH SHOW ASSOCIATION: Raymond Belmont
COLLIE CLUB OF AMERICA: R. S. Edson
DACHSHUNDE CLUB OF AMERICA: G. Muss-Arnolt
Dalmatian Club of America: Alfred B. Maclay
DANDIE DINMONT TERRIER CLUB: James J. Fox
ERIE KENNEL CLUB: Ralph C. Stewart
FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF AMERICA: O. F. Vedder
FRENCH BULLDOG CLUB OF NEW ENGLAND: Walter Burgess
GOLDEN GATE KENNEL CLUB OF SAN FRANCISCO: M. M. Palmer
GWYNEDD VALLEY KENNEL CLUB: Charles R. Wood
IRISH SETTER CLUB: R. W. Creuzbaur
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club Name</th>
<th>President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA</td>
<td>Singleton Van Schaick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LADIES’ KENNEL ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>James Mortimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG ISLAND KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>John F. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALTESE TERRIER CLUB:</td>
<td>E. H. Berendsohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASSACHUSETTS KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>August Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONMOUTH COUNTY KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>Chas. D. Bernheimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATIONAL BEAGLE CLUB:</td>
<td>Jas. W. Appleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ENGLAND COLLIE CLUB:</td>
<td>H. P. J. Earnshaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH JERSEY KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>Chas. D. Bernheimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLD ENGLAND SHEEPDOG CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>Tyler Morse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAINFIELD KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>Edmund L. Mackenzie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POINTER CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>W. C. Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSSIAN WOLFHOUND CLUB:</td>
<td>Dr J. E. De Mund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN MATEO KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>Howard Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CLARA COUNTY KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>W. S. Gurnee, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>A. C. Wilmerding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTTISH TERRIER CLUB OF AMERICA:</td>
<td>Robert Sedgwick, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIOUX SIDE KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>M. Robert Guggenheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH SIDE KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>Charles A. Kelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST. LOUIS COLLIE CLUB:</td>
<td>Lloyd W. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCHESTER KENNEL CLUB:</td>
<td>George Greer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTERN FOX TERRIER BREEDERS’ ASSOCIATION:</td>
<td>John G. Wates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the September Gazette.

The application of the Eastern Dog Club, whose application is now before you, is composed of the dog show members of the old New England Kennel Club. The Executive Committee of the New England Kennel Club held a meeting and invited the charter members of the Eastern Dog Club to be present, and then passed a resolution informing the American Kennel Club that they recommended the election of the Eastern Dog Club, and that they would relinquish their Boston show, they, the New England Kennel Club, reserving the right to hold an open air show at any time on their own grounds. In accordance therewith the Eastern Dog Club has claimed April 2nd and 5th inclusive for the Boston show with the consent and recommendation of the old New England Kennel Club.

The Eastern Dog Club was duly elected.
The following named clubs were also elected to membership in the American Kennel Club:

- The Nassau Kennel Club
- Delaware Valley Kennel Club
- Lehigh Valley Kennel Club and
- Schipperkee Club of America

The secretary: I have the application of the Greater Des Moines Kennel Club, which has held one licensed show, but as the application is not properly made the Committee laid it aside until another meeting.

The following named gentlemen were elected as delegates to represent the following named clubs:

- Lehigh Valley Kennel Club: Abram D. Gillett
- Monmouth County Kennel Club: Charles E. Bernheimer
- Nassau County Kennel Club: W. H. Sawyer
- Yorkshire Terrier Club of America: George E. Peabody

The secretary: The Alameda County Kennel Club sent the credentials have been laid on the table by the Membership Committee for the reason that Mr. Turner is not personally known to any one of its members.

The North Jersey Kennel Club filed the credentials for Mr. Andrew Albright, Jr., as its delegates. Under date of December 12, 1911, Mr. Albright requested to withdraw
his name.

The secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

"New York, December 18th, 1911

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that I have received five applications for Active Membership and five credentials for the appointment of delegates, all of which I have referred to the Membership Committee, which will report at this meeting.

I also beg to report the nominations for delegates to represent the associate subscribers made by the Membership Committee on this date and from the same Committee the names suggested to act as a Nominating Committee for the expiring class of directors.

Notices was given at the September meeting that two amendments to the rules and one new rule would be brought before this meeting for its consideration. These proposed amendments have been referred to the Rules Committee, which will report its recommendation at this meeting.

I beg to report the reception of the resignation of the Atlantic City Kennel Club and the Danbury Agricultural Society. Both of these clubs are in good standing.
and I recommend the acceptance of their resignations.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDEBURGH

On motion the same was accepted.

The secretary read the report of the Rules Committee as follows:

“Your Rules Committee approved the amendment to Rule XX, which reads as follows:

“Dogs belonging to a kennel in which any of the above officials are in any way connected shall be deemed ineligible for competition.”

DR. DE MUND: I move that be adopted.

MR. APPLETON: It seems to me that the language “in any way connected” is rather indefinite. Shouldn’t it say by ownership, or something of that kind?

THE CHAIRMAN: It says dogs belonging to a kennel with which any way connected.

MR. COLLINS: I do not think that that rule quite covers the case, as desired by the mover of it at the last meeting, because it says none of these gentlemen can exhibit. What does exhibit mean? I only exhibitors are the people who own the dogs. I think it was intended that they should not exhibit or handle or show a dog.

MR. BERNHEIMER: Exhibit means that they cannot
show. I do not think it means anything about handling. I will state for the information of
the delegates why this proposed amendment was brought up. At a recent show the
Superintendent of that show was the kennel manager of a well known kennel, and the
kennel of which he was the manager exhibited at the show of which he was
superintendent, and there were quite a number of complaints which led to my making
the motion that this be embodied in that Rule XX, so that the superintendent of a show,
being the kennel man of a kennel could not exhibit at the show of which he was
superintendent of a show, being the kennel man of a kennel could not exhibit at the
show of which he was superintendent. I think that covers it.
MR. BOGER: Does that mean if the Bull Dog Club of America gave a show, the secretary could not exhibit?

THE CHAIRMAN: No. The secretary of the show only is meant.

MR. RUTHERFURD: No.

MR. RUTHERFURD: I think it should.

MR. COLLINS: I think you could add those two words, exhibit or handle.

The secretary: In other words, to compete or handle?

MR. COLLINS: I move as an amendment to add the word 'handle' after the word 'exhibit'.

MR. RUTHERFURD: It is just as important that a man should handle. The judge may not know who the dogs belong to, but he may know the man who is handling them.

DR. DE MUND: if the dog cannot compete it cannot be handled by anybody. I think the rule as it is covers it.

THE CHAIRMAN: I understand that the amendment goes a little further than that, that no person connected with the show can handle anybody else’s dogs.

MR. VAN SCHAIK: Suppose the exhibitor was not
There in person, and that the dog has to be taken into the ring. Who would take it?
Somebody must take it in.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Perhaps his attendant would take it in.

DR. DE MUND: It seems to me the rule as it is covers what it was designed to
cover, and it is enough without the addition of the words “or handle”.

The amendment to the proposed amendment was then put and lost.

The amendment as proposed by the Rules Committee was then carried.

The secretary: The Committee disapprove of the amendment to Rule V, Section
F, which reads: “Add field trial classes”.

DR. DE MUND: I move that that proposed amendment be adopted.

MR. MORTIMER: may I ask if there is any reason given by the Rules Committee
for not admitting the field trial class as a regular class?

The secretary: Not in their report. They simply say they disapprove the proposed
amendment.

DR. DE MUND: The reasons of the Rules Committee for disapproving the
proposed amendment were that the number of breeds that would be affected would only
be
About three, pointers, setters and beagles; that it would open a new class where there are already five classes eligible to winners and would cause quite a lot of confusion. Any dog that is entered in a field trial class can be entered in one of the other classes and be eligible for winners. If the proposed amendment was approved in this case we probably would be asked to make a class for the Dalmatians, whose owners would want a road trial class. Various other breeds would ask for classes. It would create a great deal of confusion and a great deal more work for the American Kennel Club.

The action of the Rules Committee in disapproving of the proposed amendment to Rule V, Section F, was sustained.

(At this point President August Belmont arrived and took the chair.)

The secretary: The Rules Committee does not approve of the proposed rule which reads: “Shall exclude from the judging rings at all AKC shows all persons except the judges, stewards, superintendents and show officials.” In their opinion the exclusion of person from the ring lies with the officials of the Club giving the show.

THE CHAIRMAN: A motion would be in order to endorse or otherwise the decision of the Committee.
MR. GUGGENHEIM: I move that the action of the Committee be endorsed.

Motion seconded and carried.

The resignation of the Atlantic City Kennel Club was read, and on motion accepted.

The secretary: I have reported the resignation of the Danbury Agricultural Society, and I think possibly I had better read their letter and my reply, so that you may determine whether it is intended for a resignation or not. On the 29th of November I sent them a bill for dues, and on December 7th they returned this bill to me. I concluded that the return of this bill was tantamount to a resignation.

DR OSGOOD: I should not consider that a resignation at all, and I do not think that their communication should be accepted as such. It seems to me that was more for information, to ascertain whether their dues were necessary inasmuch as they had not held a show the year before.

THE CHAIRMAN: The rule on the subject is practically automatic.

MR. BERNHEIMER: Since they mentioned the sum of $25 is it not likely that they thought that the dues were to be deducted out of the $25?

The secretary: No, I do not interpret it that way.
MR. CREUZBAUR: I move that the communication be laid on the table.

Motion seconded and carried.

The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:

New York, December 18, 1911

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

In accordance with Section V, Article X of the By-laws, the Membership Committee at its meeting held December 18, 1911, suggest the following names to act as Nominating Committee, in conformity with Section III, Article XVIII of the By-laws: C. D. Bernheimer, Clarence Sackett, Alfred B. Maclay, J. Macy Willets, E. M. Carle.

Respectfully submitted,

Howard Willets,
Chairman, Membership Committee

On motion the same was accepted.

The secretary: I submit to you the report of the Special Committee that was appointed at the last meeting of the delegates. It is as follows:

A. P. VRE DENBURGH.

Secretary American Kennel Club

1 Liberty St. NY
Dear Sir:

I beg to submit the following as report of Committee appointed pursuant to resolution of the delegates at the September meeting to consider the subject of legislation in New York in relation to dogs.

The Committee held several meetings, at one of which, it was determined to Committee with all the members of the American Kennel Club, organized in this State, which was done, one reply resulting: which reply was vague and practically non-committal.

We also looked up all the laws now in existence, affecting dogs in any way and after a thorough examination of these laws we concluded that there was nothing in any of them detrimental to dogs or to dog owners.

On of the matters which we were to include in our report was the cost of introducing legislation. After considering the subject fully, we decided not to recommend the attempting to obtain the passage of any bills, because the agitation against dogs conducted by several New York Dailies had abated and we feared that the introducing of any bills relative to this question might awaken the dog’s enemies to renewed vigor and do more harm than good but we did decide that it would be well for this organization to employ somebody to watch for any legislation which we might wish to defeat. A
Letter was sent to Ex-Judge Franklin M. Danaher, who, one of the Committee knew has had considerable experience in this work, to learn what he would charge for such services. A reply was received from that gentleman quoting a figure of $150. one half to be paid in advance and the balance at the end of the session. Mr. Danaher explained that to properly cover this matter would necessitate his scrutinizing probably three thousand bills during the usual four months session. For this fee he would also watch any legislation which we were interested in and keep us posted as to its progress.

In conclusion we respectfully recommend as above suggested, that the American Kennel Club employ counsel to watch for any proposed legislation harmful to dog interests and advise this organization of same and keep the Club posted as to its progress.

We append hereto, copy of circular letter to American Kennel Club members in this State, the one reply to same, copy of all existing laws on the subject, copy of letter to Mr. Danaher and his reply.

Respectfully submitted,

John F. Collins, Chairman

MR. COLLINS: I move the adoption of that report.

Motion seconded.

MR. OSGOOD: May I ask what the adoption of that report means?

THE CHAIRMAN: I think the resolution should add that proper officers be authorized to carry out the recommendation of the Committee.

MR. COLLINS: I will move an addition to that ef-
MR. WOOD: Why in New York State only? Why should those who live in other States ask the American Kennel Club to spend money in New York State only? We have troubles in other cities also.

DR OSGOOD: It seems to me that that is special legislation in favor of one State against another.

THE CHAIRMAN: The American Kennel Club was incorporated here, and I suppose that is the reason why New York State only was mentioned.

MR. COLLINS: For the information of the gentleman asking the question, I will state, if you remember, as I do, last summer, there was a great deal of agitation in New York State about mad dogs, and all such nonsense as that---we demand it nonsense, and we feared that there would be some legislation go through at Albany which would be very detrimental to dog interests in this State, it would not only affect New York State, but it would affect every State that holds a dog show. We feared such legislation, and we wanted to prepare ourselves against it by putting through some legislation which would be more or less of a negative
quality, but since the agitation, as stated in the report, has abated, we thought it was better not to attempt to pass any legislation, but to watch legislation and see that none was passed that would hurt the dog. So far as other States are concerned, there is no use of crossing the bridge until we come to it. If other states are suffering let the representation of dog interests in such States attempt similar legislation and I will support it.

DR OSGOOD: For the past three or four years we have had extreme agitation in this line, but the legislative Committee found that the dog men who were interested in the subject went to them and fought them on their own grounds. It seems to me that there are enough dog men in New York to keep watch of whatever legislation is going on, and to go there and fight it. If you appoint a Committee to keep watch of legislation, what is that going to amount to? You will all know it. Every dog man knows what is going on in legislation in regard to the dog. It seems to me this proposed expenditure is a waste of $150.

MR.GUGGENHEIMER: I consider it a great waste of club money to spend $150 to watch legislation because if any legislation of that kind should be passed the daily papers would publish it, and we would know about it in
plenty of time.

MR. CHAIRMAN: That does not necessarily follow. Sometimes bills are introduced and referred to Committees. There were about 800 bills signed at the last session of the Legislature and I doubt very much if the ordinary citizen was familiar with over 25 or 30 of them. However, this is an entirely new subject to me, but you know that almost all legislation in cities is confined to city ordinances. The sheep law and other laws of that kind, are passed in the interest of country districts. Nobody has suggested what kind of legislation would be considered detrimental in this connection.

MR. COLLINS: One of the newspapers in NYC published the statement that dogs were out of place, that they were a back number on civilized communities, and should not be harbored or kept in the city or within the city limits. If such a law as thee were passed, what would become of your dog shows, where dogs are brought in from every state to the New York show. It has been said that you all know all about this legislation. I wonder how many of them know that the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals has succeeded in getting the Court of Appeals to decide in favor of collecting taxes on dogs. As the gentlemen whom we correspond with relative to watching this legislation stated, the were some 3,000
bills passed by the Legislature, some of which affected dog interests, and I think among these 3,000 bills there might be many affecting dog shows without my knowing anything about it. Talk about $150 expense, we perhaps could have it done cheaper than that. Still I think it is a good thing to do, and it is something that the American Kennel Club should do. The American Kennel Club has organized the dog interests. It is, as has been said, incorporated in this State. It is the largest State in the Union; there are more dogs owned in this State than half a dozen other States out together, and to do that in this State would be worth the money.

DR OSGOOD: It does not seem to me that the amount of $150 is material one way or the other. We are establishing a precedent of spending the general fund for the interest of one State, which I do not believe is right. There is no special call for any different conditions in New York from any other State.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Talking about legislation in Massachusetts, they had a meeting this month there, and one of the suggestions made for the Legislature to pass upon was that all dogs should be tied up. That of course is detrimental to dogs.

MR. BOGER: There was a bill presented before the
Pennsylvania Legislature which made it compulsory to muzzle all dogs except during the
gunning season. All the dog people in Pennsylvania took that up of their own accord
and they wrote to all the members of the Legislature from their districts, and the bill was
defeated.

DR. DE MUND: I move that this resolution be referred to the Finance Committee
with power.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: I agree with what has been stated here, that it is outside
of our province to handle this matter. Here are people from all over the country, and we
should not take this matter up for private purposes.

Motion seconded.

DR. DE MUND: It is quite likely that a number of the delegates do not know that
the American Kennel Club has already taken up the question of excess charges on dogs
and has been represented before the Inter-State Commerce Commission with a very
good change of success in having those charges reduced. That matter started with the
Finance Committee of this Club, and I think if this resolution was referred to the Finance
Committee, not only in the State of New York, but in other States which brought up the
matter, it would be taken care of.

Carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, TUESDAY DECEMBER 19 1911

- P R E S E N T -
August Belmont, Presiding
H. H. Hunnewell
W. Rutherfurd
William L. Barclay
George Lauder, Jr.
B. S. Smith
Howard Willets
George Greer
A. C. Wilmerding
August Belmont
Jas. W. Appleton
Singleton Van Schaick
Dr J. E. De Mund
John G. Bates
F. H. Osgood
Chas. D. Bernheimer
James Mortimer
H. K. Bloodgood
William Rauch
R. S. Edson
M. M. Palmer
To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of October 21st, 1911, also a special resolution in reference to the publication of winnings in the annual Stud Book, also the bill for disbursements by said Committee, from September 25th to December 11, 1911, amounting to $32.10. In accordance with the resolution of the Committee, this bill has been audited as correct and I recommend the payment of same.

For your information, beg to say that the Publication Committee, held its meeting on the Stud Book for 1911 and the Gazette for 1912.

Reports are in order from two Special Committee appointed at the meeting of September 19th.

The finding of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matters referred to it, namely, L. H. Miller, Appeal for reinstatement, and E. L. Boger, has been filed and is at your pleasure.

A concern called the American Kennel Company, 113 East 9th Street, NYC, is doing business in dogs and
dog supplies. I wrote to this concern under date of November 18th as follows:

"I will call your attention to the fact that the title of your Company is very misleading. It is so much so that in my opinion it is an infringement on the corporate title of the American Kennel Club, and apt to cause confusion, which has already occurred a number of times. I would dislike taking any steps in this matter other than to request that you will voluntarily change your title."

There has been no reply received to my letter and I would suggest that some steps be taken to present the use of their name if same is possible.

I have the bill from Ackerman & Oppenheim for services rendered re Wm. Chute vs. American Kennel Club et al. and costs, amounting to $550.00. This bill is dated May 13, 1911. I entered into correspondence with out Pacific Advisory Committee, setting forth that I thought the amount charged was exorbitant.

I am now officially informed that the Pacific Advisory Committee in Executive Session, held on October 21st, 1911, resolved that the bill of Ackerman & Oppenheimer for $550.00 for legal services having been reduced to $450.00, same be hereby recommended to the American Kennel Club for payment.
I beg to report that Messrs. Chetwood Smith, Samuel R. Cutler, Dwight Moore and Edward Brooks regret that they will be unable to attend this meeting, and ask that they may be excused.

Agreeable to the Ruling by the Chair, it will be in order for the several standing Committees to make their reports at this meeting.

The Appalachian Exposition held a show under license, September 19th to 21st, 1911, and have failed to file Judges' books, marked Catalogue, Listing Sheets and fees, and are subject to a fine of $1.00 per day for non-compliance with the rule. I would recommend the forfeiture of the date deposit, the suspension of the officers, and that the awards be not recognized.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted.
The Treasurer read his report as follows:
New York, December 18, 1911

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my Financial statement from January 1st to date:

Balance on hand January 1st, 1911 ----------------------- $21,793.44
Receipts from Jan. 1st to date ------------------------------   25,077.19
Total     46,870.63
Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date ---------------------- 23,809.82
Balance on hand             23,060.81

I also report that all bills for Active dues and Associate Subscribers were mailed November 29th, 1911.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Treas.

On motion the same was accepted.
The report of the Finance Committee was read, as follows:

“New York, December 19th, 1911

“It having been called to the attention of the Finance Committee that the Interstate Commerce Commission was going to have hearings in New York in regard to the reasonableness of express charges, and as it was necessary that quick action should be taken, the Finance Committee arranged for the American Kennel Club to be represented by counsel in an effort to have the express charges on dogs reduced.

“The Finance Committee now desired that its action be ratified. At the present time it can simply report that the investigation being made by the Interstate Commerce Commission is a very wide scope, and it believes that some progress has already been made.

“Respectfully submitted,
Wm. G. Rockefeller
“Chairman, Finance Committee

DR. DE MUND: I move that the action of the Finance Committee be ratified.
Motion seconded and carried.
The report of the License Committee was read as follows:
BOARD OF DIRECTORS  A. K. C.

The License Committee reports since the last meeting that they have granted the following licenses:

PHILA. BULLDOG CLUB
FORT ORANGE POULTRY & PET STOCK ASSOC.
PHILA. BOSTON TERRIER CLUB
BLACK RIVER VALLEY POULTRY & PET STOCK ASSOC.

LICENSE COMMITTEE
J. E. DeMund
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted.

The report of the Publication Committee was read as follows:

New York City, December 19th, 1911

Mr. Chairman:

Your Publication Committee begs to report that it has held two meetings September and after considering the bids for publishing the Gazette for 1912 and Stud Book for 1911, awarded the former to the Patteson Press and the latter to Mr. James Watson.

Respectfully submitted
Dwight Moore
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted.

On motion the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee were ordered spread upon the minutes of this meeting.
Absent: Dr W. P. Burnham

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The secretary presented the following report:

Pacific Advisory Committee

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that 16 shows have been held in this jurisdiction this year, and 3 more have been approved or licensed, making a total of 19 shows to date, against 13 for 1910. This includes the Los Angeles show of the Ladies Kennel Association of So. Cal., licensed by mail vote since our last meeting, for November 9, 10, 11.

In regard to the Stockton Show held under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, the only reply received is a defense of one of the officers of said show.


Re a statement from the secretary of the Tacoma Kennel
Club that a fraudulent entry was made by a certain exhibitor.

In regard to a matter considered in executive session only, on which the Chair has arranged to report at this meeting as a sub-Committee.

I am advised by the Santa Clara Co. Kennel Club, of the suspension of W. H. Revere for misconduct in removing his dog from the show contrary to the rules, and using foul and abusive language to the doorkeeper.

W. C. Cox vs. Colorado Kennel Club: I protest against the placing of certain trophies.

A statement of Mr. T. Kielhofer, respecting the date of birth of a litter of Cocker Spaniels, one of which was shown at Del Monte with a different date of birth.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. NORMAN
Secretary

On motion the secretary’s report was approved and ordered filed.

American Kennel Club vs. Hub City Kennel Club: infraction of Rule II.

It was moved and seconded that the officers of the Hub City Kennel Club, with the exception of Dr C. L. Six, the president, be and hereby are disqualified for acting in an official capacity at a show held in the United
States, under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, in contravention of Rule II of said Club.  
CARRIED.  

It was further moved and seconded, that on the receipt by the secretary of this Committee within thirty (30) days from date, of an undertaking signed by Dr C. L. Six, that he will not, in the future, support in any manner, shape or form, any show held in the United States, under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, that said Dr Six, shall be excepted from the disqualification of the officers of the Hub City Kennel Club.  CARRIED.  

American Kennel Club vs. C. F. Manning: Charge of fraudulent pedigree.  
It was moved and seconded that investigation having shown that C. F. Manning entered another person’s dog under his own name, at the Portland show, and that investigation showing that the act was committed in ignorance and without intent to defraud inasmuch as the ownership was [eligible] on the entry blank that the win of said dog be cancelled, and that Mr. Manning be warned not to repeat this infraction of the rules.  CARRIED.  

It was moved and seconded that the Tacoma Catalogue being defective in not showing whether or not the trophies for Washington bred Collies announced in the Premium List,
were actually distributed, the secretary be instructed to call on the Tacoma Kennel Club to furnish a certified catalogue showing the disposition of these trophies, and to call also on the secretary of the American Kennel Club for the Tacoma Judge's book for Collies. CARRIED.

F. E. King vs. J. H. Taylor: Charge of falsifying pedigree.

No reply having been received from F. E. King to the request of this Committee dated August 19, 1911, for an affidavit substantiating his letter of June 21, 1911, stating that J. H. Taylor had entered a Collie puppy bitch under a false pedigree in the Tacoma show of April 1910.

It was moved and seconded that F. E. King be directed to file said affidavit within thirty (30) days from date, without fail. CARRIED.

On motion the Committee then went into executive session.

On the termination thereof, regular business was resumed.

Santa Clara County Kennel Club vs. W. H. Revere: Suspension for misconduct.

It was moved and seconded that the secretary of this Committee notify Mr. W. H. Revere to show cause in writing, within thirty (30) days from date, why his suspension
should not be made permanent. CARRIED.

Re T. Kielhofer: A statement as to age of puppies.

It was moved and seconded that T. Kielhofer be directed to file with this Committee within thirty (3) days from date, an affidavit giving, as breeder, the age of the Cocker Spaniel puppy, Yama Yama Girl, shown at Del Monte, August, 1911. CARRIED.

It was moved and seconded that certain officials of the San Jose Kennel Club, having acted in that capacity at a show held San Jose on October 5, 6, 7, 1911, under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, that said Officers be and hereby are called upon to show cause in writing, within thirty (30) days from date, why they should not be disqualified. CARRIED.


It was moved and seconded that consideration of this case be postponed until the next meeting. CARRIED.

The Chair stated that he wished to bring before the Committee a matter on which he had received various complaints, it being the omission from the 1911 Stud Book of the winnings in regular classes other than winners. The other members of the Committee stated that they had heard
similar complaints.

It was moved and seconded that this Committee hereby request the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club to take up this question with the Stud Book Committee.

The Stud Book having been greatly impaired in value, particularly on the Pacific coast, by the omission of the wins in the regular classes, and that this Committee recommend that the 1911 Stud Book be compiled in the same manner as the one for 1909, and include all the wins omitted in the 1910 issue. CARRIED.

The Chair appointed Messrs. Carlton and Messer as a sub-committee to audit the expenditures of this Committee.

It was moved and seconded that this Committee adopt as a standing resolution, the rule, that any member absenting himself from two consecutive meetings without valid excuse, shall be dropped from membership. CARRIED.

Mr. Messer called attention that at some shows a great many dogs were absent from their benches during the time that the box office was open to the public, and that consequently the public was to a certain extent disappointed in not seeing the dogs, to see which admission had been paid.

Other members endorsed Mr. Messer, and, it was moved and seconded that the following supplemental rules governing dog shows in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory
Committee, be adopted, to go into effect January 1st, 1912:

I. Every show giving club must advertise in its Premium List in heavy type, the
daily opening and closing hours of the show, as well as the hours for exercising the
dogs.

II. Every dog must be on its bench during the advertised hours, except during
the judging of the breed and the advertised period for exercising; puppies excepted in
accordance with Rule V, Section A.

III. The above rules must be printed in the Premium List and Catalogue of every
club holding a dog show in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

IV. Infractions of these rules are punishable by fine of suspension. CARRIED.
On motion the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman
Secretary

The secretary: Here is the resolution of the Pacific Advisory Committee that is
incorporated in its report, and which was sent to me separately:

Berkeley, Cal., October 24, 1911

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary American Kennel Club
New York
Dear Sir:

I beg to advise you that the following resolution was adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee at its meeting held October 21, 1911.

That this Committee do hereby call upon the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club to take up with the Stud Book Committee thereof the matter of the Stud Book, the same having been greatly impaired in value, particularly on the Pacific Coast, by reason of the omission of the winnings in the regular classes (other than winners classes) and that we hereby recommend that the Stud Book for 1911 be compiled in the same manner as the issue for 1909, and that it include the wins omitted in the issue for 1910.

I would respectfully ask that you present this resolution to the Executive Committee at its next meeting.

Yours truly,

J. P. Norman
Secretary Pacific Advisory Committee
October 30, 1911

Mr. J. P. Norman
Box 283
Berkeley, Cal.

Dear Sir:

I have your favor of the 23rd inst., with enclosures.
I will present the bill of Messrs. Ackerman & Oppenheim for $450 for legal services to the Directors at the meeting to be held the third Tuesday in December.

The resolution in reference to the printing of the winnings in the Stud Book will also be presented to the Directors. The Executive Committee has nothing whatever to do with such matters. I may say, however, that it would be an utter impossibility to publish in the Stud Book for 1911, the winnings referred to in the resolution adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee on October 21st, 1911.

Yours truly,

A. P. VREDENBURGH

The secretary: That is a matter that has been regulated by the Publication Committee hereto fore and should be referred to them.

DR. DE MUND: I move that the resolution be referred to the Publication Committee.

MR. APPLETON: Was that done by the action of the directors?

The secretary: That was done by the Committee and
ratified by the directors. It would be a physical impossibility to incorporate all these wins in the Stud Book as requested. It would take this force probably three months to do it. We would have to go over 101 shows and put first, second and third in all the regular classes. The Stud Book at the retail price of five dollars per volume, we lose nineteen cents on every volume that we sell, and on each volume that we sell to an associate subscriber we lose $2.19, and if we were obliged to incorporate these winnings that the Committee ask for it would probably mean an additional cost to the Stud Book of at least $400.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Do not all these wins that they ask for appear in the Gazette?

The secretary: Yes.

The motion to [eligible] the Pacific Advisory Committee resolution to the Publication Committee was carried.

On motion the bill for disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee from September 25th to December 11th, amounting to $32.10, was ordered paid.

The secretary: The attorney’s bill for services rendered in the case of William Chute vs. American Kennel Club et al, with costs, has been rendered, amounting to $550. This has been reduced to $450, and the Pacific Advisory Committee recommends its payment. In reference to this bill I would state that Mr. Chute sued the American Kennel Club for violation of the Sherman Anti-Trust
Act. The case came before the judge easily in May. He did not render his decision until the following November. His decision was in favor of the American Kennel Club. The plaintiff in the case had six months within which to appeal from that decision. He did not appeal, and he cannot appeal now because he is dead.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I move the bill be paid.

Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary: Now I submit to you this matter of the American Kennel Company. We have repeatedly received letters intended for it. They have the same initials, A. K. C. I believe it is an infringement of our corporate title. I believe it is harmful to us and beneficial to it, and if possible I think some action should be taken to prevent them from using that title. There was another company called the American Kennel Company in East 34th street near the old Long Island Ferry. I went there and after having a talk with the proprietor, he saw the justice of the case, and he said as soon as he used up the stationary that he then had on hand he would change his title, and I have never heard since from that concern, nor did any of its letters come to us.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I move that the matter be referred to the Finance Committee with power to employ counsel if it deems necessary.
Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary: The Appalachian Exposition held a show last September. We have been unable to obtain any information whatever from them. They have not filed their judge’s books, their official catalogue, their listing sheets nor the cash that they had received for listings. They do not reply to our letters. We had a similar case at our last meeting at which a resolution for listings. They do not reply to our letters. We had a similar case at our last meeting at which a resolution was passed that we would forfeit the $25 that we held for their date deposit; their officers were suspended and the awards at their show ere not recognized. I think it is quite proper that some official action should be taken in this case.

DR OSGOOD: I made the motion in the other case, and I do not see why we should not follow the same course and take the same action here. I make such a motion.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion Messrs. Edward Brooks, Samuel R. Cutler, Chetwood Smith and Dwight Moore were excused from attendance at this meeting.

On motion the meeting went into Committee of the whole.

The Committee of the whole reported and the same was duly approved.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

-------
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

The secretary: The Philadelphia Trial Board has made an interpretation of the rule, and under that interpretation the charges against one of our delegates were not sustained, and it remains for you to say whether you desire the rules of the Philadelphia Trial Board decision read or not, or to go through the regular course of being published in the Gazette as being final. There has been no appeal whatever.

DR OSGOOD: Any question about it, any doubt?

The secretary: The old rule and the new rule were considered and they interpreted the new rule. There was a gentleman here at the last meeting who stated that he had withheld his dogs from competition, and while in Committee of the whole Mr. Bloodgood suggested that that was a matter that should be investigated by the proper authorities, and this delegate living in Philadelphia, I sent the case to the Philadelphia Trial Board, and they think that under their interpretation of the rule it was not violating any rule whatever. The only question is whether you do not published in the Gazette as usual, or whatever you do not want to hear this interpretation and discuss it.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection, the matter will take the usual course and publication will be had without further action.

The Committee on motion arose.
President August Belmont, presiding.

- P R E S E N T -
  August Belmont
  H. H. Hunnewell
  H. K. Bloodgood
  James W. Appleton
  Howard Willets
  John E. De Mund

The secretary read the findings of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the appeal of the L. H. Miller for reinstatement.

DR. DE MUND: I move that the recommendation of the Philadelphia Trial Board be carried out.

Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary” Mr. Herbert L. Wolf was disqualified by our Trial Board for judging at a show antagonistic to the American Kennel Club at Kansas City, and I read this communication which I have received from him.

MR. APPLETON: I move that he be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Delegate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associate Delegates:</td>
<td>Dwight Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. S. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Fox Terrier Club:</td>
<td>H. H. Hunnewell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Spaniel Club:</td>
<td>H. K Bloodgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergen County Kennel Club:</td>
<td>R. P. Keasbey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Terrier Club:</td>
<td>F. H. Osgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly Bench Show Association:</td>
<td>Raymond Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Airedale Terrier Club:</td>
<td>G. A. Wertheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati Kennel Association:</td>
<td>Morris G. Adler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland Fanciers’ Club Company:</td>
<td>George W. Batson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collie Club of America:</td>
<td>R. S. Edson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duquesne Kennel Club of Western Pennsylvania:</td>
<td>Jos. B. Vandergrift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie Kennel Club:</td>
<td>Ralph C. Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Bulldog Club of America:</td>
<td>O. F. Vedder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Kennel Club of San Francisco:</td>
<td>M. M. Palmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greyhound Club of America:</td>
<td>Edward H. Carle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club:</td>
<td>Charles R. Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Setter Club:</td>
<td>R. W. Creuzbaur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Terrier Club of America:</td>
<td>Singleton Van Schaick</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lehigh Valley Kennel Club: A. D. Gillette
Long Island Kennel Club: John F. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club: E. H. Berendsohn
Monmouth County Kennel Club: Chas. D. Bernheimer
Nassau County Kennel Club: W. H. Sawyer
New England Beagle Club: Chetwood Smith
New England Collie Club: H. P. J. Earnshaw
New London Kennel Club: J. Macy Willets
Piping Rock Kennel Club: A. G. Hooley
Pointer Club of America: W. C. Root
Russian Wolfhound Club: Dr. J. E. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club: Howard Willets
Santa Clara County Kennel Club: W. S. Gurnee, Jr.
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club: A. C. Wilmerding
Scottish Terrier Club of America: Robert Sedgwick, Jr.
South Side Kennel Club: Charles A. Kelly
St. Louis Collie Club: Lloyd W. Smith
Texas Kennel Club: Geo. W. Clayton
Westchester Kennel Club: George Greer
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association: John G. Bates
Westminster Kennel Club: William Rauch
Yorkshire Terrier Club of America: George E. Peabody

The certificate of tellers for the election of associate delegates was read as follows:
New York, February 1, 1912

Pursuant to Article XIX, Section 4, of the By-Laws of the American Kennel Club, the undersigned, Secretary of the said club, duly opened the ballots in the presence of the tellers appointed by the President of said club and certify as follows:

Associate Subscribers entitled to vote 191
Scattering . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8
Number of votes cast . . . . . . . . . . . 68
Irregular and thrown out . . . . . . . . . . . 3

We therefore declare the following candidates, having received the highest number of votes, to be duly elected as delegates for the Associate Subscribers for the year 1912 and until their successors shall have been elected:

William G. Rockefeller, Dwight Moore, Ben S. Smith.

(Signed) A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

George Greer
W. S. Gurnee, Jr.
J. E. DeMund,
Tellers
The Secretary read his report as follows:

February 19, 1912

TO THE DELEGATES OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB:

I beg to submit herewith my usual report.

On January 31st, The Crotona Collie Club filed its resignation. This club was not in good standing at the time of the reception of its resignation, having failed to pay its dues on or before January 1st, 1912.

February 3rd, 1912, the Southside Kennel Club notified me that said Club is no longer in existence.

Under Article XVI, Section III of the By-laws, the following Club were duly suspended on February 1st, 1912 for failure to pay the dues for the present year and on this date said clubs having ceased to be members of the American Kennel Club.

Anthracite Kennel Club
Bergen County Kennel Club
Brocton District Kennel Club
Central New York Kennel Club
Merrimac Valley Kennel Club
Pine Tree Kennel Club
York Kennel Club

Since our last meeting the Del Monte Kennel Club
has been admitted to Active Membership by our Pacific Advisory Committee. I have received three (3) applications for Active Membership and Credentials from five (5) clubs, all of which have been referred to the Membership Committee which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

The Pacific Advisory Committee nominated the following gentlemen to fill vacancies on said Committee.

- Mr. John E. DeRuyter, to serve five years
- Mr. Carroll Cooke, to serve two years
- Mr. Norman J. Stewart, to serve one year.

By authority of the Finance Committee, Messrs. Guggenheimer, Untermyer & Marshall were retained to appear before the Interstate Commerce Commission to care for the interests of the Breeders and Exhibitors of dogs during the investigation on Express matters that is now going on and we feel assured that the result will be beneficial to the Dog Fraternity.

The Pacific Advisory Committee filed with this office, a copy of the minutes of the semi-annual Convention of the Pacific Coast Clubs, which with your permission will be spread in full upon the minutes.

The Nominating Committee filed a list of its nominations for Directors for the expiring class to serve for five years and for Directors to fill the unexpired term
of Mr. J. Sergeant Price in the class of 1913.

The report of the Tellers for the election of Delegates of the Associate Subscribers, as follows:

Wm. G. Rockefeller
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith

Three proposed amendments to the Rules have been reported to the Rules Committee which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

The Financial Statement for the year 1911 having been audited and approved by the Finance Committee, I recommend that same be made a part of this meeting and spread in full upon the Minutes thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and filed.

The following named clubs were elected to membership:

Toy Dog Club of New England
Japanese Spaniel Club of American Kennel Club

The Secretary reported that the application of the San Antonia Kennel Club was laid over by the Committee.

The following named gentlemen were elected to present the following named clubs:
Delaware Valley Kennel Club: Dr W. H. Nafis
Alameda County Kennel Club: T. M. Turner
Japanese Spaniel Club of America: George F. Parker
Del Monte Kennel Club: Edwin H. Hobbie

The Secretary reported that the credentials for the Trenton Kennel Club and the Plainfield Kennel Club were laid over by the Committee.

The report of the Nominating Committee was read as follows:

New York, Jan. 17, 1912

The Nominating Committee appointed by the Membership Committee of the American Kennel Club held its meeting on Jan. 17\textsuperscript{th}, 1912, and have nominated as Directors for the class of 1917, Messrs. H. K. Bloodgood, Chetwood Smith, M. Mowbray Palmer, Raymond Belmont, William Rauch, and Tyler Smore; and the class of 1913 to fill the unexpired term of J. Sergeant Price, the Committee have nominated Mr. Ralph C. Stewart.

Respectfully yours,

Chas. D. Bernheimer
Chairman
J. Macy Willets
Alfred B. Maclay

Mr. Willets: I move that the nominations be closed.

Seconded and carried

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast one ballot for the gentleman named by the Nominating Committee.
The Secretary reported that he had cast a ballot for the class of 1917, Messrs. H. K. Bloodgood, Chetwood Smith, Mowbray Palmer, Raymond Belmont, William Rauch and Tyler Morse; and for the class of 1913 to fill the unexpired term of J. Sergeant Price, Mr. Ralph C. Stewart.

The Treasurer submitted his annual report.

Moved and seconded that the reading of said report be dispensed with and accepted as printed.

Carried.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:

Feb 19, 1912
Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:
The Rules Committee report favorably the following amendments:

Rules Governing Clubs: Rule V – Add. The Secretary of any Club, or organization, applicant for a license shall deposit with the application a fee of ten ($10.00) dollars which shall be forfeited in case of violations of rules XVI and XVII.

Amendment to Rule XX: Anyone acting as Judge at a show shall not exhibit for competition, not act as agent or handler at such show. Penalty for violation of the above rule twenty-five- ($25.00) dollars.

Rule XVIII. Amendment. The names of all Judges in-
including those for unclassified specials also the name of the Superintendent, Secretary of Shows and Veterinarian must be published in every Premium List and Catalogue. Failure to publish such names shall be punishable by a fine of twenty-five ($25.00) dollars.

Rules Committee
J. E. DeMund
B S. Smith
H. H. Hunnewell

The fist proposed amendment having been re-read, Dr Demund moved its adoption.

Seconded and carried.

The seconded proposed amendment having been re-rest, Mr. Hooley moved its adoption.

Seconded and carried.

The third proposed amendment having been re-read, Mr. Bernheimer moved its adoption.

Seconded and carried.

The report of the License Committee was read as follows:

Feb 19, 1912
To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

The License Committee begs to report that one hundred and one (101) shows were held under American Kennel Club Rules in 1911 as follows:

1—Regular Clubs-------------------------------41
2—Licensed Clubs-----------------------------32
3—Specialty Clubs-----------------------------20

4—Licensed Specialty Clubs----------------  8

(Signed)  J. E. DeMund

Chairman

On motion the said report was accepted and filed.

THE SECRETARY:  I submit the report of the semi-annual convention of the
Pacific Coast Clubs. It is rather a lengthy document, and in my report I have
recommended that it be spread in full on the minutes of this meeting.

Mr. Bernheimer:  I move it be spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

Semi-Annual Convention of the Pacific Coast Clubs,

(Members of the American Kennel Club)

Held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco,

January 3, 1912

-------------------------------

PRESENT:  T. R. Collins, representing the Santa Cruz County Kennel Club

J. C. Bone, representing the Marin Valley Kennel Club

A. J. Molera, representing the Del Monte Kennel Club

Hon. Carroll Cook, representing Northern California Kennel Club

Alexander Russel, representing the California Airedale Club

And the Pacific Coast Advisory Committee:

W. W. Stettheimer

Norman J. Stewart

Nat. T. Messer.
On motion it was resolved to dispense with the reading of the minutes of the previous convention.

The Chairman read the following report:

San Francisco, Jan 3, 1912

Delegates of the Pacific Coast Clubs in the Jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee

Gentlemen:

I take pleasure in submitting to you a comparative report relating to the conditions of the AKC affairs under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee, tabulated by our Secretary, Mr. Norman, showing a steady growth of members, numbers of shows, exhibitors and dogs exhibited. The report is very encouraging and one that we all have to just reason to feel proud of, to wit:--

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1911</th>
<th>Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Active Members</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Shows Held</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Exhibitors</td>
<td>1851</td>
<td>2615</td>
<td>826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Dogs Exhibited</td>
<td>2584</td>
<td>4095</td>
<td>1511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most pleasing feature of the above statement is the very healthy gain in number of exhibitors, being 41% in excess of 1910. At this rate of increase the Pacific Coast inside of very few years, will hold shows that
numerically will compare favorably with the largest in the United States, and there is no reason why this big increase over 1910 should not continue, if you gentlemen who represent the various Coast Clubs will continue working as intelligently and conscientiously for 1912 as you did in 1912.

One very important feature towards the success of Dog Shows is the preservation among the various clubs in the coast. This can be best accomplished by getting into closer touch with your brother delegates at this meeting, or with the officers of the other show-giving clubs under PAC jurisdiction; arrive at earlier conclusions about your show dates, so that they do not clash, as has been the case in the past, this creating discord; but, if possible, arrange a circuit or a series of circuits which the American Kennel Gazette and every other canine sporting paper in the United States advertisers to exhibitors. Early information as to probable show dates is of great importance in more than one way to exhibitors, especially to breeders.

To create a feeling of greater confidence among the 2615 exhibitors under our jurisdiction I do not hesitate to offer you a very radical recommendation, to which I trust you will give due consideration at your next Club
meeting. I would suggest to you gentlemen the abandoning of all mixed special prizes at future shows, utilizing the money heretofore spent on these mixed specials in offering straight breed prizes for dogs bred by exhibitors. My principal point against the offering of mixed specials such as for “Best Dog In Show, any breed”; “Best Brace in Show, any Breed”; “Best Kennel in Show, any breed”; “Best Terrier in Show, any breed”; etc., etc., is the fact that in most instances these specials are won over and over by the same fanciers, who most often are officers of the Show-giving club. This is readily understood when consideration is given to the fact that Clubs are organized and governed by fanciers who usually represent the largest kennel interests in their respective localities; that the awards of mixed specials are based on merit is not the point at issue. It is the existence of a feeling of resentment on the part of the outside fanciers (non-members of the Show-giving clubs) that deserves consideration, and from personal observation at various shows as well as from the numerous protests before the PAC, I feel convinced that the awarding of mixed special prizes is a subject of more adverse comment and unjust criticism, not only against the winner of the Trophy but the Show management and the judge as well, than the honor of offering, judging and winning same is worth.
“Kindly bear in mind that this is only a suggestion and based on a personal opinion, but it is none the less based on experience gained as a charter member of the Oldest Show-giving Club in California, one that offers no mixed specials, and that, I firmly believe, has in a great measure, for that reason, retained the confidence and popularity of the fancy, as is borne out by the records of its steadily growing entries, which is not, unfortunately, the case with all other Clubs in this state. The only counter argument that the awarding of mixed specials, especially that for “Best Dog in Show, any Breed”, attracts the public and swells the box office receipts is scarcely worthy of an answer, as Dog Shows should be primarily run with a view to development and improvement of thoroughbred dogs, and the monetary consideration must be a secondary one, if the game is to maintain its high standard in the sporting world. This remark is a preamble for my second suggestion as to laying more stress on offering better prizes for dogs bred by exhibitors. The breeders are and always will be the main stay and backbone of the fancy and are entitled to every form of recognition and encouragement f the game is to prosper and grow on the coast.

Buyers of thoroughbred dogs become exhibitors, and they may come and go, but if they continue in the game,
they invariably become breeders, otherwise they drop out, and the breeders should be catered to more.

At our October, 1911, meeting the Board passed a resolution embodying several additional rules with those governing Dog Shows, which I will read to you:

Rules for the Government of Clubs holding shows.

Adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee, October 21\textsuperscript{st}, 1911.

To take effect January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1912.

I. Every Show-giving Club in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee must advertise in its premium list and catalogue the daily opening and closing hours of the Show, as well as the hours for exercising the dogs.

II. Every dog must be on its bench during the advertised period for exercising; puppies excepted, in accordance with Rule V., Section A.

III. The above rules must be printed in the Premium List of every Club holding a Dog Show in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

IV. Infraction of these Rules are punishable by fine or suspension.

“The application of these rules will give fanciers and visitors the opportunity of seeing all Dogs at all times during the progress of the Show, which I regret to
say has not been the case for the past few years, and the Committee looks forward to your hearty co-operation in the strict drastic, passage of same was finally necessitated by too many infractions and they simply represent measures insuring equal rights to all.

Mr. Cook moved that he report be approved and spread upon the minutes and that the recommendations therein contained be adopted by the Convention.

Mr. Nieborger seconded the motion and expressed himself as being heartily in concurrence with its sentiments.

Mr. Stewart said that the principle object in passing the new rules was to strengthen the hands of the Coast Show-giving Clubs, enabling them to act impartially. He said that far too many requests are made to Bench Show Committee by exhibitors who wish to remove their dogs before the close of the show and that the Committees would be better able to refuse these requests if they were enabled to throw the onus for the refusal on the rules adopted by the Advisory Committee.

The resolution was passed unanimously.

The Chair announced that the following vacancies existed in the Pacific Advisory Committee and that nominations by the Convention to fill the vacancies would be in order.
W. P. Burnham (term expiring 1913) has resigned; Mr. H. H. Carlton (term expiring 1914) had also resigned; and the term of Norman J. Stewart would expire in February 1912.

The Chair said that the old Pacific Advisory Committee had been termed “Self-perpetuating” and that this was the main objection joined in the formation of the N.D.B.A. In order to meet and overcome this objection, a resolution had been adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee which would result in having a new Committee every five years.

At this point the Secretary announced to the Convention that there was another vacancy to be submitted to the Committee, Mr. Carlton having tendered his resignation the day previous. The Pacific Advisory Committee then took a recess for the purpose of considering Mr. Carlton’s resignation and embodied its decision in the minutes of the meeting previously adjourned.

Mr. Cook nominated Mr. Stewart to succeed himself for the full term and Mr. Nieborger seconded the nomination.

Mr. Stewart declined to accept, stating that he was opposed to the proposition that a member of the Committee should succeed himself.

Mr. Nieborger said that he thought with the convention should rest the final decision as to whether a member
should succeed himself or not and that this resolution of the Pacific Advisory Committee would prevent the Coast Clubs from retaining a good man on the Committee when they wanted to do so.

Mr. Bon nominated Mr. John E. de Ruyter to succeed Mr. Stewart.

Mr. Russell seconded the nomination and it was carried unanimously.

Mr. Cooke nominated Mr. Stewart to succeed Dr De Mund Burnham for the unexplained term.

Mr. Nieborger seconded the nomination and it was carried unanimously.

Mr. Stewart thanked the Convention and said that he accepted the nomination reluctantly as it appeared to be the unanimous wish of the Convention to retain him on the Committee.

Mr. Nieborger nominated Mr. Cook to succeed Mr. Carlton for the unexpired term.

Mr. Collins seconded the nomination and it was carried unanimously.

The Chairman called the attention of the Convention to the necessity of the clubs to the coast combining to form a circuit or series of circuits and insisted upon the necessity of making early claims before dates.

Several members endorsed his views and the secretary
of the Committee stated that his office was open to receive such claims and that he would be glad to co-operate with the clubs in securing harmony and avoiding clashes.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee be requested to communicate with the different show-giving clubs of the coast to ascertain the dates of their contemplated shows, in accordance with the conditions laid down in the Chairman's Report.

Carried.

Mr. Cook state that it would impress the clubs of the coast with the work of the Convention and of the Committee if they had before them a copy of the proceedings, and he moved that a full report of the meeting, including the Chairman's Report and the new rules be sent to each club in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Mr. Nieborger seconded the motion, which was carried unanimously.

There being no further business, on motion, the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman
               Secretary
THE SECRETARY: The Crotona Collie Club presented its resignation under date of Jan 31, 1912. Its resignation is not valid because the club was not in good standing on that date.

Dr Osgood: I move that that club be notified that upon receipt of its back dues its resignation will be accepted; otherwise it will be dropped.

THE SECRETARY: I have a notice from a man signing J.F.R. that the South Side Kennel Club is no longer in existence.

Mr. Bates: I move that said club be dropped.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Pacific Advisory Committee reports the following nominations:

Mr. John E de Ruyter to serve five years,
Mr. Carroll Cook to serve two years, and
Mr. Norman J. Stewart to serve one year.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I move that the secretary be directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The secretary stated that he had cast a ballot for the election of Mr. John E de Ruyter to serve five years, Mr. Carrol Cook to serve two years and Mr. Norman J. Stewart to serve one year.
THE SECRETARY: I have some correspondence here that it is not necessary to read except to call attention to the fact, as I have reported, that Messrs. Guggenheimer, Untermeyer & Marshall are taking care of the dog interests before the Interstate Commerce Commission that has been in session for two months. It would appear to me from what I have read in the newspapers that there will be such laws passed as will change the charges that the Express Companies have heretofore been charging the poor dog breeder and exhibitor, so that they will have some relief.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
Mr. H. K. Bloodgood, in the chair.

P R E S E N T - Hollis H. Hunnewell Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
Howard Willets
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
Singleton Van Schaick
John E. DeMund
John G. Bates
F. H. Osgood
Rowland P. Keasbey
C. D. Bernheimer
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
William Rauch
M. M. Palmer

Raymond Belmont and Ralph Stewart

The first nomination is for President in the place of Mr. August Belmont.

Mr. Hunnewell: I would like to nominate Mr. August Belmont for re-election as President of this club.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

Mr. Willets: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Belmont’s election as President.

Motion seconded and carried.
The secretary announced that he has cast a ballot for Mr. August Belmont as President for the ensuing year.

Mr. DeMund: I nominate Mr. Hollis H. Hunnewell for the office of First VP of this club.

Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the secretary will cast a ballot for Mr. Hunnewell’s election as First VP.

The secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for Mr. Hollis H. Hunnewell as first VP of the club.

(At this point Mr. Hunnewell took the chair.)

Mr. Bernheimer: I nominate Mr. H. K. Bloodgood for the office of 2\textsuperscript{nd} VP of this club.

Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the Secretary will cast a ballot for Mr. Bloodgood’s election.

The secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Mr. H. K. Bloodgood as 2\textsuperscript{nd} VP of this club.

Mr. Bernheimer: I nominate Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh as Secretary-Treasurer of this club.

Nomination seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.

On motion the Chairman was directed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. Vredenburgh.

The Chairman announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh as Secretary-Treasurer of the club.

Mr. Palmer: I nominate Messrs. W. G. Rockefeller, H. K. Bloodgood and Howard Willets as members of the Finance Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the Secretary will cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen just named.

The secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Messrs. Rockefeller, Bloodgood and Willets as members of the Finance Committee.

Mr. B. S. Smith: I nominate Messrs. James W. Appleton, Singleton Van Schaick and W. L. Barclay as members of the Stud Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the secretary will cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen just named.
The secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Messrs. James W. Appleton, Singleton Van Schaick and W. L. Barclay, as members of the Stud Book Committee.

Mr. Willets: I nominate as members of the Rules Committee, Dr John E DeMund, B. S. Smith, George Greer, H. H. Hunnewell and C. D. Bernheimer.

Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the secretary will cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Messrs. John E. DeMund, B. S. Smith, George Greer, H. H. Hunnewell and C. D. Bernheimer as members of the Rules Committee.

Mr. Moore: I nominate Messrs. Chetwood Smith, M. M. Palmer, A. G. Hooley and W. G. Rockefeller, as members of the Field Trial Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the secretary will cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Messrs. Chetwood Smith, M. M. Palmer, A. G.
Mr. Bernheimer: I nominate Messrs. Howard Willets, John E. DeMund, H. K. Bloodgood, B. S. Smith and Raymond Belmont as members of the Membership Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the secretary will cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Messrs. Howard Willets, John E. DeMund, H. K. Bloodgood, B. S. Smith and Raymond Belmont as members of the Membership Committee.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I would like to nominate for the Publication Committee Messrs. Dwight Moore, as chairman, A. Clinton Wilmerding, M. M. Palmer, Rowland P. Keasbey and A. G. Hooley as members.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the secretary will cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Messrs. Dwight Moore, A. Clinton Wilmerding, M. M. Palmer, Rowland P. Keasbey and A. G. Hooley as members of the Publication Committee.
DR. DE MUND: I would like to nominate Messrs. George Greer, George Lauder and F. H. Osgood as members of the License Committee.

Nomination seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.

The Chairman: If there is no objection, the secretary will cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for the election of Messrs. George Greer, George Lauder, Jr., and F. H. Osgood as members of the License Committee.

The Secretary: The Trial Board are for your appointments.

The Chairman: The Chair will appoint the Trial Board as they now stand.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

Feb 19th 1912

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB:

I beg to present my quarterly report. The decisions handed down by the Trial Board sitting in New York were published in full in the Gazette of January 31st, 1912.

The Minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of December 9th, Jan. 3rd and Jan 8th have been filed since out last meeting and as is usual, I recommend that same be spread in full upon the minutes.

Mr. George Lauder, Jr., asks to be excused for his absence in the South.
Mr. James Mortimer asks to be excused from attendance at this meeting on account of business connected with the Westminster Kennel Club Show which opens to-morrow.

The following charges have been preferred and are now pending for misconduct in connection with dogs and dog shows:

Dr George Clayton vs. A. Branshaw
American Kennel Club vs. L. Jaeger
Buffalo Kennel Club vs. Jos Lewis

A bull has been received for disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee Jan. 2\textsuperscript{nd} to Feb 12\textsuperscript{th} amounting to $27.90, duly audited by the Committee of the Pacific Advisory Committee. I therefore recommend the payment of same.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A. P. Vredenburgh

On motion the same was accepted and filed.

The Treasurer read his financial statement as follows:

New York, Feb 19\textsuperscript{th} 1912

To the Board of Directors:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial statement
From Jan 1st, 1912.

Balance on hand, Jan. 1st, 1912 -------------- $22,963.91

Receipts from Jan 1st to date --------------- 4,094.86

Total ------------------------------------ $27,058.77

Disbursements from Jan. 1st to date -------- 3,508.29

Balance on hand -------------------------- $23,550.29

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and filed.

The report of the Publication Committee was read as follows:

The Publication Committee met on Jan. 9th to consider the resolution of the Directors in the matter of publishing in the Stud Book a list of all wins in Regular Classes.

The omission of all except Winners Classes in the 1910 Stud Book effected a reduction of 217 page; a saving of at least $285.00 in cost of paper and printing alone as compared with cost of 1909 Stud Book and your secretary estimates that other expenses for tabulating and compiling represents at least fifty cents per page. The comparison of 1910 cost with that of 1908 is still more favorable to present methods of publishing the Stud Book.

The necessity for economy in making up the Stud Book is shown by the costs, sales and net losses of recent is-
sues to Dec. 31st, 1911, as follows, which for purposes of comparison are substantially correct.

1908 Issue 650 vols.
   Free  471
   Stock 179 vols       Cost 2398.85
   Sales 339.00
   Debit - 2059.85

   Free  393
   Stock 157 vols       Cost 2118.36
   Sales 225.00
   Debit - 1893.36

1910 Issue 300 vols.
   Free  20
   Stock 290 vols       Cost 1766.46
   Sales 700.00
   Debit - 1066.46

Your Committee is not aware of any special demand for the inclusion of all wins, except by resolution of the Pacific Advisory Committee and your Secretary informs us that the average sale of Stud Books on the Pacific Coast does not exceed ten copies annually.

Respectfully submitted,
   (signed) Dwight Moore
   Chairman

DR. DE MUND: I move that the report of the Publication Committee be approved.

MR. Wilmerding: I have just received instructions from the club that I represent, the Santa Cruz County Kennel Club, to vote against this report. I am in the peculiar position of having been on the Publication Committee,
but I would like to register my vote against it.

MR. MOORE: I would like to have it appear that Mr. Wilmerding approved of the report and subsequently received instructions from his club to vote against it.

Dr De Mund's motion to approve the Publication Committee’s report was then adopted.

The report of the Field Trial Committee was read as follows:

New York, Feb 19 1912

The Field Trial Committee has attended to its duties as will appear by the published reports in the Stud Book their only regret being that the report of the National Beagle Club was not received in time to appear in the Stud Book for 1911.

Field Trial Committee

By Chetwood Smith

Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and filed.

THE SECRETARY: Mr. George Lauder, Jr. asks to be excused from attendance at the last meeting of the Board of Directors on account of his absence from the country. He is at Bermuda.

Mr. Hooley: I move that his excuse be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: Mr. James Mortimer also asks to be
excused from attendance at this meeting on account of his duties in connection
with the show of the Westminster Kennel Club.

On motion the same was accepted.

THE SECRETARY: I submit to you reports of meeting held by the Pacific
Advisory Committee on December 9th, 1911, Jan 3rd and Jan 8th, 1912.

Mr. Moore: I move that they be spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held at the palace Hotel, San Francisco,

Dec. 9, 1911


Absent: Dr W. P. Burnham

W. W. Stettheimer in the chair.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The secretary read the following report:

In re AKC vs. the Hub City Kennel Club: Dr Six, having signed the
undertaking to support AKC shows only in future, I have filed all papers in this case.

J. H. Taylor vs. Tacoma Kennel Club: I have received the corrected certified
catalogue from the Tacoma Kennel Club and the judge’s book from the AKC as
further evidence in this case.
F. E. King vs. J. H. Taylor: Charge of falsifying pedigree: I submit a letter from Mr. King stating that he is unable to discover her address, hence is unable to furnish the required affidavit.

Santa Clara Co. Kennel Club vs. W. H. Revere: Suspension for misconduct. I have received no reply to my letters calling on Mr. Revere to show cause why his suspension should not be made permanent.

Mr. Ted Kielhofer has furnished an affidavit setting forth the age of the Cocker Spaniel bitch puppy, Yama Yama Firl, exhibited as such at Del Monte, August, 1911.

AKC vs. Officers of San Jose Kennel Club: Infraction of Rule II. Four letters have been written, of which two are undelivered on account of imperfect address. I have a reply from Dr Bullitt, stating that he was made an officer of the club without his knowledge. I have no answer to the other letters.

Dr W. P. Burnham tenders his resignation from the Committee.


These are two cases of complaint and cross complaint, filed with duplicate specifications and deposits. I would
suggest that they follow the usual course.

W. C. Cox vs. Colorado Kennel Club: Complaint of change in conditions of trophy. This is a renewal of an old case, and I submit all premium lists and catalogues in connection therewith. It is postponed from the last meeting.

T. Kielhofer vs. Waggener: Suit for monetary compensation. This is a request for compensation for $20.00, which plaintiff has to pay to A. L. Creswell for finding his dog, which Waggener is alleged to have carelessly left behind at the Del Monte show, and for handling which dog he is alleged to have received $10.00 payment in advance.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman, Secretary

On motion, the secretary’s report was approved and ordered filed.

Cox vs. Colorado Kennel Club: Complaint of change of conditions in trophy.

On motion, the secretary was instructed to write to D. O. Bartlett, the donor of the trophy in question, for information as to the terms of the original deed of gift.

J. H. Taylor vs. Tacoma Kennel Club: A claim for undelivered special for best Washington-bred Collie of opposite sex. On motion, it was resolved that the catalogue and judge’s book of the Tacoma show prove that complainant’s
dog was beaten and was not entitled to the trophy in question.

Complaint dismissed.

F. E. King vs. J. H. Taylor: Showing dogs under fraudulent pedigree. Mr. King stating that his informant having left Tacoma, and he being unable to ascertain her address, and consequently unable to furnish the necessary affidavit:

On motion, it was resolved that as Mr. King was unable to substantiate the charge, the papers in the case be filed.

Santa Clara County Kennel Club vs. W. H. Revere:
Suspension for misconduct in removing dog from show in contravention of the rules, and using foul and abusive language to the door keeper.

Defendant having filed no defense, on motion, it was Resolved that the suspension of W. H. Revere be and hereby is made permanent.

AKC vs. Alice Brade: Showing a puppy under age with false date of birth.

The affidavit of Mr. Ted Kielhofer, the breeder, showing that the Cocker Spaniel bitch, Yama Yama Girl, was born on March 4th, 1911, whereas said dog was shown at Del Monte August 25th, 1911, in the puppy class with date of birth as Feb 24th, 1911.
on motion, it was Resolved, that the secretary file charge against the exhibitor, Miss Alice Brady, and call on her to file her defense in writing within thirty days from date.

AKC vs. Officers of San Jose Kennel Club: Infraction of Rule II.

E. K. Everett having failed to show cause, on motion, it was Resolved that said E. K. Everett be and hereby is suspended. Dr James K. Bullitt having advised this Committee that his name as Vice President of the San Jose Kennel Club was used without his knowledge or consent, on motion, it was Resolved that said Doctor Bullitt be and hereby is exonerated from the charge.

In the case of J. Ray and B. Ogden, on motion, it was Resolved that their cases be postponed, as the letters of notification had not been delivered, owing to imperfect address.

Dr W. P. Burnham having tendered his resignation as a member of the Committee, It was moved and seconded, that Doctor Burnham's resignation be accepted with the deep regret of his colleagues, that his professional duties no longer permit him to continue his membership effectively, and that the hearty and sincere thanks of this Committee and of the AKC be and hereby are extended to him for his past services.
Magnuire et al vs. Bone

Charges of misconduct

Bone et al vs. Salz

On motion it was Resolved that these charges follow the usual course.

Kielhofer vs. Waggener: Suit for return of handlers; fee and compensation for reward paid for finding plaintiff’s dog.

On motion it was Resolved that the charge be not considered, as fraud was not involved in the case, but that the Committee regrets its inability to assist the plaintiff, there being no AKC rule to cover carelessness on the part of the handler.

On resumption of regular business, it was resolved that the semi-annual convention of Coast Clubs and the Pacific Advisory Committee be set for Wed., Jan 3rd, 1912, at 2 pm at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman

Secretary
Present: W. W. Stettheimer, N. T. Messer, N. J. Stewart

Absent: H. H. Carlton

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

On motion, the reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was postponed.

The secretary read his report as follows:

San Francisco, Jan. 3, 1912

Pacific Advisory Committee

Gentlemen:

I lay before you the application for membership of Del Monte Kennel Club. All papers are in order and the necessary fees have been paid.

I regret to announce that I have received a letter from Mr. H. H. Carlton, tendering his resignation from this Committee.

Cox vs. Colorado Kennel Club

I have received from the donor of the cup in dispute, Mr. W. O. Bartlett, a copy of the original deed of gift herewith submitted.

Bone et al vs. Salz. Maguire et al vs. Bone

These are two cross complaints filed by members of the Marin Valley Kennel Club. No answers have been filed, and I presume the parties will appear in person.
I present a letter from the secretary of the AKC, calling on this Committee to investigate certain alleged occurrences at the show of the L. K. A. of So. Cal.

Miss Alice Brady has filed no reply to the charge of showing a dog at Del Monte, under a wrong pedigree, and I would ask that proper measured be taken.

Respectfully submitted

J. P. Norman, Secretary

On motion the report was accepted.

It was moved and seconded, that the application of the Del Monte Kennel Club for membership in the AKC be approved.

Carried.

The resignation of Mr. H. H. Carlton was read and the following resolution adopted:

That this Committee accept the resignation of Henry H. Carlton with the utmost regret that business reasons preclude him from continuing his membership, and that the heartfelt thanks of the Committee be and hereby are tendered to Mr. Carlton for the great and steadfast services rendered by him for thirteen years, to the cause of the AKC and the interests of the dog on the Pacific Coast.
On motion, the Committee adjourned for a recess for the purpose of attending the Semi-annual Convention of Delegates of Pacific Coast Clubs.

On reconvening, the secretary announced that the Convention had nominated the following gentlemen to fill vacancies on the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Mr. John E. de Ruyter, to succeed Dr W. P. Burnham, for the unexpired term of one year.

Hon. Carroll Cook, to succeed Mr. H. H. Carlton, for the unexpired term of two years.

The Chair announced, that in order to maintain the efficiency of the Committee and for the proper transaction of business, he would temporarily appoint Messrs. J. E. de Ruyter and Carroll Cook to act as members of the Committee pending that confirmation by the Board of Directors of AKC.

Maguire et al vs. Bone. Bone et al vs. Salz

On the appearance of the parties to these complaints, it was agreed and stipulated that both complaints should be discharged without prejudice, and that Mr. Bone, as President of the Marin Valley Kennel Club, should submit a statement of his receipts and expenditures in connection with the dog show of the Marin Valley Kennel Club,
and that the same would be examined by other members of the Club, and any
differences should be arbitrated by the Pacific Advisory Board.

The Chair appointed Jan 8th, and the Palace Hotel, San Francisco, as the time
and place for such arbitration; and on motion, the meeting was adjourned
accordingly.

Attest: J. P. Norman
Secretary

ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at the Palace Hotel, San Francisco
Jan. 8, 1912

Present: W. W. Stettheimer, N. T. Messer, J. E. de Ruyter, C. Cook
Absent: N. J. Stewart (on account of illness)

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

On motion, the rules were suspended and the case of the Marin Valley Kennel
Club taken up for consideration.

On examination of the accounts submitted by Mr. J. C. Bone, President of the
Club, and on consideration of the objections lodged by Mr. M. J. Maguire,
secretary of the Club, it was Resolved, that this Committee find Mr. J. C. Bone to
be responsible to the Marin Valley Kennel Club for the sum of 107.10, and that
the charge of Mr. M. J. Maguire et al vs. Bone, and J. C. Bone et al vs. Salz, be
and hereby are dismissed without prejudice, the deposit
is each case to be returned to the plaintiff.

The minutes of the previous meeting were then read and approved.

Cox vs. Colorado Kennel Club: A protest against change in conditions of a trophy.

It was Resolved, that the protest of Mr. Cox be denied, as the original deed of gift of the trophy in question did not contain any limitation as to residence or Club membership as claimed by Mr. Cox.

It was furthermore Resolved, that the Colorado Kennel Club be instructed to notify each person having a leg on the W. O. Bartlett Cup, for best team of Irish Terriers that the said Cup had to be won three times before becoming the property of the winner.

AKC vs. Bernon Ogden. Infraction of Rule II.

It was Resolved, that Bernon Ogden, not having filed any defense, be and hereby is disqualified, for having acted as an official at a dog show held under rules antagonistic to the AKC at San Jose, Cal.

AKC vs. Alice Brady. Exhibiting a dog under erroneous pedigree.

It was Resolved, that Miss Alice Brady, not having complied with the instruction of this Committee to file her defense within thirty days, be and hereby is suspended.
Mrs. A. Koehler vs. L. K. A. of So. California.

The secretary of the AKC, having called on this Committee to investigate certain allegations made by Mrs. A. Koehler, in reference to certain actions of the veterinarian, at the show given by the L. K. A. of So. California, at Los Angeles, Cal., it was Resolved that the bench show Committee of the said Association and the veterinarian thereof, be and hereby are called on to render a full and true report of the occurrences stated by Mrs. Koehler, to have happened.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman

Secretary

The report of the License Committee was read as follows:

Feb. 19th, 1912
To the Directors of the AKC

The License Committee begs to report that it has granted the following license since Dec. 19, 1911:

Grand Forks Kennel Club
West Tennessee Kennel Club
Boston Terrier Club of Buffalo
Greater Des Moines Kennel Club

(Signed) J. E. DeMund

Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and filed.
THE SECRETARY: I present a bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from Jan 2nd, 1912 to Feb 12, 1912, amounting to $27.90, signed and OK’d by the special committee, John E. de Ruyter and N. T. Messer.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I move the same be approved and paid.

Motion seconded and carried.

Dr Osgood: I would like to bring up a matter under the heading of new business. We have had a number of standing Committees on which we have able representatives who devote their time and good work for the interests of the club, and it has been brought to my attention time and time again when matters were proposed here which were considered questions of law. It has always been thought necessary to refer to counsel, and it has seemed to me that we have an abundance of legal talent, members of the organization who would gladly give their time and ability of sufficient amount to assist us in anything which we consider should be referred to counsel, that is, anything of a judicial nature, and I would make a motion that a standing Committee of three be appointed in regular manner, known as the legal Committee to whom all matters of a judicial nature should be referred in preference to employing outside counsel.
Motion seconded and carried.

DR. DE MUND: I would like to nominate Messrs. Ralph C. Stewart of Philadelphia; Mr. Alvin Untermyer of New York, and Mr. Samuel R. Cutler of Boston, to compose that Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion, nominations were closed.

On motion the secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named as members of such Committee, the first gentlemen named to be Chairman.

The secretary announced that he had cast a ballot for Messrs. Ralph C. Stewart, Alvin Untermyer and S. R. Cutler as members of said Committee.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I have received three anonymous communications from people in the Middle West, stating that there is somebody out there who is advertising a registration of dogs under the name of The American Dog Register; and that people of that section of the country believe that that is a branch of the American Kennel Club. Unfortunately, all these communications are unsigned, and I thought that if this matter appeared in the minutes some of these people might write and send their names.

THE SECRETARY: I submit herewith the report of the Chairman of the Finance Committee. It is as follows:
Mr. H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.

- P R E S E N T -
  H. H. Hunnewell
  H. K. Bloodgood
  Chetwood Smith
  Howard Willets
  Dwight Moore
  John E. DeMund

The secretary read a communication from Mr. B. S. Smith, Chairman of the NY Trial Board, as follows:

Executive Committee

On Jan. 27th, 1912, the Trial Board in the case of Branshaw vs. Clayton, found “Charges not sustained and the case was dismissed.” Since that time much correspondence has been received by the secretary of the American Kennel Club from Mr. Branshaw, in which he complains that he lost the case on account of not being able to afford the cost of coming on here personally with witnesses as did Dr Clayton. He maintains that Dr Clayton is a menace to the interests of Dog Shows in the State of Texas and questions the credibility of the witness who
testified for Dr Clayton. There is a case now pending before the Trail Board of Clayton vs. Branshaw, in which Clayton maintains that Branshaw attempted to boycott the shows in Texas, which he, Clayton, managed, and that Branshaw is a menace to the interests of Dog Shows in Texas.

It is the unanimous opinion of the Trial Board that before considering the case of Clayton vs. Branshaw the case of Branshaw vs. Clayton should be re-opened, and that one of the members of the Trial Board with the secretary of the American Kennel Club should go to Dallas, Texas, on as early a date as possible, summon all interested in the case to appear before them there, and make a thorough examination as to the conditions of affairs in the State of Texas where there appears to be something radically wrong. The adjustment of affairs in Dallas by this investigation would be morally felt throughout the circuit of shows held in the State of Texas of which there are five (5).

Very truly yours,

(Signed) B. S. Smith (Chairman)

New York Trial Board.

DR. DE MUND: I move that the Trial Board be given permission to re-open the case of Branshaw vs. Clayton; also that the recommendation of the Trial Board as to sending some one to Texas to look into this matter be approved.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MOORE: I move that Mr. B. S. Smith be instructed to go there as chairman of the Trial Board to investigate the particular case, and that the Secretary be directed to accompany him in an advisory capacity, and also to ascertain for the benefit of the Executive Committee the present condition in the State of Texas with regard to this circuit of shows.

Motion seconded and carried.

DR. DE MUND: Our last dinner was such a great success that several members have asked for another one. On the 8th of May, Mr. August Belmont will have been out President for twenty-five years. I move that a Committee be appointed to provide for a dinner to be given to Mr. Belmont, that the price of tickets be limited to five dollars each and that an appropriation be granted to the Committee to defray expenses.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

----------
MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell, in the chair.

- P R E S E N T -

H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
Howard Willets
Dwight Moore
John E DeMund

THE SECRETARY: The first matter that comes up for your consideration is a communication from C. D. Berheimer of the question of rabies. The communication is as follows:

New York, Feb 19th, 1912

Mr. A. P. VREDENBURGH, secretary
American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street, NYC

Dear Sir:

I deem the time opportune for this Club to take up the question of rabies in dogs. The supposed prevalence of this disease throughout the country is working a hardship to Breeders, Exhibitors, and Dog Shows. In several counties in this State, it is necessary to obtain a permit from the Sheriff before a dog can be removed, and in some counties, it is absolutely impossible to obtain a permit.

I suggest that a Committee be appointed (with power) to act in conjunction with the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and the several State Depts of Agriculture

[last line is illegible]
in an endeavor to stamp out the dread disease, and to take up such other matters in connection therewith so as to facilitate the removal of dogs for shows by their owners.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Chas. D. Bernheimer

THE SECRETARY: I have asked Dr Osgood and Mr. Bernheimer to remain at this meeting for the purpose of giving us their ideas on this subject.

MR. Bernheimer: I Committee was appointed to take up the matter with the Commissioner of Agriculture of this State, or to take up the question with the Commissioner of Agriculture of the U.S., to see whether they could not get at some method by which they could endeavor to do away with rabies entirely in this country or get it down to a minimum. To-day it was brought to my notice that in Mount Vernon a little yellow dog was playing with a show string of a child, and the cry of “mad dog” was taken up, and some one hit the dog with a cane, and naturally the dog ran and before they got through the dog really was angry – I won’t say he was mad. The policeman got after it, and I suppose now Mt. Vernon will be quarantined. Rye is quarantined, Westchester County is quarantined and Rockland County is quarantined. In Rye, if I want to take my dogs out I have got to go before the Sheriff and give a description of watch dog, and I have to remove the dog in
three days. If I wanted to take out five or six dogs I suppose there would be a question. As I said, if we desire to take out our dogs, we have to go before the Sheriff and get out permits to take out dogs out.

Dr Osgood: I do not know that this matter would stir up a hornet’s nest, as has been suggested here. I thought perhaps Mr. Bernheimer had not given the matter quite the consideration it ought to have. In the first place, matters of contagious diseases do not come before the secretary of Agriculture. He has not jurisdiction whatever. They go before the Bureau of Animal Industry. That is where the authority lies. There is another point to consider, and that is, that no State authority can interfere with the Interstate Commerce Commission, and I thought that in some way somebody who was acquainted with the laws regarding animals and their removal from State to State, and some one who was also identified in some way with the Bureau of Animal Industry might bring about in a quiet way some relief from the present condition of things, so that each individual need not suffer any inconvenience whatever, without getting up any stir by going there officially to the Secretary of Agriculture and having it read and become a matter of record, and so forth. I thought it was a matter of record, and so forth. I thought it was a matter that could be determined by private conference without any of the results following, and I thought if it went in
as Mr. Bernheimer has suggested through a Committee appointed by a dog organization and referred to the secretary of Agriculture, it would then become a matter of record and begin to be stirred up first at Washington and then in the different States that were mentioned, and it might make a great big row. You know when you begin to talk about rabies, or anything of that kind, a lot of people in this country begin to go crazy, and the less stir you have about it, if it is done between two individuals, I think you would get a great deal more satisfactory result than you could by bringing it through a Committee this way in an official manner.

The Chairman: I think Mr. Bernheimer's idea of was to appoint a Committee and let them work it out their own way as quietly as possible.

Mr. Bernheimer: And besides, I did not think that there was the number of cases of rabies that are reported by the newspapers. I know that the New York State Dept. of Agriculture have it in hand, and they referred it to the Sheriffs of the different counties, and I believe that if the State would appoint an inspector wherever a mad dog scare came up, and any dog that had been bitten by a supposed mad dog was kept under observation for a certain length of time, or put in quarantine, and dogs that had not been bitten to be allowed to be removed
from the county or town in which the scare has been, that would do a great deal to obviate it, because in that event any dog that had been bitten by a mad dog would be destroyed as soon as he developed any symptoms of rabies.

The Chairman: You cannot always tell whether a dog has been bitten or not.

Mr. Bernheimer: Any dog that shows any bite or anything, should be kept under observation.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think you will find that there is a law now which provides that in case a dog has been bitten by a mad dog he is placed under ---

Mr. Bernheimer: No, they simply put a quarantine on the town.

Mr. Bloodgood: They quarantine the dogs that have been bitten, as I understand it. You have got to rid people of hysteria. The question of rabies – there is about one dog in a thousand or five thousand that has rabies. It is not a question of real rabies; it is a question of the hysteria of the people, and unless you can reach that in some way little good can be done. I am inclined to think that Dr Osgood is correct that if we get to stirring things up we are going to make matters worse.

Mr. Osgood: My idea would be something like this: The laws of the U.S. vary in almost every State. In NY State Commissioner Pierson, Secretary of Agric-
ulture, has charge of more contagious disease than animals. That does not prevail in a great many of the States, and the Commissioner of Agriculture in Washington has absolutely no control over contagious diseases in the U.S. There is a clause in the Interstate Commerce Law which prevents anything being passed by a State Judge which would interfere with the Interstate Commerce. It is a question in my mind whether it would be an easy matter if, for example, you had an outbreak of rabies in NYC, and the State was quarantined by the Commissioner of Agriculture to move a dog from one part of the State to another, but I do not believe the Commissioner of Agriculture in NY has any jurisdiction over animals which are to be moved out of the State and across the border, and that a permit could be served, and we could have an abundance of them in this office to give to any one that wanted to show dogs at any place – to make out a permit and have it properly signed so that the dog could go from one State to another wherever it is desired to exhibit him; but it is unwise in a matter that people get so inflamed over as they do over rabies, and there is so little of it about, to get any general stir up or newspaper talk about it. A well known institute, as have been suggested, would seem to be ready to do almost anything to get people to go
to it, and any stir that they can get up on the question of rabies is so much money in its pocket. You say in Westchester County that certain towns – or another county is quarantined, which is it?

Mr. Bernheimer: Rockland County.

Dr Osgood: If a dog is found with rabies, or suspected of having rabies, he is taken by a State County Commissioner, and an examination made to demonstrate whether it has rabies or not. Then any dogs to which that one has been exposed are quarantined for a certain length of time, say three or four weeks.

Mr. Bloodgood: You say only for three or four weeks?

Mr. Osgood: Yes.

Mr. Bloodgood: How can they tell. Rabies have never appeared under eighteen or twenty days, and it generally runs six weeks.

Dr Osgood: About four weeks is the average that they are quarantined – if they go over the nineteenth or twentieth day they do not worry about it.

Mr. Moore: I move that the Chairman appoint a Committee of three to consider this matter and report back to Executive Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

-------------------
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the American Kennel Club

Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC

Tuesday, May 21st, 1912.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airedale Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>William L. Barclay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Spaniel Club</td>
<td>H. K. Bloodgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulldog Club of America</td>
<td>Edwin L. Boger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly Bench Show Association</td>
<td>Raymond Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dachshund Club of America</td>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalmatian Club of America</td>
<td>Alfred B. Maclay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club</td>
<td>James J. Fox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Monte Kennel Club</td>
<td>Edward H. Hobbie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie Kennel Club</td>
<td>Ralph C. Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Kennel Club of San Francisco</td>
<td>M. M. Palmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greyhound Club of America</td>
<td>Edward H. Carle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club</td>
<td>Charles R. Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island Kennel Club</td>
<td>John F. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltese Terrier Club</td>
<td>E. H. Berendsohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth County Kennel Club</td>
<td>Chas. D. Bernheimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau County Kennel Club</td>
<td>W. H. Sawyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England Collie Club</td>
<td>H. P. J. Earnshaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English Sheepdog Club of America</td>
<td>Tyler Morse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Piping Rock Kennel Club        A. G. Hooley
Russian Wolfhound Club        Dr. De Mund
Santa Clara County Kennel Club     W. S. Gurnee, Jr.
Sioux City Kennel Club         M. Robert Guggenheim
St. Louis Collie Club          Lloyd W. Smith

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published.

The following named clubs were duly elected as members of the American Kennel Club, Mr. M. R. Guggenheim having been appointed teller:

  Western French Bulldog Club
  Manchester Terrier Club
  American Foxhound Club
  Philadelphia Bulldog Club

The secretary: The application of the Toy Dog Fanciers’ Club of America was not approved by the Membership Committee.

The following named delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

  Toy Dog Club of New England        Henry T. Bowers
  Manchester Club of America         Raymond Belmont
  Scottish Terrier Club of America   Henry T. Fleitmann
  Framingham District Kennel Club    A. E. Wright
  Airedale Terrier Club of America   Howard Ehrich
Mr. H. K. Bloodgood takes the chair.

The secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

May 20, 1912

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that I have received since our last meeting six applications for Active Membership and Credentials from eight clubs for Delegates to represent them, all of which have been handed over to the Membership Committee, and said Committee will report its recommendation at this meeting.

The Pacific Advisory Committee has sent a recommendation to amend Rule V, Section B, so as to admit Canadian bred dogs to compete in novice classes. This application under the Rules should be referred to the Rules Committee.

Respectfully submitted

(Signed) A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Guggenheim: It was with great regret on my part, and I am sure that I voice the sentiments of every delegate here, that I heard of the sad and sudden death of our associate and follow delegates, Mr. George W. Batson. I move
that an appropriate letter of condolence be inscribed on the minutes expressing the regret of the delegates and their due appreciation of the services which he has at all times rendered to the club, and that a copy of the same be forwarded to his widow.

Motion seconded and carried.

DR. DE MUND: In that connection I would like to state that one of the oldest members of the American Kennel Club died the other day. I do not know that many here knew him, but he was a very active member a few years ago. I refer to Dr H. R. Foote. I move that the same course be followed in his case.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Guggenheim: I have a communication which I received from the secretary of the club which I represent, the Seattle Kennel Club. It is rather lengthy, but I think it should be read at this meeting in order that the delegates may take action on it if they deem proper.

The secretary then read the communication.

Mr. Guggenheim: I think I would like to have an expression of the members as to how they feel on this subject. It seems to me that these petty fines for trifling violations of our rules, which are sometimes unavoidable, are pretty hard on some of our members, in view of the fact that they have no experienced men to run the show, as was
the case of this club on the Pacific Coast. The men who are selected to handle these Pacific Coast shows are men who have other business to attend to, and they do the best they can, and it seems very hard to fine them such large amounts for violations that really cannot be helped. It does not do the American Kennel Club any good. This violation was not committed for the purpose of defrauding the public or fooling anybody, but was done through absolute error, and therefore it seems to me if we could abolish that rule, particularly in connection with Pacific Coast shows, it would be desirable.

Mr. Bernheimer: If this was simply a clerical error, that is one thing, but I think it must have been something more serious than a mere clerical error.

Mr. Guggenheim: As I understand, it was a mis-spelling of words, about twenty-five such errors.

DR. DE MUND: While it may seem a very small matter to the Seattle Kennel Club, if the system of fines was abolished, it would probably have the effect of doubling the force of the American Kennel Club. This system of fines has made people careful, and it seems to me that any club ought to be willing to see that their catalogues came in in all kinds of shapes, and they had to be sent back half a dozen times for correction.
Mr. Collins: I would like to ask if it is possible to remit fines by arrangement. For instance, if the club has a secretary that is absolutely inexperienced and he has made the mistakes simply through error or through lack of knowledge of our rules, wouldn’t it be possible for that club to refer the matter to the American Kennel Club and have the fines remitted. I think it might be obviated in that way.

THE SECRETARY: It is always.

Mr. Guggenheim: if that is the case, would a motion be in order to remit to the Everett Kennel Club this $25 which they were fined on a technicality?

The Chairman: It would be out of order. This matter would have to be referred back to the Rules Committee.

Mr. Guggenheim: They lost considerable money on their show. They tried to do their best to comply with the rules, and they have shown a good spirit, and I think we ought to make it as easy for them as we possibly can.

The Chairman: The commission of twenty-five errors in a catalogue is quite a serious matter. They ought to select somebody who could read the rules and understand them.

DR. DE MUND: Any club can appeal and ask that their fine be remitted, but it is too late in this case.

THE SECRETARY: This meeting cannot remit a fine that has never been imposed. There was no fine imposed against
the Everett Kennel Club. That is a matter which comes within the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Mr. Guggenheim: Would the fine have been sustained if imposed by the Pacific Advisory Committee?

THE SECRETARY: There was no fine imposed.

Mr. Guggenheim: It may have been imposed by the Pacific Advisory Committee.

THE SECRETARY: No. We impose the fine and if it is paid it is sent to the Pacific Advisory Committee, and by them forwarded to us. It is usual with this kind of letters that there is seldom foundation for their statements. We have a case now of a club that held its show the 4th day of May, that is seventeen days ago. The American Kennel Club gives each club seven days to do their work and make their returns to the American Kennel Club, and if they do no do it they are assessed on dollar a day as long as they are in arrears. This club has not made its return yet. If we remit these fines when will we ever get out returns from the shows? That is the only thing that makes them pay them.

Mr. Collins: The only case in which I would suggest that a fine be remitted is one where there would be positive cause shown that it was an unavoidable mistake. For instance, where the secretary was ill and none of the members know anything about it, he might be so sick that
he would not be able to give the information even to any of his members. In a case like that the imposition of a fine would be a hardship.

THE SECRETARY: A matter of that king would be brought up before the delegates.

Mr. Sawyer: Should not some action be taken on the gentlemen’s letter? This does a little bit further than the mere one point. Here is an honest man trying to work for us and with us who believes we are in error. I should like to see such a matter as that referred back to the Rules Committee, and that the secretary be instructed to write a letter to this club explaining our action, and make the matter a public one so that every Kennel Club will understand our action. This writer believes we are wrong, and he does not understand why we are doing as we are. I would recommend that you show this man where we stand. We are trying to so the same things he is, that is the best thing.

The Chairman: If you wish to make a motion to refer the matter to the Rules Committee it would be in order.

Mr. Sawyer: I would like to make a motion that that be referred to the Rule Committee, to take the matter up with this complainant in the spirit in which he writes. I do not recommend putting in a letter a suggestion that we see any possible way of remitting the fine, because that
is the only possible way we can take care of that difficulty at the present time.

Mr. Guggenheim: I would suggest that the motion include the writing of a letter by the Rule Committee to me in explanation of its action, and I will forward the same to the secretary of this club who wrote me.

Mr. Sawyer: That is entirely acceptable.

Mr. Boger: I second the motion.

Carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
The reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published.

DR. DE MUND: I move the election of Mr. W. H. Sawyer as a director to fill the present vacancy for one year.

Nomination seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

May 20th, 1912

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my quarterly report. There is a vacancy in the Board of Directors for the class for 1916, which should be filled at this meeting.

I beg to submit the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of their meetings held March 22nd and April 13th. To follow the usual proceedings, I would
recommend that the same be ordered spread in full in the minutes of this meeting.

I also submit the decision of the Trial Board in the cases of Branshaw & Nevitt vs. Clayton, and of Clayton vs. Branshaw. This decision was handed down May 8th, and therefore I have not had the opportunity of publishing same in the Gazette, and would recommend that these findings be spread in full in the minutes of this meeting.

The Boston Terrier Club of Buffalo applied for a license to hold a show at Buffalo, March 7th and 8th, 1912, and filed with such application, the consent of the Boston Terrier Club to permit such show to be held with a rating of four points. The License Committee, after investigating the matter, directed me to issue a license to said Club, and to advise that two points would be the rating of the show.

The Boston Terrier Club of Buffalo returned the License that was issued on January 22nd and declined to hold the show at a two point rating, and threatened that legal steps would be taken to ascertain why they cannot obtain the same impartial treatment accorded to other clubs, and demanded the return of the license fee and the date deposit. This I declined to so, as no authority is vested in me by which I
could comply with that demand.

Rule V, of the Rules Governing Clubs states that the deposit for dates shall be forfeited in case of the show not being held. This matter is now before you for adjustment.

At the Sioux Falls Kennel Club show, held Oct. 12th to 14th, 1911, one Mrs. Geo. W. Debay, the wife of the Secretary of said show, entered a Fox Terrier, stated on the entry form wire-haired. The dog was a smooth Fax Terrier and the judge, G. A. Cranfield, transferred this dog to the smooth class, in which it won. This office cancelled the awards and moved the next dog in merit up. The transfer of this dog by the judge was in direct violation of Rule XXI, of the Rules Governing Clubs, from which I quote: A dog wrongly entered cannot be transferred by the show officials. Penalty $25 for each offence.

We had a communication from the officers of said Club, saying that this transfer was made without their knowledge or consent, and also a communication signed by George A. Cranfield, judge, which states: This is to certify that the Fox Terrier dog, Don, No. 97, was transferred from the wire-haired Fox Terrier class to the smooth-haired Fox Terrier class, and I hereby certify that this change was made wholly on my part, and without the consent of any member or officer of the Sioux Falls Kennel Club, and I hereby
sign this statement as absolutely true.

We have penalized this Club $25 of this action, which has not been paid. We stall hold their deposit of $25 which can be applied to this penalty, if you so direct. The owner of the dog moved up has complained several times that she has not received the prize money due her. I therefore ask that proper action be taken in the adjustment of this case.

The Southern Collie Club asks permission to hold a bench show for all breeds on September 23rd to 26th inclusive, and a Collie specialty show, Sept 27th and 28th. The secretary of said CLub states that “There two shows will be run independent of each other. We will issue separate premium lists and catalogues, and also have separate judges for each show.” I replied that I am not willing to give an opinion as to whether it would be within the spirit of the Rules to permit these two shows to be held as requested, and thay i would submit same to you at this meeting for proper decision.

I beg to report the bill for disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee from Dec 29th to May 14th inclusive, amounting to $31.75. This bill has been marked correct by the Auditing Committee of the Pacific Advisory Committee, and I recommend the payment of same.

The Pacific Advisory Committee adopted a resolution
in reference to the public dinner to be given to Mr. August Belmont on May 25th, which I am requested to read to you to give the necessary publicity, and I therefore recommend the same to be spread in full in the minutes of this meeting.

I have to report that excuses have been filed for absence at this meeting from Mr. Chetwood Smith, Mr. Edward Brooks, Mr. H. H. Hunnewell, Mr. Howard Willets, Dr F. H. Osgood, and Mr. George Greer, B. S. Smith, James Mortimer.

Respectfully submitted

(Signed) A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

Treasurer's Report

NY May 20, 1912.

To the Board of Directors

Of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit my quarterly financial report from Jan. 1, 1912 to date.

Balance on hand, Jan. 1st, 1912 ------------------------ $ 22,963.91
Receipts to date, --------------------------------------------     10,799.16
Total ----------           33,763.17
Disbursements from Jan. 1, 1912 to date            10,186.11
Balance on hand ---- 23,577.06

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary
On motion the same was accepted.

The secretary: The minutes of the meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee, held on March 22\textsuperscript{nd}, and April 13\textsuperscript{th} are submitted. They are usually ordered to be spread upon the minutes and published.

Mr. Bernheimer: I make that motion.
Motion seconded and carried.

Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee

Held at the Auditorium, San Francisco

March 22, 1912


Absent: J. E. deRuyter

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting were approved as published in the Gazette.

The secretary read his report as follows:

San Francisco, March 22, 1912

Pacific Advisory Committee

Gentlemen:

Since our last meeting held on Jan 8\textsuperscript{th}, you have approved by mail vote the applications of the Spokane and Tacoma Kennel Club for licenses; altogether eleven shows have been granted dates so far.

I take great pleasure in laying before you the follow-
ing letter, dated Feb. 23rd, 1912, of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, in which he announced in most gratifying terms, that the members of this Committee temporarily appointed by the Chair, have been duly elected by advises that the recommendation of this Committee, adopted Oct. 21, 1911, re the publication of wins in the 1911 Stud Book was disapproved by the Publication Committee, and the latter’s report was adopted by the American Kennel Club.

Koehler vs. L. K. C. of So. Cal.

I submit the following statements of the bench show Committee and of the veterinary surgeon, in reply to the charges of Mrs. Koehler.

Todd vs. L. K. A. of So. Cal. Claim for trophy. I submit the statement of the secretary of the Club, which is borne out by the Judge’s book and the certified catalog.

The Marin Valley Kennel Club applied for permission to change its name to Marin County Kennel Club.

I submit a complaint from Miss Gertrude Locke, complaining of the substitution of a trophy, and asking for your ruling.

Horace G. Lepman, disqualified, seeks reinstating.

W. C. Cox of Denver, requests this Committee to re-open his case. As this is virtually an appeal from the Committee’s decision, I returned his papers, requesting the de-
posit of ten dollars, which really should have been filed in the first instance. This he declines to file, and I recommend that his request be denied, as not being in conformity with the by-laws of the American Kennel Club. I might mention that he appealed from our decision to the American Kennel Club, which declined to entertain the appeal, unless accompanied by the usual deposit of twenty-five dollars.

I lay before you a statement as to a puppy shown at Sacramento, for your decision as to whether you desire to initiate an investigation or to call for sworn charges.

Smith vs. Johnson; a charge of misconduct. Deposit has been regularly filed.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman, Secretary

The following letter was read:

New York, Feb 23, 1912

Mr. J. P. Norman, Sec’y Pacific Advisory Committee, Berkeley, California.

Dear Sir:

I beg to advise you that at the annual meeting of the American Kennel Club the nominations forwarded by your Committee to fill vacancies on said Committee, were presented to the Delegates. By unanimous vote, Mr. John E. deRuyter was elected to serve five years, Mr. Carroll Cook to serve two years and Norma J. Stewart to serve one year.
Permit me personally to congratulate the Committee in securing the services of gentlemen so highly thought of, and whose well known ability is fully appreciated.

Yours truly,

American Kennel Club

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary

On motion it was resolved that Mr. Vredenburgh’s letter be spread upon the minutes. Koehler vs. Ladies Kennel Association of So. California: A charge of misconduct.

On motion, it was resolved that the charges be dismissed and papers filed, Mrs. Koehler having furnished no evidence sufficient to support her allegations.

Todd vs. Ladies Kennel Association of So. California: Complaint of non-receipt of prize.

It appeared from the evidence, that Miss Todd believed her dog to be entitled to a prize for the best shown by a members of the Club, but the dog that won the special for best in show, being also entitled to compete for said prize, was awarded the same by the judge without being brought into the ring.

On motion, it was resolved that Miss Todd’s complaint be dismissed and that circumstances of the case be explained to her.
Miss Gertrude Looke vs. Northern California Kennel Club: A complaint of the quality of the trophy.

On motion, the complaint was ordered filed.

In re Horace G. Lepman: A petition for re-instatement.

On motion, it was resolved that it was the sense of the Committee that Mr. Lepman had been sufficiently punished, by six years disqualification, and that said disqualification be and is hereby cancelled, and Horace G. Lepman restored to good standing in the American Kennel Club.

W. C. Cox vs. Colorado Kennel Club: A petition to re-open case.

On motion, it was resolved that said petition be denied unless accompanied by the proper deposit of ten dollars.

Biggs vs. Hartnup: A charge of misconduct.

On motion, it was resolved that the secretary procure the original of the affidavit filed by Mrs. Biggs, and that he investigate the registration alleged by plaintiff to be fraudulent.

Re the Marin Valley County Kennel Club: Application for change of name.

On motion, it was resolved that the Marin Valley County Kennel Club be and hereby is authorized to change its name to Marin County Kennel Club.
Smith vs. Johnson: Charge of misconduct.

On motion, it was resolved that the case be laid over until the next meeting.

Re James W. Elliot: Petition for re-instatement.

On motion, it was resolved that all papers be laid on the table until the next meeting.

American Kennel Club vs. G. L. Meade: Contravention of Rule II.

G. L. Meade having acted as show secretary of a show held in San Francisco in Feb and March 1912, under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, as shown by catalog of said show, it was moved and seconded, that said G. L. Meade be and is hereby suspended, and is called upon to show cause within fifteen days from date, in writing, addressed to the secretary of this Committee, why such suspension should not be made permanent.

The Committee then went into executive session.

On resumption of regular business it was resolved that the meeting adjourn until April 13, at 2pm at the Palace Hotel, San Francisco.

Attest: J. P. Norman
Secretary
Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee

Held at the Palace Hotel, San Francisco
April 13, 1912.


W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The secretary submitted his report as follows:

San Francisco, April 13, 1912

Pacific Advisory Committee

Gentlemen:

Re Biggs vs. Hartnup: Charge of misconduct.

The original affidavit and application for registration of the dog at issue now accompany the other papers.

Dr Smith vs. Dr Johnson: Charge of misconduct.

This case was postponed at out last meeting and is now ready for consideration.

Re James W. Elliot disqualified: Application for trial.

The papers in the original case are herewith submitted, and show that applicant was first suspended by the Seattle Kennel Club for misconduct.

G. L. Meade: Suspended for infraction of Rule II.

No reply has been received to my notice to show cause.
Re Dr L. W. Spriggs disqualified: Application for trial. I submit all papers showing that Dr Spriggs was disqualified as an officer of the late San Francisco Kennel Club.

The Ladies Kennel Association of So. Cal. has applied for membership, and has complied with all formalities except that they fail to give their headquarters on their application.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman
Secretary

On motion, the secretary’s report was approved.

Biggs vs. Hartnup: A charge of misconduct.

On motion, the secretary was instructed to procure further information.

Dr Alan E. Smith vs. Dr Albert Johnson: A charge of misconduct.

On motion, it was resolved that the defendant be instructed to file his defence in thirty days from date in writing.

Re James W. Elliot disqualified: Application for trial.

On motion it was resolved, that a copy of the application should be forwarded to the Seattle Kennel Club with a request for any further evidence that might be in their pos-
Re G. L. Meade suspended for infraction of Rule II.

No reply having been received to the notice of the Committee to show cause, it was on motion resolved, that the suspension of G. L. Meade be and is hereby made permanent, and that said G. L. Meade be disqualified and debarred from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.

Re Dr L. W. Spriggs disqualified: Application for trial.

The applicant applying in person, it was moved and seconded, that an immediate trial be granted. Carried.

After trial had, it was moved and seconded, that Dr L. W. Spriggs be and hereby is reinstated to good standing in the American Kennel Club, and that his pro rata of the penalty due from the late San Francisco Kennel Club, be and is remitted. Carried.

Re the application of the Ladies Kennel Association of So. Cal., for membership in the American Kennel Club.

On motion it was resolved, that consideration of said application be postponed until the applicants have amended their application to show the city in which they desire to exercise a franchise.

On motion it was resolved, that the semi-annual Convention be held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco, on Saturday June 8, 1912, at 2pm.
It was moved and seconded, that the Pacific Advisory Committee views with great pleasure and gratification the action of the American Kennel Club in celebrating with a banquet the occasion of the twenty-fifth anniversary of Mr. August Belmont’s tenure of the Presidency of the Club, and that this Committee endorses such action heartily and unreservedly, and that its members regret, that by reason of geographical distance, they are compelled to forego the pleasure of testifying personally by their presence at the banquet to their appreciation of the individuals services rendered to the interests of the dog and of the American Kennel Club, for twenty-five years by Mr. August Belmont, during which period he has stinted neither time, energy nor responsibility. And furthermore, that this Committee directs the publication of this Resolution in the next issue of the American Kennel Gazette, and that a copy thereof be suitably engrossed and forwarded to Mr. Belmont.

Carried unanimously.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:  J. P. Norman

Secretary
THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from the Boston Terrier Club of Buffalo demanding the return of forty dollars retained by the American Kennel Club upon its refusal to hold a show at the two-point rating given to them by the License Committee.

DR. DE MUND: At that time I was Chairman of the License Committee and the Boston Terrier Club of Buffalo applied for a show at a four-point rating. The parent club passed the request under a misapprehension. At that time they thought they would have to grant licenses to any club that applied for them. When the matter came before the License Committee we investigated the previous shows held by this club, and we found that the number of dogs shown by them at their previous shows did not warrant, in our opinion, their having a four-point rating, so we granted a license for a two-point rating. They refused to accept that and demanded their money back. It properly comes up now for the License Committee to recommend what they will do in the matter. Inasmuch as they did not hold a show and did not use their license I think that it would be perfectly proper for the American Kennel Club to return their license fee, but under the rules we have no power to return the $25 which they placed as a deposit for holding the show, because they could have held a show if they wished.

Mr. Bernheimer: Did they ask for permission to hold
a show or a four-point show?

THE SECRETARY: if they could not grant them a four-point show I should think they would have a right to have their money returned because they asked for a four-point show.

DR. DE MUND: They sent in and asked for permission to hold a show. The rules give the License Committee authority to grant the number of point they see fit. They must accept what they are granted.

Mr. Palmer: I understand the secretary to say that they applied for a four-point show.

DR. DE MUND: they did not apply for any number of points. They applied for permission to hold a show. They merely claimed that they had the consent of the parent club to hold a four-point show.

Mr. Sawyer: Certainly the Buffalo Club applied expecting four points and not with any idea of holding a two-point show. I am extremely familiar with the details of that. They expected a four-point show and they did not hold a four-point show. I am in accord with Dr DeMund’s remark that it was a lack of authorization that brings this matter before them. So long as they did not hold a show as they expected, I would move that the amount of forty dollars be returned to them.
DR. DE MUND: They used some pretty harsh language in their letter, threatening legal proceedings, and so on, and I do not think it would be wise to return their deposit of twenty-five dollars. They should not threaten what they will do to the American Kennel Club.

The Chairman: I do not think their interests should have any effect on us at all. If we do not consider that they are entitled to the return of this money, we certainly are not afraid of their threats, but I understand the point you make.

DR. DE MUND: They did not apply for any point show. They applied for permission to hold a show. They put their deposit down as a guarantee that they would hold that show under the rules. The License Committee can grant them the number of points they see fit, or refuse a license.

THE SECRETARY: Here I their application. It says nothing at all about four points. They filed with their application the written assent of the Boston Terrier Club that they should have four points.

Mr. Morse: Could the License Committee give the right to hold a four-point show without the consent of the Boston Terrier Club?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, on appeal

Mr. Sawyer: They do not bring out in their letter anything about four-points, but the facts are that they
never had any idea but what they were going to get four-points. This was a new rule.

They had not consulted and taken the matter up, and they thought everybody could come in for four-points, and the idea of holding a two-point show never entered their heads when they wrote that letter. They sent it in under a misapprehension with the idea that just as soon as the Boston Terrier Club consented, that settled it. The License Committee at that time had never shown its hand. This was the first time it came up as to how they were going to deal with those things. Those people paid twenty-five dollars expecting to get four-points.

The Chairman: According to our rules I do not see how we can return them their twenty-five dollars. Our rule is very explicit on that point. We can return them any money that we have the power to return them.

THE SECRETARY: They have up to the 31st day of December to hold their show.

Mr. Palmer: They have not lived up to their guarantee?

THE SECRETARY: No, and further than that we could not consider for one moment that the power is taken from the American Kennel Club and delegated to any subsidiary club to say what the American Kennel Club will allow.

Mr. Hooley: I move that we return them the fifteen dollars and retain the twenty-five dollars, subject to
their holding a licensed show to rate at two points at any time prior to December 31st, the same to be held as a guarantee that the show will be held.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I now bring to your attention the matter of the Sioux Falls Club of South Dakota, in which one of their officials, the judge, transferred a fox terrier from the wire-haired class in which it was entered to the smooth class, because the dog happened to be smooth. The rule distinctly stated that such transfer cannot be made, and if it is made the club must pay a penalty of $25.

DR. DE MUND: in that case the club clearly shows that they did not transfer the dog, and they have a letter from the judge admitting that he did it without the knowledge or consent of the club.

The Chairman: Is not a judge an official of the show?

DR. DE MUND: A judge is an official in one way, but it seems to me that this fine should be remitted to the club and imposed on the judge. it was not done with the knowledge of the Bench show Committee. They knew nothing about it.

THE SECRETARY: we called for the original entry form and ascertained that it was not the fault of the club; that it was the fault of the exhibitor. The exhibitor entered
it in the wire-haired class, and it was a smooth-haired dog.

Mr. Bernheimer: If that entry blank is there and it is compared by an expert in handwriting as the entry of the dog.

The Chairman: Is not the club responsible for the mistakes of the judge?

DR. DE MUND: I don’t think that a club should be held responsible for a mistake like that. It is the act of the judge and without the knowledge or consent of the club. The judge did not even confer with the Bench Show Committee. I think he is the man that should be punished in that case, and not the Committee of the club.

The Chairman: It seems to me it is a case that ought to go to the Trial Board.

THE SECRETARY: The rule says that a dog wrongly entered cannot be transferred by the show officials, and impose a penalty of $25 for each offense. The question is, is the judge one of these officials or is he not? If he is, the assessment should be on the show because the show is responsible for the acts of its agent. Is the judge the agent of that show or not?

Mr. Sawyer: the secretary concurred in that when
he transferred it himself in the marked catalogue.

THE SECRETARY: and they did not pay the award to the dog that was moved up.

Mr. Palmer: According to the statement made by the judge himself he takes all the responsibility. He acknowledges that he alone is responsible for the changing of the dog from one class to the other.

The Chairman: I think Mr. Vredeneburgh’s point, whether the judge is an agent of the show, covers the situation. If you decide that the judge is an agent of the show, the show is responsible for its agent. It seems to me that the show is responsible for the fine, and the Judge was very lax in having done what he did. That rule is very plain. It has been known and discussed time and time again. They certainly ought to know the rules of the American Kennel Club.

DR. DE MUND: I do not think that the judge could properly be called an agent of the show. He was asked to pass on the merits of the dogs, but I do think that if he changed it, and it went through the catalogue, then the Committee must be cognizant of that, and therefore they are liable for the fine.

THE SECRETARY: It this rule designates a judge and a paid official as one of the officials of the club, why doesn’t it apply in this case, that the judge was an of-
ficial of the show? If the rule designates a judge as an official of the show it certainly means in all actions that that judge is an official of that show.

The Chairman: If the American Kennel Club designates a judge an official of the show we must hold that he is.

DR. DE MUND: I move that the Sioux Falls Club he held for violating the rule and that the judge be required to show cause before the Trial Board why some action should not be taken against him.

Mr. Palmer: I think the first part of Dr DeMund’s motion is well taken, but I do not see any reason for referring the action of the judge to the Trial Board, because he has acknowledged his part in it, and it is contrary to the rules of the American Kennel Club. I should like to offer an amendment to that motion that the judge be suspended.

DR. DE MUND: I accept the amendment.

Motion as amended seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Southern Collie Club of Memphis desires to hold an all round show for four days, to be followed on the two following days by a specialty show for collies. They say they will have separate lists and catalogues and make two deposits. In the case of the shows held on the 4th of this month there were four shows held under one rule, and each show was a specialty show and
each show was held under their active membership or by virtue of a license, but in this case I did not feel warranted in consenting to such double show because it seemed to me, while it was not absolutely against the letter of the law, it was certainly against the spirit of the law, and my reason for so believing is that in the general dog show which they want to hold for four days there is no doubt that they will open classes for collies. The specialty shows to be held the two succeeding days will be for collies, and it is fair to presume that a great many of the collies, if not all, that are entered in the general show will be continued in that building for the specialty show, and therefore it would be keeping dogs in the bench for six days, which is a positive violation of our rule. I say that is the inference, and as I did not feel that I had any authority vested in me to tell them what they could do or what they could not do, I submit it to this Board for instruction.

Mr. Bernheimer: Can a specialty club hold an all round show?

DR. DE MUND: It can hold any kind of a show. Any member of the American Kennel Club can hold a show.

Mr. Bernheimer: It seems to me that the rules can be very easily broken. Take for instance the Boston Terrier Club of New York, if they want to hold a general show
here, they could do it in NYC and still be within 24 miles of the Westminster Kennel Club.

DR. DE MUND: The Boston Terrier Club of NY is not a member of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Bernheimer: Take any specialty club that is a member of the American Kennel Club. Take the Bulldog Club of Philadelphia, and take the Westminster Kennel Club. There you have an example. Both of them can hold shows in Philadelphia?

DR. DE MUND: They can.

Mr. Bernheimer: Still your rule says that one club cannot hold a show within 25 miles of another club.

THE SECRETARY: I do not know. It is new to me. My opinion is, if they open collie classes in the general show and they have any specials for collies entered in the specialty show, it is a violation of our rule to keep dogs on the show bench for six days.

DR. DE MUND: I think it is a matter for the License Committee. They can refuse a license to the show if they wish.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the matter be referred to the License Committee.
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I now read the finding of the Trial Board on the Texas matter:

New York, May 8, 1912

On Jan. 27, 1912, the Trial Board in the case of Branshaw & Nevitt vs. Clayton found charges not sustained and the case was dismissed.

Subsequent to this finding much correspondence was received by Secretary of the American Kennel Club from Mr. Branshaw, in which he complained of losing the case on account of not being able to be here personally with witnesses, as was Dr Clayton. He maintained that Dr Clayton was a menace to the interest of dog shows in the State of Texas, and questioned the credibility of the witness who testified for Dr Clayton.

The Trial Board recommended to the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, that before considering the case of Clayton vs. Branshaw, which was pending before the Trial Board, the case of Branshaw vs. Nevitt vs Clayton should be reopened, and that one of the members of the Trial Board, with secretary of the American Kennel Club should go to Dallas, Texas, on as early a date as possible and summon all persons interested in the case to appear before them there, and also make a thorough examination as to the condition of affairs in the State of Texas.
It was thought by the Trial board that a thorough adjustment of affairs in Dallas would be morally felt throughout the circuit of shows held in the State of Texas, of which there are five.

The Executive Committee at its meeting held Feb 19th, 1912, instructed the Chairman of the Trial Board to investigate the case referred to and also instructed the secretary of the American Kennel Club to accompany him in an advisory capacity and also ascertain for the benefit of the Executive Committee, the present condition in the State of Texas, with regard to this circuit of shows.

The Special Committee arrived in Dallas on the morning of April 6th and started proceedings at 2pm on that day. Closed its session at 7 pm and continued on the following Monday at 9am and adjourned to 2:30pm, during which time the Committee examined, A. Branshaw, C. M. Nevitt, Mrs. W. D. Terry, B. F. Kiles, A. W. Weinert, Mrs. L. Weil, Charles F. Mills, O. A. Mangrum, Captain Ayres, Mrs. John Henderson, A. Self, John Henderson, Mrs. Cox, C. Thomas, S. J. Hopper, T. J. Ramsey, E. J. Kiest, Dr. E. T. Cox, Dr George W. Clayton, Mrs. Kate Schuford, and H. B. Guerrant.

The proceedings were reported verbatim by a stenographer and said report has been read attentively by each
member of this Board. All the witnesses promised to read over their testimony and if same was not correctly reported, to correct any errors and to appear before a Notary Public and make affidavit to the truthfulness of their testimony.

Mr. Newton P. Morrison, an attorney for Branshaw & Nevitt, undertook to obtain from the above witnesses their proper acknowledgement and forward same at the earliest possible moment to the office of the American Kennel Club. The following witnesses lived up to their agreement, and their affidavits are now in the hands of this Board, C. Thomas, C. M. Nevitt, A. Branshaw, Mrs. W. D. Terry, Dr. E. T. Cox, A. Self, O. A. Mangrum, Mrs. Kate Schuford, Mrs. L. Weil, E. J. Kiest, J. Henderson, Mrs. L. M. Henderson, Capt. Ayres, A. W. Weinert, S. J. Hopper and H. B. Guerrant and Dr George W. Clayton.

We are informed by Mr. Morrison that some of the witnesses declined to make the necessary affidavit, no reason being assigned for such refusal.

During the session of your Committee testimony was taken in the case of Clayton vs. Branshaw, in that said Branshaw endeavored to boycott or prevent exhibitors from making entries at the subsequent show of the Texas Kennel Club, held in 1911.

Since the return of the Committee, the Trial Board has
held two session and arrived at the following conclusion:

That after a most thorough investigation of the testimony taken by the Chairman of this Board at Dallas, said Board is firmly of the opinion that its findings on Jan. 27th, 1912, in the case of Branshaw & Nevitt vs. Dr Clayton were correct, and that the weight of evidence is still greater in the favor of Dr Clayton.

That the testimony of the different witnesses taken at Dallas goes to show that Dr Clayton did not appoint Dr Cox act in such capacity, other than that he did work to help the show along, as did many others who were interested in making it a success, and that no superintendent was appointed.

The case is therefore dismissed.

Whereas Mr. T. J. Ramsey, of Dallas, TX, swore under oath on Jan 6th, 1912, that Dr George W. Clayton did appoint Dr E. T. Cox of Dallas, TX, superintendent of the 1910 Dallas show, and that Dr Cox acted in such capacity, and whereon April 8th, 1912, in answer to questions put to him on the witness-stand testified that he did not know Dr Cox was appointed as superintendent by Dr Clayton, and whereas under Rule XXII of the Rules Governing Clubs, authority is given to this Board to discipline any person for conduct prejudicial to
the best interests of the American Kennel Club it is the opinion of this Board that the said Ramsey violated said Rule, in that in his affidavit of Jan 6th he made an unwarranted statement regarding a judge in good standing in the American Kennel Club, therefore ordered that said T. J. Ramsey be and hereby is suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club, until such time as he shows cause why he should not be disqualified.

We believe that it was mostly through the influence of one man that Mr. Branshaw was made to believe he had been misused and badly treated and that Mr. Branshaw was used by this person as a catspaw in the case. The Board regrets that Mr. Branshaw should have allowed himself to be drawn into this case.

-----------------o0o-------------------

Dr Geo. W. Clayton :

) )

Vs. : Re misconduct in connection with dogs shows

A. Branshaw )

It is the unanimous opinion of the Trial Board that Mr. Branshaw did try to influence many and did influence some exhibitors to withhold entries from the 1911 Dallas Show, and that by doing so, he violated Rule XXII, Rules Governing Shows, by conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club. That for so doing, he, Branshaw, shall be and hereby is suspended for ninety
days, at the end of which time he shall, on apologizing to the Texas State Fair, to Dr Clayton and to the American Kennel Club, be reinstated.

TRIAL BOARD
B. S. Smith, Chairman
A. G. Hooley
C. D. Bernheimer

The report of the License Committee was read as follows:

New York, May 21st, 1912

To the Directors of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

The License Committee begs to report that it has approved of ten licenses to hold shows under American Kennel Club rules since the Annual Meeting, held Feb 19, 1912.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) George Greer
Chairman

THE SECRETARY: The bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements form Feb 29th to May 14, 1912, amounting to $31.75, has been approved by the Auditing Committee of the Pacific Advisory Committee. I recommend its payment.

On motion the same was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: I read the following resolution adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee.
RESOLUTION

The Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club at a regular meeting held on April 13th, 1912, unanimously adopted the resolution herein set forth:

Resolved, that the Pacific Advisory Committee views with great pleasure and gratification the action of the American Kennel Club in celebrating with a banquet the occasion of the 25th anniversary of Mr. August Belmont’s tenure of the Presidency of the Club, and that this Committee endorses such action heartily and unreservedly, and that its members regret, that by reason of geographical distance, they are compelled to forego the pleasure of testifying personally by their presence at the banquet to their appreciation of the priceless services rendered to the interests of the dog and of the American Kennel Club for 25 years by Mr. August Belmont, during which period he has stinted neither his time, energy not responsibility. And furthermore, that this Committee directs the publication of this Resolution, in the next issue of the American Kennel Gazette, and that a copy thereof be suitably engrossed and forwarded to Mr. Belmont.

THE SECRETARY: I have received excuses from the following named directors for not attending this meeting: Mr. Hunnewell, Mr. Brooks, Mr. Chetwood Smith, Dr F. H. Osgood, George Greer, James Mortimer and Mr. B. S. Smith.
DR. DE MUND: I move that their excuses be accepted.
Motion seconded and carried.
On motion the meeting then adjourned.
Special Meeting of the American Kennel Club, Held at its offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC, Tuesday, June 18th, 1912

In the absence of the President and VP, Dr J E De Mund was selected to preside.

PRESENT

Associate Delegate    B. S. Smith
Alameda County Kennel Club    T. M. Turner
Boston Terrier Club    F. H. Osgood
Dachshund Club of America    G. Muss-Arnlot
Dalmatian Club of America    Alfred B. Maclay
French Bulldog Club of America    O. F. Vedder
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club    Charles R. Wood
Irish Terrier Club of America    Singleton Van Schaick
Japanese Spaniel Club of America    Geo. F. Parker
Ladies Kennel Association of America    James Mortimer
Lehigh Valley Kennel Club    A. D. Gillette
Long Island Kennel Club    John F. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club          E. H. Berendshon
Monmouth County Kennel Club    Chas. D. Bernheimer
Nassau County Kennel Club     W. H. Sawyer
Old English Sheepdog Club of America   Tyler Morse
Piping Rock Kennel Club       A. G. Hooley
Plainfield Kennel Club        Edmund L. Mackenzie
Russian Wolfhound Club        Dr J. E. De Mund
Santa Clara County Kennel Club W. S. Gurnee, Jr
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club  A. C. Wilmerding
Sioux City Kennel Club        Mr. Robert Guggenheim
Toy Spaniel Club of America    John W. Minturn
Westchester Kennel Club       George Greer
Western Fox Terrier Breeders' Association  John G. Bates

The secretary read his report as follows:
New York, June 18, 1912

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I had the honor to report at the last regular meeting the recommendation of the Pacific Advisory Committee to amend Rule V Sec. B. The recommendation was endorsed by 13 clubs on the Pacific Coast and members of the American Kennel Club.

The proposed amendment was referred by you to the Rules Committee, which met on May 28, 1912, and caused the following recommendation to be published in the American Kennel Gazette of May 31, 1912.

“The Novice Class shall be for dogs never having won a prize at any recognized show, wins in the puppy class excepted, but only dogs bred in the United States, Canada, Mexico and Cuba shall be eligible. The entry blank must state the name of the breeder and the place of birth.”

This special meeting is called by the President under the authority given him in Article III of the By-Laws.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
On motion the same was received.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:

New York, May 28, 1912

At a meeting of the Rules Committee held May 28, 1912, it was recommended that the following be submitted for Section B. Rule V, of Rules Governing Dog Shows:

The Novice class shall be for dogs never having won a first prize at any recognized show, wins in the puppy class excepted, but only dogs bred in the United States, Canada, Mexico, or Cuba shall be eligible. The entry blank must state the name of the breeder, and the place of birth. To go into effect August 1st, 1912.

J. E. De Mund
Chairman, Rules Committee

Mr. Collins: I move that the recommendation of the committee be adopted.
Motion seconded.
Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I move to substitute an amendment to the recommended by the Rules Committee to read as follows: “Only dogs bred on the North American Continent or the American possessions are eligible.” That will simplify the whole matter. Cuba we do not really have any use for because there are no dogs raised there, and Mexico is on the North American Continent.

The Chairman: If the gentlemen will allow me to speak from the chair as Chairman of the Rules Committee, I will state that the rule as it now stands covers all the American possessions. Mexico and Cuba were added in case at some future time a dog should be sent in from there it would not necessitate at that time a change in the rule. There is quite a number of dogs in Cuba and dogs are being shipped to Cuba, and at some future time the probabilities are that dogs will be shown at some of the Southern shows, and then they would come in and ask for another amendment. It did not seem to the Rules Committee that any harm would be done by adding that now.

Amendment seconded.

Mr. Wilmerding: Do I understand that this new rule comes under Section B of Rule V?

The Secretary: Yes.
Mr. Wilmerding: why would it not be advisable to have Section B, read that the novice class shall be for American bred dogs only, then revert to Rule VII and incorporate this in the rule in the second paragraph of Rule VII? It seems to me it would be more fitting to include it in Rule VII than in Section B, of Rule V. If we leave Section B as it is, that is that the novice class shall be for American bred dogs only, that seems to cover it. The let the interpretation of American bred come under Rule VII where it says: “Dogs bred and whelped in the United States and dogs whelped in the United States”

The Chairman: I rule that you cannot tough that section here. We are here to act on the one section that the meeting was called for. That is all that was referred to the Rules Committee, and that is all that is being reported on.

Mr. Bernheimer: There are a number of American today residing in Cuba having business interests in Cuba. There are also a number of American residing in Mexico and having business interest in Mexico. There are shows held
in Texas, which is right on the border of Mexico, and there is no reason why
Mexico should not be included in this rule, because the Mexicans may be
sending dogs over to the Texas shows, and the same thing holds good as to
Cuba, because the American may be sending dogs from Cuba to the shows here
in the United States. It is only a short run from Cuba to some of the Southern
cities, and I think that the rule ought to be left as reported by the Rules
Committee.

Mr. Guggenheim: If we pass the amendment proposed by the Rule
comm. We are going to have two conflicting rules. We are going to have two
separate definitions of an American bred dog. Under one head you say the
novice class is for American bred dogs only, and then you say American bred
dogs in Mexico, Cuba and Canada, and under Rule VII you have a different
definition of what an American bred dog is.

The Chairman: That question does not enter into the matter at all. One is
merely a definition of an American bred dog. The other is a statement of what
dogs are eligible in the novice class. The two rules are not in any way connected.
Mr. Guggenheim: You say the novice class shall be for American bred dogs only?

The Chairman: That is all eliminated, as you will see if you read the proposed amendment carefully.

The Secretary: The Rules Committee has by its recommendation eliminated that entire definition for the novice class and recommended a new one which say that “The novice class shall be for dogs never having won a first prize at any recognized show, wins in the puppy class excepted, but only dogs bred in the United States, Canada, Mexico and Cuba shall be eligible.” It is practically making an entirely new rule for the novice class, because this rule, on the adoption of this proposed amendment, will be dead.

The Chairman: You will find that Rule VII merely gives the definition of an American bred dog.

Mr. Guggenheim: I want to go on record as saying that I consider that a very poor rule to make if that rule should be as it stands. Rule VII is the one that should be changed and not Rule V.
The Chairman: Under the wording of American possessions they would be eligible in the American possessions as part of the United States.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: That is all right, but let us cover it and not have any question about it. It covers everything practically except Cuba.

Mr. Guggenheim: Would a motion be in order to lay that report of the Rules Committee on the table, let the rule remain as it is at present and have the Rules Committee change the definition of the American bred class? In other words, is there any way we would get the sentiment of this Committee, whether they want to adopt that rule or to make a change in Rule VII instead of that?

The Chairman: the Committee do not wish to touch Rule VII.

Mr. Guggenheim: What I want is for this meeting to request the Committee to change Rule VII instead of Rule V.

Mr. Bernehimer: Rule VII constitutes what an American bred dog is so that the dog can compete in the Ameri-
ican bred class. It has nothing to do with the novice class.

The Chairman: This is all out of order. The question is now on Mr. Muss-Arnolt’s amendment.

Mr. Collins: I have heard some objection to including Cuba. I would like to know why they object to including Cuba. We include Canada, a foreign country, and we include Mexico, a foreign country. What objection is there including Cuba? They are all a part of the North American continent.

The question was then put on the amendment and it was lost.

The Chairman: Now you will vote on the original motion.

The question was then put on the amendment proposed by the Rules Committee and the same was carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary
Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Executive Committee

August 20, 1918

H. K. Bloodgood, presiding

Present:  H. K. Bloodgood
          Dr. De Mund.
          Howard Willets
          Ralph C. Stewart
          Dwight Moore

The Secretary read his report as follows:

To the Executive Committee:

Gentlemen:

This meeting had been called pursuant to Art. II, Secretary. VI of the By-Laws.

Complaints, rumors, and Press statements, referring to the show of the Ladies Kennel Association of NJ, held at Atlantic City, NJ, August 2, 1912, are of such a nature that I am of the opinion that your Committee is not only justified but forced to recognize same, and cause a thorough investigation to be made. If the adverse criticisms are found to be untrue, you owe it to the Show Club to so declare them, if on the other hand such adverse criticisms are found to be true, you owe it to the American Kennel Club and the exhibitors to meet out such justice as may be in your opinion just and proper.

I would respectfully recommend that you appoint a Special Committee of three from your own body, with power to investigate and inquire into the organization of said Ladies Kennel Association of NJ, the conduct of its show held at Atlantic City, NJ, August 2, 1912, and also into the conduct of the Judges and Exhibitors at said show. The fact that a show is held by the sanction of, and under a license from the American Kennel Club, obligates such show to conduct same strictly within the rules of the American Kennel Club, and in a manner that will uphold the dignity of the American Kennel Club and
Report.

itself. To exhibitors who pay into the treasury of a show club a money consideration, such show should be judged and conducted in a dignified manner and not as a joke. Its judges should judge seriously and intelligently, and so conduct themselves in their official positions as to impress the exhibitors and visitors that they were serious in the performance of their duties. The officers and the Superintendent should be and are responsible for the conduct of their show to the American Kennel Club, and if the investigation recommended should demonstrate the adverse criticisms to be well founded, all persons connected with said show, who may be found responsible, should be disciplined as a warning to all future shows that may be held under the rules of the American Kennel Club.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

By Dr. De Mund:--

I move you that a Committee of three, of which the Chairman be one, be appointed from the Executive Board and vested with the powers of the Executive Board, for the purpose of investigating all matters pertaining to the Atlantic City Kennel Club and the show held by that pertaining to dog shows that they may deem proper, and to bring in such recommendations for the future conduct of shows as they may find necessary. That the Secretary be authorized to furnish a stenographer for the minutes of this investigation and pay for same on approval of said Committee.

Seconded and carried.

By Dwight Moore:--

I move that the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to
withdraw from our official stenographer the privilege of furnishing the press with a copy of the minutes of all regular and special meetings of the American Kennel Club.

Seconded and carried.

By Howard Willets:-

I move that this Committee recommends to the publication Committee that all discussions and arguments at regular or special meetings of the American Kennel Club, be eliminated from the minutes published in the American Kennel Gazette and that said published minutes shall be confined to the resolutions, motions and the results of same.

Seconded and carried.

The investigating Committee under the DeMund resolution is composed of Dr. De Mund, George Greer, and H. K. Bloodgood.

Matters of importance were discussed in Committee of the Whole after which the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary
Quarterly Meeting of the American Kennel Club
Held at Its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC
Tuesday, Sept. 17th, 1912.

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.

PRESENT

Associate Delegates          Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith

American Foxterrier Club     H. H. Hunnewell
Bulldog Club of America      Edwin L. Boger
California Airedale Terrier Club  G. A. Wertheim
Collie Club of America       M. M. Palmer
Dalmatian Club of America    Alfred B. Maclay
Del Monte Kennel Club        Edward H. Hobbie
Erie Kennel Club             Ralph C. Stewart
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club   Charles R. Wood
Ladies’ Kennel Association   James Mortimer
Of America                   A. D. Gillette
Lehigh Valley Kennel Club    John F. Collins
Long Island Kennel Club      E. H. Bernendsohn
Maltesse Terrier Club        Tyler Morse
Old English Sheepdog Club    A. G. Hooley
Of America                   Edmund L. Mackenzie
Plainfield Kennel Club       Dr. De Mund
Piping Rock Kennel Club      Russian Wolfdhound Club
On motion, the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named gentlemen were elected as delegates to the following named clubs:

American Foxhound Club, Raymond Belmont
Spaniel Breeders’ Society, Roland P. Keasbey

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Sept. 16, 1912

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:--

I beg to report that since our last meeting I have received notice from our Pacific Advisory Committee of the election to Active Membership of the Vancouver Exhibition
Association, Pacific Coast Toy Dog Club, Pacific Coast Boston Terrier Club, and Ladies’ Kennel Association of Southern California.

I have also turned over to the Membership Committee credentials from four clubs appointing delegates to the American Kennel Club. Said Committee will report its recommendation at this meeting.

At the last meeting the delegate from the Sioux City Kennel Club presented a letter from the Secretary of the Seattle Kennel Club in reference to the penalties imposed against shows for violation of the rules, and particular mention was made of penalty amounting to $25.00 against the Everett Show, hold by the Snohomish Kennel Club, for unimportant infractions of the American Kennel Club Rules in cataloguing entries. This statement was denied by me and the matter was referred to the Pacific Advisory Committee for an explanation. The Secretary of said Committee replied to the effect that on Jan 17th, 1912, he wrote as follows: “Enclosed please find check for $28.00 to cover penalties. Colorado Kennel Club for sixteen days delay in forwarding entry blanks, Snohomish Kennel Club twelve days delay forwarding listing fees and entry blanks.” This letter was addressed to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, but was never mailed and was found by the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory Committee in his desk on May 28th. This delay will account for my statement on May 21st that there had been no penalty imposed against the Everett Show.
The question of the Seattle Kennel Club’s complaint presented to the Semi-Annual Convention of the Pacific Coast Clubs, held in San Francisco on June 8th, 1912, and the Secretary of the Colorado Kennel Club, against whose Club the penalty of $16.00 was imposed, stated: His club had been fined twice, and he thought the system of fining was good discipline, inasmuch as it kept Secretary of club on the alert to prevent errors and was a factor in presenting undue delays in rendering of reports to the American Kennel Club.

The minutes of this convention were published in the Gazette of July 31st, 1912.

At the regular meeting of the Executive Committee, August 20th, 1912, a Committee of three was appointed to investigate the conduct of the late Atlantic City Show in particular, and such other matters pertaining to Dog Shows that they may deem proper in general. It also recommended that the privilege acting as reporter by our official stenographer for the sporting press be withdrawn and that a recom-
mendment to the Publication Committee to the effect that all discussions or arguments at regular or special meetings of the American Kennel Club be eliminated from the minutes published in the American Kennel Gazette, and that said published minutes shall be confined to said resolutions and motions of same. This recommendation was forwarded to the Publication Committee and was duly adopted by the Committee.

Two recommendations to amend certain dog show rules, one from Edwin L. Boger and one from J. Bailey Wilson should be referred to the Rules Committee to report at the next meeting if possible.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

I would state in addition to this that I have received to-day another proposed amendment from Mr. James Mortimer which will likewise be referred to the Rules Committee.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, Held at its offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC, Tuesday, September 17, 1912
Vice President H. H. Hunnewell, presiding.

PRESENT

M. Mowbray Palmer
Tyler Morse
Hollis H. Hunnewell
William G. Rockefeller
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
John E. DeMund
John G. Bates
Ralph C. Stewart
A. B. Maclay
James Mortimer

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Sept 16, 1912

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:--

I beg to report the minutes of the regular meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee, June 8, 1912, and minutes of the Special Meeting, July 2, 1912, and
as is usual, I recommend that same be spread in full upon the minutes of this meeting.

I also received from the Pacific Advisory Committee a request to change the name of the Golden Gate Kennel Club to that of the California Kennel Club; notice of the registration of the Southwestern Kennel Club, which was duly accepted, and notice of a penalty of $25.00 imposed against the Ladies’ Kennel Association of California for its failure to include in its premium list the Rules of the Pacific Advisory Committee, adopted Oct. 1911. Also a request from the Salem Kennel Club for a return of its license fee, $15.00 date deposit, $25.00, and Secretary’s deposit of $10.00, for the reason that said club is prevented from holding its show by order of the State Board of Health of Oregon. With this request is the order of Calden S. White, State Health Officer, dated at Portland, August 21st, 1912.

The New Hampshire Fair Association filed its application for a license August 14, 1912, for a show to be held Sept 2nd and 3rd, 1912. On August 19th, I received two copies of a propose classification for some show, the identity of which I did not know. As the envelope containing this classification was stamped, E. L. Grimes Co., Falcon Press, 11 India St., without the name of the city or State, I noticed that the postmark was Boston, August 17th, 3 pm. On August 20th, I wrote these particulars to Mr. Tom B. Middlebrooke and said: “If these proofs come from you I would be glad to know it.”

On August 21st, I received the Premium List of this show, containing my certificate of approval of the classification. This classification would not have been approved by me on account of errors, and yet it was printed and mailed
without my approval or consent. I therefore assumed the responsibility of holding up the license for said show and informed Mr. Middlebrooke that he had an opportunity to appeal from my action. In the meantime, the show has been held, the marked catalogue, judges’ books and listing sheets, with fees to cover same, have been received within the time granted them by our Rules.

This matter is now before you for such action as you may deem proper.

At our meeting of May 21st, Mr. George R. Cranfield,
who judged at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., transferred a dog wrongly entered, and for such act was suspended by resolution adopted by your Board. Mr. Cranfield now appeals to this Board for reinstatement.

At the Ft. Worth show, 1907, the officers of said show were suspended for their failure to act on a protest filed with them. Among the officers suspended was John Sneed. He now appeals for reinstatement on the ground that he was not an officer of said Club but simply acted as press agent, although he was advertised in the catalogue as Chairman of the Committee. The title of Chairman was merely honorary and carried nothing with it. Further that he never received the communications sent from this office, giving him an opportunity to be heard in his defense, nor did he ever receive the notice sent from this office of his suspension, and is of the opinion that these notices were withheld from him for reasons best known to the Secretary of the Club, who possibly received them.

It was only a short time since that Mr. Sneed heard through Dr George W. Clayton of his suspension, which is the reason for his relay in filing his appeal.

Under date of August 27th, Mr. Thos. Grisdale
appeals to the American Kennel Club for protection as a judge from an attack 
made on his honesty and integrity by a correspondent to the English paper, “Our 
Dogs.” This matter is now before you for such action as you may deem propose.

I have to report the resignation dated August 18th, 1912, of Mr. Charles D. 
Berheimer, as Delegate, Director, and Member of the Trial Board.

A duplicate bill for disbursements by the Pacific Advisory Committee from 
May 24th to September 11th has been presented, amounting to $42.41. I would 
respectfully recommend the payment of same on receipt of the original bill, duly 
audited by the Sub-Committee appointed for that purpose.

The following excuses for absence from the Directors meeting of May 21st, 
1912, have been received: William Rauch and W. C. Wilmerding. Also excuses 
from J. W. Appleton, George Greer, Samuel R. Cutler, F. H. Osgood, Wm. L. 
Barclay, W. H. Sawyer, George Lauder, Jr., for their inability to attend this 
meeting.

A. P. Vredenburgh

On motion same was accepted and placed on file.
Dr. De Mund: I move to suspend the rules and take up the resignation of Charles D. Bernheimer.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Hooley: The acceptance of this resignation leaves vacancies in the Board of Directors, Trial Board and Rules Committee to be filled at this meeting.

The Chairman: If there be no further nominations the Chairman will direct the Secretary to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. A. B. Maclay as a member of the Board of Directors in the Class of 1913 in place of Mr. Charles D. Bernheimer.

The Secretary stated that he had cast a ballot for Mr. A. B. Maclay as directed.

Mr. Smith: I nominate Mr. W. S. Gurnee, Jr., to serve on the Trial Board in place of Mr. Bernheimer.

Nomination seconded.

The Chairman: If there be no further nominations the Chairman will direct the Secretary to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. W. S. Gurnee, Jr., to serve on the
Trial Board in place of Mr. Bernheimer.

The Secretary state that he had cast such ballot for Mr. Gurnee, Jr., as directed.

Dr. De Mund: I nominate Mr. W. H. Sawyer as a member of the Rules Committee in place of Mr. Bernheimer.

The Chairman: If there be no further nominations the Chairman will direct the Secretary to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. W. H. Sawyer as a member of the Rules Committee in place of Mr. Bernheimer.

The Secretary state that he had cast such ballot for the election of Mr. Sawyer as directed.

Treasurer's report was then read as follows:

New York, September 16, 1912

To the Board of Directors,

Of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the quarterly financial report from Jan 1, 1912 to date.

Balance on hand, Jan 1, 1912 ---------------- $22,963.91
Receipts to date ------------------------------- 19,097.14
Total ----- 42,061.05
Disbursements from Jan 1, 1912 to date -------- 18,986.38
Balance on hand $23,074.67

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the License Committee was read as follows:

Sept 16th, 1912
Gentlemen:

The License Committee begs to report that since the last quarterly meeting of the Directors twenty-one licenses have been granted to hold shows under the American Kennel Club Rules, two of which were granted by the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

George Greer
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Publication Committee was read as follows:--

Mr. Chairman:--

At its August meeting the Executive Committee recommended to this Committee that the publishing in the Gazette of all discussions and arguments at regular or special meetings of the Club be eliminated.

A mail vote was taken on this question and the majority having signified their assent, the Gazette will

Hereafter publish only the resolutions, motions and the results of the same.

Respectfully submitted,

Dwight Moore,
Chairman
On motion the same was accepted and the recommendation therein contained adopted.
The Secretary: The Executive Committee held a meeting on August 20th at which it appointed a Sub-Committee to investigate the organization known as the ladies' Kennel Association of New Jersey and the conduct of its show, held August 2nd, 1912. I will state for that Committee that they have held two sessions and have not completed their work. The Chairman of that Committee is present and no doubt he can give you more information than I can concerning its labors.

Dr. De Mund: the Committee, as has been stated, held two sessions and examined a number of witnesses in connection with the Atlantic City show. There are several other matters that I think it would be well for the Directors to refer to that sub-Committee. On matter is the conduct of the Nashua show, and another matter is the letter of Mr. Grisdale complaining about an article that has been published. The scope of the Committee is quite broad. Its work is to investigate the general condition of dog shows, and the work already before it will probably consume a number of weeks.

Mr. Moore: I move that the action of the Executive Committee be ratified.

Mr. Smith: Would the case of Mr. Grisdale properly go before the Executive Committee or would it go before the Trial Board?
The Chairman: It would go to whatever Committee you refer it to.

Mr. Smith: Would not that naturally go before the Trial Board?

The Chairman: that is for the Directors to say.

Dr. De Mund: I did not mean to cast any reflections upon the Trial Board, but there are no charges preferred in this matter. It is merely a matter for our protection. I thought if the matter was referred to this Committee, they could investigate it, and then if in their judgment it should be referred to the Trial Board for action, that course could be taken. This Committee has not the power to try anything. It is merely an investigation Committee. There are no charges preferred, therefore we could not send this matter to the Trial Board even if we so desired. It is merely a letter asking for protection.

The Chairman: Mr. Smith, does that answer your question?

Mr. Smith: I don’t know. All I wanted to know was whether this should go before the Trial Board.

The Chairman: Nothing can come before the trial Board except it is based upon charges. An investigation would not come before a Trial Board.
Mr. Morse: Was this sub-Committee appointed for any length of time? Is it a permanent Committee?

The Chairman: no only temporary.

Mr. Morse: It seems to me that Mr. Grisdale should seek for redress at the hands of a court. I do not know that we have had any similar matter before us.

The Secretary: I told him that there was no case of a similar nature where the Press had attached a judge, and as there are no charges preferred he simply asked for protection. The Chairman of the investigating Committee is of the opinion that that Committee can call before it the party who presumed to make the attack on Mr. Grisdale and then determine whether they shall establish a precedent by referring it to the Trial Board.

Mr. Morse: Don’t you think it is rather a large proposition we are undertaking? For instance, there is an article in one of the weekly or monthly papers that attacks in a way nearly all judges at the Lenox show. If we are going to follow the newspaper when any one make any suggestion that a judge does not know his business it seems to me we are putting a tremendous burden on our shoulders.

Mr. Smith: I am perfectly satisfied to have it
go before the Executive Committee and let them act as they deem fit.

Dr. De Mund: Mr. Morse referred to another article and the investigating Committee intends to summon the writer of it before them to find out the meaning of such an article. The one he refers to is the most scandalous attack that was ever perpetrated on any one. It attacks judges even before that have officiated.

Mr. Hooley: With all respect to Mr. Morse, the gentleman who wrote the article shortly after the New York show in his own paper, attached one of the judges and in an indirect way attacked Mr. Mortimer and others connected with the New York show in a scandalous manner. That was not taken up at the time. I really didn’t see why not. I do not understand now why it was not considered by this board. I understand now why it was not considered by this board. I understand that we cannot take any action, but this man is a fancier and exhibitor of dogs. He shows dogs for one or two different parties, and I also think for himself, and it seems to me that there should be some way of stopping that sort of thing either by suggestion or by making it impossible for him to show any dogs. As I understand it this Committee is supposed to attend to that matter. Therefore I think it necessary that it should come before that Committee.
The Chairman: it depends upon the nature of these attacks. As I understand it all we could take cognizance of is a question of mis-management. It is only on the question of the honesty of a judge that we can protect any one.

Dr. De Mund: This last attack is really advice to exhibitors not to exhibit at that show because the judges are notoriously incompetent, and some other word is used – men who have not officiated as yet, simply that the judges who are picked out are so and so.

The Chairman: Mr. Morse stated that they criticize a judge for not knowing his business. They have a perfect right to do that. It is only a question of honesty that we can take up.

Mr. Hooley: There was something published about a judge at the New York show to the effect that he was all right until he went out to lunch and then his mind was biased and change when he was out.

The Chairman: in that case they can say he drank too much, but that would not impeach his honesty.

(The clipping referred to was then read by the Secretary.)

Mr. Morse: It seems to me a very small matter to have a Committee summon witnesses about.
The Chairman: As I understand the motion was for sort of a blanket investigation.

Mr. Morse: I would like to have it put to a vote as to whether the directors think it is a matter of sufficient importance to refer to a Committee.

The Chairman: the motion is that the action of the Executive Committee be ratified.

    Motion seconded and carried.

The Chairman: This is a very important step we are taking and I think a very wise one, because heretofore A. P. Vredenburgh has had to take notice of anything that came up. We simply say that no charges have been made. This Committee, I should say, was formed so that if anything did come up and if they thought charges should be preferred they could authorize charges to be made. Originally no charges were made. When the papers asked why this or that was not doesn’t our only answer was that no charges were made. Now this Committee can suggest to the directors that somebody should make charges in certain matters.

    Dr. De Mund: that is the idea.

    Mr. Morse: It must first be ratified by the Executive Committee.

    The Chairman: This is a sub-Committee. If this investigating Committee think that charges should be
preferred, they go to the Executive Committee for ratification.

   Mr. Moore: The Executive Committee is still the parent Committee.

   Dr. De Mund. Yes.

   The Secretary: the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee are submitted.

   The Chairman: If there is no objection the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee will be spread on the minutes.
Meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee

Held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco, June 8, 1912.

PRESENT:

W. W. Stettheimer
Nat. T. Messer
J. E. de Ruyter
Carroll Cook
Norman J. Stewart

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

On motion the minutes of the previous meeting were approved as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

Pacific Advisory Committee,

Gentlemen:--

I beg to submit for action the papers in cases as follows:

Re Ladies’ Kennel Association of So. Cal. Revised application for membership.

Re James W. Elliot, disqualified: Application for reinstatement with reply of Seattle Kennel Club to our request for further evidence if any.
Dr Alan Smith vs. Dr Johnson: A charge of misconduct. With certain personal letters in reference thereto. Dr. Johnson has filed no reply.

Biggs vs. Hartnup: Charges of misconduct. Further correspondence in reply to letters sent out according to resolution of this Committee.

Re G. L. Meade, disqualified: Request for reinstatement unaccompanied by fee of $5.00; applicant states that our letters were delayed in reaching him.

Correspondence in connection with application of Vancouver Exhibition Association for license, and with clubs and American Kennel Club in reference to amending notice class rule to admit Canadian bred dogs.

In reference to this I would state that the application for license of the Vancouver Exhibition Association was returned to them on account of insufficient deposit, and am now waiting to receive their application for membership in accordance with the telegram submitted herewith.

Letter from Mr. R. M. Palmer, Secretary of the Seattle Kennel Club, to Mr. Robert Guggenheim, their delegate in relation to fines levied for infraction of rules, said letter, being referred to us by the American Kennel Club for expression of opinion.

In connection with this matter you will notice that
A. P. Vredenburgh and Dr. De Mund stated that the Snohomish Co. Kennel Club had never been fined. As a matter of fact the club was fined twelve dollars for that number of days delay in making return of their listing fees, but the two gentlemen named were not informed of it. The check for that amount together with $16.00 penalty levied on the Colorado Kennel Club, was mailed by me on January 21st, in a letter, stamped A. P. Vredenburgh, P.O. Box, Berkeley. The letter naturally came back to me, and was pigeon holed in my desk, and would probably still be buried had I not changed my residence on May 27th and resurrected it. I mailed the original letter, envelope and check to A. P. Vredenburgh on May 28th and will submit his reply.

Respectfully submitted.

J. P. Norman Secretary

On motion the Secretary’s report was approved.

Re the application of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of So. Cal. For membership.

On motion, the application was denied, it not being in accordance with Rule V of the Rules governing clubs, and the Secretary was instructed to write to the Ladies’ Kennel Association of So. Cal. Explaining how and when application for membership could be made in accordance with the rules.
Re J. W. Elliott, disqualified: Application for trial.

On motion it was resolved, that Mr. Elliot be instructed to appear for trial at the next regular meeting of which he would receive due notice as to time and placed.

Smith vs. Johnson: Charge of misconduct.

No reply to the charges having been received from the defendant, it was on motion resolved, that an extension of 30 days be granted to him, and that the Secretary shall again call on him for an answer.

Biggs vs. Hartnup: Charge of misconduct.

On motion it was resolved, that the evidence of the former owner of the dogs involved exonerated Mr. Hartnup and that the charges be dismissed.

Re G. L. Meade, disqualified: Application for trial.

On motion it was resolved, to decline Mr. Meade’s application, he having filed no fee for a hearing.

In the matter of the application of the Vancouver Exhibition Association, the Chair stated that he had telegraphed and written Mr. Belmont’s and Mr. Hunnewell, President and Vice-President of the American Kennel Club, and had sent copies of the correspondence to all the directors of the American Kennel Club, urging that the rule governing the Novice class be amended to include Canadian-bred dogs, and that the matter had been reported to the Rules Committee.
The Chair stated further, that he and the Secretary had secured telegraphic replied from the clubs of the Northern and Central Pacific Coast endorsing such amendment, and that he had telegraphed those endorsements to the American Kennel Club.

It was moved and seconded that this Committee heartily endorse the action of the Chair, and that it is the sense of this Committee that the Novice class should be amended to allow of the competition of Canadian-bred dogs. Carried.

The Secretary read a communication from A. P. Vredenburgh referring to this Committee a letter from Mr. R. M. Palmer, Secretary of the Seattle Kennel Club, to Mr. Robert Guggenheim delegate thereof, complaining of the system of fines levied by the American Kennel Club on clubs guilty of very slight deviations from the rules, and stating that the levying of such fines was a detriment to the progress of dog shows. Mr. Plamer alluded specifically to the case of the Snohomish Co. Kennel Club which he alleged had been fined $25.00 for twenty-five slight irregularities in the catalogue.

Mr. Norman stated that the Snohomish Co. Kennel Club had been fined $12.00 for delay in making return of listing fees but that the letter announcing such fine and containing
the check for same was not mailed to A. P. Vredenburgh until May 27th, it having been misdirected through using a wrong rubber stamp.

It was moved and seconded, that it is the sense of this Committee that the enforcement of penalties against clubs is essential to the proper enforcement of the rules and that the Secretary be directed to inform the American Kennel Club promptly of this resolution.

The Secretary then presented the minutes of the semi-annual convention of Pacific Coast clubs which had been held that day.

On motion it was resolved, that the minutes of the convention be spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.

ATTEST: J. P. Norman

Secretary
Special Meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee

For Consideration of Membership and License Applications

Held at Monadnock Building, July 2, 1912

PRESENT

W. W. Stettheimer
Nat. T. Messer
Carroll Cook

ABSENT:

J. E. de Ruyter
Norman J. Stewart

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

On motion the meeting of the minutes was postponed to the next meeting.

The Secretary stated that he had received applications for membership from the Vancouver B. C. Exhibition Association and the Pacific Coast Toy Dog Association, and an application from the Salem Kennel Club for a license to hold a show Sept 3, 4, 5, 6, 1912.

It was moved by Mr. Cook that the Vancouver B. S. Exhibition Association be elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Seconded and carried.

It was moved by Mr. Cook that the Pacific Coast Toy Dog Association of California be elected a member of the American Kennel Club without town of organization, with the privilege of holding shows in accordance with fourth section of rule V. of rules governing clubs.
Seconded and carried.

It was moved by Mr. Cook that the application of the Salem Kennel Club for a license to hold a show at Salem, Oregon, on Sept 3, 4, 5, 6, 1912, be granted.

Seconded and carried.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.

ATTEST: J. P Norman,

Secretary
The Secretary: The Golden Gate Kennel Club requests a change of name to that of the California Kennel Club.

Mr. Moore: May I ask how many clubs there are in the State of California now members of the American Kennel Club?

The Secretary: Quite a number, but none of that name.

Mr. Moore: If there are a number of clubs in California members of this club, it seems to me they are asking for a great deal. I know we had a long discussion one afternoon some years ago about the Bay State Club.

The Chairman: I think our present rule is to leave the names of states and sections out.

Mr. Moore: Does that recommendation come through the Pacific Advisory Committee?

The Secretary: Yes. They want to know if there is any objection to it.

Mr. Morse: I move that the application be refused and that an explanation of the reason therefore be sent them, the reason being that we have always avoided giving the name of a state or city.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary: The Salem Kennel Club applied for the return of its license fee of $50.00 for the reason that the State Board of health, owing to the prevalence of rabies, has ordered them to give up their show. I have the order of the Board of Health here.

Mr. Morse: I move that the $50.00 be returned.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The New Hampshire Fair Association, conducted by Mr. Middlebrooke, had appealed from my refusal to grant a license for the reason that they took it upon themselves to issue their premium list and publish my certificate of approval when the same had never been had by them. Further, it never would have been granted because their classification was incorrectly drawn up. They have, however, held their show, and therefore letters pertaining to the show have been turned into this office in proper shape. I understand that they took their classification from a classification of the Clinton show of Massachusetts of two years ago, and which at that time was perfectly proper. Since that time the order of the classification had been changed, and they naturally fell into the error in that way. I put the matter before the Chairman of License Committee, telling him that I withheld this license notwithstanding the fact that I had the unanimous vote of the
Committee to grant the license; that I had withheld it on my own responsibility, and he said he was very sorry he could not be here to-day, but he wants to state that in voting to grant the license they left it to me to see that every condition was properly complied with. Otherwise, I was not to issue the license. So it appears, as far as the Committee is concerned, that they backed me up in what I did.

Dr. De Mund: As Chairman of the investigating Committee I have already received a number of letters claiming that the rules were violated there. For instance, one of the exhibitors, after getting winners in a certain breed, went in and judged another breed of dogs. There are several other things that have been brought to my attention and we intend to summon the superintendent of that show before us at our next meeting and investigate the matter. I think this matter might be referred to that Committee.

The Chairman: I think the question here is the ratification of the Secretary’s action.

The Secretary: Would you recognize the show or not?

Mr. Moore: Are we going to take away exhibitors’ wins in such classes as were regular? That matter should
be disposed of by punishing any wrong doer.

Dr. De Mund: Some 55 dogs were entered at that how. There are only two absentees marked in the judge's book. I have heard from two or three people who were there that there were not 25 dogs on the ground. It seems to me that the exhibitors should be taught a lesson so that they will be a little more careful at shows they enter and know themselves what is being done. If they enter and know themselves what is being done. If they enter at a show like that they ought to abide by the consequences.

Mr. Smith: Would it not be proper to refer this to the sub-Committee of the Executive Committee for their investigation? If so, I move that it be so referred.

The Secretary: what is the Secretary to do in the meantime?

Dr. De Mund: withhold the points until the Committee reports.

Mr. Moore: I think I can offer a simple solution. If the Chair will appoint a special Committee consisting of three member of the Executive Committee to report directly to us on this particular instance, then it might save time and not have to go through the Executive Committee’s hands. I offer that as an amendment to the motion.

Motion seconded.

Motion as amended, carried.
The Chairman: The Chairman will appoint as that Committee, Dr. De Mund, H. K. Bloodgood and George Greer.

Mr. Moore: I move that action be postponed until the sub-Committee reports.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: At the meeting of this board on May 21st, Mr. George R. Cranfield, who judged at the Sioux Falls, So. Dakota show, transferred a dog wrongly entered and for such act was suspended by resolution of your board. Mr. Cranfield now appeals to this board for reinstatement. The reason that this matter was not brought before the Executive Committee is that the suspension was imposed by the Board of Directors, and I have brought it back to the Board of Directors for them to either confirm or undo what they did at their last meeting.

Dr. De Mund: I move that the suspension of Mr. Cranfield be removed.

Mr. Smith: I second the motion.

Dr. De Mund: The Pacific Advisory Committee have written to this club asking that the suspension of Mr. Cranfield be removed. I think that Mr. Cranfield has learned a lesson and has been thoroughly punished. If the

Pacific Advisory Committee wants him reinstated I think we should bow to their wishes.
Mr. Palmer: What cause does the Pacific Advisory Committee give for wishing him reinstated?

The Secretary: The hard work that Mr. Cranfield has performed in times past and the hard work that he performed at this show. I further state for your information that I have a letter from one of the Pacific Advisory Committee in which he states that Mr. Crandfield arrived at this show two nights before the show opened and found that they did not think it was necessary to have a printed catalogue and that he stayed up all night and all the next day to prevent their violation of that rule providing for a printed catalogue. I merely say that in his favor.

Motion carried.

The Secretary: At the Ft. Worth Show in 1907, there were protests filed by two men which were never acted upon notwithstanding the fact that a number of letters were sent to the Ft. Worth management insisting upon action. They never took action and the Trial board disqualified all the officers of that club. A man by the name of Sneed, in a long letter, explains the matter to me, stating that he had no connection whatever with that show other than advertising. The Trial Board tried it and sent out
Notices, and this man never receive any notice whatever.

Dr. De Mund: I move that that matter be referred to the Trial Board with power to reopen the case.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I submit a bill for disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee of $42.21. I have a duplicate bill, but I have not the original bill duly audited by their sub-Committee. I recommend that this bill be paid upon receipt of a properly audited bill.

Mr. Hooley: I make a motion to that effect.

Seconded and carried.

On motion, the excuses of Messrs. William Rauch, and A. C. Wilmerding for absence from the Board of Directors meeting held May 21st, were accepted.

On motion, the excuses of Messers, Appleton, Greer, Cutler, Osgood, Barclay, Sawyer, and George Lauder, Jr., for absence at this meeting, were accepted.

The Secretary: I have the report of the Boston Trial Board of two cases. Do you want them read or shall I publish them?

The Secretary was directed to publish them.

Mr. Moore: As Chairman of the Publications Committee, I have been talking to A. P. Vredenburgh about the feasibility of printing the stud book separately, that is, various breeds, if we can receive a sufficient guarantee from the various specialty clubs taking a number of copies, and we intend to solicit
advertising matter for these small pamphlets, and get whatever we can toward reimbursing us for the general loss in printing the stud book proper. In other words, there are 400 pages of collies in the stud book. We think for a comparatively small sum we might be able to put those collie pedigrees separately in a smaller book, and it may be that the various specialty clubs would subscribe for them. I think that most specialty clubs would like to have a stud book confined to their own breeds if they could give them to their members at a moderate cost. While the matter is set up in the form it could be done very economically, and I would like to have the consent of the board to take it up with the Secretary and out Committee and act if we see fit. It has got to be done now if at all. We want power to act if the Committee thinks well of it. I move that the Publications Committee take up the question of subdividing the stud book for 1912 in addition to the regular volume with power to act.

Mr. Mortimer: I should think that would entail a great deal of extra expense on the club, to publish a separate copy of each breed in addition to the original stud book. I think it would do away with sales of the regular stud book.

Mr. Moore: If the plan can be worked out it will save money. It may defray the cost of publishing the stud book as a whole. We will not do it unless it is profitable. We will not proceed with the matter unless there is a profit to the club.
The Secretary: For instance, take Airedale terriers, Boston Terriers, and Collies, we charge $5.00 a volume for the stud book in which these breeds are published. After that book is printed, if you take out these three breeds just as they are and bind them in a paper binding, you can sell them for a dollar a volume or even 50 cents a volume. There are a great many men who would not purchase the stud book to get their collie breed because it costs $5.00, but they would pay fifty cents or a dollar to get this separate book. Besides, there would be no objection that I can see to soliciting advertisements for that stud book, and if it would be popular with the Airedale men, the collie men and Boston Terrier men, so that
they could see their way clear to guarantee a certain number of purchasers or a
certain number of advertisements at moderate prices, it seems to me that instead
of being a cost to the American Kennel Club it would be a source of revenue. I
have talked this over very carefully with the Publishing Committee, and the
members seem to feel the same way.

    The Chairman: I refuse to put that motion as it stands at present. I don’t
think we have a right to give the Committee power over the whole surplus of our
treasury. If they spent it we would have nobody to blame but ourselves.

    Mr. Moore: I suggest adding to the motion, the cost not to exceed
$1,00.00.

    The Chairman: What I mean is that some limit should be put upon it. You
cannot give a Committee absolute power on a matter like that.

    The Secretary: you can limit it in this way; the total extra expense not to
be over $250. in other words, if the book of 1912 costs us $1,700, this extra
matter could cost us $1,950.

    Motion seconded and carried.
Mr. Smith: I have been asked by a prominent breeder of the German Sheep Dog to try to get some sort of a ruling by the American Kennel Club as to how the German Sheep Dog and the Belgian Sheep Dog can be separated. They are being shown together now in all the shows, and according to my informant they are entirely different. That is, the head of the Belgian Sheep Dog is different from that of the German Sheep Dog. It is a smaller dog, and the colors in the Belgian Sheep Dog are practically unknown or might be disqualifications in the German Sheep Dog. They are being taken up quite a little now and breeders are very anxious to separate the German from the Belgian for the benefit of both. He claims it will be advantageous to both breeds if they are separated.

The Chairman: that would go to the stud book Committee.

Mr. Smith: Yes. That was my idea in bringing it up at this meeting.

The Chairman: do you put that in the form of a motion?

Mr. Smith: Yes, I make such motion.

Seconded and carried.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.
Special Meeting of the Executive Committee

October 1, 1912

Present:   August Belmont
          H. H. Hunnewel
          H. K. Bloodgood
          W. G. Rockefeller
          John E. DeMund
          Dwight Moore
          Ralph C. Stewart

The Secretary presented the following letter for the consideration of the Committee.

Sept. 26, 1912

Stewart vs. American Kennel Club

Dear Sirs:--

There is a provision of our law by which a defendant may make a written offer to allow the plaintiff to take judgment for a stated sum, with costs, and if the plaintiff fails to accept the offer within a short time, and at the trial does not succeed in getting a verdict for a larger sum than was offered him, he must pay the costs of the action to the defendant.

It seems to us advisable for the Kennel Club to avail itself of this provision, and to authorize us to make an offer of judgment to the plaintiff for the sum of $1,000 and costs, or even $1,500 and costs.

We are convinced that the plaintiff’s counsel look for a large recovery and will advise Miss Stewart to reject an offer even for $1,500 and costs. Of course, you must take the chance that the offer may be accepted, but we think that quite improbable.

If the Court should fail to sustain us in certain points of law which we shall present, and should submit the case to the jury, there is much probability that Miss Stewart will get a verdict, which we should hope would not exceed $1,500, and in that event, if you had offered that sum, the costs of the action from the time would make a difference of perhaps $100, which in itself may be wroth regarding, and, in addition, it would be a moral victory for the Club if Miss Stewart should recover less than has been offered her in settlement.

If you wish to authorize us to make an offer of judgment, we should be informed at once of that fact, and of the sum to be offered, because the time before the trial is rather short.

Of course, no one can make even a probable conjecture as to
what a jury will do. That is said to be beyond even the ken of the Almighty. What we suggest is a measure of precaution, but, as we have intimated, if the offer of settlement should be accepted, of even $1,500 and costs, we should not think it a bad settlement for you.

Yours very truly,

Guggenheim, Untermyer & Marshall

By A. Benedict

American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street
NYC
AB/WS

After a full discussion of the subject matter of the above communication the Committee directed the Secretary to forward the following letter in reply.

October 1, 1912

Mr. A. Benedict
37 Wall Street
NYC

Dear Sir:

I beg to advise you that I presented your letter of the 26th ult. Re Stewart vs. American Kennel Club in which you deem it advisable for the American Kennel Club to avail itself of the provision of law which you quote and to authorize you to make an offer of judgment to the plaintiff for a sum not to exceed $1,500 and costs.

The meeting was attended by Messrs. August Belmont, H. H. Hunnewell, H. K. Bloodgood, W. G. Rockefeller, John E. DeMund, Dwight Moore, and Ralph C. Stewart. These gentlemen discussed your proposition very fully and arrived at the conclusion that we prefer fighting the case to a finish and would not offer any settlement whatever.

Yours truly,

American Kennel Club
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

In the matter of Grierson vs. Earnshaw tried by the Trial Board sitting in Boston the Committee approved the conduct of the case by said Board, and permits the fining to become a record.
Adjourned.
Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, Held at its offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC, Tuesday, December 17th, 1912.

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

P R E S E N T

H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
James W. Appleton
John E De Mund
Chetwood Smith
Howard Willets
George Greer
Ralph C. Stewart

The Secretary read his Executive Committee report. It is as follows:

NY, December 16, 1912

To the Executive Committee
Of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

The Special Committee appointed by your Committee at its meeting held August 20, 1912, will submit its report at this meeting and ask to be continued in order to complete its work on several matters that are still under consideration.

At the meeting of the Directors, held December 1909, the following officers of the Tri State Fair and Exposition, at Memphis, Tenn., were disqualified for
holding a show without the sanction of the American Kennel Club, although the
Premium List published the American Kennel Club copyrighted rules, and also a
certificate of approval, with the Secretary's name attached, said certificate of
approval never having been issued by the Secretary:--- D. M. Armstrong, C. E.

These gentlemen now appeal for reinstatement for the reason that the Tri
State Fair and Exposition sold the privilege of the dog show to J. M. Avent and
replied upon him to conduct the show properly and in accordance with the
American Kennel Club regulations. They had no knowledge that the publication
of the Rules and the certificate of approval were not proper, and that the show
was not held by sanction of the American Kennel Club.

For the reason above-noted and adding that fact that the gentlemen
named have been under suspension for three years, I would respectfully
recommend that the appeal be
granted and that the names above-noted be stricken from our list of persons not in good standing.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary


The Secretary submitted the report of the Investigating Committee. It is as follows:

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:--

At the regular meeting of the Executive Committee held August 20th, 1912, the Secretary in his report recommended that a Special Committee of three from the Executive Committee be appointed with power to investigate and inquire into the organization of the Ladies Kennel Association of New Jersey, the conduct of its show held at Atlantic City, August 2nd, 1912, and also into the conduct of the
judges and exhibitors at said show.

Agreeable to that recommendation your Committee appointed an Investigation Committee of three composed of Dr. De Mund, George Greer and H. K. Bloodgood, which Committee was vested with the powers of the Executive Committee for the purpose of investigating all matters pertaining to the Ladies Kennel Association of New Jersey, and the show held by that Club and to report its recommendations thereon, also such other matters pertaining to dog shows that they may deem proper and to bring in such recommendations for the future conduct of shows as they may find necessary.

At the regular meeting of the Board of Directors held September 17\textsuperscript{th}, it was resolved that the action of the Executive Committee in appointing a sub Committee to investigate the organization known as the Ladies Kennel Association of New Jersey and the conduct of the show held August 2, 1912, was duly ratified.

Pursuant to the above resolution your Committee held three sessions, September 5\textsuperscript{th}, 16\textsuperscript{th} and 25\textsuperscript{th}, at which time the official stenographer was in attendance and furnished 252 folios testimony, which has been carefully considered and discussed at various meetings held subsequent to September 25\textsuperscript{th}. 
The stenographer report covers the testimony of fourteen persons who appeared personally before your Committee. Subsequent to that time twelve persons have been summoned to appear and nine persons have responded personally.

The scope of the investigation had been wide spread and the result of the investigation is summed up on the following recommendations. These recommendations have been carefully considered by your Committee and it is its earnest wish that its efforts in furthering the proper conduct of shows held under license or by membership, the conduct of judges, officials, and exhibitors of the betterment of the general kennel world, will be confirmed by your body and the amendments proposed shall be adopted and become operative.

We find that the Ladies Kennel Association of New Jersey was not a regularly organized association. Nineteen names of ladies holding office were published on the official letter paper of the association. Questions were mailed to each person so named. Sixteen replied were received, eight of which testified that they were not members. Seven acknowledged membership; one returned a blank. All testified that no dues had been paid nor had same been requested, and fifteen testified that they had never attended an meeting of said
association nor had they received any noticed of any meeting. The lady advertised as Vice-president testified that she was not a member and her name had been used against her instruction to the contrary.

Notwithstanding the fact that no meeting had been held, yet a constitution and by-laws were alleged to have been adopted, and a copy of same filed with the American Kennel Club with the application for a license. The show was conducted in loose, happy-go-lucky way, and American Kennel Club rules were violated by officers, superintendent and exhibitors. One award was determined by two judges tossing a coin.

One entry form was changed the day after the closing of the show, marking the dog “for exhibition only”, for the purpose of deceit, and the perpetration of a fraudulent act. This act was done by the consent and connivance of the owner (who was a judge at said show), the superintendent and the handler of the dog.

Dogs were entered and competed that the rules declared ineligible. Taken as a whole the show was conducted in a most undignified manner, and tended to place the American Kennel Club in a most unenviable position.

In consideration of the above your Committee respectfully recommends:
1st. That Miss Lida R. Patton who was the alleged Secretary of the association, and the promoter of the Atlantic City show, be suspended for the term of sixty days.

2nd. That George F. Foley who acted as Superintendent of said show and who permitted the alternation of the entry form above referred to, be censured and warned against similar conduct at any future shows that may engage him in any official position. Mr. Foley’s offense is mitigated by his confession to this Committee.

3rd. That Mrs. M. Thorpe and B. F. Lewis, Jr., the judges who decided an award by the toss of a coin, be severely censured and warned against the like occurrence. The proper plan to pursue when two judges cannot agree is to call a referee.

4th. That M. R. Guggenheim, the owner and A. Delmont the handler of the dog whose entry form was changed after the close of the show, be disciplined as follows: Mr. Guggenheim be removed as a delegate to the American Kennel Club and Mr. Delmont warned against a second offense. The fact that Demont confessed his guilt and further appeared before your Committee at personal discomfort and expense, by leaving his dogs at the Toronto Show in care of another, and traveling to New York and return to Toronto,
in order to obey the command of your Committee, is considered in a measure as a mitigation, hence the warning.

5th. The offense of Mr. Delmont and Mr. Foley are mitigated by the fact that they acted under the advice of a former official of the American Kennel Club, who interfered in an unwarranted manner with the show and show officials.

6th. That B. F. Lewis be censured for showing dogs a agent at a show at which his son was a judge, said son being an employee in the kennel at which such dogs were boarded.

In taking up other general matters in accordance with the scope granted by the resolution of August 20, 1912, your Committee finds that “Unclassified Specials” at the different shows held under American Kennel Club Rules, occasion more dissatisfaction than any other matter in connection with shows. In many cases they are farcical, meaningless, and give a false value. At many of the one day shows they are judged after eligible dogs have been removed from the show, and in many cases inferior dogs were given the award by reason of the better dogs having been removed, this receiving a false value. It is the opinion of your Committee that Unclassified Specials should be abolished and forbidden.
Your Committee has given the subject of licensing judge, superintendents, and professional handlers careful consideration and have arrived at a conclusion in favor of such an amendment, which has been confirmed in favor of such an amendment, which has been confirmed by several officials in each of these departments. It is recommended that the matter be referred to the License Committee to propose a plan of license, with conditions governing same and present same to the Rules Committee with instructions to propose the necessary amendment to cover the subject. It especially recommends that in said propose amendment, that a clause be inserted prohibiting professional handlers from judging at any show.

While on the subject of judges your Committee strongly condemns the practice of persons applying to clubs for appointment as a judge, and urgently request clubs and Committees to inform the American Kennel Club of such an application and to file with the American Kennel Club any letters they may receive from persons soliciting such appointment.

We find that during the year 1911, there were fifty-eight Champion Certificates issued, and up to November 1, 1912, two hundred and three Champion Certificates were issued. Under the present system the title of Champion fails to convey what such a title is intended to mean, and measures should be taken promptly to enhance the value of the title of Champion.
The Westminster Kennel Club show, the leading show of this country, and in the number of actual dogs entered probably the leading show of the world embraced its classification 638 classes for all breeds, with 1929 dogs entered. Albany 841 classes, 330 dogs. Cleveland, 834 classes; 447 dogs. Columbus, 597 classes; 302 dogs. Chicago, 815 classes; 380 dogs. Lynn, 656 classes; 299 dogs. Indianapolis, 507 classes; 131 dogs. Wilmington, 952 classes; 271 dogs. Atlantic City, 953 classes; 361 dogs.

Eliminating the Westminster Kennel Club Show we find an average of one dog to every 2-1/5 classes. Several clubs having appreciated the fact the prevailing customs of providing such enormous classification, were going so at the expense of the American Kennel Club and the cheapening of Championship honors, have voluntarily out down their previous classification.

Prompt action should be taken to remedy this evil and we therefore recommend that the License Committee be given authority and power to arbitrarily designate such breeds as may have Winners Classes divided by sex, such breeds as may have mixed Winners Classes, and such breeds that shall not be entitled to any Winners class, until such time as the number of dogs exhibited shall demonstrate that they shall be logically entitled to same.
A general warning is hereby given to Dog Show Committees, and superintendents of shows that the rules of the American Kennel Club were made to be obeyed, and that it is the intention of the American Kennel Club to rigidly enforce the observance of all rules, by severe penalties, more particularly in regard to the duties imposed upon Veterinary Surgeon; the proper arrangement for providing fresh drinking water, especially at open air shows, and the better care of dogs sent to shows and returned from shows by express.

Your Committee advises that the License Committee should recommend rules and refer same to the Rules Committee for the better protection of the American Kennel Club and exhibitors in the matter of granting licenses to non-members, and would suggest that in addition to the names of the officers now required, that a full list of the members and their addresses, that an affidavit of the list of members and that the vote to apply for a license was taken at a regular meeting at which a quorum was present, be filed with the American Kennel Club as a part of the application for a license.

Referring to a certain article appearing in the English paper “Our Dogs” over the signature of H. W. Lacy in reference to Thomas Grisdale as judge at the late Mineola
show, your Committee interviewed both parties, and find that there was absolutely no attack made upon the honesty or integrity of Grisdale as a judge and that Grisdale has no cause of complaint.

An unwarranted attack published in “international Dogs” against proposed judges at the Lenox show, and an attempt to injure the Lenox Club, was fully considered by your Committee, and the Editor of said paper was directed to appear before the Committee, which he filed to do, therefore your Committee recommends that F. T. Carlton be suspended and the case referred to the New York Trial Board for such action as may appear to be proper.

Your Committee has already referred one case to the New York Trial Board and one case to the Philadelphia Trial Board, while several matters are still pending that it will recommend to refer to Trial Boards.

Your Committee is of the opinion that it would be well to continue an Investigating Committee as a permanent Committee, to be composed of three members of the Executive Committee.

The thanks of the Committee are due to F. J. Skinner of Field & Fancy and H. W. Lacy, of American Stockkeeper for valuable information and suggestions in regard to the show.
Mr. Willets: I move that the report be approved, read to the delegates and referred to the Board of Directors for action.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I also submit a supplemental report by the same Committee. It is as follows:

New York, Dec. 16, 1912

To the Executive Committee
Of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:--

Your Committee in investigating the complaint made about a letter written by Mr. E. K. Austin, wrote to Mr. Austin on October 24th, requesting him to appear before the Committee to answer certain questions.

On October 26th he replied that it would be impossible for him to be at the meeting but gave no reasons. On October 31st they summoned him to appear before them on November 8th. In reply he stated that his business was such that he could
not obtain the necessary time to attend a meeting, but was quite willing to answer any questions that we might desire to put to him. On November 20th, the Committee required him to answer four questions. Since that time nothing has been heard from Mr. Austin. We therefore recommend that Mr. Austin be suspended and his case referred to the Trial Board for further action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dr. De Mund

Chairman, Inves. Committee

On motion the same was approved, order read to the delegates at the quarterly meeting and referred to the Board of Directors for action.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the American Kennel Club, Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC, Tuesday, December 17th, 1912. 

Vice President, H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair

PRESENT

Associate Delegate B. S. Smith
Airedale Terrier Club of America William L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club H. H. Hunnewell
American Spaniel Club H. K. Bloodgood
Bulldog Club of America Edwin L. Boger
Collies Club of America M. M. Palmer
Dalmatian Club of America Alfred B. Maclay
Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club James J. Fox
Erie Kennel Club Ralph C. Stewart
French Bulldog Club of America O. F. Vedder
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club Charles R. Wood
Irish Terrier Club of America Singleton Van Schaick
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Association</th>
<th>President/Secretary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ladies’ Kennel Association Of America</td>
<td>James Singleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehigh Valley Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. D. Gillette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island Kennel Club</td>
<td>John F. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltese Terrier Club</td>
<td>E. H. Berendsohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Beagle Club</td>
<td>Jas. W. Appleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England Beagle Club</td>
<td>Chetwood Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New London Kennel Club</td>
<td>J. Macy Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping Rock Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. G. Wooley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Bulldog Club</td>
<td>J. A. Buchanan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Wolfhound Club</td>
<td>Dr. De Mund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo County Kennel Club</td>
<td>W. S. Gurnee, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. C. Wilmerding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis Collie Club</td>
<td>Lloyd W. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester Kennel Club</td>
<td>George Greer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster Kennel Club</td>
<td>William Rauch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named clubs were duly elected to membership.
Fort Orange Poultry Kennel and Pet Stock Association
Islip Kennel Club
Lenox Dog Show Association
Rumson Kennel Club

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs as delegates:

- Brunswick Foxhound Club: A. V. Huyler
- Delaware Valley Kennel Club: John H. Blackwood
- Islip Kennel Club: George A. Ellis, Jr.,
- Lenox Dog Show Association: Henry Hollister Pease
- Philadelphia Bulldog Club: Joseph A. Buchanan
- Rumson Kennel Club: G. Foster Rawlins
- Western French Bulldog Club: John N. Champion

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Dec. 16, 1912

To the Delegates of the
American Kennel Club
Gentleman:--

Pursuant to Article X, Section IV, of the By-laws, the Membership Committee have nominated William G. Rockefeller, Dwight Moore, and B. S. Smith as Associate Delegates for 1913,
and under Article X, Section V, they suggest as a Nominating Committee to nominate Directors to fill the vacancies in the Board of Directors at the annual meeting to be held in Feb, 1913, to wit. A. D. Gillette, E. H. Berendsohn, J. F. Collins, M. M. Palmer and J. Macy Willets.

The Membership Committee have approved of the following applicants for Active Membership, Ft. Orange Poultry, Kennel & Pet Stock Association, Islip Kennel Club, Lenox Dog Show Association, and Rumson Kennel Club.

This Committee also approves of the following delegates to represent active clubs: A. V. Huyler, from the Brunswick Foxhound Club; John H. Blackwood from the Delaware Valley Kennel Club; George A. Ellis, Jr., from the Islip Kennel Club; Henry Hollister Pease from the Lenox Dog Show Association; Jos. A. Buchanan from the Philadelphia Bulldog Club; G. Foster Rawlins from the Rumson Kennel Club, and John N. Champion from the Western French Bulldog Club.

I also beg to report the resignation from membership of the Monmouth County Kennel Club. This club is in good standing and I recommend the acceptance of its resignation.

Respecting submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Rules Committee was read, and it as follows:

New York, Dec. 16, 1912
To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:--

The Rules Committee begs to report that the matters referred to it since the last meeting are now under consideration and that your Committee can only report progress, deferring full report until the annual meeting in Feb next.

Respectfully submitted,
Dr. De Mund
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted.
The report of the License Committee was read and is as follows:--

New York, Dec 16, 1912
To the Board of Directors
Of the American Kennel Club.
Gentlemen:--

The License Committee begs to report that since the last quarterly meeting it has granted licenses to the following clubs:  Kennel Club of Philadelphia, El Paso Kennel

There are no applications for licenses now pending.

Respectfully submitted,

George Greer

On motion the same was accepted.

The report of the Publication Committee was read and is as follows:--

Mr. Chairman:--

The Publication Committee has recently held four meetings to arrange for publishing the 1912 Stud Book. Your Secretary has been requested to obtain sealed proposals which will be acted upon when received at the close of this month.

Respectfully submitted,

Dwight Moore

On motion the same was accepted.

The Secretary: The Membership Committee recommends the appointment of the following Nominating Committee and for directors for 1913.


It was moved and seconded that the gentlemen named above be elected.
The Secretary: The Membership Committee have nominated Associate Delegates as follows:

W. G. Rockefeller, Dwight Moore and B. S. Smith.

On motion the above named gentlemen were elected.

On motion the resignation of the Monmouth County Kennel Club was accepted.

The Secretary: I was directed by the Executive Committee to read for the information of the delegates the report of the Investigating Committee that was appointed in August last.

The report referred to was then read.

The supplemental report of the Investigating Committee was also read.

Mr. B. S. Smith: I would like to ask why if this man is suspended they refer the case to the Trial Board.

The Chairman: They recommend his suspension.

That is to be ratified by the Directors.

Mr. B. S. Smith: Why do they refer to the Trial Board? It will be left to the Directors, and if they suspend him why should it be left to the Trial Board?

Dr. De Mund: I might reply to Mr. Smith that the Board of Directors have only the power to sus-
pend for sixty days. Then the person suspended must come up for trial before that time elapses. We cannot suspend anybody indefinitely without giving him a chance to be heard.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
Meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, Held at its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC, Tuesday, Dec. 17th, 1912.

Vice-President, H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair

P R E S E N T
K. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
M. Mowbray Palmer
William Rauch
Hollis L. Hunnewell
W. L. Barclay
B. S. Smith
Howard Willets
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
J. W. Appleton, Singleton Van Schaick
Dr. De Mund
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report which is as follows:

New York, Dec. 16, 1912

To the Board of Directors
Of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen: --

I beg to report the receipt of minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee of its meeting held Sept 5, 1912 and Sept 28, 1912.

Also report of a Special Committee, appointed by the Board of Directors, in the matter of the late New Hampshire Fair Association Show. Mrs. Emeline L. Green, an exhibitor at the late New Hampshire Fair Association Show, also acted as judge at said show, and this office has imposed the penalty of $25.00 as provided for in the Rules. Mrs. Freen expressed her sorrow that she acted as judge in violation of our rules and says that it was due to ignore-
ance on her part to the existence of such a R. That Mr. Middlebrooke, the superintendent, knew the rules better than she did and it was at his request that she acted, and asks that the penalty be remitted.

I will submit the correspondence between President Belmont and Mr. Javier de Beranger, the Vice President of the National Kennel Club of Spain.

The Special Committee appointed to confer with the English Kennel Club on the subject of reciprocity between our two clubs have reached a conclusion mutually satisfactory and will report the terms of reciprocity at this meeting and would ask that the same be confirmed, so that the agreement can go into effect on January 1st, 1913.

A duplicate bill for disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee from Sept 20, 1912 to Dec. 5, 1912, has been presented, amounting to $26.78 I would respectfully recommend the payment of same on receipt of the original bill duly audited by the Sub-Committee appointed for that purpose.

The following directors have filed excuses for absence from the meetings of this board: William Rauch, absent Sept 17, 1912. August Belmont, Samuel R. Cutler, W. H. Sawyer, Dwight Moore, Edward Brooks, Dr. F. H. Osgood, absence from this meeting.
At the show of the La Crosse Kennel Club held Sept 24th to 27th, 1912, the judge, Dr. Charles A. White, of Chicago, Ill., transferred a dog from the Poodle class to the class for Toy Poodles, which is violation of the rules.

In a letter from the Secretary of the La Crosse Club, Mr. Harry Dell, under date of Oct 17th, 1912, he states: “I asked him (Dr. White) at the time he has discretionary power to transfer this dog and he replied that he had. This being the facts of the matter, the officers of the Club are a unit in considering an imposition of a fine on the Club as decidedly unjust.”

In a letter from this office to Dr. White, under date of Nov 7th, we beg to quote the following: “We wrote you a letter on Oct 21st as follows: “At the La Crosse Show held Sept 24th to 27th, 1912, you transferred a dog entered in the Poodle class other than Toy, to the Toy Poodle class. This is against the Rules of the American Kennel Club and we will be glad if you will inform us if there is any reason why action should not be taken in the matter.” To which we have never received any reply from Dr. White.

I therefore beg to direct your attention to a precedent by which a judge was indefinitely suspended for a
similar act.

The Western Kennel Association held a show under License Oct 2\textsuperscript{nd}, to 5\textsuperscript{th}, 1912. The marked catalogue was received by this office Oct 15\textsuperscript{th}, 1912. the judges’ books and listing sheets and the listing fees have never been received by us.

Letter on this subject were written to the President under date of Oct 29\textsuperscript{th}, and the Secretary under date of Oct 17\textsuperscript{th}, and the Treasurer under date of Nov 11\textsuperscript{th}.

Under date of Nov 14\textsuperscript{th}, we received a letter from the Treasurer that the Secretary had told him some days ago that he had forwarded us the listing and judges’ books immediately after the show was held and further he will take the matter up with him against and try to find out where the difficulty arises.

Under date of Nov 16\textsuperscript{th}, the President writes that he saw their Secretary and had his promise that he would answer and attend to the matter at once, and further says: “It is evident there is something wrong with out Secretary’s doings and the club wants to know absolutely what it is and where the mistake is.”

This letter was answered by us on Nov 19\textsuperscript{th}, to which no reply has ever been received this club undoubtedly collection the listing fees which belong to the American
Kennel Club, and up to date we have failed to receive any of said fees.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read and is as follows:

New York, Dec 16, 1912

To the Board of Directors
Of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:--

I beg to submit the quarterly financial report from Jan 1st, 1912, to date.

Balance on hand, Jan 1st, 1912 ------------------------  $22,963.91
Receipts to date -------------------------------------------     26,030.88
Total ------------      48,994.79
Disbursements from Jan 1st, 1912, to date --------      25,293.60
Balance on hand -----      23,701.19

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary: I submit the minutes of two meetings of the Pacific Advisory Committee.

On motion said minutes were ordered spread on the minutes of this meeting. There are as follows:

Special Meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee
Held at Monadnock Building, San Francisco
Sept 5th, 1912

Absent: Carroll Cook

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as read.

The Secretary presented a communication from the Salem Kennel Club, requesting the return of all fees, as by action of the State Board of Health of Oregon, said club was unable to hold its show on Sept 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th.

On motion, it was resolved, to recommend to the American Kennel Club the return of all fees as requested.

In the matter of the resignation of the Southwestern Kennel Club from membership in the American Kennel Club, said club being in good standing, it was moved and seconded, that
the resignation be accepted. Carried.

On motion, the application for membership of the Ladies Kennel Association of Southern California with headquarters at Los Angeles, was approved.

On motion, the application for membership of the Pacific Boston Terrier Club was approved.

It was moved and seconded that a penalty of $25.00 be assessed against the Ladies Kennel Association of California, for having omitted in their Premium List the publication of the rules governing clubs adopted by the Pacific Advisory Committee, Oct 21st, 1911. Carried.

On motion, the date of the next regular meeting was set for Saturday, Sept 28th, at 1L30 PM in room 712, Clunie Building, San Francisco.

There being no further business, the meeting than adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman,
Secretary
Meeting of Pacific Advisory Committee
Held at Clunie Building, San Francisco
Sept 28, 1912

Present: W. W. Stettheimer, J. E. de Ruyter, Norman J. Stewart.
Absent: Nat T. Messer, Carroll Cook

W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
The Secretary read his report as follows:

Sept 28, 1912

Pacific Advisory Committee,

Gentlemen:--

The papers in re James Elliott, disqualified: Petition for trial and reinstatement, and re Dr. De Mund. Alan W. Smith vs. Dr A. C. Johnson: Charge of misconduct are before you.

In reference to the application of the Golden Gate Kennel Club for change of name to California Kennel Club. The Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club to whom the application was referred have voted against it, on the ground that to grant the name would be an injustice to the other Kennel Clubs in the State.
The recommendation of this Committee to return the fees of the Salem Kennel Club was acted upon favorably by the Board of Directors.

I beg to submit a letter from a member of the Committee and an application for membership from the Northwestern Boston Terrier Club of Seattle, Wash.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman, Secretary

On motion, the Secretary’s report was approved.

In re James W. Elliott disqualified; petition for trial and reinstatement. After trial had, in which the petitioner appeared before the Committee, and duly examined.

It was moved and seconded that this Committee cancel the disqualification of Mr. Elliott and reinstate him to good standing on the ground that the punishment of one and a half years disqualification was sufficient discipline for the offense committed, as reported by the Seattle Kennel Club, whose Secretary and members have since suggested and recommended such action in his favor. Carried.

In re Dr Alan Welch Smith vs. Dr. A. C. Johnson; charge of misconduct; After trial had, it was moved and seconded that the charge be dismissed and Dr. Johnson exonerated. Carried.
On motion, the application of the Northwestern Boston Terrier Club for Membership in the American Kennel Club, was denied, until accompanied by the approval of the Pacific Coast Boston Terrier Club.

On motion, the application of the Golden Gate Kennel Club for change of name to California Kennel Club was denied in accordance with the ruling of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

It was moved and seconded that the application of the Senoma County Kennel Club for membership in the American Kennel Club be granted. Carried.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman

Secretary
The report of the Special Committee appointed by the Board of Directors to act in the matter of the New Hampshire Fair Association was read and is as follows:

New York, October 31, 1912

To the Board of Directors
Of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:--

The Special Committee, appointed by the Board of Directors at its meeting held September 17, 1912, to act in the matter of the New Hampshire Fair Association respectfully reports as follows: --

First:-- It affirms the action of the Secretary in holding up the license for said show and as the superintendent, Mr. Tom B. Middlebrooke, published the Premium List of the show with the certificate of approval of the Secretary, which had not been granted, not would not, on account of the glaring errors and omissions in said Premium List, it has preferred formal charges against said Middlebrooke before the New York Trial Board.

Second:-- That as the classification had not been approved by the Secretary, it has decided that no American Kennel Club Show was held, therefore no rating can be recognized at the above show.
Third:-- It has also preferred charges before the same Board against Mrs. Emeline Green for violation of the rule which prohibits an exhibitor at a show from acting as judge at the same show.

It recommends the forfeiture of the $25.00 date deposit, and the return of the $15.00 license fee and $10.00 Secretary’s deposit.

Respectfully submitted,
Dr. De Mund

It was moved and seconded that said report be accepted and that the recommendations therein contained be adopted.

Carried.
The Secretary: This Mrs. Green referred to in this report asks that the fine be remitted. She said she was ignorant of the fact that there was such a rule; that the superintendent of the show evidently was posted but it was at his solicitation that she acted, and she says not that she has learned such a lesion that she will know better in the future.

Dr. De Mund: I move that the fine be remitted in this case.

Motion seconded.

Dr. De Mund: The Investigation Committee sent the case to the Trial Board. The only charge against Mrs. Green was judging at a show where she had no right to judge. Mrs. Green has explained the matter to us, and out Committee in considering it, found that she was one who was not familiar with the methods of dog shows, and that she only judged at the solicitation of Mr. Middlebrooke, and I think that the mere imposition of the fine will do as much good as if collected.

Motion carried.

The Secretary: I have quite some correspondence from the Vice President of the Spanish Kennel Club and our President, Mr. Belmont. The Spanish Club desires to affiliate with the American Kennel Club.
Mr. Mortimer: Is there a Kennel Club in Spain?

The Secretary: I should imagine so from this correspondence.

Mr. Mortimer: You never heard of any?

The Secretary: Yes, I have heard of it. Mr. Goldenberg said he knew all about it. He said there was a National Kennel Club there, and he brought over some papers from the President of the club, a Count, but the papers were lost when the Titanic went down. Evidently he has communicated with him and they would like to have somebody in this country represent them.

The Chairman: they have been officially recognized by the Kennel Club of England.

Mr. Willets: I move that the Secretary be instructed to take up the matter with the Spanish Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I submit the bill of disbursements of the Pacific Advisory Committee and recommend its payment as soon as a properly audited bill comes to hand.

The Chairman: if there is no objection the bill will be ordered paid.
The Secretary: The next subject in order is the matter of the La Crosse Show, where a judge transferred a dog in direct violation of our rules. We have a statement from the Secretary of that club that he had asked this judge if he had discretionary power and the judge replied that he had, and therefore transferred the dog. We cannot get any reply whatever from the judge notwithstanding the fact that we wrote him and then sent a letter to him under cover of the Secretary of the La Crosse Club, who states that he forwarded it to his proper address.

Mr. Mortimer: Did you send a registered letter?

The Secretary: no.

Mr. Mortimer: It may be that he be suspended and that the matter be referred to the Trial Board.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Stewart: I move that he be suspended and that the matter be referred to Trial Board.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Mortimer: I think some further effort should be made to notify this judge by sending to his address a registered letter.

Dr. De Mund: the judge transferred the dog. There mere fact that he had not replied to our letter does
Not enter into the question. We have already disciplined one judge for a similar offense, and in view of that fact I think we should follow the same course in this case.

Mr. Van Schaick: I think this man ought to have the benefit of a registered letter. He may have thought he was acting within his rights when he transferred this dog.

Dr. De Mund: It does not seem to me that this is anything against the judge himself. He is not put on his defense now. This is a case where a judge wrongfully transferred a dog, and he is suspended until such time as it can be determined what further punishment should be meted out to him.

The Secretary: That is demonstrated in the catalogue and also in the form of the entry blank which we have.

Mr. Mortimer: Your charge as preferred there seems to make the judge the principal defendant. That is the important feature in that particular case, and if I am not now out of order I move as an amendment that the Secretary be instructed to send the judge in question a registered letter informing him of the charges.

Seconded by Mr. Chetwood Smith.
Dr. De Mund: I am opposed to that amendment because at the present time it is not a question of the judge; it is a question of fact that he transferred a dog in violation of our rules. If we are going to try him he ought to be given an opportunity to defend himself, but there is no question that he violated the rules.

The Secretary: The President of the Vice President, on the evidence before us, has the right to suspend that man without any action by this Board.

Mr. Hooley: Is he under sixty days suspension now?

The Secretary: No.

Mr. Hooley: As the matter stands now there is nothing against him?

The Chairman: No. Our precedent is that if a rule is broken the offender is suspended because that rule is so violated, and he must show why he did it.

Mr. Hooley: In other cases we have not notified the offender by registered mail or in any other way?

The Secretary: No.

Mr. Hooley: then why notify this man?

Mr. Mortimer: I understand that this man is under suspension now?
The Secretary: No, he is not.

Mr. Mortimer: Why should he not be given a change to make an explanation to this club?

The Secretary: There is no necessity whatever to notify any judge when we have the absolute proof that he violated a rule. The President, or in his absence, the Vice President has the right to suspend that man. Then he has the opportunity to come and show cause why that suspension should be removed. That has always been our custom. Where a rule has been violated we can act and then give the respondent the opportunity to show cause why he should be reinstated.

Mr. Mortimer: It appears to me like holding a man guilty without proof.

The Chairman: No, not in this particular case.

Mr. Mortimer: The rule as it is now is a little bit strict. As I understand this, the entry was made of a Poodle, and it was transferred from the Toy Poodle Class into the Poodle Class. That is to say, the judge when this dog was brought in, saw that it had no right in this class and therefore he transferred the dog to the class he should have been entered in. It is very possible that the judge thought that he was going perfectly right, that he had that power, and there-
fore I would be willing to make some excuse for him and give him an opportunity of sending in an explanation of the reason he did so. In that way we get his explanation before we proceed to suspend him.

Dr. De Mund: The Investigating Committee in its investigations came across not just such a case, but similar cases where the rules were violated and the excuse given, ho, it is always done; we took up on judge very sharply on this matter. In this case the fine is automatically imposed on the club because it wrongfully transferred a dog. The club afterward came back and said that they did not do it but that the judge did it against their wishes. We suspended one man for three months for that same offense. Now I do not see how we can go back and let another man off, because they will come back with the same excuse.

Mr. Mortimer: I had not intention of letting the judge off, as the doctor says. I simply wanted him to have an opportunity to make an explanation of the reason he violated this rule.

Mr. Van Schiack: Yes, especially in a case where a man might possibly have been acting in good faith.

Mr. Bloodgood: When a man judges at a show he
should understand the American Kennel Club rules to a certain extent. As I understand it this man violated our rules. The only excuse that he can give so far as I have heard is that he had no knowledge of that rule. He is hardly excusable for that. Of course, he may be a new comer, but if we are going to violate our precedents and do one thing to one man and not to another we are liable to be criticized for our inconsistency.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I withdraw my second to the amendment.

Mr. Van Schaick: I will second the amendment. I have been a delegate and have been judging for 19 years and I did not know it was wrong to do that. If a dog was entered in the wrong class and it was evidently done through the ignorance of the exhibitor I think it is only right and proper that he should be given the privilege of showing in another class.

The Secretary: There are two rules on that subject. One is that the exhibitor must abide by the consequence of his own mistake, and the other is that a judge cannot transfer a dog from one class to another.

Mr. Maclay: Is it necessary to suspend this gentleman before his case is referred to the Trial Board?

The Chairman: It is not necessary. It is
only a matter of precedent.

Mr. Maclay: What is the object of suspending him and referring his case to the Trial Board?

The Chairman: We have always done that in cases of this kind.

Dr. De Mund: The rules provide that no one can be disciplined permanently without a trial. We have power under the rules to suspend. This was a plain infraction of the rule, and the original motion was made to suspend him and refer the matter to the Trial Board. That gives him the right to appear and defend himself. Suspension is not a punishment; it is not like a disqualification. We have done this same thing before, and as Mr. Bloodgood said, if we change now and make fish of one and flesh of another, it will look very strange on our part.

Mr. Mortimer: The Secretary has already communicated twice with this man, or three letters have been written to him that were not registered, and we do not know whether he has received them or not. What is the objection to sending a registered letter to this judge asking him for an explanation. Then you will know that he has received your letter when you see his signature.
Mr. Hooley: What is the idea of sending any letters?

The Secretary: As a matter of courtesy, that is all.

Mr. Hooley: It would not be any more a matter of courtesy if the letter had been registered. There is no reason why the letter should have been sent.

The Secretary: No, not at all.

The Chairman: Instead of wasting any more time in discussing this matter why not refer the matter directly to the trial board.

The question was then put on the amendment and lost.

The original motion that the judge be suspended and that the matter be referred to the Trial Board was carried.

The Secretary: The next matter is that of the Western Kennel Association. We have not been able to obtain the judges’ book, the listing sheets or listing fees of their show held October 2nd to 5th, 1912. Letters have been sent to the president, the Secretary and the Treasurer/ We have replies from the President and the Treasurer, but no reply from the Secretary.
Mr. Chetwood Smith: I move that the matter be referred to the Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion, the meeting went into Committee of the whole.

Mr. Hunnewell resigned the Chair to Mr. Bloodgood.

On motion, the Committee of the whole arose.

Mr. Hunnewell: The Committee of the whole reports that they have accepted the recommendations of the Special Committee.

On motion, the excuse for absence from meetings of this Board from William Rauch, Samuel R. Cutler, W. H. Sawyer, Dwight Moore, Edward Brooks and Dr F. H. Osgood, were accepted.

The Secretary: Now I call your attention to the report of the Investigating Committee which was read to the Executive Committee and by it directed to be read for the information of the delegates and referred to this Board for action with approval.

Mr. Stewart: I move that the report be accepted and the recommendations therein contained adopted.
Mr. Mortimer: I would like to ask the object of licensing judges, superintendents, &c.

Dr. De Mund: The proposal there is a recommendation. To carry that out it will have to be referred to the Rules Committee and printed in the Gazette and brought before the delegates where full discussion can be had and where reasons can be furnished. The adoption of this merely reasons can be furnished. The adoption of this merely adopts the report. It does not carry into effect any of the provisions for licensing judges of anything else. That is all provided for, so I think the proper time to discuss that would be when the matter is properly before us. The idea was not to examine any man as to his qualifications for judging a dog, of his knowledge of any breed of dog; it was merely to issue a license to proper people; not to go into the knowledge of the points of this dog or of that dog, but simply to go into their general fitness to act as judges.

The Chairman: You understand there are some things here that will take the ordinary routine course, and others will go to the Trial Board.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: Does the doing away with unclassified specials become operative?

The Chairman: No. That will have to go before the Rules Committee.
Mr. Smith: the Secretary stated that certain parts of that report became operative at once.

The Secretary: They recommend that certain person be suspended for sixty days.

Dr. De Mund: There is absolutely no part of that report which refers in any way to a change of rule or that can become operative.

Mr. Mortimer: You cannot have any more power than you already got. You have the power of suspension and I do not see the object in licensing them.

Mr. Bloodgood: There seems to be a good many other people. In regard to all these matters you say we did not get any communication from the Westminster Kennel Club, but I am inclined to believe that when the matter is thrashed out the Westminster Club will agree with the rest of us. Nobody has been consulted. We had suggestions sent to us.

The Chairman: As I understand, these are suggestions made by your Committee of suggestions made to you?

Mr. Bloodgood: yes. We were not consulting others. We have had any quantity of suggestions sent to
us and we have gone over them and acted on what we thought were good suggestions. We may be absolutely wrong. The delegates may think we are wrong. If they are wrong they will not be carried out. We have simply done what we though best to do.

Mr. Mortimer: I claim in doing away with unclassified specials you are eliminating one of the most important features of the show, a feature which is more attractive than an other one thing.

Dr. De Mund: You have adopted one recommendation that will have to follow a little different course than the other. The Committee recommended that Mr. Guggenheim be removed as a delegate. To do that the Board of Directors must suspend Mr. Guggenheim and refer the matter to the Committee for trial. In order to comply with out constitution and by-laws I move that such action be taken.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I move that hereafter when notices are sent out to judges calling attention to violations of the rules by them, that such notification be by registered mail.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary was authorized to procure a copy of the Stud Books of the American Field.

Mr. Hooley offered the following resolution:

That the Committee on Constitution and rules be requested to take into consideration the advisability of redistricting the country for rating in winners classes and granting concessions where advisable upon the same principle as has built up shows and kennel interests under the ratings granted the Pacific Coast and far west states.

On motion, the same was referred to the Rules Committee.

Mr. Hooley also offered the following resolution:

That the Stud Book Committee be requested to consider the question of a revision of nomenclature of the breeds now recognized, where there is any difference from that in use by the English Kennel Club, more particularly with regard to the advisability of substituting the term miniature in place of the word toy to define a limit for what shall be placed in that division by weight.

On motion, the same was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.
Notice to Directors

Dear Sirs:--

A special meeting of the Boarding of Directors is hereby called to be held at the American Kennel Club office, on Friday, Jan 17, 1913, at 3pm.

The object of this meeting is to discuss the libel suit just decided in favor of Miss Stewart, and to confer with our attorneys on the subject. A full attendance is earnestly requested.

Respectfully,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Special Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club Held at its offices, No. 1 Liberty Street, NYC, On Friday, Jan. 17, 1913

Vice President, H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.

P R E S E N T

H. K. Bloodgood
M. Mowbray Palmer
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Edward Brooks
George Lauder, Jr.
W. H. Sawyer
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
August Belmont
Singleton Van Schaick
Dr. De Mund
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Alfred B. Maclay
Samuel R. Cutler
James Mortimer

On motion, the Committee went into executive session.

The Secretary: I have a letter here from our attorneys dated Jan 9, 1913 as follows:

Guggenheim, Untermyer & Marshall
No. 37 Wall Street, New York

Jan 9, 1913

Stewart vs. American Kennel Club

Dear Sirs:--

This case was tried on the 6th, 7th and 8th inst., and this morning the jury rendered a verdict in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of $10,000, and the trial Justice denied our motion for a new trial. A stay of executive of thirty days after entry of judgment was granted.
The Court took from the jury the consideration of all questions in the case, except the amount of damages, and instructed the jury that they must render a verdict for the plaintiff in some amount. One of two of the jurors have told us since the conclusion of the trial that several of their numbers were favorable to a verdict for the defendant, but consideration of that subject was precluded by the instructions of the Court.

We believe that the Court seriously erred, not only in these instructions, but also in various rulings during the trial, and that the errors necessitate a new trial, and advise that an appeal be promptly taken to the Appellate Division. That Court will consider the entire case upon the law and facts, and deal not only with the errors above mentioned, but also with the amount of the verdict, which is undoubtedly grossly excessive.

In order to stay execution pending trial, it will be necessary for the Kennel Club to give an undertaking in twice the amount of the judgment. The judgment has not yet been entered, but will include costs of less than $200 in addition to the verdict. The amount of the undertaking, therefore, must be about $21,000. This, of course, may be given by two individuals or by a surety company.
We understand from A. P. Vredenburgh that a special meeting of your Board will be held to consider the subject, at which Mr. Benedict will be present.

Yours very truly,
Guggenheim, Untermeyer & Marshall
By A. Benedict

American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street
NYC
AB/WS

Later I received a communication from the same firm of attorneys dated, Jan 11th, 1913, as follows:

Guggenheim, Untermeyer & Marshall
No. 37 Wall Street, NY

Jan 11, 1913

Stewart vs. American Kennel Club

Dear A. P. Vredenburgh:
I have your letter of to-day, enclosing a copy of an order for the examination of the American Kennel Club on the 16th inst. At 10:30 am, in proceedings supplementary to execution upon a judgment against Miss Stewart.
I will talk with the attorneys for the judgment creditor in the meantime, and if they insist upon an examination I will go with you to the court.

This is of course, an effort upon the part of one of Miss Stewart's creditors to get the benefit of the judgment she has recovered against the Kennel Club. If she has other judgment creditors, no doubt they will take a similar course. It does not in any wise affect the interests of the Kennel Club, and I fancy it will be some time before Miss Stewart's creditors profit much by her recovery against the Club.

Yours very truly,

A. Benedict

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary, American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street
NYC
AB/WS

Mr. Benedict will be here and he will go into the entire case with you, and it will then be necessary for you to determine whether you will carry this case up to the Applegate Division, and if so, how the bond for $21,00 is to be given.
Mr. Willets: In order to expedite the matter, I move in case a bond is given in this matter that the American Surety Company be asked to give the bond. I think I can arrange it on very reasonable terms with them. If any of the other gentlemen are interested in any surety company – I am not interested financially in this company at all, have absolutely no interest in it, but it has gone on my bonds for very large amounts and also on my father’s bond, and we have very friendly relations with them, and I think that they would undertake the matter and we probably would not have to put up any collateral or any security. Unless some other gentleman desires some other surety company to provide this bond I move that the America Surety Company be approached on the subject.

Motion seconded and carried.

At this point, Mr. Benedict arrived.

The Chairman: I take pleasure in introducing to you Mr. Benedict, our legal representative and advisor. We would like to hear what you would advise us to do.

Mr. Benedict: Oh, appeal, by all means. While it is not the business of lawyers to give absolute assur-
ance – and of course no lawyer can give an absolute assurance with regard to the outcome of a litigation – in my opinion the reversal of this judgment is about as certain as that result could be in any case that I have ever had. It is not very unusual in all courts to get an adverse and entirely erroneous result. I do not know how much you have been told by A. P. Vredenburgh and Dr. De Mund, who were present as to the course of this trial. It was tried before Judge Hasbrouck, of Kingston, a newly elected Supreme Court Judge, and this was the first case that he tried. I think that Judge Hasbrouck had sat in the Supreme Court a few months previously by appointment some years ago, but this was his first case here. He remarked to me afterwards that it was a pretty difficult case to put up to a new judge. He expressed himself with emphasis and profanity on that point. It was a very difficult case involving a great many interesting questions of law. I suppose it is hardly useful to advert to them now. I spent a great deal of time in the preparation of the case, both on the facts and on the law. Dr. De Mund and A. P. Vredenburgh can tell you that the trial proceeded in a way not calculated to arouse the prejudice or animosity of the jury against the defendant unnecessarily, and it was a case in which it was necessary to prepare oneself
pretty carefully in order not to have that affect.

At this point Mr. August Belmont arrived and took the Chair.

Mr. Benedict (continuing) The plaintiff in this action sued on two counts, as we say; two causes of action in her complaint. She demanded $80,000 damages. At the close of all the evidence I moved to compel her to elect on which count she would proceed, because the first count which related to the publication of Dr. De Mund’s remarks at the meeting of Nov. 30\textsuperscript{th}, 1908, was repeated in the second count with additional matter. In other words, the second count was the same as the first court with additional matter. The Court did not have occasion to rule on my motion because the plaintiff voluntarily elected to proceed on the second count, and the additional matter in the second count was the publication of the fact that the plaintiff had been suspended and disqualified, which she alleged was a reflection upon her and equivalent to charging her with fraud and trickery. I asked the Court to instruct the jury that the additional matter in the second count outside of the statement made at the meeting of Nov 30\textsuperscript{th} to the effect that she had been charged with taking money belonging to the Toy Spaniel
Club was not actionable; she could not recover anything for that, and the Court refused so to charge, and in my opinion my exception to that ruling is a perfectly good one, because it was decided a good many years ago in the Gallup Case, with which Mr. Belmont is familiar, that it published a list of members of a subsidiary organization who had been disqualified is not libelous. I also brought that Gallup Case to the attention of the Court. In fact at the beginning of the trial I handed to the Court a very elaborate trial brief discussing all the questions in the case. I called his attention again on the argument of this matter to the Gallup Case. He said he had looked at it but he did not agree with my view of it. So he let that case go to the jury. In my opinion the case is full of holes. I think there is not the slightest doubt that the verdict of $10,00 is grossly excessive, and if the Appletate Division did nothing else I think they would very substantially reduce it; but I do not believe that the Appletate Division, the higher court, can escape the entire reversal of the case for a dozen reasons depending upon various questions of law, which I said it would hardly be useful to discuss here. In the first place the verdict is accounted for in this way: The Judge instructed the
jury that they must find a verdict for the plaintiff; that the only question for them to consider was the amount that the plaintiff should receive, and they she could get anything up to $30,000 which was the amount demanded in her second count on which she elected to proceed. Some of the jurors told me afterwards that some of them wanted to give her $30,000. Organizations of this kind are not favorites with juries, as you may imagine. On the other hand, some of the jurors told me that three or four of their number were in favor of a verdict for the defendant, but of course the question of rendering such a verdict was not discussed in the jury room because the Court had excluded it.

Mr. Willets: May I ask on what ground the Judge charged that they must bring in a verdict for Miss Stewart?

Mr. Benedict: Yes. First there is always this question in a libel suit. The complaint sets forth the matter complained of as libelous. It is a question of law preliminarily for the Court whether that is a libel. A court may take one of three views. It will say this publication is not libelous; that is to say, it is not fairly susceptible of a meaning which renders it defamatory in that case. In that case the case is thrown out of Court;
or it may be susceptible in the opinion of the Court only of a defamatory meaning and in that case the Court says it is libelous, and the only question is one of damages and that is for them to determine. The third of them, and the correct one, in my opinion, is that these words are susceptible either of a defamatory or of an innocent meaning, and I asked him to submit that question to the jury, and if the jury had concluded that they were reasonably susceptible only of an innocent meaning they must take into account all the surrounding circumstances, and their verdict would be for the defendant. The Court took that first question away from the jury, and if I am right it means necessarily the reversal of the entire case. Then there were a good many points which cannot be intelligently discussed except with lawyers. For instance, a very gross error in my opinion—and it seems to me no argument can be made on the other side—was this: Miss Stewart was permitted to testify that after its publication various of her friends who has been pleasant to her socially, who had been useful to her professionally, and who had furnished information of the kind she was publishing, turned against her and refused to have anything to do with her. She was permitted to testify what those friends had said to her, and she mentioned the
names of two or three women and I think one man who had said: I don’t want anything more to do with you Miss Stewart; I have seen this article published in the American Kennel Gazette and I know that Mr. Belmont and gentlemen of that sort would not allow such a thing to be published if it were not true. That is the sheerest hearsay evidence. It is excluded by every Judge and I was utterly astonished when Judge Hasbrouck let it in, but in view of that I am very glad he did. There were various other things, more technical perhaps, in the way of errors at the trial. The judge refused to charge nearly all of my requests, and some of them were perfectly well founded in my opinion. We had the fundamental question whether this Kennel Club is liable for a defamatory publication made by the old organization under the statute of 1908 which provides that this club shall assume all the debts and liabilities. There is not the remotest doubt that the legislature intended that they should take over every liability of every kid whether it was embraced within the technical meaning of the word liability or the word itself because, as may be very justly said, you are really the same men, simply changing your organization or perfecting it; but that is not the legal view. The intention of the legislature must be gathered from the language it used. It used two words,
debts and liabilities, and those words have been construed pretty frequently by the courts, and it is open to question at least, and I cited a case from the Supreme Court in Louisiana which was the only one in the books directly in point, to the effect that the assumption of debts and liabilities, even of a newspaper—in that case it was somebody who had bought the newspaper and assumed all the debts and liability, and the Supreme Court held that neither term embraced the liability assumed by the former owner. I would not like to say that the court as it was at the trial. There were other things appearing on the trial which were erroneous, and in my opinion the case is as full of holes as a skimmer, and as we had to be beaten under the ruling of the Court I am rather glad the verdict was so large because it will induce the Appellate Division to take cognizance of errors which it might otherwise refuse to reverse upon. The court rules that there was no privilege and so instructed the jury.

Dr. De Mund: I should like to ask Mr. Benedict it he would state whether he raised the point of the incorporation of the club after two years—
Mr. Benedict: that is a very technical point. I don’t think we overlooked any points. I raised the point that the old organization which published this article was not a corporation because it had not organized within two years after the filing of its certificate and of course the Court paid no attention to it. It is a very technical argument and I should not like to say that there is anything in it, but as I say, we did not overlook any points.

Mr. Cutler: What is your opinion about that question of privilege?

Mr. Benedict: I doubt very much that there is any privilege, to be frank, but it was argued at great length and with reference to all the authorities on the subject.

Mr. Cutler: We have a case in Massachusetts where the question came up in connection with the education of a young man who attended a meeting of the Christian Endeavor Society, where certain remarks were made claimed to be defamatory, and they were held to be privileged.

Mr. Benedict: The remarks of the young man in that case as I recollect were the remarks of a member of the association made to his fellow members. The only
way were could drag Miss Stewart within the purview of the doctrine of privilege was the fact really that while she was a non-member of this association she had appealed to it to take action favorable to her. The Court did not take that view of it. There is an interesting case, by the way, and more like this case than any other in the books, in an old report of the London Times, the law reports of the London Times of England, the case of Hope vs. De Anson, where the racing calendar contained an account of the action of some local stewards on a charge of assault made against the plaintiff, and in that case it was held not to be privileged, but it was put largely on the ground that the local stewards had not jurisdiction and that the plaintiff had constantly objected to their having jurisdiction. I sought to distinguish the present case on the ground that Miss Stewart did not object to the jurisdiction of the American Kennel Club, but actually appealed to it. She clearly appealed to you. There is no dispute about that; that is to say, appealed to you to remove your own automatic suspension. She was appealing to you to undo the action of the Toy Spaniel Club which you had no power to do.
Mr. Cutler: The question was before us whether we could remove that. The Christian Endeavor case was somewhat similar. To be sure he was a member, but he had brought the question up, and that does make a difference. We had another case where the application made by a constable was the subject of discussion and they called the applicant a good many unpleasant names, and he brought suit.

Mr. Benedict: Yes, the books are full of them, but there are very few cases applicable to these circumstances. There is not the slightest doubt that you should and of course you must appeal. There is nothing else to do, and I am very confident that this judgment will be reversed. I don’t see how it can possibly stand. I wrote in a letter to A. P. Vredenburgh of the necessity of giving a bond to stay execution, and I stated that it occurred to me afterwards that possibly my language was subject to misconception, that you would have to give a bond for twice that amount of the judgment. What I meant was that the form of undertaking is to be twice the amount of the judgment with costs. No amount is specified for it, but the sureties, if individual sureties, must justify in twice the amount of the judgment; that is to say, any two
men who sign the undertaking here would have to swear that they were worth twice the amount of the judgment. They may be real estate owners or merely householders within the City of New York, one way or the other.

   Mr. Belmont: Isn't there a company that can do that?
   Mr. Benedict: Yes, but you will have to pay a premium of $115 or $120.
   Mr. Willets: we passed a resolution to have the American Surety Company go on the bond.

   Mr. Benedict: That is a simple thing to so if you want to spend money for a premium. You may do it either way.
   Mr. Willets: The filing of the notice of appeal and filing of the bond stays execution?
   Mr. Benedict: Yes, absolutely stays it until the appeal is decided.
   The Secretary: Will you tell about how long it will take in your opinion for this appeal to be held and judgment rendered?
   Mr. Benedict: The Court gave us sixty days to make a case, as we call it, a record on appeal. The stenographer is working on the minutes and will have them
ready within the next week or ten days. They will have to be reduced to narrative form, which involves considerable work, and have to be printed, and then the preparation and printing of the brief. If the matter were hurried I should say we could argue it about May. The plaintiff probably will not consent to very much delay unnecessarily. I have some doubt that we could throw it over the summer vacation so as to postpone it until October. I am inclined to think we should have to argue it about June, but I don’t see the advantage of delay. We might just as well go ahead and find out where we stand, but even with the utmost expedition it should hardly be argued before May I should say. I don’t know whether A. P. Vredenburgh mentioned it, but a day or two after the judgment was entered, or the verdict was given, a judgment creditor of Miss Stewart’s to the amount of the some $350 turned up with an order of A. P. Vredenburgh examination, which of course is merely formal, to show that she had got the judgment, and the creditor intends to apply for the appointment of a receiver to take that judgment. I presume that Miss Stewart will manage to raise the money and pay off the claim because she would not want a receiver to collect that judgment.
Mr. Belmont: What about those statements? They only go up challenged as to their relevancy, but not as to their accuracy.

Mr. Benedict: What do you refer to?

Mr. Belmont: Those statements that she made with regard to her ostracism, and all that sort of thing, they go unchallenged as to fact.

Mr. Benedict: We had no witness to contradict her on that subject. Our point is not that they were not true, but that they were not competent evidence. So far as the fact is concerned it is unchallenged.

Mr. Belmont: I don’t think they are true.

Mr. Benedict: That may be but we had no time for investigation then, to question these women, and that does not affect the legal points at all. The question is whether the Judge made a mistake in allowing such testimony to go in, and the very fact that it is not contradicted demonstrates to the Appellate Division that it must largely have influenced this extraordinarily verdict.

Mr. Willets: If the Appellate Division decides in favor of Miss Stewart is there any other court you can take it to?
Mr. Benedict: Yes, you can go to the Court of Appeals. If the Appellate Division should decide some of the points in out favor it would have power to order judgment absolutely against her and prevent a new trial, but that would be only upon fundamental points. For instance, if they hold that this corporation did not assume the responsibility for this libel, or that the words themselves were not libelous, something of that sort – if it held merely that the Judge erred in his charge of admission of evidence, matters of that kind it would simply be sent back for a new trial.

Mr. Belmont: I presume the action to be taken here would be the authorization to take the case up on appeal. It is for you gentlemen to determine.

Mr. Willets: I move that the Delegates be instructed to appeal this case and carry it up to the Appellate Division, and do all they can to get a verdict in our favor.

Mr. Benedict: It is merely an authority to appeal to the Appellate Division.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Do you want a formal letter to that effect?
Mr. Benedict: you may as well send me a letter.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.
Vice-President  H. H. Hunnewell, in the chair

-- P r e s e n t --

Hollis H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Dr. De Mund
Howard Willlets
George Greer
Ralph C. Stewart

The Secretary read the correspondence had between himself on behalf of the American Kennel Club and Mr. M. Robert Guggenheim.

The Secretary: Under the constitution he was suspended at that meeting, and he has thirty days within which to appear before the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee will then take such action as it deems proper and refer such action to the next meeting of the American Kennel Club for final action. I have his acknowledgement that he would come here, and here is the entry form, for altering which he was suspended. It is acknowledged that these words “for exhibition only” were placed upon the
blank the day after the show closed. He was a judge at that show. He was told that he had gotten himself into trouble, but instead of acknowledging that it was a mistake on the part of his handler and allowing the club to assess a fine of $25, subject to remission subsequently by the action of this club, he directed his handler to take this blank home with him and use the same ink that was used in writing the blank in inserting the words “for exhibition only”, which the testimony before the investigating Committee showed was done the day after the show closed.

The chairman: This dog was not shown?

Dr. De Mund: The evidence shows that Delmont entered the dog at the show, not thinking at the time that Mr. Guggenheim would be a judge there. When Delmont prepared the entry, Mr. Bernheimer came up to him and told him that he had gotten himself into a bad muss by entering this dog, and they had to get out of it some way. That is as far as Mr. Bernheimer was concerned. Then Guggenheim was told of the fact that the dog was there, and he went to the handler, Mr. Delmont – this is the testimony – and Mr. Delmont told him to add to the entry blank the words “for exhibition only.” That also one of the superintendents of the show asked him to have it added to the entry blank. That is the testimony of Mr. Foley. He went to the sev-
eral times. That is about all of the evidence in the case, except Mr. Gugenehiem testified before our Committee that he knew nothing about this change. I will ask A. P. Vredenburgh to state what happened over the telephone.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The only thing that made me suspicious was that Mr. Guggenheim called me up on the phone in relation to a different subject, and when he got through I said: “You have gotten yourself in trouble.” He asked How? I said “In showing that dog at the same show that you were a judge at.” He said “You had better get the blank and look at it and see if you do not find the corner “For exhibition only.” That made me suspicious. So I sent for the blank, and when these people were brought before the Committee to give testimony, they testified that it was done the day after the show closed by Mr. Delmont at his home at the request of Mr. Guggenheim, with the connivance of the superintendent of the show, Foley, who got out the blank very kindly and gave it to me.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think the evidence of Delmont and one or two of the witnesses here, as I recollect it, was to the effect that Guggenheim knew about it, and Mr. Foley’s evidence was that he came to him half a dozen times and asked him if it had been changed yet, and to be sure to have it done. You have the evidence of three of the Committee that were here.
Mr. Greer: They made it a point not only to change the blank, but to be careful to use the same ink with which the original entry was written.

The Secretary: That evidence is in the possession of the investigating Committee.

At this stage Mr. M. Robert Guggenheim appeared and stated as follows:

The Chairman: You are aware of the charges here, are you not?

A. P. Vredenburgh: here is a copy of the letter he received, the receipt of which he has acknowledged.

The Chairman: You received that letter, didn’t you? Would you like to read it again?

Mr. Guggenheim: Yes, I would like to read it

(Reads letter).

The Chairman: Is there anything you would like to say in regard to the matter? You understand what the charges are?

Mr. Guggenheim: I think I do, yes. Is there anything you want to ask me about it? I did not know that I had been brought up on charges until I got this letter – that I had been suspended.

The Chairman: That letter states it. The charge is that a change was written in after the show was over, this “for exhibition only”, in the corner, and that you
Mr. Guggenheim: As I say, the first I knew about it was when I got that letter.

The Chairman: How about this?

Mr. Guggenheim: I have never seen that entry blank. May I see it?

The Chairman: Yes (Showing the entry blank).

The Chairman: When do you say that was written in there?

Mr. Guggenheim: I am prepared to tell you what I know about it. I went down to the Atlantic City show. I was judging bulldogs down there. After I got in the show several people came up to me and said, “You know there is hell to pay,” if you will excuse the expression – “There is hell to pay about your entry.” I said “What do you mean?” They said “You General Kompolite is entered here and you are judging.” I said “What of it?” They said “Don’t you know that there is a rule which has recently been passed prohibiting any one from judging and showing at the same show?” I said “In the first place I didn’t know the dog was entered,” which is the fact. Mr. Delmont had the dog for some time, and he had been showing him with a string of other dogs. He entered this dog among other dogs and showed him. I did not know the dog was entered at all. I went up to Mr. Delmont and
asked him if the dog has been entered for that particular show. He said yes; he had not
noticed in looking over the entries that I was done to judge, but the dog was not at the
show, and as soon as he got there that morning and he had been told that I was judging,
he had shipped the dog away. The dog was not at the show at all. he was over at the
hotel. I did not think any more about it at all. that was as far as I was concerned – the
matter was at an end, until the later in the day I was told that there was a fine of $25 for
showing a dog at the same show you were judging at, and I made inquiries, and they
told me I had nothing to do with the fine, that the club was liable to pay the fine for
having accepted an entry of a judge. So far as any fine could be imposed upon myself,
that was not taken into consideration by me at all. I told Delmont afterwards--- I said to
him “What about this thing?” He told me just what had happened, what I had repeated
here, that the dog was entered at the show I was judging at, but that the dog was not
entered under any specific instructions from me. I said “Can't we do anything to remedy
this?” I don't know whether they were the exact words, but that was the extent of the
conversation. “I don't know what we can do,” he said; “I have been told to put on the
entry blank ‘for exhibition only.’” He said “I think that will obviate the
whole thing.” That is about what tool placed, but as far as giving any specific instructions for Mr. Delmont to put that on the entry blank –

The Chairman: you knew he was going to put them on the blank?
Mr. Guggenheim: Candidly, yes, I did. I want to be perfectly frank about the thing. It was not a question of trying to get out of a fine on myself because I understood there was no fine to me.

The Chairman: Who does the fine go to, A. P. Vredenburgh?

The Secretary: That would have gone to the club for accepting an entry from a judge.

Mr. Guggenheim: I mean to say I had nothing absolutely to gain assuming I had asked Mr. Delmont, which I do not assume – that I had asked Mr. Delmont to change this entry blank. I had nothing to gain by it in any way.

The Chairman: You say the dog was not there?

Mr. Guggenheim: I did not see that dog. Mr. Delmont told me that the dog was not there.

Dr. De Mund: Ask Mr. Guggenheim if several people did not come to him and tell him that the dog was in trouble?

Mr. Guggenheim: I don’t remember that. Several
people made a remark of that kind, or words to that effect.

The Chairman: Don't you remember your testimony before the investigation Committee?

Mr. Guggenheim: I do not now know what I said them. I assume I said exactly what I have said now.

The Chairman: Did you have any conversation with Mr. Foley in regard to changing the entry blank?

Mr. Guggenheim: I did. I had one conversation with Mr. Foley in which I said to him regarding this dog, I understood he had been entered, and while it was nothing to me one way or the other, that I had nothing to do with it, at the same time he had better see if he could not arrange something about it so that the club would not be fined $25, and the thing was done instantly.

The Chairman: Did you or did you not know that that was to be placed on the blank?

Mr. Guggenheim: Yes, I knew that that blank was going to be changed.

The Chairman: Didn't you know it was against the rules to do that?

Mr. Guggenheim: I did not give any instructions to do it. I did not change it myself.

The Chairman: You are responsible for what your agent does, you know.

Mr. Guggenheim: Yes, under those circumstances, but,
as I say, I had absolutely nothing to gain by the changing of it in any way.

The Chairman: That is perfectly evident, but the question is, why did you allow a thing like that to be done?

Mr. Guggenheim: Why did I allow it? The only excuse that I have for it at all, as I say – I don’t really know. I did not give it much thought one way or the other. I will say this, that if I thought there was going to be a question of a fine to myself, or a benefit to me in any way, I certainly would not, if I had known about it, had the thing done.

Mr. Willets: Mr. Foley, the superintendent, called your attention to the fact that you would have to get that out of his files, removed, and he ought not to do it. Did he say anything of that kind at all, or anything about that?

Mr. Guggenheim: No, I don’t recollect anything of that kind.

The Chairman: Nobody called your attention to the fact that that could not be done?

Mr. Guggenheim: What do you mean, that it could not be done?

The Chairman: it cannot be done.

Mr. Guggenheim: You mean it is against the rule
to do it?

The Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Guggenheim: No, I am pretty sure there was nothing discussed of that kind.

Mr. Bloodgood: How long has that rule been in force A. P. Vredenburgh?

The Secretary: It went into effect Jan 1, 1912, I think.

The Chairman: The question is that anybody that shows a dog knows that the entry blank cannot be changed after it is once put out?

Mr. Willets: It is generally printed on all blanks.

Mr. Bloodgood: You must have been cognizant of that.

Mr. Guggenheim: Yes, I was fully aware that no entry blank should have been changed.

The Chairman: That is what we do not understand, that knowing that you had it done.

Mr. Guggenheim: I did not have it done. I knew it was going to be done.

The Chairman: You knew it was going to be done?

Mr. Guggenheim: I meant to say I did not do it.

The Chairman: You were cognizant of it?

Mr. Guggenheim: Yes, I was, frankly.

The Chairman: That is what we do not understand,
why you should allow a think like that to be done.

Mr. Guggenheim: If I had stopped to give it any thought at all I would have argued that it was not a question of doing any one any harm. It was a think that had been done – the entry had been made innocently.

Mr. Willets: And the easiest thing to do was to say this was a mistake and take the dog away.

The Chairman: The dog was not at the show at all.

Dr. De Mund: He was never at the show.

Mr. Guggenheim: That is what I understood.

Dr. De Mund: There is no question about that. He was removed early that morning, and then all this trouble came up about the entry blank.

The Chairman: You were cognizant then that it was going to be done and you did not object to it.

Mr. Guggenheim: Yes, I think that is a fair statement, that I knew it was going to be done and didn’t object to it. I did not give the matter a great deal of thought one way or the other, and secondly, I figured that it was not really doing any one any harm, and I therefore --- I mean to say I would like to make this statement, supposing the dog has been entered under a wrong name, I don’t think – in fact I am quite sure I would not have permitted the changing of the entry blank for one moment.

Mr. Willets: It seems to me if that dog was entered
for exhibition only, there was no use taking him out of the show.

Mr. Guggenheim: The dog had already been removed.

The Chairman: That was added afterwards.

Mr. Guggenheim: there is no question that that was added. I know that that was added. I admit that.

Mr. Willets: The intent of your agent was to show the dog.

Mr. Guggenheim: When he made the entry, yes.

The Chairman: That was done entirely through ignorance.

Mr. Guggenheim: Yes, I think you gentlemen will admit there has not been any tendency to do anything – there had been something done technically that was not right, but there was not any tendency I don’t think on anybody’s part connected with this particular incident to try to show a dog and then not show him, or do anything that one would look at as wrong from a showing standpoint.

Mr. Bloodgood: The wrong part of it is the alteration of the blank.

Mr. Guggenheim: Technically, yes.

Mr. Bloodgood: Well, it is not only technically so, but it is so. It was an evasion by doing such a thing, and the fact that a good many people say they are cognizant of that situation, it looks exactly as if it was – three
or four people willing to make the alternations there.

Mr. Guggenheim: I never ordered the alteration made. I knew it was going to be made, and the dog was my dog and I am responsible.

Mr. Bloodgood: That is the mistake. There was no necessity to have done such a thing any way.

Mr. Guggenheim: The only reason I permitted letting it be done was a to save the club.

Mr. Bloodgood: Why should you save the club? If it was the fault of your handler, if you wanted to save the club instead of saving the handler, why not put your hand in your pocket and pay the $25? You should certainly know that you had no right to alter the blank. You are a director in this club, and you ought to know the rules of the club.

Mr. Guggenheim: I am not a director but I am a delegate.

Mr. Bloodgood: You ought to know the rules of the club.

Mr. Guggenheim: I admit that I knew that to be a rule of the club. I did know it was a rule, although I do not say that it is anything special, that a man is not allowed to judge at a show, but I had nothing to so with that. I am very sorry the thing happened, and I want to repeat the remark that I am quite sure I would not have
made a change if I was in any way to gain it.

(At this point Mr. Guggenheim left the room.)

Dr. De Mund: I move that Mr. Guggenheim be requested by this Committee to resign as a delegate, and that if he fails to resign that we recommend his removal as delegate.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Mr. T. B. Middlebrooke has appealed from the decision of the Trial Board, which disqualified him on charges. He has paid his $25. At his request I accepted that deposit. That is a request instead of an appeal, that is, a review by the Executive Board will send the case back to the Trial Board with orders to reopen is so he can appear and furnish additional evidence.

Dr. De Mund: I move that the case be referred back to the Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

The meeting then adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary
Meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, Held at its Offices, No. 1
Liberty Street, NYC, Tuesday, Feb. 18, 1913

Vice President, H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.
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H. E. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
M. Mowbray Palmer
William Rauch
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
Howard Willetts
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
Dr. De Mund
John G. Bates
C. W. Keyes
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
On motion, the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Dr. De Mund: I would like to present the name of Mr. August Belmont as President for the ensuing year.

    Nominations seconded.
    On motion, nomination was closed.
    Carried.

Mr. Moore: I nominate Mr. H. H. Hunnewell as First Vice President.

    Nomination seconded.
    On motion, nomination was closed.
    Carried.

Mr. Bates: I nominate Mr. H. K. Bloodgood as second Vice President.

    Nomination seconded.
    On motion, nominations was closed.
    Carried.
Mr. B. S. Smith: I nominate as Chairman of the Board of Directors, Dr. De Mund.
Nominate seconded.
On motion, nominations was closed.
Carried.

Mr. Wilmerding: I nominate A. P. Vredenburgh as Secretary and Treasury.
Nominate seconded.
On motion, nomination was closed.
Carried.

STANDING COMMITTEE
Mr. Moore: I nominate Messrs, William G. Rockefeller, H. K. Bloodgood and Howard Willets, as members of the Finance Committee.
Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.
Carried.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I nominate Messrs. James W. Appleton, Singleton Van Schaick and W. L. Barclay, as members of the Stud Book Committee.
Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.
Carried.
Mr. Chetwood Smith: I nominate Messrs. Dr. De Mund, B. S. Smith, H. H. Hunnewell, George Greer and W. H. Sawyer, as members of the Rules Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion, nominations were closed.

Carried.

Mr. Stewart: I nominate Messrs. Chetwood Smith, M. M. Palmer, A. B. Maclay, A. G. Hooley and C. W. Keyes, as members of the Field Trial Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion, nominations were closed.

Carried.

Mr. Palmer: I nominate Messrs. Howard Willets, Dr. De Mund, B. S. Smith, H. K. Bloodgood and Raymond Belmont, as members of the Membership Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion, nominations were closed.

Carried.

Mr. B. S. Smith: I nominate Messrs. Dwight Moore, A. Clinton Wilmerding, M. M. Palmer, Rowland P. Keasbey and J. F. Collins, as members of the Publication Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion, nominations were closed.

Carried.
Mr. Greer: I nominate Messrs. A. G. Hooley, W. S. Gurnee, Jr., and R. C. Stewart, as members of the License Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations closed.
Carried.

Mr. Willets: I nominate Messrs. Ralph C. Stewart, Alvin Untermeyer and Samuel R. Cutler, as members of the Law Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.
Carried.

TRIAL BOARDS

Mr. Moore: I nominate Messrs. B. S. Smith, C. R. Wood and W. S. Gurnee, Jr., as members of the New York Trial Board.

Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.
Carried.

Dr. De Mund: I nominate Messrs. W. L. Barclay, J. Sergeant Price, Jr., and Russell H. Johnson, Jr., as members of the Philadelphia Trial Board.

Nominations seconded.
On motion, nominations were closed.
Carried.
Mr. B. S. Smith: I nominate Messrs. F. H. Osgood, John Burke and E. W. Dwight, as members of the Boston Trial Board.

Nominations seconded.

On motion, nominations were closed.

Carried.

The Secretary then read his report to the directors as follows:

New York, Feb 17, 1913

To the Board of Directors
Of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:--

I be to report the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee at its meeting held Dec 14, 1912, and if agreeable, will have same spread upon our minutes as is usual.

The North Dakota Kennel Association held a show at Fargo, N. Dak., and violated Rule XIV, Governing Clubs, from which I quote: “The catalogue must also contain a list of all officers under whose management the show is held.” The catalogue of this show did not contain the list of officers, nor did it publish the name of the judge or judges. The excuse for this violation from the Secretary of the North Dakota Kennel Club is as follows: “The name of the judge, George W. Clayton and list of officers
in the catalogue were to be identically the same as in the Premium List, but unfortunately
were left out due to the printer’s mistake, and they had a correct copy and full
instructions regarding the printing of same.” This matter is now presented to you for
such action as you may deem proper.

At the initial show of the American Foxhound Club held at Baltimore on the 15th
inst., General Roger D. Williams was advertised as the judge. General Williams arrived
at the show early in the morning and was taken ill so that he found it impossible to
officiate. The President of the club called me up by long distance phone, stating that
owing to the illness of the judge there was but one other person at the show who was
considered capable of officiating as judge, namely, Dr. E. Lester Jones. This gentlemen,
was however, the Show Secretary and the rules positively prohibit an official acting in
that capacity to officiate as judge. I, of course, could not afford any relief. Dr. Jones did
act as judge and owing to the circumstances of the case, the club now asks for such
relief as you are willing to afford.

I have a communication from Mr. Charles D. Bernehimer, taking exception to a
portion of the report of the late Investigating Committee and asks that an opportunity be
afforded him to appear before the proper Committee, for the purpose of further investigation of the matter.

I have a communication from the Boston Terrier Club, asking that the disqualification of D. C. A. White be referred to the Trial Board to consider his reinstatement to good standing.

A communication from Mr. F. T. Carlton to President Belmont was referred to our Legal Committee and the opinion of said Committee has been filed, which if you desire, will be submitted to you.

I have a bill from the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from Dec 23rd to Feb 11th, amounting to $26.50. As this bill has not been audited, I recommend that upon the receipt of a properly audited bill for this amount, I be authorized to pay same.

The following directors have filed excuses for absence from this meeting, W. H. Sawyer and F. H. Osgood.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:
New York, Feb 17, 1913

To the Board of Directors
Of the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:--

I beg to submit the quarterly Financial Report from Jan 1^{st}, 1913 to date.

Balance on hand, ------------------------------- $24,462.14
Receipts to date ---------------------------------- 4,339.40
Total 28,801.54
Disbursements ----------------------------------- 5,564.82
Balance on hand ---- 23,236.72

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

The Secretary: The disbursements in this report cover the entire legal expenses, that is, the counsel fees, the disbursements of counsel, the expenses of the transcript of the stenographer’s minutes of the Stewart Trial, and the premium on $21,000 indemnity bond.

On motion, the treasurer’s report was accepted and placed on file.

The License Committee report was read as follows:
New York, Feb 17, 1913

To the Board of Directors
Of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:--

The License Committee beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting it has granted licenses to the following clubs: Airedale Terrier Club of Long Island, Lawrence Kennel Club, Central Ohio Kennel Club, St. Paul Boston Terrier Club, for a general show, Norfolk-Portsmouth Kennel Club.

There is a license pending applied for by the Delaware State Fair for a show to be held at Wilmington, Sept 10 and 11, 1913. The Wilmington Kennel Club has held three shows under license. It is alleged, however, that the said shows were financed by the Delaware State Fair.

It will therefore be necessary to hear both sides before your Committee can decide to whom a license should be granted for a 1913 show.

Respectfully submitted,
George Greer.

On motion, the same was accepted.
(At the stage, Mr. August Belmont arrived and took the Chair.)
The report of the Publication Committee was read as follows:

Feb 17, 1913

American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street
NYC

Report of Publication Committee

Mr. Chairman:

The change of method in publishing the Stud Book for 1912, now in printer’s hands, has entailed considerable work and many meetings have been held by the Committee.

We believe the experiment of dividing the Stud Book into sections will lead to better results in future editions and great increase of circulation among breeders owning to its reduced cost per section.

Yours very truly,

Dwight Moore,
Chairman, Pub Committee

On motion, the same was accepted.

The Secretary submitted the minutes of the meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee held December 14, 1912.

On motion of Mr. Moore, said minutes were ordered spread upon the minutes of this meeting and published in the Gazette. They are as follows:
Present:  W. W. Stettheimer, J. E. DeRuyter, Hon. Carrol Cook, Nat. T. Messer
Absent: N. J. Stewart

W. W. Stettheimer, in the Chair.

In the absence of Mr. J. P. Norman, the Chair appointed Mr. DeRuyter to act as Secretary.

The Chair stated that the meeting was called to investigate certain statements alleged to have been made by Mrs. C. Hall. Biggs, and published in a local newspaper as an interview with that lady, which statements appeared to reflect on the honesty and integrity of the management of the show lately held by the Pacific Coast Toy Dog Association. As the statements in question affected most particularly the Secretary of the Association, Mrs. C. J. Lindgren, and the judge of the show, Mr. John Bradshw, all the parties at interest had been summoned and were present.

Mrs. Biggs was utterly unable to substantiate the charges contained in the newspaper articles, which, she stated, did not conform to her statements made to the newspaper reporter.
Several witnesses called by Mrs. Biggs failed to assist her in giving any testimony confirming the charges contained in the articles.

Mrs. Biggs was called upon to sign a retraction, prepared by the Committee, stating that she had not accused the judge of dishonestly, and denying that she had made the statement that a frame up existed.

The statement was duly signed in the presence of the Committee, Mrs. Lindgren and Mr. Bradshaw, as follows:

“Being questioned by the Committee in the presence of her husband, Mrs. Biggs stated that her expression quoted in the press wherein she stated that the judging at the Pacific Toy Dog Association Show was rank, did not reflect on the honesty of the judge. Her meaning was that the judging did not agree with her views how the awards should have been placed. She furthermore, denied having made the statement as contained in the press that a “frame up” existed between the management and the judge.

Signed by Mrs. C. Hal. Biggs, Dec 14, 1912”

On motion, it was resolved that a properly certified copy of Mrs. Biggs statement be furnished both to Mrs. Lindgren and Mr. Branshaw.

On motion, it was resolved that the statements con-
tained in the interview alleged to have been made by Mrs. Biggs were untrue and without any foundation.

ATTEST:  J. P. Norman
Secretary

On motion, the communication from Mr. Charles D. Bernheimer, taking exception to a portion of the report of the late Investigating Committee and asking an opportunity to appear before the proper Committee for the purpose of further investigation of the matter was referred to the New York Trial board.

On motion, the communication from the Western Boston Terrier Club, asking that the disqualification of Dr. C. A. White be referred to the Trial Board to consider his reinstatement to good standing was also referred to the New York Trial Board for action.

The Secretary:  At the initial show of the American Foxhound Club, held last Saturday, General Williams, of Lexington, Kentucky, the advertised judges, reached the show building about 9 o’clock in the morning and was taken very ill. The officials waited until half-past eleven, but he was not able to judge at that time. They got into communication with me over the phone and stated the circumstances and said there was only one present in the show building who was capable
of judging American Foxhound and that was Dr. E. Lester Jones. As he was the show Secretary, our rules prohibited him from officiating as a judge. I replied I was not in a position to give any relief, but if they saw fit to appoint this gentlemen they could apply to this club for such action as it might deem proper. I submit to you the correspondence in the matter.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I move that the American Foxhound Club be fined $1.00.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. B. S. Smith: I move that a fine of $1.00 be also imposed on Dr E. Lester Jones for his action in the matter.

Motion seconded and carried.

In the matter of the show held at Fargo by the North Dakota Kennel Association, which, it was alleged, had violated Rule XIV, governing clubs, in that the catalogue of this show did not contain the list of officers, not did it publish the name of the judge or judges, Mr. Hooley moves that said club be fined $10. for their carelessness.

Mr. Collins moved to amend the motion by imposing a fine of $25, instead of $10.

Amendment seconded and lost.

The original motion was then put and carried.
On motion the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee for disbursements from Dec 23 to Feb 11, amounting to $26.50, was ordered paid upon receipt of a properly audited bill.

On motion the excuses of Messrs. W. H. Sawyer and F. H. Osgood for absence at this meeting were granted.

On motion of Mr. Willets the Salary of Mr. Day was increased to $2,200, a year and the salary of Mr. McCarniss was increased to $1,700, a year, said increases to date from the first of Jan of this year.

Mr. Chetwood Smith moved that the Secretary be directed to procure the Stud Book of the Harriers and Beagles.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary read the opinion of the Legal Committee and correspondence in
the matter of F. T. Carlton.

The Secretary: This matter was referred to the Legal Committee, and the
Committee quotes from “International Dogs” two items on the question of the attack on
judges, &c.

Mr. Belmont resigned the chair to Mr. Hunnewell and said:

I want to explain to you gentlemen what my purpose was in referring this
matter to our Committee for legal advice. I cannot say surely whether that is a correct
opinion, but my feeling was this in the matter --- I went over the subject rather more
carefully than I have any case that has come before us recently because of the
experience which we had with the Stewart case. It is unfortunate for dog shows that
there should be any criticism of the judges before the show, that they should be called
incompetent or dishonest. At the same time this is a public newspaper, and it is a
serious question whether the American Kennel Club should undertake to discipline
writers for any criticism as a matter of policy. As a matter of law I do not know whether
he could recover from us in case we really did him any damage. I am satisfied that we
did not do any serious damage. I am satisfied that we did not do any serious damage to
Miss Stewart, and yet it is a fact that the verdict against us is $10,000, which is far in
excess of anything that was ever heard of
in years. This is open to the same sort of thing. Out Committee summoned him to
appear before it and show cause why he should not be disciplined for having written an
article. That is all he has done. He has committed no offense which is specifically
described in the rules at all. You have only got to go a little further and say it is a bad
thing for dogs in general that the unjust criticism should come after. It is equally as
unjustifiable. The object of Mr. Carlton might have been the same. It would hurt before
and why wouldn’t it injure them afterwards. If you go into the field and try to protect
shows by disciplining writers who attack their judges you are going to enter upon a field
which in one respect you have tried to avoid. Just before this matter came up I received
a letter from Chicago. The situation there is that the better elements are taking care of
the kennel interests and they want to bring about a better atmosphere, and they wanted
advice as to judges, and it was meant to be personal but at the same time it was referred
to the American Kennel Club for advice, and I recollect that A. P. Vredenburgh told me
that we never undertook to give advice as to the selection of judges, that it is one of the
things that we avoid particularly and the reasons are so any it was
considered hardly worth while to state them. I asked A. P. Vredenburgh, however, to name some judges, and when I wrote in reply I said it was our practice never to give advice on that matter and I naturally would not give any advice in this matter. But I was willing to tell him privately and personally that such and such men were considered competent with reputations as being competent, and they could select them if they so desired. We are so delicate about the matter that we do not recommend judges, but yet we summon the writer of a newspaper and we propose to punish him because he did not appear. Suppose you did that to a writer of the “American Field”, I think you would be in pretty serious trouble, and probably they would attack us on the ground that we were claiming that we did not want him to influence the people in general about judges, or that we wanted to stifle criticism. They could say that with some degree of color, and I feel that we have got into a rather awkward position. I think you have gone rather far in assuming to discipline any writer for anything he had done, and I say this for the purpose of asking you to discuss the matter as to whether such action should be considered as a part of the duty
of our Committee to look up publications. I think we are venturing on very dangerous ground. Whether this man could recover damages or not I do not know; but I suppose I would be subjected to cross examination, and I think in this case I should not show such lamentable ignorance as I did in the case just tried. From what he wrote in the beginning I think that he expected you to ask him to make good his charges. If you read between the lines you will see, I think, that this conception of coming before you was to make good or not for your information on the making good of judges, and he expressed a wish to co-operate, but when he found you punished him he turned around and said you had no right to do it; and I have no doubt that the echo of the Stewart Case was in his ears when he said that his lawyers told him he could recover. I do not know that I can suggest just how to proceed in this matter. If a man does a wrong thing, whether he is connected with the Kennel Club or not, if he is a party to some fraud, something that was seriously an offense, you have a perfect right by our rules to discipline him. In the Gallup suit there was quite a different question. I do not understand the similarity there. In the Gallup suit what happened was that in Albany in order to give standing to their show they invited Mr. Gallup and others
of position to act as President, and they were practically honorary officers, and it was conducted by professionals. It was not a successful affair, and when the time came they did not pay their premiums, but there was a direct breach of our rules, and we very properly disciplined them, and at that time we published a disqualification list for the information of every one concerned in breeding dogs. On this list their names appeared and they sued the Kennel Club and the individuals, and I think there must have been fifty or more that went in, all of whom were sufficiently prominent to be uncomfortable about it, and we defended that suit and we established the right to publish and it was held not to be libelous. They had acted as officers and while I am no lawyer and I do not want to question this opinion, I do not see how it is parallel with this case. In any event, because Carlton is not a successful publisher and that he is not a strong man &c., I think that if he chooses he could proceed and make it appear that we were endeavoring to stifle the press. That idea is constantly urged by the daily press. If there is any offer made by anybody to hush up a matter for any good reason the cry is immediately raised by the press that you are trying to stifle comment. You have trodden on very dangerous ground I think. It is a simple
case, and I do not think the man is strong enough or wants to have a fight, but I think the com ought to consider it very carefully, and you ought to consider very carefully whether that sort of think ought to be done at all.

DR. DE MUND: Mr. President, I would like to say that Mr. Carlton, in one of his unsuccessful attempts to publish a paper, advocated in copy after copy that the American Kennel Club must punish Mr. Lacey of the “Stockkeeper” for criticizing judges, just the reverse of what he claims for himself.

Mr. Belmont: I understand, but of course we ought to be guided by what we think best. I am only saying what are my personal views. I feel it my duty to bring before you the importance of considering this matter carefully. If we did it in his case why shouldn’t we do it in all others?

Mr. Willets: May I ask secretary if the Lenox Club took any action in this matter?
THE SECRETARY: No, but the judge, Mr. Spring, did.
Mr. Willets: Was he a member of the Kennel Club?
THE SECRETARY: No.
Mr. Bloodgood: Was he a member of any Kennel Club?
THE SECRETARY: I can’t tell you that. He was to be the judge at the Lenox Show. He took exception to it.

Mr. Hooley: Was he the only judge?

THE SECRETARY: I am not certain. I think there was another judge.

Mr. Willets: Was he connected with an Kennel Club that took exception to those remarks?

THE SECRETARY: The only thing I know about it is what has been stated here, that this statement was made in the paper, and then this Mr. Spring, who was to be a judge, and who was the judge, came out in the paper and took exception to this criticism, and then this investigating which was investigating everything that came under their notice, called upon Mr. Carlton to come here and show cause why he should not be disciplined for taking this action again a judge who had not yet officiated and whose reputation was good.

Mr. Willets: I do not see what right the Investigating Com had to investigate someone who was brought before them that had no connection with the American Kennel Club or any member of the American Kennel Club.

DR. DE MUND: In that connection, Mr. Spring is a member of several clubs that are members of the American Kennel Club.
Kennel Club. He is a member of the Eastern Dog Club and the Fox Terrier Club, and a man of very good standing, and he took exception to this article and made complaint to the Investigating Committee. The Lenox Club was also going to bring the matter up, but I told Mr. Spring that it was not necessary to do that, that the matter would be investigated on his complaint.

Mr. Belmont: It is one thing to call on a man to substantiate charges for the purpose of clearing or making a public statement, or anything of that kind, but it is quite different thing when you discipline him.

Mr. Bloodgood: The Trial Board did not uphold the Investigating Committee. They did not suspend this gentleman, they simply denied him the privileges of the American Kennel Club. Haven't we the right to deny anybody the privilege of our club?

Mr. Belmont: What does that mean?

Mr. Bloodgood: It means they are denied the privileges of the club?

Mr. Belmont: Call it disqualification or suspension or what not, the moment you deny privileges you disqualify. It is merely a different way of expressing it.
THE SECRETARY: The act of the legislature refers to it as suspension or expulsion from membership or suspension or denial of any or all of the privileges of the said corporation. We have the right to do that. You may recall that quite a number of years ago a man by the name of Payne of San Francisco, made certain statements against Mr. Mortimer as a judge, and stated that he had practically been in collusion with an exhibitor and had fraudulently given a prize at a San Francisco show that he should not have done. You will also recall that the Old Advisory Committee at that time sent me to San Francisco to investigate. We had this Payne before us and told him that he must substantiate his statement by proof or what he considered proof. He declined to so it and fell back on the privileges of the press. We had three meetings in regards to the matter which were steno-graphically reported. I brought the report back and handed it to each member of the Advisory Committee, and they suspended Mr. Payne until such time as he did produce the proofs that he said he had. That is somewhat similar to this case.

Mr. Belmont: That is a very different thing. Carlton apparently was perfectly willing to come before the Committee in that sense; at least he said so. I understand he did not come, and just why I do not know. I did not want
to take this matter up with Mr. Carlton or reply to his letter without first having an opinion from the Legal Committee, nor second, before I understood how you gentlemen felt about it.

Mr. Keyes: Isn’t this case very similar to the Fox Terrier case in which some members questioned the honesty of the judges, the Carnochan Case? He denied the quotation in the papers, in the New York Telegram, he denied he was correctly quoted. He apologized and resigned from the club.

Mr. Belmont: He was a member of the Fox Terrier Club. This case in the West was a personal charge, a charge of fraud, a very different thing, and in this case this man was a member of the club and it was a personal matter. I do not understand that this was a signed article.

THE SECRETARY: It was an editorial and he was the editor.

Mr. Belmont: It is a very different thing. The publication is impersonal. I think in the interest of dog shows a man of that kind ought to be made to substantiate or retract, and if he does not wish to retract after being unable to substantiate, it might be a different thing, but don’t you see what you have done here, you have disqualified a man for criticizing the judges, and after all what he said was that they were incompetent. He did not say they were dis-
honest. I want you to understand that nobody is anxious to discipline more than I am, and nobody appreciates your seal more than I do, but this is a peculiar instance. You have disciplined a man who has not made any greater attack than to say that those judges were notoriously incompetent, and that he had to put them under the microscope. As for me, I have been criticized as notoriously incompetent. Do what you think best for the benefit of dog shows.

Mr. Palmer: Isn’t he entitled to criticize a man from his point of view which is that he is incompetent? He has not attacked his honesty. I think pretty nearly every man here has an opinion that people who are judging to-day are not competent, still I do not know that it is doing that man any particular harm.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: It seems to me it would better at this time to state what our course in the future is to be in regard to the press and then settle this case one way or the other the best we can. This is a thing that is going to come up right straight along. If we are going to fight everything that appears in a paper, we will have our hands full, and if we are not going to do it then the best thing is to get out of it the best way we can.

Mr. Belmont: This is a personal matter because
There is not here a single word as to the honesty of the management or judging.

Mr. Collins: Had Mr. Carlton appeared either before the Investigating Committee or the Trial Board, the possibilities are that he might have been exonerated, and it seems to me that the action of both the Investigating Committee and the Trial Board was simply to discipline him for failing to appear. Therefore, to show our fairness toward the press I would move that the matter be reopened and be referred to the New York Trial Board, and then let him appear and explain what he intended to say, or what was in his mind, or anything he pleases, and then the Trial Board can act accordingly in their best judgment.

Mr. Belmont: I second the motion for the purpose of discussion. I should like to ask, if this motion should prevail, what I should say to Mr. Carlton. If such a motion should prevail I would be tempted, with your approval, to write to him and say that I had not replied to him fully without first ascertaining the details, and also as to the legal aspect of it, and that personally I thought he was very much to blame for not appearing, because I found in the correspondence that he had expressed a willingness to appear, and the fact that he did not appear, and in that way flouting the authority of the Committee; that they had felt incensed
and had really disciplined him for that, and as he had been connected with dogs; that they felt it was very bad as a mere finding of criticism --- it was nothing but a method that I did not think that they could be held liable or we could be held liable provided we considered the full effects contrary to the best interest of dogs, so I thought I could write to him and advise him to appear, because there is no use in referring this matter to the Committee unless he is going to appear; it would subject the Committee to further insult if he did not appear. If the matter were referred – I make this suggestion – if the matter were referred to the President with power to report, or referred to the President with instructions to report, and if in his opinion it was necessary to refer the case back to the Committee, or something of that kind --- in other words to get it into my hands first so I could draw Mr. Carlton out, and if I found he was willing to come before the Committee, say, now you must come before the Committee and if you clear yourself that is all right; they have no desire to cut you off, but you must remember that you cannot refuse to come because you have passed criticism on these judges, and all they want is for you to make good or not, and if you should not, you make some reparation for it.
DR. DE MUND: I think we are making a mountain out of a mole hill. A great many of the directors here know this man and know his character. You would only subject yourselves to insult if you attempted any such thing as that. This man has started two papers and both of them failed. He started another one which I understand he is going to carry over the New York Show, and then he is going back to England. I do not take any stock in his threat to sue or anything else. I do not think it would be compatible with the dignity of this club to attempt to compromise with a man of that character.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I think just the reverse. I feel that the American Kennel Club has gone beyond what it ought to have done, and I think it ought to be decided whether we are going by this line in the future or not. If we decide not to go on with it then I think the easiest way to clear this matter up is to let it drop. I do not think we ought to take up everything we see in the papers and fight it out.

Mr. Bloodgood: It was on the complaint of Mr. Spring, and we had to take it up.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: We can take up the complaint and we can say to Mr. Spring that we are sorry, but it is out of our jurisdiction.
Mr. Hooley: It seems to me it is very easy for a newspaper man to severely injure a club, or to injure a show, I do not care whether it is the Field or what paper it is, if they write articles derogatory of the judges or show management, who are the people that have put their money and interest into the show and are liable to a good deal of loss. A number of people might give a show and invest some money in it and hope to get it back again. A newspaper man deliberately writes this show up and attacks the judges and attacks the management and everything else – he can injure that show very much, and in that way I think it is a very serious thing. I think Mr. Belmont right in wanting to settle this matter, and I move we put it entirely in his hands for settlement. I think Mr. Belmont, if he will allow the suggestion, that he can see if this thing went on and judges were attached at different shows, it might become dangerous in the end, and it will be a very serious thing in regard in the end.

THE SECRETARY: You will undoubtedly recall many cases when you used to be active on the Advisory Committee that when men were cited to appear before us to give testimony which we felt sure they could give, and they declined to appear, they were suspended until they did appear.
Mr. Belmont: I would have nothing to say if the Committee had disqualified him pending his coming before that Committee.

Mr. Bloodgood: He not only failed to appear before the Trial Board by the failed to appear before the Investigating Committee.

THE SECRETARY: I think the decision of the Trial Board shows it was because he failed to appear before them that they disciplined him.

Mr. Hooley: They also fixed the dates that he should come, either Monday or Tuesday which was convenient for him to come, and he would be pleased to come on those days. Part of that Committee did wait here for two whole days and he never came near us or showed any courtesy whatever. It seems to me in talking with him you might use some of these facts.

Mr. Palmer: Might I ask the Secretary if he was notified by telephone?

THE SECRETARY: No, he was notified by mail, and then he was telephoned to that one of the Committee was here waiting for him. He was notified by mail and he replied to that letter and said he would be very glad to come.

Mr. Willets: Did Mr. Calton write why he failed to appear before that Committee? He says he would be very
glad to appear, and then in a letter to Mr. Belmont he said his dignity would suffer if he did appear.

Mr. B. S. Smith: As our findings say there, the only reason we disqualified Mr. Carlton was for the reason that he paid no attention whatever to our summons to appear. He was written to and the letter was registered. That letter was returned here as not having been received by Mr. Carlton. Then there was another letter written him which he did receive and which he claims it would hurt his dignity to appear before any Trial Board, and he was in no way under the jurisdiction of the Kennel Club; that they had no right to summon him to appear before them because he was not a member of the Kennel Club or any other dog showing club, and it seems to me that were the course adopted by Mr. Belmont, were he willing to see Mr. Carlton and tell him that he believes that if he would give consideration to the summons of the Trial Board to appear before them again the matter might possibly be adjusted – that if he would come here and show the Trial Board that he meant nothing by these articles, we would not have to lower our dignity in any way, and I think the matter could be very readily thrashed out in that way.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not approve of the suggestion that the matter might possibly be adjusted satisfactorily.
to the dignity of the American Kennel Club. If Mr. Carlton came before this board he
certainly would get justice, but to say that we might possibly adjust it with him, does not
sound dignified.

Mr. B. S. Smith: If he were asked to appeal wouldn’t he assume the same
attitude, that we had no right to do this thing in the first place, therefore he had no right
to appeal.

Mr. Belmont: There is an except of a letter written by James W. Spring, to the
effect that he was requested by the Lenox Dog Show Association to judge Airedale dogs
and Irish Terriers, and he asks whether in your opinion he comes under the head of
judges notoriously incompetent.

DR. DE MUND: That was a letter he wrote to Mr. Carlton. Mr. Spring personally
complained of the attack and wished the American Kennel Club to take it up at the time
the Investigating Committee was appointed. He also stated at that time that the Lenox
Show Association was going to request him to take it up, but I told him it would not be
necessary as long as he made the complaint, we would investigate it any way.

Mr. Belmont: To whom did he make the complaint?

DR. DE MUND: To me verbally.

Mr. Belmont: He came to you and personally complained against Mr. Carlton.
That is a very different thing. The
Trial Board initiated this at the request of a judge, and if that was put in, on charges which are charges made by Mr. Spring ---

DR. DE MUND: You know the Investigating Committee was created for certain purposes and certain powers given them. The usual form --- charges are made by mail or by letter and the money accompanies it. The investigating Committee were given power to investigate anything that came to their notice. Mr. Spring came to the Investigating Committee and practically preferred these charges and requested them to take it up. They did so. Then they referred it to the Trial Board.

Mr. Belmont: Then why shouldn't this read that the Investigating Committee, at the request of Mr. Spring, a judge a the Lenox Show, preferred charges against Mr. Frank T. Carlton?

DR. DE MUND: The Investigating Committee made a full report to the Board of Directors in which they recommended certain things. That was adopted by the directors, a written report and the recommendations ordered carried out.

THE SECRETARY: The Investigating Committee requested this to go to the Trial Board, and when their request was granted it was sent in the usual written form to the Trial Board.

Mr. Belmont: I am trying to suggest that they be put in that form, as if it did come up by an outside party, and
then we asked him to appear, and he did not appear.

Mr. Moore: Was anybody else summoned for an explanation?

THE SECRETARY: That is all.

Mr. Moore: There was not a witness for him or against him?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. Belmont: I would suggest that the Trial Board revise that language. Has he been sent a copy of this?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, it has been published.

Mr. Bloodgood: Only the finding.

Mr. Belmont: I mean the working of this resolution.

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

Mr. Belmont: Then we cannot amend that. We can say we interpreted it in that way. In the case of Miss Stewart we said that was only a question of information we gave here and yet we were held for libel. I thought perhaps that might be amended so as to merely recite it as the complaint that he was summoned and did not appear, and for that reason he was disqualified. We will have to take the ground that it was entirely on the ground that he did not appear; that whereas he published an article and that complaint was made, and that he was asked to come and make an explanation, because he did not appear he was disqualified.
Mr. Collins: If we re-open the case we can do that. We can amend or we can re-write a final finding and publish it in those words so as to avoid censuring him for what he wrote, but censure him simply for his failure to appear.

Mr. Belmont: You would approve of my replying to Mr. Calton’s letter that the action of the Kennel Club was purely and simply because he did not appear and there could be no reversal of this decision?

DR. DE MUND: I would make a motion that a copy of the legal opinion be sent to him at the same time.

Mr. Willets: I would suggest that the legality of the thing be left out of it altogether. We will simply assume that he has no standing in law. The minute we begin to talk about legal opinions Mr. Carlton will have a chance to say, you go look at the legality of it.

It was moved that Mr. Belmont be empowered to do as he may see fit in this matter in answering the letter and then report to the directors.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Collins: I will withdraw my motion to reopen the case.

Mr. Belmont: Isn’t it necessary for this meeting to confirm the action of the Trial Board or otherwise?
Annual Meeting of the American Kennel Club,
Held at Its Offices, No. 1 Liberty Street,
NYC, Tuesday, Feb. 18th, 1913.

Vice-President, H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.

PRESENT

Associate Delegates

Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith
T. M. Turner
H. H. Hunnewell
Theodore Offerman
A. G. Wertheim
Morris G. Adler
M. M. Palmer
Edward H. Hobbie
Ralph C. Stewart
O. F. Vedder
Walter Burgess
Charles R. Wood
R. W. Creuzbaur
Japanese Spaniel Club America     Geo. F. Parker
Ladies' Kennel Association of America     James Mortimer
Ladies' Kennel Association of Massachusetts     J. L. Frothingham
Lehigh Valley Kennel Club     A. D. Gillette
Long Island Kennel Club     John F. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club     E. H. Berendsohn
New England Beagle Club     Chetwood Smith
New England Collie Club     H. P. J. Earnshaw
New London Kennel Club     J. Macy Willets
Piping Rock Kennel Club     A. G. Hooley
Rumson Kennel Club     G. Foster Rawlins
Russian Wolfhound Club     Dr J. E. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club     Howard Willets
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club     A. C. Wilmerding
Scottish Terrier Club of America     Henry T. Fleitmann
Spaniel Breeders' Society     Rowland P. Keasbey
St. Louis Collie Club     Lloyd W. Smith
Texas Kennel Club     Geo. W. Clayton
Toy Dog Club of New England     Henry T. Bowers
Western French Bulldog Club     John N. Champion
Westminster Kennel Club       William Rauch
Wisconsin Kennel Club         Chas. W. Keyes
Yorkshire Terrier Club of America     George E. Peabody

The certificate of election was read as follows:

New York, Feb 4, 1913

To the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Article XIX Section 4 of the By-laws of the American Kennel Club the secretary of said club duly opened the ballots of the Associate Subscribers entitled to vote, in the presence of the tellers appointed by the President of said club, and the undersigned tellers certify as follows:

Associate Subscribers entitled to vote    172
Number of votes cast          65
Scattering             5
Irregular and thrown out          1

We therefore declare the following candidates having received the highest number of votes to be duly elected as delegates for the Associate Subscribers
For the year 1913. and until their successors shall have been elected.

William G. Rockefeller
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith

Signed

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

O. F. Vedder

W. S. Gurnee, Jr.

Tellers

On motion, the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named clubs were elected to membership: Kennel Club of Philadelphia and Devon Dog Show Association.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Boston Terrier Club        Frank A. Teeling
Lynn Kennel Club          Dr F. H. Osgood

The secretary read the following communication with reference to the election of directors:

For a vacancy in the class of 1914, Charles W. Keyes
In place of F. H. Osgood. In the class of 1918, Rowland P. Keasbey, Ralph C. Stewart, Alfred B. Maclay, Charles R. Wood, W. S. Gurnee, Jr., and J. F. Collins.

Mr. Moore: I move that the secretary be directed to cast one ballot for their election.

Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary stated he had cast a ballot for the gentlemen named, and they were declared duly elected.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the [text ends abruptly]

The balance on hand on Jan 1, 1912, was $22,963.91. Receipts from all sources for the year 1912, $28,263.51. The disbursements for the year were $26,765.28, leaving a balance on hand of $24,462.14. This balance includes the date deposits and the secretary’s deposits held in trust amounting to $2,080.

On motion, the same was accepted.

The secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Feb 17, 1913

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:--

I beg to report that since the last meeting I have received applications for Active Membership from
the Kennel Club of Philadelphia and the Devon Dog Show Association, credentials from the Boston Terrier Club and the Lynn Kennel Club, all of which have been referred to the Membership Committee which will report at this meeting.

I have also received credentials from the Washington Kennel Club, the Plainfield Kennel Club and the Kennel Club of Philadelphia. These credentials will go over until the May meeting, for the reason that they were not filed in time to advertise same in the Jan Gazette according to rule.

The votes of the Associate Subscribers were opened by the secretary and Board of Tellers on Feb 4 and resulted in the election of William G. Rockefeller, Dwight Moore and B. S. Smith as the Associate Delegates for the year 1913.

I beg to report the notice for the semi-annual convention of clubs under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee, which was held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco, on Feb 8 and at said meeting Mr. Chas. W. Conlisk was the unanimous choice of the convention and nominated by the Committee to fill the vacancy on said Pacific Advisory Committee, occasioned by the expiration of the term of Norman J. Stewart.
The Committee appointed at the meeting of the American Kennel Club held Sept 17, 1912, for the purpose of nominating directors for the expiring class of 1913 and to fill such other vacancies as may occur, met on Jan 14, 1913, and made the following nominations. For a vacancy in the class of 1914, Charles W. Keyes in place of F. H. Osgood. In the class of 1918, Rowland P. Keasbey, Ralph C. Stewart, Alfred B. Maclay, Charles R. Wood, W. S. Gurnee, Jr., and J. F. Collins.

Also the report of the Rules Committee on amendments to the By-laws, to the rules governing clubs and to the rules governing dog shows. These amendments were duly published in the Jan Gazette.

Under date of Jan 30, 1913, Mr. M. Robert Guggenheim filed his resignation as a delegate to the American Kennel Club.

The following clubs have been automatically dropped from membership for non-payment of dues for the year 1913, under the provisions of Article XVI, Section III, of the By-Laws: Alameda County Kennel Club, Altoona Kennel Club, Butterfly Bench Show Association, Hartford Show Association, Irish Wolfhound Club, North Jersey Kennel Club, Old English Sheep Dog Club of America, Orange County Agricultural Society, Sioux City Kennel Club, and Vancouver Exhibition Association.
The action of the American Kennel Club some few years past in favor of the rating of shows held under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee had been the means of building up the show circuit in that territory in a very gratifying manner. It appears to me that the Middle West requires the held of the American Kennel Club in a like manner in order to encourage the holding of shows in that territory, and I would therefore recommend, if approved by the Rules Committee, the following amendment to Rule III, Governing Dog Shows: “All authorized shows held in the territory between the easterly boundary of Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico, and the easterly boundary of Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas and Louisiana, shall be rated as follows: 599 dogs and over, five points, 400 dogs and over, four points, 300 dogs and over, three points, 200 dogs and over, two points, under 200 dogs, one point.”

In order to save time in reading over various items in the Financial Statement of 1912, I have had some printed which will be distributed to the delegates on request.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary
On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.

The License Committee reported as follows:

Annual Report of the License Committee  
New York, Feb 17, 1913

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

The License Committee begs to report that since the last annual meeting it has granted fifty-one licenses, thirty-eight of which were for general shows, twelve for specialty shows, and one for field trial.

These shows were distributed in the following states as follows: New York, 11; Illinois, 4; Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, New Jersey, Ohio, and North Dakota, 3 each; Iowa, Tennessee, Minnesota, Massachusetts and Washington, 2 each; Nebraska, Kentucky, New Hampshire, Oregon, Delaware, South Dakota, New Mexico, Alabama, Texas, and Virginia 1 each.

Respectfully submitted,

George Greer
Chairman

On motion, the same was accepted and ordered published in the Gazette.

The following report of the Field Trial Committee was read as follows:
Annual Report of the Field Trial Committee

New York, Feb 18, 1913

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

The Field Trial Committee beg to report that the Beagles, “Nordley Spot” and “Belray Blue Boy” having made the required number of wins are not Field Champions of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Chetwood Smith
Chairman, Field Trial Committee

On motion, the same was accepted and ordered published in the Gazette.

The report of the Rules Committee was as follows:

New York, Jan 8, 1913

To the Delegates of the
American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Rules Committee, held Jan 3, 1913, present George Greer, H. H. Hunnewell, B. S. Smoth and J. E. De Mund, the following amendments to By-laws and Rules were adopted, and are now referred to you for action.
Amendments to the By-Laws

Amend Article I of the By-laws as follows:

Add, the President shall be ex officio member of all Committees.

Amend Article II, Section V

Add, it shall have all the powers of the Board of Directors between the meetings of the said Board.

Add to the Article XI: -- It shall have power to arbitrarily designate such breeds as may have winners classes divided by sex, such breeds as may have mixed winners classes, and such breeds that shall not be entitled to any winners class, until such time as the number of dogs exhibited shall demonstrate that they shall be logically entitled to such classes.

Amendments to the Rules

Rule XII, Governing Clubs

Add, In the case of special prizes offered by others through the show giving club, the club shall not be responsible unless a claim is filed with said club within sixty days. See addition.

Rule IV, Governing Dog Shows

Add, The License Committee shall have power to arbitrarily designate such breeds as may have winners classes divided by sex, such breeds as may have mixed winners classes
and such breeds that shall not be entitled to any winners class, until such time as the
number of dogs, exhibited shall demonstrate that they shall be logically entitled to such
classes.

Rule XIV. Governing Dog Shows.

Insert after the words “appointed for that breed” unclassified specials shall not be
offered at any show (this shall not apply to specials now existing that require more than
one win, which may be continued until won.)

Rule XVII. Insert after the words “In good standing with the American Kennel Club,” A
professional handler shall not be eligible to act as judge (A professional handler is a
person who handles, boards, conditions, or shows dogs for money as a means of
livelihood.) This does not apply to Pacific Advisory Committee.

Rule XIII, Governing Clubs

Add. All specials offered must be described or value stated.

The following proposed amendments were disapproved:

Amendment proposed to Rule XIV by Mr. James Mortimer, inserting the words
“in competition” after the words “that have previously beaten it.” The reason for
disapproval of this being that your Committee have approved an amendment
abolishing unclassified specials

Amendment proposed by Mr. James Mortimer which reads: A judge shall not award any prize to any dog which he or she has shown, handled or prepared for exhibition within a period of six months prior to the opening day of the show at which he or she officiates.

If this amendment were adopted it would cause endless confusion as the Bench Show Committees would have no way of informing themselves as to the handling, showing or preparing of a dog by the judge.

Proposed amendment to Section A, Rule V, proposed by Mr. James Mortimer, which reads as follows: “No puppy shall be eligible to compete in any class or for any prize, competition for which is limited to exhibits not exceeding a certain size, weight or heights, unless such class or prize be confined to puppies only.

There seems to be no demand for this rule on the part of the Specialty Clubs or the exhibitors of any of the breeds affected, which are Pointers, Beagles, Bulldogs, French Bulldogs, Boston Terriers, Pomeranians and Bull Terriers.

We have a resolution from the Boston Terrier Club protesting against such rule, and in conversation with various
members of the other clubs mentioned, have been able to find no one who advocates such rule.

Respectfully,

J. E. De Mund
Chairman, Rules Committee

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:--

It has been decided by the Rules Committee to substitute the following amendment in place of the one passed at the meeting held Jan 17.

A Chairman of the Board of Directors who 'in the absence of the President and Vice President' shall preside at all meetings of said board and shall have all powers of the President of the club, except that of calling meetings of the Club and executing contracts."

Yours truly,

J. E. De Mund
Chairman, Rule Committee
New York, Feb 18, 1913

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:--

Your Rules Committee recommended that the
License Committee be directed to submit to it within thirty days its recommendations for the licensing of judges, superintendents and professional handlers to enable the Rule Committee to formulate a rule covering the conditions of such licenses to be submitted to the delegates for action at the May meeting.

Respectfully,

J. E. De Mund
Chairman, Rules Committee

THE SECRETARY: I am in receipt of communications from the Ladies' Kennel Association of America and from the Dog Show Committee protesting against the proposed amendment to Rule XIV.

On motion, the report of the Rules Committee was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the nomination by the Pacific Advisory Committee of Charles W. Conlisk to fill the vacancy cause by the expiration of the term of Mr. Norman J. Stewart.

Mr. Moore: I move that said nomination be confirmed.

Motion seconded and carried.
On motion, the resignation of Mr. M. Robert Guggenheim, as a delegate, was accepted.

THE SECRETARY: I submit my recommendation of a lower scale of points for the middle west, in order that that section of the country which is not well represented by shows may have some encouragement. At present our shows held East of Montana, Wyoming, Colorado and New Mexico, must be rated exactly the same as we rate the Westminster Club, the leading show of the country, and it appears to me it would be well if we should make this concession to the middle west for the purpose of building it up as the Pacific Coast was built up by giving that portion of the country special rates. I recommend this if approved by the Rules Committee. I will read the recommendation in full.

RULE III.

Section A. Every show is guaranteed one point toward a Championship except as hereinafter stated. All Shows are to be rated on actual number of dogs benched (dogs “for exhibition only” not to be considered benched); 1,00 dogs and over, 5 points; 750 dogs and over, 4 points; 500 dogs and over, 3 points; 250 dogs and over, 2 points; under
250 dogs, 1 point. Such Shows as do not offer money prized in every breed mentioned in their Premium List shall no have a rating unless they have 250 dogs benched, when they shall have the regular rating as specified in this rule.

Section B. All authorized shows held in the territory between the easterly boundary of Montana, Wyoming, Colorado and New Mexico, and the easterly boundary of Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas and Louisiana, shall be rated as follows: 500 dogs and over, 5 points; 400 dogs and over, 4 points; 300 dogs and over, 3 points; 200 dogs and over, 2 points; under 200 dogs, 1 points.

Section C. The Pacific Advisory Committee shall have jurisdiction in all States west of the easterly boundary of Montana, Wyoming, Colorado and New Mexico. All applications for membership and dates and all communications, shall be addressed to the Secretary of said Pacific Advisory Committee.

All shows authorized by them to be rated as follows: 400 dogs and over, 5 points; 300 dogs and over, 4 points; 200 dogs and over, 3 points; 100 dogs and over, 2 points; under 100 dogs, 1 point.
Section D: Specialty Club Shows confined to the breed represented by their club shall be rated at 4 points.

Specialty clubs not active members may be licensed with the written consent of the parent club, and will be rated at two or four points.

Mr. Moore: I move that the recommendation be adopted subject to the approval of the Rule Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

Taking up the amendments reported by the Rules Committee, the delegates adopted the proposed amendments to the By-Laws without further qualification as follows:

Article I of the By-Laws
Add, “The President shall be ex officio Member of all Committees.”

Article II, Section V.
Add, “It shall have all the powers of the Board of Directors between the meetings of the said Board.”

Add to Article XI: “It shall have power to arbitrarily designate such breeds as may have winners classes divided by sex, such breeds as may have mixed winners classes, and such breeds that shall not be entitled to any winners class, until such time as the number of dogs exhibited shall demonstrate that they shall be logically entitled to such classes.”
The Secretary: Rule XII, Governing Clubs, provides for adding: In case of special prizes, offered by others through the show giving club, the club shall not be represented unless a claim is filed with said club within sixty days.

DR. DE MUND: There is an amendment proposed to that rule by the Westminster Kennel Club, and I know of no better place to insert it than at this point. In the matter of cancellation they wish a rule to be made that no cancellation can occur of a win for sixty days. So I would move you that we do that and that all cancellations must be made by the American Kennel Club within the period of sixty days in regular classes after the closing of the show.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: It is proposed to amend Article XIV of the rules governing dog shows by inserting after the words “appointed for that breed,” and at the end of the second line of the second paragraph, to be substituted for the balance of the rule, “Unclassified specials shall not be offered at any show. This shall not apply to specials not existing that require more than one win which may be continued until won.”
Mr. Rauch: You have heard the protest that has been read by the secretary, and it comes, as you know, from the largest dog show in this country, and the Ladies’ Kennel Club Show is the second largest. We are both very much opposed to this amendment, and we hope you will give it very serious consideration before acting upon it. We have looked into it very carefully and protest against it.

DR. DE MUND: I move that that amendment be laid on the table until the next meeting of the club.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Mortimer: I do not see any valid reason why it should be laid over until the next meeting. My impression is that there are enough delegates here to give an intelligent vote on that matter. I think it would be better to decide it now.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to state for the information of Mr. Mortimer that I am in receipt of a telephone communication from Mr. Belmont to-day starting that he would not be able to be present at this time; that he had given this subject quite some thought, and rather than have it go through at once he thought it best to lay it over until it has received more publicity and in order that the different delegates
may consult their clubs and consider the matter more intelligently than they could since the publication of the proposed amendment eighteen days ago.

DR. DE MUND: My only object in moving to lay it on the table was this, that while the Rules Committee have received two protests against this proposed amendment, it has been endorsed almost unanimously by every one who has been spoken to about it, and I thought it might be better to let it go over so that the delegates will have a better opportunity to get instructions from their clubs, than to bring it up hurriedly today.

Mr. Mortimer: I withdraw my objection. I second the motion.

Motion to lay on table was carried.

THE SECRETARY: It is propose to amend Rule XVIII by inserting: “A professional handler shall not be eligible to act as a judge. The definition is, a professional handler is a person who handles, board, conditions or shows dogs for money as a means of livelihood.”

DR. DE MUND: At the request of the Pacific Advisory Committee I move to amend that as follows:
“This rule shall not apply to shows held under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee.”

Motion seconded.

Mr. Mortimer: I do not see why the rule should not apply to the Pacific Coast as well as the this part of the country, but I am opposed to the amendment altogether. I think we are shutting out a class of men who are thoroughly up in dogs, and it is a great pity to restrict the number of resident judges. I do not think we should shut out all handlers from judging. I do not think we should shut out all handlers from judging. I know there are cases where it has proved objectionable where a handler has been asked to judge a dog that he has been handling at previous shows, but I think if my proposed amendment had met with the approval of the Committee it would have done away with that trouble entirely, and there would be no trouble in finding out what dogs were in possession of such handlers because the exhibitors would be keen enough to inform upon that point at once. I mean to say that such a rule would not make any trouble for the American Kennel Club. As the rules stands at present we are shutting out a great many good breeders from judging. For instance, we shut out a man like Mr. Rutherford who breeds fox terriers.
The Chairman: Not for a living.

Mr. Mortimer: Why should we shut him out from being a judge?

The Chairman: We are not doing so by this proposed amendment.

Mr. Mortimer: He cannot judge Fox Terriers at any show where he exhibits them.

The Chairman: This proposed rule has nothing to do with that case at all.

THE SECRETARY: This refers entirely to professional handlers and defines what a professional handler is.

Mr. Mortimer: But the amendment to the proposed amendment is that it shall not apply to shows held on the Pacific Coast. Why it should be recognized here and not on the Pacific Coast I cannot see any valid reason for.

DR. DE MUND: I make this motion only because I was requested to do so by the Pacific Advisory Committee. They approve of the rule, but they say it is almost impossible to get judges out there without calling on professional handlers, and that the conditions there are not the same as they are here. I am merely offering that as a suggestion, but I
would like to say on behalf of the Rules Committee and also the Investigating Committee, that this proposed rule originally was heartily endorsed by several professional handlers themselves who act as judges. They say they would like to have it passed.

The Chairman: One of the members of the Pacific Advisory Committee spoke to me about it, and he said that the number of judges out there is very small and they had to rely on some of these handlers to judge their dogs; if they could not avail themselves of professional handlers as judges, they could not get anybody. That is the reason they ask for this exception.

Mr. Mortimer: I think it would be a great absurdity is we pass any such rule as that.

Mr. Hobbie: What is to prevent sending a dog West to get so many points toward the championship? Some handler might be out there with a strong of dogs. I think if you cut the handlers out in the East you ought to cut them out in the West.

Mr. Keyes: If it is in order I should like to move that this motion be applied also to the Pacific Coast as well as to the East.
The Chairman: All you have to do is to vote the amendment down.

The amendment providing that this rule shall not apply to the Pacific Coast was put and lost.

Mr. Frothingham: I think you are going to have some trouble in defining a professional handler. There are a great many men who work in stores for a means of livelihood who are perfectly willing to show a dog once in a while and receive $5.00. You are also going up against the proposition of a professional handler who runs a dog kennel and has a head boy under him. The boy does not receive money for handling dogs and yet he earns his livelihood by working in a kennel. Is he going to be a professional handler or isn't he?

Mr. Mortimer: What about the owner of this big kennel?

Mr. Forthingham: He is the one who gets the money; the boy does not get the money.

Mr. Earnshaw: Do I understand that this question of licensing judges goes over to the next meeting?

THE SECRETARY: It must come up at the next meeting. The License Committee was directed to formulate rules to govern it and submit them to the
Rules Committee, and it will come up at the May meeting.

Mr. Earnshaw: There seems to be no objection to a man judging dogs providing he is not boarding or showing dogs.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I think this is covered by the other rule that the only people we can recognize as professional handlers are those who are to be licensed as professional handlers. One who is not licensed as a professional handler is not one so far as we are concerned.

The question was then put on the proposed amendment and it was carried.

THE SECRETARY: It is proposed to add to Rule XIII, governing clubs: All specials offered must be described or value stated.

On motion the same was adopted.

THE SECRETARY: It has been decided by the Rules Committee to substitute the following amendment in place of the one passed at the meeting held Jan 17: “The Chairman of the Board of Directors who, in the absence of the President and Vice Presidents shall preside at all meetings of said board and shall have all powers of the President of the club except that of calling meetings of he club and executing contracts.

On motion the same was adopted.

Adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the American Kennel Club, Held at its Offices, No. 1
Liberty Street, NYC, Tuesday, May 20, 1913.
President August Belmont in the Chair

P R E S E N T:

Associate Delegates
Dwight Moore
B. S. Smith

Airedale Terrier Club of America
William L. Barclay

American Foxterrier Club
H. H. Hunnewell

American Pomeranian Club
Theodore Offerman

American Spaniel Club
H. K. Bloodgood

Boston Terrier Club
Frank A. Teeling

Bulldog Club of America
Edwin L. Boger

Collie Club of America
M. M. Palmer

Dachshund Club of America
G. Muss-Arnolt

Dalmatian Club of America
Alfred B. Maclay

Delaware Valley Kennel Club
John H. Blackwood

Del Monte Kennel Club
Edward H. Hobbie

Eastern Dog Club
George S. West

Erie Kennel Club
Ralph C. Stewart

French Bulldog Club of America
O. F. Vedder

French Bulldog Club of New England
Walter Burgess

Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club
Charles R. Wood

Irish Setter Club
R. W. Creuzbaur
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Club Name</th>
<th>President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irish Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>Singleton Van Schaick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Club of Philadelphia</td>
<td>J. Chandler Barnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladies' Kennel Association of America</td>
<td>James Mortimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladies' Kennel Association of Massachusetts</td>
<td>J. L. Frothingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehigh Valley Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. D. Gillette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenox Dog Show Association</td>
<td>Henry Hollister Pease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn Kennel Club</td>
<td>Dr F. H. Osgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltese Terrier Club</td>
<td>E. H. Berendsohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Kennel Club</td>
<td>August Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau County Kennel Club</td>
<td>W. H. Sawyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England Beagle Club</td>
<td>Chetwood Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England Collie Club</td>
<td>H. P. J. Earnshaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New London Kennel Club</td>
<td>J. Macy Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping Rock Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. G. Hooley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Bulldog Club</td>
<td>Joseph A. Buchanan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plainfield Kennel Club</td>
<td>Edmund L. Mackenzie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revere Kennel Club</td>
<td>Samuel R. Cutler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island Kennel Club</td>
<td>William Codman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumson Kennel Club</td>
<td>G. Foster Rawlins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Wolfhound Club</td>
<td>Dr De Mund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo Kennel Club</td>
<td>Howard Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara County Kennel Club</td>
<td>W. S. Gurnee, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz County Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. C. Wilmerding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Terrier County Kennel Club</td>
<td>Henry T. Fleitmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English Sheepdog Club</td>
<td>Tyler Morse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniel Breeders’ Society</td>
<td>Rowland P. Keasbey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis Collie Club</td>
<td>Lloyd W. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy Spaniel Club of America</td>
<td>Daniel P. Ritchie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western French Bulldog Club</td>
<td>John N. Champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Foxterrier Breeders’</td>
<td>John G. Bates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Highland White Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>George Lauder, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster Kennel Club</td>
<td>William Rauch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Kennel Club</td>
<td>Charles W. Keyes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were adopted as published in the Gazette.

The secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, May 19, 1913

To The Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:--

I beg to report that since the last meeting I received applications for Active Membership from six clubs, two of which, namely: the Newark Kennel Club, and the Empire Beagle Club came to hand too late to publish their application in the April 30th Gazette, and one of which the Bulldog Breeders’ Association of America, at its heading before the Membership Committee which reports that the application be returned to the Bulldogs Breeders’ Association of America for consent of the
parent club, under Rule IV. As the parent club (The Bulldog Club of America), has refused to grant its consent, the application and fees will be returned to the Bulldog Breeders' Association of America.

Thirteen Clubs have filed credentials for delegates, two of which came to hand too late to be published in the April Gazette, therefore, will lay over until the next meeting, one of which, the New England Collie Club appointee informed the Membership Committee that he declined to act.

It has been the custom to present the minutes of the Pacific Advisory Committee to the Board of Directors, but owing to adverse criticism by said Pacific Advisory Committee and the Public Press, I deem it advisable to present these minutes to the Delegates at large.

In order that they may thoroughly understand the condition of affairs, I would particularly request the privilege of reading at length the minutes of that Committee of its meeting of April 4, and an informal meeting of May 2, for the reason that your secretary has been adversely criticized for his conduct in reference to a telegram received by him on the morning of the annual meeting, Feb 18 last. The telegram reads as follows:

“A. P. Vredenburgh, 1 Liberty Street. NY: Charles W. Conlisk, unanimous choice Convention Coast Clubs and
endorsed by Committee and nominated to Board of Directors for election to vacancy on Committee caused by expiration of Stewart’s term. Convention unanimously urges Pacific Coast be exempted from amendments to Rule IV governing clubs and Rule XVIII governing shows. Sentiment very strong that serious injury Coasts interests would result from those amendments. Twelve clubs represented at Convention and request you notify their delegates as follows: Golden Gate, Western Fox Terrier, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Ladies’ Toy Airedale, Del Monte, Sonoma, Pasadena, Northern California.

J. P. Norman

If your secretary was willing to take advantage of a technicality, he would respectfully call attention to the fact that the above telegram was a personal one. It was neither addressed to him as secretary, nor signed by Mr. Norman as Secretary, however, there is no desire to plead that technicality

The nomination of C. W. Conlisk, was reported by me from the information given me by the above telegram. The request to me to notify the Club Delegates of the instructions of the different Clubs present at the above mentioned Convention was ignored by me purposely upon the ground that I declined to act as a messenger between the Coast Clubs and their Delegates.
In my opinion, the proper course to have been pursued was for them to telegraph direct to each delegates. The above telegram was handed by me to the Chairman of the Rules Committee and that Chairman reported in behalf of his Committee to the effect that the amendment to Rule XVIII governing shows should not apply to Coast Clubs. I either committed an error in declining to act as a messenger between the Coast Clubs and their delegates or I acted properly in the course that I pursued, and I would respectfully request an expression of opinion and a vote at this meeting as to whether I acted properly or improperly.

The following clubs were admitted to Active Membership by the Pacific Advisory Committee. At the Feb meeting, the Bay Side Kennel Club of Southern California and the North Western Boston Terrier Club. At the April meeting, the Oakland-Alameda County Kennel Club, and the Pacific French Bulldog Club.

On April 16, Clarence Sackett filed his resignation as a Delegate to represent the Seattle Kennel Club.

A communication from the Golden Gate Kennel Club, and the delegates from twelve Coast Clubs, on the subject of reconsideration of certain amendments adopted at the annual meeting has just been received, and will be presented
at this meeting for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file, and the action of the secretary was unanimously endorsed.

The report of the Membership Committee was read as follows:

Report of the Membership Committee

The applications before the Committee for Membership and for Delegates were considered by the Committee and the application of the Bulldog Breeders’ Association of America was declined in consequence of their not having received the consent of the parent Bulldog Club to their admission, as is necessary under Rule IV, of the Membership Committee Rules.

Deeming it inadvisable to elect any delegates until after the May meeting, Mr. Smith declines to vote on the applications of the delegates before the Committee.

Howard Willetts, Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Moore: The Publication Committee would like to
announced to the delegates that the new stud book is ready for distribution. It is printed in five sections instead of one volume as heretofore, and each section is one dollar per volume.

Mr. Moore: I should like to ask that the Old English Sheepdog Club be reinstated as a member of this club, said club having been dropped from the roll last Feb for non-payment of dues.

Failure to pay its annual dues was due to the neglect of the secretary of that club, who has resigned.

Mr. Howard Willets: I move as an amendment that said club be reinstated on payment of its dues, dating from the 1st of Jan.

Motion was seconded and carried.

Mr. B. S. Smith: I desire to call attention to a mistake which was made at our last meeting. The secretary’s report reads as follows:

“The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs: Boston Terrier Club – Frank A. Teeling; Lynn Kennel Club -- Dr F. H. Osgood.

The secretary read the following communication with reference to the election of directors:

“For vacancy in the class of 1914, Charles W. Keyes

Mr. Moore: I move that the secretary be directed to cast one ballot for their election.

Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary stated he had cast a ballot for the gentlemen named, and they were declared duly elected."

It would seem that the delegates made a mistake at that meeting in electing to office Mr. Keyes to a place already filled by Dr. Osgood. The point is that Mr. Keyes was elected to fill a vacancy which did not exist. Dr. Osgood still remained a director because his term did not expire until 1914. He had previous to the election of the directors been elected a delegate by the Lynn Kennel Club. Therefore, there was no vacancy to fill.

The Chairman: He would have to be a delegate in order to qualify as a director.

Your contention is that he had already been elected as a delegate to another club?

Mr. B. S. Smith: That is the case according to the record.

Mr. Hunnewell: When did Dr. Osgood cease to be a delegate?

The Chairman: He did not cease to be a delegate at
Mr. Hunnewell: He was transferred from one club to another without any lapse in that club?

The Chairman: So I understand. The withdrawal of his credentials must be submitted. It states here on the credentials, this is to certify that at the meeting of the credentials, this is to certify that at the meeting of the Scottish Terrier Club for example, so and so was regularly elected as a delegate to represent such club at all meetings of the American Kennel Club, the same to remain in force until these credentials have been duly elected and accepted by the American Kennel Club. As I understand it, in the case of Dr Osgood, whatever took place with regard to his resignation from one club and his election as a delegate to the other, took place between meetings, and then naturally the withdrawal of his credentials form one club, and being substituted for another at one and the same meeting, he did not cease to be a directors.

Dr De Mund: It seems to me it would be a question of when his successor was elected. If his successor as a delegate was elected first, Dr Osgood would cease momentarily from being a delegate and therefore a director.

Mr. Palmer: You elect your delegates before you
elect your directors. When you voted on your delegates and you elected Mr. Teeling and you elected Dr. Osgood, you then came to the election of directors, and then you elected Mr. Keyes, but Dr. Osgood was still a delegate and a director. The election of delegates comes before the election of directors.

Mr. Sawyer: When Dr. Osgood was elected delegate from his present club, he could not at that time be a delegate from the Boston Terrier Club. Therefore there was a short time in which he was not a delegate. After he was dropped as a delegate of one club, he was elected as a delegate of another club. Being dropped as a delegate of one club, he would be automatically dropped from the Board of Directors, as I understand it.

The Chairman: No necessarily. It depends on the order of the action.

Mr. Hunnewell: Dr. Osgood was a delegate all the time. His going from one club to the other occurred in the infinitesimal fraction of a second.

Mr. Teeling: The Boston Terrier Club voted after they had heard that the Lynn club had voted for Dr. Osgood to represent them, and they sent a message to the secretary withdrawing their former delegate’s credentials, and the secretary must have that letter.

THE SECRETARY: That is the fact.
Mr. Bloodgood: I don't think there is any rule that prevents anybody from being a delegate to more than one club.

THE SECRETARY: You cannot be a delegate from two clubs.

Mr. Keyes: I would like to place my resignation before the delegates to act upon as they see fit, as it is apparent that I was elected under a misapprehension.

Mr. Cutler: I think this question ought to be decided properly, and I think there is only one way to decide a question of this kind, because it is going to be a precedent. There was a vacancy, there was not any chance to elect a successor. At the time that the question came before this Board, to elect a successor to Dr Osgood he was a director. He was a delegate at that time, and there was not any reason for saying that he had not resigned his office as director. He had not been removed. How can you elect a successor to a man who is already in office? It seems to me that there is the whole nub of question. It does not matter whether he did not cease momentarily to be a delegate. The question is whether it came before the body. Was there a vacancy in the directors in the Class of 1914?
The Chairman: It depends entirely upon the record. If, as was stated, the credentials were withdrawn officially by the club, if Dr Osgood's credentials were withdrawn and received by the secretary, and there was no other notice of his election as a delegate of any other club, then Dr Osgood did, under the rules, cease to be a director. If, after that, he was elected a delegate, he could only become a director provided that a vacancy still remained open. He ceased then, and there was a vacancy, and the secretary reported that as a vacancy. The secretary will have to stated the sequence of events in order to satisfy the delegates whether they are right or wrong in any action they may take.

Dr Osgood: If the secretary would read once more what is required for the election of a delegate, you will see that a delegate, remains in office until he is removed at a meeting of the club. Any letter sent prior to a meeting is not considered until the next meeting.

The Chairman: This is a certification that so and so was regularly elected as a delegate to represent a certain club at all meetings of the American Kennel Club, the same to remain in force until these credentials have been withdrawn by said club at any meeting, or until his successor has been duly elected and accepted by the American Kennel Club.
Dr Osgood: I raise the point that the credentials, if withdrawn, must be withdrawn at a meeting according to our rule which you have just read.

Mr. Hunnewell: I object to a ruling of that kind on this basis: Supposing delegate was disqualified by the president under our present rule, he would not serve as a director pending the time between that time and the next meeting.

The Chairman: The club would have the right under its consideration to disqualified him as a delegate.

Mr. Hunnewell: Dr Osgood brought up the point that the action did not become effective until the meeting took place. I claim that a delegate could be disqualified by the President, and he could not serve as a director or delegate in the meantime, until the next meeting. He would be barred from being a director or delegate during that time.

The Chairman: Each club is governing by its own constitution.

Mr. Hunnewell: You have a right to disqualify anybody.

The Chairman: You mean by the President of the American Kennel Club?

Mr. Hunnewell: yes.

Dr Osgood: No, that is not the question. It is
simply the removal of a delegate from office at any other time by withdrawing
credentials. There is nothing about disqualification whatever.

The Chairman: The meeting in these credentials does not refer to a meeting of
the American Kennel Club, or a directors’ meeting, but a meeting of the club itself.

Dr Osgood: Our meeting is held, we will say, three months from now. Tomorrow
a club withdraws its delegate and send the secretary notice. That notice distinctly states
that he shall hold office until those credentials are withdrawn at a meeting of the
American Kennel Club.

The Chairman: The Chair will rule that the interpretation refers to the club
electing the delegate and not to the American Kennel Club.

Dr Osgood: I take exception to being removed from office. The secretary can
state that this action was not taken at a meeting of the Boston Terrier Club.

Mr. Lauder: Some years ago when the question came up, I had been the
delegate of the Scottish Terrier Club. The Scottish Terrier Club appointed another
delegate, and in the meantime I was carried on as a director, having been nominated as
a delegate by the West Highland White Terrier Club. I was carried on as directors in the
interim. I simply state that for your information, if that has
any bearing on the question.

The Chairman: It depends on the manner in which it was done. For example, as I interpret the rule with regard to that, if at a meeting of a club a member of the American Kennel Club the credentials of a delegate are withdrawn, and the notification of that withdrawal were filed with the secretary of the American Kennel Club, then and there that delegate ceases to be a delegate of that club.

Mr. Lauder: But I still remained a director.

The Chairman: He ceases under the rule to be a director at the same time.

Mr. Van Schaick: It seems to me that it is simply a transfer from one club to another.

The Chairman: Mr. Cutler makes the point that you should decide this matter today because that is the way you have acted on it, isn’t it? You did know that a vacancy existed at the time of your receiving the withdrawal of the credentials of Doctor Osgood.

THE SECRETARY: The fact is that when the Boston Terrier Club sent notice withdrawing Doctor Osgood as their delegate, they had no delegate under that withdrawal until the following meeting of the American Kennel Club, when Mr. Teeling was accepted.
Mr. Chetwood Smith: It states very directly that this man continues in office as a delegate until his successor is appointed and qualifies. The man is still a delegate until the next meeting.

THE SECRETARY: No, not if he is withdrawn.

The Chairman: It says he ceases to be a delegate when those credentials have been withdrawn or until his successor has been duly elected.

Dr Osgood: Might I ask if it does not say, “At a meeting.”

The Chairman: The Chair has rule that as referring to the club itself, and not to the American Kennel Club.

Dr Osgood: It must have been, according to the Chair’s ruling, at the meeting of the Boston Terrier Club that my credentials were withdrawn. I ask the secretary for a copy of the letter withdrawing my name as a delegate, and ask him to state to the delegates whether that action was at a meeting of our club or not.

THE SECRETARY: I cannot answer it without getting the letter.

Dr Osgood: I make a motion that the secretary be requested to get that letter.

The Chairman: This association acted on the report of the secretary that a vacancy existed, and naturally it is
impossible for you to decide this question until you have learned the facts on which he
based his report that a vacancy existed. It looks at the moment as if these credentials
were withdrawn and an official notification was given to the secretary. Now, Doctor
Osgood claims that his withdrawal as a delegate was not according to the prescribed
method in his credentials, and that he was withdrawn simply by a notice from an officer
without action at any meeting of the club, and therefore he must establish lack validity. If
that is the case, but he would still be a delegate from the club from which they claim he
had been withdrawn as a delegate. If Dr Osgood’s club is wrong, he is a delegate from
that club and they have not elected a successor.

Mr. Cutler: Here is another point: Is not the proper body to decide this question
the Board of Directors themselves? That is to say, both of these gentlemen, we
assume, claim a seat in the Board of Directors? This Board of Delegates assumes that
they were elected, that one was. The other man claims that the present man was not
properly elected. There is not any vacancy and we cannot elect anybody. One of the
men is properly elected. Is not the body to which they seek a seat the one to decide
who is entitled to it?
The Chairman: Yes, it is going to take some time to decide this question here, and I would suggest, as we have a great many questions to pass upon this afternoon, that it might be well if a committee of three were appointed to investigate the record here and then report a little later. That will save time.

Mr. Hunnewell: I think this question is one of committee work, and the question of the credentials should be referred to the Membership Committee or to the License Committee. I move that it be referred to the proper committee.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Frothingham: It seems to me that this question is purely one of technicality, in other words, legal business. I move that it be referred to the attorney, or whom ever you might employ, to be decided.

The Chairman: Your motion is out of order. The motion before the house now is that the matter be referred to the proper Committee.

Dr Osgood: I move that the secretary be requested to read the letter which he has brought forward in accordance with a previous motion.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Sawyer: I renew the motion that it be referred to a Committee.
Dr Osgood: I would like to move as an amendment, and preface my remarks by stating that I feel that I would be debarred from the meeting of the Board of Directors which I feel I am entitled to attend. I was elected according to the constitution to hold office until 1914. I have never ceased to be a delegate, and if you so rule, that upon removal as a delegate I ceased to be director, I would like to ask the Chairman to call my attention to the section in the constitution that so provides.

The Chairman: I stated, Dr Osgood, that if your credentials have been withdrawn you ceased to be a delegate. The question is whether they have been properly withdrawn. The question as to the validity of that withdrawal we now propose to refer to a Committee.

Dr Osgood: I take exception to being ruled out of the Board of Directors, even though I had ceased to be a delegate, and I ask the Chairman to show me the rule in the constitution that provides that a director ceasing to be a delegate shall cease to be a director.

The Chairman: As I recall, he has got to be a delegate to be a director. Up to the present time the directors have been elected from the delegates. In my own case I understood that I qualified by being elected by some other club which went out of existence. The reading of it is an inference which has been acted upon so far as
I can see, and it was upon that that I based my statement that that has been the accepted method of action. I understand that there have been no directors elected outside of the delegates, and this is the provision: “The incorporators shall constitute the Board of Directors until the annual meeting in 1909, or until their successors are elected. At the annual meeting in 1909, or any adjournment thereof, the delegates, and shall elect thirty directors, six of whom shall hold office until the annual meeting in 1910, six of whom shall hold office until 1911, six of whom shall hold office until 1912, six of whom shall hold office until 1913.” And so forth, enumerating the classes, and at each annual meeting thereafter the delegates shall elect six directors to hold office for five years.” There is not anything in that which specifically states that a director must be a delegate. That has been assumed or inferred. Doctor Osgood claims that not being the case, that there is nothing to prevent his remaining a director after he has been elected at the expiration of his term, whether his credentials as a delegate have been withdrawn or not. It is for you to decide this question. I ruled according to the practice as I understood it, but there is nothing in the constitution which provides that the directors are to be elected entirely from the delegates. If that is not to be the case, then the entire Board of Di-
rectors need not necessarily be delegates. You could elect six directors at the next annual meeting who were not delegates.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: Did he not always represent a club? He was never in a meeting here where he did not represent a club?

THE SECRETARY: Always.

The Chairman: There is no question raised as to that. If his credentials were withdrawn in the proper way and were lodged officially with the American Kennel Club, he did not cease to be a director because he ceased to be a delegate. If he was elected afterwards, the vacancy would still exist until the following meeting.

Dr Osgood: The constitution distinctly stated here “The club shall be governed by a Board of Directors of thirty members.” It further stated that they shall elect six members of certain classes up to 1914. I was elected in 1909, to the Class of 1914. There is absolutely nothing in that constitution that shows that the failure to remain a delegate disqualified me as a director, and further, there is a motion before the house that Mr. Vredenburgh be asked to procure and read a communication from the Boston Terrier club withdrawing my credentials and the Chair has ruled that those credentials must be withdrawn at a meeting of the club. The Chair has ruled that
It should be a meeting of the show giving club. We take no exception to that. I simple ask the secretary to read the letter in accordance with my motion and say whether it was taken at a club meeting.

Dr Osgood’s motion that the letter referred to be read was seconded carried.

The secretary then read the letter in question.

Mr. Teeling: I have waited patiently until the letter wad read, and I wish to state here now the form that we have of electing a delegate in the Boston Terrier Club. The Executive Committee elects the delegate to represent the Boston Terrier Club at the American Kennel Club. The club has no right whatever to withdraw a delegate. It can not elected a delegate, neither can it withdraw a delegate. The body that elects the delegate must withdraw him if he is going to be withdrawn. That motion was passed at an Executive Committee meeting, and the notice was sent here and filed all right in every particular, and that is the only way that a delegate can be withdrawn or elected in our club.

Dr Osgood: As a member of the Boston Terrier Club, a past president, who has held every office in the club, I want to assure everyone of you gentlemen that every action of the Executive Committee is subject to the approval of the club, and that this motion, as having been put to the club --
Mr. Hunnewell: We are talking a great deal about the Boston Terrier Club and our own rules. I move that this matter be laid on the table pending action by the proper Committee.

Motion seconded.

Dr Osgood: I move as an amendment to that motion that in view of the fact that it bars me from the meeting of the Board of Directors, the Chair rule upon the evidence submitted whether the notice as sent complies with the ruling he has made, or whether by the constitution the mere fact that I was dropped as a delegate disqualifies me as a director.

Amendment seconded.

The Chairman: The Chair declines to rule because it would be deciding the question, not of fact as to the credentials, but as to the manner in which the credentials were passed upon, and that the Chair cannot rule upon.

Mr. Hunnewell: If Dr Osgood would put his amendment in such shape that it would empower him to act as director until the Committee had put in its report, I should accept that amendment, and not the statement that you have made any ruling.

Dr Osgood: I accept that. I offer an amendment that I the interim until this matter is decided I still act as a director.
Dr Osgood: The constitution provides for thirty directors. We have thirty directors, and I do not see by what right we can have thirty-one directors.

Mr. Palmer: Inasmuch as this matter was decided by the delegates at the last meeting, I think that it is up to the delegates to assume the responsibility and to settle it now and not refer it back to any Committee.

Mr. Bloodgood: It seems to me that this should be referred to the Board of Directors. It seems to me that it is out of order here.

Mr. Teeling: I would like to ask a ruling if a man is not a member of the American Kennel Club can he be a director?

The Chairman: By inference that is the action that has been taken, but it will be necessary for you to pass upon the interpretation of the constitution in that matter, and the Chair has ruled, and the only way to interpret it is to take an exception to the ruling of the Chair. If you vote not to sustain the Chair, that would interpret the constitution to the effect that there is no provision that a director must be a delegate, and that question is removed altogether, because it is only by implication. I suggest therefore that somebody take exception to my ruling in order to test the question.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I make such a motion, that the
ruling of the Chair be sustained. Dr. Osgood was one of the original incorporators of the club, and according to the constitution, as far as I can see he is a member for life, therefore be never ceased to be a member of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Van Schaick: I second the motion that the ruling of the Chair be sustained.

The Chairman: The motion is made, and I understand seconded. If you do not sustain my ruling you will not be offending the Chair. This is done for the purpose of bringing the question to your attention and for you to interpret the constitution. If you vote against the ruling of the Chair you interpret the constitution to mean that a director necessarily does not have to be a delegate, and the constitution has been read to you, and I will read it again. If you take exception to the ruling of the Chair, you interpret that as giving you the right to elect a director from anyone in the club whether a delegate or not.

Dr. Osgood: I would like to speak on the motion before it is put. I think, gentlemen, this is a very important matter. It is not a matter of whether we want to elected directors from outside the body, but it is a matter of what the constitution says. You deprive me of the rights which the delegates gave me in 1909, to remain on this directorate for five years. I ask by what right ---
and there is absolutely not one word in that constitution that gives you the right to bar me
from the Board of Directors. Not I recognize Mr. Belmont does not want to make the
ruling. He wants the matter discussed here because it is an important matter, and some
amendment to this constitution should be made whereby a director must be a delegate,
which is not at present in there. I was one of those who drew up that constitution, and I
know pretty well what is in it. The only thing that qualifies the removal of anybody is
Section 6: “In the event of an incumbent of office (excepting secretary and Treasurer
and members of the Pacific Coast Advisory Committee) ceasing to be a director, his
term of office, or as Committeeman, shall then and there cease.” That is the only
qualification in that constitution that puts anybody out of office, and that is a man ceasing
to be a director or ceasing to be a Committeeman, and I would like to call the Chair’s
attention to that. I feel really that the Chair does not want to be sustained in a ruling that
is not right, and it seems to me that we should not sustain the Chair in this ruling, but
that we should make an amendment in proper form and have it published and reported
to the next meeting that a director must be a delegate.

The Chairman: If you do not sustain the Chair then Dr Osgood naturally remains
a director. He never ceas-
ed to be a director and the election of his successor is void. That is a very easy way to dispose of it.

Mr. Howard Willets: In view of the fact that this constitution says absolutely nothing about who the delegates shall elect as directors, it is plain that we may elect anyone we choose. You cannot read into the constitution anything else. Custom may have changed it, but you can not change it. We as delegates elected Dr Osgood as a director to serve until 1914, and we cannot put him out simply because he is not a delegate. He is a director under that constitution. When we elected him last yes, or whatever year it was, we elected him, and it makes no difference whether he was a delegate or not. Under that constitution this club has the right to elect anybody, and Dr Osgood has never ceased to be a director, and he is a director until 1914, and the club did what it did unconsciously in electing Mr. Keyes to fill that vacancy, because there was no vacancy. I think this discussion is entirely outside of the matter. That is one reason what I think the Chair ought not be sustained in this ruling.

Mr. Cutler: I think this is one of the most important questions we have had come before us, and ought to be discussed in all seriousness. I remember very well when the question came up before. I think it was Mr. Willets at
that time who argued very similar to the manner in which he is arguing now. Gentlemen, as I understand it, it was said at that time that there was no provision in the constitution which provided that a director need be a delegate. The spirit of that constitution is, it seems to me, that the delegates shall select the directors and shall select them to serve five years, and any other interpretation of that constitution would mean that we cannot have our way about governing our own club; that some local difficulty in a local club may prevent the American Kennel Club from having the most valuable member perhaps in our whole organization from serving on our Governing Board. It is a good deal of a question whether it is wise to day that the Board of Directors should be the same as the Board of Delegates. It would not be, it seems to me, at all out of accord with the real spirit of the constitution of the American Kennel Club to say that they should be made up of a different personnel. The delegates come here elected to represent different clubs, clubs having various interests, specialty clubs, show giving clubs, clubs of local interest and clubs of general interest throughout the country, and the local club of course would be the best judge of what person could represent it best. But when those delegates come here and elect a Governing Board, it seems to me that it would be a wiser provision to have the delegates select the directors
wherever they may find them and get the best talent available in the dog fancy. It does not seem to me, to restate the question, that the delegates when they have chosen a board of thirty directors to serve in five sections, five classes, each class to serve five years, that its will expressed by that election ought to be invalidated by some local quarrel that may exist in some little club, we know not where not we know not how it may have arisen. I can well conceive of a man who was the most valuable person that we could have in the American Kennel Club and the most valuable person that the American dog fancy could have, his services being rendered unavailable simply because one majority out of a club of give or six did not want him here. It only turned on a clause or a sentence that made that decision in the first place, and we have now come to a point where it is before us again, and it is a good deal of a question in my mind whether we ought to sustain that precedent. There is something to be said on both sides, I know, but I have often thought – I considered it at the time that the original decision was made, and I have though of it a great many times, and I had a great deal to do with the drawing of that constitution. I have doubted many times whether we ought to have decided in the way we did, and I do not think there is one word in that constitution which says, either expressly or impliedly that
the directors should necessarily have to be delegates. I therefore think it would be a fair interpretation of that constitution and much more to the good of the American Kennel Club and the dog fancy and to have the best talent in the country to serve on that Board rather than have local clubs decide whom we will have.

DR. DE MUND: I rise to a point of order. Our rules expressly provide how every question of interpreting the constitution and by-laws must be judged. If you will turn to that rule you will see that the Rules Committee are the sole arbitrators at first as provided for in the By-laws.

Mr. Keyes: As I seem to be mixed up in this unfortunate affair, I think, as I said before, that I should be very glad to place my resignation before the delegates, as I was elected through a misinterpretation of the rule.

A roll call vote was then taken on the motion to sustain the ruling of the Chair, which resulted in the ruling of the Chair being not sustained by twenty-six to eighteen votes.

The Chairman: The vote on the roll call was twenty-six noes and eighteen ayes. The Chair is therefore not sustained. The result of the vote is that Dr Osgood, being a director was not disqualified from his directorship by reason of the withdrawal of his credentials as a delegate. It will be now in order to move the correction
of the record to conform with that direction.

Mr. Howard Willets: I make such a motion.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Howard Willets: I would like to amend the constitution by proposing an amendment which shall read that the Board of Directors shall elect as a Board of Directors from their own number, consisting of thirty members.

Motion seconded.

The Chairman: I understand that this will have to go to the annual meeting, and therefore no action will be taken until then.

Motion carried.

Mr. Hooley: I think that the club should take some action in some way in regard to the gentlemen who was elected. He has certainly been very gracious in the matter, and we should express some regret for our action in putting him in the position he has been put in.

Mr. Moore: I move that the club express its regret to Mr. Keyes in placing him in this unfortunate position.

Motion seconded and carried.

The following named clubs were elected to membership in the American Kennel Club.

THE SECRETARY: The Bulldog Breeders’ Association of American has been refused approval until such time as the Bulldog Club of America shall file its consent to its membership.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs as delegates:

Scottish Terrier Club    William H. Mulford
Eastern Dog Club    George S. West
Kennel Club of Philadelphia    J. Chandler Barnard
Toy Spaniel Club of America    Daniel P. Ritchie
Plainfield Kennel Club     Rupert W. K. Anderson
Manchester Terrier Club of America    William P. Wolcott
Greyhound Club of America    Robert Sedgwick, Jr.
Washington Kennel Club     Emory M. Wilson

THE SECRETARY: I ask the privilege of reading the report of the Pacific Advisory Committee of April 4, and May 2

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at the Palace Hotel, San Francisco
April 4, 1913

PRESENT: W. W. Stettheimer, J. E. deRuyter, N. T. Messer, C. W. Conlisk
ABSENT: Carroll Cook

Mr. Stettheimer in the Chair.
The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The secretary stated that he was in receipt of a letter from Mr. Cook, announcing that illness was the cause of his absence from this meeting, and on motion, Mr. Cook was excused.

It was moved and seconded that the rules be suspended, in order to hear the appeal of G. L. Meade: Disqualification for infraction of Rule II. Appeal for reinstatement, on trial being made – It appeared that G. L. Meade acted as an official at two certain shows held under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, while in ignorance that the shows were so held, and on his signing an engagement to support only shows held under American Kennel Club rules:

It was moved and seconded, that said G. L. Meade be and is hereby restored to good standing. Carried.

The secretary read the following report:

San Francisco, April 4, 1913

Pacific Advisory Committee

Gentlemen:

I take pleasure in advising you that the nomination of Mr. Charles W. Conlisk to the vacant seat on this Committee was unanimously confirmed by the American Kennel Club.

The Pacific French Bulldog Club of San Francisco and the
Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club of Oakland, Cal. have applied for membership; the Spokane Kennel Club has applied for a license to hold a show at Spokane on Sept 15, 16, 17, 18, 1913, and the Ladies' Kennel Association of So. California, for a license to hold a second show at Los Angeles on Nov 27, 28, 19, 1913.

All these applications are in conformity with the rules, and I recommend that they be granted.

The case of Smith vs. Bonham has been postponed to this meeting.

I submit the application of G. L. Meade for retrial, the appellant has presented himself for trial.

Mrs. J. Tremble complains of treatment accorded her at the L.K.A. show on November 1912.

The Tacoma Kennel Club has been obliged to cancel their show on account of rabies scare. They have issued their premium list, but now request the refund of deposits and license fees. As the Committee granted a similar application from the Salem club, I would recommend the same course in this case.

Dates for eleven shows have been approved to date, and the two applications for license before this Committee, will, if granted bring the total to thirteen.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman, secretary
On motion, the report was approved.

The following clubs were elected to membership on the American Kennel Club:
The Pacific French Bulldog Club of San Francisco, Cal.
The Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club of Oakland, Cal.

The following applications for license were approved:
Spokane Kennel Club to hold a show at Spokane, Wash. on Sept 15, 16, 17, 19, 1913.

Ladies Kennel Association of So. Cal. to hold a show at Los Angeles, Cal. on Nov 27, 28, 29, 1913.

On motion, it was resolved that the case of Smith vs. Bonham referred to this Committee by the secretary of the American Kennel Club, be laid on the table.

In the matter of Mrs. J. Tremble, complaining of various matters at the Los Angeles show of November 1912, it was resolved that the secretary inform the writer as to the rules.

In the matter of the application of the Tacoma Kennel Club for the return of their deposits and license fees; it was moved and seconded, that inasmuch as the Tacoma Kennel Club through no fault of its own is unable to hold its contemplated show by reason of a serious rabies scare and the consequent wholesale shooting and poisoning of dogs:
This Committee do hereby recommend to the American Kennel Club, all deposits and fess of said club be returned to it. Carried.

The Chair made the following statement:

“I deem it my duty, however disagreeable, to place before you the circumstances connected with a recent decision of the delegates of the American Kennel Club.

I refer to the annual meeting held on Feb 18, 1913, where an adverse vote was cast on the proposed amendment to amendment of Rule 18, Rules Governing Shows.

The proposed statement originally read:

“A professional handler shall not be eligible to act as a judge. The definition of a professional handler is a person who handles, boards, conditions or shows dogs for money as a means of livelihood.”

A request has been made originally by me and later on by the Pacific Advisory Committee unanimously endorsed by twelve clubs under our jurisdiction at the semi-annual convention of delegates held in San Francisco Feb 14, 1913, which request asked to have the amendment amended to read:

“This rule shall not apply to Shows held under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee.”

When I first heard of the proposal of this amendment #18 I felt convinced that the adoption of same would re-
sult in unnecessary hardships for the coast and impair its natural growth, and therefore made it my business when in New York last Jan., to protest in person against the adoption of same to the First Vice-President of the American Kennel Club, to the Chairman of the Rules Committee, and to the secretary of the American Kennel Club, and pointed out following objections in detail, which in my opinion, were worthy of more serious consideration than they ultimately received at the meeting.

Firstly. The show giving Clubs under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee, number 26, and during 1912, 19 shows were held.

Secondly. The distance from the Atlantic to the Pacific Coast is about 3,000 miles, the return railroad fare including Pullman and Meals and other incidentals, figures roughly $250.00, and the traveling time from coast to coast consumes from four to five days each way.

Thirdly. The greatest difficulty confronting a show giving Club on the coast is the booking of a judge who can act as an all rounder. The compensation asked for usually runs between $350.00 and $600.00, which figure is not out of the way from a judge’s standpoint, it being practically a two weeks trip, but on the other hand this figure confines the Coast Show giving Clubs to the selection of one judge.
for the entire show who must be capable of passing on all breeds. It is practically out of
the question owing to the huge expense, to select specialists. To my knowledge, nearly
every show held on the coast is a money losing proposition.

Fourthly. Notwithstanding the prevalent idea that there are a number of all round
judges to choose from, the Coast has found it no easy matter to secure same, and I
have definite data that it is sometimes necessary to wire to as many as a half a dozen
different judges before being able to make connections. It is practically a matter of
impossibility to sign up any judge during the months of Jan, Feb or early in March, due to
the Westminster and Boston Shows which require their presence either in capacity of
exhibitors, superintendents, handlers or reporters, etc.

Fifthly. By eliminating handlers as judges, the choice of all rounders would be
restricted to very few men, and it has been the experience of show giving Clubs on the
Coast, that no all rounder draws well, if brought out too often. I quotes Mr. Mortimer,
beloved by all as a most capable judge, who visited the Coast in 1912 after three years
absences; drew an entry of over five hundred dogs in San Francisco during March was
requested to judge Santa Cruz four
months later, and while this town is situated but 90 miles from San Francisco and easy of access by railroad, the entry there dwindled down to about 150 dogs, consisting chiefly of the late San Francisco winners and some Santa Cruz local dogs.

Sixthly. The subject of local judges was thoroughly gone over, and the development of same on the coast was pointed out as rather slow, chiefly due to the fact that the opportunities of studying the breeds is restricted to those few fortunate ones who have embraced the opportunity of visiting our large Eastern and Foreign Shows regularly. Above fortunate ones are mostly kennel owners or breeders who do not want to deprive themselves of the few opportunities of showing their own dogs, and the recently enacted rule preventing judges from exhibiting has deprived the Coast in most instances of securing certain amateurs as judges, whose services formerly could be counted upon.

Seventhly/ I pointed out the very important fact, especially to the Chairman of the Rules Committee, that the professional handlers were held in high esteem by the various Coast show giving Clubs and the bulk of the fancy. The catalogues of the various Shows at which these professional handlers acted as judge, bore out my statement. I also stated that from what I was able to gather from many active fanciers in the East, the ability of pro-
fessional handlers to act as competent judges was fully recognized and accepted. In discussing the subject, I was not ready to accept the theory advanced, that their proposed elimination as judges in the East was a popular move in the right direction, and I could not reconcile myself to the action of the Investigating Committee whose report was printed in the American Kennel Gazette, December 31, 1912, from which I learned that two professional handlers had been summoned for infractions of rules, had been found guilty, were merely censured, also warned against the second offense.

The report continues with a recommendation prohibiting professional handlers from judging at any show, and I did logically infer that this recommendation was entirely due to infractions committed by two men, therefore, expressed myself that it appeared a grace injustice to legislate a body of experienced dog judges out of office because two men had committed infractions, which the Investigating Committee did not deem sufficiently grace to place before a Trial Board, and I furthermore, criticized what seemed to me an undemocratic move in the wrong direction, and pure class legislation, viz.: the denial of judging privileges to men in good standing with the American Kennel Club, whose worldly possessions were small, thereby
obliging them to condition and handle dogs for the purpose of being able to follow the
game.

Eighthly. I was assured of the support of the chairman of the Rules Committee
that the Coast would be exempt from the rule, and had all reasons to believe that the
proper consideration would be given to my arguments; in fact, I felt that the work had
been practically accomplished. I may quote as a precedent, that when the rule was
proposed three or four years ago, denying all Ribbon Shows with less than 250 dogs
benched, any points towards Championship, I protested to the Secretary of the
American Kennel Club against the application of this rule to clubs under our jurisdiction,
and without any amendment to the rule, the Coast was exempted on the grounds that
we were operating under a different schedule of points towards Championship than the
East. I may add, that at the same time I argued that this rule would be a great hindrance
towards building up in the middle West, a very much neglected territory, where no Show
could muster over 200 dogs, and yearly thereafter I made a plea towards the abolishing
of this rule so that all territory west of Chicago and east of the Coast line could be
developed, but it was only this year after the territory unfortunately, had been invaded by
an outlaw league, that action was finally taken.

You gentlemen will remember that at the official semi-
annual convention of members of the American Kennel Club, in San Francisco on Feb 14, 1913, with 12 delegates representing their clubs present, I outlined the conversation had in New York for the purpose of receiving your approval as well as the endorsements of the various show giving clubs; same was unanimously given and substantiated by a telegram forwarded to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club reading as follows:

A. P. Vredenburgh, #1 Liberty Street, New York.

Charles W. Conlisk unanimous choice convention Coast clubs and endorsed by Committee and nominated to Board of Directors for election to Committee caused by expiration of Stewart’s term. Convention unanimously urges Pacific Coast be exempted from amendments to Rule four governing shows, and Rule 18 governing shows. Sentiments very strong that serious injury Coast interests would result from those amendments. Twelve clubs represented at convention and request you notify their delegates as follows: Golden Gate, Western Fox Terrier, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Ladies, Toys, Airedale, Del Monte, Sonoma, Pasadena, Northern California.

In explanation of the protest to Amendment of Rule IV governing Shows, will state that the proposed new rule was to empower the License Committee to withhold winners classes etc. The Coast Delegates disapprove of the ruling, on the
grounds that same would cause more harm than good on the coast, - and the objection was included in the telegram, although the Delegates understood that the application of same would not be enforced on the Coast by the Pacific Advisory Committee, which in its agreement with the American Kennel Club, was granted the powers of the license Committee.

I now turn to the stenographer’s transcript of the annual meeting of the Delegates of the American Kennel Club, Feb 18, 1913, and call your attention to the fact that the Secretary’s report contains no mention of an official telegram of instruction sent him by the Pacific Advisory Committee and 12 members of the American Kennel Club with a request to notify their respective delegates nor were the contents of this telegram mentioned during the discussion of amendments 4 and 18. That the telegram was received was clearly shown by the appointment of Mr. Charles W. Conlisk to the pacific Advisory Committee which was portion of same, and I furthermore was informed last week that the telegram was turned over by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club to the Rules Committee. Had it been intended for the rules Committee it would have been addressed to the Chairman of this Committee.

I will now read you the discussion on the Amendment, and if after hearing same, you gentlemen, can conclude that
our request was given the slightest consideration or even the proper amount of courtesy, I am at your disposal to be convinced.

(Chairman read Stenographer’s transcript of meeting of delegates of American Kennel Club Feb 18, 1913.)

I shall leave the verdict to you gentlemen, regarding the proper manner of proceeding, but for myself, I will simply say that the time has evidently arrived when the parent body has seen fit to disregard a most earnest request made by a Committee specially appointed for the purpose of guarding its interests on the Pacific Coast, and I personally feel that my usefulness on the Committee is ended, as I cannot reconcile myself to the parent body’s recent action.

On motion of Mr. Conlisk, it was resolved to take a recess for the purpose of considering the Chairman’s statement.

On reconvening, Mr. de Ruyter moved and Mr. Messer seconded:

“After listening to the clear exposition of the history of this unfortunate matter by our chairman, two results of it become directly apparent and demand out immediate attention.

1st. The American Kennel Club, by refusing to act in accordance with the request of 12 show-giving clubs on
this Coast endorsed by the unanimous vote of their Advisory Committee, have in my opinion clearly shown that they are not in sympathy, harmony or accord with the requirements of the Kennel world of the Pacific Coast.

2nd. That in refusing to be guided by the unanimous and unequivocal advice of this Committee, it becomes apparent that we as a Committee are placed on the impossible position of advising the American Kennel Club when our advice is neither wanted nor heeded.

Therefore I offer the following resolution:

This Committee views with both alarm and regret the refusal of the American Kennel Club to heed their strongly expressed wish and advice that the Pacific Coast be exempted from the operation of the law forbidding clubs to employ professional handlers as judges at their shows, believing that this law will ultimately end the existence of a large number of our Coast shows; moreover, this Committee declines further to occupy the undignified position of advising where their advice is neither potent nor vailing and, while expressing all loyalty and regard for the Parent body, they and their Secretary hereby resign individually and collectively as members and Secretary respectively of the Pacific Advisory Committee, said resignation to take effect immediately. In order, however, that neither the Kennel interests of the Coast nor the Am-
erican Kennel Club in New York shall be embarrassed by our action, I suggest that we continue to care for such business as may require our attention until April 30th, next; after which all matters shall be referred to the home office in New York.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Mr. Stettheimer yielded the Chair to Mr. Messer and moved that a vote of thanks be accorded to Mr. J. P. Norman for his long services to the Pacific Advisory Committee and American Kennel Club.

Mr. de Ruyter seconded and the motion was Carried.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman
Secretary

INFORMAL MEETING OF FORMER PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at Palace Hotel, San Francisco, May 2, 1913

Present: W. W. Stettheimer, Carroll Cook, J. E. de Ruyter, Charles W. Conlisk, Nat T. Messer, J. P. Norman

Mr. Stettheimer in the Chair.

The following resolution was moved, seconded and Carried.

WHEREAS, the Pacific Advisory Committee resigned from the American Kennel Club on April 4, 1913; same resignation to take effect immediately, and due notice thereof was
sent by registered mail to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club in April 7, 1913, same resignation being accompanied by an offer to take care of any business needing immediate attention until April 30, 1913, so that neither the kennel interests on the Coast not the American Kennel Club in New York would be embarrassed, and

WHEREAS, to date May 2, 1913, no communication has been received by this Committee from the AKC indicating that any official action had been taken to acquaint the Clubs under former Pacific Advisory Committee jurisdiction that all matters should in future be referred to the home office in NYC.

BE IT RESOLVED, that for the purpose of avoiding unnecessary delay to Coast Clubs, the members of the former Pacific Advisory Committee of the American Kennel Club hereby request Mr. J. P. Norman, their former Secretary to immediately notify all Clubs interested of the resignation of the Pacific Advisory Committee by forwarding a copy of the minutes of the meeting held on April 4, 1913, and be it furthermore

RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club for his information and guidance.

W. W. Stettheimer
Nat T. Messer
Carroll Cook
On motion, the meeting adjourned sine die

Attest: J. P. Norman
Secretary

The Secretary: I also read the minutes of the meeting of the Pacific Advisory Committee held on Feb 15, 1913.

MEETING OF PACIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Held at the St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco
Feb 15, 1913

Present: W. W. Stettheimer, N. J. Stewart, J. E. de Ruyter, N. T. Messer, C. Cook

W. W. Stettheimer

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and on motion approved.

The Secretary read the following report:
Feb 15, 1913, Pacific Advisory Committee

Gentlemen:


Three clubs have failed to pay their 1913 dues, and are therefore, suspended; they are, Alameda County, Sno-
homish County and Vancouver Exhibition Association.

I submit application for membership in due form from the Northwestern Boston Terrier Club of Seattle and they Bay Side Kennel Club of Southern California, of Venice, Cal.

Various communications are submitted, including appeal from W. G. Cox to reopen case vs. Colorado Kennel Club, accompanied by deposit of $10.00.

Papers in re George W. Smith vs. Mrs. S. L. Bonham, charge of misconduct, Cause of action took place in Chicago and St. Louis, and case is remanded to this Committee by the AKC because the defendant lives at present in San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. Norman, Secretary

On motion the report was approved.

On motion it was Resolved, that the Northwestern Boston Terrier Club with headquarters at Seattle, having made the consent of the Pacific Boston Terrier Club a part of its application, be and is admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club.

On motion it was Resolved, that the Bay Side Kennel Club of Southern California, with headquarters at Venice, be and is admitted to membership in the American Kennel Club.

Re Cox vs. Colorado Kennel Club: an appeal to reopen
It was moved and seconded that appellant’s appeal be denied and deposit returned. Carried.

In the case of Smith vs. Bonham, referred to this Committee by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, it was

Resolved that consideration be postponed to next meeting.

The Secretary reported that the semi-annual Convention of Delegates had unanimously recommended Charles W. Conlisk, to this Committee for the vacancy caused by the expiration of Mr. N. J. Stewart’s term of office.

It was moved and seconded that this Committee do hereby approve such recommendation, and that Charles W. Conlisk is hereby unanimously nominated to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club, for appointment to the vacant seat on this Committee. Carried.

It was moved and seconded that this Committee views with the utmost regret, the retirement of Mr. Norman J. Stewart from its ranks, and extends to him the sincere thanks of the Committee and the American Kennel Club, for his services unselfishly and unremittingly rendered to the Kennel interests of the Coast, and for the sacrifices of time and energy involved in those services, Carried by
standing vote.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Attest: J. P. Norman
Secretary

The Secretary: I also read the minutes of the Semi-Annual Convention of Coast Clubs, held Feb 15, 1913; also the minutes of a meeting called by the Golden Gate Kennel Club on the 13th day of May, 1913; also a communication from the Seattle Kennel Club, dated May 14, 1913.
Present:

Hon. Carroll Cook, representing Northern Cal. Kennel Club.
Thomas R. Collins, representing Santa Cruz Kennel Club.
W. W. Stettheimer, representing San Mateo Kennel Club.
A. Russell, representing California Airedale Club.
J. W. Lilienthal, Jr. representing Western Fox Terrier Breeders Association.
I. C. Ackerman, representing Golden Gate Kennel Club.
G. A. Nieborger, representing Ladies Kennel Association of Cal.
E. C. Rand, representing Sonoma County Kennel Club.
N. J. Stewart, representing Santa Cruz County Kennel Club.
A. J. Molera, representing Del Monte Kennel Club.
Nat T. Messer and J. E. de Ruyter, members of Pacific Advisory Committee.
J. P. Norman acted as Secretary of the Convention.

Mr. W. W. Stettheimer in the Chair.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
The Secretary read the following report:
Feb 15, 1913, Delegates of Pacific Coast Kennel
Clubs:

I am pleased to report that the year 1912 has equaled the record breaking year, 1911 – as shown by the following figures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHOWS</th>
<th>DOGS</th>
<th>POINTS</th>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>NEW MEMBERS</th>
<th>LICENSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1911</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4095</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4097</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have a communication from the Seattle Kennel Club, asking that American Kennel Club or Pacific Advisory Committee, furnish at cost, the rules governing dog shows printed ready for insertion in the premium lists.

The Ladies Kennel Association of So. California, writes endorsing the proposed new rule of the AKC, abolishing all unclassified specials.

J. P. Norman
Secretary Pacific Advisory Committee

On motion, the report was approved.

Mr. Cook brought up the notice given at the previous meeting of a motion that future conventions be held annually instead of semi-annually, and moved that said motion be adopted. Mr. Russell seconded the motion, which was Carried.

The Chair stated that Mr. Norman J. Stewart’s term on the Committee had expired, and that it would be in order to
nominate a successor to the vacancy, which nominations would be forwarded by the
Committee to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club for election at the
annual meeting in New York on the 18th inst.

Mr. Russell nominated Mr. Charles W. Conlisk and Mr. Collins seconded, and the
nomination was unanimously Carried.

It was moved seconded and carried, that this Committee recommend to the
American Kennel Club that the clubs in the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee
be exempted from the operating of the proposed amendments to Rule IV, on the ground
that serious injury would result to Pacific Coast interest.

Rule XVIII. On the same ground, as the Coast by reason of its geographical
position, is unable to secure judges, who are not handlers, except at prohibitory
expense.

It was moved seconded and carried, that the Secretary of the Pacific Advisory
Committee be requested to forward the following telegram to the Secretary of the
American Kennel Club:

A. P. Vredenburgh, 1 Liberty Street, NY

Charles W. Conlisk unanimous choice Convention Coast Clubs and endorsed by
Committee and nominated to Board of
Directors for election to vacancy on Committee, caused by expiration of Stewart’s term.

Convention unanimously Urges Pacific Coast be exempted from amendments to Rule IV, governing shows and Rule XVIII, governing shows. Sentiment very strong that serious injury Coast interests would result from those amendments. Twelve clubs represented at convention, and request you notify their delegates as follows:

Golden Gate, Western Fox Terrier, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Ladies, Toys, Airedale, Del Monte, Sonoma, Pasadena, Northern California.

The suggestion of the Seattle Kennel Club that the American Kennel Club furnish at cost the printed rules for insertion in premium lists, was recommended to the American Kennel Club for favorable consideration.

On motion, the Convention adjourned.

Attest:  J. P. Norman,
          Secretary
At a meeting called by the Golden Gate Kennel Club on the 13th day of May, 1913, at Room 402 Humboldt Bank Building, to which meeting the various delegates of members of the American Kennel Club under former Pacific Advisory Committee jurisdiction were invited to be present, the following resolution was unanimously adopted to be forwarded to the American Kennel Club to be read and made part of its official minutes at the meeting to be held May 20, 1913.

WHEREAS, at the semi-annual convention of members of the American Kennel Club under Pacific Advisory Committee jurisdiction held in San Francisco on Feb 15, 1913, and official telegram was forwarded to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club in New York, instructing him to inform all delegates representing members to vote against the adoption of proposed amendments to Rules 4 and 18 governing shows at meeting to be held in NYC, Feb 18, 1913, and whereas, the contents of said telegram were not made part of the official record of Feb 18 meeting, nor the receipt of same with voting instruction disclosed to any of the Coast delegates causing them to remain in ignorance of the wishes of their clubs, and whereas due to this lack of knowledge, our delegates voted against our specific interests.

BE IT RESOLVED, that we protest against the adoption
of amendments to Rules 4 and 18, and call for a reconsideration of the votes on same at the meeting to be held May 20, 1913, and

BE IT FURTHERMORE RESOLVED, that the American Kennel Club at its May 20th meeting be requested to select a delegation to visit San Francisco, and meet a convention to consist of delegates representing various members under former Pacific Advisory Committee jurisdiction, at which convention a draft of some government rules for the Coast in accordance with the constitution and by-laws of the American Kennel Club will be submitted to said Eastern delegates for adoption.

Golden Gate Kennel Club
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club
Del Monte County Kennel Club
Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club
San Mateo Kennel Club
Pasadena Kennel Club
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association
Pacific Coast Toy Dog Association
Pacific French Bulldog Club
Ladies Kennel Association of California
Sonoma County Kennel Club
Colorado Kennel Club
Santa Clara County Kennel Club

Attest: Irving C. Ackerman
Pres. Golden Gate Kennel Club
May 14, 1913

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
NYC

Dear Sir:--

I am in receipt of several communications from the P. A. C. touching the matter of its ceasing to exist, by reason of resignation, also a call for a meeting for May 13th, at San Francisco, which was not mailed from San Francisco until May 9th, and received by me May 12th. The Seattle Kennel Club is vitally interested in this whole matter, and would have had a representative at the meeting if notified in time.

At this distance we may not know just all the facts that go to make up the incident, but have some information by letter and other sources. The Seattle Kennel Club is in accord and heartily favors the new rule prohibiting handlers from acting as Judges.

We find no difficulty in securing satisfactory Judges,
or at least as satisfactory as is possible.

We think the action of the P. A. C. in this matter insubordinate, hasty, ill considered, and to say the least childish, and think a change in the personnel and location of the Committee would work to the advantage of the entire Coast.

Very respectfully,

W. B. Bailey, Secretary

The Secretary: I submit the resignation of Clarence Sackett, as a delegate representing the Seattle Kennel Club.

On motion the same was accepted.

Mr. Howard Willets: I have been instructed by the San Mateo Kennel Club, which I represent, to bring before the delegates the question of the amendment to rules 4 and 18, in regard to the clubs formerly under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee being exempted from such rules and I have been instructed to give notice to this meeting that I must bring that up at the proper time and try to have it passed, and I now give such notice, so that it will go before the Rules Committee at the next meeting.

The Secretary: The rule went into effect on the 18th of this month, which includes the whole country.
Mr. Mortimer: I proposed an amendment to the Rules Committee, which I think would obviate that rule altogether, and I will ask Dr. De Mund, as Chairman of the Rules Committee, if he will read that amendment of mine, if the Chair is willing to have it read.

Dr. De Mund: I would state that Mr. Mortimer’s amendment was proposed and brought in after the Rules Committee had adjourned, and it could not be acted upon because that was the last meeting of the Rules Committee prior to the publication of the Gazette. Under the rules, a new rule, or an amendment must go first to the Rules Committee to be acted upon by them, published in the Gazette and then appear before the Delegates. The last meeting of the Rules Committee was held just before the Gazette went to press, and Mr. Mortimer’s amendment was proposed after the adjournment of the meeting of the Committee, after some of the Committee had gone home, and it was impossible to consider it under our By-laws, and therefore, it cannot be acted upon at this meeting.

Mr. Mortimer: I simply ask that my proposed amendment be read before the delegates here.

Proposed amendment by Mr. Mortimer read as requested.

Mr. Bates: I want to protest. I have received instructions from the Western Fox Terriers Breeders’ Association to protest against the handlers’ rule, with the same
instructions as Mr. Willets has received.

Mr. Mortimer: It was rather unfortunate that my letter containing these amendments was too late. I first thought of mailing the letter enclosing the proposed amendments, but knowing that the Rules Committee were meeting on this particular afternoon I brought the letter here myself and handed it to Mrs. Lewison, and asked her to at once hand it to Dr. De Mund. She told me that the Rules Committee was sitting at the time, and the letter was taken in. Of course, I do not know whether the Committee had got through with their meeting or not, but I know that Dr. De Mund and all the members of the Rules Committee were present; that is, all that were originally present were present at that time.

Dr. De Mund: I beg to say that that statement is not so. The Rules Committee had adjourned and the members were not present. There was not a quorum of the Committee present, and it could not be considered.

Mr. West: As I understand, if a delegate is instructed by his home club to vote a certain way, he must vote that way. There were twelve votes, telegraphed on here by 12 clubs from the Pacific Coast instructing their delegates to vote a certain way, and when that amendment was put those 12 delegates did not vote for it. I think they are vot-
ing contrary to the way their clubs instructed them to vote.

The Secretary: They did not receive the instructions.

The Chairman: The Secretary of the American Kennel Club was instructed to notify the delegates, and he declined to convey those instructions, not being a messenger. It was unfortunate that that happened to be the fact that they chose A. P. Vredenburgh to convey that information, but of course, it is very possible that they thought that that was the shortest way to reach their delegates, because their delegates were here. I would like to say for the American Kennel Club that it is a great misfortune that this has occurred. We have had three different rumpuses here, and in each instance we have endeavored to keep the whole kennel world under proper discipline and under the control of the American Kennel Club, but by delegating to the Pacific Advisory Board the full powers there, we were satisfied, and it has turned out to be for the best interests there.

You can well understand if you were connected with dog interests out on the Coast and you requested the American Kennel Club to exempt you because your conditions were different there than they are here, conditions under
which you really should think you should receive some consideration – they would feel in this instance if you did not listen to them, that you do not represent them. They are better interpreters of their interests than you would be. They gave a very clear statement of their position, and this is an opportunity offered to you to rectify that. You will not expect to have any official relations with the Pacific Coast if you do not yield in this one matter for the reason that you make their shows inoperative. They are not situated as you are here, and whether you like it or not, whether you think it is for the best discipline of dogdum or not, is not the question. What good is a rule for protecting kennel affairs, if those rules cannot be enforced. Therefore, if you do not yield on that subject, you will enforce no rules there of any kind. I think it is of vital importance that somebody should go, if it is necessary to pursue it, and confer with those clubs. They have published this matter far and wide. It has been sent to every single club out on the Western Coast, and to the newspapers. The first think you know you will have the Middle West making a similar request. We have had trouble with the Middle West. We have had trouble with Chicago. Chicago always gave trouble. I have never known a time when it did not, es-
especially when questions arose between New York and Chicago. We had it 25 years ago. You have the Pacific Coast to deal with. Once they are organized there you will have them reaching out to the Middle West or to Canada for reciprocal arrangements, particularly if they are active. I do not want to suggest to you that the American Kennel Club do anything undignified, but if you really want – not want, because that is not the proper spirit, but if you really feel that it is for the best interests of dog affairs that you should exercise jurisdiction all over the United States, you ought to proceed to try to do it in the proper way. That particular concession clearly has got to be made. They naturally feel that what they want should be conveyed through their delegates; that their delegates here are supposed to act for their interests. It does not make any difference about their motives, they did not want to have any votes weighing against them. There was no such spirit, as I recall from what I have gathered with regard to the subject; there was no such spirit at all. The spirit that prevailed here was that it was for the best interests of kennel affairs that professional handlers should not judge. I do not agree with that at all. there is the sort of method of conduct which prohibits; for instance, to stop drunkenness you must stop drinking. You simply presuppose that professional handlers are prejudiced and therefore,
it must be that they have a commercial interest to serve, and you do not want them on that account. All you do is to enforce your rules and your discipline against those that you discover to be inconsistent for judging behind a chain instead of in front of you. You passed that rule, and you have brought about a very awkward state of affairs. I think and I want to say this to you in all sincerity, I think we have over-refined the American Kennel Club’s method of discipline. You have so many rules and amendments and suspicions that you have got the dog world in a ferment. This is an over-refinement. It is not practiced elsewhere, and it was only on the supposition that the professional handlers were not trustworthy. That is not a proper interpretation to put upon it. I have in mind a professional handler speaking to me about it and he felt that it was a sort of reflection. As you presiding officer I do not want to influence you and do not intent to try to influence you with regard to thin, but I want to point out to you that there has been an atmosphere created of a kind which makes for discomfort, similar to that last subject we had here about that publication disciplining a newspaper or publication, and I think we all agreed that we had no business to do that, and we all saw the error, but you know if you try to over-refine and over-do your restrictive rules – make rules too restrictive, you are going to do more harm than good, and in the case of your will go on until you finally have another uprising and for no particular reason other than that they get a little too much government.
Mr. Howard Willets: I quite agree with what you have said, and I move that the action of the delegates in regard to professional handlers be rescinded so far as it affects the clubs formerly represented by the Pacific Advisory Committee.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Frothingham: I have listened with great interest to what you have said, and I think it is one of the best things I have ever heard said as far as the dog game goes. I think what you said about pointing suspicion towards the handlers not only applies to the handlers, but I think that it applies to some of the best amateurs that we have. I do not want to mention any names, but I can see absolutely no reason why Mr. Rutherford should not judge Irish Terriers at New York and shows Fox Terriers in New York. We have a great many instances of that kind. We have Mr. Offerman, who is a great amateur judge who is in the same position. I think it would be a very good think to absolutely abolish the rule, if it is possible to do so in regard to the showing and judging business. I also think it would be a good thing to abolish the handler rule, not only so far as the Pacific Coast is concerned, but as far as we go, and if there is any possible way to do it without putting it up to the Rules Committee and waiting six months or three months or something like that, I should like very much to put the
motion in the way of being carried here.

The Chairman: I started to ask for information from the Secretary if there was any precedent for an amendment being reconsidered at a subsequent meeting. I should dislike to assume to consider a motion in order of the kind you suggest unless there was a precedent for it. Your Committee have reported, and of course the Constitution only provides that all amendments shall be carefully considered before they come to you for action, and in order to save your time. That question has therefore been properly considered, and you passed upon it and confirmed it.

Mr. Hunnewell: It is not a fact that any motion can be reconsidered if the prosper and the seconder votes for the motion? If they voted no, they could not vote for reconsideration, but they voted for the motion, therefore they have the right to reconsider. If they could do it in an hour, could they not do it at the next meeting?

The Chairman: I presume that the delegates could claim that they votes under a mis-apprehension and request a reconsideration of the motion that affected any subject at the preceeding meeting. The question is as to the rules.

Mr. Hunnewell: There is nothing new that came from the Rules Committee. We are voting on it as we voted on it that day. Suppose the two members who voted for it
moved for reconsideration, I think they have the right to do so.

Mr. Bloodgood: We had many communications disapproving of the handlers judging and we formed our opinion from those communications and from our own ideas, and I think that if A. P. Vredenburgh had communicated to the Coast delegates, the telegraphic instructions, he would have been called down by a good many members of this club. The should have communicated with their own clubs. Their desires were urged by the Chairman of the Rules Committee, and the delegates voted unanimously. I do not think there were more than three or four of the Pacific delegates here at that meeting.

Mr. Champion: We heard from the Pacific Coast. I am a delegate from the French Bull Dog Club. I recollect how they wanted this vote taken, and they wrote back that they would like to have you object to and vote against the barring of professional handlers, as they – and particularly in the middle and far west-must depend upon them to act as judges, and several of them are too good men to lose as judges. You have referred to the Middle West, and I have a letter from the Middle West on the subject.

Dr. De Mund: You spoke of the handler rule not being desired, and there has been a great deal of talk throwing the responsibility of the rule on one person or two persons. When the investigating Committee first took up the
matter they were in receipt of numerous letters from all over the country asking that that rule be made. They reported it to the delegates a their suggestion. It was unanimously adopted. It was adopted by the directors and then it came up from the Rules Committee and then it was unanimously adopted. Since that time it has not appeared here by we have received communications from the Coast, from thirty exhibitors are the last Golden Gate Show requesting that we not rescind that rule. The rule seems to be popular all over the country. Personally I do not care one way or another about it, but think it is only fair that we should understand the situation and I think that the majority of the delegates here know that the sentiment all over the country is in favor of that rule. That is what the investigating Committee went by, not by the wishes of any man on the Committee or member of it; merely the sentiment we received.

Mr. Frothingham: I think when Dr. De Mund speaks about it being adopted unanimously, that that is not quite true. At the last meeting I votes against it. I think there were a great many people who had it sprung on them suddenly, and did not fully consider it. When he speaks about a letter which was written from the San Francisco Show by thirty disgruntled exhibitors, I think you could get that letter from almost any show. I lived out there for a while
and I know that three of four of the exhibitors who signed it did it simply because they were beaten without any thought of anything else. It seems to me in regard to this discrimination against handlers as well as judges and against a man judging at a show when showing at a show that you have the remedy in your own hands. If a man does anything wrong you can disqualify him. There is the remedy. If anything is proven or anything comes up, your Rules Committee or License Committee or whatever it is has the power to stop him and drive him out of the game. I was well aware when this came up, and in fact every one is who has shown very much, but it seems to me just because two or three handlers have gone around at one day shows and one man would show the dogs of another, and the next day the other fellow would judge and the other man would show the dogs, it seems to me it is rather unfair to rule out all the other men just because there were two or three or four or five or six or twenty or thirty at fault. I see no reason why they should be discriminated against, and I think the Chairman’s remarks when this discussion started about being suspicious and causing all this friction, which is absolutely unnecessary, were very well timed and you have the remedy in your own hands, and I can not see any sense in it.

Mr. Hooley: Before this discussion goes any further I want to make a suggestion in regard to Mr. Froth-
ingham’s remarks. There is something that we do not want to go on record. Mr. Frothingham may know some of these exhibitors were disgruntled but they should be treated with just as much courtesy as other exhibitors who were satisfied. Mr. Frothingham probably knows thirty exhibitors who were disgruntled, and that they were poor losers, but I think we ought to be very careful how we speak of Coast people. These may be particularly good exhibitors so far as I know. I have a number of friends on the Coast and there were several in that list that I do not think were several in that list that I do not think were in that class. I also suggest that something be done today in some form in regard to appointing a trial board for the Middle West just in line with this matter; also one on the Coast is our Coast Committee has resigned as a solution of this trouble; say for instance two from Seattle, two from San Francisco, one from Los Angeles and two from Chicago and one from some other place, so that they could have their meetings in St. Louis or Chicago. This is with the understanding that they have absolutely refused to serve any more, and that our Advisory Committee out there is a think of the past.

Mr. Mortimer: I claim that my proposed amendment would do away with all this difficulty. I ask you to read it.

The Chairman: I understand that amendment perfectly well. It precludes the possibility of a man judg-
ing a dog if he has a special interest in it within a certain length of time, but I want to give you an experience of mine on this very subject when I used to show. My kennels were conducted on the regular commercial principal, not because I cared anything about the cost, but because I thought it was the only proper way to encourage the spread of the breed, so that any body could buy at my kennels, and anybody could approach my kennels just as they could professional kennels, and in that way I thought the blood would be better distributed; so I was just as much a professional breeder as my employee. I sent two terriers, one an imported one and the other a home bred one, to Providence, and the judge was not an owner and was not a breeder. He was connected with a certain newspaper and he did not know what to do. He knew these two, and he was judging behind the chain. So he gave the ribbon to this third one that happened to be mine, and he turned to my kennel man and said: “I didn’t know that was yours.” That gave that absolutely away. There was the case of a man who had no interest in dogs. That was followed by another in contrast. A very prominent breeder sent to England to beat my dog, Champion Victor. He bought a dog and bitch from a certain prominent professional breeder, and he judged often there. Whether he had any influence in the matter or not I do not know, but he was very much pleased to learn that
the Westminster Kennel Club had engaged this man to come and judge at the show. That was a professional breeder and judge and owner who certainly could have a commercial interest in the result of the competition and much to this gentleman’s surprise he put Victor over his own former two dogs. I want to say that it is not so that you must judge a professional always by the standard of personal interest, and I repeat there is my own experience, and that is why I feel that way about it. I think that a professional has a greater incentive to sustain his reputation for good judgment and impartiality sometimes more than the amateur – more than the man who is currying favor by reason of being connected with some newspaper. He has a reputation to sustain, and whole such a thing may occur as illustrated, most of them in England where I have been and where Mr. Mortimer has been, those men are above suspicion and always stood on an equal footing with Mr. Redmond and Mr. Tinne good amateurs, amateurs who have conducted their kennels on absolutely amateur lines. There is no greater compliment to a breeder whether amateur or otherwise, than to secure a big price for his dogs. I do not care what these exhibitors say, it is a very unfortunate thing to put too much confidence in them. I do not always agree with the judges. I am no longer a breeder or an exhibitor, but I have been a judge and a breeder, and I do not admit that at the time I was not up with the rest of them. Every-
body growls after a disappointment. It is human nature and too much weight ought not to be attached to what you call the disgruntled exhibitor, and particularly a reformer who thinks that everything is absolutely rotten. You will find some people that do not thinking it is possible for things to be conducted decently. That is not true and when a man whose living depends absolutely upon his fair dealings and who knows that irregularity will cost him his livelihood, you can trust to that man as well as you can trust to your rule.

Mr. Cutler: I am heartily in sympathy with what the chairman has said. It does seems to me that we ought to have some way of letting the public know that we intend to be fair to the professional members of our own fancy. I think this resolution is an insult to a large body of dog fanciers. The fact that a man follows the dog as a livelihood does not discredit him. If an amateur lacks the moral quality which makes him a fit person to judge, he ought to be debarred from judging. If a professional lacks the moral fibre which is necessary to make him a judge, he ought to be debarred from the ring, but I do not think that the line ought to be drawn between one who is a professional and one who is not a professional. I wish I could think of a way to bring it up at this meeting. You have been kind enough to refer to me as thinking about it and I was trying to think of a precedent. I doubt very much if there is a rule that requires that the matter must be laid on the table and we could reconsider it ---
The Chairman: This amendment is universal.

Mr. Cutler: Mr. Mortimer’s proposed amendment is no more than laying down as a rule what every honest man should appreciate and follow with a rule, but if it is a fact that there has been some infringement of that rule, I should think that that would be a proper rule to follow, but I do not understand that has come before the Board.

The Chairman: It can only be referred to the Committee on Rules. It is a very good amendment, but there is always this danger, any position you put a man in, if he has an interest, he is just as liable to do injustice in leaning away as to go forward. If a man is supposed to have an interest in a dog or has had one that has gone to his friend, the danger is when he comes into the judges ring that he feels that perhaps there might be some reflection on him if he gives his support to that dog because he has owned him, he is just as likely to go wrong by leaning away. Therefore it would be a good thing to debar everybody from judging or awarding a prize to a dog that he has any interest in.

Mr. Cutler: I wonder if we could not refer the whole matter to the Rules Committee with a recommendation that they will bring the matter before the next meeting in proper form, and with an intimation to the public that we want them to bring in something in favor of the rule that will do away with the so-called Handler’s Rule.
Mr. Hunnewell: Referring to Mr. Mortimer's proposed amendment, in case the Westminster Kennel Club gave a show, the dogs come into the ring and the judge finds he handled one of these dogs three months before, what is going to happen?

Mr. Moritmer: He could not judge it. You would have to withdraw the dog. This rule when published, would become public property and every exhibitor would know about it, and every man that acts as a judge will also know about it and the conditions in respect to it.

Mr. Hooley: There seems to be a feeling here that this rule applies to professionals entirely. That rule does not debar any professional who is not a handler. There will be hundreds of professional judges. Mr. Mortimer is recognized as one, Mr. Watson is recognized as another, and many others, but they are not debarred from judging. It is simply the question of a man combining the handling of dogs with judging.

Mr. Howard Willets: I made a motion sometime ago. Do I understand that it is not in order, that we cannot rescind our action?

The Chairman: It is the sense of this meeting that you cannot rescind a rule.

Mr. Howard Willets: That this body cannot rescind any previous action of its own? I did make a motion that the action of this body in regard to the handlers judging at shows be rescinded so far as it applies to the clubs under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee. It seems to me that
any body can rescind its own action.

Dr. De Mund: While I am heartily in favor of the Pacific Coast begin exempt, I do not see how we can rescind any action that we took that is embodied in the by-laws. We passed a certain rule; it has gone into effect. In order to rescind or alter or change it in any way, it must be done in the manner provided by the by-laws. That is now a rule of the American Kennel Club and I do not see how we can rescind it off-hand.

The Chairman: I ask the Secretary if there is any precedent for any such action on the part of the Kennel Club?

The Secretary: I do not recall any.

Dr. Osgood: I think Mr. Hunnewell put it very clearly, that two persons who voted in the affirmative on the motion would have a right to ask for a reconsideration.

The Chairman: I think the ground for reconsideration must be some error or something of that kind.

Mr. Cutler: I do not think the ordinary rule of parliamentary order applies here. It is a rule here, but it has got to be laid over a certain time before it can be considered. As has been said that is equivalent to the changing of a rule and you do not change it because you put it in the form of a reconsideration or recission. It is in substance the changing of a rule, and I do not think it would be fair because there may be a great many other delegates who would like to vote on that who are not here. They receive notice now, and in three months
it will come up and they can vote on it.

The Chairman: The motion is that an exemption be authorized and referred to
the Committee on Rules to report at the next meeting.

The Secretary: This amended rule is now a rule, and therefore to consider it
there must be an amendment to it.

Mr. Frothingham: May I put my proposed amendment in that, that the rule shall
not only cover the Pacific Coast, but the whole country?

Amendment seconded.

Mr. Frothingham: I move that the rule covering that clause be abolished. I would
also like to take in that rule that any exhibitor cannot judge at the same show.

The Chairman: That is a different matter altogether. You are amending a motion
in a certain way. You can follow that by proposing any word or amendment that you wish
afterwards. The amendment as you proposed it was seconded. All in favor of the
amendment to make this exemption apply to the whole country which would be
tantamount to its recission, please say aye.

Motion as amended carried.

Mr. Morse: I move that an amendment be made to rule 20 which shall read:
That anyone acting as a judge at shows is absolutely disbarred from judging simply
because the big shows, the Westminster Kennel Club and Eastern Dog Club and other
big shows, do not put them up to judge because they lose their entries.
I think that sort of question is absolutely up to the Show Committee. I have never seen it abused except in very small shows which do not amount to anything at all, and I think it is absolutely wrong to confine amateurs and gentlemen whom everyone considers authorities on breeds from judging simply on that account.

Motion lost.

The Secretary: The question of unclassified specials was laid over at the last meeting to be brought up at this meeting in order to give every club the opportunity of instructing its delegates.

Mr. Mortimer: I am instructed by the Ladies Kennel Association of America, whom I represent here as a delegate, to strongly oppose the passing of that amendment, on the ground that it will act as a detriment to their shows; that it is a usurpation of the right of shows that are in good standing; shows in good standing should be permitted to offer any special that they think proper so long as it does not conflict with existing rules of the American Kennel Club. The proposed amendment against unclassified specials would rob the Committee of the power to make the show an interesting feature to the public. Our shows everybody knows are dead enough and very few of them pay therefore no prize which is calculated to bring the public to the show to see the dogs. The special would be for the best dog in the show which assembled all the winners in their respective breeds, and for
that reason I mean to say it is not only spectacular but it is educational, and I move that the amendment to that rule be done away with.

Motion seconded.

Dr Osgood: I am instructed the same way as Mr. Mortimer is, to vote against it.

Dr. De Mund: I think that most of the delegates are instructed by their clubs how to vote, and I ask for a roll call on that.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: There has been a precedent in the American Kennel Club that it took no cognizance of the specials except to see that the same were paid as offered in the premium list.

Mr. Mortimer: The club has never made any record of specials. Superintendents of shows who think it will help them to be permitted to offer unclassified specials should be permitted to do so; those who do not, need not offer them.

The Chairman: If you vote aye on this question you vote for the amendment, but if you vote no you vote the amendment down.

A roll call vote resulted in 34 nays and 9 ayes.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
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On motion, the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, May 19, 1913.

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my usual quarterly report on
matters that require your action at this meeting.

At the annual meeting Mr. John Burke was elected a member of the Boston Trial Board. Under date of April 24, 1913, Mr. Burke for business reasons declined to accept the position and therefore it is in order at this meeting to appoint another member of said Trial Board.

Under date of April 16, 1913, W. S. Gurnee, Jr. filed his resignation as a member of the New York Trial Board; that vacancy should be filled at this meeting.

Under date of April 19, 1913, W. H. Sawyer, filed his resignation as a member of the Rules Committee; that vacancy should be filled at this meeting.

According to the action of the P.A. C. It is recommended that the license fee and deposits received from the Tacoma Kennel Club be returned as said club will not be able to hold their show on account of a rabies scare in that city I would recommend that the request be granted.

Tom B. Middlebrooke requests the return of the listing fees amounting to $8.75 which he paid on account of the show held by the New Hampshire State Fair Association at Nashua, N. H. on the ground that the AKC refused to recognize that show.

A communication was received from the Maltese Terrier Club requesting a ruling on the following question:

“A number of specials which have been offered by
the club and seem to have no chance of being won owing to stipulated conditions. The Club wishes to know whether the Members which have won legs on same give a written withdrawal of their claims, can these specials be abolished."

A communication from H. P. J. Earshaw, dated March 30, 1913, relative to a case before the Boston Trial Board in which he was the defendant, requests that inasmuch as said Boston Trial Board refuses to act, the AKC will refer the case to the New York Trial Board.

Under date of March 29, 1913, The Zoo Kennel Club appeals for a remittance of a fine of $25.00 imposed by this office for its failure to publish in its catalogue of the show of 1912, the name of the Judge of unclassified specials. The communication is at your disposal.

Dr M. Carey Corkhill protested a dog shown at the Westminster Kennel Club show in Feb last, on the ground that said dog was deaf. This protest was file within the limit of seven days after the closing day of the show, and on March 14 following the dog was examined and pronounced deaf at that time. The decision of the Westminster Kennel Club was that there was no evidence that the dog was deaf at the time of the show, therefore did not sustain the protest. The protestor now appeals from that decision.

At the meeting of December 17, 1912, it was ordered that a permanent Investigating Committee consisting of three Members of the Executive Committee should be appointed. Up
to this date, said Committee has never been appointed and I would respectfully request that the same be acted upon at this meeting.

The P.A.C. has presented a bill for disbursements from Feb 26 to April 30 amounting to $35.03, and same has been duly audited by the Auditing Committee, and I would respectfully recommend the payment of same.

At the meeting held Sept 17, 1912, the Publication Committee was given power to publish certain sections of the annual Stud Book, and a sum amounting to $250 in excess of the cost of printing the annual Stud Book was approved. The Stud Book of 1911 cost $1,616. The stud Book and five sections of 1912 cost $2,773.73 an offset to which is the sum of $185 less commission of $46.25 on the advertising account.

I have paid on account the sum of $1,875, leaving a balance due of $898.73. This bill should be audited by the Publication Committee and I will not be authorized to pay the said balance until that authorization has been given me officially by this meeting.

Pursuant to a resolution at our annual meeting to obtain a file of the “Field Dog Stud Book”, I wrote to Mrs. Howe the proprietress of these books, offering to pay for same and in reply Mrs. Rowe forwarded a full file of said books and courteously advised that she was pleased to present
the American Kennel Club with the “Field Dog Stub Book”. I sent a personal
acknowledgement of thanks for the gift and would be very glad to be directed to forward
her the official thanks of the club.

At a meeting of the Directors held May 16, 1911, Mr. S. L. Goldenberg was
appointed an agent to represent the American Kennel Club on the then proposed
Organization of an International Federation of National Clubs. This Federation has been
duly organized and I have a letter from Mr. Goldenberg, dated April 6, 1913, stating that
special conditions had been adopted by said International Federation to enable the
American Kennel Club to become a member of said Federation and he requests to be
informed whether the American Kennel Club desires to affiliate.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the quarterly Financial Report from Jan 1, 1913 to date.

Balance on hand ........................................ $24,462.14
Receipts to date .......................................... 11,335.18
Total ..............................................$35,797.32
Disbursements ........................................ 12,813.53
Balance on hand ...................................... $22,983.79

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Nominations being in order for Member of the Boston Trial Board, Mr. B. S. Smith nominated MR. Samuel R. Cutler as such member.

On motion nominations were closed.

It was moved and seconded that the secretary cast a ballot for the election of Mr. Cutler as a member of the Boston Trial Board.

The secretary stated that he had cast a ballot for the gentlemen named, and he was declared duly elected.
Mr. Palmer: I should like to ask your permission to ask the secretary why, at the time that Mr. Burke’s name was proposed in place of Judge Cutler’s, he made no mention of it or no criticism of it?

The secretary: The secretary did not think it was his business to object at the meeting. I did protest against it when it was talked of before the meeting, but when it was brought up at the meeting I did not think it was my business to interfere.

Mr. Palmer: I would like to ask why, after Mr. Burke was elected, he was so advised not to serve on that Board.

The secretary: Immediately on the notice to the Boston Trial Board that Judge Cutler had been superseded, both members of the Trial Board sent in their resignations to take effect at once, and returned to this office the papers that they then had under consideration. It was thought that there had been a great mistake made, unjust to Mr. Cutler, and there was a special meeting of the Executive Board called for the purpose of trying to undo what had been done at the annual meeting. The meeting did not eventuate because we were one short of a quorum.

Mr. Howard Willets: May I interrupt one moment? Was
Mr. Burke ever officially notified that it would be unwise for him to accept that office?

The Secretary: Not by me.

Mr. Howard Willets: Then I do not see what this has got to do with it. I think this discussion is out of order.

Mr. Palmer: I understand that Mr. Burke has sent in his resignation, or has declined to serve. The vacancy, as I understand, exists. I understand the man was elected.

Mr. Howard Willets: But I understand the question is whether he was officially notified that it was unwise for him to accept.

Mr. Palmer: Yes.

The Chairman: I sustain Mr. Willets objection to a discussion on that subject, as the Secretary stated he was not officially notified to that effect.
The Secretary: Mr. W. S. Gurnee has resigned as a member of the New York Trial Board.

Mr. B. S. Smith: I would like to nominate Mr. George Lauder jr. to fill the place of Mr. Gurnee, resigned.

Motion seconded.

On motion the nominations were closed.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary cast a ballot for Mr. George Lauder, Jr. as member of the New York Trial Board in place of Mr. Gurnee, resigned.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Lauder was declared duly elected.

The Secretary: I submit the resignation of W. H. Sawyer as a member of the Rules Committee.

Mr. Sawyer: As a retiring member of the Rules Committee I would like to nominate Mr. W. S. Gurnee, Jr..

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations declared closed.

It was moved and seconded that the Secretary cast a ballot for the election of Mr. Gurnee as a member of the Rules Committee.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot, and Mr. Gurnee was declared duly elected.

The Secretary: The Tacoma Kennel Club asks for the return of its license fee and deposit amounting to $50 on account of their not being able to hold their show because
of a rabies scare.

On motion of Mr. Van Schaick the recommendation of the Tacoma Kennel Club that its money be refunded was granted.

The Secretary: Mr. Tom B. Middlebrooke requests the return of the listing fees amounting to $8.75 which he paid on account of the show held by the New Hampshire State Fair Association at Nashua, in 1912, on the ground that the American Kennel Club refused to recognize the show. Mr. Middlebrooke claims that he paid these listing fees out of his own pocket.

Mr. Chetwood Smith moved that the amount referred to, $8.75, be refunded.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The Maltese Terrier Club request a ruling. The Club wishes to know whether the members which have won legs on specials and give a written withdrawal of their claims, can these specials be abolished.

On motion the matter was referred to the Rules Committee.

The Secretary: I am in receipt of are request from Mr. H. P. J. Earnshaw relative to a case in the Boston Trial Board, that the American Kennel Club refers the case to the New York Trial Board. I would like to state that upon the resignation of the members of the Boston Trial Board they returned the papers in this case to us.
Dr. Osgood: That case has been thoroughly gone over, and it would not take five
minutes to decide if it is referred back to the old Board.

On motion the matter was referred back to the old Board.

The Secretary: The Zoo Kennel Club appeals for a remittance of a fine of $25.00
imposed by this office for its failure to publish in its catalogue of the show of 1912, the
name of the Judge of unclassified specials.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the fine be $1.00, and that $24.00 be remitted to said
club.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Dr. M. Carey Corkhill protested a dog shown at the Westminster
Kennel Club on account of deafness. I think it was just within the seven days after the
close of the show that she entered her protest. The Westminster Kennel Club heard it
on the 14th day of March, and at that time the Vet declared the dog deaf. The
Westminster Kennel Club decided that there was no evidence produced that the dog
was deaf at the time of the show, and therefore did not sustain the protest. The
protestant now appeals from that decision.

Mr. Palmer: I move that the matter be referred to the Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill of the Pacific Advisory Committee
for disbursements from Feb 26 to April 30, amounting to $35.03, was ordered paid.

The report of the Publication Committee was read as follows:

Mr. Chairman:

Your Committee begs to report that the gross cost of issuing the separate parts of the Stud Book exceeds the amount allotted for that purpose at the Sept meeting and is informed that the Finance Committee refuses to instruct final payment to publisher for that reason.

We ask the Directors to take action on the matter at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Dwight Moore
Chairman

Mr. Moore: We proceeded with this matter, as we supposed, in a very intelligent manner. We were not printers and we were not publishers and if we committed an error it was not intentional, but certainly the bill for the Stud Book is very much in excess of last year. The advertising matter did not come in as we had every reason to believe it would from what we had been told, and the cost of publishing the regular book seems to be more in some particulars, although it does not account for any such excess sum as is shows; but we have contracted the debt and
I presume the club will have to pay it in the first instance. I ask this meeting to authorize the Treasurer to pay it and trust the experience will not be as costly as now indicated. We have 1700 copies which we hope there will be a demand for.

The Secretary: I recommend that the bill be sent to the publication Committee for auditing.

Mr. Van Schaick: I move the bill be paid.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: At the last meeting it was ordered that a permanent Investigating Committee, consisting of three members of the Executive Committee, should be appointed. There has been no appointment yet made.

It was moved and seconded that the matter be laid on the table.

Mr. Palmer: I move to amend by nominating Messrs. Ralph Stewart, Howard Willets and George Greer as members of the Investigating Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I take pleasure in submitting the names of the following as members of the Chicago Trial Board: John C. Eastman, James A. Pugh and William C. Thorne.

It was moved and seconded that these three gentlemen be appointed as members of the Chicago Trial Board.

Carried.

On motion of Mr. Hooley, an official vote of thanks
was given to Mrs. Rowe for presenting the American Kennel Club with an entire file for the Stud Book.

The Field Trial Committee reported as follows:

To the delegates of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

The Field Trial Committee begs to report that no field trials have been held.

Respectfully submitted,

Chetwood Smith
Chairman

The following communication was submitted by Mr. Chetwood Smith, president of the Massachusetts Kennel Club:

New York, May 20, 1913

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary of American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street, NYC

Dear Sir:

You are hereby advised that Mr. August Belmont was recently elected Treasurer of the Massachusetts Kennel Club.

Very truly yours,

Chetwood Smith, president

The report of the License Committee was read as follows:
New York, May 20, 1913

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

The License Committee beg to report that 11 licenses have been granted since the last annual meeting, and 2 licenses are pending.

The matters referred to this Committee at the annual meeting are now in progress.

Respectfully submitted,

A. G. Hooley

Chairman

On motion the same as accepted.

The matter of the differences of the Pacific Advisory Committee, was thoroughly discussed with the result that it was resolved to request the Pacific Advisory Committee to send a representative to New York with power to act, to consult with the American Kennel Club at any time that it suits their convenience.

The Secretary: There is a question whether the American Kennel Club will join the International Federation of National Clubs.

On motion the matter was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

On motion William G. Rockefeller was excused for absence at this meeting.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB

New York, July 15, 1913

The regular monthly meeting of the Executive Committee was held at the offices of the Club at 2:00pm this day.


New York, July 15, 1912

To the Executive Committee
American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report the following matters to this meeting for its action.

An appeal from John McGough against the decision of the Trial Board sitting in New York. I am informed that the attorneys for both parties in this case will be present to argue against the finding of said Trial Board.

An appeal from T. J. McGovern against the decision of the Long Island Kennel Club in a protest made at its late show.

An appeal from C. D. Bernheimer from the decision of the Trial Board sitting in New York, and a communication on the same subject from the Chairman of said Trial Board.

The suspension of James Watson by the Committee of the Boston Terrier Club of New York and his defense.

A communication from Dr. De Mund.

Communication from clubs on the Pacific Coast, in reply to a letter to said clubs be direction of the Board of Directors at its meeting in May last.

Charges of misconduct preferred by George W. Smith of Chicago against Mrs. L. L. Bonham of San Francisco. There charges were sent to the PAC, and returned to this office by said Board at the time of its resignation.

A communication from Andrew MacKnight requesting the loan of an original entry form made at the late St. Paul Boston Terrier Club Show.

I beg to announce the death of Singleton Van Schaick, which occasions a vacancy in the Board of Directors, Class of 1914, and also on the Stud Book Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
On motion the above report was accepted and same was ordered on file.

The appeal of John McCough against the decision of the New York Trial Board was then presented for consideration. The original charge and finding of said Trial Board was read to the meeting. B. S. Smith and C. R. Wood, members of the New York Trial Board were called upon to give the facts brought out at the late trial of Wood vs. McGough. Mr. Lederer, counsel for Mccough, and Davison. Counsel for Wood, argued the appeal. On motion the case was adjourned until Sept 24, 1913. The counsel for Wood was granted the privilege to file a cross appeal. On motion the principals in the case will be considered.

On motion of J. W. Appleton, the meeting went into election for a member of the Stud Book Committee to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of Singleton Van Schaick. A. C. Wilmerding was nominated for such office, and there being no other nomination, the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Wilmerding, said ballot being cast, Mr. Wilmerding was duly declared elected a member of the Stud Book Committee.

George S. West was nominated as a Director of the Club of 1914 to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of Singleton Van Schaick, and there being no other nomination, the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. West, and he was duly declared elected a Director for the Class of 1914.

A resolution was offered by Dr. De Mund and upon his withdrawal from the meeting, the following resolution was offered as a substitute and adopted:
“Whereas, at the last regular meeting of the Directors of the American Kennel Club, held the 20th of May, 1913, serious charges against Dr. De Mund, a resolution calling for his resignation from said club, and from divers Committees of said club were read and offered by Messrs. B. S. Smith, and M. M. Palmer; and Whereas, the said Messers. B. S. Smith, and M. M. Palmer were then and there advised by the President that the Executive Committee and not the Board of Directors, was the proper forum for presentation of such charges and resolutions. Now be it Resolved that the said B. S. Smith and M. M. Palmer be, and hereby are ordered and directed to file for hearing by this Committee, such charges in proper form against the said Dr. De Mund, and also to produce and file therewith the same paper, or a true copy thereof, setting forth the said charges as was produced and read by the said B. S. Smith and M. M. Palmer at the said meeting of the Board of Directors on the 20th of May, 1913; And it is further Resolved that he said B. S. Smith and M. M. Palmer duly prefer said charges on or before August 20, 1913.”

An appeal from T. J. McGovern against the action of the Dog Show Committee of the Long Island Kennel Club, in its failure to sustain protest made by said McGovern, was on motion referred to the New York Trial Board.

Charges against James Watson, preferred by the Boston Terrier Club of New York was referred to the New York Trial Board.

A request by two members of the New York Trial Board that the case recently before said Board against C. D. Bernheimer be reopened and investigated by the Executive Committee designated Sept 24, 1913 for said investigation.

Charges preferred by George W. Smith, Chicago, Ills, against Mrs. L. L. Bonham San Francisco, Cal. for misconduct in connection with dogs was referred to the Chicago Trial Board.

The request of Andrew MacKnight, St. Paul, Minn for the loan of the original entry form for his Collie “Laddie” at the late St. Paul Boston Terrier Club Show was refused. The Secretary, however,
was directed to send said entry form to John F. Selb, Secretary of the said St. Paul Boston Terrier Club with permission for said Selb to exhibit the entry form in question to Mr. MacKnight with the understanding that said Selb will be held personally responsible for the return of said entry form to the office of the American Kennel Club, in its original condition.

On motion, the resignation of the late Pacific Advisory Committee were duly accepted. Communications from several clubs under the jurisdiction of the late Pacific Advisory Committee were read and in accordance with recommendations, it was resolved that C. W. Conlisk, Thomas R. Collins, and F. R. Dutton be and hereby are appointed as a temporary Trial Board sitting in San Francisco, also that J. P. Norman, be and hereby is appointed temporary agent for the sale purpose of approving of all classifications of Shows by Clubs located within the jurisdiction of the late Pacific Advisory Committee.

On motion the Secretary of the American Kennel Club was directed to prepare and submit a resolution on the death of the late Singleton Van Schaick.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 1 LIBERTY STREET, NYC, TUESDAY, SEPT 16, 1913

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

P R E S E N T
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Charles R. Wood
W. S. Gurnee, Jr.
J. F. Collins
H. K. Bloodgood
M. Mowbry Palmer
Tyler Morse
Hollis H. Hunnewell
W. H. Sawyer
B. S. Smith
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
George S. West
Dr. De Mund
F. H. Osgood
On motion Mr. Parker was permitted to bring a matter before the directors.

Mr. Parker: The question is that I preferred charges against James Watson before the Trial Board, which body suspended him, and the understanding was that I was to withdraw the charges. The Boston Terrier Club also withdrew charges against Mr. Watson providing the letter which I dictated and which he signed was accepted by Mr. Smith, to be published in two papers, the Stockkeeper and Field and Fancy. This letter has not been presented by either the Trial Board or by Mr. Watson. He has also submitted a letter to the Field and Fancy of which I have a copy here, which is in no way in keeping with the letter that was given to the Trial Board.

The Chairman: Why does that not go back to the Trial Board?

Mr. Smith: Mr. Parker came to me today in regard to this matter and I told him that I had not heard from Mr. Watson since the meeting of the Trial Board when he was asked what he meant by putting me and the Trial Board in such a position, and I gave him a letter, of which I also gave this gentlemen a copy and told him exactly how things stood. Why he should bring this matter before this meeting is more than I can understand.

Mr. Palmer: In order to get action since July 19th.
Mr. Bloodgood: Why was Mr. Watson reinstated if he did not conform to the decision?

Mr. Smith: The decision of the Trial Board was, with Mr. Parker’s consent and also the consent of Mr. Waterhouse, that Mr. Watson should do certain things, and he has not done them. I went to our Secretary and asked what I should do with Mr. Watson for not complying with the instructions of the Trial Board. I wrote Mr. Watson a letter asking why he did not comply with the conditions imposed, and telling him that they must be done within the next two weeks or we would publish the affair in the Gazette.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not see why Mr. Watson should be reinstated if he has not conformed with the decision.

Dr. De Mund: I was going to say that I had a long conversation with Mr. Lauder, the other member of the Trial Board, before he sail for Europe. Mr. Lauder told me that his understanding of the case was the Mr. Watson should not be reinstated until this letter had appeared. Mr. Watson had been reinstated.

Mr. Smith: I can should you that Mr. Parker and Mr. Waterhouse and the other two gentlemen were willing to withdraw their charges provided Mr. Watson complied with this request and put this letter in the papers.

Mr. Parker: That is true, but the article has not appeared.
Mr. Smith: I cannot hold that.

Mr. Bloodgood: The American Kennel Club can control him.

The Chairman: If the Trial Board suspends him, he has the right of appeal.

The Secretary: As I told Mr. Parker before the meeting, if he would send me a communication stating that Mr. Watson had not complied with the mandate of the Trial Board, I would hand that letter to the Trial Board and the Trial Board could then act without any further action. When the Pacific Advisory Committee had a case like this, and ordered a man to do a certain thing, they always added to their findings that if it was not done within a certain time the man shall either stand suspended or disqualified. When that time arrived and he had not done what he was ordered to do, he was automatically suspended or disqualified. In this case the Trial Board failed to add that ryder that if it was not done within a certain time he would be automatically suspended. He has not done it, and the only thing is for the complaint to come before us on the 24th, and if it is placed before the Trial Board it is for them to suspend him for not obeying their decision.

Mr. Smith: Mr. Parker fails to state that both he and Mr. Waterhouse came before this Trial Board and expressed a felling of regret concerning Mr. Watson owing to his long experience in the Kennel Club, and they wanted him to get off as light as possible
The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Sept 15, 1913

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my usual quarterly report on matters that require your action at this meeting.

The following communication have been received.

June 9th, D. O. Lively, requesting recognition of the Dog show to be held by the Panama Pacific International Exposition.

August 6th, Joseph Homes, on the subject of his Membership in Wisconsin Kennel Club.

June 21st, decision of the Appellate Division in the matter for Sept 4,

Guggenheim, Mutermyer & Marshall bill for legal expense of the Stewart Suit.

Sept 10th, J. P. Norman, Special delegate of the Pacific Coast.


Sept 15th, James Watson, to be presented in Executive session.

Under date of July 29th, Mr. A. C. Wilmerding, who was elected at the last meeting as a member of the Stud Book Committee, begs to withdraw his name as a member of said
Committee, for the reason that he finds it impossible to give the necessary time from his business to attend to the business of that Committee. This leaves a vacancy to be filled at this meeting.

Under date of August 6th, Mrs. Morris Mandy, who acted as Judge of Pekingese Spaniels at the late Ladies Kennel Association of America Show at Mineola, protests against the awards in her classes as presented in her Judge’s book.

I regret to announce the reception of the resignation of Mr. Dwight Moore as a Director. Mr. Moore is the Chairman of the Publication Committee and upon the acceptance of his Committee, who will automatically become a member of the Executive Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read, as follows:

New York, Sept 15, 1913

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the quarterly Financial Report from Jan 1, 1913 to date:
Balance on hand Jan 1, 1913 $24,462.14
Receipts to date .......................... 22,262.23
Total ........................................ $46,744.37
Disbursements ......................... 27,303.53
Balance on hand ....................... $ 19,440.84

Respectfully submitted

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted.

The Secretary read the communication for Mr. D. O. Lively, requesting recognition of the Dog Show to be held by the Panama Pacific International Exposition.

The Secretary: Every International Exposition has been a failure so far as dogs are concerned. At the St. Louis Expo we agreed to recognize their awards, it being stipulated, however, that this should not be taken as a precedent. The show as an absolute fizzle, and they never sent the awards, and they have never been recognized.

On motion the matter was referred to the License Committee.

The Secretary: A man by the name of Joseph Jonas complains that having paid his dues to the Wisconsin Kennel Club. When that club consolidated with another club in Milwaukee, his name was dropped for no reason at all, and he asks the American Kennel Club to take the matter up. I replied to his communication to the effect that the American
Kennel Club never interfered in domestic matters of clubs, and that in my opinion it was clearly a case for the Civil Courts. He continued to inundate the office with letters from himself and his attorney, and finally I notified him that I would get an official opinion at the next meeting of this club.

Mr. Gurnee: I move that the opinion of the Secretary be sustained.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The Appellate Division has set aside the verdict of $10,000 award by the jury to Miss Stewart, but gave her the privilege of either accepting $2,500 damages of having a new trial. She decided that she would accept the $2,500 which I have paid with the sanction of the Finance Committee. The final bill or our attorneys for services in Stewart vs. American Kennel Club is $500 with disbursements, [illegible] $682.54. This bill is before you now for payment.

On motion the bill was approved and ordered paid.

The Secretary: After receiving a communication from Mr. C. Y. Ford, Special Delegate of the Canadian Kennel Club I had an interview with him in which it transpired that his idea was that Canadian Kennel Clubs would be permitted to join the American Kennel Club in holding their shows, and hold them under both codes of rules, so that the Canadian Club would recognize the American Wins and the American Club.
would recognize the Canadian Wins. He said these rules are practically identical; that although the wording may differ, their meaning is the same. It was also the case in nearly all of our amendments to the rules, and he cited the instance of the Toronto Club which is the leading club of the Dominion of Canada, as being ready to become a member of the American Kennel Club is such a thing was possible.

Mr. Collins: I move that that communication be referred to the Membership Committee, to report at the next meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Relative to the communication from Mr. J. P. Norman which read I refer you to Rule V governing clubs, page 17, paragraph 3. This applies strongly to the coast where dogs are so few and where a conflicting date spells ruin for one or both shows, and where above all, both clubs would want the date of one refused if they knew of the selection by the other club. With regard to my appointment as agent, if it is for the sole purpose of approving classifications, I must say that it would be too trivial for me to continue in, but if the American Kennel Club saw fit to have me act as its special agent with the understanding that all communications from and to the clubs pass through my office, and give me the power to approve dates and transmit applications for
licenses and membership to you with proper recommendations, I would be glad to continue to serve the American Kennel Club as in the past.

Dr. De Mund: I move that the request of Mr. Norman be granted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr Watson made an offer to the American Kennel Club, which was accepted with thanks, and the matter referred to president Belmont for final action.

On motion the matter of the protest by Mrs. Morris Mandy, who acted as judge of Pekingese Spaniels at the late show of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of America at the Mineola, was referred to the New York Trial Board.

A communication from Mr. A. C. Wilmerding, withdrawing as a member of the Stud Book Committee, was read.

Mr. Bloodgood: I move that his resignation be accepted with regret.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Bloodgood: I nominate Mr. John G. Bates as a member of the Stud Book Committee in place of Mr. Wilmerding whose resignation has just been accepted.

On motion the Secretary was directed to pass one ballot for Mr. Bates as a member of the Stud Book Committee.

The Secretary stated he had cast such ballot, and Mr. Bates
was declared duly elected.

    The Secretary: I have a communication from Mr. Dwight Moore resigning as a
director from the American Kennel Club.

    On motion the same was accepted.

    The Chairman: The Secretary is empowered to draft appreciate resolutions
outlining Mr. Moore’s valuable services to the club, as well as his long experience and
advise in connection with its affairs, and expressing the deep regret that we all feel at his
withdrawal.

    Mr. Palmer: I would like to nominate Mr. Rowland P. Keasbey as Chairman of
the Publication Committee.

    Mr. Hooley: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast one ballot for his
election as Chairman of the Publication Committee.

    The Secretary stated that he had cast such a ballot, and Mr. Keasby was
declared duly elected.

    Mr. Smith: There is one vacancy on the Publication Committee by reason of Mr.
Keasby’s election as Chairman.

    Mr. Bloodgood: I nominate M. George S. West to fill that vacancy. It was moved
and seconded that the Secretary be directed to cast one ballot for Mr. West’s election.

    The Secretary stated he had cast such ballot and Mr. West was declared duly
elected.

    Mr. Gurnee: I nominate Mr. A. D. Gillette as director
to take the place of Mr. Dwight Moore. I move that the Secretary be empowered to cast one ballot for Mr. Gillette.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Smith: I would like to nominate Mr. Samuel R. Cutler to fill that position.

Dr Osgood: I second the nomination.

On motion nominations were closed.

The directors then proceeded to ballot for Messrs. Gillette and Cutler which resulted in Mr. Gillette’s election.

Mr. Collins: I desire to call attention to one matter before we adjourn. Here is a notice in the Gazette of a case of McGovern vs. Long Island Kennel Club. The point is that this notice makes it appear as if there is a case of T. J. McGovern against the Long Island Kennel Club, whereas as a matter of fact the case is McGovern against a Mrs. Harry Owens on appeal from the decision rendered by the Long Island Kennel Club. This notice gives the impression that there are charges against the Long Island Kennel Club when no such charges exist, and it is further made apparent in that way because it follows charges against the Boston Terrier Club for non-payment of specials. I would move that a notice be published in the next issue of the American Kennel Gazette explaining this to the effect that this case
is between McGovern and Owners on appeal from the Long Island Kennel Club’s decision.

Motion seconded.

The Secretary: In this matter the Trial Board followed the custom that has been in vogue since we have been organized and have heard cases. The original case before the Long Island Kennel Club was McGovern against Owens. The Long Island Kennel Club decided the case. McGovern appealed from the decision of the Long Island Kennel Club, and the case was thereafter McGovern against the Long Island Kennel Club on appeal, and the Secretary of the Long Island Kennel Club was cited to appear and give his version. He did not wait for the meeting, but he came here and explained as much as he could to me, and I conveyed that information to the Trial Board. This procedure has been followed in many cases in the past. It is not an action of one litigant against another, but it is an action against the club on appeal.

Mr. Collins: Well, it creates a false impression. If people read in this paper that there is a case against the Long Island Kennel Club, and they had any intention of joining that club, they will not do so, and the next time we hold a show, they read this paper and figure out that the Long Island Kennel Club failed to pay its special, I claim it creates a false impression. I think all these cases should be
published in a way to place the club in a proper position.

  Motion carried.

  On motion the meeting then adjourned.
Mr. Gurnee: I rise to a point of information. Just prior to the annual meeting I was one of the tellers for the election for associate delegates. I was lax. I did not pay sufficient attention to see whether all the people who were elected were eligible. Mr. B. S. Smith was elected, and looking over my list I find that the only Smith that was eligible on it was Mr. L. W. Smith. I ask whether he is eligible on it was Mr. L. W. Smith. I ask whether he is eligible to be a delegate as an associate subscriber and therefore a director.

The Chairman: What is your point?

Mr. Gurnee: It would seem from a list that was issued at the time that as Mr. B. S. Smith was not on that list he was not eligible to represent the associate subscribers as a delegate and therefore he was not a delegate or a director.

Mr. Wilmerding: In what way was he not eligible?

Dr. De Mund: There is a rule that an associate subscriber who does not pay his dues within ten days after the 1st of Jan is not eligible; that if he fails to pay his dues he can only be reinstated by coming before the Membership Committee. Mr. Smith did not pay his dues within the time prescribed, and he was not eligible, and he has never appeared before the Membership Committee to be reinstated. Therefore he is not eligible to be elected a delegate. The list that was sent out did not contain his name as being eligible to vote or hold office.
Mr. Smith: My dues are paid, are they not, for the years? They were accepted for the year, my dues, for this year.

The Secretary: Yes.

Dr. De Mund: If you read that rule you will find that the Secretary has no power to accept dues after the 10th of Jan, and I will ask the Secretary if the dues were paid within the ten days.

The Secretary: If that rule prevailed, I suppose out of the 300 or 400 associate subscribers 75 per cent are not associate subscribers.

Dr. De Mund: That is not the point. It is a question of the constitution and by-laws.

The Secretary: I will tell you exactly when those dues were paid.

Dr. Osgood: As I take it from reading that, it does not state the he must be reinstated by the Membership Committee, but may be; it is not necessary that he must be, but he may be.

Dr. De Mund: It never came before the Membership Committee. It says here: Shall be dropped from Membership.”

The Secretary: Mr. B. S. Smith paid his dues on the 7th of Feb.

Mr. Wilmerding: It seems to me that this a matter
of technicality entirely. I have no doubt that you will find a large percentage of members who did not pay their dues within the time prescribed. I think if you will look at my account you will find that I was delinquent, and also a good many others. It seems to me there is a precedent that makes it possible for the Secretary to accept the dues that come in late, and if that is the case, as it apparently is, I think that bringing this matter up is a mere technicality that ought to be waived. I will make a motion that the point that has been brought up in regard to Mr. Smith’s late payment of dues be waived owning to the fact that the precedent has been established twenty times over that dues have been accepted from members who have been delinquent even for a greater length of time.

Mr. Gurnee: I want to ask if precedent can brush aside the by-laws and constitution of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Dawyer: I rise to a point of order on that. My point is that my motion this Board of Directors can not supersede the constitution and by-laws.

The Chairman: Mr. Rockefeller is in the same category. I am afraid I shall have to rule your motion out of order.

Dr. Osgood: I would like to ask whether it is not the fact that by the acceptance of those dues a man becomes instated. The Secretary, who is an officer of the association
has accepted those dues and held them for eight months, and I think the acceptance of the dues alone is sufficient to reinstate.

Mr. Gurnee: I move that by accepting dies after the date specified the Secretary exceeded his powers.

The Chairman: I think before you do anything like that you certainly want to change that rule, because nobody pays his dies until the next month.

Dr. Osgood: I move that if this applies to Mr. Smith, it shall apply to every associate member, Mr. Rockefeller whom you speak of and every associate member who did not pay his dies within the ten days specified, and I would like to ask what date Dr. De Mund paid his dues.

Motion seconded.

The Chairman: According to your motion then, all the associate members who failed to pay their dies by the 10\textsuperscript{th} of Jan are dropped from membership.

Dr. Osgood: I move that a notice be sent to each of these associate members whose dues were not paid by Jan 10\textsuperscript{th} that they have been dropped, giving the cause of their being dropped.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Sawyer: There is a motion before the house which was seconded.
Mr. Bloodgood: I want to say that this is a very unfortunate thing.

Mr. Palmer: I consider it not an unfortunate thing, but I consider it an absolutely disgraceful thing.

The Chairman: The original motion, as I understand it, was Mr. Gurnee’s motion that all should be dropped whose dues were not paid by the 10th of Jan.

Mr. Hooley: Before that motion goes any further, there is a power that you have as Chairman and this association has, to ask that that does not go through, that it be laid on the table, or in some other way gotten rid of. I do not think the matter as brought up need go that far. I do not think that men who have been members of the club for years and whose names are a credit to any club, should be subjected to anything of that kind. I think it is very detrimental to the Club, and I leave it to you as Chairman to decide what is best to do. If the gentleman will not withdraw his motion I will move that it be laid on the table. I move that the whole matter be laid upon the table. I move that the whole matter be laid upon the table, that this affair be taken from the minutes. A great deal of this happened very quickly. Possibly it was right and possibly it was very quickly. Possibly it was right and possibly it was wrong, but it was unnecessary. I move that it all be removed.

Motion seconded.

Dr Osgood: I think an affair of this kind comes up
purely on account of spite, that those members who are not present are entitled to know what goes on at these meetings, and I don’t believe in suppressing part of it and leaving the rest out.

The Chairman: The motion before the house is to lay on the table.

Dr. De Mund: The question is, Mr. Smith’s right to sit here as a delegate.

Mr. Hooley: You know what this means to the American Kennel Club. It is a very serious thing that this matter foists out in this form. It will do a great deal of harm and it is absolutely necessary. I do not take anybody’s part in this think, but I move that we expunge the entire matter including the original request of Mr. Gurnee be entirely eliminated.

Dr. Osgood: I did not understand Mr. Hooley’s motion. I second it that the whole matter be dropped and laid on the table.

Dr. De Mund: I object to that because I object to a man sitting in this Board of Directors who is not legally entitled to do so.

Motion to lay on the table carried.
Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

P R E S E N T

Associate Delegate B. S. Smith
American Fox Terrier Club H. H. Hunnewell
American Spaniel Club H. K. Bloodgood
Bulldog Club of America Edwin L. Boger
Collie Club of America M. M. Palmer
Dachshund Club of America G. Muss-Arnolt
Delaware Valley Kennel Club John H. Blackwood
Eastern Dog Club George S. West
Erie Kennel Club Ralph C. Stewart
French Bulldog Club of America O. F. Vedder
French Bulldog Club of New England Walter Burgess
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club Charles R. Wood
Japanese Spaniel Club of America Geo. F. Parker
Ladies' Kennel Association of America James Mortimer
Lehigh Valleye Kennel Club A. D. Gillette
Long Island Kennel Club John F. Collins
Lynn Kennel Club Doctor F. H. Osgood
Maltese Terrier Club E. H. Berendsohn
Manchester Terrier Club William P. Wolcott
Nassau County Kennel Club W. H. Sawyer
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the May Gazette.

The following named clubs were elected to membership:

Kodak City Kennel Club of Rochester
American Sealyham Terrier Club
The Newark Kennel Club

The Secretary: The application of the Empire Beagle Club was held over by the Membership Committee awaiting the written consent of the National Beagle Club.
the following named clubs:

Colorado Kennel Club          Allison M. Lederer
German Shepherd Dog Club of America  Richard J. Stewart
American Sealyham Terrier Club       Henry T. Fleitmann
Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club  Henry W. Coons
Pointer Club of America            J. C. Weiler
Schipperke Club of America          Geo. Ronsse
Great Dane Club of America          H. W. Holton

The credentials of Mr Mowbray to represent the Pacific Coast Toy Dog Association were presented and voted on.

On inspection of the ballot box the Chairman declared that Mr. Palmer was not elected.

Mr. Cutler: I move that another ballot be taken.

Mr. Smith: I second the motion.

Mr. Cutler: Some years ago I remember this sort of thing was started. If we are going to begin now to blackball delegates who are regularly chosen by their clubs I think we ought to have it well understood what we are going to do. I, for one, did not suppose there was any question about a man who has been elected as a delegate by his club, and unless we know something against him he should not received this kind of treatment. I cannot remember a single instance where a man has been thrown down like this. I have known Mr. Palmer a good many years. I never supposed one with
the long experience he has had with us would be thrown down without any cause whatever. I move another ballot.

Doctor Osgood: I believe the constitution says that four-fifths of the members present is sufficient to elect. I would ask the Chair to ask every man to vote.

The Chairman: They can vote or not as they please. If person does not vote, his vote does as aye.

Mr. Osgood: I should like to state as a precedent for this ruling that when I came into this club I had but one white ball and the rest were black. Another vote was called for, and then the balls were all white.

Mr. Collins: Article 7, Section 2, covers this subject I think. No delegate can represent more than one member. If a delegate fails of election he shall not again be eligible for election within one year, and I think that precludes a second ballot.

The Chairman: That clause refers to another meeting. I see no reason why another ballot should not be taken and so rule.

Mr. Berendsohn: I appeal from the ruling of the chair. That is the constitution of the American Kennel Club, and how you are going to get around that I cannot see.

The Chairman resigned the chair to Mr. H. K. Bloodgood.

Mr. Hunnewell: The ruling I made was that under that clause of the constitution I considered a reconsideration of
of the vote proper.

Doctor Osgood: As I read the constitution, four-fifths of the members present is necessary to elect. There were certain members who did not vote. I would like to ask the Secretary whether they were counted as ayes.

Mr. Cutler: I suggest that the chair is right and ought to be sustained. It has been the precedent of this body ever since I have been a member of it that it is the final vote and not an accidental vote that counts. Mistakes have been made in this organization before, and I sincerely believe there has been one made now. What is the sense of trying to crow anything through here? We are here as sportsmen. Some of us have come from a long distance, and I, for one, do not propose to sit here and see anything unfairly pushed through on a technicality. If this constitution contains what the objectors say it does, this body has not anything to do with it. If it is a sensible rule such as the chair has laid down, let us sustain the chair. If a mistake has been made in a ballot, if going along here is a merely perfunctory manner we wake up to the fact that we have thrown a man down unfairly, do you mean to say that we have not the right to correct our own errors? The Supreme Court of the United State can do that. Any body, when it sees that it has done wrong, can correct the error. If this organization has its hands tied
behind it so that when it has made an obvious mistake it cannot correct its own errors, then I think there is no use of our coming here.

A vote by a show of hands resulted in sustaining the chair by thirteen to seven.

Mr. Hunnewell resumes the chair,

The credentials of Mr. H. Ray Snyder to represent the Collie Club of America were presented and voted on, and on an inspection of the ballot box the chairman declared that he was not elected.

Mr. Smith: I believe according to our by-laws that a delegate remains such until his successor has been appointed. As the successor to Mr. Palmer as a delegate from the Collie Club was black balled I would like to ask if Mr. Palmer is not still entitled to a seat in this room. He having retired from the room I now move that Mr. Palmer be asked to come back into this room as a delegate.

Doctor Osgood: I second the motion.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: Can a delegate be a delegate of two clubs at the same time? I think he must resign from one club before he can represent another club.

Mr. Smith: When the roll was called Mr. Palmer answered as delegate from the Collie Club of America.

Dr. De Mund: When he came up for election to represent some other club he severed his connection with the Collie
club. A man cannot represent three or four clubs in the American Kennel Club. When he appeared as a delegate for another club, that ended his connection with the Collie Club.

Mr. Cutler: I supposed it was well known, a principle that everybody understood, that a man stays in office until his successor is elected. That is the constitution and common sense and ordinary practice. Anybody that knows anything about a corporation knows that a member of such corporation stays in office until his successor is elected, unless there is something contrary to that in the constitution. When another delegate is elected Mr. Palmer would immediately go out of office, but the Collie Club has a right to be represented here, and they are represented here, and until a successor is elected Mr. Palmer remains a delegate, and he having absented himself from this room under a misapprehension I think the motion is proper that he be invited to return.

Dr. De Mund: Are we going to force a delegate down the Collie Club’s throat? They have sent in a nomination as a delegate. We rejected him. Are we going to say that they must have a man that they do not want? I do not think the American Kennel Club was founded for any such purpose.

The Chairman: The credentials read as follows: “Was regularly elected a delegate to represent said club at all meetings of the American Kennel Club, the same to remain in
force until these credentials have been withdrawn by said club at any meeting, or until
his successor has been duly elected and accepted by the American Kennel Club.” As I
understand it, the credentials have not been withdrawn and no successor has been
appointed. I should so rule.

Dr. De Mund: I will appeal from that ruling.

Chairman Hunnewell resigns the chair to Mr. H. K. Bloodgood.

Chairman Bloodgood: The motion is to sustain the chair or not.

A vote by a show of hands resulted in the chair being sustained by a vote of

eleven to seven.

Mr. Hunnewell resumes the chair.

The Chairman: As I read these credentials Mr. Palmer is still a delegate of the

Collie Club of America.

The Secretary: The credentials of the Central Beagle Club, the International Toy

Poodle Club, the Bull Terrier Club of America, the Pekingese Club of America and the

Poodle Club were held over by the Committee for the reason that the candidates were

not known to any member of that Committee, nor were they vouched for by any person

known to any member of the Committee.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Sept 15, 1913

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:
Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last regular meeting, I have received four applications for Active Membership, and fourteen credentials appointing Delegates, four of which were laid over from the last meeting. These applications and credentials have been turned over by me to the Membership Committee which will report at this meeting for action.

I would also submit the report of the Rules Committee on the several amendments approved and disapproved by it. The same having been duly published in the August Gazette.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:

“The meeting of the Rules Committee was held July 2, 1913, present, H. H. Hunnewell, Walter S. Gurnee, Jr., B. S. Smith and Dr. De Mund. The following Amendment proposed by Mr. Willetts was approved.

Article VI, Section 1 of the By laws.

Amend by inserting between the words “elected and thirty” the words “from their own numbers,” so the section shall read the club shall be governed by a Board of Directors of 30 members. The Incorporators shall constitute the Board of
Directors until the annual meeting in 1909 or until their successors are elected. At the annual meeting in 1909 or any adjournment thereof, the Delegates shall elect from their own number, thirty Directors, six of whom shall hold office until the annual meeting of 1910, six of whom shall hold office until 1911, six of whom shall hold office until 1912, six of whom shall hold office until 1913, six of whom shall hold office until 1914, and at each annual meeting thereafter, the delegates shall elect six directors to hold office for five years, or until their successors are appointed or elected. A Director who shall cease to be a delegate, shall thereby cease to be a director.”

Dr. De Mund: I move its adoption.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The following amendment proposed by Mr. Willetts was approved.

Amend Rule XVIII by adding after the word “Livelihood” the words, “This rule shall not apply to shows held by clubs in the location formerly under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Advisory Committee.”

On motion the same was adopted.

The Secretary: Rule XXI, Dog Show. Proposed by Mr. Watson. Approved by the Committee. Insert after the words; “Lodged by the Secretary of the American Kennel
Clubs,” the following: “Provided, however, that a protest calling for a decision as to the physical condition of a dog and determined only by the Vet must be made before the closing of a show, and in case a show lasting for three or four days, it must be made before 6 o’clock on the last day of the show. A protest made in the ring and previous to the judge rendering his decision does not require a deposit.”

On motion the same was adopted.

The Secretary: Amendment to Rule XIV, Rules Governing Dog Shows. Introduced by Mr. Mortimer was approved. Substitute for the words, “regular classes”, the words “in competition”.

On motion the same was adopted.

The Secretary: The following amendment to Rule V, Section A, introduced by Mr. Muss-Arnolt, was not approved by your Committee, but submitted to the Delegates for their action: “No puppy shall be eligible to compete in any classes or for any prizes where competition for such is limited to exhibits not exceeding a certain weight or height in breeds where there are more than two weights and size classes, unless such class or prize be confined to puppies only.” This rule has been before the American Kennel Club on two previous occasions. The first was submitted to the Delegates
and defeated by them; on the second occasion it was disapproved by the Rules Committee. The Rules Committee have written to the Secretaries of all Specialty Clubs affected by such a rule. They have received only one reply, and that from the Boston Terrier Club. This club protests against the passage of the rule. It is now brought before you for your consideration.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: The idea is this, that if you put a puppy in a weight or limit class, he certainly has no show in all fairness to compete with dogs which are legitimately in the weight or height classes, You can shove a puppy into a light weight class any time, but he will never stay there. They compete under false colors entirely.

Mr. Mortimer: As I understand it, a puppy, is he is shown in a class where there is a limit of weight or height – take Beagles for instance, or Boston Terrier, or any other breed of dog where there is a limit of weight, that dog is liable when he gets fully developed to be above the weight and above the height of these prescribed limit classes, not limit classes in the sense they are used in the American Kennel Club, but where there is a limit as to height or weight, and I do not think it has a fair chance. The puppy may win in his class, day he is under twelve pounds or over twelve pounds, or under 15 inches and yet when he comes
to be a year old he may be over 15 inches. That is the height that is prescribed by the Beagle Club.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: There is a class made up for dogs of such weight and such a height. This is meant for a specimen of that weight and height. Of course you can shove a puppy in, but is it legitimate?

The Chairman: It is voted down by the delegates once, and we thought that it would be a great deal better for the delegates here to decide the matter for themselves. Specialty Clubs apparently do not take any interest in it.

Dr. De Mund: I have not anything further to state than what is contained in our report. If that rule goes through it will cut out a great many entries from the Boston Terriers, and they embrace over a fifth of all dogs benched. I think it disapproved of the rule. It has been before the delegates once, and it was decisively defeated by them after considerable discussion.

Mr. Bloodgood: It does not seem to me that the question of entries has anything to do with it, whether right or wrong. These dogs should be entered in these classes. Whether the shows suffer or not, that is outside of the question it seems to me.

Mr. Parker: Wouldn't it be possible to specify the
breeds? Boston Terriers have never been judged according to height. This says height or weight. Many a puppy in the Boston Terrier Club has gone through to winners, and according to this it could not compete for the best in the show.

Mr. Collins: It would reduce the number of entries. I cannot see where it makes any difference, allowing the puppies to compete in any limit class, but if you are not going to allow the puppies in any limit class, but if you are not going to allow the puppies to compete in puppy classes, at the smaller shows you take that number of entries away from the show.

Mr. Bloodgood: What has that to do with it, whether it is right or wrong. The point is whether this dog is eligible to those classes that are made in height and weight. If the puppy is not going to conform to those classes, and nobody can tell what the puppy is going to be, it does not seem to me that he ought to be in those classes. The question of whether the dog shows are going to suffer or not does not enter into it at all in my mind. It is a question of whether it is right or wrong.

Mr. Mortimer: A puppy could not go in any class where there is a height and weight limit.

Mr. Boger: As I represent the Bulldog Club of America, which is one of the oldest Specialty Clubs in the country,
want to state that I have never yet heard of a complaint where a puppy have been entered in weight classes, and all the bulldog exhibitors seems to be perfectly satisfied with the rule as it is at the present time. So far as the bulldog exhibitors are to be considered, they appear to be perfectly satisfied to allow puppies to compete right down along the line, and I should not like to see the rule changed from its present condition.

Dr. De Mund: I have no special interest in this rule one way or the other. I had spoke with a great many exhibitors in regard to the rule when it was up before, and they all wanted to see it left as it was. This is special legislation, and we are interfering with something that there does not seem to be any popular demand for. We have heard from the Bulldog Club and we have heard from the Boston Terrier Club, two clubs that will be affected by this rule, and I do not see why we should go into any special legislation when there is no demand for it.

Mr. Vedder: I have discussed this matter with quite a number of the members of the Specialty Clubs in America, and they all seem to be in favor of letting this rule remain as it is. If a puppy on form under 22 pounds, which we make as a limit weight, can be beat any dog, everybody seems to be satisfied to have him do it. Three months from that time
he could not compete in that class, but if under twelve months his form was good
enough to win, I do not think there is any objection to it.

The proposed amendment, on being put to a vote, was lost.

The Secretary: The following statement was introduced was to be amended. It
is not approved by your committee, but is referred to you for such action as you may
deem proper: “No person acting as judge shall award any prize to any dog which is or
she has owned, shows, handled or prepared for exhibition during a period of three
months prior to the opening day of a show at which such person acts as judge. The
penalty, cancellation of all prizes won and the suspension of such person officiating as
judge as well as such dog or dog owners awarded such prizes.”

Your Committee think that it would be almost impossible to enforce such a rule.
The penalty suspending the owner seems unjust as the owner might well make an entry
in good faith not knowing that they judge had handled or owned the dog three months
previous. In a great many cases it would be almost impossible for a person making an
entry to know if the dog had been handled or prepared by the judge three months
previous to the opening day of the show. The rule is referred to you for your action.
Mr. Mortimer: In moving this amendment my idea was that a handler might be the judge --- there was a rule passed here a the last meeting that handlers were to be allowed to judge everywhere.

The Secretary: Were not to be allowed.

Mr. Mortimer: There was a motion made by Mr. Frothingham at the last meeting which was carried.

The Secretary: IT has not been before the Rule Committee.

Mr. Motimer: At any rate, I thought of the trouble there was out on the Pacific Coast where they wanted handlers to judge, and I thought this would be a good rule to prevent a handler judging a dog that the had handled within three months from the date of the show. I have spoken to every handler of note in the east, and everyone of them without exception thought it was a good amendment. I think the reasons for not accepting the amendment are trifling; they do not seem to carry any weight. No man purchases a dog without asking questions about him, and I think the reasons stated here are trifling for refusing the amendment. The reason for this amendment seems to have been removed to a very great extent. There are handlers that judge out in California, and a motion that was made by Mr. Frothingham at the last meeting was to allow handlers to judge anywhere, and to the best of my
recollection that motion was passed.

The Secretary: It cannot be passed. It was not referred to the Committee even. He made the motion and there it ended.

The Chairman: It never came up before the Rules Committee.

On the proposed amendment being put to the vote it was lost.

The Secretary: The following is recommended by your Committee: Rescind all rules pertaining to the Pacific Coast Advisory Committee as they have resigned and their resignation accepted, and in place of them a trial Board and Agent appointed.

On motion the said recommendation was adopted.

The Secretary: Rescind Rule III governing Dog Shows, Secretary. A & B, and substitute the following:

Section A. Every show is guaranteed one point toward a championship except as hereinafter stated. All shows are to be rated on actual number of dogs benched, except as hereinafter stated (dogs “for exhibition only” not to be benched); 1,000 dogs and over, 5 points; 750 dogs and over, 4 points; 500 dogs and over, 3 points; 250 dogs and over, 2 points; under 250 dogs, 1 point.
Such shows as do not offer money prizes in at least three classes in every breed mentioned in their premium list shall not have a rating unless they have 250 dogs benched when they shall have the regular rating as specified in this rule.

Mr. Collins: I would move to amend the last paragraph of section A by inserting after the words “offer money prizes” “of at least one dollar each (except where percentage prizes are offered.”)

Amendment seconded and carried.

The last paragraph of Section A as amended reads as follows:

“Such shows as do not offer money prizes of at least one dollar each (except where percentage prizes are offered) in at least three classes in every breed mentioned in their premium list shall not have a rating unless they have 250 dogs benched, when they shall have the regular rating as specified in this rule.

The Secretary: Section B. All authorized shows in States west of easterly boundary of Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, to be rated as follows: 400 dogs and over, 5 points; 300 dogs and over, 4 points; 200 dogs and over, 3 points; 100 dogs and over, 2 points; under 100 dogs, 1 point.
Section C. All authorized shows held in states east of the Mississippi River and south of
the northerly boundary of Kentucky, West Virginia and Virginia to be rated as follows:
400 dogs and over, 5 points; 300 dogs and over, 4 points; 200 dogs and over, 3 points;
100 dogs and over, 2 points; under 100 dogs, 1 point.

Section D. All authorized shows held in states between the easterly boundary of
Montana, Wyoming, Colorado and New Mexico and the easterly boundary of Minnesota,
Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas and Louisiana to be rated as follows: 500 dogs and over, 5
points; 400 dogs and over, 4 points; 300 dogs and over, 3 points; 200 dogs and over, 2
points; under 200 dogs, 1 point.

- Rescind Act VI Section 5 By Laws
- Rescind Act XIV of By Laws (Referring to P. A. Conin)
- Rescind Rule V last Section Gov. Clubs
- Rescind Rule VIII last Section Gov. Clubs
- Rescind Rule XVI last Section Gov Clubs
- Rescind Rule XXII last Section Governing Dog Shows
- Amend Rule V Section F. Gov. Dog Shows, by adding the words “only to
  undefeated” after the word “open” on second line.

On motion the recommendation to take effect immediately were adopted.

The Secretary: Rule XVIII – Governing Dog Shows: Make first paragraph to
read:

“On and after Jan 1, 1914, no person shall be eligible to judge at any show held
under there rules until he or she shall have been licensed by the American Kennel Club,
thought its License Committee.

In the event of an advertised judge being unable to fill
his or her engagement, and no licensed judge being present at a show who may be available, the Dog Show Committee of such club shall have the power to appoint a temporary non-licensed substitute judge, provided however such person had never been refused a license by the American Kennel Club.

The License Committee may, upon request of the show giving club, issue a temporary license to any recognized judge residing in a foreign country, without the formality of filing a regular application upon the blanks adopted by the License Committee.

Mr. Vedder: It seems as if we are stretching our hands out a little too far to extend this control over the judges and to force men to come up and make application for licenses before they can judge at the shows. They are put in a very embarrassing if not humiliating position. We know in our breeds that there are men who are very competent to judge, who will do it rather reluctantly, and if we are going to put this weight on them and compel them to come and ask the privilege of judging, they will not do it at all; and we might lost the services of judges who are in every way competent, who would not humiliate themselves to ask the privilege of being licensed as judges. I think it is proper and right extend the licenses to superintendents and handlers, but I do not believe we ought to try and exercise
too much supervision over the judges when the rules of this association are so stringent and can be relied upon to protect exhibitors so far as judges are concerned.

Mr. Parker: There is another rule here which says that a judge can be suspended for six months who is found under the influence of intoxicants or drugs. It would be very easy for people to say the judge was drunk if he happened to give their dog the gate. I do not think it would be fair to the judge, because they can say he was under the influence of some stimulant.

Mr. Bloodgood: If a man is drunk when he is in the ring, six months is far too little for him.

Mr. Cutler: I am wondering if there is a Committee that can be appointed by this organization which would be qualified to examine applicants for licenses. I wonder if we are not setting ourselves up quite a task, if the license Committee is going to be qualified to examine judges and pass upon their qualifications. Are we not biting off a good deal more than we can chew?

The Secretary: This does not cover that.

Mr. Cutler: What is the use of a licensing Committee if there is not going to be somebody to pass on those qualifications? The purpose of a license is to insure quality in judges. We do not want to put a collar on the judge. We
do not want to go through the scene of having some day the delegates taking a vote, the same as we take on seated members and blackball judges because we do not like them. What we want is a man that knows dogs. He may be the poorest politician in the room; he may not be able to go about at all when it comes to parliamentary law, but he may know the points of some one breed, and I do not care if he knows nothing except judge the points of that breed. I do not believe we will gain anything by requiring a man to submit himself for examination before he is going to be allowed to judge. All any man needs to be is to be a gentleman and go into the ring and pick out what he believes to be the best dog, and not what some Committee thinks is the best dog. No man who is a real judge is coming up here to ask to be licensed. Most men are somewhat modest about the things they really know. A good many men can talk about the things that they do not know, but it has been my experience that the men who know the most about subjects are the last ones to proclaim it. You will find a good many blatant men who think they know it all who would be going around with a license form this association proclaiming to the world that they are all-round judges of dogs.

Mr. Motimer: There does not seem to be any reason brought forward for proposing this rule. It struck me that
it was for the purpose perhaps of protecting exhibitors, that judges may misbehave themselves in some way or other, and that means the exhibitor may suffer, but your rule XXIII fully covers everything of that sort and gives you the amplest protection, and also gives the American Kennel Club the all control that it can possibly want over any judge. That rule covers every mis-deed that I can think of, or any man who commits a mis-deed in any way at all. The English Kennel Club had been in existence for something over 70 years, and they have never found it necessary to license judges. There are no licensed judges for horse shows, for cattle, for poultry or any other kind of live stock that you can possibly mention at the present time, and I do not see that there is any good reason for this rule at all.

Mr. Hooley: Mr. Mortimer states that he does not believe there is any reason for this rule. I want to say in justice to the Committee that they were asked to formulate some rule whereby in some way they could get up an application for a license not only for judges, but for superintendents, handlers and everything else.

The Chairman: I think it came originally from the delegates.

Mr. Hooley: I do not know whether Judge Cutler has read this rule or not. He rather made the license Committee look
as if they were not familiar with the facts. I think if the judge would read it through he would find there is a great deal there which would help to determine whether a man was competent to judge. I have found a great many people who speak very favorably of it. I am only speaking of this in justice to my Committee. I want to state that the Committee had done judge what they thought you desired, and of course it is entirely in the hands of the delegates whether it shall or shall not be adopted. Committees usually do the best the can in these matters, and I think the Committees should be recognized as doing that, and not beheld up to ridicule, although I do not think that was intended.

Mr. Cutler: I want to disclaim any purpose in criticizing the Committee. What I meant to say was that I did not believe that there was a Committee anywhere that could be picked out to qualify judges.

The proposed amendment being put to vote, was lost.

The Secretary: Amend the last paragraph to read: “A professional handler may be licensed and shall not be eligible to act as a judge,” etc.

Mr. Mortimer: I object to the licensing of a professional handler on the same ground that I objected to the licensing of a judge. It is unnecessary because Rule XXIII covers everything. There is no necessary for it. You are making
rules to such an extent that the time will come when we will not know one rule from another. You will have too many rules altogether. I do not think there is any reason for licensing a superintendent or a handler any more than there is for licensing a judge.

Proposed amendment being put to vote, was lost.

The Secretary: Rule XX – Governing Dog Shows. Make first paragraph to read:

“On and after Jan 1, 1914, no person shall be eligible to act as superintendent of any show held under these rules, until he or she shall have been licensed to act on that capacity by the American Kennel Club through its License Committee.”

Mr. Mortimer: I do not think that proposed rule is any more necessary than the other two amendments that have been passed upon. As I said before, Rule XXIII covers everything. There is no necessity to license superintendents. You are making more trouble for yourselves.

Proposed amendment being put to vote, was lost.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
IN RE APPEAL OF JOHN MCGOUGH:

Dr. De Mund: There was one plain question came before the Trial Board in this matter, and they put in all this matter about contingent interest, and so forth, which was not raised, and that is what he is appealing from.

The Chairman: The Trial Board held that he had an interest in it, but as he had not seemed to look after the registration, he waived it. The cross appeal does not mean anything.

Mr. Hooley: How long a period elapsed between the
time the bitch was registered and the time that the action was brought, a period of years or months?

Dr. De Mund: Two or three years.

The Secretary: A year or two.

Dr. De Mund. More than that. This puppy “Gold Heels” was shown as one of the puppies. He is a grown dog now. He is about two years old.

The Chairman: The cross appeal is the same as the other appeal.

Mr. Bloodgood: They have made their finding, and we have got to either accept it or reject it. The question is whether we agree to it.

The Chairman: The matter came down to a question of a verbal agreement between two men, and we wanted the tow men to come here and tell us about it.

The Secretary: The two principals were given the privilege to come here and make their statements.

Dr. De Mund: It seems to me that it would be a very dangerous state of affairs to have the American Kennel Club say that if a man sent a bitch to another one to be kept, and she was to have six puppies, to divide those puppies and sell them, which this agreement was – such puppies were sold and to divide the money – to pass a law that any bitch that was sent up on a contingent agreement like that, that
sold, it was the joint property of these two people. If it has been sold the proceeds would have been divided equally. It was not sold, and that was the contention on the original case.

Dr. De Mund: But the agreement was not to divide the puppies. Six puppies were sold. The agreement was to divide the money. There was no agreement to divide the puppies.

The Chairman: Who registered it, the man who owned the bitch or the one that kept it?

Dr. De Mund: The one that owned it did the registering.

The Chairman: How did the other man own half of the bitch?

The Secretary: Because it was unsold.

The Chairman: How did they own the first bitch originally?

Dr. De Mund: It was owned by Mr. McGough, and he sent it to Mr. Woods’ kennel under the agreement that all the puppies that she had, if they were sold, they were to divide the money they brought. Mr. McGough registered the dog, and he registered the bitch, and it was not sold.

Mr. Keasbey: The bitch was in his possession when she had the puppy, the bitch puppy who had Gold Heels.

Dr. De Mund: In Mr. Woods’ kennel under this agreement
ment.

Mr. Appleton: Woods registered it?

Dr. De Mund: No. Mr. McGough has shown Gold Heels as quite a noted Airedale Terrier, and it did a great deal of winning, and for several years no question was raised. When he becomes valuable, then Mr. Woods raised this point that he owned half of it. He never objected to the registration, nor to Mr. McGough showing the dog before that time.

Mr. Hooley: He had full knowledge of that?

Dr. De Mund: Oh, yes, he has been to shows where the dog was shown.

The Chairman: It is all a verbal agreement between those two men.

Mr. Hooley: It seems that this man was perfectly aware that this dog was shown in the other man’s name and acquiesced in it in every way, and there was no dispute about it.

The Chairman: That is what the Trial Board thought about it.

Mr. Appleton: Is it necessary for us to commit ourselves on the obiter dictum of the Trial Board that any dog bred by a contingent owner – that they are owners in common?

The Chairman: I do not think we need to go into that
question. I think we ought to find out what is the verbal agreement between those two men and decide on that.

Mr. Appleton: That is the very thing that is appealed from. That is the very thing we have to take up on appeal.

The Chairman: We do not know what the verbal agreement is.

Mr. Appleton: They say, make a rule that will cover everything in the future. It seems to me that in most of these cases it is better to judge each individual case, and not to have a hard and fast rule like that. There may be all sorts of contingencies.

Dr. De Mund: Could we not strike that paragraph out and let the original decision stand.

The Secretary: What are you going to do with the wins made by that dog, and the registration?

The Chairman: Let it stand as it is.

The Secretary: I think that was the sense of the Board at the last meeting, that the were not going to disturb that.

Dr. De Mund: That does not disturb the wins; it leaves it as Mr. McGough’s dog, as they have decided it, and then strike out anything that binds on him.

Mr. Appleton: The decision will stand as it is.

The Chairman: It will stand as the Trial Board gave
it, without their opinion.

(The Secretary read the finding of the Trial Board.)

Mr. Appleton: They do not make any reference to the dam of the dog.

The Secretary: No, they dismissed the case. They did not come to any decision on the original blank.

The Chairman: They allow McGough to own the dogs and register it.

Mr. Appleton: I think we ought to stand by the decision of the Trial Board, with the exception of that paragraph which binds us in the future.

Mr. James K. Foster and Mr. Lederer were admitted into the room as counsel for the respective parties.

Mr. Foster: I thought you would wait until tomorrow noon when Mr. Davidson would arrive. I understood that there were other cases on the calendar. Mr. Woods lives up in Central Valley. He cannot be here until tomorrow morning. Can you not adjourn the matter until some other time when Mr. Davison can be heard?

The Chairman: We have decided to hear it now.

Mr. Foster: Won’t you give us an opportunity to be heard later on?

The Chairman: If you will each state your case just as
briefly as possible. I think it is the sense of the meeting that it is much more important to have the principals here than the lawyers. We want to get at what agreement the principals made.

This matter was then adjourned until the next meeting of the Executive Committee.
In the Matter of the Charges preferred.
By Mr. B. S. Smith
-against-
Dr. De Mund

The Chairman: The next matter before us are the charges preferred by Mr. B. S. Smith against Dr. De Mund. The Secretary will read the charges against Dr. De Mund, and I will ask Dr. De Mund to leave the room.

Dr. De Mund: I think I am entitled to be present at the preliminaries of the case. There are certain points I wish to raise in this matter. If you gentlemen want me to leave, I shall leave, but I would like an expression of opinion of the Board on that, because there are certain points there which I wish to raise, and I cannot raise them if I am not in the room.

Mr. Appleton: Dr. De Mund has had a copy of the charges?

The Secretary: Yes.

Dr. De Mund: No, I have not; I have never had a copy of the charges as directed by the Executive Committee.

The Secretary: I furnished Dr. De Mund with a copy of Mr. Smith's charges, and I have a letter signed by Dr. De Mund acknowledging receipt of it.

Dr. De Mund: I have not received the charges directed
by this Committee. The Secretary furnished me a copy of the alleged charges which were not as directed by this Executive Committee. I sent a letter there, if the Secretary will read it, which will explain my position.

(Letter referred to read by the Secretary.)

Dr. De Mund: I wish to say about that that I should like to read the original resolution as offered when I was not in the room: “That whereas the said B. S. Smith and M. M. Palmer were then and there advised by the President of the Executive Committee that the Board of Directors was the proper forum for the presentation of such charges and resolution, now, be it resolved, that said, B. S. Smith and M. M. Palmer be and they are hereby ordered and directed to file for hearing by this Committee such charges in proper form against said Dr. De Mund and also to produce and file therewith the same paper or a true copy thereof setting forth the said charges as produced and read by B. S. Smith, against said Dr. De Mund at said meeting of the Board of Directors.” That was the resolution that was offered by this Board of Directors and has not been complied with.

(The Secretary read a communication under date of July 18th).

Mr. Bloodgood: I think that Mr. Palmer is perfectly
correct in his contention that we had no power to direct him to prefer charges. I think that is a constitutional right; that no court practically has that right, but in regard to Mr. Smith here, what is it that he has not complied with?

Dr. De Mund: That he has not produced that paper. He has not produced the original paper and the original charges consisting of six charges. These consist of eight. Three or four of these charges, I don’t remember exactly now, are not the same. He has omitted three of the original charges. He has not filed them in this paper. And he has added four new ones.

The Chairman: What has that to do with it? He was told to put them in form.

Dr. De Mund: He was told to produce the charges that were read. He was directed to produce those and file them.

Mr. Bloodgood: Mr. Palmer’s point is that we have no right to direct him to prefer charges. We have not such right. But that is not the point. Admitting that, you raise a point here that you want that paper produced. We have asked for it in that resolution.

Dr. De Mund: Yes.

Mr. Bloodgood: What hasn’t it been filed?

The Secretary: I do not know. I have eight charges
here preferred by Mr. Smith.

Mr. Bloodgood: The resolution says he must produce that paper.

The Secretary: yes.

The Chairman: Mr. Smith attacks Dr. De Mund. Dr. De Mund asks for the production of these charges, and he has a right to ask Mr. Smith to prove what he charges against him.

Dr. De Mund: I want to take an exception to that in this way: Mr. Smith got up and read a paper against me in the Board of Directors of this club. Mr. Palmer immediately jumped up and seconded that paper. According to any legal practice that makes him part of that matter, and therefore Mr. Palmer is just as much concerned in those charges as Mr. Smith. This Board directed Mr. Palmer to join with Mr. Smith in substantiating those charges, and all I am asking for is that they be compelled to do so. I think I am entitled to some protection from this body. Those charges were brought about in a cowardly and underhanded manner.

The Chairman: Don’t you want those charge brought before the Committee?

Dr. De Mund: Yes, but that is not the question. I think I am entitled to this, that both those men should be compelled to substantiate their charges made before the Board
of Directors; that if a third party had joined in them, he should be compelled to substantiate that charge, and I state there in my letter that I am perfectly willing to waive and allow this paper to go in if they produce the original paper also, because there were three charges in that original paper which I wish to have them produce now.

The Chairman: that is perfectly right; they should be produced, but there is no reason that they cannot put in any other charges that they wish to.

Dr. De Mund: This resolution directed those particular charges of that time to be produced, but I am waiving that. If they will produce that original paper I am satisfied.

Mr. Appleton: They evidently have not complied with our instructions, but charges have been presented and been served by Mr. Smith.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not believe that Mr. Smith wants to produce that original paper that was read, and yet that may not be the fact at all. When we pass a resolution and demand a thing, that should follow it up. That resolution is absolutely clear. I do not agree with Dr. De Mund. I have taken legal advice on this question of our right to make a man prefer charges. From that advice I do not believe any court can make a man do that, but Mr. Palmer did second this thing. There may be some other form in which we
could do this, but having seconded it he tried to crawl out and say he is not in this thing, that is another proposition. However, I think Mr. Palmer’s contention is correct from the advice I have had.

Mr. Hooley: If it is the sense of this body that that original paper be produced, wouldn’t Mr. Smith produce it?

The Chairman: I think according to our resolution it should be produced – that original paper should be produced, and they have a perfect right to file any other charges they see fit to file.

Mr. Hooley: Dr. De Mund waived that.

Mr. Appleton: Whether they produce that original paper or not, there are certain charges before us which must be considered.

The Secretary: I think before you go any further you should hear these charges read. It was all expunged from the minutes of that meeting of the Board of Directors by resolution. We have no record whatever that there was ever such a discussion. We know it occurred, but we have no record of it.

Mr. Bloodgood: The point you made at the last meeting was that no man, a member of this body, can get up and accuse a man the way Mr. Smith did without substantial proof in some way or other. I agree with that absolutely.
The Chairman: I do not think we should allow that sort of thin to go on.

Mr. Hooley: Don’t you think, in justice to Mr. Smith, he should be asked to produce that original paper? That will eliminate that part of it, and then we can proceed. Perhaps he hadn’t got it. Perhaps he has destroyed it.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think according to that resolution we ought to demand it.

The Secretary then read the following communication from Mr. B. S. Smith.

August 20, 1913.

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street
NYC

Dear Sir:--

Pursuant to resolution of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club, adopted on July 15, 1913, I am enclosing herewith charges against Dr. De Mund, to be presented by you before the proper tribunal.

I notice that the resolution directs the filing of the charges for hearing by the Executive Committee. Although I am willing to have these charges tried before the Executive Committee, it is my opinion, after reading the By-laws carefully, that the Executive
Committee has no jurisdiction to try Dr. De Mund, or any other delegates or officer of the American Kennel Club. Article XX of the By-laws provides that the charges must originate before the Board of Delegates, and by them be referred to the Executive Committee for investigation, and the result of said investigation be against submitted to the Board of Delegates to be found and passed upon by them.

It seems to me therefore, that nay other method of trying Dr. De Mund would be illegal, and might possibly involve the Club in litigation in the future. However, if the Executive Committee is willing to decide that they have such jurisdiction and to take the responsibility of such a trial, I shall submit to their decision and present proofs of the charges herein submitted, and of some others, which at the present time we have under consideration, but have not sufficient proofs thereof to satisfy ourselves of their truth.

Will you kindly inform me of the decision of the Executive Committee as to the tribunal before whom these charges shall be tried and the method of trial.

Respectfully yours,

B. S. Smith
Mr. Bloodgood: When did he write that?

The Secretary: August 20th. He was given until Aug 20th to file his charges, and this came in with his charges, to which I made no reply.

The Chairman: Is it to the Board of Directors or delegates?

The Secretary: the delegates.

The Chairman: Then in this case we are not a final body at all.

The Secretary: The constitution says that charges against a delegate must be preferred before the delegates and by them referred to the Executive Committee for investigation. The accused must have thirty days’ notice to appear before the Executive Committee. After the Executive Committee has investigated the charges they must be again referred for further recommendation to the Board of Delegates, and a majority of the delegates present shall then vote on the subject.

Mr. Bloodgood: that was all drawn for the benefit of a delegate. As I understood it, Dr. De Mund was willing to waive those rights of going to the directors and delegates. Otherwise we would have no right whatever to consider this matter.

The Chairman: By that Dr. De Mund does not accept this as
final. He waives the right to appear before the delegates first. I do not think he waives the right to have this go back to the delegates. He waives what has past. He waives the fact that this has not come before the delegates and has not come to us directly. He does not waive the fact that this should go back to the delegates.

Mr. Bloodgood: If this were brought up before the directors they would have to refer it to the delegates. Then it takes a two-thirds vote to substantiate those charges, or at least to show that he stands to be tried; but when it is first brought to the delegates it takes a two-thirds vote to bring it to us.

The Chairman: He has waived that.

Mr. Rockefeller: Mr. Smith questions our right to hear it.

The Chairman: Dr. De Mund waives the right that he should have them come before the delegates.

Mr. Rockefeller: They have both got to waive it.

Mr. Appleton: Does Smith waive it?

Mr. Rockefeller: No; he questions our power.

Mr. Hooley: If Mr. Smith and Dr. De Mund are willing to waive it, we can proceed and try the matter?

The Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Appleton: As I understand the constitution, the
delegates have power to suspend by a two-thirds vote or to permit or continue the action of the directors.

The Secretary: That is ex parte. They hear the charges by the delegates, and they can by a two-thirds vote suspend such delegate, and send the matter before the Executive Committee with thirty days notice. We have a precedent in the Guggenheimer matter, when charges were preferred against him. They came before the delegates and the delegates suspended him from his office as delegate and gave him thirty days’ notice to appear before the Executive Committee.

Dr. De Mund: I am ready to go on. I merely raise that point, and I do not think there is any further discussion.

The Chairman: The point is, we must act according to the constitution, and as far as I can make out, you and Smith must waive the right to go before the delegates.

Dr. De Mund: I will read you the rule that governs that case. It is distinctly covered in these rules: “Executive Committee. It shall have general supervision of the standing Committee of the club, and if it shall appear to any meeting of the Committee that any standing Committee, through inability to obtain a quorum or for any other reason, have failed to hold a meeting or has neglected to perform the duties imposed by these by-laws on such Committee, then
In any such event the Executive Committee shall be, for the time being vested with and may exercise all the powers of an act as such delinquent standing Committee, and any action of the Executive Committee so taken shall have the same force and effect as if taken by the standing Committee whose functions it is exercising. It shall have power to hear, review and finally determine any appeal which may be taken from the decision of a Trial Board. It shall consider and have power to determine any matter which may be referred to it by the Board of Directors.” This was a matter that was referred to it by the Chairman of the Board of Directors. I knew that question was to be raised, and it is not a question of waiving that right.

The Chairman: Here is another point to be considered: “The club shall have the power, by a two-thirds vote of the delegates present at any regular meeting, to suspend any member or delegate, or remit or continue the suspension imposed by the directors, for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the club or for violation of its constitution, by-laws or rules.” That is a club. “The order of suspension must then be referred to the Executive Committee who shall investigate the charges and report on same at the next regular meeting of the club, when the delegates shall act upon such report and by a majority vote.
of those present reinstate, continue the suspension for a stated time, or expel such member or delegate."

Dr. De Mund: That is where a suspension occurs. This is not a question of suspension. This is something that the president of the club said at the time that that matter was brought to the attention of the directors. His words were that it should be referred to the Executive Committee; that that was the proper place to bring those charges, and it was referred to the Executive Committee under the new section which was adopted last year, that it should have all the powers of directors. I have not been suspended or disqualified. These men have made certain charges or alleged charges to the Board of Directors, which were referred to the Executive Committee, and the Executive Committee passed a certain resolution. I am ready to answer those charges; in fact, I have demanded it, and I think that there is no question at all about the delegates.

The Chairman: You are perfectly willing to waive the question of coming before the delegates.

Dr. De Mund: yes, I am willing to waive anything.

Mr. Appleton: These charges were never referred to us by the Board of Directors as such.

Dr. De Mund: Yes; we referred them back to ourselves, and now we have all the power of the Board of Directors during
the interim between meetings. The president told him that the proper place to bring these charges was before the Executive Committee.

The Chairman: That is true, but the Board of Directors has no more to do with those charges than the Czar of Russia; it is the delegates.

Dr. De Mund: It says maybe suspended, not charges originally there, but any member who is suspended; he may be suspended by the delegates. I have waived that already by demanding that they be heard. I do not think it is a question for discussion at all.

Mr. Hooley: Is it the sense of the Chairman that Mr. Smith should be asked to waive now?

The Chairman: I think it would be on the safe side, so there will be no further question about it. I think both sides should waive this matter going before the delegates.

Mr. Hooley: One of the law Committee of the club is here, Mr. Stewart. It might be well to hear from him.

Mr. Stewart: According to the way the By-Laws read I do not think the delegates have anything to do with it.

The Chairman: If Mr. Smith and Dr. De Mund are willing to waive that question, what is the use of discussing it any further?
Mr. Stewart: My own opinion is that the delegates have nothing to do with it so far as this case is concerned. The whole matter was brought before the directors before, and it was decided by the president of the club and acted on by the directors at that time that the proper place for it was before the Executive Committee, and it was voted on, as I understand it.

The Chairman: What is the sense of the meeting about it?

Mr. Bloodgood: It is a question whether that last waiver covers the constitution. That is the point in my mind.

Dr. De Mund: That only provides for final punishment; it does not provide for hearing charges. Any club can hear charges against any of its members at any time. It is the question of the punishment that is provided for there. If I am found guilty, there will be no question of punishment. I will get out very quickly.

Mr. Bloodgood: The point with me is whether we really want to refer it to the delegates.

Mr. Stewart: I still think we have the right to hear the whole matter and not refer it back again to the delegates.

The Chairman: The question is whether we get a waiver from both of them.
Mr. Rockefeller: I believe we have the right to proceed with this matter. This is a matter that originated before the Board of Directors. The delegates have no knowledge of it. The Board of Directors have been asked to furnish protection if they think it is proper. The Board of Directors have referred it to us. The delegates are not in it at all. The charges are not against anybody as a delegate.

Mr. Hooley: If Mr. Rockefeller puts that in the form of a motion, I will second it.

Mr. Rockefeller: Yes, I make that as a motion.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the charges as filed.

Dr. De Mund: That was not the original paper that was read.

Mr. Appleton: I would like to request Dr. De Mund to kindly leave the room for a moment.

Dr. De Mund: I would like to ask that this case go right on. I have a number of witnesses here who have been detained from their business.

(Dr. De Mund retired from the room.)

Mr. Bloodgood: I think we might as well take these charges up and consider them informally.

The Chairman: I think it would be well for us to con-
sider each of them informally. The first charge, has Dr. De Mund answered this?

The Secretary: Yes.

The Chairman: But he has not answered them specifically.

The Secretary: No.

The Chairman: In regard to this first charge I do not think that that is any of Mr. Smith’s business. It seems to me that the Boston Terrier Club should be the one to take that up.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the matter be take up, and the charges considered seriatim, and discussed informally here.

The Chairman: the question is whether that first charge in regard to Doctor Osgood should be taken up.

Mr. Rockefeller: Smith says that Dr. De Mund said that.

Mr. Appleton: Suppose he proves that he did say it, is it a matter that is prejudicial to the club?

Mr. Bloodgood: That is a matter between Doctor Osgood and the Boston Terrier Club.

Mr. Appleton: Is Doctor Osgood a delegate now?

The Secretary: Yes

Mr. Rockefeller: If Doctor Osgood did not call upon him to account for it, I do not see that it is any of our busi-
ness.

Mr. Hooley: Doctor Osgood is an able-bodied man.

The Chairman: He has legal redress. I think it is a personal matter.

Mr. Rockefeller: I cannot see how it affects the American Kennel Club in any way.

MR. Hooley: The further presumption is – I do not know Doctor Osgood well, but I presume any such statement was absolutely false and a joke – serious joke – so I do not think it ought to be dignified by any further action or notice unless the Doctor sees fit to take it up.

The Chairman: It seems to me that is a question for Doctor Osgood to take up. Is it the sense of the meeting that we dismiss that as a personal matter?

Mr. Appleton: Yes, I think we should dismiss that charge. I do not think it is necessary for us to give any reasons for our actions. I move that that article be dismissed.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the second charge.

Mr. Appleton: That is very general. That will have to be proven.

The Secretary then read the third charge.

Mr. Hooley: I think that is a reflection upon the
The Chairman: How can they pick out one of that Committee?
Mr. Bloodgood: The Committee acquiesced in everything that we did. Our report was adopted by the Committee unanimously.
Mr. Appleton: You do not know of anything Dr. De Mund did?
Mr. Bloodgood: No.
The Secretary then read the fourth charge.
The Chairman: That is none of our business at all.
Mr. Appleton: It might be if his accusations are improper.
Mr. Rockefeller: We should hear them on that.
The Secretary then read the fifth charge.
The Chairman: I think we should dismiss that. There is no use of asking any questions about that.
The Secretary then read the sixth charge.
The Chairman: That must be proven.
The Secretary then read the seventh charge.
The Chairman: I do not know that that has anything to do with us. He was a judge. That should be questioned.
The Secretary then read the eight charge.
Mr. Hooley: I did not know that there was any trouble
between the Canadian Kennel Club and the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Bloodgood: The trouble with the Canadian Kennel Club existed before Dr. De Mund was ever here.

The Secretary: I suppose I know what he refers to there. The reciprocity agreement between the English Kennel Club and the American Kennel Club has been confirmed by both clubs with the exception of one clause that has been held up by the English Kennel Club in that the Canadian Kennel Club, being an affiliated club with the English Kennel Club – that we suspended its Secretary, and that he was suspended on charge by Dr. De Mund in reference to some remarks that this Secretary said he overheard at the Buffalo show.

Mr. Bloodgood: That case was tried out and settled.

The Secretary: But that has held up that particular article in the reciprocity agreement.

Mr. Bloodgood: Yes, but we settled that. We suspended him.

The Secretary: The facts in that case were these: It was published in the Canadian Kennel Gazette that this man was ready to furnish affidavits. The Trial Board called on him for affidavits and he declined to furnished them, but said that he and the other gentlemen who heard the re-
mark made by Dr. De Mund would come on here and testify before the Trial Board on the subject if we allowed their expenses. The Trial Board considered that their expense were excessive – they were about $105 for the two of them to come from Toronto here and testify and go back to Toronto, and they declined to allow the $105, and suspended him on the ground that he refused to furnish affidavits.

Mr. Bloodgood: I remember when that case was brought up here and discussed here, and the man was suspended, and his suspension was upheld by the Board of Directors.

The Secretary: I do not know about that because we never refer those things to the Board of Directors unless there is an appeal from the decision of the Trial Board; they would go to the Executive Committee. The remark alleged to have been made by Dr. De Mund was: “I will fix it with the judge,” and Mr. Albright said all right. A few minutes after that Albright gave a prize to Dr. De Mund’s dog, and Dr. De Mund gave a prize to Albright’s dog, and the question of the dogs being worthy of them did not occur unquestionable it was, but the Canadian gentlemen who overheard it took it as a very serious matter and insisted that it was a cut and dried thing.

The Chairman: I do not see why we should have anything
to do with that last charge.

The Secretary: I believe the charge was that Dr. De Mund said “See me fix it with the judge”, and went over and spoke to the judge, and the judge did as the doctor said he would. Then this man published this, and that he and another man had overheard all these remarks, and Dr. De Mund brought charges against this man, and the Trial Board called on him to produce his affidavits or not I do not believe anybody else knew, but he declined to furnish the affidavit, and said he would come on and testify if we paid the expenses. Dr. De Mund said he did make that remark and told that to the Trial Board, but said it was a joke.

Mr. Bloodgood: It seems to me that was all settled. I do not think we can take that up at all.

Mr. Hooley: I move that that charge be dismissed informally. Is it the sense of this meeting that Mr. Smith be asked to produce these former charges now?

Mr. Bloodgood: Our resolution directs him to produce them.

The Chairman: Of course what Mr. Smith has done was to put the original resolution in form and has added something more to them.
Mr. Hooley: If the gentlemen has it, I think we should insist on its production. If he has destroyed it, that is another thing.

Mr. Stewart: The Chair’s point is that there are three more charges in that other paper that are not in these charges.

Mr. Hooley: And which might be very pertinent to the case.

(At this stage Mr. Smith and Dr. De Mund entered the room.)

The Chairman: Mr. Smith, have you the original paper which you read to the Board of Directors at a recent meeting?

Mr. Smith: I have not the original. I have practically the same thing that I read that day, but as that case was expunged from the minutes, I destroyed the original paper and haven’t it in my possession at all. I have practically the same thing just as nearly as I can remember it.

The Chairman: Have you it with you?

Mr. Smith: Yes.

(Producing paper.)

I have it in this form. I simply took the case as I remembered it, and these are practically my charges here. I have taken up each case singly as I remember it from my
The Chairman: Do you want to hear these original charges read?
Dr. De Mund: I would like to hear them.
Mr. Bloodgood: When did you destroy that, before you saw the resolution?
Mr. Smith: No; I destroyed that after I got home that night. I destroyed that at my home.
Mr. Appleton: When was that?
Mr. Smith: Directly after the meeting where I read the paper.
The Chairman: What is the difference between these charges and that paper?
Mr. Smith: In this I have enlarged upon it and given my evidence and affidavits and that sort of thing. These are my charges. There is no such paper as I read the day because I never made a copy of it. I have the one copy of that paper and that is all there was in existence. That is practically my case.
The Chairman: They are not the original charges?
Mr. Smith: No.
Dr. De Mund: I would like to have my lawyer, Mr. Lederer, to conduct my case for me.
Mr. Bloodgood: I object to lawyers trying a case be-
fore us. If the lawyers want to sum up and make a plea to us and then go out, that is another proposition, but no club in the world will permit lawyers to try matters before it.

Dr. De Mund: I decidedly object to that. I am not asking for his appearance here as a lawyer for me, but I am asking him in as a friend. In every case that has ever been tried by the American Kennel Club we have allowed lawyers/

The Chairman: yes, by courtesy.

Dr. De Mund: I think it is courtesy now that is asked. Mr. Lederer has prepared my case. I have not the data here myself. He has it all, and he has examined the witnesses. I am not familiar myself with the witness' testimony, and I cannot go on in this case. Mr. Smith got up in a meeting and attacked me out of a clear sky. I won't say that. I knew three days before that paper was read the copies were in the possession of the New York Herald and of the “Dogs Weekly”. I heard the gist of the charges. He says he does not remember. He remembers perfectly well what was in those charges. I demand the right to be represented here, as it has always been accorded before.

The Chairman: There is no such right.

Dr. De Mund: Then I ask as a courtesy of this meeting
to be represented by counsel, as my case has already been prepared, and I am not familiar with it myself, not as a legal proposition.

Mr. Bloodgood: I would like to ask Dr. De Mund in what way he wishes to be represented. Is it his idea to have a lawyer come in here to cross examine the witnesses?

Dr. De Mund: Only in a way to bring out the testimony of the witnesses, in the same way as my witnesses; he will ask them the questions. I cannot ask them the questions because I am not familiar with the case. It seems to me very strange that a member of the Executive Committee is denied the courtesy which has been accorded by the American Kennel Club to other people for years. I want to bring out the testimony of my own witnesses.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not want to deny Dr. De Mund the courtesy that has been extended to other members here, but I think there has been a mistake if we have allowed lawyers to come in here and cross question and act as a court of law here.

Dr. De Mund: I do not want you to act as a court of law. I want to the assistance of counsel in this matter. If it is the wish of the Committee I will ask the questions myself, but as I told you, I have not prepared this case myself and am not familiar with it. I did not think
There would be any question raised, as it never had been raised before.

    Mr. Bloodgood: I suppose we could allow that courtesy and have the right to stop any questioning that we wish.

    The Chairman: Yes.

    Mr. Hooley: May I ask what your idea is as to the rule of procedure, simply to take up those charges one by one?

    The Chairman: Mr. Smith must prove these charges.

    Mr. Hooley: If these charges are sustained or dismissed, that is the entire question. If any of them are sustained, then the doctor has a right to answer.

    Dr. De Mund: haven’t I got to answer all these charges? Haven’t I the right to bring witnesses to answer these charges? I understand they have to be proved, but this matter is more than this proof here. These men have gone out broadcast to the newspapers. I have been accused of crimes. They bring up these charges. I am willing to waive every point, but I want to get them on the record. I want them to add those charges, whether they are proven or not. I want them to answer them.

    Mr. Hooley: Supposing any of these charges are not considered of sufficient dignity for investigation by this Committee, should they be brought up?

    Dr. De Mund: I still wish to answer them.
The Chairman: If we dismiss them there will be no necessity of proving them.

Dr. De Mund: This matter has been spread by Smith and Palmer broadcast, that I was to be driven out of the American Kennel Club, and so forth. I purpose to prove that, and I wish to have my witnesses testify for that purpose.

Mr. Bloodgood: It is Mr. Smith’s place first to prove the charges, is it not?

The Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Bloodgood: Then Dr. De Mund’s evidence comes after, as I understand it.

First we proceed with Mr. Smith.

Dr. De Mund: May I have my attorney present under those conditions?

The Chairman: I think it is a very bad precedent to have an attorney here.

Dr. De Mund: I would like to ask a ruling on that.

Mr. Smith: May I have the same privilege?

The Chairman: If both lawyers are here, and they will refrain from saying anything, yes.

Dr. De Mund: I wish to make another motion then. If the Secretary is not a member of this Committee, I ask that he be excused from the room during the taking of the testimony until such time as he may be called upon.

The Chairman: I rule that out of order. It is not a
Mr. Smith: Mr. Guggenheimer has prepared this case for me. He has some question in regard to whether this is the proper court where this matter should be tried. I also have the opinion of Judge Cutler of Boston, on the same matter.

The Chairman: We have been all through that.

Mr. Smith: May I ask what your ruling is about that?

The Chairman: You brought these charges here to be heard by this Committee.

Mr. Smith: Yes, on demand of the directors. I am rather at a loss how to proceed in this case because I fully expected to be represented by counsel, and I did not think there was any question but that that courtesy would be extended to me, because in the trial board parties have always been represented by counsel.

The Chairman: When you ran the trial board, you ran it to suit yourselves, but when you are in Executive Committee, you are here to suit us.

Mr. Smith: I have been advised that I need pay no attention whatever to the command of the Executive Committee to bring in these charge against Dr. De Mund, but on the advice of counsel, I did so, they thinking all the time that according to rule 20 of the By-laws it was entirely out of order, and should not have been brought before this tribunal,
and that is what Mr. GUggenheimer wanted to say, because, supposing this case were to go against me or for me, it might afterwards be decided it had come before the improper tribunal, and the case could not stand on the record no matter what the decision might be.

Mr. Bloodgood: We discussion that point among ourselves, and the Chairman has ruled that we could try this case, did you not?

The Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Hooley: I move that we proceed with the case.

The Chairman: The first charge, as follows: I do hereby charge that Dr. De Mund is guilty of conduct unbecoming a gentleman, and prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, in uttering the following remarks concerning Doctor Frederick H. Osgood, which I believe to be slanderous and untrue, to wit: That Doctor Osgood was mentally deficient and that he appropriated moneys of the Boston Terrier Club for his expenses as a delegate to attend meetings of the American Kennel Club and had not attended said meetings.”

Mr. Smith: May I further say that I had fully understood that as Mr. Bernheimer’s case was the first case on the calendar, that would be the one taken up to-day. There are several witnesses whom I want to call, two of whom are in
Boston, and there are some witnesses in Chicago. I did not imagine for one moment that this matter would come to trial today.

The Chairman: What have you to say about this first charge?

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not see that that is in order at all. It does not seem to be any of Mr. Smith’s business. That is a matter between Doctor Osgood and Dr. De Mund.

Mr. Rockefeller: It does not appear to me how it affects the American Kennel Club in any way.

Mr. Smith: If you will let me read what I have on this first page here: At the May 20th meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club I offered the following resolution. I have not that resolution because it was destroyed. In taking up my first claim I merely say in this case that Dr. De Mund was very unpleasantly prominent in the charge made by Doctor Boultlee, of Canada.

The Chairman: What have you to say about this first charge?

Mr. Smith: As I understand that Doctor Osgood is about to bring charges against Dr. De Mund, and as this case and one I touch upon later, namely, the cause for the resignation of the Boston Trial Board, is the basis for Doctor Osgood’s charges, I shall not dwell, unless ordered to do so by this
honorable Board, upon what is really the propery of another person.

The Chairman: What have you to say in regard to the second charge: “I hereby charge Dr. De Mund as being guilty of conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club in his actions in the controversy between the Boston Terrier Club of America, and its New England rival.”

Mr. Smith: This touches on both these cases.

The Chairman: What have you to say in regard to the third charge: “I hereby charge that Dr. De Mund is guilty of conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club in his actions as a member of the Investigating Committee appointed to investigate the Atlantic City Dog Show given by the Ladies Kennel Association of New Jersey.”

Mr. Smith: I claim that the conduct of Dr. De Mund as a member of the Investigating Committee was reprehensible. It will take me some time to establish all the facts in relation to this charge, so I will, with your permission, take it up last.

Mr. Bloodgood: Since we must take it up any how, we might as well do it now.

Mr. Smith: That is the case of Mr. Bernheimer. I
want to call attention to the second claim I have made. I claim that Dr. De Mund’s conduct, especially in the cases of Mr. Bernheimer and Mr. Middlebrook was reprehensible. I know positively that the report of this Committee in regard to Mr. Bernheimer, as published in the Kennel Gazette was not written by Dr. De Mund or by any other member of the Committee, with the exception of the fifth paragraph in the report in connection with the Atlantic City Show, and that was written verbatim by Dr. De Mund. The fifth paragraph is the one which states that the offense of Foley and Delmont is mitigated by the unwarranted conduct of Mr. Bernheimer.

Mr. Bloodgood: I would like to ask what you mean in your statement that this was not written by the Investigating Committee.

Mr. Smith: I mean that one clause.

Mr. Bloodgood: You say the whole thing was not written by Dr. De Mund or by the Investigating Committee.

Mr. Smith: I say that one clause was not written by the Investigating Committee, but was written by Dr. De Mund.

Mr. Bloodgood: And you go on to say that nothing was written by him?

Mr. Smith: No, I did not.

Mr. Bloodgood: You say you know positively that this
was not written, and so forth. Who was it written by?

Mr. Smith: This was written by Dr. De Mund.

Mr. Bloodgood: You say you know positively that this report was not written by any one of the Investigating Committees?

Mr. Smith: No; I claim that the only part that was not written by the Committee was the fifth paragraph which was written by Dr. De Mund alone and afterward inserted in that finding.

Mr. Bloodgood: The Secretary whipped that report into shape, didn’t you, A. P. Vredenburgh?

The Secretary: Yes, I write the entire report from headings given to me by Dr. De Mund, chairman of the Committee.

Mr. Bloodgood: And handed it to the Investigating Committee to sign and agree to?

The Chairman: The Investigating Committee signed the report.

The Secretary: They did.

Mr. Smith: I claim that the fifth paragraph of the report of that Committee was written solely by Dr. De Mund, and A. P. Vredenburgh, the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, write the rest of the report from notes that Dr. De Mund had given to him.

Mr Hooley: Do you mean to say that Dr. De Mund wrote
I have before me. It seems to me that Mr. Smith is bringing in whatever Mr. Smith chooses to. I am prepared to meet these charges.

Mr. Appleton: What heading does this come under?

Mr. Smith: I am trying to prove that Dr. De Mund acted with malice in his conduct on that Committee of which he was Chairman.

Mr. Appleton: But you must stick to those charges.

Mr. Smith: I brought those in as part of my charges. I cannot prove any malice if you rule out everything indicative of it.

The Chairman: What did the Mississippi Valley Kennel Club have to do with St. Louis?

Mr. Smith: It is an introductory remark leading up to how Dr. De Mund first started after a man who was his friend at one time –

The Chairman: You cannot go into all that.

Mr. Smith: Then I do not see that there is any use in my trying to do anything at all. I think I should have an opportunity to make good on my charges.

The Chairman: Your charges are that his conduct was prejudicial to the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Smith: My charge is that he showed malice in his conduct in the Bernheimer case. I have a sworn affidavit.
I claim he acted with malice in regard to that fifth paragraph and I propose to show how this malice started.

Mr. Hooley: We have just had a ruling on that. I want to be perfectly fair with Mr. Smith, and I am sure everybody in this Committee does but that fifth paragraph, which it is claimed was inserted in the report of the other members of that board, it is said by a member of that Committee that they stood for that absolutely, and it was all right.

Mr. Bloodgood: They all signed it an acquiesced in it.

Mr. Hooley: I do not think Mr. Bernheimer is in any way connected with this matter.

Mr. Smith: Mr. Bloodgood, even though you were a member of that Committee, if I can show that Dr. De Mund insisted on this one paragraph which the Secretary objected to going into that report on the ground that if it did not go in it would be a white wash, which the papers claimed Mr. Bernheimer would get – I think if I can show that he showed malice –

Mr. Bloodgood: that was all settled by the Investigating Committee. We discussed the situation.

Dr. De Mund: I would like to ask you if every paragraph of that report was not discussed in Committee after the
that it shows that Dr. De Mund was using his office in the American Kennel Club to exert malice, and that sort of thing, whenever he felt he could do it.

   The Chairman: The Investigating Committee do not stand for that. The Committee signed the report unanimously. They are responsible.

   Mr. Smith: Which do you wish me to take up next?

   The Chairman: The fourth charge: “I hereby charge that Dr. De Mund is guilty of conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, in his affiliations and connections with Field and Fancy.”

   Mr. Smith: My fifth claim is that Dr. De Mund has been too closely connection with Field and Fancy to hold office in this club any longer, Field and Fancy being a paper owned by a man whose antagonism to the American Kennel Club, and more especially to the president, is a matter of history. So far as this claim goes, I wish to present my sworn statement, which reads as follows: After Mr. Bernheimer’s resignation from the American Kennel Club, it became Dr. De Mund’s habit to report to me every time Mr. Bernheimer came to the American Kennel Club to see its secretary or every time he and A. P. Vredenburgh were seen together any where. One day at the American Kennel Club, Dr. De Mund said to me: “I will tell you right now A. P. Vredenburgh has got to
stop this going around with Bernheimer! it has got to stop,” I asked what he was referring to. “Well”, he said, “they were uptown together again last night, Skinner ran right into them.”

The Chairman: What has this to do with Field and Fancy?

Mr. Smith: He said they were uptown together again last night; Mr. Skinner ran right into them, and continuing he said, “Now, I am going to tell you something; there is today in the office of Field and Fancy a certain cartoon which represents Bernheimer as being kicked out of the American Kennel Club and A. P. Vredenburgh in the uniform of adjutant standing in the door of the Old Guard Armory with his arms open to receive him; they want to publish that cartoon down there, but have agreed not to do so until I say the word. If this thing don’t stop right short I am going to tell them to go ahead and publish it.” I claim that when a director of the American Kennel Club is so closely connected with a paper antagonistic to said club that he can at will publish or withhold a cartoon of an officer of the American Kennel Club, he has become too closely connected with said paper to hold office in the American Kennel Club any longer.” That is an affidavit which I have sworn to and which is in the custody of Mr. Guggenheimer.
Dr. De Mund: Am I to answer these now or at the finish?

The Chairman: at the finish.

Dr. De Mund: I deny that absolutely. I deny that I have any connection with Field and Fancy, and would offer this statement “I. F. J. Skinner, do hereby make the following statement of fact and certainly to the truth thereof:

For upwards of 12 years I have been employed as business manager and editor of the weekly sporting paper called “Field and Fancy”. I am, and have been, for a like period acquainted with Dr. De Mund. I know and state of my own knowledge that the said Dr. De Mund has never had any financial interest, connection by way of employment or otherwise, or affiliation of any sort with the paper. He is a personal acquaintance of mine, but in no way interested in the paper excepting as a member of the community interested in the breeding and exhibition of thoroughbred dogs.

Dated, NYC, 18 Sept 1913

F.J. Skinner

Signed in the presence of John E. Hourax

I would like to state further in connection with this matter that Mr. Smith has got a very much garbled statement
there. At the time of the Bernheimer case, Field and Fancy were publishing little squibs in regard to Mr. Bernheimer. I did go to Mr. Skinner as a personal matter and request him not to publish those things. I said they would do no good to the American Kennel Club or anybody else. I asked: “Can’t you keep them out? Justice will be done to everybody in case you do.” That is the only connection I had. I do not consider that Mr. Smith has offered any proof that I have any connection with Field and Fancy whatever. I offer that statement of Mr. Skinner’s in the form of an affidavit.

Mr. Smith: I would like to ask Dr. De Mund if it is not a fact that this statement that I have just made in regard to his telling me that this cartoon stood in the office of Field and Fancy, and that it could be withheld and could be published according to whatever he said, is not a fact.

Dr. De Mund: It is not a fact. The statement was that such a cartoon was contemplated in Field and Fancy, and I requested the suppression of it; that is all. It came out just about the time that Mr. Belmont was cartooned as a parrot and the Secretary putting him in the chair here, and I want at that time and requested them to stop that sort of thing; it was merely a personal request and not
in any way an official matter.

  The Chairman:  you have no connection with Field and Fancy?
  Dr. De Mund:  Absolutely none.
  Mr. Smith:  Isn’t it a fact that you told me that unless the Secretary stopped going
around with Bernheimer you would have that published?
  Dr. De Mund:  It is not.

  The Chairman:  The fifth charge reads:  “I hereby charge that Dr. De Mund is
guilty of conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club in the
remarks made by him concerning one Rosalie Stewart which resulted in a judgment
being obtained against the American Kennel Club caused solely by the said remarks.”

  Mr. Smith:  I claim in connection with this charge that Dr. De Mund’s remarks at a
meeting of the delegates caused an expense of thousands of dollars which the American
Kennel Club has been compelled to pay, damages and for legal fees.  Such a statement
without a single fact to sustain it was in my opinion prejudicial to the best interests of the
American Kennel Club. Has Dr. De Mund ever at any meeting held since that time
expressed any regret that he was the cause of such a heavy loss to the club?

  Mr. Appleton:  Where was that statement made?
Mr. Smith: Made in open hearing, and I can show you that statement in the American Kennel Gazette.

Dr. De Mund: I do not deny that statement.

Mr. Rockefeller: I think we are all familiar with that matter.

Dr. De Mund: May I answer that now? I do not deny that statement. I think you are familiar with the case referred to. I did make those remarks. They were privileged remarks at a meeting of the delegates. I made them at the time as much in favor of the accused as anybody else. The judge ruled that it was not the making of those remarks, but that it was the publication of them in the Gazette that constituted the libel.

Mr. Bloodgood: We are all aware of that situation.

The Chairman: The sixth charge: “I hereby charge that Dr. De Mund is guilty of conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club in his attempt to interfere with the internal organization and the election of officers of various clubs which are members of the American Kennel Club,” What do you refer to by that?

Mr. Smith: I am in a very unfortunate position in regard to that. I have relied entirely upon my counsel, and I have been taken completely off my guard in this matter, and
I am not prepared to go ahead with it.

Mr. Hooley: I move that Mr. Smith have an opportunity to consult with his counsel for a few minutes.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Smith: In order to substantiate that charge I would like to call Mr. Palmer as a witness.

Dr. De Mund: I would like to ask which case he refers to. This is general. I would like to know which club, so I can be prepared to call a witness if necessary.

Mr. Rockefeller: He wants Mr. Palmer to come in and give some evidence.

The Chairman: Ask Mr. Palmer to come in.

Mr. Smith: I have eight witnesses that I had made arrangements to call in this case.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not care if you have 100 witnesses. You are bringing charges here. You should bring them in a definite way so we can get at them.

Mr. Smith: But you do not give me a chance to substantiate any of them. I want Doctor Osgood and Mr. Cutler in regard to that case. In Mr. Bernheimer's case I want to call Mr. Palmer and Mr. Guggenheimer in regard to something which transpired which they know about. Where I do have a chance to substantiate my charges, then you want to deny me the privilege of calling these witnesses.
Mr. Bloodgood: No, you must not say that we want to deny anybody anything here, but we want these things in definite form.

The Chairman: Yes, we must have something definite.

Mr. Bloodgood: You make a statement of a charge, and we want to know what the charge is.

Mr. Smith: I have read what the charge is, and then I want an opportunity to prove it, and it seems as if I was denied that privilege. I want to ask that Mr. Palmer be allowed to state the conversation which occurred between him and Dr. De Mund in regard to the election of president of the Collie Club.

Mr. Palmer: To the best of my recollection it was prior or I am more inclined to think after the annual meeting of the American Kennel Club, that Dr. De Mund met me outside this door and inquired as to whether Doctor C. Y. Ford was to be a candidate for the Collie Club of America. I told him that he was to be, and he told me: “That is not the man that you want and it is not the man that I want; furthermore, he is not a qualified doctor; he is nothing more nor less than a trained nurse for pay.” It struck me that he was going into things that absolutely did not concern him in trying to throw his influence into a specialty club.

The Chairman: Is that all the evidence you have on that
charge?

Mr. Smith: Yes.

The Chairman: Any other cases?

Mr. Smith: Yes; there are some charges here, seven and eight.

Dr. De Mund: May I answer that charge now?

The Chairman: Yes. I think Mr. Palmer has the right to hear the answer too.

Mr. Rockefeller: Could I ask that these outsiders leave the room for a moment?

Mr. Hooley: If that is a motion I second it.

(The counsel and others not directly interested then left the room.)

Mr. Rockefeller: Supposing it is all true as stated, what of it?

The Chairman: I do not know what it means. Suppose Doctor Ford is not a doctor.

Mr. Hooley: The point I make is that Mr Smith is a delegate, and as a member of the Club feels that he has a grievance, whatever we may think of it.

Mr. Bloodgood: That is all very nice and very kind, but that is not what this Board is here for. This Board is here to listen to proper evidence and proper charges.

Mr. Hooley: I move we go on to No. 7.
The Chairman: Have you any other internal organizations that you wish to peak of?

Mr. Smith: Yes, I have other internal organizations. Last year, when it came time for the annual meeting it seemed that Dr. De Mund and I had some way or other a great deal to do with it. We were appointed the nominating Committee. Take this case of Doctor Osgood and Judge Cutler. They were left off of this Committee simply by Dr. De Mund making absolutely false statements about them. He made them to me. He made them to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club and it was substantiated afterwards. Mr. Palmer went to Boston and saw these men and told them about all these things that Dr. De Mund had said about Doctor Osgood being mentally deficient and having appropriated money from the Boston Terrier Club which did not belong to him to pay his way over here to meetings, and that he never came to the meetings, and there is a whole lot of that sort of thing. That is the first charge. I wasn’t to call Doctor Osgood, Mr. Cutler, and Mr. Palmer, and in the Bernheimer case I want to call Mr. Guggenheimer, one of the most important witnesses that I have to call in substantiating my claim. Dr. De Mund treated Mr. Bernheimer unfairly in that matter. That is all I have in regard to internal organization.

The Chairman: What have you to say in connection with
the seventh charge: “I hereby charge that Dr. De Mund is guilty of conduct unbecoming a gentleman and prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, in that he did say before several members of the Kennel Club that I was intoxicated during all the time that I was in Chicago at the last Chicago show, and on the train returning from Chicago, which statement is absolutely false.” You were a judge at that time?

Mr. Smith: I was and I can bring witnesses to prove that the only thing that I had in the way of an intoxicating drink from the time I left New York until after I got to Chicago was one bottle of beer which I had on the train between New York and Chicago.

The Chairman: The point is if he said so.

Mr. Smith: I can prove that by Mr. Hooley and everybody who was there. I do not know whether Mr. Stewart was here that day or not. Mr. Bloodgood was here. It was at an informal meeting which Mr. Hooley thought it advisable to call, and Dr. De Mund, after having given Mr. Palmer his word that no personalities would be indulged in, got out of his chair like lightning out of a clear sky and brought out the statement that he had heard from five or six persons that I was intoxicated from the time I left New York until I got back to New York, and more specifically that I was in-
toxicated on the train coming from Chicago to New York.

   The Chairman: Where is he supposed to have said this.

   Mr. Smith: At an informal meeting which was attended – I can only see Mr.
Hooley and Mr. Bloodgood and Dr. De Mund here who were at that meeting. I propose
to bring Mr. Eastman, the president of the Chicago Kennel Club, here and Mr. Pugh, the
Secretary of that club.

   Mr. Hooley: Dr. De Mund and Mr. Smith have had abundance of time to prepare
their cases, and I do not think we should be asked to hear all these witnesses. I think we
must eliminate all that absolutely.

   Dr. De Mund: At an informal meeting of some of the directors – to go back a little
bit, I would like to make a certain statement. During my absence in the West, Mr. Smith
and Mr. Palmer were going around black guarding to various people. This informal
meeting was had at the suggestion of one or two, so that Mr. Smith could bring up the
matters that we were talking about. While at that meeting Mr. Smith made the remark
that he heard certain things about me in Chicago.

   Mr. Smith: I object to all this as being out of order.

   Dr. De Mund: I said that I had heard that Mr. Smith was intoxicated at Chicago.
That I had heard.

   Mr. Smith: From five or six people.
you gentlemen? Didn’t you understand it that way; that that meeting was not called excepting as a confidential meeting of a lot of friends to try to straighten out a lot of matters, and we talked that over?

The Chairman: It was not a directors meeting. It was an informal meeting of a lot of gentlemen.

Mr. Hooley: There were very unpleasant things said there, and they should not be repeated here.

Mr. Smith: I remember Dr. De Mund getting up and making that statement about me, and if it were not for that, I never should have brought the charges against him in the Kennel Club that I have brought. You know perfectly well that before that meeting was over that day, I was the goat. I had to stand up and try and prove that I was not drunk at Chicago.

Mr. Hooley: There was no such attempt made, and, as the Chairman of that meeting said, I said emphatically that nothing of that kind would be allowed.

Mr. Smith: Am I not to have the privilege of calling witnesses to prove that I was not drunk?

Mr. Bloodgood: I came to that meeting with the idea that it was thoroughly understood that it was to be a confidential meeting. Mr. Smith says there was no nastiness brought out by him. I do not know who began it, but every-
body was calling each other a liar from the time we were in there until we went out.

Mr. Smith: I take exception to that. I never did that.

Mr. Bloodgood: You did not say he was a liar but you said he was stating what was not true.

Mr. Smith: Yes.

The Chairman: I do not know what you are going to do with a meeting that was supposed to be confidential.

Dr. De Mund: I am perfectly ready to answer these charges. I acknowledge that I said that I heard it. I would like to call two or three witnesses who also heard it from exhibitors there. I did not say it was so, but that I was told by three exhibitors that Mr. Smith was drunk in the ring, but since then I have been told by four witnesses. I do not state it of my own knowledge, merely that I was told so.

Mr. Smith: Might I have the names of those exhibitors?

Dr. De Mund: No.

Mr. Smith: Don't you think it is fair that Dr. De Mund should give the names of those exhibitors?

Mr. Stewart: So long as these words were said in this confidential meeting I would ask Mr. Smith to withdraw that.

Mr. Smith: I do not think I can. The confidence of
that meeting was betrayed, but not by me. It was betrayed long before I opened my mouth.

Dr. De Mund: May I call witnesses in regard to this? I will ask Mr. Bloodgood if I did not make the remark that I had heard it at the Suffolk Show?

Mr. Bloodgood: I don’t remember exactly what was said.

Dr. De Mund: You said it was only fair to Mr. Smith that I should say what I had heard. If I am allowed to, I will call those witnesses to prove that so as to substantiate my position that it was rumored that that was the fact.

Mr. Hooley: I think the Committee is willing to take Dr. De Mund’s word that he has four witnesses who will swear to that.

Mr. Smith: May I ask you a question? Are the decisions of this Board to be given after the meeting which we are holding today, and may I not be given the privilege of calling witnesses to substantiate the charges? I have tried to get Doctor Osgood and Judge Cutler to come on here, and they said there was no possibility that this case would be called today. The Bernheimer case was the first on the program. That should have been taken up first naturally.

Mr. Hooley: I rise to a point of order. This Committee never sits but one day. It has never sat to my knowledge two or three days. It is difficult enough for me to come
here one day. It is called for a certain date.

The Chairman: The question is whether we can hear any witnesses in regard to this charge.

Mr. Smith: Owing to the fact that this was confidential meeting where this think occurred, and after absolutely where this think occurred, and after absolutely denying that I was under the influence of liquor in any way, shape or form, at Chicago, or going there, or coming here from Chicago, I will withdraw that charge on the ground that I do not want to betray the confidences which were supposed to be imposed upon me on that day, but they had been betrayed long before I said anything about it. I do not want to be a party to it, and therefore, I withdraw it.

The Chairman: Now, the eighth and last charge: “I do hereby charge that Dr. De Mund’s presence as an officer and director of the American Kennel Club is prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, in that it is the cause of the ill feeling now existing toward the American Kennel Club, by the English Kennel Club and the Canadian Club.”

Mr. Smith: This matter I was ready to prove by Doctor Ford, who is not here today. I cannot do anything without him and notes which Mr. Guggenheimer has on hand down there. It is a fact, and I think admitted by Dr. De Mund
Mr. Hooley: Who was the Chairman of the Trial Board?

Mr. Smith: I do not know. Whether I was the Chairman or not I do not know; I am not positive.

Mr. Hooley: You passed on that?

Mr. Smith: Yes, and I passed on it for this reason: We did everything we could to get Doctor Boulting to come here and testify. He would not come unless he was allowed an amount for expenses which the Secretary refused to grant. He wanted to come with another man, and demanded $105, and he would not send affidavits, and I claim that all I said in my article on that say was that Dr. De Mund was unfortunate in having made that remark. I think he himself admitted that he was unfortunate in having made it.

Mr. Bloodgood: You were on that Trial Board?

Mr. Smith: I was.

Mr. Bloodgood: And that was the ruling of the Trial Board? It was put in the general meeting, was it not?

The Secretary: No.

Mr. Bloodgood: It was reported in the Gazette.

Mr. Smith: Reported in the Gazette.

Mr. Bloodgood: And accepted by the American Kennel Club?

Mr. Smith: Yes

Mr. Bloodgood: Don’t you think that this is rather a late date to bring that up?
Mr. Smith: No, I think not. The idea was that we had nobody here by Dr. De Mund and Dr. De Mund as he had done on one or two other occasions, in regard to the case of Ben Lewis, for instance, tried to conduct things with a very high hand and partially succeeded, but the fact remains that it was an unfortunate remark for Dr. De Mund to make, and for a member of the American Kennel Club to be sitting around at the ringside making bets as to what dogs was going to win, so they could be overheard by people around the ring. Doctor Boultree was practically disqualified because he would not come before the Trial Board. We could not do anything else with him.

Mr. Hooley: Did you not at the time of the Trial Board, when we talked that matter over with you, say that Dr. De Mund was absolutely innocent of any wrongdoing in that matter?

Mr. Smith: I did.

Mr. Hooley: You felt there was no wrongdoing, but possibly it was an unwise and silly thing to say?

Mr. Smith: Yes, and unfortunate.

Mr. Hooley: But you did not believe there was any malice or attempt at any crooked work in regard to it?

Mr. Smith: I did not believe that Dr. De Mund did any crooked work in regard to that case, but I say that Dr. De Mund made a very unfortunate remark, “See me fix
“this thing with the Judge”, which brought him very unpleasant prominence.

Dr. De Mund: First, there is no proof that there is any ill feeling between the English Kennel Club or Canadian Club. I wish to ask the Secretary if there is any ill feeling between the two clubs at the present time.

The Secretary: Not that I am aware of.

Dr. De Mund: At the time this happened, Doctor Boultlee was not Secretary of the Canadian Kennel Club and Mr. Smith made a mis-statement in regard to dates. Doctor Boultlee made a statement that I had said certain things. I demanded an investigation by the Governors of this club. It was referred to the Trial Board, the same was Mr. Bloodgood had demanded an investigation of another proceeding. I brought seven or eight witnesses here. The Trial Board found unanimously that the remarks were uncalled for. Then I preferred, with Mr. Albright, charges against Doctor Boultlee for making false and malicious statements. Doctor Boultlee said he would send affidavits, and then declined to sent them, but demanded $105 to come on here.

The Chairman: We have been all over that.

Dr. De Mund: It came before the Trial Board twice.

Mr. Smith: When I was on this case of Dr. De Mund, investigating the Atlantic City Dog Show, I understood that I would not be given the privilege of calling witnesses.
I wanted to call Mr. Palmer and Mr. Guggenheimer as witnesses in regard to proving malice.

   The Chairman: We do not care anything about that.

   Mr. Smith: Mr. Guggenheimer is the most important witness I have in regard to that case.

   Mr. Bloodgood: That is a question. We signed that report on which we acted on the evidence we had before us. I want to say that Dr. De Mund did not influence Mr. Greer or myself in any way whatever, and that we are not puppets to be influenced by anybody. We acted on the evidence that was before us purely and simply.

   Mr. Hooley: I think Mr. Bernheimer asked to have his case brought up again before this body some time, and I think in connection with Mr. Bernheimer’s case this might prejudice that case. I do not think it should go further.

   Mr. Bloodgood: I have always liked Mr. Bernheimer, and in the beginning of this thing I told A. P. Vredenburgh that I was very sorry because I had seen Bernheimer in here for a long time, and he talked very well at the meetings, but that the Board acted on the evidence before it and had no business to act on anything else except the evidence.

   Mr. Smith: I should feel very bad if I am not allowed to call Mr. Guggenheimer as a witness to prove on thing, that before the case was taken up by the Committee, Dr. De Mund did a certain thing that I want to bring out by
calling Mr. Guggenheimer. I will guarantee it will not consume over five minutes in doing it.

Mr. Bloodgood: What is your objection to stating what that is?

Mr. Smith: I would rather bring it out by Mr. Guggenheimer. Mr. Guggenheimer knows what I refer to.

Mr. Rockefeller: Has Mr. Guggenheimer personal knowledge of it?

Mr. Smith: Yes

Dr. De Mund: What charge has this to do with? I cannot see that this has anything to do with it. Is this a personal matter between Bernheimer and Dr. De Mund or something to do with the American Kennel Club?

Mr. Smith: Something which I should think had to do with the American Kennel Club.

Dr. De Mund: A matter of personal animosity between two delegates has nothing to do with the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Smith: It would not take more than a minute.

Mr. Hooley: I cannot see why Mr. Smith cannot state it.

Mr. Bloodgood: Is it in regard to this charge at Atlantic City?

Mr. Smith: yes

Mr. Hooley: I move that the gentleman be heard.
Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Guggenheimer: Mr. Chairman and gentlemen: I believe it was some little time after the motion was made that an investigating Committee be appointed, and I believe the investigating Committee had been appointed, and Dr. De Mund came over to my office one day and said to me: “I have advised Bernheimer to resign”. I said: “Why?” “Well”, he said “I know that he has not done anything wrong, but I also know that the Committee will find him guilty.” I said, “Do you mena to say that when you know, as you say to me, that he has not done anything wrong, you have the nerve” --- I did not use that word --- “that you say you will find him guilty.” He said: “The Committee will find him guilty.”

Dr. De Mund: I went to Mr. Guggenheimer’s office at Mr. Bernheimer’s request. He had already resigned as a delegate and a member because there was so much matter coming up against him that he felt that he had to get out. I did advise him as a friend. I said: “If I were you I would get out”. Mr. Guggenheimer asked me a great many questions. I went there as Mr. Bernheimer’s friend, at his request, and I told Mr. Guggenheimer that if Mr. Bernheimer – he was talking of withdrawing his resignation – I said “If he withdraws his resignation certain matters will come up that will not come up before the Committee.
otherwise, that are very bad for Mr. Bernheimer”. That is the statement that Mr. Smith
told me Mr. Bernheimer had done. That was the statement I made to Mr. Bernheimer,
not that the Committee would find him guilty, but they would have to fine him on other
things on his own admissions which did not come before the Committee and which we
did not go into at all.

Mr. Hooley: I move that nothing else be said now about Mr. Bernheimer’s affairs.

Dr. De Mund: There is on point I would like to raise now, and that is that three
charges have not been presented as Director and Member of Executive Committee, the
one that I was seeking to be President of the club, and another one the charge that I was
seeking the office of Chairman of the Board of Directors, which was created, originated
in the Field & Fancy. I would like to answer those two charges. The third was the
paragraph which is here. Am I entitled to those?

The Chairman: You have stated once that you had nothing to do with the Field &
Fancy.

Dr. De Mund: I want to prove the utter falsity of those matters. I have four
witnesses out there who can prove that Mr. Palmer and Mr. Smith went to them and tried
to get them to go against me – tried to frame up this thing.
(Dr. De Mund and Smith and their counsel left the room.)

The Chairman: I do not see anything specific in the matter.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think on what we have heard that they all fall to the ground.

The Chairman: The first charge was dismissed on the ground that it is none of our business.

Mr. Hooley: Is that necessary? Can we not dismiss these charges as a whole, finding that the charges are not proven?

Mr. Keasbey: They may say they were not allowed to prove them; that their witnesses are outside.

Mr. Bloodgood: We do not have to listen to their witnesses if we do not think it is necessary.

The Chairman: Mr. Smith’s witnesses are not here. It seems to me that the best thing to so would be to dismiss the charges on the ground that no specific charge has been proven.

Mr. Hooley: I would like to make a suggestion that these charges be dismissed. That when so dismissed the Chairman of the Board takes it upon himself to express himself how the Board feels towards both these gentlemen, and after telling them what he thinks, to suggest to them to get together if they can. I think Mr. Hunnewell is
competent to do it. I have no doubt he has come a good many miles to listen to these charges.

The Chairman: I think that the Board might direct me to say that a great deal of time has been wasted in listening to the personal animosities between two men, and I think they had better come to the end of their troubles, and that they should not bother us any more.

Mr. Bloodgood: We dismiss the first one because that is Doctor Osgood’s business.

The Secretary: You can dismiss all eight charges on the ground that there is nothing specific that affects the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not think Mr. Smith has nay right to bring in a lot of charges against a man which do not affect the club. We find nothing in them.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the charges be dismissed and the Chairman make a little address that he should make to these gentlemen.

The Secretary: You made that these charges be dismissed on the ground that there are no specific charges made or proven?

Mr. Hooley: Yes, if that meets with the approval of the other members.

My motion is that the charges be dismissed on the ground that no specific charge has been made or proven.
which affects or pertains to the American Kennel Club; and further, the Committee feels that Dr. De Mund should be cleared of these charges.

Motion seconded and carried.
In re Application of Charles D. Bernheimer
For reinstatement to membership in the
American Kennel Club

The Secretary read a communication from Mr. Guggenheimer in behalf of Mr. Bernheimer.

The Secretary: This matter was sent to this Executive Committee to ascertain whether the fifth paragraph of the Investigating Committee’s report was true or otherwise. The club of which Mr. Bernheimer was a member, has resigned and is out of existence.

The Chairman: Mr. Bernheimer’s resignation is expunged from the record.

Mr. Bloodgood: As a member of the Investigating Committee I am perfectly willing to reopen that situation, and if there is any other evidence that Mr. Bernheimer can offer, I, for one, should be glad to hear it. He questions the Investigating Committee. I have no recollection about Mr. Bernheimer going before a Trial Board or anything at all. That was his own request.

Mr. Guggenheimer: I want to take up the legal aspect so far as your own Constitution is concerned.

Mr. Bernheimer: In regard to the Investigating Committee, in the event that the case is reopened and I am vindicated, that somebody cannot come in and say I have
no right here. We bring up the legal aspect whether they have the right.

Dr. De Mund: It did not go before the Trial Board. We simply made a report which was adopted by the delegates and by the Board of Governors. Then Mr. Bernheimer himself requested that an investigation Committee be appointed.

Mr. Bloodgood: That Committee had no right to do anything except to make a report.

Mr. Guggenheimer: But it made findings. They made the finding which you published in the Gazette. They made findings that such and such was the fact. In the first place, the Investigating Committee was appointed by the Executive Committee, as I understand. The Executive Committee had no power to appoint an Investigating Committee.

Dr. De Mund: That was afterwards ratified by the Directors.

Mr. Guggenheimer: The Executive Committee, under a recent ruling, has power to do whatever the Board of Directors can do. I do not think it was held that that power was in the Executive Committee at all, but take it as an extreme case that they did have a right to appoint this Investigating Committee.

The Chairman: We have the right to appoint an investigating Committee to investigate anything that may come up.
Mr. Guggenheimer: Not according to your Constitution. Your Board of Directors has a right to appoint an investigating Committee.

Mr. Bloodgood: On the evidence before us we had no power to do anything at all. This Board ruled that Mr. Bernheimer should come before this Board, and it was the ruling of this Board that they should like to have it come here. As a matter of act, as he has written out his resignation, he has no right as a delegate in this club.

The Chairman: We waive that.

Mr. Bloodgood: When a man resigned from a club, he resigns. We want to be perfectly fair with Mr. Bernheimer in every way we can. If Mr. Berneheimer has any evidence that will controvert the evidence that we had before us, I am only too willing to hear it and would be glad of the opportunity.

Mr. Guggeheimer: I will make it clearer on the evidence than it was then.

Dr. De Mund: It seems that this is practically an appeal from the Trial Board. The Investigating Committee is out of this.

The Chairman: I do not see what the Executive Committee has to do with it.

Dr. De Mund: The Investigating Committee reported, and its report was accepted. The resolution was adopted
by the Directors and by the delegates. They went out of existence. It was referred to the Trial Board. The Trial Board made a finding. Now this appeal is before us, and I would like to move you that the minutes of the Trial Board which were taken be sent to each one of the Executive Committee. Then we can intelligently consider the appeal that is before us.

The Chairman: You are appealing against the decision of the Trial Board?

Mr. Guggenheimer: But the Trial Board took into consideration the testimony taken before the Investigating Committee. There were never any charges brought of any kind. I am first challenging the jurisdiction to have it expunged from the record. If you gentlemen want to assume the jurisdiction, very well.

The Chairman: You want a trial before a Trial Board?

Mr. Guggenheimer: After charges have been properly brought.

Mr. Berheimer: You see I have asked for a re-hearing.

The Chairman: Are you perfectly willing to have the hearing before this Committee?

Mr. Bernheimer: Yes. There was an argument whether the Executive Committee had a right to hear this case. If the Executive Committee decide that they have the right to
hear the case, I do not see any reason why you cannot go ahead with it.

Dr. De Mund: Mr. Bernheimer requested a re-hearing. He had a re-hearing, and practically it was sent to a Trial Board. Now the question is we review the action of the Trial Board. We are not reviewing the action of the Investigating Committee. He requested an investigation.

Mr. Bernheimer: My information was that the Investigating Committee would give us a re-hearing, and we would be faced by our accusers.

Mr. Bloodgood: Then, under those circumstances, you acknowledge the right of the Investigating Committee to hear it?

Mr. Guggenheimer: To what extent?

Mr. Bloodgood: He asked for a re-hearing.

Mr. Guggenheimer: He asked that the charges be re-heard before the Investigating Committee. I had never looked at the By-Laws and Constitution and knew nothing of the procedure here, and it was after that I saw it. Then I saw this whole business was irregular in my opinion.

Mr. Bloodgood: There is no intention here to do Mr. Bernheimer any injustice, and if that is what he wants, I do not see why he should not have it.

The Chairman: He is entitled to it. If he wants to appeal from the finding of the Investigating Committee, he
has a right to do so.

Mr. Bloodgood: If he and his witnesses can show me that I am wrong in my report, I am perfectly willing to say that I am wrong. If I am wrong I want to know it.

Mr. Guggenheimer: At this stage I should think the only procedure would be to go back and let charges be regularly made. I think, however, it would be most unfair to us to have the charges heard before Dr. De Mund again.

Dr. De Mund: I do not see why. I have nothing against Mr. Bernheimer at all.

Mr. Bloodgood: You can rest assured of one thing, that Mr. Greer and myself will not be influenced by Dr. De Mund or anybody else. It seemed to me, with the evidence before us, that our findings were correct. If they were not correct I would like to know it. I would like to be shown that I was wrong.

Dr. De Mund: I would like to ask Mr. Bloodgood one question. Did I at any time attempt to influence anyone in this investigation here against Mr. Bernheimer?

Mr. Guggenheimer: Was Mr. Bloodgood here at these hearings?

Mr. Bloodgood: Not all of them.

Mr. Guggenheimer: I further wish to say in regard to that, that I still hold the point that according to your Constitution the Executive Committee has no right to
appoint a Committee to investigate this Dog Show. I want the matter to be regularly brought about. I want a regular charge to be brought, and that it be heard regularly. This is only according to the procedure as I see it. If you gentlemen find that it is proper to have the Investigating Committee appointed by the Executive Committee, and you want Mr. Bernheimer to come before that Committee on a re-hearing, I say he is perfectly willing to do it. It is only in order to have the procedure regular that I am making these points.

Mr. Bloodgood: Personally I would rather have it before this Board. In fact, it is before this Board now.

The Chairman: Yes, it is before this Board now.

The Secretary: Sent here at the last meeting.

Dr. De Mund: Did Mr. Bernheimer have a chance to call his witnesses before the Trial Board?

Mr. Guggenheimer: He did.

Dr. De Mund: He gave all the evidence he wished before the Trial Board?

Mr. Guggenheimer: Yes.

Dr. De Mund: And we have the stenographer's notes?

Mr. Guggenheimer: Yes.

Dr. De Mund: Then I make the motion that those notes be sent to all the members of this Committee. Then we could each go over the testimony.
The Chairman: If there is new evidence it can go back to the Trial Board.
Mr. Guggenheimer: I do not think Dr. De Mund ought to be in the appeal if it is considered at all.

The Chairman: Would it be perfectly agreeable to you gentlemen to be heard at the next meeting of this Committee?
Mr. Bernheimer: Yes.
Mr. Guggenheimer: The Trial Board cannot do anything until charges are brought.

The Chairman: We will try it before this Board at the next meeting.
Mr. Guggenheimer: When is that?
The Secretary: The third Tuesday in October.
Mr. Hooley: If it is the pleasure of the gentlemen I will make a motion to that effect, if that is in order.

Motion seconded and carried.
The regular meeting of the Executive Committee was held at the office of the American Kennel Club Tuesday, October 21, 1912.

The following members answered to the roll call:

August Belmont
H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
J. E. De Mund
A. G. Hooley
R. C. Stewart
R. P. Keasbey

Vice President Hunnewell presided until the arrival of the president who then took the chair.

----------------------

The Secretary presented the following cases set down for this meeting.

( John McGough )
( and            ) Re Appeals
( Wilford Wood  )

Both appellants were present and gave their understanding of a verbal agreement between them relative to the Airedale Terrier Gold Heels. The clause in the decision of the Trial Board sitting in New York at its meeting held May 20, 1913 was the question to be decided by this Committee, and is as follows:
“In this case the Trial Board wishes to state that it believes a contingent interest
in any bitch at the time of breeding makes the person holding such interest a
Joint breeder of any puppies which that bitch may whelp”

On motion duly seconded, the clause above set forth is hereby ordered to be and hereby
is reversed, on the ground that only that which constitutes actual ownership shall be
considered as joint breeding.

In the opinion of this Committee, Wilford Wood did not establish what constituted
joint ownership.

-----------------------

Charges D. Bernheimer—Appeal
On motion of H. K. Bloodgood, duly seconded A. G. Hooley, it was Resolved – That we
recommended to the Board of Directors that it strike from the minutes of Dec 17, 1912,
paragraph 5 of the report of the Investigating Committee, and also from the files of the
American Kennel Club is requested:

Adjourned

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
President August Belmont, in the chair.

PRESENT

Associate Delegates

W. G. Rockefeller
B. S. Smith

Airedale Terrier Club of America

William L. Barclay

American Fox Terrier Club

H. H. Hunnewell

American Pomeranian Club

Theodore Offerman

American Sealyham Terrier Club

Henry T. Fleitmann

Bulldog Club of America

Edwin L. Boger

Collie Club of America

M. M. Palmer

Colorado Kennel Club

Allison M. Lederer

Dalmatian Club of America

Alfred B. Maclay

Delaware Valley Kennel Club

John H. Blackwood

Del Monte Kennel Club

Edward H. Hobbie

Erie Kennel Club

Ralph C. Stewart

German Shepherd Dog Club of America

Richard J. Stewart

Great Dane Club of America

H. M. Holton

Greyhound Club of America

Robert Sedgwick, Jr.

Gwyedd Valley Kennel Club

Charles R. Wood
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club Name</th>
<th>President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Toy Poodle Club</td>
<td>Thomas Hartman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Setter Club</td>
<td>R. W. Creubaur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Club of Philadelphia</td>
<td>J. Chandler Barnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladies’ Kennel Association of America</td>
<td>James Mortimer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts</td>
<td>W. N. G. Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehigh Valley Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. D. Gillette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenox Dog Show Association</td>
<td>Henry Hollister Pease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island Kennel Club</td>
<td>John F. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn Kennel Club</td>
<td>Doctor Osgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltese Terrier Club</td>
<td>E. H. Berendsohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Kennel Club</td>
<td>August Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau County Kennel Club</td>
<td>W. H. Sawyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Beagle Club</td>
<td>Jas. W. Appleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New London Kennel Club</td>
<td>J. Macy Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English Sheepdog Club of America</td>
<td>Tyler Morse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena Kennel Club</td>
<td>F. Ford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Bulldog Club</td>
<td>Joseph A. Buchanan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping Rock Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. G. Hooley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plainfield Kennel Club</td>
<td>R. W. K. Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointer Club of America</td>
<td>J. C. Weiler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Poodle Club</td>
<td>Wm. W. MacLeod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revere Kennel Club</td>
<td>Samuel R. Cutler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Wolfhound Club</td>
<td>Dr. De Mund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club</td>
<td>Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo Kennel Club</td>
<td>Howard Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara County Kennel Club</td>
<td>W. S. Gurnee, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz County Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. C. Wilmerding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schipperke Club of America</td>
<td>Geo. Ronsee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>William H. Mulford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaniel Breeders’ Society</td>
<td>Rowland P. Keasbey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis Collie Club</td>
<td>Lloyd W. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy Spaniel Club of America</td>
<td>Daniel P. Richie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester Kennel Club</td>
<td>George Greer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association</td>
<td>John G. Bates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named clubs were elected to membership, having been approved by the membership Committee:

Empire Beagle Club, California Scottish Terrier Club, Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club and the National Fox Hunters’ Association of Kentucky.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Airedale Terrier Club of New York    W. F. Patterson
Pasadena Kennel Club     Freeman Ford
Pekingese Club of America     M. E. Harby
Central Beagle Club       James P. Van Dyke
Pacific French Bulldog Club     Thos M. Turner
Devon Dog Show Association     John Sinnott
Poodle Club       Wm. W. MacLeod
International Toy Poodle Club     Thomas Hartman

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

December 15, 1913, New York

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that I have received four (4) applications for Active Membership and Credentials from twelve (12) Clubs appointing Delegates to represent them in the American Kennel Club. These papers have been turned over to the Membership Committee, which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

I beg to call your attention to Article XXIII, Section 1 of By-Laws which reads as follows:

“The Constitution of by-laws may be amended at the annual meeting of the Club only, and notice of any proposed amendment must be filed sixty days prior thereto. The proposed amendment with report of the
Rules Committee to which it must be referred, shall be published in the Gazette at least two weeks prior to the annual meeting."

The following amendment to the By-Laws was recommended by the Rules Committee at the quarterly meeting held Sept 16, 1913 and was illegally adopted at said meeting. Action should therefore be taken at this meeting to rectify the error made at the Sept meeting.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:

The meeting of the Rules Committee was held July 2, 1913. Present, H. H. Hunnewell, Walter S. Gurnee, Jr., B. S. Smith and Dr. De Mund. The following amendment proposed by Mr. Willets was approved.

Article VI Section 1 of By-Laws.

Amend by inserting between the words, “elect and thirty”, the words “from their own number”, so the section shall read “the Club shall be governed by a Board of Directors of thirty members. The Incorporators shall constitute the Board of Directors until the annual meeting in 1909 or until their successors are elected. At the annual meeting in 1909 or any adjournment thereof, the delegates shall elect from their own number, thirty Directors, six of whom shall hold office until the annual meeting in 1910, six of whom shall hold office until 1911,”
six of whom shall hold office until 1912, six of whom shall hold office until 1913, six of
whom shall hold office until 1913, six of whom shall hold office until 1914, and at each
annual meeting thereafter, the Delegates shall elect six Directors to hold office for five
years, or until their successors are appointed and elected. A Director who shall cease to
be a delegate, shall thereby cease to be a Director.”

Dr. De Mund: I move its adoption.
Seconded and carried.
Rescind Article VI Sec 5, By-Laws
Rescind Article XIV By-Laws (Referring to P. A. Committee)
I beg to report that Mr. Howard Ehrich has filed his resignation as the Delegate
from the Airedale Terrier Club of New York.

At a meeting of the Membership Committee, held Nov. 25, 1913, said Committee
recommended as a nominating Committee for Directors in place of the expiring class of
1914 the following: George Greer, Chairman, A. C. Wilmerding, William Rauch, A. G.
Hooley and Ralph C. Stewart.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Chairman: I want to state to you that A. P. Vredenburgh asked me whether he should include in his report any reference to the reciprocity agreement, so-called. One analysis of the constitution seems to be that the Kennel Club decided to put in the hands of the Board of Directors the government of the Kennel Club in the interim, but it failed to treat the Board of Directors as a standing Committee; that is to say, to cover the Kennel Club in the interim and not clothe it with the power to act independently, but oblige it to have its acts confirmed at a meeting of the delegates. They did not do that at all. Therefore no acts of the Board of Directors are really reviewable by the Delegates as far as I can see. I presume you could criticize their acts, but not review them. For that reason this subject is not touched upon at all. It is for you to decide. I think, before you do very far in your course hereafter, you should take some steps, some action, as to what class of subjects you propose to keep under your control. The Board of Directors acted on the subject of a reciprocity agreement with the English Kennel Club. What occurred was that a Committee was appointed to take up the subject and report to the Board. They did that and did report to the Board and the Board approved of their actions. It was not approved as a completed document, or as a contract. It was not viewed apparently as a contract. It was approved
simply, and such portions of the agreement as had been mutually agreed upon were put
in force by the officers of the club, and the agreement, as far as it had gone, was signed
by the Secretary and by myself as president, believing that to be ample authority. That
subject is either reviewable by the Board or by the Delegates. There are certain
gentlemen who have taken exception to the action of the officers on the ground that it
belonged to the Rules Committee to pass upon and report and be treated as a change in
the rules. The agreement made no change in the rules as applied in this country by the
American Kennel Club at all, and it is an open question whether that is a correct version
to place upon it. Whether that is a correct proceeding or not, I do not know, but so far as
I can see, it has no place under the constitution before the Delegates unless it is to be
treated as a rule, and unless it should have then been transferred to the Rules
Committee and brought finally for ratification to the Rule Committee and brought finally
for ratification to the delegates. It was taken up by the Board of Directors. It was studied
and reported on by a Committee. Their action was ratified at a meeting of the Board of
Directors, and there is no provision under which the acts of the Board of Directors is
reviewable by you. That is the situation. It has caused a great deal of comment. It has
caused a great deal of unfavorable criticism, and as soon as you have finished such
business as you feel you must pass upon, I shall ask the privilege of taking that question
up on the floor --
Mr. Willets: There is one subject I would ask permission to bring before the Delegates. It has been customary to publish our minutes in the Gazette, and there have been quite a number of criticisms as to what has been published, and I would like to bring the matter up here for discussion and action as to what should be published and what should not be published of our transactions here. It has also been the habit of the stenographer to furnish reports to the sporting papers of such parts of our transactions as are proper, and there has also been a question raised about that, and I would like to bring that matter up also. I have no recommendations to make.

The Chairman: For the information of the Delegates, I desire to say that there appears no resolution at all in the minutes of the Board of Directors specifically disposing of that subject. At the same meeting there was considerable discussion on this very point, but no action was taken. The impression intended to be left with the Secretary was that he should omit general remarks and whatever took place outside of actual voting on the very subjects that came up. That was not made a matter of specific directors so far as I can ascertain.

Dr. De Mund: I beg to state that at a meeting of the Executive Committee a year ago last Summer it was passed that nothing but the actual results be published in the Gazette, and that was ratified afterwards at the meeting of the Directors.
The Secretary: I could not find any record in the meeting of the Directors of the Delegates to that effect. I have been over it very carefully, but I could not find any such record. I knew there was such an understanding.

Dr. De Mund: That was passed at the same time that the Investigating Committee was appointed.

The Secretary: The first time that that matter was mentioned was immediately after the Stewart case, when I was directed to edit the minutes so that nothing actionable might appear in those minutes, and after that, about a year, I should imagine, I understood that there was an understanding between the Directors and the Secretary that nothing should be published except the resolutions and the results.

Dr. De Mund: May I ask the Secretary to get the minutes of the Executive Committee meeting that was held at that time which appointed the Investigating Committee?

The Secretary: August 1912, I think.

(At this point Chairman Belmont resigns the chair to Mr. Hunnewell.)

Dr. De Mund: The President rather anticipated me in bringing up the question in regard to reciprocity, and I would like to bring that up now. I know something about it, being a member of the Committee. The Committee was appointed by the Board of Directors to take up this question of reciprocity with
a similar Committee of the English Kennel Club. It did so and arrived at a satisfactory conclusion with that Committee. The understanding was that they were to report back to the English Kennel Club, and we would report back to the American Kennel Club. Last December we reported back to the Board of Directors, which created us, and they confirmed our action. The understanding then was at a later date to report to the Rules Committee, and the Rule Committee would report to the Delegates, to put the rules into effect, as it practically amounted to a new rule. Since that item the rule has been put into effect that any dog imported from England cannot be registered in this Country except by using the English name. That is entirely a new rule, and I would ask for a ruling of the Chair how that can be made a rule without coming before the Delegates under Articles 17 and 23. The former rule that any dog could be registered by complying with the rules that were in force at that time --- that rule has been changed now so that a man cannot register a dog that is registered in England. It seems to me that is a distinct change in the rule, and therefore was not legally adopted except by the general delegates of the American Kennel Club.

Chairman Hunnewell: I think while the President is here, he should rule on that, as he knows more about that than I do.
Mr. Belmont: I desired, inasmuch as this matter surrounds the action of myself as President, and A. P. Vredenburgh, so far as the signing of it is concerned, the privilege of taking part in the discussion. I do not think the Chair would be competent to rule on this question. The very language used by Dr. De Mund is that as it is equivalent to a change of rule it would not be accepted. Inasmuch as I do not quite agree with that, to rule on a subject of that kind—it is not a question of fact, it is a question of interpretation. There are certain acts of the Stud Book Committee which do not go to the Rules Committee, and I think that the Secretary, on that question, is probably more competent than I, or the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee, as to the latitude that they have in deciding questions of registration. It is for the delegates at large to interpret that, whether that is change of rule. A dog comes out here with a certain name. It possesses that name. There is no rule on the subject at all. It is not covered, but for the purpose of identity it is certainly very important that a change of names should not take place of that kind. Therefore, the Stud Book Committee—and I understand decided that question—I will ask the Chair to ask the Secretary to report as to that.

The Chairman: My memory is entirely different from Dr. De Mund’s. I understand that we handed that one point
over to the Stud Book Committee. We considered that that was a part of their duty. It was a registration and nothing to do with the rules, and that is my impression of the way it was done.

Mr. Smith: A. P. Vredenburgh who was also a member of that Committee, was out of the room when Dr. De Mund was speaking. I understood Dr. De Mund to say that at one of our meetings, that is a meeting of this Reciprocity Committee of Three, A. P. Vredenburgh, Dr. De Mund and myself, it was held that after we came to our conclusion we were to hand this over to the Rules Committee. I do not remember any such agreement, and I would like to ask A. P. Vredenburgh if he understood it in that way.

The Secretary: That is the first I ever heard of such an agreement.

Dr. De Mund: I did not state that there has been any such agreement. I said it was understood, and when I recalled to A. P. Vredenburgh’s mind how it was understood I spoke to him about the matter, and he himself suggested that it had not come up for the present, as we should wait until the English Kennel Club had adopted the matter, because they had backed out of a former agreement, and I knew nothing about that because it was before I was connected with the American Kennel Club, and he told me that he was one of the Committee that entered into a former
agreement with the English Kennel Club, and they had backed down, and it would be as well to wait until they had adopted the proposed agreement.

The Secretary: The reciprocity agreement is not an addition, an amendment or taking from any rule in our book of rules either governing clubs or governing shows, not does it affect anything in our by-laws. The rules governing registration were made by the Stud Book Committee, and that Stud Book Committee referred the rules that they had made for approval. They were approved, and the only place that you will find the rules governing registration is on the registration blank. Therefore, it is not necessary for this agreement to come before the Rules Committee, because that Committee had nothing whatever to do with it. It ought to be satisfactory, however, to the Stud Book Committee. The reciprocity agreement was brought up by me at the Board of Directors meeting on the 17th of December, 1912, at which all members of the Reciprocity Committee were present. That agreement was unanimously approved at that meeting by the Directors. After the meeting, in correspondence with the English Club, they agreed to everything but the mode of procedure in the 3rd article. They agreed to the facts, but how should we get at them. The Committee changed the articles so that it said we would not act upon Kennel names until after the 20th day of the month, which the English Kennel Club agreed
a member of the Committee, and myself during Dr. De Mund’s absence in California, discussed this matter after we had received these communications, and we determined for the general benefit of the dog public in America that it would be advisable to put in force two articles of that agreement, namely, that a registered dog in the English Stud Book, if imported here and applied here for registration in the American Book, it had to be registered in the identical name that it was registered in the English Stud Book, with the letters Eng. In parenthesis after it, to show it was an English registration. The other article which we determined to put in force was that we would not grant a Kennel name which conflicted with a Kennel name that had already been granted by the English Kennel Club. The English Kennel Club evidently thought as we did, because they put in operation one of the articles. I had been directed by the Trial Board in case of an Englishman who had been before this Board 2 or 3 times, to inquire from the English Kennel Club certain matters relating to his standing on the other side. The English Kennel Club positively declined to furnish me with that information, and when Mr. Walter Glynn, who suggested this reciprocity agreement, was here last he told me that they did not dare to send us the information we wanted, because there was no agreement between the two clubs, but after this agreement went to them, they did send me a confidential communication
referring to a man who was coming out here to take charge of a very large kennel, who had been ruled off by the English Kennel Club for life. On the return of Dr. De Mund from the West, I told him exactly what had happened, and he then suggested this way out of it, to get Doctor Boultbee to simply send a letter expressing regret at what had happened, and it would be a very easy thing then to reinstate Doctor Boultbee when the objection to that clause will be removed. Doctor Ford was asked to see Doctor Boultbee to see if he would do it, and Dr. De Mund asked me several times if we had heard what Doctor Ford has done. All I can say in conclusion is this, that there was no objection made whatever to the action of the Reciprocity Committee. There was no objection made to the question of the approval of that reciprocity agreement last December until this Fall.

Dr. De Mund: I do not see what all this has to do with it. It has nothing to do with the question whatever. No rule can be changed except by the Delegates. A. P. Vredenburgh referred to the Stud Book Committee. Since the incorporation of the American Kennel Club the various Committees have made rules. You, as a member of the Rules Committee, probably will recollect that every rule that the Committee made was referred to the Rules Committee and then reported to the Delegates. At our last meeting the License Committee made a number of rules which were referred to the Rules Committee and reported to the Delegates. At our last meeting the License Committee made a number of rules which were referred to the Rules Committee and reported to the Delegates,
and the Delegates turned them down. No rule has ever gone through this club since the incorporation of any Committee that has not been referred to the Delegates. The Stud Book Committee rules originally were referred to the Delegates and passed on some six years ago when they were first made, and this is a change in a rule, it seems to me. I may be wrong, and I ask the expression of the Delegates.

Mr. Cutler: It does not seem to me that this is a rule at all. If it is in force, it gets its force from the fact that there is an agreement between the American Kennel Club and the English Kennel Club. That is very different from a rule made by this club. This agreement, as you call it, will be binding on both parties. The term which you have designated it by, a reciprocal agreement, shows that there are two parties, and that each party is conceding certain things, and giving certain things, and it gets its force from that fact that it is an agreement. In my opinion this matter belongs to the Board of Directors under their general power as the governing body of this corporation. I am well aware that it is no ordinary branch of the law of corporations that a corporation in another country, by two corporations may agree. Two corporations may enter into one of those understandings, agreements, which we hear now so much about in the public press. If it takes place between two
nations then it is called a treaty; if it takes place between two public service corporations, it is called by various ugly sounding names, sometimes a gentleman’s agreement. As I look upon this transaction it is one of those understandings which takes place between two great organizations, and each is bound to respect that agreement, and what we call in law giving due faith and credence between two States or comities between two nations renders it necessary for each to respect the agreements and understandings which they have entered into, and any part of that agreement will be enforced with as much sanction as a law, contract, rule or a by-law, but it is not a rule of a by-law. Perhaps I am taking this too seriously, but I think it is one of the greatest questions that we have ever had before us. I did happen to be a Delegate, and I begin to feel as if I was one of the old timers when I realize that I was a delegates when this reciprocal agreement fell through some years ago which has been referred to. I felt then that I hoped that I would remain a delegate of this Club until a successful reciprocal agreement should be perfected between these two great Kennel Clubs, and that this Kennel Club’s functions and powers would not be limited by this convenience, but that it might be a world power, and I believe that today we are on the verge of making this agreement which will make the dog fancy on both sides of the Atlantic one governed to a very great extent by the reciprocal understanding.
agreement between the two great parties. We would call them high contracting parties if we were dealing in the language of diplomacy. I have tried to make my point plain because I recognize that it is perhaps a little subtle, but I hope we will not get mixed up with any question of changing rules. It transcends rules. We could not make any agreement which would be a violation of our Constitution, but I take it we can made any agreement which we can carry out which is not in contravention of the Constitution, even though it is in contravention of a rule.

Mr. Collins: I have heard this matter spoken of as a reciprocal agreement, but I think that name is wrongly used in connection therewith. In the first place, the English Kennel Club simply accepted what they saw fit to accept and rejected what they did not want. So far as the Kennel Club is concerned, and so far as registering a dog under the same name here as in England, that would affect the English about as much as chicken people here in this country would be affected, because dogs are never exported from this country to England because of the rules of the English Kennel Club. It seems to me that the original makers of the Constitution had the right idea in mind when they put the clause in to the effect that the Delegates shall have supervision over the changing of the rules. If you are
going to continue with the technical ideas of what rules are and what they are not, it will not be very long before it will not be necessary to have a Delegates meeting, because the Board of Directors can meet here once or twice a year or month, as they please, and do as they please. It seems to me that the Delegates should have something to say about this matter as to whether it is a rule or not. Suppose we take this reciprocal agreement unto ourselves and agree to it. Suppose I have a kennel name registered and paid for in this country. I buy a dog on the other side and I am precluded from the benefit of using my kennel name in connection with that dog. On the other hand, you say, according to this agreement, that we cannot use the kennel names of England.

Then if I import a dog with a kennel name or a prefix of an English kennel, how can I register that name here under that kennel prefix or kennel name? I buy a dog from Mr. Smith whose prefix or kennel name is registered. Your rule says I must name that dog over here a certain name, and yet your rule also says I cannot because I cannot use that kennel name. I think it is a good idea since I have every confidence in your Rules Committee and in your various small Committees, but there are a great many people in this club, members of the Board of Directors, and so forth, who are not exhibitors at the present time and who, through not being closely con-
nected with the dog matters and the shows and so forth, lack light on those small matters, and it is only fair when you bring a matter as important as this is up for consideration that you should give the delegates who represent the clubs in this country, an opportunity to have a voice in such a matter.

Mr. Lederer: I had intended originally to rise and ask the question for my own enlightenment as to what this reciprocity agreement really is. The Chair remarked in the first place that it is not a rule and then observed that it could not be an agreement because every term of it was not agreed upon. But it was the speaker before the last one who really suggested to my mind what this reciprocity agreement is, and to my mind it is nothing short of this: It is an agreement to make a rule. The American Kennel Club proposes to agree with the English Kennel Club to make a rule affected registrations. It seems to me then that whether it is to be looked upon as a rule or as an agreement is not the question before us, because it seems to me very clear that this is an agreement to make a rule, and that in that respect it is too large a subject for any Committee to deal with alone, in that it is a question involving as act of the delegates in making a rule. It looks to the making of a rule.

Mr. Belmont: We are playing upon words, calling a rule a Stud Book regulation, because the Stud Book Committee
has made regulations with regard to registrations which do not appear in the rules, never been required to appear in the rules, and they have a certain latitude with regard to that which other minds than those of the speaker consider that they were acting fully within when they adopted this portion of the agreement as a part of their regulations. If we use the word “Rule”, then what this speaker said might be so, but it is not the rule any more than any of the other regulations of the Stud Book Committee, and that is the way it was accepted. The whole difficulty is this: The Board of Directors were either, for the sake of promoting the good government of this Association, clothed with powers for that purpose, or they were not, one of the two. The Kennel Club had grown so large that when we incorporated and a new Constitution was adopted, the idea was to make the government as effective as possible, and that to govern through delegates as in former years was not possible, and therefore, they appointed a Board of Directors, and they clothed them with certain powers. I was not on the Committee which framed this Constitution, but Dr. De Mund, I believe, was, and there are a great many delegates present, I believe, who had to do with the framing of that constitution. They went so far as not to provide a confirmation of their acts, and either the Board of Directors were empowered under the Constitution to act in this matter,
or they were not. If they were, there is no review apparently. That is where we stand, and it is for you to say now whether you consider any, or this particular regulation of the Stud Book Committee, one which should have been reported to the Rules Committee, and should have taken its course as a change of the rule. As a matter of definition I do not mean to say that it was not a change of rule. I mean to say this, however, that there is precedent for the Stud Book Committee making such changed as that and such regulations as that, without calling them rules, according to their own regulations or rules of registration, if you like; not rules of the American Kennel Club, but rules of registration; and considering that their Committee had entire charge of the supervision of that subject without any necessity of having them go through the form prescribed by the Constitution for change of rule. Unfortunately, the trouble with this whole question is that they all revolved around personality, and that is why I want to speak to you today. We are all the time getting into trouble. When you come to these meetings you are not called upon half the time to act upon questions which really concern the welfare of the American Kennel Club, but you are asked to act upon things that concern the personal relations of your members, of your committeemen, of your officers, or something of that kind. This very question of Doctor Boultbee – he has
not offended against the rules of the Kennel Club. He has not done anything that would bring him under the rules of our club or the Canadian Kennel Club or the English Kennel Club. He has done nothing in violation of the rules. What he did was to give currency to certain talk about a member of your Committee, an officer we will say, not one of your Executive officers but practically one, because I want to say that he practically exercises the functions of an executive officer most of the time. I do not mean to say that he exercises them technically under the rules, but he exercises them really in that he succeeds in centering upon himself every complaint, every question that is to come up for your decision. Doctor Boultbee’s case revolves simply upon the liberty that one man took to speak of another’s personal affairs. What has that to do with the rules of the American Kennel Club? In his capacity as an officer, had he been as associate member, had he been anything but an ordinary member, that action against Doctor Boultbee would never have carried anywhere; but it was brought up as an offense and then the discipline of the American Kennel Club was applied to Doctor Boultbee, and it immediately brought us into conflict with the feelings and the opinions of the English Kennel Club, and it is not necessary for me to go through the details of that, but that is the fact, and then, mind you, the rectification
of that is not that this Doctor Boultbee comes to the American Kennel Club to make reparations for breach of the rules of what would be necessary with anybody who committed a fraud in registration, or breach of the rules which rendered them liable. No, he does not do that. This officer of the American Kennel Club sends somebody to tell him that if he apologized to him it will be all right. Is that the way to administer the rules and the government of the American Kennel Club? It is unthinkable. The difficulty is that we are surrounded with that sort of thing at every step. You have seen in the Kennel press things that you ought to never have seen. You have seen in the kennel press reference to this reciprocity agreement, whereas, we have had meetings of the Directors, and we have had meetings of the Delegates, but the question is never raised until when? Now. A. P. Vredenburgh said in the Autumn. How? Not on this floor: not at all. They were raised on the floors of dog shows, among the exhibitors, among the Delegates, among the reporters, among the editors of the different kennel journals, and they publish articles on the subject, and then we have taken no action; have not reviewed the action of A. P. Vredenburgh or myself, the action of the Directors, the action of the Committee, or anything of that kind, I am prepared to come here and to be reviewed if I have done anything wrong, but here only, or before the Board of Directors;
not among the exhibitors, not on the outside. I do not propose to go to the press to discuss the affairs of the American Kennel Club. That is what has taken place. Besides that, there has been in the atmosphere talk about an investigation. Who has mentioned an investigation? Have the delegates mentioned an investigation? Have the Board of Directors? Has any Committee? I know of none at all. I have spoken with outsiders about it. Such knowledge as I had on the subject I kept fully to myself and consulted those who are in authority and those whom I thought I was not only justified in consulting but who I ought to consult. I was asked by Dr. De Mund to take steps to have the office of the Kennel Club investigated. I submit to you whether I would have had a right, even if I had found the contention of Dr. De Mund absolutely correct and supported in every particular, to proceed with him, or to proceed at his request to order an investigation of this office. I would not be justified in taking a step of that kind unless I was backed up by much more than the request of one gentlemen, (whether he was a member or whether he were next to me right in together.) I would have felt that I must establish thoroughly to my own satisfaction first that these charges, whatever they might be, had a correct foundation, and then I would have felt that it was my duty to bring the subject before the Executive Committee. It was so serious
because charges against the office are serious. I should bring them before the Executive Committee, perhaps in special session, lay them before it, or if a meeting of the Directors was going to take place shortly, not too long in the future to make it a waste of time, I should bring it before them; or if the delegates were going to meet I should bring them before them. Instead of that, I have read in the papers about it. Insinuations have been such that you cannot tell exactly what they mean, but you all knew that something was impending. I have been criticized by one of the papers, and suppose that I took the same course that had been taken before with regard to the preceding matters and requested you to call to account some writer because he happened to abuse me improperly. Supposing I did that? Yet that has been done before. You remember we had a meeting here once – or was that the Directors – the Executive Board will recollect that we came near having a lawsuit against because a journalist was called to account for criticizing the judges. Why shouldn’t he criticized them? Of course, he can criticize them. The American Kennel Club has not anything to do with the question of whether he criticizes the judges in a manner that is detrimental to a particular show, or anything of that kind. We must have freedom of discussion. You cannot undertake to muzzle the press. In the first place, it would not be de-
sirable, besides you would fail to succeed if you tried it. Every time that a personal matter comes into the kennel life – I am going to be open, Dr. De Mund – every time that comes to the intelligence of the American Kennel Club, you try to reach that person through the American Kennel Club. That is what happened.

Now, I am going to read to you the correspondence I had with Dr. De Mund, and after that correspondence I had a conference with Mr. Gurnee, Dr. De Mund and certain portions of it were explained, and I have that as well, but nevertheless the thing in itself – I will paint you the picture and you can judge for yourselves as to that. Listen to the language in a letter addressed to me is justified or not. If it is justified, this Kennel Club is in a rotten condition, and if it is not justified when I submit to you that we must begin to arrange our affairs so that these sort of one thing, a constant turmoil, if not the disruption of the club. It is powerful enough, but you are contributing to the machinations of anybody who wants to disrupt the club, or who wants to share with you the very well earned authority which you have here in the United States. Mind you, gentlemen, I have no personal feeling or axe to grind in connection
with Dr. De Mund. He has done yeoman work for the Kennel Club, but it is my duty, even if I were an intimate friend, to save himself as well as the Kennel Club from going to a point where we really might come to a serious disagreement.

I want to say, in connection with some part of this correspondence, by way of parenthesis, that I see no difference between a paid employee and any of your officers. The fact that a man receives a salary makes no difference. The duties are just the same to the Kennel Club. You may not demand all his time, but you have just as much right to criticize, whether he is paid or not, and I wish to subject myself to that class.
December 8, 1913

Dr. De Mund

8731 Bat Parkway

Brooklyn, NY

Dear Sir:

I have not heard from you since our interview at my office on Nov 25, when I believed it was understood between us, that I would hear from you again and that you would lay before me some documentary evidence to sustain your charges against the office of the American Kennel Club, which you requested me to order an investigation of, in a letter addressed to me on Oct 21.

I now ask you formally and officially, to lay before me at my office, 43 Exchange Place, New York, the proof asked, either on Wednesday, the 10\textsuperscript{th} instant or Thursday the 11\textsuperscript{th}, at the latest.

When you have done this I will proceed forth with to officially take the necessary steps to bring about what you demand, if the evidence warrants it.

In order that there may be no misunderstanding between us as to what has taken place in the time intervening; between the receipt by me on October 24\textsuperscript{th}, of your letter of October 21\textsuperscript{st}, and the present time, including our conference on Nov 25\textsuperscript{th};
I have prepared the subjoined statement reviewing certain facts and my understanding of them, in the hope that they will accord with yours and your understanding of them as well.

Should, however, any statement I make differ from you conception of the facts and my expression of them to you, I will be glad to re-adjust them, so that there will be no question between us as to the correctness of what I am stating.

Your letter of October 21st, which I quote herewith, calls upon me to join with you in causing an investigation to be made of the office of the American Kennel Club. This request is based upon mist sweeping charges, without, however, any specifications.

October 21, 1913

August Belmont, Esq.
President, American Kennel Club
43 Exchange Place, New York

Dear Sir:

Having of late read the criticism by the entire kennel press of the country and also of two of the large daily papers directed against the office of the AKC, also being in receipt of a great number of complaints officially laid before me by directors, delegates, exhibitors, dog fanciers and others from all sections of the country against certain paid employees of the AKC, in regard to interference with Committees, trial boards
directors and delegates, the usurpation of powers of Committees, officers and delegates, the defiance of the rules and resolutions of the AKC by certain paid employees, the arbitrary and contradictory letters written to exhibitors and fanciers, I deem it my duty to bring these matters before you. I view with alarm the widespread and rapidly growing dissatisfaction of fanciers of the whole United States and the distrust manifested by them of the AKC due to mistakes and carelessness in the office. Knowing personally that many of the criticisms are only too well founded, I ask you to join with me to devise some method to investigate the office and paid employees and try and restore the AKC to the confidence and respect of the American fancier and place it back on the plane where it belongs.

Yours very truly,

Dr. De Mund
Chairman of the Board of Directors of
The American Kennel Club.

To this I replied to you on October 31st, as follows, holding myself in readiness for a conference at which you could lay before me proofs, which, from your letter I presumed would not only be documentary, but voluminous. I was prepared to give you the necessary time and go over the matter thoroughly.
Dr. De Mund  
8731 Bay Parkway  
Brooklyn, NY  

Dear Sir:  

Press of business has prevented my answering sooner your letter of October 21st.  

I will be greatly obliged to you if you will place before me the criticism by the “entire Kennel Press of the country” and also those of the two “large daily papers” which you state you have read, directed against the office of the American Kennel Club.  

You have, as I understand, in your possession, a great number of complaints “officially laid before you by Directors, Delegates, Exhibitors, Dog Fanciers, against certain paid employees of the American Kennel Club in regard to interference with “Committees, Trial Board, Directors and Delegates, the usurpation of powers of Committees, officers and delegates, the defiance of the rules and resolutions of the American Kennel Club.”  

You ask for an opportunity to lay these matter before me.  

I am prepared by appointment at a mutually suitable hour and place, to meet you and review this subject with
I regret that I am in ignorance of this widespread dissatisfaction so far as it has been in any way conveyed to me as President of the American Kennel Club. I would have expected, unless the criticism is leveled at me as well, that such communications should at least in part have been addressed to the Executive Officer of the Club.

I remain,

Yours truly,

August Belmont
President
American Kennel Club

Three days elapsed before receiving your reply, which I herewith quote:

Nov 3rd, 1913.

Mr. August Belmont, President
American Kennel Club
43 Exchange Place
New York

Dear Sir:

I am leaving town today and will return on the 10th, and will be pleased to meet you at any time convenient to you and place before you the matters contained in my letter of October 21st.
I have not heard criticisms leveled at you but possibly the reason that communications have not been addressed to you, is because of the belief among fanciers that any letter concerning AKC matters are forwarded by you to the Secretary.

I remain,

Yours very truly,

Dr. De Mund

Chairman Board of Directors

And on Nov 13th, received another communication from you under date of Nov 12th, as follows:

Nov 12th, 1913

Mr. August Belmont,

Dear Mr. Belmont:

I am at your service in the matters concerning the AKC this week or Monday, Nov 17, after that will be free at any time after Nov. 23.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. De Mund

I was unable to reach you over the telephone, but on November 24th secured your attention with the following letter proposing a conference:
November 24, 1913

My dear Dr. De Mund;

I tread to get hold of you on Tuesday, Nov 18 and it was said from your home that you had gone to the Kennel Club. I left word there to put yourself in communication with me. Later in the afternoon your office stated that you would be away until Saturday.

I presume you were informed that I was trying to get hold of you to make an appointment.

Can you meet me tomorrow at 11:30 AM?

I am trying to get you on the telephone at this time of writing, hoping to settle definitely on a time and place when we can meet and go over the matter of the Kennel Club promptly.

This letter is written to you in case I am unable to reach you on the telephone.

I remain,

Yours truly,

August Belmont

You came to my office on Nov 25th. You did not bring with you a single scrap of paper of any kind, or even a prepared statement reciting specific instances in support of the allegations contained in your letter of October 21st.
I listened to your complaint, which was entirely verbal, couched as before, in
general terms only.

You stated to me that you had no clippings of newspapers and were not
prepared to support your statements as to the publications referred to in your letters of
October 21st, with clippings or excerpts of such publications. Furthermore you told me
that the complaints, in the main, came to you verbally; some were in writing, but you had
not brought any of the letters with you. I drew from you, however, that the major portion
of the complaints were from exhibitors, judges and people interested in dog shows,
conveyed to you verbally at dog shows.

I called to your attention the necessity of your substantiating your charges and
insisted that you carry them to the American Kennel Club at the next meeting of the
Delegates, or place them before me to be brought to the attention of the meeting of the
Board of Directors on December 16th.

In reply to a request for specific charges, you mentioned the reciprocity
agreement, in connection with which you claimed that A. P. Vredenburgh had acted in
an unauthorized manner, which included as well, my signature to the reciprocity
agreement between the English Kennel Club and the American Kennel Club.

I drew your attention to a letter which you had written to the “American Fancier
and Stockkeeper” in reply to one addressed to you on the same subject. I stated to you
that I considered your doing this an improper method of securing both
attention to and correction of the action of A. P. Vredenburgh and myself, and that matters of this sort should be first threshed out within the walls of the Kennel Club itself. You said that you had not considered that view of it, but you upon reflection, realized that I was right and expressed yourself as sorry that you had been led to answer the letter of the “American Fancier and Stockkeeper.”

Another definite charge was the part taken by A. P. Vredenburgh and his office in giving currency or making statements, with regard to some alleged personal relations of yours, which you very much resented.

The third definite complaint was your reference to the verbatim publication in the Kennel Gazette by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, of the discussions which take place at our meetings, and which at a meeting of the Board on May 20, 1913, had by resolution been ordered discontinued.

The proper place for the correction of a violation of instructions of this kind, is a succeeding meeting of the directors, and in default of this, of the Delegates.

Touching against upon the reciprocity agreement; I gave you to understand that I had been satisfied with the authority from the American Kennel Club for the signature attached to it by the proper officers, but that the subject could well be brought before the Kennel Club and it alone would decide as to that question.
With regard to the second subject; I stated to you that it could not be made an American Kennel Club matter; that I was purely personal and had nothing to do with the business of the Kennel Club.

You conveyed to me no other specific charges outside of the above three.

With regard to my course respecting your letter of Oct 21st; I stated to you that I had immediately written the following letter to the gentlemen whose names are given below:

October 31, 1913

Dear Sir:

Very serious complaints have been brought to my attention as having been addressed to certain officials of the American Kennel Club touching upon the usurpation of the powers of “Committees, Officers and Delegates”, and the “defiance of the rules of the American Kennel Club by certain paid employees.”

As President of the Club, I have received no direct complaint of this nature, and as Chairman of the Committee, I will thank you very much to communicate with me as to whether you have been the recipient of any such complaints.”

I remain,

Yours very truly,
August Belmont  
President  
American Kennel Club

H. H. Hunnewell  
1st Vice President,  
Boston, Mass

Wm. G. Rockefeller, Chairman  
Finance Committee  
New York

Chetwood Smith, Chairman  
Field Trial Committee  
Worchester, Mass

R. P. Keasbey, Chairman  
Publication Committee  
New York

B. S. Smith, Chairman  
New York Trial Board  
New York

W. L. Barclay, Chairman  
Philadelphia Trial Board  
Philadelphia

H. K. Bloodgood  
2nd Vice President,  
New York

Jas. W. Appleton, Chairman  
Stud Book Committee  
Ipswich, Mass

A. G. Hooley, Chairman  
License Committee  
New York

Ralph C. Stewart, Chairman  
Law Committee  
Philadelphia

Doctor F. G. Osgood, Chairman  
Boston Trial Board  
Boston, Mass.

Howard Willetts, Chairman  
Membership Committee  
New York

The answers were varying, but in every instance there was a distinct denial that complaints of the nature you state, had been brought to their attention.
I went on to tell you that the act ratifying the reciprocity agreement had been committed in the Spring of the current year and as you had taken no steps with regard to it in the intervening period such as you were taking today, when the time is short and just as we are approaching the mid-Winter meeting and our annual meeting, I stated to you frankly that it bore the earmarks of a purpose to create confusion and dissatisfaction as the time of the election drew near.

I said to you that it was my understanding that you had made attempts to have placed in the hands of the Committee over which you presided, and among the duties of the Chairman of the Board of Directors, powers properly belonging to the Chief Executive of the American Kennel Club, and if you really desired those powers, it was you who should be President and not I.

In proof of this, the following proposed amendment was published over your signature as Chairman of the Rules Committee in the Gazette of Jan., 1913:

“Amend Article VI, Section IV by inserting after the words, “annual meetings of the Club”, the following; “A Chairman of the Board of Directors who shall preside at all meetings of said Board and shall have all the powers of the President”
of the club, except that of calling meetings of
the club and executing contracts.”

Respectfully submitted,
Dr. De Mund, Chairman Rules Committee

The following substitution was published and later adopted by the delegates:

New York, Feb 13, 1913

To the delegates of the
American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

It has been decided by the Rules Committee to substitute the following amendment in place of the one passed at the meeting held Jan 17.

“A Chairman of the Board of Directors who ‘in the absence of the President and Vice-Presidents’ shall preside at all meetings of said Board and shall have all powers of the President of the club, except that of calling meetings of the club and executing contracts.”

Yours truly,
Dr. De Mund

You were subsequently a candidate for and became the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

What I said in this connection you immediately interpreted as an accusation that you were plotting to take my
Place. This I refuted at the time and do again. What I did intend to convey was, that I
believed you wanted, not the office of the President, but the powers of the office.

This brought out your assurance to me that you not only did not wish any such
duties, but that you had contemplated for some time your resignation; that you wished to
leave the club with the good feelings now existing; that you always had regard for me
and desired my regard for you to continue; that you had always worked for the interests
of the American Kennel Club and had no idea of working against them.

I now learn that instead of your proceeding to put me in possession of the facts
with regard to your accusations against the office of the American Kennel Club, you
have been instrumental in circulating a petition for signatures among dog fanciers,
exhibitors and others associated with the dog world, demanding an investigation of the
offices of the American Kennel Club.

Such demand could only be made as a result of statements by you to them of
conditions warranting an investigation. Unless these statements which you are making to
them are better founded than those which you made to me, your seeking their signatures
is unjustifiable and in my opinion, in direct violation of your assurances to me.

Such a petition could only be calculated to stir up hos-
tility and feeling against the management of the American Kennel Club. I cannot but draw from it the natural conclusion, after reviewing the letters from the Chairman of the various Committees and the responses to my inquiries at the office of the club, that the wide dissatisfaction which you claim exists, does not emanate from what the various Committees and Officers of the Kennel Club have done, but what you have evidently taken great pains to instill in their minds.

Let me say to you in conclusion, that if you carry out your intention to resign at the present time, and fail to push to a finish before the Board of Directors and Delegates, this effort of yours to cause an investigation of the office of the American Kennel Club, it will be impossible for anybody conversant with all the facts, not to question the sincerity of your motives.

Ever since you have been connected with Kennel Club officially, you have never found the door close to any effort on your part to bring questions you desired before the club or its officers, and that door is quite open now.

I remain,

Yours truly,

August Belmont
President
American Kennel Club
That statement I will continue to make until I have proof that this is not the fact. I cannot find from this gentleman where this dissatisfaction comes from. I take this simple question of reciprocity agreement. It has been put in a wrong light. So far as the action of the officers are concerned, it looks exactly as if we had taken a step which was absolutely unwarranted. There is no mention of the Board of Directors. They certainly have some powers, and we thought we had received them properly from that Board. If we have not, it is essential that we should. At all events I have found no one who is more responsible for the spread of this matter than Dr. De Mund.

Gentlemen, I have not had another word since with Dr. De Mund. He emphasizes the statement that there is general dissatisfaction with the office. A. P. Vredenburgh takes it upon himself to decide questions and do things, but when it came down to when and how this was started, why, Dr. De Mund conveyed to me that everything was all right. I submit to you if everything had gone all right up to within a year --- I do not know if you are all satisfied that there has been a great change in this office; that matters are conducted differently than they have been for the last 25 years, let us have it out. If you want an investigation of the office of the Kennel Club, of course it should be had. I did not feel jus-
tified in ordering it, and I want to say that when A. P. Vredenburgh came to me to request it, I said that I should not do it until I had brought the subject before the American Kennel Club. I thank you for your patience in listening to me.

Mr. Belmont takes the Chair.

Dr. De Mund: I think that as the President has very closely turned this into an attack on me and put me on the defensive, although I decline to take that position—because he has made a personal matter of it, I wish to say a few words in my defense. I ought to call the attention of Mr. Belmont to certain matters which a great many delegates sitting here know to be true. I do not think there is any question in the minds of a great many of you, particularly those who are familiar with the past, that there is great deal of dissatisfaction among the dog public at present with the American Kennel Club as it is conducted. The interview as read by Mr. Belmont, is substantially correct. There are a few matters there that I do not quite accord with, but they are immaterial. One of the principal things though, Mr. Belmont did not state that I told him that I could not furnish evidence to him, but that I furnish evidence of the matters with which I was personally acquainted before a Committee at any time, and I stood ready to do so. He has passed the matter over to the Secretary and myself, having some personal
differences. I have no personal difference with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, and as a man I like the Secretary but I do not approve of his actions in a great many respects as Secretary. The matter that I referred to Mr. Belmont was not a personal one, but when an attack was made on me by two members of this Club, which was afterwards proven to be absolutely false, I had to demand an investigation to get them to bring their charges. They did bring their charges and they were tried by the Executive Committee, and the Executive Committee found that they were absolutely unfounded. At that time I was not allowed to testify, but I had proof of several Directors of the American Kennel Club that those charges, if not actually instigated, were aided and abetted by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club. I did not make it a personal matter, but I made it a matter of an attack of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club on an official, and I claimed to Mr. Belmont that it was unwarranted. It was not to best interests of the American Kennel Club for the Secretary to ally himself against any Director of the club. It was not a personal view at all of that. I did mention one or two other matters to Mr. Belmont that I said I could furnish proof of. Of course, I am at a disadvantage. Mr. Belmont had a type-written copy, and I did not expect—in the first place, I always intended to bring this matter up before the delegates.
I did tell Mr. Belmont that I had contemplated resigning for sometime, and I had intended to do so because I was not in accord with certain matters. I came to Mr. Belmont as an official of the American Kennel Club for the good of the American Kennel Club. There was nothing personal in the matter at all. I simply asked an investigation of these reports that are all over the country, and they are all over the country. Mr. Belmont says they are not true. An investigation would settle it. I did not consider it then the time nor the place to furnish the proof, and in my letter I merely stated that some of them I knew to be true, and those I still know and still stand ready to furnish the proof of. The others are a great deal of them hearsay, a great many of them complaints, but I can furnish the names of the complainants to any Committee. I told Mr. Belmont at the time that I did not pose to act as prosecuting officer, but I would merely testify and bring forth the proof.

Mr. Belmont: I do not think that Dr. De Mund can divest himself of the prosecuting officer mantle; but he makes statements of this kind, and they must be substantiated, it seems to me. It is not right to set an investigation in motion and then withdraw it and allow all the odium of an investigation under such general charges. If you will take my word for it, everyone of these so-called complaints are
trifling compared with the mass of really good work that is being done, not only by the
doctrine of the American Kennel Club, but by every Committee connected with it. There is a
tremendous among of it, and that there should be things done that are open to criticism,
yes, of course; that A. P. Vredenburgh is not the most amiable person at times, yes, of
course. We went all through that once before. I thin that he might not be willing to deny
that himself. I am no saint myself if a man comes in accusing me of improper conduct. I
know of one instance, the addressing of a letter by A. P. Vredenburgh to somebody who
took exception to it, and he wrote in very curt language that the American Kennel Club
ordered him, and he obeyed the orders of the American Kennel Club. It was not
particularly polite, perhaps; it was perhaps unnecessary; it was referred to Mr.
Bloodgood. It was raised and not considered wise to make that statement, if it was not
polite, if it was not diplomatic, if it was not in the line of treatment that you think people
should receive. It certainly was out of line with your wishes. If A. P. Vredenburgh
considers the orders of the American Kennel Club paramount to any opinion of his own, I
do not think that is much to find fault with. There was another question about the rule
with regard to exhibitors judging. I would rather Mr. Vredenburgh should make his own
statement about that. I do not see very much in it.
It is referred to. It is one of the few inconsistencies, because after all, Dr. De Mund, as you stated in your letter, not only was it your duty to prepare that and bring it along with you, but it could not be covered with a conversation twice as long. This matter was inconsistent because in the case of one exhibitor the rule was fatal, he thought, as applying one way, and in the other the rule was quoted, as he thought, applying in another way. So far as the practical rules are concerned, Mr. Vredenburgh had no authority over the entry that was made, or to, at the place of the show in connection with that, express an opinion on the bearing of the rules. If he was wrong in his opinion, and this person, whoever it was, violated the rules, the rules of the American Kennel Club would reach him. Those are the sort of things that we are in turmoil about. Of course, the dog fanciers all over the country, if they are told that the American Kennel Club’s office is badly managed—and the few who want to spread the dissatisfaction can do ten times more damage than those who want to try and progress the interests of the American Kennel Club without constantly calling attention to it faults, or trying to improve its authority by speaking about the good that it does. I hope that Mr. Vredenburgh will ask for an investigation because I think in view of his long service it is much better that you should grant him an investigation.
and that you should accept general statements and order one as a reflection upon him. In the same way I ask you for an investigation of this matter of reciprocity – not an investigation because that is not one, but I ask you to consider as to whether this Stud Book com action with regard to English nomenclature should be considered a rules or one of their regulations on the subject to be referred to the delegates. That disposes of that. As to the confirmation or otherwise of the reciprocity agreement, I do not know how you are going to reach that unless you pass a resolution requesting a report from the Board of Directors; I have no doubt if you find that there is general dissatisfaction that they would order at their meeting a report to be made on the subject, but as to any disposition on the part of one Committee to override the other, or one Board to override the other, or the Board of Directors to over ride the delegates, or anything of that kind, I do not think that it exists at all, and if we cannot manage our affairs in an amicable way, and in a regular and orderly way, at our meetings, why really, then it is time for us to give place to somebody who can, because there is no difficulty about it. It simply wants a disposition to do it. If I conclude correctly, it is because there has been a falling out. Do men have a falling out because of great differences of policy?
It has not been my experience. My experience of fallings out is that they usually result in something personal. So it is all the time and everywhere, and if these gentlemen that agree in the management of the American Kennel Club, as a sort of a voluntary Committee, you might call them, who have been in the habit of helping Mr. Vredenburgh, and who then stopped, you find something more than a mere disagreement as to policies and as to enforcement of the rules. It is a question usually about somebody's authority that has not been observed quite as it should be. Dr De Mund has occupied several positions of authority in this club. As the result of the revision of the Constitution the various Committees with which he has been associated have been increased, and what is it for? I repeat precisely what I said in my letter, that if all these complaints were lodged in Dr De Mund's hands and were given to him and to nobody else, then it must be that a substantial part of the Kennel world considered him the proper person to whom to go. It must be so. There is no other person to whom to go. It must be so. There is no other rational interpretation of it, and if that is the case, then it is he who has taken it upon himself, and not the individuals and Chairmen of the Committees or anybody else, the powers and the authority of the American Kennel Club. If there is anybody in this room who can reply to that letter to
me of Dr De Mund and say, yes, I have been overridden, I think it is time for him to say no.

THE SECRETARY: If I am permitted to speak, I want to answer Dr De Mund as to one single matter. He said that I aided and abetted Mr. Smith and Mr. Palmer in formulating charges against him. I deny that absolutely. On the contrary, I worked against it. I heard that there was something like that going to happen, and I advised Mr. Smith over the telephone not to create a scandal in the American Kennel Club, and not to bring the matter up. His reply was: I have taken your advice since I have been in the American Kennel Club, and you have always given me good advice, but this time I am going it alone. The night before the meeting he showed me for the first time the statement that he read at that same meeting, and I advised him strongly not to do it. Mr. Palmer was present and can corroborate what I say. Nevertheless he read it, and when he was directed to prefer charges against Dr De Mund, and the copies of the charges came to me, one for the Kennel Club and one to send to Dr De Mund, I then told Mr. Smith that he has made a great mistake in preferring these charges; that he did not have a leg to stand on. If in that way I aided and abetted Mr. Smith in the formulation of his charges, then I must have done so. After that time Dr. De Mund and myself had a conversation, and he said: “I am
going to refer to this matter for the last time; after that it will be a closed book.” We talked it over and I then told him that I had advised Mr. Smith not to read that statement; that is the only answer I have to make at present, but I would like to say before I sit down that nobody craves a most thorough investigation of the management of the American Kennel Club so far as this related to me, than I do. I have asked Mr. Belmont to order such an investigation. I asked him if he would not appoint such men on the Committee as that the result of their labors would be taken by the entire dog community as truth. I now request a most thorough investigation of the way secretary has managed the American Kennel Club for the last 28 years. There has been no change whatever, and up to last April Dr De Mund and myself had almost daily intercourse. We conferred together and everything that was done had his sanction, and I was not aware that there was any feeling existing between Dr De Mund and myself until I was informed of his letter to the President and to the Vice-President demanding an investigation of this office.

Mr. Palmer: Last March, upon my return from Chicago, Mr. Vredenburgh, Mr. Smith, Dr De Mund and myself were present here. Dr De Mund asked me how I found conditions in the middle West. I told him: “They are rotten.” He said” “What do you mean?” I said: “The sentiment is entirely
against the American Kennel Club, entirely against you, and the impression is that there is too much big stick being swung by one man.” To which he replied that I was entirely wrong; that he had just followed me the week after to Chicago, where everything was for the American Kennel Club; he had come from the far West and everything was for the American Kennel Club. Now, gentlemen, that goes back to last March, when everything was, according to Dr De Mund, for the American Kennel Club. Today we have the statement from Dr De Mund that there is great feeling against the American Kennel Club, against the Committees of the American Kennel Club, and I ask you how and when and why did it start, now? Just as soon as I gave Dr DeMund advice that he did not want to take, just as soon as anyone opposed Dr DeMund in anything he wanted to put through such a person must be wrong. At that time Dr De Mund asked me why I had made this a personal attack. I assured him it was not. He did not believe me. I said: “I have a suggestion to make to you and the best thing you can do is to go away back and sit down,” and I think the time has come when I may repeat that request.

Dr De Mund: First, I wish to state that Mr. Palmer’s account is entirely erroneous, absolutely false, in other words, and that if I had been allowed to produce my witnesses when I was bring investigated on charges, I would
have proved that anything against me in the West was carried there by Mr. Palmer. I had plenty of witnesses to prove it.

Mr. Palmer: Is Mr. Wood in the room? I am sorry he is not. If what I have stated is false, I ask Mr. Vredenburgh, who was in the room at the time when I passed that remark to Dr De Mund, also Mr. Smith, as to whether I have stated accurately what I said.

Mr. Belmont: I move that in order to test the question as to the validity of the action of the Stud Book Committee, that the delegates consider by vote that the regulation of the Stud Book Committee with regard to the registration of foreign does that they should be registered in the same kennel names that they have on their side, is not considered by the delegates as a change in the rules of the American Kennel Club.

The secretary: I would like to state before that motion is put, that I have the minutes here from the Stud Book Committee which touches on that subject. They recommend that as part of the rules governing the Stud Book.

Mr. Appleton: Then I was chairman of the Stud Book Committee. The Stud Book Committee made their own rules with regard to registrations and kennel names. I think under the old club a rule was made that we should not accept the names of cities, states or universities. That is the only rule I
ever heard of that was passed by the Directors or Delegates or anything governing the registration of kennel names. The Committee has always made its own regulations, and has done what it could to keep the names from interfering with each other, and have changed those regulations from time to time without authority. If we have wrong, it has been because we had a wrong precedent set for us.

Mr. Belmont: Then I move that the action of the Stud Book Committee in putting into effect that regulation is approved and is not considered by the delegate as a change of the American Kennel Club rules.

Mr. Bernard: I want to know if this reciprocity agreement had anything in it besides the registration of dogs.

The secretary: That is the particular article that is held in abeyance on account of the disqualification by is of Dr Boultbee.

Mr. Ritchie: The point on which I ask information on behalf of the club which I represent, is, as we understand, that there was an agreement, or as it appears to me, certain resolutions taken up and forwarded to the English Kennel Club for their approval, and they picked out one section that they were willing to accept.

The Chairman: Just the reverse.
Mr. Ritchie: The next point is, not knowing any of the members of the Stud Book Committee by name or from memory, does the passage of a resolution of this kind, bring about a conflict with the Rules Committee? The point I want to make clear is this, that it is all right to cooperate with the English Kennel Club, or with anything else that promotes the interests of the dog as long as we cooperate within ourselves first, but if we have a conflict within the American Kennel Club and its officers, wouldn’t it be better to have a report from the rules Committee?

The Chairman: The Rules Committee have nothing whatever to do with the Stud Book. The Rules Committee have no rights whatsoever to do anything that pertains to the Stud Book on the question of registration.

Mr. Ritchie: Is that rule determined by our By-laws?

The Chairman: Yes. There might be a question, as Mr. Belmont said, as to whether the Rules Committee might have something to say about the clause that the English Kennel Club will not ratify.

Mr. Ritchie: On the general report then, would there be any reason why the Rules Committee should conflict with the Stud Book Committee?

The Chairman: The President took the stand that
the Rules Committee had nothing to do with this agreement because it was not a change of the rules. It had nothing to do with the rules; it was an agreement between the American Kennel Club and the English Kennel Club.

Mr. Ritchie: I would like to know if these is harmony among ourselves as to the approval of that sort of thing.

The Chairman: I should not consider that we had any jurisdiction of it. It is an agreement between the American Kennel Club and the English Kennel Club. It does not affect our By-laws; it does not affect our rules.

Mr. Ritchie: Do we get any benefit in return?

The Secretary: The benefit is mostly ours.

Mr. Dawyer: May I ask what was the date of the recommendations of the Stud Book Committee just read?

The Secretary: The say before yesterday, it was the first meeting of the Stud Book Committee in over a year.

Dr De Mund: I would like to ask if the Stud Book Committee only recommended that say before yesterday, why the Secretary has put it in force since the 12th of last March?

Mr. Appleton: The Stud Book Committee have merely brought this up for the information of the Directors. We put those rules into effect ourselves. We did it for the protection, as we thought, of the American Kennel Club rules.
Dr De Mund: Mr. Appleton states they have put those rules into effect. Every rule that the Stud Book Committee or any other Committee has put into effect have been passed upon by the delegates originally.

Mr. Belmont: It resolves itself into this with regard to rules. I said to these gentlemen when we met, that there are three authorities that the dog man is afraid of. The Stud Book Committee is one and the Trial Board is another. They are the principal ones. Now as a matter of fact, the Rules Committee, Trial Board and Stud Book Committee with the Trial Board, has put into effect rules which make the Rules Committee paramount to almost anything else; I mean in disciple. I do not understand that the Rules Committee was intended originally to do anything more than to revise rules and present their recommendations for their change to the American Kennel Club, but in doing this they finally practically hold a veto power over almost everything that the American Kennel Club does. Everybody would have to run to the Rules Committee or to the Trial Board to do anything. An investigating is referred to the Trial Board. The Rules Committee take every question that concerns the registration of the dog. You concentrate into a few hands the whole government of the Club.

The secretary then read Article VIII of the By-laws.

Dr DeMund: This bears out my contention. I do not
claim that the Rules Committee has any authority or any power whatever. I have not claimed so. I merely claim that to change or alter a rule of this club it must be submitted to the Rules Committee.

Mr. Sawyer: Do I understand that the Stud Book Committee had not passed on this matter or determined this matter, which is a question of registration, as referred to in the By-laws just read, until day before yesterday they had no meeting?

The Chairman: Mr. Appleton told you that it had been in force for the past eight months.

Mr. Sawyer: My question was whether the Stud Book Committee had as a Committee passed on this question, or determined it, before say before yesterday, or whatever date that was.

Mr. Appleton: The Chairman has acted on it in the absence of the members, as had always been the custom. The other members have agreed with me since then.

Mr. Belmont: The objection is raised in connection with the reciprocity agreement, the very subject that is before us now. That is to say, that the reciprocity agreement is not in force because of one of these particular features – that should have been referred to the Rules Committee and therefore that is not in force. This resolution disposes of
Mr. Lederer: Has the reciprocity agreement been signed by the other side?
The Secretary: It has not, although they are acting under it.
Mr. Lederer: What is the conception of the officers of the club as to the reciprocity agreement? Is it a contract between the American Kennel Club and the English Kennel Club, or what? I think we should know that before we vote on this motion.

Mr. Belmont: No, it is not a contract. There are certain instructions to formulate a method of co-operation, to enforce certain regulations, and they agreed by letter to enforce a certain one, and they have been enforcing certain portions of that agreement, and we have been doing the same, but the agreement as a whole has not been signed; that is to say, I signed our part of it because we had agreed to everything. They did not sign it, but put into operation this portion which they considered they were prepared to.

Mr. Sawyer: Do I understand that the question at issue is the one that covers disqualification?

Mr. Belmont: That turns on Dr Boulbee, and he was disqualified for making a personal attack upon Dr De Mund. That is the difficulty of the whole thing, and that
only so far as I can see.

Dr De Mund: May I correct you there. The President is misinformed. Dr Boultbee did not make a personal attack on me. Dr Boultbee made an attack in the papers that he could not substantiate, upon the two Directors of this Club, another gentleman and myself, and we demanded an investigation, the same as Mr. Bloodgood demanded an investigation when an attack was made upon him. He set the precedent for it. We were investigated by the Trial Board, and the Trial Board found there was absolutely nothing in that attack; that it was unwarranted and unfounded. We then preferred charges against Dr Boultbee before the Trial Board, and the Trial Board disqualified him.

The Secretary: He did not come before the Trial Board.

Dr De Mund: No, he refused to come. He said he would send affidavits, and then refused to send any affidavit, and he refused to come unless his expenses were paid, and exorbitant sum, with his informant, were the words he used. The question of the personal attack of Dr Boultbee was disciplined for refusing to come before the American Kennel Club and make good.

Mr. Belmont: I ask for information as to that at-
tack. That was the subject of it?

The Secretary: I would like to correct Dr De Mund. An editorial in the Canadian Kennel Gazette stated that Dr Boulbee was ready to make affidavit to his published statement. I wrote to Dr Boulbee, and the first communication I had from him was, “I positively and absolutely refuse to send affidavits.” That was the investigation, not a trial, and then when the charges were preferred by Mr. Albright and Dr De Mund, they called upon Dr Boulbee to send affidavits in which he said that he repeated what he said in his letter, that he declined, but he would come here to the office at our convenience with his informant and testify, if we would pay his expenses, and then he enumerated what the expenses would be, it was thought to be excessive; and further than that, there is only one body in the American Kennel Club that can appropriate money to bring witnesses here, and that is the Executive Committee on appeal, and they declined to pay the $105 for two men to come on here from Toronto, and then they disqualified Dr Boulbee because he refused to furnish affidavits.

Dr De Mund: If there was any attack, it was on the Trial Board that investigated it and disqualified Dr Boulbee. They had all the evidence before them, and they are the ones who are responsible. I am not responsible in the
matter in any way, shape or manner.

Mr. Belmont: I ask again what was the nature of those attacks.

Mr. Sawyer: I rise to a point of order that we are now digressing from the question before the house.

At this point Mr. Belmont's motion was carried.

Mr. Belmont: I move that Mr. Vredenburgh's request for an investigation be granted, and that the Chair (H. H. Hunnewell) appoint three members of the Kennel Club in his discretion to investigate Mr. Vredenburgh's actions and report on the same at the annual meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Mortimer: As it seems a very important thing that we should have Dr Boultbee's evidence in order to procure perfect reciprocity, would I be out of order in moving that the case of Dr Boultbee be re-opened and he be sent for?

Dr De Mund: I rise to a point of order. The By-Laws prescribe how a case can be re-opened.

THE SECRETARY: It prescribes how an appeal can be made.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I should like to say that it would be necessary for Dr Boultbee to come here that the American Kennel Club should defray his expenses, and there is no
authority other than the authority that you may give at this meeting to appropriate the proper amount of money to pay those expenses.

   Mr. Mortimer: I move that the American Kennel Club pay the expenses. It does not seem so very extravagant, $105 for two men to come from Toronto.

   Dr Osgood: I second the motion.

   Carried.

   Chairman H. H. Hunnewell appointed Messrs. H. K. Bloodgood, Howard Willets and Ralph Stewart as a Committee to investigate Mr. Vredenbrugh’s actions in the management of the American Kennel Club.
THE SECRETARY: The only business of importance is the question of the illegal adoption of an amendment to the By-laws at the Sept meeting. That should be corrected in some way by this meeting. It can only be acted upon next Feb. Under the By-Laws no amendment to the By-laws can be adopted or acted upon except at the Annual meeting which his held in Feb.

The Chairman: This makes this agreement partly inoperative until the Feb meeting. You can take no action in confirmation of it. If you action was contrary to the provisions of the constitution at the outset, you cannot correct it by rescinding it or otherwise.

THE SECRETARY: Ought it not to come up at the annual meeting for re-adoption?

The Chairman: Yes, certainly. Unless something of that kind were done it would fall by the wayside. It would be in order to move that the Rules Committee recommends that it be referred to the annual meeting for adoption.

Mr. Sawyer: I move that the action taken at the Sept meeting be considered as null and void, and that it be brought up again at the annual meeting in Feb.

Mr. Holton: Do I understand that it is necessary to take notice of the provisions just sixty days in advance?
THE SECRETARY: The notice was properly given in the Gazette.

Mr. Holton: I second the motion.

Carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Membership Committee, in conformity with the By-laws, met on Nov 25th and they recommend the following nominating Committee to name and nominate directors to fill the expiring class for 1914: George Greer, Chairman; A. C. Wilmerding, William Rauch, A. G. Hooley and Ralph C. Stewart. They are nominated now for election.

Mr. Gurnee: I move that the secretary be empowered to cast one vote for the election of the gentlemen named.

Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary stated that he had cast a ballot for Messrs. Greer, Wilmerding, Rauch, Hooley and Stewart as a Nominating Committee of the Club of 1914.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the resignation of Mr. Howard Ehrich as a delegate representing the Airedale Terrier Club of New York.

Mr. Stewart: I move his resignation be accepted with regret.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
President, August Belmont, in the Chair.

P R E S E N T
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Alfred B. Maclay
Tyler Morse
M. Mowbray Palmer
Hollis H. Hunnewell
William G. Rockefeller
W. L. Barclay
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
August Belmont
J. W. Appleton
John G. Bates
F. H. Osgood
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The secretary read his report to the Board of Directors. It is as follows:

New York, Dec 15, 1913

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

The following Standing Committee have handed in their reports which will be presented to you at this meeting. Membership Committee, License Committee, Publication Committee, and Stud Book Committee.

There is a vacancy on the San Francisco Trial Board, and Mr. J. P. Norman, our Western Agent, recommends the appointment of Mr. E. C. Rand, who is President of the Sonoma County Kennel Club, which is one of the members of the AKC.

I have bills for disbursements by our Western Agent amounting to $34.15 which covers from the date of his appointment up to and including Dec 8th. Under date of Oct 27th, Mr. Norman presented a bill for $14.25, and I assumed the responsibility of sending him a check for that amount rather than deprive him of the money that he had already advanced so that he would no be com-
pelled to wait an additional two months for your approval. This will make our present indebtedness $19.90.

I beg to recommend the payment of this bill and to ask your approval of my first payment of Oct 27th amounting to $14.25.

I have a communication from the Kodak City Kennel Club, a member of the AKC and the Genesee Valley Kennel Club setting forth a resolution that was made and passed by a vote of 71 in favor and 26 against to wit:

“That the Kodak City Kennel Club instructs the AKC to transfer its franchise and its Membership to the Genesee Valley Kennel Club, in consideration of which the Genesee Valley Kennel Club agrees to assume and pay all indebtedness of the Kodak City Kennel Club up to and not exceeding the amount of $250.00, and that the receipt of these bills be sent to the Treasurer, Mrs. C. E. Lamouree. Also the following bill of sale was drawn up at said meeting:

Oct 27, 1913

“In consideration of the amount of $1.00, the Kodak City Kennel Club relinquishes and surrenders to the Genesee Valley Kennel Club its Membership in the AKC by a regular motion adopted at a Special Meeting held for that purpose, Received $1.00

Mrs. C. E. Lamouree.”
This communication is signed by the President First Vice-President, secretary, and the Treasurer, and acknowledged before a Notary Public.

Referring to the gift to the AKC by James Watson of his Sporting Library, the same having been left to Mr. Belmont, President of the AKC for his approval, I beg to report that I will read the correspondence on the subject.

Under date of Nov 10th, 1913, the American Field asked for Volumes VII to XVI inclusive of the Stud Book, and inquired the best price that we could make on these Volumes. I had no authority to give a better discount than 25% and I therefore promised to present this communication to this meeting. In the meantime, I shipped the books leaving the amount to be paid therefore in abeyance.

At the late show of the Kennel Club of Philadelphia, held at Byberry, Sept 20, 1913, the English Setter “Roger’s Kelly”, was marked in the catalogue and judge’s book as first limit, “Medford Rex,” second limit, and “Sporting Extra”, who also showed “Roger’s Kelly” for its owner Mr. William Zeigler, claims that he received the blue ribbon for “Sporting Extra: from Mr. G. Muss-Arnolt the Judge, who confirms same a the bottom of our
letter to Mr. Weiler. On account of this win of “Roger’s Kelly” as given in the catalogue and judge’s book at Byberry, the first limit win at Danbury has been cancelled. The question is, can the catalogue and judge’s book be changed.

I have a communication from James Watson in reference to the judging at the Bulldog Show, Nov. 4th, which I will read for your information.

It is with deep sorrow and regret that I have to report the death of Mr. Edward Brooks on Dec 4th, 1913. Mr. Brooks served us as Vice President, Delegate Director, and Chairman of the Rules Committee for many years.

The following Directors have filed excuses and regrets for inability to attend this meeting: Chetwood Smith, William Rauch.

I would respectfully recommend that the Stud Book Committee be requested to consider the advisability of adopting a regulation to the effect that on and after Jan 1, 1915, the Stud Book shall be closed to all American Bred Dogs that are not the progeny of registered parents.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report was read, as follows:

New York, Dec 15, 1913

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the quarterly Financial Report from Jan 1, 1913 to date.

Balance on hand --------------- $24,462.14
Receipts to date --------------- 28,775.75
Total -------------------------- $58,237.89
Disbursements ------------------ 33,508.20
Balance on hand --------------- $19,729.69

I also report that all bills for Active Dues for 1914, for Associate Subscription for 1914, and for Advertising for 1914 were mailed on Nov 29, 1913.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was read and is as follows:
October 28, 1913.

Membership Committee Meeting

Present: Howard Willets, Chairman
        H. K. Bloodgood
        B. S. Smith

Moved and seconded: That all Associate Subscribers who failed to pay dues on or before Jan 10, and who have since paid their subscription for 1913, be and hereby are reinstated in accordance with Article XVIII Section 3.
Carried. Mr. Smith excused from voting.

Howard Willets, Chairman
        H. K. Bloodgood

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the License Committee was read, as follows:
License Committee Meeting.
Held October 21, 1913

Moved and seconded that the entire matter of the International Dog Show at the Panama Exposition be referred to the President Mr. Belmont with all the correspondence for his opinion on the question. We feel as a Committee that if the sanction of the American Kennel Club is wanted by the Panama Exposition authorities, that the Show must be
given under the rules of the American Kennel Club, and that these rules must be lived up to; as the American Kennel Club is the recognized dog Club in the United States.

A. G. Hooley
Chairman of the License Committee
Ralph C. Stewart.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:

“To the Board of Directors of the Kennel Club:

This Committee reports that imported dogs registered in English Kennel Club stud book are now accepted for registration in our stud book under the English registration name only, with the affix (Eng.).

The Committee proposes to allow a kennel name registered with us to be used in connection with the English registered name and asks the opinion of the Directors on this point. The Committee reports also that no kennel name identical with one registered in the Kennel Club Stud Book is now accepted for registration.

In the matter of the breeding of the Chihuahua dog “Concourse Decoration” referred to the Stud Book Committee by the New York Trial Board, your Committee reports as
follows:

We have carefully considered the evidence submitted, and find nothing connecting the dog “Concourse Decoration” with Black & Tan Terrier parentage, or with any former owner, who knew said dog as a Black & Tan Terrier. All evidence submitted has to do with the appearances of the dog and his dam “Mamby Bonita.”

Mrs. Owens the exhibitor of the dog claims that the dam “Mamby Bonita”, pedigree unknown, was imported by William Artz from Mexico. The bitch was black and tan in color and was bred to Mr. Stevenson’s Chihuahua dog “Don Pedro” and “Concourse Decoration” was the result of this mating.

Concourse Decoration has to his credit first prize in Winners classes at Brighton Beach, Mineola, Newark; 1st open at Sheepshead Bay, and Reserve Winners at Devon, Long Beach and Islip Kennel Club 1913.

Rule XVIII of the Rules Governing Shows make the appointed judges decision in all matters regarding the merits of the dogs final, and we, therefore, cannot go back to their decisions in any matter affecting only the appearance of the dog.

We have testimony from one of the judges, that these dogs do not breed true, and that specimens Black & Tan in
color are occasionally met with. No new evidence has been furnished to your Committee other than that considered by the New York Trial Board, and we therefore accept Mrs. Owen’s statement that the dog “Concourse Decoration” is by a Chihuahua dog out of her Chihuahua bitch, imported from Mexico.

We therefore recognize this dog as a specimen of the breed Chihuahua until proof of Black & Tan Terrier parentage of the bitch “Mamby Bonita” is produced.

In the matter of the application for classification of Butch Sheepdogs, your Committee finds that there are not more than one or two representatives of this breed in this country. We therefore recommend that the matter be laid upon the table until such time as the breed shall be more fully represented.

The protest of the win of the bitch Ella shown in the Islip Show as a Belgian Sheepdog claiming that this bitch is a German Sheepdog.

The owner of the dog now states that she entered this dog in this class believing it to be a Belgian Sheepdog, and before she had received the pedigree of the dog. She is now satisfied that the dog is a German Sheepdog and so states.

The bitch won in the class for Belgian Sheepdogs at
the Islip Show, and we recommend that this win be cancelled on the ground that the bitch “Ella” was not eligible for the classes in which she competed.

In view of the fact that kindred breeds are all known as Sheepdogs, and that this breed is called German Sheepdogs in Bylandt’s book, we do not think best to make the change requested.

In the matter of the Boston Terrier “Rex G. II,” we find that the pedigree and the name of the breeder given by R. T. Graff, who registered the dog, is incorrect, and the registration has been cancelled.

We recommend that the matter be referred to a Trial Board for investigation.

On motion the same was accepted and the recommendation to allow a kennel name registered with the American Club to be used in connection with the English name was adopted.

The Secretary: There is a vacancy on the San Francisco Trial Board and our Western Agent suggests Mr. E. C. Rand for that position.

On motion Mr. E. C. Rand was elected to fill said vacancy.

On motion the bill of #34.15 for disbursements of our Western Agent was ordered paid.

The Secretary: The Kodak City Kennel Club, a member
in good standing of the American Kennel Club, has sold out to the Genesee Valley Kennel Club, the last mentioned club taking over their debts not to exceed $250. The Genesee Valley Kennel Club is composed of business men of Rochester. They ask that the membership of the Kodak Club be transferred to the Genesee Valley Kennel Club. They have already applied for and been granted a license to hold a show in the Spring, but they want to show in the Autumn as a member instead of under license.

It was moved and seconded that said application be approved.

Carried.

The Secretary: The American Field sent this communication to me:

“Our copies of your Stud Book for the years 1890 to 1899 inclusive, are the worse for wear, and we wish to inquire what price you can make us on these volumes.”

In consideration of the fact that on our request the American Field furnished us with an entire file of their Stud Book, and presented it to us, I did not feel like requesting 25 percent discount, which is the trade discount, but I sent to them the books and informed then that I had no authority to give greater discount than 25 percent. I feel, however, that the Kennel Club should determine the cost, if any, for these books.
Mr. Palmer: I move the books requested be presented to them.

Motion seconded and carried.

The secretary submitted the correspondence in connection with the gift by James Watson of his sporting library to the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Willets: I move that the Treasurer be authorized to accept the gift of books from Mr. Watson, and that $50 be appropriated as requested.

Motion seconded and carried.

Regarding the late show of the Kennel Club of Philadelphia, held at Byberry on Sept 20, 1913, at which the English Setters Roger’s Kelly was marked as first limit in the Catalogue and Judges book, “Medford Rex”, second limit and “Sporting Extra” third limit, in connection with which the question arises, can the Catalogue and Judges book be changed. Mr. Hunnewell moved that in consideration of the length of time that has elapsed between the date of the show and the time when the consideration of the American Kennel Club is asked, that the award stands as recorded in the Judges book.

Seconded and carried.

The secretary submitted a communication from Mr. James Watson in reference to the judging at the Bulldog show held Nov 4.
On motion the same was laid on the table.

On motion the excuses filed by Messrs Chetwood Smith and William Rauch for not being able to attend this meeting, were accepted.

The Secretary: I submit the following recommendation: That the Stud Book Committee be requested to consider the advisability of adopting a regulation to the effect that on and after Jan 1, 1916, the Stud Book shall be closed to all American bred dogs that are not the progeny of registered parents.

Mr. Stewart: I move that it be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The death of Mr. Edward Brooks was reported.

Mr. Hunnewell moved that the proper resolutions be drawn up and sent to his family by the secretary.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: At the last meeting of the Executive Committee it recommended to the Board of Directors to strike from the records of the American Kennel Club the fifth paragraph of the Investigating Committee’s report of last August.

Mr. Hunnewell: I move that the recommendation of the Investigating Committee be adopted, and said paragraph be stricken from the records.

Motion seconded and carried.
The secretary submitted the resignation of Messrs A. D. Gillette, W. H. Sawyer, W. S. Gurnee, Jr., and J. E. De Mund as Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Willets: I move that their resignations as Directors be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion Mr. Belmont was requested to submit the correspondence which he had with Dr De Mund, and which he read at the Delegates meeting to this Board. After discussion it was resolved that Mr. Belmont be requested to permit the publication of the same in the American Kennel Gazette, with the exception of such parts to which Dr De Mund excepted.

The report of the Publication Committee held at No. 1 Liberty Street, Monday, November 24, 1913

Present --- Rowland P. Keasbey in Chair
M. Mowbray Palmer
A. Clinton Wilmerding

The matter of the form in which the Stud Book for 1913 shall appear was taken up, and after going into the matter carefully, on motion of Mr. Wilmerding, seconded by Mr. Palmer, it was resolved to return to the former style of 1909, i. e. the book appear in one volume and not in sections as printed last year.
Moved and seconded that the secretary (American Kennel Club) be instructed to prepare specifications and invite sealed bids from various printers for the Stud Book for 1913 and the Gazette for 1914 and submit same to the Publication Committee at its next meeting.

(Carried) On motion adjourned.

A. Clinton Wilmerding

( Secretary

( Pro Tem

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
**ANNUAL MEETING**

Of the

**AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB**

HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY

WEDNESDAY, FEB 25, 1914

----------------------

President August Belmont, Presiding

---

**PRESENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associate Delegates</th>
<th>A. G. Hooley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airedale Terrier club of New York</td>
<td>W. F. Patterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Pomeranian Club</td>
<td>Theodore Offerman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Spaniel Club</td>
<td>H. K. Bloodgood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>Frank A. Teeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>Cyrill Crimmons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulldog Club of America</td>
<td>Edwin L. Boger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati Kennel Association Co (Inc.)</td>
<td>Morris G. Adler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collie Club of America</td>
<td>M. M. Palmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Kennel Club</td>
<td>Allison M. Lederer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Dog Club</td>
<td>George S. West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd Dog Club of America</td>
<td>Richard J. Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club</td>
<td>Charles R. Wood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
International Toy Poodle Club
 Irish Setter Club
 Ladies' Kennel Association of Massachusetts
 Lenox Dog Show Association
 Maltese Terrier Club
 Manchester Terrier Club
 Massachusetts Kennel Club
 Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club
 Pekingese Club of America
 Philadelphia Bulldog Club
 Revere Kennel Club
 Russian Wolfhound Club
 San Mateo Kennel Club
 Santa Cruz County Kennel Club
 Spaniel Breeders' Society
 Toy Spaniel Club of America
 Washington Kennel Club
 Westchester Kennel Club
 Western French Bulldog Club
 Western Fox Terrier Breeders' Association
 Westminster Kennel Club
 Wisconsin Kennel Club

Thomas Hartman
R. W. Creuzbaur
W. N. G. Clark
Henry H. Pease
E. H. Berendsohn
William P. Wolcott
August Belmont
Henry W. Coons
M. E. Harby
Joseph A. Buchanan
Samuel R. Cutler
Dr J. E. De Mund
Howard Willets
A. C. Wilmerding
Rowland P. Keasbey
Daniel P. Ritchie
Emory M. Wilson
George Greer
John N. Champion
John G. Bates
William Rauch
Chas. W. Keyes
The Certificate of Election was read, as follows:

New York, Feb 4, 1914

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Article XVIII, Section 4 of the By-laws of the American Kennel Club, the secretary of said club duly opened the ballots of the Associate Subscribers entitled to vote, in the presence of the tellers appointed by the President of said club, and the undersigned tellers certified as follows:

Associate Subscribers entitled to vote
On Jan 10, 1914 ----------------------------- 164
Number of votes cast ------------------------------- 63
Scattering ------------------------------------------ 13
Irregular and thrown out --------------------------- 1

We therefore declare the following candidates, having received the highest number of votes, to be duly elected as delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1914, and until their successors shall have been elected.

William G. Rockefeller
A. G. Hooley

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Tellers
John G. Bates
M. M. Palmer
Allison M. Lederer
The application of the Central New York Kennel Club for election to membership was read.

Mr. Willets: I would like to state in connection with these applications that owing to the resignation of two of the members of the membership Committee and the absence of one other member in Europe, it has been possible to get only two of the members together, Mr. Bloodgood and myself. We have considered these applications and signed the papers, but it is for the delegates to say whether they will accept the signatures of only two members of the Committee.

Mr. Lederer: I would offer a motion then that the delegates accept the report of the two members of the membership Committee as the report of the Committee, and ratify it and act upon it accordingly.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Central New York Kennel Club was duly elected.

The Secretary: The Pacific Coast Collie Club made its application on Dec 19, 1913, for membership, but owing to some irregularity in hearing from the Collie Club of America, it was not able to send official approval of the admission of this club, and therefore the matter will have to lay over until the next meeting.
The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Plainfield Kennel Club    Edmund L. Mackenzie
Lehigh Valley Kennel Club    M. H. Horn
Newark Kennel Club    John L. Whealan
New England Collie Club    E. W. Dwight
Nassau County Kennel Club    Theodore Crane

The report of the Nominating Committee was read as follows:

The Nominating Committee elected to name individuals to be elected as Directors to fill vacancies in the Board of Directors report the following nominations:

Class of 1914:
August Belmont
J. W. Appleton
George S. West
John G. Bates
Robt. Sedgwick, Jr.
C. W. Keyes

Class of 1915:
In place of A. D. Gillette
Judge Samuel R. Cutler
Classes of 1916:
In place of Edward Brooks & W. H. Sawyer
Theo. Offerman
Henry T. Fleitmann

Class of 1918:
In place of W. S. Gurnee, Jr. and J. F. Collins
Joseph A. Buchanan
J. Macy Willets

Nominating Committee
George Greer
Chairman

Mr. Hooley: I move that the nominations be closed and that THE SECRETARY:
cast a ballot in favor of the gentlemen named.

Motion seconded and carried.
The secretary stated that he had cast a ballot as directed.
The Secretary’s report to the Delegates was read, and is as follows:

New York, Feb 25, 1914

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:
Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last meeting, I
received applications for Active Membership from the Central New York Kennel Club and the Pacific Coast Collie Club. The latter has not been approved by the Membership Comm for the reason that the written consent of the Collie Club of America has not yet come to hand.

I have also received credentials from the Nassau County Kennel Club appointing Theodore Crane, the Newark Kennel Club appointing John Whealan, the New England Kennel Club appointing E. W. Dwight, the Plainfield Kennel Club appointing Edmund L. Mackenzie, and the Lehigh Valley Kennel Club appointing M. H. Horn.

The votes of the Associate Subscribers were opened by the Secretary and the Board of Tellers on Feb 4, 1914, and resulted in the election of William G. Rockefeller and A. G. Hooley as the Associate Delegates for the year 1914.

The Directors to be elected at this meeting are six for the class expiring in 1914, one for the class of 1915, two for the class of 1916, and two for the class of 1918.

By resolution at the Dec meeting, the amendment to Article VI, Section 1 of the By-laws illegally adopted at the Sept Meeting, must be acted upon at this meeting.
The following clubs have been automatically dropped from membership for non-payment of dues for the year 1914, owing to provisions of Article XV, Section 3, Genesee County Kennel Club, Massachusetts Kennel Club, Northern California Kennel Club, Northwestern Boston Terrier Club, Snohomish County Kennel Club, Souther Collie Club of Memphis, St. Clair Kennel Club, and the Trenton Kennel Club.

Final bills were mailed to these clubs on Jan 27, 1914, and no dues have been paid for same.

Resignations as delegates dated Dec 16, 1913, are now before you for acceptance.

I beg to present the financial statement for the year 1913. This statement has not been audited by the Finance Committee, but by direction of said Committee will be audited by a duly chartered auditor.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s Report to the delegates was submitted, and on motion accepted and ordered spread
upon the minutes.

The resignations of Messrs. A. D. Gillette and W. S. Gurnee, Jr., as delegates and Directors, were read and on motion accepted.

The Secretary: Article VI, Section 1, of the By-laws was at the last meeting directed to be submitted to this meeting for adoption:

“Amend by inserting between the words, ‘Elect and thirty,’ the words ‘From their own number,’ so that the Section shall read: ‘The Club shall be governed by a Board of Directors of thirty members. The incorporators shall constitute the Board of Directors until the Annual Meeting in 1909 or until their successors are elected. At the Annual Meeting in 1909 or any adjournment thereof, the Delegates shall elect from their own number thirty Directors, six of whom shall hold office until 1910, six of whom shall hold office until the annual meeting in 1911, six of whom shall hold office until 1912, six of whom shall hold office until 1913, six of whom shall hold office until 1914, and at each Annual Meeting thereafter, the delegates shall elect six Directors to hold office for five years, or until their successors are appointed and elected. A Director who shall cease to be a Delegate shall thereby cease to be a
Director.” That is an amendment that was illegally adopted at the Sept Meeting and ordered to be brought up at the meeting.

Mr. Teeling: I move that the amendment be accepted.
Motion seconded and carried.

Dr. De Mund: I would like to speak, if I may, to a question of personal privilege relative to an error in the report published in the Gazette of Dec 31st. I will read the remarks of the President at that time: “Doctor Boulbee’s case resolves itself simply into the liberty that one man took to speak of another’s personal affair. What has that to do with the rules of the American Kennel Club? In his capacity as an officer he prepared the case. Had he been an associate member, had he been an ordinary member, that action against Doctor Boulbee would never have carried weight anywhere. It was brought up as an offense and then the discipline of the American Kennel Club was applied to Doctor Boulbee. This immediately brought us into conflict with the feelings and the opinions of the English Kennel Club. It is not necessary for me to go through the details of that; but that is the fact, and then, mind you, a proposed rectification of this trouble is not that Doctor Boulbee shall come to the American Kennel Club to make reparation for breach of the rules as would be
Necessary with anybody who committed a fraud, say in registration, or breach of the rules which rendered them liable for discipline. No, he does not do that. This officer of the American Kennel Club sends somebody to tell Doctor Boultbee that if he apologized to him, the officer, it would be all right. Is that the way to administer the rules and the government of the American Kennel Club? It is unthinkable.” It seems very strange to me that the President of this organization should be so ignorant of the facts of the case that he should date that it was a personal matter. It is not a question of the President’s word or of my word. It is a question of the record. The act of Doctor Boultbee was a criticism of two judges at an American Kennel Club show, an accusation of fraud, an accusation of dishonesty at one of those shows, not as an individual, not as against me. The accusation was made against the judge who officiated at that show at which Doctor Boultbee was an exhibitor. I do not know Doctor Boultbee. I would not know him if I should see him, and that statement made by the President is absolutely and emphatically wrong. Furthermore, it says: “This officer of the American Kennel Club sends somebody to tell Doctor Boultbee that if he apologizes to him, the officer, it would be all right.” That statement was
not made. The President of this club makes that bald statement. That is absolutely and
unqualifiedly false. I have letters in my possession from the gentlemen who were
supposed to act as messengers denying absolutely that anything of that sort took place,
and I appeal now to the delegates of this club in all justice and fair play, to have that
statement retracted in the Gazette, and I challenge the president to prove that I sent
anybody to Doctor Boultbee with any such message.

The Chairman: The statements which I made there were made to me, and I am
perfectly willing to have a correction made to the extent that there was my information.
Beyond that I want to say that I shall not retract the statement excepting in the sense
that it was made by me on information and belief.

Dr. De Mund: I challenge you to prove that statement. You did not say it was
made on information. You made the statement positively that I sent somebody to Doctor
Boultbee with that message.

The Chairman: I have already stated that I am perfectly willing to have that
expression inserted there, because those are the facts, and if there is objection to my
having stated it as a fact I am perfectly willing to state that. As to the necessity of my
proving the
correctness of the statement, I decline to so that. The burden is upon Dr. De Mund to prove that it is not so.

Dr. De Mund: May I speak about the first statement, that is was a personal matter entirely. There was nothing personal about it. The records of this Club will prove that.

The Chairman: They will if they do.

Mr. Bloodgood read the report of the Investigating Committee appointed at the last meeting, as follows:

Feb 25, 1914

August Belmont, Esq., President, American Kennel Club &
Delegates of American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

Your Committee appointed at the meeting of the American Kennel Club on Dec 16, 1913, to investigate the Office of the American Kennel Club, beg leave to submit their report as follows:

We have held numerous meeting since the meeting in December, and have had before us quite a number of witnesses and have listened to all the charges which have been brought to our attention.

From the evidence submitted to us, we find that in the matter of the Toy Dog Club of New England Show, held on May 31st, 1913, that the office of the Secretary
of the American Kennel Club erred when they took away the points from the show. We feel that under the peculiar circumstances of this case, the wins should have been allowed to stand and we would recommend that they be given a one point rating for their show, held at that time, owing to the error made by the office of the American Kennel Club.

In regard to the “Reciprocity Agreement” which has been brought to our attention, we find no contract or agreement, has as yet been entered into with the English Kennel Club, as the agreement has never been executed by both parties.

We do recommend that hereafter all minutes of the meeting of the Delegates, Board of Directors, or Executive Committee, be passed upon, and edited by the Publication Committee, before being published in the Gazette.

We do find that in the case of Mr. James Watson, the New York Trial board did not in its final report, or written decision, live up to the actual findings in the case, and that it should have compelled the publication of the Watson letter of apology, or else held Mr. Watson suspended until such time as the letter was published. In this matter we would recommend that the
original letter as agreed upon by the New York Trial Board, be published, in the AKC Gazette, or that Mr. Watson be held suspended until he publishes the letter as was agreed upon at the trial of the case. We do not feel that the Trial Board did right of the case. We do not feel that the Trial Board did right in dropping the charges which had been preferred until some final action had been taken in the matter.

We would also recommend that the New York Trial Board in the future be authorized and directed to have stenographic minutes of all its meetings, such minutes to be taken by one of the stenographers employed in the office of the American Kennel Club.

In regard to the running of the Secretary’s office, we have made a thorough investigation, and we believe that the office is doing god work for the benefit of those interested in dogs.

Your Committee has suggested to the Secretary some minor charges in policy, and we hope that with the putting into effect of these charges, that much of the discord between the Secretary’s office, and the public, will hereafter be eliminated.

Respectfully submitted,

H. K. Bloodgood
Howard Willets
Ralph C. Stewart
Mr. Wilmerding: I move that the report be accepted and the recommendations therein contained approved.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Hooley: I move that a vote of thanks be extended to the Committee for their very arduous labors in connection with this matter.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Willets: Now that the Publication Committee is required to edit the minutes before they are published, I move that the Publication Committee be requested to print that report in full in the next issue of the Gazette as a part of the action of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
MEETING
OF THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE
AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY
WEDNESDAY, FEB 25, 1914

-------------
President August Belmont in the Chair.
-------------
PRESENT
Rowland P. Keasbey
Charles R. Wood
H. K. Bloodgood
M. Mowbray Palmer
Howard Willets
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
August Belmont
George S. West
John G. Bates
J. A. Buchanan
J. Macy Willets
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS AND COMMITTEES

President Belmont resigned the Chair to Mr. Willets.

Mr. Wilmerding: I nominate Mr. August Belmont for President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.

Nomination seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

Mr. Wood: I move that the Secretary cast a ballot in favor of Mr. Belmont.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Belmont: I thank you very much for your confidence. I had intended to ask you to relieve me this year. I shall serve you to the best of my ability the coming year, but I hope that you will recognize the fact that the time has come when somebody should take my place who is in more active touch with kennel affairs.
My son has become interested in beagles, and the kennels consist of them only, and he is the owner. I do not exhibit any more. I have a few dogs that I use in the south, and I have had one or two on the bench, which does not mean anything, and I think that a man to be the President of the club should be an exhibitor and an active worker, because it is impossible for one to know what is going on during the year except by association with the Kennel world, so that when matters arise such as the case of Dr. De Mund, I have to take my information and buckle down and bone up on these subjects, and I made a slip there, as you see, with injustice to Dr. De Mund. I suppose I should have stated that as merely what I had been told, or that I stated it on information and belief, and for that reason, believe me, I shall appreciate it just as much as my service to you for the last twenty-six years, that you should express those thanks in your own way when I am free and will put in my place an active man who is constantly on the job. It is beg enough and ought to be.

(Mr. Belmont resumes the Chair.)

Mr. Bloodgood: I nominate Mr. H. H. Hunnewell as first vice-president of this club.

Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Hunnewell.
The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.
Mr. Wilmerding: I nominate Mr. H. K. Bloodgood as Second Vice-President of this club.
    Nomination seconded.
    On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Bloodgood.
The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.
Mr. Bloodgood: I nominate A. P. Vredenburgh as Secretary and Treasurer of this club for the ensuing year.
    Nomination seconded and carried.
Mr. Hooley: I nominate Messrs W. G. Rockefeller, H. K. Bloodgood and Howard Willets as members of the Finance Committee.
    Nominations seconded.
    On motion nominations were closed.
    On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.
The Secretary stated he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Willets: I nominate Messrs. W. L. Barclay, John G. Bates and Theodores Offerman as members of the Stud Book Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.


Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Wood: I nominate Messrs. Chetwood Smith, M. M. Palmer, A. B. Maclay, A. G. Hooley and C. W. Keyes as members of the Field Trial Committee.

Nominations seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Wilmerding: I nominate Messrs. Howard Willets, H. K. Bloodgood, Raymond Belmont, Henry Flietman and William Rauch as members of the Membership Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Cutler: I nominate Messrs R. P. Keasbey, M. M. Palmer, Tyler Morse, J. Macy Willets and Charles R. Wood as members of the Publication Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Keasbey: I nominate Messrs. A. C. Wilmerding, Ralph C. Stewart and Joseph A. Buchanan as members of
the License Committee.
   Nominations seconded.
   On motion nominations were closed.
   On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the
gentlemen named.
   The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.
   Mr. Keyes: I nominate Messrs. Ralph C Stewart, Alvin Untermeyer and Samuel
R. Cutler as members of the Law Committee.
   Nominations seconded.
   On motion nominations were closed.
   On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the
gentlemen named.
   The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.
   Mr. Buchanan: I nominate Messrs. A. C. Wilmerding, A. M. Lederer and John G.
Bates as members of the New York Trial Board.
   Nominations seconded.
   On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the
gentlemen named.
   The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.
   Mr. Wood: I nominate Messrs. W. L. Barclay,
J. Sargent Price and Russell H. Johnson, Jr., as members of the Philadelphia Trial Board.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Palmer: I nominate Messrs. Samuel R. Cutler, George S. West and E. W. Dwight as members of the Boston Trial Board.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Wood: I nominate Messrs. John C. Eastman, James A. Pugh and William C. Thorne as the Chicago Trial Board.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such
Mr. Bates: I nominate Messrs. E. C. Rand, Thomas R. Collins and F. R. Dutton as members of the San Francisco Trial Board.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

The Secretary read his report to the Directors as follows:

New York, Feb 25, 1914

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club;

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report the following matters that have come to hand since our last meeting.

The Cornell University desired to purchase 22 volumes of the Stud Book, and I offered them the usual 25% discount. Under a communication from H. H. Wing, Professor of Animal Husbandry in that University, he quotes a former sale for which the Kennel Club granted a discount of 50%, and requests that the Cornell University be granted the same discount.

I have no authority to grant a greater discount
than 25% but promised to present the proposition at this meeting for your consideration.

I have communication from the American Humane Association setting forth the following preamble and resolution at its annual meeting, held in Albany, Jan 26, 1914,

Whereas: The Royal Kennel Club of Great Britain, at the request of the Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals of Great Britain, has stopped the exhibiting of dogs with cut ears:

Be it Resolved: That the American Humane Association ask the American Kennel Club to take like action in the interest of the humane treatment of dumb animals in regard to all dogs not now under registration.

The Western Beagle Club failed to file their catalogue until 51 days had elapsed after the close of their show. I was therefore obliged, under the rules, to assess a penalty against this Club for $44.00. An appeal is made that this penalty should be remitted upon the ground that the Club was not familiar with the rules, and also from the fact that the supply of rules in this office has been exhausted, thereby being impossible to send the rules until the new ones were printed. As a further reason, they stated that the one member of their club that knew anything of the rules was ill and
has resigned. I therefore recommended that under these circumstances, that the penalty be remitted.

James Cole, Kansas City, Mo. Was disqualified for judging at a show held under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club. His disqualification was ordered by the New York Trial Board on May 20, 1913. Cole has appealed for reinstatement with a promise that, under no circumstances, will he ever take part in any show other than one held under American Kennel Club rules. His appeal was followed by a petition signed with 27 names requesting his reinstatement.

The Southern Collies Club of Memphis failed to pay premiums amounting to $16.00 won by Doctor O. P. Bennett, Washington, Ills., and said Club and its officers were disqualified by the New York Trial Board on Oct 24, 1913. This club has now been dropped from Membership for non-payment of dues, and I report the action of the New York Trial Board in the disqualification of the officers.

The Bourbon Kennels made a mistake at the Westminster Kennel Club show now is progress. The date of birth given on the entry form was Jan 24, 1913, while the correct date of birth is Feb 24, 1913. It is claimed that this was purely a clerical error and a
request that the correction may be made in the catalogue when same is received by this office.

I beg to report the following resignations: B. S. Smith, from the Rules and Membership Committees, and the New York Trial Board. George Lauder, Jr., from the New York Trial Board, and John F. Collins as a member of this Board of Directors.

I have a communication from Chetwood Smith which I will read for your consideration.

The bill for disbursements made by our Western Agent from Dec 28, 1913 to Feb 13, 1914 inclusive, amounting to $28.81 has been presented. This bill appears to be correct, and I recommend the payment of same.

The San Francisco Trial Board at a meeting held Jan 28, 1914, acted on the evidence before it in a charge made by Mrs. Lula Wilson against the Ladies' Kennel Association of Southern California, found in favor of the Show Giving Club. Mrs. Wilson, through her attorney, has appealed from this decision on the ground that evidence forwarded to said Trial Board was delayed, owing to the delay in the United States mail, due to washouts on the railroad lines. Said evidence was not received by the Trial Board until after it has closed the
case and the registered mail containing said evidence was returned to the petitioner by the Secretary of the San Francisco Trial Board unopened. The request of the petitioner is that the case may be returned to the San Francisco Trial Board to be re-opened to enable it to consider the new evidence. The necessary deposit has been made in the case.

At the December meeting, it was ordered that the Boultbee case be re-opened. I would suggest and recommend that the Board of Directors shall resolve itself into a Trial Board and consider the case at this meeting. Doctor Boultbee and his witness have promised to attend.

A proposed amendment to Rule X of the Rules Governing Dog Shows has been filed and same should be referred to the Rules Committee.

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell and Mr. W. G. Rockefeller have sent their regrets and will not be able to attend this meeting and ask to be excused for their absence.

In closing this report I beg to announce that I have just received a communication from E. M. Shaw announcing the death Doctor F. H. Osgood, which occurred on the 21st inst.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s Report was also read, and is as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the Financial Report from Jan 1, 1914, to date.

Balance on hand ----------------- $20,803.01
Receipts on date ----------------- 4,015.92
Total --------------------------------- 24,818.93
Disbursements ------------------- 3,433.18
Balance on hand ----------------   $21,385.75

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the License Committee was read as follows:

New York, Feb 25, 1914

To the Directors

The License Committee, after most carefully
considering the request of the “Panama Exposition” in reference to the Dog Show to be held by the Exposition, regrets to say that they feel that the original position taken by the Committee is correct and that your Committee cannot in any way, in justice to the clubs on the Coast who are affiliated with our Association, recognize the “Panama Exposition Dog Show” unless it is held and conducted under the rules and regulations of the American Kennel Club.

Yours very truly,

A. G. Hooley
Chairman of the License Committee

The Chairman: Before asking your action on this report I would suggest that there be added to the resolution adopting it that the Secretary be instructed to notify the authorities of the Pacific Exposition of the decision of this Board.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the report be accepted and placed on file, and that the Secretary notify the officers of the Pacific Exposition of the decision of this Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chairman: Before asking your action on this report I would suggest that there be added to the resolution adopting it that the Secretary be instructed to notify the authorities of the Pacific Exposition of the decision of this Board.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the report be accepted and placed on file, and that the Secretary notify the officers of the Pacific Exposition of the decision of this Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chairman: I want to say to you in connection with this matter that as to the point decided by the
Directors, I believe there has been some misunderstanding on the Western coast about the attitude of the American Kennel Club. It has been assumed that I have committed the American Kennel Club to some sort of recognition of the Show. That is not so. Mr. Levy, who is a promoter out there, assumed something to have been settled when he was here, which was not the fact at all. The Secretary simply informed him of the recommendation that I had made when asked my opinion on the subject. It was simply a suggestion, and that suggestion was somewhat in line with what we tried to do at the time of the St. Louis Exposition, and I said very distinctly of course that the position of the Committee was absolutely correct and that we could assume no responsibility for a show held there which was not held under American Kennel Club rules. I suppose that the Californians are naturally very anxious to have a successful show and to win popular support, and my thought was that if we were actually opposed to there being any sort of record whatever of it, it would look as if we were trying to force ourselves upon the Exposition and did not want them to succeed. I suppose that the Kennel World out there would prefer to assume a position of that kind, and therefore I suggested simply
that a record, without giving them any standing, of the wins would be published in the 
Stud Book. That is as far as my recommendation went, but our friends out there 
evidently thought that we were going to take some action which they considered hostile 
to their interest, and I thought perhaps you might hear some talk of that kind. There is 
nothing in it at all.

The Secretary: In regard to the request of the Cornell University for a 50% 
discount in the price of 22 volumes of the Stud Book, I desire to state that we did make 
that discount to one or two Universities. First we donated the Stud Book to Harvard and 
Columbia. Then when Yale asked for it we passed a resolution that we would not 
continue to furnish the Stud Books gratis to any University, and the Kennel Club, on 
another solicitation, directed me to sell the Stud Books to this University at a discount of 
59%. It is for you to determine whether we will follow that precedent in connection with 
the request of Cornell University.

The Chairman: Does that represent a loss?

The Secretary: Yes, every book we sell is at a loss.

Mr. Keyes: I move that we allow Cornell University 50% discount.

Seconded and carried.
The Secretary: I submit the request of the Humane Society to the American Kennel Club to pass a rule preventing the showing of dogs whose ears have been cropped and have not yet been registered.

On motion of Mr. Hooley the matter was laid on the table.

The Secretary: I have the appeal of the Western Beagle Club to remit their fine of $44. I think it is no more than just that we should do it. The entire lot of printed rules have become exhausted just about the time they were getting ready for their show, and we were waiting until certain amendments were adopted so I could have a new set of rules, and there was no one in the club who knew anything about the rules except one man who was ill and could not attend to the matter, and they ask that the $44.00 fine be remitted.
Mr. Wood: I move that the Western Beagle Club be fined one dollar.
Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I submit the appeal of Mr. James Cole, of Kansas City, for reinstatement.

Mr. Hooley: I know perhaps more than anybody else here about this case, and I move that Mr. Cole be reinstated.

Mr. Wood: I want to state as a member of the Trial Board that I believe it would be a good thing to reinstate Mr. Cole. I second the motion.

Mr. Palmer: I met Mr. Cole last March in Chicago, and he told me that the reason that he had judged at this show was that he needed the money very badly; it was a matter of dollars and cents to him, but if he was reinstated he would never judge anything but a show held under our rules.

The Secretary: He reinstates that in his appeal. Attached to his appeal for reinstatement is a petition signed by 27 men of Kansas City asking that he be reinstated.

Motion carried.

The Secretary: In regard to the mistake made by the Bourbon Kennels in the date of birth of a French
Bulldog entered at the Westminster Kennel Club, Mr. Bloodgood moved that the Secretary be empowered to correct the mistake.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I do not suppose it is necessary to report the resignation of John F. Collins, because his place was filled, but I announce that he has resigned as a director.

Mr. Willets: I move that his resignation be accepted.

Seconded and carried.

On motion the bill for disbursements made by the Western agent from Dec 28, 1913 to Feb 13, 1914, amounting to $28.73, was ordered paid.

The Secretary: In the matter of the appeal of Mrs. Wilson against the Ladies Kennel Association of Southern Association, the appeal is to send all this new evidence that is under seal with you to the San Francisco Trial Board and ask that Board to reopen the case and consider the new evidence. This evidence was in the washout, and it was a number of days reaching San Francisco from Los Angeles, and it was not received until after the meeting had closed. I have also received a letter from Mr. Norman, our western agent, to the same effect, that
it had been received after the case had been settled, and he had returned this unopened.

    Mr. Hooley: I move that the Secretary be empowered to return the papers as requested, and to reopen the case.
    Seconded and carried.
    On motion the excuses of Messrs. H. H. Hunnewell, Chetwood Smith and W. G. Rockefeller for absence at this meeting were accepted.
    On motion the proposed amendment to Rule 10 was referred to the Rules Committee.

    The Secretary: I regret to have to report the very sudden death of our old director and member of the Boston Trial Board, Doctor F. H. Osgood.
    Mr. Cutler: I move that the Secretary be requested to send to Doctor Osgood’s family a copy of appropriate Resolutions which may be passed by the Board of Directors in regard to his death. As you know, my acquaintance has been that of a Kennel Club acquaintance. He came from the same part of the country that I came from. My time here antedated his. It is a sad incident that while we sit here today his funeral is going on at Littletown in Massachusetts. His relations with his
fellow members of the Trial Board always had been very pleasant. His relations with all of the delegates from Boston and that vicinity have been those which exist between sportsmen and gentlemen. He was a man, as you all know, of decisive opinions. He had no hesitancy in expressing them. He was quick to discern the weakness of an adversary and equally as quick to forgive and be convinced of his own error. It gives me a feeling of paid to come here and make this motion. I have felt depressed during this meeting owing to the fact that I have so often been by his side. I think no one here misses him more than I do.

The Chairman: All that Judge Cutler has said about Doctor Osgood we all know is true, and in my experience here I have always found that Doctor Osgood was on hand when there was anything serious up for consideration and took a useful part in our deliberations. His death certainly will be a great loss to the club.

Mr. Cutler’s motion was seconded and carried by a standing vote.

The Secretary: I have the papers here relative to the investigation requested by Dr. De Mund against Doctor Boultbee and the records in connection with the action of the Trial Board in which Dr. De Mund and Mr. Albright
preferred charges against Doctor Boultbee. At the meeting in December by resolution the Kennel Club was directed to re-open the case, and I communicated with Doctor Boultbee and asked him to fix a time that would suit his own convenience to come here. He fixed some time during four o’clock today. I have just received a message that he is here.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the Board of Directors resolve itself into a Trial Board to hear this case, but before that motion is voted on, I want to state that I think the Board should be very careful in this matter. I think there is a lot of things back of this which may come to the surface later on, and may not; something that might be disagreeable, and it would seem to me from my knowledge of the facts – I was a member of the first Trial Board – that it might be a wise thing for the directors, if they see fit, to in some way reinstate Doctor Boultbee without any further consideration of this old matter. I am not holding any brief for Dr. De Mund or Mr. Albrignt or Doctor Boultbee, but we have had considerable trouble in the way of law suits, and so forth, and possibly this could be avoided.

Mr. Cutler: I went over this matter very
carefully this morning and read all the papers, and it seems to me that this Kennel Club has been trying to do something which it cannot do. This Trial Board has been trying a citizen of Canada and a member of the Canadian Kennel Club, for an offense which was committed in Canada – the publication of this alleged criticism, or statement, whatever it is, was made in Canada. His observation as to what he saw, or what he purports to have seen, was in Buffalo, but the thing that is complained of is a publication in a Canadian paper, and it is a matter entirely within the jurisdiction of the Canadian Kennel Club. I do not believe that the American Kennel Club or its Trial Board has any jurisdiction to disqualify a man who is not a member of the American Kennel Club for an alleged irregularity in connection with dogs which took place in Canada, and therefore it seems to me that the most that can be done in this case would be for this Board of Directors to declare that the Trial Board which purported to disqualify Doctor Boultbee, did not disqualify; that their action was a nullity, and he is in good standing today as he ever was. If we wish to have an investigation to ascertain the truth or falsity of the statements, but in the papers Doctor Boultbee has set forth the contention which I am now
making, that this club had no jurisdiction to disqualify him. It had no jurisdiction to put
him on trial. If we resolve ourselves into a Trial Board, we are trying him. It does not
seem to me that we have any right to try him. The difficulty, it seems to me, with the
alleged judgment of the New York Trial Board was, that they drew an inference from the
fact that he did not come here that he assented to the charges against him, and I think
he was not bound to come here. We cannot summon a man from Canada and because
he does not come, and because he questions our jurisdiction therein, say that we default
him, or take his statements as confessed, or say that he is guilty, and therefore we will
disqualify him. It seems to me the sooner we recognize the fact that his defense was
correct, and that we cannot call his acts in question here, the better it will be for us, and
it seems to me that we cannot go any further than to do what he has consented to do,
investigate the matter and receive his statement. He comes here now to make a
statement, and I do not know but what we ought to hear him, but I do not think we ought
to consider and I do not think we ought to give him to understand that we are trying him.
It is an unfortunate affair and the less said about it I think the better.

Mr. Hooley: I will ask the Board of Directors
to rescind my motion to resolve yourselves into a Trial Board, and would move tha the
be given the full privileges of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Wood: I second the motion.

Mr. Bloodgood: I would like to ask Judge Cutler if we, being a New York
organization, would not have any jurisdiction over Canada, how is it going to work out in
other states?

Mr. Cutler: We have a right under the New York Corporation Law, and the other
states are bound to respect that law, but other countries are not. I am looking at it as
what I conceive to be the jurisdiction of the National Dog Association, the English Kennel
Club and the Canadian Kennel Club. If we attempt to disqualify their members for things
they do within the jurisdiction of their own clubs, it seems to me we are stepping over the
boundary line between the two dog clubs.

The Chairman: Doctor Boulbbee has been invited to come here, and he is here.
It would seem as if you were rather running away from a dignified position if you hurry up
and reinstate him before you have had an opportunity to meet him at all. If he intends to
come before the Kennel Club and make a statement to you, which it is likely he will
make, that he did not consider we
had any jurisdiction, on the strength of that you can proceed and take the course suggested by Judge Cutler, but you do not exactly know the reason now, do you, if it is not contained in the papers?

The Secretary: It is contained in the papers. Judge Cutler went all through them this morning.

Mr. Cutler: I think we ought to invite him in, but not command him to come in. We ought to invite him to come in here in the spirit of investigation and in the spirit of fair play, give him a chance to be heard.

Mr. Wood: This matter is a little more serious now. Perhaps we will recognize it. Certain people are waiting to see whether the Board of Directors will re-open the Boultbee case. That is the reason I do not want to see it re-opened. Have we any right to re-open the case?

Mr. Cutler: I think after we have given him permission to make his statement, and we have heard it, then we can make some proper motion. I have not heard what he is going to say, but I feel now, and I think I should feel then, that we had no jurisdiction to disqualify him, and then we can move that it is the opinion of this Board that the action of the Trial Board did not amount to a disqualification; something of that kind.
Mr. Hooley: If Doctor Boultbee should confine himself to that statement I would consider that there would not be any question about our proceeding with the matter, but I think Doctor Boultbee is not very friendly to certain people for bringing him here. He may make some remarks, or there may something come up that we, as a Board, may consider necessary come up that we, as a Board, may consider necessary to go further. The American Kennel Club has had a lot of this sort of thing, and I still move that we reinstate Doctor Boultbee, and then he can come in and make such statement as he sees fit.

Mr. Hooley’s motion, that the privileges of the American Kennel Club be restored to Doctor Boultbee was carried.

Doctor Boultbee then appeared before the Board of Directors.

The Chairman: I want to say to you that we are sorry to have kept you waiting so long, but the Board has passed a resolution extending to you again the privileges of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Cutler: I was asked by one of the delegates to bring a matter before the Board, and upon reflection it seems to me it is a very good suggestion, and that is that the meetings of the Board of Directors, and I believe of all meetings of the American Kennel
Club, or its Trial Board, and of its Executive Committee, unless otherwise specified, should be considered public. The suggestion which was made to me by one of the delegates is that the delegates come here, many of them, and they are asked to retire, and they go out, and the doors are shut and whatever is done takes place behind closed doors, and it is of very little interest to the delegates to come here to New York just to sit at a meeting of the Board of Delegates and then are not allowed to come in and hear what takes place before the Board of Directors. There is not anything that occurs that is at all secret. There is not anything that happens that the delegates and the public should not know about. We might as well apply this to the Trial Boards. The Boston Trial Board at its very inception inaugurated the plan of having its meetings public. We have invited the Dog Press to come, and at our first trial they were represented there. If there is anybody who wishes to come to our meetings, he is welcome, and at the last trial we had there were several spectators present. We have never seen any ill results from it. Everybody has the right to exclude the public when it is criticism which has been indulged in at the expense of the American Kennel Club has been criticism of things
that do not exist; criticisms growing out of the fact that the public are excluded from our meetings, and they are led to believe that we are doing something here that we do not want them to know about. We are engaged in a quasi-public business, and the public is paying the bills, and while we are a private corporation we are still in many respects a public service corporation and we ought to realize that the public should be informed as to what we do. In all the time I have been engaged in the business of this organization there has been nothing that would suffer by being made public with the exception of a few incidents now and then. I cannot see any harm in having the press here any more than at any meeting of Board of Alderman, or any court, and we would do away with that feeling on the part of many that we are doing things behind closed doors and having star chamber proceedings and that the light of day cannot be let in on our actions. I have not given this matter a good deal of consideration. I am not dogmatic about it, but I think something should be done about it. It is perfectly proper at times for us to go into executive session. Boards of Aldermen and courts very often exclude the public when it is necessary. It is perfectly proper on occasions for us to close our doors and go into executive session, but except it should
be ordered I think at meetings of our Board, if people want to come in here they ought to have the privilege. The usual experience is that when you open the doors people are not so anxious to come in as when you close them. The way to make a demand for admittance is to tell people they cannot come in. I move that unless otherwise specified by motion or order, all meetings of the American Kennel Club be considered public.

Mr. Wood: I second the motion.

Mr. Willets: There is only one Committee that I can think of where such a rule would operate badly, and that is the Membership Committee.

Mr. Cutler: I did not intend to apply it to that. I think the meetings of Committees are essentially private because their decisions do not affect the public.

The Chairman: You would leave it in the discretion of the Committee as well to go into executive session?

Mr. Cutler: Yes, they always have that right. Unless otherwise specified our meetings be considered public with the exception of standing and special Committees.

Carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chairman Belmont: Before reading the minutes of the last meeting, I desire to call attention to a resolution which was passed at a previous meeting offered by Mr. Samuel R. Cutler as follows: “I move, unless otherwise specified by motion or order, that all meetings of the American Kennel Club be considered public. Motion seconded and carried.” I am informed that the “Field & Fancy” has sent a representative here to report the meeting. It is for the delegates to decide. If these sessions are to be open, if that is the idea, of course, that would mean that representatives of the press would take notes of whatever was said at the meeting. If the delegates desire to
go into any subject which they do not wish to have made public until they had discussed it, they could go into executive session. That would exclude everybody except the delegates. As you know, any motion that you may make with regard to the subject will be open for discussion; but there is a publication Committee which passes on everything before publication is made in the Gazette, and of course if you open your sessions so that stenographic notes can be made of the proceedings, something may be published that you would not wish published. It is for you to pass upon whether you wish to have invited guests to be present.

It was moved and seconded that the representative of “Field & Fancy” should be granted the privilege of being present at this meeting.

Carried.

Mr. Smith: I move that the minutes of the last meeting be approved as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his quarterly report. It is as follows:

New York, May 19, 1914

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last meeting, I have received two applications for Active Membership.
Both are approved by the Membership Committee.

I have also received credentials from ten clubs, six of which are approved by the Membership Committee, three were laid over for the reason that they did not arrive in time to be published in the April Gazette, one was laid over because the nominee was not known by any member of the Committee, not vouched for by any person known to any member of the Committee, and one was withdrawn.

At the annual meeting held Feb 25, 1914, I reported the financial statement for the year 1913, and stated that the same had not been audited by the Finance Committee, and by direction of said Committee, the audit would be made by a duly chartered auditor. The audit was made by Messrs. Townsend and Dix, of 45 Nassau St., NYC, and was published on page 173 of the Gazette of April 30th.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The following named clubs were elected to membership:

Salinas Kennel Club of California
Newfoundland Club of America
The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

American Foxhound Club    Walter W. Stokes
Bull Terrier Club of America    Doctor A. P. Northridge
Chow Chow Club of America    Franklyn L. Hutton
French Bulldog Club of America    Grant Notman
Golden Gate Kennel Club    August Belmont

Mr. Berendsohn: Is no Mr. Belmont a delegate?
Chairman Belmont: Yes.

Mr. Berendsohn: How is he in the Chair?
Chairman Belmont: By virtue of being elected president at the last annual meeting.

Mr. Berendsohn: I saw that your club was dropped, and that makes you cease as a delegate and as one of the Board of Directors.
Chairman Belmont: That may be your opinion, but that is not the opinion of lawyers who have passed on the question.

Mr. Berendsohn: I have no opinion on the subject. Of course I may be wrong.
Chairman Belmont: If anybody raises the question, it can be disposed of in the proper manner, for I am advised that it is not retroactive, and I have a proper opinion to submit to you on the subject.

Mr. Berendsohn: I simply heard your name announced.
as a delegate, and I thought it was strange. I have no opinion about it.

Chairman Belmont: I have no desire to occupy this chair if I am not entitled to, but it is equally my duty to occupy it if I am, notwithstanding any opinion that might be expressed to the contrary.

Mr. John G. Bates was elected as a delegate to represent the Irish Terrier Club of America.

The report of the Publication Committee was read as follows:

New York, May 19, 1914

Mr A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary of the American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street, NYC

Dear Sir:

The Publication Committee beg leave to report that the Stud Book for 1913 has been published and distributed, and the cost of same was $2,186.59.

Yours truly,

R. P. Keasbey
Chairman

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:
REPORT OF RULES COMMITTEE

May 19, 1914, New York

A communication from the Pekingese Club of America in reference to Pekingese Club Championship, the Rules Committee feel that the American Kennel Club has no jurisdiction feel that the American Kennel Club has no jurisdiction in the matter.

A communication received from M. E. Harby in reference to who is the breeder of puppies under conditions stated in the letter of Oct 21, 1913, the Committee’s decision is that the owner of the bitch is the breeder, unless there is a specified lease for breeding purposes.

Amend Rule X of the Rules Governing Dog Shows. On the first line following the words “Name of”, strike out “A”, and add the words “An unregistered”. A second paragraph of said Rule, add the following: Extract IV of Reciprocity Agreement with English Kennel Club.

“That every registered dog imported from the one Country to the other must be re-registered in its adopted country in its own name, and in every particular as registered in the country of its birth, and that if its own name be already registered in the country of its adoption by a dog of the same breed, it must still be re-registered in
its own name, to be followed by the abbreviation “Eng.’ Or “AM’, as
the case may be, and that no dog imported from either England or
American that has been registered in accordance with this rule
can be re-named.”

A proposed amendment to Rule X, referred to this Committee at the annual
meeting had been considered and the Committee is of the opinion that the matter is fully
covered by the existing rule.

Respectfully submitted,

A. G. Hooley

Chairman Rules Committee

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Boger: I believe it says something about a dog being registered in the land of
its birth. A dog might have been brought here registered in England, and yet England
would not be the country of its birth.

The Secretary: That is its adopted country. That is simply adding to the present
rule clause IV of the Reciprocity Agreement with England.

Mr. Lederer: It occurs to me that possibly Mr. Boger's objection is really to this
point: Suppose a dog is born in France, is then exported into England, and there
registered, and then re-sold into America; the question to be presented to us on
registration would be
that the dog’s registration, as an English registered dog, though born in France – if that is the point, it would seem to me there is a possible objection to the phraseology. The question of its exportation would be the point aimed at by the rule.

Mr. Wood: Under our by-laws this rule cannot be adopted until published in the Gazette, and therefore it cannot be adopted until the next meeting. Why wouldn’t it be well to simply discuss it in order to make clear to ourselves what the rule means, and ascertain whether we all want it adopted or not. We cannot do anything with it at this meeting except to order it published in the next Gazette. The Rules Committee has a perfect right to change the reading of it and then publish in the Gazette for adoption at the next meeting.

Chairman Belmont: It would be necessary for you to make some motion in order to bring it before this meeting.

Mr. Wood: I move that those amendments by published in the Gazette for action at the next meeting.

Mr. Hooley: That proposed amendment is the direct wording of the Reciprocity Agreement. I can’t see how you can change that at the present time. As to the use of the words or changing of the phraseology, I do not see that it is advisable.
Chairman Belmont: That might jeopardize the Reciprocity Agreement, but it is quite within the power of the delegates to do it if they see fit.

Mr. Hunnewell: We could get around that by taking that as a part of the Reciprocity Agreement, and go further. It has never been considered by the Rules Committee or the Reciprocity Committee. It is only an agreement with the English Kennel Club that we have had anything to do with, and that we have taken out of the agreement. It is not an amendment of the rules because it is a rule. It is a rule of the American Kennel Club today. We simply added it to our rules. It was not printed in our rules.

Chairman Belmont: It is a regulation of the Stud Book Committee.

Mr. Hunnewell: It is an existing rule. We simply propose to put it in so that anything that applies to an exhibitor or dog show, they can look into the rules and see it instead of looking into the Reciprocity Agreement.

Chairman Belmont: Then I do not see how that can be acted upon at this meeting.

Mr. Wood: We cannot incorporate anything into our rules except under certain definite regulations. It has to be published in the Gazette first.
Chairman Belmont: It is in the rules.

Mr. Wood: That is a pretty technical point. Is the Reciprocity Agreement a part of the American Kennel Club rules?

Chairman Belmont: It was originally a regulation of the Stud Book Committee referring to our registrations here, and then at either the last meeting or the meeting before that it was decided to make that a part of the rules.

The Secretary: That was at the December meeting, so I think Mr. Hooley’s point is well taken, that that being a rule it is simply being incorporated into the Reciprocity Agreement. There is only one amendment that is not in the rules. That is by adding the words “An unregistered dog”; that is absolutely an amendment. The other is simply making public in our printed rules what has already been adopted.

Chairman Belmont: Then that amendment will have to pass to the next meeting.

Mr. Wood’s motion that the proposed amendment be published in the Gazette for action at the next meeting was seconded and carried.

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:
It has come to the notice of this Committee that several dogs, lately imported from England, have been exhibited in this country under difference names than those under which they have been exhibited in England. As these dogs have been listed and not registered, we would recommend that the Rules Committee formulate a rule for the proper identification of all dogs which have been exhibited prior to being shown in this country.

In the matter of the application of the Miniature Bulldog Club of America, for championship ratings for miniature bulldogs, with the subsequent application of this club for Active Membership in the American Kennel Club. We can take no action on this matter until we receive the written consent from the Bulldog Club of America, covering these applications.

In the case, Robert Irick vs. Ed. Rubel – From the indefinite nature of the application, we do not see that any reasonable doubt is shown against any particular registration and therefore ewe take no action in this matter.

We recommend that the breed of Cairn Terriers be recognized.

At the December meeting of the Directors the
suggestion to close the Stud Book to all American bred dogs whose parents were not registered with the American Kennel Club, was referred to this Committee.

We report as follows on this suggestion.

During the year 1913 over 10,000 registrations were made in our stud book, a very large percentage of which were American bred dogs scattered over all parts of the United States. The present method of registration has been in effect for fifteen years. It was put in effect at a time when the number of thoroughbred pedigreed dogs was very much smaller than is now the case and accordingly a closer and more thorough supervision over registrations was possible than has been the case during the last years. Our registrations now total over 180,000 and we believe the Kennel interests of the country have outgrown the present method of registration and that it would be advisable to discontinue the use of the present registration blank after Jan 1, 1915.

We would recommend that after that date registrations be accepted in our Stud Book under the following conditions.

(A) All dogs imported from England which are entered in the English Kennel Club Stud Book (not registered in English Stud Book).

(B) All dogs imported from other countries that
are registered in a recognized Stud Book or whose pedigrees can be certified to by a
signed certificate by the breeder of the dog to be registered.

(C) All American bred dogs whose sire and dam have been registered in the
American Kennel Club Stud Book.

(D) All American bred dogs having one parent registered with the American
Kennel Club, the correctness of whose pedigree can be certified to by the breeder of the
dog to be registered and the owner of the unregistered parent.

(E) All American bred dogs of unregistered parents the correctness of whose
pedigree is certified to by the breeder of the dog to be registered by the owner of the sire
and the owner of the dam.

It will be noted that in case this method of registration is put into effect by far the
easiest and simplest way to secure eligibility to registration will be for breeders every
where to see that the breeding stock is registered and therefore ample notice should be
given to the breeders of the country in order that they may have an opportunity to
register their breeding stock and by so doing secure eligibility for registration to the
progeny.

It often happens that dogs of well established
pedigree are bred by non-exhibitors and those not conversant with kennel affairs who do not care to register their breeding stock. Such dogs subsequently coming into the ownership of exhibitors, often prove themselves to be not only among the best specimens of the various breeds, but also of great value to the fancy at large as producers or good stock. Such dogs owing to their quality, performances and prepotency will constitute a real addition to the American Stud Book, and it is with a view to allowing such dogs to become eligible to registration that we have suggested the method of entry into the Stud Book by certificate signed by the breeder and owner of sire and dam.

We anticipate that be far the greater proportions of American bred dogs registered will be by registered sire and dam, and that in the course of a years or two by far the greater portion of the breeding stock for show purposes will have been registered.

We believe that if the above method of registration is put into effect on Jan 1, 1915, that for a few years there may be a falling off in the number of registrations owing to the stricter requirements and consequently a loss to the club of registration fees.

How great such loss may be it is impossible for us to estimate and we therefore ask the approval of the
Directors before we take any action in this matter. We believe that every much larger number of registrations of brood bitches will partly make up for any possible loss in the total number of registrations.

At present each unregistered dog must be listed at each show at a cost of $0.25. Many dogs at present are registered in order to be exhibited without payment of listing fees at every show. Some of these dogs will be ineligible for registration, if these stricter requirements go into effect. We would therefore recommend that a permanent system of listing or recording the names of these ineligible dogs be instituted at a cost of $1.00 per dog. In this way the loss in registration fees may be lessened, and the exhibitors will not be burdened with the expense of an increased number of listing fees.

We make these suggestions for the 1915 Stud Book at this time and submit them more as a working basis than as a finished plan, and during the remainder of 1914 we would welcome a thorough discussion by the fancy at large of this important matter in order that the best method of registration and of safeguarding the accuracy of our growing Stud Book may be arrived at.

We are, however, convinced that all American bred dogs whose sires and dams are registered in our Stud
Book should be eligible to registration without further certificate, as we have our own records of their owners and pedigrees which will make investigations easy in case the question of the correctness of any registration is raised.

If we have the approval of the Directors in this matter, we would recommend that notice be given in the Gazette for May 31st that such requirements in regard to American bred dogs will be in effect on and after Jan 1, 1915.

W. L. Barclay
Chairman, S. B. Comm

Mr. Smith: It seems to me very advisable to have two charges for registration in this connection, a charge of $1.00 for registration where the sire and dam are registered in the American Kennel Club Stud Book, and a charge of a larger fee, whatever you want to make it, $5.00 or $10.00 or even more, for the registration of a dog where the sire or dam is unregistered. That would allow us an opportunity of looking into the matter with the view of getting a certificate from the breeder of the sire or dam, and pay for any office expense that might be incurred in more or less verifying these unregistered dogs that are up for registration, or dogs of unregistered parents.
It would also tend to make owners register any dogs that they have.

Mr. Bloodgood: It would seem to me that that would put the American Kennel Club to a tremendous amount of work. If I were to register a dog it is my duty to see that he was registered that way. It is not the question of a charge.

Mr. Wood: I move that the report of the Stud Book Committee be accepted and published in the Gazette in order that we may all have an opportunity to read it over and consider it carefully.

Motion seconded and carried.

Chairman Belmont resigned the Chair to Mr. Hunnewell.

Mr. Belmont: I rise to a question of personal privilege. I desire to detain the delegates as short a time as possible. You gentlemen have been made familiar in a certain portion of the kennel press with the correspondence that has taken place between Dr. De Mund and myself in which I declined to enter into a correspondence with him, and stated to him that the proper place to consider that subject was here before the delegates. That is a position which I deemed not only I should take as your executive officer, but I should follow that course with regard to any question.
that I might have at issue with any other delegate or any member of the Kennel Club. I think it unwise, if not to a certain extent contrary to the best interests of the club, that every subject of contention between two individuals be debated in the public press prior to bringing it before the meeting of the delegates. It makes for the appearance of lack of harmony in our organization when perhaps that does not exist at all. Certainly it does not exist so far as I am concerned, and therefore I have declined, and in this particular instance it was for this reason: You have seen fit to place in the hands of a Publication Committee discretion as to what should be published of our proceedings, and it appears that they omitted to publish certain remarks that I made at the time which covered sufficiently, in my opinion at all events, the question that Dr. De Mund raised in his letter, and it was for that reason that I felt that here was the place, if what I said did not prove to be satisfactory, and it was your wish that any further statement on my part should be made, that I was prepared to do that in a manner that I felt justified. That was my reason and I shall in the future adopt the same course. I cannot, after all my association with this Kennel Club, be persuaded that it is good for us to constantly have debates in the newspapers on questions
which are really to come up before you for decision. Any one who indulges in that method I feel is not acting for the best interests of this club, because it gives the public the idea that we are disagreeing. For instance, it has brought out a telegraphic offer from the coast and another letter from some other club offering me the position of delegate to represent them, they thinking that I had ceased to have any connection with this organization. As I stated from the chair in answer to a query as to my eligibility for office, that is subject to counsel. It is for you to take up the subject of eligibility under our rules. In the meanwhile I have to be guided by Judge Cutler, whom I have consulted in the matter.

Dr. De Mund: I should like to speak to a question of personal privilege. I quite agree with Mr Belmont that the public press is not the place to take matters. I have never gone to the public press, but when the president took it upon himself to get up in this body and make certain absolutely and unqualifiedly false statements about me, and at the next meeting of the American Kennel Club when I denied those statements, the publication Committee cut out my denial and would not give me ordinary justice or fair play, the only pace that was left to me to go to was to the public press,
and that was the reason I took it up there. Mr. Belmont’s statements concerning me at
that meeting that I have referred to were absolutely false (They were a tissue of
falsehoods from beginning to end.)

Mr. Belmont: I object to that. You have no business to make any such statement
as that. They were not false. I stated in this meeting that they were made on
information and belief, and I now state that so far as my information goes I still believe
them. I believe what I was told, and it is necessary for you to prove otherwise.

Dr. De Mund: It is not necessary for me to prove otherwise. Mr. Belmont makes
statements which are, I say, a tissue of falsehoods, and the records of the American
Kennel Club will prove it. It is not a question of his word or mine. As I stated to him at
this time, the records of the American Kennel Club are here. They will prove the falsity
of those statements.

Mr. Belmont: I must ask that these remarks be stricken from the record because
the language used is unparliamentarily.

Motion seconded and carried.

Dr. De Mund: It is easy enough to strike these remarks from the record, but no
action has been taken on the remarks of the President about myself which were
true; and also no action was taken about striking my remarks out at the last meeting.

Chairman Hunnewell: What remarks are you referring to?

Dr. De Mund: My replies to the President. They were not stricken from the record as being unparliamentarily at the Feb meeting where I replied to the President. I do not think I have said anything unparliamentarily, if there is justice and fair play of the American Kennel Club.

Chairman Hunnewell: I do not know what you are talking about, so I do not know what you want brought before the meeting. If you will make some definite motion we can discuss it.

Dr. De Mund: I have stated that my reply to the President was stricken out, not by order of the delegates, but were just cut out ----

Chairman Hunnewell: (Interrupting) Why don't you make a motion that they should be restored?

Dr. De Mund: Because I don't care.

Mr. Belmont: I think a resolution should be offered at this meeting to cover this subject properly. Dr. De Mund addressed me on a certain subject to which I have replied. I have replied in great detail. In my letter I called upon every Chairman of Committees to
furnish you the information which would prove the allegations of Dr. De Mund. I brought this subject before the Kennel Club. The result of it was that the Kennel Club was ordered to investigate Dr. De Mund’s charges, and that Committee’s report did not sustain the charges. It sustained some minor feature of them. I do not think in all my experience that I have ever read such sweeping charges against an organization with so little to sustain them as those of Dr. De Mund. The particular exception that Dr. De Mund made to my statement was that I said something to the effect – I cannot give you the exact words – that the question which he had with Doctor Boultbee was a personal one. That is according to my understanding of the matter and as reported to me and as since explained to me, personal because the remarks were personal to him; referred to him. The question at issue between Doctor Boultbee and Dr. De Mund was personal. One charged the other with certain remarks that were insulting, and then they were taken to the American Kennel Club. I still believe those were personal remarks. My statement was based on information and belief. Everybody knows who was present, who could make the statement, even the Committee that reported it, had no actual information such as Dr. De Mund intimates, and I think that it is time for this
Association to take some action with regard to Dr. De Mund’s method of doing things, because they are subversive of the discipline that you should wish to exercise over your organization. For instance, I am unable to extract from Dr. De Mund just what he means after all, and I doubt if any Committee can. In all this jumble of accusations against the Secretary, against this, that and the other, all you have to do is to read those letters and my reply, and I hope and trust that somebody will plan a way to have those remarks of mine, whatever they were, published. There was no reason why they should not be published. I should be very glad to repeat them. I still believe them. I cannot make them assertions as facts because I was not there, but I have no reason to change my opinion, and there, but I have no reason to change my opinion, and they were sustained in a great measure by the report of the Committee. If this controversy is to be made personal —- because I cannot permit a man to tell me that I speak a falsehood, for it is impossible for me to admit it — it requires me to submit to you ruling with regard to it. If Dr. De Mund should say that to me anywhere else where the privilege of an ordinary man exists, of course I would have to answer for that, but here in your deliberations and as your officer it is my duty to do certain things, and I reported to you the
facts as I understood them and as they were reported to me. To cover Dr. De Mund’s complaint, it would have been unthinkable if I had not brought this subject to your attention. It would have been wrong if I had not done it. I took the time and trouble to try and find out if there was any basis for what Dr. De Mund said, and I rehearsed the situation and rehearsed his connection with all these matters. If we have to descend to Billingsgate in these meetings – I do not believe that you will submit to it for a moment. I trust that when we come here for debate, we can come here as gentlemen; that if we make statements that we cannot sustain, we will be willing to retract them. I have nothing to retract. I simply had the explanation to make that those statements were upon information, and I cannot retract that unless I make those who informed me retract what they said. Otherwise I would state what is not true. I said what is true because I said what was said to me. Gentlemen, I think I have explained the matter fully, and I am willing to submit to anything with regard to an explanation that you desire me to make in connection with this matter, but I do not want to take your time. I think it is high time, however, that you should do one thing or the other; oblige delegates to conform themselves to the rules and regulations of
this club; that if they have accusations to make to bring them before it, and then when they have had the subject of their accusations brought before a Committee, passed upon by a Committee, that that should be the end of it. I am certainly in favor of the most active debate on any question. I think it would be unfortunate if our interests lagged by reason of the fact that we did not discuss them sufficiently, and I believe that when a man has an opinion as to the policy of this club, as to the meaning and bearing of a rule, or anything of that kind, that it is his duty to go on and go on until he has to stop and give it up – continue in his endeavors to carry out what he thinks is for the best interests and bring about any amendment of change that he thinks ought to be brought about. That is proper. But the perversion of our powers here, the use of powers which should not be in it, the dragging in of personal matters before you, I think it is time that you should take some action, because it looks to those who are outside as if there was something radically wrong. Perhaps there is. I cannot see it. I have been unable to find out from any one that there is anything radically wrong with this organization. If there is something radically wrong in Dr. De Mund’s opinion, and he was not satisfied with what had taken place, but tried to drag me into a cor-
respondence which would open a subject which I am not at liberty to discuss in that way, that would be radically wrong.

Dr. De Mund: First, I would like to say that when I spoke of a tissue of falsehoods I did not refer to Mr. Belmont, -- I say this for my own sake -- that he himself knew they were, but that he had been informed, as he says he was informed to that effect, that he had been informed that way. Now Mr. Belmont has again tried to becloud the issue a little bit.

Chairman Hunnewell: What is the issue?

Dr. De Mund: I am speaking to a question of personal privilege in answer against the Secretary, and so on. They have been settled. An Investigation Committee was appointed, and those charges were brought before them and their report was final. I have nothing to say about that. I have said nothing to Mr. Belmont about it. What I take exception to is Mr. Belmont dragging in at a meeting that he took part in, Doctor Boultbee, and accusing me of sending a message to Doctor Boultbee, when I got up in this meeting and said I had letters in my pocket from the gentleman who was supposed to act as the messenger, that I would produce them, that no such thing occurred, that no such message was sent to Doctor
Boultbee by me. I had no correspondence. I don't know Doctor Boultbee. Mr. Belmont said that Doctor Boultbee made a personal attack upon me. As I explained at that time, it was not personal. Doctor Boultbee attacked two judges of the American Kennel Club officiating at a show in their judicial capacity. He says as an officer of this organization I brought charges against Doctor Boultbee as an individual, put up my $10.00 the same was anybody else. The Trial Board found that Doctor Boultbee's statements were absolutely unfounded, and they disqualified Doctor Boultbee. Those are the remarks that I take exception to that Mr. Belmont made, not any question in regard to what happened before that. That is settled and over with, and I am not going into that. I did not speak about that at that time, but Mr. Belmont made three separate and distinct statements in regard to myself and Doctor Boultbee which were not so, which were absolutely false, and that is what I ask to have retracted.

Mr. Belmont: If my letter which was alluded to is read, it will be seen that it does not state what Dr. De Mund said. That language is not used, and the reference to this subject is quite immaterial. So far as I am concerned I state again that such information as I received I have no reason to retract, because I
made those statements upon information. Notwithstanding Dr. De Mund’s denial, I cannot say that it is not so because I had no information beyond what I was told; but there is no reason why what I said at that meeting should not be published, and I trust someone will bring the subject up so that my remarks may be published.

Mr. Lederer: I came to this meeting instructed by the Colorado Kennel Club, whose delegate I have the honor to be, to refer to the very matter now under discussion. I hesitated to touch upon it earlier because it was more or less of a delicate matter, but the general discussion having led right up to the matter, I beg your leave to present the following proposed resolution on behalf of the Colorado Kennel Club.

“WHEREAS, the report of the regular meeting of the Delegates held on the 25th day of Feb, 1914, as published by order of the Publication Committee in THE AMERICAN KENNEL GAZETTE of 28 Feb 1914, omits setting forth certain statements of the Chairman, the President of the Club and of Dr. De Mund, a delegate to
Boultbee by me. I had no correspondence. I don't know Dr. Boultbee. Mr. Belmont said that Dr. Boultbee made a personal attack upon me. As I explained at that time, it was not personal. Dr. Boultbee attacked two judges of the American Kennel Club officiating at a show in their judicial capacity. He says as an officer of this organization I brought charges against Dr. Boultbee. I did not. I brought charges against Dr. Boultbee as an individual, put up my $10.00 the same as anybody else. The Trial Board found that Dr. Boultbee's statements were absolutely unfounded, and they disqualified Dr. Boultbee. Those are the remarks that I take exception to that Mr. Belmont made, not any question in regard to what happened before that. That is settled and over with, and I am not going into that. I did not speak about that at that time, but Mr. Belmont made three separate and distinct statements in regard to myself and Dr. Boultbee which were not so, which were absolutely false, and that is what I ask to have retracted.

MR. BELMONT: If my letter which was alluded to is read, it will be seen that it does not state what Dr. DeMund said. That language is not used, and the reference to this subject is quite immaterial. So far as I am concerned I state again that such information as I received I have no reason to retract, because I
made those statements upon information. Notwithstanding Dr. DeMund's denial, I cannot say that it is not so "because I had no information beyond what I was told; but there is no reason why what I said at that meeting should not be published, and I trust someone will bring the subject up so that my remarks may be published.

MR. LEDEKER: I came to this meeting instructed by the Colorado Kennel Club, whose delegate I have the honor to be, to refer to the very matter now under discussion. I hesitated to touch upon it earlier because it was more or less of a delicate matter, but the general discussion having led right up to the matter, I beg your leave to present the following proposed resolution on behalf of the Colorado Kennel Club:

"WHEREAS, the report of the regular meeting of the Delegates held on the 25th day of February, 1914, as published by order of the Publication Committee in THE AMERICAN KENNEL GAZETTE of 28 February 1914, omits setting forth certain statements of the Chairman, the President of the Club, and of Dr. DeMund, a Delegate to the Club representing the Russian Wolfhound Club, which statements appear at length in the original stenographer's minutes of the said Meeting; and

WHEREAS, it is the sense and opinion of the Colorado Kennel Club, an active member of the American
Kennel Club, that the ends of justice will best be served by the appearance of the said statements of the official report of the said meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, the said Colorado Kennel Club hereby offers the following resolution, to wit:-

BE IT RESOLVED that the official report of the proceedings at the regular Delegates' Meeting of 25th February, 1914, as published in THE AMERICAN KENNEL GAZETTE of 28 February, 1914, be, and the same hereby is, emended by adding thereto the following matter, extracted from the stenographer's minutes of the said meeting:-

'Dr. De Mund:  x  x  x Furthermore it says: 'This office of the American Kennel Club sends somebody to tell Dr. Boultbee that if he apologizes to him, the officer, it would be all right.' That statement was not made. The President of this Club makes that bald statement. That is absolutely and unqualifiedly false. I have letters in my possession from the gentlemen who were supposed to act as messengers denying absolutely that anything of that sort took place, and I appeal now to the Delegates of this Club in all Justice and fair play, to have that statement retracted in the Gazette, and I challenge
Mr. Belmont:  I second that motion.
   Carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.

PRESENT:

August Belmont
John G. Bates
Rowland p. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Charles R. Wood
Joseph A. Buchanan
J. Macy Willets
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
M. Mowbray Palmer
Raymond Belmont
William Rauch
Hollis H. Hunnewell
W. L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
Henry T. Fleitmann
George Greer
A. G. Hooley.

Upon motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows;

New York, May 19, 1914.

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel club. Gentlemen:

I have the honor to report the following matters that have come to hand since our last meeting.
The decision of the Boston Trial Board in the case of Grierson vs. Earnshaw, and the decision of the San Francisco Trial Board in the case of Wilson vs. The Ladies’ Kennel Association of Southern California. The above decision will be published in the current issue of the Gazette.

A communication from the Toy Dog Club of New England on the subject of the conflict of show dates. This matter should be referred to the License Committee.

A request from the National Fox Hunters Association for an amendment to acknowledge the All Age Stake of said Club for Championship honors. I would recommend that this matter be referred to the Field Trial Committee.

Communications from Philadelphia in reference to the organization known as The Quaker City Allied Kennel Association. These letters and the Constitution and By-Laws of said Association will be read for your further information.

An appeal from Edwin Main Post for the reinstatement of his Kennel Name "Empost", the same having been cancelled for reason of the non-payment of maintenance fee.

I was obliged, under the rules, to impose a penalty of $28.00 against the Minneapolis Kennel Club for its
failure to remit the listing fees during the expiration of twenty-eight days, after the seven days allowed by the Rules. The Secretary excuses the delay, giving as a reason, the illness of the President and a death in his family.

I have correspondence between Prank T. Carlton and President Belmont on the subject of Mr. Carlton's disqualification.

I beg to submit the bill for disbursements from our Western Agent from February 21st to May 11th inclusive, amounting to $30.13. This bill appears to be correct and I would recommend the payment of same.

Our Vice-President, Mr. H. K. Bloodgood, recommends that the entry forms for the several shows held under American Kennel Club Rules should be uniform, and has submitted a form for consideration. I recommend that same be referred to our License Committee for its consideration with instructions to report at the next meeting of the Club.

Excuses have been received from Messrs. George S. West, Howard Willets, Charles W. Keyes, and S. R. Cutler, who find it impossible to attend this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Treasurer was read as follower

TREASURER'S REPORT.

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the financial report from January 1, 1914 to date:-

BALANCE - Jan. 1. 1914 $20,803.01
RECEIPTS TO DATE . 11,157.59
TOTAL $31,960.60
DISBURSEMENTS 11,285.35
BALANCE ON HAND . $20,677.25

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Treasurer.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: The first matter is the question of the decisions of the Trial Board. As a rule they are never reported. They are published in the Gazette, and then anyone has the right to appeal within thirty days. Then it comes "before the Kennel Club; but in this case of the Boston Trial Board, they have a recommendation to make which I think it would be well for you to hear. This was a charge of A. M. Grierson vs. Earnshaw. The case came before the Board upon a claim of an unpaid
special which the plaintiff contends the defendant had offered, and although she had properly won the same, he had failed, to deliver it to her in accordance with the terms under which the same was offered. I submit the correspondence in the matter to you.

MR. WOOD: I move the matter be referred to the Rules Committee for further action.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the communication from the Toy Dog Club of New England on the subject of conflicting show dates was referred to the License Committee.

It was moved and seconded that the request from the National Pox Hunters Association for an amendment to acknowledge the All Age Stake of said club for Championship honors, be referred to the Field Trial Committee.

Carried.

The Secretary read the correspondence in relation to the organization known as the Quaker City Allied Kennel Association.

MR. WOOD: I would suggest that the Secretary write to these gentlemen, calling their attention to our rule which prohibits the holding of any show antagonistic to the rules of the American Kennel Club, and that further than that we have no jurisdiction.
Chairman Hunnewell: They claim they are not antagonistic. That is a question for us to decide.

THE SECRETARY: As I understand the matter, these clubs cannot become members of the American Kennel Club, nor can they be licensed by the American Kennel Club to hold shows. Therefore they have formed this organization.

MR. SMITH: I would like to know why they cannot become members of this club, or be licensed to hold shows?

THE SECRETARY: Because they have to get the written approval of the Kennel Clubs in Philadelphia to hold a show.

CHAIRMAN HUNNEWELL: I do not see how we can take cognizance of anything like that.

MR. HOOLEY: We could not stop them from holding a show if they decided to do so.

MR. BLOODGOOD: It seems to me that it means practically the formation of another American Kennel Club. I do not think that we should take cognizance of them. We cannot recognize any organization which proposes to pass rules and have Trial Boards and pass on these different offences, and so forth. If they are going to do that we want to understand it,

MR. BOGER: I belong to about four or five of
those clubs today. They are clubs that give monthly shows. They are held by men who work in mills, and they breed these dogs, and they keep them in their kitchens and in their dining rooms and bring their dogs out to these shows where they may have an opportunity to sell their puppies, and I know dogs that they have raised and brought out that way which have received championships. At some of these shows there has been a rough house, and that is what these people want to get at. Their blacklist does not mean what our blacklist means. They mean if when Judging goes on a man comes up and starts a rough house, he would not be permitted to come up and show at the next meeting. It is a good thing for the game. We have control of all matters. They could not do anything at any show that we would not control, because our rules reach dogs, dog shows, and so forth. I do not think it is a matter for the Kennel Club at all, so long as they have no rules which conflict with the American Kennel Club.

MR. HOOLEY: Why is this matter brought up to this club, and what have we got to do with it? The formation of a club down there, even if it very small, is a nucleus which might grow into something. It may be a small thing now, but it may be Pittsburgh next, and they may have a State Association.
MR. BOGER: It seems to me that we are only picking someone else's chestnuts out of the fire. I have gone into this matter thoroughly, and that is one reason I wetted to be at the Directors meeting today. I think the whole thing has fallen through by this time. There have been many resignations because they could not get along with one another.

MR. KOOLEY: I think it would be a very dangerous expedient for this club to recognize in any way a club or group of clubs in that way, because we do not recognize any other organization. The National Dog Breeders do not recognize it, and the question is whether a man judging under that rule- would not be disqualified by the American Kennel Club.

CHAIRMAN HUNNEWELL: The National Breeders Association has been antagonistic to the American Kennel Club.

MR. WOOD: As a matter of courtesy to a dog man who perhaps may be an associate member of the American Kennel Club, I think it would only be necessary to send them a copy of the American Kennel Club rules.

MR. HOOLEY: I think we should go on record to the effect that we do not recognize them.

CHAIRMAN HUNNEWELL: We might inform them that we could not acknowledge any such formation of clubs,
but that so long as they choose to hold their shows in a manner not antagonistic to us, we have nothing to say. If there in no objection the Secretary will be so empowered to write.

THE SECRETARY: The Stud Book Committee has had a rule for many years in regard to the granting of an annual kennel name, which carries with it a maintenance fee to be paid within thirty days of the first day of January. I sent out the bills on the 30th day of November for January payment, and instead of cancelling those unpaid on the 1st of February, I sent out a final bill in the middle of February, and those that had not paid on the 1st of March, I cancelled their kennel name. I have received a communication from Mr. Edwin Main Post, stating that he had not received the notice that his kennel name was cancelled, and asking that it be restored to him. He took the Kennel Club to task for not ignoring his address on the kennel blank, the only address of record we had. He said we might have known he had an office at Ho. Ill Broadway, and that it should be sent there. I showed him that his bills for the two previous years had been sent to Babylon, and he had paid them, and this time we had sent two bills, and neither one of them had been returned as not being found, and that his kennel name, together with about thirty others,
was cancelled.

MR. SMITH: I move that Mr. Post be instructed that he can renew his kennel name by making out a new application, on the payment of $5.00.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then brought up the matter of the penalty of $28.00 imposed by him under the rules against the Minneapolis Kennel Club for its failure to remit listing fees within the time prescribed, and read the correspondence in regard thereto.

MR. STEWART: I move that the Secretary be instructed to write to this club and ask what connection the President of the Club has with the sending of the check.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary submitted the correspondence between Mr. Frank T. Carlton and President Belmont, on the subject of the former's disqualification.

THE SECRETARY: You will recall that Mr. Carlton threatened to commence suit against the American Kennel Club, and you will probably also recall that at the December meeting the matter was turned over to Mr. Belmont to see if he could not arrange the matter to the mutual satisfaction of both Mr. Carlton and the American Kennel Club. Mr. Belmont saw Mr. Carlton
and his proposed action was temporarily suspended. He now writes to Mr. Belmont demanding that action be taken on this disqualification, and Mr. Belmont sent it to me to bring up at this meeting and notify Mr. Carlton that he would bring it before the American Kennel Club at this meeting. If you take any action on this request or demand, I will state that the usual action is to send the cage back to the Trial Board with directions to reopen the case. Otherwise the only way this matter could be acted upon would be for it to come up on appeal to the Executive Committee. There is no appeal.

MR. WOOD: I move that the matter be referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation, not to take any action, but refer the matter back either to the Board of Directors or to the Executive Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the bill for disbursements from the Western Agent from February 21st to May 11th inclusive, amounting to $30.13, was ordered paid.

THE SECRETARY: At the last meeting of the Kennel Club Mr. Robert Sedgwick, Jr., was made a director. The question was raised at that meeting by Dr. Berendsohn that he understood that Mr. Sedgwick had resigned as a delegate, and at that time Mr. Sedgwick had not resigned as a delegate, but some little time after the February
meeting he sent in his resignation as a delegate, and I understand from him that he desires to retire as a director. That being so, there is a vacancy on the Board of Directors in the class expiring in 1919.

Mr. Stewart: I nominate Mr. Edward L. Boger for that vacancy.

On motion, nominations were closed.

On motion the secretary was directed for the class expiring in 1919. The secretary stated that he had cast such vote.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the recommendation of Mr. Bloodgood to prepare a uniform form for entries at dog shows to be used all over the country where shows are held under American Kennel Club rules. He sent to me a form that has been adopted by the English Kennel Club, in which there are some very excellent ideas in my opinion, and I would like to state in this connection that some six years ago I suggested the same thing, and it was voted down by the delegates, the idea of the opposition being that it was purely domestic matter; that the clubs could make up any form of entry blank that they pleased. I am now very glad that it has been brought up by one of the Vice-Presidents.

Mr. Wood: I move that it be referred to the
License Committee, and the publication Committee jointly, to draw up a proper form of entry blank, to be submitted to the Board of Directors.

    Motion seconded and carried.

    On motion the excuses of Messrs. George S. West, Howard Willets, Charles W. Keyes and S. R. Cutler, for absence at this meeting, were accepted.

    MR. HOOLEY: On several occasions the question has been asked of me in regard to the Judges at some of the shows, the possibility of their being professional handlers. I think we all know one or two cases where being professional handlers are Judges, and I do not think the men have ever made any suggestion or pretended that they were not, and now the question is whether it is the Secretary's duty to write to these shows. I certainly think something should be done. The rule is now that we do not allow professional handlers to judge, and the question is whether the shows who allow them to judge should be the sufferers or whether we can in any way prevent their being put on the list.

    On motion the matter was referred to the License Committee.

    On motion the meeting then adjourned.

---------------------------------------
In the absence of the President and First and Second Vice Presidents, Mr. Allison M. Lederer was selected to preside.

PRESENT:

Associate Delegate: A. G. Hooley.
Airedale Terrier Club of New York: W. F. Patterson.
American Pomeranian Club: Theodore Offerman
Boston Terrier Club: Frank A. Teeling.
Bulldog Club of America: Edwin L. Boger
Chow Chow Club: Franklyn L. Hutton.
Colorado Kennel Club: Allison M. Lederer.
Dachshund Club of America: G. Muss-Arnolt.
Delaware Valley Kennel Club: John H. Blackwood.
Del Monte Kennel Club: Edward H. Hobbie.
Framingham District Kennel Club: A. E. Wright.
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club: Charles R. Wood
Kennel Club of Philadelphia: J. Chandler Barnard
Long Island Kennel Club: John F. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club: E. H. Berendsohn
Manchester Terrier Club: William P. Wolcott
Nassau County Kennel Club: Theodore Crane
Nwark Kennel Club: John L. Whealan.
Pekingese Club of America: M. E. Harby.
Plainfield Kennel Club: E. L. Machenzie.
Pointer Club of America: J. C. Weiler.
Russian Wolfhound Club: Dr. J. E. DeMund.
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club: A. C. Wilmerding.
Spaniel Breeders’ Society: Rowland P. Keasbey.
Toy Spaniel Club of America: Daniel P. Ritchie.
Wisconsin Kennel Club: C. W. Keyes.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named clubs were duly elected to membership:

- Pacific Coast Collie Club.
- New Jersey Beagle Club.
- New Bedford District Kennel Club.

The application of the Peninsula Kennel Club was not approved by the San Mateo Kennel Club, and is not therefore approved by the Membership Committee.

THE SECRETARY: The application of the Southampton Kennel Club was received too late for publication in the Gazette, and therefore cannot be acted upon at this meeting.
The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Empire Beagle Club: George A. Flammer.
Chicago Collie Club: H. B. Hungerford.

The credentials from the Chicago Kennel Club, appointing Mr. M. M. Palmer its delegate, were read, and upon a ballot being taken Mr. Palmer failed of election.

The Secretary: There are two credentials here that came in proper time, but the candidates were not known to any of the members of the Committee, nor were they vouched for by any person known to any member of the Committee, so these credentials will have to be laid over until the next meeting. There are two other credentials that came in on September 3rd, too late for publication in the Gazette, and therefore they must be laid over until the next meeting. There was one credential that came from the far west, and the delegate therein named has sent notice that he must decline to serve.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

September 15, 1914.

To the Delegates of The American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

Since my last quarterly report, I have received
five applications for Active Membership and several Credentials from Clubs appointing Delegates, all of which have been turned over to the membership Committee for its action.

Several amendments to the Rules have been proposed. The same were duly published in the Gazette, as were also the minutes of the Rules Committee held August 11, 1914 withholding its approval of the proposed amendments.

Mr. A. M. Lederer filed an appeal from the decision of a protest of the Southampton Kennel Club which was not sustained.

The New York Trial Board has filed a communication asking the Delegates to consider three alternative propositions as Rules for its guidance with the request that the matter be acted upon at this meeting.

In the matter of Frank T. Carlton, which was referred to the New York Trial Board for its consideration, I beg to say that the Board has acted on same, and its report will be submitted to you at this meeting.

I will submit the communication from Mr. Walter W. Stettheimer on the subject of affairs on the Pacific Coast.

Notice has been received from the California
Airedale Terrier Club that it has accepted the resignation of Mr. G. A. Wertheim as its Delegate.

A communication from the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee has just been received, and you are notified that their proposed change in the registration regulations will be reported upon fully at the December meeting.

A communication from the Pekingese Club of America to Doctor S. F. Goldwater regarding the section known as 80A of the Sanitary Code will be presented, as will also communications referring to the proposed amendments to the Rules.

On motion the same was adopted.

The Secretary: The matter of the Frank T. Carlton disqualification by a former Trial Board was referred to the present Trial Board for further action in the case of Mr. Carlton’s appeal to remove the action of the original Trial Board from our records. I read the report of the Trial Board that acted on the matter:

A MEETING OF THE NEW YORK TRIAL BOARD.

Held August 18, 1914


In the matter of Frank T. Carlton.

This matter has been referred to the New York Trial Board, by resolution of the Board of Directors under date
of 19th of May, 1914. It arises upon correspondence from the said Carlton asking that his disqualification be deleted from the records of the Kennel Club. The matter is referred to this Trial Board, “for investigation, not to take action, but to refer the matter back either to the Board of Directors or to the Executive Committee”. This Board acting merely within the instructions of the aforesaid resolution of the Board of Directors, has carefully considered all the evidence in the case afforded by the records on file, and has come to the following conclusions hereon:-

It appears that the respondent Carlton was cited to attend before the Investigating Committee in the month of September 1912 in connection with an attack published in his publication, "International Dogs", against the list of judges proposed for the Lenox, Mass. show. The said Carlton failed to appear before the Investigating Committee and action was taken, as published in the American Kennel Gazette of the 31st of December 1912, suspending the said Carlton and referring the case to the New York Trial Board or such action as might appear proper. This report of the Investigating Committee was accepted and its recommendations adopted by resolution of the Board of Directors at a meeting held the 17th day of December, 1912. On the 18th of
December the said Carlton was cited to appear before the New York Trial Board. This he also failed to do, and the said Trial Board on the 31st of December 1912, upon due consideration of the evidence before it, found said Carlton guilty of conduct prejudicial to the welfare of dog shows and “ordered that all the privileges of the American Kennel Club be denied him”.

This Trial Board, upon a further careful consideration of the evidence, is of the opinion that the aforesaid disqualification was well founded upon the facts submitted, and should stand.

A. C. Wilmerding,
Chairman.

MR. BOGER: I move its adoption.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: This matter is really one to be referred to the Directors for action, but it was laid before the Delegates for their general information.

THE SECRETARY: Under the circumstances, as it will be impossible to obtain a quorum of the Board of Directors today on account of the number of absentees, will that necessitate withholding this from publication?

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: Not in my opinion. I think it should be published in the next month’s Gazette as a part of our proceedings.
MR. WOOD: I would like to know why it must go before the Board of Directors if the delegates have power to act on it.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: It was the direction of the Board of Directors that the matter should be reported back to them, not to take the usual action of disqualification or otherwise.

MR. WOOD: Am I incorrect in understanding that the delegates, desiring to take certain action, can take up a matter and say what they think should be done about it? They can tell the Board of Directors to adopt it or not.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: Yes. As it is unlikely that a meeting of the Board of Directors will be held today, if it is the pleasure of the delegates to pass on the report of this Trial Board, I think there is nothing to prevent their doing so.

MR. BARNARD: I move that this report be approved.

MR. COLLINS: I move as an amendment that the delegates recommend to the Board of Directors the adoption of this report.

Amendment seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have here a report from the New York Trial Board asking the decision of this meeting
on three alternative propositions or suggestions as rules for its guidance and request that the matter be acted upon at this meeting.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: As a member of the Trial Board I desire to state that the Board felt that it had here a large question to deal with, and it prefers to act upon the guidance of the delegates and the pleasure of the delegates in the future. The three possible alternatives are presented in this report, and it is for you gentlemen to adopt or to advise the Trial Board as to which of the three you think should be the law. We have acted, as a matter of fact, in the case of Mr. Laurin, who was a Canadian, and the question arose upon charges filed by an American officer and resident of the City here against Mr. Laurin, a Canadian. It appeared that some charges had been filed prior thereto with the Canadian Kennel Club, and had been dismissed by the Canadian Kennel Club. The complainant filed the charges with the American Kennel Club, and the Trial Board was placed in the position of either having to entertain the charges and possibly coming to a decision different from that which the Canadian Kennel Club had arrived at in spite of the reciprocity agreement, or agreeing with the decision of the Canadian Kennel Club. The Trial Board held that it should not take jurisdiction
in such a case, but under the agreement a man might be regarded as innocent in one of the countries within the terms of the agreement, and as guilty and disqualified over here, which would be an anomaly. We should like by motion and action of this board of delegates some guidance as to what rule is to be adopted in such a case.

MR. BARNARD: I would like to ask whether a Canadian coming to a New York show, if there was a charge of misconduct brought against him, would that have to be brought before the Canadian Kennel Club?

THE CHAIRMAN: That would be a matter for the show-giving club to deal with. Of course, you would have jurisdiction of the man who would be here and showing at the time the wrong was committed. This case is one where the wrong was alleged to have been committed in Canada in the sale of a dog.

THIS SECRETARY: The rules provide that any misconduct at any show held under American Kennel Club rules must first be acted upon by the show-giving club at which the misconduct occurred, and it cannot come before the American Kennel Club until that club has acted.

DR. DE MUND: Do I understand the Secretary to say that any charges of misconduct must be preferred first to the club that is holding the show before they can come
state that the members of the San Francisco Trial Board have resigned, and after some correspondence I received telegraphic communication yesterday and today, in which they have suggested the names of three men who are very well known, men of affairs and men of social standing who are willing, although much against their desires, to serve the Kennel Club if the club desires to elect them on that board. They are Mr. C. W. Conlisck, N. T. Messer and O. F. Vedder. I do not know Mr. Conlisck, but I know the others very well, and I think it would be to the advantage of the American Kennel Club, so long as they are willing to serve us, to accept their services. Further than that I have persuaded Mr. Vedder to accept the appointment as permanent western agent for the American Kennel Club. I think most of the delegates here know Mr. Vedder. He was the Secretary of the French Bulldog Club for a number of years, and he was a delegate here with you for a number of years.

MR. WOOD: May I ask if Mr. Vedder, who has been our temporary agent out there, recommends these other men.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, very highly. I should also state in that connection that in these wires, both from Mr. Vedder and from Mr. Stettheimer, it is stated that these two gentlemen were persuaded to accept positions
on that Board provided that Mr. Vedder should tie the third man.

MR. COLLINS: In the case of Mr. Vedder we know he is familiar with dog matters, but I would like to ask if the other two gentlemen are sufficiently acquainted with dog matters to act intelligently.

THE SECRETARY: I should say so. Mr. Conlisck was also on the Board. It was moved and seconded that the Secretary's action be confirmed, and that these gentlemen be duly appointed the Trial Board on the Pacific Coast.

Carried.

It was also moved and seconded that Mr. 0. P. Vedder be appointed western agent of the American Kennel Club at San Francisco, with the usual jurisdiction.

Carried.

DR. DE MUND: I move that the matter of the compensation of the western agent be referred to the Finance Committee with power.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: If I remember right, Mr. Norman had to step out as an active member of the Pacific Advisory Committee because he was a paid employee.

DR. DE MUND: There is no longer any Pacific
Advisory Committee.

THE SECRETARY: The duty is entirely dissimilar. I would like to state that the duties of the western agent are simply to approve of classifications and to transmit such communications as come to him from the Coast to this office. The Pacific Advisory Committee were entirely different. They were actually the American Kennel Club on the Coast, and anything they did was binding unless there was an appeal from their decision within thirty days.

THE SECRETARY: I received the following communication from Mr. Stettheimer dated July 29th, 1914:

"When the Advisory Committee resigned a year and a half ago it did so because it was convinced its utility was ended and that a different form of government was necessary if the development of the Coast was to be progressive. The action of the delegates in appointing a Trial Board and an agent to replace the old committee was, in my opinion, a step backwards and subsequent results have borne out that I was correct in my contentions. It is a matter of comparative ease for the American Kennel Club to develop a territory directly adjacent to its headquarters, and for that reason the States of New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Massachusetts are a source of prosperity to the AKC because you have done excellent work in
creating and developing interests. The balance of the country is practically undeveloped. The AKC is especially weak in the Middle West, and the entire south is Virgin country, excepting when Dr. Clayton passes through occasionally and gives a few shows of his own. When I went on the Advisory Committee in 1905 there were three or four clubs on the entire coast. When we resigned in 1913 there were something like twenty-five. They are getting less and I fear they will not increase unless more strenuous efforts are made on your part. Without knowing the conditions of the Middle West and the South, it is safe to say that unless you have more active sub-committees in different parts of the United States you will not get the desired results.

THE SECRETARY: I now bring to your attention the proposed amendments to the Rules:

"We, the undersigned delegates to the American Kennel Club, urged by many breeders and exhibitors, request that the following be added: A paragraph to the Rules governing shows: 'No dog born after January 1, 1915 whose ears have been cropped shall be eligible for competition at shows held under these rules.' We further request that this be considered as a motion presented by us, and that it be brought before your Committee at the
next meeting. We are desirous that the greatest publicity possible within the province of your Committee be given to this motion. To this end we trust your Committee will see its way to having the motion together with this motion published in the next current issue of the American Kennel Gazette".

Theodore Offerman,
John G. Bates,
Theodore Crane

I received a similar one on June 5th addressed to Mr. A. G. Hooley, Chairman of the Rules Committee. I received still another proposed amendment to the Rules on May 25th. These proposed amendments were duly published in the Gazette and referred to the Rules Committee. The Rules Committee held a meeting on August 11th and their report was also published in the Gazette. We now have an amendment to the amendment which was published:

"August 15.
American Kennel Club.
To the Rules Committee:

Gentlemen:

I desire to offer the following amendment to our Rules regarding dogs on exhibition at various shows:

"No dog born on or after January 1, 1915 shall be eligible for competition whose tail has been cut, coat plucked, ears cropped or in any way mutilated or changed from his form at birth."
MR. WOOD: In order to save a lot of time, if the delegates want to save it, I move that the question of cutting dogs ears, tails and various other things referred to, be laid on the table.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the following proposed amendment:

“The American bred class shall be for all dogs bred in the United States of America”.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: There are not more than two or three breeds which have actual competition in the champions. We show very few dogs for that very same reason in the American bred class. If it was open to all we would have a much larger number in the classes.

DR. DE MUND: I do not think that the Rules Committee gave that amendment proper attention. I find that in shows I have been connected with that the American bred classes never fill. On inquiry from exhibitors as to why that is so, I receive the answer: My dog is a champion; I cannot compete in that class. Another thing, a great many of the shows are trying to make the American bred class a money class, offering money in that class.
They cannot do it very well because champions are barred from the class, and it is a class that does not fill. As for encouraging the novice, I cannot see how it does that. They have not taken advantage of it. I simply state that at the request of a great many exhibitors.

MR. HARBY: In the Pekingese there is the keenest competition to get leading dogs that are American bred. American bred classes in Pekingese are fully patronized. The complaint is always made among exhibitors that they have not a leading dog to compete with, but they must compete with dogs who have not won other honors. They desire to compete with champions, and they do not want to compete with dogs which have not won their honors. I have talked with a great many of them. By reason of the perfection of that breed elsewhere than here, competition in the American bred class has become particularly fierce. Just as soon as a dog becomes a champion American bred, everybody desires to compete with that particular dog for honors at the next show. As the Rules now stand the best American bred among Pekingese may not be the best American bred dog at all, because he has not competed with last year’s champion. My opinion is that all people who raise and exhibit dogs solely for sport desire to be thrown into competition with the best dogs.
instead of the worst dogs, and they actually resent it when the best dogs are not entered. I have heard them ask why they are not entered. I know of a prominent exhibitor who was remonstrated with last year because the best dogs owned by that exhibitor were kept from competition for the expectant purpose of encouraging other dogs to be shown. It had the effect of discouraging it. I do not believe that the Rules Committee really understand, as we who have been secretaries of these specialty clubs understand, that there is an earnest desire among people who really go into this thing for sport to have real competition, and they can only get this competition by opening the American bred class to all American bred without any exception whatever, and for that reason I am going to vote in favor of this motion.

MR. HOOLEY: I want to say that the Rules Committee worked very hard on this matter. As to dogs shown in the American bred class not having a chance to compete, I cannot agree with Mr. Harby about that. Personally I have a few dogs, possibly some seven or eight champions, and as an exhibitor have been fairly successful. If I should show my champions in the American bred class as in the open class, it looks like a good deal like sewing up the American bred class. The dog who receives the
open winners or limit first, and then comes into the winners and wins, certainly beats the American bred dog, whether there is one or a dozen of that class, and I still feel and believe that you encourage the novice, and the win in the American bred, by leaving that class -- that is, by taking another class away from him, which you do the moment you put these champion dogs into that class. If a man knows that John Smith or Brown has the best Pekingese, if they were shown in the open class, well and good. If they go back into the American bred class I doubt whether he will get the competition he desires. People know these dogs all down the line. They know the winning dogs. I do not believe that the American bred class should be thrown open, and the committee does not feel that way, and I assure you that the committee gave it a great deal of attention.

MR. KEYES: I believe that the American bred class should not be open to champions. I think that if any Pekingese is entered at any show for the best American bred dog, I do not see why that Pekingese is not eligible for it, but, as I said, it does not have to be in the American bred class necessarily. I think the American bred class should be kept just as it is.

MR. RITCHIE: I want to voice the same sentiment
as an exhibitor. I have been fortunate in establishing a few champions through the records of the American Kennel Club, and only in one case have I ever shown a champion in general competition where I thought someone else had a chance to come in, and that was through some misunderstanding; but I think the American bred class will grow from the novice. The open class is provided for all kinds of competition, and a dog can appear in the open class and prove whether he is best by reaching that class. I am certainly in favor of keeping champions out of the American bred class as long as you have the open class.

MR. WOOD: The whole question is whether you want to make more classes for champions or more classes for dogs that are coming up the line. If the champions haven't got enough classes to compete in, let us give them another class. The question being put to a rising vote, the proposed amendment was lost.

THE SECRETARY: At the last meeting there was a resolution offered to adopt a uniform entry form for all shows held under American Kennel Club Rules. This matter was referred to three committees, first, to the License Committee, then to the Rules Committee, and after that to the Publication Committee. Here is the combined report.
“Report of the Publication Committee, September 10, 1914:

In the matter of a uniform form for entries at dog shows to be used at all shows held under American Kennel Club Rules, the Publication Committee recommends that such a form be adopted and be similar to the one now in general use, with the following change:

To insert in said form 'I hereby declare that to the best of my belief there is no case of distemper nor has there been one in my kennels for the period of six weeks previous to this date'.

The Committee further recommends that the spacing in the entry blanks be made considerably wider.

R. H. Keasby,
Chairman, Publication Committee.

A. Clinton Wilmerding,
Chairman, License Committee.

A. G. Hooley,
Chairman, Rules Committee.”

MR. WILMERDING: I move its adoption.

MR. MUSS-ARNOLT: It is possible that a man’s dog may be kept with some professional handler, and in case he signs a blank like that, that there is no distemper in his kennels, there may be distemper in the handler’s
kennels, and you may not accomplish the result after all.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: You mean provided the owner makes the entry himself.
Do you propose an amendment that the Committee entertain the suggestion?
MR. BARNARD: I would suggest that the Committee revise it, so that it specifies
that the kennel from which the dogs come to the show has been free from distemper for
a specified time.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a communication from the Pekingese Club of America,
addressed to Dr. S. F. Goldwater, 14Q Centre Street, which they desire me to read:

September 9th, 1914.

Dr. S. F. Goldwater,
149 Centre Street,
New York City.

Dear Sir:

At a meeting of the Board of Governors of the Pekingese Club of America,
held September 9th, at #22 East 47th Street, New York City, there was a discussion
regarding the Section known as #80A of the Sanitary Code of the City of New York.
This section #80A was added to the Sanitary Code under the general provision allowing
the Board of Health
to provide for the security of life and health in the City of New York.

It is the feeling of the owners of well kept dogs in New York that this section is unnecessarily drastic. The Board of Governors of the Pekingese Club of America, representing a membership of about two hundred, feels that this section bears specially severely on the owners of Pekingese dogs, inasmuch as it is impossible to muzzle the Pekingese, owing to the conformation of his skull and the prominence of his eyes.

We therefore feel that the security of life and health in the City of New York would be sufficiently guarded by a Section of the Sanitary Code that should provide that no dogs be allowed, in the streets or public places of New York unless they be muzzled OR HELD ON A LEASH. This would, it seems to us, fairly provide for the proper control of all dogs while in New York, which we members of the Board of Governors of the Pekingese Club of America agree is certainly necessary. It would, however, give the owners a choice as to whether their dogs should be muzzled or leashed, and would to the owners of all the various classes and breeds of dogs.

The Pekingese Club of America desires to aid the good work of the Board of Health in every way that may be
possible, and will heartily support any regulations made by the Board of Health which provide for either the muzzling or leashing of all dogs while in the streets of the City of New York.

Trusting that your Board may amend Section #80A to substantially conform to the desires expressed above, we are

Very sincerely yours,

The Board of Governors of the Pekingese Club of America.

K. R. Hunter,
Secretary.

MR. HARBY: I came here particularly with the purpose of asking the American Kennel Club to adopt such a resolution as is requested there. I have had some correspondence with the Board of Health on the subject, and I received a letter from Dr. Goldwater telling me that in their opinion muzzling was quite necessary, because everybody in New York was suffering more or less from hydrophobia, and some time ago in England they had such a resolution, and he ascribes the absence of hydrophobia there to that resolution, and Dr. Goldwater is a man who does not wish to change anything he has once done. The amendment I would ask that the American Kennel Club suggest to the Board
of Health to be adopted is simply that this section 80A be so amended as to provide that a dog may be taken on the public highway or in the park if securely leashed and attended, and in connection with that resolution they be informed just who the American Kennel Club is. They do not and never will know, in other words, that it is a representative organization, representing all the dog clubs of America.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: I understand that you are presenting a resolution different from that which has just been read by the Secretary.

MR. HARBY: Practically the same resolution. They asked me to present it personally, and if it was seconded, to state rather more in detail why it is important that it should be adopted.

DR. DE MUND: I would like to state for information that a few of us representing various specialty clubs called on Dr. Goldwater the other day. Dr. Goldwater informed us that dogs on leash are more harmful than dogs that are running around loose; that they were the ones that did the most biting, and that he is very much opposed to any change, and proposed to put it in force. Furthermore, I have positive information that there is a bill to be introduced at the coming session of the Legislature pro-
hibiting the licensing and keeping of dogs in Greater New York, and if the Board of Health asks for the passage of that bill, it will go through unless it is opposed, and I move that a Committee of five be elected from among the delegates of the American Kennel Club with an appropriation not to exceed three thousand dollars, to fight the legality of the proposed ordinance of the Board of Health and also any proposed adverse legislation.

Motion seconded.

MR. RITCHIE: This is more serious than I think many of you realize. I did not at first take the matter seriously. I had the pleasure of attending several of the specialty club meetings during the last week discussing this subject, and I always believe in pouring oil on the waters and not getting agitated until you learn the attitude of the opposition, but several of the clubs are requested to appoint representatives to form a committee to see the Board of Health in this matter and see what their attitude is first, and if there should be any power of reasoning by the Board of Health it would not be necessary to go any further. The Board of Health's power is unlimited. They are authorized by the Legislature to pass any resolutions they desire to, and they become effective the moment that they decide upon them without hearings or any question
before any other authorities.

Mr. Ritchie then detailed a somewhat lengthy interview he had with Dr. Goldwater on this subject, from which interview it appeared that it was the intention of the authorities to seek legislation inimical to dog interests.

MR. COLLINS: In sustaining the Doctor’s motion, I want to say that I was one of the gentlemen who called on Dr. Goldwater, and there is no question but that he is absolutely firm in his desire to exclude dogs from New York City absolutely. It would be hardly right or fair for any one individual or fancier to take this matter to court, but after conversing with people on the subject, among whom were some lawyers, it seems it would be quite easy to beat the Board of Health in a court decision, because the action which they have taken was based on the idea of protecting health, and I think it would be quite easy to prepare statistics to prove the contrary, and therefore I think that if this matter was carried to court their contention would not be sustained. Furthermore, if the Doctor and the Board of Health are let alone in this case, as Dr. De Mund says, the chances are that it is quite possible he will go to the Legislature in January and attempt to have passed an Act excluding dogs from New York City entirely. If he
does that it means the death knell to many clubs which exist in this city. It would include the Westminster Kennel Club, the Long Island Kennel Club, the Bulldog Club, the Pekingese Club, the Toy Spaniel Club, the Pomeranian Club and various other clubs, in fact, all the specialty clubs of any character that exist in New York City that hold shows in this city. To fight any such legislation as that it would be necessary to employ counsel, so that the matter could be watched at Albany, and just as soon as a bill is prepared or offered, hearings could be had from the committees, and the matter fought at once. If we could not beat it entirely, we might have such a law or proposed law amended to such an extent that it would not materially affect the dog fanciers' interests. Therefore I think this action is absolutely necessary at this time, and we cannot start too soon, because today the ordinance goes into effect.

MR. WOOD: A year or two ago this question of whether the American Kennel Club had the right, or whether it was proper for it to spend its money in defense or offence on local issues was talked over. It seems to me quite an important question. The money of the American Kennel Club is gathered from the whole United States, and while the New York clubs are undoubtedly valued members of the American Kennel Club, it seems to me that this matter
ought not to be gone into too hastily because there is some question as to whether the money of the American Kennel Club should be spent for the purpose of an issue which is entirely local. I am not saying it should not be done, but I would like to have the members talk it over a little bit. A lot of western cities which think they are pretty near as big as New York, might object to the American Kennel Club spending money for what is at the present time a purely local issue.

MR. OFFERMAN: I do not think the Committee realizes how serious this thing is. As New York goes, probably the rest of the country will go. It was so in racing, and it will probably be the same way with dog matters.

MR. RITCHIE: The American Kennel Club gets this money from the dog fancier, and the fight has started here, and I believe it is the duty of the American Kennel Club or any other organization that appeals to dog people, which get their support and money, to protect New York State to protect any other State that gets into the same trouble.

DR. DE MUND: I do not think this is a local issue entirely. It is a National issue. The bill proposes to prohibit the expressing of dogs. The idea is to keep dogs out of Greater New York. The New York shows are not
made up entirely from local dogs; they come from all over the country.

MR. TEELING: I understand Mr. Ritchie to state that the best manner of procedure would be to go before the Board of Health first and see if we could not argue them out of putting this measure before the Legislature. If that is the fact, why, I suppose, the Doctor intends that there should be an attorney on that committee that he asks to be appointed. In order to do that work I do not know that they would need any funds.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: The only question is, if the end could not be accomplished in that way, and legislation be threatened in January, there would be a meeting in December, too late possibly to be of service.

MR. RITCHIE: The committee can go to the Mayor and ask him if the police force, which is the power they have to call on until the machinery is formed, is going to enforce this rule in that way.

DR. DE MUND: My motion was that the committee be elected to take up this question of the muzzling law, and further the question of further proposed adverse legislation. I did not mean that we should spend three thousand dollars right away. It may be necessary to go to court to fight this muzzling law. Dr. Goldwater has given his
ultimatum, and several very good attorneys have given their opinion that the proposed legislation is not constitutional as it stands. My idea is that the committee might be able to work with the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals. The American Kennel Club should take the first action, I think.

MR. WOOD: I think the line ought to be pretty clearly drawn. I do not think the delegates think they ought to pay any amount of money now on hand in connection with the muzzling of dogs in New York City. If the broader matter is coming up, that dogs are not to be allowed in New York at all, that is a much more important matter.

DR. DE MUND: Mr. Wood does not seem to understand that it is not a question of muzzling dogs in New York City. It is the muzzling of dogs in public places. They can go into any public place at all. It is not a question of dogs on the streets.

MR. TEELING: I think, as Mr. Wood says, that the muzzling of dogs in New York City is purely and solely local, and we have that law in about all cities at different times. We have had it in Boston and they have had it in various other cities, and New York is having it now. What I thought was really before the meeting was that they were going to rule dogs, through future legislation, out of the Mr. Wood does not seem to under-
City of New York. In that case it becomes a national affair, but I should not think the matter of muzzling dogs in the City of New York was a national affair.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: Excepting if the law is to apply at a Bench Show instead of in the street.

MR. TEELING: My first thought was that it would not apply to a New York dog show any more than in a man's own house. The door is not open in a dog show, and the dogs are in a place which they cannot get away from.

MR. COLLINS: I take exception to the statement of Mr. Teeling in that respect. The regulation provides that dogs should be muzzled in public places, and we all know that a dog show is a public place, because admission is charged to the public. Furthermore, it does affect out of town people in the matter of bringing dogs to dog shows. If Mr. Teeling should come down from Boston and get off the train at the 42nd Street station and attempt to walk in the street with one of his Boston terriers unmuzzled, he would be subject to arrest and the dog taken to the pound. In respect to the appointment of a committee, my understanding is that if such a committee were appointed, they would of course attempt to handle this affair without any court proceedings or without any fight. The chances are that they would call upon the Mayor to see if they could
not get his influence with his appointees, namely, the Police Commissioner and the
Commissioner of Health, and the chances are they would also call upon the Governor
for his influence with the officer of the Port of New York. They certainly would, I
should imagine, try to accomplish this matter in the easiest way and without going to
court. Furthermore, there is a number of officers, members of various dog clubs in
New York City, who might also be able to assist, even though they were not members
of the committee; but if it comes to a showdown and one of our members was
arrested, it seems to me it would be to the interest of every dog fancier in the United
States to have that ordinance declared unconstitutional.

MR. HOOLEY: The only question in my mind is as to voting for three
thousand dollars or five thousand dollars for that purpose. It is just possible that as
soon as New York starts in this thing that twenty or thirty of the cities will do the same
thing. Are we going to appropriate two thousand dollars for each city? We cannot do
it. We have not got it. I understand from Dr. De Mund and Mr. Harby and other
gentlemen here that they called on Dr. Goldwater, and I was wondering why some of
them did not call upon the Mayor. While we know they are very independent, I think
they will listen very much to what the
Mayor says and what he thinks, and any influence brought to bear on the Mayor would very quickly be brought to bear on the two Commissioners appointed by him. To do that would not take one thousand dollars or three thousand dollars or any amount of money. It would seem to me wiser to have a committee without the three thousand dollar appropriation, and let that committee proceed to see the Mayor or anybody whom they think best. I question the right of the American Kennel Club to appropriate money for use in a local matter. I cannot see my way to appropriate money here, and refusing it to some other city. It seems to me that the money appropriation ought to be considered very seriously. I am fully in accord with the Doctor and with the other gentlemen in regard to the fact that a committee be appointed and something be done in regard to this matter.

MR. BOGER: I think the correct way of raising a fund for defense in this case would be among the different clubs in New York State. They should all get together, have a general meeting, the same as a neighborhood protests against some kind of a property which was prejudicial to the health of the community. The clubs that are here, any of them at all, specialty clubs, show-giving clubs, should get together and provide the money for defense. I am a member of a specialty club that meets here. I am heartily
in favor of voting a certain amount of money, but you cannot start by giving money for a certain locality. This was all thrashed out two years ago, and we came then to the conclusion that it could not be done. Now, the only logical method is for the clubs to get together and vote the money.

MR. HOOLEY: It would seem to me that the Westminster Kennel Club would be the worst sufferer if this law goes into effect. That is a very wealthy club. The amount of money made by their show I imagine is very large. There are other specialty clubs here whose members are men of wealth. It seems to me that the Westminster Kennel Club starting a subscription would get a large subscription in a few days.

DR. DE MUND: The American Kennel Club is supported by exhibitors and dog fanciers throughout the country. The money it has in its treasury comes from them, but when they come and ask for some relief the reply is, we should not spend the money. What is the use of having the money? They have to pay for it in the beginning, and it seems to me that the American Kennel Club is the proper one to start it. The various specialty clubs have held meetings. They have appointed delegates. This matter has been going on for three months and nothing has been done by the American Kennel Club. The weaker specialty clubs have
taken what action they could in the matter. We have called on Dr. Goldwater, the Commissioner. We propose to call on the Mayor. I am speaking of the committee that was appointed by various clubs, and it seems to me the American Kennel Club should take the lead in this matter and should give some of its money toward it if necessary. I do not mean to say that they should spend the money right offhand. It may not be necessary to spend a cent. I know that the Long Island Kennel Club, at a meeting, expressed its willingness to give according to its means, but I think the committee could accomplish nothing without some money back of it.

MR. COLLINS: In reference to the three thousand dollars, Mr. Hooley speaks of it as a fixed figure. It might be three hundred dollars, it might be thirty dollars; it might not be anything, but whenever you want a committee that is going to do something you must give them tools to work with; and you cannot limit them to two or three hundred dollars. The chances are that no such amount as three thousand dollars will be spent. I do not agree with Mr. Hooley and Mr. Eoger when they say that the American Kennel Club should not spend its money in protecting its members in any locality. I say that the American Kennel Club should protect its members in every locality, be they
where they may. Probably in small localities where people are poor it would be more their duty to protect them with their money, which is the strong instrument for helping them. Mr. Hooley says that the Westminster Kennel Club will be the greatest sufferer. I don’t know how much it will suffer, but I can tell you right here now that if this proposed legislation becomes a law, it will kill the Long Island Kennel Club. If the American Kennel Club or the members from towns outside of New York City take this stand, I do not know what the result will be.

MR. HOOLEY: There are 170 members of the Long Island Kennel Club. I have had the pleasure of knowing a large number of them. Mr. Collins has suggested that only three hundred dollars will have to be used. One dollar apiece contributed by the Long Island Kennel Club and a little money from the Westminster Kennel Club would do the legal work. The question in my mind is if Chicago or San Francisco asked for this money, would we grant it. If San Francisco asked for one thousand dollars, I do not believe this body would give them the money. This money can be raised by the specialty clubs in New York. The effort has not been made, but any effort made to raise this money would be, I am sure, a wonderful success. I think the money should come in that way. I am not in any way antagonizing
the gentlemen who believe in this. I think the money should be raised by the local clubs by subscription.

MR. KEYES: I am not in favor of the American Kennel Club appropriating any money for this purpose at this time. I think if this matter comes before the Legislature that then is the time to appeal to the American Kennel Club. I am sure that if any muzzling ordinance or anything of that nature came up in Massachusetts, I do not think the members of our clubs would ask for a dollar. I think they would take care of it themselves.

MR. RITCHIE: The American Kennel Club has received a good many dollars from the dog fanciers. You register your dogs. You do not look to anybody else for protection but the American Kennel Club. The point now is in this situation not to wait until the dog law has passed the Legislature, because it is going to the Legislature. It is for the American Kennel Club to take action. It always seemed to be the question of a dollar, but you want us to pay to the club for the dogs just the same. What is the good of the American Kennel Club if it is not going to give the fancier some support? Why should the specialty clubs get together and pay their money for shows if the American Kennel Club is not going to help them? It is for the American Kennel Club to take the first step, even if it is
only for ten dollars. The question is not now of going to the Mayor. You have not got another meeting to protect us. This is the meeting to act.

DR. DE MUND: Mr. Hooley has referred to the Long Island Kennel Club. I would say that we had a meeting the other night on this very question, and a large meeting, and it was said there that they would stand by the American Kennel Club and cooperate with them to the extent of their treasury, to help fight this law, but it was the unanimous sentiment of everybody there that the American Kennel Club first must come in and appropriate something and take some action in the matter.

MR. OFFERMAN: The American Kennel Club has a surplus, and I do not see where they could use the money better than in a situation of this kind. We only ask for three thousand dollars. I believe that is the maximum sum. That three thousand dollars in this case would go a great ways.

DR. DE MUND: Instead of making it the fixed sum of three thousand dollars, I would suggest that the American Kennel Club start a subscription with a certain amount of money. That would absolutely take it out of the American Kennel Club, but a smaller amount, and then let the other clubs fall in line with a subscription.
CHAIRMAN LEDERER: It might not cost that much.

DR. DE MUND: Under my resolution the money is in the American Kennel Club and the committee would have to show how the money was used.

MR. WOOD: I still want to know what the machinery of the American Kennel Club is for appropriating money.

DR. DE MUND: The delegates can do it.

MR. WOOD: I would like to know if the delegates passed the appropriation whether the Treasurer can pay out that money simply on the passage of that by the Board of Delegates. Suppose we so vote to appropriate it, and the Treasurer says no, that appropriation has to be first passed on by the Finance Committee and then by the Board of Directors.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: That is not a matter for the delegates to deal with.

MR. WOOD: What is the use of appropriating money if you cannot get it.

DR. DE MUND: The delegates are the American Kennel Club and they are supreme when it comes to a final vote.

The question being put, a rising vote resulted in eleven ayes and eight noes.
MR. WOOD: I raise the question of no quorum and the vote does not stand.

CHAIRMAN LEDERER: I rule that there is a quorum present. The Chair rules that the motion is passed. Will the mover signify how he intended this committee to be appointed?

DR. DE MUND: By election among the delegates.

MR. WOOD: I would like to nominate Dr. De Mund as the Chairman of that committee.

Nomination seconded.

Messrs. Collins, Offerman, Lederer and Ritchie were also nominated to compose said committee.

MR. WOOD: I move the Secretary be instructed to cast a ballot for the election of these five delegates as members of the committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary stated he had cast the ballot for the gentlemen named as such committee.

On motion, the appeal filed by Mr. A. M. Lederer from the decision of a protest of the Southampton Kennel Club was referred to the Rules Committee.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
A roll call of the Board of Directors showed that on account of the absence of a number of the Directors present to adjourn to meet on the third of Tuesday in December unless called together before that time by the Chairman.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY, DECEMBER 15TH, 1914.

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

PRESENT

Associate Delegate, A. G. Hooley.
Airedale Terrier Club of America, William L. Barclay
American Foxhound Club, Walter W. Stokes
American Fox Terrier Club, H. H. Hunnewell.
American Pomeranian Club, Theodore Offerman.
American Sealyham Terrier Club, Henry T. Fleitmann.
American Spaniel Club, H. K. Bloodgood.
Bulldog Club of America, Edwin L. Boger.
Colorado Kennel Club, Allison M. Lederer.
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart.
Irish Terrier Club of America, John G. Bates.
Ladies' Kennel Association of America, James Mortimer
Long Island Kennel Club, John F. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club, E. H. Berendsohn
Manchester Terrier Club, William P. Wolcott
Newark Kennel Club,  
John L. Whealan  
New Jersey Beagle Club,  
Geo. B. Hooley  
New London Kennel Club,  
J. Macy Willets  
Old English Sheepdog Club of America,  
Tyler Morse  
Pacific French Bull Dog Club  
Thomas M. Turner  
Philadelphia Bulldog Club  
Joseph A. Buchanan  
Pointer Club of America  
J. C. Weller  
Revere Kennel Club  
Samuel R. Cutler  
Russian Wolfhound Club  
Dr J. E. DeMund  
Santa Cruz County Kennel Club  
A. C. Wilmerding  
Spaniel Breeders' Society  
Rowland P. Keasbey  
St. Louis Collie Club  
Lloyd W. Smith  
Toy Spaniel Club of America  
Daniel P. Ritchie  
Western French Bulldog Club  
J. N. Champion  
Westminster Kennel Club  
Wm. Rauch  
Wisconsin Kennel Club  
C. W. Keyes  

On motion representatives of the Press were admitted to the meeting.  
The Southhampton Kennel Club and Brussels Griffon Club of America were duly 
elected to membership, Messrs. Keyes and Wolcott acting as tellers.  
The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named 
clubs:
Collie Club of America  Patrick Tully
New Jersey Beagle Club,  George B. Hooley
Western Fox Terriers’ Breeders Association,  Raymond Belmont

The credentials of Mr. Charles H. Wood to represent the Newfoundland Club of America were presented. A ballot being taken he failed of election as Delegate.

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his quarterly report. It follows:
To the Delegates of The American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that three applications for Active Membership have been received since our last meeting, and eight Credentials from Clubs appointing Delegates to represent then in the American Kennel Club. These papers have been presented to the Membership Committee for its action.

The Del Monte Kennel Club has presented its resignation as an Active Member. This Club is in good standing up to January 1st, next, and I recommend the acceptance of its resignation.

A communication from the Chicago Trial Board recommends the appointment of Mr. W. F. Grower in place of Mr. William C. Thorne. Mr. Thorne has been ill for more than a year, and he will not be able to return to business for another year to come. There are several oases pending before this Board, and the Members desire a full Board before taking them up.

The National Fox Hunters' Association has made application to amend the Rules to enable the winner of "all age stake, open to all" at its annual trials to be recog-
nized by the American Kennel Club as a Field Trial Champion. This matter has been referred to the Field Trial Committee to report its recommendation.

I have a report from the Stud Book Committee recommending that after January 1, 1916, the Stud Book be closed to American-bred dogs whose sire and dam have not been previously registered.

I take great pleasure in reporting the gift of twenty-two books for our library from Mr. J. W. Patten of Devon, Pa.; also a framed picture from Mr. Morris C. Menges, Sheepshead Bay. I sent personal thanks for these donations pending authorization to send official thanks from, the American Kennel Club.

At a meeting of the Membership Committee held December 14th, 1914, said Committee recommended as a Nominating Committee for Directors in place of the expiring class of 1915 the following:

William Rauch
A. G. Hooley
R. C. Stewart
John G. Bates
R. P. Keasbey

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh.
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, as follows:
REPORT OF STUD BOOK COMMITTEE.
October 30, 1914.

The Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

In the matter of the proposed change in the Rules Governing Registration, the Stud Book Committee begs leave to report as follows:

We would recommend that for the present, no change be made in the Rules for registration of imported dogs.

We would recommend that after January 1, 1916, no American Bred Dogs where parents are not registered, be accepted for registration, except that the regulation permitting dogs to be registered by virtue of winnings be not changed.

In the event of this change receiving the approval of the Delegates, we would advise that notice of the proposed change be printed in the Gazette of December 31st, and sent to the Kennel Press in order that breeders throughout the country may have opportunity to register their breeding stock during the year of 1915.

WILLIAM L. BARCLAY.

THEODORE OFFERMAN
MR. COLLINS: I would like to move to amend that report by adding that that notice be printed in the Gazette in each issue.

THE SECRETARY: I would do that anyway, and we also send printed slips with every registration form.

MR. LEDERER: Is it to be understood that under that provision of the report reading that no American bred dogs where parents are not registered, may be read that the dog may not be registered on his wins just as a registered dog without pedigree?

THE SECRETARY: There would be no change in that. It does not affect that regulation at all. Mr. Barclay, did your committee intend to change the condition of registering a dog by virtue of its winnings?

MR. BARCLAY: We did not take that up at the meeting. A dog can still be registered.

MR. LEDERER: I would offer as an amendment "excepting that nothing herein contained shall affect the right to register a dog on his wins irrespective of pedigree."

Amendment accepted.

Amendment seconded and carried.

The report of the Stud Book Committee as amended was then adopted.

The report of the Committee to seek a modification of the Dog Muzzling Ordinance was read. It follows:
The following is a report of the Committee elected by the delegates at the quarterly meeting of 15th September 1914.

Shortly after the quarterly meeting, the Committee met and organized itself. It was decided to call on the Honorable Health Commissioner and ascertain in conference just what was the purpose of the Health Department in enacting the ordinance known as Section 80A of the Sanitary Code and also whether there was any possibility of obtaining a modification thereof by concession on the part of the Department. The modification intended to be sought would simply change the sweeping requirement that all dogs on the streets and or public parks or public places be muzzled, whether in proper control or at large, to one leaving the option with the owner to keep his dog on leash or muzzle the dog. An appointment was accordingly made, and the entire committee conferred with the Honorable Commissioner. While the Commissioner expressed himself as firm in his determination to have the ordinance remain upon the statute
books as exacted, he conceded to the Committee that reasonable enforcement thereof should not entail interference there under with dogs at dog shows held in public places; and further that the ordinance should not apply to toy dogs carried by their owners or in conveyances. The Commissioner further indicated his willingness to receive the Committee on future occasions and to go into the whole subject, of the progress that was being made toward the end sought by the Department, stamping out or minimizing rabies. The Committee respectfully called the consideration of the Commissioner to the fact that the great majority of dog-bites, and therefore the principal source of possible danger of rabies, lies with the vagrant and unowned or uncared for dogs in the street. This view the Commissioner expressed himself as sharing with the Committee, and he further admitted that the legislation complained of could not possibly reach the vagrant dog. He went further and stated that this entire field came within the jurisdiction of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals and, under existing laws, the Health Department was not directly empowered to take up vagrant dogs. The conference concluded with an appointment for a further meeting at a date to be thereafter designated, on which the Commissioner stated he would be
able to present statistics of his Department upon recorded cases of dog-bites, with special reference to whether they were inflicted by privately owned or by vagrant dogs and whether the dog doing the biting was on leash or not.

The Committee stated frankly that it was its purpose, in the absence of direct assurance or encouragement from the Commissioner, to take such other steps as might seem proper to obtain the aforesaid desired modification.

Your Committee then called in a body at the office of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals and went into the question of control of the vagrant dogs with the general manager of the Society, Mr. W. K. Horton. Upon the suggestion of Mr. Horton, a further appointment was made, upon which the Committee attended a conference with Colonel Wagstaff, the President of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals. The Committee is pleased to report that it met a very lively interest in its endeavors on the part of Colonel Wagstaff and the Society. Colonel Wagstaff expressed himself emphatically as condemning the ordinance objected to as inflicting a very real and entirely unnecessary cruelty upon animals. He went further and assured the Committee of the co-operation of this Society in every respect. The Committee stated that it was about
to circularize petitions addressed to the Mayor seeking to enlist his sympathy and active co-operation in obtaining the desired modification of the ordinance. The Society thereupon undertook to circularize your Committee's petitions among its patrons and members at large through its employees and various animal shelters.

The Committee then proceeded to prepare and have printed five thousand copies of the petition with appropriate spaces for signature and address of the signer on each copy.

The Committee issued an invitation to the various local show-giving and specialty clubs, the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the Bide-A-Wee Home for Animals, the Dog-Lovers Protective Association and the Anti-Vivisection League to attend an open meeting and discuss the ways and means of circularizing the petitions. Such a meeting was held and attended by a considerable number of representatives of such invited organizations, who all expressed lively interest in the work in hand and agreed to assist in obtaining signatures to the petitions.

The work of circularizing the petitions was then undertaken and copies were posted to all available sources that promised to be fruitful of signatures. In the meantime the Committee busied itself in securing statistics and data in the form of government pamphlets and otherwise, upon the
subject of rabies in the human and its prevalence. In passing, it may be noted here that, accepting the official statistics of those authorities who endorse the muzzling law, the danger of rabies from a dog-bite is between fifteen and twenty per cent, of the cases of bites by concededly rabid dogs. When it is borne in mind how rare the disease of rabies is among dogs, it will readily be seen how infinitesimal is the danger sought to be guarded against by an ordinance requiring muzzling of every dog in the greater City of New York, upwards of 500,000.

On the 23rd November, 1914, your Committee had another conference, by appointment, with the Honorable Health Commissioner. He stated, at the outset, that he had not changed his position; that the muzzling law had not as yet been enforced long enough for a fair trial. He added, significantly in the opinion of your Committee, that perhaps after the ordinance had been enforced for the period of six months (namely, from the 15th September 1914), if it then appeared that there was no substantial decrease in the record of dog-bites, the ordinance might be annulled or modified. The Commissioner, at the same time, stated that there had been so substantial decrease in the record of dog-bite, according to the statistics of his Department, up to the time of speaking, and that there was no record of any new
considerable capital had been made in certain of the newspapers about this time of the case of a girl named Polhemus alleged to have died of rabies as the result of the bite of a cat suffered about a year previously, and the Commissioner had been quoted as instancing this case in support of his ordinance. At this conference, the Commissioner volunteered the statement that he did not regard the Polhemus case as in point: it was the case of a bite by a cat, and had occurred so long before the development of disease symptoms, and death, as to negative the diagnosis of rabies. About the same time, an article purporting to be contributed by the Commissioner appeared in the "New York American" under the name of Commissioner Goldwater. This article opened with the statement "The dog must go", and was a scathing diatribe against the very presence of a dog in the city. The Commissioner also volunteered to your Committee the statement that the article was not contributed by him, and had appeared without his authorization. The Commissioner finally stated to your Committee that it was necessary to continue the ordinance in force for at least six months in order to establish the fact that rabies cases are traceable directly to the vagrant dogs with which the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals does not appear to be able to cope in a manner satisfactory to the
Health Board. It was suggested by the Committee that if a concession could be obtained that it is the vagrant dog which is the source of danger by biting, it would be unnecessary to continue enforcement of the ordinance, even for the period of six months. The Commissioner expressed himself as being very much interested in the possibility of obtaining such a concession in writing from the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, but would not engage to alter his ordinance; in which case, the Committee naturally declined to seek the concession. The Commissioner then seemed to your Committee to shift his ground somewhat, and stated that the muzzling ordinance really must be affording some measure of protection against dog-bites. He admitted that the statistics of his Department concerning bites by dogs on leash showed that these were cases of dogs biting the their owners, which clearly could not be reached by the muzzling ordinance. He stated that possibly the funds of the Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals available for the purpose of removing vagrant dogs are insufficient, and the muzzling ordinance might tend to establish this fact indirectly. In which event, moneys should be appropriated by the City or license fees raised. In conclusion, the Commissioner stated that if after a continuance in force of the present ordinance for
the period of six months, there was either a decrease of the number of dog-bites to a negligible minimum or continuance of substantially the same number of bites, (tending to prove that the source of danger was the vagrant dog) the Department must admit that the muzzling ordinance is not an effective means of reducing the public danger of human rabies. Your Committee inferred that the Department would then be willing to consider either repeal or modification of the ordinance.

The Committee then determined to present the petitions in hand to the Mayor, if possible, in advance of the present quarterly meeting of the delegates, and to this end, wrote the Mayor asking for an appointment for this purpose at some date before the 15th December. It was also resolved unanimously by the Committee to invite Mr. August Belmont, as President of the American Kennel Club, to make the actual presentation of the petitions to his Honor the Mayor. A letter was received from Mr. Belmont accepting the invitation to present the petitions when an appointment might be made with the Mayor. The reply of his Honor, the Mayor, informed the Committee that he was not able to receive it at present, and stated that upon the information before him, he was disposed to endorse the position of the Department of Health. A further communication was accord-
ingly addressed to the Mayor expressing regret of the impossibility of presenting this matter before the 15th December, as originally intended, and asking for an appointment at his earliest future convenience. The Committee awaits the response of the Mayor to its last communication.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Dated, 15th December, 1914.

J. E. DeMund,
Theodore Offerman
Allison M. Lederer
D. R. Ritchey
John P. Collins
MR. HOOLEY: I move the adoption of the report; also that the thanks of the Delegates be tendered to the committee for the work it has done in this matter, and further that the report be made a part of these proceedings.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the resignation of the Del Monte Kennel Club was accepted. Withdrawn by telegraph day of meeting.

THE SECRETARY: In the absence of the report from the Field Trial Committee on the application of the Foxhunters Association to allow a winning of the All Aged Stake open to all to be privileged to be recorded as Champion, I sent a communication to Mr. Chetwood Smith, who, in reply, sent me some papers and asked me to send him the enclosed with the other correspondence in the matter. I have heard nothing further from him.

On motion the Secretary was directed to send the thanks of the American Kennel Club to Messrs. J. W. Patten and Morris C. Menges for their kindness in donating 22 volumes of the Stud Book and picture.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY,
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 15th, 1914.

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:

Chas. W. Keyes,
E. L. Boger,
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart,
Joseph A. Buchanan,
J. Macy Willets,
H. K. Bloodgood
William Rauch,
Tyler Morse,
Hollis H. Hunnewell,
W. L. Barclay,
Theodore Offerman
Henry T. Fleitman,
A. G. Hooley,
A. Clinton Wilmerding,
Samuel R. Cutler.
On motion the application by representatives of the press to be admitted to the meeting was denied.

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

December 15, 1914.

To the Board of Directors of The American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to report the following matters that have come to hand since our meeting in May last.

At that meeting I was directed to communicate with the Minneapolis Kennel Club on the subject of their failure to send their listings and fees for same within the seven days allowed by the Rules, the reply to which will be presented to you at this meeting.

The disbursements made by the Western Agent to July 11, 1914, were paid by me subject, however, to your approval at this meeting. These disbursements were made by Mr. J. P. Norman up to the time of his resignation as Western Agent. I now have disbursements made by Mr. Vedder, our present Western Agent, from August 14th to October 23rd, amounting to $36.45 with vouchers accompanying same. I would respectfully recommend the payment of this bill.
I will present for our consideration & report of the San Francisco Trial Board at its meeting held November 14, 1914.

I received a recommendation for the adopting of prize ribbons to be awarded at all shows held by the by Clubs either Members of the American Kennel Club or by a License. I will present a sketch of the ribbon recommended for your consideration.

The following Directors filed written excuses for absence at our last meeting: --

A. C. Wilmerding          J. Macy Willets          S. R. Cutler
William Rauch            William L. Barclay        Jos. A. Buchanan
Alfred B. Maclay         J. W. Appleton           Tyler Morse
H. K. Bloodgood          George S. West

The following Directors have filed excuses for absence at this meeting:--

J. W. Appleton          George S. West
Howard Willets           Chas. R. Wood

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Respectfully submitted.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:
To the Board of Directors of The American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the financial report from January 1st, 1914 to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand  -- January 1st, 1914</td>
<td>$20,803.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts to date</td>
<td>24,389.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$45,192.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>23,904.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$21,287.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All bills for Active and Associate Dues, and for Advertisements were mailed on November 30, 1914.

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Respectfully submitted.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the request of the Secretary of the Chicago Trial Board to substitute Mr. W. F. Grower in the place of Mr. William C. Thorn, who is ill and cannot attend to any of the work of the Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there be no objection the request of the Chicago Trial Board will be granted.

THE SECRETARY: The first check that I paid on
August 14th, $11.22, for moneys advanced, was to the retired Western Agent. I thought it was hardly fair to ask him to advance that money pending our December meeting, as he was no longer connected with us, and having no meeting in September on account of the lack of a quorum, I paid that $11.20, and would like to have my action confirmed.

MR. STEWART: I move that the action of the Secretary be approved.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The new Western Agent's disbursements from August 1, to October 23rd, inclusive, were $36.45. In that amount there is included an item for the purchase of a typewriting machine, for which, we have a voucher for $20.00.

On motion the Treasurer was authorized to pay this bill of $36.45.

THE SECRETARY: At San Jose, Cal., there was an unauthorized show held under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, and under the provisions of Rule 2 everybody holding an official position in connection with that show was disqualified. Five of the disqualified persons, seeing the errors of their ways, applied for
reinstatement to the San Francisco Trial Board, and each one paid his fee as required by the rules of $5.00. They recommended the reinstatement of these five people, and that recommendation was confirmed at the last meeting of our Executive Committee.

There are quite a few others who were more interested in the chicken end of the show than in the dog end, but holding official positions were also disqualified. I have here the minutes of the San Francisco Trial Board on that subject which I will read.

MEETING SAN FRANCISCO TRIAL BOARD

At a special meeting of the San Francisco Trial Board, held at the Palace Hotel, San Francisco, November 14th, 1914, the annexed application of Mrs. V. D. Goodrich, J. C. Berret, Mrs. E. S. King, Mrs. Fannie Bonney Snitjer, Joseph T. Brooks and E. R. Everett, all of San Jose, California, asking for reinstatement in the American Kennel Club, was presented for consideration.

After due deliberation it was the unanimous opinion of the members of the Board that the above named persons, who had been disqualified for participating as officials in a dog show held contrary to the rules of the American Kennel Club, should be reinstated to good standing.
in said club, and that the usual fees be waived.

C. W. Conlisk, Chairman,
Nat. T. Messer.
O. F. Vedder.

(At this point Chairman Hunnewell resigned the Chair to Mr. H. K. Bloodgood)

THE SECRETARY: I would like to state in connection with this report of the San Francisco Trial Board that the applications of these persons read as follows: “We hereby apply for reinstatement to the American Kennel Club, and hereby agree in the future to abide by its Rules and Regulations.”
Signed by every one of them. The San Francisco Trial Board, in a letter to me, stated that these people, as I said before, were not particularly interested in the dog end of the show, but were more interested in the chicken
department, but as their names happened to be printed as officials they had to stand the same penalty as the others who ran the dog show end of it. It appears to me that if would be good judgment, if you can look at it in that way, to waive that $5.00 fee and reinstate these people.

MR. BOGER: I move that we accept their report and adopt their resolution, thereby showing that we have full confidence in the Board and will act as they suggest.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The Minneapolis Kennel Club failed to furnish us with listing sheets and listing fees at their show held last Spring until 28 days had elapsed after the limit allowed by the rule, which carried with it a penalty of $28.00. This matter was brought up at the May meeting and I was directed to correspond with these people.

The Secretary read the correspondence on the subject.

MR. WILMERDING: If we should waive that fine, would it establish a precedent here of the American Kennel Club by that action?

THE SECRETARY: I cannot see why the Secretary cannot obey the rules. The rules are given him. If they do not read the rules it is not our fault, and it entailed a lot of correspondence on our part to try to get that money, and
it took it 28 days to do it. They had 7 days to do their booking and send in their report and their money. It is not their money. They collected the money for us, and there is no reason why they should hold that.

MR. BOGER: I move that we remit the fine.

MR. WILMERDING: I move that the fine be not remitted.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have a suggestion from a gentleman in Chicago that instead of having different styles of ribbons at shows, that is, any ribbons for prizes at American Kennel Club shows, there should be a ribbon adopted by the American Kennel Club for such prizes, and he sends a sketch of his idea of what might be a proper ribbon to adopt.

MR. HOOLEY: I think the plan is a very good one. I think there is one thing we ought to consider in connection with it. The Westminster or the Long Island or Boston Clubs can offer and do offer and give a very handsome ribbon as a rule. Some of the smaller clubs might not be able, unless prizes are made in such a way that these ribbons would come cheaply to them, to give as expensive a ribbon as that.

MR. BOGER: I offer this as a suggestion to the committee that will take this matter up: Let them devote
their efforts to the inscription on the ribbon.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that we allow each club to designate its own ribbon as heretofore.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: There was a case in California of a Mrs. Wilson against the Ladies Kennel Association of Southern California, which was before the San Francisco Trial Board twice, the second time the case being re-opened by direction of the American Kennel Club, and both times the San Francisco Trial Board found against the complainant. This case was appealed and brought before the Executive Committee at its last meeting. The Executive Committee passed a resolution concurring and sustaining the action of the San Francisco Trial Board, pending, however, an opinion from Mr. R. C. Stewart, a member of the Executive Committee and also of the Legal Committee, on the legal phase of the case, and if the legal Committee concluded that there was nothing in it that would affect us in any way legally, the action of the San Francisco Trial Board should be sustained. The Legal Committee had all the papers in its possession and now presents this report; "After going over all the evidence and argument submitted in this matter I see no reason why the decision of the San Francisco Trial Board should not be sustained except in
so far as concerns the censuring of the Judge of this show. The evidence submitted shows no sufficient reason for the censuring of the Judge, and there is no evidence that she was notified to appear at the meeting where she was censured, I therefore recommend that so much of the decision as refers to the censuring of the Judge be stricken out.

Ralph C. Stewart,  
of the Legal Committee.

MR. KEASBEY: I move that the action of the Legal Committee be sustained.  
Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I have the excuses of Directors for absence at the May meeting and this meeting. They are all filed here.  
MR. STEWART: I move that they be excused.  
Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.
President August Belmont, presiding.

Present:

Associate Delegate A. G. Hooley
American Fox Terrier Club H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club Theodore Offerman
American Sealyham Terrier Club Henry T. Fleitmann
American Spaniel Club, H. K. Bloodgood
Boston Terrier Club, Dr. W. Snow.
Bulldog Club of America, Edwin L. Boger
Chicago Collie Club, H. B. Hungerford
Colorado Kennel Club, Allison M. Lederer
Dachshund Club of America, G. Muss-Arnolt
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart
Framingham District Kennel Club, A. E. Wright.
French Bulldog Club of America, Grant Notman
Golden Gate Kennel Club of San Francisco, August Belmont.
Great Dane Club of America, H. M. Holton.
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club, Charles R. Wood
International Toy Poodle Club, Thomas Hartman
Irish Setter Club, R. W. Creuzbaur
Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts, W. N. G. Clark
Long Island Kennel Club, John P. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club, E. H. Berendsohn
Manchester Terrier Club, William P. Wolcott
Newark Kennel Club, John L. Whealan
New Jersey Beagle Club, George B. Hooley
New London Kennel Club, J. Macy Willets
Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club, Henry W. Coons.
Revere Kennel Club, Samuel R. Cutler
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. J. E. DeMund
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Southampton Kennel Club, Henry D. Whitfield
Toy Dog Club of New England, Henry T. Bowers
Toy Spaniel Club of America, Daniel P. Ritchey
Westchester Kennel Club, George Greer.
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association, Raymond Belmont
Westminster Kennel Club, Wm. Rauch
Wisconsin Kennel Club, C. W. Keyes
Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, George E. Peabody.

On motion, representatives of the Press were admitted to the meeting.
The Secretary read the report of the election of Associate Subscribers for the year 1915. It is as
follows:

"New York, February 3, 1915

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:--

Pursuant to Art. XVIII. Sec. 4 of the By-Laws of the American Kennel Club, the Secretary of said Club duly opened the ballots of the Associate Subscribers entitled to vote in the presence of the tellers appointed by the president of the said Club, and the undersigned tellers hereby certify as follows:

Associate Subscribers entitled to vote 152
Number of votes cast 61
Scattering 4
Irregular and thrown out 6

We therefore declare the following candidates, Wm. G. Rockefeller and A. G. Hooley, having secured the highest number of votes to be duly elected to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1915, and until their successors shall have been elected.

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Tellers

Theodore Offerman
John C. Weiler
W. P. Patterson."
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named club was elected to membership, Messrs. R. C. Stewart and Charles R. Wood acting as tellers:

New York State Fair

The following named gentlemen were elected delegates to represent the following named clubs:

Boston Terrier Club, Dr. Wallace Snow.

Brussels Griffon Kennel Club of America, J. Bayard Kirkpatrick.

Fort Orange Poultry & Pet Stock Association, J. W. Stott,

New Bedford District Kennel Club, Samuel R. Walker.

Plainfield Kennel Club, A. Clinton Wilmerding.

Southampton Kennel Club, Henry D. Whitfield

The Secretary then read the report of the Nominating Committee, which follows:

"The Nominating Committee, elected to name individuals to be elected as Directors to fill vacancies in the Board of Directors, held its meeting this day and made the following nominations.

For the expiring class of
Mr. Howard Willets
Mr. George Greer
Mr. A. G. Hooley
Mr. A. Clinton Wilmerding
Mr. Samuel R. Cutler
Mr. W. Prescott Wolcott.

For the class of 1917

Mr. Raymond Belmont to fill vacancy.

For the class of 1919

Mr. R. L. Boger (who was elected for one year in the place of Mr. Robert Sedgwick, Jr.)

Respectfully submitted,

William Rauch,
Chairman.

Nominating Committee.
John G. Bates,
A. G. Hooley
R. P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the report, that is your pleasure?

MR. WOOD: I move the nominations be closed, and that the Secretary be
directed to cast a ballot electing the gentlemen named.

Motion seconded.

MR. BERENDSOHN: I would like to rise to a point of order. This is the annual
meeting, and I think I have the privilege to make a nomination. In looking through the
class for 1917 I see that Mr. M. Mowbray Palmer is a director. He ceased to be a
director because he ceased to be a delegate. I think it is no more than right to fill that
vacancy now, as this is the annual meeting, and I would like to propose Mr. G. Muss-
Arnolt to fill that vacancy.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question is on the closing of the nominations, and that
subject will have to be decided before you can offer any other nomination unless you
desire to amend that.

Motion carried.

The Secretary stated that he had cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen
named.

THE CHAIRMAN: For your information, Dr. Berendsohn, I would state that at
the last annual meeting the very subject that you desire to call to the attention of the
delegates was disposed of. That is to say, that ceasing to be a delegate did not
involve that delegate ceasing to remain a director. The constitution was amended later.
The gentleman whom you mention held his office as a director before that amendment
was passed, and it cannot be retroactive. He is a director.

MR. WOOD: I think it was not the constitution that was amended, but the By-
Laws.

THE SECRETARY: Yes.
MR. DE MUND: Do you rule, Mr. Chairman, that a director who shall cease to be a delegate shall thereby cease to be a director, does not apply in this case?

THE CHAIRMAN: I think not.

DR. DE MUND: To bring up the question I would appeal from that decision.

Seconded.

THE CHAIRMAN: The appeal, if sustained, would establish an interpretation different from that placed upon the amendment of the By-Laws by the Chair.

MR. CUTLER: As I understand it, this By-Law has been amended, so it does not apply to Mr. Palmer’s office.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair so-interpreted it.

MR. CUTLER: How can it be otherwise? Here is a man who was a director at that time, and since then we passed a By-Law which provides that he cannot be one. You cannot take an office away in that way. When a man has an office you cannot go back and pass a By-law which is going to act backwards. We passed on that two years ago or so when you, Mr. Chairman, were involved, and we felt exactly that way. I did not happen to be here, but I read it in the press. The question came up if after you were the President of this club, and it turned exactly on that point,
and the Association voted that a man held his office until it was taken away from him legally.

MR. COLLINS: I am going to vote in the affirmative, and I wish to explain my vote. My vote is not against the decision of the Chair personally, but simply on this By-Law, because I believe that the Constitution or By-Law as it was should prevail.

So far as the retroactive part of it is concerned, I fail to see where it is retroactive. When you are speaking of anything being retroactive you mean that it affects the past. This does not affect the past, but it affects the present and the future, and I would like to call Judge Cutler's attention to the fact that every Legislature passes legislation which legislates certain people out of office, and I cannot see why this organization cannot legislate people out of office as well as any other body which created those offices.

THE CHAIRMAN: They do that by abolishing the offices. They have a regular legal form.

MR. COLLINS: Yes, they do it in many ways.

THE CHAIRMAN: The delegates are to decide the interpretation of the By-Law.

MR. HOWARD WILLETS: Is not the office of delegate in this Association somewhat similar to a stockholder in a stock corporation? If a director

in any
stock corporation sells his stock in that corporation he ceases to be a director, I do not
care for how long a period he has been elected.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is not a parallel case, because when the corporation
was organized that particular law was upon the statute books and therefore it involves
practically his buying that stock with that proviso, but in this case the directors were
elected by the delegates for a certain term, and then they amended the By-Laws.

DR. DE MUND: As I have said, the directors are elected from among the
members. If a man ceases to be a delegate he is no longer a member. If you are going
back to that, it would seem to me that a man must be a member to be a director, and
that would be the same in regard to a stockholder. I think Mr. Willets proposed that
amendment at the time, that a man who ceases to be a delegate shall thereby cease to
be a director. I do not see how there can be any two interpretations of that.

THE CHAIRMAN: That very point was discussed and the vote of the delegates
decided that that did not involve, as the matter stood at that time, the ceasing of a
delegate to be a director, and for that reason the amendment to the by-law was
proposed and afterwards passed, and the Chair was simply giving his opinion and
ruling on the
subject that that is not a retroactive measure. If you decide that it must be so considered it will be so considered. If you vote in the affirmative, you sustain Dr. De Mund’s appeal. If you vote in the negative you sustain the interpretation which the Chair has placed upon the operation of the amendment to the By-Laws.

On being put to vote, Dr. De Mund's appeal was lost.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the financial report for the year. It would take some time to read it. I would state that it has been audited and found correct by Messrs. Townsend & Dix, accountants.

MR. STEWART: I move that the report be accepted and spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.

(Said financial report will be found on another page of this number of the Gazette.)

The Secretary read his report as follows:

Feb. 23, 1915.

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last meeting I have received an application for Active Membership from the New York State Fair, I have also received Credentials
from the Boston Terrier Club appointing Dr. Wallace Snow; Brussel Griffon Club of America appointing Mr. J. Bayard Kirkpatrick; Fort Orange Poultry & Pet Stock Association appointing Mr. J. W. Stott; New Bedford District Kennel Club appointing Mr. Samuel R. Walker; Plainfield Kennel Club appointing Mr. A. Clinton Wilmerding; Southampton Kennel Club appointing Mr. Henry D. Whitfield, all of which have been approved by the full Membership Committee. The Credentials from the Toy Dog Club of New England, appointing Mr. James Byrne, to be laid over for the reason that the Delegate was not known to any member of the Committee, nor vouched for by any person known to at least one member of the Committee.*

Credentials from the Lynn Kennel Club, the Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, and the Rhode Island Kennel Club were received too late for publication in the January Gazette and were therefore laid over until a subsequent meeting.

The report of the Rules Committee approving of amendments to the By-Laws and the rules were published in the January Gazette and will be submitted to you at this meeting for your consideration.

The Special Committee on the "Dog Muzzling Ordinance" presented a bill for $61.00 which I will present
to you for authority to pay.

I beg to report that resignations from the Santa Cruz County Kennel Club and the Piping Rock Kennel club have been filed and will be submitted to you for action.

Pursuant to Article XVI, Section 3 of the By-Laws, the following clubs have been automatically dropped from membership:

Delaware Valley Kennel Club
Jersey City Kennel Club
Ladies Kennel Association of California.
Portland Kennel Club.

The votes of the Associate Subscribers were opened by the Secretary and Board of Tellers on February 3, 1915 and resulted in the election of Messrs, W. G. Rockefeller and A. G. Hooley as the Associate Delegates for the year 1915.

The Directors to be elected at this meeting are six for the class expiring in 1915 one for the class expiring in 1915, and one for the class expiring in 1919.

As a matter of record I beg to inform you that by the will of the late Mme. Vernona Jarbeau, the American Kennel Club received a cabinet and cups, medals, and ribbons won by her dogs at different AKC shows at which they competed.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary.
On motion the same was accepted and placed on file. The bill for $61.00 presented by the Special Committee on the Dog Muzzling Ordinance was authorized to be paid. The Delaware Valley Kennel Club automatically dropped to be reinstated in prompt payment of dues for 1915.

MR. HOOLEY: I would like to add to that report that the thanks of the members be given to the Committee who labored in this matter. They did a great deal of work with very little expense, and I think that some appreciation should be shown them for the work they did.

Motion seconded and carried.

The resignations of the Santa Cruz County Kennel Club and the Piping Rock Kennel Club were read, and on motion accepted.

DR. DE MUND: As Chairman of the Dog Muzzling Committee I would report that we expected by this time to have everything cleaned up, but we have been unable as yet to have an audience with the Mayor to present the petition, in the meantime a test case was brought by an exhibitor and it is now in the courts, it has been carried to the Supreme Court and we are waiting a decision. The committee have worked with the attorney representing Mrs. Knoblauch, and the situation that I wish to report is, if fee base should go against Mrs. Knoblauch they want to know what
position the American Kennel Club will take, whether they would be willing to go into the matter of taking an appeal to the Appellate Division in a financial way, not very much money, but to help the attorneys for Mrs. Knoblauch. Our bill so far has been about $60.00. That was for having petitions printed and so forth. If fee matter is decided against us -- I say against us because we have been working with Mrs. Knoblauch -- the sense of the Committee was that we might go on a little further and furnish part of the necessary means to carry it to a finish. If it is decided in our favor, and they take it up, the expense will be proportionately lees. If it is decided against us the expense will be a little larger, but not very much, and the Committee would like to ask for instruction from the delegates.

THE CHAIRMAN: There has been no decision on the subject at all?

DR. DE MUND: The decision has been reserved. It has not been given yet.

THE CHAIRMAN: Would it not seem better that the question be held in abeyance, because the character of the decision might be such as to involve a question of policy, as to whether we ought or ought not to go on with it.

MR. BOGER: I was one who originally protested
against the spending of any money when this matter came up originally. Now, inasmuch as the Committee have been so faithful, if the Committee having it in charge deem it advisable to assist financially the appeal, I think we should give it to them. I say that because I am very glad of the opportunity now to express my feeling in the matter, because I was very much against appropriating any money before, but now since I have read accounts on the subject and have heard what the Committee has been trying to accomplish, I would like to be one of the first to make a motion that we give the Committee certain powers to act, so far as financial assistance on the appeal is concerned.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you desire to place any limit on the sum?

MR. BOGER: I do not know; whatever is the consensus of opinion of the delegates.

DR. DE MUND: The original resolution appropriated the sum of $3,000.00, but that was merely an appropriation which the Committee at no time had any desire to expend. It was merely to give the idea that there was some money back of it. There are other members of the Committee here, and I wish they would speak on the subject.

THE CHAIRMAN: Would it not be more satisfactory to have some limit put upon the expenditure?
DR. DE MUND: Certainly.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then a motion should be made to instruct the Committee in their discretion to assist in the appeal and expend a sum not exceeding whatever sum you would like to limit them to.

MR. HUNNEWELL: Why would it not be better to have this matter brought before the Finance Committee.

MR. BOGER: Refer it to the Finance Committee for final action in the matter?

MR. HUUNNEWELL: Yes.

MR. BOGBR: I make that motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question of the appropriation voted to the Dog Muzzling Committee be referred to the Finance Committee with power. Is that your motion?

MR. BOGER: Yes.

MR. COLLINS: The position is simply this, that before this Board of Delegates meet again we may have to appeal, if we are going to appeal it at all, because we do not meet again until May; but I think Mr. Hunnewell's idea is a very good one, that we should take the advice of the Finance Committee as to the amount of expense we should be put to.

THE CHAIRMAN: Why not word a resolution which would provide that the question as to the limitation of the
expenditure for this appeal out of the general appropriation be referred to the Finance Committee with power.

DR. DE MUND: That would be satisfactory.

MR. COLLINS: Ee would be glad to be relieved of the responsibility.

MR. HUNNEWELL: I make that motion.

MR. HOOLEY: It is understood that it is at the request of that Committee that this is being done. We are not taking any power away from them, but the Committee requests that they be allowed to confer with somebody, and the conference would naturally be with the Finance Committee. Now they suggest that it be taken up with the Finance Committee, so that if there is any objection made afterwards it would not be against the Committee that is in charge of dog muzzling. Therefore I second Mr. Hunnewell’s motion. My understanding is that the Finance Committee would act with the Committee on Muzzling, and that this delegate body would approve of anything that the Finance Committee did in connection with that, so that instead of having to bring it up to a large body of men every time, they would go to the Finance Committee and confer with them, and that the Finance Committee would act for the delegates.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think the Finance
Committee have any discretion as to what should be done with regard to the muzzling. The question is simply as to whether the expenditure shall be made.

DR. DE MUND: We have $3,000.00 which has been appropriated, that we could spend by a vote of the Committee. We do not feel like taking the responsibility of spending that money. We want to share it with somebody. There is no question about spending that or any part of it, but we would like to have the sense of the delegates how far we should go in this matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Committee deaistes to leare that decision to the Finance Committee.

DR. DE MUND: I think we would like to have it that way.

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion is the limitation or the sum to be spent on the appeal, if it be taken, in the discretion of the Muzzling Committee, be referred to the Finance Committee with power.

MR. HUNNEWELL: My motion is that the Dog Muzzling Committee be requested to consult with the Finance Committee as to the sum to be expended in case of further expenditure of money, if they want to take it up, with power to act. Carried.
The report of the Rules Committee was read, as follows:

The Committee does not approve of the following amendment to Rule XVIII of Rules Governing Dog Shows, which is as follows:

"We hereby offer the following amendment to Rule XVIII, Rules Governing Dog Shows. Strike out all of the rule following the words 'and must also mark all absentees in their classes' which reads 'A professional handler shall not be eligible to act as a judge. (A professional handler is a person who handles, boards, conditions, or shows dogs for money as a means of livelihood.)"

The same having been submitted to them January 6, 1915 and acted upon by them January 20th, 1915.

The Committee suggests that a new Rule be added to the Rules Governing Dog Shows which shall read as follows:-

"All clubs when requesting judges to officiate shall require said judges to notify them in writing as to whether they are eligible under that portion of Rule XVIII referring to professional handlers and a copy of this portion of the rule must accompany such request. Nothing in this amendment shall be construed as applying to any foreign Judge who may be asked to officiate."
Section II. "Any person officiating as Judge after stating that he is not a professional handler as specified above, and whose statement shall be found to be false shall be disqualified for life."

Section III. "Any professional handler who notifies the American Kennel Club in writing that he has discontinued this occupation is at the expiration of one year from date of filing said letter eligible to judge at any show given under American Kennel Club Rules, provided he is in good standing."

The Rules Committee approve the following addition to the By-Laws.

Add to Article XVI.

Section 4. "Any Delegate may be transferred from one member in good standing to another, by vote of the Membership Committee, without being balloted for at a regular meeting, provided such change is satisfactory to the members from whom the Delegate is transferred."

Add to Article X.

Section 6. The Committee may transfer a Delegate from one Member to another in accordance with Article XVI Section four (4).

MR. STEWART: I received and placed on file.

Motion seconded and carried.
MR. CREUZBAUR: I would like to ask why those provisions should not apply to foreign Judges. As I understand the report, a foreign Judge might be a professional handler. Why should not a man coming from the other side be subject to the same provisions as those which are so wisely suggested here in America?

MR. HOOLEY: The Committee looked into that very carefully, and as I remember it, our Committee felt that it might be difficult for us to prove on the other side just who was or who was not a professional handler. He might be a professional handler in our Judgment and still not be considered a professional handler on the other side. We also felt that there was a courtesy due to the people of another country, whoever they might be, and we presumed that the club who invite Judges from the other side are usually sure of the reputation of the man whom they invite.

MR. WOOD: Do I understand that this is a motion?

MR. RITCHEY: I move that we take up these proposed amendments separately.

Seconded and carried.

MR. STEWART: I move that Rule XIV of Rules Governing Dog Show, the last sentence of paragraph 1 as
now reads: "Dogs competing for specials only must be entered in one of the regular classes in which they need not compete," to read: "Dogs competing for specials only must be entered in one of the regular classes. Dogs so entered for Specials only cannot compete in the class in which they are entered".

MR. HOOLEY: I accept that.

DR. DE MUND: Do not the By-Laws provide how a new amendment shall be made? That has not gone before the Rules Committee nor has it appeared in the Gazette. That is not touching on any rule we are taking up now.

THE CHAIRMAN: If the Rules Committee, properly represented in this meeting, accepted that and were willing to embody it in their report and recommend it, it would be in order to put it before the meeting.

DR. DE MUND: The rule says it must be published in the Gazette.

MR. WOOD: As it is simply a question of words and does not mean anything, I do not see why we cannot have the unanimous consent of the Committee to present it as a part of their report.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. De Mund’s position is that it should be published, so we would have an opportunity to comment on it.
MR. WOOD: I ask that unanimous consent be given to have this recommendation of Mr. Stewart added to the report of the Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

(At this point Mr. Belmont resigned the Chair to Mr. H. H. Hunnewell.)

THE SECRETARY: I will now read the proposed amendments:

"All clubs when requesting Judges to officiate shall require said judges to notify them in writing as to whether they are eligible under that portion of Rule XVIII referring to professional handlers, and a copy of this portion of the Rule must accompany such request. Nothing in this amendment shall be construed as applying to any foreign judge who may "be asked to officiate."

Section II, "Any person officiating as Judge after stating that he is not a professional handler as specified above, and whose statement shall be found to be false, shall be disqualified for life."

Section III. "Any professional handler who notifies the American Kennel Club in writing that he has discontinued this occupation is at the expiration of one year from date of filing said letter eligible to judge at any show given under American Kennel Club Rules, provided
he is in good standing."

MR. WOOD: In order to bring this matter to a head I would state that I have been requested by the Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club to make a motion that the Rules Committee's report be not accepted, and that the original suggested rule made to them by members who signed the rule be adopted. In other words, wipe out the rules adopted to keep professional handlers from judging, I make that motion.

DR. DE MUND: I second the motion, and as I was one of the original proposers of that rule, not that I believe the rule not to be a good one as it stands, but the way it has been enforced by the American Kennel Club, it has been a farce, and every show that I ever go to I hear complaints made that so and so is picked out and not allowed to Judge, while so and so is allowed to judge. The rule itself I believe to be a good one, but a good rule not enforced impartially is a very poor one. For that reason I brought that up in order that it may be settled one way or another. At the present time, at the show going on now, we have a professional handler Judging. He has been Judging all over the country and nothing has been done, but yet one man is picked out and brought down here, and he is told that he is a professional handler and cannot
judge. I do not believe the rules of this club should be enforced in that way,

MR. WOOD: I would like to say a little on this subject. Dr. De Mund said the rule may be a good one, but it is a bad one the way it is handled. If someone can make some suggestion as to a better way of handling the subject we would be very glad to hear from him,

MR. HOOLEY: Will not this new rule or amendment that we are introducing here today cover all that? Will it not bring about just what we expect it to do? When we ask a man whether he is or he is not a professional handler, and he goes on record, it is easy to prove whether he is or is not. I think this rule will cover that end will protect us in every way,

MR. HOWARD WILLETS: I do not think Mr. Wood's motion is in order at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: I rule Mr. Wood's motion out of order, because the Chairman of the Committee offers this.

MR. WOOD: This matter has been referred to the Rules Committee and turned down by it. Does that prevent the Delegates from taking up and voting on that ruling?

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chairman of the Rules Committee offers this as a motion. All you do is to vote down that motion and you accomplish your object. The motion is before
the meeting. You cannot make the motion.

DR. DE MUND: A motion, it seems to me, would be in order to adopt the original amendment, not the report of the Rules committee, but I would make such a motion, that the original amendment be adopted.

THE CHAIRMAN: That motion is before the meeting. I think the motion before the House now is that the Rules Committee move that that amendment be not adopted and that those three paragraphs be substituted. We are voting on the original amendment, and the Rules Committee, which offered the motion, move that it be not adopted.

MR. HOWARD WILLETS: That has nothing to do with the matter relating to foreign judges?

THE CHAIRMAN: No. If you vote aye, you support the Committee and vote down the amendment. If you vote no, you turn down the Committee and vote for the amendment.

The question being put to vote, the proposed new rule was adopted.

DR. DR MUND: I move to strike out the referring to foreign Judges.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: A motion is in order to the report of the Committee as amended.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that the report of the Rules
Committee be accepted.

Seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: The next is, the Rules Committee approve the following addition to the By-Laws. Add to Article XVI.

Section 4. "Any Delegate may be transferred from one member in good standing to another, by vote of the Membership Committee, without being balloted for at a regular meeting, provided such change is satisfactory to the members from whom the Delegate is transferred."

Add to Article X.

Section 6. "The Committee may transfer a Delegate from one Member to another in accordance with Article XVI Section four (4)."

MR. WOOD: This last rule was partly suggested by myself, and in order that what I think is a good rule may not be voted down by any personal feeling that any Delegate may have against me, I want to state that I myself will never apply to be transferred under such rule, and I would like to have that spread upon the minutes, because I believe this to be a good rule, which will be a help to Kennel men, in that men who are good men should be kept on the Board of Delegates without losing their membership through some club going out of business. I want
it clearly understood that I will never ask to be transferred to another. I hope anyone who may care to vote against this rule on my personal account will not do so because I believe it to be a good one.

DR. DE MUND: I do not think there is any personal feeling on the part of anybody against Mr. Wood, but I think the rule is a very bad one. It is about the only thing left to the club, and that is to elect its Delegates. I do not think it is in conformity with the rules that we have at the present time which state that Delegates must be balloted upon by the Delegates.

MR. WOOD: It may or may not be vicious legislation, but it would seem to me that a person who has been a Delegate for a number of years should not lose his Delegateship because some club which perhaps he knew very little about should lose its membership, perhaps I am wrong. It is for the Delegates to decide. I made it that way because I was blackballed on a substitution of one club for another.

DR. DE MUND: This is an Association of Clubs. Each club is entitled to appoint its Delegate. It is the most vicious legislation that I ever heard proposed in the American Kennel Club, to take from that club the power to say whom they shall have as representative. This is not a
question of how good a man may be or how poor he may be. It is the question of the right of the club to be represented by whom they wish to send in; not to have a man because he happened to be elected here once kept on forever, which could occur under this proposed amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think there is one misunderstanding on your part. The clubs decide it; the Membership Committee does not decide it,

DR. DE MUND: This is not a club composed of individuals, but it is composed of various show-giving and specialty clubs, and they have given the Delegates the power to say who shall represent them and who shall sit with them.

THE CHAIRMAN: From your standpoint I agree with you, but you do not seem to understand that the club must elect the Delegate, and the Membership Committee has power to transfer him.

MR. CUTLER: I do not like the sound of that proposed addition to the By-Laws. I have no doubt that the Membership Committee meant it all right, but it sounds as if we were picking out Delegates for clubs. When this body transfers one Delegate or a man who has been a Delegate to another club, it will be accepted by the public to mean that we are picking out Delegates for clubs, and
that is fundamentally different from what this club is organized for. I do not think we ought to pass that rule in that form.

THE CHAIRMAN: The idea was that another club elects this man.

MR. CUTLER: That is not what it says.

MR. WOOD: I would move an amendment, when some other club in good standing has duly elected such Delegate.

MR. HOOLEY: This is not a new man being brought in. He must be a member. He has been a member and he has been elected and balloted on, and he is in good standing, or he would not be here. He is a member of a club which goes out of existence. He must be asked for by the other club. He must be given up freely by his own club. We are not putting a new man in.

DR. DR MUND: We are taking away about the only power that the Delegates have left. They might as well not come here at all.

MR. HOLTON: Would it not clear up the atmosphere if we changed the reading of that to accomplish the object of the amendment, which, I understand, is to substitute for a process which is somewhat cumbersome a quicker method of continuing in the service of the club a man who has proved himself a worthy member. What is in my mind
is this; The Committee possibly meant that to read that in the event of a man losing his Delegateship because his club either goes out of office or elects another, and this same man be nominated as a Delegate representing another club, that he be permitted to continue his office of Delegate by the ballots of the Delegates assembled. That would simply let them re-approve of the act that they registered when they elected the Delegate. Doesn't it take a month to do that now?

THR SECRETARY: It takes three months.

MR. HOLTON: This amendment would make it possible to do it at any meeting.

MR. CUTLER: I think we ought to be cautious about passing an amendment of this kind, because the American Kennel Club is a representative body. Its members are clubs and not individuals, and the right comes from the clubs. The clubs send their Delegates here, and we, as the body to which Delegates are sent, have the right to pass upon the propriety of that person being accepted, but I do not think we ought to pass any rule which will indicate that we have any preference for old members rather than new. I think the whole matter stands today as it ought to stand, giving the clubs the freest choice of whom shall represent them as Delegates, and the only mission which we have to perform is to pass upon the suitableness of the person
which the club shall have selected as its Delegate. I can conceive of an instance where a person would be a very good Delegate for a club in one part of the country and perhaps not be a good Delegate for a club in another part of the country. Because a man has been accepted once, it does not follow that he need be accepted a second time. A man may represent one constituency very well, and not always represent well another, and it might not be wise to pass a vote that a man having been accepted once by this body would never have to be voted on a second time. I think it would be a fundamental error if we did that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I agree with Judge Cutler that I think it is all wrong to take away the right of ballot by the Delegates.

The question being put to vote the proposed addition to the By-Laws was lost.

THE SECRETARY then read the proposed amendment of Mr. Stewart to Rule XIV:

"Dogs competing for Specials only must be entered in one of the regular classes in which they need not compete", to read, "Dogs competing for Specials only must be entered in one of the regular classes. Dogs so altered for Specials only cannot compete in the class in which they are entered."
MR. HOOLEY: I move its adoption.
    Seconded and carried.
    The following proposed amendment:
    "We hereby offer the following amendment to Rule XVIII, Rules Governing Dog Shows. Strike out all of the rule following the words 'and must also mark all absentees in their classes', which reads, 'A professional handler shall not be eligible to act as a Judge. (A professional handler is a person who handles, boards, conditions, or shows dogs for money as a means of livelihood')."
    Was lost.
    On motion the meeting then adjourned

Approved by Pub Committee -
    Mr. Keasbey
    J. Macy Willets
    Mr. Palmer
Applications of Messrs. C. W. Buttes and A. F. Hochwolt for reinstatement were presented, each accompanied by the necessary fee of 35. It was stated that favorable action by the A.K.C. on these applications would end all opposition to this club by the National Dog Breeders Association, and would be the means of the removal of disqualification of several men who were disqualified in Kansas City. On motion the requests of Messrs. Buttes Hochwolt were complied with and their disqualifications were removed.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 24th,

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
Chas. W. Keyes
E. L. Boger
Ralph C. Stewart
Chas R. Wood
J. Macy Willets
H. K. Bloodgood
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Henry T. Fleitmann
Howard Willets
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
Samuel K. Cutler,

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS.

MR. WOOD: I would like to nominate Mr. August Belmont for President for the ensuing year.

MR. STEWART: I second the motion.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. August Belmont as President.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot,
MR. STEWART: I would like to nominate H. H. Hunnewell as First Vice-President.
Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Hunnewell as First Vice-President.
The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

MR. STEWART: I nominate Mr. H. K. Bloodgood for the office of Second Vice-President.
Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Bloodgood as Second Vice-President.
The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

MR. STEWART: I nominate Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh Secretary-Treasurer.
Nomination seconded.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Chairman was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Vredenburgh.
The Chairman stated that he had cast such ballot.

STANDING COMMITTEES.

MR. HOOLEY: I nominate Messrs. W. G. Rockefeller,
H. K. Bloodgood and Howard Willets as members of the Finance Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.


Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.


Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

MR. RAYMOND BELMONT: I nominate Messrs.
Chetwood Smith, M. Mobray Palmer, Alfred R. Maclay, A. G. Hooley and Charles W. Keyes, as members of the Field Trial Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.


Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

MR. CUTLER: I nominate Messrs., Rowland P. Keasbey, M. M. Palmer, Tyler Morse, Charles R. Wood and J. Macy Willets as members of the Publication Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.
MR. BLOODGOOD: I nominate Messrs. A. C. Wilmerding, Joseph A. Buchanan and Ralph C. Stewart as members of the License Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

MR. J. MACY WILLETS: I nominate Messrs. Ralph C. Stewart, Alvin Untermyer and Samuel R. Cutler, as members of the Law Committee.

Nominations seconded.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

MR. WOOD: I move that the various Trial Boards, as they existed last year, and the Western Agent be elected for the ensuing year.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

February 23, 1915

To the Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:
I have the honor to report that at this meeting it will be necessary to elect the president, two Vice-Presidents, the Secretary-Treasurer and members of the different Standing Committees.

I will also present the Treasurer's report from January 1st, 1915 to date. I will present a communication addressed to this Board from Miss Rosalie Stewart dated February 22, 1915 and received today.

Mr. George Greer sent his regrets for absence from the December meeting of the Directors and asked to be excused for such absence. Messrs. Tyler Morse, Mr. H. P. Keasbey, Jos. A. Buchanan, and Geo. S. West ask to be excused from the meeting of the Directors held this day.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

MR. WOOD: I move that the excuses presented by Messrs. George Greer, Tyler Morse, R. P. Keasbey, Joseph A. Buchanan and George S. West, for absence from the last meeting of the Directors, be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that the communication from Miss Rosalie Stewart be laid upon the table.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. STEWART: I move that Mr. August Belmont be excused from attendance at the meeting of the Board of Directors today.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Treasurer’s report was read as follows:

February 23, 915-

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I hereby submit the finance report from January 1, 1915 to date:

- Balance on hand: $22,797.75
- Receipts to date: $4,579.67
- Total: $27,377.42
- Disbursements to date: $2,787.89
- Balance on hand: $24,589.53

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh,

Treasurer.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on

On motion the Directors went into Committee of
On motion the Committee arose, and through its Chairman reported that the matter under consideration before the Committee of the Whole was adopted.

MR. BOGRR: I move that the action of the Committee of the Whole be approved.
Motion seconded and carried.

MR. HOOLEY: I move that the American Kennel Club appreciate the gift of Madame Jarbeau, and that the executors, or whoever represent her estate, be notified of the same.
Motion seconded and carried.
On motion the meeting then adjourned.

------------------
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, TUESDAY, MAY 18th, 1915.

Vice-President H. K. Bloodgood in the Chair.

PRESENT
Associate Delegate, A. G. Hooley
Airedale Terrier Club of New York W. P. Patterson
American Spaniel Club, .. H. K. Bloodgood
Boston Terrier Club, Dr. Wallace Snow
Brussels Griffon Club of America, J. Bayard Kirkpatrick
Bulldog Club of America, Edwin L. Boger
Chow Chow Club, Franklyn L. Hutton
Colorado Kennel Club, Alison M. Lederer
Dachshund Club of America, G. Muss-Arnolt
Devon Dog Show Association, John Sinnott
Eastern Dog Club, George S. West
Empire Beagle Club George A. Flammer
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart
German Shepherd Dog Club J. M. Schley Jr.
Long Island Kennel Club, John F. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club, E. H. Berendsohn
Manchester Terrier Club, William P. Wolcott
Nassau County Kennel Club, Theodore Crane
Newark Kennel Club, John L. Whealan
Greyhound Club of America Jos. Batten
New Jersey Beagle Club, George B. Hooley
Old English Sheepdog Club of America Tyler Morse.
Pacific French Bulldog Club, F. J. Bristol
Pekingese Club of America M. E. Harby
Philadelphia Bulldog Club, Joseph A. Buchanan.
Plainfield Kennel Club, A. Clinton Wilmerding
Pointer Club of America, J. C. Weiler
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. J. E. De Mund
St. Louis Collie Club, Lloyd W. Smith
Toy Spaniel Club of America, Daniel P. Ritchey
Westchester Kennel Club, George Greer
Western French Bulldog Club, J. N. Champion
Western Fox Terrier Breeders' Association, Raymond Belmont.

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named Clubs were elected to membership:
Eastern Collie Breeders Association and the Anthracite Kennel Club, Messrs. R. C. Stewart and Mr. J. A. Buchanan acting as Tellers.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:
Irish Terrier Club of America, J. R. Thorndike
Welsh Terrier Club, W. Ross Proctor
Rhode Island Kennel Club, Samuel Jackson
Greyhound Club of America, Joseph Z. Batten
Collie Club of America, W. Ormiston Roy.
Eastern Collie Breeders Association, Walter B. Foster.
German Shepherd Dog Club of America, J. Montfort Schley, Jr,
Pacific French Bulldog Club, F. J. Bristol.
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, Rowland P. Keasbey.

The Secretary read his quarterly report as follows:

May 18th, 1915.

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last meeting I received applications for Active Membership from the Anthracite Kennel Association, and the Eastern Collie Breeders Association. I have also received Credentials from the Collie Club of America appointing Mr. W. Ormiston Roy; Eastern Collie Breeders Association appointing Mr. Walter B. Foster; German Shepherd Dog Club appointing Mr. J. Montfort Schley, Jr.; Greyhound Club of America appointing Mr. Joseph Z. Batten; Irish Terrier Club of America appointing Mr. J. R. Thorndike; Lynn Kennel Club appointing Mr. Henry T. Bowers; Rhode Island Kennel Club appointing
Mr. Daniel Jackson; Welsh Terrier Club of America appointing Mr. W. Ross Foster; Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club appoint Mr. Rowland P. Keasbey; Pacific French Bulldog Club appointing Mr. P. J. Bristol; Toy Dog Club of New England appointing Mr. James Byrne. These papers have been presented to the Membership Committee whose report will be submitted at this meeting.

Under date of April 9, 1915, I received the resignation as a Delegate of Mr. John G. Bates who now represents the Irish Terrier Club of America; on April 14, 1915, the resignation of Mr. Edwin W. Dwight, who now represents the New England Collie Club.

At the annual meeting in February, the Portland Kennel Club was automatically dropped from Membership for its failure to pay its annual dues for 1915 on or before the date of that meeting. On March 8th, following, I received the dues from said Portland Kennel Club with an explanation from its Secretary under date of February 27th that he thought that he had mailed this check on January 31st. I was not authorized to reinstate the Portland Kennel Club, and beg to recommend that under the circumstances I be directed to accept these dues and reinstate the Club. The Membership Committee have approved all of the applications for Delegates except the Lynn Kennel Club.
appointing Mr. Henry T. Bowers, and the Toy Dog Club of New England appointing Mr. James Byrne, as applicants are not known or vouched for.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh,
Secretary.

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

THE SECRETARY: The first matter for consideration is the receipt of dues from the Portland Kennel Club. Our meeting was held on the 17th of February, at which time this Club was automatically dropped. The letter enclosing this check was written on February 27th to our Western Agent and forwarded by him to this Club. I have had it all this time and have not been able to accept it without your authorization.

MR. LEDERER: I move that the check of the Portland Kennel club be accepted, and that the Club be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.

THE SECRETARY: I submit the resignation of Mr. John G. Bates as a Delegate, and also the resignation of Mr. Edwin W. Dwight, who now represents the New England
Collie Club.

On motion said resignations were accepted.

MR. COLLINS: I would like to ask if the Secretary has received any report from the Pacific Coast Trial Board in reference to the case of Judd vs. Bowman?

THE SECRETARY: I have. Mrs. Bowman has been disqualified.

MR. COLLINS: Mrs. Bowman is a member of the Long Island Club, of which I am a Delegate, and she has requested me to bring the matter before this meeting today, and I have gone into the matter. She has handed to me certain papers, correspondence and matter. I have gone through those papers and I have come to the conclusion that so far as my personal idea is concerned she is absolutely innocent of any wrongdoing. Otherwise I would not be on my feet advocating her cause. I therefore move you that this Board recommend to the Board of Directors that the decision of the Trial Board be not approved, and that the matter be referred to the Executive Committee without the formality of a regular appeal for their review of the action. My reasons for making that motion are as follows: In the first place, I do not think that the Trial Board on the Coast had any jurisdiction in the matter since the case in question was absolutely a New York transaction, and
should have gone before the New York Trial Board. Secondly, Mrs. Bowman did not have any proper means of defending herself. She was notified of the charges against her, and of course, submitted her written answer, which is surely very unsatisfactory in such a case, while on the other hand the complainant was represented by her husband, who is a lawyer, and naturally they had every opportunity to rebut any of the statements made by Mrs. Bowman in her defense.

Third: I believe that disqualification of Mrs. Bowman would mean taking away from her practically her means of support, and since the matter is entirely a civil transaction, I think that would probably mean a civil case, which in my opinion would go against this Club and be very disagreeable to it. My fourth reason is that there are certain phases in the case which if aired in court would probably mean very unpleasant notoriety for this organization, particularly its Pacific Trial Board. My fifth reason is that I do not consider that the complainant had any cause whatever for action. In order to explain my last reason I will have to give you a very brief idea of the case, which I can do. It seems that this Mrs. Judd bought two Maltese Terriers from Mrs. Bowman—puppies, one a dog and one a bitch, with the understanding that these puppies were to be retained by Mrs. Bowman until they had
arrived at such an age that they would develop certain points necessary to the breed, and also that the bitch would arrive at her second season and be bred. Before the time came when the dog would mature sufficiently and properly, or the bitch would reach a second service time, the purchaser called the deal off and demanded back her deposit of $15.00, which deposit was returned to her, thereby absolutely completing the matter and settling it. After that the complainant learned that Mrs. Bowman had sold this bitch puppy, and believing that that puppy was a puppy which at the time was winning considerably in shows she wrote to Mrs. Bowman demanding either the puppy or the $100.00 which Mrs. Bowman was supposed to have received for the puppy. That was after she had demanded and received back her deposit at the closing of the transaction.

DR. DE MUND: I second the motion and would like to give my reasons for doing so. I have gone over some correspondence in the matter, and I believe that a grave injustice has been done the defendant in this case. Furthermore, there are certain phases of the matter which Mr. Collins spoke of that would not redound to the credit of the American Kennel Club.
THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me that the way you propose to proceed is really out of order. According to Article 14, this ought to go on appeal to the Executive Committee.

DR. DE MUND: The motion is that the Executive Committee be asked to take up the case.

MR. COLLINS: I am asking that this meeting recommend such action to the Board of Directors. That was my idea in asking that that action be taken instead of approving of this decision by the Pacific Trial Board. That would remove this disqualification from Mrs. Bowman, and there would be absolutely no final action taken in the matter, the final action being that of the Executive Committee. I appreciate the fact that the regular course to pursue would be for Mrs. Bowman to appeal the case. Mrs. Bowman is not a wealthy woman, and it probably would cost her something to prepare the case, to say nothing of the $25.00 which it would be necessary for her to deposit, and rather than open the case I thought probably if the Executive committee would review the case they would see sufficient evidence and facts to simply ignore the decision reached by the Trial Board and throw it out, which in my opinion would be the
THE CHAIRMAN: The question is whether we would be in order in doing that.

MR. COLLINS: This Committee can recommend anything they please.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is very clearly stated in the by-laws what we can do.

MR. COLLINS: May I ask what will the Board of Directors do in the case? They must either approve or disapprove of this recommendation, must they not?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. COLLINS: My motion is that they disapprove that report, and then they can take it up of their own accord and ask the Executive Committee to review the case. If they refuse to approve of the action of the Trial Board, then they can take it up themselves and have the Executive Committee review it.

THE SECRETARY: That is not the custom. The custom has been ever since we have had Trial Boards that the action of the Trial Board is final unless appealed from within thirty days.

THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me the proper procedure would be -- I am not saying that you are not perfectly right as to the case, but it seems to me that
really your motion is out of order according to our own by-laws.

MR. COLLINS: This Committee can recommend anything they like. They can recommend to the President, they can recommend to the Secretary or to my Committee or to the Board of Directors. If they can elect the Board of Directors they can recommend anything they see fit.

DR. DE MUND: I move to amend Mr. Collin’s motion, that the Delegates request the Executive Committee to re-open this case of Judd vs. Bowman and investigate the findings of the Pacific Trial Board. That is not an appeal. It is a new procedure entirely.

Amendment seconded.

MR. COLLINS: I accept the amendment.

MR. LEDERER: I ask for information whether this action by the Pacific Trial Board differs in any respect from a disqualification made by other Trial Boards. Being a member of the New York Trial Board I am interested in getting this information. Was the party referred to disqualified by the Pacific Trial Board, or was there a recommendation, or what is the status of the proceeding?

THE SECRETARY: She was ordered to be dis -
qualified and all privileges of the American Kennel Club withdrawn from her.

MR. LEDERER: Then she is disqualified?

THE SECRETARY: She is disqualified.

DR. DE MUND: My object in making that amendment is to avoid what I think would lead to a pretty serious scandal to the American Kennel Club if this matter goes through. I do not think we want a repetition of the Ellery case.

MR. HOOLEY: Simply for information I would like to ask Mr. Collins whether they have a letter — I think you said you had the correspondence — asking for the return of the $15.00 and the acknowledgement of the $15.00?

MR. COLLINS: I believe they have.

MR. BUCHANAN: The $15.00 was requested and was sent, and acknowledgement given of the $15.00.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is all out of order. The point is whether this recommendation is proper under our by-laws.

MR. COLLINS: I want to call the attention of the Delegates to one fact: Speaking of what has been done in the past, I don't know, possibly it has, but I do not think you have ever had quite a similar case
where a person was tried in New York by a Trial Board from the west. The defendant, to begin with, was placed at a great disadvantage. I do not believe the Trial Board had any legal jurisdiction in the matter, and I believe if it goes to a civil court there will be nothing to it. Why go into that? It might be just as well settled now.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not see why this Delegate cannot make a recommendation. Of course, the recommendation does not govern the Board of Directors nor the Executive Committee.

Dr. De Mund's amendment was then put and carried.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you withdraw your motion, Mr. Collins?

MR. COLLINS: Yes, I will accept that as the original motion.

DR. SNOW: The Boston Terrier Club would like to place before the Rules Committee for their consideration an amendment to Rule 4 of the Rules governing shows. The proposed amendment is as follows:

Rule 4. Classifications shall be confined to the recognized breeds hereinafter named, which may be divided or limited by variety, weight, color, height or sex and otherwise as provided for in these rules.
All classifications must be arranged as follows: Puppy, novice, American bred, limit, open and winners -- after which additional classes that do not conflict with the breed standard may be provided in any breed.

MR. HARBY: I would like to ask for information. What is the rule with regard to specials that are sent by clubs to be competed for at shows where they are sent after the publication of the premium list? Are they open to competition or is there any limit of time?

THE SECRETARY: The limit of time is that no special can be accepted later than the day before the opening of the show.

MR. HARBY: Then they can be sent in at any time?

THE SECRETARY: Prior to the opening of the show.

MR. HARBY: I wish to bring the attention of the members to an abuse which has grown up here, and then if I understand the rule, I will make a proper motion, that specials are time and again sent to shows and the only people who are aware of them are those who send in the specials, and that lately has opened a situation to the suspicion at least that it is intended to shut out competition and to award specials to certain individuals. I have been asked to bring to the attention of this meeting, without mentioning any special instances, the
fact that lately some specials have been sent in to shows solely that only the committee sending in the specials were aware that they were offered, and competition that would have existed has been shut out in consequence. Take, for instance, a special offered for the best in the same class. Such special has been awarded to inferior dogs because other dogs did not compete or were not entered in that class. That sort of thing has grown lately, and three instances I have in mind, either through carelessness -- probably through carelessness — there has been a gross abuse. It has been so gross that it is open to criticism, and I think that the American Kennel Club ought to adopt some rule which would prevent a committee representing a club, a Specialty Committee, from submitting specials at such time as that it would be impossible for members of that club to know that those specials were to be competed for. It can be very readily seen that three or four people representing a committee can dispose of the club specials, and people who ordinarily ought not to receive them would stand a very good chance of getting them by reason of the fact that others who could compete for them did not enter for that competition. I would like to ask, is it proper to make a motion here to amend that rule, or should it
be submitted to some committee?

THE SECRETARY: It must be submitted to the Rules Committee in the same way that the Boston Terrier Club has just submitted a proposed amendment.

MR. HARBY: Couldn't these members here adopt a resolution that the committee be asked to take cognizance of that and amend the rule? Might it not be here provided? I should like to have it done as the sense of this meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think that would be quite in order.

MR. HARBY: Why cannot the members of the American Kennel Club get together and ask the Rules Committee to amend the rules instead of having one member write them a letter asking them to amend the rule? I do not want it to go in as an individual proposition. I believe that the members here, if they understand the situation, would be in favor of asking the Rules Committee to amend that rule so as to provide that specials must be received before the publication of the premium list, or at least must be published in some fashion so as to permit members to know for what special there will be competition.

MR. MORE: I think that would be up to the
specialty clubs themselves. They delegate their powers to a committee. Where there are specials to be given I think it would be up to the club itself to regulate their affairs. I do not think we ought to interfere with the offering of specials by specialty clubs.

MR. HARBY: The question is of having the offering made public. My creation is now on the regularity of the procedure. Why may not a motion be made here resolving that the members here present recommend to the Committee on Rules that such and such a rule be amended so as to provide that no specials may be competed for at shows unless such specials appear in the premium list or be published in certain publications within a certain time before the show. Why would it be irregular to make such a motion? If it is not I would like to make it.

MR. HOOLEY: I think each individual club has a right to say what their special should be and when they shall be given, when the announcement should be made, I cannot see how we can dictate to the clubs. Every time we have tried to do that we have got into trouble.

MR. HARBY: It seems to me that the members of a specialty club should be protected in the specials
that were donated by the club. I think that the fair way would be that each member of the club should know what the specials were for competition. There are a number of clubs whose boards do not include men, and whose boards do not include lawyers, but whose boards are made up of women, who, however well intentioned, do not understand the importance of removing from their own committee the suspicion or the suggestion that there was any personal reason for the manner in which specials were arranged and not published. I have been informed by Mr. Mortimer and other people that they have had great difficulty in getting specials in time; they have come in late, and I have seen announcements of specials from such and such a club without saying what those specials were, and I know of members who have tried to find out what specials their own club was giving. It is all very well to say that it is the business of the club to regulate that thing, but we are men here, and should know that women, never mind how well intentioned they are, find some difficulty in regulating their own club affairs, if a rule were adopted by the American Kennel Club that no specials are open to competition unless they were so received or so published as to give notice that they were to be competed for, such a rule would
President, H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.


The Secretary read his report which is as follows:-

June 14, 1915.

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:- I beg to report that at the regular quarterly meeting of Delegates held May 18, 1915 a resolution was offered by one of the delegates to refer the case of Judd vs. Baumann to the Board of Directors with the recommendation that the decision of the San Francisco Trial Board be not approved and that the matter be referred to the Executive Committee without the formality of a regular appeal for their review of the action.

This motion was amended and adopted as follows: "that the Delegates request the Executive Committee to re-open the case of Judd vs. Baumann and investigate the findings of the San Francisco Trial Board."

Through an error of the Chairman of the Board of Directors, the matter was acted upon at its meeting and the Directors adopted the following resolution: "that the sense of the Board of Directors that the case of Judd vs. Baumann should take its usual course by appeal if the defendant feels aggrieved by the decision of the San Francisco Trial Board; that the Secretary communicate with Mrs. Baumann, informing her rights within ample time so that she may file an appeal if she so desires, this matter having been referred to the Board of Directors by
Delegates." The action of the Board of Directors was illegal for reason that the amendment at the Delegates meeting referred it to Executive Committee.

I beg to quote from the By-Laws, Article II, Section 3:-

"It (Executive Committee) shall have power to hear, review and finally determine any appeal which may be taken from the decision of a Trial Board."

Further, Article XIV:-

"A Trial Board shall have power to hear and decide by a majority vote of the entire board, matters submitted to it, and shall continue or remove the suspension or impose the penalty of disqualification. It shall keep complete minutes of its sittings. Its decisions shall be in writing signed by all members attending, and have annexed thereto all exhibits and papers offered before it. All decision, together with complete copies of its minutes, shall be filed with the Secretary of the Club within ten days of the date of the rendition of any decisions. It shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Club to notify, in writing, all parties in interest of the decision of a Trial Board.

An appeal from a decision of a Trial Board may be made to the Executive Committee. Notice of such appeal must be made to the Executive Committee in writing within thirty days after the receipt of such notice by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, accompanied by a deposit of twenty five ($25.00) dollars, which shall be forfeited if said appeal is not sustained."

In compliance with the resolution of the Board of Directors, the Secretary did notify the defendant, first, that she can appeal in accordance with the rules, second, that she could request that the Trial Board be directed to re-open the case upon the ground of new evidence. The has taken advantage of her privilege and filed her appeal this I have been informed by competent legal authority that the procedure that has been followed by this office from the time Trial Boards were established to the present, has not been in accordance with legal
Practice, in that; all cases of alleged misconduct have been referred by the Trial Board located nearest to the abiding place of the plaintiff. No rule has ever been adopted to govern such matters and as your Secretary has ever been adopted to govern on his own initiative.

In the above case of Judd vs. Baumann just decided by the San Francisco Trial Board, I referred the matter to that Board, the plaintiff living in Seattle, Washington, and the defendant living in Brooklyn, New York. This case now comes before the Committee upon the recommendation the Delegates at its meeting on May 18, 1915. As I interpret the rules, this Committee cannot undertake to consider the merits of the case at this meeting for the reason that an appeal has just been filed by the defendant and notice of same must be sent to the plaintiff; but I believe this Committee has the undoubted right to pass upon the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Trial Board in its investigation of the case in question.

Anticipating that an appeal would be filed, I forwarded all the papers together with the report of the San Francisco Trial Board, to our Legal Committee for its opinion as to whether the finding of said Board was according to the evidence before it but not upon the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Trial Board.

Your decision will affect other ones that are now pending and are to be heard on June 17th, and have been referred by me to Trial Boards in accordance with our previous practice.

Under date of May 19, 1915 I received a check from the Jersey City Kennel Club for its annual dues for 1915. This check was accompanied by the information that the President of the Club had been out of town for some time on account of ill health, which accounts for the delay in sending the check. This Club was automatically dropped from membership at the annual meeting of the American Kennel Club February 24, 1915. I have not accepted this check for said dues but have held it pending the decision
of this Committee.

Mr. Louis A. Meyer, as agent for Messrs. Hartley and Henry, has appealed from a decision on a protest made to the San Mateo Kennel Club. This case, as is the custom, should be referred to the San Francisco Trial Board, but I have delayed doing so pending your instructions.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The secretary presented the resolution of the Delegate of the matter of Judd vs. Baumann by direction of said Delegates.

In reviewing this case, the Board has only considered the question of the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Trial Board. And after a general discussion of the question it was

RESOLVED: that the Executive Committee finds that the case of Judd vs. Baumann was improperly sent to the San Francisco Trial Board for hearing through error in this office, it properly belonging to, and coming under this jurisdiction of the New York Trial Board. We do therefore recommend that this case be sent to the said New York Trial Board for its consideration. The disqualification of Mrs. Carl Baumann therefore is automatically removed.

By it further RESOLVED, - that the secretary be, and hereby is directed to return to Mrs. Baumann the appeal made by her on June 14, 1915 together with a deposit of $25.00 in accordance with the above decision of this Committee.

Carried.

In the matter of the payment of dues for 1915 by the Jersey City Kennel Club, said Club having been automatically dropped from membership on Feb 24, 1915, it was RESOLVED: -- that the secretary be directed to
receive said dues, credit same to the Jersey City Kennel Club and reinstate said member, such reinstatement to take effect on December 31, 1915.

Carried.

Adjourned.

Secretary
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

Held at its offices, No. 1 Liberty Street,
Wednesday, July 14th, 1915.

Vice-president H. H. HUNNEWELL in the Chair.

PRESENT:

MESSRS. E. H. HUNNEWELL, H. K. BLOODOODD,
A. G. HOOLEY, ROWLAND P. KEASBEY, and
A. CLINTON WILMERDING.

ATTENDING:

A. P. VREDENBURGH, Secretary.

The Secretary reported to the Committee that the following matters were up for consideration: Mrs. Anna R. Judd vs. Mrs. Carl Baumann, Re protest against decision of the Executive Committee of June 15, 1915. M. J. Mullin vs. Mrs. F. T. McGlinchey, Re protest against decision of the Executive Committee of June 15, 1915.

The protest in the Judd vs. Baumann matter is signed by Anna R. Judd in person and consists of eight typewritten sheets which I now present to the Chairman.
The protest in the matter of Mullin vs. McGlinchey is in the form of a letter signed by Charles Lamson Griffin, an Attorney Law, acting in behalf of Mr. Mullin and consists of three letters two by Mr. Griffin and one in response by your secretary.

THE CHAIRMAN: Have we a quorum?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, and Mr. Ralph C. Stewart said he would be here but has not yet appeared.

THE CHAIRMAN: We will take up as our first order of business the protest of Judd vs. Baumann. This protest is lengthy and appears to have been written by a woman but was no doubt dictated by her husband.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yea, it was undoubtedly dictated by her husband, and his legal ideas are very wide of the mark.

THE SECRETARY: There is one thing I would like to have made a matter of record at this meeting and that is, do you want the protest published or not? If it is published it has got to be edited considerably.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We will speak of that when we get through with our meeting and determine whether we want it published or not. How does that suit you, Mr. Chairman?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that would seem to be the proper way to handle that matter. But when we are considering the matter of editing it, can they come back on us for
anything she writes?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, they can, if we publish it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you mean to say that in a case like this where Mrs. Judd writes to us a communication if we publish it we are liable?

THE SECRETARY: We are liable. Mrs. Baumann could sue us for damages.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you mean to say Mrs. Baumann could sue us for publishing something written to us by Mrs. Judd?

THE SECRETARY: It cost you $6,000 for something that one of our delegates said.

THE CHAIRMAN: But that was a very different matter. I do not think that the cases are analogous at all.

MR. HOOLEY: Will anybody care particularly to have it published or to read it if published? If there is no demand to have it published, why run any risk?

THE CHAIRMAN: I am not discussing that. I say this is a very different case from that of the delegate who made certain statements. Here is a case where a written communication is sent to us and signed by Mrs. Judd.

THE SECRETARY: Shall I read this, gentlemen?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, read the communication by Mrs. Judd.

THE SECRETARY: (Reads the first part of the
communication and is interpreted when reading the following sentence at the bottom of the first page: "The Directors having acted and referred the case in question, their decision on that point is conclusive.")

THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a moment. "The San Francisco Trial Board is what appears in my copy, which is not the case referred to by you.

THE SECRETARY: It does not so appear here.

THE CHAIRMAN: I cannot held that. I have a copy here.

THE SECRETARY: I will read what I have (concludes reading of the sentence as follows: "Nor can it be highhandedly vacated on the mere statement that an error was committed in your office without pointing out what such error is, and why it should vitiate the extended and expensive proceedings which have taken based upon it."

THE CHAIRMAN: Now stop there. Let us settle that first, unless you want the whole thing read throught.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not care to have it all read. We might as well discuss this and settle this point now.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let us thresh these things out as we go along.

MR. BLOODGOOD: The Executive Committee has a right to create Trial Boards, they certainly have a right to decide where a trial
shall be heard. We send this woman to California to have her case tried and she is a poor woman to begin with. She has not had a fair show. They bring charges against her out there and she lives here in Brooklyn. It was not fair to the Baumann woman at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think we have to go further than that. It is not a question of fairness. This is a question of whether we had the right to do what we did. In this protest it is stated, “This is the only clause to be found which prescribes the jurisdiction of the Trial Board, and if attaches no territorial limitations, but authorizes the reference of any case to such Trial Board as may seem fit.” That is the point she makes. It seems to me we may assign these cases to any Trial Board we choose. It is stated here in my copy— I do not know whether it appears in your copy but it is in mine— “The Directors having acted and referred the case in question to the San Francisco Trial Board their decision on that point is conclusive”. The Directors did no such thing.

THE SECRETARY: No, the Secretary did that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: So far as that statement is concerned, it entirely imagination on the part of the one who inspired the protest.

THE CHAIRMAN: I am taking this thing up in detail and I now make the point that if we have the right to create.
Trial Boards we also have the right to say where cases may be tried and also say where the proper places are.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes. I notice that in a part of this protest there is a statement about a criminal action and all that sort of thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, don’t you think it is a good idea to go through these things in detail and then get the whole thing in our minds? Now, go on.

THE SECRETARY: (Continues to read from the last line of page 1 and continues on page 2 and is interrupted when reading the follows: “You will see that this fixing of the jurisdiction of the Trial Board also contains no territorial limitations, but that it is given full power to hear all matters submitted to it without any qualifications.”

THE CHAIRMAN: That has nothing to do with the subject before us, that I can perceive? What is your point on Section 2?

THE SECRETARY: That is Section 1, Article XIV.

THE CHAIRMAN: The section I refers to, Section 3, Article II says, “It shall have power to hear, review and finally determine any appeal which may be taken from the decision of a Trial Board.”

THE SECRETARY: That refers to the Executive Committee. That is not the Trial Board.
THE CHAIRMAN: I am not concerned for the moment with the Trial Board. It is now, to my mind, a question of what we can do. I do not see that that article has anything to do with it at all.

MR. HOOLEY: Yes, I think from their standpoint it has, because she claims that it was a decision perfectly just and equitable and all right. She further makes the point that it was up to the Executive Committee to determine where the case should be tried and wherever the case was sent there it should have been tried, and as the Secretary sent it to the San Francisco Trial Board that is the whole story. I think that is the most pertinent part of her letter.

MR. KEASBEY: Her contention is that it was sent there and that, therefore, the San Francisco Trial Board had full jurisdiction.

MR. BLOODGOOD: But this is a case where the action was practically sent to California for trial. The defendant resides here in New York and the case was really brought against her here.

THE CHAIRMAN: That has nothing to do with it.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not know about that. If Mrs. Judd brought this case in any Court of Law she would have had to pay damages upon the trial because she absolutely cancelled her contract. She had no contract with
this woman. She eliminated this woman in every way.

MR. WILMERDING: You mean the Judd woman?

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes. In a Court of Law she would have had no standing whatever. The only thing, to my mind, before us is a question of equity to this defendant.

MR. KEASBETY: That is the way it looks to me.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think the merits of the case have anything to do with it at all.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not mean to say that the merits of the case have entirely all to do with it, but we have to so act as to do justice and see that it is done. This was not fair to this woman. It is very well for Mrs. Judd to go on and say that Mrs. Baumann accepted that foreign jurisdiction. As a matter of fact, Mrs. Baumann was instructed by us to take her case to San Francisco and there have it tried before that Trial Board. If she had not done so she would have been disqualified.

THE CHAIRMAN: She had to do that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: She had to do that. It naturally follows that doing something she had to do did not compel her to waive her rights at all. Here is an ignorant woman as a matter of fact, the Trial Board’s decision was entirely too severe, to my mind, in this case. By such action you take away a member’s rights absolutely and under certain circumstances I can readily see that the member would have
no ability, by means of circumstances, to defend herself.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think we can go into the merits of the case at all.

MR. HOOLEY: How can we go into this case at all?

THE CHAIRMAN: It is a question whether we can act as we did at the last meeting. We cannot go into the merits of the case until the facts are before us.

MR. HOOLEY: If we had a right to act as we did at the last meeting then we have a right to take this matter up today and determine it. What we did the other day we had a right to do and no doubt we will act today in the same way. Now what can we do?

MR. BLOODGOOD: This Board is here to see that justice is done.

MR. HOOLEY: There is no doubt about that at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: I quite agree with. That is all we are here for and that is all we hope to do.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We are here to see that justice is done to members of this organization and we are not here to permit any injustice. If we think an injustice was done then it is our duty here today to correct that injustice. This Board can create Trial Boards and we have the power to act with full equity jurisdiction.
THE CHAIRMAN: We can make ourselves a Trial Board.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We can make ourselves a Trial Board and send any case to any Trial Board as we wish to. We have the power to say now and here that we do not believe this was a proper case to send to San Francisco. We may act putting entirely aside any question of contract. As a matter of fact, Mrs. Judd has stated in her protest that she does not claim to have a contract and has abandoned and claim to have a contract and has abandoned any claim to one. It was not a fair trial.

MR. HOOLEY: That is what we did say.

THE CHAIRMAN: Apart from that, we do not want to slap our San Francisco Trial Board.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Not at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: What are we taking minutes of this meeting so far?

THE SECRETARY: Because it is a very important meeting and the minutes should be taken, in my opinion.

THE CHAIRMAN: But you will necessarily have to cut out an awful lot of this.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not want to say that it was not a fair trial on the part of the San Francisco Trial Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, I understand that perfectly.

MR. BLOODGOOD: What I do want to say and what I mean to say is that it was not a fair trial because this
woman lives here in Brooklyn and was not able to go there and defend herself. In the first place she had no money to go out there and put a proper defense. She is a poor woman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Your answer to that is if they want to prefer charges over here and have not the money to do it with, they should not do it.

MR. BLOODGOOD: In the first place, under such circumstances, they have no business to prefer charges-

THE CHAIRMAN: But supposing for the sake of argument that the complaint did have a just case and had a right to prefer charges?

MR. BLOODGOOD: They have a right to prefer charges but they cannot designate where they shall be tried.

THE CHAIRMAN: But you harp on the statement that they did not have a right to prefer charges, that they did not have a cause of action. That is not for us to decide. The case is not before us to decide on its merits.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I did not mean that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Suppose they did have a perfectly good case? Take that as the basis for argument, that they did have a right to prefer charges. Now, where would the charges be preferred?
MR. WILMERDING: I think the two points made in these two paragraphs are odd; I think they are reasonable. I think we made a mistake the other day in settling the case as we did, and the one had point is that we are going back of our San Francisco Trial Board. Another bad feature is that the thing will become, it seems to me, retroactive in all cases to be decided by the Trial Boards, and every one not pleased with verdicts can demand to have their cases reviewed.

MR. HOOLEY: That is up to us.

MR. BLOODGOOD: As a matter of fact, the Executive Board here has a right, according to the constitution, to put it anywhere they please.

MR. WILMERDING: But they have done it and the matter has been closed.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Never mind that we have done and never mind how the matter has been disposed of. If it was not done right and if it was not disposed of with justice we will do right and justice now. That is the basis we should act on.

MR. WILMERDING: The matter was given for consideration and determination to the San Francisco Trial Board and by that Board settled. It seems to me that we an error when we did not accept that woman’s appeal.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We made an error on what basis?
MR. WILMERDING: On the basis that there is no rule here directing our Secretary to send these cases to any definite Trial Board, therefore he has the privilege of sending them where he pleases. As a matter of fact, such cases should be tried in the defendant’s territory. In this case a mistake has been made in sending it to San Francisco Trial Board to go into the matter and decide it. They have settled the matter and the only thing to do is to let the woman appeal or we stultify ourselves.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I cannot see it that way.

MR. WILMERDING: And we are going to be criticized otherwise.

MR. KEASBEY: This matter was all threshed out before.

MR. WILMERDING: But I have awakened and I do not think do now.

MR. KEASBEY: I am not convinced.

THE SECRETARY: I think the whole question rests on one single point: The Executive Board has the right to review and the Executive Board must listen to appeals. This Mrs. Baumann was given her choice, either to ask for a reopening of the case on the ground of new and additional testimony, or to appeal to the Executive Committee. She call-
ed at the office and I again stated to her the two courses she could pursue. She said, “We have made up our mind to appeal.” She appealed in a legal way and, in my opinion, right there is where we made our error in not listening to that appeal.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Allow me to interrupt you a moment right there. Was she not given to understand that she had to appeal?

THE SECRETARY: She was written to that effect, that she had the right to appeal?

THE SECRETARY: She was given her choice right then when she was here. She and her husband called after she received that letter from me and I again told her plainly that she could ask to have the case reopened on account of new testimony that was not before the Board in the original case, which would cost her nothing, or if she did not want to do that she could appeal to the Executive Committee and pay her deposit of $25. And thereupon she and her husband consulted in this room and said, “We have determined to appeal and we will send you that appeal”. She did send that appeal together with the necessary deposit. It was in my hands before necessary deposit. It was in my hands before the last meeting of this Executive Committee and I reported that I had the appeal with the
necessary deposit. That is the only weak point in our case.

THE CHAIRMAN: That may be the point, but here we have all these protests and statements by this complainant. This complainant or protestant states that we have not the right to do these things. Now, as a matter of fact, we have the right.

THE SECRETARY: I don't know about that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: There is not the slightest doubt that we have the right to do these things.

THE CHAIRMAN: We ought to tell her that she is all wrong and that we will do as we please and do what we think is justice. I do not think we should do as Mrs. Judd says we should do.

MR. WILMERDING: I think at this moment we ought to go very carefully in this matter. I think her points are well taken.

MR. KEASBEY: This protest is full of accusations against that woman.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think we have nothing to do with the accusations against the woman. We can eliminate what she tells us about what we ought to do. Here, for instance, is one of her statements, "As a matter of law the San Francisco Trial Board was the proper one to this case".

MR. BLOODGOOD: And we say that we think it was
MR. WILMERDING: I am not biased one way or the other, but I think these matters should be given most careful consideration.

MR. HOOLEY: I think Executive Committee has the right at any time to take the entire jurisdiction of the case and decide it in any way that justice requires our decision and go over the head of our Trial Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is what I understand and that is what I want the understanding to be.

MR. BLOODGOOD: And that we did what we have the right to do. We do not want to sit down and let these people ride over us.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, we do not want that to occur.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I put this case before a friend of mine, a lawyer who is a very prominent man, and he told me that from his standpoint this was all piffle.

MR. WILMERDING: I think that the points are well taken that we have no right to go back the San Francisco Trial Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: And then she states, "If I should now prosecute this case, 3,00 miles from home, before the New York Trial Board, how do I know that if such Board reaches a decision that then this Committee may not again, of its motion, upset all the proceedings by a resolution which
says that the officials of the Club made some mistake",

MR. WILMERDING: I think that is good argument.

MR. BLOODGOOD: It may be good argument but I do not think it is in very good taste. The original letter starts out on the basis of unfairness on our part. The letter that accompanies this starts on that basis.

THE SECRETARY: I would like to state that one of your Legal Committee in a conversation over the telephone said that he thought that they were very strong on one point.

THE CHAIRMAN: What point is that?

THE SECRETARY: The point I refer to is that we had an appeal and that we had an appeal and that we returned it to Mrs. Baumann.

MR. BLOODGOOD: The point that we accepted the money on deposit and the appeal?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: It was not officially accepted.

THE SECRETARY: Yes it was, and I sent her a receipt for it and put it through the books.

THE CHAIRMAN: Where money is sent you, you are bound to send a receipt. That does not necessarily bind this Committee.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, but I put it through the books as an appeal to the Executive Committee. Neither the Executive Committee nor the Board of Directors have to do
anything official in accepting an appeal or a deposit. When we give an appellant an opportunity to do such a thing, under our by-laws, and the appellant does it, it is not necessary to get permission from anybody.

THE CHAIRMAN: I dare say, but at the same time the Executive Committee repudiated the appeal when we made the decision that we did make.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We thought the appeal was unnecessary.

THE CHAIRMAN: We thought the appeal was unnecessary and whether a record of the remittance went through your books or not has nothing to do with it. You had to acknowledge the money under any circumstances.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We hold that we do not think, this thing having been tried out, there was a trial fair to Mrs. Baumann.

THE CHAIRMAN: There is no objection from Mrs. Baumann on her appeal being sent back?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. BLOODGOOD: That was the idea of this Board, that Mrs. Baumann having had to go to San Francisco for trial and having been tried there it was not a fair proposition. Mind you, she did not bring this thing against 'somebody out there; they brought it against her here, and there is no reason in the world why she should have been sent away out there when she was entitled to be tried [illegible]
out there when she was entitled to be tried here within this jurisdiction. Mrs. Judd lays a
lot of stress upon the waiver of the question of jurisdiction by Mrs. Baumann. That is
ridiculous.

MR. HOOLEY: And she lays a lot of stress on criminality.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is another question, whether it was entirely a civil matter
or a civil and criminal matter.

MR. HOOLEY: We have nothing to do with that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Absolutely on the facts as presented in this protest there is
no foundation for either a civil or a criminal suit in any court.

THE CHAIRMAN: If it is a criminal case, that has nothing to do with us.

MR. BLOODGOOD: No, but as a matter of fact she has nothing to stand on in
any kind of a case, civil or criminal. She put herself out of court when she cancelled her
contract and she cancelled that contract herself. I don't care whether Mrs. Baumann
sold that dog ten times over or not, as the record now stand Mrs. Judd has not a leg to
stand on.

THE CHAIRMAN: That has nothing to do with us.

MR. BLOODGOOD: It has, to determine whether here is a case either civil or
criminal. As to any question of
crime having been conditioned, that is another matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: As I say, that has nothing to do with us.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not suppose it has, but there was no crime committed. If there was anything at all committed it was a breach of a civil contract sounding in civil damages. Then under the rule of the law the locality of the defendant should determine the place of trial.

MR. KEASBEY: Mrs. Judd principal argument that it should be tried in San Francisco is based on her allegation that the act was criminal.

THE SECRETARY: The verdict is that Mrs. Baumann should be disqualified for dishonorable conduct. That is the verdict of the San Francisco Trial Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Judd claims it was a criminal act.

MR. HOOLEY: None of that published?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. HOOLEY: Was that published?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, that was published.

MR. HOOLEY: Then I do not see why Mrs. Baumann has not got a case against us.

MR. BLOODGOOD: This is a fairytale,

MR. HOOLEY: We headed off any case Mrs. Baumann might have against us by doing what we have done. Otherwise
she might have had a case against us.

MR. BLOODGOOD: As to any question whether or not Mrs. Judd has a case at
law, I say she has not a leg to stand on; and as to there being anything criminal in this
whole matter that is ridiculous, it is absurd.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is her contention here that there is criminality involved in
this proceeding?

MR. BLOODGOOD: It is merely a broad statement to that effect without involved
in this proceeding?

THE CHAIRMAN: I think the trouble with you, Mr. Bloodgood, is that you have
the case so in your mind that you insist upon thinking of the case and of its merits. I think
we ought to decide this thing absolutely apart from whether she has a case or not to
what her case is. I think it is an entirely distinct matter. The case is not before us.

MR. BLOODGOOD: How can you separate our decision from the merits of the
case?

THE SECRETARY: The present status is that this case has been referred to the
New York Trial Board for an original trial.

THE CHAIRMAN: What does she mean by saying at the close of her protest, “I
have also sent a copy to the New York Trial Board, requesting them to take no steps in
this matter until the question hereby raised has been disposed of”?
She has no business to do what?

MR. WILMERDING: Then why have we called this meeting? I was surprised when I got this notice.

MR. HOOLEY: Yes, then why are we here?

MR. BLOODGOOD: To consider this communication which I consider is a very impertinent communication.

MR. WILMERDING: Have we power to undo our actions taken at the last meeting?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course we have.

MR. WILMERDING: To bring it to a hear I will make a motion

THE SECRETARY: Put in “Having considered the protest.”

MR. WILMERDING: I move, having considered the protest.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is not a protest.

THE SECRETARY: Yes it is.

MR. WILMERDING: I make a motion, in order to bring the matter to a head, that the protested action of this body be –

THE SECRETARY: Affirmed.

MR. WILMERDING: No, not affirmed.
MR. HOOLEY: Rescinded?
MR. WILMERDING: No, not rescinded.
THE SECRETARY: Be reconsidered?
MR. WILMERDING: Be reconsidered, to use that word reconsidered. I may change it again. And that the defendant, Mrs. Baumann, be ordered to file her appeal with the customary deposit so that the case may take its regular course. That is the only way to get out of it.

MR. HOOLEY: We can have a vote on that.
MR. BLOODGOOD: I cannot agree to that.
MR. HOOLEY: I cannot either.
MR. KEASBEY: If Mr. Wilmerding will just reverse that motion to the effect that we stand by our previous decision then I will vote aye. I am of the opinion that to even make a motion like that looks as if we were giving too much of compliment to them. For that reason I am not in favor of considering any motion one way or the other. It would be the same way if we made it a motion sustaining the action of the committee or a motion to be voted down reconsidering the action of the Committee.

MR. WILMERDING: I am still of the opinion that we made a mistake.
THE CHAIRMAN: Is that motion seconded? (No second.)
MR. BLOODGOOD: I cannot see that at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is the motion seconded? (No response). Then that motion is lost or, rather, there is no motion before us. I think that that motion looks as if we were taking this thing to seriously. I do not see anything in the whole matter.

MR. WILMERDING: You have had other people talk to you about it?

MR. BLOODGOOD: I have had other people talk to me about it.

MR. WILMERDING: It could be qualified a little differently.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I discussed our action taken at the last meeting and I consulted one of the judges of the Grievance Committee or of the Bar Association who has been a Judge on the Grievance Committee for ten years, and I consulted Mr. Steeling of Morgan & Company. I took it before him. Both of them said that they could not see how we could hear that appeal at all. They also thought that if we considered that this was not a fair trial—not from the San Francisco Trial Board’s standpoint—but not a fair trial is a matter of jurisdiction that the Committee ought to refer it to the New York Trial Board and that that would be proper course to take, as the appeal was not before us. are here acting in the capacity of Judges of the
Supreme Court, that is what we are, and our powers according to the constitution are very sweeping.

MR. WILMERDING: Even so, we do not want to do anything, Mr. Bloodgood, that will subject us to criticism.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Mr. Wilmerding, I do not care what criticism I may subject myself to so long as I feel that I am doing right and administering justice.

MR. WILMERDING: We all feel that way.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I believe that we all feel that way. I do not mean to say that I am the only one who feels that way. I do not say that I am the only one who feels that way. I do mean to say that as far as criticism goes it does not cut any ice with me, if I am doing what I think is right and just.

MR. HOOLEY: With your permission, Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask the Secretary if there are any cases similar to this that have occurred within the last two years?

THE SECRETARY: They go back as far as the time when Trial Boards were established.

MR. HOOLEY: Let us go back that far. In the majority of cases you have not sent them where it has been difficult for either party, as a rule?

THE SECRETARY: I have sent every case, with one exception, as far as I can recall, to the Trial Board nearest to the residence of the plaintiff.
MR. WILMERDING: Is not that the wrong way?

THE SECRETARY: That may be; I do not say anything about that. But that has been our custom for the last seven years.

MR. BLOODGOOD: You can fall back on that. I do not think that was a correct system.

THE SECRETARY: That may be; I do not say anything about the that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: But we can fall back on that because it was the accepted practice. There is no question as to our power to send the case to any Trial Board we want to send it to. We create those Trial Boards and if we feel a case should come before a certain Trial Board and will get better handling there we have the power to do that. There is no doubt about that.

MR. HOOLEY: No, there is no question about that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I think the stand we ought to take now in connection with this matter is not on the basis of legality but on the basis of equity and for the reason that it was not fair to Mrs. Baumann to send her out there to San Francisco for trial. I believe that the trial in all fairness should have been held here.

MR. WILMERDING: Suppose, on the other hand, the other party like Mrs. Baumann, could not afford to prosecute the case here. Take it either way. It is practically
because Mrs. Baumann was poor in his one instance that a certain argument has been made in her behalf but that is not involved in the merits of the case. I do not think there is any question but the rule stated where the Secretary was to send these cases and it has been up to him to send them where he chose.

THE SECRETARY: You must bear in mind that at the last meeting was directed by resolution to send all cases to the Trial Board nearest to the residing place of the defendant.

MR. WILMERDING: That is the proper thing. I have no doubt of that. That is the right way.

MR. HOOLEY: I feel that if we are exercising the powers, so to speak, of a Supreme Court or a Court of Appeals, that we should exercise those powers in the way customary in such courts. Very often in judicial practice you will find that the Court of Appeals will simply throw a case out and say that in the judgment of the Court the case was unfairly tried, that he was tried in a way and in a court where he did not have a chance to get justice. Therefore the law books are full of precedents to the effect that cases are reversed and tried over again and therefore you take it to such and such a court and try it. That is just what we do here. That is what is done in the Courts of Law day after day, not only in this country but in Europe,
There is no reason why we should not follow that practice here.

MR. WILMERDING: Then you are overruling your San Francisco Trial Board.

MR. HOOLEY: No, no, we accept that ruling but we acknowledge that we made a mistake in sending the case to that jurisdiction for trial.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We are willing to accept the burden of having made a mistake in sending it there.

MR. WILMERDING: But we have no precedent to say that it was a mistake.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We do not need a precedent.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let us make a precedent.

MR. HOOLEY: Let us make a rule.

MR. BLOODGOOD: It is too late for this case. That would be a retroactive rule.

MR. HOOLEY: No, I do not think so.

MR. BLOODGOOD: There is only one way our decision could be questioned, in throwing, the California Board out of the last session because we talked about a contract here. The contract was made here and the case should have been sent here originally but, as a matter of fact, Mrs. Judd eliminated any question of contract; she cancelled it.

MR. HOOLEY: Absolutely.

MR. BLOODGOOD: By cancelling her contract she was
left without any case on a contract. If she now sought to try this case on a question of dishonorable conduct certainly Mrs. Baumann should have an opportunity to be heard.

MR. WILMERDING: Now you are getting into the merits of the case here.

MR. BLOODGOOD: You have to get into the merits of the case to know where you are at.

MR. WILMERDING: We are not discussing the merits of the case here.

MR. BLOODGOOD: You have to discuss the merits of the case to a certain extent. But we are not now determining the merits of the case.

MR. WILMERDING: We have all read that thing?

MR. BLOODGOOD: I know that we have all read it but you do not suppose I am going to sit here and take what this lawyer sends to me as gospel when I know it is not gospel. We are not going into the merits of the case but we are discussing the subject of her case. Was that subject just dishonorable conduct?

THE SECRETARY: I do not remember. It was a long matter.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Did Mrs. Judd bring in this question of contract?

THE SECRETARY: I do not think there was any
mention made of the contract.

MR. BLOODGOOD: But she made a claim against Mrs. Baumann, didn’t she, on the basis of having sold this dog?

THE SECRETARY: On the ground that Mrs. Baumam sold the dog to someone else for the reason that she got more money for it then she, Mrs. Judd, was to pay her, and after that then she cancelled her contract and asked for the return of the deposit. That is as near as I can remember.

MR. BLOODGOOD: She got the return of her deposit?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, and we have the cancelled check.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Then Mrs. Judd is out of court.

MR. HOOLEY: That is the way it looks to me.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Absolutely. If she had brought this action in a Civil Court she would have had to pay the costs. There is no question at all but that in a civil action the Court would have dismissed the complaint and taxed the costs against the complainant,

THE SECRETARY: When I received the appeal, or when Mrs. Baumann notified me that she was going to appeal, there was such a mess of documentary evidence in the record of testimony taken before the San Francisco Trial Board that in order to save this Board half a day going over the appeal and the voluminous record I sent the record to one of the
members of our Legal Committee. It took him three-quarters of a day to study it and then he sent it to another member of the Legal Committee. After careful study both of them came to the same opinion, that the San Francisco Trial Board acted properly on the evidence before it. That is all I know about the case.

  MR. BLOODGOOD: That was his opinion?
  THE SECRETARY: That was their opinion.
  MR. WILMERDING: Mr. Cutler and who?
  THE SECRETARY: Mr. Cutler and Ralph Stewart.
  MR. KEASBEY: That is all right. We are not questioning the decision of the Trial Board at San Francisco. We are only questioning the jurisdiction of that Board. That is the only point in issue.
  MR. BLOODGOOD: I quite agree with you. We were wrong in sending it there and we should correct the wrong.
  MR. HOOLEY: The New York Trial Board may on the same state of facts act entirely different.
  MR. WILMERDING: I think the only proper order is for the woman to appeal.
  MR. BLOODGOOD: This letter is all twaddle. There was no crime committed.
  MR. WILMERDING: We are all agreed on that. The only thing is that the thing has been done and done according a rule heretofore in existence, according to a regular heretofore? in existence. We made the mistake of our
lives when we did the other thing.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I think the attitude of the Board should be one looking toward complete justice and absolutely irrespective of anything that has happened heretofore and I am firmly convinced that this case should come before the New York Trial Board. It is not fair to the defendant to force her to go out to California.

MR. HOOLEY: Do not forget one thing and that is that the delegates by a vote felt that same way. They showed their feeling in the matter by voting on the question and asking us to go into this thing. We are not doing this on our own hook. Our delegates have voted to refer this to us with a recommendation to act.

THE CHAIRMAN: At the top of page 6 of this protest you will notice this: "Another reason why this case should not be heard by the New York Trial Board is because they are practically next door neighbors to Mrs. Baumann. San Francisco is a thousand miles from Seattle, but Brooklyn is separated from New York only by the East River".

MR. HOOLEY: That is a nice reflection on the Executive Committee and the New York Trial Board.

MR. BLOODGOOD: That is as much as to say that the New York Trial Board is crooked.

THE SECRETARY: That is what all lawyers do in
sending in a brief like that. They attack everybody, but that does not amount to anything.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not think all lawyers do that, because a man in business going before a Court would not insinuate that that court was crooked. I think any such allegation is that in a pleading or in a brief would subject, the pleader to punishment for contempt of court.

THE SECRETARY: You ought to see the brief that our lawyer sent to the Appellate Division. You would think from that that the other people were devils.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes, the other people, but not the Court. He would not dare say that he does not trust the Court.

THE CHAIRMAN: And as to this reference to, the criminal thing, what about that?

MR. HOOLEY: That is right at the top of page 2.

MR. KEASBEY: No, I beg your pardon, it is at the top of page 3.

MR. BLOODGOOD: You may abuse the other fellow as much as you please but do not abuse the court that tries you.

THE CHAIRMAN: We are quite agreed as to that. The charge here is that there was something criminal but I do not see anything in the way of facts to justify it. That is brought in, I presume, to justify the contention"
That the jurisdiction should be in San Francisco because she says, “In all criminal cases it is universally the law that the trial shall take place in the jurisdiction in which the offense was committed.” Then she does on to argue that because she read an advertisement in Seattle and sent the check from there that that is the locus of the commission of whatever crime it is that she has in mind. I don’t think there is anything to that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: of course there is not.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let me read this that I have hastily scribbled as an answer to his letter. We have to answer this protest.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes, I should think an answer ought to be written but I question what kind of an answer.

THE CHAIRMAN: We will decide what we want to do at all but it is an answer to this protest. As I said, it has been hurriedly written:

"That in answer to protest No. I, in our opinion our by-laws give us full power to act as we did at the last meeting held June 15th, 1915.

"In answer to II, in our opinion the proper place for this trial should have been New York, not
San Francisco.

"III. But in answer to III, we are not in any way challenging the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Trial Board. The question of the defendant waiving the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Trial Board or submitting to the Trial Board has nothing to do with the matter, as she did as she was told. In our opinion this is not a criminal point as claimed in the protest filed by the plaintiff.

"In answer to IV, the reason for our action was that the case should have been tried in New York. This is due to a mistake of our office. There is nothing but justice behind the scenery".

We ought to act on that now and she should have an answer.

MR. BLOODGOOD: She has a right to an answer but she has no right to dictate to us to spread things on our records.

MR. WILMERDING: I think it is undignified to answer that in that way. It might be answered in a few words. In any event, it is a vindictive, wretched things, this protest, and will involve other charges.

THE CHAIRMAN: One purpose is to make it perfectly clear that we think we had a perfect right to act as we did.

MR. WILMERDING: I have expressed myself and I
feel very strongly. I cannot change any ideas.

THE CHAIRMAN: But we have a perfect right to act as we did.

THE SECRETARY: Hold on, but you are challenging the jurisdiction.

You say, "We are not in any way challenging the jurisdiction".

THE CHAIRMAN: That is right.

THE SECRETARY: I would put it, "We are not in any way challenging the judgment of the San Francisco Trial Board".

THE CHAIRMAN: You change it accordingly. Read this thing through. I want to put myself on record that we have a perfect right to do this and to do what we have done.

THE SECRETARY: I think it is quite proper to put such a thing on the record.

THE CHAIRMAN: You can do anything you want to do, That is not what we are going to do. That is simply a statement that we have a right to do as we did. I think we had such a right. If we did not we had better find it out.

THE SECRETARY: In the first place, there is a protest brought before this Board. It does not make any difference whether you consider that protest insulting to this Board or not. We have got to listen to the protest, no matter what the protest is. This is saying that we did listen to the protest and what our opinion is.

THE CHAIRMAN: We ought to answer that is some way.
MR. WILMERDING: It is not intended as a letter to her?

THE SECRETARY: No, no.

THE CHAIRMAN: But you have to answer her in some way?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, and we may tell her she will find the result of this meeting in The Gazette of July 31st.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then you ought to revise that.

THE SECRETARY: I do not think so. The letter will then read: "After carefully going over Mrs. Judd's protest, the Committee feels as follow in answer to the same:

"1. In its opinion our by-laws give us full power to act as we did at the last meeting held June 15th, 1915;

"2. In its opinion the proper place for this trial should have been in New York, not in San Francisco;

"3. We are not in any way challenging the judgment of the San Francisco Trial Board. The question of the defendant waiving the decision or submitting to it has nothing to do with the matter, as she did as she was told"—

MR. HOOLEY: I think that word "told" should be taken out and that we should insert that we instructed her.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes, that we instructed nor.

MR. WILMERDING: Referring back to the first paragraph where you state, "In our opinion our by-laws give us full power to act as we did at the last meeting held
June 15th, 1915. We might specify a little more fully there.

THE SECRETARY: (Continuing to read) "In our opinion this is not a criminal
point"—

MR. HOOLEY: Make that "case".

THE SECRETARY: Yes, criminal case. (Continuing to read)

4. The reason for our action was that the case should have been tried in
New York"--

MR. BLOODGOOD: That is repetition.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, that is repetition.

THE CHAIRMAN: Read it.

THE SECRETARY: (Continuing) "This is due to a mistake of our office. There is nothing but justice behind the scenery".

MR. WILMERDING: Cut that out.

THE SECRETARY: Take out the whole fourth paragraph?

THE CHAIRMAN: Say that as to the fourth statement the mistake was due to
our office and that we are not challenging the judgment of the San Francisco Trial
Board.

THE SECRETARY: Because she did as she was instructed?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. WILMERDING: At the last meeting we stated it was a mistake in the
office here, but it was a question in
my mind whether it was or not.

THE CHAIRMAN: Did Mr. Belmont say anything about it?

THE SECRETARY: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: There is no question in my mind but the thing should have been tried in New York instead of in San Francisco, and the question is whether it is easier to get out of it by letting it go and have her appeal or have it properly tried.

MR. WILMERDING: I think the best way out is to let her appeal it and we can go back on our previous decision.

THE CHAIRMAN: This answer does not affect what we do now?

MR. WILMERDING: Does that go in the minutes?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. WILMERDING: Is it published?

THE CHAIRMAN: I think it ought to be published.

MR. WILMERDING: If published then her charges ought to be published, paragraph by paragraph, otherwise people will not know what it means.

THE SECRETARY: You mean this?

MR. WILMERDING: Yes. What I contended for before was that the Committee consider this matter as a body without recording what we say or do in the minutes or without sending a categorical reply that shall appear in the minutes but
simply decide it is you gentlemen say and render a decision.

THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to take back water on whether we had the right to do it or not. I think we had a perfect right to do it. If we could do it then we can do it now. I do not propose to say we were wrong. I think we has a perfect right to do what we did.

MR. WILMERDING: The question is still open in my mind whether we were wrong in sending it to San Francisco or not. It probably should have been tried here but there was nothing to guide us in saying that we made a mistake.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Just consider that this is a Supreme Court here and we are supposed to act in fairness. Is not that it?

MR. WILMERDING: Absolutely.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Now, is it a fair thing for a plaintiff to force this defendant to go away out there? Mind you, away out to San Francisco is a distant place. Now, if you know anything about the law, if Mrs. Judd had brought an action or brought a civil suit against Mrs. Baumann in the California Courts and got a decision that decision would not be worth the paper it was written on. As a matter of fact, she could not have secured a decision. Do you suppose the New York laws would acknowledge anything of that, sort? It could not. Mrs. Judd is out of court on the question of contract because she eliminated the con-
contract. But the contract was made in the State of New York, the property was here.

MR. WILMERDING: But we have no rule to follow as to that. Again, do not forget that the plaintiff had to go 2,000 miles while the defendant had to go only 3,000 miles.

MR. BLOODGOOD: This plaintiff was bound to prove the defendant guilty. It was up to the plaintiff to prove this person guilty. She is not guilty until she has been proven guilty.

MR. WILMERDING: That is the reason I favor the case always being tried in the defendant's territory. As you say, it is well known that the law presumes a defendant innocent until proven guilty. But I am sticking to the plaintiff here.

MR. BLOODGOOD: You are sticking to a precedent carried on in this place. If the precedent has been wrong we want to change it; we do not want to sit here and do anything wrong.

MR. WILMERDING: But why change it in this case?

MR. BLOODGOOD: Why not change it in this case as well as in any other? Why should we make an exception of this and say we wont do justice to this defendant but we will in the next case that comes along?

MR. WILMERDING: But the thing has been decided
by the San Francisco Trial Board. It is practically over with. Now we are trying to undo it.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, we are considering retrying it.

MR. WILMERDING: The only thing I will vote for is to retry the case. You can have me in the minority if you want me.

MR. BLOODOOOD: If the case was on appeal here I would vote to reverse the judgment on the ground that the disqualification of the woman is entirely too strong.

MR. WILMERDING: My previous motion was not seconded?

THE SECRETARY: No.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I think the attitude that we should take is the attitude of fairness.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we ought to have done was to advise Mrs. Baumann to appeal to the New York Trial Board.

THE SECRETARY: That is simply to ask for a change of venue.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is what we ought to have done.

THE SECRETARY: As the case now stands, the case has got to come before the New York Trial Board unless you reconsider that action.

MR. HOOLEY: It would come before the New York
Trial Board in any case.

THE CHAIRMAN: Have you heard anything from the San Francisco Trial Board?

THE SECRETARY: They do not Bay anything about it at all.

MR. WILMERDING: I am not influenced by the opinion of the two lawyers who spoke to you about this matter, who said that thing should come up in an appeal and not as decided. I formed my opinion before you saw those two men. It is a case where there is sane possibility of considerable diversity of opinion.

MR. BLOODGOOD: You forget one thing, that we have power that goes farther than a Court of Law.

MR. WILMERDING: It would be very bad to use those powers arbitrarily.

MR. BLOODGOOD: But we are not going to use those powers arbitrarily; we are going to use them in fairness.

MR. WILMERDING: That is, of course, your individual-opinion, that it is fair. The case has been decided by one Trial Board. The question is now, do you want to go over their heads.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not think that Mrs. Baumann has had a fair show. At the same time, she may be guilty and if I tried the thing and I believed she was guilty I would decide her guilty.
THE CHAIRMAN: It is not a question of that so much but a question of whether she should or should not have been tried there. I say she should not have been tried there.

MR. BLOODGOOD: It is a question of fairness, too. That is one reason why she should not have been tried there.

THE CHAIRMAN: I quite agree with you, it never should have been tried there.

THE SECRETARY: I have had no reason to change my mind on the suggestion that I made at the last meeting, that if you decide you could say that hereafter all cases be sent to the Trial Board located nearest the territory of the defendant.

MR. WILMERDING: That is the only way that I can see.

THE SECRETARY: Because I only recall one case where I took it away from the territory of the plaintiff and that was because the Chicago Trial Board never will attend to any business sent to it.

MR. BLOODGOOD: This Board has a perfect right to send it to any Trial Board it desires to send it to. According to our constitution we have a right to send it to any Trial Board we want to.

THE SECRETARY: So I sent that particular case to the Boston Trial Board where the defendant lived. That is
the only case I can recall where I did not send it to the Trial Board nearest the territory of the plaintiff.

MR. HOOLEY: Most of our cases are all around here, with the exception of one case. What was that case? We had a Texas case that came to New York.

MR. WILMERDING: We had a lot of cases from Kansas City that came before our Board and we decided that by right they should go to Chicago. They came before us instead of going to Chicago. There will be an awful row if we make a rule such as is now contemplated in regard to this San Francisco case.

THE CHAIRMAN: When you take the difference between Chicago, Texas and New York, it is very little.

MR. HOOLEY: I suppose in any one of those cases, we with the power of a Supreme Court can decide as to what disposition shall be made of them.

MR. WILMERDING: I do not like that Supreme Court idea. We have rules or we have not. It sounds very dictatorial.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Our Executive Committee had more power than the United States Supreme Court.

THE SECRETARY: You can do as you please.

MR. WILMERDING: As a matter of information, what did the Executive Committee ask us to consider at their last meeting? What did the delegates instruct us to do?
THE SECRETARY:  To review the case.
MR. WILMERDING:  Did they use the word "instruct"?  Are they superior to the Executive Committee?
THE SECRETARY:  No, they recommended.
MR. WILMERDING:  They recommended us to review the case without any instructions?
THE SECRETARY:  They could not instruct us.
MR. HOOLEY:  They gave us their advice.
THE CHAIRMAN:  How could they advise us?
MR. HOOLEY:  One delegate had a package of papers that thick (indicating) and gave us his opinion at great length.
THE SECRETARY:  They merely recommended.
MR. WILMERDING:  Did they not recommend that you accept an appeal from Mrs. Baumann?
THE SECRETARY:  They did not have that at all.
THE CHAIRMAN:  In the first place, the appeal was not in at that time; and in the second place they did not have anything to do with the appeal. The delegates asked us to reopen the case. We cannot reopen the case according to our own constitution. They asked us to review it. We have not any business to review it. I suppose we could if on appeal.
THE SECRETARY:  The rule says you can review it.
THE CHAIRMAN:  Yes, if it is appealed.
MR. WILMERDING: Which is the superior body, the delegates or the Committee?

MR. BLOODGOOD: The delegates.

THE CHAIRMAN: Of course, the delegates can do anything we do.

THE SECRETARY: Section 3, Article II, in fixing powers of the Executive Committee, uses the following language: "It shall have power to hear, review and finally determine any appeal which may be taken from the decision of a Trial Board."

MR. WILMERDING: The Executive Committee?

THE SECRETARY: Yes. And to undo anything we ought to have a two-thirds vote. "It shall have the power of the Board of Directors between meetings of said Board". That is all the power the Executive Committee has, and it also has general supervision of the standing committees. It has power to hear, review and finally determine any appeal from a Trial Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: We are the final court on an appeal, but that says we can only review an appeal. That is the stand I took at the last meeting.

MR. WILMERDING: The Board of Directors run the Club.

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. WILMERDING: Then I consider them superior to
to the Executive Committee. If they instructed us to do anything and we did not do it—

MR. BLOODGOOD: Get that out of your head; they did not instruct us to do anything.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, they did not.

MR. BLOODGOOD: This me a suggestion by the delegates.

MR. HOOLEY: We have the power to consider and hate power to determine any matter which may be referred to us by the Board of Directors.

THE SECRETARY: By the Board of Directors, but not by the delegates.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think any power can go over the action of a Trial Board but the Executive Committee. We are the only ones that can go over the action of a Trial Board but we cannot consider the action of a Trial Board until the case is brought to us on an appeal. The Directors have no right to go over the action of a Trial Board.

MR. WILMERDING: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: Nor have the delegates.

MR. WILMERDING: I know that.

THE CHAIRMAN: The only ones who can supersede the action of a Trial Board on an appeal are the members of the Executive Committee. Instead of accepting the appeal from this decision of the San Francisco Trial Board, which
we could have done at the last meeting, and instead of going over the case on appeal
we came to the conclusion, which we had a perfect right to do, that the case had
been tried in the wrong place and should be retried in the right place. There is the
whole thing in a nutshell.

MB. BLOODGOOD: I believe so now.

THE CHAIRMAN: If you do not believe so, it is a question whether Mrs.
Baumann should give back her appeal and try the thing de novo. I am perfectly
willing to do that, provided you lay down the hard and fast rule that we had a perfect
right to do what we did do. I think that is the most important point, that we had a
perfect right to do as we did.

MR. WILMERDING: I think we should not make a rule afterwards to fit a
preceding case already tried by a Trial Board.

THE SECRETARY: I think that was unfortunate but, it at the same time, as
you have done it, it would be a very impolitic thing now to stultify yourselves.

MR. HOOLEY: I quite agree with you that we should not back down in any
way.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not believe in doing that.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would back down if I thought I wrong.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Of course I think that we all
would, but I don’t think we were wrong. I think we did the fair thing. I think we sent this before a court where it should have gone originally and where the case could be tried in all fairness on all sides. This woman was not represented in California; she had no counsel.

THE SECRETARY: That was her own fault.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I know that, but at the same time it has a certain bearing on the case.

MR. WILMERDING: Is it your idea now to have a case tried where the defendant lives?

MR. HOOLEY: Yes.

THE SECRETARY: That is the reason your Trial Board turned back all that cases to me and directed me to send them to this, that and the other Trial Board, whichever happened to be nearest the residence defendant.

MR. HOOLEY: My Judgment is that the majority of cases which you have brought before you are such that the ones interested in them will never hear of this thing. If you take the class of people who come before you—with all due respect to them—and the people you have tried, they never even see a paper.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I go to far as to say that this Board can remove a case from a Trial Board if we do not think it is in a position to give a fair trial and render a fair decision.
THE CHAIRMAN: On the same principle that we can take over the duty of any committee.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is there in black and white — we can take the duties away from any committee.

MR. WILMERDING: I am not questioning that; I am questioning the propriety of making this kind of a precedent.

THE CHAIRMAN: Did not we take over the License Committee once?

THE SECRETARY: Yes, and the Board of Directors have acted as a Trial Board. We cannot act as a Trial Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: But we can take over the duties of any committee.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, but we cannot act as a Trial Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: Why not?

THE SECRETARY: Because an appeal must come to us. The Board of Directors have got to resolve themselves into a Trial Board. They can do that any time they please and they have done it.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is a bad precedent.

MR. HOOLEY: The Directors really in that case are the Supreme Court.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, you can appeal from the Directors
to the Executive Committee. If you want to put it that we are the Supreme Court.

MR. HOOLEY: We really are the Supreme Court?

THE CHAIRS: Yes, because they can appeal from the Board of Directors to us and we can finally decide it. The reason for that is very clear because the Board of Directors would act as a Trial Board and we would then act as an Appellate Body or a Supreme Court, and so they formed this committee, which has absolutely nothing to do and yet the men of this committee have done more to run the Club during twenty years than any other committee and there is not a thing to do except consider these appeals. How many appeals have we had during twenty years?

THE SECRETARY: Not over three or four and in every case we have affirmed the decision of the Trial Board.

MR. HOOLEY: We will make a very serious error if we in any way stultify the action of our Executive Committee at the last meeting. I think it is imperative that we back ourselves up, as long as we know we are not doing injustice to anybody.

MR. KEASBEY: I agree with that.

MR. WILMERDING: I think that is the least feature.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not see much in your argument.

MR. WILMERDING: I am sorry you cannot convince me I am open to conviction.
Mr. Bloodgood: I do not want to convince you, but the argument you make I do not see at all.

THE SECRETARY: Well, gentlemen, if you agree with this answer that Mr. Hunnewell drafted, that is the only thing you can do now, and that is to affirm the previous action of the Executive committee as of June the 15th, and direct the New York Trial Board to take up this case as an original case.

MR. WILMERDING: Will Mr. Hunnewell's statement or motion or letter be published in The Gazette?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. WILMERDING: Then I move you that in some way that word "criminal" be removed from there, with all due respect to you, Mr. Hunnewell.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then put it this way, "In our opinion this is a civil case". She says in her protest that it must be one of two things, civil or criminal. You will correct that and say, "In our opinion this is a civil case" instead of as it is now written.

MR. BLOODGOOD: In my opinion it is not either a civil or a criminal case.

MR. HOOLEY: That is a question of contract

MR. BLOODGOOD: No. You can go into any Court of Law in the world and you will be told that Mrs. Judd has absolutely put herself out of court. As the matter presents
itself now the only thing Mrs. Judd can bring in the way of charges against Mrs. Baumann before a Trial Board is on the question of doing something that is prejudicial to the interests of The American Kennel Club. That is all. As far as having any case against Mrs. Baumann in a Civil Court she has not such a case. She has waived all such right, if she ever had any. She states that very clearly in her protest. So far as a criminal action is concerned, I do not think she ever had any and I think that is all nonsense.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supposing, for the sake of argument, she had some action against Mrs. Baumann, it would be a civil action?

MR. BLOODGOOD: It would be a civil action and nothing else.

MR. WILMERDING: That is only a suggestion. I do not want to criticize.

THE SECRETARY: What is the objection to the word criminal?

MR. BLOODGOOD: I think it is all right to use the word criminal but say we do not believe it is a criminal case.

THE SECRETARY: Say "In our opinion it is not a criminal case as claimed"?

THE CHAIRMAN: She claims it is.

THE SECRETARY: "As claimed".
MR. WILMERDING: Is there going to be any preamble covering this thing? All this is not going to appear in The Gazette, is it? A great deal of this must be eliminated. In all that going to The Gazette?

MR. HOOLEY: This conversation?

MR. WILMERDING: Yes.

MR. HOOLEY: No.

MR. WILMERDING: Will anybody on earth understand what this is in reply to without some explanatory preamble?

THE CHAIRMAN: It is in response to Mrs. Judd's protest.

MR. HOOLEY: I suppose the editor of The Gazette will say this is in reply or in regard to the case of Mrs. Judd.

THE CHAIRMAN: She sent a copy of this protest to all the papers.

MR. BLOODGOOD: We are not going to make ourselves liable.

THE CHAIRMAN: She sent this to all the Directors and to all the papers. Now, why should we print it?

THE SECRETARY: It should be to this effect: "This meeting was called for the purpose of considering a protest filed by Mrs. Anna R. Judd against the decision of this Committee at its meeting of June 15th, 1915; and also the protest of M. J. Mull in against the action of this
THE CHAIRMAN: Let us wait until we get through then settle that.
THE SECRETARY: I know, but this is a preamble.

THE CHAIRMAN: Here is her statement about the criminal side of it (beginning at the bottom of page 2): "All legal contests under the law are divided into civil, which are offenses against an individual, and criminal, which are offenses against the public. In all civil cases it is universally the law that the defendant has a right to demand that he be sued in the jurisdiction in which he resides. In all criminal cases it is universally the law that the trial shall take place in the jurisdiction in which the offense was committed. Imagine a person coming into the State of Washington and committing murder, and then insisting on being tried in the State of New York where he resided. In this case, if I were asking relief for myself, such as the possession of the dog in question or a monetary compensation for the wrong done me, it might resemble a civil case, and I should have sought a remedy against Mrs. Baumann at her place of residence. But in this case it is an offense against the public (the dog fancy of the United States), and is criminal in that it
seek the punishment of the offender. Mrs. Baumann advertised her wares throughout the United States and into every State into which she went and she became subject to the laws of that State. Mrs. Baumann came by her advertisements into the State of Washington to do business, and the dealings thus begun were consummated when I mailed a check for the deposit to her in the Seattle Post Office, and this contract was still in force when she sold the puppy in New York to Mrs. Baumann and the offense was against me and my contract rights which were in the State of Washington. "

I do not consider that criminal. In her own protest Mrs. Judd states that if it is a civil case then the jurisdiction is where the defendant resides.

MR. BLOODGOOD: There is nothing criminal about that. The criminal part of it is absurd.

MR. KEASBEY: Would not it be just as well to put it this way, that we consider this a civil case?

THE SECRETARY: I have it here, "In our opinion, this is not a criminal case as claimed in the protest filed by the plaintiff".

MR. KEASBEY: That will not be published?

THE SECRETARY: This will be published.

MR. KEASBEY: But will we be taking any chance by Mr. Judd or Mrs. Judd saying we published it and that Mrs.
Judd had nothing to do with it?

MR. HOOLEY: I do not know whether she can come back at us or not. It reflects on Mrs. Baumann because it plainly charges her with a criminal act.

THE CHAIRMAN: We deny the fact.

MR. KEASBEY: I do not believe in handing these good, five or six or eight thousand dollars of our hard earned money.

MR. WILMERDING: We consider this case under the heading of a civil case.

MR. HOOLEY: Something like that.

MR. KEASBEY: I may be foolish and unnecessarily particular.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is just as easy to put it in, "We consider this to be a case under the head of a civil case". I do not think we will get into trouble by doing that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Let us have the whole thing now.

THE SECRETARY: (Reading): "After carefully going over Mrs. Judd's protest, the Committee feels as follows, that in answer to No, 1 in our opinion our by-laws give us full power to act as we did at the last meeting held June 15th, 1915"—

MR. WILMERDING: You ad better say, "In answer to Paragraph 1".
THE CHAIRMAN: No, you decided to leave that out.

THE SECRETARY: (Continuing to read) “In answer to No. 2, in our opinion the proper place for this trial should have been in New York, not San Francisco.

"In answer to No. 3, we are not in any way challenging the judgment of the San Francisco Trial Board. The question of the defendant waiving the decision or submitting to it has nothing to do with the matter, as she did as she was instructed.

"In our opinion, this is not a criminal case, as claimed in the protest filed by the plaintiff".

There is no come-back on us in publishing that?

MR. WILMERDING: Yes there is. Why not put it,

"As she was instructed to do by The American Kennel Club?"

THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't you change it?

MR. WILMERDING: I feel a little delicacy in changing anything you got up,

THE CHAIRMAN: I have no delicacy about it because that was written hurriedly for you to thresh the thing out, because I am in favor of putting ourselves on record as having done right and if we did wrong we should be told so.

(The stenographer is in doubt whether the last two statements credited to Mr. Wilmerding were by him or by Hooley.)

MR. BLOODGOOD: There is no question but that we
had the power to do it. We could have turned around and said that we did not consider this was a fair trial for Mrs. Baumann. We could have said she was at a distance where she did not have a fair trial. That is all that was necessary for us to say.

MR. HOOLEY: We did not even have to say that, we could have said simply, "Try the case over again in New York".

THE SECRETARY: You had better come to a conclusion on that.

THE CHAIRMAN: There are two separate things—that and what we do are separate.

THE SECRETARY: I know that.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I would say something more than Just considering this a civil case. I would not let that man get away with too much.

MR. HOOLEY: I will vote in favor of putting the word "criminal" in capital letters, because I believe absolutely in the motion, but I am only thinking about any chance at all of a come-back. It is just as easy to say that we do not think that we do not think this is a criminal case.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not say anything because I cannot make a motion.

MR. HOOLEY: You. Mr. Bloodgood, make a motion and
I will second it.

MR. BLOODGOOD: I think this is all right.

MR. HOOLEY: Make a motion and I will second it.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Then I move—

THE CHAIRMAN: I am perfectly willing to change that to civil. You all want it civil?

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes. I move that in our opinion this is a civil case.

MR. WILMERDING: The stenographer has a memorandum of it and he can put it in.

THE CHAIRMAN: We do not have to make any explanation.

MR. BLOODGOOD: No, not at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not want to force that motion on you.

MR. HOOLEY: Ho. You make that motion and I will second it. Is that "instructed by The American Kennel Club"?

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes.

MR. HOOLEY: That is not just the way it ought to be worded, but it can be voted on in that form and we may change a few words afterwards.

MR. BLOODGOOD: But very little in that needs to be changed.

MR. HOOLEY: Now, are we ready for the question?

MR. WILMERDING: This second paragraph in regard
to the proper place for the trial and that the trial should have been in New York and not San Francisco I am agreeable to because I believe New York is the proper place for it, but I still maintain my former position that we have no right at this time to transfer the case and that it should be opened only on appeal. So I will not; vote on that.

MR. HOOLEY: You can vote against it.

MR. WILMERDING: Practically I am in favor of it. The question is whether I would be contradicting myself having made that previous motion.

MR. BLOODGOOD: If you do not believe in the motion, vote against it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do not vote for it.

MR. HOOLEY: I am sorry we cannot convince you.

MR. WILMERDING: I do believe in it.

MR. HOOLEY: Then you had better vote for it. Question, question.

THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor will manifest it by saying aye (all vote yes but Mr. Wilmerding).

THE SECRETARY: Then the final draft as adopted is as follows:

"After carefully going over Mrs. Judd's protest, the Committee feel as follows:
"That in answer to No. 1 in our opinion our by-laws give us full power to act as we did at the last meeting held June 15th, 1915.

"That in answer to No. 2 in our opinion the proper place for this trial should have been in New York, not San Francisco.

"That in answer to No. 3, we are not in anyway challenging the judgment of the San Francisco Trial Board. The question of the defendant waiving the decision or submitting to it has nothing to do with the matter, as she did as she was instructed by The American Kennel Club. In our opinion, this is a civil case".

Now, do you want to affirm the decision of this Committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: We will now decide what we win do with it.

MR. HOOLEY: I thought that was attended to at the last meeting?

THE CHAIRMAN: What you want to vote against is what we are voting for now.

MR. WILMERDING: That is what I Just asked you, whether that second clause interfered with my previous motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Your previous motion was not seconded.
Mr. Wilmerding: I know, but it is on record and not seconded.
MR. HOOLEY: You can withdraw that and it will not appear in the record at all.
MR. WILMERDING: I want it to appear in the record. I want to stand on it because I think I am correct.
MR. HOOLEY: That will not be published.
THE SECRETARY: I don’t know.
MR. HOOLEY: Can we instruct the Publication Committee?
THE CHAIRMAN: What are we going to do with these cases?
MR. WILMERDING: I am in favor of all this thing.
THE CHAIRMAN: That is what we are voting for.
MR. WILMERDING: I think this thing should be tried here. I think it should have been tried here but I do not think now the Committee should take this action without an appeal.
THE CHAIRMAN: That is the question before the house now,
MR. WILMERDING: Then I will change my vote in favor of this.
THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think that stultifies anything you said.
MR. WILMERDING: I am in favor of all that.
THE CHAIRMAN: I understand this motion does not carry any action at all. It merely gives our view and says we think we were right and are right.

MR. WILMERDING: In our opinion that we think it should have been tried here and I believe that it should have been tried here.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now the next question is shall we have it tried in New York or shall we have an appeal?

MR. BLOODGOOD: I move that we sustain the action of the Executive Committee.

THE SECRETARY: In the previous meeting.

MR. BLOODGOOD: In the previous meeting. I think that is all.

THE SECRETARY: And direct the New York Trial Board.

MR. BLOODGOOD: In directing the New York Trial Board to proceed with this case.

MR. WILMERDING: It has already been directed.

THE SECRETARY: Affirm their decision.

MR. KEASBEY: I second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any discussion?

MR. BLOODGOOD: I would like to have that motion repeated.

(Motion repeated by the stenographer as follows) "I move that we sustain the action of the Executive
Committee in the previous meeting in directing the New York Trial Board to proceed with this case and affirm their decision.”

THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of the motion will manifest it by saying aye (the motion to be carried with one dissenting vote, that of Mr. Wilmerding.)

THE SECRETARY: That settles that.

MR. KEASBEY: As Chairman of the Publication Committee I am not certain as to how much of this we will cut out.

THE SECRETARY: Use your own judgment.

MR. HOOLEY: What is the use of putting anything but that one statement?

MR. BLOODGOOD: I do not think there is any use in printing the whole statement.

THE SECRETARY: We want to keep these notes, though.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Yes, keep them for our own use.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think you have to publish everything you say at these meetings, or anything more than just the mere facts. Our conversations here are very informal.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Simply publish the motions and such things as this answer and a brief explanation of the situation.
THE CHAIRMAN: As a matter of fact, I do not think anybody but our own Board ought to have access to the minutes of our meetings. I do not think anybody ought to have access to these notes or ought to know what we say in these meetings.

MR. BLOODGOOD: That is quite right. We need these things for our own use, for the use of this Committee and for nobody else; otherwise we want them preserved without publication or publicity.

MR. HOOLEY: With all due respect to the Publication Committee I wish we could recommend to them in this case, and this is not for publication, that only the fact that the protest was received from Mrs. Judd and the answer thereto be published. Simply have that appear in The Gazette and nothing else.

THE SECRETARY: You have to give this answer.

MR. HOOLEY: I say, and this answer. Just state that the protest was received and the answer and the motion.

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. WILMERDING: I do not see how you can get away from publishing the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: No one cares about the motions but do not want our informal discussion published.

MR. BLOODGOOD: This is a perfectly informal
discussion. If we were going to have this published we would have used different language and used it more carefully.

THE SECRETARY: Yes, and I want these minutes to file with our documents so that we can always refer to them.

THE CHAIRMAN: But they will not be accessible to anybody but members of our Committee.

MR. BLOODGOOD: And they belong to our Executive Committee exclusively.

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then that concludes the matter of Judd against Baumann?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then go ahead.

THE SECRETARY: The next is the protest of Mullin vs. McGlinchey

MULLIN VS. McCLINCHHEY.

THE SECRETARY: (Reads): For the purpose of the record I will say that this is a protest by Michael J. Mullin of Stamford, Connecticut, against Mrs. F. T. McClinchey, of Waltham, Massachusetts, regarding the sale of a Boston Terrier male puppy. Mr. Mullin is represented by Charles Lamson Griffin, a lawyer with offices at 45 Cedar
Street, New York. I have just read to you a letter from him dated June 24th, 1915, to The American Kennel Club and our answer to that letter and an additional letter from him dated July 1st, 1915. The substance of the protest is that Mr. Mullin understood his case was to be heard by the New York Trial Board but that the case has been transferred to the Boston Trial Board, the nearest to the residence of the defendant.

MR. HOOLEY: He states that he had no notice.

THE SECRETARY: He says, "Without notice". He states this in his second letter but he acknowledges in the other letter that he had notice.

MR. HOOLEY: He can have it heard on an appeal later on. He is a little early.

MR. WILMERDING: This is another case of jurisdiction and it is a little different matter. He says this defense was to be in New York. That is a different proposition.

THE SECRETARY: This man Mullin purchased a dog from Mrs. McClinchey.

MR. WILMERDING: And who is Mrs. McClinchey?

THE SECRETARY: Somebody living in Waltham, Massachusetts. And it appears that the pedigree of the dog is not as represented and Mr. Mullin prefers charges against Mrs. McClinchey.
MR. HOOLEY: Where does this man Mullin live?

THE SECRETARY: Right here in Stamford, Connecticut, 28 miles from here. I sent it to the New York Trial Board. You directed me at the last meeting that it must be sent to the Trial Board nearest the residence of the defendant and I immediately transferred it to the Boston Trial Board.

MR. HOOLEY: How far is Waltham from Boston?

THE SECRETARY: Only twelve miles, a very short distance.

MR. HOOLEY: That is where that belongs, then.

MR. WILMERDING: That case came before us and we referred it back to you.

THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me, if you want to pursue a man you have to take the witnesses to his place of residence in a civil action.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Where was the dog sold?

THE SECRETARY: The sale was made at the Westminster Kennel Club Show held in this city Feb, 1915.

MR. BLOODGOOD: Was the dog sold in New York?

THE SECRETARY: Yes.

MR. KEASBEY: If the rule to have the cases tried by the Trial Board nearest the residence of the defendant holds then the action of the Secretary was quite proper.
MR. HOOLEY: The Secretary followed his instructions by referring it to the Boston Trial Board, so I do not think we need look into that matter at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: Why should not it be tried in Boston?

MR. HOOLEY: I do not see any reason why not.

MR. WILMERDING: What was that?

THE SECRETARY: Why should not it be tried in

MR. WILMERDING: That is the place where it should be tried.

THE CHAIRMAN: Which means, in view of the resolution we passed, that that is the place it should be tried. That is the natural place. If we passed no resolution, is not that the natural place for the trial of the Case?

THE SECRETARY: Yes

THE CHAIRMAN: He would have to go to Massachusetts to prosecute this case?

MR. WILMERDING: Yes.

(On motion duly made and seconded the Secretary's action in sending the case to the Boston Trial Board was unanimously sustained.)

Thereupon the meeting was adjourned.
Mrs. Anna R. Judd,  
Complainant.  

-v-  

Mrs. Carl Baumann,  
Respondent  

This is a complaint for alleged misconduct in the sale of a dog. The case was formally tried by the San Francisco Trial Board, after due notice and upon hearing or submission of documentary evidence by both parties to the controversy. The decision of the said Trial Board, finding the respondent guilty of conduct prejudicial to the welfare of the sport of dog showing and dog breeding and denying her the privileges of the American Kennel Club, is reported in full in Gazette of 31 May, 1915. 

At the regular quarterly meeting of delegates held 18th day of May 1915, it was resolved as follows:
“Doctor De Mund: I move to amend Mr. Collins' motion that the delegates request the Executive Committee to re-open this case of Judd vs. Baumann and investigate findings of the Pacific Trial Board. That is not an appeal. It is a new procedure entirely. Amendment seconded.”

On the same day, the Board of Directors, through the misimpression that the resolution of the delegates had referred this matter to it, entertained and adopted a resolution that the case take the usual course: i.e., that the respondent proceed with an appeal to the Executive Committee from the decision of the San Francisco Trial Board if she was aggrieved thereby.

The respondent thereupon promptly and seasonably filed her appeal in due form and paid the deposit of $25.00 required by the By-laws.

Thereafter, and on the 15th June, 1915, the Executive Committee convened and adopted the following resolution:

“RESOLVED—That the Executive Committee finds that the case of Judd vs. Baumann was improperly sent to the San Francisco Trial Board for hearing through error in this office, it properly belonging to, and coming under the jurisdiction of the New York Trial Board. We do therefore recommend that this case be sent to the said New York Trial Board for its consideration. The disqualification of Mrs. Carl Baumann therefore is automatically removed.”

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED – That the Secretary be, and hereby is directed to return to Mrs. Baumann the appeal made by her on June 14, 1915, together with a deposit of $25.00, in accordance with the above decision of the Committee. Carried.”

Complainant Judd, upon being advised of this decision addressed a communication to the directors and officers of the Club, protesting against the action of the Executive
Committee on several grounds and refusing to present her case on a new trial before the New York Trial Board. The Executive Committee, at a further meeting held the 14th July 1915:

“RESOLVED: That we sustain the action of the Executive Committee at a previous meeting held June 15th, 1915, in directing the New York Trial Board to proceed with the case. Carried.”

Mr. A. C. Wilmerding, having previously at this meeting offered a resolution, which was not seconded, that the Executive Committee reconsider its action and that the case take its usual course by appeal according to Article XIV. of the By-laws, voted in the negative on this motion.

The case comes to the New York Trial Board on the resolution of the Executive Committee of the 15th of June, 1915.

The situation presents questions of novel impression. This Trial Board has been unable to discover any precedent in point. It is difficult to see what end was to be served by purporting to vacate and set aside the decision of the San Francisco Trial Board by this extraordinary procedure, especially when the respondent, the aggrieved party, had duly filed an appeal there from, according to the procedure provided by the By-laws. The difference in result, of course, that the disqualification was removed pending a new trial.

The resolution of the Executive Committee seems to have gone upon the proposition that a defendant should be tried before the Trial Board nearest in point of geographical location to his place of residence. But whether such would
Be the better practice was not an open question in this case at the time. The case had been assigned to the San Francisco Trial Board, the nearest to the place of residence of the complainant, according to the usual practice in effect. Other cases were regularly so assigned, and their decisions stand. It is impossible for this Trial Board to subscribe to the proposition that subsequent legislation, and the resolution of the Executive Committee was nothing other than legislation, can operate retroactively and affect an existing decision of a Trial Board, proceeding upon precedent and duly rendered. The fact that the respondent appeared and submitted her evidence in defense without questioning the jurisdiction of the tribunal is a further circumstance of controlling importance. It cannot with reason be urged in answer that she was compelled to do so; that the usual notice to appear was mandatory and deprived her of her right to raise the question, if any had existed, of jurisdiction. It is only after an adverse decision on the merits that this question is sought to be raised. The actual filing of an appeal, in due course, by the respondent and performance by her of prerequisites for taking the same are further acts confirming, not disaffirming, on her part, and so far as in her power lay, the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Trial Board. The resolution of the Executive Committee ordered the appeal to be returned to the respondent. Its power to do so is respectfully doubted by this Board.

In the premises, the New York Trial Board has come to
the following conclusions:

First: -- That the resolution of the Executive Committee is void for lack of jurisdiction to set aside the finding of a Trial Board without appeal or motion on the part of the aggrieved party and in the absence of sufficient grounds, upon its investigation held pursuant to the delegates' resolution;

Second:-- That, consequently, the matter is not properly before this board.

This Board has not of course, examined into the merits of the controversy. It has come to these conclusions only after due and careful consideration of the judicial and legislative history of the case purely as a matter of constitutional rights within the club and procedure as affecting all parties to Trial Board litigation. It is fully mindful of the fact that it has not jurisdiction to reverse the Executive Committee: That is not what it is doing. It has simply found, and with all due respect to the Executive Committee, no controversy before it for trial.

A. Clinton Wilmerding, Chairman
Alison M. Lederer
John G. Bates
Mr. Willets: I have a letter here which I have been requested to read by a member of the Executive Committee. He has been called away from the City and could not be present at the meeting.

Mr. R. C. Stewart: May I ask that the representatives of the Press by requested to leave the room?

Mr. Wood: I move we go into Executive Session.

Motion carried.

(See minutes of the Executive Session)

Mr. Hunnewell: takes the chair.

Mr. August Belmont: The minutes will recite that the Chairman requested Mr. Hunnewell to take the chair.

Mr. Chairman, as Chairman of the Executive Committee, I desire to state that the Executive Committee is in possession of a copy of this report of the Trial Board, and that in the Report it is stated that the Complainant will take the subject up in due course.

Mr. Wilmerding: That will do.

The Chairman: Any further business?

The Secretary: We have nothing further.

Motion to adjourn was carried at 4:15 pm.
EXECUTIVE SESSION

-----------------------------

September 21, 1915

-------

sad
EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Willets read the following letter:

To the President and Delegates of the
American Kennel Club—

Dear Sirs:

I regret extremely that I have been unavoidably called away from the city and shall be unable to attend the next quarterly meeting.

I wish to protest against the action of the New York Trial Board in refusing to try the Judd-Baumann case after having been so instructed to do by the Executive Committee.

At the quarterly meeting of the delegates held on the 18th of May last the Executive Committee was, by a unanimous vote, requested to re-open the Judd-Baumann case.

The Executive Committee met on June 14th and took the matter under advisement and their finding was as follows:

"RESOLVED that the Executive Committee finds that the case of Judd v. Baumann was improperly sent to the San Francisco Trial Board for hearing, through error in this office, it properly belonging to and coming under the jurisdiction of the New York Trial Board. We do, therefore, recommend that this case be sent to the New York Trial Board for its consideration. The disqualification of Mrs. Carl Baumann therefore is automatically removed.

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the secretary be and hereby is directly to return to Mrs. Baumann the appeal made by her on June 14, 1915, together with a deposit of $25.00 in accordance with the above decision of this Committee. Carried."

The Executive Committee met again on July 14th and after considering the protest of Mrs. Judd against the decision of this Committee of June 15th passed the following:--
“RESOLVED that we sustain the action of the Executive Committee at the previous meeting held June 15, 1915, in directing the New York Trial Board to proceed with this case. Carried.”

Not having heard from the New York Trial Board, I called up the Chairman and asked him what has been done in regard to the Judd-Baumann case. He told me that his board had decided that the ruling of the Executive Committee was incorrect and that it would not take the matter up. I told him that in my opinion his board had exceeded its authority and had gone beyond its rights, that it had been instructed by the Executive Committee to try this case, the Executive Committee had not asked it for advice, or to question or to take any responsibility for the rulings of the Executive Committee. He said he would give my opinion to the rest of the Board.

The Executive Committee had not received and communication from the Trial Board in answer to its direction that the case should be tried.

I am informed that about the 1st of Sept the Trial Board handed in its decision to the Secretary addressed to the American Kennel Club, which decision is, as I am informed, that the Executive Committee should have heard the appeal and should not have referred the case for trial to the New York Board.

I protest very strongly against the action of the New York Trial Board, for its lack of courtesy to the Executive Committee, for its negligence in not trying the case when
so instructed to do, for having exceeded its power and for having criticized and questioned the rulings of the Executive Committee. It seems to me that this is the most dangerous attack on the discipline of the American Kennel Club that has ever occurred.

The Executive Committee was acting under the unanimous request of the delegates.

The Executive Committee decided that the San Francisco Trial Board had no jurisdiction in the matter, it having been entirely a New York transaction, and that the case had been sent to the San Francisco Board through the error of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club. The question being one jurisdiction of the San Francisco Trial Board, there could have been no proper or legal trial and therefore Mrs. Baumann’s appeal could not be considered.

The rulings of the Executive Committee of the Club all the power of the Board of Directors between the meetings of the said Board.

The claim that Mrs. Baumann made no protest against so-called trial at San Francisco falls to the ground, in my own judgment, as she was improperly advised through the error of
the secretary of the American Kennel Club, and would have been disqualified if she had not followed his instructions.

I feel that if possible the New York Trial Board should be removed for its refusal to obey the rulings of the Executive Committee. If this cannot be done at this time on account of no formal charges having been filed against them, or for any other reason, then the Trial Board should be reprimanded and the Executive Committee should be requested to act under Section 2 of article 2d of the by-laws, and perform the duties of the Trial Board in this case on the ground that the Trial Board has refused to perform the duties imposed by the by-laws on said Board.

I feel very strongly in this matter, and while I dislike to ask for drastic action against fellow members of the Kennel Club, all of whom I have known for some years, I do not think that friendship should be allowed to enter into a matter which if permitted to stand will be subversive to all authority and discipline of the American Kennel Club.

Yours very sincerely,

H. K. Bloodgood.
The Chairman: Well, gentlemen, what is your pleasure with regard to this case, because it has got into a serious tangle? I have thought a good deal about it, and have regretted extremely that an important matter prevented me being present at the meeting when this action was taken.

I telephoned that I could not attend and I understood before I left my office that that Committee was adjourned to the next day at 10 or 11 o’clock. When I returned the next morning, I found the Committee had taken this action so that while a member of the Committee I had no opportunity to take part in the deliberations.

As your presiding officer I am only stating this in explanation of the fact that I was not able to be present and give you my reasons for not being there; and I assume the privilege of stating to you what would have been my views had I been there, and there were my views at the time. Whether I should have been successful in persuading my fellow committeemen or not, is not the question. Your action was taken, and the result you know. But it appears from the records of the Club that no attention has been paid heretofore with regard to locality. Where an act has been committed, or a case has come up for trial the cases have been referred to the West, even thought the Defendant was here in the East, and vice versa. That has been the practice.
The practice at law does not govern our practice at all. Our customs are founded upon what we find from time to time to be the best in the interests of the Kennel Club, and we are not obliged to follow the practice in law with regard to those matters.

Now in that case nobody ever raised the question at all about this case, when it went to trial. It came in the regular way, and no objection was lodged. Both parties accepted the jurisdiction of the Western Trial Board, and submitted their case to them, and it was decided.

I think that so far as the jurisdiction of either of our Trial Board went – of course one has no jurisdiction over the other certainly, neither the Western can pass on the acts of the Eastern on the question—in my opinion that was final, and there was not other course excepting for that case to come up on appeal; and although it reached that stage in a round-about sort of way, nevertheless it got there; and the action was in my opinion then correct.

What has happened since that, you know. The money was returned, and there is a change of venue, but there is no precedent in law for that sort of thing. If you are going to follow one precedent you must follow them all.

I am not a lawyer, but if I state something that is not correct I shall be very glad for any lawyer in the room to correct me. But if you are following legal precedents,
then I believe that no change of venue could be possible in law, if neither party had
raised the question of jurisdiction before the trial. Am I correct? Now that is just what
you did.

A personal friend of mine, when I stated my views to him said that the character
of the correspondence, the nature of the letters addressed to the Kennel Club by both
parties and that particularly by that part which appealed--- all those letters were of such
character that it was impossible to recognize them and to conform to the request, just
because those letters were impertinent. I venture to say, that in my opinion did not have
anything to do with it. A friend of mine told me that if I had read the letters I would have
acted just as they did because of them; that is, it was undignified for the Kennel Club to
submit to the request of the person addressing them in such language, and in such an
insulting way. I demur from that. I do not think that in my opinion would have anything to
do with the case at all. You were either to act properly or not; one of the two.

Now I have thought that over. It is a difficult situation. While stating my views
now, merely by way of explanation, I intended to attend that meeting and present my
views. If I had failed, I would have said nothing to this meeting at all. Therefore it is fair
to me now to have the opportunity to express them to you, without asking
that they shall have any bearing upon your action.

Now gentlemen, what disposition do you desire that I make of this case? Let me draw your attention to the fact that this Committee is not a standing Committee. As a Trial Board, it is not a standing Committee. Standing Committee are specifically named in the Trial Board and this is not one of them. They are a separate and totally different organization. They are a Trial Board, and they are clothed with certain powers and certain duties.

Section 2, which Mr. Bloodgood has referred to reads as follows: (reading same).

Now this is a power which the Executive Committee has of itself, and you must not make the mistake again of having the Executive Committee appeal to the delegates instructing the Executive Committee to take this trial up, in the place of the Trial Board, under that Section; because to save your time, the chair would rule that that was not correct. That is not a Standing Committee, and therefore that could not be done.

Mr. Wood: Mr. President, this is a very unsatisfactory case, and having been on the New York Trial Board for a number of years it appeals to me a good deal. I don’t know how a Trial Board can take up a case that does not exist. Judd refuses to bring further action before the New York Trial Board. There was therefore no case to take up.

Never at any time when I was on the Trial Board did
we ever go out and bring charges against anybody ourselves; and I do not understand
the Executive Committee brought charges against Mrs. Carl Baumann. I do not see
what that Board could do. How could they take up a case that did not exist? There was
not any case there as I understand. The money had been returned, and the appeal was
disregarded, and the penalty imposed by the San Francisco Trial Board was done away
with. What under the sun could the New York Trial Board take up? What was there for
them to take up?

The Chairman: You mean the parties at issue were not prepared to go on with
their case at all?

Mr. Wood: Mr. Judd refused to go ahead as the secretary said. What was to be
done? What could the Trial Board go on? They could not ask Judd if he wanted to bring
a case, as he had already refused it.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I will ask the secretary if there has not been a case before; a
case referred to the New England Trial Board from New York.

The Secretary: Yes.

The Chairman: There have been cases where they have been referred from one
Trial Board to another. Has that been decided?

The Secretary: No sir.

Mr. Collins: The whole matter as far as the trial, or as far as the charges for
which the first trial was taken,
we ever go out and bring charges against anybody ourselves; and I do not understand the Executive Committee brought charges against Mrs. Carl Baumann. I do not see what that Board could do. How could they take up a case that did not exist? There was not any case there as I understand. The money had been returned, and the appeal was disregarded, and the penalty imposed by the San Francisco Trial Board was done away with. What under the sun could the New York Trial Board take up? What was there for them to take up?

The Chairman: You mean the parties at issue were not prepared to go on with their case at all?

Mr. Wood: Mr. Judd refused to go ahead as the Secretary said. What was to be done? What could the Trial Board go on? They could not ask Judd if he wanted to bring a case, as he had already refused it.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I will ask the secretary if there has not been a case before; a case referred to the New England Trial Board from New York.

The Secretary: Yes.

The Chairman: There have been cases where they have been referred from one Trial Board to another. Has that been decided?

The secretary: No sir.

Mr. Collins: The whole matter as far as the trial, or as far as the charges for which the first trial was taken,
amounts to very little. As Mr. Wood stated, there was absolutely no case when it stopped. The woman claimed her money back, that is, the purchaser of the dog, and got the money, so the matter was settled before any changes were ever brought. So it seems to me a great deal of trouble has been caused over a very insignificant matter. To close the whole matter, I would move you sir, that the matter be settled on its present status, with the exception that the members of the New York Trial Board express their regrets for any discourteous action towards the Executive Committee.

Mr. Lederer: I desire to say that there has been no discourteous acts as far as I, as a member of the New York Trial Board am concerned, and certainly no intention of a discourteous act towards the Executive Committee. Our function was purely a judicial one, I take it, at the time. We looked into the action taken by another Board of this Club and found as to that Board, as we might concerning an individual member, in our opinion it erred in its decision. Not that we decided to flout it, or to be in any way discourteous. I would disclaim any intention to act discourteously before the Executive Committee.

Mr. Collins: Mr. Chairman, I am referring to the acts which the gentleman who wrote the letter referred to. One was that the communication, instead of being addressed to the Executive Committee, was addressed to the Secretary of the
American Kennel Club.

Now, I do not doubt if there were any discourteous acts on the part of the New York Trial Board, they were absolutely unintentional; and I think we should settle and close the whole matter up of the New York Trial Board will be willing to express themselves in such a way that will settle the matter.

The Chairman: Mr. Bloodgood does not find any fault with the manner in which they have done it, but what they have done.

Mr. Collins: HE said they were discourteous. If he feels that way, and the balance of the Executive Committee feels that way, probably an apology of the Trial Board will settle the difficulty.

The Chairman: Mr. Bloodgood thinks these gentlemen were discourteous in the mere fact that they refused to act in accordance with the instructions of the Executive Committee, and proceed with this trial, in the manner in which they did it. Of course they would have to rescind their action to satisfy Mr. Bloodgood.

Mr. Wood: Will the Chair please tell us how they could have acted, when there is no case before them?

The Chairman: I cannot pretend to tell you. If the parties to the controversy were unwilling to go on then of course you have nothing to say, and if that was the case, and
You know that to be the case, would it not then have been better to have taken that as a reason, and let the question of jurisdiction pass for some other time?

Mr. Wood: The report boils down to that anyhow.

The Chairman: There is no mention of the unwillingness of the litigants to go on in the report.

Mr. Wilmerding: All that Mr. Lederer has said is very true. There has been no intention of discourtesy on the past of our Committee in any shape or form, and I want to endorse what he said, and further more to tell you that our custom has always been to make our reports to the Secretary, the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, and it never entered my head personally that it was necessary to report to the Executive Committee. We have no intention to discourtesy on our part, as our custom has always been to go to the Secretary.

Mr. Hunnewell: Mr. President, further backing up Mr. Bloodgood in a way, the Executive Committee were given full powers by the delegates, the Executive Committee had told the Trial Board to do certain things. I think it was for the Trial Board to come back to us, the Executive Committee, and ask for their opinions. We told them to do it. What are their reasons for not doing so? I think it is very discourteous not to come back to the body who told them to do
it. We had full power of doing anything we pleased with that case. Whether we did right or not, has nothing to do with it. We told the Trial Board to hold that trial. Then, if they found they could not hold, or would not hold it, they should have sent to the Chairman of our Executive Committee, and said so.

The Chairman: Would it satisfy the Executive Committee to have that document changed, and address it to them, stating that they were unable to go on with the case for the reasons stated?

Mr. Lederer: I would like to state that the understanding of the Trial Board was that this report would be presented to the Delegates, precisely the way it has been presented, because the matter originated the way it has been presented, because the matter originated at the Delegates’ meeting. This matter comes before the club out of the San Francisco Trial Board, at a Delegates’ meeting. It was adopted in a resolution amending Mr. Collins’ original resolution, that puts it in the Executive Committee; so we thought it was it was no more than proper, that it having been handed on to us by the Executive Committee, it should come back finally to the body where the whole matter originated, on the floor of the Club. That was our idea.

Mr. Hunnewell: We were appointed with full powers by the delegates, were we not?

Mr. Lederer: Yes.
Mr. Hunnewell: Therefore, it would only have been courteous to have brought the report back to us. I think if a Sub-Committee reports to a Committee, the Committee reports to the whole.

Mr. Lederer: That is not our conceptions of the Trial Board and the Executive.

Mr. Hunnewell: It was only courteous to have given your decision whatever it was, to the Executive Committee.

Mr. Lederer: We had no such intention.

Mr. Hunnewell: I ask if the Secretary can read the report of the New York Trial Board again, as found.

The Chairman: The section of the by-laws is only intended to refer to cases that are up for trial, and I will read the section to you (Reading section). Under those circumstances the immediate duty would have been to send a copy of this to the Executive Committee, and under that section, if this was to be done, it was one of the cases or decisions. Now, if you do not want this interpreted that way, and this was a question that should have been addressed to the Executive Committee, the Trial Board could volunteer to withdraw this, and address themselves to the Executive Committee, saying they were unable to comply with the instructions of the Executive Committee, because of the fact that they considered that they had reasons. Also if you
add as well, that in addition to that that the parties in litigation were not prepared, and willing to go on with the case, for that reason, it would be well.

Mr. Hunnewell: The part that Mr. Bloodgood and I object to is why should the Trial Board come to a joint meeting and say they did not do this, because the Executive Committee was wrong in giving it to them; why did not they come to us? We might have changed our minds. What have they not been courteous to come back and say to us “We do not think you are right?”

The Secretary: That communication is to the American Kennel Club, and not the secretary.

Mr. Lederer: This copy of the communication is not addressed to any person at all. It is un the usual form. We filed this report with the secretary. This is the 40th because since we have stated numbering the cases, that has been the 40th case filed in the same manner as in other cases. We saw nothing extraordinary about this matter. There is no reason why we should address the Executive Committee, any member, or Secretary, or delegates. It is filed as a reported decision.

The Secretary: Addressed to the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Lederer: There is no form of address to any one at all.

The Chairman: Let me point out that it would be
perfectly competent for your Committee to have passed a resolution authorizing the
secretary of the your Committee to convey to the Executive Committee your views on
the subject.

    Mr. Lederer:  Certainly it would.

    The Chairman:  The minutes of that meeting, you would have transmitted to the
secretary of the kennel club, under this section, anyway, but your own Secretary would
have conveyed your decision with the same paper to the Executive Committees.  Now,
let me suggest—why take offense because nobody has intended to do anything
discourteous, and to ask for apologies from men who are working according to their best
belief, and doing it in a proper way, according to their notions?  Why not let that all pass
off in this discussion?  The Trial Boards feel that they are prepared to address
themselves to the Committee in the manner the Executive Committee wish. It does not
change your attitude. I believe they are not prepared to retire from their attitude, or they
are not prepared to retire from their attitude, or their opinion that the Executive
Committee has no jurisdiction in the matter, because of the reasons stated.  I presume
they could not stultify themselves in that way, but if they address that to the Executive
Committee – I mean to say if you made it a part of your action at that meeting, in
addressing yourselves to the Executive Committee, and sent a copy of that in the form of
a copy to the secretary, you understand
the original having been sent to the Executive Committee, and then it becomes a trial record in that way, it might satisfy the feelings of everybody. The difference of opinion— I do not suppose you are prepared to debate here today as to that.

Mr. Schley: May I ask if the report of the Trial Board is submitted to the delegates?

The Chairman: That would be a matter of opinion.

Mr. Schley: The authority of the delegates as such, seem to be limited under section 5 of article 17 (reading same).

The Chairman: If they were to be confined in their powers, it would have said “sole powers”.

Mr. Schley: Quite true, except on the legal principle, but have they the right to pass on the report of the trial?

The Secretary: No.

The Chairman: That is for information there.

Mr. Wood: Mr. President, as the delegates turned this matter over to the Executive Committee to handle, I move it is either the sense of this meeting that this report should be handed to the Executive Committee to report on the Trial Board, before coming to the delegates; this is an Executive Session, and nothing need be published on what has been said or done, and why cannot we turn that over to the Executive Committee?
Mr. Lederer: Sent that to the Secretary and ask him about it?

Mr. Wilmerding: I would like to report what I have said--- it is far from our aim or intention to upset the Executive Committee, and now, as Chairman of he Committee I desire to hand another copy of our report to the Secretary with the request that he turn it over to the Executive Board.

The Secretary: Is that satisfactory, Mr. Hunnewell?

Mr. Hunnewell: I am speaking of Mr. Bloodgood rather, and I am speaking really with him in this matter, and I think he would probably say yes. I should like to hear some other members of the Executive Committee say something about it.

The Chairman: I am sorry that this report of the Trial Board was read, with those gentlemen of the Press in the room. It will be necessary for you.

A Member: They did not take it down.

The Chairman: It will be recorded by them as having been presented at the meeting. Are they willing to give it up?

The Secretary: They are only too glad to get it.

The Chairman: If we go again to Session, open session, it is perfectly competent for the Chairman of the Executive Committee to say that he has received this report, and proceed as soon as we convene, that can be made to appear in your minutes, that he has received the report.
Mr. Wood: I move that we go out of Executive Session.

Mr. Schley: One suggestion more, that the rules be taken up by the Rules Committee, before we go out of Executive Session again, to amend article 19, section 4, by some appropriate phrase that cannot extend the jurisdiction of any Trial Board in the case of misconduct in the case of dogs, or fraud in the sale of dogs, so that the locality of the alleged misconduct or sale took place, should be governed by the locality of the dogs. I mean if a man should happen to do crooked work, at the Minneapolis show, and live at New York, the place where the trial should be, should be at Minneapolis, or the Trial Board having jurisdiction in Minneapolis. It is the suggestion of the Rules Committee to form appropriate rules.

The Chairman: you are not addressing yourself to the subject of this motion.

Mr. Schley: I beg your pardon.

Mr. Secretary: The proper think for the delegates to do is to send their proposed rule in writing to me, and I will send it to the Rules Committee for them to consider. That is the proper procedure.

Mr. Stewart: Mr. Chairman, would it be in order to ask that the report from the Trial Board be stricken from the minutes of the delegates?

Mr. Wood: I second that.
The Chairman: I think that has been read in open session, and I presume you would have to do that in open session, in order to make it a matter of record. It was read in open session. Now, if you will go back to open session.

Mr. Hunnewell: Still, could not you note that it has been expunged from the minutes, as it has been handed to the president of the Executive Committee?

The Chairman: Or you might do it this way: It would be difficult to proceed without reading in some remark of the Chairman of the Executive Committee, and just after it, write in saying that the Chairman of the Executive Committee received the same report, and that the Chairman of the Executive Committee, can motion, and put themselves on record, but not agreeing at all to the position taken by the Trial Board as regards the jurisdiction that in fact the litigants are unwilling to go on with the case, and that would be an academic question or discussion, which you did not care to precipitated. Also that the Executive Committee considers it having fallen of its own weight, and not by reason, that is a way you can do it, without surrendering your position.

Mr. Lederer: Mr. President, if there is no action taken by the delegates, and it appears in the minutes that a
Copy of the report has also been delivered to the Executive Committee, it seems to me that its publication to the delegates at large, could not be objectionable in any way.

The Chairman: Mr. Lederer; don’t you think it would be better, to report that the parties to this case declined to go on with it, and therefore the question of jurisdiction is an academic one?

Mr. Lederer: It might be well. That of course appears in the report itself, as Mr. Wood pointed out.

Mr. Hunnewell: there is another question that would have to come up, if this thing is taken up against. The Executive Committee might go back to the San Francisco Trial Court. I don’t see that we can decide that now.

The Chairman: I was trying to cover that point, any statement made by you as representing the Executive Committee.

Mr. Hunnewell: I mean that, but you are Chairman of the Executive Committee.

The Chairman: Is there any one here? I would have to take the floor then and state that the Executive Committee had received this communication, and that raises the question of jurisdiction, and that would really result in precipitating an academic discussion of the subject, because in their report, they mentioned the fact that the parties to the litigation
refused to go on with it, therefore there is no case to be decided by either Trial Board. If you would like me to state that, then, after the reading of that report, we will prevent a grand discussion in the newspapers that we had a row in the American Kennel Club. Then, the motion is before you.

Mr. Hunnewell: I would like to say one thing before we go out of Executive Committee. It is evident today that here is a case in point? Do you think it wise to have these newspaper men in here, during our meeting?

The Chairman: They have got that full report. They took it down. If we asked them to retire before the report was read, we would have had no trouble about it at all.

Mr. Hunnewell: We ought never have had them in here at all.

The Chairman: You voted. It was requested by one of the delegates, and put to you. But we are confronted with the fact that those gentlemen have got that report in short-hand, and know it was made. Now, if you will take the Chair, I will state as Chairman of the Executive Committee, that the Executive Committee received this report, and that a discussion as to the jurisdiction of the Executive Committee, on the Trial Board, would result in precipitating an academic argument or discussion. That would be the better way,
and that in the report it is stated that the parties to this litigation were unwilling to go on, and therefore there was no case to be tried by either Board, and therefore the Executive Committee, while not accepting the position of the Trial Board, recognizes that there is not any case for them to try on that ground, but not on the ground that there is no jurisdiction.

Mr. Lederer: It has been suggested to me that it might be more accurate to state that the complainant had refused to go on. There has been nothing heard, so far as I know as a member of the Trial Board, from the respondent, Mrs. Carl Baumann.

The Chairman: The respondent could not.

Mr. Lederer: The complainant would be the party of the burden of proof, and the party who wrote the letters to the various directors and officers.

Mr. Willets: I would like to make a suggestion, that the Trial Board withdraw this report, and simply make a short report to the Executive Committee, and say that in view of the fact that they have taken up this case, and in view of the fact that the complainant declines to go on with the matter, that they cannot go any further into it, and therefore they retire from the case. There is nothing for them to go on, and if they make a report to the Executive Committee they cannot carry out the instructions of the Executive Committee,
that is all there is to it.

The Chairman: If they make such a report, and “The Field and Fancy” publishes it in full, where are you Mr. Willets?

Mr. Willets: If you make a suggestion to the newspaper reporters that we are here, and simply tell them that that was withdrawn and do not ask them to publish it, I think they would agree.

Mr. Hunnewell: I think my suggestion is a good one, if they will withdraw that report. Your remarks will settle the case, because you bring out the fact that there is no case. That settles the whole question and that settles it right up. The point is to withdraw this think from the minutes, and simply to say that this Committee, the Trial Board withdraw their report, and hand it to the President of the Executive Committee. If this thing comes out in the papers, the thing is withdrawn in Executive Committee.

Mr. Foster: I am going to ask the courtesy of the Delegates, for the privilege of saying just a word or two. I am entirely a new man among you. This is my first meeting, and perhaps it might interest you to know how the report which you are considering struck in a man who has not been with you, and has simply come in from the outside, representing the Club to which he belongs, and that is this: That to me
the serious and important feature of this whole thing, is not so much whether this report was addressed to the Executive Committee, to the secretary, or to the Delegates, but the fact that the Trial Board goes to work, and criticizes the Executive Committee for the action they took, and through the Executive Committee, the delegates at their last meeting and then on top of it, all we learn is that the case is practically dropped, because the complainant withdraws her complaint. Now, it seems to me that the gentlemen’s suggestion over here is the wise one, that the Trial Board withdraw their report, and simply state to the Executive Committee that they cannot try the case, because the complainant has withdrawn the complaint.

The Secretary: She has not withdrawn the complaint, Mr. Foster. She refuses to appear before the New York Trial Board. She has not withdrawn the complaint.

Mr. Willets: That amounts to the same thing, the person refuses himself under the jurisdiction of the Court. If you do not go on with the complaint, you have practically withdrawn the complaint. You have no jurisdiction over here to force her to come to New York.

The Chairman: Well, if you can persuade those gentlemen not to publish that report, because you evidently want to get rid of the question in that way.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: Would it not be possible for
you to state Mr. Chairman, that as Executive Chairman, you heard from the Trial Board that they considered that they had better withdraw that report, as there was no case, so that if the report was published, it would not matter?

Mr. Wilmerding: We did not render our report on that case because the complainant refused to appear. We had nothing to do with that. The main crux of our position, on point o four decision was that the case had already been decided. Was not that it, Mr. Lederer? That it has already been decided by another Board?

The Chairman: I understand that, perfectly. I am simply pointing out that when I suggested that I, as Chairman, made that statement the onus would be when you representative status that the Executive Committee does not care to discuss it, as an academic question, and consequently, there is no case, and that was the only reason, otherwise, you have got to fight it out, as to the jurisdiction of the Executive, in such a case. Then, you would be obliged to consider a case, whether it has any merit or not.

Mr. Hunnewell: Why not let the Trial Board and Executive Committee fight it out?

Mr. Lederer: There are no parties to the litigation.

The Chairman: If you discuss it academically, you would have to assume the parties would go on, and then discuss
the jurisdiction of the Executive Committee, I have not time to stay with you through that.

Mr. Hunnewell: Mr. Chairman, if the Trial Board did not take this case on, would not the San Francisco trial hold good?

The Chairman: On appeal.

Mr. Wilmerding: The case is closed and decided.

The Chairman: The only way you can revive it out there is to say that it has been finally decided that the decision of the Trial Board shall stand, and cause them to make their appeal in the way they did before.

Mr. Hunnewell: That is exactly what you are doing in your statement here that you are going to make to the Committee of the whole. You will simply say that the Executive was wrong, and that is what you are saying.

Mr. Chairman: I stated my views.

Mr. Hunnewell: I know, but if that is the vote of the meeting, the Executive Committee was wrong.

The Chairman: What I propose to say was that while not yielding the point about their jurisdiction, the Executive Committee did not care to precipitate an academic discussion on the question, inasmuch as the complainant would not go on with the case, and therefore the Trial Board had no case. Of course if the Trial Board want to recede from their position altogether, and withdraw their report, and let their reason
go, on the ground that there was no case for them, it is for them to decide. If they choose to do that, then, in the vernacular, “nothing done”.

Mr. Collins: Would not that be the better way, let the Trial Board withdraw their report entirely in open meeting, and refer the matter to the Executive Committee, and all reference to the matter be expunged from these minutes, and then the Executive Committee and the Trial Board can fight it out in Executive meeting by themselves, without anybody getting the wiser?

Mr. Hunnewell: Let us get this thing out of the paper.

Mr. Lederer: Let this meeting go out of Executive Session into general session, and that the Chairman or President of the Executive Committee should make a report to follow immediately in the published minutes, that the Executive Committee received this report, and since it understands that the plaintiff refuses to go on and try the case before the New York Trial Board, the whole case has become a purely an academic one, and no parties being before the Board, there is no further question to try.

Mr. Wilmerding: Mr. Chairman, may I ask was the San Francisco party notified that the trial would go on, and notified to appear and present evidence?

Mr. Lederer: No.

Mr. Wilmerding: And they have not refused to go on.
Mr. Lederer: They have anticipated such notice, by writing letters to the Executive Committee, of about five or six pages.

Mr. Wilmerding: Those letters are not official, and if this report was published, they might say we are prepared to go on and mix things up. They have not notified the Trial Board that they would not give evidence, not were they notified to do so.

The secretary: Yes, they were so notified. They were notified to do so.

The Chairman: If you want to keep that case alive in that way, and the possibility of re-trying it, then, you must put on your record what you propose to do that this report is withdrawn, because the complainant is not prepared to go on. There is no case. That would not do.

Mr. West: Mr. President, it is the duty of the Trial Board to report on anything that is referred to it. I move that the Trial Board be requested to report on the matter referred to, and any other remarks be stricken out of their report. If the complainant won't go on and stand trial, they will report to that effect, and strike out the rest of that report.

Mr. Wilmerding: Mr. Chairman, has the plaintiff been notified to come on, and has the case been opened by appeal? That is the only way it can be reopened.
The Chairman: I don’t know. The Secretary I presume has done nothing.

The Secretary: Both parties have been notified that the case has been referred to the New York Trial Board, and since publishing the letter which has been referred to, Mrs. Judd, has sent a further communication to the Kennel Club, in support of her charges.

Mr. Willets: May I state, Mr. Chairman, that the reporters outside have stated that they will not say anything further about that report. I asked them if they would cut that part out, and they said they would, so I don’t think that we need be anxious about that report.

Mr. Stewart: I ask the Chairman of the Trial Board be asked to withdraw the report and refer it to the Executive Committee.

Mr. Wilmerding: We have delivered our decision, and I will ask the Secretary to hand it to the Executive Committee.

Mr. West: Ma I ask the President of the New York Trial Board if this plaintiff was notified at what hour the New York Trial Board would sit.

Mr. Wilmerding: No sir, because there was no trial. It could not be opened excepting by appeal, and payment of $25.00. The case was not opened before us.

Mr. Hunnewell: There is a question between the Exe-
The Chairman: In the first place I cannot remain with you but if you precipitate this question you will never reach a conclusion.

Mr. Hunnewell: I ask Mr. President, to have it ended.

The Chairman: You will never reach a conclusion, because it would involve a decision which would practically mean that the Executive Committee supersedes in authority every other constituted body of this club, including the Delegates.

Mr. Hunnewell: If they won’t publish it, let us withdraw it in Executive Committee.

Mr. Lederer: This report is not addressed to the Delegates, or Secretary or anybody. It happens to have been read in the Secretary’s report of this meeting, but the copy has also now been delivered to the Executive Committee, therefore, I fail to see really what is the matter. We have made no report.

The Chairman: Well, gentlemen, I shall not be able to stop. If you go in general session, what are you going to do?

Mr. Lederer: We are not going to touch it in any way
Mr. Schley: The motion was that it was improperly before the Delegates.

The Chairman: You could not make a report of that kind because it has been properly reported, and sent to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club. If they sent a copy to the Executive Committee as a result of their meeting, they would have been obliged, under the by-laws, to have sent a copy of that to the Secretary with a copy of their minutes, and every act that they had performed at that meeting.

Mr. Schley: But the action of the Trial Board in withdrawing from having sent it to the Secretary, could not that be straightened out by the minutes stating that it had never been before the delegates, and was referred solely to the Executive Committee?

The Secretary: It could not be.

Mr. Schley: I know that you do not want to withdraw their report.

The Chairman: Gentlemen, you are going into general session, what are you going to do?

Mr. Lederer: We are not going to do anything.

Mr. Hunnewell: That has not been done in the open meeting yet.

Mr. Lederer: It would be done in the Delegates meeting.

Mr. Hunnewell: The Chairman of the Executive Committee
has to state.

Mr. Lederer: And state that he has received a copy of the same report.

The Chairman: I will so state. Then all in favor of the open session will signify.

(Here the open session was resumed).
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NYC, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 21,
1915.

-------------

Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
A. Clinton Wilmerding
W. Prescott Wolcott
George S. West
Alison M. Lederer
E. L. Boger
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Charles R. Wood
Joseph A. Buchanan
J. Macy Willets
Chetwood Smith
M. Mowbray Palmer
Hollis H. Hunnewell
W. L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
Henry T. Fleitmann
The Secretary read the following:

CONDITIONS IN WHICH A DOG MAY BE REGISTERED IN THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB STUD BOOK

1--- American bred dogs are only eligible by virtue of the previous registration of both Sire and Dam in the American Kennel Club Stud Book.
2--- Foreign bred dogs are only eligible if their pedigree can be established for three full generations of both Sire and Dam.
3--- Any imported dog registered in the English Stud Book must retain its English registered name followed by (ENG) when applying for registration in the American Kennel Club Stud Book.
4--- The breeder of a dog is the person either owning or leasing the bitch at the time of service.
5--- No change in the registered name of a dog will be permitted after December 31st of the year of registering.
6--- In changing ownership the original Stud Book number will be retained and if re-registered the dog will be entered in a subsequent volume of the Stud Book the same as an original registration.
7--- In applying for change of name or ownership the original registry receipt properly endorsed must be returned.
8--- No kennel name will be recognized in connection with the ownership, or breeding of a dog unless such kennel name has been previously registered with the American Kennel Club and approved.
by the Stud Book Committee.

9--- The following are the required fees for the above services.

(a) Registration fee, $1.00
(b) Change of ownership (re-registration) $1.00
(c) Change of name or dogs, $0.50
(d) Kennel name, Annual $5.00 with the yearly maintenance fee of $1.00, Life $15.00 without further charge.

Approved,

C. R. Wood

W. L. Barclay

-------------

Motion carried that same be accepted and placed on file.
The Secretary read the report from the Stud Book Committee as follows:

September 20, 1915

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:--

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

A report from the Stud Book Committee recommending Rules under which dogs may be registered in the American Kennel Club, to take effect on Jan 1, 1916. Owing to the closing of the Stud Book, on and after Jan. 1, 1916, to American Bred dogs whose parents had not been previously registered was found necessary to adopt new conditions for publication on each registry application form.

The matter of the Russian Wolfhound winning at the later Charity Show was referred to the Stud Book Committee for its decision. The Committee met on July 15, 1915 and its decision was published in the Gazette of August 31, 1915. This report will be read to you, if you so desire.

The Spokane Kennel Club is obliged to cancel its show dates on account of a small epidemic of rabies in that territory, and asks whether it would be possible to refund the license fee and deposit, or can it be arranged to have the said fees and deposits transferred to apply to a show held in 1916.
Mr. Howe Totten has filed a communication appealing from my decision in which I refused to issue a duplicate Championship Certificate, and requests that such a rule be repealed, and that another certificate may be issued to replace the certificate sent to him (which may be represented to have been lost or destroyed on the payment of a fee).

At the late Woodmere Kennel Club show, a rule was violated by accepting an entry with the name of an agent which was a disqualified person. In reply to a communication to the secretary of that club from me, I have affidavit from the judge, the steward, the treasurer, and an exhibitor to the effect that the gentlemen was not permitted to enter the ring at any time during the show, and that the dog for which he was agent was not shown by him. I also have a letter from Mr. Foley, the Superintendent of the Show, who accepted the entry, that in so doing, he did not notice that this person’s name was signed as agent, but that when said person did arrive at the show, he informed said person that he could not act as agent, nor show the dog, and said instructions were carried out. It now rests with this meeting whether any further action should be taken in this matter.

At the late Show of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts, held at Auburndale, Mass. a Judge placed a defeated dog as first winners, and an undefeated dog as re-
serve winners. In reply to a communication from me on the subject, I have a letter from
the Judge giving his reason for placing the dogs as he did, and same will be submitted to
you for such action as you deem best.

A request from the Chief of the Department of Live Stock of the Panama-Pacific
International Exposition on the subject of its forth-coming Dog Show will be submitted to
you for action.

I beg to report that Mr. William Rauch and Mr. J. Macy Willets filed excuses for
absence at the regular meeting of the Directors held May 18, 1915. I also report that Mr.
S. R. Cutler has presented his excuse for his absence from this meeting, as have also
Mr. James W. Appleton and Mr. Charles W. Keyes.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Motion carried that same be accepted and placed on file.
The minutes of the previous meeting were approved as published in the Gazette.
The Secretary read the Treasurer’s Report
As follows:

TREASURER’S REPORT

September 20, 1915

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I hereby submit the financial report from January 1, 1915 to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$22,797.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts to date</td>
<td>22,375.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45,173.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements to date</td>
<td>20,225.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$24,947.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

The Report was approved and placed on file.

The secretary read letter from Spokane Kennel Club.

Mr. Stewart: I move that the money be applied to next year’s show as requested.
Mr. Wilmerding: Has there been a precedent on a case of this kind?

The Secretary: Yes.

Mr. Wilmerding: Have we had any precedent of a case of this kind, giving them credit for their fee?

The Chairman: We have returned the money/

The secretary: Only under certain circumstances. I should think the resolution which was offered is the best thing that could be offered. Transfer it to cover the 1916 Show.

The Chairman: I remember several cases where we either refunded the money or have done this same thing.

The Secretary: I remember a case after the San Francisco fire, we refunded the money. That was the only occasion that I know of.

The Chairman: This is a case of rabies, provided it is true.

The Secretary: You do not know anything about that.

The Secretary read letter from Mr. Howe Totten.

Motion carried that it be referred to the Rules Committee.
The Secretary: In the case of the Woodmere Kennel Club, the Superintendent accepted an entry from an Agent, a disqualified person. I immediately wrote the Woodmere Club about it, and I have affidavits here from the judge, the steward, the secretary, a bystander, and from the treasurer of the Club, in which they said that when they discovered this mistake through this violation of the rule, that they informed this disqualified person that he could not take the dog in the ring; not did he enter the ring himself. He did not enter the ring, not did he show the dog in competition.

Mr. Wood: May I ask the Secretary who entered the dog? If he simply entered the dog as agent for an owner that was not disqualified, I do not presume there was any rule broken was there?

The Secretary: Yes, sir. He entered the dog in the name of the owner, and then signed his own name as agent, and that was published in the catalog.

Mr. Wood: I see.

The Secretary: And it distinctly prohibited that.

Mr. Boger: Mr. Chairman, I move that we accept that report, with a reprimand to the superintendent to be more careful in the future. No doubt they did everything they
Could to get all these affidavits; the spirit was right.

Mr. Wilmerding: The superintendent, or the kennel club?

The Secretary: “No person under suspicion or disqualification can make an entry, exhibit, or take a prize, act as agent for an exhibitor, or take a dog in the judging ring of any show.”

Mr. Wood: What I meant was that we did not let him act as agent.

The Secretary: But they accepted him as agent.

Mr. Wood: He does not become the agent until he does to the show. But he was not allowed to go there.

Mr. Wilmerding: He is not allowed to participate in the show.

A Member: He is not allowed to make an entry.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I would like to know whether these affidavits were voluntary or requested.

The Secretary: I can explain that. When I wrote to the Club’s Secretary asking for an explanation, he immediately sent my communication to the treasurer. He called at this office. I read the rule to him. He said they had discovered it, and knew it; and he said “I want to do everything in my owner to put the Woodmere Club right with the American Kennel Club”, and he said, “If I can get
affidavits from the judge and the steward, will that help me”? I said “if you get such affidavits, I will report the matter to the Kennel Club”, and he brought in five.

The motion was carried.

The Secretary: The next matter is that a judge at the Ladies’ Kennel Association at Massachusetts placed a beaten dog as first in the winners’ class, and an unbeaten dog as reserve. I wrote to the secretary of the show, and he turned my letter over to the judge. (reading letter from the judge)

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I believe that this may be very well settled.

A Member: Mr. President, am I correct when I say that the winning puppy would not meet the other dog until he came to winners’ class?

Mr. Boger: We don’t know yet.

Mr. Willets: I would like to ask for information. Suppose I had a dog in a limit class, and he wins in that class. He is entitled to go into the winners, is he not?

The Secretary: Absolutely.

Mr. Willets: Suppose that dog goes in the open class, and was beaten by another dog, that was not in the limit class?

The Secretary: He is a beaten dog.
The Chairman: Gentlemen, I think that rule applied to one class, and not different classes.

Mr. Willets: Certainly.

The Secretary: I will read the rule, and as I maintain here, his decision was wrong, according to the rule.

Mr. Wilmerding: That has not been the custom, Mr. Chairman, that where the dog is beaten by another dog in a given class that that dog getting second, can go into the reserve.

The Secretary: Yes, if he is beaten by the winner. If he is beaten by the winner, he has to go into the reserve.

Mr. Wood: I move that the judge’s decision be reversed, and that the matter be referred to the rules Committee for further action, if they think it necessary.

The Chairman: How can you reverse the judge’s decision?

Mr. Wood: He is not right.

The Chairman: You cannot reverse the decision.

Mr. Wood: Cancel the one. We can put the other dog up.

Mr. Boger: That is the same thing.

Mr. Wood: I move that the winner of No. 99, be cancelled, and 101 be given the winner. If he gave reserve
winners, he cannot hold up winners for it.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: Is there anything we can do, except to cancel that?

The Secretary: No.

Mr. Wood: Cancel the winners dog, and that automatically puts the other dog up the winner.

Mr. Boger: I second the motion.

The motion was carried.

Mr. Wood: I understand that has been referred to the Rules Committee to look into.

The Chairman: You had better put that. You understand the rest of the motion is that it be carried to the Rules Committee?

The Secretary: From the Panama Exposition, here is a long letter here, and it asks if the AKC would accord this show the privilege of points. In other words, they want to hold this show under their own independent rules, but they decline to hold under the AKC rules, and they ask the American Kennel Club to acknowledge their wins towards the championship, by crediting each dog that they put first with so many points.

Mr. Wood: This has all been settled before hand.

The Chairman: How do those rules differ from ours?

Mr. Wood: We have already decided that a year ago
The Chairman: Out of curiosity, do their rules differ very much from ours?
The Secretary: Yes, their way of judging is different.
Mr. Chetwood Smith: You might have a disqualified man showing.
The Chairman: As Mr. Wood remarked, this was decided a year ago.
Mr. Willets: I move the Secretary be instructed to write a letter to that concern, and tell them that this matter was taken up, whenever it was first, but the date of course, and that inasmuch as they refuse to show under our rules, we cannot accede to their request to give any points.
The Chairman: Don’t you think we had better add that we wish them good luck?
The Secretary: I have the following excuses, from the last May meeting, which arrived after the meeting.

J. Macy Willets  William Rauch
And excuses from attending this present meeting from Mr. S. R. Cutler, Mr. J. W. Appleton, and Mr. Keyes.
On motion the same were accepted and placed on file.
The Chairman: Out of information, I should like to know if there are any directors who have been absent from any meeting without any excuses?
The Secretary: I could not tell you. I know one
that has Mr. Rockefeller. I have had no excuses from him, and he has not attended the meeting.

Mr. Wood: He is abroad, I think.

The Secretary: I don’t know where he is, I have not heard from him for a long while.

The Chairman: Has he been dropped automatically?

The Secretary: He has not.

Mr. Willets: I think he has been dropped automatically.

The Secretary: It says here it will be taken as a resignation (Referring to rules)

The Chairman: If it was taken as his resignation, I suppose his resignation would be either accepted, or refused. I think some action should be taken to make a precedent in this matter. We have never had action in this kind of matter, and I think in the future it is just as well to start a good precedent in this matter, so that you won’t have your hands tied.

Mr. Boger: He is automatically dropped. He has no say in the matter.

Mr. Wood: He has not been in the meetings for a good number of years, I was going to say.
The Chairman: Well, I think it is time now we should take some stand on the matter as to whether he is to be given the privilege to come back.

Mr. Wood: He is a valuable man to the Kennel Club.

Mr. Boger: Mr. Palmer has only attended two meetings.

(The secretary here read the rule.)

Mr. Boger: Then he is no longer a director.

The Chairman: He is deemed to have resigned; in other words, put in his resignation. It is up to us to accept it or refuse it.

The Secretary: I should think so.

Mr. Wood: This is a very unpleasant precedent.

The Chairman: It is an important precedent, but I think it is one to be made, otherwise there is no object in the rule.

Mr. Wood: To bring the question before the house, I move that Mr. Rockefeller's resignation be accepted.

The Secretary: And give your reasons.

Mr. Wilmerding: Shall we extend the time to the next meeting?

Mr. Wood: Anything to get it before the house, and discuss it.

Mr. Wood: I move that a letter be sent to Mr. Rockefeller that under the by-laws his resignation is in
effect, and we shall be glad to hear from you.

The Chairman: I think we had better talk that over.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I would like to have your ruling on that, whether you consider that a resignation.

The Chairman: I should rule that was a resignation before the meeting. That will bring the matter up. We can settle it at this meeting or hold it over.

Mr. Willets: Does not the language of the by-law there, after the resignation, say he ceases to be a Director?

(The Secretary read the rule)

Mr. Wood: We can extend the excuses to him, if we want to.

Mr. Boger: He is automatically out of it. We cannot excuse him.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I therefore move that Mr. Rockefeller be excused for having been absent from the directors’ meetings.

Mr. Wilmerding: Let the Secretary write upon the by-law, and asking his desires.

Mr. Chetwood Smith: I second Mr. Wilmerding’s amendment.

The Chairman: I think gentlemen, you want to go
very slow about it. Here is a precedent that we are making.

Mr. Wilmerding: We should not like all our members here who are delinquents in that way considered culprits; they have not committed any offense. We are all, I may say a happy family, and good men, and we don’t want to lose anybody.

The Chairman: That is the point. Therefore, we want to make a good precedent.

Mr. Wilmerding: Writing this letter to him won’t hurt/

Mr. Keasbey: That rule was made to obtain a quorum at meetings. If this be done, we shall have trouble with the quorum.

The motion and amendment were carried.

Mr. Keasbey: I would like to present excuses for Mr. George Greer.

Same accepted, together with the excuse of Mr. Bloodgood.

On motion the meeting then adjourned at 4:45pm.
REGULAR MEETING

Executive Committee held at the office of the American Kennel Club, Tuesday October 19, 1916

President August Belmont in the chair.

Present --- August Belmont
             H. H. Hunnewell
             H. K. Bloodgood
             Chetwood Smith
             Howard Willets
             R. P. Keasbey
             A. C. Wilmerding
             Ralph C. Stewart

On motion duly carried the reading of the minutes of the Committee was dispensed with.

The secretary presented the report of the New York Trial Board, which was accepted by the Chairman of the Executive Committee in behalf of said Committee on Sept 21, 1915.

The Committee took up the report of the New York Trial Board for discussion, and investigated the same.

After a thorough discussion of the matter before the meeting principally by Messrs. Belmont and Bloodgood, the Chairman of the New York Trial Board expressed a willingness to try the case of Judd vs. Baumann, provided both parties in the case agreed in writing to accept the jurisdiction of the New York Trial Board, subject to the consent of the remainder of the Board.

A motion to accept the promise of the New York Trial Board was voted upon and carried.

A request from John W. Brant, Accountant for the Canadian National Live Stock Records, for the last ten volumes of the American Kennel Club Stud Book, was granted, provided said
Canadian National Live Stock Records will exchange its official records, and send same to the American Kennel Club.

Adjourned.

Secretary
An adjourned meeting of the Executive Committee was held this afternoon, President Belmont presiding:

Present:

August Belmont
H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
Ralph C. Stewart
Rowland P. Keasbey
A. Clinton Wilmerding

The minutes of the meeting held October 19, 1915 were read and approved.

The matter of Judd vs. Baumann was then informally discussed. By request the Members of the New York Trial Board were present.

The following resolutions were offered and adopted:

RESOLVED. That as both litigants agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the New York Trial Board without recourse, the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to notify the New York Trial Board to proceed with the case. CARRIED.

RESOLVED. That the secretary be and hereby is instructed to order Mrs. Judd and Mrs. Baumann to appear before the New York Trial Board and to submit any additional evidence they may desire. CARRIED.

RESOLVED. That the secretary is further instructed to notify both litigants of their right to appeal from any decision rendered by the New York Trial Board in this case. CARRIED.

ADJOURNED

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair,

PRESENT:
Howard Willets
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
Alison M. Lederer
E. L. Boger
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Charles R. Wood
J. Macy Willets
H. K. Bloodgood
William Rauch
Hollis Hunnewell
Theodore Offerman
Henry T. Fleitmann

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and the same were accepted as published in the Gazette.

Mr. Hooley: I would like to nominate Mr. William Rauch as Chairman of the Finance Committee.

The Chairman: Are there any other nominations?

Mr. Stewart: I second the nomination.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the nominations be closed.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion, the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for Mr. William Rauch as Chairman of the Finance Committee.
The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot, and Mr Rauch was declared elected as Chairman of the Finance Committee.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, December 20, 1915

To the Board of Directors the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

The suspension of John Bohrer by the Woodmere Kennel Club for an attempt to assault the Judge of said show, and for using profane and indecent language while in the show ring.

A communication from Mr. George F. Foley recommending uniform prize ribbons to be given at all American Kennel Club Shows.

From the Fort Orange Poultry Kennel and Pet Stock Association requesting a change of title to that of the Albany Dog Club.

A communication from Mr. James G. Rosman inviting the American Kennel Club to appoint a representative to act with representatives of the Dog Lovers’ Protective Association and the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals to meet with the Board of Health on the question of the Muzzling Ordinance.
From A. Branshaw of Dallas, Texas apologizing for past action and requesting his reinstatement.

From the Canadian National Live Stock Records requesting us to pass a Rule affecting dogs imported into Canada from the United States.

From the Birmingham Kennel Club appealing from the action of this office in forfeiting the Secretary’s Deposit at its late show.

From O. F. Vedder, Western Agent of the American Kennel Club on account of his disbursements from May 10, 1915 to date amounting to $21.50.

Excuses have been received from August Belmont, George S. West, Samuel R. Cutler, Joseph A. Buchanan, and Chetwood Smith from attendance at this meeting, all having business engagements.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s Report was read as follows:

New York, December 20, 1915

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I hereby submit the financial report from January 1, 1915 to date:
Balance on hand $22,797.75
Receipts to date 35,539.73
Total 58,337.48
Disbursements to date 28,171.93
Balance on hand $30,165.55

I beg to report that all bills for Active Dues and Associate Subscription were mailed on November 30, 1915.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary read a communication from the Woodmere Kennel Club, calling attention to its suspension of John Bohrer for an attempt to assault the Judge of its show and for using profane language while in the show ring.

Mr. Lederer: If I may be permitted the comment, I would say that the Trial Board began to consider this case, and discovered that under Rule XXIII it is a matter for the Directors. A suspension by a show giving club is a matter to be acted upon by the Directors, and must be acted upon by the Directors.

The Chairman: Can we not ask the Trial Board to get information for us?
Mr. Lederer: It might be referred.
Mr. Bloodgood: I do not see why it should go to the Trial Board at all. I would like to ask how it came to the Trial Board.

Mr. Lederer: It was among the papers of various cases which were handed over to it.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not see why it should have gone out of this office. If it comes before the Directors, it ought not to have gone to the Trial Board.

The Secretary: It has just been discovered.

The Chairman: We cannot try the matter here. Mr. Bohrer has a right to defend himself. Why can we not ask the Trial Board to go into the facts and report them to us.

Mr. Wood: He has been suspended?

The Secretary: Yes.

Mr. Wood: Why should we do anything else unless he appeals?

Mr. Lederer: I would like to say that it does seem to me rather a strange procedure to permit a bench show Committee of any bench show club to invoke the penalties of the American Kennel Club, suspension or disqualification. It hardly seems to me fair that such a suspension or disqualification should stand as a penalty imposed by the American Kennel Club on the here say so of the Bench Show Committee of any number of show giving clubs that are recognized by the American Kennel Club. It is a pretty
severe penalty that is enforced there.

The Chairman: It has been going on for thirty years.

Mr. Lederer: I might say in calling attention to this that I am not proposing any change in the procedure.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not see how you can change the procedure, because our by-laws say that he shall stand suspended. I think when a man assaults a judge in the ring he has gone about as far as he can go. My idea is that I would disqualify him for life. I would not give him any change at all.

The Chairman: He must have a chance to defend himself.

Mr. Bloodgood: That is not the point I make. On it being proved to me that that man assaulted a Judge in the ring, I would move to disqualify him for life. I do not think that would be too severe a penalty for such an act.

Mr. Lederer: We are dealing with the question of whether or not the allegations are true. Shall we accept the finding of a Bench Show Committee?

The Chairman: That we must do under our Constitution.

Mr. Lederer: Under the Rule the matter must come before the Board of Directors, as I read it, referring to Rule XXIII.

Mr. Bloodgood: We have acted on things like this very often sending it to a Trial Board.

The Chairman: You are disqualifying a man without
a chance of defending himself.

Mr. Bloodgood: He did not pay attention to the matter.

The Chairman: He did not answer them, and he did answer me.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not think there should be any consideration for him at all.

He did not answer the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Wood: We do not have to do anything. He was suspended until he appeals.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think all we have to do is to sustain the club. The Secretary wrote him, and he did not reply to his letter.

(Rule covering such case was then read by the Secretary.)

Mr. Wood: I move the suspension be upheld.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The next matter in the order of business is Mr. Holey’s recommendation of a uniform practice which must be given to all recognized shows.

The Chairman: Why not send that to the License Committee.

Mr. Wood: I think it would be a very good rule. I have talked with Mr. Foley. He said if we could put AKC or some definitive insignia on the ribbon it would make it a very much more valuable ribbon for those who would
have it.

Mr. Bloodgood: I cannot see why every show-giving club should be forced to give the same sort of ribbons. I cannot see any object in it. I think any Bench Show Club ought to have the right to give the ribbons they want to give. They can put AKC on them, if they like. I think that it would be very much nicer for those shows, but to give the same sized ribbon---

The Secretary: No, he means the color, blue first, red second, and third, yellow.

Mr. Bloodgood: Isn’t that generally so now?

The Secretary: There are a great many of these shows that are held at hotels, one evening shows, where they give out ribbons, and Mr. Foley says that at another show they will decorate their stalls with these ribbons if they hold a little show at a hotel among themselves, thereby giving a false value to their dogs.

Mr. Bloodgood: Isn’t there some rule covering that?

The Secretary: No.

Mr. Wood: They cannot do it if the Superintendent objects to it.

The Secretary: The Superintendent can prevent it.

Mr. Lederer: The Rule on that subject, as I recollect it, merely provides that if ribbons are used to decorate the show they must specify where they won it.
Mr. Howard Willets: I move that a Committee be appointed to take the matter up and report at the next meeting to the Delegates.

Mr. Hooley: I second the motion.

Carried.

The Chairman appointed Messrs. Howard Willets, Theodore Offerman and Charles R. Wood, as such Committee.

The Secretary: I have a communication from the Fort Orange Poultry Kennel and Pet Stock Association requesting the privilege of changing their title to that of the Albany Dog Club. The dog contingent of the club has separated entirely from the poultry contingent, and they are holding separate shows, and it is simply a matter of form. They ask this change of title which is usually granted.

Mr. Bloodgood: I move that their request be granted.

Motion seconded and granted.

The Secretary: Mr. James G. Rossman, President of the Dog Lovers’ Association of America, asks the American Kennel Club to appoint a representative to join with the Dog Lovers’ Association and also the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, to meet the Chairman of the Board of Health in regard to the Muzzling Ordinance. I wrote Mr. Belmont, asking him if he cared to appoint such a representative, because they were to hold their meeting some little while ago, and on October 29th, Mr. Belmont.
Replied, stating as the matter of the Muzzling Ordinance is a serious one he would not presume to act without instructions in appointing a representative of this club. We have had a Committee, on that Doctor De Mund, Mr. Lederer, Mr. Collins and Mr. Offerman, and Mr. Ritchey. They completed their labors and were discharged.

Mr. Ritchey: Since that letter was written Doctor Goldwater has retired, and there is another Commissioner now in that office, and it seems that the matter has drifted to a point where there is little attention paid to dogs on the street, and I suppose Mr. Belmonts' idea was that it was for the American Kennel Club to say whether it would recognize the Dog Lovers' Association by sending a representative of this club to an organization of that kind.

Mr. Boger: I would like to make a motion that we place this matter in the hands of Mr. Belmont with power to act.

Mr. Wood: I second the motion.

The Chairman: You mean with power to act if he wishes to?

Mr. Bloodgood: When you say with power to act, you do not mean that he shall appoint a man?

Mr. Boger: Not unless he wants to.

The Chairman: You are giving him authority to act or
Mr. Boger: Yes, giving him all authority in the matter.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Mr. A. Branshaw of Dallas, Texas, was disqualified three years ago. He made certain charges against the Secretary and the Superintendent of the Texas Kennel Club. A Committee went down to Dallas and took testimony, and Mr. Branshaw had not standing at all from the report of this Committee. He now writes that he wishes to apologize to the American Kennel Club, to the Texas State Fair officials, and the Texas Kennel Club, for his past actions, and respectfully requests that he be reinstated. Mr. Clayton, against whom he made charges, writes us recommending his reinstatement.

Mr. Boger: I move that he be reinstated.

Mr. Bloodgood: I would like to offer as an amendment that inasmuch as Doctor Clayton, in his letter, stated that Mr. Branshaw has been acting in a manner antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, that Mr. Branshaw undertake to do nothing against the American Kennel Club in the future.

Mr. Boger: I accept the amendment.

Motion as amended carried.

Mr. Wood: I would like to suggest that perhaps we ought not to publish this in the Gazette.
The Chairman: I see some members of the Publication Committee present, and it is for them to decide that question.

The Secretary read the communication from the Canadian National Live Stock Records, “That dogs brought from the United States must now be recorded in your book to the Canadian purchaser’s ownership before eligible for registration here. We would like you to pass a similar rule in reference to dogs going into the United States from Canada.”

Mr. Stewart: I move that that be referred to a Committee to be appointed by the Chair.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The Birmingham Kennel Club held its second show. They made their usual deposits of $25.00 for their dates, and $10.00 for their Secretary, which under the rule is forfeited unless that secretary sends to the American Kennel Club within seven days of the closing of their show a full report of that show together with all listings received by it and the Judge’s book. The show, from all I hear, was pretty well mixed up, although it was their second show. The Secretary said that he did not understand that he has to send listing sheets to the American Kennel Club, and as the time had elapsed after we had written to him I forfeited the Secretary’s deposit of
$10.00. He appeals from that in this letter which I read. The listing sheets were sent to
them. The rule distinctly provides that they must send in these listing sheets. I could not
see that there was any excuse for them, as it was their second show, and really I had
nothing else to do except to enforce the rule, and it is for this meeting to say whether
they will act on the matter or not.

Mr. Wood: I move under the circumstances we return their $10.00, and that they
be warned against a repetition of a violation of the rules.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I have here the bill of disbursements from our Western Agent
from May 10th to December 18th, amounting to $21.50. The bill appears to be correct.

Mr. Bloodgood: I move that it be ordered paid.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion excuses received from Messrs. August Belmont, George S. West,
Samuel R. Cutler, Joseph A. Buchanan, and Chetwood Smith, for absence from this
meeting, were accepted.

Mr. Lederer: I move that the Directors accept the recommendation of the
Delegates in Executive session.

Mr. Howard Willets: The Secretary and Treasurer stated that by the end of the
year we will have considerable money on hand, and that he is finding it very difficult
to get any Savings Bank to accept any further deposits. Mr. Bloodgood and I have talked
the matter over, and we would suggest that the Finance Committee be permitted to
invest a certain portion of our surplus funds in some perfectly safe bonds or short term
notes of railways or corporations. We think we could get at least five per cent with a
very great measure of safety.

Mr. Wood: I move that be referred to the Finance Committee.

Mr. Howard Willets: We did not want to take the responsibility without the
recommendation of the Board of Directors.

Mr. Boger: Are there certain classes of security we are bound to invest in?

The Chairman: No. If the Finance Committee want a vote of confidence, I think a
motion is in order that the Finance Committee be empowered to act as they deem best.

Mr. Hooley: I would make such a motion.

Motion seconded.

The Chairman: I think they have the right anyway.

The Secretary: Yes, they have such right.

Motion carried.

Mr. Wilmerding: I wish to say a word in behalf of the License Committee. From
time to time there have been little notes in the different papers regarding the License
Committee, calling attention to the fact that there are matters that the License Committee should take up and act upon. I would like to have our labors defined if possible. Of course, I do not think the by-laws go into it far enough. As we understand the situation, we are to take up only such matters as are brought before us by the American Kennel Club or by its Secretary. Some of the papers have the ribbon shows, and referred to other matters which have not been before our body at all, and I think that perhaps you could instruct us as to whether or not we should hunt up what we call outside matters and take them in hand. Otherwise, I think they should come from the Secretary or from the Directors.

Mr. Bloodgood: It does not seem to me that the License Committee should go outside of anything except as turned over to it by the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary: Their duties are clearly expressed in the by-laws. I do not see how they can act on anything outside of matters brought to their attention by the authority of the Club. They have one other duty, and that is, in case a club does not hold a show within eighteen months, an application for a license in the same city or town may go before the License Committee, and they may use their discretion whether they will grant that license or not.
If they grant this license, and if at the expiration of twelve months after such license the show has been held, and a resident members has not held a show, then they have the right to apply for full membership, and it then rests entirely in the discretion of the License Committee whether they will approve of their membership or not.

Mr. Bloodgood: There is nothing for the License Committee to take up unless it is sent to them by the Secretary or the Kennel Club?

The Secretary: No.

Mr. Wilmerding: We are not asking now the privilege of acting on what we call matters out of our sphere, but as I said, some of the papers have accused us of not attending to our duties and particularly in regard to ribbon shows.

The Chairman: Don’t you have to give a license for ribbon shows?

Mr. Wilmerding: Yes, We thought we would like to have our labors defined, if possible, but if it is understood, as we understand it, that we are not to take up anything except as present by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, that is sufficient. We wish this criticism could be stopped, if possible; that is all. Another matter I would like to bring up which I think would clear the atmosphere, is in regard to the Judd-Baumann case, if
the report of the Trial Board was published in the Gazette. We have been criticized in some of the papers as not handling the matter properly, and so forth, being inactive, and they have especially criticized me as Chairman of the Board. I think if that report were published it would clear the atmosphere. In fact, I thought it was going to be published when we turned it in. I excepted to see it in the Gazette, but it has not been published, and I ask that it be published.

Mr. Boger: I understand – this is only my recollection – that the report of the Trial Board was principally upon the rights of the Executive Committee.

Mr. Bloodgood: Speaking of the original report. I think you are perfectly correct in that.

Mr. Hooley: It seems to me we went all through this publishing game. I think we paid a very high price for one or two of our publications, and I had a foolish idea possibly it was a pretty good think not to publish any of these things, and I think we all agreed upon that matter some time ago. I do not think that Mr. Wilmerding, or his Committee, or any one of them – I have seen most of the papers and I have not seen anything that has been a personal attack on that Committee or any member of it; nothing to compare with attacks that have been made on the American Kennel Club. While I appreciate the feeling that Mr. Wilmerding or the
Committee may have, I should be very much opposed personally to any publication of any of these things that are going to bring us in any possible mix up with these people who are up for trial. I do not think we ought to dignify it any further than we have.

Mr. Wood: That was read here in Executive Session, and it was pretty generally understood that it was not to be published. That was the understanding of the Publication Committee, and the members who were present at that meeting said it was not to be published at that meeting.

The Chairman: You are right.

Mr. Bloodgood: You are perfectly right, and not only did they not publish it, but they did not publish my letter to the Delegates. It was written to the Delegates, and it went to all the Delegates, and mine was not before the Executive Session. Mine was read before it went into the Executive Session.

Mr. Keasbey: I think the Chairman of the Executive Committee said we had no right to publish that.

The Chairman: Do you wish to put your remarks in the form of a motion, Mr. Wilmerding?

Mr. Wilmerding: I move that the report of the Trial Board in the Judd-Baumann case be published.

Motion seconded, and lost.

The Secretary: At the meeting of the Executive
Committee of last October, I was told not to publish the minutes. I would like to know whether the minutes of the Executive Committee held yesterday are to be published.

Mr. Hooley: I move that they be not published. I mean the Executive Session, any notes or anything that was made of that. I do not believe any were made. The first part of the meeting was simply an informal meeting.

The Chairman: Mr. Vredenburgh means the report he read today, and wants to know whether that is to be published or not.

Mr. Howard Willets: I move that that report of the Executive Committee meeting held yesterday, as read to the Delegates today, be published. That resolution was passed with the specific intent of having it published.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Wilmerding: I move also that the minutes of the previous meeting of the Executive Committee be published.

Mr. Boger: What good is it going to do to publish them?

The Chairman: This is the report of the whole meeting.

Mr. Bloodgood: Mr. Wilmerding is really trying to stir this think up again. If he wants the fight over again he can have it.

The Chairman: Mr. Wilmerding is out of order. That is the report of the whole meeting that was handed in here.
Mr. Wilmerding: They fit one into the other.
The Chairman: This is a report of both meetings.
Mr. Wilmerding: That includes the previous meeting.
The Chairman: Yes. That is the net result of both meetings.

On motion the meeting then adjourned.

---------------------
Mr. H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

PRESENT

Associate Delegate A. G. Hooley
American Fox Terrier Club H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club Theodore Offerman
American Sealyham Terrier Club Henry T. Fleitmann
American Spaniel Club H. K. Bloodgood
Boston Terrier Club Doctor Wallace Snow
Brussels Griffon Club of America J. Bayard Kirkpatrick
Bulldog Club of America Edwin L. Boger
Colorado Kennel Club Alison M. Lederer
Empire Beagle Club George A. Flammer
Erie Kennel Club Ralph C. Stewart
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club Charles R. Wood
International Toy Poodle Club Thomas Hartman
Irish Terrier Club of America John R. Thorndike
Japanese Spaniel Club of America Geo. F. Parker
Kennel Club of Philadelphia J. Chandler Barnard
Long Island Kennel Club John F. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club E. H. Berendsohn
Maryland Kennel Club       Joseph P. Kennedy
Newark Kennel Club       John L. Whealan
New London Kennel Club       J. Macy Willets
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club      R. P. Keasbey
Pacific French Bulldog Club      F. J. Bristol
Plainfield Kennel Club       A. Clinton Wilmerding
Russian Wolfound Club       Doctor J. E. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club       Howard Willets
Toy Spaniel Club of America       Daniel P. Ritchey
Welsh Terrier Club of America       W. Ross Proctor
Westchester Kennel Club       George Greer
Westminster Kennel Club       Wm. Rauch

--------------

On motion, the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The following named clubs were elected to membership, Messrs. D. P. Ritchey and Doctor J. E. De Mund acting as Tellers:

Ladies Dog Club of Lowell, and Delaware State Fair, Incorporated.

Credentials from the National Beagle Club, appointing Mr. Raymond Belmont its delegates, were presented, and Mr. Belmont was duly elected.

The Secretary read his report as follows:
To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting, I have received two applications for Active Membership, and one credential for the appointment of a Delegate. These applications have been referred to the Membership Committee.

I have also received proposed amendments to the Rules from Messrs. J. R. Thorndike, Howard Willets and Ralph C. Stewart. Since these proposed amendments were received, the Rules Committee have not been able to meet and consider same. This Committee is ready to report today, but according to Article XXIII, Section 2, the report cannot be acted upon at this meeting, for the reason that it is obligatory that such report must be published in the Gazette at least two weeks prior to the meeting at which it can be acted upon.

In accordance with Article XVII, Section 3, the Membership Committee has nominated for Associate Delegates for the year 1916, Messrs. A. G. Hooley and Francis G. Lloyd. It also proposed the following Nominating Committee to nominate as Directors the following names: George Greer, George S. West, R. C. Stewart, J. Macy Willets, and A. C. Wilmerding.

I also report the receipt of the following resignations: William G. Rockefeller as a Director. The Salinas Kennel
Club and the Del Monte Kennel Club as Active Members.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:


Present: A. G. Hooley, Chairman; H. H. Hunnewell, R. P. Keasbey, George Greer

I. J. R. Thorndike's suggestion being unadvisable.

II. Referring to Part 3, of the report of the meeting of the Rules Committee on May 24, 1915, the Committee recommends the following to be added to Rule XIV of The Rules Governing Dog Shows.

“Dogs unless specifically entered for specials are not required to compete for same, but the presence of the dog at the show shall be considered as making competition whether they are brought into the ring or not.”

III. No dog at any show given under the rules of the American Kennel Club shall count any championship points by reason of winning the winner’s class, unless there shall have been competition by two or more dogs owned by different exhibitors in at least one of the regular classes. NOT APPROVED.
IV. Amend Section G. of Rule V of Rules Governing Dog Shows to read:--

“Winners of fifteen points in this class under three different judges at least six points of which shall have been won at two three point shows.”

APPROVED.

The Rules Committee recommends that these Rules go into effect immediately on their adoption.

A. G. Hooley

The Chairman: If there is no objection, this report will be ordered printed and made a part of the minutes of this meeting.

The Secretary: The Membership Committee nominated for Associate Delegates Messrs. A. G. Hooley and Francis G. Lloyd. They also suggest to the Nominating Committee to nominate Directors consisting of Messrs. George Greer, George S. West, Ralph C. Stewart, J. Macy Willets and A. Clinton Wilmerding.

Mr. Bloodgood: I move that the recommendation of the Committee be adopted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary then read the resignation of Mr. William G. Rockefeller.

Doctor De Mund: I move that his resignation be accepted
with regret.

The Chairman: I think the secretary might be empowered to thank Mr. Rockefeller for the many valuable services rendered by Mr. Rockefeller to the club in years past, and that it is with deep regret that his resignation is accepted.

Mr. Howard Willets: I wish to move that the Secretary be directed to write to Mr. Rockefeller expressing the regret of the American Kennel Club, and its appreciation of his services during these many years past.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I submit the resignations as Active Members of the Salinas Kennel Club and Del Monte Kennel Club. They are in good standing up to January 1st.

On motion, said resignations were accepted.

The Secretary read the following:

An adjourned meeting of the Executive Committee was held this afternoon,

President Belmont presiding:

Present:

August Belmont
H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
Ralph C. Stewart
Rowland P. Keasbey
A. Clinton Wilmerding

The minutes of the meeting held October 19, 1915 were read and approved.
The matter of Judd vs. Baumann was then informally discussed. By request the Members of the New York Trial Board were present.

The following resolutions were offered and adopted:

RESOLVED, That as both litigants agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the New York Trial Board without recourse, the Secretary be and hereby is instructed to notify the New York Trial Board to proceed with the case. CARRIED.

RESOLVED, That the secretary be and hereby is instructed to order Mrs. Judd and Mrs. Baumann to appear before the New York Trial Board and to submit any additional evidence they may desire. CARRIED.

RESOLVED, That the Secretary is further instructed to notify both litigants of their right to appeal from any decision rendered by the New York Trial Board in this case. CARRIED.

ADJOURNED.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.
Appeal of Doctor Charles A. White from the decision of the Chicago Trial Board in the case of Ratto vs. White

MOVED That the hearing of the above appeal be set down for the next regular meeting of the Committee to be held on the third Tuesday in March.

Appeal of George W. Smith from the decision of the Chicago Trial Board in the case of Smith vs. Bonham;

MOVED That the hearing of the above appeal be set down for the next regular meeting of the Committee to be held on the third Tuesday in March.

By R. C. Stewart. Interpretation of Article II Section 3 of the American Kennel Club’s By-laws for the guidance of this Committee.

“When witnesses are summoned by this Committee in cases of appeal, they will be heard provided no new evidence is submitted. In case new evidence is offered to this Committee, it must be referred by it back to the original Trial Board to re-open the case and consider the new evidence.”

By R. C. Stewart

“That the License Committee be directed to take up the matter of late entries at dog shows; dogs not on the benches during
“the show, and dogs allowed in a show building that have not been entered at said show.”

The Secretary was directed to write a letter to show Superintendents and notify them that this Committee will take steps to enforce the Rules referring to these subjects.

On motion the meeting was adjourned until Tuesday March 20, 1916.
Vice President H. H. Hunnewell, in the chair.

On motion, representatives of the press were admitted to the meeting.

The Secretary read the following:

New York, Feb 2, 1916

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Article XVIII, Section 4 of the By-laws of the American Kennel Club, the Secretary of said club duly opened the ballots of the Associate Subscribers entitled to vote in the presence of the tellers appointed by the president of the said club, and the undersigned tellers hereby certify as follows:

Associate Subscribers entitled to vote 145
Number of Votes cast 58
Scattering 4
Irregular and thrown out 4

We therefore declare the following candidates, A. G. Hooley and Francis G. Lloyd having secured the highest number of votes to be duly elected to represent the Associate Sub-
scribers for the year 1916, and until their successors shall have been elected.

A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
Alison M. Lederer, Teller
J. Bayard Kirkpatrick, Teller
William Ross Proctor, Teller

PRESENT:

ACTIVE MEMBERS

F. G. Lloyd
A. G. Hooley
Airedale Terrier Club of America      William L. Barclay
Airedale Terrier Club of NY          W. F. Patterson
American Foxhound Club               Walter W. Stokes
American Fox Terrier Club            H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club             Theodore Offerman
American Spaniel Club                H. K. Bloodgood
Brussels Griffon Club of America     J. Bayard Kirkpatrick
Bulldog Club of America              Edwin L. Boger
Collie Club of America               W. Ormiston Roy
Colorado Kennel Club                 Alison M. Lederer
Devon Dog Show Association           John Sinnott
Eastern Dog Club                     George S. West
Eastern Collie Breeders’ Association Walter B. Foster
Erie Kennel Club                     Ralph C. Stewart
French Bulldog Club of America      Grant Notman
French Bulldog Club of New England  Arnold Lawson
Gwyedd Valley Kennel Club            Charles R. Wood
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel Club of Philadelphia</th>
<th>J. Chandler Barnard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ladies Kennel Association of MA</td>
<td>W. N. G. Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island Kennel Club</td>
<td>John F. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltese Terrier Club</td>
<td>E. H. Berendsohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester Terrier Club</td>
<td>William P. Wolcott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark Kennel Club</td>
<td>John L. Whealen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New London Kennel Club</td>
<td>J. Macy Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club</td>
<td>R. P. Keasbey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific French Bulldog Club</td>
<td>F. J. Bristol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pekingese Club of America</td>
<td>M. E. Harby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Bulldog Club</td>
<td>Joseph A. Buchanan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plainfield Kennel Club</td>
<td>A. Clinton Wilmerding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revere Kennel Club</td>
<td>Samuel R. Cutler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Wolfhound Club</td>
<td>Doctor J. E. De Mund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo Kennel Club</td>
<td>Howard Willets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Kennel Club</td>
<td>George W. Clayton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy Dog Club of New England</td>
<td>W. F. Kinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Kennel Club</td>
<td>Emery M. Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>W. Ross Proctor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester Kennel Club</td>
<td>George Greer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western French Bulldog Club</td>
<td>J. N. Champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster Kennel Club</td>
<td>William Rauch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Kennel Club</td>
<td>C. W. Keyes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meet-
ing was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Louisville Kennel Association was elected as a members of the American Kennel Club.

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named Clubs:

Central New York Kennel Association         Doctor James G. Class
Boston Terrier Club                         Augustus E. Wright

Messrs. Wood and Kirkpatrick acted as tellers.

The Secretary: The Nominating Committee met on the 15th of this month and have just handed me their nominations in a sealed envelope, which I now open. The Nominating Committee met to nominate directors for the expiring class of 1916, and for a vacancy in the class of 1919, which I now open:

“The Nominating Committee appointed to nominate directors for the expiring class of 1916 and for vacancy in class of 1919 beg to report as follows:

Nominations for expiring class of 1916:

Hollis H. Hunnewell
W. L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
Henry T. Fleitman
Doctor John E. DeMund
W. Ross Proctor
Vacancy class of 1919: John Sinnott
George Greer
Chairman.

The Chairman: You have heard the report. Are there any further nominations?

Mr. Wilmerding: I would like to nominate Mr. Alison M. Lederer for the class of 1919.

The Chairman: In the expiring class there are six nominations, and in the class of 1919 there are two nominations, Mr. John Sinnott and Mr. Alison M. Lederer.

Mr. Wood; I move that the nominations for the class of 1916 – there seem to be no others – be closed, and the Secretary be directed to cast a ballot for the six gentlemen named.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

The Chairman: Now, there are two nominations for the class of 1919, Messrs. Sinnott and Lederer.

Mr. Cutler: I would like to ask, is it a vacancy we are filling?

The Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Cutler: I want to second the nomination of Mr. Lederer. I would like to know just how this thing stands. I understand that Mr. Lederer is at present a director of that class, and there is somebody nominated to take his place.

Mr. Greer: I merely say that there is a vacancy in the
class of 1919. Mr. Lederer was appointed for one year, and the time having expired, we have to put in a new director or re-elect Mr. Lederer. The Nominating Committee named Mr. Sinnott for that position.

Mr. Cutler: The present member is Mr. Lederer until his successor is appointed?

The Chairman: Yes.

(During these remarks blank pieces of paper had been distributed to the delegates present for the purpose of balloting, and were being taken up by the tellers.)

Mr. Cutler: I want to second Mr. Lederer’s nomination. I thought I would be allowed to make a few remarks seconding his nomination.

The Chairman: You are entitled to say a few words before we proceed.

Mr. Cutler: In gatherings that I have been in heretofore, it has not been usual to have the ballots collected before the speeches were made.

The Chairman: I do not know why they were made so soon. We will have the balloting done over again.

Mr. Cutler: I am not desirous of that. If there is anything here that is going to be put through, well, put it through, but let me state that some of us will be missing from further meetings if that is the way things are done here. I belong to the same profession Mr. Lederer does, and I cannot
see any reason why a lawyer who has given his time and services to this Association should be legislated out of office and some one else put in his place, without any explanation being made for such a course. I know Mr. Lederer have given the best that is in him as a lawyer to this organization, and I think that I, as another lawyer, who has done the same in my modest way, have a right to have something to say. I have been coming over here for thirteen years, and it is due to any man who has been serving this organization to have explanations made when you are asked to put him out of office. I have an idea what the reason is. Somebody differs with an opinion that he has had on a board of which he is a member, and hence we have got to have his successor appointed.

Mr. Howard Willets: If you will allow me, I will make a motion that the ballot be not counted until Judge Cutler has been given an opportunity to state what he desires to say.

Mr. Cutler: I know they will not be counted because there is not any rule in common sense or decency that authorizes the collection of ballots until nominations are closed.

The Chairman: I have said that was a mistake, and I say now that all the ballots have been destroyed. I did not know that the ballots were being taken up.

Mr. Cutler: If I have started anything that I ought not to start, I hope I will be excused, but this is the time to have a full discussion.
The Chairman: Any further nominations?

Dr. De Mund: I move that nominations be closed.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Lederer: Under the circumstances, I am in some doubt as to whether I should offer my resignation as a delegate, and from the Trial Board until a decision shall have been rendered in a case that is now pending before it, because I do not feel that with decency a members of the Trial Board could resign while the matter is before it, and before a decision has been rendered; but, frankly I feel that, as Judge Cutler has said, there is something behind this nomination of some one else to suppliant me. I am perfectly willing not to serve as a director, but I cannot with dignity serve as a delegate or as a members of a trial board. I say I am in doubt as to whether I had better withdraw my name from the ballot. That is, I suppose I can do that, and ask to be excused—or whether it is permissible to go on. I will let the gentlemen advise me. I am advised to stand for election, and I will do so.

Mr. Cutler: I am no advocate for Mr. Lederer, but he has suggested just my thoughts. He is a member of a board, and that board has a decision pending before it. It is not wise, and I do not think it is courteous to this board, while that decision is pending, to send out to the world the fact that we are going anything to indicate that we disap-
prove of the attitude taken by that board before the decision is made. That is exactly what I had in mind. I did not want to speak about it. I know there is a difference of opinion, but at least we ought to wait until we have had a chance to consider the case, and give a decision, and not prejudge them; not let it be known that the delegates here assembled of the American Kennel Club pass a vote which can be considered in any way of censure to a Trial Board which is doing the best it knows how to do in struggling with a problem which is now before it. I think the policy of this organization should be to stand behind its Trial Boards, and if they are wrong, the remedy will come in another way. Let us not pass any vote here which in any way will be construed to be a censure of that Trial Board until at least we have heard what the decision is.

Dr. De Mund: I do not think that it is quite fair on the part of Judge Cutler to try to befog the issue. We are voting for a director, or not for a Trial Board. Judge Cutler, the gentleman who has just spoken, and something about legislating somebody out of office. The Nominating Committee has chosen to nominate him.

Mr. Wilmerding: No, I nominated him.

Dr. De Mund: I do not think the Nominating Committee should be suppressed in their efforts. I do not think it is the right thing to say that the Nominating Committee were
not doing what they thought best.

Mr. Cutler: I think everybody here understands quite well what the real issue is. There is no use of trying to be technical about it. I think he knows it, and I think every man knows the reason why he is not continued as a director as he was last year -- That it is because certain people are dissatisfied with his opinion upon a Trial Board. I think that can be accepted throughout the dog world, that this is the real issue.

A count of the ballots was then made, which showed 23 votes for Mr. Sinnott and 15 votes for Mr. Lederer.

The Secretary: I would like to state that owning to the great rush of business it has been impossible to complete this financial statement for the year 1915 in time to have it audited, and I will therefore only give you the result in a few items of this year. Last year we closed with a balance of $22,797.75/  In the year 1915, December 31, we disbursed $29,794.99 and closed with a balance of $36,473.10.

Mr. Lederer: I move that it be accepted as read and filed.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary read his report as follows:
To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting, I have received one application of Active Membership, which has been approved by the Membership Committee.

Credentials from the Central New York Kennel Association and the Boston Terrier Club have been approved by the Committee. Also the credentials from the California Airedale Terrier Club which came too late to be published in the Jan Gazette, and therefore laid over under the rules until the next meeting.

I will present the certificate of the Tellers on the result of the vote for Associate Delegates.

The proposed amendments to the Rules were reported upon by the Rules Committee and the same have been duly published in the Gazette, and will be presented to you for such decision as you may deem proper.

The following clubs have been automatically dropped from Membership in accordance with the rules: Lenox Dog Show Association, New England Collie Club, Lehigh Valley Kennel Club, Portland Kennel Club, Sonoma County Kennel Club and the Rumson Kennel Club. The Bay Side Kennel Club of Southern California paid by check, which has just been returned marked N.G.
Referring to the New England Collie Club, I received its resignation this date, but as the Club was not in good standing, it could not resign, and it is therefore named in the list of Clubs that have been dropped.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Rules Committee was read, as follows:


I. J. R. Thorndike’s suggestion being unadvisable.

II. Referring to Part 3, of the report of the meeting of the Rules Committee on May 24, 1915, the Committee recommends the following to be added to Rule XIV of the Rules Governing Dog Shows.

“Dogs unless specifically entered for specials are not required to compete for same, but the presence of the dog at the show shall be considered as making competition whether they are brought into the ring or not.”

III. No dog at any show given under the rules of the American Kennel Club shall count any championship points by reason of winning the winner’s class, unless there shall have been competition by two or more dogs owned by different exhibitors in at least one of the regular classes. NOT AP-
PPROVED.

IV. Amend Section G, of Rule V of Rules Governing Dog Shows to read:

"Winners of fifteen points in this class under three different judges (at least six points of which shall have been won at two three point shows.) APPROVED

The Rules Committee recommends that there Rules go into effect immediately on their adoption.

Mr. Lederer: I feel that I can do nothing but tender my resignation to the Colorado Kennel Club, and as a member of the Trial Board of this club, to take effect, of you so please, on arriving at a decision in the case of Judd vs. Baumann, now before the New York Trial Board. I go regretfully, of course, but I feel that I can do nothing else. I have the interests of the Kennel Club at heart. I wish I could lay before you exactly what is in my heart as to the reason for me being legislated out of office as director, but perhaps it would not come with good grace.

The Chairman: We are sorry that Mr. Lederer has taken the matter so much to heart as to resign. As his resignation has not yet been accepted, perhaps it will be proper to give Mr. Lederer an opportunity to reconsider it.

Mr. Stewart: I move that his resignation be laid on the table.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Chairman: We will now take up the second clause of the report of the Rules Committee reading as follows: “Dogs unless specifically entered for specials are not required to compete for same, but the presence of the dog at the show shall be considered as making competition whether they are brought into the ring or not.”

Mr. Collins: I move the adoption of that clause.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chairman: “No dog at any show given under the Rules of the American Kennel Club shall count any championship points by reason of winning the winner’s class, unless there shall have been competition by two or more dogs owned by different exhibitors in at least one of the regular classes. Not approved.”

Mr. Wood: I move that the decision of the Committee be upheld and the rule not changed.

Mr. Harby: That proposition I have heard discussed a great many times, and I am speaking now as a delegate of the Pekingese Club. I want to call one thing to the attention of the members, that when the Pekingese were first here there were very few dogs that were not entitled to get a reserve ribbon, because there was no competition. Their owners took them to the South and out West where there was not competition at shows, so they became enrolled as champions though worthless dogs. I do not know what the sentiments
of the members here are, but I want to call this to their attention, if they limit the right of dogs to earn the title of champions, that same thing is to be repeated, viz., worthless dogs being held out before the public as champions. I think the limitation of two is entirely too small. This was by reason of not having a rule of that kind that the Pekingese Club of America adopted a rule providing what they call the Pekingese Club championship. Under that rule the dog is entitled to have the title, Pekingese Club champion, provided it has won its championship in the presence of real competition. I brought about the adoption of that rule, and it met with acceptance from every owner of a Pekingese. As a result there has been only one Pekingese Club champion, but, as a further result of it, the competition for that title has been extreme and fierce. Specimens have been brought over here from England better than we could breed here for the purpose of competing for that title. Another result has been that at all shows the number of Pekingese has been greatly increased, so that now in a show which lasts one of more days there are more Pekingese exhibited than any other breed, to the great financial advantage of the show, and it adds great interest to the show. I say that as exemplification of the advantage of that rule. As to other breeds, I am not acquainted with them, but take Airedale Terriers, it
was perfectly east to obtain a championship, and now it is not, and other breeds come on from time to time. I think it my duty to bring that to your attention, and I vote in favor of eliminating the right of the dog to earn the title of championship in the absence of championship in the absence of competition. There does not seem to be any reason why the only dog in the class which obtained winners' points, and those go around from one show to another picking out shows where they can finally obtain that title, and then be held out as a real champion specimen when the dog may not be a champion specimen at all. I intend to vote against the report of the Committee rejecting the proposed rule.

Mr. Hooley: As the chairman of that Committee, there is one thing I want to say that appealed very strongly to the Committee. I might cite an instance that would be possible, where a dog is bought by a man in new York or in any of the central stated, and is sent by him three hundred or four hundred miles away to some show. There might be several other dogs entered there. Anything might occur. It might happen that only two or three or possibly one dog arrived there. The man makes his entry in good faith; he pays his expenses for having his dogs set there. The other man does not send his dogs, or the dogs do not arrive, or they do not get entered. Under those circumstances will you penalize that man who is brave enough to send his dog a distance away,
and say to him, “You cannot have it because there other dogs did not arrive?” I think it is only fair to the man who does send his dogs, to give him the title he seeks. The Committee went very thoroughly into that. They talked with a number of exhibitors and fanciers about it, and it was the judgment of the Committee that it was in the best interests of the dog world, as we think, that we disapproved of this proposed change.

Mr. Wood: The judge always has the privilege of withholding a winners’ ribbon if he does not want to give it, and I think the License Committee has the right to say what dog shall have it. As the principal discussion seemed to be on the subject of new breeds, the gentleman who spoke previously to the chairman of the Rules Committee, took it up from the standpoint of new breeds, I should think that the License Committee would be perfectly able to take care of that.

The Secretary: I would like to state that a number of years ago we had two rules that we had to rescind. One was that there ought to be competition in the winner’s class, and another was that it depended upon the amount of money that they gave. It was found to be a hardship to the man who, as Mr. Hooley states, at large expense sent his dog to a distant show, and through no fault of his there was no competition. Therefore he had his expenses, the chance of injury to his dog, bringing his dog back without any reward, simply be-
cause somebody else did not enter and compete against his dog. Therefore the Club rescinded those rules.

The question being put, the delegates voted to uphold the decision of the Rules Committee.

The Chairman: Section IV. Amend Section G of Rule V of Rules Governing Dog Shows to read: “Winners of fifteen points in this class under three different judges (at least six points of which shall have been won at two three point shows.) APPROVED.”

Mr. Boger: I believe the precedent was that any dog which has annexed championship points previous to this, would come under the old ruling. I move to amend the proposed amendment of the Committee, that any dog which has acquired championship points under the old rule will have the right and privilege to continue in the winner’s championship under that rule.

Mr. Boger’s amendment seconded and carried.

The Chairman: I will put the motion now as amended.

The motion as amended was carried.

Mr. Wood: I move that the matter of Mr. Thorndike’s suggestion be laid on the table.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Chairman: The Rules Committee recommends that these rules go into effect immediately upon their adoption. There is a rule here which provides that no rule shall become ef-
effective until the expiration of ninety days after its passage. Therefore these cannot go into effect until after 90 days have elapsed.

The Secretary: There is a matter in my report about the Bay Side Kennel Club of Southern California, whether that club is automatically dropped or not. There was a bad check which I had redeem.

Mr. Lawson: Id it not possible there may be some mistake about that?

The Secretary: I do not know. It was a check from California which I had to redeem this morning for their dues.

Mr. Boger: I think that sometimes those officers are filled by people whose knowledge of business is very limited and I move that the Secretary write to the Kennel Club mentioned and notify them of this shortcoming, and see what the reply is.

Motion seconded.

Mr. Hooley: Would it not be advisable to put a time limit on that?

The Secretary: It has got to be at least fifteen days.

Mr. Hooley: I move that if the check is not redeemed in twenty days the club be dropped.

Mr. Boger: I accept the amendment.

Motion as amended seconded and carried.
Mr. Wood: I was requested by an old member of the Lehigh Valley Club, who said that he had been written to about the payment of this year’s dues, and to state that the club disbanded last year, and that they could not consider the matter of dies because there were no officers—indeed there was no club left, and there was nobody to write and resign.

Mr. Howard Willets: At the last meeting there was a Committee appointed to look into the matter of having uniform ribbons for winning dogs at all shows, under the rules of the American Kennel Club. We are now ready to report if you are read to hear it:

REPORT OF RIBBON COMMITTEE.

Your Committee recommends the following regulations be added to the Rules Governing Dog Shows:

All organizations, or clubs holding dog shows under the Rules of the American Kennel Club, shall after June 1, 1916, use the following colors and designs for their prize ribbons or rosettes:

First: Blue
Second: Red
Third: Yellow
Fourth: White
Winners: Royal Purple
Reserve Winners: Royal Purple and White
Specials: Green
Each Ribbon or Rosette shall be at least 2” wide and approximately 8” long and bear on the face of same the seal of the American Kennel Club, the name of the prize, and name of Show-giving club, with numerals of year and date of show. The seal shall not be less than the diameter of the Standard Seal 1-7/8” in diameter, except that where the Show-giving Club wishes they may use their club colors for winners’ rosette and may reduce the size of the AKC seal one-half.

There shall be printed on all Ribbons and Rosettes numerals giving the year in which the show was held. Said numerals shall be at least 5/8” in height. Where rosettes are used, the ribbons may be more narrow, but the numerals and seal of the American Kennel Club must be stamped on the rosettes.”

At the suggestion of two show-giving clubs, we added to that that in case of winners, if the show-giving club has colors, they may use a rosette for the winner’s classes made of ribbons of club colors.

“No show superintendent, Secretary, or Bench Show Committee shall allows any ribbon not won at an American Kennel Club Show to be used for decorations, or attached in any way to the Benches at any show given under the Rules of the American Kennel Club.

Any show-giving organization not conforming to the above
regulations, shall be fined the sum of Twenty-five dollars ($25.00) for any show at which the offense may occur.

There is no desire on the part of your Committee to suggest curtailing the size of ribbons or rosettes to be used by any show-giving organization, but simply that they believe ribbons should not be smaller than 2” in width and approximately 8” in length and should bear on the face of them the seal or insignia of the American Kennel Club 1 7/8” in diameter.

It seems to your Committee important that Exhibitors should not be allowed to use ribbons won at shows, given under other rules than those of the American Kennel Club. This frequently results in ribbons being used for decorations, which have been won at shows antagonistic to the American Kennel Club, or at small shows of little merit.

Sales of dogs have frequently been made to visitors at American Kennel Club show in the strength of a large exhibit of ribbons which influence the purchaser, whereas the prizes won in many cases were at shows having little or no competition.

Further your Committee wishes to advise you that the rule of standard colors is now carried out by the Canadian Kennel Club, and we understand also by the English Kennel Club at all their championship shows.

Your Committee also recommends that the American Kennel Club copyright the ribbons having its seal printed on them,
and that each show-giving club should obtain permission of the American Kennel Club to use such ribbons at each of their shows.

The question having been brought up as to the use of a special seal or insignia of a show-giving club your Committee wishes to report that it was not their intention to suggest curtailing in any way the design of ribbon and that all clubs may use their own insignia and place same in such position as they wish, providing the use of such insignia does not interfere with the seal of the American Kennel Club.

For your further information your Committee begs to report that ordinary silk ribbons of the above character, that is 2” in width, and 9” long with the date of the year and the seal of the American Kennel Club, can be purchased from a number of dealers for approximately six cents per ribbons, and that several ribbon manufacturers have stated that they will be glad to bear the expense of making the dies for the seal and the yearly numeral without expense to the show-giving club, provided a reasonable number of ribbons were purchased.”

Mr. Hooley: I move that the report be adopted.

Dr. De Mund: I would move to strike out the 2 inches wide and 8 inches long. It is all very well for clubs that are in New York to show 8 inch ribbons, but clubs in the South often have to depend on certain lots of
ribbon that they get there, and it might be 6 inches long. I think it would cover the intent if they would recommend a size, but not make this arbitrary. It would work a great hardship on a great many small clubs.

Mr. Wilmerding: I second the motion.

Mr. Howard Willets: Do I understand you to say that the ribbons should be less than 2 inches wide?

Dr. De Mund: It is length I spoke of. I have known of some clubs that cannot afford to pay very much money for ribbons, but have been able to get odd lots of ribbon about 6 inches in length donated to them by some store in the town or city in which they are holding the show. By making that club pay six cents for their ribbons would work quite a hardship.

The Chairman: Then why don't you make your motion to strike out “8 inches long”?

Dr. De Mund: I will.

Mr. Roy: I would like to offer an amendment to the amendment to strike out the red tape and just adopt the colors only. There are a great many cases where people can get their own ribbons. Why insist on another lot of red tape in connection with shows? We have enough now. If we simply adopt the color scheme and adopt those at all dog shows, we can leave the details to the clubs.

Dr. De Mund: I will accept that
Mr. Wood: The Committee went into this matter very fully and very carefully, and wrote to a great many places and asked many questions. It seems to me that if we adopt a standard ribbon it will be more likely to work out as a definite proposition than if they are of all different sorts. I really believe that the seal of the American Kennel Club should go on the ribbons. I think it is of value. We considered the matter thoroughly, and the seal seems to be the neatest and best design we could think of it put on the ribbons. The question of length is not very important, but if you take a deal 1 7/8 inches wide and put a suitable sized letter on it, you ribbon will look very curious if it is not pretty near 8 inches long.

Mr. Hooley: I think this think was all gone into the other day, and the idea of having these ribbons was to avoid all this question of cheap ribbons.

Mr. Lawson: It seems to me the main point is to have the seal on the ribbon. The question of whether it is an American Kennel Club show. That is the point we are aiming at, it seems to me.

Mr. Roy: It seems such a trifling matter to state about the type of ribbon. If you want to adopt the principle that the American Kennel stamp be on, all right, but to restrict that club to a certain ribbon—actually a six cent ribbon, makes it prohibitive in some cases to hold a show.
I know of cases where they could not afford to pay six cents for them. I think we should leave that to the show people themselves.

Mr. Wood: There is nothing in the report, as I remember it, stating that the ribbon must cost six cents. We looked it up to see what the ribbons would cost, and advised the delegates to that effect. If they can get a different kind of ribbon cheaper, there is nothing to prevent them doing so, so far as the report is concerned.

Mr. Howard Willets: We investigated the matter and the ribbon makers said they would furnished ribbons like that for six cents.

Mr. Hooley: I know of a show that is coming on that has a very handsome ribbon, and I would like to state that that ribbon cost them 4 ½ cents. I question whether we want a club to give a show who cannot pay three or four cents for a ribbon. I would not think that club was financially able to father a show if they are so limited in means that three or four cents for a ribbon is prohibitive to them. I do not wish to start any argument or anything on this question, but I have met people in all the different towns at the different shows, and I have yet to hear of a show-giving club that would hesitate to pay three or four cents for a ribbon. I do not doubt that ribbons for those shows could be purchased for two cents. Usually in the
Case of these ribbons, many of the smaller towns, cheaper shows get their ribbons for nothing. They hold up a merchant for them. I think we should respect our Committee.

Mr. Barnard: I desire to state that the Philadelphia club has adopted this ribbon, and the cost of 4 ¼ cents, the same as last year, the ribbon as suggested Committee.

Mr. Roy: I would like to incorporate in the amendment that the stamp or seal of the American Kennel Club must be on every ribbon.

Mr. Howard Willets: There are two points here I want emphasized. One is that every club must have the American Kennel Club seal on their ribbons, and that the seal should be copyrighted by the club and permission given. Those are the two points we want to make. We would rather have a uniform sized ribbon at all shows, but that was simply a suggestion.

The question was put to vote on Mr. Roy’s proposed amendment and lost.

The Chairman: Dr. De Mund’s motion is before you now.

Dr. De Mund: I move to amend the report by inserting the words “approximately 8 inches.”

Seconded and carried.

The report of the Committee as amended was then carried.

Mr. Roy: I am instructed by the American Collie Club
to bring before you the matter of the registration of American bred puppies. I have a copy of a letter sent on the 18th of January to the Secretary, which I will read.

January 19, 1916

Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
American Kennel Club, 1 Liberty Street, NYC.

Dear Sir:

I sent you registration papers for a collie bitch puppy. The registration papers and money were returned because the sire was not registered according to the new ruling. Now, the sire, Champion Laund Limit, is an English Collie and one of the best known Collie dogs in the world. Evidently someone in the office has made a mistake.

I informed your office that the bitch was bought in England. I then had her mated to Laund Limit. She, the bitch, whelped here in America. I have two more across now waiting to be mated to Limit.

You can readily see that I cannot register the sire, as he is not my property. If this new ruling is right, it will stop all importation. The dam of the puppy refused registration has been registered. Kindly let me hear from you.

“Mr. Comerford”

I informed the Secretary that I was going to bring this matter up for a ruling.

Whether it is referred to the
Rules Committee, I am quite willing to leave it in any way the delegates would like, but the question is this: The Secretary informed me that there was only one way to do that, and that was to get the permission from the English owner of that dog to register him in the American Kennel Club.

The Chairman: That matter would go before the Stud Book Committee ordinarily. A motion would be in order to refer that to the Stud Book Committee where it belongs.

Mr. Cutler: I think it would be well for the same Committee to make a positive ruling on this subject, so that the publish will understand what the rule is. I move that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

The Secretary: With power or to report back?

Mr. Cutler: To report back, as giving their construction, so that the rule can be stated. I understand that the gentleman who brought this up asks for a ruling from some Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.
MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB,
HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1916.

Vice-President Hunnewell in the Chair.

PRESENT:

Howard Willets
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
Samuel R. Cutler
W. Prescott Wolcott
George S. West
Charles W. Keyes
E. L. Boger
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Charles R. Wood
Joseph A. Buchanan
J. Macy Willets
H. K. Bloodgood
M. Mowbray Palmer
John Sinnott
William Rauch
Henry T. Fleitmann
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Theodore Offerman
W. L. Barclay
John De Mund
W. Ross Proctor
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published the Gazette.

The Secretary: The election of President of the American Kennel Club is now in order. Before any nominations are made for that office, I should like to read a letter I received from Mr. August Belmont, dated Jan 10.

The Secretary then read the letter which stated that owing to pressure of business and lack of time to devote to the club and its affairs, Mr. Belmont was desirous that his name be not mentioned for President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year; that he had the interests of the Club at heart, and would be very glad to offer such assistance as he could at any future time.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the letter be accepted with regret and that the Secretary write a letter to Mr. Belmont stating the thanks for the American Kennel Club for his services during the past years.

Mr Wilmerding: I think it would be proper and suitable if a Committee were appointed to draw up a set of resolutions expressing our regret in proper form, and sending it to Mr. Belmont.

Mr. Hooley: If that is an amendment to my motion, I accept it.

Motion as amended accepted and carried.
The Chairman: I appoint Messrs. Wilmerding, Rauch, Greer and Howard Willets as such Committee.

Mr. Wood: I would like to nominate Mr. H. H. Hunnewell as President of the American Kennel Club for the ensuing year.

Mr. Stewart: I second the nomination.

Mr. Bloodgood: I move that nominations be closed.

Mr. Hunnewell resigned the Chair to Mr. Bloodgood.

Mr. Bloodgood: Are there any other nominations?

Mr. Boger: I move that nominations be closed.

Seconded and carried.

Mr. Howard Willets: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. Hunnewell as President.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The Secretary takes great pleasure in casting a ballot for Mr. H. H. Hunnewell as President of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Hunnewell: Gentlemen, words fail me to express the honor which you have conferred on me electing me president of your club. I thoroughly realize the tremendous duties that go with the office, and I hope and trust that I will be able to satisfy your confidence. I will do all in my power to further the interests of the American Kennel Club. I have been associated with you a great many years, and I shall
endeavor to carry out the mutual desire of us all, and that is to keep up a truthful attitude to our friends and to the dog world. I am not readily accessible, being far away from the home office, but if I find this in any way detrimental to the Club, I shall gladly step out, but I am sure that the officers will help me in that respect. I, however, am always ready and willing to come on at any time that my presence is considered necessary. I shall keep in constant touch with the office, and do the best I can in that way. It is with feelings of misgiving that I take the position that Mr. Belmont has so long filled so admirably, who has done so much for the Club from its beginning, aiding it financially and mentally, and in every other way, carried it to its present state, where he leaves us with a wonderfully big association, which has gained the respect of everybody who is fond of the dog, of true sportsman and lovers of fair play. I think the American Kennel Club owes a great debt to Mr. Belmont for all that he has done for it, and I shall personally let him know that I appreciate it fully as much, if not more, than anybody else. I want to thank you again very much for the honor you have conferred on me, and I know you will treat me as I, with all my shortcomings, deserve.

President Hunnewell then took the chair.

Dr. De Mund: I nominate Mr. H. K. Bloodgood for first vice president of the club.
On motion nominations were closed.

Dr. De Mund: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Bloodgood’s election.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Rauch: I nominate Mr. Howard Willets for Second Vice-President of this club.

On motion nominations were closed.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the Secretary be directed to cast a ballot for Mr. Willets’ election.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

Dr. De Mund: I would like to nominate Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh as Secretary-Treasurer.

Nomination seconded.

Mr. Stewart: I move that nominations be closed.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion the chairman was directed to cast a vote for A. P. Vredenburgh.

The chairman stated he has cast such vote.

Mr. Offerman: I nominate Messrs. William Rauch as chairman, H. K. Bloodgood and Howard Willets as members of the Finance Committee.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot.
Mr. H. Macy Willets: I would like to nominate Mr. W. L. Barclay, chairman, Charles R. Wood, and Theodore Offerman as members of the Stud Book Committee.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.
The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Stewart: I nominate Mr. A. G. Hooley, Chairman, Hollis H. Hunnewell, George Greer, R. P. Keasbey and Dr. De Mund as members of the Rules Committee.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.
The Secretary stated that he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Howard Willets: I nominate Mr. Chetwood Smith, Chairman, Alfred B. Maclay, A. G. Hooley, Charles W. Keyes and W. R. Proctor, as members of the Field Trial Committee.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.
The Secretary stated he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Stewart: I nominate Charles A. Wood, Chairman, Howard Willets, H. K. Bloodgood, Henry T. Fleitmann and William Rauch as members of the Membership Committee.
On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot
for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Bloodgood: I nominate Mr. Rowland P. Keasbey, Chairman, Tyler Morse, J.
Macy Willes, George West and E. L. Boger as members of the Publication Committee.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the

The Secretary stated he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Hooley: I would like to nominate Messrs. A. G. Wilmerding, Chairman,
Joseph A. Buchanan and Ralph C. Stewart as members of the License Committee.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the

The Secretary stated he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Wilmerding: I would like to nominate Mr. Ralph G. Stewart, Chairman,
Samuel A. Cutler and W. Ross Proctor as members of the Law Committee.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Wood: I would like to nominate for the New York Trial Board Messrs. John
Collins, Chairman, Henry T. Fleit-
mann and Theodore Offerman.

Mr. Boger: I would like to nominate Messrs. A. C. Wilmerding, A. M. Lederer and John G. Bates as members of the New York Trial Board.

Dr. De Mund: I was chairman of the New York Trial Board and was elected as a member of the Executive Committee. It was not passed on officially; no resolution was made, but it was talked over at that time, and it was an unanimously decided that the chairman of the Trial Board would have to sit on the Executive Committee, and I resigned as chairman of the Trial Board.

Mr. Wilmerding: The matter of being on the Trial Board as chairman and being on the Executive Committee of course applied to my case. The matter has been discussed considerably, and I think it is not exactly the correct thing for me to be on both boards. Furthermore, I have no particular desire to sit on the Trial Board, as the work is without end or limit. The matter comes up, that we have a very important trial ahead of us, which we are about three-quarters through with, and which will take possibly another month’s time to complete, and as I said to you a few days ago if I were continued on the Trial Board, that is, I am not asking the privilege – I am not seeking it—when I am through with this case I shall retire. I shall be glad to be relieved of its many duties.
The Chairman: You would not go out of existence until your slate is cleaned off. You would have to continue your Trial Board.

Mr. Wilmerding: I do not see it that way.

The Chairman: That would seem only reasonable. You would not expect another Trial Board to take up your work?

Mr. Cutler: It has been suggested here that because a man had been elected to the Executive Committee he was ineligible as a member of the Trial Board. I see nothing inconsistent with the position. It is a fact that in the large majority of the States of the United States you try a case before a judge and you take an appeal to what is known as the Appeal bench. The judge before whom the case was tried is a member of that court. In most States, that is, in a great many States—in New Hampshire, the State where I began practicing law, it was the custom—it was considered improper for the judge who had heard the case in the Court below to sit with the judges in bank when they considered that case.

The Chairman: That is my point.

Mr. Cutler: But in Massachusetts it is not the point because they say there that a judge who heard the case below knows better about the facts and can inform his associates about them to their advantage, so it is a fact that in Massa-
chusetts, where I practice now, the Judge before whom you try a case sits in the full
bench when the case is considered, and have had the experience many times of arguing
before the same judge the second time. That is, he, with all four associates, has asked
me questions if I did not contend so and so when I was before him at the court below.
There are two theories, and I will not say which is the correct theory. It was my lot to
have been a member of the show Committee that decided a case, and then afterwards
had an appeal taken from the show Committee to the trial board in Boston, of which I
was a member. That was when the Trial Board was first established, and I considered it
established a precedent in sitting with my associates when that question came up. So, if
a gentleman is made a member of the New York Trial Board and is also made a member
of the Executive Committee, if he should follow the precedent that has already been
established he could refrain from sitting with the other members when the case which
had come before the New York Trial Board came before the Executive Committee. I see
no real difficulty with the situation of having a member of a Trial Board a member of the
Executive Committee. The question has been raised that if you gentlemen re-elected
the Trial Board what their position would be as to cases which have already begun. I
may go out of office. I do not know what
the usual practice is. I tried a case before a Commission a few weeks ago, and one of the members of the Commission went out of office, and then the others hurried up and rendered their decision on the 31st of December, so it would not go over into Jan for fear that the question would be raised whether a new member would have the right to consider it.

The Chairman: We have a right to ask this Trial Board to clean up their slate, and then the new Trial Board would take up the new business as it came along.

Mr. Buchanan: I move we proceed to a vote.

The two sets of names put in nomination as members of the New York Trial Board were then balloted for, resulting in the election of Messrs. John Collins, Henry P. Fleitmann and Theodore Offerman.

The Chairman: What is your pleasure in regard to the present cases? Do you want them to go ahead with them?

Mr. Bloodgood: I move that they be empowered to finish up their cases.

Mr. Wilmerding: I do not think that they will accept the power. I do not know what the others will do. I am speaking for myself now, that I will not continue the case.

Mr. Howard Willets: I beg to submit the proposition that as long as the old Trial Board is going out of office and a new Trial Board is elected, the old Trial Board is
not in office and they cannot receive any power because they are not a Trial Board. They cannot go on with the work. They do not constitute a Trial Board.

Mr. Hooley: Can the new Trial Board take up the work of the old Trial Board then?

Mr. Bloodgood: I withdraw my motion.

Mr. Keasbey: I nominate Messrs. W. L. Barclay, chairman, J. Sergeant Price, Jr. and Russell H. Johnson, Jr. as members of the Philadelphia Trial Board.

On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated that he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Keyes: I nominate Mr. S. R. Cutler, Chairman, E. W. Dwight and George S. West as members of the Boston Trial Board.

On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated he has cast such ballot.

Mr. Fleitmann: I nominate Messrs. John C. Eastman, Chairman, James Pugh and William Grower as members of the Chicago Trial Board.

On motion nominations were closed.
On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a
ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated he had cast such ballot.

Mr. West: I nominate Messrs. C. W. Conlisk, Chairman, Nat. T. Messer and O. F. Vedder as members of the San Francisco Trial Board.

On motion nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of the gentlemen named.

The Secretary stated he had cast such ballot.

Mr. Rauch: I nominate Mr. O. F. Vedder as our Western Agent.

On motion, nominations were closed.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. Vedder.

The Secretary stated he had cast such ballot.

The Secretary ten read his report, as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

A communication from August Belmont, Esq., President of the American Kennel Club in reference to the appointment of a Committee to act with the Dog Lovers Association on the Muzzling Ordinance.

A communication from our Western Agent in the case of
H. M. Robertson and Doctor W. C. Billings stating that the above case has furnished sufficient evidence upon which to base a searching investigation.

An appeal from James A. Dutton against the decision of the Western Beagle Club.

A communication from the German Shepherd Dog Club asking for a change in the classification of the German Shepherd from medium sized dogs to that of large dogs. This information is addressed to the Rules Committee.

A communication from A. Branshaw in the matter of his re-instatement.

Pursuant to Rule XXIII governing dog shows, I beg to report the following suspensions: Miss Marion Kennedy, by the American Pomeranian Club; Mrs. J. C. Mallery by the Central New York Kennel Association; Charles G. Gilbert and E. Clark by the Ladies Kennel Association of Southern California.

Communications from W. R. Price and W. M. Harding in the matter of the registration rule which went into effect Jan 1, 1916.

A communication from H. E. Webster in reference to the judging at the late Lynn Kennel Club Show.

The following excuses have been received for absence at the December meeting from M. M. Palmer, Charles W. Keyes, J. P. Wolcott and S. R. Cutler also excuse for absence from this meeting from Alfred
On motion, the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Treasurer’s report was also read, and is as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I hereby submit the following report from Jan 1, 1916 to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$36,473.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1, 1916 to date</td>
<td>4,331.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40,804.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1, 1916 to date</td>
<td>3,658.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>37,146.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

On motion the same was accepted and placed on file.
The Secretary: I have a communication from the San Francisco Trial Board in the case of Robertson versus W. C. Billings. They came to the conclusion that that was a matter outside of this particular case; that it was a rather serious one, and they want permission to go into the matter and investigate it fully. They ask further consideration of the matter.

Mr. Stewart: I move that the San Francisco Trial Board be appointed a Committee of Investigation to look into the matter and report back to the Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I submit the appeal of James A. Dutton against the decision of the Eastern Beagle Club. Not being an appeal from a decision of a Trial Board it must come before the directors.

Mr. Wood: I move that it be referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: At the last meeting Mr. A. Branshaw, of Dallas, Texas, applied for reinstatement, and I was directed to inform him that if he would send a communication apologizing for his actions, and agreeing to abide by the American Kennel Club rules, he would be reinstated. I had a letter dated December 28th from him which I read. On the strength of that I reinstated him, Mr. Branshaw, with the
authority given me by the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Wood: I move that it be accepted and placed on file, and that the Secretary’s action be confirmed.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Miss Marion Kennedy was suspended by the American Pomeranian Club for removing her dog without permission from their show, and her unseemly manner while in the ring. I notified Miss Kennedy of this suspension, and gave her the opportunity to show cause before the Board of Directors why that suspensions should not be removed. She wrote an apology to the Executive Committee of the Pomeranian Club. The American Pomeranian Club passed a resolution that so far as it was concerned, they withdrew all charges against Miss Kennedy and were willing to reinstate her, but of course they could not speak for the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Stewart: I move that she be reinstated.

Mr. Howard Willets: I second the motion.

Carried.

The Secretary: Mrs. J. C. Mallory, of Newport, Rhode Island, was suspended by the Central New York Kennel Club December 3rd. I read a communication from Mrs. Mallory.

Mr. Wood: I move we go into executive session.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Board of Directors went into executive session.

The Committee of the Whole arise and through its chair-
man reported the following resolution: That the Secretary be directed to notify Mrs. Mallory that the Board of Directors has sustained her suspension and that the proper procedure would be to apply to the Central New York Kennel Association to recommend her reinstatement.

The Secretary: The Ladies Kennel Association of Southern California suspended Messrs. E. Clark and Charles Gilbert for misconduct at their show of November 11th, 1915. I simply report this to the directors.

Dr. De Mund: I move the suspension be sustained.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I have two communications here, one from W. R. Price, and one from Mr. M. E. Harby, which are practically the same, on the question that Mr. Roy brought up about the registration that went into effect on January 1st.

Mr. Stewart: I move that they be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I have a letter from Mr. Webster, of Newport. He has made an arrangement with the Lynn Club that his dogs, as they could not arrive at that show at the time advertised—but they would accept his dogs when they did get there, and that they would fix a time for judging them. He states that the dogs were there within a very few minutes of the time stated. He had his kennel
manager with him and he got there late, and after they arrived they found that after his kennel manager had gone to lunch they judged his dogs before the time that they agreed to do so. The Lynn show people in answer to that state that inasmuch as Mr. Webster’s dogs arrived early in the morning, they did not suppose for a moment that they would have to retard the judging of them in order to comply with their agreement when they understood the dogs were not to arrive until later. He would not make any charges against them. He wants to know if the American Kennel Club will permit a club to break such a promise as that.

Mr. Wood: I move that the matter be referred to the Boston Trial Board, not exactly as a Trial Board, but as a Committee to investigate and report.

Mr. Bloodgood: I second the motion.

Carried.

The Secretary: The German Sheepdog Club respectfully requests that rule 25 of the Rules governing clubs be amended so that German Sheepdogs may be removed from their present classification of medium sized dogs and included under the classification of large dogs.

Mr. Hooley: I move that be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I have here excuses for absence of
Messrs. Palmer, Keyes, Wolcott and Cutler from the December meeting, and from Mr. Alfred C. Maclay from this meeting.

Mr. Stewart: I move that their excuses be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: At the last meeting of the Executive Committee I was directed to communication to the superintendents of dog shows on three questions. One was accepting entries after the entries closed; another was, dogs kept in their crates during the continuance of the show, only taken out to be judged, and the last is dogs brought into the show building that were not entered at that show. I prepared the regular letters, had them printed, and they are going out. In connection with that there was a Mrs. Locke who called here this morning from Chicago. She is the Secretary of the Irving Park Kennel Club. She wants to prefer charges on all those headings against a man who is superintending a great many of the Middle West Shows. She states that before she knew the rules that she was solicited by him to solicit entries for certain shows, naming the shows, after the entries closed. She solicited and turned in twelve entries after spending two days work on it. She also said that one of the clubs broke into her office to try and get her minutes book; that the president of the club, in trying to twist her minute book from her hand at the meeting sprained one of her fingers. She also says that while she was at a meeting,
her house was broken into and searched for her minute book, but her minute book was locked up in a safe deposit vault. She said that she would like to bring this matter before the American Kennel Club as to accepting entries, and so forth, and further than that, that the dogs that were absent by direction of this superintendent were not marked absent, and states that he will guarantee for $250 to superintend a show that will be two points rate. As this comes in line with this very action of the Executive Committee the other day, this lady says: “I cannot afford to do missionary work, to put up a deposit.” I told her exactly what we were undertaking to do; that she should send these different allegations, each one supported by affidavits; that I would ask the American Kennel Club if they would investigate this matter without a formal charge, and making a deposit.

Dr. De Mund: I move that the matter be taken up by the directors at the next meeting, and that she be directed to furnish us affidavits and evidence in the matter without any deposit.

Motion seconded and carried.

On motion, the meeting then adjourned.
EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Wood: I do not know Mrs. Mallory, but I was at the Show, and some of the statements contained in that letter are not, in my opinion, borne out by what happened there. I don’t know whether any one else saw what happened, but I saw her refuse to deposit five dollars. She said she did not have it. Several people offered to loan the money. She refused to take it. She went back to her hotel and was apparently, so far as anybody could see, all the next day in the hotel, had sufficient money to pay three or four days board bill at the Utica Hotel for herself and her maid. I don’t believe we want to take such action against women as we do against men, but that is the situation as I saw it in Utica.

Mr. Bloodgood: Did Mrs. Mallory apologize to the Club that suspended her?

The Secretary: I have another letter that Dr. De Mund just handed me. I don’t know what that is.

Mr. Bloodgood: We just reinstated Miss Kennedy on the basis that the show-giving club withdrew their charges. We must support our members. Personally I think out motion to reinstate Miss Kennedy—we let her off very easily. I think she ought to be censured for her action. I think we must uphold the members of this club. She certainly apologized to the club that suspended her.
Mr. Stewart: I move that this matter be referred to the Boston Trial Board.

Dr. De Mund: There are no charges against the woman. She has been suspended. She does not go to the trial court. She comes to the directors.

MR. Bloodgood: If it is a question of sustaining a member of the club, we certainly should have something, or our members should have something showing they were justified in suspending her on her action.

Mr. Howard Willets: I move that the Secretary be instructed to write to the Central New York Kennel Association and ask them their wishes in the matter.

Motion seconded by Dr. De Mund.

Mr. Cutler: I hesitate to say very much about this case because the matter has been referred to the Boston Trial Board, and we did have a partial trial.

The Chairman: Why should it have gone to the Boston Trial Board?

Mr. Cutler: I do not know, but I suspect the reason. The custom heretofore has been to send—I think an order has bee made to send it to the Trial Board where the defendant lived, and the defendant lives within the jurisdiction of the Boston Trial Board.

The Chairman: She is a New York woman. She lives in Newport, Rhode Island, in the summer time.
Mr. Cutler: As a matter of fact, I believe she is down in North Carolina now. We sent her a registered letter and it came back, not received; but that did not indicate she was not there. What I rose to say--- I do not care--- I prefer you should not send it to the Boston Trial Board. What I wanted to say was that it seemed to me much better policy to send all of these cases to the different Trial Board. I do not think we can do these cases justice, coming here in the afternoon when we want to get away, and go about them in this desultory manner. I think there is a great difference. Whether it is right or not we tried a case a while ago and the people came before us. I looked them over and came to the conclusion that one of the men was perfectly innocent. He did not know anything at all about dog shows. He picked up his dog and carried it out right in the presence of the policeman and everybody else, and he did it so openly that the superintendent and the police thought he was going to bring it back again. Another man was brought before us at the same time, and he had been going to dog shows and knew the ropes. It was perfectly apparent when you saw them both together that one of them was morally guilty. So here we have got two women. The last one leaves a good deal to be desired in her apology. I should say the first one was a lady and the other one might not be.

Mr. Howard Willets: That is why I wanted to get the
particulars from the club.

Mr. Cutler: We got a letter from the Utica Club to reinstate her, so I have heard here. The letter which they wrote to us stated the case, and said that one of the men standing by offered to loan this woman money enough to leave as a deposit. I think it was one of the officers or superintendents, and she picked up her dog and went out. If these cases are handled by a Trial Board, who will have the patience to look into the matter so that it can be handled with justice to all parties, but taking them as we know them here, and listening to their letters, I fear many times we may do an injustice to all the parties, and I think it would be wise to refer it.

Mr. Wood: This matter comes here. This is a suspension by the Central New York Kennel Association. There is no reason why we should communicate with them. She has been called a defendant. She is not a defendant yet. We should send her a letter and advise their decision. Why should we write them anything further about it. If she wants to appeal she has the right to appeal, and If she does not want to appeal, why should we have anything more to do with it except to write a letter stating she has a right to appeal?

Dr. De Mund: The rule distinctly provides what should happen in these cases. The clubs have the right to suspend
anybody for an infraction of our rules. The show Committee suspended Mrs. Mallory. If she appeals from their decision, she appeals to the directors, and they can dispose of the case as they see fit. Mrs. Mallory has asked for reinstatement. It is not an appeal from anything. The motion to write to the Central New York Central Association, and ask their wishes in the matter, I think is a proper one.

Mr. Bloodgood: It seems to me you certainly want the wishes of the Kennel Club. Mr. Wood was making the same point that I made at the beginning. We must sustain our members. It has been always our rule that when a bench show suspended anybody they were suspended until they appealed. She has a right to appeal. She does not appeal. She asks for reinstatement.

Mr. Hooley: It seems to me we have not anything to do in the matter at all until that Association writes and states to us that they would like the woman reinstated or something to that effect. I do not know why we should ask them for anything.

The Chairman: The motion before the house is that the Secretary write to the Central New York Kennel Association and ask them their wishes in the matter.

Mr. Howard Willets: I think Mrs. Mallory’s letter requires a reply, and I would suggest that the reply be made that her remedy is an appeal to the American Kennel Club.
She has not appealed it there, and she has not asked for reinstatement, either one.

Mr. Cutler: I wish Mr. Stewart would read the law here. It seems to me it is perfectly plain. A show giving club may suspend any person for an infraction of their rules, and it then says the Board of Directors shall consider the suspension at their next meeting, and it is not necessary for there to be any appeal. It is our duty to consider it at the next meeting. Now, if at the next meeting the Board of Directors see fit, as they have on one occasion before, to refer the matter for investigation to a Trial Board, they have a right to have an investigation made and a report made back to the directors, and then the directors must act upon the report of the Trial Board. As I said I do not like to talk too much on this case. I have acted in it already. The Boston Trial Board has already acted, and you will see that through our efforts we have elicited a list of witnesses, and it was our purpose to correspond with those witnesses, and find out what they would say. I think it is not necessary for us to ask the Utica Club what they want us to do. They have already done what they thought was right. The question is now, shall we sustain them, and if we have light enough upon the subject now it is our duty to either sustain or not sustain them, just as we see fit. If we want more light we can take the means which is given us to secure
that information, and the rules say expressly that Trial Board shall consider whatever questions are referred to them by the Board of Directors, and that is what the Trial Board was created for. I had something to do with the creation of them, to investigate at first and get first hand information upon whatever questions may be referred to them by the directors. In that case, there is light enough for the Board to act, and they can act now, but I see no reason for asking the Utica Club to let us know what they want us to do.

Dr. De Mund: I move to amend Mr. Willets’ motion that we sustain the New York Central Kennel Association – that we sustain the action of the New York Kennel Association.

Mr. Howard Willets: The amendment is accepted.

The question on the amendment was then put, and it was carried.

Mr. Howard Willets: I substitute for my other motion, that Mrs. Mallory be advised of the action of the club, and that she be instructed as to what her privileges are in the matter.

The Secretary: To whom can she appeal?

Mr. Howard Willets: To the American Kennel Club for reinstatement.

Mr. Cutler: The rules of the American Kennel Club are as much open to her as they are to any other woman. The other lady knew what to do. Why doesn’t this one know what
to do? Is it necessary that we should write to her telling her we have sustained the suspension, and tell her that she cannot appeal for reinstatement? She knows that. According to what one of our members has seen and has testified to us right here, she did not act like a lady in that club. Why should we today to her?

Mr. Howard Willets: There is no reason why we should. That is not my reason at all. I do not believe she understands the rules of the American Kennel Club.

Dr. De Mund: She practically asked for reinstatement, the same as the other one did. We have refused it.

The Secretary: There are many cases where persons who have been suspended by clubs and have appealed for reinstatement, where we have always asked the suspending clubs what their desires were in the matter. I do not think of a case where we have ever reinstated a person under those circumstances that we did not first ask the approval of the club of the Judge, whoever it may have been, that occasioned their suspension.

Dr. De Mund: as I understand it, suspension carried on that way, they asked for reinstatement. They generally appealed. The appeal when they think that the club has been unjust to them. There is no claim here of that. It is not a case for a trial. She is asking for reinstatement, and I don’t think it would do any harm to ask the club that sus-
pended her what they wish in the matter. If you do not wish to do that I think we should sustain the action of the Kennel Club.

    The Secretary: That ought to come from Mrs. Mallory to the show-giving club, not from this club. She ought to be advised that her proper course would be to apply to the Central New York Kennel Association, and ask them if they would approve or willing to have her reinstated. That has always been our custom.

    Mr. Cutler: She has not asked us our advice, has she?
    The Secretary: No, but that has been our custom.
    Mr. Howard Willets: We are simply calling her attention to her privileges. I move that the Secretary be instructed to write to Mrs. Mallory, and call her attention to the privilege of asking for reinstatement.

    Mr. Cutler: She has the right to ask for reinstatement, but she has no appeal. She has been suspended by a show-giving club, and it is our duty to consider whether we will sustain that show-giving club or not. There is no appeal.

    Dr. De Mund: She did not object to the decision.

    Mr. Cutler: She has not objected to it, she has not said anything, but she has a right to come in here and ask for reinstatement, as any other person has, but it is no appeal.
Mr. Howard Willets: I think if my friend over there will look up the rules he will see that any member, or any disqualified person, or suspended person has the right to appeal from the decision of the board of directors to the Executive Committee of the club.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not see the advisability of communicating with her too much. It is for her to appeal to that club, and then come here. It is not for her to come here.

The Chairman: Her request for reinstatement should come through that club.

Mr. Bloodgood: That is my idea. We have got to uphold our members.

Mr. Howard Willets: If I had my own personal way in this matter, I would be very glad to see Mrs. Mallory out of every show, because she is a nuisance. In every show she attends, there is no doubt about that; but I do think she should have an opportunity of putting herself straight, not only before our club, but before the show-giving club.

Mr. Bloodgood: I cannot agree with Mr. Willets in this motion.

The Secretary: The motion before the house is that the Secretary be directed to notify Mrs. Mallory that the Board of Directors has sustained her suspension, and that her proper
procedure would be to apply to the Central New York Kennel Association to recommend her reinstatement.

    Motion seconded and carried.
    On motion the Committee then arose.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.
Held Tuesday, March 21, 1916

President Hunnewell presiding—

Present:

H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
Ralph C. Stewart
R. P. Keasbey
A. C. Wilmerding
William Rauch
Charles R. Wood

The following business was acted upon:

Ratto
vs
Appeal by White from the decision of the Chicago Trial Board.

White

Ordered: That the finding of the Chicago Trial Board be sustained on the ground of gross carelessness, but without any intent of fraud on the part of White, in view of the possibility of erroneous entry in the Stud Book. It is the opinion of this Committee that the sentence of suspension for the term of thirty days was excessive. From statements made by White which were not denied by Ratto, the Committee feel that Ratto was also careless in the matter.

Smith
vs
Appeal by Smith from the decision of the Chicago Trial Board.

Bonham

Ordered: That the appeal of Smith be sent to the Chicago Trial Board together with letters from both parties that were received by the Secretary this March 21, 1916, and to ask for information regarding the statement made by Smith in said appeal, and to determine if the letters from Smith dated March 18, 1916, and the letters from Bonham, dated March 15, 1916, are new evidence. Also the Chicago Trial Board is requested to file a detailed statement of the case at the original trial.
Totten
Vs
Appeal from Totten from the decision of the New York Trial Board.

Ludwig

Mr. A. C. Wilmerding was excused from acting on this appeal, and retired.

Ordered: This Committee hereby sustains the decision of the New York Trial Board on Counts 2-3 and 4. The Committee feels that there was an absolute Breach of Contract in the case, and therefore the decision of the New York Trial Board on Count 1 be and hereby is reversed. Ludwig is hereby directed to return to Totten the sum of Fifteen dollars Stud fee, and that the said Ludwig be and hereby is suspended for the term of thirty days from this date. In case the said sum of fifteen dollars be not returned to Totten within the thirty days. The said Ludwig shall remain suspended until said amount is paid. The following resolutions were adopted:

RESOLVED: That upon an appeal being made to the Executive Committee from the decision of any Trial Board, a copy of the appeal together with notice of the date upon which the case will be heard by the Executive Committee, be sent to the plaintiff, the defendant also the Trial Board which originally heard the case, by registered mail (receipt card to be demanded) at least twenty days before the meeting.

RESOLVED: That Chicago Trial Board be requested to add to the minutes of their meetings the names of all parties who are present at the hearings on any before them.

RESOLVED: That all Trial Board are directed to send to the American Kennel Club a full summary of all cases referred to them with their findings.

RESOLVED: That the Finance Committee consisting of William Rauch, H. K. Bloodgood and Howard Willets be empowered to rent a box at the Mercantile Safe Deposit Company and that any two of said Committee shall have access to box at any time.
RESOLVED: That all applications from Active members for dates for shows be sent to the License Committee for its approval.
Regular Meeting of the Executive Committee held April 18, 1916.

The following Members were present:

H. K. Bloodgood
A. G. Hooley
Ralph C. Stewart
R. P. Keasbey
William Rauch
Charles R. Wood

H. K. Bloodgood in the Chair:

At the request of the New York Trial Board permission was given to its Members to appear at this meeting. After a general discussion on the subject of the practice of Trial Boards, the members of said Board withdrew.

The Secretary reported that by direction of this Committee, he wrote to the Chicago Trial Board on March 23, 1916 asking for further information in the case of Smith vs. Bonham. He wrote again to said Board on April 5, 1916 asking for a reply to his former letter. No reply having been received to either communication, it was Resolved that the Chicago Trial Board be and hereby is requested to reply at once to the letters above referred to, Further that this Committee is surprised that no acknowledgement has been received.

The Minneapolis Kennel Club filed with this office a receipt for all claims made by J. A. Craig against said club, and for the non-payment of which said club and its officers were suspended, and now appeals for reinstatement:

Resolved that the request of the Minneapolis Kennel Club be granted upon the receipt of the fee of $5.00 as demanded by the Rules.

Under date of Jan 11, 1916, a check was sent to the Birmingham Kennel Club for $10.00. This check having been lost, the Secretary is directed to stop payment of same, and to issue a duplicate check for a similar amount.
Executive Committee.

The deposit made by Howe Totten with his charges against Charles Ludwig was declared forfeited.

Charles Ludwig’s request to reopen his appeal re Totten vs. Ludwig, his contention that the sum of $15.00 ordered by this Committee at its last meeting to be paid by Ludwig to Totten was unjust, and his claim against Totten for $14.62 for a board bill and expressage was not allowed:

Emmett Warburton, who is advertised to Judge at the Show of the Toy Dog Club of New England was declared ineligible to Judge, and the Secretary was directed to wire the said Warburton, and the Secretary of the Toy Dog Club of New England to that effect.

At the late show of the Kennel Club of Philadelphia held Feb 28\textsuperscript{th} and 29\textsuperscript{th} 1916, J. W. Marples was invited to Judge in place of W. F. Kendrick, who was being a professional handler upon his own statement made to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club prior to the Philadelphia Show, was not eligible to Judge, and by resolution the said Kennel Club of Philadelphia was penalized in the sum of $25.00 (Twenty-five dollars for violation of Rule XVIII Governing Dog Shows.

IT was further Resolved that the Secretary be directed to send a statement of the facts in this case to the English Kennel Club, and a copy of said letter to Mr. Marples.

The Secretary of the American Kennel Club was directed to write to the Cocker Spaniel Club and inform it that the American Kennel Club only recognizes one standards, and that is the standard of the Parent Club, namely; the American Spaniel Club, and that the said Cocker Spaniel Club be directed to cease using the following term in offering specials “subject to its rules, weight, and standard” in the Premium Lists of
Executive Committee.
American Kennel Club to which is may offer its Specials.

ADJOUNRED.

SECRETARY
President Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
W. L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
Henry T. Fleitmann
Dr. De Mund
Howard Willets
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
A. Clinton Wilmerding
W. Prescott Wolcott
John Sinnott
Rowland P. Keasbey
Charles R. Wood
J. Macy Willets
H. K. Bloodgood
Tyler Morse

On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting of the Board of Directors was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The President: The next order of business is the
report of the Secretary

The Secretary thereupon read his report as follows:

New York, May 15, 1916

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

A unanimous report from the Stud Book Committee on matters referred to it at the last meeting.

An appeal from W. H. Dahline against the decision of the Louisville Kennel Club on his protest.

An appeal from A. B. Elcock from the decision of the Westminster Kennel Club on his protest.

A complaint by Mrs. C. D. Atwood against the Kennel Club of Philadelphia.

The suspension by the Inter-State Collie Club of S.D.Y. Gallatin.

Appeals for reinstatement filed by M. Ryan and Percival Fletcher.

The fees for above appeals were paid.

An appeal for reinstatement from Edwin Clark. The necessary fee did not accompany this appeal.

An appeal from Charles F. Gilbert for reinstatement. The fee for such appeal was paid to our Western Agent, and mailed by him to this office on May 12\textsuperscript{th} last. This fee
has not as yet been received by this office.

A communication from F. W. Paget, owner of the Wild Fire Kennels that said Kennel Name was claimed and granted by the English Kennel Club subsequent to the granting of same by the American Kennel Club. I have written to the English Kennel Club on the subject and have not as yet received a reply.

A communication from Mrs. C. E. Lamouree to the effect that one of her dogs was marked in the Judge’s book of the Newark Kennel Club show as having received 2\textsuperscript{nd} open, and reserve winners, and the other dog as having received 1\textsuperscript{st} novice. She states that neither of these dogs were present at the Newark Show, and therefore requests a correction.

An appeal from Mrs. Anna E. Franks against the decision of the Mississippi Valley Kennel Club on her protest.

A communication from Mrs. A. L. Holland on the action of the Westminster Kennel Club in withholding its permission for her dog to be judged for a Special.

A complaint was made with this office that Mrs. Madge Thorpe who had been appointed to Judge at the coming Nassau County Kennel Club Show came within the interpretation of our rules re “Professional Handlers”. I have an affidavit from Mrs. Thorpe to the effect that she is not a professional handler as set forth in our rules.

The following Directors have presented their excuses
for absence at this meeting: C. W. Keyes; Willaim Rauch, S. R. Cutler, J. A. Buchanan, George S. West, R. C. Stewart.

The President: You have heard the Secretary’s report. If there is no objection it will be accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report is the next order of business.

The Treasurer’s report was thereupon read as follows:

New York, May 15, 1916

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following report from Jan 1, 1916 to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$36,473.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1, 1916 to date</td>
<td>27,115.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63,588.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1, 1916</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To date</td>
<td>54,755.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$8,833.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I further report that the Finance Committee invested the sum of $28,577.41 in bonds and stocks netting an average of 5 ¼ percent income on the par value.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

The Secretary: I would like to state that the disbursements from Jan 1st, amounting to $54,000, included
a cross entry of $19,000 withdrawn from the reserve fund and placed in the working capital, from which is forms a part of the $28,000 investment.

The President: You have heard the Treasurer’s report. If there is no objection it will be accepted and placed on file.

Are there any standing Committee to be heard from?

The Secretary: Yes; the Stud Book Committee. The Stud Book Committee begs leave to report as follows:

Philadelphia, PA. March 1st, 1916

Stud Book Committee

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee begs leave to report as follows:

In the matter of the request of the German Shepherd Dog Club for the Amendment of Rule XXV of the Rules Governing Clubs so that German Sheep Dogs may be classed as a large breed instead of a medium sized breed, in order that the larger benching must be used for these dogs.

The reasons advanced for this change in the Rule is—

FIRST. That many specimens weigh from 65 lbs. to 95 lbs., and are of large and rangy type.

SECOND. That some vicious specimens are a source of danger to those passing along the aisles, when benched in the
medium sized benches.

We believe that this breed does not average heavier in weight or higher at the shoulder than other medium sized breeds; that in the event of a vicious specimen being benched, a wire should be placed in front of the bench as a protection to the general public at the show; that at the larger shows where a large number of these German Sheep Dogs sometimes are benched and when the size of the hall may necessitate the economizing of space, the increased space taken up by the larger benches might work as a detriment to the Show giving Club.

For these reasons we do not approve a change in the rule making it compulsory to give these dogs the large benches; though there is no reason why exhibitors should not ask Superintendents and Bench Show Committees for any sized Benching they wish.

We have been asked for a ruling in regard to American Bred Puppies imported in utero, whose sire has never been brought to this country, nor registered by the AKC, but whose dam has been registered with the American Kennel Club.

We rule that these Puppies may become eligible to registration only by the registration of the foreign sire, either by the foreign owner of the dog, or by the owner of his American bred get, with the written consent of the foreign owner and a signed copy of the requisite pedigree of the foreign dog signed by his owner must be filed with the Regis-
tration application, in the event of the foreign owner not signing the registration application.

We have been asked to rule on the question whether American bred dogs of unregistered parents whose litter brothers or sisters were registered prior to Jan 1, 1916, are eligible to registration. We rule that they are not eligible to registration.

As there seems to be confusion in the minds of some members of the fancy regarding the registration of foreign dogs imported to this country, we take occasion to state that no change whatever has been made in the rule governing the registration of Foreign Dogs. They are eligible to registration now precisely as they were prior to Jan 1, 1916.

Referring to the question of dogs being eligible to registration on their wins – it was not the idea of your Stud Book Committee that such registration should be possible, and they had not understood that an amendment to this effect had been adopted, though the minutes of the American Kennel Club show that such an amendment to our former report was passed and accepted.

For the future, we recommend that no dog shall be eligible to registration on wins.

Wm. L. Barclay, Chairman
Theodore Offerman
Chas R. Wood

The President: Does that take effect at once? No more registrations of dogs on wins?

The Secretary: In 90 days.
Mr. Wood: Mr. Barclay asked me to say that a misunderstanding occurred when the first report was prepared. It had a paragraph in it to the effect that dogs could not be registered on wins, and a number of people came to the Stud Book Committee and said they thought the whole thing should be thrown out on that technicality because people had not had a chance to think it over long enough, so a second report was prepared after the first one, which did not contain that point, and then when it was brought up by Mr. Lederer. He had the think in his mind, from the original report which was discussed outside by a number of delegates. I move that the report be accepted with the provision that that paragraph should not be accepted, but as a matter of fact, that was not put in that report to be accepted.

Dr. De Mund: I object to that ruling that American-bred dogs cannot be registered on their wins. I think that the delegates by unanimous vote expressed their opinion that they should be registered on their wins, and it does not seem to me that the Stud Book Committee should make a ruling so far-reaching without it going before the delegates again to be acted upon. A great many people have spoken to me about it, and I have not found one that was in favor of that ruling.

Mr. Wood: I am doing a lot of talking for Mr. Barclay because he asked me to. I am not Chairman of the Committee. The Stud Book Committee thought it over very carefully, and
thought it was for the best interests of the Stud Book to have it go that way. We do not have any personal feeling. We want it talked over, thrashed out as much as possible, but Mr. Barclay’s idea and Mr. Offerman’s, I think too, was that what you wanted in your Stud Book was a good, clear pedigree, and after that, we did not want to have dogs registered on wins unless we knew something about their pedigree. Of course, it will complicate things a lot, because a dog can go in and may become a champion without becoming registered.

The Secretary: And he might be a mongrel too.

Mr. Wood: He cannot get a medal, but he can become champion just the same. How about that? Can a dog get a certificate of championship without being registered?

The Secretary: Under the old rule a dog could become a champion of record, but would not be entitled to a medal unless that dog had been registered.

Mr. Offerman: He could get his certificate.

The Secretary: The certificate is practically the same as a medal, I should say.

Dr. De Mund: I do not believe that constitutionally the Stud Book Committee can make such a ruling after the delegates have decided by a vote that they could not. It seems to me this should go to the delegates again. They have decided that American-bred dogs can be registered on their wins, and I do not think the Stud Book Committee can override the
Mr. Wood: That is another point we would like to have brought up, whether the Stud Book Committee are to report to the delegates or to the Board of Directors. That report was made to the Directors because it was thought wise after consulting with everybody. I do not know what you men may think here. You have been here longer than I have. I do not know whether it is supposed to report to the delegates or to the Board of Directors.

The President: The bylaws read “It (Stud Book Committee) shall in all matters be subject to the action of the Board of Directors, to which it shall report as occasion may require.”

Mr. Hooley: In reference to registration of dogs who simply in the end receive their numbers on their wins, in my idea it makes a great deal of difference; for instance, you can take a Pointer and a Setter, cross them, and you get a dropper, which may look exactly like a Setter or maybe a very good Pointer. I have seen both kinds, not in my own kennels but in others, and I have seen very beautiful dogs that are only half-bred dogs. Those dogs would be eligible on wins. That dog would then be a recognized Setter and be a half breed while he had no pedigree, and it might be very deceiving to the man who is very much interested in Setters, so it is a very dangerous think for the breeders to establish that rule, and I think myself it would be very much better if a dog had to
have absolutely a pedigree to be registered on.

The President: you could obviate that by adding that his dog must have a
straight pedigree. A dog, for instance, that had not been registered in accordance with
the rule that your Committee made referring to a brother or a sister in a litter, if that dog
wins and can show a pedigree, he could be registered. That would obviate your
d Difficulty.

Mr. Hooley: Three generations are really necessary.

Mr. Bloodgood: Does that crossing occur very frequently?

Mr. Hooley: It should not, but it has occurred. I have seen several crossed that
look both ways in the same litter.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think that might happen once or twice out of a good many
breeds, but I should not think it would be likely. That would not be my experience in
breeding. I do not say that it is not so, but I think we are going a long way if we rule out
this question on wins. It is a think that ought to be considered very carefully, I think.
Maybe you might make your wins more severe, but the fact of ruling it all out, I do not
think the dog public would be in favor of it.

The President: I think if you made your wins more severe and insisted upon a
pedigree too, you would follow out
the idea.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think for a dog that is able to go to a championship there ought to be some recognition of that dog.

The President: How would it be if the Stud Book Committee drafted that differently and sent it to the delegates?

Mr. Wood: You do not want to start a precedent of sending anything to the delegates. You don’t have to. We are not supposed to report to the delegates. If the directors do not believe it is a good proposition, and they represent the sentiment of the dog world as well as anybody, it should not be sent to the delegates. We do not want anything adopted that is not perfectly satisfactory.

The Secretary: I would like to say that this crossing is not confined to Pointers and Setter. There is a great deal of it done in Boston Terriers with French Bulls; we have had cases before us where it was acknowledged. Also I know of a case of a Fox Terrier and an Irish Terrier that resembles the Irish Terrier, and it went to a show and won. There is the case of Mr. Little, where he bred a Boston Terrier to a French Bull and he won. So it is not confined to Pointers and Setters, and I think for the safety of the Stud Book, as it is a book to show the line of blood, that you cannot be too careful what dogs you permit in the Stud Book, and to be assured
of that, it must be by its pedigree.

Mr. Bloodgood: How many wins does he have to have?

The Secretary: Two winners first or two reserves, without anything whatever known about the dog.

Mr. Bloodgood: Then that dog has to reach two wins?

The Secretary: Yes.

Mr. Bloodgood: You say this so-called Irish Terrier won; did he go as high as that?

The Secretary: No, because we found it out and cancelled the win.

Mr. Bloodgood: You might want to make your number of wins more severe so that a dog would be entitled to a championship, but I have great doubt if any cross-bred dog like that – there might be one exception out of a great many thousand – would reach a championship by such crossing as that; it would not be my experience in breeding, and I have crossed a great many dogs in my day.

The President: What is the objection to having a pedigree to a dog anyway, even if he does win?

Mr. Bloodgood: There are a great many good dogs that have been picked up and their pedigree could not be traced.

The President: His get or generations in the future if he is good enough to breed to, his get naturally would
be able to be registered in time.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not see any objection to entering that dog in the Stud Book but saying that he was entered on his wins.

The Secretary: We do say that.

Mr. Bloodgood: You want to show that the dog, how he was registered, then a man breeding that way would know what he was doing.

The Secretary: We always state it was registered by wins.

Mr. Bloodgood: Take a little dog that is able to go to some championship; I do not mean these little dogs where there is no competition; you must not legislate against the other breed, and that is what you are doing; it is rather serious, I think. You might make it a registration on a championship.

The President: You might leave it to the Stud Book Committee as to whether he could be registered or not. I mean by that the Stud Book Committee should decide whether it was a small breed that did not amount to anything with no competition, or a big breed where the competition was big.

Mr. Wood: The main idea is to keep the mutts out, not a mutt necessarily, but you generally have a very good idea. In a terrier you do get a good dog once in a while with a mongrel strain, and he might do a lot of winning and yet
throw back horribly. I know you do in Bull Dogs get a mistake in breed; one will be a Bull Dog and the rest will all be mutts, I mean where the bitch gets loose.

Mr. Bloodgood: Make some rule that would show anybody how this dog was registered if you like to.

Mr. Wood: I think if you make an amendment to the effect the dog has to get something above championship, there would be no question about it.

The President: You have got to expect the breed wants to find out how every dog they want to breed to is registered, whether by wins or not.

Mr. Wood: If he has got to win 10 points towards championship, that will cover up very quickly.

Mr. Hooley: the point I make is not so much as to the championship of the dog, but the fact that a dog might win at one show; there might be only three dogs at the show; those are, of course, only possibilities, but they do occur, and this dog wins and perhaps goes in another show and wins against and is a reserve winner; he is eligible to registration. That dog might then be advertised in any paper as registered in the American Kennel Club, and the man who buys that terrier or setter or pointer, looking through and finding that this dog has done some winning in the field, is bred to. Instead of getting a long-haired setter, he probably gets a short-haired point, and right away he says it is a funny thing, because
there is a moral guarantee on this dog by the American Kennel Club, and naturally he is disappointed. He writes to the man and says, "This dog is only half setter, but it looks like a setter." I do not think that the man who breeds to him is being treated just right, and I think it should be safeguarded as to just what is the best way. I feel it is unsafe at least and unfair to the breeder who is ignorant of the matter, and many are. In Spaniels I do not know that does occur. Mr. Bloodgood, of course, knows much more about that, but I know in pointers and setters such things have happened, and the second or third generation are usually very poor dogs.

Mr. Bloodgood: I do not question the fact that Mr. Hooley says that it does occur, and I think he is perfectly right that people should be safeguarded, but if he has to get ten points towards the championship and then be registered, no matter what he is registered at, if that man advertises him as such, the world would know that this dog is registered on his wins. The suggestion of Mr. Wood was that one dog has a good pup in a litter and the rest are mutts. I have bred a bitch that won, and beautifully bred too all the way back. She had one puppy in all her life that went out and won everywhere, and she never had a pup afterwards that could win a first prize.

Mr. Wood: What I meant was where we knew it was bred wrong.
Dr. De Mund: Take Chows, Japanese Spaniels, Pekingese dogs, take a Russian Wolfhound, dogs that come from a country where there is no Stud Book, they may be brought to this country and it is impossible to register their get; there is no Stud Book in those countries, and that works a great hardship and would work a very great hardship on the breeds of those particular dogs. It would be impossible to register such dogs at any time. A good Chow might be brought from China; there would be no possible way of getting any pedigree of that dog; its get could not be registered under any consideration.

Mr. Willets: I think one point we missed and that is, that the people who register dogs are now of the opinion and they have been ever since this new rule went into effect or was published before it went into effect, and they all rushed to get their dogs registered under the old rules before the new ones went into effect, they are of the opinion in the dog world now that they cannot register a dog unless the dam and sire both are registered, and if we change the rule now, I think we are going to create a great deal of confusion. If it had not been for that idea among the dog owners and breeds, you would not have had this rush of business at the end of last year. You have not changed the registration of foreign dogs. It is just as difficult to register a foreign dog as it was previously.
The Secretary: Any foreign dog that can establish three generations of pedigree is entitled to be registered. I will venture to say in our experience that I would be very, very liberal in saying that we do not average 100 dogs a year registered on their wins, and therefore if this suggestion of the Stud Book Committee foes into effect, there are very few people that will be hurt.

The President: How does it stand at present?

The Secretary: That they can be registered on their wins.

Mr. Wood: I move the Committee’s report be accepted.

Dr. De Mund: I move it be accepted with that one exception.

Mr. Willets: I will make an amendment to that report, that is, that a dog can be registered on its wins provided it earns 10 points towards its championship, and I pedigree of three generations is furnished.

The President: Is that amendment accepted?

Mr. Wood: Yes, I will accept that.

The President: That is an amendment of that one clause?

Mr. Wood: Yes; otherwise the report is accepted.

Mr. Willets: In that amendment I have not put in that the ancestors must have been registered; simply to give a pedigree for three generations, because I
Think you ought to protect the thoroughbred breeds and not let any cross bred in. if they are registered on their wins you will do something against the breeder of thoroughbred dogs, and I think you ought to protect your thoroughbred breeds.

Dr. De Mund: Suppose you bring a Pekingese to this country and go through and win at every show with that dog, beat everything that is here; isn't that dog entitled to a registration?

Mr. Willets: Then I think there ought to be a separate Stud Book for such dogs.

The President: His get could be registered.

Mr. Willets: That takes time.

The President: It does not close the door absolutely.

Mr. Fleitmann: Because a dog is registered and does not give a sire and dam the breed is not going to breed to a dog without any pedigree. If they ask for a pedigree they can say he is registered on wins, he has no known pedigree.

Mr. Offerman: I think if a dog is registered on his wins the novice won't ask for a pedigree; it is to protect the novice; the others that know anything about the dog game will look at the pedigree. I should think the Stud Book would be for the registration of dogs that have a pedigree. Those
that have not a pedigree I do not think should be allowed to come in.

Mr. Willets: My motion was that a dog may be registered on its wins, provided it has earned 10 points toward the championship and a pedigree of three generations is furnished.

The President: Gentlemen, you have heard the amendment. Are you ready for the question? All in favor will say aye; contrary-minded, no.

Mr. Bloodgood: I vote no on that.

The amendment was adopted.

The President: The report as a whole, as now amended, is up for approval.

Mr. Wood: I move it be accepted.

Seconded and carried.

The Secretary: W. H. Dahlineof Minneapolis, Minnesota has appealed from the decision of the Louisville Kennel Club on his protest. That ought to go to the Trial Board.

Mr. Bloodgood: I move it be sent to Philadelphia.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Mr. A. B. Elcock appeals from a decision of the Westminster Kennel Club at its last show.

Dr. De Mund: I move that go to the New York Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The Interstate Collie Club suspended
a man by the name of Gallatin for abusing the Judge.

The President: Where is the Interstate Collie Club?

The Secretary: At Philadelphia. They notified Mr. Gallatin to appear before their Committee, which he did not do, and they then held their meeting and suspended Mr. Gallatin. Mr. Gallatin objects to the suspension and said that he was already suspended from the club by reason of his being in arrears in dues. This club notified me they had suspended him from their club. I then wrote him, to which he replied.

The President: We have no jurisdiction over a club with its members. A motion will be entertained to dismiss.

Mr. Sinnott: I move it be dismissed.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Mr. M. Ryan, who is now under suspension for creating trouble at the show of last year held by the Newark Kennel Club, makes application for reinstatement. His application is endorsed by sixteen different persons, one of whom is one of our delegates, Mr. John F. Collins.

The President: Who was he suspended by?

The Secretary: On complaint made by Mr. Mead, the Judge of the show, and he was suspended by the Trial Board.

Mr. Hooley: I move the gentlemen be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary: The case of Mr. Percival Fletcher, he applies to be reinstated.

Dr. De Mund: I move that he be reinstated.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I have a telegram from our Western Agent in the matter of the application of Charles G. Gilbert for reinstatement. The Ladies’ Kennel Association of California, the Secretary of which had him disqualified. Now, they send an appeal for his reinstatement.

The President: Does the San Francisco Trial Board advise his reinstatement?

The Secretary: No, they do not, but the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Southern California that had him disqualified requests it.

Dr. De Mund: Would it be in order to move that the case be referred back to the San Francisco Trial Board, and if they recommend his reinstatement, that we grant it?

The President: I think that would be in order, and I think it would be a polite thing to do.

Dr. De Mund: I make the motion that we reinstate him subject to the approval of the San Francisco Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: Mr. F. W. Paget of Denver, Colorado, registered the word Wildfire in March, 1914. The same word was registered by Mr. G. Aldrich in the English Kennel Club, June 24, 1914, three months afterwards. According to the
Reciprocity Agreement with England which went into effect on March 12, 1914m the English Kennel Club erred in granting that kennel name.

Dr. De Mund: Were they aware at that time that that name was granted here?

The Secretary: That I do not know. I have written to the English Kennel Club. I have received no reply as yet.

Dr. De Mund: I move in the meantime the Secretary be instructed not to receive any dogs for registration by the name of Wildfire, except from Mr. Paget’s kennel.

The Secretary: We have only received one, and that was by virtue of its previous registration in the English Stud Book.

Dr. De Mund: Yes, but that should not be registered as Wildfire in this country. Mr. Paget is entitled to that protection.

The President: Do you put that in the form of a motion?

Dr. De Mund: Yes

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: I have a letter from Mrs. C. E. Lamoure.

Dr. De Mund: I move that a letter be written to the judge asking him to explain.

Motion seconded and carried.
The Secretary: Mrs. Annie E. Franks of St. Louis, Missouri, made a protest at the Mississippi Valley Kennel Club show; her protest was not allowed, and she now appeals.

Dr. De Mund: I move it be sent to the New York Trial Board.

Motion seconded and carried.


The President: What does she want?

The Secretary: I don’t know. I have read the entire letter. I bring this up at the request of Mr. Rauch; he asked me if I would bring it up at the meeting.

Dr. De Mund: I move that it be dismissed, and that no action be taken.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The License Show held by the Hippodrome Kennel Club Nashville Tenn sent their Judges books, and a marked catalog on May 1st within the proper time. The catalog did not contain a list of officers nor the name of the veterinarian.

The rule positively says that listing fees cannot be deducted from deposit, so this show was held April 24th.

The President: What is the usual form of procedure?

The Secretary: That is for this Board to determine.

The President: Isn’t there a regular fine imposed in the rules?
The Secretary: A dollar a day.
Mr. Bloodgood: Is it a License Show?
The Secretary: A License Show; they did not publish their veterinarian in the catalog.
Dr. De Mund: It seems to me in a License Show they put up a deposit of $35, do they not?
The Secretary: Yes
Dr. De Mund: We have that $35, and rather than run up a big sum of money in fines and so on, which they will never pay, I think it were better if we declare the $35 forfeited and pay ourselves out of that and close the incident; it seems to me that would be the best way.
The President: With a recommendation not to give them another license for a show?
Dr. De Mund: Yes
The President: Then not go on with the dollar a day fine?
Dr. De Mund: No, because it will get up to a big sum.
The President: I think that is best, because it closes the incident. You make that as a motion?
Dr. De Mund: Yes
The President: And in that motion was embodied that it be recommended not to give them another license?
Dr. De Mund: Yes
Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Hooley: I move that the Secretary be instructed to write to the Secretary of the Nassau Club, Long Island, stating that as there was some question as to the fact of the eligibility of Mrs. Thorpe to judge, that we consider it wise for her not to be allowed to judge at this show.

Motion seconded and carried.

The Secretary: The following Directors have filed their excuses for absence at this meeting: Charles W. Keyes; William Rauch; Samuel R. Cutler; Joseph A. Buchanan, George S. West and Ralph C. Stewart.

Dr. De Mund: I move that their excuses be accepted.

Motion seconded and carried.

Mr. Wood: I just want to mention one think that I think would be a good idea. I do not know whether it should be handled by the Rules Committee or in some other way. I saw five or six catalogs last year and probably in not one of them did it state the shows were held under the American Kennel Club rules. The American Kennel Club did not get into the catalogs in any way.

The President: Do we take cognizance of such shows?

Mr. Wood: Yes, and there is no rule I know of that it has to show the AKC in the catalog. It is in the premium list, but not in the catalog.
and the conditions of the contract, and therefore I should imagine that was the only book that was necessary to refer to the fact.

Mr. Wood: Sure, but it should be in the catalog anyway, because everybody files away a catalog. They do not keep a premium list for 10 or 15 years. It certainly ought to be in the catalog.

The Secretary: Will you be kind enough to tell me how this office will know that it is not in the catalog until after the catalog is received and the show is over?

Mr. Wood: I do not say the office would know that, but it does seem to me that if they are held under our rules their catalog certainly should show it; they are using our name, they are using our protection, they are using everything of the American Kennel Club.

The Secretary: I think we might put a notice in the Gazette.

The President: Will you make a motion to that effect?

Mr. Bloodgood: Yes, I move that the Secretary put in the Gazette that the catalog must mention the fact that their show is held under the rules of the American Kennel Club.

Motion seconded and carried.

Dr. De Mund: I make a motion that in the case of any
Trial Board, where one or more of the members are unable to act for any reason, that the Directors give the President power to designate substitute.

After considerable discussion the motion was seconded and carried.
On motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, TUESDAY, MAY 16, 1916.

President Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present

Associate Delegate A. G. Hooley
Associate Delegate Francis G. Lloyd
Airedale Terrier Club of America William L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club Theodore Offerman
American Sealyham Terrier Club Henry T. Fleitmann
American Spaniel Club H. K. Bloodgood
Boston Terrier Club A. E. Wright
Devon Dog Show Association John Sinnott
French Bulldog Club of America Robert A. Scott
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club Charles R. Wood
Irish Terrier Club of America John R. Thorndike
Manchester Terrier Club William P. Wolcott
New Jersey Beagle Club George B. Hooley
Old English Sheepdog Club of America Tyler Morse
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club R. P. Keasbey
Pacific French Bulldog Club F. J. Bristol
Plainfield Kennel Club A. Clinton Wilmerding
Russian Wolfhound Club Dr. De Mund
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

Messrs. Offerman and Barclay were appointed to act as tellers.

The following clubs filed applications for active membership, and were elected:

Birmingham Kennel Club
Queens City Kennel Club of Cincinnati

The following named gentlemen were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Great Dane Club of America  O. Carley Harriman
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association  Q. A. Shaw McKean
California Airedale Terrier Club  Frederick Neilsen
French Bulldog Club of America  Robert A. Scott
Framingham District Kennel Club  Doctor Wallace Snow
Ladies’ Dog Club  Donald Gordon
Scottish Terrier Club of America  J. W. Gillis
Spaniel Breeders’ Society  J. Macy Willets
Bull Terrier Club of America  R. D. Coombs

The President: The next order of business is the
New York, May 15, 1916

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting, I have received two applications for Active Membership and Credentials from nine clubs appointing Delegates. These applications have been referred to our Membership Committee.

Proposed amendments to the Rules offered by the Delegate of the Long Island Kennel Club were published in the Gazette of April 30th, and have been referred to the Rules Committee.

At the last meeting of the Directors, a Committee was appointed to draw up a set of resolutions expressing our regret in proper form at the decision of Mr. August Belmont to retire as President of the American Kennel Club. This Committee adopted a preamble and resolution which is as follows:

August Belmont, Esq:

Preamble and Resolution

At the annual meeting of the Directors of the American Kennel Club held in New York on Feb 24, 1916, the following Pacific Preamble and Resolution was adopted unanimously by the meeting there assembled:

“That WHEREAS, our President, August Belmont, has seen
fit to retire from the office of President of this association and,

WHEREAS, his incumbency has covered the long and unusual period of twenty-eight years, and

WHEREAS, his keen intellect and knowledge of affairs pertaining to the management of Kennel Club matters have been of great value, not only to the American Kennel Club, but to Kennel Clubs and Kennel interests in general, be it therefore,

RESOLVED: That in August Belmont’s retirement our Club has suffered a distinct loss and by these minutes we wish to, and do hereby express our sincere and genuine regret that he found it necessary to take this step, and to offer him our appreciative thanks for his devotion to the club and his many years of faithful work in guarding and furthering its interests,

And although the majority of the present Directors did not have the honor of serving with Mr. Belmont during the first few years of his presidency, yet they fully appreciate the masterful manner in which he has always conducted this office, and it is further

“RESOLVED that these resolutions be duly inscribed in proper form upon the minutes of our club, and that an engrossed copy be forwarded to the subject of this testimonial.”

By direction of said Committee, I forwarded the above resolutions to Mr. Belmont, and the following is his
April 28, 1916

My dear A. P. Vredenburgh

   I am in receipt of the beautiful and artistic engrossment of a set of resolutions passed by the American Kennel Club on the occasion and with reference to my retirement from the Presidency.

   If anything could increase my warm attachment for the AKC and add to the many delightful memories of friendship and cooperation in the interest of the Kennel World over the past twenty-eight years, this tribute and regard for me would do so.

   Please convey to all our members, both of the Board and Delegates, my sincere appreciation of this very tender evidence of their kindliness toward me. I am deeply touched as well as flattered.

       Believe me.

       Yours very sincerely,

       August Belmont

   By the above resolution, the Committee directed that same be entered in the minutes of the Club, therefore I am reporting the matter to the Delegates.

   The Delegates from the Albany Dog Club filed with this office a proposed act introduced in the Legislature in regard to the Licensing of dogs. This proposed act was
read twice in the Senate, and by unanimous consent, the rule was suspended and said act ordered to a third reading and printed, and referred to the Committee on Agriculture retaining place in the order of third reading.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

The President: You have heard the Secretary’s report. If there is no objection it will be placed on file.

The next order of business is the report of the standing Committee.

The Secretary: I will state on behalf of the Rules Committee that the proposed amendment from the delegate of the Long Island Kennel Club was considered by that Committee today, and by resolution was laid on the table for further consideration.

The President: Gentlemen, you have heard the report of the Rules Committee. If there is no objection it will be placed on file.

General business is now in order.

A communication was read from the delegate of the Albany Dog Club on the subject of a bill before the Senate in relation to the licensing and keeping of dogs. Referred to the Law Committee.

Dr. De Mund: I move that a Committee of three be appointed by the Chair to codify the bylaws of the American Kennel Club, to report the same through the Rules Committee at the next annual meeting.

Motion seconded and carried.
The President: On that Committee I appoint Mr. H. K. Bloodgood, Dr. De Mund and George Greer.

On motion duly made, seconded and carried, the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Mr. H. H. Hunnewell

Present:

H. H. Hunnewell
A. G. Hooley
C. R. Wood
Wm. Rauch
R. P. Keasbey
R. C. Stewart

The minutes of the meeting held April 18, 1916 were read and same were accepted and ordered on file.

George W. Smith ) Appeal of Smith from the
Vs. ) Re decision of the Chicago Trial Board
Mrs. L. M. Bonham )

ORDERED that the action of the Chicago Trial Board of November 16, 1915 suspending Mrs. Bonham for six months is sustained and the appeal dismissed. The deposit with said appeal was ordered to be returned to Mr. Smith.

The Secretary was directed to reply to the several questions asked by the Chicago Trial Board, as instructed by this Committee.

Mr. Crabtree, who is advertised to judge at the forthcoming show of the Southampton Kennel Club, was declared ineligible to judge and the Secretary was directed to notify the Southampton Kennel Club of the above decision.

At the late show of the Ladies Kennel Assn. of America at Mineola, Long Island, held June 5th and 6th, 1916, Mr. John Brett was advertised as a judge. Under date of May 17, 1916 the Secretary addressed a communication to Miss M. L. Wickes, Secretary of the Ladies Kennel Assn. of America, that “inasmuch as Mr. John Brett, who has been appointed a judge at your forthcoming show at Mineola, never having filed notice with this
office that he is not a professional handler, Mr. Brett is not eligible to officiate as a judge at the show to be held by your association.” The receipt of this communication was acknowledged by Miss Wickes, Secretary, under date of May 18th. Mr. Brett did officiate as a judge at said show against the specific instruction from the American Kennel Club. It is therefore.

ORDERED that the Ladies Kennel Association of America be and hereby is penalized in the sum of $100.00. Be it further

RESOLVED that unless the Ladies Kennel Association of America can produce proof that it notified Mr. John Brett of Rule XVIII and received his reply, the original of which to be filed in this office within ten days from this date, an additional fine of $25.00 is hereby imposed, and

WHEREAS that the receipt of the communication from the Secretary of the American Kennel Club to the Ladies Kennel Association of America, having been acknowledged by the official Secretary of that Association and no attention was paid to the contents, therefore it is hereby

RESOLVED that the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club hereby unanimously adopts a vote of censure upon the officers of the said Ladies Kennel Association of America for their gross neglect in this matter.

The Secretary was directed to write to Mr. Emory M. Wilson, Secretary of the Cocker Spaniel Club, that his explanation in the matter of the special offered to the Westchester Kennel Club is satisfactory to this Committee.

The request of Mrs. Carl Baumann for the return of letters submitted by her to the New York Trial Board in the case of Judd vs. Baumann is hereby granted and the papers were referred to the Law Committee to select such letters.

The Northern Hare Beagle Club, having expressed a wish to hold a
specialty show in connection with its forthcoming field trials, the Secretary was directed to issue on license to cover both the specialty show and trials, provided the written consent of the National Beagle Club shall accompany the application for the license and the charge therefore shall be the single fee and deposits.

A letter received from Mrs. C. E. Lamouree calling attention to two of her English Toy Spaniels entered at the late Newark Kennel Club show, both having received awards notwithstanding the fact that both were absent from the show, the judge was called upon to explain the matter. This he is unable to do and the Secretary was directed to call upon the Secretary of the Newark Kennel Club Show for an explanation as to the awards marked in the judge’s book.

The request of the Santa Clara County Kennel Club to change its title to that of the Garden City Kennel Club be and hereby is granted and the Secretary was directed to change the records accordingly.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES OF QUARTERLY MEETING OF
AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

#1 Liberty Street, New York City
September 19, 1916
2 PM

Hollis H. Hunnewell, Presiding
A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary-Treasurer

PRESENT
A. G. Hooley, Plainfield, NJ
H. H. Hunnewell, Boston, MA
H. K. Bloodgood, New York
A. E. Wright, Fayville, MA
R. D. Coombs, New York City
Frederick C. Neilson, New York City
G. Muss-Arnolt, Tuckahoe, NY
John Sinnott, Philadelphia, PA
George S. West, Chestnut Hill, MA
Robert A. Scott, New York City
Charles R. Wood, Elkins Park, PA
R. W. Creuzbaur, Brooklyn, NY
J. Chandler Barnard, Philadelphia, PA
John F. Collins, Brooklyn, NY
William P. Wolcott, Readville, MA
Tyler Morse, Westbury, Long Island
F. J. Bristol, New York City
Mr. Hunnewell called the meeting to order and the roll was called by the Secretary.

A. P. Vredenburgh: There are twenty delegates present and therefore a quorum.

Mr. Hunnewell: The next order of business will be the minutes of the preceding meeting: Were they published in the Gazette?

A. P. Vredenburgh: Yes.

Mr. Hunnewell: If there is no objection the minutes will be accepted as published in the Gazette.

The next order of business will be the report of the Secretary.

A. P. Vredenburgh: To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club: Gentlemen:
I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting, I have received four (4) applications for Active Membership, and credentials from five (5) Clubs appointing Delegates. These applications have been referred to our Membership Committee, which will report on same.

I have reports from the License Committee and from the Stud Book Committee which I will present to you
Under date of Sept 14, 1916, the Fanciers’ Association of Indiana filed its resignation as an Active Member of the American Kennel Club to take effect at once. This Club is in good standing, its dues having been paid to Jan 1st next.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

The reason that these reports are presented to the Delegates instead of the Directors is that matters they touch upon are matter that it is considered the Delegates should have first in their possession. One of the reports I was directed by the Chairman to submit to the Delegates.

Mr. Hunnewell: If there is no objection the Secretary’s report will be placed on file.

Election of Members.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The report of the membership Committee is as follows:

The Membership Committee report that in the absence of one of the Committee, three members have passed upon the applications for Membership and the Credentials of Delegates.

Two applications from clubs in Los Angeles,
California have been held up pending the action of your Board of Directors in connection with the report from our Pacific Coast Representatives, concerning their investigation of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Southern California.

C. R. Wood, Chairman

Mr. Hunnewell: You have heard the report of the Membership Committee. If there is no objection it will be placed on file.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The first application for active membership is the San Antonio Kennel Club which is signed by the Committee.

The Chairman appointed Messrs. Wolcott and Neilson Tellers whereupon the San Antonio Kennel Club and the Detroit Kennel Club were duly elected members and August Belmont, Jr., was elected Delegate of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of America and Charles A. Kelly Delegate of the Colorado Kennel Club. Effingham Lawrence was elected Delegate of the West Highland Kennel Club and Morris Kinney was elected Delegate of the Santa Clara Kennel Club.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The Stud Book Committee report is as follows:

Directors American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee reports as follows:
Application has been made for the recognition of the breed of Labrador Retrievers, by the American Kennel Club. A number of letter having been received from owners and breeders of this variety of Retrievers, we recommend that the Labrador Retriever be added to the list of recognized breeds.

In the matter of the protest against the registration of the Russian Wolfhound bitch “Morza” referred to the Stud Book Committee by the Executive Committee, we report that we have taken up the matter with Mr. Pro Valensi, of California, the owner of the bitch who made the registration, but that at this time we have not completed our investigation of the particulars given on the registration blank.

The fee for the use of a kennel prefix for life had been $15.00. A number of the holders of such prefixes having died, the family or the Executors of the Estate have in some cases asked to have the Kennel name transferred to another party for life on payment of the usual transfer fee of $1.00. It was not the intention of the Committee that Kennel prefixes should be granted in perpetuity for $15.00, and the Committee recommends that in future on the decease of the Holder of a Kennel prefix for life, the family or Executor may ask to have the prefix transferred to another party on payment of the transfer fee of $1.00.
and that the new holder may have the option to taking over the prefix for life on payment of $15.00, or of holding the prefix from year to year at the usual maintenance fee of $3.00.

In the event of no action being taken by the family or Executor within one year after the death of the holder of a kennel prefix for life, we recommend that all rights to such kennel prefix shall lapse. W. L. Barclay, Chairman

The Chairman: Gentlemen, what is your pleasure? It was thereupon moved and seconded that the report be accepted and the recommendations adopted.

A. P. Vredenburgh then read the report of the License Committee.

The Chairman: I think it is a good idea to have the intention as to Fox Terrier made plain.

Mr. Bloodgood: It would seem to me it would mean all varieties.

The Chairman: You mean because they do not mention one kind it would mean both?

Mr. Bloodgood: Yes.

Mr. Barnard: Another thing I noticed, they speak of the German Sheep Dogs. I believe that is the name the American Kennel Club calls that breed. That is incorrect. They are German Shepherd Dogs. The German Shepherd Dog Club makes quite a distinction.

A. P. Vredenburgh: That question was brought up
before our American Kennel Club. As all varieties of sheep dogs are known by the American Kennel Club as Sheep Dogs the request to change to Shepherd Dogs was acted upon by the Stud Book Committee and their refusal to call them Shepherd Dogs was sustained by the American Kennel Club. Notwithstanding the fact that the club known as the German Shepherd Dog Club calls them Shepherd Dogs the Committee and the American Kennel Club decided on their protest that they would not change the name and they should be known as all other sheep dogs as Sheep Dogs.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: What is the use, without putting German Shepherd Dogs down as foreign breed. Is he more foreign than the English Bulldog?

A. P. Vredenburgh: I don't know about that.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: that is ridiculous.

The Chairman: Is there any member of the License Committee here? That is up to the Stud Book Committee.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: I take exception to the statement that they are used more for police duty and general companions and they are not used as Collie or Shepherd Dogs. The Shepherd Dog has not been used only for use as a police dog. He is used as a Shepherd Dog and is called the Shepherd Dog because he is the companion of a Shepherd. But he is a Shepherd Dog per se.

The Chairman: Do you make that as a suggestion to the License Committee?

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: Yes, because it looks out of
The Chairman: Is that seconded?

The motion was duly seconded.

A Member: I move that it be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

The Chairman: Whatever Committee it is. I don’t know whether that is the Stud Book Committee or the License Committee.

A. P. Vredenburgh: They come under the head of foreign dogs under our rule.

The Chairman: That will have to be referred to the Stud Book Committee.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: Is a Pekingese foreign dog?

The Chairman: No. Pekingese Spaniels do not come under foreign. It is up to the Stud Book Committee. The License Committee will have to accept the classification of the Stud Book Committee. If there is any suggestion you want to make to the Stud Book Committee it is in order now.

The Chairman: If you want to refer these foreign dogs to the Stud Book Committee again and mention these German Sheep Dogs they will act on it and then this report from the License Committee would automatically follow it.

Mr. Muss-Arnolt: That is satisfactory to me.

The Chairman: The question of all foreign dogs is
referred back to the Stud Book Committee. The motion was duly seconded and carried.

A Member: Under that motion have they the privilege of reconsidering the name and changing it to Shepherd Dogs?

The Chairman: They can do anything they want.

The Secretary: The following are entitled to mixed classes not divided by sex (reads), and the following shall not be entitled to winners' classes.

Mr. Proctor: What are the requirements under which a breed might be divided by sex for championship?

A. P. Vredenburgh: The Committee have arbitrary power to designate these winner classes and classes not entitled to divided winners' classes.

Mr. Proctor: I understand that but what is the point at which they make the division?

A. P. Vredenburgh: I don't know.

Mr. Proctor: I would like to protest on behalf of the Welsh Terrier club, that they be included in breeds that are divided by sex. There are a good many of them shown, especially in this part of the country. Back in other parts of the country it varies.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The proper thing to do, I should say, is for your club to send a protest to the License Committee.

Mr. Proctor: That is what I want to do.

The Chairman: If you will make a protest to the
License Committee then the License Committee will bring it before the Club.

A. P. Vredenburgh: No, they have arbitrary power.

Mr. Proctor: Then I should go before the License Committee?

A. P. Vredenburgh: Yes.

A Member: I do not see why the Delegates do not have an equal right to make the same protest as a club. Irish Terrier are not divided by sex. Skye Terrier are divided by sex. I don’t believe there have been two Skye Terrier in the last two years. It seems to me the Delegates have a perfect right to refer this back to the License Committee and ask for figures on which they base their figures.

Dr. De Mund: The reason the Committee decided against Welsh Terrier and English Fox Hounds is that after going over the various shows they found so few shown they did not consider them in their judgment entitled to divided winners’ class at the present time. I think Welsh Terrier were shown only at some three shows the present year, and very few, two or three at a show at a time. I don’t remember the figures. I was not on the Committee. English Fox Hounds are not shown anywhere except at Westminster Kennel Club shows.

The Chairman: I think, gentlemen, the License Committee have probably gone over these figures very carefully. I think it will be a great mistake if we haphazard
include all the rest of the dogs to be divided by sex. I think if one starts I think everybody will have to. I think better to refer it back to the License Committee. They are authorized to revise the list they have given us.

Dr. De Mund: This is not to take effect until the 1st of Jan. I think now is the time to refer back to the License Committee to reconsider again.

Mr. Wood: On the same line, a great man Hound Shows are being held and are being started very strongly in my part of the country. I think in any view of your own kennel club rules more interest ought to be taken in Fox Hounds than at present. Chihuahuas are not shown here at all. Fox Hounds certainly have been shown at Westminster, probably more shown there than in half a dozen breeds all over the country.

The Chairman: Let us go on with the rest of the report of the Rules Committee and then we will return to this if necessary.

A. P. Vredenburgh: (Continues to read report of the Rules Committee.)

Mr. Coombs: Would it not be in order for this meeting to accept the report as presented but instruct the Committee to present either where suggested classification or some other rule which would automatically divide the classes, before the first of the year?

The Chairman: Do you offer that as a motion?
Mr. Coombs: I ask whether that would be proper?
The Chairman: I think that would be proper. You accept the report?
Mr. Coombs: Then I offer that as a motion, that we accept the report by the Committee but instruct it to submit a method of dividing the classes before the first of the year.

Dr. De Mund: They have under the bylaws arbitrary power to designate. I would move this report be referred back to the License Committee with the suggestion offered and they be asked to include or give reasons why they have not included other breeds and the winners divided by sex.

The Chairman: Do you offer that as an amendment to his motion?
Dr. De Mund: His motion is not seconded.
Mr. Coombs: Was my motion seconded?
The Chairman: No.
Mr. Coombs: Then I cannot discuss my motion, regarding the last suggestion. If the License Committee has arbitrary power and the meeting of Delegates has no authority in the matter, why is the report submitted to us?
The Chairman: They want to know how we feel about it.
Mr. Coombs: Then they have not arbitrary power?
Mr. Collins: It is for us to approve or disapprove their action, although they have arbitrary power.
The Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Collins: I would like to amend Dr. De Mund’s motion, that if in the opinion of that Committee they can devise a better plan than this or the one they have been working under, they do that.

The Chairman: In other words, you mean they are to go more fully into what I suggest?

Mr. Collins: Yes, and make a more feasible plan.

The Chairman: It is feasible if worked out.

Mr. West: Haven’t they made a suggestion that it be referred back to the Rules Committee to make an arbitrary rule?

The Chairman: Yes.

Mr. West: That is a good suggestion. I would like to make a motion.

Mr. Collins: I second Dr. De Mund’s motion.

The Chairman: You can make an amendment.

Mr. West: Has the other amendment been seconded?

Dr. De Mund: I accept Mr. Collin’s amendment to the original motion.

Mr. Wood: Why not refer it both to the License Committee and the Stud Book Committee and let them work it out.

Dr. De Mund: I do not think the gentlemen understand the question. Read the power under which the License Committee is acting and you will see they have only one
definite power.

The Chairman: The Secretary will read that rule.

A. P. Vredenburgh: No doubt they have absolute power whether the Delegates approve or not.

Dr. De Mund: My motion was that we refer this back and say it is the sense of this meeting that they change it if they can.

The Chairman: That was seconded. Now your amendment.

Mr. Collins: That they offer any other feasible proposition.

Mr. Bloodgood: As a matter of fact this does not seem to be satisfactory to the Delegates. The Delegates evidently want some help. While the License Committee has arbitrary power it does not want to enforce it without the sanction of the delegates.

The Chairman: That is the way I understand it.

A. P. Vredenburgh: They propose their ruling here shall not go into effect until Jan 1st next. There has been a Special Committee appointed to revise the bylaws and the Rules of the American Kennel Club and to report so that the same can be acted upon at the Annual Meeting in Feb. It appears to me that hearing all the suggestions and as two of the members of that Special Committee are now present that they may devise some means of amending that section of the Rule that has given the License Committee
arbitrary power and it would only make a difference of about six weeks, because they will report an entire revision of the Rules and bylaws to be acted on in the middle of Feb. I think that is well to be considered before you take any action on this matter.

Mr. Willets: The Rules says that the License Committee shall have arbitrary power to say what dogs shall have winners and what not. If the License Committee says that shall be done it has got to be done.

The Chairman: As I understand it, Mr. Willets, the License Committee does not say anything. They say, we want to know that the Delegates and clubs think.

Mr. Bloodgood: They ask the Rules Committee to do it and the License Committee could adopt it.

Mr. Collins: The License Committee asks the Rules Committee to do something entirely different. It comes under the province of the Rules Committee and the license Committee. The License Committee can merely designation a grade to apply. They cannot make the rule that so many dogs shall be present. That is in the province of the Delegates alone.

Mr. West: I move that we accept the suggestion of the License Committee and refer this matter to the Rules Committee to report.

The Chairman: You are out of order. You cannot make two motions without having acted on the first. You may
make an amendment.

Mr. Barnard: If the Delegates feel that Mr. West's motion is clear and better, why
not have the other Delegates withdraw their motion?

The Chairman: That is perfectly possible but I cannot withdraw it.

Mr. Barnard: Mr. West's motion is clearer and more satisfactory.

The Chairman: Then why don't you vote down the other motion?

Dr. De Mund: I take exception to the gentlemen's remark that Mr. West's motion
is much clearer and more satisfactory, because it deals with something entirely different.
My motion was simply to send this back to the License Committee with a request from
the Delegates that they go into the matter and give divided sex in these classes if they
see fit. Mr. West's motion is to refer their request to the Rules Committee, which is
something entirely different.

The Chairman: Mr. West will not put his as an amendment and it cannot be put
as a motion. If Mr. West will put his as an amendment it will be discussed.

Mr. West: Can we vote on that motion and another motion? The Delegates
state they do not want the establishment of an arbitrary rule to go into effect.

Mr. Bloodgood: This, of course, is sent you by
the Committee to get your opinion.

Mr. Ritchey: I think it is the sense of all the Delegates that they want a chance for any breed to be represented. I oppose any report that offers an arbitrary rule which would prevent any breed from receiving its classification if there are enough breeds represented at any one show. For that reason I am opposed to the acceptance of this report, as Dr. De Mund has expressed it.

The Chairman: The amendment has to be voted on first. Have you Mr. Collins’ amendment?

Mr. West: May I say one thing regarding Dr. De Mund’s motion. This question came up two weeks ago and was referred to the License Committee and now they have come back with the best they can do. Why refer back again? It will be getting nowhere. They have come back and said frankly they cannot and made a suggestion as to how it could be handled. I cannot, for one, see any sense in referring it back for another three months’ consideration.

The Chairman: Gentlemen, any further discussion?

Mr. Wood: Just what can the License Committee do when they get this back? Have they power to say that those dogs shall have a winner’s class?

The Chairman: No.

Mr. Wood: Can’t we tell the Rules Committee to prepare a rule of that kind?
The Chairman: Yes. Those in favor of Dr. De Mund’s motion will manifest it by raising the right hand.

(Five Delegates raised the right hand.)

The Chairman: Contrary minded. (Eight hands).

The Chairman: Motion lost.

Mr. West: I move now that we follow the suggestion of the License Committee and refer this matter to the Rules Committee to report on.

Mr. Collins: I second the motion.

Dr. De Mund: I do not see how that can be done without suspending the bylaws which give the License Committee sole power to designate. I wanted to get that out of the road and then refer their request to the Rules Committee. But you cannot refer the whole matter to the Rules Committee. They have no power to designate which breed shall be divided by sex.

Mr. West: My motion was simply that the matter be referred to the Rules Committee to make an arbitrary rule which shall divide the breeds according to the number of dogs actually shown.

The Chairman: The Rules Committee, as I understand it, have no jurisdiction over any arbitrary power they have in designating what dogs shall be divided by sex. But the Rules Committee have jurisdiction over this matter. You will notice that the report says further, “Or any other
scheme, such as the Collins’ plan”. They say, give us some suggestion.

Dr. De Mund: My only object was to take this out of the way.

Mr. Barnard: If the Rules Committee suggest a rule something like that, would not that arbitrarily do away with the power the License Committee now has, because then the breeds would get the winners’ classes according to the number of dogs at that Winners’ Show. The License Committee would not have anything to do with it.

The Chairman: That is what they want.

Mr. Barnard: I don’t catch Dr. De Mund’s idea.

Mr. Ritchey: This rule will now become a rule on Jan 1st?

The Chairman: Yes

Mr. Ritchey: As the motion has just passed you have accepted the report.

Several Members: No, sir.

Mr. Ritchey: Under the motion as now passed it does become effective the 1st of Jan?

The Chairman: The Rules Committee could not make the rule effective until at the Annual Meeting in which any rule would have to be published in the Gazette and therefore would not become effective until Jan 1st.

Mr. Ritchey: What has been voted upon here?

The Chairman: nothing. We cannot change the
rule and have it in effect until after the Annual Meeting.

A. P. Vredenburgh: And any rule cannot go into effect until ninety days after acted on.

Mr. Wood: Do you mean to say that if that is the rule of the American Kennel Club that the Delegates cannot change it? We can refuse to accept that report. Certainly if the Delegates have the right to refuse to accept that report they have the right to change the rule.

Dr. De Mund: They have that power but I think not after having been presented to the Delegates.

Mr. Wood: The Delegates sent it to them.

Mr. Bloodgood: They have the power but preferred to come to us. They are not trying to enforce any power. They are trying to do what everybody wants. They desire to find out what your pleasure is in the matter and whether it is satisfactory to you or not. There is no danger of any of these things being put in action.

Mr. Wood: Is there any reason why we cannot direct the Rules Committee to do what the License Committee ask?

The Chairman: No. you are only referring that one clause to the Rules Committee.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The only reason this report was brought before this meeting was because the License Committee suggested what they thought was a better plan than what the bylaws instructed them to do. if they simply divided
winners’ classes according to the first part of the report the report would not have been submitted to the Delegates at all because it was final with the License Committee. They thought to suggest something in their opinion better than that and that is the reason it was final with the License Committee. They thought to suggest something in their opinion better than that and that is the reason it was referred to the Delegates.

Mr. West: My motion is that we refer it to the Rules Committees.

The Chairman: Those in favor?

Dr. De Mund: I move that this report go back to the License Committee and that the Committee be requested by the Delegates to withdraw putting it into effect until further instructions from the Delegates.

Motion seconded.

The Chairman: All those in favor? Unanimously carried.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The Fanciers’ Association of Indiana tender their resignation.

The Chairman: Are they in good standing?

A. P. Vredenburgh: They are in good standing up to the 1st of next Jan.

The Chairman: Is this resignation of the Fanciers’ Association of Indiana accepted? If no objection it will be accepted.

A. P. Vredenburgh: That is all I have to bring to the attention of the Delegates.
Mr. Coombs: Will you please advise the exact status at the present time of the question of registering foreign dogs? The matter has come up in the Bull Terriers Club and I am instructed to register the protest of the Bull Terriers Club to accepting a foreign unpedigreed dog merely on its wins.

A. P. Vredenburgh: No such rule.

Mr. Coombs: My reason for that is the motion passed by the Directors is that the dog must have ten points and three generations.

A. P. Vredenburgh: That is right.

The Chairman: You withdraw your objection?

Mr. Coombs: Yes.

A. P. Vredenburgh: It has to have three complete generations of pedigree and credited with ten points towards a championship for an American bred dog. A foreign bred dog can always be registered on three generations of pedigree. It does not have to have any wins at all.
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A. P. Vredenburgh: there are twelve Directors present, which is a quorum.
The Chairman: The next business in order is the reading of the minutes. Have they appeared in the Gazette?

A. P. Vredenburgh: Yes.

The Chairman: If no objection the minutes as they appeared in the Gazette will be accepted and placed on file.

Any election of officers?

A. P. Vredenburgh: No.

The Chairman: The report of the Secretary.

A. P. Vredenburgh: I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

New York, Sept 16, 1916

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

The Shepaug Valley Kennel Club was prevented from holding its show claimed for Sept 1, 1915 for the reason that the Board of Health has forbidden both the Shepaug Valley Fair and the Kennel Club from holding their shows on account of Infantile Paralysis.

In view of this fact, they respectfully ask the American Kennel Club to return its license fee and date deposits.

I will submit a report from the San Francisco Trial Board, the result of an investigation of the conduct of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Southern California,
for which permission for such investigation was granted by the Board of Directors at the May meeting. Also a report from the New York Trial Board.

Mr. M. M. Palmer has filed a protest against the action of the Stud Book Committee in granting the Kennel Name “Delaware Valley Farms”.

Mr. F. W. Paget sends a communication to the Directors on the subject of the registration in the English Kennel Club of the kennel name “Wildfire”. I have been in communication with Mr. Paget since Jan 7\textsuperscript{th} last, and took the matter up with the English Kennel Club April 19\textsuperscript{th} last. I have kept Mr. Paget posted on the matter, and finally under date of Sept 8\textsuperscript{th} last informed him that the English Kennel Club had cancelled its registration of the word “Wildfire”.

I will submit two communications referring to the late Southhampton Kennel Club Show.

Mrs. J. C. Mallery was suspended by the Central New York Kennel Association on Dec 3, 1915, and same was confirmed by the Directors on Feb 24, 1916.

Under date of June 13, 1916, the Secretary of the Central New York Kennel Association advised us that at a meeting held by said club on June 12, 1916 it was voted to ask the American Kennel Club to reinstate Mrs. Mallery to good standing.
Under date of Sept 18, 1909, Sydney A. Cummings of San Francisco, California was disqualified. Mr. Cummings has applied for reinstatement, and said application is recommended by three persons. He has also paid the necessary fee of $5.00 with his appeal.

Under date of August 6, 1910, G. S. Haliwell was disqualified by the Pacific Advisory Committee, and now appeals for reinstatement, same accompanied by the necessary fee of $5.00, and his reinstatement is recommended by our Western Agent.

It is with regret that I present the resignation of a Delegate, a Director, and Chairman of the License Committee from Mr. A. C. Wilmerding, owing to a claim upon his time by his business, and also that his physical condition requires him to reduce as far as possible the various labors that he has assumed in the past outside of his personal business.

With the exception of myself, Mr. Wilmerding has been connected with the American Kennel Club as a Delegate longer than any other living Delegate, and it is with regret that I am obliged to present this resignation.

Notice has been received of the following suspensions: June 15/16 – Mrs. And Mrs. A. A. Swartz by the Long Island Kennel Club. July 25, 1916; John Cresser by the French Bulldog Club of America. August 30, 1916,
Mrs. Albert Gagnee by the Asbury Park Kennel Club. August 31, 1916; John W. Keegan and M. A. Burney by the Kennel Club of Atlantic City.

In reference to the suspension by the Kennel Club of Atlantic City, I am in receipt of a communication from the Superintendent of said show under date of Sept 8, 1916, that a special meeting of the Bench Show Committee of said club will be held on Sept 20, 1916 when the cases of Keegan and Burney will be reopened.

The following charges have been preferred: June 17, 1916; Harry Rushton vs. Bulldog Club of New Jersey. June 3, 1916; Harry Rushton vs. Bulldog Club of America and Bulldog Breeders’ Association.

July 25, 1916; Gwynned Valley Kennel Club vs. L. R. Blynn.

August 15, 1916; A. G. Eberhart vs. Chicago Kennel Club

Sept 9, 1916; Mrs. E. L. Brownell vs. George F. Flach

I recommend that the above suspensions and charges be referred to such Trial Boards as you may deem advisable.

I have an unsigned complaint against the Minnesota State Fair for allowing a Mr. Craig of Tracy, Minnesota to exhibit puppies under age at such show.

The Golden Gate Kennel Club was fined by this office $25.00 for transferring an entry received at their late show. I will present a letter on the subject from the Superintendent of the Show.
The disbursements made by our Western Agent to July 1, 1916 amounting to $26.95 and bill for which mis-carried in the mail, which was to be presented to the Executive Committee meeting which was ordered for July 18, last, no quorum being present, I assumed the responsibility of paying said bill, which I found to be correct, and now ask this Board to confirm my action.

I beg to present excuses from the following Directors:

M. M. Palmer for absence at the May meeting.


Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

The Chairman: You have heard the Secretary’s report. What is your pleasure?

It will be placed on file and taken up in due order.

Mr. Bloodgood: Mr. Keasbey asked me to extend his excuses on the ground that he is in a Directors’ Meeting this afternoon and would get here if possible.

A Member: Mr. Stewart asked me to present his regards and his excuse is that he has typhoid fever.
A. P. Vredenburgh: I beg to submit the financial report which is as follows:

New York, September 18, 1916

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following report from Jan 1, 1916, to date:

Balance on hand ........................................ $36,473.10
Receipts from Jan 1, 1916 to date ..................... 37,473.36
Total .......................................................... 73,946.46
Disbursements from Jan 1, 1916 to date.......... 66,587.41
Balance on hand ........................................ $ 7,359.05

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

The Chairman: You have some bonds?
A. P. Vredenburgh: Yes, $29,000.

The Chairman: You have heard the report. If there is no objection it will be placed on file. Now take up the Secretary’s report.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The Shepaug Kennel Club was prevented holding their meeting by the Board of Health and they ask for the return of their license fee amounting to $50.

Upon motion duly made and seconded the request was granted.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The San Francisco Trial Board was given permission at the last meeting to investigate the
conduct of the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Southern California. This is their report.

Mr. Wood: A little of this came up on the Membership Committee in connection with two clubs there asking to take the place of this one they thought might be disqualified, and as Mr. Howard Willets and Dr. De Mund are going to California in the next six weeks it seems to me a good idea that they be authorized to talk it over with our Western Agent and report to our Directors at the December meeting. I will make that as a motion if the Chair sees fit to consider this as a motion. Also that nothing be published regarding this matter until they report.

A Member: I second that motion.

The Chairman: I think it is just as well if we do not publish this discussion.

A. P. Vredenburgh: We want to keep a record of it.

The Chairman: yes.

Mr. Bloodgood: It seems they have not passed on these things. Almost everything presented there has been passed on. They have not read the rules and they paid no attention to them in running the show. This show evidently practically violated all the rules of the American Kennel Club. Because you are a nice fellow you ought not to be punished is not the intention of the American Kennel Club. It is our intention to be just. I think their idea of just a censure and a few fines sounds absurd to me.
It is apparently not justice. We have punished other people for these mistakes time and time again. Why should we alter our precedents here just for this Club? I think the motion ought to be made to have them show cause why they should not be disqualified. To me it was the most loosely run show I ever heard of.

Mr. Wood: I think that Mr. Bloodgood is entirely correct. Somebody ought to be disqualified. The only question is whether the entire club should be dropped from membership, or whether particular officers should be disqualified. I saw them last year. Previous shows were run about the same way. I think Mr. Willets and Dr. De Mund could determine whether it would be a proper thing to drop the club or particular officers. We have an application from two others. Our Western Agent suggested not accepting either application for the present.

Mr. Morse: We have a report from our San Francisco Trial Board. I do not see why we should appoint a Committee. Why not act on this?

MR. Hooley: I think there is a great absence of knowledge out there as to what is required and as to how shows could be and should be run. I do not say that applies in this particular case because I think the lady who was running that show had experience in the East and knew something about it. But there is no question but the
man in authority in connection with this club running the show really knew nothing about it. I found it so when there last fall.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think Mr. Hooley’s suggestions are good, but the question of ignorance is no excuse whatever.

A. P. Vredenburgh: I would like to state that I had a personal letter from our Western Agent, as a supplement to this report, in which he says that one of the applications that Mr. Wood refers to, by the Southern California Kennel Club for membership, was brought about by the present Ladies’ Association of Southern California, knowing that they had been investigated and feeling that the charges against them were so strong that they wanted to perpetuate themselves by forming another club under another name with different officers but at the same time really representing the present Ladies’ Association of Southern California.

And further than that, Miss Anita Baldwin, hearing of this investigation and that the chances were that the present Ladies’ Kennel Association would probably go out of existence, wanted to jump in and have a membership in Los Angeles and she also sent in an application for a New York membership for the Southern California Kennel Club. She is President and her kennel man is Secretary and another employee in her employ is Treasurer.

It shows they were onto this defense. They came
to the conclusion that investigation would bring out such facts that would go against the Ladies' Association and these two factions wanted to get in there and take their place. In this supplementary letter, although addressed to me as Secretary and not as a member of the Committee, he says that from facts that have come to their hearing he is strongly of opinion that the Ladies Kennel Association of Southern California should be suspended forthwith.

Mr. Hooley: Is Mr. Ford a member of this club?

A. P. Vredenburgh: No.

Mr. Hooley: If he is a member of that club I think we should be very careful about dropping the club. I agree with what Mr. Bloodgood says. The only question in my mind is what action we should take as to dropping the entire club or a certain membership in it or certain officers. Mr. Ford of Pasadena is above reproach. This is a Pasadena crowd. Mr. Connors lives in Pasadena. I am simply speaking for Mr. Ford and some of the people that I know.

Mr. Wood: I have no desire by that motion to do anything but postpone action. I do not think it will do any harm if we wait. Maybe we ought to suspend the club and suspend several members. That report is in a way a whitewashing report. Now along comes Vedder afterwards and says we ought to do more and he makes up his mind from hearsay evi-
dence after the trial is over.

Mr. Bloodgood: I have no objection to postponing it until we get some information. There is no objection to that. But I think you will come to the same conclusion when you return.

A. P. Vredenburgh: It is a question, Mr. Chairman, whether we could disqualify or suspend that club without giving them an opportunity to show cause why they should not be suspended.

Mr. Bloodgood: that is my suggestion. Direct them to show cause why they should not be suspended.

Mr. Hooley: I second the motion.

The Chairman: The motion is made and seconded that the Chair appoint as a Committee Dr. De Mund and Mr. Willets to go into the matter with the Pacific Advisory Board and report.

Mr. Wood: And with the people in Los Angeles.

The Chairman: Yes.

A. P. Vredenburgh: And that this report be accepted and ordered filed.

Mr. Wood: Yes, but not to be published.

A. P. Vredenburgh: I understand, not to be published.

The chairman: Are you read for the question, gentlemen? Those in favor? Carried unanimously.

The next motion is that the report be accepted and filed but held up pending their return.
Mr. Wood: I so move.
Mr. Hooley: I second that motion.
The Chairman: Gentlemen, you have heard the motion. What is your pleasure? Unanimously carried.
A. P. Vredenburgh: Now as to the action of the Stud Book Committee in granting the kennel name “Delaware Valley Farms” to Mr. John Keyser (reads communications).
The Chairman: Was that kennel name granted by the Stud Book Committee?
A. P. Vredenburgh: Yes. The kennel name was granted by the Stud Book Committee. This is a protest against their action by Mr. M. M. Palmer.
The Chairman: Gentlemen, you have heard the complaint.
Mr. Bloodgood: Have you any reasons from the Committee why they granted this kennel name? have they stated any reasons at all?
A. P. Vredenburgh: No, sir.
The Chairman: Has this protest been sent to the Committee?
A. P. Vredenburgh: No, it was just received.
Mr. Wood: It seems to me the point is pretty well taken.
The Chairman: It seems to me the Stud Book Committee will think so too.
Mr. Palmer: Outside of what I have written to the
American Kennel Club I naturally would think the Stud Book Committee would be thoroughly familiar with the rules governing registration of kennels, and there are seven distinct rules on the application blanks, one of which absolutely nullifies what the Stud Book Committee has done. No applicant in the name of any State, etc., will be approved or granted. Any State. Delaware happened to be a state.

The Chairman: I think we might hand that back to the Stud Book Committee and then if they refuse to alter it the protest will come to us.

MR. Wood: I don’t know what the Stud Book Committee might have done if any suggestion was made they should not have granted that. But there are some precedents. There are several Valleys, Valley Field and Valley View and one or two others already in the Stud Book. We saw no reason why Delaware Valley should not be also in the Stud Book. Of course, Delaware is the name of a State, but Delaware Valley or Delaware River or Mississippi River is not within that rule.

A Member: Is not this Delaware Valley Farm?

Mr. Wood: I don’t understand there is any objection to farm. There are lots of farms.

Mr. Palmer: It seems to me the matter should be referred to the Stud Book Committee for action.

(The motion was then duly seconded.)
The Chairman: It is moved and seconded that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee. Motion carried.

A. P. Vredenburgh: This is in relation to the same “Wildfire” (Reads communication in relation thereto). This letter is addressed to the Board of Directors after I had been in correspondence for the last five months with Mr. Paget telling him I had taken the matter up with the English Kennel Club and as soon as I heard from the English Kennel Club I would promptly notify him. He knew it was in progress and ignoring the Kennel Club office he goes to the Directors. In the meantime the correspondence I have had with the English Kennel Club brought about a meeting at which time they cancelled the registration of “Wildfire” in England, and I notified Mr. Paget to that effect. There was one single registration outside of Mr. Paget’s registration with the name Wildfire attached to it, and that was an English registration that was registered in England with the prefix Wildfire that we under our reciprocity agreement were bound to adopt. That registration was good and it was good over in England. Now they have cancelled it and I have very serious doubts whether we have any right to cancel a registration accepted in that way.

Dr. De Mund: It seems to me that Mr. Paget is right in his contention that we had no right in the first place of registering that as the American Kennel Club had granted him the prefix Wildfire some three or four months
before this prefix was granted to the English Kennel Club and I think the dog’s registration should be cancelled.

A. P. Vredenburgh: I don’t think you have the right to do it.

Mr. Bloodgood: I don’t see how you can do anything else but cancel it. We have to uphold our own rules.

A. P. Vredenburgh: That was the rule at the time of registration.

Mr. Bloodgood: When did you notify Mr. Paget of this?

A. P. Vredenburgh: I have been in correspondence with him since last Jan.

Mr. Bloodgood: Have you informed him as things were going along? I see you make an objection to bringing it before the Board of Directors?


Mr. Bloodgood: But if I had waited give months and had not heard a word I might object.

A. P. Vredenburgh: Jan 27 I wrote him. June 26 I wrote him. July 24 I wrote him and I explained to him thee and quoted the letter from the English Kennel Club/ I write that to Mr. Paget on the 24th day of July.

Mr. Bloodgood: How long has this been going on?
A. P. Vredenburgh: Since the 7th of Jan. Then I write to him again September 8th. It was August 9th that I notified him of the cancellation.

Since that time there has been a puppy out of this bitch registered here.

Dr. De Mund: I move that the registration named Wildfire be cancelled.

The Chairman: Yes, and substitute some other name.

Mr. Willets: I move as an amendment that both those registrations be cancelled and the money for the registrations be returned and then they can re-register them under another name.

The Chairman: Put that motion again, Mr. Willets.

Mr. Willets: I move that the registration under the prefix Wildfire be cancelled and the owner be told that he has the privilege to re-register the dog under another name.

Motion seconded.

The Chairman: I do not think it necessary to return the registration fee as long as it is to change the name. You have heard the motion, gentlemen, what is your pleasure? Unanimously carried.

A. P. Vredenburgh: Here is a communication from London on the question of a kennel name. Referring to the late Southampton Kennel Club Show. (Discussion about Snowball of Esperance).
It developed that the dog in question was registered under No. 205,832 but no definite action was taken.

On motion duly made and seconded Mrs. J. C. Mallery was reinstated.

The applications of Sydney A. Cummings and G. S. Haliwell for reinstatement were passed upon. Upon motion duly made and seconded they were reinstated.

The resignation of A. G. Wilmerding was upon motion duly made and seconded accepted with regrets.

Upon motion duly made and seconded the following suspensions were confirmed, June 15, 1916, Mr. and Mrs. A. A. Swartz by the Long Island Kennel Club.


August 30, 1916, Mrs. Albert Gagnee by the Asbury Park Kennel Club.

The Chairman: Have they appealed?

A. P. Vredenburgh: Mrs. Gagnee has not appealed. Mr. Cresser has appealed.

The suspensions of John W. Keegan and M. A. Durney by the Kennel Club of Atlantic City were not acted upon because it was reported that their cases would be reopened and would be tried tomorrow.

Mrs. Swartz lives in New York and Mrs. Cresser in Brooklyn.

The Kennel Club of Atlantic City suspended
two men but informed me they would reopen the case at the meeting to be held tomorrow.

Mrs. Swartz appeals.
The Chairman: We have to uphold the suspensions.
A. P. Vredenburgh: unless they appeal.

We have several complaints, Rushton against the Bulldog Club of New Jersey and against the Bulldog Club of America and the Bulldog Breeders’ Association.

Mr. Wood: Wont it save time to have the Secretary notify those clubs saying they will be suspended if they don’t pay their specials?
A. P. Vredenburgh: The Bulldog Club of New Jersey is out of existence.
Mr. Wood: I thought it would save time for the Secretary to notify those clubs to pay or the matter would go to the Trial Board. I make that as a motion.
The motion was duly seconded.
The Chairman: All those in favor. Carried.
A. P. Vredenburgh: If not paid within 30 days?
Mr. Wood: I would not give them that long.
Mr. Bloodgood: Oh, yes.
A. P. Vredenburgh: Go to the Trial Board.
Mr. Wood: Yes. Give me a memorandum of the Bulldog Club and I will try to collect it tonight.
A. P. Vredenburgh: The Gwynedd Valley Club is quite a serious proposition in connection with Mr. Blynn in regard to his refusal to return a cup and has to be handled carefully.
This does not want to be published. He has eloped with $25 or $30 of the money. He is getting $6,000 or $7,000 on the New York American and we think he ought to pay it.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The worst part is he is a Delegate.

Mr. Wood: He won’t probably be a Delegate long because he is a Delegate form the Ladies’ Kennel Association of Southern California.

A. P. Vredenburgh: This was for a cup.

The Chairman: Why not tell him to return the cup?

Mr. Wood: Surely

A. P. Vredenburgh: Or what?

The Chairman: Return the cup in 30 days or be suspended. Does that suit everybody? So ordered.

A. P. Vredenburgh: Charges for non-payment of money. He claims a balance of $12.

Dr. De Mund: I move to send that to the Chicago Trial Board.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The Chicago Trial Board and the Chicago Kennel Club are practically the same thing.

Dr. De Mund: I move that it go to the New York Trial Board.

Motion duly seconded.

The Chairman: All those in favor say aye.
Carried.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The protest of Mrs. Brownell against George Flach in what is claimed the fraudulent registration of a dog.

Mr. Wood: I move that it be sent to the Stud Book Committee.

Motion duly seconded.

The Chairman: So ordered.

A. P. Vredenburgh: Do you suppose I ought to take notice of this unsigned complaint?

Dr. De Mund: Scrap basket.

Mr. Wood: I so move.

The Chairman: Unless there is objection so ordered.

A. P. Vredenburgh: The Golden Gate Kennel Club was fined by this office $25.

A. P. Vredenburgh: I have a letter from Mrs. Vedder. Here was a dog entered in the bitch class called Billy and he transferred her to the dog class and he was fined $25.

Mr. Willets: I move that be remitted.

Motion duly second.

Mr. Bloodgood: I don't see how you can remit it. If you have a rule you have to stand by it. It does not matter who did it. I don't care whether it was ourselves or some one else.
Mr. Wood: One of the Executive Committee made the same mistake in Philadelphia and we paid $25.
A. P. Vredenburgh: He did not make the same mistake.
Mr. Wood: We were fined for it.
Mr. Bloodgood: It is not a question whether we want to make him pay it or not. It is a question whether we want to go into it.
The Chairman: It seems to me we ought to make him pay more than anyone else because he is our own agent.
Mr. Bloodgood: I think we ought to stick to our rules.
The Chairman: I think if anybody else does it they will be able to say, you let Vedder off, why not let us off.
Mr. Bloodgood: Yes, because Vedder is us.
The Chairman: What is the next motion?
A. P. Vredenburgh: Then the penalty must be enforced?
It was then duly moved and seconded that the penalty be enforced. All in favor?
Carried.
A. P. Vredenburgh: I have a bill for disbursements of the Western Agent amounting to $26.95 which I paid July 18th. I would like to have that confirmed.
Dr. De Mund: I move it be confirmed.

Mr. Wood: I second the motion.

The Chairman: Gentlemen, you have heard the motion and it is seconded.

Those in favor. Carried.

Dr. De Mund: There is a vacancy as a Director Mr. Wilmerding’s resignation having been accepted. I move that John F. Collins be elected in his place.

The motion was duly seconded and unanimously carried, the Secretary being authorized to cast one vote for Mr. Collins for the unexpired term.

Mr. Willets: I nominate Dr. De Mund as Chairman of the License Committee in place of Mr. Wilmerding.

The nomination was seconded and the nominations were closed. Dr. De Mund was unanimously elected and the Secretary authorized to cast one vote.

Mr. Bloodgood: Will you kindly inform us if the Directors that have not attended the meetings and have no excuses three times?

A. P. Vredenburgh: August Belmont, J. W. Appleton, Raymond Belmont. I think that is all.

The Chairman: Has not any one of those sent excuses? You have Mr. Appleton’s resignation.

Mr. Wood: If was refused, I think, and laid on the table.

Mr. Bloodgood: Mr. Appleton told us several times that he could not come.

There is no better man we could
have here than Appleton but if he cannot come somebody ought to be here to fill his place and perform the duties of the office, and he has distinctly given us to understand that he cannot attend the meetings.

The Chairman: I have spoken to him personally about it several times.

Mr. Bloodgood: I know that. Nobody thinks more of Mr. Appleton than I do. but I do not think anybody should be on this board that does not attend the meetings and do the work. We don’t want figureheads on this board. Mr. Raymond Belmont was on the Membership Committee. He never attended at meetings. He has never been here.

A. P. Vredenburgh: I don’t recall the time he has been here.

Mr. Bloodgood: As far as the President goes, of course that is another proposition. There is something to be said on both sides there.

A. P. Vredenburgh: He has been to the meetings. I ought not to have called his name.

Mr. Bloodgood: I don’t think he has been absent three meetings.

A. P. Vredenburgh: he was absent at the May meeting and he is absent from this meeting.

Dr. De Mund: He was not present at the Annual Meeting. You read a letter.

A. P. Vredenburgh: Yes, the Annual Meeting and
the May meeting and this meeting.

Mr. Bloodgood: As far as Appleton and Raymond Belmont are concerned I think they should be dropped from this Board. I think we should accept Mr. Appleton’s resignation, as he clearly put it that way. I don’t mean with any offense to anyone but as a question in behalf of the working force of the Kennel Club. If they are going to accept positions on this board they ought to be here. I think there has been too much of that absenteeism heretofore.

Dr. De Mund: Is not our rule explicit on that?

The Chairman: What is the rule?

A. P. Vredenburgh: It is accepted as a resignation.

Dr. De Mund: I thought our rule was final.

Mr. Wood: We have several precedents for dropping members in that way and also have written then that we will drop them if they did not attend.

The Chairman: I think we wrote one man once saying if he did not attend we should talk it as a resignation. I think it a good idea if we did the same to all three men.

Mr. Bloodgood: I think you are wrong when you do that.

Dr. De Mund: There was a great deal of criticism about that.
Mr. Bloodgood: The papers howled about it all over the country and it was stated that because it was Mr. Rockefeller. I don’t care who he is, whether the President of the United States or not. If he does not do what is right to this Kennel Club he ought not to be here. Here is the rule.

The Chairman: Did not Mr. Belmont write the last time?

A. P. Vredenburgh: He wrote to the Annual Meeting. He was not at the May meeting and is not at this meeting.

The Chairman: Gentlemen, what is your pleasure? Do you want to accept these resignations?

Dr. De Mund: I move that we accept the resignation of the Directors who have been delinquent under that Section 10 of bylaws.

A. P. Vredenburgh: Raymond Belmont, J. W. Appleton and August Belmont.

The motion was duly seconded.

The Chairman: All those in favor say aye.

Unanimously carried.

A. P. Vredenburgh: I present the following excuses, M. M. Palmer for absence at the May Meeting, R. P. Keasbey, William Rauch, Charles W. Keyes, E. L. Boger, S. R. Cutler, J. A. Buchanan, Chetwood Smith, George Greer, W. L. Barclay, R. C. Stewart, from this meeting.
It was moved and duly seconded that he excused be accepted. It was so ordered by the Chairman.

Mr. Wood then nominated J. R. Thorndike as a Director, which was duly seconded; Mr. West then nominated Morris Kenney as a Director, which was duly seconded. Dr. De Mund then nominated D. G. Lloyd as a Director which was duly seconded. And it was then moved that the Secretary draw lots for their positions.

The nominations were then closed after each nomination and each gentleman was unanimously elected.

A. P. Vredenburgh: I vote for the three nominees to fill the unexpired terms and to choose their classes by lots.

Here is a Maltese Terrier registered on the 30th of December, 1915, as Snowball of Esperance.

Mr. Willets: We have to recognize that here. I move that the Secretary write the English Kennel Club to ascertain if the Lady’s claim is correct, and if there be any wins entered under the name they be withdrawn.

The Chairman: She can change the name.

Mr. Willets: yes, but the dog won under a wrong name.

The Chairman: That is not exactly the point. We did not take Wildfire's wins away from him.

Mr. Willets: I withdraw that part of it.

A. P. Vredenburgh: She owns the word “Esperance”.
I don’t have to write for that.

Mr. Willets: Then I move the registration be cancelled.

Mr. Wood: And give him a change to re-register the same thing in the case of Wildfire.

The Chairman: Yes, all those in favor will say aye. Motion carried.

DIRECTORS’ MEETING ADJOURNED.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
HELD SEPT. 19, 1916

President Hunnewell presiding.

A quorum being present, motion was made, seconded and carried to adjourn its meeting until the 20th instant.
Adjourned.

SECRETARY

ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
HELD SEPT 20, 1916.

President Hunnewell presiding.


Protest against the entry of the Russian Wolfhound “Nita O’Valley Farm” in the Puppy Class at the Westminster Kennel Club show of 1915 was not sustained.

The action of the License Committee in the case of John White was approved.

J. W. Marlpes gave notice that he discontinued acting as a professional handler on August 1, 1916.

An affidavit from Anita M. Baldwin in reference to Sam Crabtree of England was read and ordered filed.

An affidavit from John Brett denying that he is a professional handler was referred to the New York Trial Board.

An appeal from the Ladies Kennel Association of America against the fine of $25.00 for violation of the professional handler rule was denied.

The case of John W. Burton judging the Blackstone Kennels’ dogs at Chicago, March 30th to April 1st, 1916, and acting as agent for same dogs
at the St. Louis Show, April 3rd to 6th, 1916, was referred to the Chicago Trial Board.

At the request of Mrs. C. E. Lamoure of recording the awards to the English Toy Spaniel “Celamo Day Cream” Second Open and Reserve Winners, and “Celamo Little Lad, Jr.,” First Novice at the Newark show, Feb 12, 1916, said awards were cancelled on her statement that the above mentioned dogs were not present at the show, Mr. A. McClure Halley, who judged English Toy Spaniels at the Newark Show, was unable to explain the matter as such a long time had elapsed.

The demand of Floyd Price, Attorney for Mrs. Carl Baumann, for the return of affidavits handed to the Trial Board by his client and also the right to examine the evidence given by Mrs. Baumann to the Trial Board was refused. In this action, Mr. H. K. Bloodgood was authorized to engage counsel in case Mrs. Baumann brought suit against the American Kennel Club.

The action of the Board of Directors at the meeting held Sept 19th directing the Secretary to cancel the registration of the Maltese Terrier “Snow Ball of Esperance” was on motion held in abeyance until the Dec meeting of the Board of Directors.

The Secretary was directed to write to Joseph A. Buchanan to the effect that it was necessary for him, as a member of the License Committee, to personally attend meetings of said Committee, and in case he cannot find it convenient to do so to suggest to him the advisability of resigning as a member of said Committee. This meeting appointing Mr. R. P. Keasbey to set upon the License Committee in case the resignation of Mr. Buchanan should be tendered.

A complaint against Frank F. Dole by Miss Rite Ralbot, Secretary Ladies Dog Club was referred to the New York Trial Board.

ADJOUNRED.

Secretary
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, HELD OCTOBER 25, 1916.

H. H. Hunnewell presiding.

PRESENT: --

H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
William Rauch
Chas. R. Wood
Dr. De Mund

The report of the Chicago Trial Board meeting Oct., 4, 1916 was presented.

In the case of the trial by the Board, and the Secretary of the Board filed his reply thereto.

The Secretary was directed to inform Ratto that he can appeal from the decision of the Chicago Trial Board subject to the Rules Governing Appeals.

The report of the New York Trial Board meeting held Oct. 16, 1916, was presented. The recommendation of the Board to reinstate to good standing Mr. & Mrs. A. Swartz was approved.

The penalty imposed against Frank F. Dole $50.00 for publishing in the premium list of the Suffolk County Kennel Club show a special for which he had no authority. The Committee directed the Secretary to inform the New York Trial Board that it exceeded its authority in the case. The authority given by the bylaws to Trial Boards is either suspension or disqualification.
for any misconduct.

The decision in the case of the Asbury Park Kennel Club against Mrs. Albert Gagney is that the action of said Asbury Park Kennel Club is suspending defendant is hereby upheld as a penalty for the latter’s failure to attend at the hearing of this case by that club; however, the wins of the dog are hereby reinstated and the suspension of Mrs. Gagney is hereby removed. The above action was approved by this Committee.

In the case of the French Bulldog Club vs. John Cresser, the Board sustains the action of the French Bulldog Club in suspending said Cresser and therefore withholds all privileges of the American Kennel Club from him for an additional period of thirty (30) days from date. Approved by this Committee.

In the case of John Brett, the Board sustains the position of the Executive Committee and declares Brett a Professional Handler according to Rule XVIII of the Rules Governing Clubs, and orders that he be deprived of all the privileges of the American Kennel Club without limit. Approved by this Committee.

The Spokane Kennel Club filed a complaint against Mr. O. F. Vedder for stating his personal opinion in regard to a show held at Spokane in an official communication. The Committee requests Mr. Vedder to withdraw the objectionable remark.

The failure of the Spokane Kennel Club to file its listing sheets with the American Kennel Club subjects the Club to penalty of one dollar a day from seven days after the closing of the show; but, inasmuch as the Secretary of that club has been ill since the closing of the show, this Committee directs
that unless a copy of listings is filed with this office within 20 days from date, a penalty of one dollar a day will be imposed on and after that date.

A petition from the Pacific Coast Collie Club requesting the reinstatement of the Valverde Collies was considered by the Committee, and as no application from Mr. William Ellery for reinstatement has been received, this Committee cannot consider the petition from the Pacific Coast Collie Club.

The request of A. W. Cates for ruling on the following question:

“A Boston Terrier bitch is beaten for the best of the breed by a Boston Terrier dog. In competition for a special offered for the best Terrier and breed, the Boston Terrier dog is beaten by a Smooth Fox Terrier dog. In competition for the best in the show, a Smooth Fox Terrier dog is placed winner over an English Bulldog bitch. Is the Boston Terrier bitch eligible to compete for the Special for the best of the opposite sex under the conditions as named above?”

The Committee rules that the Boston Terrier bitch is eligible to compete for such a special.

The request for a ruling from Mrs. F. Thompson on the awards in unclassified specials for Toys at the Danbury show was presented. Mrs. Thompson’s dog won Reserve in Winners Classes of Pekingese Spaniels. The following day when the judging for the best Toy dog in the show took place, Mrs. Thompson took it for granted the dog having received First Winners was present, so did not take her dog in the ring. The winner of Third is taken in the ring and received the award for the best Toy. The Committee rules that Mrs. Thompson should have shown her dog. On her failure to do so, the award of the Judge is approved.
At the Wilmington Show of 1916, the Judges’ Book marked the Cocker Spaniel “Red Lady” as having received Reserve winners. The Judge Mr. Dole under date of October 2, five months after the show, wrote a letter to the owner of “Red Lady” that she was given winners instead of Reserve Winners. This Committee rules that in order to make a correction, it will be necessary for the Judge to correct his Judges Book and initial said correction.

The decision of the Detroit Kennel Club against the protest of B. M. Rosenheim is hereby sustained. The question at issue was a Boston Terrier entered in the class under seventeen lbs. disqualified in that class for the reason that he weighed 17 lbs. 1 oz. This dog came in for special for the best male Boston Terrier and was awarded the prize. This Committee rules that although the dog was disqualified for over-weight in its regular class, the dog was regularly entered at the show, was physically present, and was therefore entitled to compete for the best of its breed in the show, no other conditions appearing.

Mr. James Crawford a part owner of the Hillcote Kennels and manager of same for which he receives a salary asks if he is eligible to judge. The Committee rules that the is not eligible to act as a judge at any American Kennel Club show.

The complaint against A. W. Cates as Superintendent of the Grand Rapids show, for accepting entries of eight dogs of different breeds, the agent for said dogs as published in the catalogue being Mr. W. C. Cates the son of the Superintendent, was considered. The different dogs were entered in the name of the bona fide owners followed by the name of the agent. The Committee rules that as an agent he was not competing, and therefore did not violate the rules.
Complaint dismissed.

Complaint of Valentine Huff against the Suffolk County Kennel Club for an unpaid prize of $15.00 in gold was referred to the New York Trial Board.

The suspension of Mrs. E. O. Lakeland by the American Pomeranian Club was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

A complaint by Edward Woods against J. W. Burton alleging that he is a professional handler was referred to the License Committee.

A protest from the Plainfield Kennel Club against the methods of the License Committee was ordered filed.

The Secretary was directed to use the office force to report meetings held by the Delegates and Directors and Executive Committee, and that on and after this date nothing shall be published in the official Gazette but results.

In the matter of the indebtedness of the Vickery Kennels to the American Kennel Club which the Secretary reported he could not collect, he was given authority to commence suit, and at the expiration of the advertising contract to discontinue same.

The Secretary reported that John Brett acted as Judge at the late show held at Binghamton, and was directed to send by registered mail to the Binghamton Industrial Exposition a marked copy of the Rule on the subject of invitations to judges, and ask if that Rules has been compiled with.

The Detroit Kennel Club filed a claim against A. W. Cates its late Superintendent for his failure to pay to said Club a balance of $89.74. The Secretary was directed to notify Mr. Cates that unless he promptly settles his indebtedness to the
Detroit Kennel Club within ten days all privileges of the American Kennel Club will be withdrawn from him.

A complaint from Mrs. G. Horowitz of London, that Mrs. S. Williams exhibited a Maltese Terrier under the name of “Snowball of Esperance” the affix being registered by Mrs. Horowitz with the English Kennel Club was considered. The Committee decided that as no application has been received to register with the American Kennel Club the word “Esperance” the use of that word in the name of the dog does not conflict with the Reciprocity Agreement between the English Kennel Club and the American Kennel Club, and that we cannot therefore afford Mrs. Horowitz any relief.

The Committee accepted the resignation of Mr. J. A. Buchanan as a member of the License Committee, and Mr. R. P. Keasbey was appointed as his successor.
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, HELD AT ITS OFFICES, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, TUESDAY, DECEMBER 19, 1916.

President Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Delegate                  Francis G. Lloyd
Airedale Terrier Club of America    William L. Barclay
Airedale Terrier Club of New York   W. F. Patterson
American Fox Terrier Club           H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club            Theo. Offerman
American Spaniel Club               H. K. Bloodgood
Bulldog Club of America             E. L. Boger
Bull Terrier Club of America        R. D. Coombs
Dachshund Club of America           G. Muss-Arnolt
Dalmatian Club of America           A. B. Maclay
Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club         J. J. Fox
Devon Dog Show Association          John Sinnott
Erie Kennel Club                    R. C. Stewart
French Bulldog Club of America      R. A. Scott
Great Dane Club of America          O. C. Harriman
Greyhound Club of America           J. Z. Batten
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club          C. R. Wood
Irish Terrier Club of America       J. R. Thorndike
Long Island Kennel Club             J. F. Collins
Maltese Terrier Club                E. H. Berendsohn
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club         R. P. Keasbey
Revere Kennel Club                  S. R. Cutler
Russian Wolfhound Club              Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club               Howard Willets
St. Louis Collie Club               L. W. Smith
On motion the reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with, and they were accepted as published in the Sept Gazette.

The Chair appointed Messrs. Samuel R. Cutler and J. F. Collins as tellers and the meeting went into an election for active members which resulted in the election of the Atlanta Kennel Club and the Kennel Club of Atlantic City.

The following Delegates were elected to represent the following named clubs:

Detroit Kennel Club       Herbert Hughes
Louisville Kennel Association     J. W. Vaughn
Newfoundland Club of America     Chas. R. Wood
Plainfield Kennel Club      Edmund L. MacKenzie
San Antonio Kennel Club     Victor Keller
Seattle Kennel Club       James E. Meade

The Secretary read his report which is as follows:

New York, December 18, 1916

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting, I have received four applications for Active Membership and referred same to our Membership Committee which reports as follows: Atlanta Kennel Club and the Atlantic City Kennel Club approved; Hudson County Kennel Club, the Committee reserves its approval until said club has held another show under license. Mizpah Airedale Club; the Committee deems it unwise to admit another Airedale Kennel Club located on the Pacific Coast.
The following Credentials have been approved by the Committee:
Detroit Kennel Club appointing Herbert Hughes
Louisville Kennel Club appointing Doctor J. W. Vaugh
Newfoundland Club of America appointing Charles R. Wood
Plainfield Kennel Club appointing Edmund L. Mackenzie
San Antonio Kennel Club appointing Victor Keller
Seattle Kennel Club appointing James E. Meade.

The credentials from the Washington Kennel Club were held over for the reason
that none of the Committee knew the appointee, nor was he vouched for by any person
known by the Committee.

The Credentials from the New Bedford District Kennel Club were not received in
time for the publication of same in the Nov Gazette, and therefore put over until the next
meeting.

In accordance with Article XVII, Section 3, the Membership Committee has
 nominated for Associate Delegates for the year 1917 Messrs. A. G. Hooley and Francis
G. Lloyd. It also proposed the following Nominating Committee to nominate Directors
and Committees to fill the vacancies that will occur at the annual meeting in Feb next,
namely:

George Greer
Ralph C. Stewart
J. Macy Willets
George S. West
Dr. De Mund

The Islip Kennel Club has filed its resignation to take effect at this meeting. This
club is in good standing until Jan 1st next.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

There being no objection the Secretary’s report was received and placed on file.
On motion the following Committee was elected to nominate Directors and
Committees and to report same at the annual meeting in Feb:

George Greer          George S. West
Ralph C. Stewart      Dr. De Mund
J. Macy Willets
The resignation of the Islip Kennel Club was offered and on motion of Mr. Boger and seconded by Mr. W. Ross Proctor, the same was seconded to take effect at once.

On motion duly made and seconded the meeting adjourned.
President Hunnewell in the chair.
Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
W. L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
Dr. De Mund
W. Ross Proctor
Howard Willets
George Greer
J. F. Collins
S. R. Cutler
F. G. Lloyd
C. W. Keyes
E. L. Boger
J. Sinnott
R. P. Keasbey
R. C. Stewart
A. B. Maclay
C. R. Wood
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
M. M. Palmer
J. R. Thorndike

The reading of the minutes of the last meeting was dispensed with and they were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:
New York, December 18, 1916

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

Complaint against the Eastern Beagle Club by five of its members.
Charges by Miss Edna G. Pugh vs. J. B. Connally and George T. Wills.
Mrs. H. Robertson vs. Edward Axtell
J. O. Lacaillade vs. M. D. Littig and others.

Appeal of Hugh W. Jackson against the decision of the French Bulldog Club of New England.

A bill from our Western Agent for $21.85 for disbursements from July 6th to Dec 4th, 1916.

The following excuses for absence were received:

Alfred B. Maclay from the September meeting
W. P. Wolcott from the December meeting
Morris Kinney from the December meeting
J. Macy Willets from the December meeting
W. P. Wolcott from the annual meeting in Feb next
Morris Kinney from the annual meeting in Feb next

I beg to report the finding of the Stud Book Committee on matters referred to it by the last meeting, also the report of the New York Trial Board.

Respectfully submitted
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The Treasurer read his financial report as follows:

New York, Dec 18, 1916

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following financial report from
Jan 1, 1916 on date:

Balance on hand ------------------------- $36,473.10
Receipts to date-------------------------- 47,606.32
Total ---------------------------------------- $84,079.42
Disbursements from Jan 1, 1916 ----    73,496.21
Balance on hand -------------------------  $10,583.21

I further report that bills for Active Dues, Associate Subscription, Advertising Accounts, and Kennel Maintenance Fees were mailed Dec 1st, and the average return has been received to date.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.
The report of the Stud Book Committee was presented:

Dec 8, 1916
Stud Book Committee

The Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee reports as follows on the matter referred to them.

In the matter of revising the list of foreign dogs, the Committee recommends that the German Sheepdog be classed as a native dog, as large numbers of them are bred and exhibited in the United States. We do not at present recommend any other change in the list of foreign dogs.

In the matter of the dispute between Messrs. John McGough and Wilford Wood as to the Breeder of the Airedale Bitch, Night-shade W. we decide McGough to be the Breeder.

In regard to the protest of Mr. M. M. Palmer in regard to
granting the Kennel Name, Delaware Valley Farm, we are of the opinion that having
granted this name, we have no authority to reverse our action in the matter and
furthermore do not wish to grant to any one the exclusive use of the words Valley and
Farm in combination with other words.

In the matter of the disputed pedigree of the Boston Terrier, Queenie Love, ALC
No. 211,414, we would request that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club send by
registered mail to Geo. W. Flack, a letter similar to his letter under date of Sept 9th, and
in case of the failure of Geo. Flack, to reply within ten (10) days, that the matter be
referred to a Trial Board on the evidence of the letter of Mrs. Brownell.

We do not recommend changing the name of the German Sheepdog to the
Germans Shepherd Dog.

Wm. L. Barclay, Chairman
Theodore Offermann
Chas. R. Wood

The report of the New York Trial Board was read for information and is as
follows:

Dec 13, 1916
New York Trial Board
To the Directors of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen

At a regular meeting of the New York Trial Board held Dec 13th, in the matter of
charges against Mr. Frank F. Dole as Superintendent of the last Riverhead show for
offering the Specials of the Ladies Dog Club of Lowell without any permission or the
sanction of the latter club to offer them, we find Mr. Dole guilty as charged and
recommend that all privileges of the American Kennel Club be denied said Dole
indefinitely.

John F. Collins (Chairman)
Theodore Offerman
By Ralph C. Stewart: I recommend that the Stud Book Committee take up again the question of the German Shepherd Dog Club and consider the letter of Mr. Throop, the Secretary of said Club.

Carried.

The following complaint, charges, and appeal were presented and referred as follows:

Eastern Beagle Club – complaint – referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.
Miss Edna Pugh against messrs. Connolly and Wills – charges – referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.
Mr. H. Robertson against Edward Axtell – charges – referred to the Boston Trial Board.
Doctor J. O. Lacaillade against M. S. Littig and others – charges – referred to the New York Trial Board.

On motion the bill for disbursements from our Western agent from July 6th to December 4th, 1916 in the sum of $21.85 was ordered paid.

The following excuses for absence were accepted:

A. B. Maclay from the Sept meeting
From the December meeting
Messrs. W. P. Wolcott and Morris Kinney from the meeting
In Feb, 1917.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
President Hunnewell in the Chair.,

Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Chetwood Smith
Howard Willets
A. G. hooley
R. P. Keasbey
W. L. Barclay
Chas. R. Wood
Dr. De Mund

The Secretary read the report as follows:

New York, Dec 18, 1916

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

At the meeting held Oct 25th last, the following matters were presented, and the Committee acted on same pending the compliance with its ordered, and I therefore report that in the case of the of Binghamton Exposition appointing John Brett as a Judge at its late Show, filed with this office a letter from John Brett in reply to their communication, in which he made a statement that he was not a professional handler.

Mrs. O. E. Lakeland was directed to pay a penalty of $4.00 and upon payment of same she would be reinstated to good standing. This penalty was promptly paid.

The complaint of the Detroit Kennel Club against A. W. Cates, its former Superintendent for his failure to make an accounting of monies received by him during said show is withdrawn, from the reason
that said accounting was made to the satisfaction of the Detroit Kennel Club.
The appeal of the Pacific Coast Collie Club for the reinstatement of the Valverde
dogs has been followed by another appeal on the same subject.
The complaint of the Spokane Kennel Club against our Western Agent for a
personal remark made by him in an official communication has brought to hand a
communication from our Western Agent on the subject.
Your instructions to your Secretary to commence suit against the Vickery
Kennels for its failure to pay three years advertising contract was placed by your
Secretary in the hands of Henry D. Coghlan an attorney in Chicago, and the account
was settled by him for which we were obliged to pay his fee of $22.50.
The communication from John Ratto in reference to his several cases against
Doctor White of Chicago.
The request of your Committee to the Chicago Trial Board to correct its report of
Sept 13, 1916 has not yet been complied with.
The following new business is presented:
The case of Baumann vs. American Kennel Club.
We employed Morgan, Morgan and Carr and have paid said firm on account of
services of the above entitled matter $250, said bill being approved by Mr. Bloodgood, to
whom the matter was referred by your Committee.
A communication from the English Kennel Club on the use of the prefix
Esperance.
A petition from a number of persons for the reinstatement of J. W. Keegan, who
was suspended by the Philadelphia Trial Board.
Charges by Mrs. Brooks Ellwanger against the New York State Fair.
for the payment of the sum of $5.85 won at said Fair.

The Secretary of the Show informed me that the check for said amount was in their hands and had been endorsed by Mrs. Brooks and F. F. Livesey her agent. This payment has been contradicted by Mrs. Ellwanger. I therefore called upon the Secretary of the New York State Fair to loan this com the cancelled voucher for said amount, which I have and will present to you for your judgement.

The Special Committee appointed to investigate the Kennel situation in Los Angeles, consisting of Dr. De Mund and Howard Willets will report the result of their investigation at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Report accepted and ordered on file.

In the matter of the Binghamton Industrial Exposition on motion said Club was held guiltless of violating the American Kennel Club rules.

In the appeal of the Pacific Coast Collie Club for the reinstatement of the Valverde Collies it was moved by Dr. De Mund that the Secretary write to the Pacific Coast Collie Club and request it to furnish us with the names of the dogs which they wish reinstated, together with the names of their owners.

In the matter of the Vickery Kennels the Secretary reported that he had collected the outstanding advertising account, paying to Henry D. Coghlan, attorney, the commission of $22.50.

In the matter of the Western Agent’s letter to the Spokane Kennel Club the Secretary was directed to send a suitable reply to the Western Agent.

A communication from John Ratto was read in reference to the appeal of the Boston Terrier “Coast Guard.” The Secretary was directed to notify Ratto that this dog is registered with the American Kennel
Club and that he can obtain the pedigree from this office at the usual cost.

The disbursement to Morgan, Morgan and Carr in the Baumann case was confirmed.

A communication from the English Kennel Club in reference to the protest of Mrs. Horowitz in registering a Maltese Terrier named “Snowball of Esperance.” The matter was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

The memorial in behalf of John W. Keegan asking that he be reinstated to good standing was presented.

Dr. De Mund: I move that as Keehan did not avail himself of his right to appeal that we cannot alter the decision of the Philadelphia Trial Board.

Mrs. Brooks Ellwanger made a complaint against the New York State Fair for its failure to pay to her the sum of $5.85, won by her dog at the late Syracuse Show.

On motion of Mr. Wood the Secretary was directed to write to Mrs. Ellwanger that we have in our possession the cancelled check of this Committee that said claim had been paid to Mr. Livesey.

The Special Committee consisting of Messrs. Howard Willets and Dr. De Mund made an oral report on the condition of affairs in Southern California and laid before this Committee a very satisfactory solution of the troubles in that section. It was therefore

RESOLVED that the matter be referred to the Membership Committee and that the suggestions of the Special Committee be followed by said Membership Committee.

On motion it was determined that it is against the policies of the American Kennel Club for its Western Agency to act as superin-
tendent or official at any show held under American Kennel Club rules.

Dr. De Mund presented the resignation of the Ladies Kennel Association of Southern California and on motion the same was accepted.

Mr. Chetwood Smith brought up the question of permitting show-giving clubs to refer to Stud Books other than that of the American Kennel Club and sighted specials offered at the Eastern Dog Club Show at Boston in Beagles. It was therefore 

MOVED and carried that no motion in any premium list or catalogue of any other Stud Book that that of the American Kennel Club shall be permitted and that this action be referred to the Special Committee on rules.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD AT NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, WEDNESDAY, JAN. 17, 1917.

H. K. Bloodgood
A. G. Hooley
R. P. Keasbey
Dr. De Mund
W. Rauch
H. Willets
R. C. Stewart

The Secretary read a communication from President J. Hunnewell expressing his regrets at not being able to attend the meeting on account of illness. The communication was accepted and ordered on file.

Appeal of Frank F. Dole from the decision of the New York Trial Board. Mr. Dole waived his privilege accorded him in the By-laws Article II, Section 3 which is hereby made part of these minutes:

Jan 17, 1917

I, FRANK DOLE, hereby waive any and all rights which I may have under Article II, Section 3, of the By-laws of the American Kennel Club in regard to twenty days’ notice of the hearing of any appeal from the decision of the New York Trial Board and I do further agree to have my appeal from the decision of said Board heard by the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club at its meeting held at the American Kennel Club offices on Jan 17, 1917, and waive all my right such 20 days’ notice.

Frank F. Dole

On motion the Chairman was given full authority to conduct the
case. Mr. Dole appeared before the Committee and made an oral statement regarding
the publishing by him in the premium list of the Suffolk County Kennel Club, a special
purporting to have been offered by the Ladies Dog Club, said publication being
unauthorized filed a brief prepared by his Attorney, Mr. Samuel R. Cutler, and supplied
each member of the Executive Committee with a copy thereof, said brief being made a
part of these minuets as follows:

AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Jan 17, 1917

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
LADIES’ DOG CLUB
-vs-
FRANK F. DOLE

BRIEF OF APPEAL

By your courtesy and permission I am submitting the following points as a basis
for my contention that my appeal should be sustained.

First:-- It is my contention that the New York Trial Board had no jurisdiction to set
in this case and was in error in assuming to consider it for the following reasons:--

(1) I submit that no proper complaint was ever preferred against me to the
American Kennel Club. I shall be prepared to state at the hearing, which by your
courtesy, I am to attend, certain facts and circumstances brought to the attention of
certain officials of the Kennel Club. But it is my contention that the correspondences and
statements in the hands of the Secretary do not constitute charges made under oath in
accordance with the rules of the American Kennel Club and that they were never
intended as such by the Ladies Dog Club of Massachusetts.
(2) I find no record of any reference having been made by the Board of Directors of this case to the New York Trial Board and as is well known by several decisions, it is only when the Board of Directors of this case to the New York Trial Board and as is well known by several decisions, it is only when the Board of Directors refer a case to a Trial board that the Trial Board has jurisdiction to hear it.

(3) No copy of the charges which by the rule should have been made with specification in duplicate, has ever been given to me in accordance with Section 6 of Article XIX of the bylaws.

(4) It is also my contention that the alleged misconduct took place, if at all, at a dog show, or at least concerned a dog show, and therefore, the proceedings should have been begun before the local show Committee.

See Rule XX and Rule XXII of the Rules Governing Clubs and Rule XXIII of the Rules Governing Dog Shows.

(5) It would seem to be very clear that whatever mistake or misconduct the evidence before the Trial Board disclosed was an infringement of Rule XII of the Rules Governing Clubs and that “The Show Giving Club: would be, under that rule, the responsible party, the penalty being fixed by that rule to be that “The Show Giving Club” shall award prizes of equal value. In other words, it seems to me that as I was acting simply as an agent of the Suffolk County Kennel Club and without authority the club published in its premium list special prizes, I am responsible to the Suffolk County Kennel Club for any failure in the publication which is complained of against me, and the penalty is fixed by the rule and therefore, the rule must be followed and the attempt on the part of the New York Trial Board to deprive me of the privileges of the American Kennel Club was beyond their power.
Lastly I contend that the Board of Directors are the only authority, outside of the Show Giving Club, the President of the American Kennel Club or in his absence, the Vice-President, which has jurisdiction to inflict punishment for violation of the rules governing clubs and that the alleged misconduct in this case, if any there was, amounted to a violation of Rule XIII and if any penalty was imposed at all, it should have been imposed under Rule XXII of the Rules Governing Clubs.

Second: -- If, however, the Executive Committee should decide that the New York Trial Board had jurisdiction to act in the case and that they did act within their province, I respectfully submit that the Executive Committee should sustain my appeal on the grounds that form a fair interpretation of the evidence before the New York Trial Board, the conclusions of that Board were not justified.

(1) As I have before mentioned it seems to me that the Suffolk County Kennel Club should be responsible in the first instances of my duty to that club and not to the American Kennel Club or the public at large.

(2) I would further suggest that the Ladies Dog Club of Mass, have not been injured and could not have been injured by the alleged misconduct and that if any one was injured it was my employer, the Suffolk County Kennel Club, therefore the Ladies’ Dog Club of Mass. Should not have been the complainants.

(3) It appeared in evidence undisputed that I, in causing the offer of these specials to be printed in the premium list, was acting upon authority given me by members of the Ladies’ Dog Club of Mass. Whom, I at the time believed had authority to act in behalf of that club; and that as soon as I was notified by the Secretary and the president of the Ladies’ Dog Club of Mass, that I had, without authority
caused these specials to be printed in the premium list; I did all in my power to prevent further injury and refrained from printing them in the catalogue. It also appeared that the premium list was published only five days before the entries closed and that there were only two dogs exhibited at the show which were eligible to compete for these specials; that no demand was ever made for the special prizes and that they were never judged and no question was ever made in reference to the matter at the time of the show, and that neither the Show Giving Club not the Ladies’ Dog Club of Mass. Has been called upon to deliver these specials.

I therefore contend that in fairness considering the undisputed evidence, the Trial Board should at most have found only an error in judgment on my part which I did the best I could to remedy at the earliest opportunity and that through my efforts no one was injured by my error, therefore the Executive Committee should, I respectfully submit, decide that my conduct did not amount to misconduct.

(4) Lastly the record itself as it appears in the Kennel Gazette discloses the fact that the New York Trial board has recommended the Board of Directors to deprive me of the privileges of the American Kennel Club and does not purport to finally decide the case itself, which I contend amounts only to a recommendation to the Board of Directors and that until the Board of Directors act upon my case, I should be considered in good standing. At least the Executive Committee should decide that my appeal should be sustained and that I am at present entitled to all the privileges of the American Kennel Club, because for the reason stated above the New York Trial Board are exceeding their authority in making recommendations to the Board of Directors until the Board of Directors have submitted a case to them for recommendation.

Third:-- It would seem as if there need be nothing more said in
reference to this case, but if contentions so far are not valid, I respectfully ask that I be given a further trial before the New York Trial Board and that my case be remanded to the New York Trial Board in order that I may introduce other evidence which I have become acquainted with since the trial and in order that I may have further opportunity to more carefully prepare and present my case than I did in the first instance.

(1) I have never been allowed to examine the charges preferred against me or the evidence introduced against me, although I have requested it.

(2) As to the newly derived evidence I shall avail myself of your courtesy by explaining that orally to the Executive Committee at the hearing.

Fourth:-- I cannot help feeling that the penalty inflicted upon me or recommended by the New York Trial Board is excessive and unreasonably severe.

(1) An examination of the rules governing clubs and the rules governing dog shows will disclose the fact that many errors and wrongs for cause far greater than the one I am accused of are punishable by small fines, the greater being $25.00.

(2) As is well known, I have already been deprived of the right to judge at one show which has deprived me of $50.00, that through the press I have been greatly injured and humiliated and probably have suffered many financial losses.

(3) As the Executive Committee well know, I derive substantially my entire livelihood from my activities connected with dog matters and that the slightest reflection upon my good name will be of incalculable injury to me.

Fifth:-- The infliction of a penalty, I submit is to prevent a
repetition of the alleged wrongful conduct and I assure the Executive Committee that what I have suffered already at the hands of the American Kennel Club had accomplished all that any penalty could accomplish.

I wish to thank the Executive Committee for its courtesy in allowing me to submit this brief and to appear before it.

FRANK F. DOLE

Mr. Collins, Chairman of the New York Trial Board, was in attendance upon the request of the Secretary of the American Kennel Club to answer such questions as the Committee might put to him. Thereupon Messrs. Dole and Collins withdrew and the Committee considered the case and arrived at the following conclusion:----

RESOLVED that the appeal of Frank Dole be not sustained;
RESOLVED that the privileges of the American Kennel Club be restored to the said Frank F. Dole on and after May 13, 1917.

The Secretary presented a complaint from the President of the New Haven Kennel Club against Frank F. Dole. It was resolved that the same be referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board with the suggestion that the principle matter to be investigated was the failure of Mr. Dole to supply the said New Haven Kennel Club with a report giving the names and addresses of such persons or parties from whom he had received entry fees and such other matters as might be necessary to complete the records of said New Haven Kennel Club.

Mr. M. M. Palmer through his attorneys, Messrs. Bloomthal and Levy, 233 Broadway, NYC, filed a notification in support of his protest against the Kennel Name “Delaware Valley Farms” granted to one John L. Kuser and claiming that it conflicted with the kennel name Valley Farms owned by the said M. M. Palmer, notifying us that unless
the kennel name Delaware Valley Farms be cancelled said firm would take such legal proceedings as they may deem it advisable to enforce their client’s rights. The matter was referred to our Legal Committee for its opinion.

On motion the Secretary was directed not to publish the minutes of this meeting in the Gazette.

Adjourned.
President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the chair.

Present:

Associate Delegates: A. G. Hooley and Francis G. Lloyd
Airedale Terrier Club of America: Wm. L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club: Hollis H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club: Theodore Offerman
American Spaniel Club: R. R. Lawrence
Bulldog Club of America: Edwin L. Boger
Bull Terrier Club of America: R. D. Coombs
California Airedale Terrier Club: F. C. Neilson
Devon Dog Show Association: John Sinnott
Eastern Dog Club: George S. West
Erie Kennel Club: Ralph C. Stewart
French Bulldog Club of America: R. F. Smith
Kennel Club of Philadelphia: J. C. Barnard
Long Island Kennel Club: John F. Collins
Newark Kennel Club: John L. Whealan
New England Beagle Club: Chetwood Smith
New Foundland Club of America: Charles R. Wood
New Jersey Beagle Club: G. B. Hooley
Old English Sheepdog Club of America: Tyler Morse
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club: R. P. Keasbey
Revere Kennel Club: S. R. Cutler
Russian Wolfhound Club: Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club: Howard Willets
Seattle Kennel Club: J. E. Meads
Southampton Kennel Club: H. D. Whitfield
The Secretary read the following certificate:

Jan 31, 1917

To the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Article XVIII, Section 4 of the bylaws of the American Kennel Club, the Secretary of said club duly opened the ballots of the Associate Subscribers entitled to vote in the presence of the tellers appointed by the President of said Club, and the undersigned tellers hereby certify as follows:

Associate Subscribers entitled to vote........ 136
Number of votes cast.......................... 56
Scattering ...................................... 5
Irregular and thrown out ..................... 3

We therefore declare the following candidates, Messrs. A. G. Hooley and Francisc G. Lloyd, having secured the highest number of votes to be duly elected to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1917 and until their successors shall have been elected.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Board of Tellers
Lloyd W. Smith
S. R. Cutler
W. F. Patterson
Election of active members and delegates.
Messrs. Q. A. Shaw McKean and Edwin L. Boger appointed tellers.
A ballot being taken, the Chair announced the following Clubs elected to Active Membership:

- Arizona Kennel Club
- Canton Kennel Club
- Los Angeles Kennel Club
- Worcester Kennel Club

The following delegates were duly elected:
- Mr. S. J. Held to represent the Washington Kennel Club
- Mr. Richard R. Lawrence to represent the Boston Terrier Club
- Mr. Edson F. Starks to represent the Brunswick Foxhound Club
- Mr. Robert F. Smith to represent the French Bulldog Club of America
- Mr. A. McClure Halley to represent the Genesee Valley Kennel Club

The Nominating Committee elected at the December meeting to nominate Directors to fill vacancies reported as follows:

**Vacancies Class 1919**
- Morris Kinney
- F. G. Lloyd

**Vacancies Class 1920**
- John F. Collins

**New Class 1922**
- H. K. Bloodgood
- Chetwood Smith
- William Rauch
- J. R. Thorndike
- C. F. Neilson
- O. Carley Harriman
To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting, I have received four applications for Active Membership, and referred same to our Membership Committee, which reports the approval of the admission of the Arizona Kennel Club, Canton Kennel Club, Los Angeles Kennel Club and the Worchester Kennel Club.

I also received credentials from eight Clubs appointing delegates. The Committee approved of the following: Brunswick Foxhound Club, appointing Edson F. Starks; Genessee Valley Kennel Club, appointing A. McClure Halley; Washington Kennel Club, appointing S. J. Held; French Bulldog Club of America, appointing Robert F. Smith and the Boston Terrier Club, appointing Richard R. Lawrence.

The Committee withholds its report on three Credentials for the reason that the candidate was not known to any one member of the Committee, not was he vouch for by any person known to any member of the Committee.

The New London Kennel Club had filed its resignation as an Active Member. This club was in good standing to Dec 31, 1916 I would recommend the acceptance of its resignation.

The Committee appointed at the Dec meeting to nominate candidates for the expiring class of Directors and Standing Committees will report its nominations at this meeting.
At the meeting in May 1916, a Special Committee of three was appointed to revise and codify the bylaws and the rules, and its report was published in the Gazette of Jan 31, 1917, and can now come before the Delegates for action.

Article XXIII, Section 1 of the bylaws does not apply in this case for the reason that Delegates directed the Special Committee to do special work and present same at the following annual meeting (Feb 1917) for such action as the Delegates may deem proper.

The following clubs have been automatically dropped from Membership on accordance with the Rules: Buffalo Kennel Club, International Toy Poodle Club, Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club, California Scottish Terrier Club, National Fox Hunters Assn., Louisville Kennel Assn., Jersey City Kennel Club, Pittsburgh Collie Club, Wisconsin Kennel Club, Cleveland Fanciers Assn., and the Yorkshire Terrier Club.

Respectfully submitted

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file.

The Treasurer read his annual report as follows:

New York, Feb 16, 1917

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the results of the cash received and disbursed during the year 1916 as follows:

- Balance on hand Jan 1, 1916------------------------ $36,473.10
- Receipts from all sources during the year ------- 49,666.72
- Total --------------------------------------------- 86,139.82
- Disbursements for the year 1916---------------- $75,257.91
- Balance on hand ------------------------------- $10,881.91

The financial statement for 1916 has been completed.
showing all details. This statement will be audited by the firm of Townsend and Dix as have been done in years past. There has not been an opportunity before this meeting for the said firm to make the Secretary investigation.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The resignation of the New London Kennel Club was on motion duly accepted.

The Secretary read the list of Active Members that were automatically dropped for failure to pay their dues for 1917 as follows:

- Buffalo Kennel Club
- International Toy Poodle Club
- Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club
- California Scottish Terrier Club
- National Fox Hunters Association
- Louisville Kennel Club
- Jersey City Kennel Club
- Pittsburgh Collie Club
- Wisconsin Kennel Club
- Cleveland Fanciers Association
- Yorkshire Terrier Club

The International Toy Poodle Club tendered its dues and on motion the Secretary was instructed to accept same and reinstate the Club to good standing.

The report of the Special Committee appointed at the last May meeting to revise the bylaws and Rules Governing Clubs and Rules Governing Dog Shows reported the result of their labors, the same having been published in full in the Gazette of Jan 31, 1917.
On motion the Bylaws as recommended by the Special Committee were adopted as published.

Inasmuch as several Delegates stated that they desired to offer several minor amendments to the bylaws, it was moved and seconded that the vote on the adoption of the bylaws be reconsidered. The vote being taken, the President announced game to have been carried.

An amendment to Article VI, Section 4 to read “Law Committee consisting of three members,” an amendment to Article XVII, Section 5 to substitute Section 5 in place of Section 6, an amendment to Article XVIII, Section 3 to add the words “during the year for which their dies are paid” were carried.

A vote to adopt the bylaws as amended was unanimously adopted.

On motion the rules governing clubs and the rules governing Dog Shows were adopted as printed in the Gazette of Jan 31, 1917, subject at the May meeting. The Special Committee on rules were instructed to bring in any additional report at the said meeting with a general invitation to all persons interested to suggest changes or amendments to the Special Committee for its consideration.

On motion it was decided that the rules shall not go into effect until July 1, 1917.

The Secretary suggested that the American Kennel Club should supply the different show-giving club with printed copies of the Rules probably 50 percent of the price said by them for having same printed in their premium lists.

On motion the matter was referred to the Finance Committee with power.

A communication from Mr. M. E. Harby, the Delegate of the Pekingese Club, was on motion referred to the Special Committee on Rules.
The bylaws, Rules Governing Clubs and Rules Governing Shows as printed in the Gazette of Jan 31, 1917 and as amended by this meeting, are made part of these minutes.

On motion meeting adjourned.
INSERT BYLAWS
President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:

H. H. Hunnewell
Wm. L. Barclay
Theo. Offerman
Dr. De Mund
E. Rose Proctor
H. Willets
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
J. F. Collins
S. R. Cutler
F. G. Lloyd
C. S. West
E. L. Boger
John Sinnott
R. P. Keasbey
R. C. Stewart
C. R. Wood
J. M. Willets
Chetwood Smith
Wm. Rauch
C. F. Neilson

The Secretary read his report as follows;
To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

Letters from Senator Robinson in reference to a proposed bill affecting the tax upon dogs in the State of New York and relating to the protection of certain domestic animals and encouraging the sheep industry.

Charges made by Doctor E. house against Doctor J. O. Lacaille.

Appeal from Frank F. Dole.

A communication from the Pacific Coast Collie Club in reply to a decision of the Executive Committee at its late meeting.

A communication from Mrs. T. W. Sessinghaus informing us that the Louisville Kennel Association failed to obey the Rules in reference to the official entry form at shows which was adopted by the Delegates Sept 15, 1914 to be used by all American Kennel Club Shows on and after Jan 1, 1916.

The following excuses have been received.

Henry T. Fleitman for absence from the December meeting.


Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and ordered on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:
I beg to submit the following financial report from Jan 1, 1917 to date:

Balance on hand ----------------------------- $10,881.91
Receipts from Jan 1, 1917 to date --------   5,109.66
Total ---------------------------------------------   15,991.57
Disbursements from Jan 1, 1917 to date     2,948.33
Balance on hand -----------------------------  $13,043.24

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and ordered on file.
The meeting then went into the election for officers and Committees with the following results;

President, Hollis H. Hunnewell
First Vice President H. K. Bloodgood
Second Vice President Howard Willets
Secretary and Treasurer A. P. Vredenburgh

Finance Committee  Wm. Rauch, Chairman
H. K. Bloodgood
Howard Willets

Rules Committee  A. G. Hooley, Chairman
H. H. Hunnewell
Geo. Greer
R. P. Keasbey
Dr. De Mund

Field Trial Committee Chetwood Smith, Chairman
A. G. Hooley
Chas. W. Keyes

Membership Committee Chas. R. Wood, Chairman
H. K. Bloodgood
Howard Willets
H. T. Fleitman
Wm. Rauch
The following Trial Boards were duly elected:

New York - J. F. Collins, Chairman
   H. T. Fleitman
   Theo. Offerman

Philadelphia – W. L. Barclay, Chairman
   J. S. Price, Jr.
   R. S. Johnson, Jr.

Boston – S. R. Cutler, Chairman
   E. W. Dwight
   G. S. West

Chicago - J. C. Eastman, Chairman
   J. A. Pugh
   W. F. Grower

San Francisco – C. W. Conlisk, Chairman
   N. T. Messer
   O. F. Vedder

Western Rep – O. F. Vedder

The Secretary read the report of the Stud Book Committee as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

   The Stud Book Committee reports as follows:--

   The German Shepherd Dog Club has applied to have the breed German
Sheepdog recognized by the American Kennel Club under the name of German
Shepherd Dog. The club asks this change of name for the following reasons: --
1. That the American Kennel Club admitted to membership the Specialty Club for that
breed under the name of German Shepherd Dog Club.
2. That the breed in Germany is called Deutscher Shaferhunde, the proper translation of which is German Shepherd Dog.

3. That a different breed in Germany is known as the Sheep dog, so that Germany has both Shepherd dogs and Sheep dogs.

A number of years ago application was made to the Stud Book Committee for the recognition of the breed German Sheepdog in the list of foreign dogs. The authority on Foreign dogs recognized by the Rules Committee in Rule V, Section H, of the Rules Governing Shows, is Count Henry de Bylandt’s book “Dogs of all Nations.” It has been the custom of the Stud Book Committee to consult this book before recognizing a breed of foreign dogs. This book refers to the breed in question as Deutscher Shaferhunde or German Sheepdog. The Count de Bylandt clearly does not attempt to make the English name of this breed a literal translation of the German name Deutscher Shaferhunde. In countries where the English language is spoken, the name for a dog that herds sheep is sheep dog. For instance we have the Shetland Sheepdog, the Old English Sheepdog, the Sheepdog of the Marennes, the Russian Sheepdog, the Welsh Sheepdog, etc.

This breed was originally recognized by the Stud Book Committee under the name given to it by the Count de Bylandt in “Dogs of all Nations.”

In regard to the point that Germany has both Shepherd Dogs and Sheep dogs, your Committee fail to find any mention of a German Shepherd Dogs in dogs of all national and therefore if any breed of sheepdog or shepherd dog exists differing from the breed in question, such breed under the rules could not compete in a miscellaneous class and would not be recognized by the Stud Book Committee and therefore could cause no confusion in the Stud Book.

When the German Shepherd Dog Club applied to the American Kennel Club for membership, the breed in which they were interested has
already been recognized under the name of German Sheepdog. As to whether admission to membership should have been asked for by this club under a name differing from that of the breed which they expected to represent, is not for the Stud Book Committee to determine.

As this matter has been referred three times to the Stud Book Committee your Committee has made an effort to fully set forth the reasons for the previous reports on this subject; further the Committee disclaims any wish to run counter to the wishes of the breeders and exhibitors of the German Dog, but as long as the Count de Bylandt book remains the authority on foreign dogs, recognized by the American Kennel Club, your Committee does not see its way to recommend the change asked for. Further as this breed has appeared in the Stud Book for many years under the name of German Sheepdog, a change of name in the future would create some confusion and in the opinion of your Committee would not be for the best interests of the Stud Book.

In the matter of the registration of the Maltese Terrier “Snowball of Esperance” protested by the English Kennel Club as violation the Reciprocity Agreement, your Committee reports that this dog is a Champion of Record and has received this Championship Certificate and under the rules his name cannot be changed. The question of the protest appears to turn on the proper interpretation of the Reciprocity Agreement.

In case the wording is not clear, the Stud Book Committee cannot be sure of the proper interpretation, but will confirm the substance of the contents of the Stud Book as far as they are able to any ruling on the question furnished to them by the American Kennel Club.

W. L. Barclay (Chairman)
C. R. Wood
Theo. Offerman

On motion the report was accepted and adopted.
In the matter of the Maltese Terrier “Snowball of Esperance” protested by the English Kennel Club for the reason that “Esperance” is a registered kennel name in England, the Secretary was directed to communicate with the English Kennel Club and ask if they will be willing for this registration to remain in force for the reason that the dog has won its championship and has received its Championship certificate and medal; if the English Kennel Club still objects that the Stud Book Committee shall direct the owner of said dog to have the name changed and to be re-registered under its new name, the owner to return the Championship certificate and medal upon receipt of which a new Championship certificate and medal shall be issued under the new name.

On motion same was carried.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cause a card index made of all English Kennel Names and same to be consulted so as to prevent the use in any manner or connection with the name of a registered dog.

Mr. Wm. L. Barclay resigned as Chairman of the Law Committee and W. Ross Proctor was elected Chairman in his place.

On motion the above resignations and elections were made to appear as the original election.

On motion a vote of thanks was tendered to Mr. Wm. L. Barclay for his long and able services on the Executive Committee, his retirement form which is owing to the change of the Chairmanship of the Stud Book Committee, with sincere regrets in the loss of his services as a member of said Executive Committee.

In the matter of the protest of M. M. Palmer against the registration fo the kennel name Delaware Valley Farms. The Law Committee to which this matter was referred at the December meeting, reported
that the matter be referred to the Executive Committee for final action with the request that said Committee will hear the parties and render a final decision, provided however that both M. M. Palmer and John L. Kuser will each stipulate to abide by the decision of the Executive Committee in the matter.

On motion the Board of Directors granted authority to the Executive Committee to rescind the action of the Board of Directors at the Dec, 1916, meeting approving the report of the Stud Book Committee in relation to the Palmer protest.

The Stud Book Committee made a verbal report recommending that bitches having been bred in foreign countries and whelp in the United States, the puppies from such breeding shall be eligible to registration provided their Sire and Dam are eligible to registration by three complete generations of pedigree. Report accepted and adopted.

A proposed bill in the Senate on the taxation of dogs and kennels was referred to the law Committee with full power to send a representative to Albany to appear and argue against said bill, the expenses in the matter to be borne by the American Kennel Club.

Charges preferred by Doctor E. House against Doctor J. C. Lacaillade were referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation.

In the matter of the appeal of Frank F. Dole for reinstatement it was on motion referred to the Executive Committee.

The complaint against the Louisville Kennel Club for its failure to use the official entry forms at its show was referred to the Rule Committee.

A communication from the Pacific Coast Collie Club was referred to the Executive Committee.

The excuses of Henry T. Fleitman for absence from the December meeting and from Messrs. Morris Kinney, W. P. Wolcott,
Alfred B. Maclay, J. A. Buchanan from the present meeting were on motion accepted.
On motion the meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell presiding

Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
R. C. Stewart
R. P. Keasbey
Chas. R. Wood
Dr. De Mund
W. Ross Proctor

The Chairman of the law Committee made a full report on the subject of the proposed bill re taxation of dogs and kennels, and on motion, duly seconded, it was

RESOLVED: That it is the sense of this Committee that Senate Bull No. 233, and the same Bill, as amended in the Senate, No. 223458 is excessively drastic, unjust and discriminatory in its provisions, and should not be passed in its present form; and that the Chairman of the Legal Committee be, and hereby is instructed, to take such steps as, in his discretion, may be necessary to good standing.

Frank F. Dole appealed for reinstatement to good standing, accompanying same with a fee of $5.00. Mr. Dole appeared in his own behalf.

On motion the appeal was denied. The Secretary was instructed to return the fee of $5.00 to the said Dole and the original action of this Board by which all privileges of the American Kennel Club will be
restored on May 13, 1917 was confirmed.

On motion, duly seconded, it was

RESOLVED: that it is the sense of this Committee that it is prejudicial and against the best interests of the American Kennel Club for any member of the Board of Directors or of Committees or of Trial Board or Territorial Reps of the American Kennel Club to hold a brief or appear as counsel for any person in connection with charges with or under investigation before the American Kennel Club.

It was further

RESOLVED: that a copy of the above resolutions be sent to all Directors, Committee, Trial Boards, and Reps of the American Kennel Club.

On motion the Secretary was directed to publish the findings and recommendations of the New York Trial Board in the cases of H. W. Jackson vs. French Bulldog Club of New England, and J. O. Lacaillade vs. Messrs. Littig et. al., of the French Bulldog Club of New England.

A request from Charles E. Townsend for a copy of certain papers submitted by James M. Lacaillade to the New York Trial Board be furnished to the French Bulldog Club of New England was denied.

The annual dues form the Pittsburgh Collie Club and the Yorkshire Terrier Club, having been mailed on Feb 13th, the day of our annual meeting, and received Feb 14th, were considered to come within the rule and on motion said Clubs were reinstated to good standing in the American Kennel Club.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
A REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD AT ITS OFFICES
NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK CITY, TUESDAY MARCH 20, 1917.

President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
Present
H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
A. G. Hooley
Dr. De Mund
R. C. Stewart
Wm. Rauch
R. P. Keasbey
W. Ross Proctor

The Secretary presented an appeal from Hugh W. Jackson against the decision of the New York Trial Board in the case of the cancellation of winnings of the French Bulldog “Faux Pas” at the show of the French Bulldog Club of New England, Nov 9, 1916.

On motion the Secretary was directed to instruct the New York Trial Board to retry the case on the ground that the certificate of the veterinarian dated Nov 9, 1916 placed in evidence at the original trial of the case was the only proper evidence, and that the vet’s certificate dated Nov 12, 1916 was not proper evidence under the rule. Further that the defendant had not been notified to appear before the New York Trial Board when the case was before it for investigation. (The Secretary was directed to advise the New York Trial Board that it is the sense of the Executive Committee that lameness implies unsoundness, but unsoundness does not only lameness, and that the evidence before the Executive Committee did not show lameness at the time of the show.)
Doctor O. Schuurman and Miss Glen Wood, charges against Mr. N. J. Bernhard, 724 Jefferson St., Buffalo, NY for misconduct in connection with dogs. This case was referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

Mr. R. L. Bettie, charges against Mr. C. H. Freasier, Dallas, TX for misconduct in connection with dogs. This case was referred to the San Francisco Trial Board for investigation.

It was moved and carried that the publication of all decisions rendered by the several Trial Board and of the different meetings of the American Kennel Club and its Committees be left to the discretion of the Publication Committee to edit same before such proceedings are published in the American Kennel Gazette.

In the case of Doctor E. House against Doctor J. O. Lacaillade, which had been referred to the Boston Trial Board, President Hunnewell, pursuant to authority given him by the rules, did appoint Mr. T. Dickson Smith as a substitute for Mr. Samuel R. Cutler, Chairman of the Boston Trial Board for the reason that Mr. Cutler had acted as counsel for Doctor Lacaillade and was therefore disqualified from participating in the investigation of the case.

The Louisville Kennel Association was automatically dropped from membership on the day of the annual meeting of the American Kennel Club, Feb 13, 1917, for its failure to pay its dies for the current year. These dies were received by the Secretary on Feb 24, 1917 with the explanation that there had been a change in the office of Secretary of said club and that the bill for the dues mailed from this office on Dec 1st had never been received by the Secretary in office.

On motion the said dues were accepted and the Louisville Kennel Club reinstated to membership.
This Committee insists that the Secretaries of clubs, active members of the American Kennel Club, must keep the American Kennel Club promptly notified of all changes of officers of such clubs.

An application from the Spokane Kennel Club for the return of its date deposit and Secretary’s deposit upon the ground of the inability of said club to hold a show during the year of 1917 owing to the prevalence of rabies throughout its section of the country was granted.

The Law Committee reported progress in the case of M. M. Palmer against John L. Kuser Re protest against the granting and recording of the kennel name Delaware Valley Farms, endorsing the action of the Stud Book Committee refusing to cancel said kennel name.

It was moved and carried that the report of the Law Committee be received and its recommendation adopted.

The Law Committee reported progress in the matter of the proposed Wickes Bill in the New York State Legislature on the subject of the taxation of dogs.

The License Committee presented application forms to be filed by applicants to be licensed as judges and superintendents to take effect July 1, 1917. This Committee suggested several changes in said forms and on motion duly carried, the forms were approved as corrected.

The Finance Com reported the certificate of Messrs. Townsend and Dix, licensed auditors, certifying to the correctness of the accounts for 1916 of secretary-treasurer.

It was moved and duly carried that the privileges of the American Kennel Club be restored to Frank F. Dole to take effect April 13, 1917. The Board expresses its regret that through an error of this office Mr. Dole’s name had been placed on the disqualified list instead of upon the list of suspended persons and the secretary was directed to so express the opinion of this com to Mr. Dole.
On motion the meeting adjourned.
President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the chair.

Present:

Associate Delegates
A. G. Hooley and Francis G. Lloyd

American Fox Terrier Club
H. H. Hunnewell

American Pomeranian Club
Theodore Offerman

American Sealyham Terrier Club
Henry T. Fleitman

American Spaniel Club
H. K. Bloodgood

Boston Terrier Club
Richard R. Lawrence

Bulldog Club of America
Edwin L. Boger

Bull Terrier Club of America
R. D. Coombs

California Airedale Terrier Club
Fred’k C. Neilson

Eastern Dog Club
George S. West

Erie Kennel Club
R. C. Stewart

French Bulldog Club of America
Robert F. Smith

Genesse Valley Kennel Club
A. McC Halley

German Shepherd Dog Club of America
C. Halsted Yates

Kennel Club of Philadelphia
J. Chandler Barnard

Ladies Kennel Association of Mass.
W. N. C. Clark

Long Island Kennel Club
John F. Collins

National Beagle Club
G. Mifflin Wharton

Newfoundland Club of America
Charles R. Wood

Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club
R. P. Keasbey

Philadelphia Bulldog Club
Joseph A. Buchanan

Russian Wolfhound Club
John E. DeMund

Seattle Kennel Club
James E. Meade

Spaniel Breeders Society
J. Macy Willets

Toy Dog Club of New England
W. F. Kinder
The secretary read his report as follows:

New York May 14, 1917

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to advise you that since our last meeting, I have received three applications for Active Membership, and eleven Credentials for Delegates, all of which have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

At the annual meeting held Feb 13, 1917 the matters of adopting the Rules Governing Clubs, and Rules Governing Dog Shows were adopted as printed in the Gazette of Jan 31, 1917, subject however to any change that may be suggested by the Special Committee at the May meeting. The Special Committee recommends one change in the Rules Governing Clubs, one change in the Rules Governing Dog Shows, and one change in the Rules Governing Field Trials and Field Championship, which will be submitted at this meeting for your consideration.

Mr. J. R. Thorndike had filed his resignation as Delegate to represent the Irish Terrier Club of America. The Credentials of Mr. John G. Bates as his successor will be reported upon by the Membership Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The meeting went into an election for Active Members and the
acceptance of Delegates’ Credentials.

The Chair appointed Messrs. J. Macy Willets and F. C. Neilson as Tellers. A ballot being taken the Chair announced the Oakland and Alameda County Kennel Club and the Pacific Coast Pekingese Club being duly elected to Active Membership.

The following Delegates were duly elected.

Francis G. Taylor to represent the English Setter Club of America.
Henry M. McAdoo to represent the Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club.
C. Halsted Yates to represent the German Shepherd Dog Club of America.
John G. Bates to represent the Irish Terrier Club of America.
G. Mifflin Wharton to represent the National Beagle Club.
John E. Horsfield to represent the New Bedford District Kennel Club.
Morris G. Adler to represent the Queen City Kennel Club.
Henry Bixby to represent the Scottish Terrier Club of America.

The Membership Committee reported that the applications of the Interstate Collie Club for Active Membership was held up temporarily for further investigation and that the Credentials from the Cincinnati Kennel Club and the Chow Chow Club of America were likewise held up for further investigation. The Credentials from the French Bulldog Club of New England were not approved.

On motion the report was accepted.

The report of the Special Committee on Rules was presented and the amendments to the Rules Governing Clubs, the Rules Governing Dog Shows and the Rules Governing Field Trials were adopted.

On motion of Ralph C. Stewart and bylaws as adopted at the Jan meeting and the amended rules for Clubs, Dogs Shows and Field Trials were unanimously adopted as a whole.
The resignation of Mr. J. R. Thorndike as the Delegate representing the Irish Terrier Club of America was read and duly accepted.

The License Committee reported that the ratings for the different breeds at future shows have not as yet been adopted as final and that the Committee gives notice that it will received suggestions on the subject at any time before June 1, 1917.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES. TUESDAY, MAY 15, 1917

President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following Directors were present:

H. K. Bloodgood
Wm. Rauche
F. C. Neilson
H. H. Hunnewell
Theo. Offerman
Henry T. Fleitman
John E. DeMund
George Greer
A. G. Hooley
John F. Collins
Francis G. Lloyd
George S. West
E. L. Boger
Roland P. Keasbey
R. C. Stewart
Chas. R. Wood
Joseph A. Buchanan
J. Macy Willets

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, May 14, 1917

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present communications from the Pointer Club of America, The English Setter Club of America and the Irish Setter
Club of America, that they have abandoned their shows on account of distemper in the kennels of Mr. Chase, at whose place the shows were to be held, and ask for the return of their deposits.

The Memphis Kennel Club and the Southampton Kennel Club notified us that on account of the United States being at war, that they have abandoned their proposed shows.

The Houston Dog Fanciers Club notified us of the suspension by it of Messrs. C. F. Ireland and R. B. McAshen for removing their dogs from its show without permission. I notified these persons of said suspension, and gave them an opportunity to show cause why it should be removed. I have only received one acknowledgement of such notice which I will submit to you.

Mr. J. T. Halpin has preferred charges against Dr J. O. Lacaillade for misconduct in connection with dogs, and I would request the same to be referred to such Trial Board as you may determine.

I am in receipt of a communication from President Hunnewell in the matter of filling such vacancies that may occur in our Board of Directors.


Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDBENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and ordered on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:
New York, May 15, 1917

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following financial report form Jan 1, 1917 to date:

Balance reported on hand Jan 1 1917 ---------------- $10,881.91
Adjustment --------------------------------- 9.80
Corrected Balance ------------------------------- 10,872.11
Receipts from Jan 1, 1917 to date ----------- 13,585.05
Total -------------------------------------- 24,457.16
Disbursements from Jan 1st to date -------- 9,850.80
Balance on hand ------------------------------- $14,606.36

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH  
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted and ordered on file.

The meeting went into an election for Directors to fill vacancies in the Board.

The Chair appointed Messrs. J. Macy Willets and F. C. Neilson as Tellers. Ballots
being taken, the Chair announced that Q. A. Shaw McKean was elected for one year in
the place of Chas. W. Keyes in the Class of 1919; John G. Bates in the place of J. R.
Thorndike in the class of 1922.

The Law Committee presented the following report:

May 15, 1917

I beg to submit herewith a brief report of the work I have done in connection with
the bull introduced by Mr. Wicks, being “an act to amend the agricultural law relative to
dogs and protection of domestic animals therefrom.”
The bill as originally introduced was excessively drastic in many of its provisions, which I have fortunately succeeded in having amended to a great extent. The new bill puts all of the provisions relating to the licensing of dogs and the protection of domestic animals under the Agricultural Law instead of under the General Municipal Law, which is a wise provision as it puts administration of the law under a central State Department instead of in the hands of a great number of local authorities and petty politicians.

The license fees have been reduced to two dollars for dogs, and three dollars for bitches, and a special kennel license has been provided at twenty dollars or such sum not in excess of twenty dollars as shall be equivalent to two dollars for each dog in the kennel. There will be an additional fee of twenty-five cents for such dog so licensed for a license tag to be worn by said licensed dog.

In the matter of kennel licenses, I have been able to have stricken from the original bill the words, “constantly confined” as applied to the inmates of a kennel, which is more fully set forth on Page 4, Paragraph 133.

I have been able to have incorporated an exemption from the provisions of this act of dogs “confined to the premises of incorporated societies devoted to the care of hospital treatment of lost, strayed or stolen animals, or confined to the premises of public or private hospitals devoted to the treatment of sick or diseased animals.” I have been able to exempt “dogs brought to any village, town or city and entered for exhibition to any dog show if confined or in immediate [illegible].

I have not been able to prevent the killing of dogs under certain circumstances, but I have had the provisions so amended as to be somewhat more reasonable than the original bill intended. I had endeavored to limit the killing of a dog attacking domestic animals to
the owner of the animals attacked, in which I failed. On the other hand, the bill does not compensate the killer of a dog, and said killer of a dog is compelled to report the facts under a penalty of ten dollars for failure so to do.

I think that the bill in its present form is as reasonable as it is possible to expect the present Legislature to pass. There has been brought the strongest pressure possible by the up-state farming interests for the protection of sheep, the breeding of which has been seriously interfered with by the predatory dog, and in view of the present condition of the food situation in this country today, they had every advantage in forwarding their interests, and I feel that as a whole we have accomplished a great deal.

I enclose herewith a printed copy of the bill as now before the Governor for his signature, together with a brief digest of the law, covering the essential points in which we were interested, and I will forward you all the correspondence in the matter in the course of a few days.

Respectfully submitted,
Wm. Ross Proctor
Chairman of the law Committee

It was moved and seconded that a vote of thanks be extended to the Committee for the time and trouble they have taken in this matter.

Motion carried.

A communication from George F. Foley stating that the English Setter Club of America, the Irish Setter Club of America and the Pointer Club of America had abandoned their proposed shows on account of distemper in the kennels of E. B. Chase at whose place the shows were to be held, and asked for the return of their date deposits. On motion the secretary was directed to inform Mr. Foley that the
shows of said Clubs could be postponed and that the date deposits will be held by the American Kennel Club as covering a claim for dates for future shows.

A communication from the Memphis Kennel Club and the Southampton Kennel Club, that they would not hold their shows on account of the war situation, was presented and it was moved and seconded that their date deposits will be held by the American Kennel Club subject to a claim for shows at any time during the calendar year. In case such shows are not held within the time specified, the deposits will be forfeited.

The Houston Dog Fanciers Association suspended Messrs. C. F. Ireland and R. B. McAshben for removing their dogs from its show without permission.

On motion the action of the Houston Dog Fanciers Association was confirmed and the suspension made permanent.

Charges were preferred by J. T. Halpin against J. O. Lacaillade which, on motion, were referred to the Executive Committee.

On motion the secretary was directed to publish in the Gazette that any Director who enlists for the war should notify the secretary and that that will be considered as a valid excuse whenever he may be absent from a meeting of the Board.

On motion the application of the Pekingese Club to grant winners classes divided by weight was disapproved upon the recommendation of the License Committee.

Excuses from Directors Morris Kinney, Wm. L. Barclay, W. P. Wolcott, Wm. Ross Proctor, Samuel R. Cutler, Chetwood Smith, Howard Willets and John Sinnott were on motion accepted.

On motion meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:

H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Chas. R. Wood
A. G. Hooley
John E. DeMund
R. C. Stewart
Wm. Rauche
R. P. Keasbey

The secretary read his report as follows:

New York, May 14, 1917

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report from the Boston Trial Board, the Philadelphia Trial Board, and the New York Trial Board on the matters that were referred to them by the American Kennel Club.

I also beg to present the report of the Law Committee on the matter referred to it of Palmer vs. American Kennel Club.

The matter of the protest of Mrs. Horowitz in the registration of the Maltese “Snowball of Esperance” which was referred to the English Kennel Club has been amicably settled, and the letter from E. W. Jaquet, Esq., secretary of the English Kennel Club, will be read for your information.

The Philadelphia Trial Board report on the case submitted to it referring to the late Field Trials of the Eastern Beagle Club, and said report was confirmed by the Executive Committee.

I have a communication from the President of the Eastern
Beagle Club asking for an explanation which I acknowledged. I will read the correspondence for your information.

I beg to quote Article II, Section 2 of the bylaws:

“It shall have general supervision of the standing Committees of the Club, and if it shall appear at any meeting of the Committee that any Standing Committee, through inability to obtain a quorum or for any other reason, has failed to hold a meeting or has neglected to perform the duties imposed by these bylaws on such Committee, then in any such event the Executive Committee shall be, for the time being, vested with and may exercise all the powers of and act as such delinquent standing Committees, and any action of the Executive Committee so taken shall have the same force and effect as if taken by the standing Committee whose function it is exercising.”

In this connection, I am forced to report that we have in hand eighteen applications for kennel names received during the month of March, and fourteen applications for kennel names received during the month of April. For some cause, the Stud Book Committee has failed to act upon these applications. According to our Reciprocity Agreement with England, we agree to pass on all applications for kennel names on or after the 29th of each month.

We have now thirty-two applications that have not been acted upon. It is only justice to such persons as apply and pay for kennel names that they have prompt services. It has placed this office in an embarrassing position by promising from time to time that we would promptly advise them of the action of the Stud Book Committee, which owing to the failure of the Stud Book Committee to act, we were obliged to break our promise.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion same was accepted and ordered on file.

The case of Halpin vs. Lacaillade, which was on motion referred to this Committee by the Directors, was, on motion, referred to the
Boston Trial Board to investigate and to report its recommendation. Mr. T. Dickerson Smith is appointed a temporary member of said Boston Trial Board in place of Samuel R. Cutler.

Carried.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the case of Doctor E. House against Dr J. O. Lacaille was presented and is as follows:

“In this case the complainant claims that he bought a French Bulldog named D’Anglemont Frisco from the defendant but that he never received said dog.

Your Board heard the case on Wed. April 11, 1917, at which time the defendant was present in person and the complainant was represented by one Charles E. Townsend. The defendant admitted that he had sold the dog in question to the complainant and also admitted that he had not delivered the said dog, but said in explanation that no money had changed hands. He also claimed that he had received the complainant’s money the day after he had sold the dog to one Julius M. Fangman. At the request of the defendant your Board agreed to receive additional written evidence if received within one week, but although the complainant did submit said evidence the defendant did not.

Your board finds from the evidence submitted, that the defendant received the money from the complainant on or before Sept 18, 1916 and that the dog in question was sold to the said Fangman on Oct 3rd or 4th, 1916.

Your Board, therefore, finds from the written evidence submitted, which is attached hereto, and from the testimony presented at the hearing, that the defendant had acted in a manner which is prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club and was guilty of misconduct in connection with dogs.
Your Board, therefore, recommends that the defendant be disqualified.

George S. West
Edwin W. Dwight
T. D. Smith

Boston Trial Board

On motion the recommendation of the Boston Trial Board was confirmed and Lacaillade was deprived of all privileges of the American Kennel Club.

On motion all papers in the above case are to be held by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club as the property of said Club.

The New York Trial Board presented its report in the case of Dr P. S. Schuurman and Miss Glenn Wood against Mr E. J. Bernhard which is as follows:

New York, May 3, 1917

To the Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

At a regular meeting of the New York Trial Board held on the above date all members being present, the charges preferred by Dr P. Schuurman and Miss Glen Wood of Chicago, Ills, against Mr. E. J. Bernhard of Buffalo, NY were considered.

This case is simply a dispute between Dr Schuurman and Miss Wood and Mr. Bernhard as to whether the amount paid by Schuurman and Wood for a Boston Terrier purchased by them from Bernhard was more than what the dog was worth, and whether the vendor’s description of the dog was true. Also, whether the dog’s tail was out. A number of veterinarians’ certificates are submitted by both sides as to this latter question.

It was the unanimous opinion of this Board that this case is practically of the same kind as the case of Kahn against the New York Dog Exchange, which was referred to this Board early in 1916, and
which we decided was purely a monetary transaction in which fraud was not strongly evident; and therefore, under Article XIX, Section 4 of jurisdiction of the American Kennel Club, but one which should be tried in a Civil Court.

Before we decided this latter case, we consulted the Executive Committee which Committee concurred in this opinion: If the American Kennel Club should undertake to settle such cases, it would mean that this Club would act simply as referee in dog transactions to determine the values of the animals in question, which work we do not think the club should undertake.

We therefore refuse to render a decision in the case of Dr P. Schuurman and Miss Glenn Wood, against Mr. E. J. Bernhard, and authorize the secretary of the American Kennel Club to return the amount of the deposit to the plaintiffs.

John F. Collins – chairman
Henry T. Fleitman
Theodore Offerman

On motion the above report was approved.

The New York Trial Board reported upon the matter of the disqualification of the French Bulldog Faux Pas which was sent to it for a re-trial, which is as follows:

New York, April 7, 1917

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

At a regular meeting of the New York Trial Board held on the above date, all members being present, the case of the disqualification of the French Bulldog Faux Pas was again considered. This dog was disqualified at the last show of the French Bulldog Club of New England by that club. This disqualifying decision was appealed
from by the owner of the dog Mr H. W. Jackson, to the American Kennel Club, which appeal was not sustained by this Board, Mr. Jackson against appealed from the latter decision to the Executive Committee with the result that the Executive Committee decided that there was sufficient grounds for a retrial and referred the case back to the New York Trial Board for that purpose.

This Board unanimously believes that its original decision was correct. The two points on which the Executive Committee deems sufficient for a retrial are as follows:

1st. That the certificate rendered by the Veterinarian Ryan on Nov 9th did not give proper cause for disqualification, and that the subsequent certificate of the said Ryan dated Nov 12th was not proper evidence.

This Board’s unanimous opinion as to this matter was that the first certificate was made in the show ring under great excitement; that it was written hurriedly so that the judging of the dogs could continue and so that the com could act at once and give its decision. We therefore did consider the other certificate, the one dated Nov 12th as being a suppletive and properly typewritten and prepared certificate for record. In this second certificate, which Dr Ryan subsequently swore to, he states specifically that the dog was lame. This certificate was undoubtedly made only as the result of his examination of this dog in the ring and in the case of the protest the same as the one issued by him on Nov 9th, he gives in his supplemental certificate quite sufficient grounds under the rules for disqualification.

It is the opinion of this Board that the intent of the rule was fully carried out by the veterinarian as we are satisfied that, he, when he examined the dog and also when he prepared either or both of
his certificate, believes that the dog was unfit for competition and the rule distinctly stated that he shall be the sole judge of the dog’s eligibility to compete under this rule. We also believe that the omission of one or two words from a certificate (made as we before claim) under such exciting and peculiar conditions and which was later rectified is too insignificant a technicality to be considered.

2nd. That the defendant (meaning in this case the appellant Jackson) was not notified to appear before this Board.

As we decided this case purely under the rule which states that the veterinarian shall decide such protests and as we were satisfied that the rule had been properly complied with, there was in our opinion absolutely no necessity for having Mr. Jackson appear before this board as any evidence or information which he might furnish us with would not have affected our decision in any way whatsoever.

However, in dealing with this case now, we are simply acting under instructions received from the Executive Committee written by the secretary under date of March 22 which were as follows:

March 22, 1917

Mr. J. F. Collins, Chairman New York Trial Board
3 East 28th Street, NYC

Dear Sir:

I beg to advise you that Mr. High W. Jackson appealed from the decision of the New York Trial Board in its decision in the Faux Pas case and same came up for action before the Executive Committee at its meeting held on the 20th instant. I was directed to instruct the New York Trial Board to retry the case on the ground that the certificate of the veterinarians dated Nov 9, 1916, placed in evidence at the original trial of the case was the only proper evidence and the veterinarian’s certificate dated Nov 9, 1916, was not proper evidence under the rule. Further, that the defendant has not been notified to appear before the New York Trial Board when the case was before it for investigation.

I was therefore directed to advise the New York Trial Board that it is the sense of the Executive Committee that “lameness implies unsoundness, but unsoundness does not imply lameness.” Further that the evidence before the Executive Committee did not show lameness at
the time of the show.

Yours truly,
American Kennel Club
A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

We therefore accordingly decide that the certificate of the veterinarian given in this case did not state sufficient grounds for disqualifying this dog, that the decision of the Committee of the French Bulldog Club of New England is hereby authorized to return to the appellant, Jackson, the amount of the deposit made by him when he filed his original appeal with our club.

RESOLVED that it is the sense and the desire of this Board that this decision shall be published in full in the American Kennel Gazette.

JOHN F. COLLINS, Chairman
Henry T. Fleitman
Theodore Offerman

On motion the recommendation of the New York Trial Board in the above case was confirmed and the winnings of the French Bulldog Faux Pas at the late show of the French Bulldog Club of New England were ordered to be restored.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the disputed pedigree of the Boston Terrier Queenie Love No. 211414, which was referred to it by the Stud Book Committee of the American Kennel Club is as follows:

Boston, Mass., April 5, 1917

The Boston Trial Board to whom was referred for investigation the disputed pedigree of the Boston Terrier Bitch Queenie Love
AKC 211414, begs leave to report that it has carried on as extensive an investigation as
the nature of the case would permit. Two hearings were held by the Board, to wit:-- On
Sat., Jan 27th and March 9, 1917, at which times the evidence of the owner of the Bitch
in question presented his evidence by letter marked Exhibit A and made a part of this
report. Also the oral evidence of the complainant and her husband and other
corroborating witnesses were heard by the Board. Further the Board listened to the oral
testimony of Mr. Maguire of whom the present owner claims to have bought Queenie
Love and the testimony of George Emerson of #12 Causeway St., Boston, the owner of
Emerson’s Chim, the reputed Sire of Queenie Love.

The Board has also carried on some independent investigation with a view of
ascertaining the truth of the testimony of the foregoing witnesses and has carefully
considered the whole subject matter under consideration, and its findings of facts are as
follows:

Brownell’s Nellie, the reputed dam of Queenie Love had a litter of six pups in the
summer of 1915, sired by a dog owned by the complainant, Mrs. Brownell, but we find
that the description of Queenie Love does not correspond with any one of these puppies.
The Board finds that Brownell’s Nellie was never served by Emerson’s Chum and the
neither the owner of Brownell’s Nellie or her husband know that there was such a dog as
Emerson’s Chum in existence up to the time of the hearing before the Board.

The Board is unable to ascertain any clue to the breeding of Queenie Love, but it
is of the opinion that Emerson’s Chum is not her sire and Brownell’s Nellie is not her
dam; it therefore would recommend the cancellation of the registration of Queenie Love.

Samuel Carter, Chairman
Edwin E. Dwight
George S. West
The report of the Law Committee in the Palmer protest was motion received and ordered on file.

A letter from Oscar D. Ridings, asking for an explanation of the report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the case of the Eastern Beagle Club, was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board with the request that it would give the American Kennel Club a full explanation of the case for its information, to enable the secretary to send a suitable reply to Mr. Ridings.

Referring to the protest of Mrs. G. Horowitz against the use of her Kennel Name Esperance in the registration of the Maltese Snowball of Esperance, E. W. Jaquet, the secretary of the English Kennel Club, notifies us that said Maltese Snowball of Esperance registration should stand as registered. This case was acted upon at a previous meeting of the Executive Committee referring the case to the English Kennel Club, and as the matter has not been amicably settle the matter is closed.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
Vice President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Delegates A. G. Hooley
Airedale Terrier Club of America Wm. L. Barclay
American Pomeranian Club Theodore Offerman
Bull Terrier Club of America R. D. Coombs
California Airedale Terrier Club Fred’k C. Neilson
Dachshund Club of American Kennel Club G. Muss-Arnolt
Devon Dog Show Association John Sinnott
Genesse Valley Kennel Club A. McClure Halley
German Shepherd Dog Club of America C. Halsted Yates
Great Dane Club of America Mark B. Mingay
Greyhound Club of American Kennel Club Joseph Z. Batten
Kennel Club of Philadelphia J. Chandler Barnard
Long Island Kennel Club John F. Collins
Louisville Kennel Association Dr J. W. Vaugh
Maltese Club Dr E. H. Berendsohn
Newfoundland Club of America Charles R. Wood
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club Roland P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club John E. DeMund
San Mateo Kennel Club Howard Willets
Scottish Terrier Club of America Henry Bixby
Spaniel Breeders Society J. Macy Willets

The minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the May Gazette.
On motion the representative of the Field and Fancy Publishing Co. was permitted to attend this meeting.

The secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Sept 18, 1917

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting we have received three application for active membership. The Western Reserve Kennel Club Company being duly approved by the Membership Committee. The Cairn Terrier Club of America and the Inter-State Collie Club applications withdrawn.

The following clubs have sent credentials for Delegates, the same being duly approved by the Membership Committee;

Atlanta Kennel Club appointing James Gardner Rossman
Chow Chow Club appointing E. C. Waller
Great Dane Club of America appointing Mark B. Mingay.
Kennel Club of Atlantic City appointing J. Bailey Wilson.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

The election of members and acceptance of Delegates approved by the Membership Committee were then taken up.

The Chair appointed Messrs. Theodore Offerman and Frederick C. Neilson as Tellers.

A ballot being taken, the Chair announced the election of the Western Reserve Kennel Club Company as an Active member and the following delegates were duly elected and said election announced by the Chair:

James Gardner Rossman to represent the Atlanta Kennel Club
E. C. Waller to represent the Chow Chow Club
Mark B. Mingay to represent the Great Dane Club of America.
J. Bailey Wilson to represent the Kennel Club of Atlantic City.

There being no further business before the meeting, on motion the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
Vice-President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
F. C. Neilson
Wm. L Barclay
Theo. Offerman
John E. DeMund
Howard Willets
A. G. Hooley
John F. Collins
George S. West
John Sinnott
Roland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Chas. R. Wood
J. Macy Willets

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were adopted as published in the Gazette of May, 1917.

The secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Sept 18, 1917

To the Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report the resignation of O. Carley Harriman as a member of the License Committee. This vacancy should be filled at this meeting.

I present the following charges.

Murray & Maxwell vs. The Worcester Kennel Club:
Frank A. Howes vs. The Grand Rapids Kennel Club; also a decision is asked by Mr. Howes Re a Special a teh Detroit Kennel Club show, and Mrs. J. V. D. Schneyder vs. Mr. & Mrs. F. A. Sneade.

A communication from the English Kennel Club in reference to the publication of a foreign Chow Dog by the Greenacre Kennels purporting to be one of its dogs.

A notice from the English Kennel Club in the matter of the suspension by it of Mrs. Thomas W. Larsen.

A request from the Arizona Kennel Club for the return of its deposit made with its claim for its late show. Since this request came to hand, I received notice that the secretary of said club has been changed, and I therefore declined to return this deposit to the former secretary, and would ask instructions from this meeting.

A bill for disbursements by our office on June 8th last, and as this amount has been advanced by our Western Representative, I assumed the responsibility of paying same, as I deemed it unjust to withhold the check for three months. I therefore ask you to confirm my action.

The following clubs notified me that they had been obliged to abandon their shows, and ask for the return of their date deposits:

- San Angelo Kennel Club
- Grand Rapids Kennel Club
- Point Judith Kennel Club
- New York State Fair Dog Club
- Rhode Island Kennel Club

The following Directors have filed excuses for absences at the May meeting: Alfred B. Maclay and Q. A. Shaw McKean, also excuses for absence at this meeting: E. L. Boger, Wm. Rauch, Chetwood Smith,
Respectfully submitted,
A. P. VREDEMBERGH
Secretary

On motion the minutes were adopted as read.
The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, Sept 18, 1917

Cash balance on hand – Jan 1, 1917 ------------------------------- $10,872.11
Receipts from Jan 1, 1917 ------------------------------------------ 22,686.07
Total --------------------------------------------------------------- 33,558.18
Disbursements from Jan 1, 1917 --------------------------------- 22,866.64
Cash Balance on hand ------------------------------------------------ $10,691.54

Respectfully submitted
A. P. VREDEMBERGH
Secretary

On motion the Treasurer’s report was accepted and ordered on file.

Mr. O. Carley Harriman presented his resignation as a member of the License Committee. On motion same was accepted.

Mr. Frederick C. Neilson was nominated to fill the vacancy on the License Committee and was unanimously elected.

The Stud Book Committee presented its report as follows:

Sept. 18, 1917

To the Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

In regard to the question of the registration of the Airedale Terrier Polam Maxim AKC Number 213,659, the Committee have taken up this matter and have cancelled the registration under that number and have asked that the dog be re-registered. We hereby
cancel registrations of all puppies sired by said Polam Maxim registered up to the present time.

C. R. Wood
W. L. Barclay
Ralph C. Stewart

Stud Book Committee

On motion said report and its recommendation was adopted.

The case of Murray & Maxwell vs. The Worcester Kennel Club was referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation.

The case of Mrs. J. V. D. Schneyder against Mr. & Mrs. F. S. Sneade was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for investigation.

The case of Frank A. Howes against the Grand Rapids Kennel Club for the failure of said club to return his entry fees of $45.00, the show of said club having been abandoned, was referred to Dudley E. Waters, Grand Rapids, Michigan, with the request that his will attempt to obtain the refund of said $45.00. If he is unable to do so the secretary was directed to refer this case to the Chicago Trial Board for investigation.

A communication from the English Kennel Club containing a complaint from M. Scaramanga against the Greenacre Kennels was laid on the table pending the receipt of a communication from the English Kennel Club on the subject matter of said complaint.

A notice was received from the English Kennel Club that it had suspended Mrs. Thomas W. Larsen, which automatically places her on our list of persons not in good standing was read for information.

A claim by M. Joe Murphy, Superintendent of the late Arizona Kennel Club for the return of the date deposit to him personally was presented to the meeting and on motion the secretary was directed to return said date deposit to the secretary of the Arizona Kennel Club.

The payment of expenses of the Western Representative, a check
for same having been mailed by the secretary on June 8, 1917, was on motion approved.

The following clubs, having abandoned their proposed shows and asking for a return of their date deposits, was acted upon as follows:

San Angelo Kennel Club on motion the date deposit was held by the American Kennel Club pending another show in 1918.

Grand Rapids Kennel Club on motion the date deposit was held by the American Kennel Club pending another show in 1918.

Point Judith Kennel Club on motion the date deposit was held by the American Kennel Club pending another show in 1918. If however no date is applied for the year 1918 the date deposit shall be forfeited.

The New York State Fair Dog Show on motion the date deposit was held by the American Kennel Club pending another show in 1918.

The request from the New York State Fair Kennel Association to change its title to that of the New York State Fair Dog Show was on motion granted.

In reference to a protest at the late Detroit Kennel Club show for the Van Deusen Special, it was moved that Mrs. Van Deusen cannot withdraw the trophy that she published in the premium list as being offered by her and that the protest must be decided by the Dog Show Committee of the Detroit Kennel Club on its merits that after such decision the case then come before the American Kennel Club an appeal from either party in the controversy.

On motion the acceptance of the Stud Book Committee report was reconsidered and at the request of said Stud Book Committee it was
unanimously adopted as amended, which reads:

Sept 18, 1917

To the Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

In regard to the question of the registration of the Airedale Terrier Polam Mazim AKC Number 213,659, the Committee have taken up this matter and have cancelled the registration under that number and have asked that the dog be re-registered. We hereby cancel registration of all puppies sired by said Polam maxim registered up to the present time. We do also exonerate Mr. MacKenzie, the present owner, from all blame in this matter.

C. R. Wood
W. L. Barclay
Ralph C. Stewart
Stud Book Committee

The following excuses for absence at the May meeting were accepted:

Alfred B. Maclay
Q. A. Shaw McKean

The following excuses for absence at this meeting were granted:

Wm. Rauch
E. L. Boger
Chetwood Smith
W. P. Wolcott
Jos. A. Buchanan
Q. A. Shaw McKean
Francis G. Lloyd
W. Ross Proctor

On motion the Board excused for absence at its meeting Messrs. H. K. Bloodgood, John G. Bates and H. H. Hunnewell.
The secretary read a notice of the illness due to a serious operation of President H. H. Hunnewell. The secretary was directed to send a letter of sympathy with the hope that he may soon recover.

The matter of the American Kennel Club purchasing an ambulance fully equipped to be sent to the front was referred to the Finance Committee to report later.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL
CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES TUESDAY, SEPT 18, 1917.

Vice-President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Charles R. Wood
A. G. Hooley
Dr John De Mund
Ralph C. Stewart
Roland P. Keasbey

The secretary presented the finding of the Boston Trial Board in the case of
James T. Halpin vs. James O. Lacaillade. The meeting accepted the report and ordered
same on file.

From the San Francisco Trial Board: In the case of R. L. Bettis vs. C. H.
Freasier said Board, after reciting the particulars of the case at issue, rendered the
following verdict.

We order the suspension of said Freasier from all privileges of the American
Kennel Club and the same to continue in force until evidence is produced showing that
the Wire Haired Fox Terrier in question has been properly tendered and delivered to said
Bettis as originally agreed to by said Feasier, or, satisfactory proof has been furnished
the American Kennel Club showing that in case said Bettis refuses to accept said dog
said Freasier has made a faithful effort to deliver same.

The papers in this case were ordered on file.

An appeal from Frank T. Carlton for reinstatement to good standing to the
English Kennel Club and forwarded by the English Kennel Club to the American Kennel
Club was read and same was ordered
on file without further action.

The secretary reported that by and with the connect of the Finance Committee he had paid legal fees in the matter of the Wicks Bill before the New York Legislature.

Pursuant to the request of the Executive Committee at its last meeting, the Philadelphia Trial Board filed its reasons why said Board recommended that its finding in matters pertaining to the Eastern Beagle Club should be handed to the License Committee for its decision in case said Eastern Beagle Club should apply for another license.

The secretary reported that at the late show of the Kennel Club of Atlantic City held Aug 17th and 18th 1917 an unlicensed substitute judge officiated in place of the advertised judge who was unable to appear. This matter was referred to the License Committee.

In the matter of a protest by Wm. C. Thomson in the judging of unclassified specials at the late Westchester Kennel Club show, the matter had already been decided by the dog show Committee of said Westchester Kennel Club.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE DELEGATES OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD AT ITS OFFICES TUESDAY, DEC 18, 1917

Vice President H. K. Bloodgood in the Chair.

Present:
Associate Delegates       Francis G. Lloyd
American Fox Terrier Club      H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club      Theodore Offerman
American Spaniel Club      H. K. Bloodgood
Atlanta Kennel Club        James Gardner Rossman
Boston Terrier Club      Richard R. Lawrence
Bulldog Club of America     Edwin L. Boger
California Airedale Terrier Club     Fred’k C. Neilson
Chow Chow Club      E. C. Waller
Dachshund Club of American Kennel Club     G. Muss-Arnolt
Dalmatian Club of America      Alfred B. Maclay
Erie Kennel Club      Ralph C. Stewart
French Bulldog Club of America     Robert F. Smith
Genesse Valley Kennel Club  A. McClure Halley
Irish Terrier Club of America      John G. Bates
Long Island Kennel Club      John F. Collins
Maltese Club      Dr E. H. Berendsohn
National Beagle Club      G. Mifflin Wharton
New England Beagle Club     Chetwood Smith
Newfoundland Club of America     Charles R. Wood
Old English Sheepdog Club of America     Tyler Morse
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club     Roland P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club      John E. DeMund
San Mateo Kennel Club      Howard Willets
Scottish Terrier Club of America     Henry Bixby
Minutes of the last regular meeting were accepted as published.
The secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Dec 18, 1917

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the Membership Committee has approved of the following applications for active membership.

The Cairn Terrier Club of America, Hudson County Kennel Club, San Diego Kennel Club and Northern Hare Beagle Club: also credentials from the Chicago Collie Club appointing C. H. Perrin as its delegate, and from the American Sealyham Terrier Club appointing Wm. Ross Proctor Jr. as its delegate.

In accordance with Article XVII, Section II of the Bylaws, the membership Committee has nominated for Associate Delegates for the year 1918

Francis G. Lloub
G. M. Carnochan

Said Committee also proposed the following Nominating Committee to nominate Directors and Committee to fill the vacancies that will occur at the annual meeting in Feb next:

George Greer, Chairman
John Sinnott
C. F. Neilson
J. E. DeMund
Howard Willets

I have a notice from the Albany Dog Club that it must abandon its show in Dec 1917 for the reason that the Armory at which its shows are held cannot be secured and there is no other suitable place in Albany to hold a dog show. Under the circumstances the
Albany Dog Club requests the date deposit to be transferred to cover a show to be held during 1918.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

The report was accepted and ordered on file.
The election of active members and acceptance of delegates approved by the Membership Committee were then taken up.
The Chair appointed Messrs. W. Ross Proctor and Ralph C. Stewart as Tellers.
Ballots being taken the Chair announced the election of the Cairn Terrier Club of America, Hudson County Kennel Club, Northern Hare Beagle Club and the San Diego Kennel Club, also the Chair announced the acceptance of Wm. Ross Proctor Jr., as the delegate to represent the Sealyham Terrier Club and of C. H. Perrin to represent the Chicago Collie Club.
The Membership Committee on nominations reported as follows:
In accordance with Article XVII, Section III of the Bylaws the Membership Committee has nominated for Associate Delegates for the year 1918 Messrs. Francis G. Lloyd and G. M. Carnochan.
Said Committee also proposed the following Nominating Committee to nominate Directors and Committee to fill the vacancies that will occur at the annual meeting in Feb next:

George Greer, Chairman
John Sinnott
C. F. Neilson
J. E. DeMund
Howard Willets

There being no other ticket nominated it was moved and seconded that the nominations of the Membership Committee be adopted.
Carried.
The Secretary announced that the Albany Dog Club, being unable to secure proper quarters for its show which was to have been held during this month, requested that the date deposit be transferred to cover a show to be held in 1918.

On motion the request was duly granted.

Dr DeMund: At the request of several active members I move that that part of Rule V which reads as follows:

“If a resident member fails to hold a show within twelve months after a licensed show has been held in its city or town, an application from a new organization for active membership may be accepted by the American Kennel Club without the consent of the original member.”

Be and hereby is suspended for the continuance of the war.

Carried unanimously.

E. L. Boger: Stated that the Shepaug Valley Kennel Club had failed to pay to Harry Rushton, a licensed judge by the American Kennel Club who officiated at the show of said club, his expenses for judging Bulldogs which said club promised to pay and asked what redress a licensed judge has under such circumstances.

On motion Mr. Boger was informed that the judge, Harry Rushton, should prefer charges against the Shepaug Valley Kennel Club for its failure to fulfill its obligation and upon receipt of such charges the matter should be referred to the next meeting of the Directors.

Carried.

Chetwood Smith: That part of Rules Governing Field Trials and Field Championships reading:

“All Beagles must be measured by the standard of the National Beagle Club before starting,”
be referred to the Rules Committee for its interpretation.

Carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES TUESDAY, DEC 18, 1917

Vice-President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
H. K. Bloodgood
Chetwood Smith
C. F. Neilson
John G. Bates
H. H. Hunnewell
Theo. Offerman
John E. DeMund
Wm. Ross Proctor
Howard Willets
George Greer
John F. Collins
Francis G. Lloyd
E. L. Boger
Roland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Alfred B. Maclay
Chas. R. Wood

The minutes of the last regular meeting as published in the Sept Gazette were accepted as published.

The secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Dec 18, 1917

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.
A letter from President Hunnewell thanking the Board for the resolution of sympathy passed by the last meeting during his serious illness.

A report of the Law Committee on the subject of the War Tax.

A bill from our Western Representative to Nov 27, 1917 amounting to $11.20.

A communication from the Dog Lovers Protective Assn. of America on the subject of a Model State Law and a Model City License Law.

An appeal from the Secretary of the Atlanta Kennel Club against the imposition of a penalty of $8.00 assessed by this office for eight days delay in filing their listing sheets and fees.

Charges by R. C. Duncan vs. Shreveport Kennel Club for failure to pay Special Prizes and Ribbons alleged to have been won by dogs that he entered at said show.

Charges by the French Bulldog Club of America vs. Mrs. Fred Edwards for her failure to pay to said Club a trophy donated by her to be offered through the French Bulldog Club of America.

R. Vagt vs. Saratoga County Kennel Club for its failure to pay him a cup alleged to have been won by his dog at said show. In this case I have just received a letter stating that his failure to pay the cups was due to a strike at the factory, but that the club expects to be able to send the cups in about one week.

F. H. Scott claims a Championship Certificate which I have no authority to issue. The facts are that we sent him a Championship Certificate on Oct 27th last to the address given by him in the catalogue of the Atlantic City Show. Mr. Scott claims that he never received this certificate, and although our return card was on the mailing tube it has never been returned to this office.

I beg to present the following excuses for absence at this

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The Treasurer’s report is as follows:

New York, Dec 17, 1917
Cash balance on hand – Jan 1, 1917 --------------------------------------- $10,872.11
Receipts from Jan 1st to date----------------------------------------------- 30,621.51
Total Cash -------------------------------------------------------------------------- $41,493.62
Disbursements from Jan 1, 1917 --------------------------------------------- 30,503.12
Cash Balance on hand -----------------------------------------------------------$10,990.49

Respectfully submitted
A. P. VREDENBURGH
Treasurer

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The Stud Book Committee reported on three cases. The first in reference to the registration of a Boston Terrier Wolf Peach No. 229039. The Committee recommended the suspension of Richard Wolf until such time as he rendered to said Committee answers to its letters.

The second, a complaint of George B. Caskey challenging the registration of three Boston Terriers.

The third, the complaint of James F. Flood challenging the registration of a Boston Terrier Peggy Girl No. 233289.

On motion the recommendation of the Committee on the first case was adopted and on the second and third cases the matter was referred to the Chicago Trial Board for immediate action.
The License Committee presented a communication from the Golden Gate Kennel Club stating that Mr. E. Wrightson Thorpe had withdrawn as a judge at its show and the appointment of an unlicensed judge as a substitute was referred to the San Francisco Trial board for immediate investigation.

A report of the Law Committee on the subject of the War Tax was referred to the Finance Committee.

A communication from the Dog Lovers Protective Assn. of America was referred to the Law Committee.

An appeal from the secretary of the Atlanta Kennel Club against the penalty of $8.00 imposed for his failure to file with the American Kennel Club listing sheets and fees and violation of Rule XVII and giving as an excuse of his absence on a business trip.

On motion the appeal was granted.

The secretary reported the following charges that had been filed with this office:

R. C. Duncan vs. Shreveport Kennel Club: On motion same was laid on the table and the secretary was directed to write an explanation to Mr. Vagt.

French Bulldog Club of America vs. Mrs. Fred Edwards: On motion the matter was referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation.

F. H. Scott, who claims that he had never received a championship certificate which was mailed to him on Oct 27th, asked for a duplicate certificate. On motion secretary was directed to send him, by registered mail, another championship certificate and to mark name “duplicate”.
On motion the secretary was directed that hereafter all championship certificates must be sent by registered mail.

An appeal from the Western Representative for disbursements to Nov. 17, 1917 amounting to $11.20 was ordered paid.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee the secretary was directed to pay to the office employees one month’s salary on a Christmas bonus.

Excuses from Messrs. Wm. Rauch, W. P. Wolcott, John Sinnott, J. Macy Willets and Jos. A. Buchanan were on motion accepted.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
H. K. Bloodgood
Howard Willets
Charles R. Wood
John E. DeMund
Ralph C. Stewart
R. P. Keasbey
Chetwood Smith
Wm. Ross Proctor

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the Sept Gazette were accepted as published.

The secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Dec 18, 1917

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

At the late meeting of this Committee I presented the following communications:

Mrs. Scaramanga in reference to the Greenacre Kennels publishing a cut of her dog, and naming same after one of the dogs belonging to the Greenacre Kennels, namely, The Lion’s Child. Mrs. Scaramanga asks an apology from the Greenacre Kennels; this I have been unable to obtain. A letter from the Greenacre Kennels dated Nov 9th, informs me that it was impossible to call at
this office to see a copy of the advertisement Mrs. Scaramanga has referred to, to which I replied to on Nov. 13th:

“If you find it impossible to call at the office, I will suggest that you might send some representative who can furnish you with the information, and can compare the original photograph filed at this office by the secretary of the English Kennel Club, with your advertisement.”

to which no attention has been paid.

From Oscar D. Ridings in the matter of the action of the Philadelphia Trial Board re. Eastern Beagle Club. In this case I was directed to send to Mr. Ridings a copy of the reason why the Philadelphia Trial Board acted as it did. A copy of a communication from the Philadelphia Trial Board in response to your request was sent to Mr. Ridings on August 31, 1917. This letter has never been returned to this office, and Mr. Ridings evidently demands a second letter.

From Frank A. Howes withdrawing his complaint against the Grand Rapids Kennel Club.

From the secretary of the English Kennel Club suggesting for the consideration of the American Kennel Club that it shall refuse to list or register any dog which has not been registered with the English Kennel Club.

I beg to represent the finding of the Boston Trial Board in the case of Mrs. H. Robertson vs. Edward Axtell. A report from the Philadelphia Trial Board in the case of J. V. Schneider vs. Mr. & Mrs. M. Sneyd.

Miss Marielouise Whittlesey claim a Braintree Cup alleged to have been won by her at the late show of the Ladies Kennel Assn. of Mass. The wording of the conditions under which this cup was offered has been changed several times by the Ladies Kennel Assn. of Mass. I would recommend that the correspondence in this case be referred to a Committee for investigation.
A communication from the Field Trial Committee, Chetwood Smith, Chairman, in reference to the demand of this office that all contestants at Field Trials must be governing by the same rule that governs dog shows, namely, that all dogs must either be registered or listed.

A bull for legal services contracted by the Law Committee, which I had no authority to pay without first being approved by the American Kennel Club.

The late show of the American Fox Terrier Club published a catalogue contrary to our rules as to the arrangement of the data with each entry. This is a matter for your Committee to determine, whether the club should be penalized or not.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and ordered on file.

In the matter of the complaint of Mrs. Scaramanga against the Greenacre Kennels for the publication of her Chow Chow in an advertisement purporting to be the Chow Chow The Lion’s Child owned by Greenacre Kennels, said Kennels had been requested to send to Mrs. Scaramanga an apology which, up to this time, had not been done.

On motion the Greenacre Kennels is requested to explain the matter in writing or appear in persona and the Secretary is hereby instructed to write to the Greenacre Kennels that if an apology is not made to Mrs. Scaramanga within thirty days that said Greenacre Kennels and its dg shall be suspended.

A letter from the Philadelphia Trial Board explaining its reasons for a decision in the case of Oscar D. Ridings, a copy of which was sent to Mr. Ridings on August 26th; it is claimed that such copy had
never been received.

On motion the secretary was directed to send by registered mail another copy of said letter from the Philadelphia Trial Board and to mark same “duplicate”.

The charge of Frank A. Howes vs. the Grand Rapids Kennel Club was withdrawn by Mr. Howes and the matter was declared closed.

A communication from the secretary of the English Kennel Club in reference to registrations during the war was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

The Boston Trial Board reported in the case of Mrs. H. Robertson vs. Edward Axtell and dismissed the charges, and the action of said Boston Trial Board was confirmed.

The Philadelphia Trial Board reported in the case of J. V. Schneider vs. Mr. & Mrs. Sneyd, be suspended from the privileges of eh American Kennel Club for the period of one year.

On motion the report was confirmed.

Correspondence with Marielouise Whittlesey in reference to the Braintree Cup offered through the Ladies Kennel Assn. of Mass. was referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation.

The question in regard to Rule VIII of the Rules Governing Dog Shows as to whether or not same should apply to the Rules Governing Field Trials and Field Championships was referred to the Field Trial Committee and said Committee was directed to forward its recommendation to the Rules Committee, and was on motion duly carried.

On motion the Secretary was directed to pay the bill submitted by the Law Firm of Ver Planck and Prince for legal services.

The secretary reported that the catalogue of the late show of the Fox Terrier Club of America was published contrary to the rules
and on motion said Club was fined the sum of $25.00. The secretary was also directed to refer the action of the superintendent of said Fox Terrier Club of America Show to the License Committee for his violating said rule.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
President Howard Willets in the chair.

Present:

American Fox Terrier Club       H. H. Hunnewell  
Atlanta Kennel Club         James G. Rossman  
California Airedale Terrier Club      C. F. Neilson  
Chow Chow Club               E. C. Waller  
Colorado Kennel Club         Chas. A. Kelly  
Empire Beagle Club         G. A. Flammer  
Erie Kennel Club         Ralph C. Stewart  
French Bulldog Club of America      Robert F. Smith  
Great Dane Club of America       Mark B. Mingay  
International Toy Poodle Club       Thomas Hartman  
Irish Terrier Club of America       John G. Bates  
Maltese Club         E. H. Berensohn  
Newfoundland Club of America       Charles R. Wood  
New Jersey Beagle Club     George B. Hooley  
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club       R. P. Keasbey  
Russian Wolfhound Club       John E. DeMund  
San Mateo Kennel Club     Howard Willets  
Toy Dog Club of New England     W. F. Kinder  
Welsh Terrier Club of America       W. Ross Proctor  
Western French Bulldog Club     J. N. Champion  
Westminster Kennel Club         Wm. Rauch  
Yorkshire Terrier Club of America       George E. Peabody

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were adopted as published in the December Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows: --
To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since our last quarterly meeting, I have received applications for active membership from the Eastern Beagle Club, and the Pacific Coast Pomeranian Club, and Credentials from the French Bulldog Club of New England, appointing Fred. Edwards as its Delegate; the Airedale Terrier Club of New York, appointing J. Robinson Beard as its Delegate, and the Pacific Coast Pekingese Club appointing Chas. G. Hopton as its Delegate. These papers have been referred to the Membership Committee which will report at its meeting.

I will present the certificate of the Board of Tellers certifying to the election of Francis G. Lloyd and G. M. Carnochan as the Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1918.

I will also present the report of the Rules Committee on the matter of the amendment to the Rules Governing Field Trials and Field Championship. This amendment was published in the Jan Gazette.

I will also submit an appeal with eleven signatures asking that a ruling be adopted preventing an unformed, unfinished animal from competing against a perfected specimen in the weight class. In other words, to adopt a rule preventing a puppy from competing against a grown dog, where the classes are divided by weight.

I would advise you that the accounts for 1917 have been audited by Messrs. Townsend and Dix by the following certificate:

Feb 15, 1918

To the American Kennel Club

I Liberty St., NYC

Dear Sirs:

Pursuant to your instructions, we have audited the accounts for the year ending Dec 31, 1917, and find same to be correct as
shown on statement prepared by Mr. Vredenburgh, which we have certified, and of which a copy is hereto appended. We have not verified the physical presence of the securities held as we are advised that your Finance Committee has attended to that duty.

Thanking you for this commission and for the courtesy extended our representatives during the examination, we are, faithfully yours.

Townsend and Dix

Pursuant to Article XV, Section 3 of the By-laws, the following clubs have been automatically dropped for failure to pay annual dues for 1918. Final bills were sent to these clubs on Feb 1st, notifying them that they had been suspended as provided for under the rules, and as these clubs have failed to respond, I have dropped the following from Membership:

Arizona Kennel Club      New England Kennel Club
Anthracite Kennel Association Pacific French Bulldog Club
Albany Dog Club Pittsburgh Collie Club
Genessee Valley Kennel Club Revere Kennel Club
Kansas City Kennel Club San Antonio Kennel Club
Mississippi Valley Kennel Club Schipperke Club of America

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDEENBURG
Secretary

On motion same was accepted and ordered on file.

The secretary presented the certificate from the Board of Tellers as follows:

New York, Feb 6, 1918

To The American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Article XVIII, Section 4 of the by-laws of the American Kennel Club, the secretary of said club duly opened the ballots of the Associate Subscribers entitled to vote in the presence of the tellers appointed by the President of said club,
and the undersigned tellers hereby certify as follows:

- Associate subscribers entitled to vote: 127
- Number of votes cast: 40
- Scattering: 0
- Irregular and thrown out: 2

We therefore declare the following candidates, Francis G. Lloyd and G. M. Carnochan, having secured the highest number of votes, to be duly elected to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1918, and until their successors shall have been elected.

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

Board of Tellers

- D. P. Ritchey
- J. H. Rossman
- E. C. Waller
For information only.

The Membership Committee reported that it approved of the application of the Eastern Beagle Club and the Pacific Coast Pomeranian Club for active membership and the acceptance of J. Robinson Beard as the delegate to represent the Airedale Terrier Club of New York.

The meeting then went into an election, with the Chair appointing Messrs. R. C. Stewart and J. G. Bates as Tellers. The result of the election was the unanimous vote in favor of accepting the Eastern Beagle Club and the Pacific Coast Pomeranian Club, and the Chair announced their election.

A vote being taken on the acceptance of J. Robinson Beard as the delegate to represent the Airedale Terrier Club of New York was announced, and the Chair announced Mr. Beard duly accepted as a delegate.

The secretary announced that the credentials from the French
Bulldog Club of New England was laid on the table for further investigation and the Credentials of the Pacific Coast Pekingese Club was not approved.

The Nominating Committee made the following report:

New York, Feb 18, 1918

The Nominating Committee respectfully report the following nominations:

Class of 1923:

Rowland P. Keasbey
Alfred B. Maclay
Ralph C. Stewart
Charles R. Wood
J. Macy Willets
John G. Bates

For the vacancy in the Class of 1922 – James E. Meade
For the vacancy in the Class of 1920 – in place of A. G. Hooley, Effingham Lawrence.

In the Class of 1919 in place of Q. A. Shaw McKean – Charles A. Kelly.

Respectfully submitted,

George Greer,
Chairman

There being no further nominations, on motion the nominations were declared closed and the secretary was directed to cast the vote for the delegates and announced that he casts the vote as follows:

Class of 1923

R. P. Keasbey
Alfred B. Maclay
R. C. Stewart
C. R. Wood
J. M. Willets
J. G. Bates

Class of 1922:

Jas. E. Meade

Class of 1920:

Effingham Lawrence

Class of 1919:

Charles A. Kelly
The Chair announced the above mentioned Directors duly elected. At this time President Hunnewell arrived and assumed the Chair. 

The Treasurer reported the financial statement for 1917:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1, 1917</td>
<td>$10,872.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources for the year 1917</td>
<td>32,730.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cash</td>
<td>43,622.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements for the year 1917</td>
<td>33,331.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Dec 31, 1917</td>
<td>$10,290.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also the certificate of Messrs. Townsend and Dix, licensed auditors, to the effect that the accounts for 1917 were correct.

The Finance Committee submitted the following report:

New York, Feb 18, 1918

At the Sept quarterly meeting of the American Kennel Club it was suggested that an ambulance should be presented to the United States Government and after discussion it was referred to the Finance Committee to report its recommendation at the next meeting.

The Finance Committee, having looked into the matter, ascertained that the United States Government is not accepting gifts of ambulance and therefore the Finance Committee decided it would help Government best by investing its available funds in Liberty Bonds which it has done, and this of greater help to the Government than it would have been if it purchased an ambulance.

There has been no change in the amount of securities held by this club and they are now in the possession of the Finance Committee and kept in the Mercantile Safe Co. vaults.

William Rauch,
Chairman
On motion the same was accepted and ordered on file.

The Rules Committee recommended the Rules Governing Field Trials and Field Championship as recommended by the Field Trial Committee as follows:

Rule I.

Specialty Clubs not active members of the American Kennel Club may be licensed to hold Field Trials with the written consent of the Parent Club. If the consent of the Parent Club be not given within one month, the applying club may appeal to the license Committee which may issue a license in its discretion.

Rule II.

Every club holding Field Trials must forward to the American Kennel Club one copy of the Premium List before distribution.

Premium List must contain a list of the officers of the club, the judge or judges who will officiate at the Trials, the classes and their conditions, and state the rules under which the Trials are to be run.

Every dog entered under these Rules must be registered or listed previous to being started in a Field Trial. The fee for registration is one dollar and for listing 25 cents. The registration of a dog gives the privilege of competition for life. The listing of a dog gives the privilege only for the Field Trials for which it is listed.

Rule III.

No person shall be eligible to judge at any Field Trial until he or she has been licensed by the American Kennel Club, through its License Committee, except that substitute or additional judges, whether Licensed or Non-Licensed, may be appointed by a Field Trial Club at a Field Trial if occasion demands it; provided however said judges have never been refused a License by the American Kennel Club and are in good standing with the American Kennel Club.

Field Trials must provide the judges with books containing names of the starters and their owners and the addresses of the owners.

The awards in the Judges’ Books are to be marked by the Judges themselves, signed by the Secretary of the club and forwarded to the American Kennel Club within seven days after the closing of the Field Trials.

Officers of Field Trials Clubs may run dogs in or act as Judges at Field Trials.

Rule V.

All Beagles must be measured by the standards of the National Beagle Club before starting and if incorrectly entered must be
transferred to their proper classes.

Classes when divided by height must be divided as follows: over thirteen inches and not exceeding fifteen inches, and thirteen inches and under; and hounds can only compete in the classes for their respective heights.

On motion the above amendments were unanimously carried.
An appeal was presented, asking for an amendment to the rules to prevent a puppy from competing with grown dogs when the classes are designed by weight.
On motion this appeal was referred to the Rules Committee.
There being no further business on motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. VREDEBURGH
Secretary
President Hunnewell in the Chair.
Present:
Wm Rauch
C. F. Neilson
J. G. Bates
H. H. Hunnewell
Theo. Offerman
J. E. DeMund
W. R. Proctor
Howard Willets
J. F. Collins
Chas. A. Kelly
R. P. Keasbey
R. C. Stewart
C. R. Wood

The minutes of the last meeting were approved as published in the December Gazette.

The secretary presented his report as follows:

New York, Feb 18, 1918

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

The report of the San Francisco Trial Board in the matter of John Bradshaw judging at the late Golden Gate Kennel Club show, which was referred by the Directors at the December meeting.

Two reports from the Chicago Trial Board that were referred to that Board by the Directors at the December meeting.
A report from the Stud Book Committee on the various matters referred to it by this office.

A notice from the Philadelphia Boston Terrier Club that it has suspended Frank Owens from removing his dog without permission, also a defense filed by Mr. Owens.

Charges preferred by the Philadelphia Airedale Terrier Club against Mr. Laurence Tobin for his failure to pay Special Prizes offered by him through said Philadelphia Airedale Terrier Club.

Notice from the Detroit Kennel Club and the Queen City Kennel Club that they had abandoned their proposed shows for 1918.

A bill from the Western Representative for disbursements amounting to $36.82.

Excuses for absence from this meeting from the following Directors: W. L. Barclay, Q. A. Shaw McKean, George S. West, E. L. Boger, John Sinnott, George Greer, H. K. Bloodgood, Chetwood Smith, Alfred B. Maclay and J. Macy Willets.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. VREDBURGH
Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and ordered on file.

The Treasurer presented his report as follows:

New York, Feb 18, 1918.

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial report from Jan 1st to date:
Balance on Jan 1, 1918 $10,290.66
Adjustment 10.00
Corrected Balance 10,280.66
Receipts from Jan 1 to date 3,917.65
Total 14,198.31
Disbursements from Jan 1st to date 2,815.78
Balance on hand $11,382.53

Respectfully submitted

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Treasurer

On motion the same was accepted as read and ordered on file.

On motion the meeting went into an election for officers, Committees and Trial Boards to serve for the ensuing year.

H. H. Hunnewell was nominated as President.

H. K. Bloodgood was nominated as Vice President.

Howard Willets was nominated as 2nd Vice President.

There being no other nominations the secretary was directed to cast a ballot and announced the election of H. H. Hunnewell as President, H. K. Bloodgood as Vice-President, Howard Willets 2nd Vice President. A. P. Vredenburgh was nominated as Secretary-Treasurer.

There being no other nomination the President was directed to cast a ballot and announced that Mr. Vredenburgh was elected as Secretary-Treasurer.

The nomination for Committees were then in order.

Membership Committee: Chas. R. Wood, Chairman, Howard Willets, H. K. Bloodgood, C. F. Neilson and Wm. Rauch.


License Committee: J. E. DeMund, Chairman, R. P. Keasbey,

Stud Book Committee: R. C. Stewart, Chairman, Wm. Barclay, and Chas. R. Wood.

Finance Committee: Wm. Rauch, Chairman, H. K. Bloodgood, and Howard Willets.


Field Trial Committee: Chetwood Smith, Chairman, Geo. S> West and Alfred B. Maclay.

Law Committee: Wm. R. Proctor, Chairman, R. C. Stewart.

There being no other nominations the secretary was directed to cast a ballot in favor of the nominees which he did and the Chair announced their election.

Nominations for Trial Board were presented:


Philadelphia Trial Board: Wm. L. Barclay, Chairman, J. S. Price, Jr., and R. H. Johnson, Jr.

Boston Trial Board: S. R. Cutler, Chairman, E. W. Dwight and George S. West.

Chicago Trial Board: J. C. Eastman, Chairman, Jas. A. Pugh and Wm. F. Grower.


There being no other nominations the secretary was directed to cast a ballot and the Chair announced the election of the nominees.

Mr. O. F. Vedder was nominated as the Western Representative.

There being no other nominations the secretary was directed to cast a ballot which he did and the Chair announced O. F. Vedder as the Western Representative.
The License Committee presented the following report:

New York, Feb 18, 1918

To the Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

At the last meeting of the License Committee held Feb 5, 1918 the license to judge issued to E. Wrightson Thorn was revoked. The license to judge issued to Mrs. Henry Hill was revoked. The License to judge issued to W. J. Nichols was suspended pending further action by the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

J. E. De Mund
Chairman

The same was accepted and ordered on file.

The San Francisco Trial Board reported its findings in the matter of the substitution of a judge at the Golden Gate Kennel Club show held at San Francisco, Cal. Dec 14-15, 1917. The Board recommended the revocation of the license of E. Wrightson Thorp and that the violation of the rule by the Golden Gate Kennel Club was owing to a misinterpretation and recommended leniency.

On motion the recommendation of the Committee regarding E. Wrightson Thorp was confirmed and his license as an American Kennel Club judge was revoked. It was further adopted that the Golden Gate Kennel Club should be fined $1.00 for the violation of the Rule.

Two reports from the Chicago Trial Board recommending the cancellation of Stud Book entry 233,289 and also the registration of the Stud Book entries 211,651, 213,348 and 213,472. These reports were read and referred to the Stud Book Committee.

The Stud Book Committee met during this meeting and ordered the above registrations to be cancelled.

The Stud Book Committee filed the following report:
To the Board of Directors:

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee held a meeting on December 17, 1917 and decided the following matters that were referred to it by the secretary of the American Kennel Club:

1\textsuperscript{st}—Permission to O. W. Creath to register the Kennel Name Laurel.

2\textsuperscript{nd} – In the matter of the protest against the registration of the Russian Wolfhound Morza No, 215077, it was decided to cancel this registration owing to an error made on the part of the Valley Farm Kennels, who sold said dog to Mr. Pio Valensin.

3\textsuperscript{rd}—In the matter of the registration of the German Sheepdog Oakridge Flora No. 172607, it was decided that Mr. Chas. Kapp who registered the dog, did not have any title to her, and that the registration should be cancelled together with the registration of any of her puppies. Mr. E. L. Tinker, the bona-fide owner of this dog, was asked to re-register the dog in his own name.

At a meeting held Jan 25, 1918 the Stud Book Committee reported as follows:

1\textsuperscript{st}—In the matter of the proper breeder of puppies by The Outwood Hope ex Lady Rose II, which Mr. Jas. G. Barbin claimed to be the lessee, it was decided that unless Mr. David Rose, the owner of Lady Rose II, who is now “Somewhere in Francs” agrees that there was a verbal lease, that the breeder of record must be Mr. David Rose.

2\textsuperscript{nd}. In the matter of the registration of the Chow Chow Ching Ling Foo, which Mr. John Richardson, vendor, claims to be the breeder, and that the date of birth should be July 19, 1917, it was decided that the Bainbridge Kennels was the breeder and date of birth of the
puppies should be recorded as August 25, 1917.

3rd—In the matter of the request of the English Kennel Club asking that the American Kennel Club refuse to list or register any English Bred dogs, bred after Sept 8, 1917, that have not been licensed by said English Kennel Club to be bred, the Committee recommends to the Board of Directors that the American Kennel Club refuse to register any English bred dogs, bred after Sept. 8, 1917 that have not been licensed to be bred by the English Kennel Club and a copy of the License accompanying the application for registration must be sent to the American Kennel Club, if such puppies are offered for American registration.

On motion the report of the Stud Book Committee was accepted and its recommendations adopted.

The Philadelphia Boston Terrier Club gave notice of the suspension of Frank Owens for removing his dog from it show without permission and the Philadelphia Airedale Terrier Club preferred charges against Laurence Tobin for his failure to pay special prizes offered by him through said club.

On motion both these matters were referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

The Detroit Kennel Club, the Queen City Kennel Club and the Canton Kennel Club filed notices that they had decided not to hold the shows which had been approved by the License Committee and on motion the secretary was directed to apply their date deposits to shows for dates within the year 1918. If said clubs fail to hold their shows within the calendar year, the date deposits shall be forfeited.

A complaint against C. F. Sullivan, a licensed judge, was referred, upon the recommendation of the License Committee, to the Boston
Trial Board.

A bill from the Western Representative amounting to $36.82 was presented and ordered paid.

On motion it was decided that all Standing Committees had permission from this date to refer such cases as might some before them direct to Trial Board for investigation and information.

The excuses from the following Directors were duly accepted: Messrs. Wm. L. Barclay, Q. A. Shaw McKean, George S. West, E. L. Boger, John Sinnott, George Greer, H. K. Bloodgood, Chetwood Smith, Alfred B. Maclay and J. Macy Willets.

There being no further business, on motion the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD AT THE OFFICE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, NO. 1 LIBERTY STREET, NEW YORK, FEB 19, 1918

President Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Chas. R. Wood
J. E. DeMund
R. C. Stewart
Wm. Rauch
R. P. Keasbey
Wm. R. Proctor

The secretary presented the following report:

New York, Feb 18, 1918

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to inform you that the following cases which were presented to the Executive Committee have since been settled.

French Bulldog Club of America vs. Mrs. Fred Edwards

Three cases against the Shepaug Valley Kennel Club for failure to pay Special Prizes awarded at its last show have been settled.

The charge of Mrs. Scaramanga against the Greenacre Kennels was settled by the Greenacre Kennels making the necessary apology.

Claims against the Saratoga County Kennel Club for failure to pay special prizes have been settled.

The claim of R. C. Duncan against the Shreveport Kennel Club
is still in abeyance, as is also the claim of R. J. Atkinson against the Delaware State Fair.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
Vice-President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Charles R. Wood
John F. Collins
Ralph C. Stewart
R. P. Keasbey
C. F. Neilson

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The secretary read his report as follows and same was accepted and ordered on file:

New York, April 15, 1918

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:
Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the complaint of R. H. Atkinson vs. Delaware State Fair Kennel Club, and the complaint of R. C. Duncan vs. Shreveport Kennel Club for unpaid prizes were laid over at the last meeting of this Committee and since that time the cases have been settled to the satisfaction of the complainants.

Messrs. Warren and Jennings protested a bitch belonging to Mrs. A. L. Holland at the late Pekingese Show. Said protest was overruled by said club, and an appeal is now made against the decision of the Pekingese Club of America.

The Colorado Kennel Club claimed a show to be held in 1918, making the necessary deposit. Said club now requests the privilege to postpone its show to 1919, and that the deposit now
In our hands may be transferred to cover a show to be held next year.

The New England Kennel Club, through an oversight, failed to pay its dues for 1918, and on the date of our annual meeting was automatically dropped from membership. It now asks that we accept the dues so that said club may be reinstated, after which to accept its resignation as an active membership which will enable it to resign whole in good standing.

A communication from the English Setter Club of America, and from the Western Beagle Club in reference to registration of dogs entered at their trials.

A communication from Mr. R. C. Rathborne will be presented for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VRE DENBURGH
Secretary

The New England Kennel Club, through an oversight of one of its employees, failed to pay its annual dues for 1918 before the date of the annual meeting and was therefore automatically dropped from membership. A check for dues followed within a few days and on motion the same was accepted and the New England Kennel Club was reinstated in good standing.

The Colorado Kennel Club claimed dates for a show to be held in 1918 and made its deposit on December 6, 1917. It applied for permission to transfer its dates to May, 1919 and asked that the deposit in our hands be transferred to cover such date. On motion the secretary was directed to notify the Colorado Kennel Club that we must follow the procedure as in other cases, and in accordance with the rules, if the said club does not hold a show within the
calendar year the deposit will be forfeited.

Messrs. Warren and Jennings protested an award at the late Pekingese Show and the protest was not allowed by the Committee of the Pekingese Club and appealed from the decision. The matter was referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

An application from the English Setter Club for a rating at Field Trials on the same principle as the rating granted to Beagle Trials. The English Setter Club, being a member of the Amateur Field Trial Club of America, on motion the secretary was directed to communicate with the English Setter Club of America in view of the said Amateur Field Trial Club of America to become an active member of the American Kennel Club and that the Field Trial Committee of the American Kennel Club be directed to consider a proper scale for ratings toward a championship for Field Trial Dogs.

The matter of opening the Stud Book for a period of sixty days for the registration of stud dogs and brood bitches of Field Trial dogs was referred to the Stud Book Committee for its consideration.

A communication from R. C. Rathborne was presented to the meeting and on motion the secretary was directed to communicate with the English Kennel Club on the subject matter of Mr. Rathborne’s letter and the reply from the English Kennel Club to be referred to the Stud Book Committee for its consideration.

Mr. John J. Degnan offered a cup for the best get of Degnan’s Little Bantam through the Providence County Kennel Club. This cup was awarded to Evans Bantam No. 221902. The award was questioned by the donor upon the ground that the exhibitor of Evans Bantam was not the full owner, and therefore declined to deliver the cup. This Committee rules that inasmuch as Evans Bantam was the get of Degnan’s Little Bantam and as such was awarded the prize, that the
Providence County Kennel Club must pay said prize to the exhibitor of Evans Bantam.

The License Committee presented the following statement and on motion it was decided that the same be published in the April Gazette:

The License Committee, at its last meeting held April 2nd, decided to make the following statement:

The Members of this Committee greatly regret the scenes which have occurred at recent shows.

It is their opinion that the position of a Licensed judge of the American Kennel Club should be one of dignity and one that should command the respect of the entire dog showing public. Should such scenes as those above referred to be repeated frequently, the position of Licensed Judges would absolutely lose all dignity and many self respecting ladies and gentlemen would decline at act in that capacity with the result that the judging of dogs would become a farce.

When judges have the confidence of the Exhibitors and conduct themselves so as to retain that confidence, such scenes cannot happen but when circumstances suspected by many fanciers seem to indicate that the decision has preceded the competition, then the competition becomes a hippodrome and the judge, his dignity gone, becomes the target of those around the ring who forget their own self respect.

As has been before stated by members of this Committee, it is not the desire of the officers and Committeemen of the American Kennel Club to weed out the evils of the dog show, but to prevent the appearance of evil, and consequently judges must conduct themselves not only honestly and fairly but in such a manner as to avoid any appearance of favoritism and also, as before stated, so as to command the confidence of the Exhibitors and maintain their own
dignity. If they do not so their licenses will be revoked.

Cumulative circumstances evidence is often as convincing as any other sort of evidence. The provision in the American Kennel Club rules setting forth the power of the License Committee states that this Committee shall have power of the License Committee states that this does not state what ground shall be necessary for the revocation of a license. This Committee has decided that when it is for the best interest of the dog to revoke a license without giving any reasons, it will do so.

It was moved and seconded that the meeting go into an election to fill the vacancies occasioned by the late H. K. Bloodgood. The Chairman suggested that it would be advisable to wait before filling said vacancies until a larger representation of the Committee should be present.

On motion the meeting then went into an election. Howard Willets was nominated as First Vice President. There being no other candidates the nomination was closed and the secretary was directed to cast a vote for Howard Willets and he was declared unanimously elected.

Dr DeMund was nominated as second Vice President. There being no other candidate on motion the nomination was closed and the secretary was directed to cast a vote of Dr DeMund who was declared unanimously elected.

C. F. Neilson was nominated as Chairman of the License Committee. There being no other nominations the secretary was directed to cast a vote for Mr. Neilson and he was declared unanimously elected.

Theodore Offerman was nominated as a member of the Membership Committee. There being no other nominations the secretary was directed to cast a vote for Mr. Offerman and he was declared unanimously elected.

The Western Beagle Club filed a notice that the Futurity Stake
of said club to be decided in 1919, and that the brood bitches must be registered in order to make the litters eligible for said Stake and that as several of the dams who were not eligible under the present rules to be registered in the American Kennel Club had been registered in the Field Dog Stud Book requested a ruling.

On motion the secretary was directed to inform the Western Beagle Club that in such cases where the dams were not eligible for American Kennel Club registration and were registered in the Field Dog Stud Book must be listed with the American Kennel Club to insure eligibility under its rules.

There being no further business before the Committee on motion the meeting adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Delegates Held at the Offices of the American Kennel Club, Tuesday, May 21, 1918

President Hunnewell in the Chair.

The following Clubs were present by Delegates:

- American Fox Terrier Club: H. H. Hunnewell
- American Pomeranian Club: Theodore Offerman
- Atlanta Kennel Club: James G. Rossman
- Boston Terrier Club: Richard R. Lawrence
- California Airedale Terrier Club: C. F. Neilson
- Dachshund Club of America: G. Muss-Arnolt
- Dalmatian Club of America: Alfred B. Maclay
- Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club: James J. Fox
- English Setter Club of America: Francis G. Taylor
- French Bulldog Club of America: Robert F. Smith
- Long Island Kennel Club: John F. Collins
- Maltese Club: E. H. Berendsohn
- Newfoundland Club of America: Charles R. Wood
- Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club: R. P. Keasbey
- Pekingese Club of America: M. E. Harby
- Russian Wolfhound Club: J. E. DeMund
- Scottish Terrier Club of America: Henry Bixby
- Seattle Kennel Club: James E. Meade
- St. Louis Collie Club: Lloyd W. Smith
- Welsh Terrier Club of America: W. Ross Proctor
- Westchester Kennel Club: George Greer
- Westminster Kennel Club: Wm Rauch

On motion the minutes of the Feb meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.
The report of the Secretary is as follows:

New York, May 21, 1918

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting I have received an application for active membership from the El Pismo Beach Kennel Club of El Pismo, California, and Credentials from the American Fox Hound Club appointing George Brice; Cairn Terrier Club of America appointing DeForest Hicks; French Bulldog Club of New England appointing Fred Edwards; German Shepherd Dog Club of America appointing A. A. Rost; Hudson County Kennel Club appointing Julius M. Fangmann; Newark Kennel Club appointing John A. Kelly; and the Pacific Coast Pekingese Club appointing A. McClure Halley.

I beg to report the sudden death of Mr. A. G. Hooley on May 2, 1918. Mr. Hooley was an Associate Delegate for a number of years, and until recently was Chairman of our Rules Committee. At the time of his death he was a member of the San Francisco Trial Board. Although Mr. Hooley’s death is generally known to our Delegates, I am reporting it in order to be included in the records of the club.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The meeting went into an election for active members and delegates, the Chair appointing Messrs. Chas. R. Wood and John F. Collins as Tellers.

The application of the El Pismo Beach Kennel Club of California for active membership was taken up and after a ballot was taken the Chair announced the unanimous election of said club.
The following credentials were acted upon and duly accepted: George Brice from the American Foxhound Club; DeForest Hicks for the Cairn Terrier Club of America; Fred Edwards for the French Bulldog Club of New England; Julius M. Fangmann for the Hudson County Kennel Club; John A. Kelly for the Newark Kennel Club.

The Delegates proposed by the Pacific Coast Pekingese Club and the German Shepherd Dog Club of America were not approved.

New York, May 10, 1918

To the Board of Directors
American Kennel Club
A. P. Vredenburgh, secretary

Your Committee on Rules had the honor to report as follows:

A meeting of the Committee was held at the offices of the American Kennel Club, Thursday, May 9th last, a quorum being present.

The following suggested rules were considered.

1. Referring to our conversation in regard to the weight classes I wish to say that the undersigned request a limit on same. There being no rule preventing a puppy competing against a grown dog in the weight classes, we request that a rule be made preventing an unformed unfinished animal competing against a perfected specimen in the weight classes.

2. The New York Trial Board at its meeting on April 25, 1918 strongly recommends An amendment to the Rules Governing Dog Shows. That all dogs must be kept on the bench except during regular exercising hours designated by the show giving clubs. Infraction of this rule means disqualification.

Your Committee unanimously decided to disapprove the suggested rule as to excluding puppies from competing in weight classes for the following reasons:

1. We fail to see wherein it is an advantage to an immature or undeveloped dog (a puppy) to be allowed to compete in any class with grown or mature dogs.

2. We feel that such a rule would be very unfair to puppies in that it would exclude them from many limit and open classes and reduce their eligibility for competition to only, in some shows, the
puppy and winners classes of the regular American Kennel Club classes.

Your Committee unanimously decided to approve the rule as to keeping dogs in their benches during shows amended as follows:

Addition to Rule XIX as paragraphs 2-3-4 of Rules Governing Dog Shows: --

All dogs at shows must be kept on the benches or in the cages provided or allowed for them for exhibition purposes except while its breed is being judged, or during the periods designated by the show-giving clubs for exercising or before the time specified as the opening hour of the show or after the time specified as the closing hour of the show each day. A dog may, however, be removed from its bench or cage by permission of the superintendent or by order of the Veterinarian or his representative. This rule does not apply to out of door shows.

This rule must be enforced by all superintendents who shall report to the American Kennel Club each and every infraction of it and the offending exhibitors shall be fined five dollars for each offense.

The exercising periods, hours of opening and closing of shows, must appear in every premium list as additional rules.

Respectfully submitted,
John F. Collins
Chairman

After a general discussion the rule was amended by adding after the first paragraph “This Rule does not apply to out of door shows.”

The report of the Committee was accepted and the recommendation as amended was unanimously adopted.

Mr. John F. Collins, Delegate of the Long Island Kennel Club, offered the following resolution:

I move that the German Shepherd Dog Club be informed that unless they change their name eliminating the word German and advise the
Secretary of the American Kennel Club of this change within 60 days from date, the said
cclub shall be dropped from membership in the American Kennel Club and the secretary
of the American Kennel Club shall be instructed in such case to pay to the German
Shepherd Dog Club an amount equal to the pro rata amount of their dues for the period
from 60 days from date to the end of the year. Also that the Stud Book Committee be
authorized and instructed to change the name of the German Sheepdog to The
Sheepdog.

John F. Collins
Delegate Long Island Kennel Club

After discussion the resolution was duly adopted, after having been amended in
the last paragraph to read “Also that the Stud Book Committee be authorized and
instructed to suggest a new name for the German Sheepdog.”

On motion the President was requested to appoint a Committee of three to report
a proper resolution of sympathy to the family of the late Mr. A. G. Hooley. The Chair
appointed as such Committee Messrs. George Greer, Wm. Rauch and R. P. Keasbey.

On motion of Mr. Collins the American Kennel Club subscribed the sum of
$250.00 to the Red Cross Fund and the Treasurer was directed to send a check for said
subscription without delay.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Board of Directors Held at the Offices of the American Kennel Club, Tuesday May 21, 1918

President Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
R. P. Keasbey
Alfred B. Maclay
Chas. R. Wood
Wm. Rauch
C. F. Neilson
James E. Meade
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Theodore Offerman
John E. DeMund
W. Ross Proctor
George Greer
John F. Collins

On motion the minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as published in the Gazette.

The secretary read his report as follows:

New York, May 21, 1918

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

The vacancy in the San Francisco Trial Board occasioned by the death of Mr. A. G. Hooley. Mr. W. S. Macy of Santa Barbara, Cal. is recommended by our Western Representative for election.

A communication from the English Setter Club of America asking that the winner of the Champion Stake awarded by the Amateur
Field Trial Clubs of America be recognized by the American Kennel Club. I would recommend that this matter be referred to our Field Trial Committee.

Reports from the Publication Committee and the New York Trial Board will be presented for your consideration.

The thanks for the Committee of the English Kennel Club to the Board of Directors for the action of the Stud Book Committee in refusing to register imported dogs whelped after Sept 7, 1917, unless accompanied by the approval of said English Kennel Club.

A request from Charles H. Mason that in the publication of prize lists in the Gazette that the total number of entries, actual number of dogs benched and total number of exhibitors be published.

I beg to report that our First Vice-President Howard Willets is now in the Government Service at Camp Johnston, Jacksonville, FL.

Mr. Philip M. Wand, San Francisco, California, was disqualified August 6, 1910 for officiating at a show held under rules antagonistic to the American Kennel Club. Mr. Wand now appeals for reinstatement, and has paid the necessary fee for his appeal.

Excuses for absence have been received from Messrs. Wm. L. Barclay, Ralph C. Stewart and George S. West.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The Treasurer read his quarterly report as follows:

New York, May 21, 1918

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial report from Jan 1st
to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1, 1918</td>
<td>$10,280.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>10,488.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$20,768.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>10,588.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$10,180.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. VREDENBURGH

Treasurer

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

President Hunnewell was duly elected a member of the Finance Committee to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of H. K. Bloodgood.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the Treasurer (A. P. VREDENBURGH) be given permission to open the American Kennel Club box at the Mercantile Safe Deposit Vault when accompanied by any one of the members of the Finance Committee.

On the recommendation of the Western Representative W. S. Macy was duly elected as a member of the San Francisco Trial Board to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of A. G. Hooley.

Mr. R. W. Creuzbauer, the Delegate of the Irish Setter Club was elected for one years as a Director of the class of 1920.

The vacancy of the class of 1922 occasioned by the death of Mr. Bloodgood was on motion laid over until the next meeting of the Board.

The secretary read communications from the English Setter Club after which Francis G. Taylor, delegate from the English Setter Club, was on motion invited to address the board on the question of the recognition of the Amateur Field Trial Club of America Championship.
It was moved, seconded and carried that the communications of the English Setter Club in reference to the recognition of the Amateur Field Trial Club Winner of Champion Stake be referred to the Field Trial Committee with instructions to report at the next meeting of this Board. The consensus of opinion of the Board was that a rule should be adopted to comply with the request of the English Setter Club.

The report of the Publication Committee was presented and is as follows:

New York, May 15, 1918

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

The Publication Committee, upon the request of bill for the 1917 Stud Book, have gone very carefully into the matter and recommend the temporary discontinuance of the Stud Book and suggest that it be combined in some way with the American Kennel Gazette for the following reasons: The cost of the 1917 Stud Book, based on the Patteson Press’ estimate of December 13, 1917, amounts to $4,154.35. This bill, if based on price in our 1916 contract would have amounted to $2,986.00, showing an increase of 30% owing to the general increases cost of labor and material due to the present conditions.

As in all probability there will be approximately the same increase cost for the 1918 Stud Book, we do not feel that we are at liberty to involve the club into such further increase expenditures.

We give below tabulated list showing the cost of the Stud Book from 1912 to 1917 inclusive, and the sales of the same. You will note that the sales have fallen off to almost nothing, in other words we have purchased 250 volumes and sold 50:--
### Cost, Sales, and Net Cost Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Net Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>$2,773.73</td>
<td>$589.00</td>
<td>$2,184.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1913</td>
<td>$2,186.59</td>
<td>$634.00</td>
<td>$1,551.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1914</td>
<td>$2,299.85</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td>$1,724.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>$3,870.73</td>
<td>$587.00</td>
<td>$3,283.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1916</td>
<td>$2,908.40</td>
<td>$373.00</td>
<td>$2,535.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>$4,154.35</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$4,104.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$18,193.65</td>
<td>$2,808.00</td>
<td>$15,384.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yours truly,

R. P. Keasbey, Chairman
Publication Committee
Theodore Offerman

On motion the report of the Publication Committee was referred to a Special Committee consisting of the Chairman of the Publication Committee, the Chairman of the Stud Book Committee and the Chairman of the Law Committee with instructions to reduce expenses as much as possible in the publication of the Stud Book and that the said Committee be given full power to act and its decision to take effect as soon as possible.

The New York Trial Board presented its report as follows:

New York, April 25, 1918

New York Trial Board meeting held at the American Kennel Club April 25, 1918.

In the appeal of Messrs. Warren and Jennings from the decision of the Pekinese Club of America. This Board sustains the decision of the Pekingese Club, as there was no violation of any rule at that show, and that the win of Cairnwhin Tinto in Class Special #57 at the show of the Pekingese Club of America held Jan 28, 1918 should stand as recorded.

John G. Bates
Theodore Offerman

On motion the report was duly approved.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board is as follows:
Dear Sirs:--

The Philadelphia Trial Board reports as follows on matters submitted to it:

In the matter of the Philadelphia Airedale Club vs. Lawrence Tobin in regard to unpaid Specials, the Specials have been paid and no further action is taken.

In the matter of the Philadelphia Boston Terrier Club vs. Frank Owens, Mr. Owens removed his dog from the show of the Philadelphia Boston Terrier Club held Jan 24th without the consent of the show authorities. Mr. Owens filed a defense in which he claims that he had to go to work at 4 pm and had made arrangements to have someone meet him at the show to take his dog home when the show closed. As this person failed to appear, he had no other course than to remove his dog. Your Board considers this defense inadequate and suspends Mr. Frank Ownes for a period of six months from the date of this report. Mr. Frank Owens for a period of six months from the date of this report.

In the matter of the charge of Mr. Vinton Breeze against Sarah Anne Abercrombie for writing a letter reflecting on his integrity as a judge, the case was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for a decision as to whether this should properly come before the Bench Show Committee of the Philadelphia Kennel Club or be acted on by the American Kennel Club. As no protest was made against any dog, and as no misconduct is alleged to have been committed by Mrs. Abercrombie at the show, your Board is of the opinion that the matter should be acted on by the American Kennel Club. The proper mode of procedure would be for Mr. Breeze or the Philadelphia Kennel Club, or the American Kennel Club to prefer a charge against Mrs. Abercrombie in accordance with Article XIX, Section 3 of the By-laws, for writing the letter under date of March 2, 1918 criticizing the judging of Mr. Breeze.
In the complaint of Mrs. Peter Menges against the Philadelphia Kennel Club for
the re-judging of a class of Japanese Spaniels when it was found that a dog had
improperly been marked absent, referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for
investigation, your Board reports that the additional rules published in the Premium List
of the Philadelphia Kennel Club state that in the absence of an Exhibitor a dog will be
brought into the ring by an attendant. In the present case, it has been shown to the
satisfaction of your Board that this Japanese Spaniel was in his cage under his proper
number and overlooked by the attendant when the class was called. The matter was
discovered after half an hour before the Judge had finished all his breeds, and turned in
his Judge’s book to the office. The case was referred to the superintendent who decided
the class should be re-judged. Mrs. Menges protested against the re-judging of the
class, but made no protest in writing against the dog Omize in accordance with Rule XXI
of the Rules Governing Shows and Mrs. Menges took her dog into the ring a second
time to compete in the re-judging of the class. Your Board is of the opinion, that in the
absence of written protest at the time, that the decision as to the re-judging of this class
rested properly with the Superintendent subject to review by the American Kennel Club
in case his decision involved the violation of any of the Rules. Further as the matter was
quickly discovered, and a lapse of half an hour could hardly have affected the merits of
the dogs, it was in the interest of fair play and good sportsmanship to allow this dog
properly in his cage to compete.

Such cases may arise from time to time and each case must be decided on its
merits. It is impossible to lay down any rule or precedent for the re-judging of any class,
the presence or absence of some of the dogs or exhibitors, the wishes of the judge, the
time
elapsed between the original judging of the class, and the re-judging of the class all having a bearing on the situation.

In the present instance your Board recommends that the awards stand according to the re-judging of the class.

In the matter of Dr. H. B. Kobbler vs. the Philadelphia Kennel Club for taking Mr. Wm. T. Payne’s Cocker Spaniel entries after the date of closing, sent to the Phila. Trial Board for investigation, your Board has had considerable correspondence in this matter which is forwarded herewith, and made a part of this report. Dr. Kobbler makes affidavit that at the New York Show, after date of closing of entries for the Phila Show, Mr. Payne informed him that he had not entered at Philadelphia. The catalogue of the Philadelphia Show shows eight entries by Mr. Payne with a letter after the number of six of these entries which Dr. Kobbler appears to think indicates a late entry.

The additional rules published in the premium list of the Phila. Kennel Club states that entries should be made on blanks furnished by the club, but does not state that they must be made on these blanks. Mr. Payne writes that he reserved entries for his Cocker Spaniels early in Feb and mailed the particulars later. Mr. Payne and Mr. Foley, the superintendent, each write that the particulars for these entries were received by mail “In time,” but will not specify any date on which these entries were received or show any letters or Post marked envelope in support of their statements that the entries were received “In time.” A letter was sent to Mr. Payne asking for his version of the conversation with Doctor Kobbler at the New York Show, and offering to call a sitting of the Trial Board and give Mr. Payne and the Phila. Kennel Club an opportunity to answer the affidavit in the presence of Doctor Kobbler.
Your Board is at a loss how to proceed further with this investigation as they do not feel justified in calling a sitting of the Trial Board and sending a subpoena to Mr. Payne to come from Kingston, PA to Philadelphia to give testimony unless a formal charge, in accordance with Article XIX, Section 3 of the bylaws, is preferred against the Phila. Kennel Club for receiving late entries.

The results of this investigation are embodied in the correspondence submitted herewith. The decision as to whether the circumstantial evidence in the matter would warrant preferring a formal charge against the Phila. Kennel Club for receiving late entries rests with the American Kennel Club.

Respectfully submitted,
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Wm. L. Barclay

P. S. Since this report was prepared a reply has been received from Mr. Payne to my letter of April 2nd. A copy of my letter and of Mr. Payne’s reply is enclosed herewith.

(W. L. B.)

On motion the matter of the entries at the late show of the Kennel Club of Philadelphia was directed to be returned to the Trial Board with instructions to go further into the matter and ascertain the date of the receipt of the challenged entries.

In the charges of Vinton Breeze the matter was left to Mr. Breeze to make formal charges if he so desires. The other recommendations in the report were accepted and the report in full adopted.

The request of Charges H. Mason to publish further data regarding the entries, the number present, and the actual number of exhibitors at shows held under American Kennel Club rules was on motion referred to the Publication Committee.

The thanks of the English Kennel Club for the action of the Feb meeting was presented through its Secretary, E. W. Jaquet, Esq
An application from Philip M. Wand to be reinstated to good standing after having been disqualified since August 6, 1910, was duly granted.

Excuses for absence from Messrs. Howard Willets, Rule> C. Stewart, Wm. L. Barclay and George S. West were duly accepted.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD AT THE OFFICES OF
THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB, TUESDAY JUNE 18, 1918

Present Hunnewell in the Chair.
Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
John F. Collins
Ralph C. Stewart
Wm. Rauch
R. P. Keasbey
W. Ross Proctor
C. F. Neilson

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, June 18, 1918

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

The reports of the Boston Trial Board, re Murray and Maxwell, vs. Worcester Kennel Club, and the American Kennel Club vs. C. F. Sullivan.

The report of the New York Trial Board re License Committee vs. E. G. Snow, Jr.

Application from the Toy Spaniel Club of America to grant dates for 1919. This club claimed dates for a show during the current year, and has abandoned same, and asked for dates for next year, and the deposit now in our hands to be applied to next year’s dates. This matter was referred
by the License Committee upon the ground that said Committee had no authority to act on such applications.

Report from the Special Committee on the subject of the Stud Book for 1918. Legal opinion from the Law Committee.

Charges preferred by Harriet F. Price vs. Arthur Golding. Walter Brown vs. J. Bate Locke. Harry Weinberg vs. Kansas City Boston Terrier Club. W. H. G. Clark vs. The Ladies Dog Club. At the late show held at Lynn, and the Ladies Charity Show held in New York City, the Doberman Pinscher “Arno von Maryschel” was entered under the wrong ownership. The rule states distinctly that the exhibitor of a dog must be the bona fide owner of same at the time of the closing of entries.

A bill for disbursements from our Western Representative from Nov 28, 1917 to June 5, 1918 amounting to $22.25.

The Special Committee composed of the Chairmen of the Stud Book Committee, the Law Committee, and the Publication Committee decided to suspend the publication of our annual Stud Book during the continuance of the war, and combine it with the Gazette, and in that connection the matter of finance should be carefully considered.

The war has reduced our income considerably, and on the other hand, owing to the high cost of printing, and in fact everything that we require to carry on the business of the office has been materially increased.

The income for the five months in 1917 was $15,093.26, and for a similar period in 1918 $11,899.31. I find that the average loss of income per month from Jan 1st to May 31st to be $638.75.

I also find that the receipts from registrations has decreased owing to the failure of the registration of sires and dams
amounting to 4,413 entries, from the time that we adopted the new registration rule, up to June 1st, 1918.

Our incoming mail from Jan 1st to June 1st in 1917 was 14,395 letters, whereas for the same period in 1918 11,170. This is a fair index of the loss of business.

The cost of the Stud Book for 1917 was $4,154.35, of which amount I paid on account on the 13th of June $1,500.00, amount unpaid $2,654.00.

Our working capital on this date amounts to $3,092.96.

In consideration of the foregoing, I beg to make the following suggestions:
FIRST:  That we withdraw our Reserve Fund now in the Broadway Savings Institution amounting to $5,000.00 and incorporate same in our working capital.
SECOND:  That we re-open the Stud Book from July 1st to December 31st and accept all applications for registration of Stud Dogs and Brood Bitches whose pedigree can be established for three full generations, and for such registrations as may be accepted, a charge of $2.00 each to be made.
THIRD: That inasmuch as the Gazette and Stud Book will be combined, it will be combined, it will make the Gazette very much more valuable than it has been heretofore, I would recommend that the subscription price of the Gazette be increased from $2.00 to $3.00 per year, and that the single copies be increased from $0.20 to $0.30.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The Stud Book Committee reported as follows:
June 15, 1918

Executive Committee
American Kennel Club
c/o A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
No. 1 Liberty Street, New York

Gentlemen:

At the meeting of the Executive Committee held in April, the suggestion was made that the Stud Book be opened for a period of 60 days for the registration of stud dogs and breed bitches of established pedigree among Field Trial dogs.

On Jan 1, 1916 the Stud Book was closed to all American bred dogs whose sires and dams were not registered. By referring to the reports of the Stud Book Committee recommending this change in the requirements for registrations, it will be seen that the Committee anticipated that in the course of time, dogs of great quality and value as show specimens and producers would be bred from parents of established pedigree, but unregistered. It was foreseen that these dogs and their descendants, ineligible under the rule, would constitute an ever increasing and widening loss to the Stud Book, and that in course of time provision would be necessary for the registration of these dogs if the Stud Book was to be representative of the thoroughbred dog in America. The closing of the Stud Book Jan 1, 1916 was done for the purpose of safeguarding the accuracy of registered pedigrees.

The expectations of the Committee were more than fulfilled in 1916 and 1917. At the present time, owing no doubt to the war, we face a falling off in the number of registrations, amounting to 600 a month and during 1917 we were compelled to refuse 1600 applications for registration of pedigreed dogs ineligible by reason of not having sire or dam registered.

In order to meet this situation, the Stud Book Committee
has decided to accept the suggestion of the Executive Committee referred to above, not only for Field Trial Dogs but for dogs of any recognized breed.

The Committee therefore recommends that from Oct 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31, 1918 the Stud Book be opened to American bred dogs whose pedigrees can be established for three generations.

Very truly yours,
Ralph C. Stewart
Chairman Stud Book Committee

The above report was accepted and its recommendation adopted subject however to an amendment substituting the date Oct 1<sup>st</sup> in the last paragraph to read July 1, 1918.

The Boston Trial Board reported on the following cases:

Investigation as to Certain Alleged Charges against C. F. Sullivan
American Kennel Club
Vs.
C. F. Sullivan

This case was referred to the Boston Trial board with the request that the same be investigated and reported upon, and arises out of two letters addressed to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, in which the writers claim that Mr. Sullivan, being a duly licensed Judge of the American Kennel Club, received money for handling dogs at certain shows.

One of these letters is signed Mr. Dorthey and the other is signed M. Kelly. Each of the same being dated at Boston, the first, December 22, 1917 and the second Oct 30, 1917. Further than this, these is no means of identifying the writers. The name Dorthey does not appear in the Boston Directory and there are about 20 Kellys in the Boston Directory, where the first name beings with M. The Board, therefore, had no means of communicating with
either of the supposed writers of these letters. Mr. Sullivan however, was notified and duly appeared before the Board, Wednesday, May 15, 1918.

The Board is of the opinion that these letters are virtually anonymous letters and should not be made the basis of charges even if they were intended as such by the writers. They do not fulfill the requirements of the American Kennel Club in various matters of form, and the Board is of the opinion that the writers intentionally concealed their identity.

Mr. Sullivan, however, waived these informalities and requested the Board to allow him to testify notwithstanding he was told by the Board that it did not consider the matter contained in these letters required any answer from him.

Mr. Sullivan's request was granted, and he explained to the Board that for the past several years he had never received any re-numeration either in money or otherwise for showing dogs. He emphatically denied the charges made in the above mentioned letters, and stated that at the specific shows mentioned, the only dogs which he had shown had been either ones which belonged to his wife or ones which belonged to friends of his, for which he received no re-numeration of any kind for handling. The Board unanimously believed the statements made by Mr. Sullivan and would therefore recommend that no further action be taken.

Samuel R. Cutler (Chairman)
E. W. Dwight
George S. West

Boston, MA. May 17, 1918

In the matter of Special prize for the best team in the show of the Worcester Kennel Club for 1917.
Murray & Maxwell

Vs.

Worcester Kennel Club

This is a claim for an unpaid Special (S 20) offered at the 1917 show of the Worcester Kennel Club.

The Board has received various communications from different parties including the Superintendent at said show, Mr. T. E. L. Kemp, of Bridgewater, MA, and the complainants which correspondence, together with the documents referred to the Board by the Board of Directors are made a part of this report. And now upon consideration of the same, the Board finds that the special in question was duly delivered and received by the complainants and that they make no further claim.

The Board would therefore recommend that no further action be taken.

Samuel R. Cutler (Chairman)
E. W. Dwight
George S. West

On motion these reports were accepted and ordered on file.

The New York Trial Board reported as follows:

New York, June 17, 1918

License Committee

Vs.

E. G. Snow, Jr.

In the matter of charges made by A. McClure Halley against E. G. Snow, Jr. for violation of the condition on entry form adopted by the American Kennel Club, which reads as follows:

"I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that there has been no case of distemper within the period of six weeks prior to this date in any kennel in which the dog or dogs herein entered have been quartered during any part of that time."

Beg to report that their Board has met and heard witnesses at three
different sessions of their Committee, including beside the complainant and defendant, veterinarians, kennelmen, Committees from the Pekingese Club and others cognizant or claiming to be cognizant of the facts, and as a result your Committee feel that the charges as made are sustained.

Mr. Snow it is hardly necessary to say, denied the charges and gave testimony as to his fairness and desire to obey and be governed by Kennel Club rules, and whilst making no attempt to disclaim responsibility for his acts beyond being governed by the advice of the veterinarian employed by him on the particular occasion referred to, i.e., April 28, 1917, when the dog Laurel of Lang was shown at Staten Island, does feel that his veterinarian’s advice absolves him from all responsibility.

Doctor Slawson, the veterinarian in question, states the dog, Laurel of Land had an undoubted case of distemper, and that there were other dogs infected during this period. Doctor Slawson was succeeded by Doctor French, who testified that there were no cases of distemper in the kennels at this time or at any time between April 3, 1917 and May 23, 1917. Doctor French’s testimony before our Committee stated there were the usual and ordinary dog troubles, chiefly auto intoxication, but no distemper.

Doctor Slawson’s testimony on which Mr. Snow based his right to show Laurel of Land at Staten Island on 28th of April, 1917 is as follows:

Q. Was Laurel of Land entirely cured in your estimation April 15, 1917?
A. He was out of danger on that date, and it would have been safe to show him, provided he did not come in contact with any other dogs.

Q. Did you tell Snow that this dog was all right to be shown?
A. Yes. Snow asked me when he could be shown and I told him any time after three weeks from March 25th, provided he did not come into contact with other dogs, and was out of danger. Any well dog could carry the germs to other dogs, and in a way it would be re-infected.

Q. Did Snow’s dogs have distemper after you left?
A. Yes. There were a number of dogs infected at that time.

Q. Do you think it could have been auto intoxication?
A. No.

Q. Were there other dogs sick beside Laurel of Lang?
A. Yes. The kennels were not clear for a long time. Snow admitted his dogs had distemper, and is now treating them with Del Creo.

Doctor Slawson testified – “that he had never shown dogs, and was not familiar with the rules of the American Kennel Club, but as already stated, he thought there would be no danger in showing Laurel of Lang provided he did not come in contact with infected dogs.”

Further testimony of Doctor Slawson, as shown by stenographer’s minutes, is as follows: “I told Mr. Snow that Laurel of Lang could be shown if he did not come in contact with other dogs, as the disease could be re-infected in a way, but he did not do this, and that is the way the other dogs around him were sick with distemper.”

Part of the testimony consisted of a paper, furnished us by Mr. Halley, dated July 6, 1917, addressed – “To Whom It May Concern” purporting to be signed by Yankibourne Kennels, and consisting of a testimonial as to the efficacy of Del Creo, the first clause of which read as follows:

“On the day of April, 1917, I had seven cases of distemper and four of chronic auto-intoxication and decided to
give Del Creo a trial. I singled out three dogs affected with chronic intestinal distemper and dosed them according to directions received from the Delson Chemical Company. At the end of four days I had received no results whatsoever, either detrimental or beneficial; in fact, my dogs seemed to be lingering on in the same condition, as at the time I first started the Del Creo; while those which had not been dosed at all were growing steadily worse. This convinced me that to a certain extent, Del Creo was arresting the action of disease, and I decided that the doses were not sufficient to effect a cure. I then decided to double my doses and found a marked improvement 24 hours after, increasing the amount of each dose."

Yankibourne Kennels

We believe that Mr. Snow realizes the gravity of these charges, and your Committee believe there has been gross carelessness in showing a dog just recovering from distemper, and in association with other infected dogs, and recommend that Mr. Snow be suspended from showing dogs for a period of 90 days from this date.

Francis G. Lloyd (Chairman)
Theodore Offerman
John G. Bates

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the special Committee, through the Chairman of the Publication Committee with the legal opinion of the Chairman of the Law Committee, it was decided to combine the publication of the Gazette and the Stud Book beginning with the June issue of the Gazette. The report was accepted and the recommendation adopted.

Charges by Harriet F. Price vs. Arthur Golding were referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

Charges by Walter Brown against J. Bate Locke were referred to
the Chicago Trial Board for investigation.

The complaint of Harry Weinberg against the Kansas City Boston Terrier Club for alleged violation of rules in that the published Judge of said Show was called away after he had completed the regular classes leaving the Special Classes and the Local Classes to be judged by a substitute appointed by the club. The substitute Judge re-judged all of the regular classes. This matter was referred to the Rules Committee for a proper interpretation.

The Sullivan case reported upon by the Boston Trial Board was on motion referred back to the License Committee.

A letter from M. Joe Murphy, Phoenix, Arizona, was referred to the Law Committee and its report was read. On motion the matter was referred to the License Committee.

A complaint from W. N. G. Clarke against the Ladies Dog Club for its failure to have the numerals on the ribbons awarded at its late show in the size that complies with the rules was presented and on motion the Ladies Dog Club was reprimanded and that the fine called for in the rules be remitted in this case.

The Toy Spaniel Club of America gave notice that it had abandoned its show for 1918 and requested that dates be awarded to it to be held in 1919 and that the date deposit now in the hands of the American Kennel Club be transferred to cover its new claim.

On motion the same action was taken in this case as have been taken in similar cases, namely, that in case the above mentioned club does not hold a show on or before December 31st of the calendar year the date deposit shall be forfeited.

The Doberman Pinscher “Arno von Maryschel” No. 236,294 was entered at the shows held by the Lynn Kennel Club and the Ladies
Charity Show in 1918 in the name of F. H. Hardy as owner and with the wrong breeder given in both catalogues. On motion the Secretary was directed to impose a fine $1.00 for each offense at both of the above mentioned shows.

The bill for disbursements by the Western Representative for six months to June 5, 1918 amounting to $22.25 was ordered paid.

Mr. Collins, in behalf of the License Committee, suggested that all Licensed Judge should become Associate Subscribers to the American Kennel Gazette and the Secretary was directed to request such Judges as were not already Associate Subscribers to become Subscribers.

It was moved, seconded, and carried that the Stud Book be opened to all American-bred Dogs from July 1st to December 31, 1918 to give an opportunity to the owners to register their breeding stock who neglected to do so in 1915. The Secretary was directed to accept such applications of dogs whose pedigrees can be established for three complete generations during the time specified; the fee for such registrations to be fixed at $2.00.

On motion the subscription price of the Gazette, beginning with July 1st, is fixed at $3.00 per year, single copies $0.30 per copy.

On motion the Secretary was authorized to withdraw the reserve fund and place some in the working capital of the club.

Mr. Stewart moved that the German Shepherd Dog Club of America be granted an extension of time to enable it to have its Charter amended in the matter of its title.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Special Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club Held
Tuesday, August 13, 1918

President Hunnewell in the Chair.

The following members were present:
H. H. Hunnewell
John F. Collins
Dr. De Mund
Ralph C. Stewart
R. P. Keasbey
W. Ross Proctor
C. F. Neilson

The President stated that the object of this meeting is to consider the question of
the approval of dates for Dog Shows throughout the United States during the
continuance of the War. After a general discussion on the subject it was moved and
unanimously carried that the Secretary be instructed to write to the Bureau of Animal
Industry and the Chairman of the Food Commission at Washington stating that the
American Kennel Club is a national organization, also the objects of the club and to
volunteer the information that the American Kennel Club will be pleased to render any
assistance or its services at any time that the Government may have occasion to
consider the holding of Dog Shows and to assure the Government of its hearty desire to
co-operate with it if called upon.

It was further moved and unanimously carried that in case the Bureau of Animal
Industry or the Food Commission should call upon the American Kennel Club that a
Committee of three consisting of the President and two Vice-Presidents were elected as
such Committee.

It was moved and carried that all deposits shall be returned
to applicants for dates if the shows are prohibited by order of any Governmental Department.

It was moved and carried that the minutes of this meeting shall be for record only and is not to be published in the official organ of the club.

There being no further business before the Committee, on motion the meeting adjourned.

Secretary

In compliance with the above instructions the Secretary mailed to the Bureau of Animal Industry and the Chairman of the Food Commission at Washington DC letters of which the following is a copy:

New York, August 14, 1918

Dear Sirs:--

The American Kennel Club consisting of a membership of one hundred and thirty regularly organized Kennel Clubs, which are represented by Delegates at all meetings of the National body and such Delegates enact the rules and laws which govern the dog affairs of the entire country. It also publishes the Stud Book which contains the registrations of thoroughbred dogs, copies of which are filed at the Congressional Library and the Department of Agriculture each year.

At a meeting of the Executive Committee held August 13, 1918 a motion was unanimously adopted to offer its services and co-operate with Governmental Departments should any occasion arise by which our aid to the Government could be of any service. To this and a Committee consisting of the President, and the two Vice-Presidents of the American Kennel Club had been appointed, which Committee
will be glad to render such service, as your Department may desire.

Yours truly,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Regular Quarterly Meeting of the Delegates of the American Kennel Club
Held at its Offices, Tuesday, Sept 17, 1918

President Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following Clubs were present by Delegates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associate Delegate</th>
<th>Francis G. Lloyd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airedale Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>William L. Barclay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Fox Terrier Club</td>
<td>H. H. Hunnewell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Pomeranian Club</td>
<td>Theodore Offerman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulldog Club of America</td>
<td>Edwin L. Boger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cairn Terrier Club of America</td>
<td>DeForest Hicks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow Chow Club</td>
<td>E. C. Waller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Kennel Club</td>
<td>Charles A. Kelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dachshund Club of America</td>
<td>G. Muss-Arnolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club</td>
<td>James J. Fox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Bulldog Club of America</td>
<td>Robert F. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Bulldog Club of New England</td>
<td>Fred. Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island Kennel Club</td>
<td>John F. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltese Club Doctor</td>
<td>E. H. Berendsohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark Kennel Club</td>
<td>John A. Kelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club</td>
<td>Rowland P. Keasbey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Wolfhound Club</td>
<td>Dr. De Mund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle Kennel Club</td>
<td>James E. Meade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy Dog Club of New England</td>
<td>W. F. Kinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toy Spaniel Club of America</td>
<td>Daniel P. Ritchey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster Kennel Club</td>
<td>William Rauch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the Gazette of May 31st, 1918 were on motion accepted as published.
New York, Sept 16, 1918

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting, I have received an application for active membership from the American Chesapeake Club, also Credentials from the Eastern Beagle Club appointing L. Rollin Moore, New Jersey Beagle Club, appointing George B. Hughes, Bull Terrier Club of America, appointing John W. Britton II as Delegates. These applications have been referred to our Membership Committee, which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

The Louisville Kennel Association has been incorporated under the name of the Kentucky State Fair Kennel Club, and requests the change of title upon our records.

I also beg to present a request from Mrs. C. Davis Tainter in behalf of herself and Mrs. Charles H. Lester, Henry Sampson, Jr., Mrs. Henry Sampson Jr., Mrs. Loomis L. White, and Mrs. Abbie Rubino to change the name of the breed now known as the Dachshunde to that of the Bader Hound.

The Stud Book Committee, to which was referred the matter of recognizing the winner of the Champion Race of the Amateur Field Trial Clubs of America as the Amateur Champion of the year, and to record same in the Stud Book as a Field Trial Champion reports in favor or recognizing such winner in our list of Field Champions.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.
Delegates (3)

The meeting then went into an election for active members and delegates. The Chair appointed William L. Barclay and Edwin L. Boger as Tellers.

The application of the American Chesapeake Club of active membership was taken up, and after a ballot was taken the Chairman announced the unanimous election of the American Chesapeake Club.

The following Credentials were acted upon and duly accepted.

The Chairman announced the result as follows:

The Bull Terrier Club of America appointing John W. Britton II; Eastern Beagle Club, appointing L. Rollin Moore, and the New Jersey Beagle Club appointing George B. Hughes.

The Field Trial Committee presented the following report:

“Enclosed you will find the various letters mailed to me, in your letter of May 23rd, regarding the Amateur Championship of the Amateur Field Trial Clubs of America.

After looking into this matter very carefully, and getting the consensus of opinion of all the Setter and Pointer men, I know, my opinion is, that the winner of the Amateur Field Trial Clubs of America’s Champion Race, should be recorded, as the Amateur Champion of the year, in the Stud Book of the American Kennel Club Kennel Club, and if I am unable to be present at the next meeting of the Board of Directors, I wish that you would advise them as above.

Yours very truly,

Chetwood Smith, Chairman

George S. West”

On motion the report was accepted, and the recommendations duly adopted.

Mr. Collins asked the privilege for Mr. R. C. Cleveland, representative of the German Shepherd Dog Club of America to address the
Delegates (4)

meeting. On motion the request was duly granted.

Mr. Cleveland then took the floor and addressed the meeting and after reciting the resolution of a former meeting by which the German Shepherd Dog Club of America was directed to drop the word German in their title, said that a Committee from his club had met the Stud Book Committee, to which the matter had been referred during the latter part of May 1918, and had discussed with the Stud Book Committee the question of renaming its breed of dogs, and as a consequence amended its Charter so that the word German might be eliminated, and also said that it was the consensus of opinion of the Members of his club that the name of the breed should be either The Police Dog, the American Shepherd Dog, or the American Sheepdog, and that his Club was not only willing but anxious to eliminate the word German from the name of the club, and of its breed of dogs, and that as no report on this subject had been received from the Stud Book Committee, the German Shepherd Dog Club of America felt that it was due to it that the matter should be settled at once.

After Mr. Cleveland retired, the subject matter of his address was thoroughly discussed by the members present, and it was finally resolved that the name of the breed of dogs formerly known as the German Sheepdog be and hereby is changed to read the Shepherd Dog, and that the title of the German Shepherd Dog Club of America be changed to read the Shepherd Dog Club of America.

An application from certain members of the Dachshund Club of America to change the name of the breed now known as the Dachshunde to that of the Badger Hound was presented to the meeting. The delegate of the Dachshund Club agreed to the change of name, except however that it should be known as the Badger Dog. This matter was thoroughly known as the Dachshunde be and hereby is changed to read the Badger Dog, and
Delegates (5) that the title of the Dachshund Club of America be changed to read the Badger Dog Club of America.

A communication from the secretary and Treasurer of the Louisville Kennel Association notifying us that pursuant to a resolution passed at its regular annual meeting in December 1917, that they have amended their Charter by changing the name of the Louisville Kennel Association to that of the Kentucky State Fair Kennel Club, and would request that this change be made in the records of the American Kennel Club.

On motion the request was duly granted, and the name of the Louisville Kennel Association will from this day be known as the Kentucky State Fair Kennel Club on the records of the American Kennel Club.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. VREDENBURGH
Secretary
Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club Held at its Offices, Tuesday, Sept 17, 1918.

President Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following members were present:
Rowland P. Keasbey
Alfred B. Maclay
William Rauch
C. F. Neilson
James E. Meade
Hollis H. Hunnewell
William L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
Dr. De Mund
John F. Collins
Francis G. Lloyd
E. L. Boger
Charles A. Kelly

On motion the minutes of the last meeting as published in the Gazette were duly accepted.
The Secretary read his report as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.
A report from the Rules Committee.
A report from Stud Book Committee.
A notice from the Delaware State to the effect that it has abandoned its show for 1918.
The resignation of Samuel R. Cutler as Chairman of the Boston Trial Board.
A communication from Lieut. H. H. Crowell, in reference to the cancellation of his Kennel Name “Hailon”. Lieut. Crowell appeals for the reinstatement of his kennel name giving as his reason that he was in the service and away from home and overlooked paying his maintenance fee to continue the kennel name.
A report from the Special Committee appointed to send
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a suitable resolution on the death of Mr. A. G. Hooley.

The following excuses for absence from this meeting have been received from W. Ross Proctor, Ralph C. Stewart, and George S. West.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

The Treasurer’s report is as follows:

New York, Sept 16, 1918

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial report from Jan 1st to date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1st, 1918</td>
<td>$10,280.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>21,091.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31,091.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>22,391.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$ 8,980.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer

On motion the report was accepted and ordered on file.

On motion the vacancy on the Board occasioned by the death of Mr. Bloodgood was laid over until the next meeting.

The Rules Committee reported as follows:

July 16, 1918

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

The following matters have been taken up by the Rules Committee since the last regular meeting of the Board of Directors.

The complaint of Harry Weimberg against the Kansas City Boston Terrier Club because the regularly appointed Judge did not complete judging all of his classes, and a substitute Judge replaced him, the latter judge re-judging all of the
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classes judged by the regular judge as well as those which the latter did not judge. Correspondence had with the officers of the club developed the facts that this method was decided upon after the consultation of the Committee, and with the exhibitors; no protest against this procedure having been lodged by any of the exhibitors. Because of these facts your Committee decided to sustain the action of the Bench Show Committee of the Kansas City Boston Terrier Club, but wish it specifically understood that this decision shall not be taken as a precedent in subsequent cases of a like nature.

This case, the facts of which have been just recited, seems to have been the first of its kind in the history of the American Kennel Club, consequently there was no precedent to follow in the matter and your Committee believed that some interpretation of Rule XVIII, Paragraph 6, should be made by this Committee so that should such a case happen again officials of a Dog Show would know how to act. After considerable discussion, the Committee decided unanimously that in a case where the regularly advertised judge had judged some of his classes, and then found it absolutely made by the regularly advertised judge shall stand and the judge substituted shall judge only the other classes and specials.

The Committee decided to hold public hearings on any proposed new rules or changes in the rules and publish the following notice:

The Rules Committee has decided that hereafter public hearings will be held by this Committee, at the offices of the American Kennel Club, on all proposed new rules, or changed in rules, before this Committee either approves or disapproves of any changes in the rules. Stenographic notes will be taken of all arguments made by Fanciers. Fanciers who cannot arrange to attend these hearings may submit their opinions in writing.

All suggestions either oral or in writing will be given due consideration by the Committee, and also will be at the disposal of the delegates before they are called upon to vote on any proposed changes in the rules. Notices of these hearings will be sent to the Kennel Press 10 days before the date of the hearings. All communications in this respect should be addressed to the Chairman and received at this office on or about the date of the hearing.

The request of T. Gilpin Massey for a divided winners classes according to sizes for Beagles was denied. Should this request be granted, it would undoubtedly lead to similar requests for many other breeds.

Some years ago, the American Spaniel Club and the Dachshund Club succeeded in getting separate winners classes for the different colors in these two breeds, which was immediately followed by a request form the Collie Club for separate winners
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classes for this breed divided by colors which latter request was refused, and a
resolution was adopted at that time to the effect that the division of winners classes by
color and weight should not be given, which resolution seems to cover the case as
request by Mr. Massey.

Respectfully submitted,
John F. Collins
Chairman

On motion the report was accepted and the recommendations duly adopted.

A letter from the Delaware State Fair notifying us that the show of 1918 had been
abandoned, owing to shipping facilities.

On motion of Mr. Collins, the Secretary was directed to hold the date deposit of
the Delaware State Fair to cover any future claim for dates.

The resignation of Mr. Samuel R. Cutler as Chairman of the Boston Trial Board
was presented, and on motion the same was accepted with regrets.

Doctor E. W. Dwight was nominated as Chairman of the Boston Trial Board and
was unanimously elected.

The vacancy on the Boston Trial Board was on motion referred to the President
of the American Kennel Club with power to name a successor to Mr. Cutler.

The appeal of Lieut. H. H. Crowell to reinstate his kennel name Hailon, which
was cancelled for the non-payment of maintenance fee.

Lieut. Crowell gave as his reason for failure to pay said fee, that he was in the
service and away from home.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to accept the maintenance fee of the
kennel name Hailon, and upon receipt of same to reinstate the name.
The resolution on the death of Mr. A. G. Hooley was reported by the Special Committee, and on motion the same was directed to be spread upon the minutes.

RESOLUTION. Much as Mr. A. G. Hooley will be missed as a breeder to the dog world, to the American Kennel Club, Board of Directors, Executive Committee, and other Committees, in which capacity he always rendered most loyal and efficient service. His sound judgment, knowledge and interest in kennel matter will be greatly missed by the club.

The Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club beg to convey to Mrs. Hooley and the family, the club’s most sincere sympathy.

Excuses for absence from this meeting were received from William Ross Proctor, George S. West, and Ralph C. Stewart and on motion same was accepted.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
President Hunnewell in the Chair.

The following members were present:

Hollis H. Hunnewell
John F. Collins
Dr. De Mund
William Rauch
Rowland P. Keasbey
C. F. Neilson

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York Sept 16, 1918

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following reports:

From the New York Trial Board Re Harriet F. Price vs. Arthur Goulding.


Report of the Boston Trial Board Re Miss Marielouise Whittlesey vs. Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts.

A communication from the License Committee.

A communication from the War Industries Board.

The Secretary of the Treasury urges a conversion of the 3 ½ % and 4% Liberty Bonds to the Bonds paying 4 ¼ %. I would recommend, with the consent of the Finance Committee, that the bonds we now hold to be converted into 4 ¼ % bonds.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Sept 9, 1918

In the matter of the charges preferred by Mrs. Harriet F. Price against Arthur Goulding, referred to the New York Trial Board by Executive Committee of American Kennel Club at its meeting, held June 18, 1918.

Beg to report that their Board has met and heard witnesses, and as a result your Committee feel that the charges as made are sustained.

Mrs. Price changes “that a Cairn Terrier Bitch (then in Season), was sent to Goulding March 29th to be cared for by him and mated to a dog of my (her) selection.”

Mrs. Price received on April 10th a letter from Goulding stating --- “I fixed all up and bred “Podge” (the bitch in question)- to Inverness Doran, so you had better send check to I. Black” – which she did.

Black was Payne Whitney’s Kennelman.

On April 16th, Payne Whitney enclosed to Mrs. Price a letter from Black, in which he stated that Podge had not been bred to the Greentree dog, and later in accordance with Mr. Whitney’s instructions, check- which Mrs. Price had sent, was returned to her without any explanation from Black.

Podge whelped on May 19th, two pups, which would indicate that she was bred soon after he receipt by Goulding. The pups, according to Mrs. Price and Black, Mrs. Whitney’s Kennelman, are undoubted Carins.

At a time Podge was in Goulding’s care, and was allowed to have the run of the house, there was in his care at that time the Cairn Terrier Lugate Lucid, belonging to Mrs. Byron Rogers, and during Goulding’s absence in the West (California), it is assumed by your Committee that Podge was bred to Lucid. Goulding testifies that during his absence the kennel was in the Army. Mrs. Goulding, according to Goulding’s testimony, could give no information, or had none to give us as to the mating, and Goulding claimed he could get no word or reply from Gough on the subject.

Mrs. Price saw Black, Mr. Whitney’s Kennelman, at the Gravesend Show, and he intimated that Goulding bred Pdoge to Lochearn Gillie, Goulding, however says Lochearn Gillie was never in his kennels.

We had no occasion to call Mrs. Bryon Rogers before our Committee, but wrote to her to see if she could throw any light on the subject, and quote from her reply on July 27th.

Arthur Goulding has made no admissions to me regarding
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The mating of Podge. Writing me on a business matter, he added, “Mrs. Price got a decision on the breeding of Podge that she was bred in my absence in Chicago, and got registration on Lucid, as he was at my place at the time.” It is hardly necessary to say that your Committee gave no such decision, although all the indications point to Lucid as the Sire of the pups, and if your Committee concur we should advise that Mrs. Price be given permission to register the pups on payment of Stud Fee to Mrs. Rogers.

Goulding’s statement in letter to Mrs. Price that Podge was bred to Inverness Doran and requesting her to send check for service to Mr. Whitney, was admitted by Goulding on the stand to be false. He said he requested check, as he intended to breed Podge the next day. This was, of course, not done or possible to be done, as the bitch was already in whelp.

Your Committee recommend that Goulding be suspended from all the privileges of the Kennel Club, for four months from date.

Francis G. Lloyd, Chairman
John G. Bates
Theodore Offerman

On motion the report was accepted and the recommendation of the Board approved, except that the penalty of suspension of six months instead of four months be imposed. CARRIED.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board is as follows:

Chestnut Hill, PA
July 11, 1918

Directors of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

The Philadelphia Trial Board reports as follows on the case of Doctor H. B. Kobler vs. Philadelphia Kennel Club.

Your Board received a letter from the Secretary of the American Kennel Club under date of May 23rd, “advising that the report of the Phila. Trial Board on this matter had not been accepted and that the matter was referred back to the Board.” To find out and report the date on which the entries of Mr. W. T. Payne were received by the Kennel Club of Phila.

Under date of March 23rd, in a letter which was forwarded with and made a part of, the first report, Mr. Foley, the Superintendent of the Show writes: I do not remember when the entries were received”, and “on inquiry of my assistants they do not remember the day they were received”, and again “They were received by mail and the envelop was nto saved and we have no way of telling what the date of the postmark was”.

Obviously, therefore, the Philadelphia Trial Board cannot definitely determine the date on which these entries
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The matter may be reviewed as follows:

(1) Mr. Payne had not been accustomed to show his Cocker Spaniels under the later Mr. Bloodgood.

(2) At the New York Show, after the entries for the Philadelphia Show had closed, it is claimed he informed Doctor H. B. Kobler that he had no entered at Phila.

(3) The entries of Mr. Payne’s Cocker Spaniels in the show catalogue, show the letter A. before six of the eight entries indicating some irregularity in the numbering of the catalogue.

On the other hand, it has been shown to the satisfaction of your Board, that a doubt existed before the closing of the entries as to whether Mr. Bloodgood would officiate as Judge. It is shown that Mr. Payne knew of this doubt and reserved his entries in case a substitute was appointed; also that Mr. Foley wrote to Mr. Payne under date of Feb 11th that entries had been reserved for eight Cocker Spaniels owned by Mr. Payne and suggesting that he (Mr. Payne) send his particulars as soon as possible.

Under date of March 22nd, Mr. Payne wrote the Phila. Trial Board that he had reserved entries for Cocker Spaniels early in Feb and sent them in due time.

Mr. Payne explains his conversation with Doctor Kobler at the New York Show by the statement that he told Doctor Kobler to the effect “that he was not showing any dogs in Philadelphia under Mr. Bloodgood”, and according to his (Mr. Payne’s) recollection the word “showing” was used and not the word “entered”. This Doctor Kobler disputed under date of June 9th.”

The fact that some of Mr. Payne’s entries in the catalog had a letter before the number while others do not appear with the letter, influences your Board to disregard the claim that the letter indicated a late entries. The letter before an entry is so common that all such entries cannot be open to the suspicion of being late entries.

Your board has questioned Mr. Foley further and has had an interview with Doctor Kobler in an effect to go deeper into the matter. Letters from both are forwarded with this report.

To sum up the matter, your Board is of the opinion that a case has not been made out against the Philadelphia Kennel Club. Further, it is the opinion of the Trial Board that in case the usual fine is imposed on the Philadelphia Kennel Club or any action taken against Mr. Payne for making late entries, that the burden of proof should be on the American Kennel Club to show that these entries were received late, and not on the Phila. Kennel Club or Mr. Payne to show that they were received in time.

Holding this view of the matter at the time of the previous
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report, your Board hesitated to make any recommendation but left the matter open for any action which the American Kennel Club might see fit to take in case the Directors do not coincide with the view of the matter taken by the Philadelphia Trial Board.

Your Board regrets its inability to determine definitely the date on which these entries were received and respectfully submits the results of its investigation for any action which the American Kennel Club may see fit to take.

J. Sergeant Price Jr.
William L. Barclay (Chairman)

On motion the report was accepted and the case dismissed.
The report of the Chicago Trial Board is as follows:

July 12, 1918
A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary:
American Kennel Club
1 Liberty Street, NY

Mr. Dear Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh:

We return herewith all papers in the case of Walter Brown of Winnipeg, Canada, and J. Bate Locke of Chicago.

The Trial Board assembled at the Grand Pacific Hotel at four o’clock pm July 10, all members being present. Both Mr. Brown and Mr. Locke were present, although the former had been advised by you that his attendance was not necessary. At the suggestion of members of the Trial Board, Messrs. Brown and Locke went through all correspondence that had been passed between them to their satisfaction, and they parted good friends. In fact Mr. Locke received Mr. Brown as his guest, took him to the station where he could take a train to see some kennels along the North Shore, and today had him as his quest kennels along the North Shore, and today had him as his quest at the Vickery Kennels at Barrington, Illinois.

Mr. Brown expressed his entire satisfaction with the treatment he received from the Trial Board, and also with the terms of settlement agreed upon between himself and Mr. Locke. He informed us that the would write a letter to that effect to you. We thought this would be the best way to adjust this case, as there seemed to be considerable error on each side, and the only thing that stood in the way of an amicable settlement, without the intervention of the Trial Board, was some rather peppery letters Mr. Brown had written Mr. Locke on the subject.

We presume that to make this official, it will be necessary for Mr. Brown to formally withdraw the charges of misconduct preferred against Mr. Locke. He has agreed to do that, and you will doubtless hear from him soon after his return to Winnipeg? He will probably read home Saturday or Sunday.
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On motion the report was accepted as the case dismissed.
The report of the Boston Trial Board is as follows:

Boston, MA. July 15, 1918

In the matter of the Special Prize offered by the respondent Club at The Braintree Show for the Best Poodle including Toy Poodles, Open to All. To be won outright at this show.

Miss Marielouis Whittlesey vs. Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts.

This case was referred to the Boston Trial Board by the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club for investigation and report.

A large amount of correspondence between the different parties in interest and with the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, and other officials thereof, as well as other letters turned over to this board have been carefully considered by each member of the board and several conferences have been held with reference to said correspondence.

The Board gave a hearing which the petitioner and the respondent were each invited to attend. The petitioner appeared in person and the respondent appeared thru its attorney, Everett W. Crawford Esq. of Boston. The original prize list of the Braintree show at which the cup in question was offered was shown to the board and the case was extensively discussed and argued by both sides, and now after giving the matter careful consideration, it seems to the Board that Miss Whittlesey is absolutely entitled to the so-called Braintree Cup, which must have an actual value $30.00.

The Rules of the American Kennel Club expressly state that “show-giving Clubs shall be responsible for the errors of publication of special prizes and shall award prizes of even value”, and that “all prizes must be in accordance with the description in the premium list.” We take this to mean that if a special is printed in the prize list and the donor does not give the same, the show-giving Club must give it themselves, also that when the value is stated for full description of the prize given, the prize awarded has got to correspond to this value and description.

This rule seems to have been enacted to insure fair dealing on the part of show-giving clubs as well as to encourage confidence among exhibitors.

We can see no connection between the so-called Rochardson Cup originally offered in 1905 and the Braintree Cup. Neither can we see any connection between the Braintree Cup and the Champion Chasseur Memorial Trophy. We believe that Miss Whittlesey was absolutely entitled to the latter, and if the winner of it at the Ladies Kennel Association of Mass. Show held on June 5, 1917, under the terms as printed in the prize list, demands this cup, we believe that the Ladies Kennel Association of Mass. would have
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to furnish one under the American Kennel Club Rules. In other words, they would be responsible for furnishing two of these cups in view of the fact that they printed the conditions in this prize list in the form in which they did.

In our opinion Miss Whittlesey was entitled to both the Braintree Cup valued at $30.00 and the Champion Chassuer Memorial Trophy as well.

Further than this, we do not see on what ground the Secretary of the American Kennel Club delivered the so-called Braintree Cup, which Miss Whittlesey sent to him to Mrs. Clark.

The correspondence and other documents considered by this Board in arriving at the foregoing report is attached hereto and made a part of this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel R. Cutler
Edwin E. Dwight
George S. West

On motion the report was accepted and recommendations duly adopted.

The License Committee referred the case of Mrs. Attmore Robinson officiating as a judge at the late show of the Ladies Kennel Association of America.

Mrs. Robinson applied for a Judge’s License and the same was not acted upon by the Committee, but was left in abeyance subject to future consideration. A letter from the Superintendent of July 11th states “That he called at the office of the American Kennel Club, and checked up the applications from those who had been submitted to the American Kennel Club for action, and found that Mrs. Vernon Castle had not applied. On my return to the office, I immediately got into communication with Mrs. Castle’s Secretary and told her to send the application at once as the American Kennel Club was to have a meeting of its License Committee within a day or two. At the time of going over the applications, we agreed that all the applicants were in good standing, and I naturally supposed that the Licenses had been granted at the May meeting.”

Mr. Collins stated that it was highly improper for any club
To take for granted that all applications for licenses would be approved, and on the strength of such presumption to permit any person to Judge who could not show their License Card.

It was therefore moved that the Ladies Kennel Association of America, and the Superintendent, George Gail, be publicly censured by a notice in the Gazette for permitting an unlicensed Judge to act, and further that a similar action at any time by any club will be dealt with severely.

The Secretary presented a communication from the War Industries Board and his reply thereto. On motion the communication was received and ordered on file.

On motion all matters relating to the Liberty Bonds now held by the American Kennel Club and for the possible subscription to the forth-coming issue of Liberty Bonds be and hereby is referred to the Finance Committee with full power to use its discretion.

Dr. De Mund stated that some action should be taken in regard to the failure of the Stud Book Committee to report more promptly on the matters referred to it.

It therefore moved the following preamble and resolution:

WHEREAS It has come to our notice that the Stud Book Committee did not hold a meeting since the latter part of May, 1918, and through the representative of the German Shepherd Dog Club of America, we find that said Committee did no hold a meeting to act upon the naming of its breed of dog since the latter part of may, 1918, and notwithstanding the fact that this subject was referred to the Stud Book Committee for its action at the meeting of the American Kennel Club in May last, it remains a fact that up to this day, no report has been received of any action in the matter on the part of the Stud Book Committee.

It was therefore RESOLVED by this Committee that the
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Stud Book Committee should meet at least once each month and report upon cases sent to it for action, and in case it does not come to a prompt decision on matters pending, that said Committee is directed to return the papers in such cases as has not been acted upon back to the American Kennel Club, and that the Executive Committee will thereupon take over the work of the Stud Book Committee.

Carried.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
HELD TUESDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1918

Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following members were present:

Associate Delegate      Francis G. Lloyd
American Fox Terrier Club     Hollis H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club     Theodore Offerman
Atlanta Kennel Club      James Gardner Rossman
Bull Terrier Club of America      John W. Britton II
California Airedale Terrier Club    C. Frederick Neilson
Chow Chow Club      E. C. Waller
Dalmatian Club of America      Alfred B. Maclay
Eastern Dog Club      George S. West
Greyhound Club of America      Joseph Z. Batten
Hudson County Kennel Club     J. M. Fangmann
Irish Terrier Club of America     John G. Bates
Long Island Kennel Club     John F. Collins
Maltese Club Doctor      E. H. Berendsohn
National Beagle Club      G. Mifflin Wharton
New England Beagle Club     Chetwood Smith
Newfoundland Club of America     Charles R. Wood
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club     R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club      Dr. De Mund
Seattle Kennel Club      James E. Meade
Shepherd Dog Club of America     Reginald Mci. Cleveland
Westchester Kennel Club      George Greer
Delegates

The minutes of the regular meeting held in Sept were accepted as published in the Sept Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York Dec 17, 1918

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the following credentials have been received. From the Shepherd Dog Club of America, appointing R. MCI. Cleveland, and from the Lades Kennel Association of Massachusetts, appointing E. G. Snow, Jr. These credentials have been referred to the Membership Committee, which will report on same at this meeting.

I have signed a pledge to the Pulp and Paper Division of the War Industries Board that we will not exceed a tonnage of 6620 lbs. in the publication of the Gazette and Stud Book.

Since the signing of that pledge, I have received official notice from the said Industries Board that all rules and regulations of the Pulp and Paper Division affecting the publication of all periodicals excepting daily, Sunday, and weekly newspapers are withdrawn, but however, strongly recommend that we will not exceed tonnage as set forth in the pledge above referred to.

In accordance with Article XVII, Section 3 of the bylaws, the Membership Committee must nominate Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1919, and said Committee must recommend a Nominating Committee to nominate Directors and Committees to fill the vacancies that will occur at the annual meeting in Feb 1919.

I have a request from William A. Richards, Pikesville, Maryland, recommending that the separation of puppy classes into two classes; namely, from six and under nine months, and nine and under twelve months, be made a rule to apply to all shows. This request should be sent to the Rules Committee.

The Empire Beagle Club has sent a notice to the effect that it has been obliged to cancel its Trials of 1918 until next year. This club was obliged to postpone its Trials on account of the influenza. At the date of postponement approved by the License Committee, the Empire Beagle Club found that the Hotel at which their headquarters were to be established, had been closed for the season, and that the owner was unable to secure servants to properly care for the club and its friends, and therefore were obliged to abandon to show and ask that the date deposit now in our hands should be transferred to cover their claim for next year.

Respectfully submitted
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
(3) Delegates.

On motion the report was accepted and placed on file.

The Membership Committee reported favorably on the Credentials from the Shepherd Dog Club of America and the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts.

The Membership Committee in accordance with Article XVIII, Section 3, of the bylaws nominated as a Committee to nominate Directors and Committees to fill the vacancies that will occur at the annual meeting in Feb 1919 the following:

George Greer, Chairman
Dr. De Mund
Ralph C. Stewart
C. F. Neilson
Howard Willets

The above nominations were placed before the meeting, and were unanimously elected as a Nominating Committee.

The communication from William A. Richards recommending that the puppy class be divided, six months and under nine, and nine months and under twelve, to apply to all shows was on motion referred to the Rules Committee.

The appeal of the Empire Beagle Club to transfer their date deposit now in the hands of the American Kennel Club to cover the claim for Trials to be held in 1919, for the reason that owning to a postponement of the date, which was brought about by the influenza epidemic and the hotel at which quarters were to be secured had been closed for the season.

On motion the application was granted, and the Secretary was
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directed to transfer the date deposit now in his hands to cover the claim for dates for
Trials to be held in 1919.

The Secretary reported the Rules from the War Industries Board in reference to
the tonnage of paper to be used in the publication of the American Kennel Gazette and
Stud Book, and on motion the same was received and ordered on file.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
HELD TUESDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1918

Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

The following Directors were present.
Rowland P. Keasbey
Alfred B. Maclay
Charles R. Wood
John G. Bates
Chetwood Smith
C. F. Neilson
James E. Meade
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Theodore Offerman
Dr. De Mund
W. Ross Proctor
George Greer
John F. Collins
Francis G. Lloyd
George S. West

The minutes of the Sept meeting as published in the Sept Gazette were accepted as published.

The Secretary read his report as follows:
(2) Board of Directors

New York, Dec 17, 1918

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

Charges preferred by George F. Foley, Superintendent of the Philadelphia Charity Show against Miss Frances A. McCahill. This check was returned by the bank with a notice “Not sufficient funds.” Notice to that effect was sent to the maker of the check to which no reply had been made, after which a registered letter was sent to the lady marked “Return Receipt Requested”. This was returned to Mr. Foley marked “Return to the writer, Refused.”

The Western Beagle Club asks the American Kennel Club to decide a question referring to entries in their futurity stake. I would recommend that this matter be referred to the Field Trial Committee.

At the late Detroit Show, there appears to be a misunderstanding in reference to the prize money to be distributed in the open classes. There are two exhibitors who claim prize money that the Detroit Kennel Club refused to pay until the American Kennel Club interprets officially the question at issue. I would recommend that this be sent to the proper Committee to report on same.

Mr. L. T. Holliday, Anaconda, Montana, applied for the kennel Washoe, Feb 18, 1918, and after waiting until Nov 1, 1918, requested the return of his fee, upon the ground that the Stud Book Committee had ample time to act upon his application, and as the Committee had not replied to any of his letters, I considered that the return of his fee was only just, and returned same, and have his receipt thereof.

I have a communication from Doctor E. W. Dwight of the Boston Trial Board in reference to the publication of excerpts from the not the full report of its Trial Boards. I believe that this custom had been approved by this Board, and that the publication Committee had been so instructed.

I would report that since our last meeting, President Hunnewell appointed T. Dickson Smith, as a member of the Boston Trial Board, to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Mr. Cutler.

A bill for expenses of the Western Representative from June 8th to Nov 25, 1918 amounting to $10.60 and I would recommend that this bill be paid.

I have charges preferred to U. V. Woegerer against Louis E. Rode. This matter was referred to the New York Trial by the License Committee for investigation only. The New York Trial Board reported that in its opinion, there is strong evidence of fraud.
(3) Directors

in the matter of the registration of a Boston Terrier, and as the witness, cognizant of the facts agreed to prefer charges. I recommend that these charges be referred to the Trial Board for Trial.

I have excuses for absence from this meeting from W. L. Barclay, R. W. Creuzbaur, William Rauch, and Charles A. Kelly.

A communication from the delegate of the Eastern Beagle Club which I would recommend to be referred to the Field Trial Committee.

A request from the president of the Southampton Kennel Club for a remission of the date deposit made for the show of 1918 for the reason that the Government refused to furnish a Special Train to reach the show grounds.

Respectfully admitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

On motion the same was accepted and ordered placed on file.

The Treasurer’s report is as follows:

New York, Dec 17, 1918

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial report from Jan 1st to date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1st 1918</td>
<td>$10,280.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>31,031.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41,311.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>29,732.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11,579.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn from Reserve Fund</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>6,579.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I can report that all bills for active dues, Associate Subscription, Maintenance fees, and Advertisements were mailed from this office on Nov 30th, and I am pleased to say that the returns to date have been very satisfactory.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Treasurer
(4) Directors

On motion the same was accepted and ordered placed on file.

The charge made by George F. Foley, Superintendents of the Philadelphia Charity Show against Miss Frances A. McCahill was read, and on motion the same was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

The communication from the Western Beagle Club in regard to two claimants for the futurity stake at the Trials held by the Western Beagle Club, on motion was referred to the Field Trial Committee.

The communication from the Secretary of the Detroit Kennel Club in reference to two claims for money prizes was referred to the Directors for a proper interpretation of the advertisement published by the Detroit Kennel Club in the matter of money offered in open classes was presented, and on motion the Secretary was directed to notify the Detroit Kennel Club that the prizes claimed were properly due to the exhibitors, and that they were entitled to same.

The letter from L. T. Holliday in the matter of the application for the kennel name Washoe was on motion referred to the Executive Committee.

After considerable discussion, on matters pertaining to the Publication Committee, it was decided that the said Committee will govern itself by the consensus of opinion as expressed at this meeting.

The bill from the Western Representative amounting to $10.60 was presented, and the Secretary was directed to pay same.

Charges preferred by C. V. Woegerer against Louis R. Rode was on motion referred to the New York Trial Board.

The communication from L. Rollin Moore, Delegates of the Eastern Beagle Club, in reference to Championship points at Field Trials was on motion referred to the Field Trial Committee.
(5) Directors

The Southampton Kennel Club, owing to the impossibility of securing a special train for their show to be held in 1918, requested that the date deposit might be transferred to cover a show by said club to be held in 1919 was on motion granted, and the Secretary was directed to notify the Southampton Kennel Club of the result.

Mr. George S. West stated good and sufficient reasons why the Eastern Dog Club was not able to hold its show, December 31st, to Jan 2nd, and requested that the date deposit might be transferred to cover a show to be held by said club in 1919. On motion the request was granted.

Mr. Collins, in behalf of the License Committee, asked the opinion of this meeting in reference to granting permission to Licensed Judges to officiate at shows not held under American Kennel Club Rules was taken up and discussed, and on motion, it is the consensus of opinion that the License Committee should grant permission to as few of such applications as possible, and to none of them unless there is a good and sufficient reason shown why it should do so.

The Secretary announced that since the last meeting, the President appointed Mr. T. Dickson Smith as a member of the Boston Trial Board to fill the vacancy occasioned by the resignation of Samuel R. Cutler, and on motion the appointment was confirmed.

The following excuses for absence from this meeting were granted; Ralph C. Stewart, William L. Barclay, R. W. Creuzbaur, William Rauch and Charles A. Kelly.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Hollis H. Hunnewell in the chair.
The following members were present.
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Charles R. Wood
John F. Collins
Dr. De Mund
Rowland P. Keasbey
Chetwood Smith
W. Ross Proctor
C. F. Neilson

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the Gazette were duly approved, and ordered placed on file.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Dec 17, 1918

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:
Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matter for your consideration.

A communication from the Secretary of the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts.

An appeal from Mr. Theodore Offerman for the reinstatement of Arthur Goulding, who was suspended at the last meeting for a term of six months. Mr. Offerman asks permission to appear before your Committee in the matter.

A report from the Philadelphia Trial Board in the case of L. V. Almirall against the Delaware Valley Farm Kennels.

A report from the Stud Book Committee in the matter of a registration of an Airedale Terrier in which the wrong dam and breeder was given, and with a recommendation that the matter be sent before the proper Trial Board.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
(2) Executive Committee

On motion same was accepted and ordered placed on file.

The communication from the Secretary of the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts in reference to the Nellcote Challenge Cup for the best French Bulldog Dog or Bitch bred by one of the Nellcote Kennel Stud Dogs, and presented to the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts by Mr. and Mrs. S. L. Goldenberg was presented to the meeting.

On motion the Secretary was directed to communicate with the donors of said cup and ascertain the disposition that they may want to make of said cup, as it had not been competed for since 1908.

The appeal from Theodore Offerman in behalf of Arthur Goulding, who was suspended by this Board until March 1919, was on motion granted, and the Secretary was directed to reinstate Arthur Goulding to good standing on Jan 1, 1919.

Dr. De Mund assumed the chair temporarily.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the case of L. V. Almirall against the Delaware Valley Farm Kennels and J. F. Crangle was presented and is as follows:

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

The Philadelphia Trial Board reports as follows on the case of Almiral vs. Delaware Valley Farms Kennels.

Mr. Leon V. Almiral paid two visits to the Delaware Valley Farms Kennels in 1917, on the second of which he purchased a Russian Wolfhound bitch for $100.00, and had same shipped to him in Colorado. Mr. Almirall claims that Mr. Crangle Supt. Of the Delaware Valley Farm Kennels told him that the bitch had been registered with the American Kennel Club. Mr. Crangle disputes that he told him that the bitch had been registered, but admits that he told him that the bitch could be registered. Mr. Almirall furnishes the Trial Board with a pedigree which he claims was given him by Mr. Crangle at the time of the sale. This pedigree gives sire of the bitch sold as Holmes Lusky, dam Coutess Orloff, both being registered and making the bitch sold eligible for registration, if bred in accordance with that pedigree. Mr. Crangle at first denied that he had given any pedigree to Mr. Almirall.
with the bitch. On being shown the pedigree in his handwriting dated the day of the sale, he admitted that he gave the pedigree to Mr. Almirall.

Later on being pressed by Mr. Almirall to carry out his agreement to have the bitch registered, Mr. Crangle attempted to register a bitch Olga Orloff by Obilska ex. Zenda Orloff. This bitch was ineligible for registration by reason for the same Zenda Orloff not being registered. This application for registration was refused in June 1918 by the office of the American Kennel Club. After some further delay and a charge being preferred against the Delaware Valley Farm Kennels by Mr. Almirall, Mr. Crangle succeeded in having registered the dam Zenda Orloff and also the bitch shipped to Mr. Almirall Olga Orlogg. A certificate of registration was sent to Mr. Almirall. The pedigree on the application for registration of Olga Orloff was entirely different from the pedigree which Mr. Crangle admits to have furnished Mr. Almirall at the time of the sale. Mr. Crangle claims to have notified Mr. Almirall some weeks after the sale that the pedigree furnished was incorrect. The letter under date of July 5th, from Mr. Almirall’s attorneys to Mr. Crangle clearly indicates that no letter as to the incorrectness of the pedigree indicates that no letter as to the incorrectness of the pedigree if Mr. Almirall himself had registered the bitch on the pedigree furnished to him by Mr. Crangle, that the incorrect blood lines for the bitch Olga Orloff would have gone on record in the Stud Book.

It had been amply proved that the Delaware Valley Farm Kennels has been guilty not only of gross carelessness but of utter disregard for the responsibility which all kennels should assume in furnishing pedigrees with stock sold.

Your board recommends that the $10.00 deposit be returned to Mr. Almirall and that the Delaware Valley Farm Kennels be suspended Executive Committee on this report.

Yours truly

S. Sergeant Price Jr.

William L. Barclay

On motion the recommendation of the Philadelphia Trial Board was adopted and the Delaware Valley Farm Kennels and Mr. J. F. Crangle was suspended for the period of six months from the date of this meeting. Mr. Hunnewell resumed the chair.

On motion the Dr. De Mund, Mr. Crangle is warned that a repetition of the issuing of wrong pedigrees will result in his disqualification.
Executive Committee

The Stud Book Committee reported as follows:

Chestnut Hill, PA Dec 14, 1918

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee reports as follows in the matter of the registration of the Airedale Bitch Peggy XXX referred this Committee for investigation.

Doctor William P. Martin, Newark, NJ registration the Airedale Bitch Peggy XXX giving name of dam as Brandwood Witchcraft, date of birth as July 11, 1918, breeder M. V. Vibert, Weston, NJ. Miss Pauline W. Smith, Monroe, Conn. Writes to the American Kennel Club challenging this registration on the ground that she has owned this bitch for more than a year and that Brandwood Witchcraft whelped no puppies on July 11, 1918.

Your Committee has traced the bitch Brandwood Witchcraft from the Kennel of Philip Brand, Chicago, where she was bred through four owners to Miss Pauline Smith, and finds that at no time was she owned by Mr. Vibert, and that therefore the registration is incorrect, and it is hereby cancelled. A letter was sent to Doctor Martin asking for a copy of the pedigree furnished him at the time of sale. Mr. M. V. Vibert replied to this letter asking what was wrong with the pedigree. The Stud Book Committee took the position that it was for Mr. Vibert to say what was wrong with the pedigree or to certify to its correctness, and he was so advised. Mr. Vibert replied admitted that Brandwood Witchcraft was not the dam pf Peggy XXX, but that the latter was bred by Mr. Swart of Margaretville, NY out of an Airedale bitch Miss Trailer, who was out of Brandwood Witchcraft. Mr. Vibert also claimed that this was out of Brandwood Witchcraft. Mr. Vibert claimed that this error occurred from a confusion of pedigrees. This explanation is unsatisfactory to the Stud Book Committee. Brandwood Witchcraft is unsatisfactory to the Stud Book Committee. Brandwood Witchcraft is on of the best bred Airedale in the country. A pup out of her would have considerable value. Peggy XXX would have gone on record with the American Kennel Club with incorrect blood lines if Miss Smith had not come forward with the information contained in her letter. It is obviously impossible for the Stud Book Committee to provide against the confusion of pedigrees. It is obviously the duty of those registering dogs to have some authority for the facts set forth on the registration blank. Doctor Martin has furnished the Stud Book Committee with a copy of the pedigree given him by Mr. Vibert. This pedigree does not give Mr. Vibert’s name as the breeder of Peggy XXX and no explanation to date has been give to the Stud Book Committee as to how Mr. Vibert’s name as breeder came to be on the registration blank. Unless the proper name of breeder is given with each registration, it is impossible for the Stud Book Committee to verify the facts.

The Stud Book Committee recommends that Mr. Vibert be summoned before a Trial Board to be further questioned in regard to the confusion of pedigrees, and unless a satisfactory
explanation be forthcoming, that appropriate action be taken by the Trial Board.

Respectfully,
William L. Barclay
For the Stud Book Committee

On motion the case was referred to the New York Trial Board.

It was moved, seconded and carried, that the Executive Committee demands to go on record that the original motion by Mr. Collins of the License Committee to the effect that Licensed Judges were requested to become Associate Subscribers, that the same should be amended to read that it should be suggested instead of requested for such Licensed Judges to become Associate Subscribers, for the reason that the Committee believe it would be best for all Licensed Judges to become such Associate Subscribers, so that they could keep in touch with the various changes of rules and official notifications that appear from time to time in the American Kennel Club Gazette and Stud Book.

The Secretary reported that the Stud Book Committee had failed to act upon several applications for kennel names that had been pending for months, and on motion, Charles R. Wood and William L. Barclay are requested to hold a meeting of the Stud Book Committee in order to pass on all matters pending.

On motion of Mr. Collins duly seconded and adopted, the Secretary was directed to pay all employees of the American Kennel Club office, the same Xmas presents as were paid in December 1917.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
HELD TUESDAY, JAN 21 1919

President, H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

The following members were present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Chas. R. Wood
John F. Collins
Dr. De Mund
Ralph C. Stewart
Wm. Rauch
R. P. Keasbey
C. F. Neilson

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York Jan 21, 1919

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

A communication from the Secretary of the Pekingese Club of America, and Mr. Harry T. Peters in reference to specials to be offered at the Westminster Kennel Club show and overlooked by the Superintendent when the copy for the premium list was sent to the printer.

A communication from George F. Foley Superintendent of the Philadelphia Charity Show Association, in reference to specials competed for by a dog whose entry in the limit class was cancelled.

An application from the Pinehurst Field Trial Club, licensed to hold a show at Pinehurst, NC on April 2nd and 3rd to change its title to that of the Pinehurst Kennel Club.

A complaint against the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, in reference to shows held for the benefit of
the Blue Cross Society.

A communication from the Detroit Kennel Club in reference to the claim for prize money by T. S. Paterson and W. H. Shanks, that was decided by this Committee at its last meeting.

At the San Antonio Kennel Club Show held December 14\textsuperscript{th} to 16\textsuperscript{th} 1918, Mr. Enno Meyer, a licensed judge was advertised to officiate. At the last moment, Mr. Meyer informed the club that it would be impossible for him to attend. The club therefore appointed Stanley J. Miller men were not licensed judges.

A request from the Spanish Kennel Club for donation of volumes I to XXVII inclusive of the Stud Books.

A report from the Stud Book Committee will be submitted for your consideration.

A communication from M. V. Vibert criticizing our methods in investigating pedigrees.

Pursuant to Article XVIII, Section 4, of the bylaws, the President is directed to appoint three delegates to act as canvassers for the vote of the Associate Subscribers for delegates to represent them for the year ending Feb 1920.

I respectfully request this meeting to fix a date in Feb for the Annual Meeting of the American Kennel Club.

Respectfully submitted
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

A communication from the Secretary of the Pekingese Club of America to the effect, that in the Premium List of the Westminster Kennel Club, the dates of the Specials offered by the Pekingese Club of America were printed from “Show 1918 to Show 1919”. This is a typographical error, and should be from “Show
1919 to Show 1920”.

Permission was asked to print the correct dates in the Westminster Kennel Club’s Catalogue, and on motion the same was granted.

A communication from the Superintendent of the Westminster Kennel Club to the effect, that on Nov 3rd, 1918. the Old English Sheepdog Club offered to the Westminster Kennel Club the same specials as were offered in 1918. In printing the Premium List for the show of 1919 the Superintendent failed through an oversight to publish these specials in his Premium List.

An application from Mr. Harry T. Peters, Vice President of the Old English Sheepdog Club in a circular marked “Addenda to the Premium List”, and same must be mailed to all the known Old English Sheepdog owners before the entries close on Jan 27th 1919.

A letter from the Philadelphia Charity Show Association, asking a ruling whether a dog entered in the limit class and its entry having been cancelled by the American Kennel Club, for the reason that said dog was not eligible to said limit class, could compete for specials. After considerable discussion, the matter was referred to the Rules Committee for its consideration and re-
port its finding to the next meeting of the American Kennel Club.

A request from the Pinehurst Field Trial Club to change its title to read the
“Pinehurst Kennel Club” was on motion granted.

Complaints against the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America were presented to the
Meeting, and it was decided that the matter did not come within the province of the
American Kennel Club, and the complainants are recommended to make their charges
with the Yorkshire Terrier Club.

A letter from the Detroit Kennel Club in reference to claims against it for prizes,
the conditions of which were ruled upon by this Committee at its last meeting was read,
and same was ordered on file as a matter of record.

The Stud Book Committee rendered its report as follows:

Chestnut Hill, PA
Jan 17, 1919

To the Executive Committee
Of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee reports as follows in the matter of the
registration of the Great Dane Bitch Madame Petrova, #245,459.

This bitch was registered by Andrew Hammond 271 E. 37th Street, Brooklyn. The
name of sire was given Prinz Von Weisman 174,738. Mr. Ludwig 553 3rd Ave. New York
claims to be the owner of Prinz Von Weisman and advises the American Kennel Club
that his dog was never bred to the bitch named on the registration application signed by
Mr. Hammond.

A letter was sent to Mr. Hammond advising him that the registration has been
challenged and asking for any explanation of the matter which he
might have to offer. No reply to this letter was received.

The Stud Book Committee recommends that Mr. Andrew Hammond be
summoned before a Trial Board to show cause why action should not be taken against
him for registering a false pedigree.

Respectfully,
Wm. L. Barclay
For the Stud Book Committee

The recommendation that same should be referred to the Trial Board was
approved, and on motion the matter was referred to the New York Trial Board with a
notice to report its finding back to the Stud Book Committee.

A letter from M. V. Vibert was read to the meeting, and on motion the same was
laid on the table.

An application from the Spanish Kennel Club, Madrid, Spain, for a donation of
Volumes of the Stud Book was on motion granted.

The President, acting under Article XVIII, Section IV of the Bylaws appointed.

Mr. Theo. Offerman
Mr. Daniel P. Ritchey, and
Mr. E. C. Waller

as tellers to canvass the votes of the Associate Subscribers for delegates to represent
them.

On motion the annual meeting of the American Kennel Club is ordered to be held
on Tuesday, Feb 18th, 1919.

On motion, the Rules Committee was directed to present an amendment to the
bylaws by which the Board of Directors may
appoint an Assistant Secretary when in its opinion it is deemed expedient.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
President H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

Present:

Associate Delegates: Francis G. Lloyd and Spencer Eddy
- Airedale Terrier Club of America: William L. Barclay
- American Fox Terrier Club: H. H. Hunnewell
- Atlanta Kennel Club: James G. Rossman
- Badger Dog Club: George S. West
- Colorado Kennel Club: Chas. A. Kelly
- Eastern Dog Club: G. A. Flammer
- Empire Beagle Club: Ralph C. Stewart
- Erie Kennel Club: Thomas Hartman
- Irish Terrier Club of America: John G. Bates
- Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts: E. G. Snow, Jr.
- Long Island Kennel Club: John F. Collins
- Maltese Club: E. H. Berensohn
- Manchester Terrier Club: William P. Wolcott
- National Beagle Club: G. Mifflin Wharton
- Newark Kennel Club: J. A. Kelly
- Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club: R. P. Keasbey
- San Mateo Kennel Club: Howard Willets
- Scottish Terrier Club of America: Henry Bixby
- Shepherd Dog Club of America: Reginald M. Cleveland
- Toy Dog Club of New England: W. F. Kinder
On motion the minutes of the last meeting were adopted as published in the December Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, Feb 18, 1919

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting, I have received an application for active membership from the Ohio Valley Kennel Club. This club has held two annual shows under license.

The Mississippi Valley Kennel Club was dropped from membership for non-payment of dues at the Feb meeting in 1918. Since that time it has paid the dies for 1918 and 1919 and respectfully asks if the meeting will reinstate it to Active Membership.

I have credentials from the Ohio Valley Kennel Club appointing Justice Townsend Scudder as its Delegates, and from the New Jersey Beagle Club appointing George B. Hooley as its delegate.

These applications have been referred to the Membership Committee which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

I beg to present the certificate of the Board of Tellers certifying to the election of Francis G. Lloyd and Spencer Eddy as delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1919.

I would also present the reports of the Rules Committee and of the Field Trial Committee.

I have the resignation of the Scottish Deerhound Club as an active member. This club is in good standing to
Delegates (3)

Jan 1, 1919, and I would recommend the acceptance of the resignation.

Pursuant to the bylaws, I notified 23 clubs of their suspension for non-payment of dues, and also that if same were not paid on or before the date of the annual meeting, they would be automatically dropped from membership. Sixteen clubs have paid their dues for 1919, and I have dropped from membership the following clubs; for their failure to pay their dues.

Bayside Kennel Club of Southern California
Birmingham Kennel Club
Cincinnati Kennel Association Company
El Pismo Beach Kennel Club
Garden City Kennel Club
New Bedford District Kennel Club
San Diego Kennel Club

Respectfully submitted
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

On motion the representative of the Associated Press was allowed to be present at this meeting.

The Secretary read the certificate of the Board of Tellers appointed to canvass the votes for the Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers as follows:

New York, Feb 5, 1919

To the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Article XVIII, Section 4 of the bylaws the Secretary of the said club duly opened the ballots of the Associate Subscribers entitled to vote in the presence of the tellers appointed by the President of said club, and the undersigned tellers hereby certify as follows:

Associate Subscribers entitled to vote---- 230
Number of votes cast 74
Scattering 4
Irregular and thrown out 6

We therefore declare the following candidates, Francis G. Lloyd and Spencer Eddy, having secured the highest number of votes, to be duly elected to represent the
Delegates (4)

Associate Subscribers for the year 1919, and until their successors shall have
been elected.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Board of Tellers:
Theodore Offerman
D. P. Ritchey
Edward C. Waller

The Treasurer presented his report for the year 1918 as follows:

Treasurer’s Report for 1918

New York, Feb 18, 1919

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the receipts and disbursements for the year 1918 as follows:

Receipts from all sources from Jan 1st to Dec 31st, 1918, $29,866.31.
Disbursements for the same period $32,012.98, and balance on hand at the beginning of
the year Jan 1, 1918 was $10,296.66. The balance on hand at the ending of the year
December 31, 1918 was $8,143.99.

My accounts were submitted to Townsend and Dix, licensed auditors, and I have
their certificate dates Feb 10, 1919 certified as correct.

I beg to direct your attention to the following figures.

The composition for the Stud Book from

1907 to 1909 inclusive was 35¢ per 1000 Ems.
1910 to 1913 " " 40¢ " " "
1914 to 1917 " " 50¢ " " "
1918 " " 60¢ " " "
1919 " " 80¢ " " "

All other items on the publication of the Stud Book have increased
proportionately.
Delegates (5)

The rent of the office has been increased $1,000 per year beginning with May 1, 1919.

With this increased expenses, it would be obvious to your that during the period of high prices, that we have not made any increase in our prices, except an addition of $0.10 per copy for the Gazette.

I am strongly of the opinion that we will be obliged to make additional charges on registrations in order to meet the deficit. This is a question for your consideration or to refer same to the Stud Book Committee with power to settle the matter.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer.

The meeting went into an election for members and delegates.
The Chair appointed Messrs. W. P. Wolcott and W. Ross Proctor as tellers.
The application of the Ohio Valley Kennel Club for active membership was presented and balloted for and the Chair announced said club duly elected to active membership.

Townsend Scudder was unanimously elected as a Delegate to represent the Ohio Valley Kennel Club, and George B. Hooley was unanimously elected as a delegate to represent the New Jersey Beagle Club.

The Mississippi Valley Kennel Club was reinstated to good standing as an active member.

The nominating Committee announced the following nominations for directors.

For the Class of 1924 – Morris Kinney
Francis G. Lloyd
George S. West
E. L. Boger
John Sinnott
C. A. Kelly
Delegates (6)

For the Class of 1922 Spencer Eddy in the place of H. K. Bloodgood deceased and Townsend Scudder in place of O. Carley Harriman no longer a delegate.

For the Class of 1920, R. W. Creuzbaur to succeed himself for the full term.

The Rues Committee reported as follows:

New York, Jan 29, 1919

The Rules Committee have the honor to report as follows:

The proposed change to Rule V., Section A of Rules Governing Dog Shows making two puppy classes, one for puppies over six months and not over nine months and another for puppies nine months and not over twelve months, referred to this Committee by your honorable board at its last meeting, was considered by this Committee at a regular meeting held Jan 22\textsuperscript{nd} last. Your Committee unanimously decided to report against this proposed change for the following reasons:

1\textsuperscript{st}—Rule VI of Rules Governing Dog Shows provided that Dog Shwo Committees may provide such classes for dogs as they may choose which allows for any show officials to provide two classes for puppies instead of one if in judgment it is best so to do.

2\textsuperscript{nd}—Your Committee does not deem it expedient to make mandatory rules of this character preferring to leave such matters optional with dog show officials as far as possible.

The following changes to rules suggested by Mr. R. F. Mayhew were also considered at this meeting.

1\textsuperscript{st}—Full Championship ratings to be allowed only to shows giving not less than $15.00 cash prizes in not less than three regular classes for each winners class and half rating where less than the above amount is given.

2\textsuperscript{nd}—A dog may be entered for Specials only, but a dog so entered may only compete for specials which call for two or more dogs to compete as a unit.

3\textsuperscript{rd}—Unclassified Specials restricted to members of a club may only be offered at shows held by the club with which such specials are identified.

Your Committee unanimously decided to disapprove of these three changes suggested by Mr. Mayhew. The matter of the Championship ratings is being ably handled by the License Committee along lines which seems to be giving quite general
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Satisfaction, and their actions in this connection should not, for the best interests of all, be interfered with by this Committee. In fact this Committee has not jurisdiction in this matter. As to the other two proposed changes, we believe should the American Kennel Club make such rules it would be absolutely unfair to the various clubs and further would tend to decrease competition and consequently be inimical to the best interest of the dog.

The communication from Mr. Foley, Superintendent of the Philadelphia Charity Show Association Show held Oct 5th last, which reads as follows:

A. P. Vredenburgh, Secretary
American Kennel Club

Dear A. P. Vredenburgh:

Will you give me a ruling on the following point? At a show of the Phila. Charity Show Assn. at Bryn Mawr, PA, on Oct 5th, Mrs. A. L. Holland’s Dog Choo-Tai of Hydegree was awarded first in limit and winners, the dog being entered only in the limit class. Subsequently the limit win was cancelled for ineligibility and Mrs. Clinton I. Martin’s dog Li Chang of Marimar was moved up from reserve winners to winners.

What I want to know is whether Mrs. Holland’s Dog, being physically present at the show, is also eligible for all the specials that were awarded to it at this show. I do not think that Mrs. Martin’s dog would automatically, by reason of being moved up to winners, win some of the specials, as Mrs. W. S. Belding won winners bitches with Yatte of Bayldon, and if the ineligibility of Mrs. Holland’s dog has been known at the show, the bitch and Mrs. Martin’s dog would have entered into competition for specials, as Li Chang and Yatta both answered special requirements, and did not come into competition at all.

Yours very truly,
George F. Foley, Supt.

And which was referred to this Committee for a ruling by the Executive Committee at its meeting held Jan 22nd last, was considered and this Committee decided unanimously to rule that as this dog was not properly entered in any regular class that all of its wins both in the regular classes and specials shall be cancelled. Such prizes to be void where there is no dog of record to move up, and that in computing the Championship rating for its breed at this show, this dog shall be considered as not in said show.

Your Committee in this connection have decided to recommend the adoption of the following rule:
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Addition to paragraph One, Rule XIV, of Rules Governing Dog Shows:

“Should a dog be not properly entered in any of the regular classes at a show, all prizes including special prizes awarded to said dog shall be cancelled. Such prizes to be void where there is no dog of record to move up, and in computing the Championship Points for its breed, said dog shall not be considered as in the show.”

The instructions received form the Executive Committee (decided upon at its meeting above noted) to present an amendment by which the Board of Directors may elect an Assistant Secretary when in its opinion it is deemed expedient was considered.

To create this new officer would necessitate an amendment to the bylaws, but as Article XXIII, Section 1 of the bylaws provided as follows:

“The constitution or bylaws may be amended at the annual meeting of the club only, and notice of any proposed amendments must be filed in writing, 60 days prior thereto.”

And since such 60 days notice cannot be given, your Committee decided that the Executive Committee must have overlooked this contingency and therefore such amendment we are not recommending at this time, but will do so later should the Executive Committee with us to do so.

John F. Collins
Chairman

On motion the report was accepted and the recommendations adopted.

The Field Trial Committee reported as follows:

Boston, Feb 11, 1919

American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

The Field Trial Committee desire to report that they have done over the various Field Trials held under our rules during the past year, and that all awards have been checked up and the proper ratings given each trial. Also that there seems to be even better feeling of cooperation existing between the various Beagle Clubs and the American Kennel Club, than formerly; that the various Clubs were doing their best to follow our rules, there being this year no deliberate signs of transgression.
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The papers in the Lentilhon-Van Dyke case are being returned, as without further information which we are unable to obtain, it is impossible for us to act.

We have decided against the recommendations of L. Rollin Moore, as stated in our special report which is as follows:

After careful consideration of Mr. L. Rollin Moore’s recommendations, we are of the opinion that it would not be advisable to incorporate them in our rules, as under these recommendations it would be possible to hold a trial consisting of 25 starters and having the winner receive 5 points.

These recommendations have merit, but we believe the possibilities they open up more than counteract the desirable thoughts contained in them.

Respectfully submitted,
Chetwood Smith, Chairman

On motion the report was accepted and the recommendations adopted.

The Scottish Deerhound Club of America filed its resignation as a member of the American Kennel Club, and as said club was in good standing on Dec 31, 1918, the resignation was on motion duly accepted.

On motion Mr. Daniel P. Ritchey was permitted to pay the dues of the Brussels Griffon Club of America, and Mr. James Gardner Rossman was permitted to pay the dues of the Atlanta Kennel Club, in order to prevent said clubs from being automatically dropped at this meeting.

The question of new quarters for the club was referred to the Finance Committee and Mr. Spencer Eddy. They were requested to report to the Secretary at the earliest possible amount.

On motion of Mr. Collins it was directed that the complete report of the Treasurer be published in the Gazette containing the statements made by the Secretary relative to the advanced cost of all
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expenses of the American Kennel Club.

A full discussion was held on the question of increasing certain of the fees which resulted in the general consensus of opinion that notwithstanding the fact that in almost every direction prices and fees had been greatly increased, the American Kennel Club was not willing to impose a further burden on the owners and breeders of dog.

The club decided to make good any deficit for the calendar year owing to the increase in our printing bill and the increase of rent of 33%.

Owing to the small demand for the Volumes of the Stud Book for the past years and necessarily the accumulation of that stock on hand, Mr. Lloyd moved to ask the Finance Committee to recommend the sale of these back volumes at a nominal price to be fixed by it.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
Present:
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
John G. Bates
William Rauch
H. H. Hunnewell
William L. Barclay
William Ross Proctor
Howard Willets
George Greer
John F. Collins
Francis Lloyd
George S. West
Charles A. Kelly
Spencer Eddy

The minutes of the last meeting were accepted as published in the December Gazette.

The Secretary presented the following report:

New York, Feb 18, 1919

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consid-
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A report from the New York Trial Board in the matter of the registration of the Airedale Terrier Peggy XXX also in the matter of the case of the Woegerer vs. Rode.

A report from the Philadelphia Trial Board in the case of the New York Charity Show vs. Miss Frances A. McCahill. In this case, a question of a check returned to the superintendent of the show form the bank marked “Not sufficient Funds”. I beg to inform you that under date of Feb 4th, Miss McCahill sent a check to the American Kennel Club for $5.00 to take up the check that was not good. I hold this check subject to your instructions.

At a recent meeting it was decided that the American Kennel Club should recognize the winner of the Champion Stake held by the Amateur Field Trial Clubs of America. From a letter received through its Secretary dated Jan 9, 191, it is evident that the Amateur Field Trial Clubs of America are very careful about the title of Champion, and in that letter notified me that nine dogs started at the Championship Stake at Bradley Hills, Maryland on Dec 27, 1918, and the Judges certified as follows: “Under the conditions encountered, none of the dogs showed the quality of bird work or class which would justify the title of the Amateur Champion. Upon vote of the clubs present, it was decided however that all of the dogs entered would be eligible to compete without payment of entry fee under the 1919 Amateur Championship Stake.

I have an application from the Newark Kennel Club to the effect “That it is desirous of enlarging its jurisdiction and is contemplating changing the name of the club from the Newark Kennel Club of Newark, NJ to that of the Newark Kennel Club of Essex County, NJ or to that of the Essex County Kennel Club of Newark. The proposition being to cover the entire county of Essex, thereby enabling us to hold shows outside of the city of Newark.”

The Queen City Kennel Club failed to hold its show in 1918, on account of the war conditions and assumes that its date deposit of $25.00 will now apply to cover a show for 1919.

This club has asked for dates for March 13th to 15th or April 10th to 12th to be held in Cincinnati, OH. It now rests with this meeting whether it will permit this date deposit to be transferred to cover the new claim.

I will submit correspondence with the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts and Miss Marielouise Whittlesey also from Samuel L. Goldenberg which refers to the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts.

I have a complaint from W. H. Young vs. Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts for its failure to pay to him certain unpaid specials at the last show held by that club,
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also a complaint from John Eager vs. William Holton, in which Eager claims that Holton had given a faked pedigree for an Irish Terrier.

I have correspondence with H. L. Moxley & Company in reference to the additional rent to be charges for our office of May 1, 1919.

The following excuses for absence at this meeting have been filed, Charles R. Wood, Chetwood and James E. Meade.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

On motion the meeting went into an election for officers, Committees and trial board to serve for the year 1919.

H. H. Hunnewell was nominated as President.

Howard Willets was nominated as First Vice-President.

Dr. De Mund was nominated as Second Vice-President.

On motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the nominees, and having done so, the Chair announced the unanimous election of H. H. Hunnewell as President, Howard Willets, as First Vice-President and Dr. De Mund as Second Vice-President.

A. P. Vredenburgh was nominated as Secretary-Treasurer.

A vote was taken by acclamation and the President announced the election of A. P. Vredenburgh as Secretary-Treasurer.

Nominations for Committees were then made and the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the nominees which was done, and the chair announced the election to the Membership Committee of

Charles R. Wood, Chairman
Howard Willets
Theodore Offerman
C. F. Neilson
William Rauch
The following nominations for members of the different Trial Board were made and the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the nominees which was done, and the Chair announced the following elections.

New York Trial Board  Francis G. Lloyd, Chairman
                      John G. Bates
                      Theodore Offerman

Philadelphia Trial Board  W. L. Barclay, Chairman
                          J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
                          R. J. Johnson, Jr.

Boston Trial Board  E. W. Dwight, Chairman
                    George S. West
                    T. Dickerson Smith

Chicago Trial Board  John C. Eastman, Chairman
                    James A. Pugh
                    William F. Grower
Directors (5)

San Francisco Trial Board --- C. W. Conlisk, Chairman
    Nat. T. Messer
    W. S. Macy

Mr. O. F. Vedder was unanimously elected as the Western Representative.

The Treasurer presented the following report.

New York, Feb 18, 1919

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial report from Jan 1, 1919 to date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1st</td>
<td>$8,143.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>4,533.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,677.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>3,590.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$9,087.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted

A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

On motion the financial report was accepted and ordered on file.

The New York Trial Board presented the following reports:

Jan 20, 1919

American Kennel Club:
1 Liberty Street, NYC

Gentlemen:

In the matter of the registering the Airedale Peggy XXX this board find while there was carelessness by M. V. Vibert in giving the wrong pedigree to Doctor Martin intention of wrong doing and therefore recommends the matter be dismissed and that the Airedale Peggy XXX be registered out of Miss Trailer AKC No. 234679, and

American Kennel Club:

In the matter of Woegerer vs. Rode complainant charging that Louis E. Rode on June 4th and 5th, 1918, at Ladies Kennel Association of America Show and on June 22, 1918 at North Shore Kennel Club of Long Island Show, entered a Boston Terrier bitch puppy Rode’s Dolly date of birth given on entry blank and catalogue as Oct 4, 1917, and same puppy was entered at Hudson County Kennel Club Show Sept 1918 and at the Boston Terrier Specialty Show, Oct 26, 1918 and at these two shows date of birth was given as Oct 29, 1917.

This is confirmed by entry blanks, registration certificate and show catalogues. At the first three shows referred to above Rode Dolly was listed, at the 4th show referred to, the Boston Terrier Specialty Show, Rode’s Dolly was shown under her registered number 248843 AKC.

Louie E. Rode admits these facts of birth was the result of a misunderstanding between his brother, George W. Rode, and himself, claiming that George W. Rode gave him the wrong date of birth of Rode’s Dolly, confusing her with Owen’s Dolly George W. Rode appeared before your Trial Board and testified as follows: “After I had seen the catalogue of North Shore Kennel Club I noticed date of birth of Rode’s Dolly” given as mistake had been made in the date of birth given, I also told him that Oct 4th was the date of birth of Owen’s Dolly we both had a dispute over it, and for a time our relations were strained. I did not know my brother meant Rode’s Dolly when he asked for the date of birth as we always called her Freshie. I thought my brother meant Owen’s Dolly as he only asked me for the date of Dolly, so I gave him October 4th.”

Louis E. Rode in his testimony states “the dam of this puppy was Grand View Trixie, she whelped Oct 29, 1917. She had brought up this puppy for about ten days when she was accidently killed on Nov 10th or 12th. Then he took this puppy and two others of the same litter to a Mrs. Ming who had a foster mother on Nov. 10th or 12th. The first week
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One puppy dies, second week the second puppy died, and the third week he had taken the last remaining puppy, the female in question away from Mrs. Ming’s and given her to his brother to look after.

This puppy was afterwards called Rode’s Dolly, and at the Boston Terrier Specialty Show where she was shown, she took a first in puppies and won the puppy stake amounting to some $40, Mr. C. W. Hildreth, the owner of the sire was written to and asked when Gran View Trixie was bred, in his reply, he stated that he had lost his book with date of breeding, but to the best of his recollection it was sometime in August 1917. Mr. Hildreth was summoned before your Committee but failed to appear.

This Board after hearing the complainant, defendant and witnesses, and accepting affidavits from reputable parties find that the date of birth of Rode’s Dolly is Oct 4, 1917, and not Oct 29, 1917, and therefore recommend that Louis E. Rode be requested to return all prizes and specials won at the New York Boston Terrier Club Show, and that the wins be cancelled and all privileges of the American Kennel Club be withheld from the said Louis E. Rode and that he be suspended for two years from this date.

Francis G. Lloyd, Chairman
John G. Bates
Theodore Offerman
New York Trial Board.

On motion the above reports were accepted and the recommendations adopted.

The Philadelphia Trial Board presented the following report.

Chestnut Hill, PA
Jan 27, 1919

American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

The Philadelphia Trial Board reports as follows on the case of The New York Charity Show vs. Miss Frances A. McCahill.

Miss McCahill made entries at the New York Charity Show paying the entry with a check which was subsequently returned by the bank, pencil marked to indicate “Not sufficient funds”. The additional Rules on the Premium List, under which Miss McCahill entered distinctly state that all entry fees must be paid at the time of making the entry. Letters were sent to
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Miss McCahill by Mr. Foley Supt. Advising her that the entry fees were unpaid as her check had been returned. No reply was received to these letters. At the suggestion of the Secretary the American Kennel Club, Mr. Holey sent a letter by registered mail making demand for the payment of the entry fee. This letter was returned unopened. Miss McCahill having refused to receive it.

The Philadelphia Trial Board wrote to Miss McCahill by registered mail asking for a statement from her of her side of the matter or any defense which she might have to offer for the non-payment of the entry fee at the New York Charity Show. No reply was received and the letter was returned unopened, and is forwarded herewith and made a part of this report.

Your Board considers the course of action taken by Miss McCahill to be misconduct and recommends that Miss Frances A. McCahill be suspended until the entry fees in dispute be paid and for an additional period of six months.

Respectfully,
William L. Barclay
J. Sergeant Price Jr.
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.

On motion the above report was accepted and the recommendations. Adopted.

Mr. John F. Collins as delegate of the Long Island Kennel Club presented six reasons why the case against Louis E. Rode should be sent back to the New York Trial Board for a rehearsing.

On motion Mr. Collins was requested to inform Mr. Rode that the only way that his case could be heard was the relief afforded him by Article XIV, Section 4 of the bylaws which is as follows.

"An appeal from a decision of a Trial Board may be made to the Executive Committee, notice of such appeal must be made to the Executive Committee in writing within 30 days after the receipt of such notice by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club accompanied by a deposit of $25.00, which shall be forfeited if said appeal is not sustained."
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Charges preferred by the Superintendent of the Charity Dog Show Association of New York against Miss Frances A. McCahill was referred back to the Philadelphia Trial Board together with a check for $5.00 dated Feb 4th drawn to the order of the American Kennel Club to make good the original check sent for entry fees to said Charity Dog Show Association of New York, which was returned to said club marked “Not Sufficient Funds.”

A complaint made by W. H. Young against the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts was on motion referred to the Boston Trial Board.

The Newark Kennel Club asked the privilege of holding shows anywhere within the limit of Essex County.

On motion this privilege was granted for the reasons that there was not any shows held by Active Members in Essex County except that the Newark Kennel Club.

The Queen City Kennel Club of Cincinnati was obliged to cancel its dates for 1918 on account of the War conditions and ask that its Date Deposit of $25.00 be transferred to cover a claim for a show to be held in 1919.

On motion this request was granted.

A communication from the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts stating that as the decision of the Boston Trial Board was in favor of Miss Marielouise Whittlesey asks if it must abide by the decision of said Board. The Secretary also stated that the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts had asked for an adjournment of the case on account of the illness of said Secretary at the time of the Board met.

Mr. George S. West, a member of the Boston Trial Board
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Stated to the meeting that although the Secretary of the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts could not appear on account of illness, she was represented by counsel.

On motion the Secretary of the American Kennel Club was directed to advise the Secretary of the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts that the club must abide by the decision of the Boston Trial Board in the case. The Show giving Club had the right to appeal within 30 days from the decision of the Trial Board but did not avail itself of this privilege.

At a regular meeting of the Executive Committee held Dec 17, 1918, the communication from the Secretary of the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts in reference to the Nellcote Challenge Cup for the best French Bulldog Dog or Bitch bred by one of the Nellcote Kennels Stud Dogs presented to the Ladies Kennel Association of MA by Mr. and Mrs. S. L. Goldenberg had not been competed for since 1908, and asked what disposition the club should make of said trophy.

At the meeting, the Secretary was directed to communicate with Mr. S. L. Goldenberg, which he did on Dec 24, 1918, and received the following reply dated Jan 17, 1919 from Monte Carlo.

I have just received your letter of Dec 24th in reference to the Nellcote Challenge Cup. I think the best disposition to be made of this cup would be to award it to the exhibitor having the largest Number of wins.

Kindly give the necessary instructions to this effect.

S. L. Goldenberg.”

On motion the Secretary of the American Kennel Club was directed to send this information to the Ladies Kennel Association of MA and direct it to dispose of the cup according to the instructions of Mr. Goldenberg.
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Charges preferred by John Eager against William Holton for issuing as alleged a false pedigree was on motion referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

The correspondence between the American Kennel Club and H. L. Moxley & Company in reference to the renewal of the lease of the office at No. 1 Liberty Street was referred to the Finance Committee and Mr. Spencer Eddy.

Mr. George S. West presented a petition from the American Fox Terrier Club asking for the reinstatement of Mr. George S. Thomas as a Licensed Judge.

On motion this petition was referred to the License Committee which was asked to give to the Executive Committee at its next meeting the reasons for the revocation for absence of said license.

The following members were excused for absence at this meeting; Charles R. Wood, Chetwood Smith and James E. Meade.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
HELD TUESDAY, MARCH 6, 1919

President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
William Rauch
Ralph C. Stewart
Rowland P. Keasbey
C. F. Neilson

Mr. Rauch, Chairman of the Finance Committee reported that the Committee had secured new offices for the club at 221 Fourth Ave, NYC, corner 18th Street. In its opinion, it was a suitable place and fine offices. The lease has been made for 4 years and 9 months from May 1, 1919. There is about 150 square feet more than our present offices, the rent is $2200 per year, the Club to pay electric light, fixtures, partitions, and janitor’s fees.

On motion of Ralph C. Stewart, the action of the Finance Committee in making a lease for offices at 221 Fourth Ave., be ratified by this Committee. Carried.

President H. H. Hunnewell and Ralph C. Stewart were appointed Committees to ascertain if the metal filing cabinets used by the Naval Intelligence Board of New York and the Food Administration of Philadelphia could be purchased, and the Secretary was directed to ascertain the possibility of selling the wooden cabinets now in use by the club.
The Secretary gave notice of the Fowler amendment to the Wicks Dog Law that is now in the hands of the Legislature increasing the Dog Tax.

On motion, the matter was referred to the law Committee with a request that it does not go to any expense in the matter without referring same to the Finance Committee first, also that the Law Committee be requested to take up the matter at once. Carried.

On motion the Committee then went into Executive Session.

On reassembling, the Chairman of the Executive Session reported to the meeting that a resolution (corrected at meeting held March 18, 1919), in reference to the licensing of Judges should be sent to all delegates, Secretaries of Clubs, Associate Subscribers, and Licensed Shows asking for an opinion whether the Licensing of Judges should be continued or discontinued, and said resolution to be published in the Kennel Gazette and Stud Book. Carried.

On motion, all other matters under consideration were laid on the table. Carried.

Meeting adjourned.
MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE IN EXECUTIVE SESSION
HELD TUESDAY, MARCH 6, 1919

Howard Willets in the Chair.

There was a general discussion in regard to the revocation of the license of
George S. Thomas.

Mr. Hunnewell presented the following resolution from the America Fox Terrier
Club:

“Unanimous resolution passed at the Annual Meeting of the American Fox
Terrier Club, Feb 20, 1919.

WHEREAS: It is the sense of the Members that the recent establishment
of a rule, granting the License Committee of the American Kennel Club the
power to license and remove judges, has failed to bring about a satisfactory
condition of affairs to the dog fancy.

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED: that the delegates of the American Fox Terrier
Club to the American Kennel Club be instructed to present a resolution to the
proper authorities of the American Kennel Club at the earliest moment, calling
for the revocation of the rule, which embodies the License Committee of the
American Kennel Club with power to grant and revoke judges licenses, and
furthermore calling for the annulment of all past acts of the License Committee
of the American Kennel Club in connection with said rule.

And be it furthermore resolved that the Secretary of the American Fox
Terrier Club be instructed to mail a copy of above resolution to the Secretary
and delegate of every club, a member of the American Kennel Club.”

On motion of Mr. Stewart, the same was accepted and placed on file.

Mr. Neilson as Chairman of the License Committee read the petitions from the
American Fox Terrier Club and the Irish Terrier Club in reference to the reinstatement of
George S. Thomas.
R. C. Stewart then assumed the Chair.

Mr. Howard Willets offered the following resolution:

That the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be instructed to write a letter to every active member, Associate Subscriber, and to every club or organization that has ever held a show under License, whether it would please them to have the licensing of Judges continued or discontinued.

Mr. Neilson as Chairman of the License Committee reported giving reasons why the License Committee revoked the License of George S. Thomas, also read the resolution of said Licenses for the reason that Mr. Thomas gave an award to a Dudley nosed Bulldog, which is contrary to the Standard of the Bulldog Club of America, which stated that a Dudley nosed Bulldog must be disqualified.

On motion the petition of the America Fox Terrier Club to reinstate Mr. Thomas was laid on the table pending the result of the motion made by Mr. Willets.

On motion, the Executive Session arise and directed its Chairman to report the result of the Executive Session to the open meeting.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
John F. Collins
Dr. De Mund
Ralph C. Stewart
William Rauch
Rowland P. Keasbey
William Ross Proctor
C. F. Neilson

The Secretary read the minutes of the Special Meeting of the Committee held on March 6, 1919, and on motion same was accepted as corrected and ordered on file.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

March 18, 1919

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that Mr. Louis E. Rode has appealed from the decision of the New York Trial Board the ground that the said decision was against the evidence to be produced on his behalf. The appeal was accompanied by the necessary deposit of $25.00.

A report from the Philadelphia Trial Board on the case referred back to it at the last meeting of the Executive Committee.

Charges preferred by S. K. Miller against E. G. & M. B. Miller and K. Onstott.
(2) Executive

A communication from the Chairman of the Law Committee on the subject of the bill pending in the Legislature on the Dog Tax.

A communication from the Superintendent of the Detroit Kennel Club notifying us that through an error of the printer that the Premium List of the Detroit Kennel Club omitted the names of the Veterinarians of the show. Rule VIII, Governing Clubs states that the Premium List must contain a list of the Officers of the club and Officials of the Show.

A communication from the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts in reference to the Goldenberg Trophy.

A communication from the Newark Kennel Club asking for an interpretation of the resolution adopted at the last meeting of the directors.

At the meeting of the delegates held Feb 18, 1919, the delegate of the Atlanta Kennel Club was permitted to pay the dues for his club in order to prevent it from being automatically dropped at that meeting. These dues have never been paid and the club should be dropped from Membership.

The Bayside Kennel Club, the Birmingham Kennel Club and the New Bedford District Kennel Club forwarded their dies for 1919 since they were dropped from membership under the rules, and in each instance I returned the dies and notified them that they had been automatically dropped. The New Bedford District Kennel Club again returned their dues and asks to be reinstated. I hold their check for $10.00 awaiting your decision.

I have communications from Field and Fancy, The Dog Fanciers and the American Field which I will present for your consideration.

I have an estimate from the American Window Cleaning Company, who will clean our new offices daily and windows every two weeks, the charge will be $25.00 per month.

The new tenants for our present offices ask us to fix a price for the battleship linoleum, with which all of our offices are covered. In this connection, I hope to be able to submit to you an estimate for the covering of our new offices with linoleum, subject to your decision upon the sale of the linoleum in our present offices.

I have an estimate from the Library Bureau for the exchange of our present wooden cabinets for the steel cabinets, also an estimate for removing the contents of this office to our new offices.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Mr. Louis E. Rode appealed from the decision of the New York Trial Board in the case of Woegerer vs. Rode setting forth that he had new evidence to introduce.

On motion the case was referred back to the New York Trial Board with instructions to hear and consider the new evidence to be presented by Rode, and the Secretary was directed to return the deposit of $25.00 which accompanied Rode’s appeal.

The Philadelphia Trial Board reported as follows:

Phila. March 7, 1919

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

The Philadelphia Trial Board reports as follows on the case. New York Charity Show vs. Miss Frances McCahill, referred back to the Philadelphia Trial Board by the Board of Directors at the meeting held Feb 18th.

Miss McCahill paid entry fees to the New York Charity Show with a check which was returned unpaid. The New York Charity Show preferred a charge against her. The matter was referred to the Phila. Trial Board. The Phila. Trial Board recommended the suspension of miss McCahill until entry fees were paid and for 6 months thereafter. Miss McCahill on Feb 4th gave check to the American Kennel Club to cover entry fees in dispute and wrote that she had moved from Great Neck, Long Island, and had not heard that a charge had been preferred against her.

Mr. Foley of the New York Charity Show previously had sent a letter to Miss McCahill by registered mail demanding entry fees, which letter was returned to Mr. Foley by the Post Office marked to the effect that Miss McCahill had refused to receive same.

In view of the fact that she gave prompt attention to the matter when brought to her by the American Kennel Club, the Phila. Trial Board recommends that the term of suspension be reduced to 3 months from Feb 4th.

Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
William L. Barclay

On motion the above report was accepted and the recommendations adopted.
Executive

Charges preferred by A. K. Miller vs. E. G. & M. B. Miller and K. Onstott were presented and on motion the case was referred to the San Francisco Trial Board for Trial.

The Secretary read a communication from the Chairman of the Law Committee in reference to the so called Fowler Bill amending the Wicks Bill on the Dog Tax.

On motion the Law Committee was authorized to take such steps as are necessary to obtain a copy of the Bill referred to, and to expend any necessary expense in order to obtain such copy.

A communication from the Superintendent of the Detroit Kennel Club in the matter of the failure to publish the name of the veterinarians of said club in its Premium List laying the blame upon the printer for not following copy.

On motion, the penalty prescribed by the Rules was assessed against the Detroit Kennel Club for violation of the Rule. It was further resolved that the penalty be returned in this case and the Superintendent be cautioned against the printing of Premium Lists hereafter without first ascertaining the corrections upon the revised proofs.

A communication from the Newark Kennel Club in reference to its jurisdiction in Essex County, and asks for an interpretation of the resolution of the Board of Directors in this connection at its last meeting.

On motion, the Secretary was directed to notify the Newark Kennel Club that it was granted the privilege of holding shows at any place within limits of Essex County, but that its jurisdiction applies only to the City of Newark.

At the last meeting of the delegates, permission was given to the delegate of the Atlanta Kennel Club to pay the dues of
(5) Executive said Club, thereby saving it from being automatically dropped from Active Membership. As this privilege was not taken advantage of, the Atlanta Kennel Club was duly dropped from Active Membership.

It was ordered that owing to the conditions of Kennel Affairs in the past year, that the dues of the Bayside Kennel Club, Birmingham Kennel Club, and the New Bedford District Kennel Club remaining unpaid at the time of the Annual Meeting, said clubs being therefore dropped from membership, the Secretary was empowered to accept the dues of the above 3 mentioned clubs and reinstate them to active membership. It being specified however that this action shall not be taken as a precedent.

In the matter of the Goldenberg Trophy held by the Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts, the donor of this Trophy directed that it should be awarded to the Exhibitor having the greatest number of wins upon it. It appears that it was in competition four times, in 1905, it was won by Mr. and Mrs. Reginald Vanderbelt, in 1906 by Mr. Sam Greenwood, in 1907, by Mrs. G. R. Washburn, and in 1908 by Mrs. E. W. Clark. Inasmuch as there was only one win each by the four above exhibitors, the Secretary was instructed to notify the Ladies Kennel Association for Massachusetts that club itself should draw lots for said cup and sward same to the successful winner.

On motion the Rules Committee was requested to write a letter to the Secretaries of all of the active members of the American Kennel Club, to the Associate Subscribers, and to the later Licensed Shows held under American Kennel Club Rules asking for an opinion whether the Licensing of Judges should be continued or should be discontinued. The said resolution to be published in the American Kennel Gazette and Stud Book and that the letters to the various members and licensed shows to be sent not later than May 1, 1919.
(6) Executive.

On motion the resolution filed by the American Fox Terrier Club dated March 3, 1919 was referred to the Rules Committee.

The following matters were left to the Secretary to attend to and with power to act:

First: To make the necessary arrangements for removing to the new offices.
Second: To dispose of the linoleum now on the floors of the present offices for the best price obtainable.
Third: To make a contract for the daily cleaning of new offices.

Meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.
The following delegates were present.

Associate Delegate                  Spencer Eddy
American Fox Terrier Club           H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club            Theodore Offerman
Atlanta Kennel Club                 James Gardner Rossman
Bulldog Club of America             Edwin L. Boger
California Airedale Terrier Club    C. Frederick Neilson
Colorado Kennel Club                Charles A. Kelly
Erie Kennel Club                    Ralph C. Stewart
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club          Henry M. McAdco
Irish Terrier Club of America       John G. Bates
Long Island Kennel Club             John F. Collins
Newfoundland Club of America       Charles R. Wood
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club         R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club              Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club               Howard Willets
Shepherd Dog Club of America        R. M. Cleveland
Toy Spaniel Club of America         Daniel P. Ritchey
Westchester Kennel Club             George Greer
Western Fox Terriers Breeders Assn. Q. A. Shaw McKean
Westminster Kennel Club             William Rauch

A quorum not being present, on motion, the meeting took a recess.
Upon reassembling, it was moved and carried that the minutes
(2) Delegates of its last meeting as published in the Gazette of Feb 28, 1919 were accepted as read.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, May 19, 1919

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting, I have received an application for active membership from the Providence County Kennel Club, also credentials from the Badger Dog Club of America appointing Henry Sampson Jr., as its delegate, the Cairn Terrier Club of America appointing Robert Sedgwick Jr., the French Bulldog Club of America appointing Donald W. Sherwood, the Pekingese Club of America appointing Michael M. Van Beuren, the Rhode Island Kennel Club appointing Edward G. Chase, and the Western Reserve Kennel Club appointing Floyd E. Waite. These applications have been referred to the Membership Committee which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

I will present the resignation of Mr. Mark B. Mingay as a delegate representing the Great Dane Club of America.

A Mr. E. M. Backus Jr., Manager of the Backrach Studios has invited all of the delegates to give them a sitting for their photographs and the same will be furnished to the American Kennel Club without cost or obligation to any individual.

In reply, I advised that our delegates are distributed pretty well throughout the country and I did not see how it could be possible to comply with your request, however, I will bring the matter before the next meeting and advise you of the result.

In reply to my communication, Mr. Backus suggests that arrangement could be made for sittings at any time that the Delegates met in this city, and would be very glad to call and arrange any detail.

I have a similar letter from M. B. Decker, Manager of the Champlain Studios.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Same was accepted and ordered on file.

The meeting went into an election for members and delegates.

Mr. Charles R. Wood and Daniel P. Ritchey were appointed Tellers.
The applications of the Providence County Kennel Club for active membership was balloted for and the Chair declared said Providence County Kennel Club as duly elected.

The following delegates were balloted for and duly elected: Henry Sampson Jr. to represent the Badger Dog Club of America, Donald W. Sherwood to represent the French Bulldog Club of America, Michael M. Van Beuren to represent the Pekingese Club of America, Edward G. Chase to represent the Rhode Island Kennel Club, and Floyd E. Waite to represent the Western Reserve Kennel Club Company.

The Secretary announced that the credentials from the Cairn Terrier Club of America appointing Robert Sedwick, Jr. as its delegate had been withdrawn this day by the Cairn Terrier Club.

The resignation of Mark B. Mingay as the Delegate of the Great Dane Club of America was duly accepted and the Secretary was directed to notify the Great Dane Club of America that it was now without a delegate.

Invitations from the Bachrach Studios and the Champlain Studios to supply to the American Kennel Club free of charge a complete set of photographs of its delegates was generally discussed and the invitations were declined with thanks.

A general discussion followed in reference to the advisability of changing the number of meetings of the delegates.

The consensus of opinion being that it was the duty of all delegates to attend the quarterly meetings of the club, more generally than heretofore, and after the discussion, it was moved and carried that the Rules Committee be requested to evolve some arrangement whereby the different clubs will be more generally represented at the said quarterly meetings, and refer the result of its deliberation and
(4) Delegates

and recommendation at the next quarterly meeting of the delegates.

The Secretary was directed in notifying new delegates of their acceptance to call their attention to the fact that it is their duty to attend the quarterly meeting so that their clubs can be properly represented in all legislation that maybe brought before the different meetings.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following directors were present:
Q. A. Shaw McKea
E. L. Boger
Charles A. Kelly
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Charles R. Wood
John G. Bates
Spencer Eddy
William Rauch
C. F. Neilson
H. H. Hunnewell
Theodore Offerman
Dr. De Mund
W. Ross Proctor
Howard Willets
George Greer
John F. Collins

The minutes of the last meeting of the board as published in the Gazette were
duly accepted.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, May 19, 1919

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.
The dropping of the Garden City Kennel Club automatically dropped Morris Kinney as a Director of the Class of 1924. This vacancy should be filled as this meeting.

I have a communication from the Chatham and Phenix National Bank enclosing a form by which the funds of this corporation is authorized to be deposited in said bank. This corporation is authorized to be deposited in said bank. This is a new regulation since we first opened an account with said bank, which is now about thirty years ago. It will be necessary to file this form to be signed by the President and Secretary of the club.

A communication from M. V. Vibert in reference to the registration of the kennel name Oorang.

A communication from Eugene Lentilhon in reference to his claim against the Western Beagle Club. This matter was referred to the Field Trial Committee at the Feb meeting of the Directors. The Chairman of the Field Trial Committee reported under date of May 14th that in his opinion that unless Mr. Van Dyke agrees to accept our decision, we cannot act in this case. I have forwarded copies of the letters from Mr. Lentilhon to the Chairman of the Field Trial Committee and advised him that I did not consider that this case is different from any other claim against any other show giving club, and in my opinion, the Field Trial Committee should act.

I have a bill from Charles J. Tobin, Albany, NY for services in examining, editing and reporting upon legislation affecting the agricultural law having to do with dogs amounting to $60.00. No doubt the Chairman of the Law Committee can explain this bill to your satisfaction.

A report from the Stud Book Committee on the subject of two Airedale Terriers which was referred to the Stud Book Committee for investigation.

A report from the San Francisco Trial Board in the case of Edelhoff vs. Mabel Clements.

Mr. E. L. Boger presented his excuses for absence from the Feb meeting, and W. P. Wolcott, W. L. Barclay, J. E. Meade and Chetwood Smith presented their excuses for absence from this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Same was accepted and ordered on file.

Morris Kinney of the Class of 1924 having been automatically dropped by reason of the withdrawal of his club from membership made a vacancy in this class, and Q. A. Shaw McKean was
(3) Directors.

nominated for election to serve one year. On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. McKean for the class of 1924 in place of Morris Kinney. The Secretary cast such ballot as directed and the Chair announced Q. A. Shaw McKean duly elected to the Board of Directors.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

New York, May 19, 1919

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial report from Jan 1st to date:

- Balance on hand Jan 1st ---------------------------- $ 8,143.99
- Receipts from Jan 1st to date ------------------------ 12,395.81
- Total ----------------------------------------------------- 20,539.80
- Disbursements from Jan 1st to date ------------ 10,598.74
- Balance on hand ------------------------------------- $ 9,941.06

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

Same was accepted and ordered on file.

The Law Committee reported that it had taken up the matter of the proposed amendments to the Wicks Bill pending before the legislature, and announced that the amendment that had been signed by the Governor did not effect the general interests of the owners and breeders of dogs and recommending that the bill presented by Charles J. Tobin for $60.00 for legal fees in the above matter be paid.

On motion the Secretary was directed to pay said bill.

The Stud Book Committee reported as follows:
Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:
Gentlemen:

The Stud Book Committee reports as follows on the registration of two Airedale Terriers, King Doodle, 251793, and Robert M. II 251792, referred to the Stud Book Committee for investigation.

After investigation, the Stud Book Committee finds these two pedigrees to be incorrect and the registrations are hereby cancelled. Letters were sent to O. G. Moore, Cambridge Ohio, who is named as breeder of these two puppies. No reply was received from Mr. Moore and a registered letter sent to him by the Stud Book Committee has been returned unopened and is forwarded with this report.

Mr. J. N. Moss, El Libertad Kennels, Cambridge, Ohio is named in these registrations as having sold these two Airedale Terriers to Messrs. Mills and Murphy who signed the registrations as owners of these dogs, and who claim to have received these pedigrees from Mr. Moss. A letter was sent to Mr. Moss by the Stud Book Committee asking whether he furnished the pedigree under which these puppies were registered. His reply admits that the pedigrees as registered are incorrect but appears evasive as to responsibility for furnishing incorrect pedigrees.

The Stud Book Committee believes that either deliberate fraud or carelessness amounting to misconduct has been shown and recommends that Mr. J. N. Moss, El Libertad Kennels, Cambridge, Ohio, and also O. G. Moore, Cambridge, Ohio be summoned before a Trial Board to show cause why action should not be taken against them for issuing incorrect pedigrees with the Airedale Terriers King Doodle and Robert M. II.

Ralph C. Stewart, Chairman
W. L. Barclay
C. R. Wood
Stud Book Committee

On motion the report was received, accepted and its recommendations adopted, and that the same be referred to the Chicago Trial Board for prompt action. Further that the parties referred to, namely: J. N. Moss, Cambridge, Ohio, and O. G. Moore, Cambridge, Ohio, be and hereby are suspended, pending action of the Chicago Trial Board.

The investigation by our Western Representative in the case of Edelhoff vs. Clements be referred to the San Francisco Trial Board for action.
(5) Directors

On motion the Secretary was instructed to notify the Chatham and Phenix National Bank that it had been made the depository of the funds of the American Kennel Club and that the President and Secretary be and hereby are authorized to sign the certificate to that effect.

The communication from M. V. Vibert in reference to the kennel name Oorang was referred to the Stud Book Committee.

The claim of Eugene Lentilhon against the Western Beagle Club for the prize in the futurity stake at its last show alleged to have been won by him was referred back to the Field Trial Committee with instructions to decide this case.

Mr. E. L. Boger was excused for absence from the Feb meeting, and Messr. W. P. Wolcott, William L. Barclay, J. E. Meade, and Chetwood Smith were excused for absence at this meeting.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following members were present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Charles R. Wood
John F. Collins
Dr. De Mund
Ralph C. Stewart
William Rauch
Rowland P. Keasbey
W. Ross Proctor
C. F. Neilson

The minutes of the last meeting of the Committee were accepted as published in the Gazette.
The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, May 19, 1919

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

The Inter-State Collie Club held a Licensed Show April 12, 1919 and published the name of the an un-licensed Superintendent. Their excuse is ignorance of the Rules, and the saving of a professional Superintendent’s fee.

It is charged that the Nassau County Kennel Club at its show held on the 10th inst. after completing the Collie judging and dismissed the classes, later on reopened the Collie Classes in order to judge a late arrival. The question to be
determined is whether to not the Bench Show Committee of a Show giving club has the right to reopen classes after the judging has been finished in that breed.

You are asked to settle a dispute in regard to a special that was offered by the American Pomeranian Club at its last show.

Mrs. Herbert Adam of England makes a complaint against Mr. and Mrs. C. B. Axtell for the exhibiting a Chow Chow as their property, having purchased the dog from the complainant and failing to pay for same.

I have a communication from T. Gilpin Massey in reference to purchasing back numbers of the Stud Book. The instructions from the Executive Committee were to offer back numbers of the Stud Book if taken prior to April 1st. There were a number of persons who took advantage of this offer. Applications that we have had since we moved to this office, I have refused. I therefore ask that if the Committee desires to dispose of any more of the Stud Books from our now small stock to fix a price per volume.

At the Feb meeting, I was directed to send a complimentary set of Stud Books to the Spanish Kennel Club. After shipping, I received a bill from the American Express Company for $22.57 for charges. This I declined to pay and finally guaranteed the payment of same, and notified the Spanish Kennel Club, that it will be necessary for them to pay the charges on receipt of the books and to notify us promptly if same had been done, so that it would relieve us from our guarantee.

The Wissahickon Kennel Club will hold its 18th annual show on June 7th. This show is not held under American Kennel Club Rules, entries will be accepted up to the time of judging and an unlicensed Judge will officiate.

I have a communication from our Western Representative in reference to the show of the Seattle Kennel Club, which took place May 15th to 17th, 1919. The Rules of the American Kennel Club are not published and the Certificate of Approval is published as being signed by O. F. Vedder, Western Representative.

Mr. Vedder writes us that he refused his approval of the classification, and therefore his name was signed without his authority.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Same was accepted and ordered on file.
The Secretary notified the Committee that the Inter-State Collie Club of Philadelphia employed an unlicensed Superintendent at its late show, and that the said club on being asked for its defense in the matter pleaded ignorance of the Rules and economy.

On motion the said Inter-State Collie Club is fined the sum of $10.00 and the Secretary was directed publicly reprimand said club for its violation of the Rule, and that the same be published in the Gazette, further that this nominal fine should not be considered as a precedent.

At the Nassau County Kennel Club show held May 10, 1919, the Bench Show Committee permitted the reopening of the Collie Classes without the consent of any exhibitors after that breed had been adjudicated upon, and been dismissed. The question therefore was “Has a show giving club the legal right to reopen the classes of any breed after it has been finished without the consent of all of the exhibitors in that breed.”

After discussion, it was RESOLVED that this matter be referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation, and that said Board shall direct the Judge, the Bench Show Committee and the Superintendent of the show to appear before it and investigate the matter, and inasmuch as Mr. Theodore Offerman is one of the Bench Show Committee of the Nassau County Kennel Club, he will not be eligible to act on the New York Trial Board in this case, and the Chair appointed Mr. Charles A. Kelly to act as substitute for Mr. Offerman during the investigation of the above case.

At the late Pomeranian Club show, there was a Special offered by Miss Ellen Laflin. Two exhibitors claim this trophy. The matter was referred to the New York Trial Board for its decision.
A communication from Mrs. Herbert Adam of England in reference to a Chow Chow at the late Westminster Kennel Club Show sold by her and never having been paid for, was presented to the Committee, and on motion, the Secretary was directed to notify Mrs. Adam that this being solely a civil case was not one that could come under the jurisdiction of the American Kennel Club.

A communication from T. Gilpin Massey in reference to the price of the Stud Books was presented, and the Secretary was authorized to sell single copies or sets of copies at the cost of $2.00 per volume.

All purchasers of these books in sets must call at the American Kennel Club office for delivery of same. The club will not undertake to ship these books on receipt of orders.

The guarantee of the Secretary for shipping the complimentary set of books sent to the Spanish Kennel Club was on motion duly approved.

The Secretary reported the show to be held by the Wissahickon Kennel Club, June 7, 1919, which was advertised, not to be held under American Kennel Club Rules, was discussed.

On motion, the Rules Committee was requested to present at the next meeting of the Delegates, a resolution to the effect that the Board of Delegates consider the holding of shows not under the Rules of the American Kennel Club by active members of the American Kennel Club to be inimical to the best interests of dogs.

An amendment was offered to the above motion to incorporate the following: This resolution if offered for the
(5) Executive Committee

purpose of bringing this whole matter before the American Kennel Club at its next meeting.

On motion, the resolution as amended was duly adopted.

At the Seattle Kennel Club Show held May 15th to 17th, 1919, the proper Rules of the American Kennel Club were not published, although it was published that the classification was approved by our Western Representative, who claims that it was a misunderstanding and the case was referred to the San Francisco Trial Board.

An application from E. K. Austin for reinstatement to good standing was presented, and on motion Mr. Austin was duly reinstated and the fee demanded by the Rules was waived.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the chair.

Associate Delegate          Francis G. Lloyd

Present:

Airedale Terrier Club of America       William L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club              H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club               Theodore Offerman
California Airedale Terrier Club       C. Frederick Neilson
Chow Chow Club                         Edward C. Waller
Erie Kennel Club                       Ralph C. Stewart
French Bulldog Club of New England     Fred. Edwards
Ladies Kennel Assn. of Massachusetts    E. G. Snow Jr.
Long Island Kennel Club                John F. Collins
Manchester Terrier Club                William P. Wolcott
National Beagle Club                   G. Mifflin Wharton
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club           R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club                 Dr. De Mund
Shepherd Dog Club of America           R. M. Cleveland
Spaniel Breeders’ Society              J. Macy Willets
Toy Dog Club of New England            W. F. Kinder
Toy Spaniel Club of America            Daniel P. Ritchey
Western French Bulldog Club           John N. Champion
Western Fox Terriers Breeders Assn.    Q. A. Shaw McKean

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the Gazette were accepted and ordered on file.
Delegates (2)

The Secretary read his report as follows: 

Sept 15, 1919

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen

I beg to report that I have received since the last quarterly meeting an application for active membership from the Pinehurst Kennel Club and the following credentials for delegates: The American Spaniel Club appointing Mr. Howard Willets, The Cairn Terrier Club of America, appointing Mr. A. U. Whitson, Great Dane Club of America appointing Mr. Paul C. Class, Pinehurst Kennel Club appointing Mr. A. A. Rost, and the Providence County Kennel Club appointing Mr. Thomas D. Higgins. These applications have been referred to our Membership Committee which will report on same at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P. Vredenburgh

Secretary

Same was accepted and ordered on file.

Owing to the inability to obtain a quorum of the Membership Committee, all applications for active membership and credentials of delegates were laid over until the next meeting.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:

The Rules Committee at a regular meeting held June 24th last all members being present, voted unanimously to recommend for adoption the following:

WHEREAS a number of clubs, members of the American Kennel Club have held dog shows not by authorization of the American Kennel Club, nor according to its rules and

WHEREAS the holding of such shows is inimical to the best interests of dogs

RESOVED that hereafter any member of the American Kennel Club holding such shows shall be dropped from membership in the American Kennel Club.

RESOLVED that this does not include puppy matches or matches held in connection with regular meetings of any club or any small informal match, but does include any match, competition, or show where an entrance fee is charged or admission fee for visitors is collected or where any kind of a Premium List is printed, prepared or issued, or any event advertised in advance in any kennel paper or papers.

The intent of offering this Resolution by the Rules Committee
Delegates (3)

is for the purpose of bringing this matter before the delegates for discussion and action.

RULES GOVERNING CLUBS
Rule XXVI

Clubs, organizations, Associations or individuals affiliated in any way with the American Kennel Club shall not give or use ribbons of the following colors, blue, red, yellow, white or purple, nor rosettes of purple at any dog show, competition or match, except at shows held under the authorization of the American Kennel Club.

Any person or persons acting as or known as an officer or officers of any club, organization or association who disobey this rule shall be disciplined by having all privileges of the American Kennel Club taken from them.

The Rules Committee recommend that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be instructed to request all clubs who are not represented by delegates to elect a delegates, also to urge to instruct their delegates to attend as many of the regular quarterly importance are frequently discussed at these meetings, and all member clubs should, through their delegates, express their opinions and vote on all matters.

John F. Collins,
Chairman

After a very full discussion, the following was unanimously adopted:

“WHEREAS a number of clubs, members of the American Kennel Club have held Dog Shows not by authorized
Delegates (3)

is for the purpose of bringing this matter before the delegates for discussion and action.

RULES GOVERNING CLUBS
Rule XXVI

Clubs, organizations, Associations or individuals affiliated in any way with the American Kennel Club shall not give or use ribbons of the following colors, blue, red, yellow, white or purple, nor rosettes of purple at any dog show, competition or match, except at shows held under the authorizations of the American Kennel Club.

Any person or persons acting as or known as an officer of officers of any club, organization or association who disobey this rule shall be disciplined by having all privileges of the American Kennel Club taken from them.

The Rules Committee recommend that the Secretary of the American Kennel Club be instructed to request all clubs who are not represented by delegates to elect a delegate, also to urge to instruct their delegates to attend as many of the regular quarterly meetings of the American Kennel Club as possible as matters of importance are frequently discussed at these meetings, and all members clubs should, through their delegates, express their opinions and vote on all matters.

John F. Collins
Chairman

After a very full discussion, the following was unanimously adopted:

“WHEREAS a number of clubs, members of the American Kennel Club have held Dog Show not by authorization of the American Kennel Club not according to its Rules and

WHEREAS the holding of such shows is inimical to the best interests of dogs

RESOLVED that hereafter any member of the American Kennel Club holding Such shows shall be dropped from membership in the American Kennel Club.

The entire resolution was referred back to the Rules Committee with the request that they reconstruct same and provide for rules for the holding of matches by member clubs under sanction of the License Committee.

Meeting adjourned.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
HELD SEPT 16, 1919

President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following Directors were present.
Q. A. Shaw McKeen
Francis Lloyd
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
John G. Bates
J. Macy Willets
C. F. Neilson
H. H. Hunnewell
William L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
Dr. De Mund
John F. Collins
W. P. Wolcott

The minutes of the last meeting of the Board as published in the Gazette were duly accepted.
The Secretary read his report as follows:

“To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

Sept. 15, 1919

I will submit at this meeting the report of the Field Trial Committee Re Lentilhon-Van Dyke vs. the Western Beagle Club Sweepstakes of 1918.

The report of the New York Trial Board Re re-judging Collie Classes at the late Nassau County Kennel Club Show.

Directors (2)

The report of the New York Trial Board Re Re-opening the case against Louis E. Rode by request of the Executive Committee.

The following charges have been received:

Sherwood Hall Jr. vs. Scottish Terrier Club of America
Mrs. E. C. Aulis vs. The Grafton Kennel Club
Mrs. Oren Harris and Mrs. Gael Johnson vs. Pittsburg Dog Fanciers Club
Walker Cunningham vs. Pittsburgh Dog Fanciers Club.
Charles Ludwig vs. Adolf Guttmann
S. Jackson vs. E. F. Joyce

I beg to report the resignation of O. F. Vedder as our Western Representative. Mr. Vedder has removed from the Pacific Coast and we are now without a representative in that location.

A bill for disbursements amounting to $13.92 up to June 7, 1919 at the time of relinquishing the position as Western Representative.

William Ross Proctor has presented his resignation as Chairman of the Law Committee, stating that the most urgent reasons compelling him to take this step.

The San Angelo Kennel Club appeals for an extension of their date deposit for their show of 1918, stating that the reason for failing to hold their show in 1918, was due to local conditions and that the drought compelling the Fair Association to abandon their show of Live Stock and Farm Products.

I have a communication from Mr. Edward Axtell in reference to the registration of a Boston Terrier alleged to have been sent to us in 1914. This application never reached this office and was probably lost in the mail. Owing to the present rule, the dog is not eligible to registration and I agreed to present this communication and application for your action.

The Louisville Airedale Club owing to printers trouble postponed the date of entries of their show which was a direct violation of the Rules, and I beg to report same for your consideration.

We have orders for duplicate copies of Volumes 18 to 28 of the Stud Book from the Field and Fancy Publishing Company. Replying to same I advised that in looking over our stock, we find that it has been depleted to such an extent that I am not willing to assume the responsibility of disposing of any more Volumes until I had been instructed by the American Kennel Club at this meeting.
Directors (3)

The Southampton Kennel Club advises us that it has been found impossible to hold its show during 1919 and it asks to grant dates for July 11, 1920, further that the deposit of $25.00 which we have held since 1918 be transferred to cover their new date. There was a Special Resolution adopted by the Board of Directors at its meeting held Dec 17, 1918, that owing to the impossibility of obtaining a Special Train, that the Directors granted their appeal to transfer said Date Deposit until 1919. As the Southampton Kennel Club found it impossible to hold a show during 1919, they now ask for this deposit to be transferred to cover their claim for 1920.

I have excuses for absence from this meeting from William Rauch, Chetwood Smith, George S. West, W. Ross Proctor, Howard Willets, James E. Meade and Charles A. Kelly.

Owing to the distance between San Francisco and Los Angeles, I would recommend the appointment of an additional Trial Board located at Los Angeles and would suggest the following names for such board: Freeman A. Ford, Chairman, W. S. Macy and R. C. Halstead. Such an appointment would transfer Mr. Macy from the San Francisco Trial Board which will leave a vacancy on that Board to fill. I would recommend the appointment of Irving C. Ackerman.

Since writing the above report a supplementary bill has been received from our Western Representative amounting to $5.70, I would respectfully recommend the payment of same.

Respectfully submitted,
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Same was accepted and ordered on file.

The Treasurer read his report as follows:

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the financial report from Jan 1st to date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1, 1919</td>
<td>$8,143.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>$22,772.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$30,916.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>$18,051.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$12,864.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted
A. P. Vredenburgh
Treasurer

Same was accepted and ordered on file.
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There being a vacancy in the Board of Directors an election was taken to fill such vacancy.

Mr. R. M. Cleveland was nominated as Director. Nominations closed.

On motion the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for the election of Mr. Cleveland for the class of 1920. The Secretary cast such ballot as directed and the Chair announced Mr. R. M. Cleveland duly elected to the Board of Directors.

The resignation of William Ross Proctor, Chairman of the Law Committee was read and same was accepted with regrets.

Judge Townsend Scudder was nominated as Chairman of the Law Committee. Nominations closed.

The Secretary cast ballot and the Chair announced Judge Townsend Scudder Chairman of the Law Committee.

Mr. William Ross Proctor was elected a member of the Law Committee.

Report of the Field Trial Committee was read as follows:

“Re Lentilhon-Van Dyke vs. Western Beagle Club Sweepstakes:

The majority of the Committee have decided that both the owners and breeders prize monies should be paid to Mr. Lentilhon and we would suggest advising the Secretary of the Western Beagle Club to this effect.

Chetwood Smith
Chairman Field Trial Committee

Moved and seconded that same be accepted and its recommendation be adopted. Carried.

Report of the New York Trial Board Re re-judging of Collie Classes at Nassau County Kennel Club show was read as follows:

“The New York Trial Board find that the Superintendent after Mr. Kelly’s Dog Pinewood Tarter had been judged and left the show by permission, had no authority to reopen the class after Mr. Kelly had taken his dog from the show, and we recommend that the winners award to Mr. Tully’s dog Sarsfield Blue Mont be can-
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Francis G. Lloyd
Chairman

Moved and seconded that same be accepted and its recommendations be adopted. Carried.

Report of the New York Trial Board Re Mrs. T. W. Sessinghaus v. American Pomeranian Club was read as follows:

“In the matter of the claim for the Miss Ellen Laflin Special claimed to be won by Mrs. T. W. Sessinghaus.

This Board finds it has no jurisdiction in the matter, on the ground that the judge, Mr. Reuben Clarke, testifies that he did not judge or award the special. Mrs. T. W. Sessinghaus was awarded Special Number 1, this Special called for best brown or Chocolate Pomeranian. The Laflin Special called for Best American Bred, Brown or Chocolate Pomeranian and as the winners of Special Number 1 was an American Bred, automatically Mrs. Sessinghaus would get the Special.

This Board would suggest that the American Pomeranian Club give the Miss Laflin Special to Mrs. Sessinghaus, as she is really entitled to it.

Francis Lloyd,
Chairman

Moved and seconded that same be accepted and its recommendations adopted. Carried.

Report of the New York Trial Board Re appeal of Louis E. Rode;

In the matter of the charges against Louis E. Rode. Case reopened at the request of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club on the application of the defendant that he had new evidence to offer and that he said defendant had not been present when testimony was taken at trial.

The New York Trial Board beg to report that a new Trial was held and was gone into thoroughly, witnesses given every opportunity to testify, and that they see no reason to change their findings as reported in original trial of case.

Francis G. Lloyd, Chairman
John G. Bates
Theodore Offerman

Moved and seconded that said report be accepted and ordered on file.
The report of the Chicago Trial Board was read as follows:

Minutes of the meeting of the Chicago Trial Board held this day, July 9, 1919 at 2pm, at the Morristown Hotel, Chicago, IL.


Charges were preferred by Albert Welty, owner of the Frazwel Kennels, Wheeling, W. VA. challenging the correctness of registration of Airedale Terriers, Kind Doodle No. 251793, and Robert M. II No. 251792 now owned by F. A. Mills and W. J. Murphy, both of Roseville, Ohio, Messrs, Mills and Murphy bought the puppies from J. N. Moss of Cambridge, Ohio, who sold them for O. G. Moore, also of Cambridge, Ohio. In registering the dogs, Briergate Bruiser, owned by Albert Welty, above mentioned was claimed as the sire, but this is denied by Mr. Welty, who says the read sire is Bobbie IV.

Notice of this trial was sent by registered letter to each of the parties concerned, and replies were received from W. J. Murphy and J. N. Moss, who disclaimed any intent of fraudulent registration.

A registered letter addressed to O. G. Moore, Cambridge, Ohio was returned by the postmaster of that city marked “unclaimed.”

After going over all the correspondence in the case very carefully, it is the judgment of the Trial Board that the action of the Stud Book Committee be sustained; that J. N. Moss be suspended for 90; that O. G. Moore be suspended for one year; and that the registrations of the dogs above mentioned by cancelled.

John C. Eastman
James A. Pugh
William F. Grower

Chicago Trial Board

Moved and seconded that same be accepted and recommendations adopted. Carried.

Charges of Sherwood Hall Jr. vs. Scottish Terrier Club of America was referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

Mr. J. Macy Willets and R. M. Cleveland were elected as substitutes to serve on said Board for above trial in place of Francis G. Lloyd and Theodore Offerman.

Charges of Mrs. C. E. Aulis vs. Grafton Kennel Club were referred to the Boston Trial Board for action.

Charges of S. Jackson vs. E. F. Joyce were referred to the Boston Trial Board for action.
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Charges of Mrs. Oren Harris and Mrs. Gael Johnson vs. Pittsburg Dog Fanciers Club was referred to the Chicago Trial Board for action.

Charges of Walker Cunningham vs. Pittsburgh Dog Fanciers Club were referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for action.

Charges of Charles Ludwig vs. Adolf Guttmann were referred to the New York Trial Board for action.

The resignation of O. F. Vedder as Western Representative read, and same was accepted with regrets, and thanks for his past services.

Moved and seconded that Mr. Stettheimer be asked to take over the office temporarily and report to the club as to the advisability of discontinuing the Western Representative and have all the matters conducted from the home office.

Moved and seconded that the disbursements made by the Western Representative be paid. Carried.

Letter from San Angelo Kennel Club Re transfer of date deposit read, and on motion their request was granted.

Letter from Southampton Kennel Club requesting a transfer of their date deposit from 1919 to 1920 was read and on motion same was not granted for the reason that the deposit had already been transferred from 1918 to 1919.

Moved and seconded that owing to war conditions, the American Kennel Club had been very lenient in regard to transferring date deposits when clubs did not hold their shows, but that in future the Rules would be enforced in such matters. Carried.

Letter from S. B. Axtell read in regard to the non receipt of a letter containing the registration of a dog.

Moved and seconded that should Mr. Axtell furnish an affidavit
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to the effect that said registration had been mailed to this office, giving date of mailing,
and full particulars regarding same, said registration will be accepted. Carried.

Letter from Louisville Airedale Terrier Club in regard to postponing date of entries
read.

Moved and seconded that said club be fined $1.00. Carried.

Letter from Field and Fancy in regard to purchasing different volumes of the Stud
Book.

Moved and seconded that the Secretary hold ten volumes of each Stud Book and
only to sell copies where there are more than ten volumes on hand, and to publish notice
to this effect in the Gazette. The price of each Volumes to be $2.00 net.

The Rules Committee read the following report, which was accepted and ordered
published in the Gazette.

“The Rules Committee at a regular meeting held Sept 9, 1919, by unanimous
vote decided to recommend an addition to Section 2 Article 2 of the bylaws as
follows:

They shall also have the power to appoint an Assistant Secretary and Assistant
Treasurer who by their direction may fulfill all the duties of the Secretary and all
The duties of the Secretary and all of the duties of the Treasurer.”

John F. Collins, Chairman

The following were appointed as a Trial Board in Los Angeles: Freeman Ford,
Chairman W. S. Macy, and R. C. Halstead, Mr. Irving C. Ackerman was appointed a
member of the San Francisco Trial Board in place of W. S. Macy.

Letter from New England Beagle Club read and referred to the Rules Committee
for action.

Mr. R. P. Keasbey, Chairman of the Publication Committee made a report calling
attention to the fact that owing to the high cost of printing, the expense of registration
had greatly increased. After discussion, it was moved and seconded that the registration
fee be not increased at the present time. Motion carried.
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Messrs. William Rauch, Chetwood Smith, Howard Willets, George S. West, James E. Meade, William Ross Proctor and Charles A. Kelly were excused for absence from this meeting.

Meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following members were present.

H. H. Hunnewell
John F. Collins
Dr. De Mund
Ralph C. Stewart
Rowland P. Keasbey
C. F. Neilson

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:
To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen: Sept. 15, 1919

I beg to advise you that Louis E. Rode has appealed from the second decision of the New York Trial Board upon the grounds that the finding was against the evidence and further that one or more of the Judges sitting at the Trial was prejudiced against him.

The result of the expression of opinion from show giving clubs, Specialty clubs and individuals upon the matter of the licensing of judges has been tabulated by the Rules Committee, and that Committee will report on same as this meeting.

Respectfully submitted
A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary

Same was accepted and ordered on file.
The appeal of Louis E. Rode was read as follows:

July 25, 1919

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

Please take notice in accordance with Article 14, Section 4, of the Charter and bylaws of the American Kennel Club; I hereby appeal to the Executive Committee from the decision of the Trial Board in the case of Carl V. Woegerer vs. Louis E. Rode, notice of which was sent to me under date of July 1, 1919.

From each and every finding therein that the same is
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against the evidence and the facts, and further appeal from the decision found in the second trial.

And I further appeal upon the grounds that one or more of the judges sitting on my trial was prejudiced against me.

I hereby deposit the sum of $25 as provided in Section 4.

Respectfully yours,
Louis E. Rode"

Moved and seconded that this appeal will be considered by the Executive Committee at its meeting to be held the third Tuesday in October.

The report of the Rules Committee was read as follows:

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

In pursuance of the request contained in your resolution which was adopted at your last meeting that the Rules Committee should obtain an expression of opinion from the various clubs and individual Fanciers throughout the country as to whether the licensing of judges have proven beneficial to the Dog Fanciers should be continued or not. The Committee caused to be sent eleven hundred circular letters to clubs and individuals, a copy of which circular letter is appended, and received 92 replies.

At a meeting of the Rules Committee held Sept 9th last, at which were present, H. H. Hunnewell, Dr. De Mund, R. P. Keasbey, and John F. Collins, the answers to this letter were canvassed with the following result.

Forty-two clubs answered of which 99 were in favor of the continuance of this system, while 13 were opposed. Of 50 individual replies, 39 favored the licensing of judges while 5 did not favor this system. Six were doubtful in the opinion of the Committee as to their opinion.

This shows 69 percent of the clubs in favor of licensing and 99 percent of the individuals.

The replies received came from all sections of the country and not form any one locality in particular.

The American Fox Terrier Club did not submit a reply which was explained by Mr. Hunnewell, Secretary of the club, that he did not deem it necessary as the Fox Terrier Club were the proposers of the resolution to discontinue the licensing of judges.
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Dr. De Mund stated that the Russian Wolfhound Club were in favor of the continuance of the licensing of judges but they had not sent their communication on the subject he presumed was due to an oversight on the part of their Secretary.

Respectfully submitted

John F. Collins
Chairman Rules Committee

Same was accepted and ordered on file.

Dr. De Mund moved that the names of the clubs for and against licensing be given. This motion was not carried.

Meeting adjourned.

A. P. Vredenburgh
Secretary
Mr. Howard Willets presiding.
The following members were present:
Mr. Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
Mr. Chas. R. Wood
Mr. F. Neilson
Mr. Rowland P. Keasbey
Mr. J. Collins
Mr. Ralph C. Stewart
Mr. Wm. Rauch

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:
To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:
I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the Shepherd dog, Blue Blood Sheepy, also the report of the Special Trial Board in the matter of Sherwood Hall, Jr. vs. the Scottish Terrier Club of America, and the report of the New York Trial Board in the matters of Lane vs. New York Bird Store and Chas. Ludwig vs. Mr. & Mrs. A. Gutmann.

Charges have been preferred by Mr. Wilford Wood of Brooklyn, NY against Mr. Norman A. Pabst of Frosse Ile, Michigan for misconduct in connection with dogs.

The appeal of Mr. Louis E. Rode from the decision of the New York Trial Board which was presented to you at your last meeting, Sept 16, 1919, same was held over for action to be taken at this meeting.

I beg to present the resignation of Mr. Irving C. Ackerman who was appointed as a member of the San Francisco Trial Board, stating his inability to accept the appointment.

I call your attention to the fact that the Louisiana Kennel Club which recently held a show, Oct 11 & 12, 1919, published a catalogue not in accordance with our rules and also employed a Superintendent that is not licensed by us.

A communication from Mrs. Ethel Rogers Linbach claiming
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That the Yorkshire Terrier Association of America had failed to turn over funds received by them, proceeds from Bench Shows held for the Blue Cross as advertized that they would do.

   Doctor Wm. Burroughs of Philadelphia, PA, asks for an official ruling in the matter of showing brace classes and team classes,

   Also communication from Mr. James G. Rossman in reference to a bill introduced in the United States to prevent experimenting upon living dogs.

Respectfully submitted,
   Newton H. Day
   For the Secretary

Same was accepted and order on file.

The appeal of Mr. Louis E. Rode and his communication withdrawing appeal and asking for a new trial, together with recommendations and partition was read and considered.

   It was moved and seconded that the suspension of Mr. Rode be reduced to one year pending the acceptance of the Trial Board.
   Carried.

   The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in Re Shepherd dog Blue Blood Sheepy was read and on motion the recommendation was adopted that Mr. J. L. Meyers of Falson, PA be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club

   The report of the Special Trial Board in Re Sherwood Hall, Jr. vs. the Scottish Terrier Club was read and on motion the recommendations adopted and case was dismissed.

   The report of the New York Trial Board in re F. H. Lane, and Adolph Guttmann was read and on motion the recommendation adopted, and Mrs. M. Schuessler and the New York Bird Store were disqualified for the period of one year from date, Oct 9, 1919. Also that Mr. and Mrs. A. Guttmann be deprived of the privileges of the American Kennel Club for the period of one year from date hereof, Oct 9, 1919.

   Charges preferred by Wilford Wood against Norman A. Pabst were read and on motion the case was referred to the New York Trial Board
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The resignation of Mr. I. C. Ackerman was read and on motion accepted, and the Secretary instructed to express regrets as to his non-acceptance.

The complaint of Mr. A. V. Webley of Ottawa, Canada in reference to not being able to obtain pedigree of dog purchased from J. F. Crangle was read and on motion it was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

In the matter of the Louisiana Kennel Club employing an unlicensed superintendent at their late show, it was moved and seconded that a penalty of $25.00 be imposed against said club for infraction of our rules.

The communication from Mrs. Ethel Rogers Linbach was read and on motion was referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation.

The communication from Doctor Burroughs was read and on motion, the Secretary was instructed to reply to same.

The communication and resolutions from Mr. J. Rossman was read and same was laid upon the table.

The matter of a Western Representative of the American Kennel Club was then taken up and on motion Mr. R. C. Halstead, of Passadena, Calif. Was appointed as the Western Representative of the American Kennel Club.

Mr. Day was instructed to purchase the necessary cabinets for the use of the office.

Mr. Wood moved that a sub-Committee of the executive Committee consisting of three members be appointed by the chair to have general supervision over all matters appertaining to the business and affairs of the Kennel Club offices. Motion seconded and carried.

The Chair appointed Messrs. DeMund, Rauch and Neilson.

Mr. Rauch moved that Mr. Day be appointed Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer, and that Mr. Day be empowered to sign checks for account of the club. This motion was seconded and unanimously carried.

On motion meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
For Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
HELD TUESDAY, NOV 18, 1919

The President, Mr. H. H. Hunnewell presiding.

THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS WERE PRESENT:

Hollis H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
Chas. R. Wood
F. Neilson
Rowland P. Keasbey
J. Collins
Ralph C. Stewart
Wm. Rauch

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

I beg to present for your consideration the following:
Communication from the Louisiana Kennel Club requesting that the fine imposed against said club, at your last meeting be remitted.

A notification from Mr. Wilford Wood, who preferred charges against Mr. Norman A. Pabst, asking that said charges be discontinued.

A communication from Mr. B. K. Howard protesting against the status of the Texas Kennel Club and its actions.

I present an excuse for absence at this meeting from Mr. Chetwood Smith, also from Judge Townsend Suudder.

Newton H. Day
Asst. Secretary

Same was accepted and ordered on file.

The communications from the Louisiana Kennel Club in reference to penalty imposed against said club, were read and on motion it was moved and seconded that fine be remitted.

The notification from Mr. Wilford Wood asking that the charges preferred by him against Mr. Norman Pabst be dismissed was on motion referred to the New York Trial Board.
The letter and complaints of Mr. B. K. Howard of Dallas, Texas were read and on motion it was referred to the Membership Committee for investigation.

The President read a letter received from Mr. W. W. Stettheimer in regard to the granting of dates on the Pacific Coast and the Secretary was instructed to forward copy of letter written to our Western Representative, to Mr. Stettheimer.

The Chairman of the Special Committee appointed at the last meeting for the Supervision of the office of the club reported on behalf of the Committee.

On motion it was resolved that the report of the Special Committee be accepted and the Committee continued.

On motion it was resolved that A. P. Vredenburgh be granted a vacation until Jan 1st next and that he be released from all duties as Secretary and Treasurer until that time, and that a letter be sent to A. P. Vredenburgh apprising him of this action.

On motion it was resolved that the Special Committee report at the next meeting of the Executive Committee their views as to a revision of the various fixed charges made by the Kennel Club.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Asst Secretary
Quartermly meeting of the delegates of the american kennel club

Held Tuesday, Dec 16, 1919

President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

The following delegates were present.

Associate Delegate  Francis G. Lloyd
Airedale Terrier Club of America  Wm. L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club  H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club  Theodore Offerman
Bulldog Club of America  Edwin L. Boger
California Airedale Terrier Club  C. Frederick Neilson
Colorado Kennel Club  Charles A. Kelly
Chow Chow Club  E. C. Waller
Colorado Kennel Club  Charles A. Kelly
Eastern Dog Club  George S. West
Erie Kennel Club  Ralph C. Stewart
Irish Setter Club  R. W. Creuzbaur
Irish Terrier Club of America  John G. Bates
Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts  E. G. Snow, Jr.
Long Island Kennel Club  John F. Collins
Maltese Club  E. H. Berendsohn
Nassau County Kennel Club  Theodore Crane
Newfoundland Club of America  Charles R. Wood
Ohio Valley Kennel Club  Townsend Scudder
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club  R. P. Keasbey
Philadelphia Bulldog Club  Jos. A. Buchanan
Russian Wolfhound Club  Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club  Howard Willets
(2) Delegates
Shepherd Dog Club of America                R. M. Cleveland
Spaniel Breeders’ Society                   J. Macy Willets
Toy Spaniel Club of America                 Daniel P. Ritchey
Westchester Kennel Club                     George Greer
Delaware State Fair                         J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

On motion the minutes of the meeting held Sept 16, 1919 were accepted as published in the Kennel Gazette.

The Secretary’s report was read as follows:

New York, Dec. 15, 1919

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that the following applications for active membership have been received:

Pinehurst Kennel Club and the Brockton Agricultural Society.

Also the following credentials for delegates:

Washington Kennel Club, appointing, Capt. Grove A. Porter
Brussels Griffon Club of America, appointing Mr. G. Muss-Arnolt
New York State Fair, appointing Mr. Samuel G. Allen.
Lynn Kennel Club appointing, Mr. Thos. E. Rafferty.
Delaware State Fair, appointing Mr. J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Pinehurst County Kennel Club appointing, Mr. Thos. D. Higgins
Great Dane Club of America appointing, Mr. A. U. Whitson.
Cairn Terrier Club of America appointing, Paul C. Blass
American Spaniel Club appointing Mr. Howard Willets

Several of these credentials were reported at the Sept 15th meeting, but owing to lack of quorum were not acted upon.
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The above mentioned applications have been referred to our Membership Committee which will report on same at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Asst. Secretary

On motion report was accepted and placed on file.
The election of members and delegates was then taken up. Mr. C. Rule> Wood and Mr. E. G. Snow were appointed as tellers:
The application for membership of the Pinehurst Kennel Club for active membership was balloted for and it was duly elected an active member.
The application of the Brockton Agricultural Society was balloted for and duly elected as active member.
The following delegates were balloted for an declared elected by the chair:
Mr. A. U. Whitson to represent the Cairn Terrier Club of America
Mr. J. Sergeant Price, Jr. to represent the Delaware State Fair
Mr. Samuel G. Allen to represent the New York State Fair

Pursuant to article VII Sections IV and V of the bylaws of the American Kennel Club the Membership Committee nominated as delegates for the Associate Subscribers.
Mr. Francis Lloyd
Mr. H. T. Fleitman
And suggested as Nominating Committee the following names,
The report of the Rules Committee was read and the following amendments on motion were adopted:

The Winners’ Class for which there shall be no entry fee must be open only to undefeated winners of first prizes in either the Puppy, Novice, America-bred, Limit or Open Classes, which classes constitute the regular classes. The Winners’ Class may be divided by sex provided the American-bred and the Open Class is so divided.

Amend Rules governing clubs by adding an additional rule to be known as Rules XXVI, to read as follows:

Any club, or organization whether a member of the American Kennel Club or not, may hold an informal or sanction show (otherwise or formerly known as a match, club match or matinee) provided they obtain a sanction for each such event from the License Committee of the American Kennel Club.

Such events shall be governed by the following rules of the Rules governing Dog Shows: 12-13-14-15-16-17-19-21-22-23-24- and paragraphs 3-5-6-7 of rule 18, also any regulations which shall be made from time to time by the License Committee.

If ribbons are given to indicate wins they shall be of the following colors:

First prize - Rose
Second prize – White
Third prize – Green
Special prize – a combination of any of these colors

No class shall be given which shall be called the Winners’ Class, not shall any class be given resembling the same.

Persons who have been refused a license to judge by the
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License Committee of the American Kennel Club or persons who have held licenses which licenses have been revoked or persons who are specifically denied the right to judge by any rules of the American Kennel Club shall not be invited or allowed to officiate as judges.

Any club, or organizations or any official of any club or organization including those acting as judges, Veterinarian, Superintendent, Committeeman or Steward who shall hold a dog show or Dog Exhibition of any kind, character or description, or any individual action in connection with any dog show or dog exhibition not held in accordance with the Rules of the American Kennel Club, which apply to such show or Exhibition, shall be disciplined to the extent of having all the privileges of the American Kennel Club withheld from them for a given period or indefinitely, and if an active member of the American Kennel Club, they shall be expelled from membership in said clubs.

The resignation of Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh as Secretary and Treasurer of the American Kennel Club was read by Mr. Hunnewell and on motion the same was accepted and the following resolution was unanimously adopted, and the Assistant Secretary was instructed to have the same engrossed and forwarded to A. P. Vredenburgh.

“RESOLVED that the delegates learn with great regret of the resignation of Mr. Alfred Purdy Vredenburgh as Secretary of the American Kennel Club and desire to record their unbounded appreciation of his life-long devotion to the creation and up-building of the club.

It is not only just, but a great satisfaction to the delegates to place on record the fact that, in their opinion, the American Kennel Club owes to A. P. Vredenburgh more than to any other man, the growth and maintenance of its influence as the governing body in the kennel world of the United States.

What A. P. Vredenburgh has felt to be his duty and the right, has always been dominant in his relations with his fellow men, and from this great characteristic of the man The Kennel Club has reaped the full benefit.

The following proposed change in the bylaws was offered by Judge Townsend Scudder, and on motion the same was referred to the Rules Committee:

TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB:
Notice is hereby given in writing that the following amendment will be proposed to Article VI of the Bylaws at the next annual meeting of the club.

Amend Article of the bylaws by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 10 thereof and to change the number of present
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Section 10 to 11; said new Section 10 to read as follows:

Section 10. They shall have power to retire on an annual pension in such amount as they shall determine an employee of the club or its predecessor, whose term of employment or office shall have exceeded 20 years, and who shall have reached the age of [left blank] years.

RESOLVED that the foregoing proposed amendment to Article VI of the bylaws be received, filed and referred to the Committee on Rules with directions to report thereon at the annual meeting of the club, and be published in The Gazette prior to said annual meeting.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Asst. Secretary
THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD TUESDAY
DEC 16, 1919

President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS WERE PRESENT:
H. H. Hunnewell
H. Willets
C. R. Wood
J. R. Collins
Dr. De Mund
R. C. Stewart
Rowland P. Keasbey
Townsend Scudder
C. F. Neilson

As no matters of business were presented on motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Asst Secretary
QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN
KENNEL CLUB
HELD TUESDAY, DEC 16, 1919

President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following Directors were present.
Francis Lloyd
G. S. West
E. L. Boger
Chas. A. Kelly
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Chas. R. Wood
John G. Bates
J. Macy Willets
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
C. F. Neilson
Townsend Scudder
H. H. Hunnewell
William L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
Jos. A. Buchanan
Dr. De Mund
Howard Willets
George Greer
R. M. Cleveland
John F. Collins
R. M. Cruezbaur

On motion the minutes of the last meeting as published
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in the Gazette were duly accepted.

   The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

   Dec. 15, 1919

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club.

Gentlemen:

   I beg to submit the report of the New York Trial Board in the case of Mr. Wilford
   Wood, vs. Mr. Norman a. Pabst. The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter
   of A. V. Webley, vs. J. P. Crangle.

   Charges have been preferred by Mr. E. L. Boger of Phila. PA, against Mr. J. H.
   Ruhton of Asbury Park, NJ for conduct prejudicial to the best interest of dogs.

   I present excuses from Mr. Wm. Rauch and Mr. Chetwood Smith.

   Respectfully submitted,

   Newton H. Day
   Asst. Secretary

On motion the report of the Secretary was accepted and ordered on file.

The Chair announced that M. August Belmont had arrived and requested the
privilege of the floor be given to him.

On motion the business of the meeting was suspended and Mr. Belmont was
invited to attend and address the meeting.

On motion the Directors went into Executive Session.

Regular session resumed.

Moved and seconded that Mr. A. P. Vredenburgh be given an annuity for life of
the sum of $2500.00 beginning at the expiration of his present term of office.

Motion carried.

Moved and seconded that the vacation granted to A. P. Vredenburgh to Jan 1st
be extended until his resignation takes effect.

Motion carried.

Moved and seconded that the Special Committee for the supervision of the
conduct of the office be continued until the annual meeting.

Motion carried.
The Treasurers report was read as follows:

Dec. 15, 1919

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

I beg to present the Financial Report from Jan 1st to date

- Balance on hand Jan 1, 1919: $8,143.99
- Receipts from Jan 1st, to date: $33,019.96
- Total: $41,163.95
- Disbursements to date: $25,430.49
- Transferred to Reserve Funds: $5,000.00
- Balance on hand: $10,733.46

Respectfully submitted

Newton H. Day
Asst. Secretary

On motion same was accepted and placed on file.

The Report of the Stud Book Committee was read in the matter of false pedigree registered by Mr. H. J. McWilliams and on motion the report was received and the case referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

The Report of the Special Office Committee was presented and on motion the following prices governing applications were adopted:

- Re-registration of dogs: $2.00
- Changes of names (in the current volume): $1.00
- Certified Pedigrees, 3 generations: $2.00
- Certified Pedigrees, 4 generations: $5.00
(4) Directors

On motion the matter of registration fee was referred to the Rules Committee.

The report of the New York Trial Board was read in the case of Wilford Wood vs. Norman A. Pabst, and it was recommended that case be dropped.

On motion its recommendations were adopted.

The Report of the Philadelphia Trial Board was read in reference to the case of Webley vs. Crangle, and it was recommended that Mr. J. F. Crangle be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club. Also the matter of Cunningham vs. Pittsburg Dog Fanciers Club for unpaid ribbons won and that the said ribbons having been received no further action is recommended.

On motion the above report was accepted and the recommendations adopted.

Charges were preferred by Mr. E. L. Boger against Mr. J. Harry Rushton and on motion the matter was referred to the Phila. Trial Board.

The following excuses for absence were accepted.

Mr. William Rauch and Mr. Chetwood Smith.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Asst. Secretary
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:

Associate Delegate: Francis G. Lloyd
Airedale Terrier Club of America William L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club Theodore Offerman
American Spaniel Club George Greer
Bulldog Club of America Edwin L. Boger
Cairn Terrier Club of America A. U. Whitson
California Airedale Terrier Club C. Frederick Neilson
Colorado Kennel Club Charles A. Kelly
Dalmatian Club of America Alfred B. Maclay
Delaware State Fair J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Erie Kennel Club Ralph C. Stewart
International Toy Poodle Club Thomas Hartman
Irish Terrier Club of America John Bates
Ladies’ Kennel Association of Massachusetts E. G. Snow, Jr.
Long Island Kennel Club John F. Collins
Maltese Club E. H. Berendsohn
Manchester Terrier Club Wm. P. Wolcott
Nassau County Kennel Club Theodore Crane
National Beagle Club G. Mifflin Wharton
Delegates (2)
New Jersey Beagle Club       Geo. B. Hooley
Old English Sheepdog Club of America  Tyler Morse
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club    R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club         Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club          Howard Willets
Spaniel Breeders’ Society      J. Macy Willets
Westminster Kennel Club        Wm. Rauch
Wissahickon Kennel Club        R. H. Johnson, Jr.

On motion the minutes of the last meeting were adopted as published in the
November Gazette.

The Secretary read his report as follows:  
New York, Feb 17, 1920

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

The year 1919 has been a successful one, though punctuated with serious
difficulties which I am glad to say are rapidly being overcome. The upset occasioned by
moving our offices, the loss of seasoned and efficient assistants of this office, and the
immense amount of trouble caused by the printers’ strike, have been the reasons for our
work falling behind in its promptness, but as aforesaid we hope soon to be up to date
with our records, etc., and the work will be running smoothly.

The volume of business received has increased largely as will be shown by the
Financial Statement.

I beg to tender my grateful thanks to the Special Office Committee who have
aided me very largely in handling many of the difficulties.

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting I have received applications
for active membership from the following clubs:

    The Buckeye Beagle Club of Uhrichsville, Ohio
    Delaware County Kennel Club, Chester, PA
    Hackensack Kennel Club of New Jersey
    (This latter club was formerly known as the Bergen County Kennel Club and held
    licensed shows under that name.)
Delegates (3)

The following credentials for delegates have been received:

The American Spaniel Club of America appointing Mr. Frank A. Teeling, Medford, MA. The Japanese Spaniel Club of America appointing Doctor E. H. Berendsohn, Brooklyn, NY, and the Brunswick Foxhound Club appointing Mr. W. C. Baldwin, Hull, Canada.

The credentials from the American Spaniel Club appointing Mr. Howard Willets which was reported at the Sept meeting have been withdrawn. These applications have been referred to the Membership Committee which will report its recommendations at this meeting.

I present the certificate of the Board of Tellers appointed by the President to canvass the votes for the Associate Delegates to the American Kennel Club which certifies to the election of Mr. Francis G. Lloyd and Mr. Henry T. Fleitman as delegates to represent associate subscribers for the year 1920.

Resignations from the Framingham District Kennel Club and the Eastern Collie Breeders Association as active members of the American Kennel Club have been received. These clubs are in good standing and I would recommend the acceptance of their resignations.

Pursuant to the bylaws the following clubs have been dropped from active members from the American Kennel Club for the non-payment of the dues for the years 1920:

- Pacific Coast Collie Club
- Pointer Club of America
- Southampton Kennel Club
- Worcester Kennel Club

The report of the Nominating Committee has been received as follows:

At a meeting of the Nominating Committee held in New York City on Thursday, Feb 12, the following nominations were suggested by the by the Nominating Committee:

**Class of 1924**
Q. A. Shaw McKeen
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.

**Class of 1923**
Alfred B. MacClay

**Class of 1922**
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

**Class of 1921**
Joseph A. Buchanan
Delegates (4)

Class of 1920
Howard Willets
George Greer
R. M. Cleveland
J. F. Collins
W. Prescott Wolcott
R. W. Creuzbaur

Mr. McKean was nominated for one year and his nomination is for the balance of the term.

Mr. Alfred MacClay would be automatically dropped for non-attendance and his re-nomination covers the balance of the term.

Mr. J. Sergeant Price, Jr. was nominated for one year and the present nomination balances his term.

Mr. Joseph H. Johnson, Jr. was nominated to succeed Mr. John Sinnott who was automatically dropped for non-attendance.

The names for the Class of 1920 are nominated as the class expires at the next meeting.

The Term referred to above refers to the class in which the nominations have been made.

Respectfully submitted by

Theodore Offerman
Francis G. Lloyd
Q. A. Shaw McKean
Charles R. Wood, Chairman

Yours vey truly,
C. R. Wood

An Amendment to Article II, Section 2 of the bylaws was recommended by the Rules Committee and published in the Sept Gazette as follows:

“They shall also have the power to appoint an Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer, who by their direction may fulfill all the duties of the Secretary and all the duties of the Treasurer.”

An Amendment to Article IX of the bylaws was also recommended by the Rules Committee and published in the October Gazette as follows:
Delegates (5)

“It shall have the power to sanction or disapprove applications for informal shows.”

A notice of a proposed Amendment to Article VI of the bylaws was given at the December meeting, publishing in the Gazette and referred to the Committee on Rules with directions to report thereon at this meeting.

The report of the Rules Committee dated December 30, 1919 was received, published in the November Gazette and is as follows:

December 30, 1919

The regular meeting of the Rules Committee was held at the office of the American Kennel Club on the above date, all members being present.

The Resolution offered by the Fox Terrier Club which reads as follows:

Unanimous Resolution passed at the Annual Meeting of the American Fox Terrier Club, Feb 20, 1919.

Whereas it is the sense of the members that the recent establishment of a rule, granting the License Committee of the American Kennel Club the power to license and remove judges, has failed to bring about a satisfactory condition of affairs to the dog fancy.

Be it hereby resolved, that the delegate of the A.F.T. Club to the AKC be instructed to present a resolution to the proper authorities of the AKC at the earliest moment, calling for the revocation of the rule, which embodies the License Committee of the AKC with power to grant and revoke judges’ license, and furthermore calling for the annulment of all past acts of the License Committee of the AKC in connection with said rule.

And be it furthermore resolved that the Secretary of the A.F.T. Club be instructed to mail a copy of above resolution to the Secretary and Delegate of every club a member of the AKC.

H. H. Hunnewell
Secretary A.F. T. C.

which was referred to this Committee by the Executive Committee on March 6th, was considered, and on motion was not approved. All members voted in the affirmative with the exception of Mr. Hunnewell who voted in the negative.

A letter received from Mr. Foley, Superintendent of the last Newport Dog Show, asking for an opinion as to Special No. 267. The Committee by unanimous vote decided that under the circumstances this Special should be declared void.

A resolution which reads as follows, and which was referred by the Delegates at the last meeting to this Committee was considered:
Delegates (6)

To the American Kennel Club:

Notice is hereby given in writing that the following amendment will be proposed to Article VI of the bylaws at the next annual meeting of the club.

Amend Article VI of the bylaws by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 10 thereof and to change the number of present Section 10 to 11; said new Section 10 to read as follows:

Section 10. They shall have power to retire on an annual pension in such amount as they shall determine an employee of the club or its predecessor, whose term of employment or office shall have exceeded 20 years, and who shall have reached the age of --- years.

RESOLVED. That the foregoing proposed amendment to Article VI of the bylaws be received, filed and referred to the Committee on Rules with directions to report thereon at the annual meeting of the club, and be published in the Gazette prior to said annual meeting.

It was decided that it is the opinion of this Committee that the Board of Directors already have the power, as proposed in the above resolution, and therefore, this resolution as a proposed amendment to the bylaws the Committee deems unnecessary and is, therefore, not approved.

The recommendation made by the Special Committee for Office supervision that Rule VIII of Rules Governing Dog Shows be amended, changing the amount of the fee for registration from $1.00 to $2.00 was given careful consideration, and it was unanimously decided that while we feel that the services performed by the office of the AKC in the work of registrations really demand a decidedly larger fee than it is at present charged, we consider that there is no real necessity for the proposed increase of the registration fee at present and, therefore, this recommendation is not approved.

Respectfully submitted,
John F. Collins, Chairman

Respectfully submitted
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.
A request from the Southampton Kennel Club tendering annual dues and asking for reinstatement was received and on motion it was decided to receive dues and the club be continued as an active member.
Delegates (7)

The election of active members and delegates to the American Kennel Club was then taken up, and the Chair appointed Messrs. Theodore Offerman and Tyler Morse as tellers. The following clubs were balloted for and elected:

The Buckeye Beagle Club
Delaware County Kennel Club
Hackensack Kennel Club of New Jersey

The certificate of the Tellers appointed to canvass the votes received form the Associate Subscribers was read certifying to the election of Mr. Francis G. Lloyd and Mr. Henry T. Fleitman as delegates to represent the associate subscribers for the year 1920.

ELECTION OF DELEGATES

Mr. George Greer was elected to represent the American Spaniel Club.
Doctor E. H. Berendsohn to represent the Japanese Spaniel Club.
Mr. W. C. Baldwin to represent the Brunswick Foxhound Club.
Capt. Grove A. Porter to represent the Washington Kennel Club
Mr. Thos. D. Higgins to represent the Providence County Kennel Club.

Resignations from the Framingham District Kennel Club and Eastern Collie Breeders' Association were on motion accepted.

The following nominations for Directors were received from the Nominating Committee, balloted for, and duly elected:

For the Class of 1925 - Howard Willets
George Greer
R. M. Cleveland
John F. Collins
W. Prescott Wolcott
R. W. Creuzbaur

Class of 1924 - Q. A. Shaw McKeon
Russell H. Johnson, Jr

Class of 1923 - Alfred B. Maclay
Delegates (8)

Class of 1922 – J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

Class of 1921 - Joseph A. Buchanan

The report of the Treasurer was read as follows:

New York, Feb 17, 1920

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report my financial statement for the year 1919 which has been audited by Messrs. Townsend and Dix, certified accountants, and I have their certification that same is correct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1, 1919</td>
<td>$ 8,143.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources from Jan 1 to Dec 31</td>
<td>41,017.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>49,161.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements for the same period</td>
<td>34,023.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Dec 31, 1919</td>
<td>15,136.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Treasurer

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Rules Committee was read and on motion the recommendations of same were adopted.

Communications were received in reference to Licensed Judges and the License Committee from the San Mateo Kennel Club, the Pasadena Kennel Club, the Los Angeles Kennel Club and the Western Foxterrier Breeders’ Association, and on motion the same were referred to the Rules Committee.

The matter of again publishing the bound volume of the Stud Book registrations was taken up and after discussion a motion was made, seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the Publication and Finance Committee to report at the next meeting.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
John E. DeMund
Francis G. Lloyd
Edwin L. Boger
Russell H. Johnson
Charles A. Kelly
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Alfred B. MaClay
John G. Bates
J. Macy Willets
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
William Rauch
C. Frederick Neilson
Townsend Scudder
W. L. Barclay
Theodore Offerman
George Greer
John F. Collins
W. Prescott Wolcott

The minutes of the last meeting were read and on motion were accepted as printed in the November Gazette.
The report of the Secretary was read and on motion received and placed on file and is as follows:

New York, Feb 17, 1920

To the Board of the Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by E. L. Boger vs. Harry Rushton. Also report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of communication received from P. W. Pryts in reference to false pedigree of St. Bernard Princess Charlemagne. Also communication from Mr. Wm. Thornton suspension of Alex B. Gordon, and that both matters be referred to a Trial Board.

Charges have been preferred by Mr. M. R. Guggenheim of New York against Mr. Wilson Barnard, Bryn Mawr, PA.

Also charges by William Brown, Trenton, NJ against David S. Peebles, Trenton, NJ.

Charges preferred by Edwin L. Boger of Philadelphia, PA against Edgar Froud.

And by W. E. Oakley, Rahway, NJ against Thos. Grisdale, New York City.

I present excuses from Mr. Chetwood Smith, Judge Townsend Scudder and Mr. Joseph A. Buchanan, W. Ross Proctor, W. Ross Proctor, Jr., Q. A. Shaw McKean, Charles R. Wood and George S. West.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

On motion the meeting went into election of Officers of standing Committees for the year 1920.

Mr. Hollis H. Hunnewell was nominated for President.

Mr. Howard Willets for 1st Vice-President.

Dr. De Mund nominated for 2nd Vice-President.
Directors (3)

There being no further nominations for these offices, on motion the Secretary was directed to cast a ballot for the nominees and the Chair announced the unanimous election of Mr. Hollis H. Hunnewell as President. Mr. Howard Willets, as 1st Vice-President and Dr. De Mund as 2nd Vice-President.

Mr. Newton H. Day was nominated as Secretary and Treasurer. The vote taken by acclamation and the President announced his election.

Nominations for Standing Committees were then made, and on motion the Secretary was directed to cast the ballots in favor of the nominees, and the Chair announced the election of the following Committees:

Membership Committee - C. F. Neilson, Chairman
Q. A. Shaw McKean
William Rauch
Francis G. Lloyd
A. B. Maclay

Rules Committee - John W. Collins, Chairman
H. H. Hunnewell
R. P. Keasbey
George Greer
Wm. L. Barclay

License Committee - Theodore Offerman, Chairman
Dr. De Mund
John G. Bates
J. Macy Willets
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.

Stud Book Committee - R. C. Stewart, Chairman
W. L. Barclay
C. R. Wood

Finance Committee - William Rauch, Chairman
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Publication Committee - R. P. Keasbey, Chairman  
R. M. Cleveland  
W. P. Wolcott  

Field Trial Committee - J. Macy Willets, Chairman  
George West  
A. B. Maclay  

Law Committee - Townsend Scudder, Chairman  
R. C. Stewart  
John F. Collins  

Mr. R. C. Halsted was nominated as the Western Representative of the American Kennel Club and duly elected.  

The following nominations for members of the different Trial Board were made, and the Secretary was directed to cast the ballots and the Chair announced the elections as follows:  

New York Trial Board - John G. Bates, Chairman  
Francis G. Lloyd  
R. M. Cleveland  

Philadelphia Trial Board - W. L. Barclay, Chairman  
J. Segeant Price, Jr.  
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.  

Boston Trial Board - E. W. Dwight, Chairman  
George West  
T. Dickson Smith  

Chicago Trial Board - J. C. Eastman, Chairman  
J. A. Pugh  
W. F. Grower  

Los Angeles Trial Board - Freeman A. Ford, Chairman  
W. S. Macy  

San Francisco Trial Board - C. W. Conlisk, Chairman  
Nat. T. Messer  
Alex. Wolfen  

The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted and ordered on file, and is as follows:  

New York, Feb 17, 1920  

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club:  
Gentlemen:
Directors (6)

“I herewith present Financial Report from Jan. 1st, 1920 to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1st</td>
<td>$10,137.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>6,173.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,311.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>5,272.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to Reserve Fund</td>
<td>5,000.00  10,272.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$ 6,039.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted
Newton H. Day
Treasurer

Report of Stud Book Committee in the matter of the Bulldog Billie Boy IX and the false pedigree given to Gordon’s Dame, No. 266,838 offered the following recommendation.

“In this matter, after careful investigation the Stud Book Committee Recommends the suspension of Mr. A. B. Gordon, 10817 Gooding St. Cleveland, Ohio until the next meeting, and that this case be referred to A Trial Board for investigation. Also the Committee have cancelled Registration of Gordon’s Dame, 266,838 for false pedigree.”

On motion the report was received and the matter referred and the matter referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

The Studbook Committee also reported a communication from Mr. P. W. Pryts of Detroit Michigan who called attention to the registration of the St. Bernard, Princess Charlemagne, 260248, which pedigree, upon investigation appears to be false, and recommended that this matter be sent to a Trial Board for further investigation as they have cancelled the registration of Princess Charlemagne, 260,248.

On motion this matter was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.
Directors (6)

Charges preferred by Mr. M. Robt. Guggenheim against Mr. Wilson Barnard, and on motion same were referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

Charges preferred by Mr. Wm. Brown against Mr. David S. Peebles, on motion were referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

Charges preferred by Wm. E. Oakley against Thos. Grisdale on motion were referred to the New York Trial Board.

Charges preferred by E. L. Boger against Edgar Froud, on motion were referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board, and the Secretary was instructed to return the deposit of $10.00 to Mr. Boger as this was a matter of protection of Judges and did not necessitate regular charges or a deposit.

The report of the Special Office Committee was received and on motion accepted, and the Committee continued in office for the ensuing year.

The following were excused for absence at this meeting: Messrs. Chetwood Smith, Townsend Scudder, Joseph A. Buchanan, W. Ross Proctor, W. Ross Proctor, Jr., Q. A. Shaw McKean, Charles R. Wood and George S. West.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
The following members were present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
C. F. Neilson
William Rauch
John F. Collins
Theodore Offerman
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
J. Macy Willets

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, Feb 17, 1920

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit a letter received from Mr. J. E. Carroll of Buffalo, NY, complaining against Mr. Charles F. Grousse, Cleveland, Ohio. Mr. Carroll who officiated as judges at the Boston Terrier Specialty Show held in Buffalo, Oct 9th and 10th charges Mr. Grosse with using insulting language in the ring and afterward publishing a statement in Dogdom of Dec 1919, ask for you protection and the discipline of Mr. Grosse.
Executive (2)

I have a letter from Mr. A. A. Rost who asks permission to appear before this body.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary and Treasurer

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

The communication from Mr. J. E. Carroll complaining and presenting charges against Chas. F. Grosse was read and on motion referred to the New York Trial Board for action.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
Regular Monthly Meeting of the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club
April 20, 1920

President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair:
Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
Theodore Offerman
John F. Collins
Rowland P. Keasbey
William Rauch
Ralph C. Stewart

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

April 19, 1920

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:
I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:


The report of the Rules Committee of their meeting held March 22nd last, and which was published in the March Gazette.

Notice was received on Feb 19th from the Kennel Club of Philadelphia, suspending Mr. & Mrs. F. Van Norden of Haddonfield, N. J. for misconduct in connection with the late show of the Kennel Club of the Philadelphia. Also notice from the late show of the Kennel Club of Philadelphia, dated March 16th suspending Mr. Thomas W. MacFarland of West Philadelphia, PA for misconduct in connection with the late show of the Boston Terrier Club of Philadelphia.

Charges have been preferred by Mrs. H. S. Peaster of South Croskey Street, Philadelphia, PA against Anna M. Clyde, Germantown, Philadelphia, PA.

A communication from Mr. Wm. R. Routley, who has been on our suspended list since 1899 and who applies for reinstatement.

I have the resignation of Mr. H. T. Fleitmann as delegate of the American Kennel Club to represent the Associate Subscribers.
Executive Committee (2)

Mr. Fleitmann was elected at the Feb. meeting.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

In the matter of Mrs. E. R. Limbach vs. the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, on motion it was decided to suspend the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, pending action by the Delegate at their next meeting, May 18, 1920.

On motion it was decided that Mr. Edw. F. Joyce be suspended from the privileges of the American Kennel Club until complainant, Mr. S. Jackson acknowledges in writing to the American Kennel Club that a satisfactory settlement has been reached in the case of Samuel Jackson vs. Mr. Edw. F. Joyce.

On motion the case of Mr. and Mrs. Frank Van Norden, of Haddonfield, NJ was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for further investigation and action.

On motion the case of Mr. Thos. W. MacFarland of West Philadelphia, PA was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for investigation and action.

Charges made by Mrs. H. S. Peaster against Anna M. Clyde on motion were referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for investigation and action.
On motion it was decided to reinstate Mr. Wm. R. Routley.

On motion the resignation of Mr. Henry T. Fleitmann was accepted and it was moved and seconded that the matter of electing another representative to the Associate Subscribers be referred to the Membership Committee and their attention called to the necessity of nominating another candidate.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day

President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
American Fox Terrier Club – H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club – Theodore Offerman
American Spaniel Club – George Greer
Bulldog Club of America – Edwin L. Boger
Colorado Kennel Club – Chas. A. Kelly
Delaware State Fair – J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Erie Kennel Club – Ralph C. Stewart
Eastern Dog Club – George S. West
Irish Terrier Club of America – John G. Bates
Japanese Spaniel Club of America – E. H. Berendsohn
Ladies Kennel Association of Massachusetts – E. G. Snow, Jr.
Long Island Kennel Club – John F. Collins
Newark Kennel Club – John A. Kelly
New York State Fair – Samuel G. Allen
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club – Rowland P. Keasbey
Philadelphia Bulldog Club – Joseph A. Buchanan
Russian Wolfhound Club – Dr. De Mund
Shepherd Dog Club of America – R. M. Cleveland
Spaniel Breeders’ Society – J. Macy Willets
Toy Spaniel Club of America – Daniel P. Ritchey
Western French Bulldog Club – J. N. Champion
Delegates

The minutes of the last meeting, as published in the Feb Gazette were, on motion accepted and placed on file.

The Secretary read his report as follows:

New York, May 18, 1920

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report since the last meeting, I have received applications for active membership in the American Kennel Club from the Bronx County Kennel Club, Bronx County, New York City, the Elm City Kennel Club, Inc., New Haven, Conn. Also credentials for delegates from the Brussels Griffon Club of America appointing Mr. S. W. Spencer; Los Angeles Kennel Club of America appointing, Mr. H. W. Gould; Maltese Club of America appointing Mr. E. B. Hansbruy; Scottish Terrier Club of America appointing Mr. Robt. Sedgwick, Jr; and the Hackensack Kennel Club appointing Doctor J. Edw. Rowe; All of these applications are in proper form and have been presented to our Membership Committee.

The nominations of a delegates for the Associate Subscribers to fill the vacancy cause by the resignation of Mr. H. T. Fleitman will also be presented to you by the Membership Committee.

The report of the Rules Committee recommending amendments to rules, as published in the March Kennel Gazette is placed before this meeting for action thereon.

The Report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of E. R. Limbach vs. Yorkshire Terrier Club of America. This case was presented to the Boston Trial Board who reported to the meeting of the Executive Committee April 20, 1920, and was referred by them to the Delegates at this meeting.

The Report of the meeting of the Finance and Publication Committee to this meeting upon the advisability of resuming publication of the Stud Book in its former bound volume form.

The following notice of proposed Amendments to Rules Governing Dog Shows has been received:

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I hereby offer the following Amendments to Rules Governing Dog Shows:

AMEND RULE V. SECTION G, AS FOLLOWS:
Delegates (3)

Strike out the words, “of three dollars ($3.00)” and insert as follows:

“Of a fee to be determined by the Board of Directors,” so that the Amended Rules will read – “and on payment of a fee to be determined by the Board of Directors will receive a Championship Medal.”

AMEND RULE VII, OF RULES GOVERNING DOG SHOWS, AS FOLLOWS:

Strike out the words, “the fee for registration is $1.00, and for listing 25 cents, and insert as follows:

“The fee for registration and for listing shall be such as may be determined upon by the Board of Directors.”

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
Dr. De Mund

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

The Membership Committee having failed to present a report to this meeting, on motion it was decided that the Executive Committee meet at once and take over the business of the Membership Committee and report to this meeting.

On motion a recess was taken by the delegates to await the report of the Executive Committee.

The meeting being again called to order, the report of the Executive Committee was received.

The following clubs were balloted for and elected to active membership in the American Kennel Club:

The Bronx County Kennel Club, Bronx County, New York City
Elm City Kennel Club, Inc., New Have, Connecticut

The following Delegates were also balloted for and elected:
Delegates

Mr. S. W. Spencer to represent the Brussels Griffon Club of America.
Mr. Harold W. Gould to represent the Los Angeles Kennel Club.
Mr. Robt. Sedgwick, Jr. to represent the Scottish Terrier Club of America.

The delegates from the Great Dane Club of America and the Pinehurst Kennel Club were balloted [illegible] and not elected.

All other credentials and the nomination of the Delegate for the Associate Subscribers were, on motion, referred back to the Membership Committee.

The Report of the Rules Committee, as published in the March Gazette, of the meeting held March 22, 1920 was read and on motion the Amendments and Recommendations adopted.

The Report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of Mrs. E. R. Limbach vs. the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America was read and on motion, the suspension of the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America was continued and the case referred to the Executive Committee.

The subject of Clubs giving proceeds from Shows to charity, etc. was discussed, and on motion this matter was referred to the Rules Committee.

The report of the Finance and Publication Committees was read and on motion the report and its recommendations were adopted and ordered to be published as follows:

May 18, 1920

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

A meeting of the Finance and Publication Committees held
Delegates (5)

Conjointly, at the office of the American Kennel Club, Thursday, May 13, 1920 to investigate and report upon the advisability of resuming the publication of the Stud Book in its former bound volume form, which matter was referred to them by the delegates at their meeting of Feb 17, 1920.

Present – Mr. Wm. Rauch (Chairman Finance Committee)
Mr. Howard Willets
Mr. Rowland P. Keasbey (Chairman Publication Committee)
Mr. W. P. Wolcott
Mr. R. M. Cleveland

Mr. Rauch acting as Chairman.

After a careful study of the figures and facts presented, THAT, the last issue of the Stud Book in bound volumes (1917) cost about $3,150.00 and that the estimated cost of printing the 1919 volume in bound volume form would be over $12,000.00 and considering the small amount of sale of the said bound volumes, it was

RESOLVED – That it is the opinion of these two Committees that the publication of the Stud Book be not continued or resumed in bound volume form for the present.

It was further resolved, that it is the sense of these Committees that the Board of Directors should take up the matter of purchasing foreign Stud Books for use in the American Kennel Club office and that the Stud Book Committee be directed to investigate and formulate improved methods in regard to the registration of dogs.

Respectfully submitted,

William Rauch (Chairman Finance Committee)
Rowland P. Keasbey (Chairman Publication Committee)

The recommendations of the Shepherd Dog Club of America in regard to registration in the Stud Book were, on motion, referred to the Stud Book Committee.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair

Present:
Dr. De Mund
George Greer
R. M. Cleveland
J. F. Collins
George S. West
Edwin L. Boger
Charles A. Kelly
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
John G. Bates
J. Macy Willets
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Theodore Offerman
Joseph A. Buchanan

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the March Gazette were accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion received and placed on file and is as follows:

New York, May 18, 1920

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matter for your consideration. The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board of its meeting held
Board of Directors  (2)


The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board of its meeting held May 6, 1920 on the matters of Peaster vs. Clyde; Boston Terrier Club of Philadelphia vs. Thos. W. MacFarland; and the Kennel Club of Philadelphia vs. Mr. & Mrs. F. Van Norden.

The report of the Stud Book Committee of its meeting held May 17, 1920, in the matter of registration of the Badfer Dog, Tech of Madison.

I have a communication from our Western Representative, Mr. R. C. Halsted.

I present excuses for the absence at this meeting from Judge Townsend Scudder, Mr. Chetwood Smith, Mr. Q. A. Shaw McKeon, and Mr. Charles R. Wood, W. L. Barclay, C. F. Neilson and Russell H. Johnson, Jr.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the report of the Secretary was accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Treasurer was read as follows:

May 18, 1920

To the Board of the Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

Balance on hand, Jan 1, 1920 $10,137.82
Receipts from Jan 1, to date 22,258.77
Total 32,396.59
Disbursements from Jan 1 to date 26,415.78
Balance on hand $ 5,980.81

Respectfully submitted
Newton H. Day
Treasurer
Board of Directors (3)

On motion same was accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board of its meeting held April 15, 1920 in the matters of Pryts vs. Brown, Cowdin vs. McWilliams, Guggenheim vs. Barnard, Boger vs. Froud and Brown vs. Peebles, was read and on motion its recommendations were adopted.

In the matter of the case of Boger vs. Froud, on motion, the request of the defendant was not granted and the case was referred back to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board of its meeting held, May 6, 1920 in the matters of Peaster vs. Clyde, the Boston Terrier Club of Philadelphia vs. Thos. W. MacFarland; and the Kennel Club of Philadelphia vs. Mr. & Mrs. F. Van Norden, was read and on motion its recommendations were adopted.

The request of the Secretary for a Ruling in the matter of permission to allow an Associate Subscriber to use or advertise the title of “Member of the American Kennel Club”. It was decided, on motion, that an Associate Subscriber cannot claim or advertise membership in the American Kennel Club, the Membership of the American Kennel Club being composed only of Clubs, not individuals.

The communication received from our Western Representative dated April 15, 1920 was read and on motion the request of Mr. Halstead was granted.

The report of the Stud Book Committee dated May 17, 1920 in the matter of the registration of the Badger Dog, Teck of Madison was
Board of Directors (4)

Read and on motion the case was referred to the Chicago Trial Board.

   On motion the Directors went into Executive Session.

   Upon resuming business, Mr. Hunnewell asked to be excused and the 2nd Vice-President. Dr. De Mund occupied the Chair.

   The matter of Judges not fulfilling their engagements and the substitution of another Judges was, on motion, referred to the License Committee.

   A general discussion on the matter of improved methods of registration took place and the Chair referred the matter to the Stud Book Committee.

   It was moved, seconded and carried that the Secretary be instructed to furnish such items of interest from the Minutes of the meetings to any paper filing a written application for same. These copies to be furnished simultaneously after the approval of the minutes by the Publication Committee, and that no information be given out prior to the mailing of same.

   On motion, the meeting adjourned.
SECOND VICE-PRESIDENT, DR. DE MUND IN THE CHAIR.

PRESENT:

DR. DE MUND
THEODORE OFFERMAN
JOHN F. COLLINS
RALPH C. STEWART
ROWLAND P. KEASBEY
J. MACY WILLETS

THE MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING AS PUBLISHED IN THE GAZETTE WERE, ON MOTION, ACCEPTED AND ORDERED ON FILE.

THERE BEING NOT FURTHER BUSINESS, ON MOTION, THE MEETING ADJOURNED.

NEWTON H. DAY
SECRETARY
2nd Vice President, Dr. De Mund in the Chair.

Present:
Dr. De Mund
John F. Collins
Theodore Offerman
J. Macy Willets
C. F. Neilson
Ralph C. Stewart

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, June 14, 1920

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

The case of E. R. Limbach vs. the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America. This case was referred to the Boston Trial Board who referred the matter to the meeting of the Executive Committee, held April 20th, and at that meeting of the Yorkshire Terrier Club was suspended and the case referred to the Delegates at their meeting held May 18 last. At this meeting the case was again referred by the Delegates to the Executive Committee for trial.

I have communications from the Canadian Kennel Club and the Canadian National Lice Stock Records of Ottawa, Canada in reference to the registration of dogs in Canada and the United States.

I have also a letter from Mr. Howe Totten which I present for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.
The case of E. R. Limbach vs. the Yorkshire Terrier Club was taken up and on motion decided as follows:

That the Yorkshire Terrier Club be advised that the decision of the Executive Committee is, that the Yorkshire Terrier Club should attempt to get in communication with the officials of the Blue Cross Society with whom they correspond when the project was originally started and ask these officials to establish the fact that the Blue Cross Society is still in existence, performing the functions for which they were originally organized, and advise the American Kennel Club of the result of this investigation; and in case these officials do prove that the Blue Cross Society is still in existence, doing the work for which they were organized, the Yorkshire Terrier Club shall pay the amount of profit of the show to said Blue Cross Society.

It was further decided that in case the Yorkshire Terrier Club shall produce sufficient evidence to the American Kennel Club, that the Blue Cross Society is not in existence at present, or performing the work for which they were organized, that the matter should be considered settled and recommend the reinstatement of the Yorkshire Terrier Club.

On motion the recommendations of the Canadian Kennel Club and the Canadian National Live Stock Records of Ottawa, Canada were referred to the Stud Book Committee, with instructions to take up the matter with the Canadian Kennel Club with a view to reciprocity in the methods of registration of dogs.

On motion, Dr. De Mund, Chairman of the Executive Committee, was appointed to answer the communication of Mr. Howe Totten,
which was brought before this Committee.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the case of Battle vs. Sessinghaus was read, and on motion received and recommendations adopted. Also the correspondence in the matter of the Badger Dog, Tech of Madison, from Doctor L. M. Wuesthoff, on motion was referred to the Chicago Trial Board.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary

President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
Present:
Associate Delegate – Francis G. Lloyd
Airedale Terrier Club of American – Wm. L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club – H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club – Theodore Offerman
Atlanta Kennel Club – Jas. Gardner Rossman
Bulldog Club of America – Edwin L. Boger
Cairn Terrier Club of America – A. U. Whitson
California Airedale Terrier Club C. Frederick Neilson
Delaware State Fair – J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Erie Kennel Club – Ralph C. Stewart
Hudson County Kennel Club – J. M. Fangmann
International Toy Poodle Club – Thos. Hartman
Irish Setter Club – R. W. Creuzbaur
Ladies’ Kennel Association of Mass. – E. G. Snow, Jr.
Long Island Kennel Club – John F. Collins
Ohio Valley Kennel Club – Townsend Scudder
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club – R. P. Keasbey
Pekingese Club of America – Michael M. Van Beuren
Russian Wolfhound Club Dr. De Mund
Scottish Terrier Club of America – Robert Sedgwick, Jr.
Shepherd Dog Club of America – R. M. Cleveland
Toy Spaniel Club of America – Daniel P. Ritchey
The minutes of the last meeting as published in the May Gazette on motion were accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, Sept, 21, 1920

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last meeting I have received applications for active membership in the American Kennel Club from the Pacific Coast Collie Club of San Francisco, California, Santa Barbara Kennel Club, Santa Barbara, California, Whippet Club of America. Also credentials for Delegates to the American Kennel Club from the Westchester Kennel Club, appointing Mr. Morris Kinney, the Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club appointing Mr. H. G. Rieger, the Delaware County Kennel Club appointing Mr. C. L. Gilliland and the Great Dane Club of America, appointing Mr. J. Hartmetz and the Bronx County Kennel Club appointing Mr. Herman Fensterer. All of these applications are in proper form and have been presented to our Membership Committee.

The report of the Rules Committee recommending changes in the Dog Show Rules and proposed Amendments which were published in the August Gazette is placed before you at this meeting for action. Also I present testimony received in the matter of the case of Mrs. Ethel Rogers Limbach vs. The Yorkshire Terrier Club of America. This case was originally referred to the Boston Trial Board who referred the matter back to our Executive Committee and it was finally decided by them that the Yorkshire Terrier Club
Should correspond and ascertain whether the Blue Cross Society was still in existence and acting upon their original project, and if so, the Yorkshire Terrier Club were ordered to pay them the profits of the Bench Show in question.

It was further decided, that in case the Yorkshire Terrier Club could produce sufficient evidence that the Blue Cross Society was not in existence or performing their original work, that the matter should be settled and recommended the re-instatement of the Yorkshire Terrier Club.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

The Report of the Membership Committee was received and the meeting proceeded to ballot for Active members and credentials for delegates, and the following clubs were elected to active membership:

Santa Barbara Kennel Club, Santa Barbara, Calif., and the Whippet Club of America. The Pacific Coast Collie Club of San Francisco, Calif. Was also elected, but this election was made provisional to the consent of the Collie Club of America:

Credentials for the following Delegates approved by the Membership Committee were balloted for and elected as follows: Mr. Morris Kinney to represent the Westchester Kennel Club, Mr. H. G. Reiger to represent the Gwyness Valley Kennel Club, Mr. C. L. Gilliland, the Delaware County Kennel Club, Mr. John Hartmetz, the Great Dane Club of America and Mr. Herman Fensterer, the Bronx County Kennel Club.

The credentials of Delegates for the Maltese Club and the Hackensack Kennel Club, not approved by the Membership Committee were balloted for and not elected.
The report of the Rules Committee as published in the August 21st Gazette was read and on motion the Amendments and recommendations proposed were adopted.

The matter of the case of Mrs. E. R. Limbach vs. The Yorkshire Terrier Club of America was taken up and on motion the complaint against this club was considered settled and the suspension of the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America was removed and they were re-instated to Active Membership.

The matter of Rules being printed was discussed and on motion the President was instructed to appoint a Committee to codify and revise the present Rules and to report at the December meeting. The following Committee was appointed:

J. E. Collins  
R. P. Keasbey  
Dr. De Mund  
Wm. L. Barclay  
R. M. Cleveland

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day  
Secretary
President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
Hollis H. Hunnewell
John E. DeMund
R. P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
C. F. Neilson
Townsend Scudder
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Theodore Offerman
Wm. L. Barclay
Q. A. Shaw McKeen
J. F. Collins
R. M. Cleveland
R. W. Creuzbaur
F. G. Lloyd
E. L. Boger
R. H. Johnson, Jr.

The minutes of the previous meeting as published in the May issue of the Kennel Gazette were accepted and ordered on file.

The Report of the Secretary was read and on motion was received on file, and is as follows:

New York, Sept. 21, 1920

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club,
Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matter for your consideration:

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of Boger vs. Froud which report although dated June 13<sup>th</sup> was not received until the 18<sup>th</sup> of June, too late to be handled at the meeting of the Executive Committee June 15<sup>th</sup>.

Notice was received from the Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club that they had suspended Mr. M. A. Durney of East Lansdowne, PA, for improper conduct in the Judging Ring and Show Grounds at Show. This suspension was dated June 10, 1920.

I present letter from our Western Representative in regard to appointment of an additional Member for the Los Angeles Trial Board. The communication from Mrs. A. Hawkins of Grandville, Michigan in regard to Special offered at the late Detroit Bench Show. Also communications from Mr. Howe Totten, of Baldwin, MD. Also present letters from the Canadian Kennel Club in reference to Canadian Dogs being barred from attending shows in the United States.

I present excuses for absence from this meeting from, W. Prescott Wolcott, James E. Meade, Chas. R. Wood, J. Macy Willets and Howard Willets.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion received and placed on file, and is as follows:

New York, Sept., 21, 1920

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial report from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand, Jan 1</td>
<td>$10,137.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1, to date</td>
<td>36,874.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47,012.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Disbursements from Jan. 1, 1920 to date $40,490.86
Balance on hand 6,521.29

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Treasurer

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of Boger vs. Froud was read and on motion its recommendations adopted.

Notice received from the Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club of the suspension of Mr. M. A. Durney was read and on motion the suspension was continued and the matter referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

The recommendation of our Western Representative in the matter of appointing an additional member for the Los Angeles Trial Board was read and on motion Capt. S. M. Spaulding of Beverly Hills, Calif. was elected upon this Trial Board.

A complaint from Mrs. A. Hawkins against the Detroit Kennel Club was read and on motion the Secretary was instructed to inform Mrs. Hawkins that it was apparent that the Secretary of the Detroit Kennel Club had done all in his power to comply with conditions given in the premium list and that she must accept the settlement offered by the Secretary of the Detroit Kennel Club.

The communications from Mr. Howe Totten of Baldwin, MD were read and on motion the matter was referred to the Law Committee.

The communications and correspondence received from the Canadian Kennel Club in regard to the matter of Canadian Dogs now being barred from attending shows in the United States was read and on motion...
this matter was referred to the Law Committee with instructions to do all in their power to obtain a revision and more favorable provisions from the United States Treasury Department.

On motion it was decided that on and after Jan 1st, 1921 all dogs that become Champions after that date will receive a Championship Medal without any charge for same. Also that on and after Jan 1, 1921 copies of the Rules Governing Dog Shows that must accompany premium lists will be furnished by the American Kennel Club to all active and licensed clubs free with the exception of express charges on same.

The following excuses for absence at this meeting were read and accepted:

Mr. Howard Willets, Mr. J. A. Buchanan, Mr. J. Macy Willets, Mr. W. Prescott Wolcott, Mr. J. E. Meade and Mr. Chas. R. Wood.

On motion the Secretary was directed to express to Mr. Chas. R. Wood, the sympathy of the Board of Directors and earnest wish for his speedy recovery.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day,
Secretary
President Hollis H. Hunnewell, in the Chair.
Present:
Hollis H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
Wm. Rauch
Rowland P. Keasbey
John F. Collins
Ralph C. Stewart
Theodore Offerman
C. F. Neilson

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following matter for your consideration:


The communication from the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the pedigree of the Boston Terrier, Welcome Stranger.

I have a protest in regard to the judging of French Bulldogs at the late Danbury Show.

Also a letter from the Delegate of the New Jersey Beagle Club.

I beg to report the loss of a faithful and valuable Delegates, Director, and Member of a Trial Board, also of the Membership Committee, by the death of Mr. Francis G. Lloyd.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary
Executive Committee

On motion the report was received and placed on file.

The Report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of Battle vs. Sessinghaus was received and on motion its recommendation was adopted.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the protest of Mr. W. J. Phelan against the registration of the Boston Terrier, Welcome Stranger, 278465 was received and on motion, the matter was referred to the Chicago Trial Board.

The protest of Mr. F. H. Addyman against the judging of French Bulldogs at the late Danbury Show, was read and, on motion, this matter was referred to the Rules Committee, with power to act in the matter.

Communication from the Delegates of the New Jersey Beagle Club was read and on motion, the matter was referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

In reference to the death of Mr. Francis G. Lloyd, a motion was unanimously carried that the Chair appoint a Committee to draft and have engrossed proper resolutions and that the same be sent to Mr. Lloyd’s family. The Chair, appointed, on this Committee, Mr. Howard Willets, and Dr. De Mund.

On motion, it was decided to go into an election to fill the vacancies upon the Membership Committee and the New York Trial Board which were occasioned by the death of Mr. Francis G. Lloyd.

Mr. Edwin L. Boger was nominated as one of the Membership Committee and on motion, the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot in favor Mr. Edwin L. Boger, and the chair declared his election.

Mr. Harold Ober was nominated as a member of the New York Trial Board and, on motion, the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot in
favor of Mr. Ober, and the Chair declared his election.

On motion, a resolution was adopted that Mr. Newton H. Day, Secretary and Treasurer, of the American Kennel Club, be authorized to have access to the safe deposit box when accompanied by one member of the Finance Committee. The authority previously granted to A. P. Vredenburgh is hereby revoked.

The matter of changes in the registration forms and Rules governing same, which was presented by the Stud Book Committee was, on motion, referred back to them for further consideration.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary

President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Members Present:
Hollis H. Hunnewell
John E. DeMund
C. F. Neilson
Theodore Offerman
J. Macy Willets

The Report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, Nov. 15, 1920

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following matters for your consideration:

The Report of the Special Committee to revise and codify the Rules, also report of the Rules Committee.


Charges have been preferred by Mr. Edwin L. Boger, against Michael A. Durney.

A communication from Mr. B. K. Howard in reference to the Texas Kennel Club.

A former communication from the above gentlemen in this matter was presented to the Executive Committee at its meeting Nov. 19, 1919 and was, at that meeting, referred to the Membership Committee for investigation.

I beg to call attention to the violation of Rules XIV and XV Governing Clubs, by the Danbury Agricultural Society.
Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion report was received and placed on file.

The report of Special Committee to revise and codify the Rules, was read as follows:

New York, Oct 28, 1920

“A meeting of the Special Committee appointed by the President at the last meeting of the Delegates, Sept 21, 1920, to revise and codify the Rules, was held on the above date and the following revised and rearranged Rules are hereby recommended, and as will appear in the Nov Gazette.

Respectfully submitted,

John F. Collins
Chairman

On motion the report was received and placed on file.

The report of the Rules Committee in reference to the protest of Mr. Frank H. Addyman against the awards in the French Bulldog Classes at the late Danbury Agricultural Society Show, which case was referred to said Committee with power to act in the matter, and the case was decided by them as follows:

“The Committee decided that the awards made by Mr. Lloyd (The substitute judge) in the Puppy Dogs and American-bred Dog Classes should stand, and as entry No. 130, Marechal’s Vindex was the only unbeaten dog in either of the dogs Classes and consequently the only dog eligible to complete for Winners should be awarded First Winners and entry No. 131 which had been placed second to entry No. 130 in the American-bred Class by Mr. Lloyd, should be awarded Reserve Winners. The Committee also decided however, that the awards made by Mr. Offerman in the Bitch Classes and all Specials should remain as made by him.”

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of Hall vs. Jacobs was read and on motion, its recommendations adopted and the matter referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for further investigations.

President Hollis H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
Present:
Airedale Terrier Club of America, Wm. L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club, H. H. Hunnewell
American Pomeranian Club, Theodore Offerman
American Spaniel Club, Geo. Greer
Atlanta Kennel Club, J. Gardner Rossman
Bronx County Kennel Club, Herman Fensterer
Bulldog Club of America, Edwin L. Boger
Cairn Terrier Club of America, A. U. Whitson
California Airedale Terrier Club, C. Frederick Neilson
Chow Chow Club, E. C. Waller
Colorado Kennel Club, Chas. A. Kelly
Dalmatian Club of America, A. B. Maclay
Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club, James J. Fox
Delaware State Fiar, J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Eastern Dog Club, Ralph C. Stewart
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart
Irish Setter Club, R. W. Creuzbaur
Irish Terrier Club of America, John G. Bates
Japanese Spaniel Club of America, E. H. Berendsohn
Long Island Kennel, John F. Collisn
New Jersey Beagle Club, Geo. B. Hooley
Ohion Valley Kennel Club, Townsend Scudder
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, R. P. Keasbey
Philadelphia Bulldog Club, Jos. A. Buchanan
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
Delegates
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Shepherd Dog Club of America, R. M. Cleveland
Spaniel Breeders' Society, J. Macy Willets
Toy Spaniel Club of America, Daniel P. Ritchey
Westminster Kennel Club, Wm. Rauch
Wissahickon Kennel Club, R. H. Johnson, Jr.

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the Sept Gazette, on motion, were accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, Dec. 20, 1920

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last Quarterly meeting I have received the following:

The resignation of the Toy Spaniel Club of America, the application for active membership of the Toy Spaniel Club of America, the Sportsmen’s Beagle Club of West Chester, PA. Credentials from the Washington Kennel Club, appointing Mr. S. J. Held as delegate.

The above mentioned applications have been published in the Gazette and referred to the Membership Committee who will report on same at this meeting.

Pursuant to Article VII, Section IV, and V of the by-laws, the Membership Committee has nominated as Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1921 the following: Harold Ober and J. S. Wall, Dr. De Mund, R. H. Johnson, Jr. Jos. A. Buchanan, Geo. S. West, and R. P. Keasbey.

I have received a communication from the Boston Trial Board in the case of Lindbach vs. the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America.

Report of Special Committee to draft Resolutions on the death of Mr. F. G. Lloyd.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary
Delegates       (3)

The resignations of the Toy Spaniel Club of America Inc. was read and on motion accepted.

The Report of the Membership Committee was received and the meeting proceeded to ballot for active members and credentials for delegates and the following clubs were elected to active membership:

The Toy Spaniel Club of America, and the Sportsmen’s Beagle Club of West Chester, PA/

Credentials from the Washington Kennel Club appointing Mr. S. J. Held as Delegate were balloted for and Mr. S. J. Held was elected.

Pursuant to Article VII, Sections IV and V of the Bylaws the Membership Committee presented as nominees to represent the Associate Subscribers for 1921, the following names: Mr. Harold Ober and Mr. J. S. Well, and also suggested as a Nominating Committee the following: Dr. De Mund, R. H. Johnson, Jr., J. S. Buchanan, Geo. S. West, and R. P. Keasbey.

On motion the nominations for Nominating Committee were closed and the Secretary was instructed to case a vote in favor of the above mentioned gentlemen who were duly elected.

The report of the Rules Committee recommending the revision and codification of the Rules was read, and, on motion the Rules were adopted as recommended.

A communication from the Boston Trial Board in reference to the matter of Mrs. E. R. Limbach vs. The Yorkshire Terrier Club of America was read and after consideration the matter was laid on the table.
Delegates (4)

A report by the Law Committee of progress in the matter of Canadian or Foreign dogs being admitted in the United States for Show purposes was read. A statement was made by the Delegate of the Westminster Kennel Club that this matter had been practically settled and, on motion, the matter was taken out of the hands of the Law Committee.

The report of the Special Committee to draft Resolutions on the death of Mr. Francis G. Lloyd was read and on motion, the following Resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, that by the death of FRANCIS GUERIN LLOYD on Oct 6, 1920, we have suffered a great loss.

As a Delegate, Director and Chairman of one of our Trial Boards his faithful and efficient services in all duties made him more than valuable not only to the American Kennel Club, but to the Dog World as well.

A keen sportsman, a man of genuineness, loyalty and generosity. We feel that not only ourselves, but all who have been associated with him will greatly miss his kindly presence and advice.

RESOLVED, that this Resolution be inscribed upon the minutes, and that a copy be forwarded to the family of the deceased.

H. H. Hunnewell President
Howard Willets, 1st VP
Dr. De Mund 2nd VP

On motion, the meeting adjourned.
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.
Present:
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
George Greer
R. M. Cleveland
John F. Collins
R. W. Creuzbaur
George S. West
E.L. Boger
Charles A. Kelly
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
John G. Bates
J. Macy Willets
Alfred B. Maclay
Wm. Rauch
C. F. Neilson
Townsend Scudder
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Theodore Offerman
W. L. Barclay
Jos. A. Buchanan

The minutes of the previous meeting as published in the Sept Gazette were accepted and placed on file.
The report of the Secretary was read, and on, motion was re-
Board of Directors

received and placed on file, and is as follows:

New York, Dec 20, 1920

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration.

The report of the Stud Book Committee, the report of the Philadelphia Trial Board.

I have received excuse from Mr. J. E. Meade for absence at this meeting.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion, the report of the Treasurer was read and on motion received and placed on file, and is as follows:

New York, Dec 21, 1920

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial report from Jan 1st to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand, Jan. 1</td>
<td>$10,137.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1, to date</td>
<td>50,779.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60,917.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan. 1, 1920 to date</td>
<td>52,852.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash balance on hand</td>
<td>8,064.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Treasurer

The report of the stud book Committee in the matter of the registration of the Shepherd Dog, Sir of Elliotmanor was read, and on
Motion, the matter was referred to the New York Trial Board.

The protest by J. K. Johnston of Chicago, Ill. in the matter of the Airedale Terrier, Bobbie B. was read, and on motion, referred to the Chicago Trial Board.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the cases of Boger vs. Durney, the Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club vs. Durney and Mrs. Hall, vs. Mrs. Jacobs, was read and on motion, its recommendations were adopted.

A report was read on the matter of increasing the price of registrations, and, on motion, it was carried that the price of registrations be increased from one to two dollars to take effect, July 1, 1921.

The excuse of Mr. J. E. Meade for absence at this meeting was read and accepted.

On motion, the meeting went into Executive Session. The Board resumed regular business, and on motion, the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary

President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:

H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
William Rauch
Theodore Offerman
John F. Collins
Ralph C. Stewart
Rowland P. Keasbey
J. Macy Willets
Townsend Scudder
C. F. Neilson

On motion, the minutes of the previous meeting was published in the Kennel Gazette were received and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, Dec 20, 1920

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I present the following matters for your consideration:

Charges have been preferred by Mrs. J. Harris of Philadelphia PA against Mrs. Geo. Getz of Philadelphia, PA.

Correspondence in the matter of Unlicensed Superintendent at the late Maryland Beagle Club Show. I also present communication from the Danbury Agricultural Society.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary
On motion, the report was received and ordered to be placed on file.

Charges were preferred by Mrs. J. Harris against Mrs. Geo. Getz, and on motion the matter was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

The matter of the infringement of Rules by the Maryland Beagle Club was taken up and on motion a fine of $25.00 was imposed.

In view of extenuating circumstances the fine was remitted.

A communication from the Danbury Agricultural Society was read and on motion was referred to the License Committee, and the Secretary instructed to write to the Danbury Agricultural Society that the matter had been referred to the License Committee and that final determination would be made later.

A communication from Mr. J. F. Strachan of Montreal, Canada was read, and on motion, the Secretary was instructed to inform Mr. Strachan that we could not see our way clear to adopt his suggestions.

A decision of the Bench Show Committee of the Colorado Kennel Club in reference to the Bulldog, Man of War and an appeal from said decision by N. A. Sarconi was read and on motion the matter was referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

A communication from Jos. L. Myers was read and on motion referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

The matter of the announcement of the Shepherd Dog Club of America in reference to their Stud Book was, on motion, referred to the Stud Book Committee.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD FEB 11, 1921

President, Howard Willets in the Chair.
Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
John G. Bates
John F. Collins
J. Macy Willets
Rowland P. Keasbey

The report of the Secretary was read and is as follows:

New York, Feb 11, 1921

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to present for your consideration report of the Publication Committee in regard to matters to be published in the Kennel Gazette.

Also report of Committee on cost of registrations.

Complaint received from Hazel E. Shepherd against the Seattle Kennel Club.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Publication Committee and statement prepared by them, was read and on motion, same was ordered to be published in the Kennel Gazette and to be released simultaneously with the publication in the Gazette to the Press.

The Report of the Committee on the cost of registrations and other matters was read and on motion the same was received and referred to the Publication Committee.
The complaint of Hazel E. Shepherd against the Seattle Kennel Club was read, and on motion, same was referred to the San Francisco Trial Board for investigation.

A letter and statement received from Mr. H. H. Hunnewell explaining the policy and welfare of the American Kennel Club was presented and on motion the Secretary was instructed to write to Mr. Hunnewell thanking him for same, and stating that his letter had been of such assistance to the Publication Committee in formulating their report which was adopted at this meeting.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
President H. H. Hunnewell in the Chair.

Present:
American Fox Terrier Club, H. H. Hunnewell
American Spaniel Club, George Greer
Atlanta Kennel Club, Jas. Gardner Rossman
Brussels Griffon Club of America, S. W. Spencer
Bulldog Club of America, Edwin L. Boger
California Airedale Terrier Club, C. Frederick Neilson
Chow Chow Club, E. C. Waller
Colorado Kennel Club, Charles A. Kelly
Dalmatian Club of America, Alfred B. Maclay
English Setter Club of America, Francis G. Taylor
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart
Irish Setter Club, R. W. Creuzbaur
Irish Terrier Club of America, John G. Bates
Japanese Spaniel Club of America, E. H. Berendsohn
Los Angeles Kennel Club, Harold W. Gould
National Beagle Club, G. Mifflin Wharton
New Jersey Beagle Club, George B. Hooley
Old English Sheepdog Club of America, Tyler Morse
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Spaniel Breeders’ Society, J. Macy Willets
Toy Dog Club of New England, W. F. Kinder
Westchester Kennel Club, Morris Kinney
Delegates

Western Fox Terrier Breeder’s Association, Q. A. Shaw McKean
Westminster Kennel Club, Wm. Rauch
Wissahickon Kennel Club, Russell H. Johnson, Jr.

On motion, the minutes of the last meeting were adopted as published in the December Gazette.

The report of the Secretary was read and is as follows:

New York, Feb 14, 1921

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last Quarterly Meeting of the American Kennel Club, I have received applications for active membership from the San Antonio Kennel Club, San Antonio, Texas, and the Germantown Cricket Club Kennel Association, Germantown, PA. Also credentials for delegates to represent the following clubs:

E. D. Boehm, Elm City Kennel Club, Benj. Phillips, Germantown Cricket Club Kennel Association, Doctor J. R. MacElroy, Empire Beagle Club; Wm. F. Kinder, Boston Terrier Club of America; F. T. Eskrigge, The Toy Dog Club of New England; Julius C. Feder, Pacific Coast Boston Terrier Club; S. Graham Wilson, Jr., Mississippi Valley Kennel Club. All of these applications have been acted upon by our Membership Committee and reported favorably thereon.

I present the report of the Committee appointed to canvas the votes for delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1921.

Pursuant to the bylaws, the following clubs have been dropped from active membership in the American Kennel Club, for non-payment of dues for the year, 1921: Birmingham Kennel Club, Bloodhound Club, Central New York Kennel Club, Eastern Beagle Club, Queen City Kennel Club, Scottish Terrier Club of America, Seattle Kennel Club, Southampton Kennel Club.

The report of the Nominating Committee.

An Amendment to Article IX of the bylaws was recommended by our Rules Committee and published in the March Kennel Gazette and same is before you for adoption, at this meeting, and is as follows:

Amend Article IX of the bylaws by striking out the first sentence of the third paragraph of said article and substituting the following:
Delegates

It shall have power to issue Licenses to judges and Superintendents of shows and recommend to the Executive Committee the revocation of Licenses submitting their reasons for such revocation to the Executive Committee.

The Executive Committee shall approve or disapprove such recommendations which shall be final. The Executive Committee shall also review any case where the License Committee shall refuse to grant a license if the applicant for a license who has been refused such license will advise the Executive Committee in writing why a license should be issued to such person. If in the opinion of the Executive Committee the case is meritorious the Executive Committee shall refer the case back to the License Committee for further consideration and report and after receiving said report, shall then decide whether such a license should be issued or not, such decision being final. The balance of this paragraph (third paragraph of Article IX of the bylaws) shall remain as it is, but made the fourth paragraph of this Article.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was received and the meeting proceeded to the election of active members and delegates.

The following clubs were elected to active membership: The San Antonio Kennel Club and the Germantown Cricket Club Kennel Association.

The following Delegates were elected: E. D. Boehm to represent the Elm City Kennel Club; Benj. Phillips, to represent the Germantown Cricket Club Kennel Association; Doctor J. R. MacElroy to represent the Empire Beagle Club; Wm. F. Kinder, to represent the Boston Terrier Club of America; F. T. Eskrigge, The Toy Dog Club of New England; Julius C. Feder, Pacific Coast Boston Terrier Club; S. Graham Wilson, Jr., Mississippi Valley Kennel Club.

The report of the Committee appointed by the president to canvas the votes for delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1921 was read, and the Chair declared the election of Mr. J. S. Wall, and Mr. Harold Ober.
Delegates

The following nominations for Directors were received from the Nominating Committee, balloted for, and duly elected:

For the class of 1926, Dr. De Mund, Wm. L. Barclay, Jos. A. Buchanan, Samuel G. Allen, H. H. Hunnewell and George B. Hooley.

Director to fill the vacancy in the Class of 1921, C. J. Gilliland.

Director to fill the vacancy in the Class of 1922, J. S. Wall.

The report of the Treasurer was read as follows:

New York, Feb 14, 1921

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that my financial statement for the year 1920 has been audited by Messrs. Townsend and Dix, Certified Accountants, and I have their certification that the same is correct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand Jan 1, 1920</td>
<td>$15,137.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from all sources from Jan 1st To Dec 31st</td>
<td>48,815.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposited in Union Savings Bank</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>68,953.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements for same period</td>
<td>46,322.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn from B’way Savings Bank</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment account</td>
<td>5,563.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand, Dec 31, 1920</td>
<td>56,886.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted
Newton H. Day
Treasurer

On motion the above report was received and ordered on file.

The proposed Amendment to the Article IX of the bylaws on motion was not adopted.

The recommendation offered by the Delegates of Bulldog Club
Delegates (5)

was read and on motion, referred to the Rules Committee.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
The minutes of the last meeting as published in the December Gazette were, on motion, accepted.

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion received ordered on file, and is as follows:
New York, Feb 14, 1921

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following matters for consideration:

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the Stud Book of the Shepherd Dog Club of America.

A communication from the Newark Kennel Club in reference to the price of registrations. Also a letter from Mrs. Matilda H. Lloyd, and a communication from the Scottish Terrier Club of America.

The resignation of Doctor E. W. Dwight as Member of the Boston Trial Board.

Communication from the Erie County Society for the protection of birds, fish and game. A communication from the Toy Dog Club of New England in reference to change of name of club.

I present excuses for absence from the following, Mr. Chas. R. Wood, Mr. James E. Meade, Mr. J. Sergeant Price, Jr., Mr. John F. Collins, Mr. Joe A. Buchanan and Mr. Wm. L. Barclay.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the meeting went into the election of officers and Standing Committees for the year 1921.

Mr. Howard Willets was nominated for President and unanimously elected.

Mr. Willets then occupied the Chair.

Dr. De Mund was nominated for 1st VP and Mr. Sergeant Price, Jr. was nominated for 2nd VP, and both unanimously elected.

Newton H. Day was nominated for Secretary and Treasurer and unani-
Mously elected.

The nominations for Standing Committees and Trial Board were made and the following were unanimously elected:

**MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE**
John G. Bates, Chairman
Wm. Rauch
Edwin L. Boger
Geo. Creer
R. W. Creuzbaur

**RULES COMMITTEE**
J. F. Collins, Chairman
H. H. Hunnewell
Dr. De Mund
George Greer
Wm. L. Barclay

**LICENSE COMMITTEE**
J. Macy Willets, Chairman
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
J. G. Bates
R. P. Keasbey

**STUD BOOK COMMITTEE**
Russell H. Johnson, Jr. Chairman
Wm. L. Barclay
Ralph C. Stewart

**FINANCE COMMITTEE**
Wm. Rauch, Chairman
H. H. Hunnewell
Howard Willets

**PUBLICATION COMMITTEE**
Rowland P. Keasbey, Chairman
John F. Collins
J. S. Wall

**FIELD TRIAL COMMITTEE**
C. F. Neilson, Chairman
Sam’ll G. Allen
Geo. B. Hooley

**LAW COMMITTEE**
Ralph C. Stewart, Chairman
LAW COMMITTEE (Con’t)
Townsend Scudder
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.

WESTERN REPRESENTATIVE
R. C. Halsted

TRIAL BOARDS

NEW YORK
Harold Ober, Chairman
Chas. A. Kelly
R. W. Creuzbaur

PHILA.
Thos. Cadwalader, Chairman
Edwin L. Boger
Jos. A. Buchanan

BOSTON
Geo. S. West, Chairman
T. Dickson Smith
W. Prescott Wolcott

CHICAGO
Alex H. Stewart, Chairman
A. F. Kramer
Stuyvesant Peabody

LOS ANGELES
Freeman A. Ford, Chairman
W. S. Macy
Captain S. M. Spaulding

SAN FRANCISCO
C. W. Conlisk, Chairman
Nat. T. Messer
Alex Wolfin

The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion accepted and ordered on file and is as follows:
New York, Feb 14, 1921

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial report from Jan. 1, 1921 to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash Balance on hand Jan 1, 1921</td>
<td>12,067.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1 to date</td>
<td>2,596.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,663.30</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1, to date</td>
<td>3,322.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Balance on hand</td>
<td>11,340.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

The report of the Stud Book Committee was read, in regard to the Shepherd Dog Club Stud Book, and on motion the report was received and it was decided that the requirements for the registration of all foreign dogs will be the same as that of an American-bred dog, except that an imported dog may be registered provided same shall have an authentic pedigree of three generations properly certified to and passed upon by our Stud Book Committee, the same to take effect at once.

On motion, a Committee was appointed to draw up a proper resolution in appreciation of the services of our retiring President H. H. Hunnewell. The following Committee was appointed; Dr. De Mund, R. P. Keasbey and John G. Bates.

A communication from the Toy Dog Club of New England in regard to change of name to the Middlesex County Kennel Club, was read and on motion was granted.
A communication from the Newark Kennel Club in regard to the increase in the price of registration was read and after discussion same was laid upon the table.

The communication from the Scottish Terrier Club was received and as the Scottish Terrier Club had been automatically dropped according to the bylaws of the American Kennel Club, for non-payment of dues, same was not considered.

On motion, it was decided that the report of the Special Committee on the cost of registrations, which only included nine months of the year 1920, be revised to include the entire year of 1920 and an article showing the cost of registrations be published in the Gazette as soon as practicable.

The communication from the Erie County Society for the protection of birds, fish and game, embodying a resolution passed by them for the protection of thorough-bred dogs, was read, and on motion, was referred to the Law Committee with the request that they take action in regard to same.

A general discussion took place as to the publications in the Gazette of more items of interest and on motion the Publication Committee was requested to consider same.

On motion, the Law Committee was instructed to take up with the Bureau of Animal Industry of the Department of Agriculture matters pertaining to the American Kennel Club.

A letter of thanks from Mrs. Francis G. Lloyd was read.

The resignation of Doctor E. W. Dwight, a member of the Boston Trial Board, was also read.
The Report of the Stud Book Committee was read, recommending new regulations in regard to registration of dogs, and a new form for registration which will require the signature of the owner of the dam and the owner of the sire as well as that of the party registering the dogs and on motion same was adopted to take effect July 1, 1921.

Excuses were read and accepted from the following: Chas. R. Wood, James E. Meade, John F. Collins, Jos. A. Buchanan, J. Sergeant Price, Jr., and Wm. L. Barclay.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD TUESDAY, FEB 15, 1921

President, Howard Willets in the Chair.
Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
Wm. Rauch
C. F. Neilson
John G. Bates
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Rowland P. Keasbey
J. Macy Willets
R. C. Stewart

The report of the Secretary was read, and is as follows:

New York, Feb 14, 1921

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

I beg to report that I am in receipt of charges from Blanche R. Brooks of Framingham, Mass. against Marion E. Leahy of Boston, Mass., and M. G. Bierman of New York City, against Arnold Ruege, of New York City.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion, the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the License Committee in the matter of the appeal of the Danbury Agl. Society was read to the effect that they saw no reason to recommend any change in the penalty imposed
and the Secretary was instructed to notify the Danbury Agricultural Society accordingly.

The charges preferred by Mrs. Blanche R. Brooks against Marion E. Leahy in re, misconduct in connection with dogs, were, on motion, referred to the Boston Trial Board.

The charges preferred by Mr. M. G. Bierman against Arnold Ruege in reference to misconduct in connection with dogs, were, on motion referred to the New York Trial Board.

In re, the suspension of J. F. Crangle and the reported lease of the name Delaware Valley Farm to him, on motion, the Philadelphia Trial Board was instructed to investigate the matter.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.
Regular meeting of the Executive Committee held Tuesday, April 19, 1921.

President, Howard Willets in the Chair.
Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
John G. Bates
John F. Collins
J. Macy Willets
Wm. Rauch
Rowland P. Keasbey
C. F. Neilson
R. C. Stewart

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, Apr. 19, 1921

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club:

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following for your consideration:
Charges preferred by Mrs. M. R. Muller of Watertown, Mass.
Communication from the Bulldog Club of America.
I submit herewith report of Mr. Townsend Morgan in regard to legal matters, also communication and Article from Mr. J. F. Collins.

Respectfully,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the above report was accepted and order on file.
The charges preferred by Mrs. M. R. Muller were read and on motion same were referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.
Communication from the Bulldog Club of America in reference to cer-
tain advertisements and letter attacking clubs and judges, were read and on motion, the same were referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

The stipulation and order of discontinuance in the matter of Marie C. Baumann vs. the American Kennel Club and others, was read, together with letter form Mrs. M. C. Baumann, and on motion, report was accepted and bill for legal expenses was ordered paid.

A notice to Bench Show Committees, Judges, Stewards and Superintendents was read, and on motion same was ordered to be published in the Kennel Gazette and a copy released to the general press on May 1st.

The attention of the Committee was called to the publication in recent premium lists of certain Specials offered by the Shepherd Dog Club namely; The Shepherd Dog Club of America offers its members only, OPEN ONLY TO DOGS REGISTERED IN THE S.D.C. STUD BOOK, the following seven trophies. On motion it was decided that the Shepherd Dog Club of America be notified that the Specials offered as above are against the best interests of the American Kennel Club, and that they be requested to discontinue offering same.

The recommendation of the Secretary that the names of all defendants against whom charges may be preferred shall not be published until the final reports of the Trial Boards, which recommendation was unanimously adopted.

On motion the meeting adjourned.
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE DELEGATES OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 221 FOURTH AVE., TUESDAY, MAY 17, 1921.

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Airedale Terrier Club of America, Wm. L. Barclay
American Fox Terrier Club, H. H. Hunnewell
Atlanta Kennel Club, Jas. Gardner Rossman
Boston Terrier Club, E. H. Kinder
Cairn Terrier Club of America, A. U. Whitson
Delaware State Fair, J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart
Irish Setter Club, R. W. Creuzbaur
Irish Terrier Club of America, John G. Bates
Long Island Kennel Club, John F. Collins
Los Angeles Kennel Club, Harold W. Gould
Manchester Terrier Club, Wm. P. Wolcott
Middlesex County Kennel Club, F. T. Eskrigge
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, Rowland P. Keasbey
Pekingese Club of America, Michael M. Van Beuren
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Spaniel Breeders' Society, J. Macy Willets
Westchester Kennel Club, Morris Kinney
Westminster Kennel Club, Wm. Rauch
Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, George E. Peabody

Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers, J. S. Wall and Harold Ober
Delegates

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the Feb Gazette, were, on
motion, accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read, and on motion the same was accepted and
is as follows:

New York, May 17, 1921

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my quarterly report since the last meeting

I have received applications for active membership from the Morris County
Kennel Club of Morristown, NJ, the Rochester Exposition Kennel Club of Rochester, NY,
the Akron Kennel Club of Akron, Ohio, the North Jersey Shore Kennel Club of
Monmouth County, NJ, the Southampton Kennel Club of Southampton, Long Island,
NY, and the Scottish Terrier Club of America.

I also report the receiving of credentials from clubs appointing the following to
represent them as Delegates to the American Kennel Club:

Mr. John Z. Adams to represent the Chow Chow Club.
Mr. N. F. Emmons, the Bullterrier Club of America
Mr. Howard C. Griffiths, to represent the French Bulldog Club of America
Mr. George O. Heinlein to represent the Maltese Club of America
Mrs. C. M. Lint, to represent the Collie Club of America

These applications and credentials are in due form and have been presented to
the Membership Committee who will report on same at this meeting.

I also report that the penalty of $100.00 imposed upon the Danbury Agricultural
Society for violation of our Rules in connection with their show held in Oct, last, have
been paid by them.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

The report of the Membership Committee was received and the meeting
proceeded to ballot for active membership and credentials for delegates and the
following clubs were elected to active membership:
Delegates


Credentials for the following delegates to the American Kennel Club, approved by the Membership Committee were balloted for and elected:

Mr. John Z. Adams to represent the Chow Chow Club
Mr. N. F. Emmons, the Bullterrier Club of America
Mr. Howard C. Griffiths to represent the French Bulldog Club of America
Mr. George O. Heinlein to represent the Maltese Club of America

The credentials from the Collie Club of America were not approved by the Membership Committee, the Committee asking for instructions which were given the adoption of the following resolution:

RESOLVED that it is the sense of this meeting that the delegates of the American Kennel Club shall be men only.

On motion, the following Resolution was unanimously adopted:

New York, May 17, 1921

RESOLVED, that the American Kennel Club order the Shepherd Dog Club of America to discontinue the so-called registering of dogs, the changing of registered dogs’ names, the granting of kennel names and any other similar services for which charges are made and which are duplications of the services performed by the American Kennel Club as the American Kennel Club deem such practices absolutely detrimental to the best interest of dogs, dog shows and the American Kennel Club.

The registering of dogs is only necessary for the identification of a certain animal and a record of its pedigree for breeding and show purposes and the permission to change a registered dog’s name and the granting of kennel names and similar services for practically the same reasons, therefore one record that of the American Kennel Club is sufficient and any other similar record is absolutely unnecessary, and only makes confusion because of additional numbers being given and the possibility of the two or more records being different, also the additional cost of the second fee in any case only adding unnecessarily to the expense of breeders.

The American Kennel Club greatly regretted the necessity of having been compelled recently to increase the fee for registration and other charges, because of the additional financial burden it meant to fanciers. Therefore the American Kennel Club had decided that it cannot allow a subordinate or member club to add in any way to these charges or costs.

Furthermore other or all Specialty Clubs might decided to furnish and charge for such services until sooner or later the cost for such services in all breeds might be materially increased and the confusion of records, names,
numbers etc. would become unbearable.

On motion, the following resolution was unanimously adopted: That it is the sense of the delegates present that the Publication Committee should given consideration to the desirability of publishing in the Gazette additional information that will be of general interest to the public.

The Publication Committee announced that they would gladly receive Articles and suggestions from all members and delegates.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club Held Tuesday, May 17, 1921.

President, Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Wm. Prescott Wolcott
R. W. Creuzbaur
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
John G. Bates
J. Macy Willets
Wm. Rauch
J. S. Wall

The minutes of the previous meeting as published in the Feb Gazette, were on motion accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Secretary was read, and on motion was received, ordered on file and is as follows:

New York, May 17, 1921

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following matters for your consideration:

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the appeal for reinstatement of Joseph L. Myers of Philadelphia, PA, also the case of Harris vs. Goetz.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the protest against the registration of the Shepherd Dog, Sir of Elliottmanor, and the case of Battle vs. Sessinghaus.
The report of the Committee appointed at the Feb 15th, 1921 meeting to draw proper Resolutions in appreciation of the services of our retiring President, Mr. H. H. Hunnewell.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of letters from Mr. Edwin L. Boger and the Bulldog Club of America, also report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the registration of the Pekingese To Tai Toy, which was submitted to them by the Stud Book Committee.

I present excuses for absence at this meeting from the following: Judge Townsend Scudder, George S. West, Mr. Q. A. Shaw McKeen and Mr. Chas. R. Wood, Mr. Joseph A. Buchanan, Mr. Russell H. Johnson, Jr., Mr. Edwin L. Boger, Mr. Chas. A. Kelly, Mr. R. M. Cleveland and Mr. C. F. Neilson.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

The report of the Treasurer was read, and on motion received and placed on file and is as follows:

New York, May 17, 1921

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial report from Jan 1st to date:

Cash balance on hand, Jan 1    $ 7,067.05
Receipts from Jan 1st to date     24,557.10
Total        31,624.15
Disbursements from Jan 1st to date     23,081.26
Cash balance on hand        8,542.89

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Treasurer

The following Trial Board reports and recommendations were adopted:

The Report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of Joseph L. Myers for reinstatement. That reinstatement of Mr. Myers would not be for the best interests of the American Kennel Club and recommends that the appeal be dismissed.
The Report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of Mrs. J. Harris against Mrs. Catherine Goetz. The conclusion of the Board is, that the defendant shall refund the purchase money or stand suspended until she has done so.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the protest of the registration of the Shepherd Dog, Sir of Elliottmanor. The Board recommends that this matter be referred back to the Stud Book Committee.

In the matter of the registration of the Pekingese, To Tai Toy, the Board is of the opinion that as Mrs. Dalley who made the incorrect registration, has never exhibited dogs and has registered only one dog previously and as she has given the dog in question to her father as a pet, no misdemeanor is evident and that as the registration has been cancelled, no further action need be taken.

In the case of the appeal against the decision of the Bench Show Committee of the Colorado Kennel Club at their late show, Nov 19th last in the matter of the Bulldog, Man O'Way, the Board recommends that the protest against the win of this dog be disallowed and that Mr. Sarconi’s appeal from the decision of the Show Committee of the Colorado Kennel Club be sustained, and this decision be published in the American Kennel Club Gazette.

In the matter of Mrs. Muller’s claim against the vendor of a dog, the Board recommends that the matter be referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for the taking of further evidence.

In the matter of the complaint of Mr. Edwin L. Boger and the Bulldog Club of America, this case was referred back to the New York Trial Board.

The Committee appointed at the meeting of the Board of Directors held Feb 15, 1921 to draw proper Resolutions in appreciation of the services of our retiring President, H. H. Hunnewell, submitted the following which was unanimously adopted.
WHEREAS, on his retirement from the office of President of the American Kennel Club on Feb 15, 1921, H. H. Hunnewell has completed a term of twenty continuous years in the offices of Vice President and President of the club. It is, therefore.

RESOLVED that the Directors of the American Kennel Club take pleasure in extending to Mr. Hunnewell their sincere appreciation of the faithful and efficient services rendered by him during those many years. His good judgment and close attention to the various matters which have come up before the club have done much to place the American Kennel Club in the position it is at the present time, and it is a source of satisfaction to his fellow members of the Board that Mr. Hunnewell continues to be a director, thereby giving to the club, and to the dog world in general, the benefit of his experience and advice.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution be duly spread in proper form upon the minutes of the club and a copy of same be forwarded to Mr. Hunnewell.

Dr. De Mund
Rowland P. Keasbey
John G. Bates
The following letter from the Shepherd Dog Club of America in reply to the notice sent them by order of the Executive Committee at its meeting held April 19th was read and on motion it was decided that the matter was not satisfactory and that they be notified to that effect, and that a copy of the Resolution adopted by the delegates in regard to the Stud Book of the Shepherd Dog Club be sent to them:

April 30, 1921

Executive Committee
American Kennel Club
221 Fourth Ave
New York, NY

Gentlemen:

Your recent letter concerning the wording of the Specials of the Shepherd Dog Club of America Inc., was referred to the Board of Governors of the club at its last meeting.

It was the sense of the Board that your objection to this wording was perhaps due to a misunderstanding. Our special in no way ignores the AKC registration as the first condition of registry in the SDC Stud Book is AKC registration.

However, the Board and the club wish to co-operate with the American Kennel Club in every way and therefore, in future our specials will be worded: ----open only to dogs in the AKC and the SDC Stud Books.

Very sincerely yours,
Reginald M. Cleveland
Secretary

Mr. Harold Ober was elected a Director to fill the vacancy in the Class of 1922 of the Board of Directors.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
President, Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
John G. Bates
John F. Collins
J. Macy Willets
Wm Rauch
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart

Charges were preferred by Mrs. Clara T. Liebman, and on motion the matter was referred to the New York Trial Board.

The Chairman of the License Committee reported the action taken by the License Committee in regard to proposed change of dates of the Golden Gate Kennel Club, Oakland and Alameda Kennel Club and the California Airedale Terrier Club.

On motion, the action of the License Committee was approved.

On motion, the Secretary was instructed to write to the Chairman of the old Chicago Trial Board and demand the return of all papers in their hands to his office at once.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary

The communication from the Shepherd Dog Club of America, requesting permission to appear before this Committee, was read, and on motion, the representative of the Shepherd Dog Club were given fifteen minutes in which to state their case.

The representatives of the Shepherd Dog Club appeared before this
Committee and presented their brief and statements in regard to registration, and on motion it was decided, after receiving this information and giving this matter consideration, that the Executive Committee unanimously feel that the action of the Delegates was well taken.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the case of Brooks vs. Leahy was read and on motion its recommendation that the case be dismissed was adopted.

Charges preferred by Mr. A. Self of Dallas, Texas were read and on motion referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board.

Communication from Mr. George A. Foley in reference to the 50% increase of entries made after advertising first day of close of entries, was read, and on motion the matter was referred to the Rules Committee for a ruling on same.

Communication received from the Cairn Terrier Club of America was read, and on motion the Secretary was instructed to obtain from the Ladies Kennel Association of America full particulars in reference to the matter. And if the charges substantiated the regular fine according to rule be imposed.

Notice was received from the Puget Sound Kennel Club of Seattle, Washington, and read to the effect that the Bench Show Committee of the above mentioned club had suspended Mrs. Anna R. Judd for violation of Rule XVII of additional Rules in the premium list, by removing her dogs from the late show of the Puget Sound Kennel Club and refusing to return same to the show also the answer and appeal to this Committee by Mrs. Judd.

After careful consideration, it was moved, seconded and carried that the action of the Bench Show Committee of the Puget Sound Kennel Club be sustained and that the suspension of Mrs. A. R. Judd be made permanent.

The communication from the Yorkshire Terrier Club of America requesting the change from a specialty to a show giving club was on motion referred to the Membership Committee also that said club be instructed that the choices of names se-
lected by them were not satisfactory.

On motion, the meeting adjourned.

Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE DELEGATES OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 221 FOURHT AVE., TUESDAY, SEPT 20, 1921.

President Howard Willets in the Chair.
Present:
American Fox Terrier Club, H. H. Hunnewell
Atlanta Kennel Club, Jas. Gardner Rossman
Bullterrier Club of America, N. F. Emmons
California Airedale Terrier Club, C. Frederick Neilson
Delaware County Kennel Club, C. L. Gilliland
Irish Terrier Club of America, John G. Bates
Japanese Spaniel Club of America, E. H. Berendsohn
Long Island Kennel Club, John F. Collins
Maltese Club, George O. Heinlein
Manchester Terrier Club, Wm. Prescott Wolcott
Middlesex County Kennel Club, F. T. Eskigge
Newfoundland Club of America, Chas. R. Wood
New Jersey Beagle Club, Geo. B. Hooley
New York State Fair Dog Show, Samuel G. Allen
Ohio Valley Kennel Club, Townsend Scudder
Pacific Coast Boston Terrier Club, Julius C. Feder
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Spaniel Breeders’ Society, J. Macy Willets
Westchester Kennel Club, Morris Kinney
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association, Q. A. Shaw McKean
Wissahicketon Kennel Club, R. H. Johnson, Jr.
Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers, J. S. Wall
Delegates

The minutes as published in the May Gazette were, on motion, accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion was accepted and ordered to be placed on file, and is as follows:

New York, Sept. 20, 1921

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit herewith my Quarterly Report:

Since the last meeting I have received applications for active membership Committee the Michigan State Fair Dog Club, of Detroit, Michigan, the Eastern Beagle Club of Wilmington, Delaware, the Doberman Pinscher Club, the Harrisburg Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals of Harrisburg, PA.

Credentials have been received from the following clubs appointing delegates to represent them in the American Kennel Club:

The Lynn Kennel Club, appointing Mr. Clement M. Burnhome; The Scottish Terrier Club appointing Mr. Robt. Sedgwick, Jr.; The Toy Spaniel Club of America appointing Mr. Daniel P. Ritchey; the Detroit Kennel Club appointing Mr. Chas. H. Brennan, the Whippet Club of America appointing Mr. Wm. Prescott Wolcott; the Pomeranian Club appointing Mr. H. H. Wainwright and the Ladies Kennel Ass'n of America appointing Mr. Bruce Shelden. These applications are in proper form and them will be reported upon the Membership Committee at this meeting.

I present the report of the Rules Committee on proposed Amendments to the Constitution and Rules which report is published in the August 31st Gazette.

A quorum of the Membership Committee not being present, a special
Delegates

meeting of the Executive Committee was convened to report upon applications for active membership and credentials.

The following clubs approved by the Executive Committee were balloted for and elected to active membership: The Michigan State Fair Dog Club of Detroit, Michigan, the Eastern Beagle Club of Wilmington, Delaware, the Doberman Pinscher Club, and the Harrisburg Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals.

Credentials for the following Delegates to the American Kennel Club, approved by the Executive Committee were balloted for and duly elected: Mr. Clement M. Burnhome to represent the Lynn Kennel Club, Mr. Daniel P. Kitchey to represent the Toy Spaniel Club of America, Mr. Wm. Prescott Wolcott to represent the Whippet Club of America, Mr. H. H. Wainright to represent the Pomeranian Club and Mr. Bruce Sheldon to represent the Ladies Kennel Association of America.

The report of the Rules Committee on proposed changes in the Rules as published in the August 31st, Gazette was read and on motion the following Amendments to the Rules were adopted:

Amend Rule XXIII by striking out the word “benched” in the third line of Section 1 of said rule and inserting in its place the words – “Allowed to enter the show building or show grounds, or to be benched.” Also add an additional sentence to Section 1, of this Rules which shall read as follows. “The regularly appointed veterinarian, or the veterinarian appointed
to take the place of the regularly appointed veterinarian, and the Superintendents of the Show, shall also be disciplined, either or both said officials, for any infraction, or infractions of this Rule."

Amend Rule XIII, by adding to Section 3, the following: “If at any show an advertised judge cannot officiate, the club shall notify the exhibitors in the breeds, immediately upon receipt of the information that said judge cannot officiate, and this notice, if possible, shall state the name of the substitute judge.”

The proposed change of Section 3, Rule XX, was on motion not adopted.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD TUESDAY, SEPT 20, 1921

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:

Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
H. H. Hunnewell
John F. Collins
Wm. Prescott Wolcott
Q. A. Shaw McKeane
Samuel G. Allen
George B. Hooley
C. L. Gilliland
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Rowland P. Keasbey
Charles R. Wood
John G. Bates
J. Macy Willets
C. F. Neilson
Townsend Scudder
J. S. Wall
Morris Kinney

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the May Gazette, were, on motion, accepted and ordered on file.

The Report of the Secretary was read, and on motion was received and ordered on file, and is as follows:
Directors

New York, Sept, 20, 1921

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following matters for your consideration.

The Report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the charges against F. J. Pender.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matters of Carroll vs. Crosse, Battle vs. Sessinghaus, and the communication and request of the Bulldog Club of America.

Notice received from the Bench Show Committee of the Michigan State Fair Dog Club at its meeting held Sept 165th, suspending Mr. and Mrs. John D. Collins of Detroit, Michigan, for misconduct in connection with the late show of the Michigan State Fair Dog Club.

Charges have been preferred by Mr. F. D. Hart, Miss Vera Apel, Mr. A. F. Strickler, Mr. Wallace Meyers and Mr. A. Horn.

I present an appeal for reinstatement from Mrs. N. C. Jacobs.

Also a letter received from the Delegate of the New Jersey Beagle Club.

A communication and further statements from Mrs. Anna R. Judd of Seattle, Washington.

Excuses for absence have been received from Mr. George S. West, Mr. Alfred B. Maclay, Mr. Chas. A. Kelly, Mr. R. W. Creuzbaur, Mr. Wm. L. Barclay, Mr. Wm. Rauch, Mr. Edwin L. Boger, Mr. Jos. A. Buchanan, Mr. Ralph C. Stewart and Mr. George Greer.

Respectfully submitted

Newton H. Day
Secretary
Notice of a vacancy in the Class of 1925 of the Board of Directors, owing to the fact that Mr. R. M. Cleveland was automatically dropped for non-attendance, was reported and an election of a Director to fill this vacancy resulted in Mr. Morris Kinney being selected.

The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion received and placed on file and is as follows:

New York, Sept 20, 1921

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my Financial Report from Jan 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1921, to date:

\begin{align*}
\text{Cash Balance on hand Jan 1\textsuperscript{st}} & \quad \$ 7067.05 \\
\text{Receipts from Jan 1\textsuperscript{st} to date} & \quad 48008.32 \\
\text{Total} & \quad 55075.37 \\
\text{Disbursements from Jan 1\textsuperscript{st} to date} & \quad 44691.78 \\
\text{Cash balance on hand} & \quad 10,383.59
\end{align*}

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of charges against F. J. Pender for issuing an incorrect pedigree, was read and on motion the recommendation of the Board was adopted as follows: That Mr. Pender be suspended for issuing an incorrect pedigree.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the
complaint of Carroll vs. Grosse was read, and on motion duly carried Mr. Chas. F. Grosse, 4750 Lorraine Ave., Cleveland, Ohio be required to file with the American Kennel Club a verified copy of his apology to Mr. Carroll on July 5, 1921, and that the question of Mr. Grosse’s License to judge be referred to the License Committee.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the case of Battle vs. Sessinghaus was read and on motion its recommendations were adopted as follows:

1. That Mrs. Sessinghaus should return at once to Mr. Battle the $25.00 sent her by him on Feb 22, 1920 as a deposit on Bruces Queenie as the purchase was never completed, the owner of the dog having raised the price after naming one that was accepted. Any counter claim on the part of Mr. Sessinghaus is a separate matter as no mention of a charge for service is to be found in the correspondence.

2. That Mr. Battle has no claim against Mrs. Sessinghaus for $125.00 he paid for Delporte’s Beauty as the correspondence shows no fraudulent motives or actions on Mrs. Sessinghaus’ part. Furthermore Mrs. Sessinghaus acted only as agent in the matter and evidently, as she declares, without financial interest in the transaction.

3. That any claim in regard to Delporte’s Beauty should be made against Mrs. Delporte and as fraud is not clearly shown the matter is not within the province of the American Kennel Club. In our opinion Mr. Battle’s only recourse is to his local courts.

The Report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the communication from the Bulldog Club of America was read, and on motion the matter was referred to the President of the American Kennel Club to take such action as he deemed advisable.
The notice received from the Bench Show Committee of the Michigan State Fair Dog Club Mr. and Mrs. John D. Collins, of Detroit, Michigan for misconduct in connection with the late show of the Michigan State Fair Dog Club was read, together with statements filed and, on motion, the suspension was continued.

The charges preferred by F. D. Hart were, on motion, referred to the Boston Trial Board.

The charges preferred by Miss Vera Apel, on motion, referred to the New York Trial Board.

The charges preferred by Arthur F. Strickler were on motion referred to the Boston Trial Board.

The charges preferred by Wallace Meyers were, on motion, referred to the New York Trial Board.

The charges preferred by A. Horn were, on motion, referred to the Boston Trial Board.

The appeal of Mrs. N. C. Jacobs for reinstatement was read, and on motion the same was referred to the Stud Book Committee for recommendation.

The letter from the Delegate of the New Jersey Beagle Club
was read and on motion the same was referred to the New York Trial Board for immediate action.

The communication, together with statements addressed to the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club received from Mrs. Anna R. Judd in reference to her suspension was presented and on motion duly seconded it was resolved that the incident be deemed closed with the action already had by the Executive Committee.

Excuses for absence were received from Messrs. Geo. S. West, Alfred B. Maclay, Chas. A. Kelly, R. W. Creuzbaur, Wm. L. Barclay, Wm. Rauch, Edwin L. Boger, Jos. A. Buchanan, Ralph C. Stewart and George Greer.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
President Howard Willets in the Chair.
Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Macy Willets
John G. Bates
John F. Collins
Rowland P. Keasbey

Notice received from the Bench Show Committee of the Delaware County Kennel Club of Chester, PA, was read to the effect that they had suspended Mr. Robert Smith of Bayonne, New Jersey and Mr. H. M. Berman of Dobbs Ferry, NY, for misconduct in connection with the late show held at Chester, PA, these suspensions being imposed under Rule X, Section 5.

Both Mr. Robert Smith and Mr. H. M. Berman appeared before the Executive Committee and were heard in their defense.

On motion, the suspension of Mr. Robert Smith was continued.

On motion, the suspension of Mr. H. M. Berman was continued and the matter referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for further investigation.

Charges preferred by the Ladies Kennel Association of America against Mr. Arthur C. Holden for non-payment of balance due on entire made by him at the show of the Ladies Kennel Association of America held at Mineola, June 8, 1921, were read, and on motion it was carried that Mr. Arthur C. Holden be suspended until the balance due the Ladies Kennel Association of America be paid.
Charges preferred by Albert L. Cabot were received and on motion the matter was referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

Charges preferred by Mr. Andre A. Blum were received and on motion, referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

The letter received from Mr. Thomas Grisdale was, on motion place on file.

The License Committee advised, that at the meeting held October 18, 1921 they had revoked the license to act as Judge of Mr. George S. Thomas. Also that they had adopted the following resolution:

“Resolved, that, hereafter, no Judges’ License will be granted to professional dog dealers and that dealers already holding Judges’ Licenses will be given until Jan 1, 1922 to resign. After this date all licenses held by dealers will be revoked at the option of the Committee.”

On motion it was unanimously carried that the Executive Committee approve of the action taken by the License Committee.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
President Howard Willets in the Chair.
Present:
Howard Wilelts
Dr. De Mund
J. Macy Willets
John G. Bates
John F. Collins
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Wm. Rauch
Ralph C. Stewart
Rowland P. Keasbey

The Minutes of the last meeting were, on motion accepted as published in the October Gazette.

The Report of the Boston Trial Board in the matters of the charges preferred by F. D. Hart, Arthur F. Strickler, and A. Horn which recommended that these cases were not proper matters to come before the Trial Board and that the complainants have a proper and adequate remedy at law, was read and on motion it was carried that the recommendations be adopted and that the charges and papers be returned to the complainants.

The Report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by M. G. Bierman against Arnold Ruege, was read and the Board recommended that the charges are not sustained. On motion, it was seconded and carried that the recommendations be adopted.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of breeders and owners of sires refusing to sign application forms for registration of dogs, was read and on motion the following resolution was adopted:
Resolved, that in any case where the owner of the Stud dog having accepted payment of cash or of any other valuable consideration for breeding, refuses to sign the certificate as owner of the sire, required by the American Kennel Club in connection with the application for the registration of a dog by the American Kennel Club, that, upon receipt of sufficient proof of such refusal and being satisfied as to the accuracy of the rest of the data necessary for the registration of said dog, the Secretary of the American Kennel Club shall notify the person refusing to sign such a certificate that this requirement is demanded by the American Kennel Club and the lacking of it prevents said thoroughbred dogs from being registered, and, therefore, the refusal of the person who should sign such certificate constitutes and makes said person guilty of an act detrimental to the best interests of dogs and in defiance of a regulation of the American Kennel Club. The Secretary shall also request said owner of the sire to sign the certificate and notify said person that unless he or she shall sign the certificate and return it to the office of the American Kennel Club (in the stamped and addressed envelope which shall be furnished for that purpose) within ten days from the date of such notice, said person shall be deprived of all of the privileges of the American Kennel Club until they have complied with the requirement.
The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the incorrect and fraudulent pedigree of the Pomeranians, White Beauty, Bond Boy Gyp and Lady Dufferin, was read, and on motion it was seconded and carried that this report be received and its recommendations adopted, and that Mr. John L. Roach of Cincinnati, Ohio, be suspended and the registrations of the above mentioned dogs be cancelled.

The Secretary reported a serious infringement of the Rules by the Golden Gate Kennel Club of San Francisco, California, in substituting a Licensed Superintendent as Judges and allowing him to officiate as Judge at their late show, and presented the correspondence in the matter and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the Golden Gate Kennel Club be fined $100.00, the Superintendent be fined $25.00 and both parties stand suspended until said fines are paid.

Charges preferred by the American Fox Terrier Club against Mr. George W. Bailey of Ottawa, Canada for refusal to pay the fifty percent increase of entry fee for late entries made by him at the show held Sept 3rd by the American Fox Terrier Club, were read, and on motion it was seconded and carried that Mr. George W. Bailey be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club until the amount of said entry fees are paid and the Canadian Kennel Club be notified of the suspension.

A letter received from Mr. Geo. S. Thomas was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the action of the License Committee be approved and that the Secretary be instructed to notify Mr. Thomas accordingly.
A letter received from Mrs. John D. Collins was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the Secretary be instructed to advise Mrs. Collins that she can appeal for reinstatement, such appeal to be made in power form accompanied by all evidence in the matter, and same would receive due consideration.

The recommendation from the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the appeal for reinstatement made by Mrs. N. C. Jacobs was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the recommendation be adopted and the appeal of Mrs. N. C. Jacobs be not granted.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE DELEGATES OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES; 221 FOURTH AVE, DECEMBER 20, 1921.

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:

Airedale Terrier Club of America, W. L. Barclay
American Sealyham Terrier Club, W. Ross Proctor, Jr.
Atlanta Kennel Club, J. C. Rossman
Bulldog Club of America, Edwin L. Boger
Cairn Terrier Club of America, A. U. Whitson
Chow Chow Club, Charles A. Kelly
Delaware State Fair, J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Elm City Kennel Club, E. D. Boehm
Irish Setter Club, R. W. Creuzbaur
Irish Terrier Club of America, John G. Bates
Japanese Spaniel Club of America, E. H. Berendsohn
Ladies Kennel Association of America, Bruce Sheldon
Long Island Kennel Club, John F. Collins
Los Angeles Kennel Club, Harold W. Gould
Maltese Club, G. O. Heinlein
New Jersey Beagle Club, Geo. B. Hooley
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Shepherd Dog Club of America, R. M. Cleveland
Spaniel Breeders’ Society, J. Macy Willets
Toy Spaniel Club of America, Daniel P. Ritchey
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association, Q. A. Shaw McKean
Westminster Kennel Club, Wm. Rauch
Wissahickon Kennel Club, R. H. Johnson, Jr.
Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers, J. S. Wall and Harold Ober.

The minutes as published in the Sept Gazette were, on motion accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read and on motion accepted and ordered to be placed on file, and is as follows:

New York, Dec 20, 1921

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

I beg to submit my Quarterly Report.

Since the last Quarterly meeting I have received application for active membership from the Southern California Kennel Club of Riverside, California and the resignations as active members of the New England Kennel Club and the Delaware State Fair.

Credentials have been presented from the Harrisburg Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, appointing as delegate, Mr. Jos. K. White of Harrisburg, PA, the Kennel Club of Atlantic City, appointing Mr. J. Sergeant Price, Jr.; the Ladies Kennel Association of Mass. appointing Mr. Albert Payson Terhune; the Westchester Kennel Club, appointing Mr. P. Hamilton Goodsell; the Pacific Coast Collie Club appointing Mr. Jos. Freidlander, Jr., the North Jersey Shore Kennel Club, appointing Mr. George D. Henrickson; the Brunswick Foxhound Club appointing Mr. C. S. Purdy; the Detroit Kennel Club appointing Mr. C. H. Brennan. These applications and credentials are in proper form and will be reported upon at this meeting by the Membership Committee.

Pursuant to Article VII, Sections 4 and 5 of the bylaws, the Membership Committee has nominated as Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1922 the following: Mr. J. S. Wall and Mr. Harold Ober, and have also suggested as Nominating Committee the following: Mr. Howard Willets, Dr. De Mund, Russell H. Johnson, Jr., Jos. A. Buchanan, and R. P. Keasbey.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

The Report of the Membership Committee was received and the meeting proceeded to ballot for the election of Active Members and delegates.

The Southern California Kennel Club was elected to active membership with the proviso that their name be changed to the Riverside Kennel Club of Riverside, California.

The resignations of the New England Kennel Club and the Delaware State Fair were on motion accepted.
Credentials for the following Delegates to the American Kennel Club approved by the Membership Committee were balloted for and duly elected:

Mr. Jos. K. White to represent the Harrisburg Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals.

Mr. J. Sergeant Price, Jr. to represent the Kennel Club of Atlantic City.

Mr. Albert Payson Terhune to represent the Ladies Kennel Association of Mass.

Mr. P. Hamilton Goodsell to represent the Westchester Kennel Club.

Mr. George D. Hendrickson to represent the North Jersey Shore Kennel Club.

Mr. C. S. Purdy to represent the Brunswick Foxhound Club.

Mr. Chas. H. Brennan to represent the Detroit Kennel Club.

The credentials from the Scottish Terrier Club of America, appointing Mr. Robt. Sedgwick, Jr. were balloted for and not elected.

Pursuant to Article VII, Sections 4 and 5 of the bylaws, the Membership Committee reported that they had nominated as delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year, 1922, Mr. Harold Ober and Mr. J. S. Wall, and have also suggested as Nominating Committee. Mr. Howard Willets, Dr. De Mund, Russell Johnson, Jos. A. Buchanan, R. P. Keasbey.

On motion the above named gentlemen were duly elected.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
W. L. Barclay
John F. Collins
R. W. Creuzbaur
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Rowland P. Keasbey
J. Macy Willets
John G. Bates
Wm. Rauch
Geo. B. Hooley
Q. A. Shaw McKean
Edwin L. Boger
Charles A. Kelly
J. S. Wall
Harold Ober

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the Gazette, on motion, were accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Secretary was read, ordered on file, and is as follows:

New York, Dec 20, 1921

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:
Report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mrs. M. R. Muller, also in the matter of H. M. Berman, and the case of Self vs. Howard.


The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of P. Tully.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of K. C. Snow, Jr.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of Wallace Meyers.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of Alfred Bedowski.

Excuses for absence have been received from the following Members: Messrs. George S. West, C. L. Gillialand, Morris Kinney, Wm. Prescott Wolcott, George Greer, Ralph C. Stewart, Jos. A. Buchanan, Sam’l G. Allen, H. H. Hunewell, Charles R. Wood, and C. F. Neilson.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary
The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the case of the charges preferred by Mrs. M. R. Muller was read, and it recommended that this is not a case for a Trial Board, but that Mrs. Muller has her remedy against the defendant in the proper courts, if she so chooses to proceed.

On motion the recommendation was adopted.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the suspension of Mr. H. M. Berman recommended that the Board is of the opinion that Mr. H. M. Berman is guilty of conduct detrimental to dog shows, therefore recommends that his suspension be continued.

On motion, the recommendation was adopted.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by A. Self against B. K. Howard was read and the Board recommended that M. B. K. Howard of Dallas, Texas be suspended from all rights and privileges of the American Kennel Club for the period of five years, dating from May 25, 1921.

On motion, the recommendation was adopted.

The Report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by J. E. R. Mudgett, against Benjamin Earl was read and it recommended that Mr. Benj. Earl apologize in writing to Mr. J. E. R. Mudgett and that Mr. Benj. Real be suspended for a short period.

The Report of the New York Trial Board in the case of Patrick Tully against J. M. Murphy was read and it recommended that as the letter in question was a personal letter to Mr. Tully and that Mr. Murphy did not make his grievance public, the Board is of the opinion that no further action need be taken, but wishes to state that absolutely no cause for criticism of Mr. Tully has been shown.

On motion, the recommendation was adopted.
The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of E. C. Snow Jr., was read, and it recommended that the charges be dismissed.

On motion, the recommendation was adopted.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of Wallace Meyers was read and it recommended that no evidence of fraud or intention to deceive being shown, that the charges be dismissed.

On motion, the recommendation was adopted.

The Report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of Alfred Bedowski and Vera Apel was read, and it recommended that the defendant is willing to send to the complainants another puppy upon receipt of $25.00 that no further action will be taken by the American Kennel Club, and that the charges be dismissed as no positive evidence fraud was shown by the defendant.

On motion, there recommendations were adopted.

The matter of a Western Representative of the American Kennel Club on the Pacific Coast was taken up and after discussion and the hearing of opinions from the Pacific Coast, on motion, it was decided that at the expiration of the term of office of the present Western Representative, that said office be discontinued and that all matters pertaining to same be dealt with directly by the Secretary of the American Kennel Club.

A letter addressed to the President by Mr. Geo. S. West was read and on motion it was carried that the Secretary be instructed to inform Mr. West that the Board of Directors agreed with his suggestions and would endeavor to comply with same.

Excuses for unavoidable absence were read and on motion accepted from the following: Messrs. George D. West, C. L. Gilliland, Morris Kinney, Wm. Prescott Wolcott, George Greer, Ralph C. Stewart, Jos. A. Buchanana, Sam’l G. Allen, H. H. Hunnewell, Chas. R. Wood, and C. F. Neilson.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
President Howard Willets in the Chair.
Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
John G. Bates
John F. Collins
J. Macy Willets
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Wm. Rauch
Rowland P. Keasbey

The Report of the Secretary, was read, and on motion, was accepted and placed on file and is as follows:

New York, Dec 20, 1921

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following:

A communication from Mrs. K. Van Rensselser which was referred to the Bronx County Kennel Club is now before you with the report of the Bronx County Kennel Club.

Charges have been preferred by Mrs. M. Crandall.

The matter of the premium list issued by the Cedar Rapids Poultry and Pet Stock Show.

An appeal for reinstatement from M. A. Durney.

I beg to report that the penalties imposed against the Golden Gate Kennel Club and Mr. John Bradshaw have been paid and the suspensions automatically removed.

Respectfully submitted
Newton H. Day
Secretary
The Report of the Bench Show Committee of the Bronx County Kennel Club and the matter of the protest of Mrs. K. Van Rensselear was read, and recommended in view of the evidence submitted and of the fact that the protest was made at the end of the show when it was impossible to actually check up the benching, the Committee cannot support Mrs. Van Rensselaer’s protest.

On motion, the recommendation was adopted.

Charges preferred by Mrs. M. Crandall were, on motion, referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

The matter of the issuing of the premium list by the Cedar Rapids Poultry and pet Stock Show, claiming to be held under a License and American Kennel Club Rules, was taken up and on motion the sec was instructed to communicate with said Association and ascribed whether such dog show was held. Also write to the Judge for full information and report at the next meeting.

The appeal of Mr. M. A. Durney for reinstatement was read and on motion it was carried that the appeal should be denied.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD JAN. 17, 1922.

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
John F. Collins
R. P. Keasbey
John G. Bates
William Rauch
Ralph C. Stewart
C. F. Neilson

The report of the Chicago Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of Mrs. J. C. Faulkner recommending that no fraud appearing in the matter, the case was one for civil adjustment as they could see no reason for us to act on same was read and on motion the recommendation was adopted.

The report of the Chicago Trial Board in the matters of the Badger Dogs, Tech of Madison and Fritz Forst; and the Collie, Hamiltonian BlueBoy; and the protest of W. J. Phelan against the Boston Terrier, Welcome Stranger; and the protest of J. K. Johnson against the Airedale Terrier, Bobbie V; and the protest of the registration of the Boston Terrier, Park's Mickey; was read, and on motion these matters were referred to the Stud Book Committee for final action.

A letter received from Mr. F. G. Snow, Jr. in reference to charges preferred by him which case was referred to the New York Trial Board and reported upon by them at the last meeting, was read and on motion it
was decided that the case be reopened and referred back to the New York Trial Board for a further hearing.

An appeal for reinstatement from Mr. H. M. Berman was read and on motion the same was referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for investigation.

An appeal for reinstatement of the Melita Kennels, owned by the late Mrs. Anna R. Judd was read and on motion the suspension was removed.

A letter received from Mr. Benjamin F. Earle was read, and the Secretary was instructed to reply to same and inform him as to his status.

The report of the Bench Show Committee of the Pacific Coast Bulldog Club, suspending Mr. John David and Mr. C. D. Campbell for conduct prejudicial to Dogs Shows, at the late show held by the Pacific Coast Bulldog Club was read and on motion, the suspensions were continued and the matter referred to the Los Angeles Trial Board for thorough investigation.

The letter receive from Messrs. Olcott, Bonynge, McManus and Ernst was read in regard to the revocation of Mr. A. McClure Halley’s License to Judge and on motion the Secretary was instructed to notify them that letter was received and contents noted.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
American Pomeranian Club, H. H. Wainwright
American Spaniel Club, Jas Gardner Rossman
Boston Terrier Club, W. H. Kinder
Cairn Terrier Club of America, A. U. Whitson
California Airedale Terrier Club, C. Frederick Neilson
Chow Chow Club, John Z. Admas
Dalmatian Club of America, Alfred B. Maclay
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart
Harrisburg Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Jos. K. White
Irish Setter Club, R. W. Creuzbaur
Japanese Spaniel Club of America, E. H. Berendsohn
Ladies’ Kennel Association of Mass., Albert Payson Terhune
Maltese Club, Geo. O. Heinlein
Middlesex County Kennel Club, F. T. Eskrigge
National Beagle Club, Col. Ernest Lester Jones
New York State Fair Dog Show, Sam’l G. Allen
Old English Sheepdog Club of America, Tyler Morse
Pacific Coast Collie Club of America, Jos. Friedlander, Jr.
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Spaniel Breeders’ Society, J. Macy Willets
Westchester Kennel Club, P. Hamilton Goodsell
Westminster Kennel Club, Wm. Rauch
Yorkshire Terrier Club of America, G. E. Peabody

On motion, the minutes of the last meeting were adopted as published in the December Gazette.

The Report of the Secretary was read and is as follows:

New York, Feb 16, 1922

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last Quarterly meeting, I have received applications for Active Membership from the following:

Fort Worth Kennel Club of Fort Worth, Texas; the Capital City Kennel Club of Columbus, Ohio; the Puget Sound Kennel Club of Seattle, Washington and the Englewood Kennel Club of Englewood, NJ.

Credentials have been received for Delegates to represent the following Clubs:

Col. Ernest Lester Jones to represent the National Beagle Club of America; Mr. Thos. Shallcross to represent the new England Beagle Club; Mr. Wm. R. Tucker, Jr. to represent the West Highland White Terrier Club of America and Mr. Jos. Friedlander, Jr. to represent the Pacific Coast Collie Club.

I present the report of the Committee appointed to canvas the votes for Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1922.

Pursuant to the Bylaws, the following clubs have been dropped from active membership in the American Kennel Club for non-payment of dues for the year 1922: The Binghamton Industrial Exposition, the Dandie Dinmont Terrier Club, the Manchester Terrier Club and the Santa Barbara Kennel Club.

I present the report of the Nominating Committee.

An amendment to paragraph three of the Constitution recommended by the Rules Committee and published in the August Gazette. Same is before you for adoption at this meeting, and is as follows:

“Amend paragraph three of the Constitution by insert in after the letter “A” and before the word, Delegates (in the first line of said paragraph) the word “male”.

I beg to report that during the year 1921 we have issued free to exhibitors, one-hundred and eighty-nine championship certificates and medals. We have also furnished free, over two-hundred thousand, Extracts of Rules, to different Show giving clubs, for their premium lists.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary
On motion, the above report was accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was received and the meeting proceeded to the election of active members and delegates. The following clubs were balloted for and duly elected to active membership: The Fort Worth Kennel Club of Fort Worth, Texas, the Capital City Kennel Club of Columbus, Ohio, the Puget Sound Kennel Club of Seattle, Washington, and the Englewood Kennel Club of Englewood, NJ.

The following delegates were balloted for and duly elected:

Col. Ernest Lester Jones to represent the national Beagle Club of America.
Mr. Thos. Shallcross to represent the New England Beagle Club,
Mr. Wm. R. Tucker, Jr. to represent the West Highland White Terrier Club.
Mr. Jos. Friedlander, Jr. to represent the Pacific Coast Collie Club.

The following nominations for Directors were received from the Nominating Committee, balloted for and duly elected:


The vacancy in the Class of 1926 Mr. Albert Payson Terhune.

The vacancy in the class of 1925 Mr. Wm. R. Tucker Jr.

The report of the Treasurer was read as follows:

New York, Feb. 16, 1922

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that my financial Statement for the year 1921
has been audited by Messrs. Townsend and Dix, Certified Accountants and I have their certification that same is correct.

This report shows an income from investments of $1,970.00, from which deducting the operating deficit for the year 1921, $1,028.00 leaves a balance to credit to Profit and Loss of $942.00.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

On motion the above report was received and ordered on file.

A proposed amendment to paragraph three of the Constitution which was recommended by the Rules Committee and published in the August Gazette, making the first line of the paragraph read “Each Member is entitled to appoint a make Delegate” was on motion adopted.

A proposed amendment to Rules VII, Section 9 was offered and on motion, it was carried that the same be referred to the Rules Committee.

On motion duly seconded and carried, the following Resolution was adopted:

Resolved. That the meetings of the Delegates of the American Kennel Club be held on the third Tuesday of Feb, May, Sept. and December.

A Special Committee was appointed by the President to draw up proper Resolutions regarding the death of Mr. Hollis H. Hunnewell, and that a copy of same be forwarded to the family.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD TUESDAY, FEB 21, 1922.

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Rowland P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Chas. A. Kelly
George Greer
Sam'l G. Allen
Geo. B. Hooley
Albert Payson Terhune
R. W. Creuzbaur
C. F. Neilson
J. S. Wall
E. L. Boger
Harold Ober

The minutes of the last meeting as published in the December Gazette were on motion accepted.

The Report of the Secretary was read and on motion received, ordered on file and is as follows:

New York, Feb 21, 1922

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

The protest against Rule XVI, Sections 5, 6, 7, regarding prizes and Special prizes, received from the Greyhound Club of America.

Charges have been preferred by James Noble of Black River Falls,
Jackson County, Wisconsin.

A letter received from A. McClure Halley.

Excuses for absence have been received from the following gentlemen: George S. West, C. L. Gilliland, Townsend Scudder, J. Macy Willets, Wm. Rauch, Jos. A. Buchanan, John F. Collins, Wm. L. Barclay, Wm. R. Tucker, Jr., John G. Bates and Alfred B. Maclay.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

In accordance with Article XXI of the bylaws, the meeting proceeded to the election of officers, Chairmen and Members of Standing Committees and Trial Boards in order named.

Mr. Howard Willets was nominated for President, Dr. De Mund was nominated for First Vice-President, Mr. J. Segeant Price, Jr. was nominated for Second Vice-President. There being no further nominations for these offices, on motion, it was carried that the nominations be closed and the Secretary was instructed to cast a ballot for the nominees. The Secretary declared the unanimous election of Mr. Howard Willets as President, Dr. De Mund, First Vice-President and Mr. J. Sergeant Price, Jr. Second Vice-President.

Newton H. Day was nominated as Secretary and Treasurer. There being no other nominations the vote was taken by acclamation and the President announced his election.

The nominations for Standing Committees and Trial Boards were then made and there being no further nominations, the Secretary cast a ballot for the nominees and the following were unanimously elected:


Rules Committee – John F. Collins, Chairman; Dr. De Mund, Albert Payson Terhune, George Greer, Wm. L. Barclay.

Stud Book Committee – Russell H. Johnson, Jr., Chairman; Wm. L. Barclay, Ralph C. Stewart.

Finance Committee – Wm. Rauch, Chairman; Howard Willets, George Greer.

Publication Committee – Rowland P. Keasbey, Chairman; John F. Collins, J. S. Wall.

Field Trial Committee – C. F. Neilson, Chairman; Samuel G. Allen, George B. Hooley.

Law Committee – Ralph C. Stewart, Chairman; Townsend Scudder, Russell H. Johnson, Jr.

New York Trial Board – Harold Ober, Chairman; Chas. A. Kelly, R. W. Creuzbaur.


Boston Trial Board – George S. West, Chairman; T. Dickson Smith, W. Prescott Wolcott.

Chicago Trial Board - Alex H. Stewart, Chairman, A. F. Kramer, Stuyvesant Peabody.

Los Angeles Trial Board - Freeman A. Ford, Chairman; Capt. S. M. Spalding. The Third Member of this Trial Board to be selected later.

On motion, it was seconded and carried that the election of the San Francisco Trial Board be postponed to a future meeting of the Board of Directors.

The Report of the Treasurer was read and on motion it was carried that a copy of same be forwarded to all active members.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mr. E. G. Snow, Jr., was read recommending that finding no proof of wrong doing on the part of the defendant they therefore advise that the charges be dismissed. On motion the report was received and its recommendation adopted.

The protest against Rule XVI, Sections 5, 6, 7 regarding Special Prices, received from the Greyhound Club of America was read and on motion preferred to the Rules Committee.
Charges preferred by James Noble of Black River Falls, Wisc. Were on motion referred to the Chicago Trial Board.

The letter received from Mr. A. McClure Halley was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the Secretary be instructed to inform Mr. Halley that under the bylaws of the American Kennel Club the Directors have no authority to act in the matter.

The Committee appointed by the President to draw up suitable Resolutions regarding the death of Mr. Hollis H. Hunnewell presented the following Resolutions which were unanimously adopted:

RESOLVED: That in the death of Mr. Hollis Horatio Hunnewell, on Jan twenty-four, Nineteen hundred and twenty-two the American Kennel Club has suffered a great loss.

Mr. Hunnewell was formerly President of the American Kennel Club And for many years Director and Member of the Executive Committee; Also contributing long service as a member of the Rules Committee And Finance Committee.

In expressing our deep sympathy to his family, we also feel that not only The American Kennel Club but the entire Dog World has suffered keen Deprivation.

RESOLVED That this resolution be inscribed upon the minutes and that a copy be Forwarded to the family of the deceased.

On motion it was seconded and carried that the Board of Directors recommend that the Rules Committee be instructed to draft a proposed Amendment to Rules XVI, as follows: “The prohibiting of unclassified Specials or any other classes of Specials conflicting with the regular classes of a show.”

A request from the Colorado Kennel Club was received, and on motion it was seconded and carried that if the coming show of the Colorado Kennel Club be held successfully under the Rules and that should said club desire to hold another Show during this year, the License fee of $15.00 would not be required. This action, however, is not to be taken as a precedent in future cases.

Excuses for absence from the following gentlemen were received

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD TUESDAY, 
FEB 21, 1922

President Howard Willets in the Chair.
President:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Rowland P. Keasbey, Jr.
C. F. Neilson
Ralph C. Stewart

The report of the Secretary was read, on motion approved, ordered on file and is as follows:

New York, Feb 21, 1922

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I present the report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the Boston Terrier, Wheatland Shop Girl.

Also report of the Stud Book Committee the matter of the Shepherd Dog, Lady Berta of Dividing Ridge.

An appeal for reinstatement from Mr. Edw. R. Wilbur.
Also an appeal for reinstatement from Mr. Benj. Earl.
Also an appeal for reinstatement from Mrs. N. C. Jacobs.
I present a letter from Mr. A. McClure Halley
Also letter and complaint received from Mr. T. L. Leeming.

Respectfully submitted
Newton H. Day
Secretary

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the registration of the Boston Terrier, Wheatland Shop Girl, was on motion referred to the San Francisco Trial Board.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the
Shepherd Dog, Lady Berta of Dividing Ridge was read and on motion it was decided that Mr. M. F. Lee, breeder of the dog in question and the owner of the Dividing Ridge Kennel, be suspended until he settles the matter with the complainant, Mr. Chas. A. Smith.

An appeal for reinstatement from Mr. E. R. Wilbur was read and on motion seconded and carried it was decided that the suspension be removed.

An appeal for reinstatement from Mr. Benjamin F. Earl was read and on motion, seconded and carried it was decided that the suspension of Mr. Earl be removed dating March 1, 1922.

The appeal for reinstatement of Mrs. N. C. Jacobs was read and on motion, was referred to the Stud Book Committee for their recommendation.

A letter received from Mr. A. McClure Halle was read, and on motion, it was decided that the Executive Committee see no reason to review the action of the License Committee in the matter.

A communication received from Mr. Thos. L. Lemming was read and on motion, the Secretary was instructed to inform the Bench Show Committee of the Westminster Kennel Club that they should thoroughly investigate and take action regarding the matter.

The matter of the registrations of Field Dogs was presented, and on motion it was seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the Stud Book Committee and the Field Trial Committee conjointly.

On motion, it was seconded and carried that persons in arrears in payment of their bills for advertising in the Kennel Gazette shall be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club until such bills are paid.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

[handwritten notes indicates that last paragraph was rescinded.]

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD MARCH 21, 1922.

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
John F. Collins
R. P. Keasbey
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
J. Macy Willets
John G. Bates
Ralph C. Stewart
C. F. Neilson

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the Shepherd Dog, Reba Lou, No. 299941, was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the Stud Book Committee be instructed to return to the breeder of the dog, the forty dollars held on deposit by them.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the appeal of Mrs. N. C. Jacobs for reinstatement was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the recommendation of the Stud Book Committee be adopted.

The report of the Los Angeles Trial Board in the matter of
Of the charges preferred by the Pacific Bulldog Club against Mr. C. D. Campbell and Mr. John David for misconduct in connection with the late show of the Pacific Bulldog Club held December 16th and 17th was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the Report be received and filed and that Mr. John David be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club indefinitely.

It was also moved, seconded and carried that Mr. C. D. Campbell be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club for a period of six months dating from March 21st, 1922.

The complaint of Mrs. Mildred Green of Chelsea, Massachusetts was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the same be referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation and recommendation.

The Secretary reported that numerous applications and communications from persons breeding to and purchasing puppies from dogs owned by Mr. B. K. Howard of Dallas, Texas, since the date of his suspension, May 25th, 1921, have been received, and in view of the fact that the Trial and action in the matter extended over a long period and that innocent parties in the meantime had purchased and bred to Mr. Howard’s dogs it was moved, seconded and carried that all breedings, stud visits and transfers, be allowed up to the date of the publication of the suspension, December 31st, 1921.

The Secretary reported that Mr. D. MacDonald, 272 Willis Ave., Bronx, NY has refused to sign certain applications forwarded to him for his signature. Also failed to reply to the notifications and has not returned the pedigree blanks sent to him, therefore, on motion seconded and carried that Mr. D. MacDonald be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club until he properly complies with the conditions.
The communications and complaint received from Mr. Frank A. Howes was read, and on motion, the Secretary was instructed to inform Mr. Howes that under the Rules, he should take the matter before the Bench Show Committee of the Show-giving club for their action before same can be taken up by the American Kennel Club.

And that Mr. Townsend Scudder be continued as legal representative;

It was moved, seconded and carried that the action taken at the last meeting of the Executive Committee in regard to person in arrears in the payment of their bills for advertising in the Kennel Gazette, be rescinded.

The Secretary was instructed to notify Mr. Henry I. Baer that unless his bill for advertising in the American Kennel Club Gazette and Stud Book was paid within thirty days, it will be necessary to lace same for collection.

On motion, the Secretary was instructed to write an article for the Gazette dealing with the mis-statements made by various papers. He was also instructed to write an Article relative to amateur and professional judges.

On motion, it was decided to publish the decision of the judges in the mater of the case of A. McClure Halley.

On motion the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD APRIL 18, 1922

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:


Protest and communication from Mrs. F. C. Winn in connection with the late Crown City Kennel Club Show was read, it was moved, seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the Los Angeles Trial Board for investigation and recommendation.

Communication and protest filed by Mrs. E. K. Heller in connection with the late Golden Gate Kennel Club Show was read, moved, seconded and carried that the matter be referred back to the Bench Show Committee of the Golden Gate Kennel Club for proper investigation and action in the matter.

Charges preferred by Anna E. Tukey, were read and it was moved, seconded and carried that this matter be referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation and report.

The communication from Mr. M. F. Lee in reference to the case of Smith versus Lee, Mr. Lee claiming he had never received notice owing to change of address and therefore had no opportunity to be heard in his defense, was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the case be referred to the New York Trial Board for full investigation, both parties in the matter to be heard, and also requested by the Committee that immediate action be taken in this matter.

Request received from the Pacific Coast in regard to permission to use standard classification in order to save time in the preparation and mailing of Premium Lists was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the Secretary be empowered to pass upon a standard classification to be used on the Pacific Coast without separate approval. No changes in the Puppy or other classes may be made in this standard classification, unless special approval is given by the Secretary.
It was moved, seconded and carried that a Committee of three, consisting of Mr. Howard Willets, Dr. De Mund and Mr. William Rauch, be appointed to investigate and arrange in the matter of more office space.

Newton H. Day
The Committee then went into Executive Session.
Mr. Macy Willets, Chairman of the License Committee stated it was reported that Mr. John G. Bates member of the latter Committee had made the following statements. “That he (Mr. Halley) had received rotten treatment from the American Kennel Committee, and that at the meeting of the License Committee held Dec 20, 1921, at which meeting the license of Mr. Halley was revoked, he (Mr. Halley) was told that his license would not be revoked and immediately after he left the room the license was taken away, and Mr. Price and yourself had both voted against the revocation.”

The matter was thoroughly discussed and it was moved, seconded and carried that the Secretary be instructed to send the following letter to Mr. Bates.

Newton H. Day
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE DELEGATES OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 221 FOURTH AVE., TUESDAY, MAY 16, 1922.

First Vice President, Dr. De Mund in the Chair.
Present:
Airedale Terrier Club of America, Wm. L. Barclay
American Spaniel Club, George Greer
Atlanta Kennel Club, Jas. G. Rossman
Bronx County Kennel Club, H. Fensterer
Bulldog Club of America, Edwin L. Boger
Bull Terrier Club of America, N. F. Emmons
Cairn Terrier Club of America, A. U. Whitson.
Delaware County Kennel Club, C. L. Gilliland
Eastern Dog Club, George S. West
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart
International Toy Poodle Club, Thos. Hartman
Irish Setter Club, R. W. Creuzbaur
Japanese Spaniel Club of America, E. H. Berendsohn
Kennel Club of Atlantic City, J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Ladies' Kennel Association of America, Bruce Sheldon
Ladies' Kennel Association of Mass., Albert Payson Terhune
Long Island Kennel Club, John F. Collins
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
Shepherd Dog Club of America, R. M. Cleveland
Toy Spaniel Club of America, Daniel P. Ritchey
Westchester Kennel Club, P. Hamilton Goodsell
Westminster Kennel Club, Wm. Rauch
Whippet Club of America, Wm. P. Wolcott
Wissahikcon Kennel Club, R. H. Johnson, Jr.
Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers – J. S. Wall and Harold Ober
Delegates

It was moved, seconded and carried, that the minutes of the last meeting be adopted as published in the Feb Gazette.

The report of the Secretary was read and is as follows:

New York, May 16, 1922

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club,

Gentlemen:

I beg to report since the last quarterly meeting that I have received the following applications for active membership:

The Houston Kennel Club of Houston, Texas, the Western Pekingese Club of Chicago, Illinois, the Columbia County Kennel Club of Hudson, NY and the Cincinnati Kennel Club of Cincinnati, Ohio.

Credentials for Delegates have been received to represent the following clubs: Mr. Henry D. Trevor to represent the Southampton Kennel Club; Mr. A. D. Smith to represent the Greyhound Club of America; H. L. Sears to represent the Newark Kennel Club; Mr. Bayard Milbank to represent the Welsh Terrier Club of America; Mr. Morris Kinney to represent the Old English Sheepdog Club; Mr. J. W. Spring to represent the American Fox Terrier Club; Doctor W. C. Billings, to represent the Englewood Kennel Club; Mr. S. H. Sonn to represent the Brussels Griffon Club of America; Mr. Albert Payson Terhune, to represent the Collie Club of America, Mr. H. A. Boxter to represent the Pekingese Club of America; and Mr. P. M. Chidester to represent the Central Beagle Club.

These applications were presented to the Membership Committee which will report on same at this meeting.

I present the resignation of J.G. Bates as Delegate.

The report of the Rules Committee which was published in the April Gazette.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

It was moved, seconded and carried that the above report be accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was received and the meeting proceeded to the to the election of active members and delegates. The following clubs were balloted for and duly elected to active membership:
Delegates

The Houston Kennel Club of Houston, Texas, the Columbia County Kennel Club of Hudson, NY and the Cincinnati Kennel Club of Cincinnati, Ohio. The Western Pekingese Club was balloted for and not elected.

The following Delegates were balloted for and duly elected: Mr. Henry D. Trevor to represent the Southampton Kennel Club, Mr. A. D. Smith to represent the Greyhound Club of America; Mr. H. L. Sears to represent the Newark Kennel Club; Doctor Samuel Milbank to represent the Welsh Terrier Club of America; Mr. Morris Kinney to represent the Old English Sheepdog Club; Mr. James W. Spring to represent the American Fox Terrier Club; Doctor W. C. Billings to represent the Englewood Kennel Club; Mr. S. H. Sonn to represent the Collie Club of America. The Delegate for the Pekingese Club of America was balloted for and not elected.

The report of the Rules Committee was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendations adopted.

The resignation of John G. Bates as delegate to the American Kennel Club was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the resignation be accepted.

The resignation of Mr. Tyler Morse as delegate was read, and as his successor had already been elected, it was moved, seconded and carried that the resignation be received and placed on file.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
First Vice President, Dr. De Mund in the Chair.

Present
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Wm. Rauch
John F. Collins
R. P. Keasbey
W. L. Barclay
George Greer
Wm. Prescott Wolcott
R. W. Creuzbaur
Ralph C. Stewart
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Albert Payson Terhune
Edwin L. Boger
C. L. Gilliland
George S. West
Daniel P. Ritchey
J. S. Wall
Harold Ober

It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes of the last meeting as
published in the Feb Gazette, be accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried
that same be received and ordered on file and is as follows:

New York, May 16, 1922

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:
Board of Directors

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

The resignation as Director of Mr. Alfred B. Maclay.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of Chas. A. Smith vs. M. F. Lee.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the protest of Mrs. E. Seely.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of Chas. O. Locke vs. Joseph L. Kelley.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of Mr. Maud Crandall.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the registration of the Pomeranian, Tom Tom.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of charges preferred by Mrs. Harry S. Peaster.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of James Harding vs. Mrs. M. P. Coe.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the appeal of Mr. H. M. Berman.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the protest made by B. C. Winsor.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the protest of the registration of the Cocker Spaniel, Mindowakin Toodles.


Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

The resignation of Mr. Alfred B. Maclay as Director, was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the same be accepted with regret.
Board of Directors

The Board then proceeded to elect Directors to fill the vacancies occurring in the Class of 1923 and also to elect members to fill vacancies on Standing Committees, which resulted in the election of Mr. Morris Kinney and Mr. Daniel P. Ritchey for the Class of 1923, Mr. Albert Payson Terhune as Chairman of the Membership Committee and Mr. John F. Collins as a Member of the License Committee- Mr. Collins later declining the election- Mr. Daniel P. Ritchey was elected as a Member of the Publication Committee to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of John F. Collins on that Committee.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of Chas. A. Smith vs. M. F. Lee was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendation adopted, which is as follows:

“In view of the above facts, we recommend that the suspension of Mr. M. F. Lee be removed as of Feb 21, 1922. Mr. Lee in our opinion has done all that could be expected to satisfy Smith and has been placed on the position of being suspended after he had made a reasonable settlement, on account of Smith’s failure to notify the American Kennel Club that he had accepted settlement on Feb 16th, 1922.

The Report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the protest against the winning of Special No. 75 at the late Westminster Kennel Club Show 1922, by Mrs. A. Marie Lyon’s Pomeranian, Demi Tasse, was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted, which is as follows: “That Mrs. A. Marie Lyons’ win of Special No. 75 at the Westminster, 1922 show, be cancelled and that a letter be written to Mr. Vinton P. Breese warning him to make himself familiar with the Rules of the American Kennel Club and to follow them in future, when judging.”

The Report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of Mr. Chas. O. Locke vs. Joseph L. Kelley was read and it was moved, seconded and carried, that the Report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted, which is: “That Mr. Jos. L. Kelley be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club for a period of six months from day, for furnishing Mr. Locke with a pedigree that he must have known to be incorrect.”

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mrs. Maud Crandall, was read, and it was moved, seconded and car-
Board of Directors

ried that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted, which is that the charges be dismissed, but that Mrs. Crandall’s deposit of $10.00 be returned to her.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the registration of the Pomeranian, Tom Tom, 321282, was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted, which is as follows: “It is our opinion that the pedigree in question was furnished by a person or persons unknown and that the case may rest with the cancellation of the registration.

The report of the Los Angeles Trial Board in the matter of the protest of Doctor Alva C. Stone, was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received, placed on file, and its recommendation adopted, which is as follows:

“We find that the Bulldogs, Goytside Surprise, Benefactor, and Roseosheron were the property of Alva C. Stone at the time of the Pacific Bulldog Club Show, and that although they were shown under the name of the David Bulldog Kennels, there was no intent on Doctor Stone’s unfamiliarity with the rules of the American Kennel Club. The conclusion of the Board is that Doctor Stone was innocent of any intentional violation of the rules of the American Kennel Club, and we therefore recommend that the Bulldogs above mentioned by re-instated and granted full privileges of the American Kennel Club.”

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mrs. Harry S. Peaster, was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried, that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted that the charges be dismissed.

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mr. James E. Harding against Mrs. M. P. Coe, was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted, which is as follows:

“That Mrs. M. P. Coe be suspended from all rights and privileges of the American Kennel Club for the term of five years from date of the registration of the said dog, Viva La France, namely October 6, 1919.”
Board of Directors

The report of the Philadelphia Trial Board in the matter of the appeal for reinstatement by H. M. Berman was read and it was moved, seconded, and carried that the report be received, placed on file, and its recommendation adopted which is as follows:

“This Board is of the opinion that this suspension should continue for one year from the date of its occurrence in Sept, 1921, when upon written application to the Secretary of the American Kennel Club, he will be reinstated.”

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of J. A. Haverstick against the Akron Pet Shop and Mr. Robert MacDonald, was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted, which is as follows:

“That Mr. Robert MacDonald, Mrs. Robert MacDonald and the Akron Pet Shop and Bird Store be suspended indefinitely from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.”

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the protest by Mr. B. C. Winsor in regard to the pedigree of the Boston Terrier purchased from L. E. Taylor, was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted, which is as follows:

“That Mr. L. E. Taylor and the Breeders’ Exchange Bird and Pet Store, 312 South 6th St., Minneapolis, Minnesota, be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club for the period of two years.”

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the protest of the registration of the Airedale Terrier, Master Militant, No. 302282, was read and it was moved, seconded and carried, that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendation adopted, which is as follows:

“That Mr. D. S. Lockwood, 8641 Maryland Ave., Chicago, Ill. be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club for a period of two years.”

The Report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the protest of the registration of the Cocker Spaniel, Mindowaskin Toodles was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the Executive
Board of Directors
Committee.

The Report of the Treasurer, as follows, was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received and placed on file.

New York, May 16, 1922.

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present my financial report from Jan 1st, to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand, Jan 1st</td>
<td>$14,513.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>40,412.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54,925.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements from Jan 1st to date</td>
<td>40,547.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand</td>
<td>$14,377.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Treasurer

The Chairman of the Stud Book Committee reported correspondence and interviews with the American Field Publishing Company and it was moved, seconded and carried, that the Stud Book Committee of the American Kennel Club be empowered to communicate further with the American Field, requesting their co-operation in the following plan: That the American Kennel Club will admit to their Stud Book, any pure bred breeds registered with the American Field, the dog to be registered to have three generations of pedigree and a registration number provided the name of the dog to be registered does not conflict with any other name under our present rules for registration. This arrangement to begin July 1, 1922 and to continue for a period of eighteen months.

Excuses for absence were received from the following gentlemen and accepted: Messrs. Howard Willets, J. Macy Willets, Samuel G. Allen,
Board of Directors

Townsend Scudder, Chas. A. Kelly, Jos. A. Buchanan, Wm. R. Tucker, Jr., and Q. A. Shaw McKean.

It was moved and seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Newton H. Day
Secretary

First Vice President, Dr. De Mund in the Chair.

Present:
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
John F. Collins
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Wm. Rauch
R. P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
Albert Payson Terhune

It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes of the last meeting as published in the Feb Gazette, be accepted and placed on file.

The charges preferred by Mrs. Louis Lichtenhein were read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that same be referred to the Boston Trial Board.

The charges preferred by J. C. Mecartney were read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the same be referred to the New York Trial Board.

The charges preferred by the Allendale Farm Kennels were read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the same be referred to the Chicago Trial Board.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the matter of the Cocker Spaniel, Mindowaskin Toodles be laid on the table for further consideration.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD JUNE 20, 1922

President Howard Willets in the chair.

Present:

Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Rowland P. Keasbey
Albert Payson Terhune
Ralph C. Stewart

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, June 19, 1922

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to present for your consideration:

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mildred Greene.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Anna E. Tukey.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mrs. Louis Lichtenhein.

The report of the Los Angeles Trial Board in the matter of the protest made by Mrs. E. F. Rhodes, and Mrs. Josephine Strong.

The report of the Bench Show Committee of the Westminster Kennel Club in the matter of the complaint of Mr. T. L. Leeming.

Charges have been preferred by Mrs. Grace B. Thayer.

Charges have been preferred by F. L. Sturgis.

Charges have been preferred by H. N. Walters.

I present communication from the Englewood Kennel Club, also a letter from the Irish Terrier Club of America.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary
Received and placed on file.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mildred Greene vs. Mrs. R. A. Richards, et. al, was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendations adopted which are as follows:

That these charges were not preferred against the Executive Committee of the Boston Terrier Club, or the Boston Terrier Club but against five members of the Executive Committee as individuals, the charges being that these individuals had wrongfully votes to deprive charges being that these individuals had wrongfully votes to deprive the petitioner of membership of the Boston Terrier Club and the American Kennel Club has no authority or jurisdiction against men as individuals on account of the way that they have votes at a Specialty Club meeting.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Ana E. Tukey, was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the charges should be dropped and no further action taken.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by Mrs. Louis Lichtenhein was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the report be received, placed on file and its recommendations adopted, which are as follows:

It is the opinion of the Trial Board that in connection with dog shows there is practically always more or less talk about the winning dogs especially among disgruntled exhibitors, but it does not seem to us that this is the proper matter to come before the Trial Board, because as stated, if the petitioner has been wronged she has her remedy in the Civil Courts.

The report of the Los Angeles Trial Board in the matter of the protest made by Mrs. E. F. Rhodes and Mrs. Josephine Strong, against Mr. and Mrs. F. C. Winn was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that report be received, placed on file and its recommendations adopted. This protest was made to the Bench Show Committee of the Crown City Kennel Club and afterwards referred to the Los Angeles Trial Board. This Board recommends:

That the extenuating circumstances be considered and the wins of
The Pekingese, Ah Sid II, in American-bred Class and F. Suie Win, in Limit Dogs (red) Class at the Crown City Kennel Club show be cancelled and the protestants dogs moved up to first place in both cases, but that Mr. and Mrs. Winn be not suspended from the privileges of the American Kennel Club.

The report of the Bench Show Committee of the Westminster Kennel Club in the matter of the complaint of T. L. Leeming, was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that this matter be referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

Charges preferred by Mrs. Grace B. Thayer were read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation.

Charges preferred by F. L. Sturgis were read and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the Boston Trial Board for investigation.

Charges preferred by H. N. Walters were read, and on motion it was seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

The communication from the Englewood Kennel Club was read, and on motion it was seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the New York Trial Board.

The letter received from the Irish Terrier Club of America was read and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the matter be referred to the New York Trial Board for investigation.

The letter received from the Irish Terrier Club of America was read and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the Secretary express the appreciation of the Executive Committee of the statements and sentiments contained in said letter.

The Committee proceeded to the election of a member to fill the vacancy on the License Committee. Mr. R. W. Creuzbaur was duly elected as a member of the
License Committee.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD, AUGUST 15, 1922.

First Vice President, Dr. De Mund in the Chair.
Present:
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
J. Macy Willets
John F. Collins
Ralph C. Stewart
R. P. Keasbey
Albert Payson Terhune

It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes as published in the June be accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read as follows:

New York, Aug. 15, 1922

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to submit the following matters for your consideration:--

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the pedigree of the Irish Terrier, Sir Edmund Burke.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the protest of registration of the English Toy Spaniel, Ess Jay See Panzy.

Charges preferred by A. Vander-Straten.

Also communication from the Legal Committee of the American Kennel Club.

Respectfully submitted,

Newton H. Day
Secretary

It was moved, seconded and carried that the above report be
received and placed on file.

The report of the Stud Book Committee in the matter of the English Toy Spaniel, Ess Jay See Panzy, No. 304714 was read, and it was moved seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendations adopted to the effect that the registration of the English Toy Spaniel, Ess Jay See Panzy, 304718 be cancelled and that Mrs. Ida Hagerstrom of Brookton, Mass, be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club until this matter is corrected.

The charges of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the Irish Terrier, Sir Edmund Burke were read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received, its recommendations adopted as follows:

That the registration and any winnings of the Irish Terrier, Sir Edmund Burke be cancelled and that Wm. J. Farrell of Cambridge, Mass. and Owen Cunningham, of Cambridge, Mass. be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.

Charges preferred by A. Vander-Straten were read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the same be referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for further investigation.

The communication from the Legal Committee in regard to letters received from the United States Department of Agriculture was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the letters be received and placed on file.

The Secretary reported that several letters had been written to Mr. Carl Weiffenbach of Columbus, Ohio requesting him to sign applications for registration and that no answer or response could be obtained from him, and it was, therefore, moved, seconded and carried that Mr. Carl Weiffenbach be suspended until such time as he complies with the Resolution.
The Secretary report that the San Rafael Kennel Club had failed to forward to this office the officially marked catalogue of their show held June 11, 1922, and it was moved, seconded and carried that said club be notified that unless catalogue and the full amount of penalty imposed for non-receipt, are received in this office within ten days, that the officials of the San Rafael Kennel Club will be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club and the name of club and Superintendent be referred to the License Committee for further reference.

The communication received from the Spratt’s Patent, Limited was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that they be notified that the American Kennel Club agrees to place their advertisements in the American Kennel Gazette and Stud Book, free of charge providing they furnish any entry blanks as may be required to any dog showing club throughout the country for a period of one year and that this agreement shall be automatically renewed unless cancelled by one of the parties.

The Secretary reported many complaints in regard to loss of money through the mails and it was moved, seconded and carried that the following resolution be adopted: RESOLVED: That the Secretary and Treasurer be authorized to open a bank account to be known as the “Refund Account” and that the Secretary be authorized to designate such person or person from time to time as he may wish to sign checks on same.

The communication from the Stud Book Committee in reference to their late decision in the matter of registration of dogs in the American Field Dog Stud Book was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the Executive Committee recommend to the Stud Book Committee the following Resolution for adoption to take the place of the Resolution adopted July 17, 1922,
as follows:

That on and after July 1st the Stud Book of the American Kennel Club will be open to any pure bred sporting dogs whose sires and dams were registered with the American Kennel Club Stud Book or the American Field Dog Stud Book or one in one book and one in the other book, on or before July 1, 1922 provided three generations of pedigree are shown and the name it is proposed to use complies with the regulations of the American Kennel Club.

The Stud Book Committee was also requested to report on same in time for publication in the August Gazette.

It was moved, seconded and carried that all Field Trial Dog Stud Book Registrations be held up until this matter is adjusted finally.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE DELEGATES OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD AT ITS OFFICES, 221 FOURTH AVE., TUESDAY, SEPT 19, 1922

President, Howard Willets, in the Chair.

Present:

American Fox Terrier Club, J. W. Spring
American Pomeranian Club, H. H. Wainwright
Boston Terrier Club, W. H. Kinder
Bronx County Kennel Club, H. Fensterer
Brussels Griffon Club of America, S. H. Sonn
Chow Chow Club, J. Z. Adams
Collie Club of America, Albert Payson Terhune
Colorado Kennel Club, C. A. Kelly
Englewood Kennel Club, Doctor W. C. Billings
Erie Kennel Club, R. C. Stewart
Irish Setter Club, R. W. Creuzbaur
Japanese Spaniel Club of America, E. H. Berensohn
Kennel Club of Atlantic City, J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Ladies' Kennel Association of America, B. Sheldon
Long Island Kennel Club, J. F. Collins
Maltese Club, Geo. O. Heinlein
Middlesex County Kennel Club, F. T. Eskrigge
Newfoundland Club of America, C. R. Wood
New York State Fair Club Dog Show, Samuel G. Allen
Old English Sheepdog Club of America, Morris Kinney
Pacific Cocker Spaniel Club, R. P. Keasbey
Philadelphia Bulldog Club, J. A. Buchanan
Providence County Kennel Club, Thos. D. Higgins
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Toy Spaniel Club of America, D. P. Ritchey
Welsh Terrier Club of America, Doctor Sam'l Milbank
Wissahickon Kennel Club, R. H. Johnson, Jr.
Delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers, J. S. Wall and Harold Ober.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes of the last meeting be adopted as published in the May Gazette.

The report of the Secretary was read and is as follows:

New York, Sept 19, 1922

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting I have received the following applications for active membership, also credentials for delegates:

- Huntingdon Valley Kennel Club of Rydal, PA
- Pittsburg Kennel Club of Pittsburgh, PA
- Birmingham Kennel Club of Birmingham, Ala

Credentials have been received from Mr. E. S. Woodward to represent the Pinehurst Kennel Club.
- Mr. Clarence C. Little to represent the Scottish Terrier Club of America
- Mr. Alfred C. Codet to represent the Columbia County Kennel Club.
- Mr. Herman Grasser to represent the Hudson County Kennel Club.
- Mr. H. H. Walcamp to represent the Buckeye Beagle Club.

The above applications and credentials have been presented to the Membership Committee who will report at this meeting.

A request from the Kentucky State Fair Kennel Club to have their name changed to the Louisville Kennel Club. Also from the Badger Dog Club of America to change their name to the Dachshund Club of America.

The Report of the Rules Committee as published in the July 31st Kennel Gazette is before you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day
Secretary

It was moved, seconded and carried that the above report be accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was received and the meeting proceeded to the election of Active Members and Delegates. The following clubs were balloted for and duly elected to active membership:
Huntingdon Valley Kennel Club of Rydal, PA
Pittsburg Kennel Club of Pittsburg, PA
Birmingham Kennel Club of Birmingham, AL

The following credentials, approved by the Membership Committee were balloted for and duly elected:

Mr. Edwin S. Woodward to represent the Pinehurst Kennel Club
Mr. Clarence C. Little to represent the Scottish Terrier Club of America
Mr. Hubert R. Brown to represent the Central Beagle Club.

On motion, it was seconded and carried that the request of the Kentucky State Fair Kennel Club to change their name to the Louisville Kennel Club be granted.

On motion it was seconded and carried that the request of the Badger Dog Club of America to change their name to read, the Dachshund Club of America, be not granted.

The report of the Rules Committee as published in the July Kennel Gazette was read, and on motion it was seconded that report be received and its recommendations adopted. After discussion the motion was carried.

A letter received from the United States Department of Agriculture, addressed to the Chairman of the Legal Committee, was read and a motion was seconded and carried that same be received and spread in full upon the minutes.

United States Department of Agriculture
Bureau of Animal Industry
Washington, DC
Sept. 14, 1922

Ralph C. Stewart
Chairman, Legal Committee
American Kennel Club
802 West End Trust Bldg.
Philadelphia, PA

Dear Mr. Stewart:
Further in explanation of our letter of June 28, 1922, with reference to the recognition by the Department of Agriculture of American books of record, I feel that our previous letter to you on this subject was not perfectly clear. As stated in our previous communication, a ruling was made by the Solicitor of the department, which specified that the Secretary of Agriculture and authority to extend recognition to such animals as were registered in American Stud Books and issue certificates of pure breeding for them. Since such cases are rather infrequent, it has been decided that the policy of this Bureau shall be to act upon each important individually as the importation may come in, rather than grant official recognition to American Societies prior to actual importations of purebred live stock.

Very truly yours,

J. O. Williams
Senior Animal Husbandman

On motion, seconded and carried, the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD TUESDAY, SEPT 19, 1922.

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
R. P. Keasbey
J. F. Collins
Jos. A. Buchanan
J. S. Wall
Harold Ober
Sam’l G. Allen
Albert Payson Terhune
R. W. Creuzbaur
Chas. A. Kelly
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
Ralph C. Stewart,
Chas. R. Wood
D. P. Ritchey
Morris Kinney

It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes of the last meeting as published in the May Gazette be accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that same be received and ordered on file as follows:

New York, Sept, 19, 1922

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the
Boston Terrier, Lady Trilby III.
   The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by G. A. Cluett.
   The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the charges referred by Chas. O. Locke.
   The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the charges referred by T. L. Leeming.
   The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of the Englewood Kennel Club.
   The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the Cocker Spaniel, Midnight Nightnelem.
   The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of J. Harry Myers.

   The resignation of Mr. A. M. Spalding as a member of the Los Angeles Trial Board.

   A communication from the South Philadelphia Kennel Club.

   Excuses have been received from Mr. J. Macy Willets, Mr. Wm. Prescott Wolcott, Mr. Q. A. Shaw McKean, Mr. Wm. R. Tucker, Jr., Mr. C. L. Gilliland, Mr. E. L. Boger, Mr. Geo. W. Greer, and Mr. Geo. S. West.

   Respectfully submitted,
   Newton H. Day
   Secretary

   The resignation of Mr. S. M. Spalding as a member of the Los Angeles Trial Board was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that same be accepted.

   The meeting then proceeded to the election of a member to fill the vacancy upon the Los Angeles Trial Board. Mr. John H. Matthew, Jr. was nominated and duly elected as the member of said Board.

   The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the registration of the Boston Terrier, Lady Trilby, II, was read and on motion, it was seconded and carried that report by received and its recommendations adopted as follows: The New York Trial Board has considered this case and has tried unsuccessfully to reach Eugene Jeremias of Newark, NJ, the man who made the alleged false registration. Jeramias, the owner of a litter of dogs for the first time evidently decided to dispose of them no matter how questionable his methods. As he refuses to answer the charges, the Board recommends that he be disqualified until such time as he answers the charges.
The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the charges referred by G. A. Cluett against E. T. Holmes, Jr., was read and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendations adopted, which were to the effect that a settlement having been made in this case, the Board, therefore advises that the suspension of Mr. E. T. Holmes, Jr. be removed on Sept 19, 1922 with an understanding that if the account is not settled within a reasonable time, further action will be taken.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of T. L. Leeming against Arthur Goulding, was read, and on motion it was seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendations adopted which are: That as Mr. Arthur Goulding has made no reply to the charge brought against him by Mr. Leeming, it is recommended that M. Arthur Goulding be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club until he should answer the charges.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of the Englewood Kennel Club against Mrs. Abbie Rubino was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that report be received and its recommendations adopted as follows: The New York Trial Board has considered the above case and as Mrs. Rubino has replaced the trophy in question in a manner satisfactory to the Club, as evidences in the letter of the President dated September 1st, we recommend that no further action be taken.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the Cocker Spaniel, Midnight Nightnelem, referred to them by the Stud Book Committee, was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the report be received and referred back to the Stud Book Committee.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the charges referred by Chas. O. Locke against Jos. L. Kelly, was read and received and on motion, seconded and carried, its recommendations were adopted as follows:

The New York Trial Board reported on the above case on May 16, recom-
mending the suspension of Jos. L. Kelly, but making a reservation that puppies bred by Kelly and sold to Locke and Mrs. Sarah Scott be allowed to be registered.

The Board has now interviewed Mrs. Fawcett and is convinced beyond a doubt that it is impossible to register the puppies bred by Kelly and sold to Locke and Mrs. Scott.

The Board, therefore, recommends that Jos. L. Kelly be suspended for period of two years from May 16, 1922.

The Report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the communication from Geo. B. Hooley in reference to Article in Hounds and Hunting concerning Beagle Trials, was read and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the Report be received and its recommendations adopted as follows: The New York Trial Board has considered this matter and in accordance with Mr. Hooley’s letter of September 5, we recommend that no action be taken.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the registration of the Shepherd Dog, Vic of the Hedges, referred to the New York Trial Board by the Stud Book Committee, was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendations adopted as follows: That the registrations, Nos. 329146 and 334788 and any other registrations of puppies from the same litter giving Doctor Russell as owner of the sire, be changed to give Mrs. Geraldine Dodge as owner of the sire and that said registrations be sent to Mrs. Dodge for her signature. It is the opinion of the Board that Doctor Russell signed the applications under a misunderstanding and it is recommended that no further action be taken.

The communication received from the South Philadelphia Kennel Club, read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the Secretary be instructed to inform said club that the American Kennel Club assume no jurisdiction in the matter.

Excuses for absence were received from Messrs. J. Macy Willets,

On motion, seconded and carried, the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD SEPTEMBER 19, 1922.

President, Howard Willets in the chair

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
R. P. Keasbey
R. C. Stewart
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
John F. Collins
Albert Payson Terhune

It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes of the last meeting as published in the August Gazette be accepted and placed on file.

The Chairman of the Stud Book Committee reported in response to the resolution recommendation to the Stud Book Committee be the Executive Committee at its meeting held August 15th, and after discussion, it was moved, seconded and carried that the Secretary be instructed to interview, personally, the officials of the American Field and America Field Stud Boo, to arrange satisfactory rules and conditions for the admission of registrations of the Field Dog Stud Book in the American Kennel Club Stud Book.

On motion, it was seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD OCTOBER 17, 1922

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:

Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
J. Macy Willets
R. P. Keasbey
John F. Collins
Wm. Rauch
Albert Payson Terhune

The Minutes of the last meeting were read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that same be received and placed on file.

The Secretary reported that he had visited Chicago, and interviewed the officials of the American Field as instructed at the last meeting of the Executive Committee.

The report from the Superintendent of the New York State Fair Bench Show held in Syracuse, NY Sept 13, 14, 15, 1922 was read in which it is reported that Mr. Frank Addyman of Ardsley, NY refused to take certain dogs into the ring when called upon to do so, stating that he had shown several of his dogs under the acting Judge and was not satisfied with her awards. Several members stated that they had heard MR. Addyman, at various shows expressing his opinions in unbridled language and committing a number of acts detrimental to dogs shows.

On motion, it was seconded and carried that Mr. Frank Addyman be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club,
Pending the report of the New York Trial Board, and that the matter be referred to the said Board with instructions to investigate the matter thoroughly by having the Judges, Superintendent, Mr. Addyman and any other interested parties appear before them.

A notice received from the Kensington Kennel Club that said club had suspended Patrick Sullivan for six months, for misconduct in connection with the show held by the Kensington Kennel Club, September 2, 1922, was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the suspension be sustained and the matter referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for investigation.

The Publication Committee reported that they had received estimates in the matter of collating and binding the Stud Book in a volume edition.

The Chairman of the Rules Committee stated that he had received a recommendation from Mr. Albert Payson Terhune in reference to making a class at Bench Shows for Champion of Champions or Grand Champion, and after discussion and he further stated that the Rules Committee would report upon same.

On motion, it was seconded and carried that the meeting.

Newton H. Day

Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD NOVEMBER 21, 1922

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
R. P. Keasbey
J. Macy Willets
John F. Collins
Wm. Rauch
Albert Payson Terhune

The minutes of the last meeting were read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that same be received and placed on file.

The report of the Boston Trial Board in the matter of the case of E. L. Sturgis vs. H. N. Bulger was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendations adopted which are as follows: Your Board finds, that the complaint had not approved the charges that he had preferred against the defendant, and that the Boston Terrier “Catch of the Season” was sent to the defendant and that she has won many prizes at American Kennel Club Shows and is a typical Boston Terrier and although one year is not perfect she has been shown many times and won prices. Your Board further finds, that although her color is very dark, she is brindle and white, and recommends that the complaint be dismissed.

The report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the suspension of Frank H. Addyman was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the suspension be removed as of November 12th.

Charges preferred by W. John Merkle were read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that same be referred to the New York Trial (rescinded Nov 4, 1922)
Board with instructions that both parties be summoned to appear before them in the matter.

The report of the Superintendent of the Mobile Kennel Club at their late show held October 26, 27, 28, 29 1922, was read, and on motion, it was seconded and carried that Mrs. May Ballentyne, of 152 S. Joachin Street, Mobile, AL. and Mrs. Alma Glass, 17 S. Weston Place, Mobile, AL, be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club for removing their dogs from the late show of the Mobile Kennel Club without permission and consent of the Superintendent or Bench Show Committee, and that the matter be referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for investigation.

Letters and affidavits received from the Capital City Kennel Club were read and after hearing personal statements from Mr. Albert Ross and Mr. J. M. Baker and Mr. W. E. Ellis, it was moved, seconded and carried that the election of Mr. Albert Ross as president, and Doctor Elmer A. Assman as Secretary and Treasurer of the Capital City Kennel Club be declared legal and that they so represent the Capital City Kennel Club upon our records.

WHEREAS many articles have appeared in the weekly publication known as Field and Fancy which contained numerous absolutely false, malicious and misleading statements about the American Kennel Club, one of its members, several of its officers and committeemen and

WHEREAS Mr. Chas. H. Mason of Port Washington, NY is given credit for writing the articles above referred to and

WHEREAS such false, malicious and misleading statements seriously decrease the respect for, confidence in, and loyalty to the American
Kennel Club, of dog fanciers generally and
WHEREAS, such a condition is exceedingly detrimental, prejudicial and harmful to the
best interests of dogs, dog shows, the American Kennel Club, its members, officers and
Committee men, therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the President of the American Kennel Club be and hereby is instructed
to prepare and prefer charges against the said Chas. H. Mason for conduct prejudicial to
the best interests of dogs, dog shows and the American Kennel Club because of the
false, malicious, and misleading statements above referred to the New York Trial Board
for proper action.

It was also moved, seconded and carried that the President be instructed to
communicate with the Chairman of the New York Trial Board in regard to this resolution
and that the New York Trial Board be particularly instructed to prove the truth of any of
these articles, and to show cause why he should not be suspended from all privileges of
the American Kennel Club.

A communication from the Secretary of the Illinois Dog Fanciers Club was read,
and on motion, it was seconded and carried that the Secretary be instructed to
communicate with Mr. Stuyvesant Peabody in reference to obtaining information as to
prominent and capable legal advice in the city of Chicago.

On motion, it was seconded and carried that the Law Committee be instructed to,
at once, take up the matter of registered the name of the American Kennel Club officially
in Washington.

The question of certain specials which appear to conflict with the recent ruling
prohibiting unclassified specials, was considered, and on motion, it was seconded and
carried that it is the sense of this Commit-
tee, that any action in regard to specials that was taken prior to the adoption of the rules prohibiting unclassified specials, be allowed.

   On motion, seconded and carried, the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB HELD DECEMBER 4, 1922.

President, Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Rowland P. Keasbey
John F. Collins
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
C. F. Neilson

The minutes of the last meeting were read, and it was moved, seconded and carried, that the reported minutes referring to the suspension of Mr. Frank H. Addyman be corrected to read as follows:

“The Report of the New York Trial Board in the matter of the suspension of Frank H. Addyman was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the suspension be removed as of November 12, 1922.”

It was also moved, seconded and carried, that the instructions to the President in the same matter be rescinded.

At the request of the Chairman of the New York Trial Board, who was invited to be present, Mr. Frank H. Addyman appeared before the Committee and presented his statements, and it was moved, seconded and carried that it is the decision of the Executive Committee that they believe that the action of Mr. Addyman at the New York State Fair Dog Show held at Syracuse, New York September 13, 14, 15, 1922 was discourteous and not for the best interest of dogs and dog shows, in that he refused to bring his dog into the ring for competition at the request of the judge.
The matter of the Resolution and charges against Mr. Chas. H. Mason which was passed at the meeting of the Executive Committee held November 21\textsuperscript{st}, 1922, was taken up, and after considerable discussion, it was moved, seconded and carried that the Resolution made and action taken by said Committee at the above meeting, instructing the President to prefer charges against Chas. H. Mason for alleged publication of certain articles in Field and Fancy, be rescinded, and the matter referred to the Delegates for action at the next meeting.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
President Howard Willets in the Chair.

President:
American Fox Terrier Club, J. W. Spring
American Pomeranian Club, H. H. Wainwright
American Spaniel Club, George Greer
American Sealyham Terrier Club, Bayard Warren
Bulldog Club of America, Edwin L. Boger
Bullterrier Club of America, N. F. Emmons
California Airedale Terrier Club, C. Fred'k Neilson
Collie Club of America, Albert Payson Terhune
Colorado Kennel Club, Chas. A. Kelly
Devon Dog Show Association, John Sinnott
Englewood Kennel Club, Doctor W. C. Billings
Erie Kennel Club, Ralph C. Stewart
Gwynedd Valley Kennel Club, Harry G. Rieger
Harrisburg Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Joseph K. White
Irish Terrier Club of America, Hubert R. Brown
Japanese Spaniel Club of America, E. H. Berendsohn
Kennel Club of Atlantic City, J. Sergeant Price
Ladies Kennel Association of America, Bruce Sheldon
Long Island Kennel Club, John F. Collins
Los Angeles Kennel Club, Harold W. Gould
Lynn Kennel Club, Clement M. Burnhome
Maltese Club, Geo. O. Heinlein
Middlesex County Kennel Club, F. T. Eskrigge
Newark Kennel Club, H. L. Sears
Newfoundland Club of America, Charles R. Wood
New Jersey Beagle Club, George B. Hooley
Old English Sheepdog Club of America, Morris Kinney
Pacific Coast Cocker Spaniel Club, R. P. Keasbey
Russian Wolfhound Club, Dr. De Mund
San Mateo Kennel Club, Howard Willets
Southampton Kennel Club, H. G. Trevor
Toy Spaniel Club of America, Daniel P. Ritchey
Welsh Terrier Club of America, Doctor Samuel Milbank
Westchester Kennel Club, P. Hamilton Goodsell
Western Fox Terrier Breeders’ Association, Q. A. Shaw McKean
Whippet Club of America, Wm. Prescott Wolcott
Wissahickon Kennel Club, Russell H. Johnson

Delegate to represent Association Subscribers:
    Harold Ober

    It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes of the last meeting be adopted as published in the Sept Gazette.
The report of the Secretary was read and is as follows:

New York, December 19, 1922

To the Delegates of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I beg to report that since the last quarterly meeting I have received the following application for active membership:

Wisconsin Kennel Club
Of Milwaukee, Wis.

Also credentials for delegates:

George H. Erie III to represent
The Kennel Club of Philadelphia

P.A.B. Widner to represent the
Huntingdon Valley Kennel Club

The above application and credentials have been presented the Membership Committee who will report at this meeting.

Pursuant to Article III, Sections 4 and 5 of the bylaws the Membership Committee has nominated as delegates to represent the Associate Subscribers for the year 1923 the follows:

Mr. Harold Ober
Mr. J. S. Wall

And have also suggested as a Nominating Committee the following:

John F. Collins
J. Sergeant Price, Jr.
Joseph A. Buchanan
George Greer
Samuel G. Allen

I present the resignation of Mr. J. Robinson Beard and Mr. August Belmont as Delegates.

The report of the Rules Committee as published in the November 30th Gazette for your consideration.

A resolution adopted at Special Meeting of Executive Committee is referred to the Delegates.

Respectfully submitted,
Newton H. Day, Secretary
It was moved, seconded and carried that the above report be accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Membership Committee was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the report be received and that the nominations be adopted. The meeting proceeded to the election of active members and delegates. The Wisconsin Kennel Club of Milwaukee, Wis., was duly balloted and elected to active membership.

The following credentials, approved by the Membership Committee were balloted for and duly elected:

- Mr. H. H. Walcampt to represent The Buckeye Beagle Club
- Mr. Alfred C. Codet to represent The Columbia County Kennel Club
- Mr. Geo. H. Earle III to represent The Kennel Club of Philadelphia
- Mr. P. A. B. Widener to represent The Huntingdon Valley Kennel Club

The report of the Rules Committee in regard to proposed Champion Class and titled Champion of Champions, recommended by the Rules Committee and published in the November 30th Gazette was read and after much discussion it was moved and seconded that the proposed change be not adopted.

The report of the Rules Committee recommending change of Section IV Rules 10 of the American Kennel Club so that the said Section shall read as follows:

The President of the American Kennel Club, or in his absence, one of the Vice Presidents, may suspend any Association, Club, License Show, Person or Person for alleged misconduct in connection with Clubs, Dogs, Dog Shows or Field Trial, but such suspension must be approved, or otherwise by the Board of Directors at their next meeting.

On motion it was seconded and carried that the recommendation be adopted.
The resignation of J. Robinson Beard as Delegate of the Airedale Terrier Club of New York was read, and on motion it was seconded and carried that the resignation be accepted.

The resignation of August Belmont was read and on motion it was seconded and carried that the resignation be accepted and that his letter be published in the Kennel Gazette as follows:

October 19, 1922

Mr. Newton H. Day, Secretary
American Kennel Club
221 Fourth Avenue, NYC

Dear Sir:

I send you enclosed correspondence which I have had with the Golden Gate Kennel Club. Please note that from Mr. Alex Wolfen’s letter of October 12th it would seem that the Golden Gate Kennel Club is practically no longer an organization of importance. Moreover it would appear from the third paragraph of his letter, that the three active members named became dissatisfied with the membership because it was “unyielding” and reduced it to themselves. It would seem, therefore, that I am representing an organization that is not of a character which justifies my being a delegate, and I tender herewith my resignation.

I remain

Yours very truly,

August Belmont.

On motion, it was seconded and carried unanimously that the following resolution be adopted:
WHEREAS many articles have appeared in the weekly publication known as Field and Fancy which contained numerous statements about the American Kennel Club and certain of its members,
officers and committeemen and
WHEREAS Chas. H. mason of Port Washington, NY is alleged to have written the articles above referred to and
WHEREAS the publication of such statements is prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club and of dog fanciers generally, therefore, be it
RESOLVED that the President of the American Kennel Club be and hereby is instructed to prepare and prefer charges against said Chas. H. Mason for conduct prejudicial to the best interests of the American Kennel Club, dogs and dog shows because of the statements above referred to, and be it further.
RESOLVED that charges shall be referred to a Trial Board and the said Chas. H. Mason be requested to appear before them to present proof of same, and also for such action as they may deem proper.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the charges against Mr. Chas. H. mason be referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board and that the said Board be requested to come to New York for hearing.

It was moved, seconded and carried unanimously that all exchanges of publications and courtesies with Field and Fancy be discontinued and that the Secretary be instructed to inform Field and Fancy.

On motion seconded and carried the meeting adjourned.

Newton H. Day
Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Held Tuesday, December 19, 1922

President Howard Willets in the Chair.
Present:
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergeant Price
Harold Ober
George B. Hooley
Albert Payson Terhune
John F. Collins
Q. A. Shaw McKean
Edwin L. Boger
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
R. P. Keasbey
Ralph C. Stewart
D. P. Ritchey
Morris Kinney

It was moved, seconded and carried that the minutes of the last meeting as published in the Sept Gazette, be accepted and placed on file.

The report of the Secretary was read, and on motion, seconded and carried, the same was received and ordered on file as follows:

New York, December 19, 1922

To the Board of Directors of the American Kennel Club
Gentlemen:

I beg to present the following matters for your consideration:

The report of the Chicago Trial Board in the matter of the Boston Terriers “Tippy II” and “Pick Up”.

The report of the Chicago Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by the Allendale Farm.

The report of the Chicago Trial Board in the matter of the charges preferred by James Noble.
The report of the Los Angeles Trial Board in the matter of the protest of Capt. J. Paul McKenna.

The report of the Los Angeles Trial Board in the matter of the complaint of W. W. Steeves.

Excuses for absence at this meeting have been received from:

- R. W. Creuzbaur
- Joseph A. Buchanan
- W. R. Tucker, Jr.
- George S. West
- Townsend Scudder
- J. S. Wall
- Samuel G. Allen
- R. M. Cleveland

Respectfully submitted

Newton H. Day
Secretary

The report of the Treasurer was read and on motion seconded and carried, same was received and placed on file.

The report of the Chicago Trial Board in the matter of the Boston Terriers Tippy II and Pick Up was read. It was moved, seconded and carried that the recommendation be adopted as follows:

The Board recommends that Mr. L. J. Sharpe of Shrewsbury, MO be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.

The report of the Chicago Trial Board in the matter of charges preferred by the Allendale Farm against E. L. Pickhardt was read and on motion, seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendation be adopted as follows: The Board believes that Mr. Pickhardt acted throughout in good faith, and therefore recommends that the case be dropped as they feel Mr. Pickhardt was in no way at fault.

The report of the Chicago Trial Board in the matter of charges preferred by Mr. James Noble against Mr. J. G. Philips was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that the report be received and its recommendation adopted as follows: The decision of the is that Mr. and
Mrs. J. G. Philps, of Toledo, Ohio, be suspended from all privileges of the American Kennel Club.

The report of the Los Angeles Trial Board, in the matter of protest of Capt. J. Paul McKenna, was read and on motion, seconded and carried, the report was received and its recommendations adopted as follows:
The charges are not sustained and the registration of the three Irish Terrier puppies in question be allowed to stand and the sire given, name—The Ferret, and that Mr. Roy Jones be exonerated from any blame in the matter.

The report of the Los Angeles Trial Board in the matter of the protest of W. W. Steeves, was read and on motion seconded and carried, the report was received and its recommendations adopted as follows: That as amicable settlement had been made in the matter, the case be dropped.

The Publication Committee reported that they had received estimates on several plans in regard to the publication of a bound volume of the Stud Book. It was moved, seconded and carried that the Publication Committee be authorized, if they deemed it advisable, to arrange for the publication of a bound copy of the Stud Book for 1923.

On motion, seconded and carried, the Board went into Executive Session. On completion of the Executive Session, it was moved, seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Secretary
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB
Held December 19, 1922

President Howard Willets in the Chair.

Present:
Messrs Howard Willets
Dr. De Mund
J. Sergerant Price
Albert Payson Terhune
John F. Collins
Russell H. Johnson, Jr.
R. P. Keasbey
C. F. Neilson
Ralph C. Stewart

The minutes of the Special Meeting which was held December 4th were read, and on motion, seconded and carried were accepted and ordered on file.

The report of the Secretary was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the same be received and ordered on file as follows:

New York, December 19, 1922

To the Executive Committee of the American Kennel Club

Gentlemen:

I present the minutes of the special meeting of the Executive Committee held December 4, 1922:

A report for the Bench Show Committee of the Jacksonville Kennel Club
A report of the Bench Show Committee of the Paterson Kennel Club.
An appeal for reinstatement from John David of Los Angeles, CA
Charges have been preferred by H. U. Rhodius
Charges have been preferred by Lillian Gleason
Charges have been preferred by Frank Worthy.

Respectfully submitted
Newton H. Day
Secretary
The report from the Bench Show Committee of the Jacksonville Kennel Club containing notification that said Committee had suspended Mr. F. C. Elwes, of Highland, NC for removing his dog forcibly from the show was read, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the suspension be continued.

The report from the Bench Show Committee of the Paterson Kennel Club in regard to the changing of the award of the Reserve Winners bitch in class of Collies was read and it was moved, seconded and carried that as the owner of the Collie bitch “Aption Andeen” had obtained permission from the judge to remove his dog and that the judge decided that she was entitled to the award, the decision of the Bench Show Committee of the Paterson Kennel Club is hereby reversed and the award of Reserve Winners bitch be accredited to the Collie “Aption Andeen” as originally given.

The appeal for reinstatement by John David was read, and on motion, seconded and carried, the same was referred to the Los Angeles Trial Board for their consideration and recommendation.

Charges were preferred by Mr. H. U. Rhodius, and on motion seconded and carried, the same were referred to the Boston Trial Board for their investigation.

Charges preferred by Lilliam Gleason and on motion, seconded and carried, the same were referred to the Chicago Trial Board for their investigation.

Charges were preferred by Frank Worthy, and on motion, seconded and carried, the same were referred to the Philadelphia Trial Board for their investigation.

The Chairman of the Law Committee reported that the Committee had investigated the matter of registration of the American Kennel Club in Washington, and it was moved, seconded and carried that the Law Committee be empowered to at once take such steps necessary
to register the American Kennel Club as far as possible.

It was moved, seconded and carried that E. D. Young be appointed Assistant Secretary of the American Kennel Club.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

Newton H. Day
Secretary